

Transforming Engineering Education Using Generative AI and Digital Twin Technologies

Yu-Zheng Lin*, Ahmed Hussain J Alhamadah†, Matthew William Redondo†, Karan Himanshu Patel†, Sujan Ghimire†, Banafsheh Saber Latibari*, Soheil Salehi*, and Pratik Satam†

*Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 USA

†Department of Systems and Industrial Engineering, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 USA

Email: {*yuzhenglin, †alhamadah, †mredondo245, †karanpatel, †sghimire,

*banafsheh, *ssalehi, †pratiksatham}@arizona.edu

Abstract

Digital twin technology, traditionally used in industry, is increasingly recognized for its potential to enhance educational experiences. This study investigates the application of industrial digital twins (DTs) in education, focusing on how DT models of varying fidelity can support different stages of Bloom's taxonomy in the cognitive domain. We align Bloom's six cognitive stages with educational levels: undergraduate studies for "Remember" and "Understand," master's level for "Apply" and "Analyze," and doctoral level for "Evaluate" and "Create." Low-fidelity DTs aid essential knowledge acquisition and skill training, providing a low-risk environment for grasping fundamental concepts. Medium-fidelity DTs offer more detailed and dynamic simulations, enhancing application skills and problem-solving. High-fidelity DTs support advanced learners by replicating physical phenomena, allowing for innovative design and complex experiments. Within this framework, large language models (LLMs) serve as mentors, assessing progress, filling knowledge gaps, and assisting with DT interactions, parameter setting, and debugging. We evaluate the educational impact using the Kirkpatrick Model, examining how each DT model's fidelity influences learning outcomes. This framework helps educators make informed decisions on integrating DTs and LLMs to meet specific learning objectives.

Index Terms

Digital Twin, Generative AI, Machine Learning, Semiconductor Education, Workforce Development, Industrial Manufacturing, Immersive Learning, Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR)

I. INTRODUCTION

DIGITAL Twin technology was originally conceptualized in the aerospace field as a digital counterpart of a physical system and benefits from information interaction with entities, allowing virtual models to map corresponding behaviors in virtual space through online status updates. Due to the demand for advanced monitoring, diagnosis, and prediction within industrial systems and the development driven by Industry 4.0, using digital twins to integrate data from various sensors and IoT devices can achieve highly complex real-time simulations. These simulations reflect the physical systems and predictive capabilities that enable industrial system optimization, fault detection, and lifecycle management. Coupled with the development of machine learning technology in the field of artificial intelligence, the capabilities of digital twins have been further enhanced, allowing dynamic adaptation and improving the accuracy of predicting system behavior under different conditions, and its application in manufacturing, aerospace, energy, and other industries has increased efficiency, reliability and cost-effectiveness.

Given the proven benefits of digital twin technology in industry, its potential in industrial education to solve human resource development issues is becoming increasingly apparent. Digital twins can serve as powerful experiential learning tools in education to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. This potential is particularly relevant when viewed through Bloom's taxonomy [1]. Bloom's taxonomy is a framework that divides educational goals into levels, from lower-order cognitive skills, such as memory and comprehension, to higher-order skills, such as analysis, evaluation, and creation. This study aligns the different fidelity levels of the digital twin with the different educational stages of Bloom's taxonomy, providing a new approach to curriculum design in engineering education for different needs. That is to say, the complexity and fidelity of the digital twin model can be tailored to the cognitive needs of the educational stage.

At the undergraduate level, where the focus is on acquiring fundamental knowledge and basic skills, low-fidelity digital twins can provide simplified representations of industrial systems. While low-fidelity digital twins cannot simulate precise system behavior, these models are adequate for tasks involving the core principles of "remembering" and "understanding." Low-fidelity digital twins can enable students to engage in fundamental aspects of system operation without being overwhelmed by the complexity of high-fidelity simulations, causing additional learning confusion. Features of these models may include basic manufacturing processes, simplified machine operations, or basic control systems that are sufficient for introductory courses in engineering and related disciplines.

As students advance to the postgraduate level, especially master's programs, the educational focus shifts to "applied" and "analytical" knowledge. At the master's level, medium-fidelity digital twins become more appropriate. Enable students to

engage in complex problem-solving activities and scenario analysis by providing more detail and interactivity. The ability to manipulate variables, test hypotheses, and analyze results in a controlled digital environment is consistent with the cognitive processes of application and analysis described in Bloom's Taxonomy. Medium-fidelity digital twins can simulate manufacturing processes with detailed parameters that students can adjust to optimize output or resolve potential issues, promoting a deeper understanding of system dynamics and interdependencies.

At the doctoral level, the educational focus is on "creating" and "evaluating" new knowledge, requiring the highest degree of cognitive engagement. High-fidelity digital twins provide the detailed, accurate, and dynamic simulations required for advanced research and innovation at the doctoral level. These models enable doctoral students to design and test new systems, conduct complex experiments, and evaluate the feasibility of novel solutions in virtual environments that closely reflect real-world conditions. The integration of real-time data, advanced physics-based modeling, and artificial intelligence-driven analytics in a high-fidelity digital twin supports the rigorous requirements of doctoral research, allowing for the exploration of cutting-edge technologies and the development of new methods.

Introducing digital twins into education will profoundly impact teaching and learning. From a technology perspective, using digital twins to simulate complex industrial systems in a classroom setting can provide students with real-world experience without the costs and risks associated with operating physical equipment and personal safety. Additionally, the scalability of digital twin technology enables educational institutions to provide customized learning experiences that adapt to the needs of individual students, thereby supporting differentiated instruction and creating more inclusive learning environments. Even at the same level of education, disadvantaged students can make up for deficiencies in their knowledge base. The framework proposed in this study combines the levels of digital twin fidelity with the cognitive demands of different educational levels to enable educators to create more effective learning experiences for conveying theoretical knowledge and developing the skills needed to succeed in industry. As digital twin technology continues to develop, its role in education will likely expand, providing new opportunities to improve the quality and relevance of engineering and technology education.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Digital Twin

Digital twin technology originated from aerospace engineering and was proposed by NASA to enhance the design, monitoring, and maintenance of complex systems for space vehicles in 2010 [2]. The concept of digital twins is system engineering based on simulation. However, what is different from the offline simulation is that in addition to the physical model, it also includes online sensor updates and historical data as input to the model to mirror the ultra-realistic corresponding physical system behavior [3]. Moreover, in response to the need for universality, modern digital twins can reflect physical behavior, and user-friendly visualization is an integral part of them. Constructing the appearance of a physical system and transforming it into an intuitive and operable interface is becoming a core challenge in the development of digital twin technology [4].

We illustrate the concept of modern digital twins in Figure 1. Actual equipment operates in physical space and uses sensors to collect data on physical behavior to provide continuous information input to the virtual space. In virtual space, the digital twins are built with varying fidelity levels to mirror the system accurately at different levels, process the data obtained from the physical space, and generate behavior output or feedback control to send it back to equipment in physical space, enabling real-time adjustments and optimization. The interoperability of these two spaces can be seen as the coupling of digital twins with physical systems and is metaphorically explained by the components of the human body: the "bone" represents the physical system; the "muscle" symbolizes the behavior model of Digital Twin, responsible for simulating dynamic interactions and driving the physical system; and the "skin" serves as the visualization layer, which translates complex data into user-friendly, intuitive interfaces. The seamless coupling of these elements ensures the interoperability of digital twins with physical systems, enhancing monitoring, predictive maintenance, and operational efficiency across various applications.

Modeling physical behavior to establish accurate virtual models and building the appearance of a physical system to transform it into an intuitive and operable interface are becoming core challenges in developing digital twin technology. In constructing a behavioral model of digital twins, it is not easy to rely on traditional numerical models to model complex systems, but with the mature development of machine learning technology and computing power, using machine learning technology to model physical behavior has become the primary option [5, 6]. Machine learning can reduce the complexity of digital twin modeling, and this advantage allows digital twin technology to play a role in various fields [7].

B. Digital Twin in Education Application

In industrial education, the complexity and cost of industrial environments cause the challenge of workforce training. Using digital twins for industrial education to respond to workforce development needs has become a potential option and has attracted attention [8]. Digital twins can be used as a training tool, allowing learners to conduct ultra-realistic personalized training through the virtual and real combination of digital twins and factory environments, and can greatly improve resource optimization and operational efficiency [9]. Lei et al. proposed a web-based digital twin training environment for communication maintenance and power systems training [10]. They used optical fiber fault repair as an example to teach students how to understand the actual before and after repair situations through the digital twin. Moreover, Martínez-Gutiérrez et al. proposed in 2023 the use




Fig. 1. The Digital Twin Reference Architecture and The Concept of the DT Coupling System

of virtual reality combined with digital twins to train operators to operate mobile robots [11]. The results explained that digital twins can be combined with actual equipment to become a compromise between cost and teaching effectiveness. In process engineering experimental education, Boettcher et al. implemented a jet pump in virtual reality through digital twin technology, allowing students to solve problems independently in complex and sometimes vague scenarios [12].

These examples illustrate the potential for digital twins in education and workforce development across different industrial sectors. However, current research on digital twins in industrial education still lacks systematic evaluation methods and potential value to higher education. This study introduces Bloom's Taxonomy into the digital learning framework to systematically evaluate learning performance. In terms of industrial education, Awouda et al. used this method to evaluate the effectiveness of open-source IoT in education [13]. Additionally, Gajek et al. used this method to evaluate the learning effectiveness of process safety [14]. These examples have educational objectives related to Industry 4.0 and illustrate that Bloom's Taxonomy is a suitable option for evaluating the effectiveness of industrial education.

III. DIGITAL TWIN EDUCATION FRAMEWORK

This educational framework is based on the Multi-Fidelity Digital Twin and combines different educational levels, Bloom's Taxonomy, and the Kirkpatrick models, as illustrated in Figure 2. These four elements are intertwined to present a comprehensive learning and assessment approach that uses digital twins to meet industrial education goals and provides an educational process from primary understanding to advanced creation while also considering learning outcomes and behavior change.

In this framework, the six levels of the cognitive domain of Bloom's Taxonomy are *Remember*, *Understanding*, *Application*, *Analysis*, *Evaluate*, and *Create*, which correspond to different stages of education – *Undergraduate*, *Master*, and *Doctoral* degrees. As learners progress through the educational stage, their learning goals shift from memorizing and understanding basic knowledge to higher-level like analysis, evaluation, and creation. In the educational needs of different stages, multi-fidelity digital twins can become an educational tool, providing reasonable learning assistance for different educational levels and making educational goals at different stages successful.

The application of multi-fidelity digital twin technology in education closely relates to the educational goals mentioned above. Low-Fidelity Digital Twins are usually constructed from simple 3D models and animations. They are suitable for use in the early stages of education to help students understand basic concepts and arouse interest in learning. When undergraduate students enter the workplace or graduate school, they will have the basic knowledge to meet their employment or research needs. In the stage of the Master's degree, the Medium-Fidelity Digital Twin can provide virtual commissioning, behavioral simulations, and rule-based automation that help students apply and analyze their learning. At the highest level of education, the doctoral degree, High-Fidelity Digital Twin, covers real-time interaction, machine learning predictive analysis, multi-model interoperability, etc. These technologies support creative thinking and in-depth evaluation.

In evaluating students' learning effectiveness, we introduce the Kirkpatrick model [15] into the framework, which can ensure a comprehensive evaluation of students' learning effectiveness at different educational levels. At the undergraduate level, using low-fidelity digital twins, the emphasis is on the first level: reaction, focusing on how students perceive the training, its relevance to their future careers, and their participation in the learning process. As students advance to the Master's degree, Level 2: Learning becomes the focus, assessing how students acquire expected knowledge, skills, and attitudes through mid-fidelity




Fig. 2. Educational Learning Stages and Outcome Mapping Based on a Multi-Fidelity Digital Twin Model

digital twins, thereby facilitating deeper application and analysis. At the doctoral level, using high-fidelity digital twins, the framework moves to Level 3: Behaviors and Level 4: Result. The focus here is on how students apply their knowledge and skills in real-world settings to drive organizational results and innovation. This integration can help educators gain a comprehensive view of learner progress.

Integrating LLMs as a virtual tutor to evaluate the Kirkpatrick model can provide dynamic and adaptive feedback to enhance the educational framework further. LLMs can analyze student learning responses and performance, offering personalized feedback that aligns with each level of the Kirkpatrick model. For instance, LLMs can assess student reactions at Level 1 by analyzing feedback surveys and discussions to identify common challenges or misunderstandings. At Level 2, LLMs can evaluate knowledge acquisition by reviewing assignments and operations to offer tailored suggestions for improvement. For Levels 3 and 4, LLMs can analyze behavioral changes and long-term results by tracking student performance in applied projects or research, providing insights into how well they translate learning into practical outcomes.

IV. FUTURE FACTORY: INTEGRATION OF SMC SiF-400 AND DIGITAL TWIN TECHNOLOGY

In the System and Security Laboratory (S&SLab) at The University of Arizona, the concept of the “Future Factory” has been developed by integrating the SMC SiF-400 system with digital twin technology and generative AI, and the framework is illustrated in Figure 3. The SMC SiF-400, a modular and highly automated intelligent factory training system [16], is augmented by digital twins designed with varying degrees of fidelity to enhance the educational process by aligning Digital Twin fidelity with learners’ cognitive and skill development stages. It equips learners with the necessary tools and knowledge to be successful in modern industrial environments by providing a scalable and interactive platform that evolves alongside the student’s educational journey. In addition, we integrated a Generative AI-based tutor to evaluate the learning, and we used the Kirkpatrick model to assess students’ learning status through a customized LLM. This framework ensures that students are well-prepared to meet the complexities of contemporary industrial roles, from basic operations to high-level research and innovation.

A. Low-Fidelity Digital Twin - Photogrammetry


The Future Factory employs a low-fidelity Digital Twin at the undergraduate level, introducing students to fundamental concepts in Industry 4.0 and intelligent manufacturing. This model simplifies the factory’s processes, focusing on basic operations such as container filling and palletizing within a controlled environment. The objective at this stage is to facilitate the acquisition of foundational knowledge, aligning with Bloom’s Taxonomy’s emphasis on “Remembering” and “Understanding.” By interacting with these simplified systems, students develop a basic comprehension of industrial systems without being overwhelmed by the complexities inherent in higher-fidelity simulations.

To achieve this educational goal, we use Photogrammetry to construct low-fidelity digital twins. This technology uses multiple photos to reconstruct physical objects into virtual three-dimensional models. Alhamadah et al. proposed that photogrammetry can effectively and accurately visualize physical processes[17]. Using consumer devices like the iPhone 15 Pro, we can capture 3D contour data of industrial equipment and process these images through 3D scanning tools to Map 3D models of industrial equipment.



SMC SiF400 equipment setup at the System and Security Lab (The University of Arizona)

Physical Space



Virtual Space

Fig. 3. The Framework of S&SLab's Future Factory with Multi-Fidelity Digital Twin Ecosystem

The reliability of this technology lies in the error rate between the actual manually measured data and the final 3D model, which ultimately showed an overall average error of 4.97%. This demonstrates that photogrammetry can accurately represent a virtual system, even using lower-cost consumer equipment, providing a way to construct a digital twin 3D model quickly. Figure 4 shows an example of using this technology to construct a digital twin in a VR scenario. We built a virtual factory in Unity, imported the 3D model built using photogrammetry, and used Blender to create behavioral animations. Students can observe mechanical movements and behaviors by pressing the start button.

Through this approach, students can gain a higher sense of engagement and hands-on experience interacting with simplified systems while avoiding the complexity of real-world systems or high-fidelity simulations. This teaching method can focus more on the learning goals designed by the teacher at a low cost and risk, enhance students' understanding of the concept of the target system, and promote their thinking and innovation capabilities in the context of Industry 4.0.




Fig. 4. VR Training Scenario with Photogrammetry Model

B. Medium-Fidelity Digital Twin for Master Student

For master's students, the Future Factory advances to a medium-fidelity Digital Twin. This model integrates more complex systems within the SMC SiF-400, allowing students to engage in production planning, process optimization, and troubleshooting.




Fig. 5. Experiment VPN Architecture of Future Factory

The medium-fidelity environment aligns with the cognitive demands of “Applying” and “Analyzing,” as outlined in Bloom’s Taxonomy. Here, students gain practical experience with tools such as the SIFMES-400 Management Execution Software, which enhances their ability to apply theoretical knowledge to real-world scenarios, thus preparing them for roles in process engineering and management.

To achieve this objective, we have constructed an Experiment VPN environment[18] and deployed SCADA BR and OpenPLC, allowing students to connect securely and interact with SCADA BR and OpenPLC systems, as shown in Figure 5. In this experimental setting, students can simulate real-world industrial automation scenarios. The integration of these tools enables students to gain a deeper understanding of key control points and data collection processes within production workflows, thereby enhancing their skills in real-time monitoring, data analysis, and troubleshooting. This Experiment VPN also features interoperability with the SiF-400 system, enabling seamless integration of data and control functions, further broadening student engagement within this digital twin environment. Through this comprehensive hands-on experience, students not only simulate the operations of actual industrial control systems in a virtual environment but also learn how to apply cybersecurity techniques to protect control systems within critical infrastructure.

These skills are crucial for their future careers in process engineering, manufacturing management, and industrial automation. Moreover, the combination of SCADA BR and OpenPLC provides students with a cost-effective approach to field training, allowing them to experience the value and potential of data-driven application systems in digital transformation. We have designed multiple learning modules to strengthen further students’ understanding of SCADA systems, including real-time data monitoring, anomaly simulation, and data report generation. These modules encourage students to engage in reflection and critical thinking during the learning process, enhancing their analytical and problem-solving abilities in alignment with Bloom’s Taxonomy levels of “Applying” and “Analyzing.”

C. High-Fidelity Digital Twin for Doctoral Student

The Future Factory reaches its highest fidelity at the doctoral level, providing a detailed, real-time digital twinning of the SMC SiF-400 system. This high-fidelity Digital Twin includes advanced features such as AI-driven analytics, real-time data integration, and cybersecurity protocols[19]. The high-fidelity model is tailored to support the cognitive processes of “Evaluating” and “Creating,” critical for doctoral research and innovation. Within this environment, doctoral students can design and test new industrial processes, develop custom APIs, and explore the full potential of Industry 4.0 technologies, thereby contributing to the advancement of intelligent manufacturing methodologies.

To address these challenges, we leverage the power of generative AI. In the context of Industry 4.0, constructing flexible digital twin behaviors is a significant challenge, involving high training data costs and behavior changes over time. Recent advancements have shown that LLMs can effectively forecast time series data without extensive training[20]. By dynamically adjusting LLM inputs based on historical and real-time measurements, we can generate time series data that closely approximates the physical system’s behavior over time. This adaptive modeling capability allows the high-fidelity Digital Twin to continuously learn and refine its predictive algorithms, minimizing the need for large, costly datasets.

In Figure 6, we implement a dynamic time series prediction method within the high-fidelity Digital Twin using a few-shot learning approach with LLMs. This enables real-time system adjustments based on evolving industrial processes. This approach

of the concepts, techniques, and tools related to packet sniffing. By leveraging GraphRAG’s capabilities, we can efficiently retrieve and generate relevant knowledge, facilitating a deeper understanding of packet sniffing practices.

At the same time, the application of Prompt Engineering enables us to design more effective prompts to guide the LLM in generating responses that meet the learners’ needs. Through carefully crafted prompts, the virtual tutor can answer questions and automatically adjust its teaching strategies based on the learners’ responses. For example, when learners encounter difficulties while operating the SMC SiF-400, Prompt Engineering can prompt the virtual tutor to proactively provide relevant operational advice and techniques to help learners overcome challenges.

V. CONCLUSION

This white paper explores the application of digital twin technology in industrial education and proposes a system framework that integrates different digital twin model fidelity with different educational stages. By mapping low-, medium-, and high-fidelity digital twins to varying stages of Bloom’s cognitive domain, the training effectiveness is then evaluated through the Kirkpatrick Model. This study provides a systematic approach to help educators select appropriate teaching tools based on students’ cognitive needs. This framework supports the memorization and understanding of essential knowledge at the undergraduate education stage to high-level analysis, evaluation, and innovation at the doctoral education stage. It can also improve students’ learning effectiveness in industrial education.

In addition, this white paper introduces the concept of “Future Factory,” which provides suitable industrial education scenarios for different education stages through the combination of SMC SiF-400 system and digital twin technology. Different fidelity digital twin designs of future factory designs can improve the effectiveness of industrial education and ensure students’ flexibility and competitiveness in the coming industrial environment, thus promoting the innovation and development of modern industrial education.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is partly supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) project 2335046.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. Krathwohl, “A revision of bloom’s taxonomy: An overview,” *Theory into practice*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 212–218, 2002.
- [2] E. Glaessgen and D. Stargel, “The digital twin paradigm for future nasa and us air force vehicles,” in *53rd AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC structures, structural dynamics and materials conference 20th AIAA/ASME/AHS adaptive structures conference 14th AIAA*, 2012, p. 1818.
- [3] M. Shafto, M. Conroy, R. Doyle, E. Glaessgen, C. Kemp, J. LeMoigne, and L. Wang, “Draft modeling, simulation, information technology & processing roadmap,” *Technology area*, vol. 11, pp. 1–32, 2010.
- [4] F. Tao, B. Xiao, Q. Qi, J. Cheng, and P. Ji, “Digital twin modeling,” *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 64, pp. 372–389, 2022.
- [5] M. M. Rathore, S. A. Shah, D. Shukla, E. Bentafat, and S. Bakiras, “The role of ai, machine learning, and big data in digital twinning: A systematic literature review, challenges, and opportunities,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 32 030–32 052, 2021.
- [6] Q. Min, Y. Lu, Z. Liu, C. Su, and B. Wang, “Machine learning based digital twin framework for production optimization in petrochemical industry,” *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 49, pp. 502–519, 2019.
- [7] F. Tao, H. Zhang, A. Liu, and A. Y. Nee, “Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art,” *IEEE Transactions on industrial informatics*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 2405–2415, 2018.
- [8] M. Hazrat, N. Hassan, A. A. Chowdhury, M. Rasul, and B. A. Taylor, “Developing a skilled workforce for future industry demand: The potential of digital twin-based teaching and learning practices in engineering education,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 23, p. 16433, 2023.
- [9] Y. Lu, C. Liu, I. Kevin, K. Wang, H. Huang, and X. Xu, “Digital twin-driven smart manufacturing: Connotation, reference model, applications and research issues,” *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, vol. 61, p. 101837, 2020.
- [10] Z. Lei, H. Zhou, W. Hu, G.-P. Liu, and S. Guan, “Web-based digital twin communication system of power systems for training and education,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 2023.
- [11] A. Martínez-Gutiérrez, J. Díez-González, P. Verde, and H. Perez, “Convergence of virtual reality and digital twin technologies to enhance digital operators’ training in industry 4.0,” *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, vol. 180, p. 103136, 2023.
- [12] K. Boettcher, C. Terkowsky, M. Schade, D. Brandner, S. Grünendahl, and B. Pasaliu, “Developing a real-world scenario to foster learning and working 4.0—on using a digital twin of a jet pump experiment in process engineering laboratory education,” *European Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 949–971, 2023.
- [13] A. Awouda, E. Traini, M. Asranov, and P. Chiabert, “Bloom’s iot taxonomy towards an effective industry 4.0 education: Case study on open-source iot laboratory,” *Education and Information Technologies*, pp. 1–23, 2024.
- [14] A. Gajek, B. Fabiano, A. Laurent, and N. Jensen, “Process safety education of future employee 4.0 in industry 4.0,” *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, vol. 75, p. 104691, 2022.
- [15] A. Smidt, S. Balandin, J. Sigafoos, and V. A. Reed, “The kirkpatrick model: A useful tool for evaluating training outcomes,” *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 266–274, 2009.
- [16] SMC, “SiF-400 - The training System for Industry 4.0.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.smctraining.com/en/webpage/indexpage/1208>
- [17] A. Alhamadah, M. Mamun, H. Harms, M. Redondo, Y.-Z. Lin, J. Pacheco, S. Salehi, and P. Satam, “Photogrammetry for digital twinning industry 4.0 (i4) systems,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.18951*, 2024.
- [18] B. Kolcu, “Fctaas: Federated cybersecurity testbed as a service,” Master’s thesis, The University of Arizona, 2020.
- [19] Y.-Z. Lin, S. Shao, M. H. Rahman, M. Shafae, and P. Satam, “Dt4i4-secure: Digital twin framework for industry 4.0 systems security,” in *2023 IEEE 14th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference (UEMCON)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 0200–0209.
- [20] N. Gruver, M. Finzi, S. Qiu, and A. G. Wilson, “Large language models are zero-shot time series forecasters,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [21] P. Lewis, E. Perez, A. Piktus, V. Petroni, V. Karpukhin, N. Goyal, H. Küttler, M. Lewis, W.-t. Yih, T. Rocktäschel *et al.*, “Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, pp. 9459–9474, 2020.
- [22] J. White, Q. Fu, S. Hays, M. Sandborn, C. Olea, H. Gilbert, A. Elnashar, J. Spencer-Smith, and D. C. Schmidt, “A prompt pattern catalog to enhance prompt engineering with chatgpt,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11382*, 2023.
- [23] D. Edge, H. Trinh, N. Cheng, J. Bradley, A. Chao, A. Mody, S. Truitt, and J. Larson, “From local to global: A graph rag approach to query-focused summarization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16130*, 2024.