

# Discrete Quantum Walks with Marked Vertices and Their Average Vertex Mixing Matrices

Amulya Mohan, Hanmeng Zhan

Computer Science Department  
Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA, USA  
{amohan, hzhan}@wpi.edu

## Abstract

We study the discrete quantum walk that assigns negative identity coins to marked vertices and Grover coins to the unmarked ones. We find combinatorial bases for the eigenspaces of the transition matrix, and derive a formula for the average vertex mixing matrix. We then explore properties of this matrix when the marked vertices or unmarked vertices are neighborhood-equitable in the vertex-deleted subgraph.

## 1 Introduction

Quantum walks with marked vertices are often used in search algorithms (e.g. [6, 10]) and state transfer algorithms (e.g. [12]). In [8], Shenvi et al introduced a discrete quantum walk that assigns negative identity coins to the marked vertices and Grover coins to the unmarked vertices. We study this walk on regular graphs. Our goal is to connect the limiting behavior of the walk to the spectral properties of the partition of vertices into marked and unmarked.

Throughout this paper, let  $X = (V, E)$  be a connected graph on  $n$  vertices. Let  $\Delta$  be the degree matrix of  $X$ , that is, the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with  $\Delta_{u,u} = \deg(u)$ . Let  $S \subseteq V$  be the set of marked vertices in  $X$ , and let  $\bar{S}$

denote its complement  $V \setminus S$ . Let  $O_S$  be the matrix obtained from the  $n \times n$  identity matrix by zeroing out its  $[S, S]$ -block. An *arc* of  $X$  is an ordered pair  $(u, v)$  of adjacent vertices, where  $u$  is called the *tail* and  $v$  is called the *head*. Let  $R$  be the *arc-reversal matrix*, that is, the permutation matrix that swaps arc  $(u, v)$  with arc  $(v, u)$ . Let  $D_t$  and  $D_h$  be the *tail-arc incidence matrix* and the *head-arc incidence matrix*, respectively. The transition matrix of the quantum walk on  $X$  is given by

$$U = R (2D_t^T \Delta^{-1/2} O_S \Delta^{-1/2} D_t - I).$$

Note that the second factor of  $U$  is the coin operator: it assigns to  $u$  the coin  $-I_{\deg(u)}$  if  $u$  lies in  $S$ , and Grover coin  $\frac{2}{\deg(u)}J - I$  otherwise. If  $X$  is  $k$ -regular, then

$$U = R \left( \frac{2}{k} D_t^T O_S D_t - I \right).$$

We are interested in the limiting behavior of this walk. For any initial state  $x_0$ , the limit of

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (U^t x_0) \circ \overline{(U^t x_0)}$$

exists as  $T$  goes to infinity, and if  $U$  has spectral decomposition

$$U = \sum_{\theta} e^{i\theta} F_{\theta},$$

then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (U^t x_0) \circ \overline{(U^t x_0)} = \sum_{\theta} (F_{\theta} x_0) \circ \overline{(F_{\theta} x_0)}$$

(see, for example, [5, Ch4]). This limit is a probability distribution over the arcs of  $X$ , and by summing the entries over the outgoing arcs of the same vertex, we obtain a probability distribution over the vertices of  $X$ . If the initial state  $x_0$  is of the form  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} D_t^T e_v$ , then the summed limit gives the average probability that the walk moves from a uniform linear combination of the outgoing arcs of  $v$  to the outgoing arcs of  $u$ . A matrix recording these probabilities was introduced by Sorci [9] and studied for Szegedy's quantization of reversible Markov chains. Following his notion, we define the *average vertex mixing matrix* for our walk with marked vertices to be the

$n \times n$  matrix  $\widehat{M}$  with entries

$$\widehat{M}_{u,v} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{k} e_u^T D_t \left( (U^t x_0) \circ \overline{(U^t x_0)} \right)$$

This is a column stochastic matrix, and its  $uv$ -entry tells the average probability from  $v$  to  $u$ . An alternative expression of  $U$ , using the eigenprojections of  $U$ , is

$$\widehat{M}_{u,v} = \frac{1}{k} e_u^T D_t \left( (F_r D_t^T e_v) \circ \overline{(F_r D_t^T e_v)} \right).$$

## 2 Incidence matrices

We will compute the eigenprojections of  $U$  in Section 3 and then find a formula for  $\widehat{M}$  in Section 4. To this end, we introduce additional notation for  $X$ : let  $A$  be its adjacency matrix,  $B$  the vertex-edge incidence matrix, and  $M$  the arc-edge incidence matrix. Fixing an orientation of  $X$ , let  $C$  be the signed vertex-edge incidence matrix, and  $N$  the signed arc-edge incidence matrix. The following identities hold [11].

**2.1 Lemma.** (1)  $D_t R = D_h$  and  $D_h R = D_t$ .

(2)  $RM = M$  and  $RN = -N$ .

(3)  $MM^T = I + R$  and  $NN^T = I - R$ .

(4)  $D_t D_t^T = D_h D_h^T = \Delta$ .

(5)  $D_t D_h^T = D_h D_t^T = A$ .

(6)  $D_t M = D_h M = B$ .

(7)  $D_t N = -D_h N = C$ .

As there might be marked vertices in  $X$ , we derive further properties about these matrices using submatrices of  $B$  and  $C$ . For any  $S \subseteq V$ , partition  $B$  and  $C$  as

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_S \\ B_{\overline{S}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} C_S \\ C_{\overline{S}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**2.2 Corollary.** (i)  $\text{col}(I + R) \cap \ker(O_S D_t) = M \ker(B_{\overline{S}})$ .

$$(ii) \quad \text{col}(I - R) \cap \ker(O_S D_t) = N \ker(C_{\bar{S}}).$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{col}(I + R) \cap \text{col}(O_S D_t) = \begin{cases} \text{span}\{\mathbf{1}\}, & \text{if } S = \emptyset; \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{col}(I - R) \cap \text{col}(O_S D_t) = \begin{cases} \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{E(V_1, V_2)} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{E(V_2, V_1)} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, & \text{if } S = \emptyset \text{ and } X \text{ is bipartite} \\ & \text{with bipartition } (V_1, V_2); \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \text{ or } S \text{ is non-bipartite} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \in \text{col}(I + R) \cap \ker(O_S D_t) &\iff y \in \text{col}(M) \cap \ker(O_S D_t) \\ &\iff y = Mz \quad \text{and} \quad O_S D_t Mz = 0 \\ &\iff y = Mz \quad \text{and} \quad O_S Bz = 0 \\ &\iff y \in M \ker(O_S B) = M \ker(B_{\bar{S}}), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i). A similar argument shows (ii).

For (iii), note that  $y$  lies in  $\text{col}(I + R) \cap \text{col}(D_t)$  if and only if it is constant on all any pair of opposite arcs as well as the outgoing arcs of any vertex. As the graph is connected,  $y$  must be constant on all arcs. On the other hand, if  $S$  is non-empty, then any vector  $y$  in  $\text{col}(I + R) \cap \text{col}(O_S D_t)$  must be constant on any pair of opposite arcs, constant on the outgoing arcs of any unmarked vertex, and zero on the outgoing arcs of any marked vertex. It follows from connectivity that  $y$  is zero on all arcs.

For (iv), note that  $y$  lies in  $\text{col}(I - R) \cap \text{col}(D_t)$  if and only if it is opposite on all any pair of opposite arcs and constant on the outgoing arcs of any vertex. If the graph is bipartite with bipartition  $(V_1, V_2)$ , then by connectivity,  $y$  is constant on all arcs from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$ , and has the opposite value on all arcs from  $V_2$  to  $V_1$ . If  $X$  is non-bipartite, then it contains an odd cycle, which implies  $y = 0$ . Finally, the case where  $S$  is non-empty follows from a similar argument in (iii).  $\square$

As we will see in the next section, if  $X$  is regular with at least one marked vertex, then  $N \ker(C_{\bar{S}})$  is the 1-eigenspace of  $U$  and  $M \ker(B_{\bar{S}})$  is the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $U$ . Hence, bases for  $\ker(C_{\bar{S}})$  and  $\ker(B_{\bar{S}})$  “lift” to bases for these two eigenspaces through the incidence matrices  $M$  and  $N$ . The rest of

this section is devoted to constructing bases for  $\ker(C_{\bar{S}})$  and  $\ker(B_{\bar{S}})$  with entries in  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ , assuming  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $S$  is non-empty,  $x^T C_{\bar{S}} = 0$  if and only if  $x_w = 0$  for each neighbor  $w$  in  $\bar{S}$  of some vertex in  $S$ , and  $x_u = x_v$  for each edge  $\{u, v\}$  in  $X \setminus S$ . As  $X$  is connected,  $x$  must be zero on all components of  $X \setminus S$ . Hence  $C_{\bar{S}}$  has full row rank, and so

$$\dim \ker(C_{\bar{S}}) = |E| - |V| + |S|.$$

We now find a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -basis for  $\ker(C_{\bar{S}})$ . It is well-known that  $\ker(C)$  has a basis with  $\pm 1$  entries on the fundamental cycles relative to some spanning tree; we extend this to a basis for  $\ker(C_{\bar{S}})$ .

**2.3 Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a connected graph with a non-empty set  $S$  of marked vertices. Fix a spanning tree  $T$  and a marked vertex  $a \in S$ . Then  $\ker(C_{\bar{S}})$  has a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -basis*

$$\{y_e : e \in E \setminus T\} \cup \{y_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\} \quad (1)$$

where  $y_e$  and  $y_b$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^E$  defined as follows.

- (i) For each  $e \in E \setminus E(T)$ , there is a fundamental cycle relative to  $T$  that contains  $e$ . The vector  $y_e$  assigns 1 to all edges in this cycle that are oriented in the same direction as  $e$ , and  $-1$  to all other edges in this cycle.
- (ii) For each  $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$ , there is a path from  $a$  to  $b$ . The vector  $y_b$  assigns 1 to all edges in this path that are oriented in the same direction as  $e$ , and  $-1$  to all other edges in this path.

*Proof.* The vectors in Equation (1) clearly lie in  $\ker(C_{\bar{S}})$ . To show they are linearly independent, note that all  $y_e$ 's lie in  $\ker(C)$  while none of the  $y_b$ 's lies in  $\ker(C)$ , and for each  $b$ , the vector  $Cy_b$  is zero on all marked vertices other than  $a$  and  $b$ . Hence Equation (1) is a linearly independent set of size  $|E| - |V| + |S|$ .  $\square$

A similar argument shows that

$$\dim \ker(B_{\bar{S}}) = |E| - |V| + |S|.$$

We now construct a basis for  $\ker(B_{\bar{S}})$  with entries in  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ . If  $X$  is bipartite, then relative to a spanning tree, all fundamental cycles are even. By an analogous proof to Lemma 2.3, we find a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -basis for  $\ker(B_{\bar{S}})$ .

**2.4 Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a connected bipartite graph with a non-empty set  $S$  of marked vertices. Fix a spanning tree  $T$  and a marked vertex  $a \in S$ . Then  $\ker(B_{\overline{S}})$  has a basis*

$$\{y_e : e \in E \setminus E(T)\} \cup \{y_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\} \quad (2)$$

where  $y_e$  and  $y_b$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^E$  defined as follows.

- (i) For any  $e \in E \setminus E(T)$ , the vector  $y_e$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively along the fundamental cycle containing  $e$  relative to  $T$ .
- (ii) For any  $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$ , the vector  $y_b$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively along a path from  $a$  to  $b$ .

For the non-bipartite case, we adopt the following construction in [2] of a  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ -basis for  $\ker(B)$ .

**2.5 Theorem.** [2] *Let  $X$  be a connected non-bipartite graph  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be a spanning subgraph with  $|V|$  edges and exactly one odd cycle. Then  $\ker(B)$  has a  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ -basis*

$$\{y_e : e \in E \setminus E(Y)\},$$

where  $y_e$ 's are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^E$  defined as follows.

- (i) If  $Y \cup \{e\}$  has an even cycle containing  $e$ , then  $y_e$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively along this cycle.
- (ii) Otherwise,  $Y \cup \{e\}$  contains two odd cycles joined by a path, one of which contains  $e$ , and  $y_e$  assigns 2 and  $-2$  alternatively to this path and then 1 and  $-1$  alternatively to the two odd cycles such that all edges incidence to any vertex sum to zero.

We extend this set to a  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ -basis for  $\ker(B_{\overline{S}})$ .

**2.6 Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a connected non-bipartite graph with a non-empty set  $S$  of marked vertices. Let  $Y$  be a spanning subgraph with  $|V|$  edges and exactly one odd cycle. Fix a marked vertex  $a \in S$ . Then  $\ker(O_S B)$  has a basis*

$$\{y_e : e \in E \setminus E(Y)\} \cup \{y_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\} \cup \{y_a\} \quad (3)$$

where  $y_e$ ,  $y_b$  and  $y_a$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{E(X)}$  defined as follows.

- (i) For any  $e \in E(X) \setminus E(Y)$ , the vector  $y_e$  is constructed as in Theorem 2.5.
- (ii) For any  $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$ , the vector  $y_b$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively along a path from  $a$  to  $b$ .
- (iii) There is a path joining  $a$  to the odd cycle in  $Y$ , and the vector  $y_a$  assigns 2 and  $-2$  alternatively along this path and then 1 and  $-1$  alternatively along the odd cycle such that all edges incidence to any vertex but  $a$  sum to zero.

*Proof.*  $\ker(B_{\overline{S}})$  clearly contains all vectors in Equation (3). By Theorem 2.5 and a similar argument to Lemma 2.3,

$$\{y_e : e \in E \setminus E(Y)\} \cup \{y_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\}$$

is a linearly independent set, where the first subset is contained in  $\ker(B)$  while the second subset is disjoint from  $\ker(B)$ . Moreover, since  $By_a$  has exactly one non-zero entry, it cannot be expressed as a linear combination of  $\{By_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\}$ . It follows that Equation (3) is a linearly independent set of size  $|E| - |V| + |S|$ .  $\square$

### 3 Eigenspaces of $U$

In this section, we consider quantum walks on  $k$ -regular connected graphs with marked vertices, and establish a connection between the eigenprojections of  $U$  and certain principal submatrices of the Laplacian matrix, signless Laplacian matrix and the adjacency matrix of  $X$ . First note that

$$U = R \left( \frac{2}{k} D_t^T O_S D_t - I \right)$$

is a product of two reflections: each factor is real symmetric, and

$$R^2 = \left( \frac{2}{k} D_t^T O_S D_t - I \right)^2 = I.$$

Hence, the following result applies to the eigenspaces of  $U$ .

**3.1 Lemma.** [5, Ch2] Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two projections, and write  $P_2 = KK^*$  for some matrix  $K$  with orthonormal columns. Let

$$U = (2P_1 - I)(2P_2 - I).$$

Then the eigenspaces of  $U$  are given as follows.

(i) The 1-eigenspace of  $U$  is the direct sum

$$(\text{col}(P_1) \cap \text{col}(P_2)) \oplus (\text{ker}(P_1) \cap \text{ker}(P_2)).$$

(ii) The  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $U$  is the direct sum

$$(\text{col}(P_1) \cap \text{ker}(P_2)) \oplus (\text{ker}(P_1) \cap \text{col}(P_2)).$$

(iii) The remaining eigenspaces of  $U$  are completely determined by the matrix  $K^*(2P_1 - I)K$ . To be more specific, let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $K^*(2P_1 - I)K$  that lies strictly between  $-1$  and  $1$ , and write  $\lambda = \cos(\theta)$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . The map

$$x \mapsto ((\cos(\theta) + 1)I - (e^{i\theta} + 1)P_1)Kx$$

is an isomorphism from the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace of  $K^*(2P_1 - I)K$  to the  $e^{i\theta}$ -eigenspace of  $U$ , and the map

$$x \mapsto ((\cos(\theta) + 1)I - (e^{-i\theta} + 1)P_1)Kx$$

is an isomorphism from the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace of  $K^*(2P_1 - I)K$  to the  $e^{-i\theta}$ -eigenspace of  $U$ .

We apply this theorem with

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2}(I + R), \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{k}D_t^T O_S D_t$$

for a  $k$ -regular connected graph with a non-empty set  $S$  of marked vertices. The  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenspace of  $U$  follow from Theorem 3.1 (i)-(ii) and Lemma 2.2. By Theorem 3.1 (iii), the remaining eigenspaces of  $U$  are determined by

$$(D_t^T R D_t)[\bar{S}, \bar{S}] = A[\bar{S}, \bar{S}] = A(X \setminus S).$$



As  $X \setminus S$  is a proper subgraph of  $X$ , by interlacing, its eigenvalues lie strictly between  $-k$  and  $k$ , and so each of them determines a pair of complex eigenvalues  $e^{\pm i\theta_r}$  of  $U$ , and  $x \mapsto (D_t - e^{\pm i\theta_r} D_h)^T x$  is an isomorphism from the  $r$ -th eigenspace of  $X \setminus S$  to the  $e^{\pm i\theta_r}$ -th eigenspace of  $U$ .

To summarize the spectral correspondence, let  $L = \Delta - A$  be the Laplacian matrix of  $X$ , and  $Q = \Delta + A$  the signless Laplacian matrix of  $X$ . It is a standard fact that

$$L = CC^T, \quad Q = BB^T.$$

Let  $L_{\bar{S}}$  and  $Q_{\bar{S}}$  denote the submatrices

$$L_{\bar{S}} = L[\bar{S}, \bar{S}], \quad Q_{\bar{S}} = Q[\bar{S}, \bar{S}].$$

Then

$$L_{\bar{S}} = C_{\bar{S}}C_{\bar{S}}^T, \quad Q_{\bar{S}} = B_{\bar{S}}B_{\bar{S}}^T.$$

**3.2 Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph, and let  $S \subseteq V$  be a non-empty set of marked vertices. Let the spectral decomposition of the subgraph  $X \setminus S$  be*

$$A(X \setminus S) = \sum_r \lambda_r G_r$$

Then the spectral decomposition of the transition matrix

$$U = R \left( \frac{2}{k} D_t^T O_S D_t - I \right)$$

is given by

$$U = 1 \cdot F_1 + (-1) \cdot F_{-1} + \sum_r (e^{i\theta_r} F_{\theta_r} + e^{-i\theta_r} F_{-\theta_r}),$$

where

- (i) 1 has multiplicity  $|E| - |V| + |S|$ , and  $F_1$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $N \ker(C_{\bar{S}})$  given by

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{2}(I - R) - \frac{1}{2}(D_t - D_h)^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & L_{\bar{S}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} (D_t - D_h).$$

- (ii)  $-1$  has multiplicity  $|E| - |V| + |S|$ , and  $F_{-1}$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $M \ker(B_{\bar{S}})$  given by

$$F_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(I + R) - \frac{1}{2}(D_t + D_h)^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & Q_{\bar{S}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} (D_t + D_h).$$

(iii)  $\theta_r = \arccos(\lambda_r/k)$ , and  $F_{\pm\theta_r}$  is the orthogonal projection onto

$$(D_t - e^{\pm i\theta_r} D_h)^T \operatorname{col} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G_r \end{pmatrix},$$

given by

$$F_{\pm\theta_r} = \frac{1}{2k \sin^2 \theta_r} (D_t - e^{\pm i\theta_r} D_h)^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G_r \end{pmatrix} (D_t - e^{\mp i\theta_r} D_h).$$

*Proof.* Since  $C_{\bar{S}}$  has full row rank, the orthogonal projection onto  $\operatorname{row}(C_{\bar{S}})$  is

$$C_{\bar{S}}^T (C_{\bar{S}} C_{\bar{S}}^T)^{-1} C_{\bar{S}} = C_{\bar{S}}^T L_{\bar{S}}^{-1} C_{\bar{S}}.$$

Hence the orthogonal projection onto  $\ker(C_{\bar{S}})$  is  $I - C_{\bar{S}}^T L_{\bar{S}}^{-1} C_{\bar{S}}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \frac{1}{2} N (I - C_{\bar{S}}^T L_{\bar{S}}^{-1} C_{\bar{S}}) N^T \\ &= \frac{1}{2} N N^T - \frac{1}{2} N C^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ L_{\bar{S}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} C N \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (I - R) - \frac{1}{2} (D_t - D_h)^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ L_{\bar{S}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} (D_t - D_h), \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from Lemma 2.1. A similar argument gives the formula for  $F_{-1}$ . For  $F_{\pm\theta_r}$ , simply note that  $(D_t - e^{\pm i\theta_r} D_h)^T$  preserves orthogonality, and

$$\|(D_t - e^{\pm i\theta_r} D_h)^T x\|^2 = 2k \sin^2 \theta_r \|x\|^2. \quad \square$$

It is clear that a basis for an eigenspace of  $U$  corresponding to a non-real eigenvalue can be “lifted” from a basis for an eigenspace of  $X \setminus S$ . Our discussion from Section 2 also yields a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -basis for the 1-eigenspace and a  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ -basis for the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace.

**3.3 Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a regular connected graph with a non-empty set  $S$  of marked vertices. Fix a spanning tree  $T$  and a marked vertex  $a \in S$ . There is a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -basis for the 1-eigenspace of the transition matrix:*

$$\{z_e : E \setminus T\} \cup \{z_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\} \quad (4)$$

where  $z_e$  and  $z_b$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{\operatorname{arcs}(X)}$  defined as follows.

- (i) For each edge  $e \in E \setminus E(T)$ , choose a consistent orientation of the fundamental cycle containing  $e$ . The vector  $z_e$  assigns 1 to all arcs around the cycle that follow this direction, and  $-1$  to their opposite arcs.
- (ii) For each  $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$ , choose a consistent orientation of a path from  $a$  to  $b$ . The vector  $z_b$  assigns 1 to all arcs along the path that follow this direction, and  $-1$  to their opposite arcs.

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 2.2(ii), Lemma 2.3 and the fact that  $N$  and  $C$  are signed incidence matrices relative to the same orientation.  $\square$

As the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $U$  is  $M \ker(B_{\overline{S}})$ , we can construct a basis for it from a basis for  $\ker(B_{\overline{S}})$  by assigning its value on each edge to both arcs incident to that edge.

**3.4 Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a regular connected graph with a non-empty set  $S$  of marked vertices.*

- (a) *Suppose  $X$  is bipartite. Fix a spanning tree  $T$  and a marked vertex  $a \in S$ . Then the 1-eigenspace of  $U$  has a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -basis*

$$\{z_e : e \in E \setminus E(T)\} \cup \{z_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\} \quad (5)$$

where  $z_e$  and  $z_b$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{arcs}(X)}$  defined as follows.

- (i) *For any  $e \in E \setminus E(T)$ , the vector  $z_e$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along the fundamental cycle containing  $e$  relative to  $T$ .*
  - (ii) *For any  $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$ , the vector  $z_b$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along a path from  $a$  to  $b$ .*
- (b) *Suppose  $X$  is non-bipartite. Let  $Y$  be a spanning subgraph with  $|V|$  edges and exactly one odd cycle. Then the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $U$  has a  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ -basis*

$$\{z_e : e \in E \setminus E(Y)\} \cup \{z_b : b \in S \setminus \{a\}\} \cup \{z_a\}$$

where  $z_e$  and  $z_b$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{arcs}(X)}$  defined as follows.

- (i) *If  $Y \cup \{e\}$  has an even cycle containing  $e$ , then  $z_e$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along this cycle. Otherwise,*

$Y \cup \{e\}$  contains two odd cycles joined by a path, one of which contains  $e$ , and  $z_e$  assigns 2 and  $-2$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along this path and then 1 and  $-1$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along the two odd cycles such that all arcs incidence to any vertex sum to zero.

- (ii) For any  $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$ , the vector  $z_b$  assigns 1 and  $-1$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along a path from  $a$  to  $b$ .
- (iii) There is a path joining  $a$  to the odd cycle in  $Y$ , and the vector  $z_a$  assigns 2 and  $-2$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along this path and then 1 and  $-1$  alternatively to pairs of opposite arcs along the odd cycle such that all arcs incidence to any vertex but  $a$  sum to zero.

## 4 Average vertex mixing matrix

In this section, we derive a formula for the average vertex mixing matrix  $\widehat{M}$  of a regular graph with one or more marked vertices. Our expression involves only the blocks of  $A$  and the spectral decomposition of  $A_{\overline{S}}$ .

Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph, and partition its adjacency matrix as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_S & H \\ H^T & A_{\overline{S}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then its Laplacian and signless Laplacian matrices can be written as

$$L = kI - A = \begin{pmatrix} L_S & -H \\ -H^T & L_{\overline{S}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = kI + A = \begin{pmatrix} Q_S & H \\ H^T & Q_{\overline{S}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To find the contribution of each eigenspace to  $\widehat{M}$ , note that

$$(D_t \pm D_h)D_t^T = kI \pm A$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & L_{\overline{S}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -L_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & Q_{\overline{S}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Q_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T & I \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$F_1 D_t^T = \frac{1}{2}(D_t - D_h)^T \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ L_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

and

$$F_{-1}D_t^T = \frac{1}{2}(D_t - D_h)^T \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -Q_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Consequently, if the initial state is a uniform linear combination of the outgoing arcs of an unmarked vertex, then the  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenspaces of  $U$  do not contribute to the average vertex mixing matrix.

**4.1 Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph. Let  $S \subseteq V$  be the a non-empty set of marked vertices. Let  $\lambda_r$  and  $G_r$  be the  $r$ -th eigenvalue and the  $r$ -th eigenprojection of  $A_{\bar{S}}$ , respectively. Then*

$$\widehat{M} = \widehat{M}_1 + \widehat{M}_{-1} + \sum_r \widehat{M}_r,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M}_1 &= \frac{1}{4k} \begin{pmatrix} Q_S + H(L_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} - 2I \circ (HL_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T) & 0 \\ H^T - L_{\bar{S}}(L_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \widehat{M}_{-1} &= \frac{1}{4k} \begin{pmatrix} Q_S + H(Q_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} - 2I \circ (HQ_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T) & 0 \\ H^T - L_{\bar{S}}(Q_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \widehat{M}_r &= \frac{1}{2(k^2 - \lambda_r^2)} \begin{pmatrix} kH \\ (k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + kA_{\bar{S}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2}(G_r H^T)^{\circ 2} & G_r^{\circ 2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $u$  and  $a$  be any two vertices. From Equation (6), we have

$$e_{(u,v)}^T F_1 D_t^T e_a = \frac{1}{2}(e_u - e_v)^T \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ L_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} e_a = \frac{1}{2}(W_{ua} - W_{va}),$$

where

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ L_{\bar{S}}^{-1}H^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \sim u} |e_{(u,v)}^T F_1 D_t^T e_a|^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v \sim u} (W_{ua}^2 + W_{va}^2 - 2W_{ua}W_{va}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (kW_{ua}^2 + (AW^{\circ 2})_{ua} - 2W_{ua}(AW)_{ua}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} ((kI + A)W^{\circ 2} - 2W \circ (AW))_{ua}. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the last line and then dividing it by  $k$  yields  $\widehat{M}_1$ . Similarly one obtains  $\widehat{M}_{-1}$  as stated. Finally, by Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(u,v)}^T F_{\pm\theta_r} D_t^T e_a &= \frac{1}{2} (e_u - e^{\pm i\theta_r} e_v)^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & G_r \end{pmatrix} (kI - e^{\mp i\theta_r} A) e_a \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (e_u - e^{\pm i\theta_r} e_v)^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -G_r H^T & k(1 - e^{\mp i\theta_r}) G_r \end{pmatrix} e_a \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (W_{ua} - e^{\mp i\theta_r} W_{va}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -G_r H^T & k(1 - e^{\mp i\theta_r}) G_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \sim u} |e_{(u,v)}^T F_{\pm\theta_r} D_t^T e_a|^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v \sim u} (|W_{ua}|^2 + |W_{va}|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(e^{\pm i\theta_r} W_{ua} \overline{W_{va}})) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (k(W \circ \overline{W})_{ua}^2 + (A(W \circ \overline{W}))_{ua} - 2\operatorname{Re}(e^{\pm i\theta_r} (W \circ (A\overline{W}))_{ua})) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} ((kI + A)(W \circ \overline{W}) - 2\operatorname{Re}(e^{\pm i\theta_r} (W \circ (A\overline{W}))_{ua})). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the last line and then doubling yields  $\widehat{M}_r$ .  $\square$

Two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in a graph  $Y$  are *strongly cospectral* if  $E_r e_u = \pm E_r e_v$  for every eigenprojection  $E_r$  of  $Y$ . It follows from our formula for  $\widehat{M}$  that the columns of  $\widehat{M}$  indexed by strongly cospectral vertices in  $X \setminus S$  are equal.

**4.2 Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph. If two unmarked vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are strongly cospectral in  $X \setminus S$ , then  $\widehat{M} e_u = \widehat{M} e_v$ .*

The entries of  $\widehat{M}$  are also related by automorphisms of  $X$  that fix  $S$ .

**4.3 Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph with a non-empty set  $S$  of marked vertices. If an automorphism of  $X$  fixing  $S$  sends  $a$  to  $b$  and  $u$  to  $v$ , then*

$$\widehat{M}_{ua} = \widehat{M}_{vb}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be an automorphism of  $X$  fixing  $S$ . Then we can write

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_S & \\ & P_{\overline{S}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $P$  commutes with  $A$ , we see that  $P_S$  and  $P_{\bar{S}}$  are automorphisms of  $X[S]$  and  $X \setminus S$ , respectively, and

$$P_S H = H P_{\bar{S}}, \quad P_{\bar{S}} H^T = H^T P_S.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H(G_r H^T)^{\circ 2} P_S &= H(G_r H^T P_S)^{\circ 2} \\ &= H(G_r P_{\bar{S}} H^T)^{\circ 2} \\ &= H(P_{\bar{S}} G_2 H^T)^{\circ 2} \\ &= H P_{\bar{S}} (G_2 H^T)^{\circ 2} \\ &= P_S H (G_r H^T)^{\circ 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} H G_r^{\circ 2} P_{\bar{S}} &= P_S H G_r^{\circ 2}, \\ ((k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + kA_{\bar{S}})(G_r H^T)^{\circ 2} P_S &= P_S ((k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + kA_{\bar{S}})(G_r H^T)^{\circ 2}, \\ ((k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + kA_{\bar{S}})G_r^{\circ 2} P_{\bar{S}} &= P_{\bar{S}} ((k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + kA_{\bar{S}})G_r^{\circ 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $P$  commutes with  $\widehat{M}_r$ . A similar argument shows that  $P$  commutes with  $\widehat{M}_1$  and  $\widehat{M}_{-1}$  as well.  $\square$

If  $S$  is a vertex cut, then it cuts the transfer between vertices in different components of  $X \setminus S$ . While this can be seen directly from the entries of  $U$ , we provide an alternative proof using our formula for  $\widehat{M}$ .

**4.4 Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a regular connected graph. If  $S \subseteq V$  is a vertex cut, then  $\widehat{M}_{uv} = 0$  for any vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in different components of  $X \setminus S$ , and so at any time  $t$ , the vector  $U^t D_t^T e_v$  is zero on all outgoing arcs of  $v$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is a vertex cut,  $A_{\bar{S}}$  is reducible with  $uu$ -entry and  $vv$ -entry in different diagonal blocks. Hence  $(G_r)_{u,v} = 0$  for each  $r$ . The expression for  $\widehat{M}_r[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$  shows that  $\widehat{M}_{u,v} = 0$ . Since  $\widehat{M}_{u,v}$  is the time-averaged probability that the quantum walk moves from  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} D_t^T e_u$  to the outgoing arcs of  $v$ , the corresponding instantaneous probabilities must all be zero.  $\square$

## 5 Neighborhoods-equitable sets

We say a matrix is *uniform* if it is a scalar multiple of the all-ones matrix. Unlike average mixing matrices for continuous quantum walks [3], our  $\widehat{M}$  is in general not symmetric, let alone being positive semidefinite or uniform. A necessary condition for  $\widehat{M}$  to be symmetric, positive semidefinite or uniform is that both of its  $[S, S]$ -block and  $[\overline{S}, \overline{S}]$ -block are symmetric, positive semidefinite or uniform, respectively. We explore these possibilities through neighborhoods-equitable sets; these sets appear naturally in quantum walk based algorithms, such as for searching a marked vertex on locally arc-transitive graphs [7], and for transferring states between antipodal vertices on an antipodal distance regular graphs [1].

A partition  $\pi = \{C_0, C_1, \dots, C_d\}$  of the vertex set of  $X$  is called an *equitable partition* if the number of neighbors in  $C_j$  of a vertex in  $C_i$  depends only on  $i$  and  $j$ . Given a subgraph  $Y$  of  $X$ , we say a vertex  $u$  of  $X$  is *neighborhood-equitable in  $Y$*  if there is an equitable partition of  $Y$  that contains  $N(u) \cap V(Y)$  as a cell. We say a subset  $T$  of vertices in  $X$  are *neighborhoods-equitable in  $Y$*  if every vertex in  $T$  is neighborhood-equitable in  $Y$ .

**5.1 Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a connected graph. Let  $Y$  be a subgraph of  $X$ , and let  $T$  be any subset of vertices in  $X$  that is neighborhoods-equitable in  $Y$ . Let  $K$  be the  $[T, V(Y)]$ -block of the adjacency matrix of  $X$ . For any positive integer  $m$  and any polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  defined on the eigenvalues of  $A(Y)$ , we have*

$$(Kf(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m} = (I \circ KK^T)^{m-1}K(f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}$$

Moreover, if  $f(\lambda_r) \geq 0$  for each eigenvalue  $\lambda_r$  of  $Y$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $K(f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}$  is symmetric.
- (ii)  $K(f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}$  is positive semidefinite.
- (iii)  $Kf(A(Y))K^T$  is of block-diagonal form where vertices in the same block have the same number of neighbors in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be a vertex in  $T$ , and let  $\pi$  be an equitable partition of  $Y$  that has  $N(u) \cap V(Y)$  as a cell. Let  $P$  be the characteristic matrix of  $\pi$ . Then



there are matrices  $F$  and  $W$  such that

$$A(Y)P = PF, \quad K^T = PW.$$

Thus

$$f(A(Y))K^T = f(A(Y))PW = Pf(F)W,$$

which implies that the rows of  $f(A(Y))K^T$  corresponding to  $N(u) \cap V(Y)$  are equal. If  $u$  has no neighbor in  $Y$ , then  $e_u^T K = 0$ , and so

$$e_u^T K (f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m} = 0 = e_u^T (I \circ K K^T)^{m-1} K (f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}.$$

Otherwise, let  $w$  be any neighbor of  $u$  in  $Y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_u^T K (f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m} &= |N(u) \cap V(Y)| e_w^T (f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m} \\ &= |N(u) \cap V(Y)| (e_w^T f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m} \\ &= |N(u) \cap V(Y)| \left( \frac{1}{|N(u) \cap V(Y)|} e_u^T K f(A(Y))K^T \right)^{\circ m} \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(u) \cap V(Y)|^{m-1}} e_u^T (K f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m} \\ &= \frac{1}{(K K^T)_{u,u}^{m-1}} (K f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the identity. It follows that  $K (f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}$  is symmetric if and only if  $I \circ (K K^T)$  commutes with  $(K f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}$ , that is, the off-diagonal entry  $(K f(A(Y))K^T)_{u,v}$  is zero whenever  $u$  and  $v$  have different number of neighbors in  $Y$ . In this case,  $K (f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}$  is a scalar multiple of  $(K f(A(Y))K^T)^{\circ m}$ , which is positive semidefinite whenever  $A(Y)$  is positive semidefinite.  $\square$

We first apply this observation to simplify  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  when  $S$  is neighborhood-equitable in  $X \setminus S$ . For any matrix  $M$ , let  $M^\dagger$  denote its pseudo-inverse.

**5.2 Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph. Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of vertices that is neighborhood-equitable in  $X \setminus S$ . Let*

$$A_{\overline{S}} = \sum_r \lambda_r G_r$$

be the spectral decomposition of  $X \setminus S$ . Then

$$\widehat{M}[S, S] = \widehat{M}_1[S, S] + \widehat{M}_{-1}[S, S] + \sum_r \widehat{M}_r[S, S]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{M}_1[S, S] &= \frac{1}{4k} \left( Q_S + (kI - \Delta(X[S]))^\dagger (HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} - 2I \circ (HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T) \right), \\ \widehat{M}_{-1}[S, S] &= \frac{1}{4k} \left( Q_S + (kI - \Delta(X[S]))^\dagger (HQ_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} - 2I \circ (HQ_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T) \right), \\ \widehat{M}_r[S, S] &= \frac{k}{2(k^2 - \lambda_r^2)^2} (kI - \Delta(X[S]))^\dagger (HG_rH^T)^{\circ 2}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  is symmetric if and only if for any two vertices  $u, v \in S$  with  $|N(u) \setminus S| \neq |N(v) \setminus S|$ , no unmarked neighbor of  $u$  lies in the same component of  $X \setminus S$  with any unmarked neighbor of  $v$ .

*Proof.* Both  $L_{\overline{A}}^{-1}$  and  $Q_{\overline{A}}^{-1}$  are real polynomials in  $A_{\overline{S}}$ , so by Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 5.1,  $\widehat{M}$  splits into the terms as stated. Thus  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  is symmetric if and only if for any  $u, v \in S$  with different number of neighbors in  $X \setminus S$ , the  $uv$ -entry of

$$\frac{1}{4k} (HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} + \frac{1}{4k} (HQ_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} + \frac{k}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} (HG_rH^T)^{\circ 2}$$

is zero. Since each summand above is a non-negative matrix, and both of  $L_{\overline{S}}^{-1}$  and  $Q_{\overline{S}}^{-1}$  are polynomials in  $A_{\overline{S}}$ , this happens if and only if  $(HG_rH^T)_{u,v} = 0$  for all  $r$ , or equivalently,  $(HA_{\overline{S}}^jH^T)_{u,v} = 0$  for every integer  $j$ . That is,  $X \setminus S$  contains no walk from  $N(u) \setminus S$  to  $N(v) \setminus S$ .  $\square$

Given a real  $2 \times 2$  block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $D$  is invertible, the *Schur complement* of  $A$  in  $M$ , denoted  $M/D$ , is the matrix

$$M/D = A - BD^{-1}C.$$

The rank and positive semidefiniteness of  $M$  are related to those of  $D$  and  $M/D$ :

$$\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(D) + \text{rk}(M/D),$$

and if  $B^T = C$ , then  $M$  is positive semidefinite if and only if  $D$  and  $M/D$  are positive semidefinite.

We derive a simple formula for  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  when  $S$  is neighborhoods-equitable in  $X \setminus S$  and induces a regular subgraph of  $X$ . In particular, if  $S$  is a coclique, then this block can be expressed using Schur complements of the Laplacian matrix and signless Laplacian matrix of  $X$ .

**5.3 Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph. Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of vertices that is neighborhoods-equitable in  $X \setminus S$ . If  $X[S]$  is  $\ell$ -regular, then  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  is positive semidefinite and can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M}[S, S] &= \frac{1}{4k(k-\ell)}((k-\ell)Q(X[S]) + ((k-\ell)I - HL_{\overline{S}}H^T)^{\circ 2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4k(k-\ell)}((k-\ell)Q(X[S]) + ((k-\ell)I - HQ_{\overline{S}}H^T)^{\circ 2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{k}{2(k-\ell)} \sum_r \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} (HG_rH^T)^{\circ 2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_r$  and  $G_r$  are the  $r$ -th eigenvalue and the  $r$ -th eigenspace of  $A_{\overline{S}}$ , respectively. In particular, if  $\ell = 0$ , then

$$\widehat{M}[S, S] = \frac{1}{4k^2}(L/L_{\overline{S}})^{\circ 2} + \frac{1}{4k^2}(Q/Q_S)^{\circ 2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} (HG_rH^T)^{\circ 2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X[S]$  is  $\ell$ -regular,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M}_1[S, S] &= \frac{1}{4k} \left( kI + A_S + \frac{1}{k-\ell} (HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} - 2I \circ (HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4k(k-\ell)} \left( (k-\ell)(\ell I + A_S) + ((k-\ell)I)^{\circ 2} + (HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2} - 2((k-\ell)I) \circ (HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4k(k-\ell)} ((k-\ell)Q(X[S]) + ((k-\ell)I - HL_{\overline{S}}^{-1}H^T)^{\circ 2}), \end{aligned}$$

which is positive semidefinite. In particular, if  $S$  is a coclique, then  $Q(X[S]) = 0$  and the above reduces to  $\frac{1}{4k^2}(L/L_{\overline{S}})^{\circ 2}$ . Similarly, one can derive the other terms in  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$ .  $\square$

We determine when the block  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$ , where  $S$  is a neighborhoods-equitable coclique in  $X \setminus S$  and induces a regular subgraph of  $X$ , is uniform. Two vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $S$  are called *neighborhood-strongly-cospectral* in  $X \setminus S$  if the characteristic vectors of  $N(a) \setminus S$  and  $N(b) \setminus S$  are strongly cospectral in  $X \setminus S$ , that is,  $G_rH^Te_a = \pm G_rH^Te_b$  for each eigenprojection  $G_r$  of  $A_{\overline{S}}$ .

**5.4 Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a regular connected graph. Let  $S$  be a marked coclique of size at least two that is neighborhoods-equitable in  $X \setminus S$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two vertices in  $S$ . Then  $\widehat{M}[S, S]e_a = \widehat{M}[S, S]e_b$  if and only if  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  is uniform, if and only if*

- (i)  $S = \{a, b\}$ ,
- (ii)  $X$  is bipartite, and
- (iii)  $a$  and  $b$  are neighborhood-strongly-cospectral in  $X \setminus S$ .

*Proof.*  $\widehat{M}[S, S](e_a - e_b) = 0$  if and only if  $(e_a - e_b)^T \widehat{M}[S, S](e_a - e_b) = 0$ . Since each summand in

$$\widehat{M}[S, S] = \frac{1}{4k^2}(L/L_{\overline{S}})^{\circ 2} + \frac{1}{4k^2}(Q/Q_S)^{\circ 2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} (HG_r H^T)^{\circ 2},$$

is positive semidefinite, this is equivalent to

$$(L/L_{\overline{S}})^{\circ 2}(e_a - e_b) = 0, (Q/Q_S)^{\circ 2}(e_a - e_b) = 0, (HG_r H^T)^{\circ 2}(e_a - e_b) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Note that for any positive semidefinite matrix  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\circ 2}e_a = N^{\circ 2}e_b &\implies N_{aa}^2 = N_{ab}^2 = N_{bb}^2 \\ &\implies \langle Ne_a, Ne_b \rangle = \|Ne_a\|^2 \|Ne_b\|^2 \\ &\implies Ne_a = \pm Ne_b \\ &\implies N^{\circ 2}e_a = N^{\circ 2}e_b. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Equation (8) is equivalent to

- (a)  $(L/L_{\overline{S}})(e_a \pm e_b) = 0$ .
- (b)  $(Q/Q_S)(e_a \pm e_b) = 0$ .
- (c)  $(HG_r H^T)(e_a \pm e_b) = 0$ .

We show these are equivalent to (i), (ii) and (iii), respectively.

Suppose (a) holds. Since  $(L/L_{\overline{S}})\mathbf{1} = 0$  and

$$\text{rk}(L/L_{\overline{S}}) = \text{rk}(L) - \text{rk}(L_S) = n - 1 - |S| = |\overline{S}| - 1,$$

$S$  must have size two. Conversely, if  $S = \{a, b\}$ , then  $(L/L_{\overline{S}})(e_a + e_b) = 0$ .

Now suppose (b) holds. Since

$$\text{rk}(Q \setminus Q_{\bar{S}}) = \text{rk}(Q) - \text{rk}(Q_S) = \begin{cases} n - 1 - |S| = |\bar{S}| - 1, & \text{if } X \text{ is bipartite,} \\ n - |S| = |\bar{S}|, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$X$  must be bipartite. Conversely, if  $X$  is bipartite with bipartition  $(V_1, V_2)$ , then

$$\ker(Q) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{V_1} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{V_2} \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and

$$(Q/Q_{\bar{S}})(e_a + (-1)^{\text{dist}(a,b)}e_b) = 0.$$

Finally, (c) is equivalent to  $G_r H^T e_a = \pm G_r H^T e_b$ , that is,  $a$  and  $b$  being neighborhood-strongly-cospectral in  $X \setminus S$ .  $\square$

We now move on to  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$ , the average probabilities between unmarked vertices. Recall from Corollary 4.2 that strongly cospectral vertices in  $X \setminus S$  give equal columns in  $\widehat{M}$ . Our next result simplifies  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$  when  $\bar{S}$  is neighbors-equitable in  $X \setminus S$ , and provides a case where the converse of the above is true.

**5.5 Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -regular connected graph. Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of marked vertices, and suppose  $\bar{S}$  is neighborhoods-equitable in  $X \setminus S$ . Let*

$$A_{\bar{S}} = 0 \cdot G_0 + \sum_{r \neq 0} \lambda_r G_r.$$

*be the spectral decomposition of  $X \setminus S$ , where  $G_0 = 0$  if  $A_{\bar{S}}$  is invertible. Then*

$$\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}] = \frac{1}{2k} Q_{\bar{S}} G_0^{\circ 2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq 0} \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} ((k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + k\lambda_r^2 \Delta(X \setminus S)^\dagger) G_r^{\circ 2}$$

*If, in addition, each component of  $X \setminus S$  is regular and invertible, then  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$  is positive semidefinite, and  $\widehat{M}e_u = \widehat{M}e_v$  for some  $u, v \in \bar{S}$  if and only if  $u$  and  $v$  are strongly cospectral in  $X \setminus S$ .*

*Proof.* Recall from Theorem 4.1 that

$$\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} ((k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + kA_{\bar{S}}) G_r^{\circ 2}.$$

By Lemma 5.1,

$$\lambda_r^4 G_r^{\circ 2} = (A_{\bar{S}} G_r A_{\bar{S}})^{\circ 2} = \Delta(X \setminus S) A_{\bar{S}} (G_r A_{\bar{S}})^{\circ 2} = \Delta(X \setminus S) \lambda_r^2 A_{\bar{S}} G_r^{\circ 2}$$

Thus, if  $\lambda_r \neq 0$ , then

$$A_{\bar{S}} G_r^{\circ 2} = \lambda_r^2 \Delta(X \setminus S)^\dagger G_r^{\circ 2}.$$

If  $\lambda_0 = 0$  is an eigenvalue of  $A_{\bar{S}}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2(k^2 - \lambda_0^2)} ((k^2 - 2\lambda_0^2)I + kA_{\bar{S}}) G_0^{\circ 2} = \frac{1}{2k^2} (k^2 I + kA_{\bar{S}}) G_0^{\circ 2} = \frac{1}{2k} Q_{\bar{S}} G_0^{\circ 2}.$$

This yields the expression for  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$ .

In particular, if  $A_{\bar{S}}$  is invertible, then  $X \setminus S$  has no isolated vertices, and  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$  reduces to

$$\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} ((k^2 - 2\lambda_r^2)I + k\lambda_r^2 \Delta(X \setminus S)^{-1}) G_r^{\circ 2}.$$

Note that this matrix is symmetric if and only if

$$\Delta(X \setminus S)^{-1} \left( \sum_r \frac{\lambda_r^2}{k^2 - \lambda_r^2} G_r^{\circ 2} \right)$$

is symmetric, that is,  $(G_r)_{uv} = 0$  whenever  $u$  and  $v$  have different degrees in  $X \setminus S$ . Equivalently,  $A_{\bar{S}}$  is block-diagonal with each block indexed by vertices of the same degree in  $X \setminus S$ . In this case,  $\widehat{M}_{\bar{S}, \bar{S}}$  is a positive weighted sum of block diagonal matrices, each permutation equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} (k^2 - 2\mu^2 + k\mu^2/d)F^{\circ 2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where  $d$ ,  $\mu$  and  $F$  are, respectively, the degree, an eigenvalue and the association eigenprojection of some  $d$ -regular component of  $X \setminus S$ . Since  $|\mu| \leq d < k$ ,

$$(k^2 - 2\mu^2) + k\mu^2/d > 0,$$

and so the matrix in Equation (9) is positive semidefinite. Finally, since  $\widehat{M}_1$  and  $\widehat{M}_{-1}$  do not contribute to  $\widehat{M}[V, \bar{S}]$ , two columns of  $\widehat{M}[V, \bar{S}]$  agree if and only if the corresponding columns of Equation (9) agree, that is, the corresponding vertices are strongly cospectral in  $X \setminus S$ .  $\square$

It was proved in [4] that the only undirected graph in which all vertices are strongly cospectral is  $K_2$ . This leads to the following characterization.

**5.6 Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a regular connected graph. Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of marked vertices such that  $X \setminus S$  is invertible with regular components. Suppose  $\bar{S}$  is neighborhood-equitable in  $X \setminus S$ . Then  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$  is uniform if and only if  $X \setminus S$  is  $K_2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$  is uniform, then all unmarked vertices are strongly cospectral in  $X \setminus S$ , which forces  $X \setminus S$  to be  $K_2$ . Conversely, if  $X \setminus S$  is  $K_2$ , then  $G_r^{\circ 2}$  is uniform for each  $r$ , and it follows from the expression of  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  that it is uniform.

## 6 Future work

We have developed some theory about the average vertex mixing matrix, which relates the limiting behavior of our quantum walk to how the underlying graph is partitioned into marked and unmarked subgraphs. However, there is still much to explore. Below we list some directions that we plan on investigating in the future.

- (1) The entries of  $\widehat{M}$  can be expressed using trace inner products of certain rank-one or mixed density matrices of the neighborhoods of some vertices, such as

$$\frac{1}{|N(u) \setminus S|} \sum_{v \in N(u) \setminus S} e_u \sum_{v \in N(u) \setminus S} e_u^T \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|N(u) \setminus S|} \sum_{v \in N(u) \setminus S} e_u e_u^T.$$

Can we employ a density matrix formulation for  $\widehat{M}$  to study its relation to the (orthogonal) symmetrices of  $X$ ?

- (2) For a continuous quantum walk, two columns of its average mixing coincide if and only if the corresponding vertices are strongly cospectral. What can we conclude about  $X$  and  $S$  when two columns of the average vertex mixing matrix for the discrete walk coincide?
- (3) In general, what can we say about  $X$  and  $S$  when  $\widehat{M}[S, S]$  or  $\widehat{M}[\bar{S}, \bar{S}]$  is symmetric/positive semidefinite/uniform/a linear combination of  $I$  and  $J$ ?

- (4) For which graphs do marking improve the average probabilities? More precisely, can we determine  $X$  for which  $\widehat{M}_{a,a}$  and/or  $\widehat{M}_{a,b}$  increase after marking  $a$  and  $b$ ?
- (5) How do entries in  $\widehat{M}$  relate to the instantaneous transfer probabilities?

## Acknowledgement

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 2348399.

## References

- [1] *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 042222 (2021) - *Quantum-walk-based state-transfer algorithms on the complete  $M$ -partite graph.*
- [2] Saieed Akbari, Narges Ghareghani, Gholamreza B. Khosrovshahi, and Hamidreza Maimani, *The kernels of the incidence matrices of graphs revisited*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **414** (2006), no. 2, 617–625.
- [3] Chris Godsil, *Average mixing of continuous quantum walks*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **120** (2013), 1649–1662.
- [4] Chris Godsil and Jamie Smith, *Strongly cospectral vertices*, *AUSTRALAS. J. COMBIN.* (2024) (en).
- [5] Chris Godsil and Hanmeng Zhan, *Discrete quantum walks on graphs and digraphs*, Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [6] Lov K. Grover, *A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search*, *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing - STOC '96* (New York, New York, USA), ACM Press, 1996, pp. 212–219.
- [7] Peter Høyer and Zhan Yu, *Analysis of lackadaisical quantum walks*, *Quantum Information and Computation* **20** (2020), no. 13-14, 1137–1152, Publisher: Rinton Press Inc.



- [8] Neil Shenvi, Julia Kempe, and K Whaley, *Quantum random-walk search algorithm*, Physical Review A **67** (2003), no. 5, 52307.
- [9] Julien Sorci, *Average mixing in quantum walks of reversible Markov chains*, Discrete Mathematics **348** (2025), no. 1, 114196.
- [10] Thomas G. Wong, *Quantum walk search on Johnson graphs*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **49** (2016), no. 19, 195303, arXiv: 1601.04212 Publisher: Institute of Physics Publishing.
- [11] Hanmeng Zhan,  *$\epsilon$ -Uniform Mixing in Discrete Quantum Walks*, July 2024, arXiv:2311.18797.
- [12] M. Štefaňák and S. Skoupý, *Perfect state transfer by means of discrete-time quantum walk search algorithms on highly symmetric graphs*, Physical Review A **94** (2016), no. 2, 022301, Publisher: American Physical Society.