

Jaya R Package - A Parameter-Free Solution for Advanced Single and Multi-Objective Optimization

by Neeraj Dhanraj Bokde

Abstract The Jaya R package offers a robust and versatile implementation of the parameter-free Jaya optimization algorithm, suitable for solving both single-objective and multi-objective optimization problems. By integrating advanced features such as constraint handling, adaptive population management, Pareto front tracking for multi-objective trade-offs, and parallel processing for computational efficiency, the package caters to a wide range of optimization challenges. Its intuitive design and flexibility allow users to solve complex, real-world problems across various domains. To demonstrate its practical utility, a case study on energy modeling explores the optimization of renewable energy shares, showcasing the package's ability to minimize carbon emissions and costs while enhancing system reliability. The Jaya R package is an invaluable tool for researchers and practitioners seeking efficient and adaptive optimization solutions.

Introduction

Optimization is a fundamental process in solving complex problems across diverse domains, including engineering, finance, healthcare, and energy systems. Traditional optimization methods often rely on gradient information, which limits their applicability to non-smooth, multi-modal, or highly constrained problems. To address these challenges, gradient-free optimization algorithms like the Jaya algorithm have emerged as powerful alternatives.

The Jaya algorithm (Rao, 2016) is a population-based, parameter-free optimization technique. It minimizes or maximizes objective functions by iteratively refining a set of candidate solutions without requiring hyperparameters. Its simplicity, adaptability, and robustness make it a suitable choice for single-objective and multi-objective problems, even under complex constraints.

The **Jaya R package** (Bokde) provides an efficient and flexible implementation of the Jaya algorithm within the R ecosystem. It supports both single-objective and multi-objective optimization, integrating advanced features such as: Adaptive population adjustment to improve convergence rates, Constraint handling for practical feasibility, and, Pareto front tracking for multi-objective problems.

Designed to address the needs of researchers and practitioners, the package is equipped with tools for early stopping, parallel processing, and seamless visualization of results. It leverages R's extensive ecosystem to offer an intuitive yet powerful optimization framework.

This paper introduces the Jaya R package, emphasizing its design, features, and practical utility. While the package is applicable across a wide range of disciplines, we present an example use case in energy modeling to demonstrate its capabilities. The case study showcases how the package can optimize renewable energy shares to achieve objectives such as minimizing carbon emissions and costs while maximizing system reliability. However, the focus of this paper remains on the Jaya R package itself, with the case study serving as a practical illustration.

Subsequent sections provide a detailed overview of the package's features (Section 2), demonstrate its use in energy modeling (Section 3), and conclude with a discussion on its advantages and potential applications (Section 4).

The Jaya Algorithm

The Jaya algorithm, introduced in (Rao, 2016), is a population-based optimization technique designed for solving single-objective and multi-objective problems. The term "Jaya" translates to "victory" in Sanskrit, reflecting the algorithm's philosophy of moving toward the best solution while avoiding the worst. Unlike traditional optimization algorithms that often require algorithm-specific parameters, such as crossover rates or mutation probabilities, the Jaya algorithm is parameter-free. This simplicity, coupled with its effectiveness, makes it an attractive choice for optimization across diverse domains.

The Jaya algorithm begins by generating a population of candidate solutions, each representing a possible configuration of decision variables within their specified bounds. Once initialized, the algorithm evaluates each candidate solution using one or more objective functions. For constrained problems, penalty terms are applied to account for constraint violations, ensuring that the algorithm prioritizes feasible solutions.




Figure 1: Block diagram of the Jaya algorithm.

The core of the algorithm lies in its update rule. Each candidate solution is adjusted based on its proximity to the best and worst solutions in the current population. This adjustment is governed by the following equation:

$$x_{new} = x_{old} + r_1 \cdot (x_{best} - |x_{old}|) - r_2 \cdot (x_{worst} - |x_{old}|)$$

Here, x_{new} represents the updated solution, x_{old} is the current solution, x_{best} is the best solution in the population, and x_{worst} is the worst solution. The terms r_1 and r_2 are random numbers uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, introducing stochasticity that aids in exploring the solution space. This simple update mechanism enables the algorithm to refine solutions iteratively.

The algorithm repeats the evaluation and update steps until a specified number of iterations is reached or a convergence criterion is met. The output of the Jaya algorithm is the best solution(s) found, along with their corresponding objective values. The absence of algorithm-specific parameters eliminates the need for manual tuning, further enhancing its usability.

Figure 1 is a block diagram that illustrates the workflow of the Jaya algorithm, encompassing initialization, evaluation, and iterative improvement:

The algorithm's simplicity, versatility, and parameter-free nature make it particularly well-suited for applications in engineering, energy modeling, and other fields requiring robust optimization techniques. The Jaya R package builds upon these foundational principles to provide a user-friendly interface for implementing this powerful algorithm within the R programming environment.

Overview of the Jaya R Package

The **Jaya R package** offers a comprehensive implementation of the Jaya optimization algorithm, a gradient-free, parameter-less technique suitable for solving single-objective and multi-objective optimization problems. Its design focuses on flexibility, simplicity, and applicability across diverse domains.

Key Features of the Jaya R Package

The Jaya R package incorporates several advanced features that enhance its applicability for solving both single-objective and multi-objective optimization problems. These features are designed to provide users with flexibility, ease of use, and computational efficiency. The key features are as follows:

- 1. Single-Objective Optimization:**
The package supports robust optimization for single objectives, with capabilities to define custom objective functions, parameter bounds, and constraints.
- 2. Multi-Objective Optimization:**
The implementation of Pareto front tracking allows users to efficiently solve multi-objective problems, balancing trade-offs across conflicting objectives.
- 3. Constraint Handling:**
User-defined constraint functions are supported, enabling the algorithm to prioritize feasible solutions by enforcing restrictions through penalty terms.
- 4. Adaptive Population Adjustment:**
The population size is dynamically adjusted during the optimization process, enhancing convergence by balancing exploration and exploitation phases.
- 5. Early Stopping Mechanism:**
The algorithm incorporates early termination criteria based on user-defined tolerance and patience settings, improving runtime efficiency for problems nearing convergence.
- 6. Parallel Processing:**
The package leverages multi-core systems to parallelize objective function evaluations, significantly reducing computation time for large-scale problems.

These features make the Jaya R package a versatile and powerful tool for optimization in diverse domains such as engineering, energy modeling, and operational research.

Primary Functions in the Package

1. jaya(): Single-Objective Optimization The `jaya()` function solves optimization problems with a single objective function. It allows constraints and offers options for adaptive population size adjustment.

Usage:

```
jaya(fun, lower, upper, popSize = 50, maxiter, n_var, seed = NULL,  
constraints = list(), adaptive_pop = FALSE, ...)
```

Parameters:

- `fun`: The objective function to minimize or maximize.
- `lower`: A numeric vector of lower bounds for decision variables.
- `upper`: A numeric vector of upper bounds for decision variables.
- `popSize`: The size of the population (default = 50).
- `maxiter`: The maximum number of iterations.
- `n_var`: The number of decision variables.
- `seed`: An optional seed for reproducibility.
- `constraints`: A list of constraint functions that return values ≤ 0 for feasibility.
- `adaptive_pop`: Logical; enables dynamic population size adjustment (default = FALSE).

Output: The function returns a list containing:

- `Best`: The best solution found, including decision variables and the objective value.
- `Iterations`: A history of the best objective values over iterations.

2. jaya_multi(): Multi-Objective Optimization The `jaya_multi()` function extends the algorithm for problems with multiple objectives. It identifies Pareto-optimal solutions and supports constraints.

Usage:

```
jaya_multi(objectives, lower, upper, popSize = 50, maxiter, n_var,
seed = NULL, constraints = list(), adaptive_pop = FALSE, ...)
```

Parameters:

- `objectives`: A list of objective functions.
- `lower`: A numeric vector of lower bounds for decision variables.
- `upper`: A numeric vector of upper bounds for decision variables.
- `popSize`: The size of the population (default = 50).
- `maxiter`: The maximum number of iterations.
- `n_var`: The number of decision variables.
- `seed`: An optional seed for reproducibility.
- `constraints`: A list of constraint functions that return values ≤ 0 for feasibility.
- `adaptive_pop`: Logical; enables dynamic population size adjustment (default = FALSE).

Output:

The function returns:

- `Pareto_Front`: A data frame of non-dominated solutions, including decision variables and their corresponding objective values.
- `Solutions`: The final population, including all solutions evaluated.

Helper Functions

summary.jaya() The `summary.jaya()` function provides a concise summary of the optimization results for both single-objective (`jaya()`) and multi-objective (`jaya_multi()`) optimization runs. It includes:

- The **best solution** found during the optimization process.
- The **objective value** of the best solution.
- Convergence details, such as the number of iterations taken to reach the optimal solution.

This function is particularly useful for quickly understanding the results of the optimization process.

Usage:

```
summary(object)
```

Arguments:

- `object`: An object of class `jaya` or `jaya_multi`, which is the output of the `jaya()` or `jaya_multi()` functions.

plot.jaya() The `plot.jaya()` function visualizes the progress of the optimization for single-objective problems. It generates a plot of the **best objective value** versus the **number of iterations**, allowing users to assess convergence behavior.

Features:

- Easy identification of convergence trends.
- Highlights stagnation or rapid improvement phases during optimization.

Usage:

```
plot(object)
```

Arguments:

- `object`: An object of class `jaya`, which is the output of the `jaya()` function.

Output:

- A convergence plot displaying the evolution of the best objective value over iterations.

plot_jaya_multi_pairwise() The `plot_jaya_multi_pairwise()` function generates pairwise scatter plots for the objectives in the Pareto front, aiding in the analysis of trade-offs between conflicting objectives for multi-objective optimization.

Features:

- Visualization of the Pareto front in 2D projections.
- Identifies clusters or patterns in trade-offs between objectives.

Usage:

```
plot_jaya_multi_pairwise(object, ... )
```

Arguments:

- `object`: An object of class `jaya_multi`, which is the output of the `jaya_multi()` function.
- Additional arguments for customization of plot aesthetics.

Output:

- Pairwise scatter plots of objectives from the Pareto front.

Functionality Overview

Single-Objective Optimization

The `jaya()` function supports optimization problems involving a single objective function. Users can define the objective function to minimize or maximize, specify bounds for the decision variables, and configure additional parameters such as population size and maximum iterations. The function iteratively refines the solutions until convergence criteria are met, ultimately providing the best solution found along with its corresponding objective value. Furthermore, it tracks the evolution of the best objective value over iterations, enabling users to visualize the optimization process.

In the following example, we optimize a simple convex function known as the Sphere function, which is defined as the sum of the squares of the input vector. This function is commonly used as a benchmark in optimization due to its simplicity and unimodal nature.

```
library(Jaya) # Load the Jaya optimization package

# Define the Sphere function
# The Sphere function calculates the sum of squares of the input vector x.
# It is a simple convex function commonly used for testing optimization algorithms.
sphere_function <- function(x) sum(x^2)

# Perform single-objective optimization using the Jaya algorithm
result <- jaya(
  fun = sphere_function,          # Objective function to minimize
  lower = c(-5, -5, -5),         # Lower bounds for the variables
  upper = c(5, 5, 5),            # Upper bounds for the variables
  popSize = 20,                  # Population size
  maxiter = 50,                  # Maximum number of iterations
  n_var = 3                       # Number of variables
)

# Display a summary of the optimization results
summary(result)
```

```

#> Jaya Algorithm
#> Population Size      = 20
#> Number of iterations = 50
#> Number of variables  = 3
#>
#> Objective: minimize
#> Objective Function:
#> [[1]]
#> function (x)
#> sum(x^2)
#> <bytecode: 0x000001a912032a88>
#>
#>
#> Limits:
#> x1 = [-5, 5]
#> x2 = [-5, 5]
#> x3 = [-5, 5]
#>
#> Best Result:
#>           Best.x1      Best.x2      Best.x3      Best.f.x.
#> Best -6.373456e-05 5.722159e-05 9.972234e-05 1.728095e-08

# Plot the convergence of the best objective value over iterations
plot(result)

```

Single-Objective Optimization




Figure 2: Convergence plot showing the evolution of the best objective value over iterations for minimizing a sphere function using the Jaya algorithm.

- **Objective Function:** The Sphere function, $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, is used as the objective function. It evaluates how close the variables are to zero, with the global minimum at $f(x) = 0$, when $x_i = 0$ for all i .
- **Bounds:** Decision variables are bounded between -5 and 5, ensuring the search is confined within this range.
- **Parameters:**
 - popSize = 20: Specifies a population of 20 candidate solutions.
 - maxiter = 50: Runs the algorithm for a maximum of 50 iterations.
 - n_var = 3: Optimizes three decision variables.

The output of the `summary(result)` command provides a concise overview of the optimization process, including the best solution found, the corresponding objective value, and convergence details.

The `plot(result)` function generates a convergence plot (Figure 2) showing the evolution of the best objective value over iterations. This plot helps assess the efficiency of the algorithm in minimizing the objective function and provides insights into whether the optimization process has stagnated or continued to improve.

Multi-Objective Optimization

The `jaya_multi()` function facilitates the optimization of multiple conflicting objectives by employing Pareto front tracking. This approach identifies non-dominated solutions that represent trade-offs among the objectives, enabling users to explore the solution space without biasing toward a single objective. The function accepts a list of objective functions, bounds for the decision variables, and additional parameters to control the optimization process. The result includes the Pareto-optimal solutions and their corresponding decision variables, which are critical for understanding trade-offs in multi-objective optimization problems.

In the following example, we optimize two objectives simultaneously:

- Minimize the sum of squares of decision variables (Sphere function).
- Minimize the sum of squares offset by 2.

This example highlights how the Jaya algorithm balances competing objectives to generate a Pareto front.

```
# Multi-objective example: Optimize two sphere functions
objective1 <- function(x) sum(x^2)           # Minimize the sum of squares
objective2 <- function(x) sum((x - 2)^2)     # Minimize the sum of squares offset by 2

# Run the multi-objective optimization
result_multi <- jaya_multi(
  objectives = list(objective1, objective2), # Add the objective functions
  lower = c(-5, -5, -5),                   # Lower bounds for variables
  upper = c(5, 5, 5),                       # Upper bounds for variables
  popSize = 30,                             # Population size
  maxiter = 100,                            # Maximum number of iterations
  n_var = 3                                 # Number of variables
)

# Summarize the results
summary(result_multi)

#>           Length Class      Mode
#> Pareto_Front 5      data.frame list
#> Solutions    5      data.frame list

# Plot pairwise scatter plots of the Pareto front
plot_jaya_multi_pairwise(result_multi)
```

- **Objective Functions:**

- `objective1(x)`: The Sphere function $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, a convex function, is minimized to push variables close to zero.
- `objective2(x)`: A modified Sphere function $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 2)^2$, aims to shift variables towards 2.

- **Parameters:**

- `popSize = 30`: A population size of 30 candidate solutions is used.
- `maxiter = 100`: The algorithm runs for a maximum of 100 iterations.
- `n_var = 3`: The problem involves three decision variables.
- `lower` and `upper`: Decision variables are constrained to lie within $[-5, 5]$.

The `summary(result_multi)` command provides a detailed overview of the Pareto-optimal solutions, including their decision variables and corresponding objective values. This helps in analyzing the trade-offs between the objectives.




Figure 3: Pairwise scatter plots of the Pareto front obtained from the multi-objective optimization of two sphere functions. The plots show trade-offs between objectives: minimizing the sum of squares and minimizing the sum of squares offset by 2.

The `plot_jaya_multi_pairwise(result_multi)` function generates pairwise scatter plots (Figure 3) of the Pareto front. Each plot visualizes trade-offs between two objectives, aiding in identifying clusters or patterns in the solution space. In this example, the scatter plots reveal the relationship between minimizing the sum of squares and minimizing the sum of squares offset by 2.

Performance Comparison of Optimization Algorithms

To assess the performance of the Jaya algorithm, we conducted a comparative study using five well-known single-objective optimization problems: Sphere, Rastrigin, Rosenbrock, Ackley, and Griewank. These problems are widely used benchmarks, offering a variety of challenges such as non-linearity, multimodality, and a rugged objective space. The performance of Jaya was compared with other optimization techniques, including Differential Evolution (DE) (Mullen et al., 2011, Ardia et al. (2011)), Genetic Algorithm (GA) (Scrucca, 2013, Scrucca (2017)), Simulated Annealing (SA) (R Core Team, 2024), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) (Bendtsen., 2022), and Nelder-Mead (NM) (R Core Team, 2024).

Benchmark Problems and Results The equations for the benchmark problems and the achieved values of the objective functions for each algorithm are presented in Table 1. These equations for the benchmark problems are based on (de JONG Kenneth, 1975, Rastrigin (1974), Rosenbrock (1960), Ackley (2012), Griewank (1981)) and represent diverse optimization landscapes, and the results highlight the precision and efficiency of the Jaya algorithm in comparison to other methods. The code for this comparison study is publicly available at (Bokde, 2024).

The results illustrate the competitive performance of the Jaya algorithm across all benchmark problems. For simpler problems such as Sphere and Rastrigin, most algorithms achieved near-zero values, reflecting the ease of optimization. However, for complex problems like Rosenbrock and Ackley, Jaya demonstrated high precision, achieving values comparable to or better than established methods like DE and PSO.

Jaya's parameter-less design provides a computationally efficient alternative to traditional optimization algorithms, ensuring robust performance across a diverse range of optimization landscapes. These results highlight Jaya's potential as a versatile and reliable tool for solving optimization problems.

Table 1: Achieved values for benchmark problems using different optimization algorithms.

Problem	Equation	Jaya	DE	GA	SA	PSO	NM
Sphere de JONG Kenneth (1975)	$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$	2.13×10^{-101}	2.74×10^{-110}	2.78×10^{-8}	0	0	0
Rastrigin Rastrigin (1974)	$10n + \sum_{i=1}^n [x_i^2 - 10 \cos(2\pi x_i)]$	0	0	3.33×10^{-7}	0	0	0
Rosenbrock Rosenbrock (1960)	$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [100(x_{i+1} - x_i^2)^2 + (x_i - 1)^2]$	1.74×10^{-9}	0	7.93×10^{-5}	2.88×10^{-2}	2.96×10^{-15}	9.84×10^{-7}
Ackley Ackley (2012)	$-20 \exp\left(-0.2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \cos(2\pi x_i)\right) + 20 + e$	1.90×10^{-11}	4.44×10^{-16}	1.39×10^{-4}	4.44×10^{-16}	4.44×10^{-16}	4.44×10^{-16}
Griewank Griewank (1981)	$1 + \frac{1}{4000} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \prod_{i=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{x_i}{\sqrt{i}}\right)$	1.45×10^{-3}	9.99×10^{-16}	6.02×10^{-4}	0	0	0

Application of the Jaya Package in Multi-Objective Energy Optimization

The complexity of modern energy systems necessitates robust optimization methods capable of balancing conflicting objectives. Renewable energy integration, in particular, introduces challenges related to cost-effectiveness, environmental impact, and system reliability. To illustrate the capability of the **Jaya R** package in solving such problems, we applied it to a multi-objective optimization case study, highlighting its adaptability and computational efficiency.

Simulation Settings

The optimization problem was designed to evaluate the contribution of four renewable energy sources—wind, solar, hydro, and storage—under conflicting objectives. These objectives were: minimizing carbon emissions, minimizing total costs (capital and operational), and maximizing reliability. The latter was quantified as a composite reliability index reflecting the stability of energy supply from the renewable sources.

The decision variables, representing the percentage contribution of each energy source, were bounded between 10% and 40%, ensuring a balanced mix. Additionally, the total renewable contribution was constrained to exceed 70%, aligning with common policy mandates for renewable energy deployment. The **Jaya** optimizer's parameter-less design allowed for seamless integration of these constraints, with the `jaya_multi` function managing the multi-objective optimization process efficiently.

The simulation settings included:

- Population Size: 100
- Maximum Iterations: 100
- Adaptive Population Sizing: Enabled (range: 50–200)
- Convergence Tolerance: 1×10^{-3}

The code for this simulation study is publicly available at (Bokde, 2024).

Results and Visualizations

The optimization identified Pareto-optimal solutions that highlight the trade-offs among emissions, costs, and reliability. These solutions were visualized as a 3D Pareto front (Figure 4), showcasing the algorithm's ability to navigate complex decision spaces effectively.

Wind, Solar, and Hydro Contributions Three additional visualizations (Figures 5, 6, and 7) explored the individual contributions of wind, solar, and hydro energy sources to the Pareto front, revealing their distinct roles in optimizing the energy mix:

- **Wind Contribution (Figure 5):** Higher wind contributions were primarily associated with significant reductions in emissions. However, this came at the cost of increased variability in

reliability, reflecting the intermittency of wind energy. Cost trends indicated moderate increases with higher wind contributions, emphasizing the importance of complementing wind with other stable sources or storage.

- **Solar Contribution (Figure 6):** Solar energy demonstrated a balanced impact on all three objectives. Solutions with higher solar contributions generally achieved reduced emissions while maintaining moderate costs and reliability. This behavior highlights solar energy's scalability and cost-effectiveness as a vital component of sustainable energy systems.
- **Hydro Contribution (Figure 7):** Hydro energy consistently contributed to enhanced reliability, with higher contributions aligning with improved system stability. However, these solutions were associated with increased overall costs, indicative of the capital-intensive nature of hydro energy infrastructure.

Total Renewable Contribution A composite plot (Figure 4) depicting the total contribution from all renewable sources provided additional insights. Interestingly, higher total contributions were associated with lower reliability indices (i.e., more negative values), suggesting challenges in maintaining system stability with higher shares of intermittent sources. This underscores the need for complementary measures, such as advanced storage systems or grid balancing technologies, to mitigate these effects.




Figure 4: 3D Pareto Front of Energy System Optimization (Colored by Total Contribution).




Figure 5: 3D Pareto Front of Energy System Optimization (Colored by Wind Contribution).




Figure 6: 3D Pareto Front of Energy System Optimization (Colored by Solar Contribution).




Figure 7: 3D Pareto Front of Energy System Optimization (Colored by Hydro Contribution).

The results underline the potential of the **Jaya** optimizer for addressing multi-objective optimization challenges in the energy domain. The visualizations provide decision-makers with a comprehensive view of the trade-offs among key objectives, enabling informed decisions based on policy priorities or operational needs.

For instance, solutions emphasizing wind and solar contributions align with emissions reduction targets, while hydro and storage contributions are better suited for enhancing reliability. The flexibility to incorporate domain-specific constraints and the ability to explore a diverse set of trade-offs without requiring parameter tuning underscore the robustness of the **Jaya** package. These attributes make it a powerful tool for optimizing energy systems under real-world conditions, particularly in the context of renewable energy integration and sustainability planning.

Summary

The **Jaya R** package provides an efficient and flexible implementation of the parameter-free **Jaya** optimization algorithm for single-objective and multi-objective problems. This paper highlights the key features of the package, including constraint handling, adaptive population adjustment, Pareto front tracking, early stopping, and parallel processing. The `jaya()` function addresses single-objective optimization needs, while the `jaya_multi()` function extends the algorithm for multi-objective scenarios, identifying non-dominated solutions for Pareto-optimal trade-offs. The package also includes robust helper functions, such as `summary.jaya()` for summarizing results and `plot.jaya()` and `plot_jaya_multi_pairwise()` for visualizing optimization performance and Pareto fronts. These features make the package suitable for a wide range of applications, from engineering to operational research. A practical case study on energy optimization demonstrates the utility of the package, showcasing its capability to balance conflicting objectives like cost, carbon emissions, and system reliability in renewable energy integration. The results underline the adaptability and computational efficiency of the **Jaya R** package, making it a valuable tool for researchers and practitioners alike.

Bibliography

- D. Ackley. *A connectionist machine for genetic hillclimbing*, volume 28. Springer science & business media, 2012. [p8, 9]
- D. Ardia, K. Boudt, P. Carl, K. Mullen, and B. G. Peterson. Differential evolution with deoptim: an application to non-convex portfolio optimization. *The R Journal*, 3(1):27–34, 2011. [p8]
- C. Bendtsen. *pso: Particle Swarm Optimization*, 2022. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pso>. R package version 1.0.4. [p8]
- N. Bokde. *Jaya: Gradient-Free Optimization Algorithm for Single and Multi-Objective Problems*. URL <https://github.com/neerajdhanraj/Jaya>. R package version 1.0.1. [p1]
- N. D. Bokde. *Jaya case studies and comparisons*. https://github.com/neerajdhanraj/Jaya_Case_Studies, 2024. Accessed: 2024-11-24. [p8, 9]
- A. de JONG Kenneth. Analysis of the behavior of a class of genetic adaptive systems. *Technical Report No. 185, Department of Computer and Communication Sciences, University of Michigan*, 1975. [p8, 9]
- A. O. Griewank. Generalized descent for global optimization. *Journal of optimization theory and applications*, 34:11–39, 1981. [p8, 9]
- K. Mullen, D. Ardia, D. L. Gil, D. Windover, and J. Cline. Deoptim: An r package for global optimization by differential evolution. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 40(6):1–26, 2011. [p8]
- R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2024. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>. [p8]
- R. Rao. *Jaya: A simple and new optimization algorithm for solving constrained and unconstrained optimization problems*. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, 7(1):19–34, 2016. [p1]
- L. A. Rastrigin. Systems of extremal control. *Nauka*, 1974. [p8, 9]
- H. Rosenbrock. An automatic method for finding the greatest or least value of a function. *The computer journal*, 3(3):175–184, 1960. [p8, 9]
- L. Scrucca. Ga: A package for genetic algorithms in r. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 53:1–37, 2013. [p8]

L. Scrucca. On Some Extensions to GA Package: Hybrid Optimisation, Parallelisation and Islands Evolution. *The R Journal*, 9(1):187–206, 2017. doi: 10.32614/RJ-2017-008. URL <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2017-008>. [p8]

Neeraj Dhanraj Bokde
Renewable and Sustainable Energy Research Center,
Technology Innovation Institute, Abu Dhabi, 9639,
United Arab Emirates
ORCID: 0000-0002-3493-9302
neeraj.bokde@tii.ae