

2-DIMENSIONAL SHEPHARD GROUPS

KATHERINE M. GOLDMAN

ABSTRACT. The 2-dimensional Shephard groups are quotients of 2-dimensional Artin groups by powers of standard generators. We show that such a quotient is not $\text{CAT}(0)$ if the powers taken are sufficiently large. However, for a given 2-dimensional Shephard group, we construct a $\text{CAT}(0)$ piecewise Euclidean cell complex with a cocompact action (analogous to the Deligne complex for an Artin group) that allows us to determine other non-positive curvature properties. Namely, we show the 2-dimensional Shephard groups are acylindrically hyperbolic (which was known for 2-dimensional Artin groups), and relatively hyperbolic (which most Artin groups are known not to be). As an application, we show that a broad class of 2-dimensional Artin groups are residually finite.

1. INTRODUCTION

Shephard groups (named for G.C. Shephard [She52]) are specific quotients of Artin groups and include, for example, the Coxeter groups and graph products of cyclic groups as special cases. In a previous paper [Gol23], the author identified a specific class of Shephard groups which exhibit Coxeter-like behavior, and proved that these are $\text{CAT}(0)$. However, the Shephard groups form a very broad class, and because of this, we can find examples which exhibit behavior that diverges quite a bit from the intuition that Coxeter groups and Artin groups provide. The motivating criteria in [Gol23] can be roughly summarized by saying that the finite parabolic subgroups are identical to the finite parabolic subgroups of the “associated” Coxeter group. This class is rather inflexible, though; there are only so many finite Shephard groups that are not finite Coxeter groups. Our main motivation, then, is to begin the study of Shephard groups which do not satisfy this criteria. That is to say, to study those Shephard groups which possess some infinite parabolic subgroup whose associated Coxeter group is finite.

It turns out that such groups are quite fascinating even in the 2-generator (or “dihedral”) case, and possess a rather interesting geometry which deviates from the Artin and Coxeter groups. These dihedral groups will be one of the main focuses of the current article. The other main focus are the 2-dimensional Shephard groups. These are the Shephard groups which can be reasonably said to be “built-up” out of their dihedral subgroups. From our results on 2-generator Shephard groups, we derive interesting information about the geometry of the 2-dimensional Shephard groups, some known for Artin groups, some different than Artin groups, and some which can be used to show new properties of Artin groups. The full definitions and statements are as follows.

Let Γ be a simplicial graph with vertices i labeled by $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ and edges $\{i, j\}$ labeled by $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. If m_{ij} is odd then we require $p_i = p_j$. We call Γ an *extended* (or *Shephard*) *presentation graph*. The Shephard group Sh_Γ with

presentation graph Γ is

$$\text{Sh}_\Gamma = \left\langle V(\Gamma) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{prod}(i, j; m_{ij}) = \text{prod}(j, i; m_{ij}) \text{ if } \{i, j\} \in E(\Gamma) \\ i^{p_i} = 1 \text{ if } p_i < \infty \end{array} \right. \right\rangle,$$

where $\text{prod}(a, b; m)$ denotes $(ab)^{m/2}$ if m is even and $(ab)^{(m-1)/2}a$ if m is odd. If $\Gamma = p \xrightarrow{q} r$ is a single edge, then we will write $\text{Sh}_\Gamma = \text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ and call this an *edge (Shephard) group* or a *dihedral Shephard group*.

If Γ is an extended presentation graph and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $\Gamma(p)$ the extended presentation graph obtained from Γ by replacing all p_i with p . In particular, for any extended presentation graph Γ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} W_\Gamma &= \text{Sh}_{\Gamma(2)} \\ A_\Gamma &= \text{Sh}_{\Gamma(\infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

which are the Coxeter group and Artin group, resp., associated to Γ . Thus we see that every Shephard group is a quotient of an Artin group by some powers of standard generators.

Assumption. In the rest of the paper, “extended presentation graph” will be taken to mean “extended presentation graph with all p_i finite” (so the kernel of $A_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Sh}_\Gamma$ contains powers of every generator), unless we explicitly mention $\Gamma(\infty)$, and “Shephard group” will mean “Shephard group with all finite-order generators”.

We write $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ if Λ is a full subgraph of Γ and inherits the edge and vertex labels of Γ . (A full or “induced” subgraph Λ of Γ is one where if $v, w \in V(\Lambda)$ and $\{v, w\} \in E(\Gamma)$, then $\{v, w\} \in E(\Lambda)$.) Then Λ is also an extended presentation graph and thus determines a Shephard group Sh_Λ , a Coxeter group W_Λ , and an Artin group A_Λ . If W_Λ is finite, then we will call each of Λ , Sh_Λ , and A_Λ “spherical-type”. (Similarly, if W_Γ is word hyperbolic, then we will call each of Γ , Sh_Γ , and A_Γ “hyperbolic-type”.) A property of an extended presentation graph Γ commonly of interest for Artin groups and Coxeter groups is

(2D) For all spherical-type $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$, $|V(\Lambda)| \leq 2$.

If a graph Γ satisfies (2D), we will call Γ *2-dimensional*. Much of the behavior of 2-dimensional Shephard groups can be deduced from their dihedral subgroups. Our first main result concerns solely these dihedral Shephard groups.

Theorem A. *Let (p, q, r) be a triple of integers each ≥ 2 , with $p = r$ if q is odd, and let $h = 1/p + 2/q + 1/r$. If $h \leq 1$, then $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ cannot admit a proper action by semi-simple isometries on any CAT(0) space. In particular, $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is not CAT(0). Moreover,*

- (1) *If $h = 1$, $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is commensurable to the 3-dimensional integer Heisenberg group; in particular, it is virtually nilpotent and not semihyperbolic.*
- (2) *If $h < 1$, $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is commensurable to the “universal central extension” of a hyperbolic surface group, is a uniform lattice in $\text{Isom}(\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2\mathbb{R})$, and is biautomatic.*

In particular, $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is linear, and if an element of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ has finite order, it is conjugate to a power of one of the standard generators.

Not mentioned is the case $1/p + 2/q + 1/r > 1$; this is because if this holds, then $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is finite (and is handled in [Gol23]). In other words, $1/p + 2/q + 1/r \leq 1$ if and only if $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is infinite. The fact that finite dihedral Shephard groups

are linear is shown in [Cox75]; hence, the above Theorem also implies all dihedral Shephard groups are linear.

Example. The braid group on three strands $\text{Sh}(\infty, 3, \infty)$ is known to be $\text{CAT}(0)$ (e.g., in [BM10]), but its quotient $\text{Sh}(p, 3, p)$ is not $\text{CAT}(0)$ when $p \geq 6$ (when $p < 6$, this quotient is finite).

The broadest class of Shephard groups to which Theorem A applies are described in the following.

Corollary. *Let Γ be an extended presentation graph (possibly with infinite vertex labels) with an edge $e = \{i, j\}$ such that*

- (1) *the parabolic Sh_e generated by e embeds¹ in Sh_Γ ,*
- (2) *the edge and vertex labels of e are finite, and*
- (3) *Sh_e is infinite*

Then Sh_Γ cannot admit a proper action on a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space by semi-simple isometries. In particular, Sh_Γ is not $\text{CAT}(0)$. Moreover, $1/p_i + 2/m_{ij} + 1/p_j = 1$, then Sh_Γ is not semihyperbolic.

To rephrase this corollary, an embedded infinite edge subgroup with all labels finite is a “poison subgroup” for being $\text{CAT}(0)$, or even semihyperbolic in some cases. It is a conjecture that given any extended presentation graph, its edge parabolics embed, so, conjecturally, (1) is unnecessary and infinite edge groups with finite labels are always poison subgroups. But, as a consequence of the upcoming Theorem B, the edge groups of a 2-dimensional presentation graph always embed, so we can say

Corollary. *Let Γ be a 2-dimensional extended presentation graph with an edge $e = \{i, j\}$ such that $p_i < \infty$, $p_j < \infty$, $m_{ij} < \infty$, and $\frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{2}{m_{ij}} + \frac{1}{p_j} \leq 1$. Then Sh_Γ cannot admit a proper action by semi-simple isometries on a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space, and in particular is not $\text{CAT}(0)$. Moreover, if $\frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{2}{m_{ij}} + \frac{1}{p_j} = 1$, then Sh_Γ is not semihyperbolic.*

Example. Suppose Γ is an XXL-type presentation graph (meaning all edge labels are ≥ 5); in particular Γ is 2-dimensional. Then A_Γ is $\text{CAT}(0)$ [Hae22]. However there is some N such that the quotient $\text{Sh}_{\Gamma(p)}$ is not $\text{CAT}(0)$ for all $p \geq N$.

In light of these examples, Theorem A may seem perplexing. Perhaps it is less surprising when one considers that the $\text{CAT}(0)$ condition requires non-positive curvature at all scales, and we are able to show that (most of) these groups possess other, less restrictive non-positive curvature properties.

Theorem B. *If Γ is any 2-dimensional extended presentation graph, then its edge groups embed, and Sh_Γ acts cocompactly on a piecewise Euclidean $\text{CAT}(0)$ cell complex with cell stabilizers the conjugates of Sh_Λ for spherical-type $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$.*

This complex is the Shephard group analogue of the *Deligne complex* for Artin groups and the *Davis-Moussong complex* for Coxeter groups. We give the full definition and proof of Theorem B in Section 5. This allows one to adapt techniques used for 2-dimensional Artin groups to show similar properties of 2-dimensional

¹Meaning Sh_e is isomorphic to the subgroup $\langle V(e) \rangle$ of Sh_Γ via the map induced by the inclusion $e \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ on generators.

Shephard groups. As an example, the next Theorem is based on the analogous result for 2-dimensional Artin groups [Vas22].

Theorem C. *Suppose Γ is an extended presentation graph satisfying*

- (1) $|V(\Gamma)| \geq 3$,
- (2) Γ is 2-dimensional,
- (3) Sh_Γ does not split as a direct product (i.e., it is irreducible), and
- (4) every connected component of Γ has an edge e such that Sh_e is infinite.

Then Sh_Γ is acylindrically hyperbolic.

Past adapting proofs from 2-dimensional Artin groups, the condition that the vertex labels of Γ are finite allows us to show novel results, that were either unknown or untrue for Artin groups. Our primary example is:

Theorem D. *Suppose Γ is a hyperbolic-type, 2-dimensional extended presentation graph, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{\text{Sh}_\Lambda : |V(\Lambda)| = 2, W_\Lambda \text{ finite, } \text{Sh}_\Lambda \text{ infinite}\}$, the collection of spherical-type edges of Γ which give rise to infinite Shephard groups. Then $(\text{Sh}_\Gamma, \mathcal{P})$ is a relatively hyperbolic group pair. In particular, if every edge group Sh_Λ is finite, then Sh_Γ is hyperbolic.*

The fact that the stabilizers of the CAT(0) cell complex from Theorem B are precisely the edge (and vertex) subgroups indicate that in some sense, the poison subgroups are the only obstruction to being non-positively curved. The above theorem makes this idea more rigorous.

This Theorem is notable, because Artin groups are rarely hyperbolic relative to spherical-type subgroups; see [KS04] for a discussion on why this is. However, it was shown in [CC07] that Theorem D holds for Artin groups if relative hyperbolicity is replaced with “weak relative hyperbolicity” (which we will not define here). Upgrading this to relative hyperbolicity has the following noteworthy consequences:

Corollary E. *Suppose Γ is a hyperbolic-type 2-dimensional extended presentation graph. Then Sh_Γ*

- (1) *has solvable word problem,*
- (2) *satisfies the Tits alternative,*
- (3) *has finite asymptotic dimension, and*
- (4) *has the rapid decay property.*

If, in addition, there is no edge $\{i, j\}$ of Γ with $1/p_i + 2/m_{ij} + 1/p_j = 1$, then Sh_Γ is biautomatic.

If we restrict ourselves slightly further, it turns out we also have the following very desirable property for a large subclass of 2-dimensional Shephard groups.

Corollary F. *Suppose Γ is an extended presentation graph with no 3-cycle (or is “triangle-free”) and no 4-cycle whose edges are each labeled 2. Then Sh_Γ is residually finite.*

These are precisely the 2-dimensional graphs Γ such that Γ is hyperbolic-type and “type FC” (the spherical-type subgraphs are exactly the complete subgraphs).

One of the more broadly appealing aspects of Shephard groups with finite vertex labels are their potential to be used to prove results for Artin groups. For example,

Theorem G. *Suppose Γ is a triangle-free presentation graph with no 4-cycle with all edges labeled 2. Then A_Γ is residually finite.*

Residual finiteness is unknown for most Artin groups, and was previously unknown even for most 2-dimensional Artin groups. For a discussion on residual finiteness of Artin groups, including some past results see [Jan22].

1.1. Organization of paper. In Section 2 we recall the relationship between central extensions and cohomology in preparation for Theorem A. Then in Section 3 we complete the proof of this Theorem by detailing the geometry of the dihedral Shephard groups through the perspective of central extensions. In Section 4, we prove the main technical lemma which implies Theorem B, namely that the dihedral Shephard groups satisfy a “syllable length” condition similar to that enjoyed by dihedral Artin groups. Following this, we construct the complex from and complete the proof of Theorem B in Section 5. The consequences of this complex being $\text{CAT}(0)$ are discussed in the remaining sections: Section 6 deals with acylindrical hyperbolicity, Section 7 deals with relative hyperbolicity and its consequences, and Section 8 deals with residual finiteness of the related Artin groups.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Mike Davis, Jingyin Huang, and Piotr Przytycki for their valuable discussions and input. This work is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2402105.

2. CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

We begin by recalling some background on central extensions of groups and how they relate to cohomology. Recall that if A is abelian, an (A -)central extension of a group G is a group \tilde{G} fitting into a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0,$$

with the image of A contained in the center of \tilde{G} . If \tilde{G}_1 and \tilde{G}_2 are A -central extensions of G , then we say they are (A -)equivalent if there is an isomorphism $f : \tilde{G}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_2$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \tilde{G}_1 & & & \\ & & & \downarrow f & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} & \tilde{G}_2 & \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} & G \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commute.

Notation 2.1. For an arbitrary group G , we will denote its identity element by e . For an abelian group A , we will denote its identity element by 0, and if it is cyclic, we will let 1 denote a generator.

Let $E(G, A)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of A -central extensions of G under A -equivalence. It is well known that there is a natural abelian group structure on $E(G, A)$, under which $E(G, A) \cong H^2(G; A)$ (for example, see [Bro82, Thm. 3.12] or [DK18, §5.9.6]). There is a natural way to view the map $H^2(G; A) \rightarrow E(G, A)$. Fix a presentation $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ and let Y be a $K(G, 1)$ such that the 2-skeleton Y^2 is the presentation complex for the given presentation of G . Let C_i be the free abelian group on the i -cells of Y . Then in particular, the generators of C_1 are S and

the generators of C_2 are R . Let $d_i : C_i \rightarrow C_{i-1}$ be the standard cellular boundary map, and define $Z_i = \ker(d_i)$ and $B_i = \text{im}(d_{i+1})$, so that $H_i(G) = Z_i/B_i$. We then dualize to obtain $C^i = \text{Hom}(C_i, A)$, $d^i : C^i \rightarrow C^{i+1}$ defined by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ d_{i+1}$, $Z^i = \ker(d^i)$, $B^i = \text{im}(d^{i-1})$, and $H^n(G; A) = Z^n/B^n$.

Now choose a class $[\varphi] \in H^2(G; A) = Z^2/B^2$ and a representative $\varphi \in Z^2 \subseteq \text{Hom}(C_2, A)$ (or in other words, some morphism φ from the free abelian group on R to A). Suppose $A = \langle T \mid Q \rangle$ is a presentation for A . Let $\tilde{R} = \{r^{-1}\varphi(r) : r \in R\}$ and $C = \{[s, t] : s \in S, t \in T\}$, subsets of the free group on the disjoint union $S \amalg T$. We then define a group

$$G_\varphi = \langle S \amalg T \mid \tilde{R} \amalg Q \amalg C \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

It is straightforward to see that the map $[\varphi] \rightarrow G_\varphi$ is a well-defined injective homomorphism from $H^2(G; A)$ to $E(G, A)$. The construction of an inverse to this map is standard and unnecessary for our purposes, so we omit it, but it may be found in [DK18, §5.9.6]. We will call $[\varphi]$ the *Euler class* of G_φ , and denote it by $e(G_\varphi) = [\varphi]$.

One standard result is

Proposition 2.2. *The underlying set of G_φ is in bijection with $A \times G$, but G_φ is isomorphic to $A \times G$ as a group if and only if $[\varphi] = 0$ in $H^2(G; A)$.*

We are interested in group-theoretic properties of central extensions induced by cohomological properties of their Euler classes. One first step in this direction is provided by this Lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose \tilde{G} and \tilde{H} are A -central extensions of a group G such that $e(\tilde{H}) = ne(\tilde{G})$, where n is not a zero divisor of the \mathbb{Z} -module A . Then \tilde{H} is isomorphic to a subgroup of \tilde{G} with index $[A : nA]$.*

Proof. Let $[\psi] = e(\tilde{H})$ and $[\varphi] = e(\tilde{G})$ with representatives ψ and φ , resp., chosen such that $\psi = n\varphi$. We will write $G_\psi \cong \tilde{H}$ and $G_\varphi \cong \tilde{G}$, using the notation given above for the presentation of G_ψ and G_φ .

Define a map $\Psi : G_\psi \rightarrow G_\varphi$ by $\Psi(s) = s$ for $s \in S$ and $\Psi(t) = nt$ for $t \in T$. To check that this is a well-defined homomorphism we need to verify that $\Psi(r) = e$ for all $r \in \tilde{R} \amalg Q \amalg T$. This is immediate for $r \in Q$ or $r \in C$, so we only need to verify this for $r \in \tilde{R}$; that is, we must verify that $\Psi(r) = \varphi(\Psi(r))$ for all $r \in R$. But this is also immediate since

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(r) &= r && (r \text{ is a word in } S) \\ &= \varphi(r) \\ &= n\psi(r) \\ &= \Psi(\psi(r)). && (\psi(r) \text{ is a word in } T) \end{aligned}$$

Thus this map is a well-defined homomorphism. Since n is not a zero divisor, it is also injective. It is clear from the definition of Ψ that its image has index $[A : nA]$ in G_φ . \square

Now consider a group homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow G$. This induces in a standard way a morphism $f^* : H^2(G; A) \rightarrow H^2(H; A)$ on cohomology and thus a map $f^* : E(G, A) \rightarrow E(H, A)$ on central extensions via $G_\varphi \mapsto H_{f^*\varphi}$. In addition, f can

be lifted to a morphism $f_\varphi : H_{f^*\varphi} \rightarrow G_\varphi$. This gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{f^*\varphi} & \xrightarrow{f_\varphi} & G_\varphi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \xrightarrow{f} & G \end{array}$$

As a consequence of the above diagram commuting, we have:

Lemma 2.4. *For all $[\varphi] \in H^2(G; A)$, $[G_\varphi : f_\varphi(H_{f^*\varphi})] = [G : f(H)]$. In particular, if $f(H)$ has finite index in G , then $f_\varphi(H_{f^*\varphi})$ has finite index in G_φ .*

Proof. Denote the rightmost vertical morphism of the diagram by $\Phi : G_\varphi \rightarrow G$. Then $f_\varphi(H_{f^*\varphi}) \cong \Phi^{-1}(f(H))$. Since $G_\varphi = \Phi^{-1}(G)$ and Φ is a surjective morphism, it follows that $[G_\varphi : f_\varphi(H_{f^*\varphi})] = [\Phi^{-1}(G) : \Phi^{-1}(f(H))] = [G : f(H)]$. \square

It is a standard fact that if f is injective, so is f_φ [DK18, Ex. 5.140.3], so in particular, if H is a finite index subgroup of G , then for any central extension \tilde{G} of G , there is a finite index subgroup \tilde{H} which is a central extension of H over the same central copy of A .

We also know:

Proposition 2.5. [Hat02, §3.G] *If $n = [G : f(H)]$, then $\ker(f^*)$ consists only of elements whose order divides n .*

In particular, f^* is always injective on the free part of $H^2(G; A)$.

This leads us to:

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose G is finitely generated and $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ for some n . If \tilde{G} is an A -central extension of G such that $e(\tilde{G})$ has infinite order, then \tilde{G} cannot act properly by semi-simple isometries on a CAT(0) space. In particular \tilde{G} is not CAT(0).*

Proof. We want to utilize [BH13, Thm. II.6.12], which states that if a finitely generated group K acts by isometries on a CAT(0) space, and if K contains a central copy of \mathbb{Z}^d which acts faithfully by hyperbolic isometries (save for the identity), then this copy of \mathbb{Z}^d is virtually a direct factor of K .

To apply this to our situation, let \tilde{H} be a finite index subgroup of \tilde{G} containing the canonical copy of $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ contained in $Z(\tilde{G})$. We claim that there is no subgroup H' of \tilde{H} such that $\tilde{H} \cong H' \times A$. In order for such a subgroup to exist, we must have $A \subseteq Z(\tilde{H})$. But then $H = \tilde{H}/A$ is a subgroup of G , and since \tilde{H} has finite index in \tilde{G} , we know H has finite index in G . In particular, if $\iota : H \rightarrow G$ denotes the inclusion morphism, then Proposition 2.5 tells us that $e(\tilde{H}) = \iota^*e(\tilde{G})$ is nontrivial in $H^2(H; A)$ since $e(\tilde{G})$ has infinite order. Since this class is non-trivial, \tilde{H} does not split as a direct product by Proposition 2.2.

By [BH13, Thm. II.6.12], we conclude that if \tilde{G} acts by semi-simple isometries on a CAT(0) space X , then the central copy of A in \tilde{G} cannot act faithfully by hyperbolic isometries. If the action is not faithful, then since $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ the action cannot be proper. So assume the action is not by hyperbolic isometries, meaning A contains some non-trivial elliptic isometry γ of X (parabolic isometries are not semi-simple). Since $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, we know $\langle \gamma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Since γ is elliptic, then $\langle \gamma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ fixes a point of X , and hence does not act properly.

The fact that \tilde{G} cannot be CAT(0) follows from [BH13, Prop. II.6.10(2)]. (Recall that a group is CAT(0) if it acts properly and cocompactly by isometries on a CAT(0) space.) \square

2.1. Central products. For some later results, it becomes useful to use a commutative version of the amalgamated product.

Definition 2.7. Let G_1, G_2 , and Z be any groups equipped with injective homomorphisms $\theta_i : Z \rightarrow Z(G_i)$. Let $N = \{(\theta_1(z), \theta_2(z)^{-1}) : z \in Z\} \leq G_1 \times G_2$. We define the *amalgamated direct product* or *central product* of G_1 and G_2 over Z by

$$G_1 \times_Z G_2 := (G_1 \times G_2)/N$$

Note that N is a central subgroup of $G_1 \times G_2$, so this construction always gives a well-defined group.

Take subgroups H_i of G_i and let $N' = (H_1 \times H_2) \cap N$ and $Z' = \theta_1^{-1}(H_1) \cap \theta_2^{-1}(H_2) \subseteq Z$. Note that $N' = \{(\theta_1(z), \theta_2(z)^{-1}) : z \in Z'\}$. By the isomorphism theorems,

$$H_1 \times_{Z'} H_2 = (H_1 \times H_2)/N' \cong (H_1 \times H_2)N/N \leq (G_1 \times G_2)/N.$$

This demonstrates $H_1 \times_{Z'} H_2$ as a natural subgroup of $G_1 \times_Z G_2$. By the standard proof of the isomorphism theorems, the map that realizes this inclusion is $(h_1, h_2)N' \mapsto (h_1, h_2)N$. In addition, one readily sees that if H_i is finite index in G_i ($i = 1, 2$), then $H_1 \times_{Z'} H_2$ is finite index in $G_1 \times_Z G_2$.

As a special case, we can take $H_1 = G_1$ and $H_2 = \{\text{id}_{G_2}\}$, in which case $Z' = \{\text{id}_Z\}$ (or similarly, $H_1 = \{\text{id}_{G_1}\}$ and $H_2 = G_2$). It is clear that $G_1 \cong G_1 \times_{Z'} \{\text{id}_{G_2}\}$ via the map $g \mapsto (g, \text{id}_{G_2})$, since Z' is trivial. We then apply our previous remarks to this situation to obtain

Proposition 2.8. *For any groups G_i and Z as above, the groups G_1 and G_2 embed into $G_1 \times_Z G_2$ via the maps $\epsilon_1 : g \mapsto (g, \text{id}_{G_2})N$ and $\epsilon_2 : g \mapsto (\text{id}_{G_1}, g)N$, respectively.*

Just as one has the notion of internal direct product, we may define an internal central product.

Proposition 2.9. *Suppose G is any group with subgroups H_1, H_2 such that $h_1 h_2 = h_2 h_1$ for all $h_i \in H_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Let $Z = H_1 \cap H_2$. Then $H_1 H_2 \leq G$ is isomorphic to $H_1 \times_Z H_2$.*

Proof. First note that since the elements of H_1 and the elements of H_2 commute, $Z \subseteq Z(H_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\iota_i : Z \rightarrow H_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) denote the inclusion map. Let $\alpha : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow G$ be given by $(h_1, h_2) \mapsto h_1 h_2$. Clearly α is a surjective homomorphism. The kernel of α is $\{(h_1, h_2) : h_1 = h_2^{-1}\}$, or rewritten,

$$\{(h_1, h_2^{-1}) : h_1 = h_2, h_i \in H_i\} = \{(\iota_1(h), \iota_2(h)^{-1}) : h \in H_1 \cap H_2 = Z\}.$$

Thus

$$H_1 H_2 \cong (H_1 \times H_2)/\ker(\alpha) \cong H_1 \times_Z H_2. \quad \square$$

We need one final property of central products before we can continue.

Proposition 2.10. *Take G_i and Z as above, and identify G_i with the subgroup of $G_1 \times_Z G_2$ as in Proposition 2.9. Then $[G_1 \times_Z G_2 : G_1] = [G_2 : Z]$ (and similarly, $[G_1 \times_Z G_2 : G_2] = [G_1 : Z]$).*

This follows immediately from Proposition 2.9 and standard counting results ($[G_1G_2 : G_1] = [G_2 : G_1 \cap G_2] = [G_2 : Z]$).

3. DIHEDRAL SHEPHARD GROUPS

The goal of this section is to study the geometry of the infinite dihedral Shephard groups in detail. We display them as \mathbb{Z} -central extensions of infinite triangle groups whose Euler class has infinite order, proving the first statement of Theorem A. Following this, we determine finer properties which we believe are interesting in their own right (and in particular complete the proof of Theorem A).

3.1. Dihedral Shephard groups as central extensions. We start by examining the second integral cohomology of the triangle groups. Let (p, q, r) be a triple of positive integers, and define $h = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$. We let $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{S}, \mathbb{E},$ or \mathbb{H} when $h > 1$, $h = 1$, or $h < 1$, respectively. Define a triangle $T(p, q, r)$ in \mathbb{Y}^2 with vertices $a, b,$ and c , and angles $\pi/p, \pi/q, \pi/r$ at $a, b,$ and c , respectively. The triangle group $\Delta(p, q, r)$ is the group generated by rotations of angle $2\pi/p, 2\pi/q,$ and $2\pi/r$ about the vertices $a, b,$ and c , resp., of T in \mathbb{Y}^2 . (We note that sometimes $\Delta(p, q, r)$ is called a *von Dyck group* and “triangle group” is sometimes used to refer to 3-generator Coxeter groups.) This group has the well-known presentations

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(p, q, r) &= \langle a, b, c \mid a^p = b^q = c^r = abc = e \rangle \\ &= \langle a, c \mid a^p = (ac)^q = c^r = e \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where, by abusing notation, the generators $a, b,$ and c correspond to the respective aforementioned rotations about the vertices $a, b,$ and c of T . We will use the second presentation to compute the cohomology. Since we’re interested in only the second integral cohomology, we describe a construction of the 3-skeleton of a $K(\Delta, 1)$.

First let $K^{(2)}$ be the presentation complex for $\Delta(p, q, r)$; that is, the cell complex with one 0-cell x , two (oriented) 1-cells labeled a and b , and three 2-cells labeled $e_a, e_c,$ and e_{ac} . The attaching map of the cell e_a takes the boundary ∂e_a to the loop a^p with positive orientation, and similarly e_c and e_{ac} are attached to the loops c^r and $(ac)^q$, respectively.

Let $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ denote the universal cover of $K^{(2)}$. Note that $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ is the Cayley 2-complex of $\Delta(p, q, r)$ and the 1-skeleton of $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ is the Cayley graph of $\Delta(p, q, r)$. This Cayley graph is the 1-skeleton of the semiregular tiling $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(p, 2q, r)$ of \mathbb{Y}^2 by p -gons, $2q$ -gons, and r -gons (e.g., [MS16]). See Figure 1 for an example. The cell structure on $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ is obtained from this tiling \mathcal{T} by gluing $(n - 1)$ extra cells to the boundary of each n -gon.

We now obtain the cell complex \tilde{K} by filling the generators of $\pi_2(\tilde{K}^{(2)})$ as follows. Choose an n -gon E of \mathcal{T} , and label all cells attached to ∂E in $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ (including E) by E_1, \dots, E_n . The cells $E_i \cup E_{i+1}$ (with indices mod n) form a sphere in $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$, so attach a 3-cell $E_{i,i+1}$ to this sphere. We will denote $E_S = \bigcup E_{i,i+1}$. Notice that E_S is homeomorphic to a 3-sphere. We obtain \tilde{K} from \mathcal{T} by replacing each n -gon E with the sphere E_S . Notice that \tilde{K} is the wedge of the spheres E_S . In particular, $\pi_2(\tilde{K}) = 0$.

There is a natural action of $\Delta(p, q, r)$ on \tilde{K} coming from the action on $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ by deck transformations. For an n -gon of \mathcal{T} , The stabilizer of the set $\bigcup E_i$ is conjugate to exactly one of $\langle a \rangle, \langle ac \rangle,$ or $\langle c \rangle$ (depending on if $n = p, 2q,$ or r , respectively). The action of this stabilizer on E_S is simply the standard action of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ on \mathbb{S}^3 . This




FIGURE 1. The tiling $\mathcal{T}(3, 6, 4)$ whose 1-skeleton is the Cayley graph of $\Delta(3, 3, 4)$ [Kal]

action is still free and properly discontinuous, so we may define the quotient space $K = \tilde{K}/\Delta$ such that \tilde{K} is the universal cover of K . Note that $K^{(2)}$ (as defined before) is the 2-skeleton of K .

Since \tilde{K} is the universal cover of K , we know $\pi_2(K) \cong \pi_2(\tilde{K}) = 0$. This means that $H_2(\Delta) \cong H_2(K)$ and $H^2(\Delta; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(K; \mathbb{Z})$, where $\Delta = \Delta(p, q, r)$. Note that for any n -gon E of \mathcal{T} , the cell E_i of \tilde{K} maps to exactly one of the cells e_a, e_{ac} , or e_c if $n = p, 2q$, or r , respectively. In particular, for all such E , the 3-cell E_S in \tilde{K} maps to a single 3-cell of K which we will denote f_a, f_{ac} , and f_c if $n = p, 2q$, or r , resp. Topologically, $\partial f_g = e_g \cup g \cup x$ if $g = a$ or c , and $\partial f_{ac} = e_{ac} \cup a \cup c \cup x$. Moreover, the closure \bar{f}_g of f_g is the 3-dimensional lens space of order $|g|$ with its standard cell structure for $g = a, b$ and \bar{f}_{ac} is the 3-dimensional lens space of order q with two points identified at x .

To fix notation for the computation of the cohomology of K , let C_n denote the free abelian group on the n -cells of K and $d_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ the standard cellular boundary map. We then let $Z_n = \ker(d_n)$ and $B_n = \text{im}(d_{n+1})$ so that $H_n(K) = Z_n/B_n$. As usual, we dualize to obtain $C^n = \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$, $d^n = (d_{n+1})_* : C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1}$ given by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ d_{n+1}$, $Z^n = \ker(d^n)$, $B^n = \text{im}(d^{n-1})$, so that $H^n(K; \mathbb{Z}) = Z^n/B^n$.

By a computation identical to that of the lens spaces (e.g., [Hat02, Ex. 2.43]), we see that d_3 is the zero map and

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(e_a) &= pa \\ d_2(e_c) &= rc \\ d_2(e_{ac}) &= q(a+c) \end{aligned}$$

This allows one to easily compute that $B_2 = 0$ and Z_2 (hence $H_2(K)$) is infinite cyclic generated by

$$\frac{\text{lcm}(p, q, r)}{q} e_{ac} - \frac{\text{lcm}(p, r)}{p} e_a - \frac{\text{lcm}(p, r)}{r} e_c.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Define a map $\varphi \in C^2$ by*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(e_a) &= 0 \\ \varphi(e_c) &= 0 \\ \varphi(e_{ac}) &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Then the class $[\varphi] \in H^2(K, \mathbb{Z})$ has infinite order.

Proof. Let $\rho : Z_2 \rightarrow Z_2/B_2$ denote the quotient map and $R : C^2 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Z^2; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the restriction map $\psi \mapsto \psi|_{Z_2}$.

The restriction $\varphi|_{Z_2}$ is nontrivial, and $B_2 = 0$, so $\rho \circ R \circ \varphi$ gives a nontrivial element of $\text{Hom}(H_2; \mathbb{Z})$. By the universal coefficient theorem, the free part of $H^2(K; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(H_2; \mathbb{Z})$ via the map $\psi \mapsto \rho \circ R \circ \bar{\psi}$ (where $\bar{\psi}$ is some lift of ψ along the quotient map $Z^2 \rightarrow Z^2/B^2$), so it follows that the image of φ in $H^2(K; \mathbb{Z})$ has infinite order. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let φ be as above. Then $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, r) \cong \Delta_\varphi$. In particular, $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, r)$ has infinite cyclic center generated by $(st)^q$.*

Proof. By the definition of Δ_φ , we see that it has presentation

$$\langle a, c, z \mid a^p = c^r = e, (ac)^q = z, [z, a] = [z, c] = e \rangle.$$

Since z commutes with a and b ,

$$z = a^{-1}za = a^{-1}(ac)^qa = (ca)^q,$$

so we just as well may write

$$\langle a, c, z \mid a^p = c^r = e, (ac)^q = (ca)^q = z, [z, a] = [z, c] = e \rangle.$$

But then notice that

$$\begin{aligned}(ac)^qa &= a(ca)^q = a(ac)^q, & \text{and} \\ c(ac)^q &= (ca)^qc = (cb)^qc,\end{aligned}$$

so the relations $[z, a] = [z, c] = 1$ are now redundant, and we may write

$$\langle a, c, z \mid a^p = c^r = e, (ac)^q = (ca)^q = z \rangle.$$

But now the generator z is redundant, so we arrive at

$$\Delta_\varphi = \langle a, c \mid a^p = c^r = e, (ac)^q = (ca)^q \rangle \cong \text{Sh}(p, 2q, r).$$

Since $(ac)^q = z \in Z(\Delta_\varphi)$ and $\Delta_\varphi/\langle z \rangle \cong \Delta$ is centerless (because $h \leq 1$), it follows that $(ac)^q = (st)^q$ generates the center of $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, r)$. \square

Next, we examine the Shephard groups $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ for q odd.

Lemma 3.3. *The subgroup of $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$ generated by s and tst is index-2 and isomorphic to $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$.*

Proof. First, we fix the presentations of these groups as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sh}(p, q, p) &= \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^p = \tau^p = e, \underbrace{\sigma\tau\sigma \dots}_{q \text{ letters}} = \underbrace{\tau\sigma\tau \dots}_{q \text{ letters}} \rangle \\ \text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2) &= \langle s, t \mid s^p = t^2 = e, (st)^q = (ts)^q \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Let the generator v of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ act on $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ by interchanging σ and τ . Then the semidirect product of $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ under this action has the presentation

$$\text{Sh}(p, q, p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \langle \sigma, \tau, v \mid \sigma^p = \tau^p = e, \underbrace{\sigma\tau\sigma\dots}_{q \text{ letters}} = \underbrace{\tau\sigma\tau\dots}_{q \text{ letters}}, \sigma = v\tau v, v^2 = e \rangle.$$

Since this is a semidirect product, the subgroup generated by σ and τ is isomorphic to $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$. We claim this product is isomorphic to $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$.

The relation $\sigma = v\tau v$ makes $\tau^p = e$ redundant, so we may remove it. In addition, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\tau\sigma\tau\dots}_{q \text{ letters}} &= (\tau\sigma)^{(q-1)/2}\tau \\ &= (v\sigma v\sigma)^{(q-1)/2}v\sigma v \\ &= (v\sigma)^{(q-1)}v\sigma v \\ &= (v\sigma)^q v. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\sigma\tau\sigma\dots}_{q \text{ letters}} &= (\sigma\tau)^{(q-1)/2}\sigma \\ &= (\sigma v\sigma v)^{(q-1)/2}\sigma \\ &= (\sigma v)^{(q-1)}\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the relation $\underbrace{\sigma\tau\sigma\dots}_{q \text{ letters}} = \underbrace{\tau\sigma\tau\dots}_{q \text{ letters}}$ is equivalent to

$$(v\sigma)^q v = (\sigma v)^{(q-1)}\sigma,$$

which in turn is equivalent to

$$(v\sigma)^q = (\sigma v)^{(q-1)}\sigma v = (\sigma v)^q.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Sh}(p, q, p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \langle \sigma, \tau, v \mid \sigma^p = v^2 = e, (v\sigma)^q = (\sigma v)^q, \sigma = v\tau v \rangle.$$

And with this presentation, τ is obviously redundant, so we see that

$$\text{Sh}(p, q, p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$$

via the map $\sigma \mapsto s$ and $v \mapsto t$. \square

Since $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ viewed inside $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$ is generated by $\sigma = s$ and $\tau = tst$, we have that the element $(\sigma\tau)^q = (st)^{2q}$ is both in $Z(\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2))$ and $Z(\text{Sh}(p, q, p))$, since $(st)^{2q} = (stst)^q = (\sigma\tau)^q$. The image of $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ under the quotient map $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2) \rightarrow \Delta(p, q, 2)$ is the subgroup D of $\Delta(p, q, 2)$ generated by a and cac . This subgroup is finite index in $\Delta(p, q, 2)$ (e.g., since $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ is finite index in $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$). In particular,

Lemma 3.4. *$\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -central extension of D via the image of the class $[\varphi]$ from $H^2(\Delta; \mathbb{Z})$ to $H^2(D, \mathbb{Z})$ (which has infinite order).*

Note that this restriction of $[\varphi]$ has infinite order by Proposition 2.5.

As a brief aside, using this central extension structure, we can show

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is infinite and $g \in \text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ has finite order. Then g is conjugate to a power of one of the standard generators of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$.*

Proof. Let s and t denote the generators of order p and r , resp., of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$. The central quotient $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)/Z$ of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ acts properly and cocompactly on either \mathbb{E}^2 or \mathbb{R}^2 , where the generators s and t are sent to rotations a and c , resp., by an angle of $2\pi/p$ and $2\pi/r$, resp. Since g has finite order, it cannot be contained in the center of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$, and thus has non-trivial image \bar{g} in $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)/Z$, still with finite order. Then by standard facts about isometries of \mathbb{E}^2 and \mathbb{H}^2 (and in particular, facts about the triangle groups), \bar{g} is conjugate (within $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)/Z$) to a power of either a or c . Without loss of generality, we may assume $\bar{g} = \bar{h}^{-1}a^k\bar{h}$ for some $\bar{h} \in \text{Sh}(p, q, r)/Z$ and $0 < k < p$ (the argument for conjugates of powers of c is identical). This means there is some lift $h \in \text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ of \bar{h} such that $gZ = (h^{-1}s^k h)Z$. In particular, there is some $z \in Z$ such that $g = (h^{-1}s^k h)z = h^{-1}(zs^k)h$. If $z \neq e$, then z has infinite order, thus so does zs^k (since z commutes with s^k), contradicting the assumption that g has finite order. Thus $z = e$ and $g = h^{-1}s^k h$. \square

We now prove the first part of Theorem A, namely

Theorem 3.6. *Let (p, q, r) be a triple of integers each ≥ 2 , with $p = r$ if q is odd. If $1/p + 2/q + 1/r \leq 1$, then $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ cannot admit a proper action by semi-simple isometries on any CAT(0) space. In particular, $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is not CAT(0).*

Proof. First suppose q is even, say $q = 2k$ for a positive integer k . Then

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{2k} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1.$$

So by Lemma 3.2, $\text{Sh}(p, q, r) = \text{Sh}(p, 2k, r)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -central extension of the infinite group $\Delta(p, k, r)$ via a second cohomology class (of infinite order by Lemma 3.1) of $\Delta(p, k, r)$. Thus by Proposition 2.6, $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ cannot act properly by semi-simple isometries on a CAT(0) space and is not CAT(0).

Now assume q is odd (implying $p = r$). This means

$$1 \geq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{2}{p} + \frac{2}{q},$$

implying

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2} \leq 1.$$

Thus $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -central extension of a finite index subgroup of $\Delta(p, q, 2)$ via a second cohomology class of infinite order (Lemma 3.4). By Proposition 2.6 cannot act properly by semi-simple isometries on a CAT(0) space and is not CAT(0). \square

3.2. Further geometry of the extension. We can give insight into the geometry of the dihedral Shephard groups beyond the general fact of Proposition 2.6. Namely, we will discuss the remainder of Theorem A.

To encompass both types of dihedral Shephard groups dealt with above (depending on the parity of q), we fix notation for this section. First, we let $Sh = \text{Sh}(p, 2q, r)$ or $Sh = \text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ (in which case we say $r = 2$). In the first case, we define $D = \Delta = \Delta(p, q, r)$, and in the second case, we define D to be the subgroup of $\Delta = \Delta(p, q, 2)$ generated by a and cac . To summarize the results of the previous section in this notation, Sh is a \mathbb{Z} -central extension of D whose Euler class has

infinite order, where D acts geometrically on \mathbb{E}^2 or \mathbb{H}^2 if $h = 1$ or $h < 1$, resp., as a finite index subgroup of a triangle group Δ .

As a consequence of the latter point, D contains a finite index torsion-free subgroup M [Mil75, Thm. 2.7], and in particular M must be a (closed) surface group. By Lemma 2.4, M lifts to a finite index subgroup M_φ of Sh . Since M is a surface group, $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let \widetilde{M} denote a central extension of M such that $e(\widetilde{M})$ is a generator of $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. (Sometimes \widetilde{M} is called the “universal central extension” of M , although this conflicts with the standard definition of universal central extension which applies only to perfect groups.) When $h = 1$, $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\widetilde{M} \cong H(3)$, the 3-dimensional integer Heisenberg group. When $h < 1$, then M is a hyperbolic surface group, and \widetilde{M} is a uniform lattice in $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2\mathbb{R}$. Since $e(\widetilde{M})$ is a generator of the second cohomology, $e(M_\varphi)$ is a non-zero multiple of $e(\widetilde{M})$. By Lemma 2.3, this means M_φ is finite index in \widetilde{M} . Thus Sh is commensurable to \widetilde{M} . As an immediate consequence, we have

Proposition 3.7. *For any triple (p, q, r) of integers ≥ 2 (with $p = r$ when q is odd), the group $\mathrm{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is linear.*

Proof. When $\mathrm{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is finite, this was shown in [Cox75]. So, suppose $\mathrm{Sh}(p, q, r)$ is not finite, i.e., $h = 1/p + 2/q + 1/r \leq 1$. It is an easy exercise to see that if H is a finite index subgroup of G , then G is linear if and only if H is linear. Thus if two groups G and H are commensurable, one is linear if and only if the other is. So it suffices to note that \widetilde{M} is always linear: if $h = 1$, then \widetilde{M} is the 3-dimensional integer Heisenberg group $H(3)$ (well-known to be linear), and, if $h < 1$, then \widetilde{M} is linear by [dLH00, §IV.48] (via an explicit injection from \widetilde{M} to $\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{R} \times H(3)$). \square

We will note here that the Shephard group analogue of the Tits representation used to show finite Shephard groups are linear in [Cox75] is not faithful for infinite dihedral Shephard groups. (A quick computation shows that the center of the image under this representation is always finite.) Finding an explicit representation for the Shephard groups is straightforward using the information given above, so we leave it as an exercise. The $h < 1$ Shephard groups have an interesting explicit (but non-linear) representation as isometries of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2\mathbb{R}$, which will discuss soon. First we examine the “Euclidean-like” case of $h = 1$.

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose $h = 1$. Then Sh is virtually nilpotent and is not semihyperbolic.*

Proof. Virtual nilpotency of Sh follows from the Q.I. rigidity of virtual nilpotency and the nilpotency of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group. Moreover, the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group has cubic Dehn function, and thus so does Sh . Since semihyperbolic groups have at-most quadratic Dehn function, it follows that Sh cannot be semihyperbolic. \square

This implies, for example, that if such a Shephard group embeds in an arbitrary Shephard group Sh_Γ , then Sh_Γ is not semihyperbolic.

The case $h < 1$ is quite rich. For example, since the central quotient is word hyperbolic in this case, by [NR97], this immediately implies

Proposition 3.9. *If $h < 1$, then Sh is biautomatic.*

Past knowing that such a Shephard group is commensurable to a uniform lattice in $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2\mathbb{R}$, we can also explicitly demonstrate it as a group of isometries of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2\mathbb{R}$. In some sense this is “more natural” than the linearity of Proposition 3.7, because it directly generalizes the method in which the presentation for the finite dihedral Shephard groups are derived in [Cox75, §9]. (The main technical difference is the fact that $1/\mathrm{lcm}(p, q, r) \neq 1/p + 1/q + 1/r - 1$ when $1/p + 1/q + 1/r \leq 1$, unlike in the finite case.)

Proposition 3.10. *If $h < 1$, then Sh is a uniform lattice in $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Since $h < 1$ and each of p, q , and r are finite, we know that Δ, D , and M are uniform lattices in $\mathcal{G} := \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}$. Recall that \mathcal{G} is isometric to the unit tangent bundle of \mathbb{H}^2 (under the Sasaki metric), which itself can be thought of as a $U(1)$ -bundle over \mathbb{H}^2 . This bundle is topologically trivial (since \mathbb{H}^2 is contractible) but is well known to be metrically non-trivial. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} (= \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2\mathbb{R})$ denote the universal cover of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a (metrically non-trivial) \mathbb{R} -bundle over \mathbb{H}^2 . Note that \widetilde{M} (as defined above) is actually the preimage of M under the covering map $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Let $\widetilde{\Delta}$ denote the preimage of Δ under this covering map. By [Mil75, Lem. 3.1] this group has the presentation

$$\widetilde{\Delta} = \langle \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c} \mid \tilde{a}^p = \tilde{b}^q = \tilde{c}^r = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c} \rangle,$$

where each of \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} , and \tilde{c} are lifts of the respective rotations a, b , and c to $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Moreover, the proof of said Lemma shows that $\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}$ generates the center of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and the center of $\widetilde{\Delta}$. Note that $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is also a uniform lattice in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ since Δ is a uniform lattice in \mathcal{G} . In order to display the dihedral Shephard groups as subgroups of $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$, we introduce another class of isometries.

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, define a map r_θ which acts on \mathcal{G} by preserving the $U(1)$ -fiber structure over \mathbb{H}^2 , such that r_θ projects down to the identity map of \mathbb{H}^2 and rotates each fiber by $2\pi\theta$. Since the bundle is topologically trivial, there is no issue with the existence and well-defined-ness of this map. Moreover, it is clear that this map is an isometry for any θ . We can also see that each r_θ commutes with the action of \mathcal{G} . The group of all r_θ is isomorphic to $U(1)$. Each r_θ can be lifted to a map \tilde{r}_θ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ which translates along the \mathbb{R} -fibers a common distance $2\pi\theta$. Note that this action commutes with the left action of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Since r_θ is an isometry of G , it follows that \tilde{r}_θ is an isometry of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{ \tilde{r}_\theta : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \}$. As a straightforward exercise, one may verify that \mathcal{R} along with the left-multiplication maps of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ generate the entirety of $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$. Since the elements of \mathcal{R} commute with the elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ (and vice versa), this means $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \mathcal{R}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. But note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \cap \mathcal{R} = \{ \tilde{r}_\theta : \theta \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cong \mathbb{Z}$; so, by Proposition 2.9, $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}$.

Now let $k = \mathrm{lcm}(p, q, r)$. Define $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ generated by $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}$, and $z := \tilde{r}_{1/k}$. Since $\tilde{r}_{1/k}$ commutes with the left multiplication action of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, this group has the presentation

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_k = \langle \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, z \mid \tilde{a}^p = \tilde{b}^q = \tilde{c}^r = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c} = z^k, [\tilde{a}, z] = [\tilde{b}, z] = [\tilde{c}, z] = e \rangle.$$

Note that this is isomorphic to a central product $\widetilde{\Delta} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle \tilde{r}_{1/k} \rangle$. Since $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is a uniform lattice in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\langle \tilde{r}_{1/k} \rangle$ is a uniform lattice in \mathcal{R} , it follows easily that $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$ is a uniform lattice in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R} \cong \mathrm{Isom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$. We claim that $\mathrm{Sh}(p, 2q, r)$ is isomorphic to a finite

index subgroup of $\tilde{\Delta}_k$, hence is a uniform lattice in $\text{Isom}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ as well. Since $\text{Sh}(p, q, p)$ is finite index in $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$, the result follows for these groups as well.

Let $m = \frac{k}{p} + \frac{k}{q} + \frac{k}{r} - k$, and consider the group

$$G = \langle s, t, \phi \mid s^p = t^r = e, (st)^q = (ts)^q = \phi^{-qm}, [s, \phi] = [t, \phi] = e \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Since $1/p + 1/q + 1/r = h \neq 1$, we know $m \neq 0$. Then G is an amalgamated direct product of $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, r)$ and $\langle \phi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ along the subgroup $\mathbb{Z} \cong \langle (st)^q \rangle \cong \langle \phi^{-qm} \rangle$. By Proposition 2.8, the subgroup of G generated by s and t is isomorphic to $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, r)$, and by Proposition 2.10, the index of this subgroup in G is $[\langle \phi \rangle : \langle \phi^m \rangle] = m < \infty$. We now show that $G \cong \tilde{\Delta}_k$.

We start by adding a redundant generator $u = (\phi^m t s)^{-1}$ to G to obtain the presentation

$$\langle s, t, u, \phi \mid s^p = t^r = e, (st)^q = (ts)^q = \phi^{-qm}, [s, \phi] = [t, \phi] = e, u = (\phi^m t s)^{-1} \rangle.$$

Define $\Phi : \tilde{\Delta}_k \rightarrow G$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a} &\mapsto \phi^{k/p} s \\ \tilde{b} &\mapsto \phi^{k/q} u \\ \tilde{c} &\mapsto \phi^{k/r} t \\ z &\mapsto \phi, \end{aligned}$$

then define $\Psi : G \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_k$ by

$$\begin{aligned} s &\mapsto z^{-k/p} \tilde{a} \\ u &\mapsto z^{-k/q} \tilde{b} \\ t &\mapsto z^{-k/r} \tilde{c} \\ \phi &\mapsto z \end{aligned}$$

We will show that Φ and Ψ define surjective homomorphisms. Once this is shown, then clearly Φ and Ψ are mutually inverse, and thus the proof of the Proposition is complete.

Starting with Φ , we must show

$$\Phi(\tilde{a})^p = \Phi(\tilde{b})^q = \Phi(\tilde{c})^r = \Phi(\tilde{a})\Phi(\tilde{b})\Phi(\tilde{c}) = \Phi(z)^k$$

and $\Phi(z)$ commutes with each of $\Phi(\tilde{a})$, $\Phi(\tilde{b})$, and $\Phi(\tilde{c})$. First, since $\Phi(z) = \phi$ and ϕ is in the center of G , the latter relation holds. We verify

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\tilde{a})^p &= (\phi^{k/p} s)^p \\ &= \phi^k s^p \\ &= \phi^k \\ &= \Phi(z)^k, \end{aligned}$$

with an identical result for $\Phi(c)^r$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\tilde{b})^q &= (\phi^{k/q}u)^q \\
&= \phi^k u^q \\
&= \phi^k (\phi^m t s)^{-q} \\
&= \phi^k \phi^{-qm} (t s)^{-q} \\
&= \phi^k (t s)^q (t s)^{-q} \\
&= \Phi(z)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Last,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\tilde{a})\Phi(\tilde{b})\Phi(\tilde{c}) &= (\phi^{k/p}s)(\phi^{k/q}u)(\phi^{k/r}t) \\
&= \phi^{k/p+k/q+k/r} s u t \\
&= \phi^{k/p+k/q+k/r} s (\phi^m t s)^{-1} t \\
&= \phi^{k/p+k/q+k/r-m} s s^{-1} t^{-1} t \\
&= \phi^{k/p+k/q+k/r-(k/p+k/q+k/r-k)} \\
&= \phi^k \\
&= \Phi(z)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show Ψ is a surjective morphism, we must show

$$\Psi(s)^p = \Psi(t)^r = e,$$

$$(\Psi(s)\Psi(t))^q = (\Psi(t)\Psi(s))^q = \Psi(\phi)^{-qm},$$

$$\Psi(u) = (\Psi(\phi)^m \Psi(t)\Psi(s))^{-1},$$

and $\Psi(\phi)$ commutes with $\Psi(s)$ and $\Psi(t)$. Since $\Psi(\phi) = z$, which is in the center of $\tilde{\Delta}_k$, this last relation is immediate. We begin by computing

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(s)^p &= (z^{-k/p}\tilde{a})^p \\
&= z^{-k}\tilde{a}^p \\
&= z^{-k}z^k \\
&= e,
\end{aligned}$$

with an identical computation for $\Psi(t)^r$. Before proceeding, we need a lemma regarding the relations in $\tilde{\Delta}_k$:

Lemma. *The relations $\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c} = \tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{a} = \tilde{c}\tilde{a}\tilde{b}$ hold in $\tilde{\Delta}_k$.*

Proof (of Lemma). Since $\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c} = z^k$ and z is in the center of $\tilde{\Delta}_k$, we have

$$\tilde{b} = \tilde{a}^{-1}z^k\tilde{c}^{-1} = z^k\tilde{a}^{-1}\tilde{c}^{-1} = \tilde{a}^{-1}\tilde{c}^{-1}z^k.$$

Solving each equation for z^k gives $z^k = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c} = \tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{a} = \tilde{c}\tilde{a}\tilde{b}$. \square

For notational convenience, let $r_1 = \Psi(s)$, $r_2 = \Psi(u)$, and $r_3 = \Psi(t)$. The above lemma implies that $r_1 r_2 r_3 = r_2 r_3 r_1 = r_3 r_1 r_2$, and in particular r_2 commutes with

the product $r_3 r_1$. Moreover, we know r_2 has order q , since $(z^{-k/q} \tilde{b})^q = z^{-k} \tilde{b}^q = z^{-k} z^k = 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Psi(s)\Psi(t))^q &= (r_1 r_3)^q \\
&= r_1 (r_3 r_1)^q r_1^{-1} \\
&= r_1 r_2^q (r_3 r_1)^q r_1^{-1} \\
&= r_1 (r_2 r_3 r_1)^q r_1^{-1} \\
&= (r_1 r_2 r_3)^q & (*) \\
&= (r_2 r_3 r_1)^q \\
&= r_2^q (r_3 r_1)^q \\
&= (r_3 r_1)^q \\
&= (\Psi(t)\Psi(s))^q
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (*) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Psi(s)\Psi(t))^q &= (r_1 r_2 r_3)^q \\
&= (z^{-k/p} \tilde{a} z^{-k/q} \tilde{b} z^{-k/r} \tilde{c})^q \\
&= (z^{-k/p-k/q-k/r} \tilde{a} \tilde{b} \tilde{c})^q \\
&= (z^{-k/p-k/q-k/r} z^k)^q \\
&= (z^{-k/p-k/q-k/r+k})^q \\
&= (z^{-m})^q \\
&= z^{-mq} \\
&= \Psi(\phi)^{-mq}.
\end{aligned}$$

Last,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Psi(\phi)^m \Psi(t)\Psi(s))^{-1} &= [z^m (z^{-k/r} \tilde{c}) (z^{-k/p} \tilde{a})]^{-1} \\
&= z^{k/r+k/p-m} \tilde{a}^{-1} \tilde{c}^{-1} \\
&= z^{k-k/q} \tilde{a}^{-1} \tilde{c}^{-1} \\
&= z^{-k/q} z^k \tilde{a}^{-1} \tilde{c}^{-1} \\
&= z^{-k/q} (\tilde{b} \tilde{c} \tilde{a}) \tilde{a}^{-1} \tilde{c}^{-1} \\
&= z^{-k/q} \tilde{b} \\
&= \Psi(u). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

4. THE SYLLABLE LENGTH CONDITION

We now turn our attention to proving Theorem B. To do this, we follow the overarching idea used to show that the Deligne complex for a 2-dimensional Artin group is CAT(0) [CD95]. The first step in this process is to show that certain words have a minimal length. We make this precise now.

Definition 4.1. Let S be a finite set and $W(S)$ the set of (finite) words in $S \cup S^{-1}$. If $w \in W(S)$ with $w = s_1^{i_1} \dots s_n^{i_n}$ ($s_j \in S$, $i_j \in \mathbb{Z}$) is cyclically reduced, then we define the *syllable length* of w with respect to S to be $\ell(w) = \ell_S(w) = n$.

Definition 4.2. If G is a group with finite generating set S , and $w \in W(S)$, then we denote by $\bar{w} \in G$ the image of w under the map induced by the obvious map sending the word $s_1^{i_1} \dots s_n^{i_n}$ in $W(S)$ to the element $s_1^{i_1} \dots s_n^{i_n}$ in G .

The main result of this section is the following proposition, which, as mentioned before, is one of the key steps in showing the analogue of the Deligne complex for Shephard groups is also CAT(0). It is based on a result of Appel and Schupp [AS83], but requires a minor extra hypothesis in order to account for the torsion in the generators.

Proposition 4.3. *Consider the dihedral Shephard group $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ (with $p = r$ if q is odd) on standard generating set $S = \{s, t\}$ and identity element e . Suppose $w \in W(S)$ has a cyclically reduced expression $w = s_1^{i_1} \dots s_n^{i_n}$, with $i_j \notin \mathbb{Z}p_{s_j}$ (where $p_s = p$ and $p_t = r$). If $\bar{w} = e$, then $\ell(w) \geq 2q$.*

We will first establish notation and some brief lemmas.

Definition 4.4. Let (p, q, r) be a triple of integers all ≥ 2 with $p = r$ if q is odd. Let $Sh = \text{Sh}(p, q, r)$. If q is even, let $D = D(p, q, r) = \Delta(p, q/2, r)$, $\sigma = a$, and $\tau = c$, and if q is odd, let $D = D(p, q, r)$ denote the subgroup of $\Delta(p, q, 2)$ generated by $\sigma = a$ and $\tau = cac$. Define a simplicial graph $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(p, q, r)$ whose vertices are the cosets of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ and $\langle \tau \rangle$ in D , with an edge between two vertices if the cosets have non-trivial intersection.

Sometimes \mathcal{D} is called a “(rank 2) coset geometry”. It is the quotient of the complex $\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Theta}(\text{Sh}(p, q, r))$ by the center of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ (see Proposition 5.2).

Lemma 4.5. *For any triple (p, q, r) (with $p, q, r \geq 2$ and $p = r$ if q is odd), the complex $\mathcal{D}(p, q, r)$ is (the 1-skeleton of) a tiling of either \mathbb{E}^2 or \mathbb{H}^2 by q -gons.*

Proof. The case when q is even is proven in [MS16], so assume q is odd and $D = \langle a, cac \rangle \leq \Delta(p, q, 2)$. Note that the result holds for $\mathcal{D}(p, 2q, 2)$ (since $2q$ is even and $D(p, 2q, 2) = \Delta(p, q, 2)$) and $D(p, q, r)$ is a finite index subgroup of $D(p, 2q, 2)$ (by definition). In particular, $\mathcal{D}(p, 2q, 2)$ is a subdivision of $\mathcal{D}(p, q, p)$; the added vertices come from adding cosets of c , which correspond to midpoints of edges of $\mathcal{D}(p, q, p)$. In particular, since $\mathcal{D}(p, 2q, 2)$ consists of $2q$ -gons and is the first barycentric subdivision of $\mathcal{D}(p, q, p)$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}(p, q, p)$ is a tiling by q -gons. \square

The graph $\mathcal{D}(p, q, r)$ can be thought of as “collapsing” the polygons in the Cayley graph of Δ corresponding to the conjugates of the subgroups $\langle s \rangle$ and $\langle t \rangle$. For example, the Cayley graph and coset geometry of $\Delta(3, 3, 3)$ (coming from $\text{Sh}(3, 6, 3)$) are shown in Figure 2. They are overlaid in Figure 3 to demonstrate how the triangles induced by the orbit of s and t can be shrunk to their respective cosets (where these cosets are given by solid and empty vertices, respectively).

We may now prove the main Proposition of this section. The argument is based on one given in [Cri05, Lemma 39].

Proof (of Prop. 4.3). Let E denote the edge of $\widehat{\Theta}$ coming from the intersection of the cosets $\langle s \rangle$ and $\langle t \rangle$ in Sh . The word w gives rise to a path γ in $\widehat{\Theta}$ which is the concatenation $E_1 E_2 \dots E_n$ of the edges E_j given by $E_j = s_1^{i_1} s_2^{i_2} \dots s_{j-1}^{i_{j-1}} E_{j-1}$. Since i_j is not a multiple of p_{s_j} , every pair of consecutive edges in this list are distinct. In addition, notice that $E_n = \bar{w}E$. So, γ is a locally embedded closed loop, and in




FIGURE 2. The Cayley graph (a) and coset geometry (b) for $\Delta(3, 3, 3)$




FIGURE 3. The Cayley graph (dashed) and coset geometry (solid) of $\Delta(3, 3, 3)$ overlaid

particular, the edge length $\ell(\gamma) = n$ of γ is precisely the syllable length $\ell(w)$ of w . Without loss of generality, we may assume that this loop is embedded; otherwise, we may repeat the argument on embedded subloops. In addition, we may assume without loss of generality that $0 < i_j < p_{s_j}$ by replacing i_j with its remainder after division by p_{s_j} ; clearly this gives the same word in Sh and same path in $\widehat{\Theta}$. In particular, this assumption does not change the syllable length.



Let $\bar{\gamma}$ be the image of γ under the covering map $\widehat{\Theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induced by the central quotient. This is still a closed loop in \mathcal{D} , but now may no longer be embedded. However, can find a subpath of $\bar{\gamma}$ which is an embedded closed loop. After reparameterization, we write $\bar{\gamma}$ as the concatenation $\bar{\gamma}_0\bar{\gamma}_1$, with $\bar{\gamma}_0$ an embedded closed loop in \mathcal{D} and $\bar{\gamma}_1$ not necessarily embedded, possibly trivial. Let γ_0 be the lift of $\bar{\gamma}_0$ to $\widehat{\Theta}$ contained in γ and let γ_1 be the (possibly trivial) path in $\widehat{\Theta}$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_0\gamma_1$. Then γ_0 and γ_1 represent subwords of w of the form $w_0 = s_1^{i_1}s_2^{i_2}\cdots s_j^{i_j}$ and $w_1 = s_{j+1}^{i_{j+1}}s_{j+2}^{i_{j+2}}\cdots s_n^{i_n}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. In particular, $\ell(w) = \ell(\gamma) = \ell(\gamma_0) + \ell(\gamma_1)$.

Since $\bar{\gamma}_0$ is a non-trivial embedded loop in \mathcal{D} , it must enclose at least one q -gon, implying $\ell(\gamma_0) = \ell(\bar{\gamma}_0) \geq q$. We claim that γ_1 is non-empty, or in other words, that $\gamma \neq \gamma_0$. Since γ is closed, it suffices to show that γ_0 is not a closed loop. If we show this, then, since $\bar{\gamma}_1$ will be a (nontrivial) closed path in \mathcal{D} , we can apply the argument given for $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_0$ to $\bar{\gamma}_1$ and a simple subpath of $\bar{\gamma}_1$ to show that $\ell(\bar{\gamma}_1) \geq q$, and thus $\ell(w) \geq \ell(\bar{\gamma}_0) + \ell(\bar{\gamma}_1) \geq q + q = 2q$, as claimed.

Showing that γ_0 is not closed is equivalent to showing $\bar{w}_0 \neq e$. If q is even, let $\Delta = \Delta(p, q/2, r)$ and if q is odd, let $\Delta = \Delta(p, q, 2)$ (so either $D = \Delta$ or D is finite index in Δ). Let K be the (3-skeleton of a) $K(\Delta, 1)$ space defined in Section 3, with universal cover \tilde{K} , and let C be the Cayley graph of Sh . Note that C is a covering of $\tilde{K}^{(1)}$ (with $\tilde{K}^{(1)}$ the Cayley graph of Δ). The word w_0 gives rise to a path in C in the standard way, hence also a path $\tilde{\rho}$ in $\tilde{K}^{(1)}$ via the covering map $C \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{(1)}$, and a path $\rho \in K^{(1)}$ under the covering map $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$. The path ρ induces a cycle $\bar{\rho} \in C_1$ (see Section 3 for notation). Since w_0 represents the trivial word in Δ , $[\bar{\rho}] = 0$. This means $\bar{\rho} \in B_1 = \text{im}(d_2)$, so we may choose an element $R \in C_2$ such that $d_2(R) = \bar{\rho}$, say $R = n_a e_a + n_c e_c + n_{ac} e_{ac}$ for some $n_a, n_c, n_{ac} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since γ_0 is simple and we have assumed $0 < i_j < p_{s_j}$, we know that $\tilde{\rho}$ is a simple loop, it must enclose at least one cell of $\tilde{K}^{(2)}$ which maps to e_{ac} , and it must traverse the boundaries of each such cell with a consistent (positive) orientation. This means that $n_{ac} \neq 0$, so $\varphi(R) \neq 0$. Therefore \bar{w}_0 cannot be trivial in $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ if q is even. If q is odd this shows that \bar{w}_0 is not trivial in $\text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$, but since D lifts to $\text{Sh}(p, q, p) \leq \text{Sh}(p, 2q, 2)$ and \bar{w}_0 lies in this subgroup by assumption, the result also holds for q odd. \square

Example 4.6. We will give an illustrative example with the complexes $\mathcal{D}(p, q, r)$ and $\widehat{\Theta}$. (We use these over the Cayley graphs since the figures are much clearer, but a similar conceptualization works for the Cayley graphs.)

Consider the two hexagons in $\mathcal{D}(3, 3, 3)$ highlighted in Figure 4(a). Figure 5(a) shows lifts of these hexagons to the complex $\widehat{\Theta}$ for the Shephard group $\text{Sh}(3, 6, 3)$. (The dashed lines show which vertices are identified under the covering map; they are not part of $\widehat{\Theta}$.) The entire preimage of a hexagon under the covering map is a vertical column which resembles the universal cover of the circle with the cell structure coming from the hexagon. Consider the path encircling these two hexagons in $\mathcal{D}(3, 3, 3)$, shown in red in Figure 4(b). Its lift to $\widehat{\Theta}$ is shown in Figure 5(b). The

FIGURE 4. Hexagons in $\mathcal{D}(3, 3, 3)$ and a path enclosing themFIGURE 5. Lifts to $\hat{\Theta}$ for $\text{Sh}(3, 6, 3)$

endpoints of this path are “distance 2” along the fiber of the base vertex. This corresponds to the fact that the path encloses exactly two hexagons. One may compare this to the usual description of the Cayley graph of the 3-dimensional integer Heisenberg group $H(3)$, the main difference being that the vertical dashed lines would be actual edges of the Cayley graph of $H(3)$. This also illustrates how the center of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ acts on its complex $\hat{\Theta}$, since it acts by deck transformations; it is a uniform “vertical translation” along the dashed lines in Figure 5 (the fibers of the map to $\mathcal{D}(p, q, r)$).

5. A CAT(0) CELL COMPLEX FOR 2-DIMENSIONAL SHEPHARD GROUPS

In this section, we recall the definition of $\Theta(\Gamma)$ for an arbitrary extended presentation graph Γ , which largely follows [Gol23, §3]. We then show that this complex is CAT(0) when Γ is 2-dimensional.

Definition 5.1. Let Γ be an extended presentation graph. We define $K = K_\Gamma = |(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f)'|$, where $(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f)'$ denotes the derived complex of \mathcal{S}_Γ^f and $|(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f)'|$ is its geometric realization. We will denote an n -simplex of K by

$$[\Lambda_0 < \Lambda_1 < \cdots < \Lambda_n]$$

for a chain $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda_1 < \cdots < \Lambda_n$ with each $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{S}^f$. We note that the vertices are indexed by elements of \mathcal{S}^f ; we will let $v_\Lambda = [\Lambda]$ denote the vertex of K coming from Λ . Define a complex of groups $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\text{Sh}_\Gamma, K_\Gamma)$ over K by declaring the local group at v_Λ to be Sh_Λ and the edge maps to be the natural maps coming from the inclusion of generators.

Next, define $\Delta = \Delta_\Gamma$ to be a simplex whose vertices are labeled by the generators $V(\Gamma)$ of Sh_Γ . For $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$, let σ_Λ denote the face of Δ_Γ spanned by the elements of $V(\Lambda)$. We define a complex of groups $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\text{Sh}_\Gamma, \Delta_\Gamma)$ by declaring the local group at the face σ_Λ to be the group $\text{Sh}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}$, where $\widehat{\Lambda}$ is the full subgraph of Γ generated by the vertices $V(\Gamma) \setminus V(\Lambda)$. The edge maps are the standard maps induced by the inclusion of generating sets.

Note that \mathcal{G} is a simple complex of groups. Hence by [BH13, Def. II.12.12], the fundamental group of \mathcal{G} is the direct limit over the edge maps; when Γ is 2-dimensional, this direct limit is clearly Sh_Γ . In general, neither complex of groups is a priori developable. If \mathcal{G} is developable, we will denote its development $\Theta = \Theta(\Gamma) = \Theta_\Gamma$. If $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is developable, we will denote its development $\widehat{\Theta} = \widehat{\Theta}(\Gamma) = \widehat{\Theta}_\Gamma$. For dihedral Shephard groups, it turns out that $\widehat{\Theta}$ has a straightforward description.

Proposition 5.2. *If Λ is the graph which is a single edge between vertices s and t with all labels finite, then $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\text{Sh}_\Lambda, \Delta_\Lambda)$ is developable, and $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ can be described as follows: the vertex set of $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ are the cosets of $\langle s \rangle$ and $\langle t \rangle$ in Sh_Λ , and there is an edge between two vertices if the corresponding cosets intersect nontrivially. In particular, the center acts freely on $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$.*

Proof. The fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is developable follows from the characterizations of $\text{Sh}(p, q, r)$ given in Section 3. Namely, in each case the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ embed as the vertex groups. The statement regarding the vertices and edges of $\widehat{\Theta}$ is identical to Coxeter groups and Artin groups; e.g., [CD95, Proof of Lemma 4.3.2]. The center acts freely because the stabilizers of vertices and edges are finite (they are conjugates of $\langle s \rangle$ or $\langle t \rangle$ in the first case, and are trivial in the second), while the center has infinite order. \square

When \mathcal{G} is developable, it too has a straightforward description.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\text{Sh}_\Gamma \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f = \{g\text{Sh}_\Lambda : \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f\}$, ordered by inclusion. If $\mathcal{G}(\text{Sh}_\Gamma, K_\Gamma)$ is developable, then $\Theta_\Gamma \cong |(\text{Sh}_\Gamma \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f)'|$. In particular, the n -simplices of Θ_Γ correspond to $(n+1)$ -chains $g_0\text{Sh}_{\Lambda_0} < \cdots < g_n\text{Sh}_{\Lambda_n}$ of cosets, and the action of Sh_Γ is just the left multiplication action. We will denote the simplex arising from such a chain by*

$$[g_0\text{Sh}_{\Lambda_0} < \cdots < g_n\text{Sh}_{\Lambda_n}].$$

The stabilizer of this simplex is $g_0^{-1}\text{Sh}_{\Lambda_0}g_0$.

With this description, for developable \mathcal{G} it is clear that K embeds in Θ_Γ as the subcomplex consisting of simplices of the form $[\text{Sh}_{\Lambda_0}, \text{Sh}_{\Lambda_1}, \dots, \text{Sh}_{\Lambda_n}]$. This allows one to easily see that K is a fundamental domain for the action of Sh_Λ on Θ_Λ . In particular, we may view the vertices v_Λ as being in Θ_Γ as well as K .

In order to show \mathcal{G} is developable (under certain conditions), we show that it is “nonpositively curved” in the following sense.

Lemma 5.4. [BH13, Thm. II.12.28] *If \mathcal{H} is a (simple) complex of groups over a simply connected domain and the local development at each vertex is locally CAT(0), then \mathcal{H} is developable and has locally CAT(0) development.*

It is clear that K is simply connected, since v_\varnothing is a cone point. We will describe the local development shortly. We begin with putting a metric on the fundamental domain K .

Definition 5.5. For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\geq \Lambda}^f &= \{ \Lambda' \in \mathcal{S}^f : \Lambda' \geq \Lambda \} & F_\Lambda &= |(\mathcal{S}_{\geq \Lambda}^f)'| \\ \mathcal{S}_{\leq \Lambda}^f &= \{ \Lambda' \in \mathcal{S}^f : \Lambda' \leq \Lambda \} & F_\Lambda^* &= |(\mathcal{S}_{\leq \Lambda}^f)'|. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that F_Λ^* is combinatorially a cube whose faces are $F_{\Lambda_1} \cap F_{\Lambda_2}^*$ where $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda$. So K itself has a cubical structure with faces $F_{\Lambda_1} \cap F_{\Lambda_2}^*$ for $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2 \in \mathcal{S}^f$. This Proposition is a straightforward exercise:

Proposition 5.6. *With the cubical cell structure above, F_Λ^* is isomorphic to the cone on the first barycentric subdivision Δ'_Λ of Δ_Λ with cone point v_Λ . The isomorphism is induced by the map sending v_{Λ_0} to the barycenter of $\sigma_{\widehat{\Lambda_0}}$.*

Thus we may identify $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda^*)$ and Δ_Λ . With this connection we can define an explicit metric on K .

Definition 5.7. We give the cell $F_{\Lambda_1} \cap F_{\Lambda_2}^*$ of K the metric of a *Coxeter block*. Briefly, this is (the closure of) a connected component of the Coxeter zonotope associated to the finite Coxeter group W_{Λ_2} minus its reflection hyperplanes. (See [CD95, §4.4], where this metric is defined in detail for the Davis-Moussong complex and Deligne complex.) In this metric, if $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$, then Δ_Λ is a spherical simplex where the length of the edge between the vertices corresponding to i and j is π/m_{ij} .

Since K is a (strict) fundamental domain, we can use the action of Sh_Λ to metrize all of Θ_Γ . We will call this the *Moussong metric* on Θ_Γ .

Now we recall the local development, focusing on the case of \mathcal{G} . Let v_Λ be a vertex of K , with $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$. The *upper star* St^Λ of v_Λ in \mathcal{G} is the (full) subcomplex of K spanned by the vertices $v_{\Lambda'}$ with $\Lambda' \geq \Lambda$. The *lower link* Lk_Λ of v_Λ in \mathcal{G} is the development of the subcomplex of groups $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(K_{<\Lambda})$ of $\mathcal{G}(K)$, where $K_{<\Lambda}$ denotes the subcomplex spanned by vertices $v_{\Lambda'}$ with $\Lambda' \leq \Lambda$. Both of these objects are simplicial complexes which inherit the metric placed on K . The *local development* at v_Λ is the spherical join

$$D(\Lambda) = St^\Lambda * Lk_\Lambda.$$

Its metric naturally comes from the metric on K . The link of v_Λ in the local development is

$$lk(v_\Lambda, D(\Lambda)) = Lk^\Lambda * Lk_\Lambda,$$

where Lk^Λ is the *upper link*, meaning the (full) subcomplex of K spanned by the vertices $v_{\Lambda'}$ with $\Lambda' \succeq \Lambda$. We may also sometimes refer to this complex as $K_{>\Lambda}$.

Note that $K_{>\Lambda}$ is isomorphic to $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ and $K_{<\Lambda}$ is isomorphic to $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda^*)$. We use the previous proposition to identify $K_{<\Lambda}$ with Δ_Λ . With this identification, the complex of groups $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(K_{<\Lambda})$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(G_\Lambda, \Delta_\Lambda)$ as defined above, and thus Lk_Λ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$. It is straightforward to check that the metrics placed on K above agree with the claimed metrics on Δ_Λ . In other words, there is an isometry

$$lk(v_\Lambda, D(\Lambda)) \cong lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda) * \widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda,$$

where the join is the usual spherical join. There are two special cases to note. When $\Lambda = \emptyset$, then $\widehat{\Theta}_\emptyset$ is empty, so $lk(v_\Lambda, D(\Lambda)) \cong lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$. When Λ is maximal in \mathcal{S}^f , F_Λ is a single point v_Λ , so $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ is empty and $lk(v_\Lambda, D(\Lambda)) \cong \widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$.

Showing the local development is nonpositively curved amounts to showing that these components of the links are CAT(1). Since a spherical join is CAT(1) if and only if both components are [BH13, Cor. II.3.15], this reduces to showing that $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ and $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ are CAT(1) when $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$. With this in mind, we can now complete the proof of Theorem B. It will follow immediately by the next theorem and general facts about simple complexes of groups.

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose Γ is a 2-dimensional extended presentation graph. Then \mathcal{G} is developable and its development Θ_Γ is CAT(0).*

Proof. As in the Artin group and Coxeter group case, $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ is CAT(1) whenever $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f$ (see discussion before and after Lemma 4.4.1 in [CD95]), so it remains to show that $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ is CAT(1) for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f$. Since Γ is 2-dimensional, the only elements of \mathcal{S}^f are \emptyset , singletons, and edges. In the first two cases, $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ is either empty or finite, resp., so we may assume we are in the third case. Note that if Sh_Λ is finite (i.e., has labels $1/p_i + 2/m_{ij} + 1/p_j > 1$), then this complex was shown to be CAT(1) in [Gol23, Lemma 6.1], so we may assume this group is infinite.

By [BH13, Lem. II.5.6], it suffices to show that $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ has no closed loops of length $< 2\pi$. Since the length of an edge of $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ is π/m_{ij} , we must show that the edge length of any closed loop in $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ is at least $2m_{ij}$. Let γ be an embedded closed loop in $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$, say $\gamma = E_1 \cdots E_n$ for edges E_i of $\widehat{\Theta}$. Note that the edge path length $\ell(\gamma)$ is n . For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let v_i be the vertex at which the edges E_{i-1} and E_i meet (with indices taken cyclically). Let s_i be the generator of Sh_Λ such that $v_i = g_i \langle s_i \rangle$ for some $g_i \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda$. We can write $E_i = g_i s_i^{m_i} E_{i-1}$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since γ is embedded, m_i is not a multiple of p_{s_i} (the order of s_i). Stringing together these equalities gives a word $s_1^{m_1} s_2^{m_2} \cdots s_n^{m_n} = 1$ in Sh_Λ . Since $s_i \neq s_{i+1}$, the syllable length of this word is $n = \ell(\gamma)$. By Proposition 4.3, we must have $\ell(\gamma) = n \geq 2m_{ij}$. The result now follows from Lemma 5.4. \square

When the local groups of a nonpositively curved complex of groups are all finite, this determines all finite subgroups of the fundamental group (namely, they are the conjugates of the local groups). While we can't exactly say that here, we can determine all elements of finite order.

Corollary 5.9. *Suppose Γ is a 2-dimensional extended presentation graph. If $h \in \text{Sh}_\Gamma$ has finite order, then it is conjugate to a power of one of the standard generators of Sh_Γ .*

Proof. Suppose $h \in \text{Sh}_\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ has finite order. By [BH13, Cor. II.2.8(1)], the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(h) = \{x \in \Theta_\Gamma : hx = x\}$ is a non-empty convex subset of Θ_Γ . Since Γ is 2-dimensional, the simplices of Θ_Γ are at most dimension 2, and these top-dimensional simplices are of the form

$$[g_0\text{Sh}_\emptyset, g_1\text{Sh}_{\{s\}}, g_2\text{Sh}_e]$$

for e an edge of Γ and s a vertex of e . But the stabilizer of any point x in the interior of such a cell is $g_0^{-1}\text{Sh}_\emptyset g_0$, which is the trivial group. In particular, $\text{Fix}(h)$ must be a tree in the 1-skeleton of Θ_Γ and avoid vertices of type $[g\text{Sh}_\emptyset]$. If $\text{Fix}(h)$ contains a vertex $V = [g\text{Sh}_{\{s\}}]$ or an edge $E = [g\text{Sh}_{\{s\}}, g'\text{Sh}_e]$, then $h \in \text{Stab}(V) = g^{-1}\text{Sh}_{\{s\}}g$ and so is conjugate to a power of s . So suppose neither of these cases occur. This implies $\text{Fix}(h) = [g\text{Sh}_e]$ for an edge e of Γ with vertices s and t , and $h \in g^{-1}\text{Sh}_e g$. By translating, we may assume $g = e$, so $h \in \text{Sh}_e$. Corollary 3.5 then implies h is conjugate to a power of s or t . \square

6. ACYLINDRICAL HYPERBOLICITY

In [Vas22], it is shown that (irreducible) 2-dimensional Artin groups of rank at least 3 are acylindrically hyperbolic. By modifying the proof appropriately, we obtain an analogous result for 2-dimensional Shephard groups as an application of Theorem B:

Theorem C. *Suppose Γ is an extended presentation graph satisfying*

- (1) $|V(\Gamma)| \geq 3$,
- (2) Γ is 2-dimensional,
- (3) Sh_Γ does not split as a direct product (i.e., it is irreducible), and
- (4) every connected component of Γ has an edge e such that Sh_e is infinite.

Then Sh_Γ is acylindrically hyperbolic.

This follows from

Proposition 6.1. [Vas22, Theorem D] *Let X be a CAT(0) simplicial complex, together with an action by simplicial isomorphisms of a group G . Assume that there exists a vertex v of X with stabilizer G_v such that:*

- (1) *The orbits of G_v on the link $\text{lk}(v, X)$ are unbounded, for the associated angular metric.*
- (2) *G_v is weakly malnormal in G , i.e., there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $G_v \cap gG_v g^{-1}$ is finite.*

Then G is either virtually cyclic or acylindrically hyperbolic.

Thus the extra assumption (4) of Theorem C is necessary to guarantee condition (1) of Proposition 6.1 is satisfied; otherwise, all links would be finite graphs.

Lemma 6.2. *Let Γ be a presentation graph satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem C, and let e be any edge of Γ for which Sh_e is infinite. Then the orbits of Sh_e on $\text{lk}(v_e, \Theta_\Gamma)$ are unbounded.*

Proof. Since Θ_Γ is 2-dimensional, we know that $\text{lk}(v_e, \Theta_\Gamma) \cong \widehat{\Theta}_e$ (see Section 5). Suppose e has terminal vertices i and j , with labels $p = p_i$, $m = m_{ij}$, and $q = p_j$. Since Sh_e is infinite, we know that $1/p + 2/m + 1/q \leq 1$, and Sh_e is a non-trivial \mathbb{Z} -central extension of a finite index subgroup of a triangle group in either \mathbb{E}^2 or \mathbb{H}^2 (see Section 3). As discussed in Section 3, the quotient of $\widehat{\Theta}_e$ by the center of Sh_e is

the 1-skeleton of a semiregular tiling of \mathbb{E}^2 or \mathbb{H}^2 , and this quotient is a covering map. In particular, the group of deck transformations (which act hyperbolically) of this cover is the central copy of \mathbb{Z} in Sh_e ; thus the orbits of this copy of \mathbb{Z} are unbounded. \square

In order to show that the Shephard groups in question satisfy (2) of Proposition 6.1, we will detail the portions of the argument which must be modified, and refer the reader to [Vas22, §5] for the full original argument.

Definition 6.3. Let Γ be an extended presentation graph. For vertices s, t of Γ , let Γ^{st} denote the presentation graph with the same vertex and edge sets and labels as Γ , but with the addition of an edge e_{st} labeled 6 if there is no edge between s and t in Γ . (If there is already an edge between s and t , we leave Γ unchanged.) We then define the domain $K_{\Gamma^{st}}$ and metric as in [Vas22, Def. 5.3]. Our complex of groups over $K_{\Gamma^{st}}$ is defined similarly as well, but we place the free product $\mathbb{Z}/p_s\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/p_t\mathbb{Z}$ as the edge group corresponding to e_{st} if this edge was added; we make no changes if there were no changes made to Γ . This is in contrast to the Artin group case, where the rank-2 free group is placed on this edge. We denote the development of this complex of groups by Θ_{Γ}^{st} .

With this modification, the following key lemmas still hold, with completely identical proofs after appropriate replacements are made with the definition(s) above.

Lemma 6.4. [Vas22, Lemma 5.6] *Let Sh_{Γ} be a 2-dimensional Shephard group with $|V(\Gamma)| \geq 3$, and suppose that we are in the second case of [Vas22, Proposition 5.2]. Then Θ_{Γ}^{bc} is CAT(0).*

Lemma 6.5. [Vas22, Lemma 5.7] *Let Sh_{Γ} be a 2-dimensional Shephard group with $|V(\Gamma)| \geq 3$, such that Γ is connected and not right-angled (i.e., has some edge not labeled 2). Then there exists an edge e of Γ between vertices a and b with coefficient $m_{ab} \geq 3$ and an element $g \in \text{Sh}_e$ such that $\text{Sh}_e \cap g\text{Sh}_e g^{-1} = e$.*

Now we may complete the proof of Theorem C.

Proof (of Theorem C). We may assume Γ is connected, otherwise Sh_{Γ} splits as a free product, and each free summand is an infinite group by (4); such groups are always acylindrically hyperbolic. We may assume as well that $\Gamma(\infty)$ is not right-angled; in this case, Sh_{Γ} is a graph product of non-trivial (cyclic) groups, which by [MO15, Cor. 2.13] implies Sh_{Γ} is either virtually cyclic or acylindrically hyperbolic. Taking e to be the edge guaranteed by (4), we know Sh_e is infinite, not virtually cyclic, and embeds in Sh_{Γ} , so under our assumptions Sh_{Γ} is not virtually cyclic (hence acylindrically hyperbolic). The proof of [Vas22, Prop. 5.2] and Lemma 6.5 imply that we may choose the edge e in Lemma 6.5 to be the edge e guaranteed by (4). We note that this edge has label ≥ 3 , since otherwise Sh_e would be a direct product of finite groups and hence finite. So Lemmas 6.2 and 6.5 imply Sh_{Γ} satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 6.1, and hence is acylindrically hyperbolic. \square

7. RELATIVE HYPERBOLICITY AND RESIDUAL FINITENESS

Recall the following characterization of relative hyperbolicity, due to Bowditch [Bow12]. This phrasing comes from [Osi06, Def. 6.2].

Proposition 7.1. *Let G be a group and $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ a collection of infinite finitely generated subgroups (we will call (G, \mathcal{P}) a group pair and the elements of \mathcal{P} the peripheral subgroups). Then (G, \mathcal{P}) is relatively hyperbolic if and only if G admits an action on a hyperbolic graph Y such that each of the following hold.*

- (1) *All edge stabilizers are finite.*
- (2) *All vertex stabilizers are either finite or conjugate to one of the subgroups of \mathcal{P} .*
- (3) *The number of orbits of edges is finite.*
- (4) *The graph Y is fine.*

There are a number of equivalent definitions of a fine graph, but the one that shall be useful for us is:

Definition 7.2. [Bow12, Def 2.1.(F5)] Let Y be a graph and let d^Y denote the metric on Y which assigns all edges length 1. (By convention, for any graph Y with such a metric, if y and z are in different connected components of Y , we say $d^Y(y, z) = \infty$.) Fix a vertex $x \in V(Y)$ and let $Y \setminus x$ denote the largest full subgraph of Y which avoids x . Let $V_x(Y)$ be the set of vertices of Y which are adjacent to x . Let $d^{Y \setminus x}$ denote the induced length metric on $Y \setminus x$ coming from d^Y , and let d_x denote the restriction of the metric $d^{Y \setminus x}$ to $V_x(Y)$ (not the induced length metric). We say that Y is *fine* if the metric space $(V_x(Y), d_x)$ is locally finite² for every $x \in V(Y)$.

In order to show that (certain) Shephard groups Sh_Γ are relatively hyperbolic, we will use the action of Sh_Γ on its complex Θ_Γ . Specifically, we will let $Y = Y_\Gamma$ denote the 1-skeleton of Θ_Γ endowed with the edge-path metric (each edge is given length 1). When Θ_Γ is Gromov hyperbolic, so too is its 1-skeleton as a metric graph under the induced length metric. But this metric graph is quasi-isometric to Y , and hence Y is also hyperbolic. So, our first task is to determine when Θ_Γ is hyperbolic.

Lemma 7.3. *Let Γ be a 2-dimensional extended presentation graph. If W_Γ is word hyperbolic, then Θ_Γ is Gromov hyperbolic.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.8, Θ_Γ is CAT(0). So by the Flat Plane Theorem, Θ_Γ is Gromov hyperbolic if and only if it contains no isometrically embedded copy of \mathbb{E}^2 . Suppose such an embedded plane exists (so Θ_Γ is not hyperbolic). This plane must be a subcomplex of Θ_Γ , and in particular must pass through a vertex v of the form $[g\text{Sh}_\emptyset]$ for some $g \in \text{Sh}_\Lambda$. This gives rise to an embedded loop of length exactly 2π in the link of v . The link of such a vertex is isometric to $lk(v_\emptyset, F_\emptyset) * \widehat{\Theta}_\emptyset \cong lk(v_\emptyset, K)$ (see discussion after Definition 5.7). As in the Artin group case, such a link contains a circuit of length exactly 2π if and only if W_Γ is not hyperbolic [Cri05, Proof of Lemma 5]. In summary, if W_Γ is hyperbolic, then Θ_Γ has no embedded flat plane, and thus Θ_Γ is Gromov hyperbolic. \square

We would like to say that this is an “if and only if” statement, as in the Artin group setting [CC07], but are unsure how to proceed. For the Artin groups, this relies on the existence of an embedding of the Davis complex in the Deligne complex, which is not yet known to exist for arbitrary Shephard groups. However, if such an embedding exists, then the reverse implication is immediate.

²Every finite-radius ball is a finite set.

Proposition 7.4. *Suppose Γ is a 2-dimensional extended presentation graph such that the Davis complex Σ_Γ for the Coxeter group W_Γ embeds isometrically in the complex Θ_Γ . Then if Θ_Γ is Gromov hyperbolic, W_Γ must be word hyperbolic.*

Proof. Suppose W_Γ is not hyperbolic. Then Σ_Γ is not hyperbolic (since W_Γ is quasiisometric to Σ_Γ), so it contains an embedded flat plane. Since Σ_Γ embeds isometrically in Θ_Γ , we have that Θ_Γ also contains an embedded flat plane, so is not hyperbolic. \square

The existence or non-existence of such an embedding is outside our current scope of consideration. (Although it is natural to conjecture that there is always such an embedding, since it exists for Artin groups and can be constructed case-by-case for finite Shephard groups.)

Now we show that Y is fine. First recall the following notation. If X is a cell complex and $x \in X$, the open star $st(x) = st(x, X)$ is the union of all open cells containing x , the closed star $St(x) = St(x, X)$ is the (topological) closure of the open star, and the boundary of the closed star is $\partial St(x) = St(x) \setminus st(x)$.

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose Γ is 2-dimensional. Let x be a vertex of Θ_Γ (equivalently, of Y_Γ) of the form $[gSh_e]$ for $g \in Sh_\Gamma$ and an edge e of Γ . Let ℓ_x denote the induced length metric on $\partial St(x) \subseteq \Theta_\Gamma$. Then there is a $C \geq 1$ and $D \geq 0$ such that $\ell_x(y, z) \leq Cd_x(y, z) + D$ for all $y, z \in V_x(Y)$.*

Proof. Let $\iota : Y_\Gamma \rightarrow \Theta_\Gamma$ denote the inclusion map which realizes the quasi-isometry of Y_Γ with the edge length metric and Θ_Γ with the Moussong metric. The restriction of ι to $Y \setminus x$ is a quasi-isometry onto $\Theta_\Gamma \setminus st(x)$, under the respective induced length metrics $d^{Y \setminus x}$ and $d^{\Theta_\Gamma \setminus st(x)}$. We choose our C and D to be the constants from this restricted quasi-isometry, i.e., those constants which satisfy

$$\frac{1}{C}d^{Y \setminus x}(y, z) - D \leq d^{\Theta_\Gamma \setminus st(x)}(y, z) \leq Cd^{Y \setminus x}(y, z) + D$$

for all $y, z \in Y \setminus \{x\}$. Recall that d_x is the restriction of $d^{Y \setminus x}$ to $V_x(Y)$, so if we restrict ourselves to elements y, z of $V_x(Y)$, then we can say

$$\frac{1}{C}d_x(y, z) - D \leq d^{\Theta_\Gamma \setminus st(x)}(y, z) \leq Cd_x(y, z) + D$$

Fix $y, z \in V_x(Y)$. Let γ be a geodesic in $\Theta_\Gamma \setminus st(x)$ from y to z . Then

$$\ell(\gamma) = d^{\Theta_\Gamma \setminus st(x)}(y, z) \leq Cd_x(y, z) + D.$$

Let $\rho : \Theta_\Gamma \rightarrow St(x)$ be the closest point projection onto the closed star $St(x)$ (the map which sends a point $p \in X$ to a point $\rho(p) \in St(x)$ which minimizes $d^{\Theta_\Gamma}(p, \rho(p))$). Note that $St(x)$ is a convex set: it is made up of Euclidean right triangles which have one of their acute angles meeting at the common vertex x . Thus ρ is a well-defined, distance non-increasing retraction [BH13, Prop. II.2.4(4)], and in particular restricts to a well-defined distance non-increasing retraction $\rho : \Theta_\Gamma \setminus st(x) \rightarrow \partial St(x)$. So $\rho(\gamma)$ is a (rectifiable) path in $\partial St(x)$ between y and z , implying

$$\ell_x(y, z) \leq \ell(\rho(\gamma)) \leq \ell(\gamma) \leq Cd_x(y, z) + D. \quad \square$$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem D.

Theorem D. *Suppose Γ is a hyperbolic-type, 2-dimensional extended presentation graph, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{Sh_\Lambda : |V(\Lambda)| = 2, W_\Lambda \text{ finite, } Sh_\Lambda \text{ infinite}\}$, the collection of spherical-type edges of Γ which give rise to infinite Shephard groups. Then (Sh_Γ, \mathcal{P})*

is a relatively hyperbolic group pair. In particular, if every edge group Sh_Λ is finite, then Sh_Γ is hyperbolic.

Proof. When W_Γ is hyperbolic, then Θ_Γ is hyperbolic by Lemma 7.3. In particular, Y is a hyperbolic graph since it is quasi-isometric to the 1-skeleton of Θ_Γ . By Proposition 5.3, the edge stabilizers of Sh_Γ acting on Y are either finite cyclic (coming from the subgroups generated by the vertices) or trivial. Similarly, the vertex stabilizers are the conjugates of Sh_Λ for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$. And since this is a cocompact action with a strict fundamental domain, there are finitely many orbits of edges. Once we show that Y is fine, the result will follow by Proposition 7.1.

Since the action of Sh_Γ on Y has a strict fundamental domain, we may restrict our consideration to vertices of the form v_Λ for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$ (using notation from Section 5). Since Γ is 2-dimensional, there are three types of vertices $x = v_\Lambda$ to consider: $\Lambda = \emptyset$, $\Lambda = \{s\}$ a single vertex, or $\Lambda = e$ a single edge. If $\Lambda = \emptyset$, then, as a set, $V_x(Y)$ is simply the vertex set of $lk(v_\emptyset, F_\emptyset)$, which is finite. Similarly, if $\Lambda = \{s\}$, then $V_x(Y)$ is the vertex set of the join of $lk(v_{\{s\}}, F_{\{s\}})$ and $\widehat{\Theta}_{\{s\}}$, which are both finite, and hence $V_x(Y)$ is finite.

Suppose $\Lambda = e$ is an edge between vertices s and t of Γ . Since Θ_Γ is a piecewise Euclidean simplicial complex with finitely many isometry types of faces, $lk(x, \Theta_\Gamma)$ is isometric to a sufficiently small sphere centered at x , and this sphere is a radial deformation retract of $\partial St(x)$. This graph isomorphism is actually a quasi-isometry. We also know that $\widehat{\Theta}_e$ with the Moussong metric is quasi-isometric to $\widehat{\Theta}_e$ with the metric $d^{\widehat{\Theta}_e}$ which assigns all edges length 1. In particular, there are $C' \geq 1$ and $D' \geq 0$ such that $d^{\widehat{\Theta}_e}(y, z) \leq C'\ell_x(y, z) + D'$ for all $y, z \in \partial St(x)$ (or $\widehat{\Theta}_e$).

Now, let C and D be the constants guaranteed by Lemma 7.5. Fix a vertex y of $\partial St(x)$ (or $V_x(Y)$). For $N > 0$, define

$$V_N = \{z \in V_x(Y) : d_x(y, z) \leq N\},$$

$$L_N = \{z \in \widehat{\Theta}_e : d^{\widehat{\Theta}_e}(y, z) \leq C'(CN + D) + D'\}.$$

Let $z \in V_N$. Then

$$d^{\widehat{\Theta}_e}(y, z) \leq C'\ell_x(y, z) + D' \leq C'(Cd_x(y, z) + D) + D' \leq C'(CN + D) + D'.$$

$\ell_x(y, z) \leq Cd_x(y, z) + D \leq CN + D$, so $z \in L_N$. Hence $V_N \subseteq L_N$ for all $N > 0$. We know that $\widehat{\Theta}_e$ is a locally finite graph: the vertices of $\widehat{\Theta}_e$ coming from cosets of $\langle s \rangle$ have valence p_s and the vertices coming from cosets of $\langle t \rangle$ have valence p_t , both of which we have assumed to be finite. This implies L_N is finite for every N , and hence so is V_N . Therefore $V_K(x)$ is locally finite and Y_Γ is fine. \square

This result is in stark contrast to the Artin group case, where it is very uncommon for Artin groups to be relatively hyperbolic rather than just weakly relatively hyperbolic. We can leverage this not only to show many nice properties of Shephard groups, but also of many Artin groups as well. In the following section, we will discuss an application to Artin groups, but first we will detail some easy consequences of relatively hyperbolicity for Shephard groups.

Corollary E. *Suppose Γ is a hyperbolic-type 2-dimensional extended presentation graph. Then Sh_Γ*

- (1) *has solvable word problem,*
- (2) *satisfies the Tits alternative,*

- (3) has finite asymptotic dimension, and
- (4) has the rapid decay property.

If, in addition, there is no edge $\{i, j\}$ of Γ with $1/p_i + 2/m_{ij} + 1/p_j = 1$, then Sh_Γ is biautomatic.

Proof. Each property in the list holds for all of Sh_Γ if and only if it holds for the peripheral subgroups. In more detail:

- (1) The dihedral Shephard groups are linear, hence have solvable word problem. This implies Sh_Γ has solvable word problem by [Far98].
- (2) If (G, \mathcal{P}) is a relatively hyperbolic pair, then it was shown in [Tuk94] that any subgroup of G which does not contain a free group is either finite, virtually infinite cyclic, or is contained in an element of \mathcal{P} . Since the peripheral subgroups of Sh_Λ are linear, they satisfy the Tits alternative, so we can conclude Sh_Λ does as well.
- (3) By [BD08], asymptotic dimension of finitely generated groups is preserved by commensurability. Since the 3-dimensional integral Heisenberg group and the universal central extensions of surface groups have finite asymptotic dimension, so too do the peripheral subgroups of Sh_Λ . By [Osi05], this implies Sh_Γ has finite asymptotic dimension.
- (4) If $e = \{i, j\}$ is an edge of Γ with $1/p_i + 2/m_{ij} + 1/p_j = 1$, then Sh_e has polynomial growth since it is commensurable to the 3-dimensional integral Heisenberg group; groups of polynomial growth have the rapid decay property by [Jol90]. If $e = \{i, j\}$ is an edge of Γ with $1/p_i + 2/m_{ij} + 1/p_j < 1$, then Sh_e is a \mathbb{Z} -central extension of a hyperbolic group; such groups have the rapid decay property by [Nos92]. This implies Sh_Γ has the rapid decay property by [DS05].

Last, if each edge $\{i, j\}$ of Γ satisfies $1/p_i + 2/m_{ij} + 1/p_j \neq 1$, then each peripheral subgroup is biautomatic by Proposition 3.9. This implies Sh_Λ is biautomatic by [Reb01]. \square

A more substantial corollary is residual finiteness for certain 2-dimensional Shephard groups and their Artin groups. To discuss residual finiteness, we begin by recalling the notion of a relatively geometric action recently introduced by Einstein and Groves.

Definition 7.6. [EG22, Def 1.1] Suppose (G, \mathcal{P}) is a group pair. An action of G on a cell complex X is relatively geometric (with respect to \mathcal{P}) if

- (1) X/G is compact,
- (2) Each group in \mathcal{P} acts elliptically on X , and
- (3) Each stabilizer of a cell in X is either finite, or conjugate to a finite index subgroup of an element of \mathcal{P} .

It is clear from Proposition 5.3 that if $G = \text{Sh}_\Gamma$ is a 2-dimensional Shephard group and \mathcal{P} is as defined previously, then the action of Sh_Γ on its complex Θ_Γ is relatively geometric with respect to \mathcal{P} . We want to make use of:

Proposition 7.7. [EG22, Cor 1.7] *Suppose (G, \mathcal{P}) is relatively hyperbolic and acts relatively geometrically (with respect to \mathcal{P}) on a CAT(0) cube complex X . If every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is residually finite, then G is residually finite.*

We have a characterization of when Sh_Γ is relatively hyperbolic; if we can determine when Θ_Γ is a CAT(0) cube complex, we will determine a class of residually finite Shephard groups.

Lemma 7.8. *If Γ is 2-dimensional and type FC, then Θ_Γ is a CAT(0) cube complex under the “cubical metric”.*

Proof. The cubical metric on the complex Θ_Γ is defined as follows: rather than metrize the cell $F_{\Lambda_1} \cap F_{\Lambda_2}^*$ to be a Coxeter block as in Definition 5.7, we simply give it the metric of a standard Euclidean cube $[0, 1]^n$ (since this cell is combinatorially a cube). Under this metric, the link of a vertex v_Γ is still isometric to the spherical join of $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ and $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$, where the metric on these complexes now assigns edge lengths of $\pi/2$.

In order to show that Θ_Γ is a CAT(0) cube complex, we must show that the links are flag complexes. Since the spherical join of flag complexes is flag, this is equivalent to showing $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ and $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ are flag for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$. This is well known for $lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda)$ since Γ is type FC [CD95, Lemma 4.3.4]. If $\Lambda = \emptyset$ or a single vertex $\{s\}$, then $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ is either empty or a finite set, resp., so there is nothing to check. So, suppose Λ is an edge between vertices s and t . Since $\widehat{\Theta}_\Lambda$ is a graph (i.e., a 1-dimensional simplicial complex), it suffices to show that it contains no 3-cycles. But by Theorem 5.8, the girth of this graph is $\geq 2m_{st} \geq 4$. \square

Thus we may conclude,

Corollary F. *Suppose Γ is an extended presentation graph with no 3-cycle (or is “triangle-free”) and no 4-cycle whose edges are each labeled 2. Then Sh_Γ is residually finite.*

We note that the condition that Γ is 2-dimensional and type FC is equivalent to requiring Γ has no 3-cycles (sometimes called “triangle-free”). For a triangle-free presentation graph, having no 4-cycles with all edges labeled 2 is equivalent to W_Γ being hyperbolic (originally due to Moussong in [Mou88], rephrased in terms of the presentation graph in [Cri05, Lemma 5] or [CC07, Prop. 3.1]).

Proof. Since W_Γ is hyperbolic, Sh_Γ is hyperbolic relative to its infinite spherical-type edge subgroups (Theorem D). Since Γ is 2-dimensional and type FC, Θ_Γ is a CAT(0) cube complex under the cubical metric (Lemma 7.8) and the action is relatively geometric with respect to the spherical-type edge subgroups. Since the dihedral Shephard groups are residually finite (Proposition 3.7), we conclude that Sh_Γ is residually finite (Proposition 7.7). \square

In tandem with Corollary 5.9, we can show

Corollary 7.9. *If Γ is triangle-free and has no 4-cycle with all labels 2, then Sh_Γ is virtually torsion-free.*

Proof. Let $s \in V(\Gamma)$ be a standard generator of Sh_Γ . Since Sh_Γ is residually finite, there is a finite index subgroup $G_s < \text{Sh}_\Gamma$ which avoids s (meaning $s \notin G_s$), and by taking finitely many intersections, we can choose G_s to avoid all nontrivial powers of s . Let $G = \bigcap_{s \in V(\Gamma)} G_s$, a finite index subgroup of Sh_Γ avoiding all nontrivial powers of standard generators. Let $N = \bigcap_{g \in \text{Sh}_\Gamma} g^{-1}Gg < G$. It is a standard exercise to show that N (the “normal core” of G) is finite index when G is finite index. Suppose $g \in N$ has finite order. By Corollary 5.9, we can write $g = h^{-1}s^k h$

for some $h \in \text{Sh}_\Gamma$, some $s \in V(\Gamma)$, and some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The definition of N implies $g \in h^{-1}Gh$, so $s^k \in G$. Since the only power of a generators contained in G is e , we must have that $s^k = e$, and consequently $g = e$. Thus N is torsion-free. \square

8. APPLICATION TO ARTIN GROUPS

We now establish the analogue of Corollary F for Artin groups. First, we recall the definition of the Deligne complex of an Artin group to establish the notation and terminology which we will use, as it may differ from some references.

Definition 8.1. Let Γ be any presentation graph and $A_\Gamma \mathcal{S}^f = \{aA_\Lambda : a \in A_\Gamma, \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f\}$ ordered by inclusion. The *Deligne complex* Φ_Γ of A_Γ is $|(A_\Gamma \mathcal{S}^f)^\vee|$, the geometric realization of the derived complex $(A_\Gamma \mathcal{S}^f)^\vee$. An n -simplex of Φ_Γ is written as

$$[a_0 A_{\Lambda_0} < a_1 A_{\Lambda_1} < \cdots < a_n A_{\Lambda_n}]$$

where $a_0 A_{\Lambda_0} < a_1 A_{\Lambda_1} < \cdots < a_n A_{\Lambda_n}$ is a chain of elements of $A_\Gamma \mathcal{S}^f$. For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^f$, the *spherical Deligne complex* $\widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda$ is the simplicial complex whose vertices are all cosets of $A_{\widehat{s}}$ for $s \in \Lambda$, with a set of $n+1$ vertices spanning an n -simplex if and only if they have nontrivial (global) intersection³.

A_Γ acts on Φ_Γ with a strict fundamental domain isomorphic to K_Γ . Thus we may endow the fundamental domain of Φ_Γ with the “same” metric as Θ_Γ (the Moussong metric). For the vertex $v_\Lambda = [A_\Lambda]$ of Φ_Γ , the link $lk(v_\Lambda, \Phi_\Gamma)$ is isometric to the spherical join

$$lk(v_\Lambda, F_\Lambda) * \widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda$$

under this metric [CD95], where F_Λ is defined as in Section 5.

One of the key properties which will allow us to pass between an Artin group and its Shephard quotients is product separability. The following is one of the many equivalent notions of product separability.

Definition 8.2. Let G be any group. We say that G is *product separable* if for any (finite) collection $\{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ of finitely generated subgroups of G , their product $G_1 G_2 \cdots G_n$ is closed in the profinite topology on G .

Recall that the profinite topology on G is the topology whose basis of closed sets are the finite-index subgroups of G . So it is equivalent to say that $G_1 G_2 \cdots G_n$ is an intersection of finite-index subgroups of G .

Lemma 8.3. *Let G be any group and H a finite-index subgroup. Then G is product separable if and only if H is product separable.*

Proof. Suppose G is product separable. Let $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ be a collection of finitely generated subgroups of H . The inclusion map $H \hookrightarrow G$ is continuous for *any* subgroup; the intersection of H with a finite index subgroup of G is finite index in H regardless of the index of H in G . In particular, $H_1 H_2 \cdots H_n$ is closed in G and is contained in H , so $H_1 H_2 \cdots H_n$ is closed in H .

Now suppose H is product separable. By replacing H with the normal core of H , we may assume H is normal in G . (When H is finite index, so is its normal core, and product separability is inherited by subgroups.) Now let $\{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ be a collection of finitely generated subgroups of G , and let $H_i = G_i \cap H$ for each i . Each H_i is finitely generated since the G_i are, and H_i is finite index in G_i for each

³By \widehat{s} , we mean the largest full subgraph of Λ which does not contain s .

i. So, for each i , let $\{h_1^{(i)}, \dots, h_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$ be a system of representatives of the left cosets of H_i in G_i . For $j = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$, let $S_j = h_{j_1}^{(1)} H_1 h_{j_2}^{(2)} H_2 \dots h_{j_n}^{(n)} H_n$. Then

$$G_1 G_2 \cdots G_n = \bigcup_{j=(j_1, \dots, j_n)} S_j.$$

We claim that each S_j is closed in G . For convenience, fix j and let $h_i = h_{j_i}^{(i)}$. Then we can rewrite S_j as

$$\begin{aligned} S_j = & (h_1 H_1 h_1^{-1})(h_1 h_2 H_2 (h_1 h_2)^{-1})(h_1 h_2 h_3 H_3 (h_1 h_2 h_3)^{-1}) \dots \\ & \dots (h_1 h_2 \cdots h_{n-1} H_{n-1} (h_1 h_2 \cdots h_{n-1})^{-1})(h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n H_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since H is normal in G ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_j (h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n)^{-1} = & (h_1 H_1 h_1^{-1})(h_1 h_2 H_2 (h_1 h_2)^{-1})(h_1 h_2 h_3 H_3 (h_1 h_2 h_3)^{-1}) \dots \\ & \dots (h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n H_n (h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is the product of finitely generated subgroups of H , thus closed in H . Since H is finite index in G , it is closed in G as well. The profinite topology is invariant under multiplication (from the left or right), so S_j is also closed in G . Thus $G_1 G_2 \cdots G_n$ is the union of finitely many closed subsets of G , so is itself closed. \square

Corollary 8.4. *Dihedral Artin groups are product separable.*

Proof. Suppose A is a dihedral Artin group with edge label m . If $m = 2$, then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, and product separability in this case is an easy exercise (following directly from the fact that abelian groups are subgroup separable, i.e., every f.g. subgroup is closed). If $m > 2$, then A is virtually $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_n$, where \mathbb{F}_n is a rank- n free group [HJP16, Lemma 4.3]. By [You97], $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_n$ is product separable for any n , so by Lemma 8.3, so is A .

By [RZ93, Thm. 2.1], the rank-2 free group \mathbb{F}_2 is also product separable; this is the dihedral Artin group whose graph is two vertices not joined by an edge. \square

Lemma 8.5. *If G is any group and C is closed in the profinite topology on G , then for all $g \notin C$, there exists a finite group F and a surjective morphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow F$ such that $\varphi(g) \notin \varphi(C)$.*

Proof. Since C is closed in the profinite topology, it is the intersection of the finite-index subgroups of G containing it. At least one of these subgroups, say H , must avoid g . Let K denote the normal core of H , a finite-index normal subgroup of G contained in H . Let φ denote the standard quotient map $G \rightarrow G/K$. Then $gK \not\subseteq CK$ since $CK \subseteq H$, implying $\varphi(g) \notin \varphi(C)$. \square

The following lemma is the main ingredient in the proof of residual finiteness for these Artin groups.

Lemma 8.6. *Suppose $\Lambda = e$ is a single edge with label $q < \infty$. Let $x, y \in \widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda$ be any two points. Then there is some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for all $k \geq 1$, the images of x and y under the quotient map $\widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda \rightarrow \widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN)}$ remain at the same distance.*

Proof. Suppose s and t are the vertices of e , let $S = \langle s \rangle$ and $T = \langle t \rangle$, and let E be the edge between S and T in $\widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda$. First, suppose x and y are vertices. By symmetry and translating, we may assume $x = S$. Let $\gamma = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_n$ be a geodesic edge path connecting x and y . Notice that E_1 and E both contain $x = S$; this means

there is some $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E_1 = s^{k_1}E$ (we could have $k_1 = 0$ if $E_1 = E$). Similarly, E_2 and E_1 both contain the vertex $s^{k_1}T$, so there is some $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E_2 = s^{k_1}t^{k_2}E_1$. Since γ is a geodesic, it is locally embedded, so $k_2 \neq 0$. Repeating this, for all $i > 1$, we see $E_i = \alpha_i E_{i-1}$, where

$$\alpha_i = \underbrace{s^{k_1}t^{k_2}s^{k_3}\dots}_{i \text{ syllables}},$$

for some $k_i \neq 0$. (A syllable is a word of the form s^j or t^j .)

Let $\alpha = \alpha_n$. Notice that

$$\alpha \notin C := \underbrace{STS\dots}_{n-1 \text{ cosets}},$$

since otherwise we could reverse the above argument to construct a path from x to y with strictly shorter length than γ . Since A_Λ is product separable (Corollary 8.4), C is closed in the profinite topology on A_Λ , so we can find a finite group F and surjective morphism $\varphi : A_\Lambda \rightarrow F$ so that $\varphi(\alpha) \notin \varphi(C)$ (Lemma 8.5). Let p_s and p_t be the orders of $\varphi(s)$ and $\varphi(t)$, respectively, and let $N = \text{lcm}\{p_s, p_t\}$. Fix $k \geq 1$, and let $\bar{\cdot} : A_\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\Lambda(kN)}$ denote the standard quotient map. The orders of $\varphi(s)$ and $\varphi(t)$ divide the orders of \bar{s} and \bar{t} , resp., or in other words, $s^{kN}, t^{kN} \in \ker(\varphi)$. Since $\ker(\bar{\cdot})$ is the normal closure of s^{kN} and t^{kN} in A_Λ , it follows that $\ker(\bar{\cdot}) \leq \ker(\varphi)$. This means there exists a surjection $\rho : \text{Sh}_{\Lambda(kN)} \rightarrow F$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F \\ & \searrow \bar{\cdot} & \nearrow \rho \\ & \text{Sh}_{\Lambda(kN)} & \end{array}$$

commute. This implies in particular that $\bar{\alpha} \notin \bar{C}$; otherwise $\varphi(\alpha) = \rho(\bar{\alpha}) \in \rho(\bar{C}) = \varphi(C)$.

By abuse of notation, let $\bar{\cdot} : \widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda \rightarrow \widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN)}$ denote the map induced by the quotient $A_\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\Lambda(kN)}$. We claim that $\bar{\gamma}$ is still a geodesic between \bar{x} and \bar{y} . Let γ' be a geodesic from \bar{x} to \bar{y} in $\widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN)}$, say $\gamma' = E'_1 \cdots E'_m$. By the same reasoning in $\widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda$, for each i we can find $\ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $E'_i = \alpha'_i E'_{i-1}$, where

$$\alpha'_i = \underbrace{\bar{s}^{\ell_1} \bar{t}^{\ell_2} \bar{s}^{\ell_3} \dots}_{i \text{ syllables}},$$

and $\ell_i \neq 0$ when $i > 1$. Let $\alpha' = \alpha'_m$.

Notice E'_m and \bar{E}_n meet at \bar{y} . Since $\widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN)}$ is bipartite, we know m and n have the same parity. If they are odd, let $h = t$ and $H = T$, and if they are even, let $h = s$ and $H = S$. In either case, \bar{y} is a coset of \bar{H} , so there is some $\ell_{m+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha' \bar{h}^{\ell_{m+1}}$. Writing out α' , we see

$$\bar{\alpha} = \underbrace{(\bar{s}^{\ell_1} \bar{t}^{\ell_2} \bar{s}^{\ell_3} \dots)}_{m \text{ syllables}} \bar{h}^{\ell_{m+1}} = \underbrace{\bar{s}^{\ell_1} \bar{t}^{\ell_2} \bar{s}^{\ell_3} \dots}_{m+1 \text{ syllables}}.$$

Since $\bar{\alpha} \notin \bar{C} = \bar{S}\bar{T}\bar{S}\dots$ ($n-1$ cosets), we know $m+1 > n-1$, i.e., $m > n-2$. But since m and n are the same parity, $m \geq n$. Thus $\bar{\gamma}$ is a geodesic and $d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \ell(\bar{\gamma}) = (\pi/q)n = \ell(\gamma) = d(x, y)$.

Now suppose x and y are any two points of $\widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda$. If x is a vertex, let $X_1 = X_2 = x$, and if x is not a vertex, let X_1 and X_2 be the two distinct vertices of the edge

containing x . Similarly, if y is a vertex, let $Y_1 = Y_2 = y$, and if y is not a vertex, let Y_1 and Y_2 be the two distinct vertices of the edge containing y . For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, let γ_{ij} be a geodesic from X_i to Y_j . For each i, j , by our work above we can find $N_{ij} \geq 1$ so that γ_{ij} remains a geodesic of the same length under the quotient to $\widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN_{ij})}$ for each $k \geq 1$. Let $N = \text{lcm}\{N_{ij}\}$ and fix $k \geq 1$. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \widehat{\Phi}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN)}$ be the quotient map. Then each $\overline{\gamma_{ij}}$ is a geodesic in $\widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN)}$ of the same length as γ_{ij} . Suppose γ is a geodesic from \bar{x} to \bar{y} in $\widehat{\Theta}_{\Lambda(kN)}$. Then there is some I and some J so that γ passes through $\overline{X_I}$ and $\overline{Y_J}$. Note that since $\bar{\cdot}$ is a simplicial map, $d(\bar{x}, \overline{X_I}) = d(x, X_I)$ and $d(\bar{y}, \overline{Y_J}) = d(y, Y_J)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) &= \ell(\gamma) \\
&= \ell(\gamma|_{[\bar{x}, \overline{X_I}]}) + \ell(\gamma|_{[\overline{X_I}, \overline{Y_J}]}) + \ell(\gamma|_{[\overline{Y_J}, \bar{y}]}) \\
&= d(\bar{x}, \overline{X_I}) + \ell(\gamma|_{[\overline{X_I}, \overline{Y_J}]}) + d(\overline{Y_J}, \bar{y}) \\
&= d(\bar{x}, \overline{X_I}) + \ell(\overline{\gamma_{IJ}}) + d(\overline{Y_J}, \bar{y}) \\
&= d(x, X_I) + \ell(\gamma_{IJ}) + d(Y_J, y) \\
&= d(x, X_I) + d(X_I, Y_J) + d(Y_J, y) \\
&\geq d(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the quotient clearly cannot increase distance, $d(x, y) = d(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. \square

Lemma 8.7. *Suppose Γ is a 2-dimensional presentation graph. Let γ be a geodesic segment of Φ_{Γ} . Then there is some $k \geq 2$ so that the image of γ under the natural quotient $\Phi_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Theta_{\Gamma(k)}$ remains a geodesic segment of the same length.*

Proof. For $k \geq 2$, let $\rho_k : \Phi_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Theta_{\Gamma(k)}$ denote the usual quotient map induced by the quotient $q_k : A_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\Gamma(k)}$. Let x be a point in the interior of γ .

If x is in the interior of a 2-simplex of Φ_{Γ} , then $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is locally geodesic at $\rho_k(x)$ for any value of k , since ρ_p maps 2-simplices isometrically to 2-simplices. In this case, define $n_x = 2$.

If there is a neighborhood of x in γ which is contained in an edge, then there is nothing to show since edges are mapped isometrically to edges (in other words, $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is locally geodesic at $\rho_k(x)$ for any value of k). In this case, as above, define $n_x = 2$.

Suppose x is a point contained in the interior of an edge E of Φ_{Γ} with no neighborhood of x in γ also contained in this edge. First, suppose $E = [a_1 A_{\emptyset}, a_2 A_s]$ for a vertex s of Γ or $E = [a_1 A_{\emptyset}, a_2 A_e]$ for an edge e of Γ . Then the stabilizer of E is trivial, and in particular, the link of E is mapped isomorphically to the link of $\rho_k(E)$ for any k . So suppose $E = [a_1 A_s, a_2 A_e]$ for a vertex s of Γ and an edge e of Γ containing s . Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 denote the distinct 2-simplices containing E whose interiors nontrivially intersect Γ . The stabilizer of E is conjugate to $\langle s \rangle$ and acts transitively on the link of E , so there is some $g \in A_{\Gamma}$ and some $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Delta_2 = (g^{-1} s^{n_x} g) \Delta_1$. (Since γ is a geodesic, $n_x \neq 0$.) For $k > |n_x|$, $\rho_k(\Delta_1)$ and $\rho_k(\Delta_2)$ are the 2-simplices of $\Theta_{\Gamma(k)}$ containing $\rho_k(E)$ (and $\rho_k(x)$) whose interiors intersect $\rho_k(\gamma)$. Moreover, $\rho_k(\Delta_2) = q_k(g^{-1} s^{n_x} g) \rho_k(\Delta_1)$. If $\rho_k(\Delta_2) = \rho_k(\Delta_1)$, then $q_k(g^{-1} s^{n_x} g) = e$, but this happens if and only if $q_k(s^{n_x}) = e$. Since $k > |n_x|$, this can't happen, so $\rho_k(\Delta_2) \neq \rho_k(\Delta_1)$ and $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is locally geodesic at $\rho_k(x)$ for all $k > |n_x|$.

Last, suppose x is a vertex of Φ_Γ . Then $x = [gA_\Lambda]$ for some $g \in A_\Gamma$ and some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^f$. There are three subcases to consider.

First, assume $\Lambda = \emptyset$. Then for any $k \geq 2$, $\rho_k(x) = [q_k(g)\text{Sh}_\emptyset]$, and ρ_k induces an isometry of the link of x and the link of $\rho_k(x)$ (both being isometric to $lk(v_\emptyset, F_\emptyset)$).

Next, assume $\Lambda = \{s\}$ for a vertex s of Γ . The link of x is the join of $lk(v_s, F_s)$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_{\{s\}}$, the latter of which is in bijection with $\langle s \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The intersection of the ε -sphere of x with γ induces two points $y, z \in lk(x)$ of distance $\geq \pi$ apart. Every point of $\widehat{\Phi}_{\{s\}}$ is distance $\pi/2$ from every point of $lk(v_s, F_s)$ (by the definition of spherical join), so either $y, z \in lk(v_s, F_s)$ or $y, z \in \widehat{\Phi}_{\{s\}}$. If $y, z \in lk(v_s, F_s)$, then as in the previous case ρ_k acts isometrically on $lk(v_s, F_s)$ for any $k \geq 2$, so y and z remain at the same distance under ρ_k . If $y, z \in \widehat{\Phi}_{\{s\}}$, then they can be represented by some powers of s , say s^n and s^m with $n \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Since γ is a geodesic, these points are distinct.) By translating we may assume these points are in fact $e (= s^0)$ and s^{n_x} for $n_x = m - n \neq 0$. Choosing $k > |n_x|$, ρ_k acts by the standard quotient $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and thus these points remain distinct. In other words, for $k > |n_x|$, $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is locally geodesic at $\rho_k(x)$.

Finally, suppose Λ is an edge with finite label m . Then, as before, the intersection of γ with the ε -sphere of x induces two points $y, z \in lk(x) = \widehat{\Phi}_\Lambda$ of distance $\geq \pi$. Lemma 8.6 implies that there is some $n_x \geq 1$ so that the distance between y and z remains $\geq \pi$ in the quotient via ρ_k for all k which are (positive) multiples of n_x . In other words, for all such k , $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is locally geodesic at $\rho_k(x)$.

Let $k \geq 2$ be a common multiple of $\{|n_x| : x \in \text{int}(\gamma)\}$. (This set is finite and consists of positive integers, so k exists.) Our above arguments show that $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is locally geodesic at $\rho_k(x)$ for all x , or in other words, that $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is a local geodesic in $\Theta_{\Gamma(k)}$. Since $\Theta_{\Gamma(k)}$ is CAT(0), this implies $\rho_k(\gamma)$ is a geodesic and has the same length as γ . \square

Now we may complete the proof of

Theorem G. *Suppose Γ is a triangle-free presentation graph with no 4-cycle with all edges labeled 2. Then A_Γ is residually finite.*

Proof. Let $g \in A_\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$. Let γ be the geodesic in Φ_Γ from $[A_\emptyset]$ to $[gA_\emptyset]$. By Lemma 8.7, there is some k so that the image $\bar{\gamma}$ of γ under the quotient map to $\Theta_{\Gamma(k)}$ is a geodesic. If \bar{g} denotes the image of g under the quotient $A_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\Gamma(k)}$, then $\bar{\gamma}$ is a geodesic from $[\text{Sh}_\emptyset]$ to $[\bar{g}\text{Sh}_\emptyset]$. Since $\Theta_{\Gamma(k)}$ is CAT(0), this means $\bar{g} \neq e$. But $\text{Sh}_{\Gamma(k)}$ is residually finite (Corollary F), so there is a further quotient $\text{Sh}_{\Gamma(k)} \rightarrow F$ to a finite group F under which \bar{g} remains nontrivial. Composing these maps gives a quotient $A_\Gamma \rightarrow F$ to a finite group where the image of g is nontrivial. \square

REFERENCES

- [AS83] Kenneth I Appel and Paul E Schupp. Artin groups and infinite Coxeter groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 72(2):201–220, 1983.
- [BD08] Greg Bell and Alexander Dranishnikov. Asymptotic dimension. *Topology and its Applications*, 155(12):1265–1296, 2008.
- [BH13] Martin R Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [BM10] Tom Brady and Jon McCammond. Braids, posets and orthoschemes. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 10(4):2277–2314, 2010.
- [Bow12] Brian H Bowditch. Relatively hyperbolic groups. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 22(03):1250016, 2012.

- [Bro82] Kenneth S Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1982.
- [CC07] Ruth Charney and John Crisp. Relative hyperbolicity and Artin groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 129:1–13, 2007.
- [CD95] Ruth Charney and Michael W Davis. The $K(\pi, 1)$ -problem for hyperplane complements associated to infinite reflection groups. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(3):597–627, 1995.
- [Cox75] H.S.M. Coxeter. *Regular Complex Polytopes*. Cambridge University Press, 1975.
- [Cri05] John Crisp. Automorphisms and abstract commensurators of 2–dimensional Artin groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 9(3):1381–1441, 2005.
- [DK18] Cornelia Druțu and Michael Kapovich. *Geometric group theory*, volume 63. American Mathematical Soc., 2018.
- [dLH00] Pierre de La Harpe. *Topics in geometric group theory*. University of Chicago Press, 2000.
- [DS05] Cornelia Druțu and Mark Sapir. Relatively hyperbolic groups with rapid decay property. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2005(19):1181–1194, 2005.
- [EG22] Eduard Einstein and Daniel Groves. Relatively geometric actions on $CAT(0)$ cube complexes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 105(1):691–708, 2022.
- [Far98] Benson Farb. Relatively hyperbolic groups. *Geometric and functional analysis*, 8(5):810–840, 1998.
- [Gol23] Katherine Goldman. $CAT(0)$ and cubulated Shephard groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10883*, 2023.
- [Hae22] Thomas Haettel. XXL type Artin groups are $CAT(0)$ and acylindrically hyperbolic. In *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, volume 72, pages 2541–2555, 2022.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [HJP16] Jingyin Huang, Kasia Jankiewicz, and Piotr Przytycki. Cocompactly cubulated 2-dimensional Artin groups. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 91(3):519–542, 2016.
- [Jan22] Kasia Jankiewicz. Residual finiteness of certain 2-dimensional Artin groups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 405:108487, 2022.
- [Jol90] Paul Jolissaint. Rapidly decreasing functions in reduced C^* -algebras of groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 317(1):167–196, 1990.
- [Kal] Generated with KaleidoTile by Jeff Weeks:
<https://www.geometrygames.org/KaleidoTile/index.html.en>.
- [KS04] Ilya Kapovich and Paul Schupp. Relative hyperbolicity and Artin groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 107:153–167, 2004.
- [Mil75] John Milnor. On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds $M(p, q, r)$. *Knots, groups and 3-Manifolds*, 3:175–225, 1975.
- [MO15] Ashot Minasyan and Denis Osin. Acylindrical hyperbolicity of groups acting on trees. *Mathematische Annalen*, 362(3):1055–1105, 2015.
- [Mou88] Gabor Moussong. *Hyperbolic Coxeter groups*. PhD thesis, The Ohio State University, 1988.
- [MS16] Giovanni Moreno and Monika Ewa Stypa. On the vertex-to-edge duality between the Cayley graph and the coset geometry of von Dyck groups. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 66(3):527–538, 2016.
- [Nos92] Gennady Andreevich Noskov. Algebras of rapidly decreasing functions on groups and cocycles of polynomial growth. *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, 33(4):97–103, 1992.
- [NR97] Walter D Neumann and Lawrence Reeves. Central extensions of word hyperbolic groups. *Annals of mathematics*, 145(1):183–192, 1997.
- [Osi05] Denis Osin. Asymptotic dimension of relatively hyperbolic groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2005(35):2143–2161, 2005.
- [Osi06] Denis Osin. *Relatively Hyperbolic Groups: Intrinsic Geometry, Algebraic Properties, and Algorithmic Problems*, volume 843. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [Reb01] Donovan Yves Rebbeci. *Algorithmic properties of relatively hyperbolic groups*. PhD thesis, 2001.
- [RZ93] Luis Ribes and Pavel A Zalesskii. On the profinite topology on a free group. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 25(1):37–43, 1993.
- [She52] G. C. Shephard. Regular complex polytopes. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s3-2(1):82–97, 1952.
- [Tuk94] Pekka Tukia. Convergence groups and Gromov’s metric hyperbolic spaces. *New Zealand J. Math.*, 23(2):157, 1994.

- [Vas22] Nicolas Vaskou. Acylindrical hyperbolicity for Artin groups of dimension 2. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 216(1):7, 2022.
- [You97] Shihong You. The product separability of the generalized free product of cyclic groups. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 56(1):91–103, 1997.