

# From Statistical Methods to Pre Trained Models; A Survey on Automatic Speech Recognition for Resource Scarce Urdu Language

Muhammad Sharif<sup>1,4</sup>, Zeeshan Abbas<sup>2</sup>, Jiangyan Yi<sup>1,4</sup>, Chenglin Liu<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Multimodal Artificial Intelligence Systems, Institute of Automation Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup> School of Electronics and Information Engineering, Shenzhen University

<sup>3</sup> School of Artificial Intelligence, CAS Institute of Automation

<sup>4</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing China

sharif.muhammad@ia.ac.cn, zeeshanabbas23@szu.edu.cn, jiangyan.yi@nlpr.ia.ac.cn, liucl@nlpr.ia.ac.cn

**ABSTRACT**— Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) technology has witnessed significant advancements in recent years, revolutionizing human-computer interactions. While major languages have benefited from these developments, lesser-resourced languages like Urdu face unique challenges. This paper provides an extensive exploration of the dynamic landscape of ASR research, focusing particularly on the resource-constrained Urdu language, which is widely spoken across South Asian nations. It outlines current research trends, technological advancements, and potential directions for future studies in Urdu ASR, aiming to pave the way for forthcoming researchers interested in this domain. By leveraging contemporary technologies, analyzing existing datasets, and evaluating effective algorithms and tools, the paper seeks to shed light on the unique challenges and opportunities associated with Urdu language processing and its integration into the broader field of speech research.

**Index Terms**—Urdu ASR, Automatic Speech Recognition, Resource Scarce Language, ASR Survey

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Automatic speech recognition is the process of transforming speech waves into written transcriptions, as a mathematical model generating texts to the corresponding sound waves of input speech. The input speech signals are digitized at an appropriate sampling rate and speech features are extracted. Feature extraction is done using multiple techniques (Sharma, 2020; Salau, 2019). MFCC (Kanabur, 2019), FBANKS (Ghahramani, 2020), and Short Fourier Transforms SFT (Xu B. L., 2020), are a few common methods of feature extraction. Fourier transform is the most common transformation (Osgood, 2019) used in speech processing which basically transforms the speech data from the time domain into the frequency domain. The next to feature extraction in Automatic Speech Recognition is the classification of extracted features into words (Nakatani, 2019). Prediction of words to model probability is then required for a specific dictionary and grammar rules of specified language are followed for sentence creation finally. Pattern recognition is an essential job in speech research for which Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients MFCCs are vital (Ubaidi, 2019, November). Discrete Cosine Transform DTS of energies logarithmic value is needed to apply on the Mel scale to calculate MFCC from the Power Spectral Density of speech (Alim, 2018).

Automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems have been widely researched and developed for various languages and domains. However, the development of ASR systems for resource-scarce languages (Diwan, 2021), such as Urdu, has been limited due to the availability of limited annotated speech data and computational resources (Farooq M. A., 2019; Zia H. R., 2018; Reitmaier, 2022, April; Naeem, 2020). Statistical techniques like HMM–GMM are widely adopted for small-scale datasets across languages with varied accuracies (Amoolya, 2022; Ashraf, Speaker independent Urdu speech recognition using HMM, 2010; Zhang, 2017). Recently, with the advancement of deep neural networks (DNNs), ASR systems for lower-resource languages such as Urdu are multiplied (Farooq M. A., 2019; Zia H. R., 2018; Chandio, 2021). Meanwhile, DNNs have proven to be effective in modeling complex speech patterns and improving the performance of ASR systems with large datasets (Maas, 2017). Yet accuracy is degraded when DNNs are utilized to train small-size datasets (Sailor, 2018; Amoolya, 2022). The Urdu language is not a well-studied language so there is a lack of good quality and large-size datasets for ASR, the reason why researchers like (Chandio, 2021) focuses on Urdu digits recognition. Studies are found using DNNs for Urdu ASR, both with acoustic and language modeling techniques (Farooq M. A., 2019; Khan E. R., 2021). The acoustic model is responsible for mapping the acoustic features of the speech signal to phonemes, while the language model is responsible for predicting the likely next word in a sentence based on the context of the words that have been spoken so far.

One study used a hybrid DNN-HMM (hidden Markov model) system to recognize continuous speech in Urdu and achieved a word error rate (WER) of 21.5% (Kannan, 2019). Another study used a deep neural network-based acoustic model and a n-gram language model to recognize isolated words in Urdu and achieved a WER of 8.47% (Ali Humayun, 2019). Overall, the literature suggests that Deep Neural Networks DNNs can be effective in developing ASR systems for resource-scarce languages (Xu J. T., 2020, August; Billa, 2018, September; Fantaye, 2020) like Urdu, especially when combined with a language model (Khan E. R., 2021). However, further research is needed to improve the performance of such systems, particularly in terms of recognizing continuous speech and improving the generalization of the models to different accents and speaking styles. Following the motivation and significance of this study, the paper comprises a brief background and literature review in section 2, methodology as section 3, and briefly describes datasets, adopted tools, and approaches of monolingual and multilingual ASR systems that includes Urdu language in Section 4. A summarized compare and contrast of Urdu ASR systems is done in section 5 following discussion and statement of problems and challenges in sections 5 and 6 respectively.

### *1.1 Motivation*

This literature is intended to discuss and summarize the research findings and trends of automatic speech recognition using the resource-scarce Urdu language. Despite the large Urdu-speaking population across multiple countries, especially inhabitants of South Asia, (Metcalfe, 2003; Abbas, 2018; Rahman, 2006; Russell, 1999; D. van Esch, 2022), not many datasets have been developed so far and utilized in literature compared to other languages. Due to the unavailability of big resources conventional algorithms are adopted in several research literatures (Chandio, 2021; Qasim M. R., 2016; Raza A. H., Design and development of phonetically rich Urdu speech corpus., 2009). With the essence of modern technology and multilingual datasets, attempts have been made with DNN, end-to-end techniques, and pre-trained models (Bhogale K. S., 2023; Zia T. a., 2019; Farooq M. A., 2019; Javed, 2022; Ali Humayun, 2019). On the other hand, multiple attempts are being made to produce a larger-scale speech corpus and apply contemporary and high-accuracy models in several articles (Bhogale K. S., 2023; Javed, 2022; Bhogale K. S., 2023; Ardila, 2020). For these larger-scale datasets which include low-resource languages like Urdu, there seems minimal adaptation of contemporary high-performing algorithms like deep neural networks and variants.

Considering the facts, we will be exploring and setting out to answer the following questions in this study:

- i. Which techniques are commonly adopted and stood efficient for automatic speech recognition with and without huge datasets?
- ii. How much the resource-scarce Urdu language has been studied so far in speech recognition research?
- iii. What kind of datasets are developed for Urdu ASR and utilized in different ways?
- iv. What are the best practices for building Urdu ASR to meet higher parity results?
- v. What are the open questions and core issues essential to consider going forward?

### *1.2. Significance of the study*

Considering the already stated background in multiple aspects and keeping the objectives in mind, it is imperative to disclose the facts and ongoing trends in ASR research for the sake of setting future research needs and inquiring about the spaces left. There are not many attempts so far to analytically review the Urdu ASR systems collectively. This literary work is, to the best of our knowledge, the first comprehensive systematic review focusing on widely spoken language across South Asian countries, i.e. Urdu. Also, it could be a considerable contribution for the scientific community as the first summarized survey focusing the research statistics, technology adopted, datasets utilized, mono and multi-lingual approaches, and objectives achieved so far in the context of Urdu Language Automatic Speech Recognition (UL-ASR).

## **2. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY AND LITERATURE REVIEW**

### *2.1. Definition and overview of automatic speech recognition (ASR)*

Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) is the ability of a computer system to transcribe spoken words into textual format. Literature states that ASR has been a topic of research for many decades (Baker, 2009), and has seen significant progress in recent years due to advancements in machine learning and deep neural networks (DNNs) (Alam, 2020). However, one of the key challenges in contemporary ASR research is the lack of speech corpus, for several languages (Besacier, 2014), particularly in the case of resource-scarce languages like Urdu (Raza A. H., Design and development of phonetically rich Urdu speech corpus., 2009).

Urdu is a language spoken by over 100 million people worldwide (Daud, 2017; Russell, 1999), primarily in South Asia (Rahman, 2006; Oesterheld, 2004). Literature like (Qasim M. R., 2016) states that despite its widespread usage, Urdu ASR systems face significant challenges due to the lack of high-quality data sets. Unlike popular languages such as English or Mandarin, there is a dearth of large, publicly available speech corpora for Urdu (Qasim M. N., 2016; Ali H. J., 2015; Chandio, 2021). This makes it difficult to build high-performing ASR systems for Urdu.

### *2.2. Resources Impact and approaches to boost the accuracy*

Traditionally researchers of ASR have explored several techniques, including Gaussian Mixture Models - Hidden Markov Models (GMM-HMM), deep neural networks DNNs etc. The literature outlines that DNNs outperform traditional statistical models in

many ASR tasks, including Urdu ASR (Farooq M. A., 2019; Naem, 2020; Reitmaier, 2022, April). However, the performance of DNNs is highly dependent on the size and quality of the training data set.

In general, larger data sets lead to better ASR performance in terms of word error rate (WER), be in the traditional models or the so called end to end techniques (Stoian, 2020). However, to address the low resource scenarios researchers proposed alternative methods to improve the recognition accuracy. One such approach is data augmentation (Xu J. T., 2020; Besacier, 2014), which involves synthesizing additional training data from existing data sets. Data augmentation technique improve the performance of Urdu ASR systems although the degree of improvement is highly dependent on the quality and diversity of the original data set.

**Deep Neural Networks (DNNs):** DNN-based approaches are extensively adopted in ASR systems, where hierarchical representations of speech features are utilized in modeling the acoustic properties. Deep feedforward networks and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in DNN architecture capture complex patterns and variations in the acoustic data, which helps getting boosted recognition accuracy given a high volume training datasets (Amoolya, 2022).

**Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):** RNNs and its variants like long short-term memory (LSTM) and gated recurrent unit (GRU) are adopted for sequential data processing appropriately modelling the temporal dependencies in speech (Shewalkar, 2019). Literature (Oruh, 2022) states that the RNN-based techniques are mainly helpful to capture contextual information and long-term speech sequence dependencies, hence improve the ASR performance.

**Attention Mechanisms:** Lengthy utterances and relevant contexts from speech patterns are specifically managed by the Attention mechanism. It pays attention on input segments diverting the focus of ASR system during the decoding process (Chiu, 2018). Sequence to sequence and other variants of attention mechanism has served different ASR systems (Mandava, 2019).

**Data Augmentation:** Additional training data is generated by data augmentation technique by perturbation of speech, injecting noise, shifting speech pitch, and transforming the existing limited-scale speech data (Xu J. T., 2020). This is a unique way of faking the dataset helpful in enhancing the robustness and generalizability of the ASR system.

**Transfer Learning and Pre-training:** Beside resource availability, another approach to improve ASR performance is adopting technology that uses features of another language, having larger datasets (Kermanshahi, 2021; Khare, 2021, August; Joshi, 2020.). Transfer learning and its variants like fine-tuning pre-trained models produce sophisticated results in ASR tasks, alleviating the issue of data scarcity. In this mechanism, Pre-training large neural networks on large-scale speech datasets from rich resource languages are carried and then adapt them to the ASR tasks of low resource languages (Radford A, 2023). A study by (Mohiuddin, 2023) shows Urdu ASR can be benefitted this way. Such strategies can be adopted for Urdu ASR in a larger scale to leverage pre-trained models and enhance performance. Transfer learning techniques and pre-trained models are effective in several low-resource language settings, including Urdu. By leveraging pre-trained models ASR performance has achieved human-level accuracy (Radford A, 2023; Bhogale K. S., 2023; Javed, 2022).

In addition to the choice of sophisticated algorithms, models, and efficient tools, the performance of an ASR system is also improved by the unsupervised learning paradigm (Chen Y. Z., 2023). The literature outlines that techniques help overcome the challenges posed by limited training data and improve the robustness and accuracy of the ASR system (Bai, 2024).

### 2.3. Speech Recognition Frameworks

The effectiveness of traditional generative methods and tools in building state-of-the-art automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems depends on several factors such as the size and quality of the training data, the complexity of the acoustic and language model, and the available relevant computational. On the other hand, deep learning techniques have attracted much attention because of their outstanding performance. Major deep learning approaches like HMM-DNN and end-to-end E2E models, are discriminative. Unlike the generative model, the discriminative models successfully actuated CNN and RNN, which proved to be more effective in dealing with the speech signal property of temporal invariance. The modules normally included in major ASR system has been portrayed in Figure 1. The graphical representation there includes Feature extraction of input speech signals and training data, adaptation of Acoustic and Language modeling and lexical modeling, leading the search algorithm, and recognized word sequence.

Some of the most popular tools effectively adopted in research and development of ASR systems include CMU Sphinx (Shaukat, 2016; Qasim M. N., 2016), Kaldi (Khan E. R., 2021; Farooq M. A., 2020), SiriKit (Chandio, 2021), SAPI by Microsoft and Google Speech Recognition API, and ESPNet (Watanabe, 2018); meanwhile, PyTorch, Chainer, Tensor Flow, and Caffe has been essential ingredients to make the deep learning paradigm. PyTorch and TensorFlow are deep learning frameworks gained popularity in recent years due to their ease of use and flexibility. Caffe is another deep learning framework that is known for its efficiency in training large-scale neural networks. ESPnet is a comprehensive speech processing toolkit that places its primary emphasis on End-to-End Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) and End-to-End Text-to-Speech (TTS) applications. It utilizes Chainer and PyTorch




Fig. 1. Modules of ASR System

as its deep learning backends while adhering to the recipe structure of KALDI bash scripts. Thanks to ESPnet's handling of the deep learning backend and the straightforward nature of its End-to-End approach, developing a speech system for a new language is comparatively straightforward. Table 2 enlists some of the frameworks and Tools that have been adopted in Urdu ASR by different researchers.

The current application of ASR that yields high-accuracy results consist of adopting semi-supervised learning algorithms, mainly with the Transformer model. This paradigm is seen in major research works like Open AI's speech recognition model Whisper (Radford A, 2023), conversational AI Model LaMDA by Google (Thoppilan, 2022), and Open Pre-Trained Transformer Language Model OPT by Meta AI (Zhang S. R., 2022). Utilizing the features and pre-trained models of rich resource languages, normally multilingual ASR models, fine-tuning is the process of giving the advantage of getting better results for low-resource languages (Bai, 2024).

#### 2.4. Performance Evaluation

The effectiveness of these algorithms and techniques, for the goal of achieving high parity performance of recognized words from spoken utterances, are evaluated in several ways. Out of many, the word error rate (WER) is very common measuring the accuracy of an ASR system. WER assesses the percentage dissimilarity at the word level between the recognized transcription from the given input transcription, considering the quantity of wrongly substituted words, deleted or absent words in the recognized transcription, and wrongly inserted extra words. The lower the %WER the precision is considered to be accurate.

WER is also called phoneme error rate (PER) in ASR architectures considering phonemes instead of words as a unit of measurement. Other metrics such as processing time and memory usage can also be used to compare the performance of different tools and languages. Given the number of substitutions S, deletions D, and insertions I, along with the total number of transliterations in the reference N, %WER can be calculated as:

$$WER = \frac{(S + D + I)}{N} * 100 \quad (1)$$

With exactly similar way, the phoneme error rate (PER) is calculated when reference and recognized phoneme sequences are considered. PER is beneficial for analyzing the accuracy of the ASR system at a more granular level than word-level evaluation via WER. Similarly, character error rate (CER) is the calculation of accuracy when character sequences are considered both in the reference and recognized transliterations. Addressing the word-free and sub-standard languages, CER is the best replacement of WER. Both CER and PER measure the accuracy with character and phone substitutions, deletions, and insertions like given for WER in equation (1).

### 3. METHODOLOGY

After a comprehensive systematic literature review conducted to investigate the state-of-the-art automatic speech recognition (ASR) for the resource-scarce Urdu language, here we are investigating in detail how the ASR research adopted different techniques, data acquisition, and performance improvement mechanisms. There has been a very limited number of literature on Urdu ASR, and this study identified that most of the studies related to the topic are focused on adopting methodologies suitable for limited speech datasets.

For this study, the literature focused is mainly from well-known research journals and conferences, and also, we have mentioned several low-rank publications considering the focused community and data standards. Scientific seed words in this regard are decided to target the scientific hubs along with synonymous keywords. Publications from scientific journals and conferences were studied focusing the methodologies adopted, datasets utilized, novelty, and results.

Scientific keywords present in the titles of subjects studied ensure that our objective is based on relevant research area. As this study is directed on the specific resource-scarce Urdu language, so it is made compulsory to utilize keyword combinations so that the research studies must be around the language targeted. Scientific studies have proved this combinatorial way more efficient for the selection of relevant publication on the basis of phrases rather than singleton words. The keyword combination used for this review is, "Urdu Speech Recognition", efficiently helped to get most of the Urdu ASR studies across years. For querying more, if any, we also used different formations of the seed words to make sure all the studies are accessed. Our studies covered all the




Fig. 2. Overview of Search Methodology

papers and successfully determined major Urdu speech datasets, classification techniques, feature extraction methods, algorithms for better acoustic and language model results, and evaluation techniques as well.

Most impacting factors of the literature review included: the relevancy of the research study to the survey topic, the publication era, and also the way how much it covers the study topic. The methodology followed for this study is presented in Figure 2, whereas Figure 3 has the overall flow of the study.

#### 4. AUTOMATIC SPEECH RECOGNITION SYSTEM FOR URDU

Various approaches adopted for automatic speech recognition (ASR) are generally categorized into monolingual ASR, which focuses on single-language recognition, and multilingual ASR, which simultaneously addresses multiple languages. Investigation under this study centers on the Urdu language, primarily spoken in South Asian countries, leading it to adopt specific approaches tailored for this language. For clarity, the approach of monolingual ASR systems is further divided into two major categories: Monolingual ASR and Cross-lingual ASR. Extensive literature review reveals a wide array of techniques utilized in both monolingual and multilingual speech recognition across various languages that the literature review section already elaborated in detail. Within the scope of this study, Urdu ASR systems have primarily relied on traditional statistical methods and deep neural networks. Conversely, the realm of multilingual ASR extends to numerous languages, yet Urdu remains the subject of relatively few research endeavors, exhibited from the literature.




Fig. 3 Flow of the Study

#### 4.1. Urdu ASR Datasets

Currently, most of the work focusing Urdu Automatic speech recognition are limited to either spoken isolated words or of small vocabulary read speech datasets. Single speaker data with few hundred sentences or variations of reading the similar sentences by several speakers are the data researched upon [11, 14-16, 18]. District names data set utilized in research by (Qasim M. N., 2016) comprising only 139 districts of Pakistan. 250 isolated words spoken by multiple male and female speakers has been introduced, used and briefly discussed in literature by (Raza A. H., Design and development of phonetically rich Urdu speech corpus., 2009) [18] [39], seems to be the very first step towards continuous speech with a variety of speakers and public data[39]. As mentioned earlier referring Table 2, recently published paper focus on continuous speech data set preparation[10], used on a limited scale for automation speech recognition, yet their data seemingly huge but focuses public speeches only via telephone talks. Table 1 refers all the datasets used in Urdu ASR literature so far to the best of our knowledge. Following subsections describe some of the datasets focusing Urdu speech research or having Urdu speech data set as a part of multilingual ASR systems.




Fig. 4. Gender and Age of Participants

##### 4.1.1. Audio Urdu Digits and Spoken Words Dataset

Research article Chandio et.al., (Chandio, 2021) presents a novel work of Urdu Audio Digits (AUDD) datasets with multiple age groups and gender. Number of samples are 25,518 collected from 740 participants. The dataset has multiple different diversity classes that makes it more useful in order to train them for speech recognition. Fig. 4 represents the AUDD datasets diversity on basis of age and gender. Similar distribution can be found across different datasets utilized for ASR research by different researchers. This dataset can be utilized in order to perform spoken Urdu digits recognition as the authors has performed using different baseline algorithms as well as proposed CNN based classification with comparative performance. Bit similar though in smaller size dataset developed and presented by (Ashraf, Speaker independent Urdu speech recognition using HMM, 2010). Authors of the literature has mentioned a dataset of 52 Urdu spoken words by 10 speakers making a total of 5200 utterances in total, as a baseline of Speech recognition. Isolated Urdu words datasets focusing district names of Pakistan is introduced in literature (Qasim M. N., 2016). This dataset consists of 139 nouns spoken by 300 speakers, male and female, making a total of 41293 utterances and 9.5-hour duration. Furthermore, the dataset has the variety of speakers classified under Punjabi, Pashto, Sindhi, Balochi, Seraiki and native Urdu speakers.

Another attempt of developing Urdu isolated words speech dataset is presented by (Ali H. A., 2016). This dataset consists of 250 isolated Urdu words each of which has been spoken by ten speakers, discriminated by gender, native and non-native speakers as well as by age groups.

##### 4.1.2. Rumi: Read and Spontaneous Speech Corpora

Initial work for spontaneous Urdu speech dataset and recognition system has been done and presented by (Raza A. H., Design and development of phonetically rich Urdu speech corpus., 2009) and extended into literature (Raza A. H., An ASR system for spontaneous Urdu speech., 2010). This small Urdu transcribed speech dataset consists of 70 minutes, transcribed Urdu read speech from 708 greedily made sentences, is publically available for research use only. The authors are of the view that this dataset, which consists of 10101 words and 5656 unique words containing 60 unique phones and 42289 phone occurrences represents all the phones and tri-phone combinations used in Urdu. A similar dataset of spontaneous Urdu speech presented by (Sarfraz, 2010), consists of 45 hours spontaneous and read speech from 82 speakers exhibiting variety of speakers as per gender and age groups, but not available publically.

##### 4.1.3. Large Vocabulary Urdu Speech

Research literature by Farooq et. al., (Farooq M. A., 2019) presents Urdu speech data of 300 hours covering a vocabulary size of 199K from 1671 speakers. This is a huge work compare to many existing Urdu datasets till now. The researchers though haven't yet contributed the dataset for research community. So it is a private dataset. Although an ASR system, trained with the said datasets using traditional approaches like HMM-GMM and TDNN-BLSTM networks, is available publically as a web service.

An approach adopted in literature (Raza A. A., 2018), targeting telephone community of 11,017 users, gathered a large collection of 1207 hours of speech. This dataset comprises not only Urdu, but ranges through nine other regional languages. Speech dataset collected with this approach is not fully processed and adopted in ASR research, rather only a small proportion 9.5 hours tested using the baseline GMM and SGMM models and SRILM toolkit. Literature (Naeem, 2020) reported large vocabulary transcribed

combination of different speech datasets already presented in literature like the CSALT dataset and PRUS corpus mentioned before making a 100 hour in total. Another dataset with large dataset is presented by (Shaik, 2015). This Urdu speech dataset is unique in nature as it is collected from broadcast sources. The researchers conducted experiments using 99-hour speech and vocabulary size of 79K for which 266M words have been collected from multiple sources.

#### 4.1.1. Common voice

Mozilla's Common Voice project (Ardila, 2020) is an open-source initiative to collect speech data from volunteers reported for language identification in literature by (Singh, 2021). The project aims to make speech data more accessible and affordable for developers of speech-enabled applications. To date, Common Voice has gathered over 2,400 hours of speech data in over 15 languages. Of this data, over 1,900 hours have been validated. This means that the dataset has been checked to ensure that it is of high quality and can be used to train speech recognition models. The data collected by Common Voice is available to developers for free. This makes it a valuable resource for anyone who wants to develop speech-enabled applications. The Common Voice project is an important step towards making speech recognition technology more accessible and affordable. By making speech data more widely available, the project is helping to make it possible for developers to create new and innovative speech-enabled applications. So far the Common Voice Corpus 19.0 includes 301 recorded hours out of which 46 hours Urdu speech data is validated.

#### 4.1.2. Vistaar and Kathbath Datasets

Shrutilipi dataset containing 6,400 hours labelled audio along with 4.95M huge sentences across 12 Indian languages, including Urdu presented in literature (Bhogale K. S., 2023). The publicly available Urdu speech dataset under this repository is 0.31K hours and 0.17M sentences. Another big attempt to collect and present multilingual dataset benchmark of major Indic languages including Urdu is presented in a recently published literature by (Bhogale K. S., 2023). Out of 10,736-hour speech dataset proportion of Urdu

TABLE 1  
Urdu speech recognition systems and datasets

S. No	Dataset	Size (utts. / hrs)	Vocab	Speech Type	Public Availability	Ref.
1	Urdu Spoken Words	5200 utts.	52	Isolated	No	(Ashraf, 2010)
2	AUDD	25,518 utts.		isolated	No	(Chandio, 2021)
3	Isolated Urdu Corpus	250 Words	2500	Isolated	Yes	(Ali, 2016)
4	PRUS Corpus	70 Minutes	42,289	Read Speech	Yes	(Raza, 2010)
5	District Names DS	9.5 hours	41293	Spontaneous, isolated	Yes	(Qasim, 2016)
6	Urdu Mixed Datasets	126.8 hours	-	Read Speech	Partially	(Naeem, 2020)
7	Common Voice- 19.0	301 hours	-	Spontaneous	Yes	(Ardila, 2020)
8	Large Vocab Urdu speech LVCSR	300 hours	199K	Read Speech	No	(Farooq, 2019)
9	RUMI dataset	1.81 hours	6693	Interview	Yes	(Raza, 2009)
10	Spontaneous Speech Corpus	45 hours	14000	Spontaneous, read speech	No	(Sarfraz, 2010)
15	Telephone Speech	1207 hours	20K	Conversational	No	(Raza, 2018)
16	Apptek Broadcast Data	99 hours	800K	Broadcast	No	(Shaik, 2015)
17	Shrutilipi Dataset	193 hours	0.17 Million	Broadcast, News	Yes	(Bhogale K. S., 2023)
18	IndicSUPERB (Kathbath - Dataset)	86.7 hours clean 77.0 hours Noisy	44K	Read speech	Yes	(Javed, 2022)
19	LDC for IL Urdu Data	99.2 Hours	57M	Read Speech	No	(Rao, 2020)
20	Multi Genre Urdu Data	98 hours	200K	Broadcast	No	(Khan E. R., 2021)
21	Vistaar Benchmark	320 hours	-	Combination	Partially	(Bhogale K. S., 2023)
22	CMU Wilderness	19 hours	2000	Read Speech	Partially	(Black, 2019)
23	FLEURS	8.5 hours	-	Read Speech	Yes	(Conneau, 2023)

is 320-hours long. A huge amount of Indic Languages labelled Speech Dataset comprising 1684 hours, covering 12 major Indian languages from 1218 contributors is also presented in literature by Javed et al. (Javed, 2022). This dataset includes two types of Urdu speech datasets: 86.7 hours clean and 77.0 hours noisy from 36 males and 31 female speakers respectively.

#### 4.1.3. FLEURS and Whisper Multilingual Speech Dataset

With the claim of human-level accuracy, an open-source neural net is introduced by OpenAI recently (Radford A, 2023). This is a massive dataset consisting of 680,000-hour multilingual and multitask supervision from 96 different languages including Urdu. Urdu has overall 104-hour speech data for multilingual speech recognition in Whisper, though the dataset is not novel rather reuse of other datasets like FLEURS (Conneau, 2023) and Common Voice (Ardila, 2020) as per the ASR results mentioned in literature. FLEURS, a multi-lingual dataset of 102 languages, included 1.4 hours long Urdu read speech, justifiably treated Urdu as an unseen language as its been excluded in the pre-training phase. Yet the article (Conneau, 2023) describes that each language included in FLEURS has 12 hours of speech data. Based on the pre-trained Whisper model, multiple languages have been successfully fine-tuned achieving good ASR accuracies.

#### 4.1.4. Other Non-Commercial and Commercial Licensed Urdu Speech Datasets

Other than the datasets reported from literature above, there are several collections of huge amount Urdu speech datasets build by organizations and private groups which are available under commercial license. To be mentioned 99.2 hours of Urdu read speech for automatic speech recognition is developed and presented by (Rao, 2020).

Apptek dataset is prepared specifically for the ASR system of 60+ Indian under resource languages. The dataset for Urdu language from this diverse collection has been used for ASR evaluation of Urdu and some European languages (Shaik, 2015). It altogether contains 99 hours of broadcast Urdu news with 800K words and 29K segments (privately available at [www.apptek.com](http://www.apptek.com)).

Multi-genre Urdu broadcast speech data is developed and introduced to the ASR research by (Khan E. R., 2021). This broadcast speech dataset, gathered from radio, YouTube, and TV sources, comprises a total of 98 hours as per the mentioned literature. The speakers are 453 in total out of which 333 are male and 120 are female speakers. Other variations in this dataset are categorized as politics, health, entertainment, current affairs, etc.

Urdu speech dataset is also included into the CMU Wilderness Multilingual speech dataset brought into publication by Alan et. al and presented in multiple scientific publications (Black, 2019; Chen Y. Z., 2023; Chen Y. Q., 2022 ). This dataset consists of 700 different languages with the claim that each language has an average of 20 hours of speech data collected from bible.is. The Wilderness multiple speech dataset which is also named the Babel dataset though primarily utilized only for speech synthesis instead of automatic speech recognition; but multiple researchers have adopted it for various ASR tasks in publications by Chen et. al., (Chen Y. Q., 2022; Chen Y. Z., 2023; Thomas, 2016).

#### 4.2. Tools and Software Used for Urdu ASR

Initial work in Urdu ASR starts from analysis for recognition for Urdu numbers (one to nine) by (Hasnain, 2008). The article describes that the data was acquired from 15 different speakers in variable atmosphere. The well-known Fast Fourier Transform

TABLE 2

Software tools utilized for Urdu ASR

S. No	Tool(s)	Technology	Accuracy WER	Literature
1	Scikit, Keras	SVM, CNN	0.97	(Chandio, 2021)
2	libSVM	SVM, RF, LDA	73%	(Ali H. A., 2016)
3	Sphinx, CLTK	HMM	74%	(Shaukat, 2016 )
4	Sphinx	SVM, GMM	92.4%	(Qasim M. N., 2016)
5	Kaldi, SRILM	SGMM, MMI	24.2	(Raza, 2018)
6	Sphinx4	HMM	10.66	(Ashraf, 2010)
7	Kaldi, KenLM	SGMMI	16.7	(Naeem, 2020)
8	Kaldi, SRILM	TDNN, GMM-HMM	18.59	(Khan E. R., 2021)
9	Kaldi, SRILM	DNN-HMM,RNNLM	24.5	(Farooq M. A., 2020)
10	Kaldi	TDNN,DNN, BLSTM	13.5	(Farooq M. A., 2019)
11	-	SSL, LLE	34.65	(Ali Humayun, 2019)
12	S3prl	Wav2Vec2, SSL	12.6	(Javed, 2022)
13	MATLAB	FFT, Simulink	Up to 100%	(Hasnain, 2008)
14	XLSR- Wav2vec2.0	Transformers, Fine-tuning	0.49	(Mohiuddin, 2023 )
15	Whisper Large-V2	Transformer, Seq-to-Seq	22.6	(Radford A, 2023)



FFT algorithm is adopted in MATLAB and correlated with Simulink to analyze the developed speech data. Statistical approach SGMM adopted in literature (Naeem, 2020) and successfully presented the results using the Kaldi Toolkit and KENLM for acoustic and language model tools respectively. HMM-based speaker-independent Urdu ASR presented by (Ashraf, Speaker independent Urdu speech recognition using HMM, 2010) has adopted Carnegie Mellon University powered Sphinx4 framework for the first time in Urdu ASR task along with wordlist grammar for language modeling. Small scale spontaneous Urdu dataset was introduced and implement for ASR by (Raza A. H., An ASR system for spontaneous Urdu speech., 2010; Shaukat, 2016 ) and a bit larger dataset by (Sarfray, 2010) has also adopted Sphinx along with SLM for acoustic and language modeling tasks simultaneously. Likewise, the Sphinx framework has been adopted by (Qasim M. N., 2016) in which investigation has been done accent and accent-independent basis while dealing with name entity dataset. For statistical approaches, SGMM-MMI-based Urdu ASR, literature by (Raza A. A., 2018) has preferred the Kaldi Toolkit in combination with SRILM.

Almost all the literature about Deep Neural Networks DNN-based speech recognition with the Urdu language found to use Kaldi as the toolkit for acoustic modeling, and for language modeling, the SRILM toolkit is preferred (Farooq M. A., 2019; Farooq M. A., 2020; Khan E. R., 2021; Khan E. R., 2021). Table 2, shows the summary of software, and tools that have been utilized by researchers in the respective literature. Wav2Vec2.0 is a sophisticated technique advancing the ASR research to human-level parity, adopted for Urdu ASR in literature by (Mohiuddin, 2023 ).

### 4.3. Approaches of Monolingual Urdu ASR

Monolingual automatic speech recognition has several approaches which researchers adopted across the span of last two and more decades. Monolingual automatic speech recognition (ASR) approaches focus on developing systems that can recognize speech in a single language. There are a number of different approaches to monolingual ASR, each with its own strengths and weaknesses. One common approach is to use a hidden Markov model (HMM), as reported in literature by (Adjoudani, 1996), to model the probability of each phoneme (a basic unit of sound) occurring in a given sequence. HMM-based systems are relatively simple to train and can achieve good performance on well-transcribed datasets. However, they can be sensitive to noise and variations in pronunciation. Other traditional approaches are Gaussian Mixture Models GMM, applying support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forest and Linear Discriminant Analysis, Subspace Gaussian Mixture Models SGMM to some name, as reported for Urdu ASR by (Ali H. A., 2016; Naeem, 2020; Adjoudani, 1996; Chandio, 2021). Another approach is to use deep neural networks (DNNs) initially reported by researchers like (Mohamed, 2014). DNNs are more powerful than GMMs and can learn to recognize speech even in the presence of noise and variations in pronunciation. However, DNNs are more complex to train and require larger datasets.

In recent years, there has been a trend towards using end-to-end ASR systems (Graves, 2014; Wang, 2019). Cited research literatures describe that end-to-end systems do not use a separate acoustic model and language model. Instead, they use a single neural network to map from audio to text. End-to-end systems have the potential to achieve higher performance than traditional ASR systems, but they are still under development. According to the literature (Zhang, 2017), the choice of monolingual ASR approach depends on several factors, including the availability of data, the desired performance level, and the budget. For example, HMM-based systems are a good choice for low-resource languages or applications where accuracy is not critical. DNN-based systems are a good choice for high-resource languages or applications where accuracy is important whereas the End-to-end techniques are preferred where the highest possible performance is desired given resource-rich languages and computational resources.

Traditional techniques have been the most adopted ones across Urdu ASR, which might be because of the relevancy and adaptability of the limited-scale data sets. Although the accuracy of the experiments cannot be said to be much outstanding, but they are considered to be the basis and building blocks of later techniques with improved results. DNNs got so much attention in leveraging high accuracy for other languages with big datasets. E2E techniques also require a high volume of data to generate good accuracy transcriptions, yet in the case of Urdu ASR the datasets available are still far from the required, so we could rarely find a literary work to mention. Multilingual ASR assisted many low-resource languages including Urdu, with the advent of transfer learning and its variants. The following sub-sections summarize various ASR approaches, mainly utilized for Urdu language as a monolingual ASR, followed by the multilingual and transfer learning adaptation.

#### 4.3.1. Traditional HMM-GMM-based Urdu ASR approaches

TABLE 3  
Statistical Techniques and Results Summary

S. No	Technique(s)	% WER / Accuracy	Literature
2	SVM, CNN	0.97	(Chandio, 2021)
3	GMM , CMLLR	32.6	(Shaik, 2015)
4	SVM, RF, LDA	73%	(Ali, 2016)
5	HMM	74%	(Shaukat, 2016 )
6	SVM, GMM	92.4%	(Qasim, 2016)
7	SGMM, MMI	24.2	(Raza, 2018)
8	HMM	10.66	(Ashraf, 2010)
9	SGMMI	16.7	(Naeem, 2020)

As Urdu has been a resource-scarce language, the baseline automatic speech recognition so far is mainly with the traditional HMM-GMM-based approaches. Speech recognition framework CMU Sphinx4 mainly based on HMM is adopted by the researchers (Ashraf, Speaker independent Urdu speech recognition using HMM., 2010) over spoken Urdu isolated words dataset. Word List Grammar with 52 isolated words are used to develop the speech corpus from 10 different speakers. Similarly, Discrete Fourier Transforms DFT for Urdu ASR is described in the literature by (Beg, 2009; Hasnain, 2008). These literary works stand to be the initial attempts in Urdu ASR, though focus only the recognition of Urdu numbers 0 to 9 using MATLAB programming rather than any specified ASR toolkit.

As the literature presents (Naeem, 2020; Shaukat, 2016), the core objective of Automatic Speech Recognition is proposing a model of best possible distribution of word sequence. Sequential ASR system is based on estimating maximum a posteriori probability which need transforming the sequence of the acoustic characteristics into a word sequence; represented by  $X$  and  $W$  respectively. When  $X = \{x_t \in R^D \mid t = 1, \dots, T\}$  and  $W = \{w_n \in V \mid n = 1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $T$  represents the length of vectors,  $N$  shows the length of the word sequence, whereas  $V$  is vocabulary, the maximum probability of word sequence is estimated for all vocabularies  $V$  as:

$$W^* = \operatorname{argmax} P \quad (2)$$

The objective of this sequential ASR system involves a pipeline of several processes under formal statistical approach: Feature extraction from speech input, acoustic modeling, language modeling, and decoding the word sequence. Different mechanisms are adopted by researchers in order to calculate the said models, like HMM-GMM, HMM-DNN for acoustic modeling, and RNN, and LSTM for language modeling for varying languages and datasets as per taste.

Literature (Shaukat, 2016) presents HMM based ASR approach for medium vocabulary Urdu dataset consisting 250 words. This initial level work is done using CMU Sphinx again with reportedly better results. Discrete Fourier Transforms DFT for feature

TABLE 4  
Multilingual ASR Systems with the Urdu Language

S. No	A.M Technique(s)	Language Model LM	WER % Urdu	Avg WER% (Multi Lingual)	Literature
1	SSL, IndicWav2Vec2, XLS-R	KenLM	12.6	12.4	(Javed, 2022)
2	Wav2Vec, Conformer, CTC, OpenSLR	KenLM	-	15.3	(Bhogale K. S., 2023)
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IndicWav2Vec,</li> <li>IndicWhisper, etc.</li> <li>Google SST</li> <li>Azure SST</li> </ul>	-	25.1 19.4 23.3 -	21.0 13.6 23.9 20.0	(Bhogale K. S., 2023)
4	Whisper Model: Seq-to-Seq Transformer on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fluers</li> <li>Common Voice9</li> </ul>	Audio conditional LM	22.6 24.2	- -	(Radford A, 2023)
5	FLEURS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wav2Vec- Bert</li> <li>mSLAM</li> </ul>	-	(% CER↓) 82.9 83.1	(% CER↓) 14.1 14.6	(Conneau, 2023)

extraction and Feed Forward Artificial Neural Networks FANN for classification combining with Discrete Wavelet Transforms DWT reported by (Rehman, 2015). According to the literature, this approach proves better performance as compared to baseline ASR experiments. Subspace Gaussian Mixture Model SGMM is adopted to examine the novel collection of spontaneous Urdu speech corpora in (Raza A. A., 2018) integrated with SRILM toolkit for the Kneser-Ney discounting-based trigram language model. GMM and SVM with Random Forest approaches have been adopted for accent identification across Urdu speech by authors in the literature (Qasim M. N., 2016). Researchers of the literature (Shaik, 2015) also relied upon adopting the traditional HMM approach for Urdu LVCSR in their evaluation system presented for multiple languages.

HMM mechanism is utilized both in acoustic and language models, though it is advantageous modeling with the acoustic modeling part. HMM-based model utilizes Bayesian theorem to describe HMM state sequence  $S = \{s_t \in \{1, \dots, J\} \mid t = 1, \dots, T\} \cap p(L|X)$ , for observation and latent state set  $\{1, \dots, J\}$ . Hence the acoustic, language, and pronunciation models tend to give the probability of observing  $X$  from hidden sequence  $S$  as:

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{L \in Y^*} p(L|X) \approx \operatorname{argmax}_{L \in Y^*} \sum_S p(X|S)p(S|L)p(L) \quad (3)$$

Convolutional Neural Networks CNN and Support Vector Machine SVM stand the tool for the recognition of Urdu audio digits' recognition in literature (Chandio, 2021). Different from the discussed techniques has been presented in the literature by (Zia, 2019). Deep bi-directional LSTM architecture is used in combination with the features of contextual information generating complete context of the sequence. The authors argue that a higher accuracy is achieved this way as a comparison is made with gated recurrent unit GRU-based architectures. The Subspace Gaussian Mixture Model SGMM technique adopted for spontaneous Urdu speech data collected from telephonic forum (Raza, 2018) and achieved remarkable WER. A similar approach applied for continuous Urdu speech recognition in literature (Naeem, 2020). Results show that the SGMM approach in this research is quite fascinating than the formal simple GMM HMM approach.

For multi-genre Urdu broadcast speech Time delay neural network TDNN is applied (Khan, 2021). This application of TDNN with RNN language model is for the first time in Urdu ASR, also for a large amount of broadcast speech data with multi-genre, so the results it exhibits are remarkable. Table 3 outlines the statistical techniques and results of ASR along with utilized datasets by different researchers.

#### 4.3.2. DNN-based Urdu ASR approaches

Semi-supervised deep learning techniques have been used over the isolated words dataset, presented earlier by researcher (Ashraf, Speaker independent Urdu speech recognition using HMM, 2010), and in literature (Ali Humayun, 2019). The model generalization improvement technique adopted has successfully achieved better performance as compared to the traditional sphinx-based recognition by the former. Literature by (Farooq M. A., 2019) implemented Urdu automatic speech recognition using a large vocabulary with deep neural networks. Along with baseline GMM, the researchers implemented Time Delay Neural Networks TDNN, Long Short-term Memory LSTM and Bidirectional LSTM networks proven to be giving high accuracy in terms of word error rate WER when implemented a with recurrent neural network RNN RNN-based language model. DNN-HMM-based approaches were also adopted by (Farooq M. A., 2020) for a combination of Urdu broadcast speech and spontaneous speech datasets without prior GMM-HMM training and alignments. This Deep neural net application successfully reduced the word error rate compare to other similar applications implementing Urdu-English conversational code-switched speech as well. Figure 7 shows the summary of deep neural network DNN-based approaches adopted so far for Urdu speech recognition research.

As The GMM-based approach is successful enough for direct acoustic modeling from input sequences, simulating every individual state distribution. The ability to give conditional probability directly makes the Deep neural networks DNNs more efficient than GMMs. Probability model  $P(x_t | s_t)$  is replaced with a classification problem  $P(s_t | x_t)$  in DNN by utilizing pseudo-pseudo-probability mechanism as a joint probability approximation. Mechanism of DNN performing frame-by-frame training from frame-by-frame alignment with input  $x_t$  and target state  $s_t$ , prior portability of state  $s_t$  in the denominator  $P(s_t)$ , is mathematically described under :

$$\prod_{t=1}^T P(x_t | s_t) = \prod_{t=1}^R \frac{P(x_t | s_t)}{p(s_t)} \quad (4)$$

Semi-Supervised Deep Learning SSL approach featured with unsupervised dimensionality reduction technique i.e. Locally Linear Embedding LLE, also been adopted for Urdu speech recognition (Ali Humayun, 2019). Despite an insufficient labeled dataset, the proposed semi-supervised deep learning approach proved to be having comparable results with the HMM-based benchmark on a similar amount of data. Another technique in a recently published scholarly work (Javed, 2022) shows that self-supervised learning with Wav2vec2 approach also stands fascinating in terms of the monolingual model while adopting larger Urdu language dataset.




Fig. 5 General architecture of end-to-end ASR system

#### 4.3.3. End-to-End Architecture (E2E) for ASR

The term end-to-end in the context of Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) has several interpretations. It involves joint modeling, where all ASR components are treated as a unified computational graph, unlike traditional distinctions of acoustic and language models. Meaning, the end-to-end models simplify an ASR by directly mapping acoustic input to transcriptions without the need for explicit alignments or discrete acoustic and language models. A single-pass search integrates all ASR components before making decisions, aligning with Bayes' decision rule to integrate possible resources and outputs the result. The E2E paradigm estimates model parameters minimizing the WER. The training uses a single data type, typically speech audio data, but must address challenges of un-transcribed audio and text-only data. End to End is also described as its ability to train from scratch requires training without external knowledge, particularly important in scenarios like self-supervised learning (Luo, 2021).

Furthermore, E2E ASR avoids reliance on secondary knowledge sources such as pronunciation lexica and phonetic clustering, which can introduce errors and costs. Vocabulary modeling without lexica may limit vocabularies, with whole-word models demanding extensive training data. E2E ASR pertains to whether task-specific constraints are learned from data or directly implemented like attention-based models attempt learning from data whereas classical HMM architecture follows a different flow. In short, E2E ASR represents integrated modeling with joint training and recognition, reducing complexity and improving genericity (Chan, 2016; Adjudani, 1996). Figure 5 demonstrates the general architecture of an end-to-end automatic speech recognition system.

Research literature (Graves, 2014; Luo, 2021; Wang, 2019; Watanabe, 2018) presents the calculation of  $P(W | X)$  with end to end model, acoustic features input  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_T)$  with sequence of targets as  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_T)$  and word sequence as  $W = w_m = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ , likelihood  $P(\cdot | x_1), \dots, P(\cdot | x_T)$  is calculated with an artificial neural network ANN using input labels given as word representations. Big data acts as the basis of E2E ASR models, hence it's unlikely to get high accuracy with E2E for low-resource languages.

#### 4.4. Multilingual ASR with Urdu Language

Despite being an under-resource language, researchers have adopted Urdu language for automatic speech recognition task together with different other languages. Literature by (Javed, 2022) developed and presented their work focusing multiple languages spoken across India included Urdu. Major task in this research work is to develop speech datasets, though they have presented their experimental work to test the dataset using self-supervised learning SSL approach. ASR is not the solely task of this literature




Fig. 6 Wav2Vec2.0 paradigm adopted for Urdu ASR (Mohiuddin, 2023 )

rather speaker verification, language understanding, speaker identification, and keyword spotting is also done for 12 Indic Languages including Urdu. In this task, the acoustic model is built separately instead of the joint multilingual model.

A huge dataset for Indic languages was presented by (Bhogale K. S., 2023) for 12 different languages spoken in India including Urdu. Wav2Vec and Conformer models have been adopted along with KenLM for several Indic languages including Urdu language, and the results shown are comparatively better than another benchmark. In order to improve the dataset and accuracy of ASR for several Indic languages another attempt was recently reported in literature by (Bhogale K. S., 2023). This piece of scientific work presents Vistaar, a set of 59 benchmarks across various languages and domain combinations including Urdu language. This masterpiece is a combination of multiple high-volume datasets and benchmarks across languages making 10,736 hours in total and specifically 320 hours in Urdu alone. The evaluation results show that there is a big reduction in terms of WER. Another major attempt with massive datasets, claiming to consist of 680,000 hours mainly of English speech and 117,000 hours across multilingual ASR data is reported in research by Radford et al. (Radford A, 2023), a very well-known OpenAI's Whisper ASR system. Basically, Whisper is a transformer architecture, well suited for sequential tasks like automatic speech recognition and, pre-trained model for automatic speech recognition transcribing speech in a variety of languages, including Urdu. Urdu

language is part of Whisper with hours from multiple sources. Whisper initially converts the speech audio signals to a sequence of Mel spectrograms, representing the frequency content of audio signals. The transformer architecture then learns and maps the sequence of spectrograms to a sequence of text tokens, which are converted to string text as transcribed speech. This state-of-the-art results on a variety of ASR benchmarks including the Urdu ASR benchmark with 17.5% WER with comparatively high volume dataset. A summary of multilingual speech recognition systems which include the Urdu language are presented in Table 4.

#### 4.5. Fine Tuning XLSR for Urdu

With the advent of self-supervised learning algorithms, large-scale pre-training, fine-tuning, and diverse applications of Transformers, LLMs are contributing to and benefitting ASR research (Fathullah, 2024). From recent studies, it is also evident that ASR of languages with big datasets achieves human-level parity with sophisticated algorithms like transformers in LaMDA (Thoppilan, 2022), OPT (Zhang S. R., 2022), and Whisper (Radford A, 2023). A recent conference paper, which is the sole study focusing particularly on Urdu Language, adopted pre-trained XLSR model to fine-tune Urdu speech from Common Voice (Mohiuddin, 2023 ). The accuracy achieved this way, as presented in Table 2 and the illustration of methodology in Figure 6, stands comparable and better in some cases of low resource language ASR, yet the way it is attempted is novel for the case of the Urdu language.

### 5. COMPARISON OF METHODS ADOPTED IN URDU ASR

As the major statistical approaches have been the major technique to deal with the sequential nature of speech, hence HMM are the most common classification method used in automatic speech recognition. Being an under-resourced language, researchers dealing with Urdu ASR took advantage of the HMM-based statistical classification. Yet some researchers lately focused on speech datasets with bigger volumes, hence utilized Deep Neural Networks DNN, which mainly used to learn complex patterns from data. With the capability of DNNs, researchers of Urdu ASR stood at the advantage of getting higher accuracies, yet not that high as compared to rich resource languages like English and Mandarin.

Our findings of best ASR accuracies by Urdu ASR researchers are presented in Table 1. Likewise, Table 2 summarizes the classification techniques adapted for Urdu ASR research so far along with the compatible toolkits and different datasets. Table 3, on the other hand, gives the comparison of results achieved with statistical approaches, which are elaborated in section 4.3.1. We got very few attempts of Urdu ASR with DNNs, Figure 7 presents the summarized results about which the discussion already made in sub-section 4.3.2. The results of Urdu ASR presented from published literature are not so high compared with the ASR systems of good resource and high accuracy models, yet they have been important for the research progress in Urdu ASR given the time, resource, and other circumstances.

Several research articles lately published on multilingual speech recognition in which Urdu language, along with many other South Asian languages, is also included. The overall performance comparison of the multilingual speech recognition systems with the Urdu language is presented in Table 4. Whereas, the Urdu language-specific and average evaluation results are listed in Table 5. Other than FLEURS that mentioned reduced CER%, the common metric adopted to evaluate the performance is WER across the available research findings. Among all the adopted multi-lingual models, IndicWav2Vec with Self-supervised learning SSL and Ken language model achieved the best performance in a research study by Javed et al. (2022) with average 12.4% WER and 12.6 %WER specifically in Urdu language.




Fig. 7 DNN based approaches for Urdu ASR

## 6. DISCUSSION

This study delves into the prevailing trends in automatic speech recognition (ASR) research within the context of the resource-scarce Urdu Language. Establishing a robust ASR model for Urdu is of paramount importance, necessitating the exploitation of spectral speech features and the integration of cutting-edge deep learning techniques, particularly deep neural network architectures trained on language-specific datasets. To the best of our knowledge, it offers a comprehensive overview of the diverse techniques employed in Urdu ASR research. The insights derived and summarized in this review are poised to captivate the attention of researchers and developers dedicated to advancing ASR for Urdu, thereby contributing into the progress of this domain without the necessity of delving into detailed and practically obsolete foundational studies. For example, the progression of ASR research with state-of-the-art high-accuracy algorithms often encounters incompatibility issues when interfacing with toolkits designed for small-scale datasets and fundamental machine learning algorithms. Furthermore, earlier stages of ASR systems require numerous utilities that were previously achievable only through manual processes. One notable challenge lies in creating language model requirements, which has traditionally been a labor-intensive and time-consuming task without the aid of automated tools.

Data is an evitable ingredient of contemporary speech research. So this study has presented almost all the developed datasets for Urdu ASR. Unlike researchers focusing on English and Mandarin, Urdu is not that focused on speech recognition. However, the presented datasets have been and are utilized for numerous applications that facilitate real-life scenarios. Application aspects of speech research are complete areas that can be described in a separate literature.

Recognition tools and software are numerous, like numerous algorithms with different accuracies and computational requirements. To the best of our knowledge, this study has listed out and presented the majority of algorithms and tools that researchers adopt. Presenting them within a single review will be advantageous for newbies of speech research. With time, data development and advancements in tools and algorithms are inevitable. Several areas are there to broaden Urdu speech research like data development, adaptation of deep neural networks, high accuracy algorithms, techniques like transfer learning and adaptation, and developing more effective language models compatible with Persian script, which can boost the Urdu speech accuracy.

- To the best of our knowledge, this work provides the first comprehensive overview of diverse techniques, tools, and algorithms adopted in advancing Urdu ASR research.
- It discusses the various Urdu speech datasets in terms of their volume, type, availability, and applicability.
- It also offers a thorough comparison of ASR approaches, ranging from the early statistical methods used in Urdu ASR to contemporary Transformer models.
- Monolingual and multilingual ASR studies are summarized, highlighting both their accomplishments and limitations.
- This study also points out the lack of focus by the Urdu research community, particularly those associated with speech and language, noting that the development of Urdu ASR still appears to be lagging behind that of several other low-resource languages.
- Addressing speech varieties like different dialects, age and gender-based variations, exploring local utilization of speech data and their adaptation in various applications and digital services are still beyond the scope, given the available data and contemporary research landscape.

The insights derived and summarized in this review are poised to captivate the attention of researchers and developers dedicated to advancing speech research and contribute into the progress of this domain without the necessity of delving into detailed and practically obsolete foundational studies. For example, the progression of ASR research with state-of-the-art high-accuracy algorithms often encounters incompatibility issues when interfacing with toolkits designed for small-scale datasets and fundamental machine learning algorithms. Furthermore, earlier stages of ASR systems require numerous utilities that were previously achievable only through manual processes. One notable challenge lies in the creation of language model requirements, which has traditionally been a labor-intensive and time-consuming task without the aid of automated tools.

The current landscape of Urdu Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) research predominantly centers around constrained datasets, encompassing isolated words, numerical digits, sentences, and modest-sized read speeches. These datasets frequently feature solitary speakers, characterized by a limited pool of sentences or variations of akin phrases articulated by a multitude of speakers. Although commendable endeavors have been undertaken to introduce a semblance of diversity in these datasets, such as the Audio Urdu Digits and Spoken Words Dataset (AUDD) and datasets tailored to district names, there exists ample opportunity for the broadening and enrichment of Urdu speech datasets.

Notably, while substantial, larger-scale Urdu speech datasets have been established in later studies as presented in section 4.1, the majority of the referenced datasets remain under proprietary restrictions. The Common Voice initiative, an invaluable resource within the global speech data collection landscape, notably encompasses Urdu and is instrumental in promoting open access to speech data resources. Moreover, ongoing research endeavors are directed toward the discernment of emotional nuances within Urdu speech. Various datasets and initiatives, including Kathbath and IndicSUPERB, significantly contribute to the repository of Urdu speech data available for ASR research purposes. It is noteworthy that several commercially licensed Urdu speech datasets are accessible, such as those curated and archived by the Language Data Consortium (LDC), Speech Ocean, and SLE Lahore, albeit with associated financial considerations. In summary, this deduction underscores the compelling necessity for the cultivation of extensive and diverse Urdu speech datasets, thereby propelling the advancement of ASR technology in the Urdu language, aligning with the rigorous standards of Nature publications.

TABLE 5  
Comparison of multilingual ASR system with Urdu language

References	Method	Objective	Accomplishments	Limitation
(Javed, 2022)	IndicSUPERB Wav2Vec2-based multimodal ASR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Larger dataset, 1684 hours, for 12 Indic languages including Urdu.</li> <li>- Benchmarks for speech tasks including ASR.</li> <li>- Train and evaluate self-supervised models along with FBANK.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Able to reduce average WER for average and specific language.</li> <li>- Able to boost the accuracy with a Jointly fine-tuned multilingual model</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Limited to 12 Indic languages.</li> <li>- Test results with unknown speakers are different from those with known speakers.</li> <li>- Not robust in noisy environment</li> </ul>
(Bhogale K. S., 2023)	Shrutilipi dataset Wav2vec2-based multimodal ASR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proposed mining text and audio pairs of low-resource Indic languages, Shrutilipi 6400 hours, as an alternative to creating labeled datasets.</li> <li>- Adopt CTC with the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Able to reduce WER by 5.8% for 7 languages, can further with the Conformer model.</li> <li>- High quality and diverse dataset effective for ASR down streaming.</li> <li>- Able to Train models which are more robust to noisy input.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Limited to 12 Indic languages</li> <li>- Smallest proportion of Urdu.</li> <li>- Unable to show language-specific accuracy</li> </ul>
(Bhogale K. S., 2023)	Vistaar IndicWhisper	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A set of 59 benchmarks collated from ASR systems named Vistaar.</li> <li>- trained for 10.7K hours long 12 languages.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Diversity in every language.</li> <li>- Reduce an average of 4% WER.</li> <li>- High volume Urdu speech data 320 hours with 13.6% WER.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- More datasets are possible to compete with high-resource languages and multi-lingual benchmarks.</li> </ul>
(Radford A, 2023)	Whisper: Wav2Vec and Seq-to-seq architecture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Multilingual and multi-task 680,000 hours labeled datasets.</li> <li>- Whisper Model: Robust speech processing with pre-trained Models based on Seq-to-seq transformer architecture.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Capable of getting an Average 29.3% WER on wav2vec without the language model, and 12.8% WER on the Whisper model.</li> <li>- Whisper model robust enough for long-form (few minutes to few hours) transcriptions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Low accuracy for low-resource languages.</li> <li>- Highest proportion of English</li> <li>- Lacks fine-tuning</li> <li>- Strong decoder but no CTC or wav2vec together with a language model.</li> </ul>
(Conneau, 2023)	FLEURS Few-shot learning, mSLAM, and wav2vec-Bert Models	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consists of 102 languages with a 12-hour dataset per language including Urdu.</li> <li>- Attempt to catalyze resource-scarce languages in speech tech technology.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Simple, baselines for the ASR tasks on pre-trained mSLAM and wav2vec-Bert models.</li> <li>- Considerable %CER specifically in Urdu with %CER reductions of 82.9 and 83.1 respectively.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Limited datasets</li> <li>- No Language model</li> </ul>

Large vocabulary Urdu ASR has witnessed substantial advancements through the adoption of deep neural networks (DNNs), including TDNN, LSTM, and Bidirectional LSTM networks, in conjunction with recurrent neural network (RNN)-based language models, resulting in markedly reduced word error rates (WER) compared to GMM-based approaches. Additionally, the application of DNN-HMM techniques to a combination of Urdu broadcast and spontaneous speech datasets, without prior GMM-HMM training, has further reduced word error rates, even in scenarios involving Urdu-English conversational code-switched speech.

Furthermore, the implementation of semi-supervised deep learning, augmented by unsupervised dimensionality reduction techniques such as Locally Linear Embedding (LLE), has showed comparable performance to HMM-based benchmarks, even when confronted with limited labeled data. In a recent development, self-supervised learning using the Wav2vec2 approach has showcased remarkable potential when applied to larger Urdu language datasets, particularly in the context of monolingual models. In summary, these observations underscore the substantial impact of recently implemented algorithms on Urdu speech recognition, underscoring their key role in enhancing accuracy and performance across a diverse array of datasets, thereby propelling the progress of ASR technology within the Urdu language domain.

## 7. OPEN PROBLEMS AND CHALLENGES

### 7.1. Limitations

This comprehensive review provides an overview of the current state of Urdu Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) research, offering insights into the training methodologies and datasets employed in various studies and their respective outcomes. The review highlights the challenges in adopting cutting-edge speech and AI technologies within the Urdu ASR domain, notably the absence of resources in adopting end-to-end techniques, and pre-trained models. The whisper model notably addresses this issue and presents solution for resource-scarce languages ASR issues. The focus of this tutorial centers on technologies, datasets, and achieved accuracies throughout the evolution of Urdu ASR, as the very first survey study, it is essential to clarify that this study does not claim exhaustive knowledge or conclusions regarding multilingual ASR systems. However, it underscores the significance of certain multilingual ASR systems that include Urdu alongside other regional languages. It also underscores the potential avenues for enhancing Urdu ASR to reach parity with languages like Mandarin and English. Utilization of high-resource datasets has become integral in achieving human-level performance across various languages. Mandarin and English speech corpora have notably excelled in ASR research, setting a high standard. Numerous other languages are now undergoing extensive research and finding applications in domains such as robotics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and broader AI applications, showcasing remarkable performance.

The accuracy of speech recognition can be improved by fine-tuning large-scale models that have already been trained on Urdu text. This is especially true for informal and colloquial speech. Urdu has a lot of different dialects in different parts of the country. In the future, ASR models can be changed to work with different dialects, so that people from different backgrounds can be accurately recognized.

### 7.2. Problems and Challenges

Despite advancements in technology, there remain significant challenges in building high-performing Urdu ASR systems. One major challenge is the lack of standardized orthography for Urdu. Unlike languages like English, which have well-established orthographic rules, Urdu has several competing orthographic systems. This can lead to confusion and inconsistency in ASR transcriptions. Another challenge is the diversity of accents and dialects within the Urdu language. Urdu is spoken in several regions of South Asia, each with its distinct accent and dialect. This can make it difficult to develop a single ASR system that performs well across all regions.

Given Urdu's status as a native language for millions, there exists a compelling imperative to propel Urdu ASR forward. This can be accomplished through the integration of cutting-edge technologies like end-to-end models, Wav2vec, and adopting semi-supervised learning, pre-trained models, and fine-tuning at larger scales. Such advancements with heavy datasets hold the potential to benefit both the Urdu-speaking population and the global community. Recognizing these imperatives, this review paper serves as the first comprehensive summary of Urdu ASR research.

To summarize it up, the contemporary landscape of Urdu Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) holds several notable challenges and open problems, including:

- **Insufficient Availability of Quality Speech Data:** There is a scarcity of high-quality speech data suitable for deep learning algorithms. For instance, end-to-end technique and multiple variants of it is still untouched into the Urdu ASR domain.



- **Limited Exploration with Transfer Learning:** The research community engaged in Urdu ASR has shown limited interest in the application of knowledge transfer techniques from high-resource languages, fine-tuned and pre-trained models. One of the reasons behind this could be the lack of interest by the natives of Urdu speaking community.
- **Untapped Potential in Literary and Recreational Fields:** Despite the Urdu-speaking community's profound interest in literature, poetry, and recreational activities with spoken Urdu, there is a dearth of efforts dedicated to facilitating these areas with speech research. For instance, the development of highly accurate Urdu ASR could usher Urdu poetry into new creative horizons.
- **The diversity of small-scale datasets and the variation in evaluation metrics utilized across publications make it challenging to effectively compare the performance of different techniques.**

In light of these challenges, this study aims to make a notable contribution to the community of speech recognition researchers particularly dedicated to advancing the Urdu language. These challenges and unexplored domains present opportunities for future research and advancements in Urdu ASR and related speech technologies.

## 8. CONCLUSION

Automatic speech recognition (ASR) is a hot research topic with the potential to revolutionize the way we interact with computers and other devices. Urdu is a resource-scarce language, meaning that there is a limited amount of data available for training ASR systems. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the latest research on Urdu ASR, including the challenges and opportunities in this area. Sophisticated algorithms specifically end-to-end techniques stays out of use due to the absence of sufficient data. Adapting techniques like fine-tuning, data augmentation and transfer learning from other languages, such as English and Mandarin, and Multilingual ASR models like XLSR and Whisper can improve the accuracy of Urdu ASR systems. Also, it is suggested that developing new resources, such as Urdu speech corpora and lexicons, is essential for advancing research addressing different domains like accents, age and gender variations, conversational and broadcast speeches, etc. Urdu has a rich literature and literary adaptation in local community, like varieties of poetry, songs, religious and regional events full of varying vocal trades, providing opportunities to be addressed in recognition research. Overall, summarizing the contemporary as well as the traditional ASR studies carried out using the resource-scarce Urdu language, this paper attempts to provide a valuable overview of Urdu ASR and offers a roadmap for future research. There is a dire need for improvement in Automatic Speech Recognition data sets for the best possible results using state-of-the-art tools.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The Authors declare that no competing financial or personal conflict could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Professor Liu Chenglin supervised the overall research conduct for this paper, and Dr. Yi Jiangyan co-supervised the work and reviewed for possible shortcomings and formation. Mr. Zeeshan Abbas contributed in finding study materials and Muhammad Sharif conducted the major investigation and writing.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

As the study conducted in this paper is a survey of numerous research studies, the very first survey of its kind in the particular Urdu ASR, so there is no such data that can be said it produced and availability required.

## REFERENCES

- Abadi, M. (2016 ). TensorFlow: learning functions at scale. *Proceedings of the 21st ACM SIGPLAN international conference on functional programming (pp. 1-1)*.
- Abbas, F. P. (2018). The competing status of Urdu and English after declaration of Urdu as official language in Pakistan. *Journal of Research (Urdu)*, pp.142-158.
- Adeeba, F. a. (2018). Acoustic feature analysis and discriminative modeling for language identification of closely related South-Asian languages. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, 37, 3589-3604.
- Adjoudani, A. a. (1996). On the integration of auditory and visual parameters in an HMM-based ASR. *Speechreading by humans and machines: Models, systems, and applications*,, 461-471.
- Ahmad., A. Z. (2019). A Large Multilingual Corpus of Pashto, Urdu, English for Automatic Spoken Language Identification. *International Journal of Computer Applications* , 177(10), 42-45.
- Alam, M. S. (2020). Survey on deep neural networks in speech and vision systems. *Neurocomputing*, 417, 302-321.
- Ali Humayun, M. H. (2019). Regularized urdu speech recognition with semi-supervised deep learning. *Applied Sciences*, 9(9), 1956.
- Ali, H. A. (2016). Urdu speech corpus and preliminary results on speech recognition. Aberdeen, UK: In Engineering Applications of Neural Networks: 17th International Conference, EANN, Proceedings 17 (pp. 317-325). Springer International Publishing.
- Ali, H. J. (2015). Automatic speech recognition of Urdu digits with optimal classification approach. . *International Journal of Computer Application*, 1-5.
- Ali, S. K. (2015). Analyzing the impact of prosodic feature (pitch) on learning classifiers for speech emotion corpus. . *International Journal of Information Technology and Computer Science* , , 2(2015), 54-59.
- Alim, S. a. (2018). *Some commonly used speech feature extraction algorithms*. London, UK: IntechOpen.
- Amoolya, G. H. (2022). Automatic Speech Recognition for Tulu Language Using Gmm-Hmm and DNN-HMM Techniques. *International Conference on Advanced Computing Technologies and Applications (ICACTA)*.
- Ardila, R. a. (2020). Common Voice: A Massively-Multilingual Speech Corpus. Proceedings of the 12th Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2020).
- Asghar, A. S. (2022). An Urdu speech corpus for emotion recognition. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 8, p.e954.
- Ashraf, J. I. (2010). Speaker independent Urdu speech recognition using HMM. In 2010 The 7th International Conference on Informatics and Systems (INFOS). IEEE.
- Ashraf, J. I. (2010). Speaker independent Urdu speech recognition using HMM. In 2010 The 7th International Conference on Informatics and Systems (INFOS) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

- Baker, J. D. (2009). Developments and directions in speech recognition and understanding, Part 1 [DSP Education]. *IEEE Signal processing magazine*, 26(3), 75-80.
- Beg, A. a. (2009). A Speech Recognition System for Urdu Language. . In *Wireless Networks, Information Processing and Systems: International Multi Topic Conference, IMTIC 2008 Jamshoro, Pakistan, April 11-12, 2008 Revised Selected Papers*.
- Besacier, L. B. (2014). Automatic speech recognition for under-resourced languages: A survey. *Speech communication*, 56, 85-100.
- Bhogale, K. S. (2023). Effectiveness of Mining Audio and Text Pairs from Public Data for Improving ASR Systems for Low-Resource Languages. *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*.
- Bhogale, K. S. (2023). Vistaar: Diverse Benchmarks and Training Sets for Indian Language ASR. *arXiv e-prints*, pp.arXiv-2305.
- Billa, J. (2018, September). ISI ASR System for the Low Resource Speech Recognition Challenge for Indian Languages. *INTERSPEECH* , 3207-3211.
- Black, A. (2019). Cmu wilderness multilingual speech dataset. *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* .
- Chan, W. N. (2016 ). Listen, attend and spell: A neural network for large vocabulary conversational speech recognition. *IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE.
- Chandio, A. S. (2021). AUDD: audio Urdu digits dataset for automatic audio Urdu digit recognition. . *Applied Sciences*, 8842.
- Chen, Y. Q. (2022 ). Adversarial Meta Learning Improves Low-Resource Speech Recognition. *6th Asian Conference on Artificial Intelligence Technology (ACAIT)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- Chen, Y. Z. (2023). Task-based Meta Focal Loss for Multilingual Low-Resource Speech Recognition. *ACM Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing*.
- Conneau, A. M. (2023). Fleurs: Few-shot learning evaluation of universal representations of speech. *2022 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)* (pp. 798-805). IEEE.
- D. van Esch, T. L. (2022). Writing system and speaker metadata for 2,800+ language varieties,. *Proceedings of LREC*.
- Daud, A. K. (2017). Urdu language processing: a survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 47, 279-311.
- Dey, S. S. (2021 ). Cross-corpora language recognition: A preliminary investigation with Indian languages. *IEEE*. In *2021 29th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*.
- Dey, S. S. (2022). An Overview of Indian Spoken Language Recognition from Machine Learning Perspective. *ACM Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing*, 21(6), 1-45.

- Diwan, A. V. (2021). Multilingual and code-switching ASR challenges for low resource Indian languages. . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.00235*.
- Durrani, S. a. (2021). Transfer learning based speech affect recognition in Urdu. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03580*.
- Fantaye, T. Y. (2020). Investigation of automatic speech recognition systems via the multilingual deep neural network modeling methods for a very low-resource language, Chaha. *Journal of Signal and Information Processing*, 11.
- Farooq, M. A. (2019). Improving Large Vocabulary Urdu Speech Recognition System Using Deep Neural Networks. *INTERSPEECH*, 2978-2982.
- Farooq, M. A. (2020). Enhancing Large Vocabulary Continuous Speech Recognition System for Urdu-English Conversational Code-Switched Speech. 2020 23rd Conference of the Oriental COCOSDA International Committee for the Co-ordination and Standardisation of Speech Databases and Assessment Techniques (O-COCOSDA) (pp. 155-159). IEEE.
- Fathullah, Y. W. (2024). Prompting large language models with speech recognition abilities. *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)* (pp. 13351-13355). IEEE.
- Ghahramani, P. H. (2020). An Alternative to MFCCs for ASR. *INTERSPEECH* , 1664-1667.
- Graves, A. a. (2014). Towards end-to-end speech recognition with recurrent neural networks. International conference on machine learning (pp. 1764-1772). PMLR.
- Hasnain, S. a. (2008). Recognizing spoken Urdu numbers using fourier descriptor and neural networks with Matlab. Second International Conference on Electrical Engineering (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Imtiaz, A. R. (2022). Automatic Speech Recognition on Non-Pathological Dataset of Urdu Language. *KIET Journal of Computing and Information Sciences*,, 5(2).
- Itrat, M. A. (2017). Automatic language identification for languages of Pakistan. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security (IJCSNS)*, 17(2), 161.
- Javed, T. B. (2022). IndicSUPERB: A Speech Processing Universal Performance Benchmark for Indian languages. . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.11761*.
- Joshi, V. Z. ( 2020.). Transfer learning approaches for streaming end-to-end speech recognition system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.05086*.
- Kanabur, V. H. (2019). An extensive review of feature extraction techniques, challenges and trends in automatic speech recognition. *International Journal of Image, Graphics and Signal Processing*, 11(5), p.1.
- Kannan, A. D. (2019). Large-scale multilingual speech recognition with a streaming end-to-end model. *arXiv preprint arXiv*, 1909.05330.

- Kermanshahi, M. A. (2021 ). Transfer learning for end-to-end ASR to deal with low-resource problem in persian language. *26th International Computer Conference, Computer Society of Iran (CSICC)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Khan, E. R. (2021). A Multi-Genre Urdu Broadcast Speech Recognition System. *24th Conference of the Oriental COCOSDA International Committee for the Co-ordination and Standardisation of Speech Databases and Assessment Techniques (O-COCOSDA)*, 25-30.
- Khan, E. R. (2021). A Multi-Genre Urdu Broadcast Speech Recognition System. *In 2021 24th Conference of the Oriental COCOSDA International Committee for the Co-ordination and Standardisation of Speech Databases and Assessment Techniques (O-COCOSDA)*, 25-30.
- Khan, S. A. (2018). Analysis of Children's Prosodic Features Using Emotion Based Utterances in Urdu Language. *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research*(8(3)).
- Khare, S. M. ( 2021, August). Low Resource ASR: The Surprising Effectiveness of High Resource Transliteration. *In Interspeech*, (pp. 1529-1533).
- Latif, S. Q. (2018). Cross lingual speech emotion recognition: Urdu vs. western languages. In 2018 International Conference on Frontiers of Information Technology (FIT) IEEE.
- Luo, J. W. (2021). Cross-language transfer learning and domain adaptation for end-to-end automatic speech recognition. IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME) IEEE.
- Maas, A. Q. (2017). Building DNN acoustic models for large vocabulary speech recognition. . *Computer Speech & Language*, 41, 195-213.
- Maity, S. V. (2012). IITKGP-MLILSC speech database for language identification. National Conference on Communications (NCC) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Mandava, T. a. (2019). Attention based residual-time delay neural network for Indian language identification. Twelfth International Conference on Contemporary Computing (IC3). IEEE.
- Metcalf, B. (2003.). Urdu in India in the 21st Century: A Historian's Perspective. *Social Scientist*, pp.29-37.
- Mohamed, A. 2. (2014). *Deep Neural Network Acoustic Models for ASR (Doctoral dissertation, University of Toronto)*.
- Mohiuddin, H. A. (2023 ). UrduSpeakXLSR: Multilingual Model for Urdu Speech Recognition. *18th International Conference on Emerging Technologies (ICET)* (pp. 217-221). IEEE.
- Morgan, D. S. (1991). Neural networks and speech processing . . *Springer US.*, 329-348.
- Naeem, S. I. (2020). Subspace gaussian mixture model for continuous urdu speech recognition using kaldi. *14th International Conference on Open Source Systems and Technologies (ICOSST)*, 1-7.
- Nakatani, T. (2019). September. Improving transformer-based end-to-end speech recognition with connectionist temporal classification and language model integration. *In Proc. Interspeech (Vol. 2019)*.

- Oesterheld, C. (2004). Islam in contemporary South Asia: Urdu and muslim women. . *Oriente moderno*, 23(1), 217-243.
- Osgood, B. (2019). *Lectures on the Fourier transform and its applications (Vol. 33)*. American Mathematical Soc..
- Qasim, M. H. (2023). DESCU: Dyadic emotional speech corpus and recognition system for Urdu language. *Speech Communication*, 148, 40-52.
- Qasim, M. N. (2016). Urdu speech recognition system for district names of Pakistan: Development, challenges and solutions. In *2016 conference of the oriental chapter of international committee for coordination and standardization of speech databases and assessment techniques (O-COCOSDA)* (pp. 28-32). IEEE.
- Qasim, M. R. (2016). Urdu speech corpus for travel domain. In *2016 Conference of The Oriental Chapter of International Committee for Coordination and Standardization of Speech Databases and Assessment Techniques (O-COCOSDA)* (pp. 237-240). IEEE.
- Radford A, K. J. (2023). Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. International Conference on Machine Learning PMLR.
- Rahman, T. (2006). *Urdu as an islamic language*.
- Rao, N. C. (2020). The LDC-IL Speech Corpora. Yangon, Myanmar: 23rd Conference of the Oriental COCOSDA International Committee for the Co-ordination and Standardisation of Speech Databases and Assessment Techniques (O-COCOSDA).
- Raza, A. A. (2018). Rapid Collection of Spontaneous Speech Corpora Using Telephonic Community Forums. *Interspeech*.
- Raza, A. H. (2009). Design and development of phonetically rich Urdu speech corpus. In *2009 oriental COCOSDA international conference on speech database and assessments*, 38-43.
- Raza, A. H. (2010). An ASR system for spontaneous Urdu speech. *Proc. of Oriental COCOSDA*, (pp. 24-25).
- Rehman, B. H. (2015). Artificial neural network-based speech recognition using dwt analysis applied on isolated words from oriental languages. *Malaysian Journal of Computer Science*, , 28(3), 242-262.
- Reitmaier, T. W. (2022, April). Opportunities and challenges of automatic speech recognition systems for low-resource language speakers. . *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* , 1-17.
- Russell, R. (1999). Urdu in India since independence. . *Economic and Political Weekly*, 44-48.
- Sailor, H. P. (2018). Advances in Low Resource ASR: A Deep Learning Perspective. *SLTU*, 15-19.
- Salau, A. a. (2019 ). March. Feature extraction: a survey of the types, techniques, applications. *2019 international conference on signal processing and communication (ICSC) IEEE.*, 158-164.

- Samad, A. R. (2019). Performance Evaluation of Learning Classifiers of Children Emotions using Feature Combinations in the Presence of Noise. *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research*, 9(6), 5088-5092.
- Sarfraz, H. H. (2010). Speech corpus development for a speaker independent spontaneous Urdu speech recognition system. Kathmandu, Nepal: Proceedings of the O-COCOSDA,.
- Sengupta, D. a. (2015). Study on similarity among Indian languages using language verification framework. *Advances in Artificial Intelligence*, 2-2.
- Shaik, M. T.-T. (2015). Improvements in rwth lvcsr evaluation systems for polish, portuguese, english, urdu, and arabic. . Sixteenth Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association.
- Sharma, G. U. (2020). Trends in audio signal feature extraction methods. *Applied Acoustics*, 107020.
- Shaukat, A. A. (2016 ). Automatic Urdu speech recognition using hidden Markov model. . In 2016 International Conference on Image, Vision and Computing (ICIVC) (pp. 135-139). IEEE.
- Singh, G. S. (2021). Spoken language identification using deep learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*.
- Stoian, M. B. (2020, May). Analyzing ASR pretraining for low-resource speech-to-text translation. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)* (pp. 7909-7913). IEEE.
- Syed, Z. M. (2020). Introducing the Urdu-Sindhi Speech Emotion Corpus: A novel dataset of speech recordings for emotion recognition for two low-resource languages. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 11(4).
- Thomas, S. A. (2016). Multilingual Data Selection for Low Resource Speech Recognition. . In *Interspeech* (pp. 3853-3857).
- Thoppilan, R. D. (2022). Lamda: Language models for dialog applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08239*.
- Tokui, S. O. (2019). Chainer: A deep learning framework for accelerating the research cycle. *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (pp. 2002-2011)*.
- Ubaidi, U. a. ( 2019, November). Voice pattern recognition using Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficient and Hidden Markov Model for bahasa Madura. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1375, No. 1, p. 012057)*. IOP Publishing.
- Vuddagiri, R. G. (2018). IIITH-ILSC Speech Database for Indain Language Identification. *SLTU* , 56-60.
- Wang, D. W. (2019). An overview of end-to-end automatic speech recognition. *Symmetry*, 11(8), 1018.
- Watanabe, S. T. (2018). Espnet: End-to-end speech processing toolkit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.00015(arXiv)*.

- Xu, B. L. (2020). Discriminative multi-modality speech recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* , 14433-14442.
- Xu, J. T. (2020, August). Lrspeech: Extremely low-resource speech synthesis and recognition. *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 28.
- Zaheer, N. A. (2023). Speech emotion recognition for the Urdu language. . *Lang Resources & Evaluation*, 57, 915–944.
- Zehra, W. J. (2021). Cross corpus multi-lingual speech emotion recognition using ensemble learning. . *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, 1-10.
- Zhang, S. R. (2022). Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models. . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01068*.
- Zhang, Z. C. (2017). Advanced data exploitation in speech analysis: An overview. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 34(4), 107-129.
- Zia, H. R. (2018). PronouncUR: An urdu pronunciation lexicon generator. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.00409*.
- Zia, T. a. (2019). Long short-term memory recurrent neural network architectures for Urdu acoustic modeling. . *International Journal of Speech Technology*,, 22, 21-30