Strong convergence of path sensitivities *

M.B. Giles

November 26, 2024

Abstract

It is well known that the Euler-Maruyama discretisation of an autonomous SDE using a uniform timestep h has a strong convergence error which is $O(h^{1/2})$ when the drift and diffusion are both globally Lipschitz. This note proves that the same is true for the approximation of the path sensitivity to changes in a parameter affecting the drift and diffusion, assuming the appropriate number of derivatives exist and are bounded. This seems to fill a gap in the existing stochastic numerical analysis literature.

1 Introduction

Suppose we have an autonomous scalar SDE

 $dS_t = a(\theta, S_t) dt + b(\theta, S_t) dW_t,$

with given initial data S_0 , in which the drift and diffusion coefficients depend on a scalar parameter θ as well as the path S_t . The corresponding Euler-Maruyama discretisation, using a fixed timestep h is given by

$$\widehat{S}_{(n+1)h} = \widehat{S}_{nh} + a(\theta, \widehat{S}_{nh}) h + b(\theta, \widehat{S}_{nh}) \Delta W_n,$$

where ΔW_t is a N(0, h) random variable, and $\widehat{S}_0 = S_0$.

For a given θ , if a and b are both globally Lipschitz it is well known (see Theorem 10.6.3 in [10], and the subsequent discussion) that over a finite time interval [0, T], for any $p \ge 2$ there is a constant c_p such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |\widehat{S}_t - S_t|^p\right] \le c_p h^{p/2},$$

^{*}This research was funded by the EPSRC ICONIC programme grant EP/P020720/1 and by the Hong Kong Innovation and Technology Commission (InnoHK Project CIMDA) and their support is gratefully acknowledged.

where \widehat{S}_t is the interpolation of the Euler-Maruyama approximation defined by

$$\mathrm{d}\widehat{S}_t = a(\theta, \widehat{S}_{\underline{t}}) \,\mathrm{d}t + b(\theta, \widehat{S}_{\underline{t}}) \,\mathrm{d}W_t,$$

where \underline{t} represents t rounded down to the nearest timestep.

If $a(\theta, S)$ is differentiable with respect to both arguments, and we use the notation $a' \equiv \partial a/\partial S$ and $\dot{a} \equiv \partial a/\partial \theta$ then differentiating the original SDE once w.r.t. θ gives the linear pathwise sensitivity SDE for $\dot{S}_t \equiv \partial S_t/\partial \theta$,

$$\mathrm{d}\dot{S}_t = (\dot{a}(\theta, S_t) + a'(\theta, S_t) \dot{S}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + (\dot{b}(\theta, S_t) + b'(\theta, S_t) \dot{S}_t) \,\mathrm{d}W_t.$$

It is easily seen that the Euler-Maruyama discretisation of this SDE

$$\widehat{\dot{S}}_{(n+1)h} = \widehat{\dot{S}}_{nh} + \left(\dot{a}(\theta, \widehat{S}_{nh}) + a'(\theta, \widehat{S}_{nh})\,\widehat{\dot{S}}_{nh}\right)h + \left(\dot{b}(\theta, \widehat{S}_{nh}) + b'(\theta, \widehat{S}_{nh})\,\widehat{\dot{S}}_{nh}\right)\Delta W_n$$

corresponds to the differentiation of the Euler-Maruyama discretisation of the original SDE. This is used extensively in the computational finance community as part of the pathwise sensitivity approach (also known as IPA, Infinitesimal Perturbation Analysis) to computing payoff sensitivities known collectively as "the Greeks" [1, 5, 7, 8, 11].

The two SDEs can be combined to form a single vector SDE

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{S}_t = \mathbf{a}(\theta, \mathbf{S}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathbf{b}(\theta, \mathbf{S}_t) \,\mathrm{d}W_t.$$

From this it seems natural that the path sensitivity approximation should have the usual half order strong convergence, which is very important for its use and analysis in the context of multilevel Monte Carlo methods [2, 3, 6]. However, there is a problem; except in very simple cases, **a** and **b** do not satisfy the usual global Lipschitz condition since

$$b'(\theta, v_1) v_2 - b'(\theta, u_1) u_2 = (b'(\theta, v_1) - b'(\theta, u_1)) v_2 + b'(\theta, u_1) (v_2 - u_2)$$

so $b'(\theta, v_1) v_2$ is not uniformly Lipschitz when $u_2 = v_2$ unless $b'(\theta, u_1) = b'(\theta, v_1)$ for all θ, u_1, v_1 .

In this note we prove that, despite this, $O(h^{1/2})$ strong convergence is achieved by \hat{S}_t , the Euler-Maruyama approximation to \dot{S}_t , and the same holds for higher derivatives, and for cases in which S_t and θ are vector quantities.

The proof comes from re-tracing the steps of the analysis in [10] which prove that for a finite time interval [0, T] and any $p \ge 2$ there exist constants $c_p^{(1)}, c_p^{(2)}, c_p^{(3)}$, such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |S_t|^p \right] \leq c_p^{(1)},$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|S_t - S_{t_0}|^p \right] \leq c_p^{(2)} (t - t_0)^{p/2}, \text{ for any } 0 < t_0 < t < T,$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |\widehat{S}_t - S_t|^p \right] \leq c_p^{(3)} h^{p/2},$$

proving that corresponding results hold for \dot{S}_t and \hat{S}_t , primarily because of the boundedness of a' and b' which multiply \dot{S}_t in the drift and diffusion coefficients.

2 SDE sensitivity analysis

For the first order sensitivity analysis we assume that the first derivatives a', \dot{a} , b', \dot{b} and the second derivatives a'', \ddot{a}' , \ddot{a}' , \ddot{b}' , \ddot{b} all exist and are uniformly bounded so that there exist constants L_a , L_b such that

$$\sup_{\theta,S} \max \left\{ |a'(\theta,S)|, |\dot{a}(\theta,S)|, |a''(\theta,S)|, |\dot{a}'(\theta,S)|, |\ddot{a}(\theta,S)| \right\} \leq L_a$$
$$\sup_{\theta,S} \max \left\{ |b'(\theta,S)|, |\dot{b}(\theta,S)|, |b''(\theta,S)|, |\dot{b}'(\theta,S)|, |\ddot{b}(\theta,S)| \right\} \leq L_b$$

The pathwise sensitivity SDE is

$$\mathrm{d}\dot{S}_t = (\dot{a}_t + a'_t \dot{S}_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + (\dot{b}_t + b'_t \dot{S}_t) \,\mathrm{d}W_t,$$

subject to initial data \dot{S}_0 which may be non-zero if S_0 also depends on θ . Here we use the notation \dot{a}_t to represent $\dot{a}(\theta, S_t)$, with a similar interpretation for a'_t , \dot{b}_t and b'_t .

Lemma 1 For a given time interval [0,T], and any $p \ge 2$, there exists a constant $c_p^{(1)}$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{S}_t|^p \right] \le c_p^{(1)}.$$

Proof For even integer $p \ge 2$, if we define $P_t = \dot{S}_t^p$ then Ito's lemma gives us

$$dP_t = \left(p \, \dot{S}_t^{p-1} (\dot{a}_t + a_t' \dot{S}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \, p \, (p-1) \, \dot{S}_t^{p-2} (\dot{b}_t + b_t' \dot{S}_t)^2 \right) dt + p \, \dot{S}_t^{p-1} (\dot{b}_t + b_t' \dot{S}_t) \, dW_t.$$

Using the fact that $|\dot{S}_t|^q < 1 + \dot{S}_t^p$ for 0 < q < p, and $(\dot{b}_t + b'_t \dot{S}_t)^2 \le 2\dot{b}_t^2 + 2(b'_t \dot{S}_t)^2$ we obtain

 $\mathrm{d}\mathbb{E}[P_t] \le \left(p\,L_a + p\,(p-1)\,L_b^2\right)\left(1 + 2\,\mathbb{E}[P_t]\right)\mathrm{d}t$

and hence $\mathbb{E}[P_t]$ is finite over [0, T] by Grönwall's inequality.

Bounds for other values of p can be obtained using Hölder's inequality. \Box

The previous result is strengthened in the following lemma.

Theorem 1 For a given time interval [0, T], and any $p \ge 2$, there exists a constant $c_p^{(1)}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |\dot{S}_t|^p\right] \le c_p^{(1)}.$$

Proof Starting from

$$\dot{S}_t = \dot{S}_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{a}_s + a'_s \dot{S}_s) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (\dot{b}_s + b'_s \dot{S}_s) \,\mathrm{d}W_s,$$

and defining

$$\dot{M}_t^{(p)} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} |\dot{S}_s|^p\right],\,$$

Jensen's inequality gives

$$\dot{M}_{t}^{(p)} \leq 5^{p-1} \left(\left| \dot{S}_{0} \right|^{p} + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} \dot{a}_{u} \, \mathrm{d}u \right|^{p} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} a'_{u} \dot{S}_{u} \, \mathrm{d}u \right|^{p} \right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} \dot{b}_{u} \, \mathrm{d}W_{u} \right|^{p} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} b'_{u} \dot{S}_{u} \, \mathrm{d}W_{u} \right|^{p} \right] \right).$$

Jensen's inequality for integrals gives

$$\left| \int_0^s \dot{a}_u \, \mathrm{d}u \right|^p \leq s^{p-1} \int_0^s |\dot{a}_u|^p \, \mathrm{d}u \leq t^{p-1} \int_0^t |\dot{a}_u|^p \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

for $0 \le s \le t \le T$, and hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_0^s \dot{a}_u \, \mathrm{d}u \right|^p \right] \leq t^{p-1} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{a}_u|^p \right] \, \mathrm{d}u \leq L^p_a t^p.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0< s< t} \left|\int_0^s a'_u \dot{S}_u \,\mathrm{d}u\right|^p\right] \leq t^{p-1} \int_0^t L^p_a \mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{S}_u|^p\right] \,\mathrm{d}u \leq L^p_a t^{p-1} \int_0^t \dot{M}^{(p)}_u \,\mathrm{d}u.$$

The BDG (Burkholder-Davis-Gundy) inequality [4] gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0< s< t} \left|\int_0^s \dot{b}_u \,\mathrm{d}W_u\right|^p\right] \le C_p \,\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t |\dot{b}_u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}u\right)^{p/2}\right] \le C_p \,L_b^p \,t^{p/2}$$

where C_p is a constant arising from the BDG inequality, and similarly

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} b'_{u} \dot{S}_{u} \, \mathrm{d}W_{u} \right|^{p} \right] \leq C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t} L_{b}^{2} |\dot{S}_{u}|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}u \right)^{p/2} \right]$$
$$\leq C_{p} L_{b}^{p} t^{p/2-1} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{S}_{u}|^{p} \right] \, \mathrm{d}u$$
$$\leq C_{p} L_{b}^{p} t^{p/2-1} \int_{0}^{t} \dot{M}_{u}^{(p)} \, \mathrm{d}u.$$

Combining these bounds, and noting that $t \leq T$, we obtain constants c_1, c_2 for which

$$\dot{M}_t^{(p)} \le c_1 + c_2 \int_0^t \dot{M}_u^{(p)} \,\mathrm{d}u$$

and the desired bound for $\dot{M}_t^{(p)}$ follows from Grönwall's inequality. \Box

Lemma 2 For a given time interval [0,T], and any $p \ge 2$, there exists a constant $c_p^{(2)}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{S}_t - \dot{S}_{t_0}|^p\right] \le c_p^{(2)}(t - t_0)^{p/2}$$

for any $0 \le t_0 \le t \le T$.

Proof The proof is almost identical to the previous proof, but starting from

$$\dot{S}_t - \dot{S}_{t_0} = \int_{t_0}^t \left(\dot{a}_s + a'_s \dot{S}_{t_0} + a'_s (\dot{S}_s - \dot{S}_{t_0}) \right) \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\dot{b}_s + b'_s \dot{S}_{t_0} + b'_s (\dot{S}_s - \dot{S}_{t_0}) \right) \, \mathrm{d}W_s,$$

and defining

$$\dot{M}_t^{(p)} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t_0 < s < t} |\dot{S}_s - \dot{S}_{t_0}|^p\right],$$

leading to there being constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\dot{M}_t^{(p)} \le c_1 (t-t_0)^{p/2} + c_2 \int_{t_0}^t \dot{M}_u^{(p)} \,\mathrm{d}u.$$

The result then follows again from Grönwall's inequality. \Box

3 Strong convergence analysis

The integral form of the SDE for the first order sensitivity is

$$\dot{S}_t = \dot{S}_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{a}_s + a'_s \dot{S}_s) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (\dot{b}_s + b'_s \dot{S}_s) \,\mathrm{d}W_s,$$

and the corresponding continuous Euler-Maruyama discretisation can be defined as

$$\widehat{\dot{S}}_t = \widehat{\dot{S}}_0 + \int_0^t (\widehat{\dot{a}}_{\underline{s}} + \widehat{a}'_{\underline{s}} \widehat{\dot{S}}_{\underline{s}}) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (\widehat{\dot{b}}_{\underline{s}} + \widehat{b}'_{\underline{s}} \widehat{\dot{S}}_{\underline{s}}) \,\mathrm{d}W_s,$$

where the notation \underline{s} denotes s rounded downwards to the nearest timestep, and $\hat{a}_{\underline{s}}$ denotes $\dot{a}(\theta, \hat{S}_{\underline{s}})$ with similar meanings for $\hat{a}'_{\underline{s}}, \hat{b}_{\underline{s}}$ and $\hat{b}'_{\underline{s}}$.

Lemma 3 For a given time interval [0,T], and any $p \ge 2$, there exists a constant $c_p^{(1)}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |\widehat{\dot{S}}_t|^p\right] \le c_p^{(1)}.$$

Proof The proof follows the same approach used with Theorem 1. \Box

We now come to the strong convergence theorem.

Theorem 2 Given the assumption about the boundedness of all first and second derivatives, for a given time interval [0,T], and any $p \ge 2$, there exists a constant $c_p^{(3)}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |\hat{\dot{S}}_t - \dot{S}_t|^p\right] \le c_p^{(3)} h^{p/2}$$

Proof Defining $E_t = \hat{S}_t - \dot{S}_t$, the difference between the two is

$$\begin{split} E_t &= \int_0^t (\hat{a}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{a}_s) + (\hat{a}'_{\underline{s}} \hat{S}_{\underline{s}} - a'_s \dot{S}_s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (\hat{b}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{b}_s) + (\hat{b}'_{\underline{s}} \hat{S}_{\underline{s}} - b'_s \dot{S}_s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s \\ &= \int_0^t (\hat{a}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{a}_{\underline{s}}) + (\hat{a}'_{\underline{s}} \hat{S}_{\underline{s}} - a'_{\underline{s}} \dot{S}_{\underline{s}}) + (\dot{a}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{a}_s) + (a'_{\underline{s}} \dot{S}_{\underline{s}} - a'_s \dot{S}_s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t (\hat{b}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{b}_{\underline{s}}) + (\hat{b}'_{\underline{s}} \hat{S}_{\underline{s}} - b'_{\underline{s}} \dot{S}_{\underline{s}}) + (\dot{b}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{b}_s) + (b'_{\underline{s}} \dot{S}_{\underline{s}} - b'_s \dot{S}_s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s \\ &= \int_0^t (\hat{a}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{a}_{\underline{s}}) + (\hat{a}'_{\underline{s}} - a'_{\underline{s}}) \hat{S}_{\underline{s}} + (\dot{a}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{a}_s) + (a'_{\underline{s}} - a'_s) \dot{S}_{\underline{s}} + a'_s (\dot{S}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{S}_s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t (\hat{b}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{a}_{\underline{s}}) + (\hat{b}'_{\underline{s}} - b'_{\underline{s}}) \hat{S}_{\underline{s}} + (\dot{b}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{a}_s) + (a'_{\underline{s}} - a'_s) \dot{S}_{\underline{s}} + a'_s (\dot{S}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{S}_s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t (\hat{b}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{b}_{\underline{s}}) + (\hat{b}'_{\underline{s}} - b'_{\underline{s}}) \hat{S}_{\underline{s}} + (\dot{b}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{b}_s) + (b'_{\underline{s}} - b'_s) \dot{S}_{\underline{s}} + b'_s (\dot{S}_{\underline{s}} - \dot{S}_s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s \\ &+ \int_0^t a'_{\underline{s}} E_{\underline{s}} \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t b'_{\underline{s}} E_{\underline{s}} \, \mathrm{d}W_s. \end{split}$$

This gives us 12 terms to bound, 5 from the first integral, 5 from the second integral, and 2 from the last two integrals in the above expression.

For the first pair, given that all second derivatives of a are bounded by L_a , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_0^s (\hat{\underline{a}}_{\underline{u}} - \dot{\underline{a}}_{\underline{u}}) \,\mathrm{d}u \right|^p\right] \le T^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\,|\hat{\underline{a}}_{\underline{u}} - \dot{\underline{a}}_{\underline{u}}|^p] \,\mathrm{d}u \le L^p_a \, T^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\,|\hat{S}_{\underline{u}} - S_{\underline{u}}|^p] \,\mathrm{d}u,$$

and similarly, using the BDG inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0$$

For the second pair we need to also use Hölder's inequality to give

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0$$

Similarly, for the third pair we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_0^s (\dot{a}_{\underline{u}} - \dot{a}_u) \,\mathrm{d}u \right|^p \right] \le L_a^p \, T^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\,|S_{\underline{u}} - S_u|^p] \,\mathrm{d}u,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_0^s (\dot{b}_{\underline{u}} - \dot{b}_u) \, \mathrm{d}W_u \right|^p \right] \le C_p \, L_b^p \, T^{p/2 - 1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[|S_{\underline{u}} - S_u|^p] \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

for the fourth pair we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} (a'_{\underline{u}} - a'_{u}) \, \dot{S}_{\underline{u}} \, \mathrm{d}u \right|^{p} \right] \le L_{a}^{p} T^{p-1} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}[|S_{\underline{u}} - S_{s}|^{2p}]^{1/2} \, \mathbb{E}[|\dot{S}_{\underline{u}}|^{2p}]^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} (b'_{\underline{u}} - b'_{u}) \, \dot{S}_{\underline{u}} \, \mathrm{d}W_{u} \right|^{p} \right] \le C_{p} \, L_{b}^{p} \, T^{p/2 - 1} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}[\,|S_{\underline{u}} - S_{u}|^{2p}]^{1/2} \, \mathbb{E}[\,|\dot{S}_{\underline{u}}|^{2p}]^{1/2} \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

and for the fifth pair we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_0^s a'_u(\dot{S}_{\underline{u}} - \dot{S}_u) \,\mathrm{d}u \right|^p \right] \le L^p_a T^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[|\dot{S}_{\underline{u}} - \dot{S}_u|^p \right] \mathrm{d}u,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0$$

For the final pair we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_0^s a'_{\underline{u}} E_{\underline{u}} \,\mathrm{d}u \right|^p\right] \le L^p_a T^{p-1} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < u < s} |E_u|^p\right] \,\mathrm{d}s,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_0^s b'_{\underline{u}} E_{\underline{u}} \,\mathrm{d}W_u \right|^p\right] \le C_p \, L_b^p \, T^{p/2-1} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < u < s} |E_u|^p\right] \,\mathrm{d}s$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[|\widehat{S}_{\underline{s}}-S_{\underline{s}}|^p]$ and $\mathbb{E}[|S_{\underline{s}}-S_s|^p]$ are both $O(h^{p/2})$ due to standard results, and $\mathbb{E}[|\dot{S}_{\underline{s}}-\dot{S}_s|^p]$ is $O(h^{p/2})$ due to Lemma 2, and $\mathbb{E}[|\dot{S}_{\underline{s}}|^p]$ and $\mathbb{E}[|\hat{S}_{\underline{s}}|^p]$ are both finite due to Theorem 1 and Lemma 3, it follows that there are constants c_1, c_2 such that for $0 \le t \le T$,

$$Z_t \equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < s < t} |E_s|^p\right]$$

satisfies the inequality

$$Z_t \le c_1 h^{p/2} + c_2 \int_0^t Z_s \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

from which it follows that $Z_t = O(h^{p/2})$ due to Grönwall's inequality. \Box

4 Extensions

4.1 Vector SDEs and vector parameters

The analysis extends naturally to cases in which S_t and θ are both vectors. Thus, in the most general case we are interested in computing matrices and tensors such as

$$\frac{\partial (S_t)_i}{\partial \theta_j}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 (S_t)_i}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k}$$

where the subscripts i, j, k refer to the components of S_t and θ . The analysis does not change substantially, the notation simply becomes much more cumbersome.

4.2 Higher order sensitivities

Higher order path sensitivities are of interest to the author in connection with work extending the original MLMC research of Heinrich on parametric integration [9]. In addition, second order sensitivities are potentially of interest in finance applications when computing second order Greeks using a conditional expectation technique for the final timestep to smooth the payoff [8].

Differentiating the original scalar SDE a second time gives the second order path sensitivity SDE

$$d\ddot{S}_t = (\ddot{a}_t + 2\dot{a}_t'\dot{S}_t + a_t''(\dot{S}_t)^2 + a_t'\ddot{S}_t) dt + (\ddot{b}_t + 2\dot{b}_t'\dot{S}_t + b_t''(\dot{S}_t)^2 + b_t'\ddot{S}_t) dW_t.$$

Continuing this, if $a(\theta, S)$ and $b(\theta, S)$ are both k-times differentiable then by induction it can be proved that the k-th order sensitivity equation has the form

$$dS_t^{(k)} = (a_t^{(k)} + a_t' S_t^{(k)}) dt + (b_t^{(k)} + b_t' S_t^{(k)}) dW_t,$$

where $S_t^{(k)} \equiv \partial^k S_t / \partial \theta^k$ and $a_t^{(k)}$ is a sum of terms of the form

$$\frac{\partial^{i+j}a}{\partial\theta^i\partial S^j} \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} (S_t^{(l)})^{q_l}$$

with positive integers i, j, q_l satisfying $2 \le i+j \le k$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} q_l = j$, and $b_t^{(k)}$ is a similar summation.

The Euler-Maruyama discretisation of this SDE is again equivalent to the k-th order derivative of the Euler-Maruyama discretisation of the original SDE. The numerical analysis proceeds inductively, proving that if all of the derivatives of a and b up to the k-th order are uniformly bounded, and there are constants $c_p^{(1,j)}, c_p^{(2,j)}, c_p^{(3,j)}$ for all j < k such that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |S_t^{(j)}|^p\right] \leq c_p^{(1,j)}, \\ & \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t_0 < s < t} |S_s^{(j)} - S_{t_0}^{(j)}|^p\right] \leq c_p^{(2,j)} (t - t_0)^{p/2} \\ & \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 < t < T} |\widehat{S}_t^{(j)} - S_t^{(j)}|^p\right] \leq c_p^{(3,j)} h^{p/2}, \end{split}$$

then there are constants $c_p^{(1,k)}, c_p^{(2,k)}, c_p^{(3,k)}$ such that similar bounds hold for $S_t^{(k)}$ and $\widehat{S}_t^{(k)}$. The critical step in the analysis is the bounding of terms such as $\mathbb{E}[|a_t^{(k)} - a_{\underline{t}}^{(k)}|^p]$ and $\mathbb{E}[|a_{\underline{t}}^{(k)} - \widehat{a}_{\underline{t}}^{(k)}|^p]$ which requires the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4 If u_i, v_i i = 1, 2, ..., k are scalar random variables, and for any $p \ge 2$ there are finite constants C_p , D_p such that

$$\mathbb{E}[|u_i|^p] \le C_p, \quad \mathbb{E}[|v_i|^p] \le C_p, \quad \mathbb{E}[|u_i - v_i|^p] \le D_p$$

for all i, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left|\prod_{i=1}^{k} u_{i} - \prod_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}\right|^{p}\right] \leq k^{p} C_{pk}^{1-1/k} D_{pk}^{1/k}$$

Proof When k = 2, $u_1u_2 - v_1v_2 = (u_1 - v_1)u_2 + v_1(u_2 - v_2)$. This generalises to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} u_i - \prod_{i=1}^{k} v_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} v_i\right) (u_j - v_j) \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{k} u_i\right) \right\}$$

By Jensen's inequality we have

$$\left|\prod_{i=1}^{k} u_{i} - \prod_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}\right|^{p} \leq k^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} |v_{i}|^{p}\right) |u_{j} - v_{j}|^{p} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{k} |u_{i}|^{p}\right) \right\}.$$

For each j, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1}|v_i|^p\right)|u_j-v_j|^p\left(\prod_{i=j+1}^k|u_i|^p\right)\right]$$

$$\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1}\mathbb{E}\left[|v_i|^{pk}\right]\right)^{1/k}\mathbb{E}\left[|u_j-v_j|^{pk}\right]^{1/k}\left(\prod_{i=j+1}^k\mathbb{E}\left[|u_i|^{pk}\right]\right)^{1/k},$$

and hence we obtain the desired result. $\hfill\square$

5 Conclusions

This note has filled a gap in the stochastic numerical analysis literature by proving the strong convergence of path sensitivity approximations which do not satisfy the usual conditions assumed for the analysis of Euler-Maruyama approximations. The same order of strong convergence applies for higher order sensitivities, provided the required drift and diffusion derivatives exist and are bounded.

It is conjectured that the analysis in this note can be extended to other discretisations such as the first order Milstein scheme, but this is a topic for future analysis.

References

- M. Broadie and P. Glasserman. Estimating security price derivatives using simulation. Management Science, 42(2):269–285, 1996.
- [2] S. Burgos. *The computation of Greeks with multilevel Monte Carlo*. DPhil thesis, University of Oxford, 2014.

- [3] S. Burgos and M.B. Giles. Computing Greeks using multilevel path simulation. In L. Plaskota and H. Woźniakowski, editors, *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Meth*ods 2010, pages 281–296. Springer, 2012.
- [4] D.L. Burkholder, B. Davis, and R.F. Gundy. Integral inequalities for convex functions of operators on martingales. In *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symposium Math. Statist. Prob.*, *Vol II*, pages 223–240. University of California Press, Berkeley, 1972.
- [5] L. Capriotti and M.B. Giles. 15 years of adjoint algorithmic differentiation in finance. Quantitative Finance, 24(9):1353–1379, 2024.
- [6] M.B. Giles. Multilevel Monte Carlo methods. Acta Numerica, 24:259–328, 2015.
- [7] M.B. Giles and P. Glasserman. Smoking adjoints: fast Monte Carlo Greeks. *RISK*, January 2006.
- [8] P. Glasserman. Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering. Springer, New York, 2004.
- [9] S. Heinrich. Multilevel Monte Carlo methods. In *Multigrid Methods*, volume 2179 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 58–67. Springer, 2001.
- [10] P.E. Kloeden and E. Platen. Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [11] P. L'Ecuyer. A unified view of the IPA, SF and LR gradient estimation techniques. Management Science, 36(11):1364–1383, 1990.