

REVISITING ASYMPTOTIC-TYPE DIMENSION BOUNDS THROUGH COMBINATORIAL APPROACHES

JING YU [†] AND XINGYU ZHU [‡]

ABSTRACT. We present an alternative probabilistic proof for the sharp Assouad–Nagata dimension bound of a doubling metric space. In addition, we explore some partial rigidity results and applications to scalar curvature. A significant technical tool in our argument is the concept of padded decomposition, which originates in computer science and has been extended to general separable metric spaces by us. Along the way, we extend the sharp upper bound on the asymptotic dimension of graphs with polynomial growth to noncollapsed locally compact metric measure spaces with polynomial volume growth. This sheds light on broader applications of probabilistic methods in metric geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we focus on establishing sharp bounds for the Assouad–Nagata dimension and the asymptotic dimension for certain classes of metric spaces. Our approach is strongly influenced by recent work by Bernshteyn and the first named author [BY23] on graphs. The literature is rich with discussions on the desirable properties of metric spaces that exhibit finite asymptotic or Assouad–Nagata dimensions. For instance, finite asymptotic dimension has important applications to the Novikov conjecture and in turn, to the existence of metrics with positive scalar curvature [Yu98, Dra03]. Finite Assouad–Nagata dimension, on the other hand, implies nice Lipschitz extension properties [LS05]. Additionally, finding sharp upper bounds for these notions of dimensions is of independent interest. For example, it provides an upper bound on the topological dimension of an asymptotic cone [DH08]. For other applications, see [Dav24].

The sharp bound on asymptotic dimension is known for graphs of polynomial growth and metric spaces with a polynomial growth function [Pap23]. Similarly, the sharp bound on Assouad–Nagata dimension is known for connected Lie groups [HP13] and doubling metric spaces [LDR15]. In what follows, we denote the Assouad–Nagata dimension by \dim_{AN} and the asymptotic dimension by asdim (see Definitions 2.3 and 2.4).

A metric space (X, d) is a **doubling metric space** (or simply “metric doubling”) if there exists a constant $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for every $r > 0$, any ball of radius $2r$ can be covered by at most N balls of radius r . We adopt the convention that a ball refers to an open ball unless otherwise specified. The constant N is referred to as the **(metric) doubling constant** of (X, d) . Le Donne and Rajala [LDR15] established the sharp bound on the Assouad–Nagata dimension of doubling metric spaces.

Theorem 1.1 ([LDR15, Theorem 1.1]). *If (X, d) is a metric doubling space with doubling constant $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then*

$$\dim_{AN}(X) \leq \lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor.$$

[†] Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. Email: jyu@fudan.edu.cn.

[‡] Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute, Berkeley, CA, USA. Email: xyzhu@gatech.edu.

Our first result is providing a new proof of Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, using an equivalent formulation of the Assouad–Nagata dimension, we observe that there is a third proof of Theorem 1.1 by Gromov in his seminal work [Gro82, Section 3.4]. We include this third approach in the appendix. All three proofs rely on the same property of doubling metric spaces: the existence of a uniform upper bound on the cardinality of a given net within any ball of fixed radius.

We now incorporate measures into our setting. A triple (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is called a **metric measure space** if (X, d) is a complete, separable metric space and \mathfrak{m} is a *nontrivial* Borel measure on X with full support. By “nontrivial,” we mean that there exists some ball $B \subseteq X$ for which $0 < \mathfrak{m}(B) < \infty$. We say \mathfrak{m} is **volume doubling** (and correspondingly that X is a volume doubling space) if there exists a constant $C_D \geq 1$ such that for any $x \in X$ and any $r > 0$, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}(B_{2r}(x)) \leq C_D \mathfrak{m}(B_r(x)).$$

The constant C_D is called the **volume doubling constant**.

Any complete doubling metric space admits a non-degenerate volume doubling Borel measure [VK87, LS98]. Conversely, any metric measure space with a volume doubling measure is metric doubling. In view of this relation, Theorem 1.1 can thus be stated for metric measure spaces with the volume doubling constant replacing the metric doubling constant.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a metric measure space. If \mathfrak{m} is volume doubling with doubling constant C_D , then*

$$\dim_{AN}(X) \leq \lfloor \log_2 C_D \rfloor.$$

Notice that although volume doubling implies metric doubling, Theorem 1.2 is not a direct corollary of Theorem 1.1, since the estimates of the metric doubling constant derived from the volume doubling constant are in general not sharp. Nevertheless, the proof is essentially the same as that for doubling metric spaces, because both the metric and volume doubling constants control the size of a net in a ball of fixed radius in a similar way, as revealed by Lemma 2.12 and 2.15. Therefore, we omit the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Our next main theorem establishes an upper bound on the asymptotic dimension of a metric measure space under the condition that the measure has polynomial growth by its *polynomial volume growth rate*. We denote the polynomial volume growth rate by ρ^V . Intuitively, a measure having polynomial growth of rate ρ^V means that the measure of a ball of radius r is bounded above by a constant multiple of r^{ρ^V} . See the precise definition in Definition 2.7.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a metric measure space. If X is proper, has polynomial volume growth, and is volume noncollapsed, i.e., $v := \inf_{x \in X} \mathfrak{m}(B_{1/2}(x)) > 0$, then $\text{asdim}(X)$ is bounded above by its polynomial volume growth rate, that is, $\text{asdim}(X) \leq \lfloor \rho^V(X) \rfloor$.*

Remark 1.4. The asymptotic dimension cannot be replaced by the (asymptotic) Assouad–Nagata dimension in the theorem above. Indeed, a counterexample is constructed in [Pap23, Theorem 3.5]. More precisely, there exists a graph with superlinear polynomial growth whose asymptotic dimension is 1, but the (asymptotic) Assouad–Nagata dimension is infinite. This demonstrates that the volume noncollapsed assumption is necessary. See [Pap23, Example 3.4] for a counterexample. Graphs of polynomial growth with countably many vertices and the counting measure are automatically volume noncollapsed.

Finally, we discuss the case of equality in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 when the metric (measure) spaces are specific Riemannian manifolds (with Riemannian volume measure). More

precisely, we show that if the equality in Theorem 1.3 holds for a nilmanifold, then the nilmanifold must be diffeomorphic to a torus. In other words, the equality forces the nilmanifold to have a unique diffeomorphism type. This result is obtained by combining several classical theorems from the literature. However, it appears that these results have not been previously synthesized in this way. See Section 5 for details.

The other partial case we consider, for the equality in Theorem 1.2, is a Riemannian manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature. We say a Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$ has **Euclidean volume growth** if for some (hence all) $p \in M$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}_g(B_r(p))}{r^n} > 0.$$

The existence of the limit above is due to the Bishop–Gromov inequality, which also implies that vol_g is volume doubling with doubling constant 2^n . Theorem 1.2 in particular implies that $\text{asdim}(M) \leq \dim_{AN}(M) \leq n$. This answers a question asked by Papasoglu [Pap23, Question 4.3], while essentially Gromov [Gro82] and Le Donne–Rajala [LDR15] have already answered this question.

We can, in fact, characterize the case of equality $\text{asdim}(M) = n$ or $\dim_{AN}(M) = n$ under the volume non-collapsed assumption by having Euclidean volume growth. The proof is provided in the Appendix A, as it closely relates to Gromov’s approach to Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 1.5. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$ that is volume non-collapsed, i.e., $\inf_{p \in M} \text{vol}_g(B_1(p)) > 0$. Then $\dim_{AN}(M) = n$ (or $\text{asdim}(M) = n$) if and only if M has Euclidean volume growth.*

Proposition 1.5 has a consequence for scalar curvature.

Corollary 1.6. *If (M, g) is an n -dimensional complete non-compact manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$, $\text{Sc}_g \geq 2$ and $v := \inf_{x \in M} \text{vol}_g(B_1(x)) > 0$, then $\dim_{AN}(M) \leq n - 1$.*

Remark 1.7. The motivation for both Proposition 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 comes from Gromov’s notion of large manifolds, see [Gro86]. Gromov proposed several notions of largeness, which are shown to be equivalent when the manifold has nonnegative Ricci curvature, see [Cai94, She96]. For an Riemannian n -manifold M , one of the notion of largeness is having Euclidean volume growth, while another is that the macroscopic dimension is at least n . We will not define the macroscopic dimension here, but only note that it can be defined for general metric spaces and is defined through a similar way as what we will see in Proposition A.1. Thus, it is natural to consider whether any equivalence still holds when we replace the macroscopic dimension by the asymptotic or Assouad–Nagata dimension. This leads to Proposition 1.5. Meanwhile, Gromov also conjectured in [Gro86, Section 2.A (c)] that if M has uniformly positive scalar curvature, then M is not large, and in particular, its macroscopic dimension should be bounded above by $n - 2$. This conjecture motivates Corollary 1.6.

We outline the strategy to prove Theorem 1.1, as the other proofs are similar. Given a doubling metric space (X, d) , we aim to bound its Assouad–Nagata dimension. The first observation is that we can equivalently characterize this upper bound by the existence of a so-called padded decomposition. Originally, padded decompositions were carried out on graphs of polynomial growth in [KL07]. To mimic this construction, we take a net T in X and define a net graph $G(T, X)$ with vertex set T , which should be thought of as an approximation of (X, d) . Note that although (X, d) is always quasi-isometric to (T, d) . However, we do not know if the net graph $G(T, X)$ with the path metric is quasi-isometric to (X, d) unless (X, d) is a geodesic space, in

which case the proof is very similar to that of the Švarc–Milnor lemma. We use $G(T, X)$ to implement a randomized ball carving construction to generate some decomposition of (X, d) , and aim for it to be a padded decomposition. Indeed, with the help of the Lovász Local Lemma and by appropriately choosing suitable random variables in the construction, the probability of the constructed decomposition being a padded decomposition is positive.

Remark 1.8. To the best of authors’ knowledge, the idea of approximating of a manifold by a graph on a net can be traced back to [Kan85], where this procedure is called combinatorial approximation. Under such an approximation, many analytic quantities, including isoperimetric profiles, are well-controlled.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review fundamental concepts in metric geometry and introduce the notion of padded decomposition. Using padded decomposition, we provide an alternative definition of the asymptotic and Assouad–Nagata dimensions, which will serve as our working definitions. Next, we present the exact form of the Lovász Local Lemma employed in our approach, and conclude this section with a ball-covering scheme, originally developed in from computer science (see, e.g. , [LS93, Bar96, KL07, Fil19, RG20] for other applications of this technique). In Section 3 and Section 4, we establish the desired bounds on the Assouad–Nagata dimension and asymptotic dimension, respectively. Although both proofs share the same randomized ball-carving scheme and closely follow the reasoning in [BY23], there are some computational differences. We provide full proofs in each section to ensure they are self-contained and easier for readers to follow. Finally, in Section 5, we recall some basics of nilmanifolds, which is independent from other sections and prove the characterization of the case of equality in Theorem 1.3. We conclude the paper by proving Proposition 1.5 concerning the case of equality in Theorem 1.2 in Appendix A, following an approach inspired by Gromov.

Acknowledgments. The majority of this work was conducted while JY was at the School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, and XZ was a postdoctoral researcher at the Institute for Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn. The authors thank Anton Bernshteyn for valuable comments. XZ’s work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1928930 during his residency at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (formerly MSRI) in Berkeley, California, in Fall 2024.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Metric geometry. We collect some basic definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. For $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, an (ε, δ) -net $T \subseteq X$ is a collection of points such that for every $x \in X$, there exists $y \in T$ with $x \in B_\varepsilon(y)$, and for distinct $y, z \in T$, $d(y, z) \geq \delta$.

It follows from Zorn’s lemma that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there always exists an $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ -net in a metric space. If a metric space is separable, then there exists a countable $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ -net due to separability.

We now recall the notion of asymptotic dimension which was introduced by Gromov [Gro93, §1.E]. A family \mathcal{U} of subsets of a metric space (X, d) is:

- **uniformly bounded** if $\sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \text{diam } U < \infty$. More precisely, **D -bounded** for some $D > 0$ if $\sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \text{diam } U \leq D$;
- **r -disjoint** if $d(U, U') > r$ for all $U \neq U'$ in \mathcal{U} .

Definition 2.2 ((r, D) -covers). For any metric space (X, d) , a tuple $(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_m)$ of m families of subsets of X is called an (r, D) -**cover** of X with m **layers** if the following properties are satisfied.

- (1) Each \mathcal{U}_i is r -disjoint and D -bounded.
- (2) $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{U}_i$ is a cover of X .

Definition 2.3 (Asymptotic dimension). Let (X, d) be a metric space. The **asymptotic dimension** of X , denoted by $\text{asdim}(X)$, is the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (if it exists) such that for every $r > 0$, there exists an $(r, D(r))$ -cover of $n + 1$ layers for some $D(r) < \infty$. If no such n exists, then we set $\text{asdim}(X) := \infty$.

Definition 2.4 (Assouad–Nagata dimension). Let (X, d) be a metric space. The **Assouad–Nagata dimension** of X , denoted by $\text{dim}_{AN}(X)$, is the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (if it exists) such that for every $r > 0$, there exists an (r, Cr) -cover of $n + 1$ layers for some uniform constant $C > 0$. If no such n exists, then we set $\text{dim}_{AN}(X) := \infty$.

Remark 2.5. It is immediate that $\text{asdim}(X) \leq \text{dim}_{AN}(X)$.

For a (noncompact) metric measure space, there are several ways to describe its growth of size. For the purpose of this paper, we will introduce two notions of growth. The first one makes sense for general metric spaces.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any $r \geq 1$, the **metric growth function**, denoted by $\gamma(r)$, is defined as

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma(r) := \sup\{|B_r(x) \cap T| : x \in X, T \text{ is a } (1, 1)\text{-net}\}.$$

We define a rate function $\rho(X, r)$ as

$$\rho(X, r) := \frac{\log \gamma(r)}{\log(r + 1)},$$

if $\gamma(r) \in (0, \infty)$. We then define the **metric growth rate** by

$$\rho(X) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho(X, r).$$

We say X has **polynomial metric growth** if $\rho(X) < \infty$.

Definition 2.7. Let (X, d, \mathbf{m}) be a metric measure space. For any $r > 0$, the **volume growth function**, denoted by $V(r)$, is defined as

$$V(r) := \sup_{x \in X} \mathbf{m}(B_r(x)).$$

We define a rate function $\rho^V(X, r)$ as

$$\rho^V(X, r) := \frac{\log V(r)}{\log(r + 1)},$$

when $V(r) \in (0, \infty)$. We then define the **volume growth rate** by

$$\rho^V(X) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho^V(X, r).$$

We say X has **polynomial volume growth** if $\rho^V(X) < \infty$.

For a discrete metric space, for example (the vertex set of) a graph with combinatorial metric, it carries a counting measure and then the two notions of growth coincide. The relation between volume growth and metric growth in a more general context will be addressed in Lemma 2.12.

We proceed to an equivalent formulation of the asymptotic dimension using padded decomposition.

Definition 2.8. Let (X, d, \mathbf{m}) be a metric measure space, $r > 0$. Fix an (r, r) -net $T := \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and parameters $R \geq r$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $D > 0$. An (R, D) -**padded decomposition** with m layers associated to T is a m -tuple $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_m)$ so that

- (1) for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, \mathcal{P}_i is a partition of T in the sense that $T \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{P}_i$ and $A_k \cap A_l \cap T = \emptyset$ for distinct $A_k, A_l \in \mathcal{P}_i$;
- (2) for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, \mathcal{P}_i is D -bounded;
- (3) for any $x \in T$ there exists some partition \mathcal{P}_i and $C \in \mathcal{P}_i$ so that $B_R(x) \subseteq C$.

Lemma 2.9. Let (X, d) be a complete separable metric space and $T := \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ an (r, r) -net on X . The following holds for $R \geq r$.

- (1) If there exists a $(2R+r, D)$ -cover of X with m layers, then there exists an $(R, 2R+2r+D)$ -padded decomposition with m layers associated to T .
- (2) If there exists an $(R+2r, D)$ -padded decomposition of m layers associated to T , then there exists an (R, D) -cover of X with m layers.

Proof. (1). Let $(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_m)$ be a $(2R+r, D)$ cover of X with m layers. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and each $A \in \mathcal{U}_i$, set $A' = B_R(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_R(x)$. Let $V_i := \bigcup \{A' : A \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ and define

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \{A' : A \in \mathcal{U}_i\} \cup \{B_r(x_j) : x_j \in T \setminus V_i\}.$$

It follows from the construction that all A' in \mathcal{P}_i are mutually 1-disjoint since all A are $(2R+r)$ -disjoint, so \mathcal{P}_i is a partition of T . This verifies (1) of Definition 2.8. We see that $\text{diam}(A') \leq 2R+D$ and $\text{diam}(B_r(x_j)) \leq 2r$, so every set in \mathcal{P}_i is $(2R+2r+D)$ -bounded. This verifies (2). To verify (3), notice that for any $x_j \in T$ there exists some $i \in 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $A \in \mathcal{U}_i$ such that $x_i \in A$ then $B_r(x_j) \subseteq A' \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

(2). Let $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_m)$ be an $(R+2r, D)$ -padded decomposition with m layers associated to T . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and each $A \in \mathcal{P}_i$, set

$$A'' = \{x \in A : d(x, A^c) \geq R+r\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_i = \{A'' : A \in \mathcal{P}_i\}$. We claim that $(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_m)$ is an (R, D) -cover with m layers. To verify it is a cover it suffices to show that it contains $B_r(x_j)$ for all $x_j \in T$. Indeed, there exists some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}_i$ such that $B_{R+2r}(x_j) \subseteq A$. Then by triangle inequality $B_r(x_j) \subseteq A'' \in \mathcal{U}_i$.

Now we verify that each \mathcal{U}_i is r -disjoint. For distinct (and nonempty) A''_k, A''_l built from $A_k, A_l \in \mathcal{P}_i$, take $x \in A''_k$. There is $x_j \in T$ so that $x \in B_1(x_j)$. The partition condition (1) of Definition 2.8 implies that $x_j \in A_k^c \cup A_l^c$. If $x_j \in A_k^c$, then by definition of A''_k , $d(x, x_j) \geq R+r > r$, a contraction. So $x_j \in A_l^c$. Then by definition of A''_l , for any $y \in A''_l$, $d(y, x_j) \geq R+r$, then $d(x, y) \geq d(y, x_j) - d(x_j, x) \geq R+r - r = R$. Since x, y are arbitrary, it follows $d(A''_k, A''_l) \geq R$.

We conclude that $(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_m)$ is an (R, D) -cover with m layers as claimed. \square

It follows immediately that we can equivalently characterize the asymptotic dimension and Assouad–Nagata dimension by padded decomposition.

Corollary 2.10 (Asymptotic and Assouad–Nagata dimension in terms of padded decomposition). *Let (X, \mathbf{d}) be a complete separable metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{asdim}(X) \leq n$ if and only if for every large enough r , there exists $\alpha > 1$, such that X admits an (r, r^α) -padded decomposition with $n + 1$ layers. Moreover, $\text{dim}_{AN}(X) \leq n$ if and only if there exists $c > 0$ such that for every $r > 0$, X admits an $(3r, cr)$ -padded decomposition with $n + 1$ layers.*

Our goal will be constructing suitable padded decomposition. For this purpose we first construct a graph with vertex set being a given net on a metric space.

Definition 2.11. Given a complete metric space (X, \mathbf{d}) , parameters $M \in (r, \infty)$ and $r > 0$, we take an (r, r) -net T of X and define a **net graph** $G^M(X, T)$ with vertex set T and edge set $\{(x, y) \in T \times T : r \leq \mathbf{d}(x, y) \leq M\}$.

The following lemma is classical and is essentially the fact that admitting a doubling measure implies the metric is doubling. We recall it here for reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$ be a metric measure space and T be an (r, r) -net for some $r > 0$. Then the following holds.*

- (1) *If \mathbf{m} is volume doubling with doubling constant $C_{\mathbb{D}}$, then for any $R > r$ and $x \in X$, $|B_R(x) \cap T| \leq C_{\mathbb{D}}^5 (R/r)^{\log_2 C_{\mathbb{D}}}$. In particular, $\rho(X) \leq \log_2 C_{\mathbb{D}}$.*
- (2) *If $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$ is proper and volume noncollapsed, and $\rho^V(X) < \infty$, then $\rho(X) \leq \rho^V(X)$.*

Proof. Write the an (r, r) -net $T := \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $x \in X$ and $R \in (r, \infty)$.

We claim that $B_R(x) \cap T$ is finite. If this is not the case, we assume $B_R(x) \cap T = \{y_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since for distinct i and j , $\mathbf{d}(y_i, y_j) \geq r$, we see that $\{B_{r/2}(y_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are mutually disjoint and each of them is contained in $B_{R+r}(x)$ which has finite \mathbf{m} measure. This implies that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{m}(B_{r/2}(y_j)) = 0$.

If (1) of is satisfied, by the triangle inequality and the doubling condition,

$$\mathbf{m}(B_{R+r}(x)) \leq \mathbf{m}(B_{2R+r}(y_j)) \leq C_{\mathbb{D}}^{\log_2(4R/r+2)+1} \mathbf{m}(B_{r/2}(y_j)).$$

Let $j \rightarrow \infty$ we get that $\mathbf{m}(B_{R+r}(x)) = 0$. Then x is not in the support of \mathbf{m} , which contradicts our assumption that \mathbf{m} has full support.

If (2) is satisfied, then $\overline{B_R(x)}$ is compact so $B_R(x) \cap T$ is finite.

The claim is justified.

Set $B_R(x) \cap T = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell\}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. As previously noticed, the balls $B_{r/2}(y_i)$ are mutually disjoint, and are all contained in $B_{R+r}(x)$. Let $y_j \in \text{argmin}\{\mathbf{m}(B_{r/2}(y_i)) : y_i \in B_R(x) \cap T\}$. It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \ell \mathbf{m}(B_{r/2}(y_j)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{m}(B_{r/2}(y_i)) \leq \mathbf{m}(B_{R+r}(x)).$$

- If (1) is satisfied, then for the unique $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{n-1}r < R \leq 2^n r$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\ell \leq \frac{\mathbf{m}(B_{R+r/2}(x))}{\mathbf{m}(B_{r/2}(y_j))} \leq \frac{\mathbf{m}(B_{2R+r}(y_j))}{\mathbf{m}(B_{r/2}(y_j))} \leq C_{\mathbb{D}}^{\log_2(4R/r+2)+1} \leq C_{\mathbb{D}}^{n+4} \leq C_{\mathbb{D}}^5 (R/r)^{\log_2 C_{\mathbb{D}}}.$$

In particular, taking $r = 1$ and take supremum over all $(1, 1)$ -nets, we get that $\rho(X) \leq \log_2 C_{\mathbb{D}}$.

- If (2) is satisfied, then we only need to consider $r = 1$. Let $b = \rho^V(X) < \infty$. When R is large enough, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\ell \leq \frac{\mathfrak{m}(B_{R+1}(x))}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{1/2}(y_j))} \leq \frac{1}{v} (R+2)^b.$$

We take the supremum over all $(1, 1)$ -nets, by definition $\rho(X) \leq \rho^V(X)$.

In both cases, the estimates are independent of the net T . \square

Remark 2.13. Lemma 2.12 can substitute for [Pap23, Corollary 3.3], and the bounded geometry condition there can be weakened to either volume doubling, volume noncollapsed, or the bounded geometry condition in the sense of metric space as in [Yu98].

An immediate consequence of Lemma 2.12 is as follows, which can be seen by taking $R = M$ in the proof.

Corollary 2.14. *Let $(X, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a metric measure space and T be an (r, r) -net on X for some $r > 0$. If either of the following holds*

(1) \mathfrak{m} is volume doubling with doubling constant C_D .

(2) $(X, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ is proper, volume noncollapsed and has polynomial volume growth, and $r = 1$.

Then for each $M > r$, the net graph $G^M(X, T)$ has uniformly bounded degree independent of T .

In a similar fashion we have a version of Lemma 2.12 and Corollary 2.14 for doubling metric spaces.

Lemma 2.15. *Let (X, \mathfrak{d}) be a doubling metric space with doubling constant N and T be an (r, r) -net for some $r > 0$. Then for any $R > r$ it holds $|B_R(x) \cap T| \leq N^2(R/r)^{\log_2 N}$. In particular, $\rho(X) \leq \log_2 N$.*

Proof. For every $R > r$, there exists a unique positive integer n such that $2^{n-1}r < R \leq 2^n r$. For any $x \in X$, the doubling condition implies that $B_R(x)$ can be covered by at most N^{n+1} balls of radius $r/2$. Clearly, each ball of radius $r/2$ can contain at most one element of T . It follows that

$$|B_R(x) \cap T| \leq N^{n+1} \leq N^2 \cdot N^{\log_2(R/r)} = N^2 \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\log_2 N}.$$

This estimate is independent of x and T , so the claimed result follows from its definition. \square

Again, taking $R = M$ in the previous proof we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 2.16. *Let (X, \mathfrak{d}) be a doubling metric space and T be an (r, r) -net on X for any $r > 0$. Then for each $M > r$, the net graph $G^M(X, T)$ has uniformly bounded degree independent of T .*

2.2. The Lovász Local Lemma. We will employ the Lovász Local Lemma (the **LLL** for short) in the setting of *constraint satisfaction problems*. The LLL, introduced by Erdős and Lovász in 1975, is a powerful probabilistic tool. The LLL is not only widely used in combinatorics but has also recently found numerous applications in other areas, such as topological dynamics, ergodic theory, descriptive set theory, and more.

Definition 2.17. Fix a set X and a compact Polish space Y equipped with a Borel probability measure λ .

- A Y -coloring of a set S is a function $f: S \rightarrow Y$.

- Given a finite set $D \subseteq X$, an **X -constraint** (or simply a **constraint** if X is clear from the context) with **domain** D is a measurable set $A \subseteq Y^D$ of colorings of D . We write $\text{dom}(A) := D$.
- A coloring $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of X **violates** a constraint A with domain D if the restriction of f to D is in A , and **satisfies** A otherwise.
- A **constraint satisfaction problem** (or a **CSP** for short) \mathcal{A} on X with range Y , in symbols $\mathcal{A}: X \rightarrow^? Y$, is a set of X -constraints.
- A **solution** to a CSP $\mathcal{A}: X \rightarrow^? Y$ is a coloring $f: X \rightarrow Y$ that satisfies every constraint $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Fix a CSP $\mathcal{A}: X \rightarrow^? Y$. Recall that Y is a compact Polish space equipped with the Borel probability measure λ . For any finite set $D \subseteq X$, Y^D is equipped with the probability measure λ^D . For each measurable constraint $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the **probability** $\mathbb{P}[A]$ of A is defined as the probability that A is violated by a random coloring $f: X \rightarrow Y$, that is,

$$\mathbb{P}[A] := \lambda^{\text{dom}(A)}(A).$$

The **neighborhood** of A in \mathcal{A} is the set

$$N(A) := \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : A' \neq A \text{ and } \text{dom}(A') \cap \text{dom}(A) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}) := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}[A]$ and $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}) := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |N(A)|$.

Theorem 2.18 (Lovász Local Lemma [EL75, Spe77]). *If \mathcal{A} is a CSP such that $e \cdot \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}) \cdot (\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}) + 1) < 1$, then \mathcal{A} has a solution. (Here $e = 2.71 \dots$ is the base of the natural logarithm.)*

Remark 2.19. The LLL is often stated for finite \mathcal{A} . However, Theorem 2.18 holds for infinite \mathcal{A} as well. Given any CSP \mathcal{A} such that $e \cdot \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}) \cdot (\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}) + 1) < 1$, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we can construct an \mathcal{A}' as follows: For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we pick an open set A' such that $A \subseteq A'$ and $\mathbb{P}[A] \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbb{P}[A']$ by regularity of measure [Kec95, Theorem 17.10]. Then we can construct a new CSP $\mathcal{A}' := \{A' : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We can pick ε such that $e \cdot \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}') \cdot (\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}') + 1) < 1$. Assume there exists no solution to \mathcal{A}' , then we have $Y^X = \bigcup \mathcal{A}'$. By Tychonoff's theorem Y^X is compact, hence there exists some finite subset $\mathcal{A}'' \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$ such that $Y^X = \bigcup \mathcal{A}''$, which implies there exists no solution to the CSP \mathcal{A}'' . Notice that $e \cdot \mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}'') \cdot (\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}'') + 1) < 1$, then there should be some solution to \mathcal{A}'' , a contradiction. Hence there exists some solution to \mathcal{A}' , which is also a solution to \mathcal{A} .

2.3. A ball-carving scheme. Before discussing the ball-carving scheme, we introduce some basic knowledge in graph theory.

Given a graph G , a **k -coloring** is a function $c: V(G) \rightarrow S$, where S is a set of size k . A k -coloring c is **proper** if $c(u) \neq c(v)$ for all $uv \in E(G)$. The **chromatic number** $\chi(G)$ is the least $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that G has a proper k -coloring. A set $I \subseteq V(G)$ is **independent** in G if $uv \notin E(G)$ for all $u, v \in I$. Note that if $c: V(G) \rightarrow S$ is a proper k -coloring of G , then $V(G) = \bigcup_{s \in S} c^{-1}(s)$ is a partition of $V(G)$ into k independent sets. The **greedy coloring** with respect to a vertex ordering v_1, v_2, \dots colors vertices in the order v_1, v_2, \dots , assigning to v_i the least-indexed color not used on its neighbors earlier. Let $\Delta(G)$ denote the maximum degree of G . If $\Delta(G) < \infty$, then $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ because each vertex has at most $\Delta(G)$ earlier neighbors in a vertex coloring, so the greedy coloring will not use more than $\Delta(G) + 1$ colors.

Now we are ready to discuss the ball-carving scheme. Let (X, \mathfrak{d}) be a complete separable metric space and T be an (r, r) -net on X for some $r > 0$. Given a function t with domain T and $0 \leq t(x) \leq M$, we construct a $2M$ -bounded partition \mathcal{P}_t of X when the net graph $G^{2M}(X, T)$ has finite maximum degree. In this case, there exists a proper coloring of $G^{2M}(X, T)$ with some

finite number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of colors. This coloring induces a partition of T as $T = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} I_i$, and for distinct $x, y \in I_i$, $d(x, y) > 2M$. We define inductively families of balls $\mathcal{C}_0, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{k-1}$ as follows:

$$(\star) \quad \mathcal{C}_0 := \{B_{t(x)} : x \in I_0\}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{i+1} = \left\{ B_{t(x)}(x) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_j \right) : x \in I_{i+1} \right\}.$$

By construction, distinct balls in each \mathcal{C}_i are disjoint since the centers have distances greater than $2M$ and the radii are at most M . Set $\mathcal{P}_t = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{C}_i$, then \mathcal{P}_t is a $2M$ -bounded partition of T .

3. DOUBLING METRIC SPACES AND ASSOUD–NAGATA DIMENSION

Let (X, d) be a doubling metric space with doubling constant N . That is, for any $R > 0$, each ball of radius $2R$ can be covered by at most N balls of radius R . Given $r > 0$, we take an (r, r) -net $T := \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of (X, d) . Recall from Lemma 2.15 that each ball of radius R contains at most $N^2(R/r)^{\log_2 N}$ points of T . We will frequently use this estimate.

We start by adapting the randomized ball carving construction for graphs to the metric space setting with the help of a net graph.

3.1. A randomized ball-carving construction. Let (X, d) be a complete separable metric space and T be an (r, r) -net on X for some $r > 0$. To find a padded decomposition of X with desired properties, we employ a variant of a randomized ball-carving construction from [BY23], which originates in computer science.

To $0 < l < M$ and a function $t : T \rightarrow [l, M]$, we can construct a $2M$ -bounded partition \mathcal{P}_t of X by the ball-carving scheme (\star) in Section 2.3. Given positive integer m , define a function $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) : X^m \rightarrow [l, M]^m$. Through the ball carving construction \mathbf{t} gives rise to a family of partitions $(\mathcal{P}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{t_m})$ of T . We aim to find a suitable choice of $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ such that the corresponding partitions $(\mathcal{P}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{t_m})$ is an $(3r, cr)$ -padded decomposition for given $c := c(N)$, $r \geq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be achieved by letting \mathbf{t} vary randomly and use the Lovász Local Lemma. To this end, we let \mathbf{t} be the family of i.i.d. random variables such that each t_i satisfies the so called **truncated exponential distribution** on $[l, M]$ with parameter λ , in symbols $\text{Texp}(\lambda, M, l)$. Its density is given by

$$\mathbb{P}[t = z] = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda z}}{e^{-\lambda l} - e^{-\lambda M}}, & z \in [l, M]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will need some basic estimates for $\text{Texp}(\lambda, M, l)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For a random variable $t \sim \text{Texp}(\lambda, M, l)$ for $(M - l)\lambda \geq 2$, $l\lambda \leq 1$, we have*

- (1) *For all $\beta \in [l, M]$, $\mathbb{P}[t \geq \beta] \leq 4e^{-\lambda\beta}$.*
- (2) *For integers $\alpha, \beta \geq l$ such that $\alpha \leq M/2$ and $\alpha + \beta < M$, $\mathbb{P}[t \leq \alpha + \beta \mid t \geq \alpha] \leq 2\lambda\beta$.*

Proof. This follows from straightforward computations.

(1).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[t \geq \beta] &= \int_{\beta}^M \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{e^{-\lambda l} - e^{-\lambda M}} dt = \frac{e^{\lambda M}}{e^{\lambda(M-l)} - 1} (e^{-\lambda\beta} - e^{-\lambda M}) \\ &= \frac{e^{\lambda l}}{1 - e^{-\lambda(M-l)}} (e^{-\lambda\beta} - e^{-\lambda M}) \leq \frac{e^1}{1 - e^{-2}} e^{-\lambda\beta} < 4e^{-\lambda\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

(2).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[t \leq \alpha + \beta \mid t \geq \alpha] &= \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} e^{-\lambda t} dt}{\int_{\alpha}^M e^{-\lambda t} dt} = \frac{e^{-\lambda\alpha} - e^{-\lambda(\alpha+\beta)}}{e^{-\lambda\alpha} - e^{-\lambda M}} = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda\beta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda(M-\alpha)}} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda\beta}{1 - e^{-\lambda M/2}} \leq \frac{\lambda\beta}{1 - e^{-1}} < 2\lambda\beta. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Some estimates are needed for the ball carving construction. We say for $u \in T$ and $r > 0$, a ball $B_r(u)$ is **cut** if it intersects two distinct C_i and C_j in \mathcal{P}_t . This is a scenario we hope to avoid as it violates (3) of Definition 2.8. The following probability estimates will be the crucial for applying the Lovász Local Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a doubling metric space with doubling constant N , $r > 1$ be a constant, T be an (r, r) -net of X and $t : T \rightarrow [3r, M]$ be a random variable. Fix $u \in T$. Take two parameters $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $D > 1/\varepsilon + 0.5$ and let $t \sim \text{Texp}(\lambda, M, l)$, where*

$$\lambda = \frac{\varepsilon}{3r}, \quad M = (2D + 3)r, \quad l = 3r.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq 4N^3(D + 3)^{\log_2 N} e^{-(D - \frac{3}{2})\varepsilon} + 12\varepsilon.$$

Note that $G^{2M}(X, T)$ has uniformly bounded degree thanks to the doubling condition, so the randomized ball carving construction can be carried out.

Proof. First, we check the condition in Lemma 3.1:

$$(M - l)\lambda = ((2D + 3)r - 3r) \frac{\varepsilon}{r} = 2D\varepsilon \geq 2, \quad l\lambda = 3r \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3r} = \varepsilon \leq 1.$$

For two vertices $x, y \in T$, we write $y \prec x$ if $y \in I_i$ and $x \in I_j$ with $i < j$, here I_0, \dots, I_{k-1} are the sets indexed by different colors. Set $B := B_{3r}(u)$ and $B_x := B_{t(x)}(x)$ for all $x \in T$. We say that the ball B is **cut by** B_x if $B_y \cap B = \emptyset$ for all $y \prec x$ and $\emptyset \neq B_x \cap B \neq B$. Clearly, B is cut if and only if it is cut by some B_x .

Define A_{far} to be the event that there exists some B_x cuts B and $d(x, u) \geq M/2$, and A_{near} to be the event that there exists B_x cuts B and $d(x, u) < M/2$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}] + \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}].$$

We first estimate $\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}]$. Fix $x \in T$ with $d(x, u) \geq M/2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_x \text{ cuts } B] \leq \mathbb{P}[d(x, u) \leq t(x) + 3r] \leq \mathbb{P}[t(x) \geq M/2 - 3r] \leq 4e^{-\lambda(M/2 - 3r)} = 4e^{-(D - \frac{3}{2})\varepsilon}.$$

We already noticed in the computation that if B_x cuts B , then

$$d(x, u) \leq t(x) + 3r \leq M + 3r = (2D + 6)r.$$

So the number of $x \in T$ so that B_x possibly cuts B is upper bounded by $N^2 N^{\log_2(2D+6)} = N^3(D + 3)^{\log_2 N}$.

We have the union bound

$$\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}] \leq N^3(D + 3)^{\log_2 N} 4e^{-(D - \frac{3}{2})\varepsilon} = 4N^3(D + 3)^{\log_2 N} e^{-(D - \frac{3}{2})\varepsilon}.$$

Then we bound $\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}]$. Observe that on the (finite) set $T \cap B_{M/2}(u)$, the partial order \prec is actually total, because all points in $T \cap B_{M/2}(u)$ must belong to distinct sets I_i . Let Y be the \prec -smallest element of $T \cap B_{M/2}(u)$ such that $B_Y \cap B \neq \emptyset$ (so Y is a random variable). Note

that y is well-defined since $B_u \cap B \supseteq \{u\} \neq \emptyset$. By definition, for each $x \in B_{M/2}(u)$, $Y = x$ if and only if the following two statements hold:

- $t(x) \geq d(u, x) - 3r$, and
- $t(y) < d(u, y) - 3r$ for all $y \in T \cap B_{M/2}(u)$ such that $y \prec x$.

If the event A_{near} takes place, then B_Y must cut B . It follows that if $t(Y) \geq d(u, Y) + 3r$, then $B_Y \supseteq B$, and thus A_{near} does not happen. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}} \mid Y = x] &\leq \mathbb{P}[t(x) < d(u, x) + r \mid Y = x] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[t(x) < d(u, x) + 3r \mid t(x) \geq d(u, x) - 3r] \\ &= 2\lambda \cdot 6r = 12\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

The second from last inequality follows from (2) in Lemma 3.1 with $\beta = 6r$. It is then clear that

$$\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}] = \sum_{x \in T \cap B_{M/2}(u)} \mathbb{P}[Y = x] \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}} \mid Y = x] \leq 12\varepsilon.$$

Finally we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}] + \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}] \leq 4N^3(D+3)^{\log_2 N} e^{-(D-\frac{3}{2})\varepsilon} + 12\varepsilon.$$

□

We are ready to rephrase the problem of the existence of the padded decomposition as a CSP to which the LLL can be applied. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we define a CSP $\mathcal{A}_m : T \rightarrow^? [l, M]^m$ as follows. For $u \in T$, let $A_{u,m}$ be a constraint with domain $B_{M+3r}(u)$ that is satisfied by a function $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \rightarrow [l, M]^m$ if and only if $B_r(u)$ is not cut in at least one of the partitions $\mathcal{P}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{t_m}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_m = \{A_{u,m} : u \in T\}$ gives rise to a CSP. We equip the set $[l, M]^m$ with the product of m copies of the distribution $\text{Texp}(l, M, \lambda)$, that is, we take t_1, \dots, t_m to be i.i.d. random variables each obeying $\text{Texp}(l, M, \lambda)$. Consequently, we have the following result:

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 3.2, the CSP defined above satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}_m) \leq \left(4N^3(D+3)^{\log_2 N} e^{-(D-\frac{3}{2})\varepsilon} + 12\varepsilon\right)^m$$

and

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}_m) \leq N^4(D+3)^{\log_2 N} - 1.$$

Proof. The bound of $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}_m)$ follows from Lemma 3.2. We then estimate $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}_m)$. Observe that if $u, v \in T$ and $B_{M+3r}(u) \cap B_{M+3r}(v) \neq \emptyset$ then $\mathfrak{d}(u, v) \leq 2M + 6r$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}_m) \leq N^2 \left(\frac{2(2D+3)r + 6r}{r} \right)^{\log_2 N} - 1 = N^2(4D+12)^{\log_2 N} - 1 = N^4(D+3)^{\log_2 N} - 1.$$

Here the minus 1 is to exclude the case $u = v$. □

3.2. Assoud–Nagata dimension of doubling metric spaces.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a doubling metric space with doubling constant N . Then there exists some constant c such that for all $r > 0$, X admits a $(3r, cr)$ -padded decomposition with $\lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor + 1$ layers.*

Proof. Consider the ball carving construction described in the previous subsection with parameters

$$\lambda = \frac{\varepsilon}{3r}, \quad M = (2D + 3)r, \quad l = 3r.$$

Let \mathcal{A}_m be the CSP defined in the previous subsection. Then Theorem 2.18 implies that the CSP \mathcal{A}_m has a solution provided that

$$e \left(4N^3(D + 3)^{\log_2 N} e^{-(D - \frac{3}{2})\varepsilon} + 12\varepsilon \right)^m N^4(D + 3)^{\log_2 N} < 1.$$

Let $b = \log_2 N$, so $N = 2^b$ and $m = \lfloor b \rfloor + 1 > b$. The above inequality becomes

$$\left(4N^3(D + 3)^b e^{-(D - \frac{3}{2})\varepsilon} + 12\varepsilon \right)^m (D + 3)^b < 16^{-b} e^{-1}.$$

Take m -th root on both sides,

$$4N^3(D + 3)^{b(m+1)/m} e^{-(D - \frac{3}{2})\varepsilon} + 12\varepsilon(D + 3)^{b/m} < 8^{-b/m} e^{-1/m}.$$

Notice that $b/m < 1$, take $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $b/m + \alpha < 1$ and $\varepsilon = (D + 3)^{-b/m - \alpha}$. Then left hand side in the above inequality tends to 0 as $D \rightarrow \infty$ and the right hand side does not depend on D . To ensure that the inequality holds, it suffices to choose D sufficiently large.

Hence we conclude that \mathcal{A}_m has a solution $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) : T^m \rightarrow [l, M]^m$. We claim that the corresponding tuple $(\mathcal{P}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{t_m})$ of partitions of T is a $(3r, cr)$ -padded decomposition. Condition (1) and (3) of Definition 2.8 hold since \mathbf{t} is a solution to \mathcal{A}_m . Each cluster in every \mathcal{P}_{t_i} has diameter at most $2M$. Since $2M = (4D + 6)r$, let $c = (4D + 6)$, then every \mathcal{P}_{t_i} is cr -bounded. \square

As a direct consequence, we obtain an upper bound the Assouad–Nagata dimension of doubling metric spaces:

Corollary 3.5 (Theorem 1.2). *Every doubling metric space (X, d) with doubling constant N satisfies $\dim_{AN}(X) \leq \lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor$.*

4. METRIC MEASURE SPACES OF POLYNOMIAL GROWTH AND ASYMPTOTIC DIMENSION

4.1. A randomized ball-carving construction. Given a complete space (X, d) , a $(1, 1)$ -net T , an integer $M > 1$ and an integer-valued function $t : T \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$. In this case, there exists a proper coloring of $G^{2M}(X, T)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ colors. This coloring induces a partition of $T = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} I_i$. We can construct a $2M$ -bounded partition \mathcal{P}_t of X by the ball-carving scheme (\star) in Section 2.3. For a given positive integer m , we aim to find a proper choice of $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) : X^m \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}$ such that the corresponding partitions $(\mathcal{P}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{t_m})$ is an (r, r^α) -padded decomposition for suitable choices of $r > 1$, $\alpha > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be achieved by letting \mathbf{t} vary randomly and use Lovász local lemma. To this end, we let \mathbf{t} be the family of i.i.d. random variables such that each t_i satisfies the so called **truncated geometric distribution** on $\{1, \dots, M\}$ with parameter $p \in (0, 1)$, in symbols $\text{Tgeo}(p, M)$. Its density is given by

$$\mathbb{P}[t = n] = \begin{cases} p(1 - p)^{n-1}, & n = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1; \\ (1 - p)^{M-1}, & n = M. \end{cases}$$

We will need some basic estimates for $\text{Tgeo}(p, M)$.

Lemma 4.1. *For a random variable $t \sim \text{Tgeo}(p, M)$, we have*

$$(1) \text{ For an integer } n \in \{1, \dots, M\}, \mathbb{P}[t \geq n] = (1 - p)^{n-1}.$$

(2) For integers $m, n \geq 1$ such that $m + n < M$, $\mathbb{P}[t \leq m + n \mid t \geq m] = 1 - (1 - p)^{n+1}$.

Proof. It is a straightforward computation.

$$(1). \mathbb{P}[t \geq n] = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p(1-p)^{i-1} = (1-p)^{n-1}.$$

$$(2). \mathbb{P}[t \leq m + n \mid t \geq m] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[m \leq t \leq m + n]}{\mathbb{P}[t \geq m]} = \frac{(1-p)^{m-1} - (1-p)^{m+n}}{(1-p)^{m-1}} = 1 - (1-p)^{n+1}. \quad \square$$

In the forthcoming lemma we estimate the probability of a ball being cut for the truncated geometric distribution and later we will see this probability can be chosen to be small.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, T be a $(1, 1)$ -net of X and $t : T \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}$ be a function. Fix $u \in T$, $r \geq 9$, $b \geq 0$, and*

$$p \leq \frac{1}{4b+5}, \quad M = \left\lceil 4b \frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{1}{p} \right\rceil.$$

Suppose that for $s \geq r$, $\gamma(s) \leq s^b$ and that $t \sim \text{Tgeo}(p, M)$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq 20rp.$$

Note that our assumption on the metric growth function γ implies that $G^{2M}(X, T)$ has uniformly bounded degree, so the randomized ball carving construction can be carried out.

Proof. We assume $rp \leq 1$, otherwise the statement is self-evident. Notice that

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq \mathbb{P}[B_{\lceil r \rceil}(u) \text{ is cut}],$$

and that $\lceil r \rceil \leq r + 1 \leq 10r/9$ when $r \geq 9$. It suffices to prove for any integer $r \geq 9$,

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq 18rp.$$

So without loss of generality we assume that r is an integer. For two vertices $x, y \in T$, we write $y \prec x$ if $y \in I_i$ and $x \in I_j$ with $i < j$, here I_0, \dots, I_{k-1} are the sets indexed by different colors. Set $B := B_r(u)$ and $B_x := B_{t(x)}(x)$ for all $x \in T$. We say that the ball B is **cut by** B_x if $B_y \cap B = \emptyset$ for all $y \prec x$ and $\emptyset \neq B_x \cap B \neq B$. Clearly, B is cut if and only if it is cut by some B_x .

Define A_{far} to be the event that there exists B_x cuts B and $d(x, u) \geq M - r$, and A_{near} to be the event that there exists B_x cuts B and $d(x, u) < M - r$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}] + \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}].$$

We first estimate $\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}]$. Fix $x \in T$ with $d(x, u) \geq M - r$, we see that

$$\mathbb{P}[B_x \text{ cuts } B] \leq \mathbb{P}[d(x, u) \leq t(x) + r] \leq \mathbb{P}[t(x) \geq M - 2r] \leq (1-p)^{M-2r-1}.$$

Since we are assuming that $r \leq 1/p$,

$$(1-p)^{M-2r-1} \leq (1-p)^{-2/p-1}(1-p)^M.$$

The function $z \mapsto (1-z)^{-2/z-1}$ is increasing for $0 < z < 1$, so, since $p \leq \frac{1}{4b+5} \leq 1/5$, we obtain

$$(1-p)^{-2/p-1}(1-p)^M \leq (1-1/5)^{-2*5-1}(1-p)^M = \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{11} (1-p)^M.$$

Using $1 - p \leq e^{-p}$ we have that $(1 - p)^M \leq e^p p^{4b} \leq e^{1/5} p^{4b}$. Combing the estimates we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[B_x \text{ cuts } B] \leq \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{11} e^{1/5} p^{4b} \leq 15p^{4b}.$$

We already noticed in the computation that if B_x cuts B , then $d(x, u) \leq t(x) + r \leq M + r$. So the number of $x \in T$ so that B_x possibly cuts B is bounded above by

$$\gamma(M + r) \leq (M + r)^b \leq \left(\frac{4b \ln \frac{1}{p} + 1}{p}\right)^b.$$

Since $\ln \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{p}$ and $4b + 5 \leq \frac{1}{p}$, we have

$$4b \ln \frac{1}{p} + 1 \leq (4b + 1/5) \frac{1}{p} \leq p^{-2}.$$

Then $\gamma(M + r)$ is upper bounded by p^{-3b} . Finally, we have the union bound

$$\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}] \leq 15p^{4b} \cdot p^{-3b} \leq 15p.$$

Then we bound $\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}]$. Observe that on the finite set $T \cap B_{M-r}(u)$, the partial order \prec is actually total, because all points in $T \cap B_{M-r}(u)$ must belong to distinct sets I_i . Let Y be the \prec -smallest element of $T \cap B_{M-r}(u)$ such that $B_Y \cap B \neq \emptyset$ (so Y is a random variable). Note that y is well-defined since $B_u \cap B \supseteq \{u\} \neq \emptyset$. By definition, for each $x \in B_{M-r}(u)$, $Y = x$ if and only if the following two statements hold:

- $t(x) \geq d(u, x) - r$, and
- $t(y) < d(u, y) - r$ for all $y \in B_{M-r}(u)$ such that $y \prec x$.

If the event A_{near} occurs, then B_Y must cut B . It follows that if $t(Y) \geq d(u, Y) + r$, then $B_Y \supseteq B$, and thus A_{near} does not happen. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}} | Y = x] &\leq \mathbb{P}[t(x) < d(u, x) + r | Y = x] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[t(x) < d(u, x) + r | t(x) \geq d(u, x) - r] \\ &= 1 - (1 - p)^{2r} \\ &\leq 2rp. \end{aligned}$$

The second from last inequality follows from (2) of Lemma 4.1 with $n = r$. It is then clear that

$$\mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}] = \sum_{x \in T \cap B_{M-r}(u)} \mathbb{P}[Y = x] \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}} | Y = x] \leq 2rp.$$

Finally we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_r(u) \text{ is cut}] \leq \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{far}}] + \mathbb{P}[A_{\text{near}}] \leq 15p + 2rp \leq 18rp,$$

as desired. \square

We can now phrase the problem of the existence of padded decomposition as a CSP to which the LLL can be applied. Fix an integer $M > 1$, and a real number $r > 0$, given $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we define a CSP $\mathcal{A}_m : T \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}^m$ as follows. For each $u \in T$, let $A_{u,m}$ be a constraint with domain $B_{M+r}(u)$ that is satisfied by a function $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) : T^m \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}^m$ if and only if $B_r(u)$ is not cut in at least one of the partitions $\mathcal{P}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{t_m}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_m = \{A_{u,m} : u \in T\}$ gives rise to a CSP. We equip the set $\{1, \dots, M\}^m$ with the product of m copies of the distribution

$\text{Tgeo}(p, M)$, that is, we take t_1, \dots, t_m to be i.i.d. random variables each obeying $\text{Tgeo}(p, M)$ for a p to be chosen. Then we have the following:

Lemma 4.3. *Under the assumptions in Lemma 4.2, the CSP defined above satisfies $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}_m) \leq (20rp)^m$ and $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}_m) \leq (2M + 2r)^b - 1$.*

Proof. The bound of $\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{A}_m)$ follows from Lemma 4.2. We then estimate $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}_m)$. Observe that if $u, v \in T$ and $B_{M+r}(u) \cap B_{M+r}(v) \neq \emptyset$ then $\mathfrak{d}(u, v) \leq 2M + 2r$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}_m) \leq \gamma(2M + 2r) - 1 \leq (2M + 2r)^b - 1.$$

Here the minus 1 is to exclude the case $u = v$. □

4.2. Asymptotic dimension of volume noncollapsed metric measure spaces of polynomial growth.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, \mathfrak{d}) be a complete metric space. Fix constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Set $m := \lfloor b \rfloor + 1$, and $\alpha := (1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{m}{m-b}}$. Take*

$$r > \max\{9, (32b^2 + 40b)^{2/\alpha}, e^{8\alpha b}, (8000\alpha b/\varepsilon)^{2/\varepsilon}\},$$

If the metric growth function satisfies $\gamma(s) \leq s^b$ for $s \geq r$, then X admits an (r, r^α) -padded decomposition with m layers.

Proof. Let T be a $(1, 1)$ -net of X . Take the parameters

$$p = \frac{8\alpha b \ln r}{r^\alpha}, \quad M = \left\lfloor 4b \frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{1}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

we carry out the ball carving construction described at the beginning of this section to get a $2M$ -bounded partition \mathcal{P}_t of T for $t \sim \text{Tgeo}(p, M)$ and apply Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3.

Note that if $y \geq 2$ and $z \geq y^2$, then $z/\log z \geq y$. Applying this to $y = 8b(4b + 5)$ and $z = r^\alpha$ yields

$$\frac{r^\alpha}{8\alpha b \log r} \geq 4b + 5,$$

because $r > (32b^2 + 40b)^{2/\alpha}$, i.e., $r^\alpha > (8b(4b + 5))^2$. Therefore, $p \leq 1/(4b + 5)$ and the assumptions of Lemma 4.2 are fulfilled.

Let \mathcal{A}_m be the CSP defined before Lemma 4.3. Specifically, we observe that

$$(4.1) \quad 2M \leq \frac{8b \log(\frac{1}{p})}{p} = \frac{r^\alpha \log(\frac{1}{p})}{\alpha \log r} \leq r^\alpha,$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $1/p \leq r^\alpha$, or equivalently $r \geq e^{8\alpha b}$. Since $r \leq r^\alpha$ as well, Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 2.18 imply that the CSP \mathcal{A}_m has a solution provided that

$$e(20rp)^m (2M + 2r)^b < e(20rp)^m (5r^\alpha)^b < 1.$$

The last inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{r^\alpha}{8\alpha b \log r} = 1/p > 20 e^{\frac{1}{m}} 5^{\frac{b}{m}} r^{1 + \frac{\alpha b}{m}}.$$

Using that $m > b$ and $m \geq 1$, we obtain $e^{1/m} 5^{b/m} \leq 5^2 = 25$, so it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{r^\alpha}{8\alpha b \log r} > 500 r^{1 + \frac{\alpha b}{m}}.$$

Recall that $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)\frac{m}{m-b}$. Therefore, it is enough to get

$$\frac{r^\varepsilon}{\log r} > 4000\alpha b.$$

Notice that $\log r \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon}r^{\varepsilon/2}$ (because $z > \log z$ for all $z > 0$), then it suffices to have

$$r^{\varepsilon/2} > \frac{8000\alpha b}{\varepsilon},$$

i.e., $r > (8000\alpha b/\varepsilon)^{2/\varepsilon}$, which holds by assumption. Therefore, the LLL may be applied, and we conclude that \mathcal{A}_m has a solution $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m): T \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}^m$. We claim that the corresponding tuple $(\mathcal{P}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{t_m})$ of partitions of T is an (r, r^α) -padded decomposition. Indeed, condition (1) and (3) of Definition 2.8 holds since \mathbf{t} is a solution to \mathcal{A}_m . By construction, each element in every \mathcal{P}_{t_i} has diameter at most $2M$. As $2M \leq r^\alpha$ by (4.1), it follows that every \mathcal{P}_{t_i} is r^α -bounded, and the proof is complete. \square

Now we are ready to bound the asymptotic dimension of volume noncollapsed metric measure spaces of polynomial growth:

Corollary 4.5 (Theorem 1.3). *Let $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$ be a metric measure space. If $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$ is proper and has polynomial volume growth, and X is volume noncollapsed, then $\text{asdim}(X) \leq \lfloor \rho^V(X) \rfloor$.*

Proof. Let $m = \lfloor \rho(X) \rfloor + 1$ and take some b so that $\rho(x) < b < m$. It follows from Lemma 2.12 that when r is large we have $\gamma(r) \leq r^b$ and from Corollary 2.14 that for any $(1, 1)$ -net T , and the M in Theorem 4.4, the net graph $G^{2M}(X, T)$ has uniformly bounded degree. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\alpha := (1 + \varepsilon)\frac{m}{m-b} > 1$. By Theorem 4.4 there exists an (r, r^α) -padded decomposition with layer m . Then by Corollary 2.10, we have $\text{asdim}(X) \leq m - 1 = \lfloor \rho(X) \rfloor$. Using Lemma 2.12 again, we have $\rho(X) \leq \rho^V(X)$. The desired conclusion follows. \square

5. NILPOTENT GROUPS AND ASYMPTOTIC DIMENSION

In this section, we review some well-known results about nilpotent groups and nilmanifolds to discuss a specific case in which the equality in Theorem 1.3 is attained. More precisely, we consider the scenario where equality holds for the universal cover of a closed nilmanifold. If the universal cover of a closed manifold has polynomial volume growth, then so does the word metric growth of the fundamental group of this base manifold. By Gromov's theorem [Gro81], this group is virtually nilpotent. Therefore, it is natural to examine nilpotent groups in this context.

Here, we can also replace the asymptotic dimension by the Assouad–Nagata dimension, since they coincide for finitely generated nilpotent groups. Along the way, we observe that the universal covers of nilmanifolds (i.e., nilpotent Lie groups) serve as natural examples whose asymptotic dimension or Assouad–Nagata dimension does not generally provide an upper bound for their polynomial volume growth rate.

Let G be a finitely generated group. We will always equip the word metric on G so that G is a metric space. We inductively define $G_0 := G$, $G_{i+1} := [G, G_i]$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It is readily seen that $G_{i+1} \trianglelefteq G_i$ and that G_i/G_{i+1} is an Abelian group. We call G a **nilpotent group** if there exists a positive integer s such that $G_s = \{\text{id}\}$. The integer s is called the **step** of G . Recall some fundamental properties of a nilpotent group:

- (1) A nilpotent group is Abelian if and only if its step is 1.
- (2) Every subgroup of a nilpotent group is nilpotent.

We define the **rank** of a finitely generated nilpotent group G , denoted by $\text{rank}(G)$, as a natural extension of the rank of a finitely generated Abelian group, i.e.,

$$\text{rank}(G) := \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \text{rank}(G_i/G_{i+1}).$$

Here $\text{rank}(G_i/G_{i+1})$ is the number of \mathbb{Z} summands in G_i/G_{i+1} , i.e., the rank of the Abelian group G_i/G_{i+1} . The rank of G is also known as the **Hirsch length** of G . Recall also that rank is additive over a short exact sequence, that is, if there is a short exact sequence of finitely generated nilpotent groups

$$\{\text{id}\} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \{\text{id}\},$$

then $\text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(F) + \text{rank}(H)$. We then define the **homogeneous dimension** of G , denoted by $\text{dim}_H(G)$, as

$$\text{dim}_H(G) := \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (i+1) \text{rank}(G_i/G_{i+1}).$$

It is straightforward from the definition that $\text{dim}_H(G) \geq \text{rank}(G)$, with equality holding if and only if G is Abelian. There are two facts of interest:

- By the work of Bass [Bas72, Theorem 2], G , equipped with its word metric (equivalently, the Cayley graph of G with the path metric), has metric growth rate $\rho(G) = \text{dim}_H(G)$.
- According to [HP13, Corollary 5.10] and [BD08, Corollary 68], $\text{dim}_{AN}(G) = \text{rank}(G) = \text{asdim}(G)$.

Combining these two facts, we observe that for a finitely generated non-Abelian nilpotent group, its polynomial metric growth rate is strictly larger than its asymptotic dimension or Assouad–Nagata dimension. An explicit example is provided below.

Example 5.1. *The 3-dimensional integer Heisenberg group $H^3(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $\text{dim}_H(H^3(\mathbb{Z})) = \rho(H^3(\mathbb{Z})) = 4$, while $\text{asdim}(H^3(\mathbb{Z})) = \text{dim}_{AN}(H^3(\mathbb{Z})) = \text{rank}(H^3(\mathbb{Z})) = 3$.*

We can translate the facts about nilpotent groups to *nilmanifolds*.

Definition 5.2. A (compact) **nilmanifold** $M := L/\Gamma$ is a quotient of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group L by a discrete subgroup Γ .

It follows from the construction that $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$ and L is the universal cover \tilde{M} of M . When L is equipped with a left-invariant Riemannian metric, the action of Γ on L can be taken as isometry, thereby inducing a Riemannian metric on M . A nilmanifold M is almost flat, meaning M admits a sequence of Riemannian metrics with which M has bounded diameter and the sectional curvature converges to 0. Conversely, any almost flat manifold is finitely covered by a nilmanifold due to celebrated work of Gromov and Ruh [Gro78, Ruh82].

We review a fundamental result concerning the universal cover of compact Riemannian manifolds, or more generally, geodesic spaces with cocompact isometric actions, now known as the Švarc–Milnor lemma. For a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , we denote its Riemannian universal cover by (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) , and the covering projection map by $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. Here, \tilde{g} is the pullback metric by p , which is a local isometry. Notice that $\pi_1(M)$ acts on \tilde{M} by isometries through path lifting.

Lemma 5.3 (Švarc–Milnor lemma [BD08, Theorem 51]). *Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold. Then $\pi_1(M)$ with word metric is quasi-isometric to (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) , and $\rho^V(\tilde{M}) = \rho(\pi_1(M))$.*

In particular, for any point $x \in M$ and its lift \tilde{x} , the map $f : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \tilde{M}$, $f(g) = g\tilde{x}$ is a quasi-isometry.

Based on the Švarc–Milnor lemma, we observe that the following proposition describes the equivalent conditions for a special case in which the equality in Theorem 1.3 is attained. Indeed, since the covering map is a local isometry, (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) is automatically volume noncollapsed.

Proposition 5.4. *Let M be a nilmanifold. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\rho^V(\tilde{M}) = \text{asdim}(\tilde{M}) = \dim_{AN}(\tilde{M})$ for any Riemannian metric on M .
- (2) $\dim_H(\pi_1(M)) = \text{rank}(\pi_1(M))$.
- (3) $\pi_1(M)$ is Abelian.
- (4) M is diffeomorphic to a torus.

Proof. Note that (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) is obvious from the definition of nilpotent groups. We will prove (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4).

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2): By Lemma 5.3, \tilde{M} and $\pi_1(M)$ are quasi-isometric, so $\text{asdim}(\tilde{M}) = \text{asdim}(\pi_1(M))$. In particular, the choice of Riemannian metrics on M is independent of the asymptotic dimension of \tilde{M} . Since $\pi_1(M)$ is nilpotent, as noted earlier by [BD08, Theorem 71], $\text{asdim}(\pi_1(M)) = \text{rank}(\pi_1(M))$. On the other hand, again by Lemma 5.3, we have $\rho^V(\tilde{M}) = \rho(\pi_1(M))$, and by [Bas72, Theorem 2], $\rho(\pi_1(M)) = \dim_H(\pi_1(M))$. Therefore, $\rho^V(\tilde{M}) = \text{asdim}(\tilde{M})$ if and only if $\dim_H(\pi_1(M)) = \text{rank}(\pi_1(M))$.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4): We show (3) \Rightarrow (4) as the converse implication is trivial. Let n be the (topological) dimension of M . Any nilmanifold is diffeomorphic to an iterated principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle, see [FH86] for example. By the exact sequence of homotopy groups and the additivity of the rank, it follows that $\text{rank}(\pi_1(M)) = n$. We then argue that $\pi_1(M)$ has no torsion. Since M is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, it is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . The group $\pi_1(M)$ acts properly discontinuously on \tilde{M} in particular freely. The cohomology dimension of $\pi_1(M)$ is finite, implying that $\pi_1(M)$ has no torsion. Therefore, $\pi_1(M)$ is free Abelian of rank n , which is \mathbb{Z}^n . It follows that M is a $K(\mathbb{Z}^n, 1)$ and hence homotopic to a torus. We conclude the proof by noticing that the diffeomorphism type of a nilmanifold is uniquely determined by its homotopy type [LR84]. \square

APPENDIX A. GROMOV'S PROOF

In this section, we review Gromov's ideas and demonstrate that his original method suffices to prove the sharp upper bound for the Assouad–Nagata dimension of doubling metric (measure) spaces. We will also make some remarks about scalar curvature.

In the seminal paper [Gro82], Gromov constructed a Lipschitz map from a manifold with a Ricci curvature lower bound to a simplicial complex induced by the nerve of an open covering. This simplicial complex has finite dimension since the multiplicity of the open covering is controlled by the (local) doubling constant. Gromov then performed a dimension reduction argument to decrease the dimension of the simplicial complex to that of the manifold. The relation between Gromov's argument, the asymptotic dimension and the Assouad–Nagata dimension is immediately revealed by the following equivalent definitions of them. We start by introducing some terminology and recalling the definitions.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We say a simplicial complex P is **uniform** if it carries a metric induced by the restriction of the Euclidean metric of $\ell^2(P^0)$. Here P^0 is the 0-skeleton (or vertices) of P . For $D > 0$ we say a map $f : X \rightarrow P$ is **D -cobounded** if $\text{diam} f^{-1}(\sigma) \leq D$ for every simplex $\sigma \subseteq P$.

Proposition A.1 (Dranishnikov–Smith [DS07, Proposition 1.6, 1.7]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then:*

- (1) $\text{asdim}(X) \leq n$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $D(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that there exists a uniform simplicial complex P of dimension n and an ε -Lipschitz, D -cobounded map $\varphi : X \rightarrow P$.
- (2) $\dim_{AN}(X) \leq n$ if there exists $D > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a uniform simplicial complex P of dimension n and an ε -Lipschitz, D/ε -cobounded map $\varphi : X \rightarrow P$.

Remark A.2. In fact, [DS07, Proposition 1.7] concerns the *asymptotic* Assouad–Nagata dimension. The only difference is that there is an upper bound for the ε in (2) of Proposition A.1. This upper bound should be removed for the definition of the Assouad–Nagata dimension.

In the proof of the next theorem, we will follow Gromov’s original proof in [Gro82] very closely while highlighting some bounds that are established but not emphasized there. See also [Cai94, Lemma 3.1.1].

Theorem A.3 (Gromov [Gro82, Section 3.4]). *Let (X, d) be a doubling metric space with doubling constant N . Then there exists $D := D(N)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor$ -dimensional uniform simplicial complex P and an ε -Lipschitz, D/ε -cobounded map $F : X \rightarrow P$.*

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $r = \varepsilon^{-1}$. Take a $(\frac{2}{3}r, \frac{2}{3}r)$ -net $T := \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Note that the family of open balls $\{B_{2r/3}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ covers X , and the balls $\{B_{r/3}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are pairwise disjoint. Let $\varphi_i(x) = \max\{0, 1 - 3r^{-1}d(x, B_{2r/3}(x_i))\}$. Each φ_i is a nonnegative $3r^{-1}$ -Lipschitz function. Define a map

$$F : X \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$$

$$x \mapsto \left(\frac{\varphi_j(x)}{\sum_i \varphi_i(x)} \right)_{j=1}^\infty$$

The image of F is contained in the unit simplex $\Delta := \{(y_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) : \sum_i y_i = 1\}$. From Lemma 2.15, we infer that there exists a constant $d := d(N)$ such that any $x \in X$ belongs to at most d balls in $\{B_{2r/3}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$. By identifying \mathbb{N} with the net T , F can be viewed as a map into the nerve of the covering $\{B_{2r/3}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, which is realized as a subcomplex P^d of dimension at most d in Δ . Here, the dimension of a simplicial complex is the supremum of the dimensions of its simplices. Note that T can be viewed as the 0-skeleton of P^d , and P^d is uniform. By a direct computation we can verify that each $\frac{\varphi_j(x)}{\sum_i \varphi_i(x)}$ is $9r^{-1}$ -Lipschitz, and for any two points $x, y \in F$, there are at most $2d$ nonzero entries in $F(x)$ and $F(y)$. Therefore, F is $9\sqrt{2d}r^{-1}$ -Lipschitz.

Next, we will inductively define $F_0 := F$, $F_j : X \rightarrow P^{d-j}$, $j \geq 1$, where P^{d-j} is the $(\dim P - j)$ -skeleton of P^d with the following properties:

- (1) There is a constant $C := C(j, N)$ such that F_j is Cr^{-1} -Lipschitz.
- (2) The pullback under F_j of the star of every vertex of $x \in P^d$ (recall that we can identify the vertex set with the net) is contained in a finite union of balls in the family $\{B_{2r/3}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and they intersect a fixed ball $B_{2r/3}(x)$. In particular, $\sup_{\sigma \subseteq P^{d-j}} \text{diam}(F_j^{-1}(\sigma)) \leq 4r$.

We perform the induction until we obtain a map g from X to the b -skeleton of P satisfying items (1) and (2), assuming $\dim P \geq b$. For the base step, we verify that $F = F_0$ satisfies both items (1) and (2) by definition.

We show the induction step by defining F_1 from F_0 . For every top-dimensional simplex σ in P^d , take $x \in \text{Int}(\sigma) \setminus F_0(X)$ we claim there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $d(x, F_0(X) \cap \sigma) \geq \varepsilon_0$. This will follow if we can prove that $\sup_{x \in \sigma} d(x, F_0(X) \cap \sigma) > 0$, and this bound is independent of σ .

Indeed, fix a simplex σ and a positive number $\varepsilon_0 \geq \sup_{x \in \sigma} \mathbf{d}(x, F_0(X) \cap \sigma)$. Take a finite $(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$ -net $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in $f(X) \cap \sigma$. Then $\{B_{2\varepsilon_0}(y_i)\}_{i=0}^k$ covers σ . Since $\sigma \in P^d$ carries the Euclidean metric restricted from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, there exists $c := c(N) > 0$ (which can change line by line) such that

$$\text{vol}(\sigma) \leq kc\varepsilon_0^{\dim P^d}, \text{ equivalently, } k \geq c\varepsilon_0^{-\dim P^d}.$$

By item (1), every $F_0^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon_0}(y_i))$ contains a ball of radius $C^{-1}r\varepsilon_0$, and by construction, the sets in the family $\{F_0^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon_0}(y_i))\}_{i=0}^k$ are pairwise disjoint. Thus, we have a $Cr\varepsilon_0$ -separated set of k elements. Meanwhile, by item (2), $\cup_{i=1}^k F_0^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon_0}(y_i))$ are contained in the union of at most d balls of radius $2r/3$ that intersects a fixed ball $B_{2r/3}$. Therefore, this $Cr\varepsilon_0$ -separated set is contained in the ball B_{2r} . By Lemma 2.15, we have

$$k \leq N^2 \left(\frac{2r}{Cr\varepsilon_0} \right)^{\log_2 N} = C\varepsilon_0^{-\log_2 N}.$$

Altogether, we have

$$C\varepsilon_0^{-\log_2 N} \geq k \geq c\varepsilon_0^{-\dim P^d},$$

which implies that ε_0 has a uniform lower bound depending only on N as long as $\dim P^d > \log_2 N$. Since ε_0 can be chosen to be arbitrarily close to $\sup_{x \in \sigma} \mathbf{d}(x, F_0(X) \cap \sigma)$, we see that $\sup_{x \in \sigma} \mathbf{d}(x, F_0(X) \cap \sigma)$ has the same lower bound we just obtained. Now let

$$\varepsilon_0 = \inf_{\sigma} \sup_{x \in \sigma} \mathbf{d}(x, F_0(X) \cap \sigma),$$

where σ ranges over all top-dimensional simplices in P^d . From the previous argument, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ since the lower bound does not depend on σ . Define a projection π such that for each σ , $\pi|_{\sigma}$ is a linear projection from a point $x \in \text{Int}(\sigma) \setminus f(X)$, ensuring that $\mathbf{d}(x, F_0(X)) \geq \varepsilon_0/2$, to $\partial\sigma$. By definition, π is Lipschitz, with Lipschitz constant bounded by $\sup_{\sigma} \text{diam}(\sigma)/\varepsilon_0$. Let $F_1 = \pi \circ F_0$. This is the desired map, and $\text{diam } F_0^{-1}(\sigma) \leq 4r$. The induction can continue by replacing P^d with its $(\dim P^d - 1)$ -skeleton as long as the dimension is larger than $\log_2 N$. Since the dimension of a simplicial complex is an integer, we can get a map to a simplicial complex of dimension $\lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor$. \square

Remark A.4. By combining Theorem A.3 with the equivalent definitions in Proposition A.1, we recover Theorem 1.1. Note that the original proof uses volume estimates in the spirit of Lemma 2.12. Therefore, the proof above also works for doubling measures, thereby also recovering Theorem 1.2.

A slight modification of this method allows us to show Proposition 1.5. We recall the statement here.

Proposition A.5 (Proposition 1.5). *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$ and volume noncollapsed, i.e., $\inf_{p \in M} \text{vol}_g(B_1(p)) > 0$. Then $\dim_{AN}(M) = n$ if and only if M has Euclidean volume growth.*

Proof. If (M, g) has Euclidean volume growth, then it is shown there is no continuous map into any $(n - 1)$ -dimensional uniform simplicial complex that is cobounded. See the discussion below [She96, Theorem 1.3] and references therein. In particular, $\dim_{AN}(M) \geq n$. As noted before, $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$ implies that (M, g) is volume doubling. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, we infer that $\dim_{AN}(M) \leq n$, which forces $\dim_{AN} = n$.

Conversely, assume $\dim_{AN}(M) = n$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an ε -Lipschitz and D/ε -cobounded map $F : M \rightarrow P^n$ for some $D > 0$. Suppose, for contradiction, that (M, g) does not

have Euclidean volume growth. For any simplex σ , take a positive $\varepsilon_0 \geq \sup_{x \in \sigma} d(x, F_0(X) \cap \sigma)$ and take an $(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$ -net $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in $F(M) \cap \sigma$. Then $\{B_{2\varepsilon_0}(y_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ covers σ , and the pullback of each $B_{\varepsilon_0}(y_i)$ contains a ball of radius $\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon_0$. These balls are pairwise disjoint and contained in $B_{D\varepsilon^{-1}}(x)$, as each $B_{\varepsilon_0}(y_i)$ is contained in σ . If $\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon_0 \geq 1$, equivalently $\varepsilon_0 \geq \varepsilon$, then we can follow the proof of Theorem A.3 to find a Lipschitz and cobounded map to P^{n-1} , then $\dim_{AN}(M) \leq n - 1$, a contradiction. If $\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon_0 < 1$, then by Bishop–Gromov inequality, any ball of radius $\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon_0$ has volume at least $v(\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon_0)^n$. Therefore, we obtain

$$kv(\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon_0)^n \leq \text{vol}_g(B_{D\varepsilon^{-1}}(x)).$$

If (M, g) does not have Euclidean volume growth, then $\text{vol}_g(B_{D\varepsilon^{-1}}(x)) = o(\varepsilon^{-n})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. It follows that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $k = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Finally we recall Corollary 1.6 and prove it.

Corollary A.6. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete non-compact manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$, $\text{Sc}_g \geq 2$ and $v := \inf_{x \in M} \text{vol}_g(B_1(x)) > 0$. Then $\dim_{AN}(M) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. Under our assumptions, it is proven in [WXZZ24, Theorem 1.9] that (M, g) cannot have Euclidean volume growth. The result follows from the previous proposition. \square

Inspired by Gromov’s macroscopic dimension conjecture, we propose a parallel conjecture suited for positive scalar curvature coupled with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

Conjecture A.7. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete non-compact manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$ and $\text{Sc}_g \geq \lambda > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ and a $C\sqrt{\lambda}$ -Lipschitz and $C/\sqrt{\lambda}$ -cobounded map $\varphi : M \rightarrow P^{n-2}$, where P^{n-2} is some uniform $(n - 2)$ -dimensional simplicial complex.*

REFERENCES

- [Bar96] Y. Bartal, *Probabilistic approximation of metric spaces and its algorithmic applications*, Proceedings of 37th Conference on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), 1996, pp. 184–193. MR1450616
- [Bas72] H. Bass, *The degree of polynomial growth of finitely generated nilpotent groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **25** (1972), 603–614. MR379672
- [BD08] G. Bell and A.N. Dranishnikov, *Asymptotic dimension*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 12, 1265–1296. MR2423966
- [BY23] A. Bernshteyn and J. Yu, *Large-scale geometry of Borel graphs of polynomial growth*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.04727> (preprint).
- [Cai94] M. Cai, *On Gromov’s large Riemannian manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **50** (1994), no. 1, 37–45. MR1280793
- [Dav24] G.C. David, *A non-injective Assouad-type theorem with sharp dimension*, J. Geom. Anal. **34** (2024), no. 2, Paper No. 45, 19. MR4682996
- [DH08] J. Dydak and J. Higes, *Asymptotic cones and Assouad–Nagata dimension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 6, 2225–2233. MR2383529
- [Dra03] A.N. Dranishnikov, *On hypersphericity of manifolds with finite asymptotic dimension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 1, 155–167. MR1928082
- [DS07] A.N. Dranishnikov and J. Smith, *On asymptotic Assouad–Nagata dimension*, Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 4, 934–952. MR2294641
- [EL75] P. Erdős and L. Lovász, *Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions*, Infinite and Finite Sets, Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai (A. Hajnal and R. Rado and V.T. Sós, eds.). North Holland, 1975, pp. 609–627. MR382050
- [FH86] E. Fadell and S. Husseini, *On a theorem of Anosov on Nielsen numbers for nilmanifolds*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications (S.P. Singh, eds.). NATO ASI Series, vol 173. Springer, Dordrecht, 1986, pp. 47–53. MR852569

- [Fil19] A. Filtser, *On strong diameter padded decompositions*, Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques (APPROX/RANDOM 2019), 2019, pp. 6:1–6:21. MR4012656
- [Gro78] M. Gromov, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **13** (1978), no. 2, 231–241. MR540942
- [Gro81] ———, *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **53** (1981), 53–73. MR623534
- [Gro82] ———, *Volume and bounded cohomology*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **56** (1982), 5–99. MR686042
- [Gro86] ———, *Large Riemannian manifolds*, Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds (Katata, 1985), 1986, pp. 108–121. MR859578
- [Gro93] ———, *Geometric Group Theory, Vol. 2: Asymptotic Invariants of Infinite Groups* (G.A. Niblo and M.A. Roller, eds.), Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993. MR1253544
- [HP13] J. Higes and I. Peng, *Assouad–Nagata dimension of connected Lie groups*, Math. Z. **273** (2013), no. 1–2, 283–302. MR3010160
- [Kan85] M. Kanai, *Rough isometries, and combinatorial approximations of geometries of noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **37** (1985), no. 3, 391–413. MR792983
- [Kec95] A.S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1995. MR1321597
- [KL07] R. Krauthgamer and J.R. Lee, *The intrinsic dimensionality of graphs*, Combinatorica **27** (2007), no. 5, 551–585. MR2120459
- [LDR15] E. Le Donne and T. Rajala, *Assouad dimension, Nagata dimension, and uniformly close metric tangents*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **64** (2015), no. 1, 21–54. MR3320519
- [LR84] K.B. Lee and F. Raymond, *Geometric realization of group extensions by the Seifert construction*, Contributions to group theory, 1984, pp. 353–411. MR767121
- [LS05] U. Lang and T. Schlichenmaier, *Nagata dimension, quasisymmetric embeddings, and Lipschitz extensions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **58** (2005), 3625–3655. MR2200122
- [LS98] J. Luukkainen and E. Saksman, *Every complete doubling metric space carries a doubling measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 2, 531–534. MR1443161
- [LS93] N. Linial and M. Saks, *Low diameter graph decompositions* **13** (1993), no. 4, 441–454. MR1262920
- [Pap23] P. Papasoglu, *Polynomial growth and asymptotic dimension*, Israel J. Math. (2023), 1–16. MR4619565
- [RG20] V. Rozhoň and M. Ghaffari, *Polylogarithmic-time deterministic network decomposition and distributed derandomization*, Proceedings of the 52nd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), 2020, pp. 350–363. MR4141765
- [Ruh82] E.A. Ruh, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 1, 1–14. MR658470
- [She96] Z. Shen, *Complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and large volume growth*, Invent. Math. **125** (1996), no. 3, 393–404. MR1400311
- [Spe77] J. Spencer, *Asymptotic lower bounds for Ramsey functions*, Discrete Math. **20** (1977), 69–76. MR491337
- [VK87] A.L. Vol’berg and S.V. Konyagin, *On measures with the doubling condition*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **51** (1987), no. 3, 666–675. MR903629
- [WXZZ24] J. Wang, Z. Xie, B. Zhu, and X. Zhu, *Positive scalar curvature meets Ricci limit spaces*, Manuscripta Math. (2024), 1–27.
- [Yu98] G. Yu, *The Novikov conjecture for groups with finite asymptotic dimension*, Ann. of Math. (2) **147** (1998), no. 2, 325–355. MR1626745