Generalizing subdiffusive Black-Scholes model by variable exponent: Model transformation and numerical approximation

Meihui Zhang^a, Mengmeng Liu^{b,*}, Wenlin Qiu^c, Xiangcheng Zheng^c

^aSchool of Statistics and Mathematics, Shandong University of Finance and Economics, Jinan 250014, China ^bSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China ^cSchool of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

Abstract

This work generalizes the subdiffusive Black-Scholes model by introducing the variable exponent in order to provide adequate descriptions for the option pricing, where the variable exponent may account for the variation of the memory property. In addition to standard nonlinear-to-linear transformation, we apply a further spatial-temporal transformation to convert the model to a more tractable form in order to circumvent the difficulties caused by the "non-positive, non-monotonic" variable-exponent memory kernel. An interesting phenomenon is that the spatial transformation not only eliminates the advection term but naturally turns the original noncoercive spatial operator into a coercive one due to the specific structure of the Black-Scholes model, which thus avoids imposing constraints on coefficients. Then we perform numerical analysis for both the semi-discrete and fully discrete schemes to support numerical simulation. Numerical experiments are carried out to substantiate the theoretical results.

Keywords: Black-Scholes model, Subdiffusion, Variable exponent, Error estimate, Option pricing

1. Introduction

The Black-Scholes equation is a classical model for option pricing, which takes the form as [3]

$$\partial_t v(S,t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \partial_S^2 v(S,t) + rS \partial_S v(S,t) - rv(S,t) = 0, \qquad (1.1)$$

where v(S,t) is the price of the option, $r \ge 0$ is the risk-free rate and $\sigma > 0$ is the volatility. There exist extensive investigations for this model, see e.g. [4] and the references therein. Despite its wide and successful applications, it is shown that it fails to characterize the significant movements or jumps over small time steps in a financial market [5]. A potential way to resolve this issue is to replace the integer-order derivative $\partial_t v$ in (1.1) by the fractional derivative ${}^R\partial_t^{\alpha} v$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ [10]

$${}^{R}\partial_{t}^{\alpha}g(t) := \partial_{t}\int_{t}^{T} \frac{g(s) - g(T)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)(s - t)^{\alpha}} ds, \qquad (1.2)$$

and some progresses on the resulting subdiffusive Black-Scholes model have been reached in the past few decades [6–9, 11, 12, 16–19, 22, 23]. Nevertheless, a constant exponent may not suffice to accommodate the variation of the memory property caused by, e.g. the uncertainties or fluctuations in the financial market. A

 $^{^{*}}$ Corresponding author. This work was partially supported by the National Social Science Foundation of China under Grant 24BTJ006.

Email addresses: zmh_1212@163.com (Meihui Zhang), liumengmeng423@163.com (Mengmeng Liu), wlqiu@sdu.edu.cn (Wenlin Qiu), xzheng@sdu.edu.cn (Xiangcheng Zheng)

possible remedy is to introduce the variable exponent. In [13], a variable-exponent fractional option pricing model is applied to accommodate various phenomena in financial activities such as the seasonal changes and temporary crises, and the effectiveness of the variable-exponent model has been demonstrated based on the real option price data. Thus, we consider the following subdiffusive Black-Scholes model with variable exponent $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$ and the expiry T > 0

$${}^{R}\partial_{t}^{\alpha(t)}v + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}S^{2}\partial_{S}^{2}v + rS\partial_{S}v - rv = 0, \quad (S,t) \in (a,b) \times (0,T);$$

$$v(a,t) = c_{l}(t), \quad v(b,t) = c_{r}(t), \quad v(S,T) = c_{t}(S).$$
(1.3)

Here c_l , c_r , c_t are given data and $^R \partial_t^{\alpha(t)}$ with $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$ is the variable-exponent fractional differential operator [14]

$${}^{R}\partial_{t}^{\alpha(t)}g(t) := \partial_{t}\int_{t}^{T} \frac{g(s) - g(T)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(s-t))(s-t)^{\alpha(s-t)}} ds.$$

$$(1.4)$$

As the exact solutions of model (1.3) are in general not available, it is necessary to study numerical methods. Extensive numerical results for model (1.3) (or its variant (2.6) by standard logarithmic transformation) with $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha$ for some constant $0 < \alpha < 1$ have been obtained, while there are rare studies for the variable-exponent case. A main difficulty is that the variable-exponent memory kernel is non-positive and non-monotonic such that conventional numerical analysis methods do not apply. In a recent work [24], a relevant variable-exponent fractional Black-Scholes model is considered, where an additional term $\partial_t v$ is included in (1.3). Due to this additional leading term, the impact of the variable exponent is significantly weakened such that the numerical analysis could be performed.

For model (1.3) (or its variant (2.6)) where the variable-exponent term serves as the leading term, one could apply the convolution method developed in [25] to convert the original model to a more tractable form. Nevertheless, the convolution method could lead to the coupling between spatial operators and the temporal convolution such that the advection term in (2.6) may cause difficulties in numerical analysis. Thus, a further spatial transformation could be employed for (2.6) to eliminate the advection term, cf. the transformed equation (2.7). In general, this method changes the spatial coefficients such that some constraints on these coefficients should be imposed to ensure the coercivity of the spatial operators. However, an interesting phenomenon is that the spatial operator in the transformed equation (2.7) naturally keeps coercive due to the specific structure of (2.6), even though the spatial operator in (2.6) may not be coercive. We thus combine this and the convolution method to finally obtain a numerically feasible scheme (2.15)-(2.16).

We are now in the position to consider numerical approximation to the transformed model (2.15)–(2.16). The piecewise linear interpolation approximation is used to discretize the convolutions, which results in a time-discrete scheme. Then the finite element method is applied in space to construct the fully discrete scheme. We derive error estimates for both schemes and perform numerical experiments to substantiate the theoretical findings.

The rest of the work is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the model transformation, which reduces the original model into a more tractable form. Then, Section 3 establishes a time-discrete scheme and analyzes its numerical stability and error estimate. In Section 4, a fully discrete finite element scheme is constructed and analyzed. Section 5 provides some numerical results to validate our theoretical results. Finally, conclusions are given in Section 6.

2. Model transformation

2.1. Nonlinear-to-linear transformation

We follow the standard method, see e.g. [23, 24], to convert the nonlinear model (1.3) to a linear version. By the variable substitution $t = T - \tau$ we obtain from (1.4) that

$${}^{R}\partial_{t}^{\alpha(t)}g(t) = \partial_{t}\int_{t}^{T} \frac{g(s) - g(T)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(s - t))(s - t)^{\alpha(s - t)}}ds$$
$$= -\partial_{\tau}\int_{T-\tau}^{T} \frac{g(s) - g(T)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(s - T + \tau))(s - (T - \tau))^{\alpha(s - T + \tau)}}ds$$
$$= -\partial_{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{g(T - \theta) - g(T)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(\tau - \theta))(\tau - \theta)^{\alpha(\tau - \theta)}}d\theta.$$
(2.1)

Therefore, if we employ the transformation $x = \ln S$ and accordingly define $u(x,t) = v(e^x, T-t)$, the subdiffusive Black-Scholes model (1.3) could be transformed into the following form

$$-\partial_{t}^{\alpha(t)}u(x,t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\partial_{x}^{2}u(x,t) + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)\partial_{x}u(x,t) - ru(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in (\bar{a},\bar{b}) \times (0,T); \qquad (2.2)$$
$$u(\bar{a},t) = \bar{c}_{l}(t), \quad u(\bar{b},t) = \bar{c}_{r}(t), \quad u(x,0) = \bar{c}_{t}(x)$$

where $\bar{a} := \ln a$, $\bar{b} := \ln b$, $\bar{c}_l(t) := c_l(T-t)$, $\bar{c}_r(t) := c_r(T-t)$, $\bar{c}_t(x) := c_t(e^x)$ and $\partial_t^{\alpha(t)}$ is defined by

$$\partial_t^{\alpha(t)} u(x,t) := \partial_t \int_0^t \frac{u(x,s) - u(x,0)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t-s))(t-s)^{\alpha(t-s)}} ds.$$
(2.3)

Define

$$k(t) := \frac{t^{-\alpha(t)}}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t))}$$

such that (2.3) could be reformulated via the symbol of convolution

$$\partial_t^{\alpha(t)} u(x,t) = \partial_t \big(k(t) * (u(x,t) - u(x,0)) \big), \tag{2.4}$$

where \ast denotes the convolution in time defined as

$$g_1(t) * g_2(t) := \int_0^t g_1(t-s)g_2(s)ds$$

Then a direct calculation in (2.4) leads to

$$\partial_t^{\alpha(t)} u(x,t) = k(t) * \partial_t u(x,t).$$
(2.5)

Furthermore, by the homogenization technique, which replaces u in (2.2) by

$$u - \frac{b-x}{\overline{b}-\overline{a}}\overline{c}_l(t) - \frac{x-\overline{a}}{\overline{b}-\overline{a}}\overline{c}_r(t),$$

one could reduce (2.2) to its homogeneous boundary-value analogue with an additional right-hand side term. Therefore, without loss of generality, we invoke this and (2.5) to consider the following problem

$$\partial_t^{\alpha(t)} u(x,t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_x^2 u(x,t) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \partial_x u(x,t) + r u(x,t) = f(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in (\bar{a},\bar{b}) \times (0,T);$$
(2.6)
$$u(\bar{a},t) = u(\bar{b},t) = 0, \quad u(x,0) = \bar{c}_t(x).$$

2.2. Further spatial-temporal transformation

We intend to perform a further spatial-temporal transformation to achieve the following goals:

- Convert the variable-exponent factor from the leading term to a low-order term to resolve the difficulties caused by the variable-exponent kernel;
- Eliminate the advection term to facilitate the error estimate.

First, we let

$$u(x,t) = \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{\sigma^2}\right)x\right)\phi(x,t), \quad \sigma > 0.$$

Then, we use the technique of [21] to transform problem (2.6) into

$$\int \partial_t^{\alpha(t)} \phi(x,t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_x^2 \phi(x,t) + \lambda \phi(x,t) = \chi(x,t), \ (x,t) \in (\bar{a},\bar{b}) \times (0,T), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\phi(a,t) = 0, \quad \phi(b,t) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\phi(a,0) = \bar{c}^*(a), \quad a \in (\bar{c},\bar{b}) \tag{2.8}$$

$$\phi(x,0) = \bar{c}_t^*(x), \quad x \in (\bar{a},b),$$
(2.9)

where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{8}\sigma^2 + \frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{r}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma}{2} + \frac{r}{\sigma}\right)^2 > 0, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad r \ge 0,$$

$$\chi(x,t) = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{\sigma^2}\right)x\right)f(x,t), \quad \bar{c}_t^*(x) = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{\sigma^2}\right)x\right)\bar{c}_t(x).$$
(2.10)

As $\lambda > 0$, the spatial operator is coercive for any choice of the parameters (σ, r) due to the specific structure of the Black-Scholes model, which significantly facilitates the numerical computation and analysis. Note that this phenomenon still occurs if we replace the $\partial_t^{\alpha(t)}\phi(x,t)$ by standard first-order time derivative $\partial_t\phi(x,t)$, which implies its generality.

According to equation (2.5) and the transformed technique in [25], we perform the following convolution with equation (2.7)

$$\beta_{\alpha_0} * \left[(k * \partial_t \phi) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_x^2 \phi + \lambda \phi - \chi \right] = 0,$$

thus we further calculate that

$$q(t) * \partial_t \phi(x, t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \beta_{\alpha_0} * \partial_x^2 \phi(x, t) + \lambda \beta_{\alpha_0} * \phi(x, t) - \beta_{\alpha_0} * \chi(x, t) = 0, \ t > 0,$$
(2.11)

where $\beta_{\mu} = \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)}$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha(0)$ and the function (see [25])

$$q(t) := (\beta_{\alpha_0} * k)(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{\mathcal{G}(t\varsigma)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t\varsigma))} \frac{(1 - \varsigma)^{\alpha_0 - 1}\varsigma^{-\alpha_0}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} d\varsigma, \quad q(0) = 1,$$
(2.12)

in which $\mathcal{G}(t) = t^{\alpha_0 - \alpha(t)}$. Hence, taking $(q * \partial_t \phi)(x, t) = \phi(x, t) + (q' * \phi)(x, t) - q(t)\overline{c}_t^*(x)$ into (2.11) yields

$$\phi(x,t) + (q'*\phi)(x,t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\beta_{\alpha_0}*\partial_x^2\phi(x,t) + \lambda\beta_{\alpha_0}*\phi(x,t) = (\beta_{\alpha_0}*\chi)(x,t) + q(t)\bar{c}_t^*(x), \quad (x,t) \in (\bar{a},\bar{b}) \times (0,T), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\phi(\bar{a},t) = 0, \quad \phi(\bar{b},t) = 0, \quad \phi(x,0) = \bar{c}_t^*(x), \quad x \in (\bar{a},\bar{b}).$$
(2.14)

Then we define $\omega(x,t)=\phi(x,t)-\bar{c}_t^*(x)$ to obtain

$$\omega(x,t) + (q'*\omega)(x,t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\beta_{\alpha_0}*\partial_x^2\omega(x,t) + \lambda\beta_{\alpha_0}*\omega(x,t) = F(x,t),$$

$$(x,t) \in (\bar{a},\bar{b}) \times (0,T), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\psi(\bar{a},t) = 0, \quad \omega(\bar{b},t) = 0, \quad \omega(x,0) = 0, \quad x \in (\bar{a},\bar{b}).$$
(2.16)

where $F(x,t) = \beta_{\alpha_0}(t) * (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \partial_x^2 \bar{c}_t^*(x) - \lambda \bar{c}_t^*(x) + \chi(x,t)).$

2.3. Assumptions

For a positive integer m, a real number $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and an interval \mathcal{I} , let $W^{m,p}(\mathcal{I})$ be the Sobolev space of functions with weak derivatives up to order m in $L^p(\mathcal{I})$, where $L^p(\mathcal{I})$ refers to the space of pth Lebesgue integrable functions on \mathcal{I} . Let $H^m(\mathcal{I}) := W^{m,2}(\mathcal{I})$ and $H_0^m(\mathcal{I})$ be its subspace with the zero boundary conditions up to order m-1. For a Banach space \mathcal{X} , let $W^{m,p}(\mathcal{I}; \mathcal{X})$ be the space of functions in $W^{m,p}(\mathcal{I})$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$. All spaces are equipped with the standard norms [1]. We set $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{I})}$ for brevity if \mathcal{I} represents a spatial interval, and drop the notation \mathcal{I} in the spaces and norms if \mathcal{I} represents a spatial interval, e.g. we denote $\|\cdot\|_{H^2} = \|\cdot\|_{H^2(\mathcal{I})}$.

Throughout this work, we consider the smooth variable exponent, i.e., we assume that $0 < \alpha(t) \le \alpha^* < 1$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T)$. Furthermore, we use C to denote a generic positive constant that may assume different values at different occurrences.

Following the regularity results of [25, Theorems 4.2-4.3], the solutions to the model (2.15)-(2.16) satisfy the following estimates under sufficiently regular data

$$\|\partial_t \omega\|_{H^{2m}} \le Ct^{\alpha_0 - 1}, \quad \|\partial_t^2 \omega\|_{H^{2m}} \le Ct^{\alpha_0 - 2}, \quad a.e. \ t \in (0, T], \quad m = 0, 1,$$
 (2.17)

which implies

$$t \left\| \partial_t^2 \omega \right\|_{H^{2m}} + \left\| \partial_t \omega \right\|_{H^{2m}} \le C t^{\alpha_0 - 1}, \quad a.e. \ t \in (0, T], \quad m = 0, 1.$$
(2.18)

Finally, we impose the condition $\alpha'(0) = 0$ throughout the work. It has been demonstrated in [26, Section 3.1] that this constraint can be arbitrarily weak. Specifically, for any smooth function $\alpha(t)$, one could construct a sequence of smooth functions $\{\alpha_{\sigma}(t)\}_{\sigma>0}$ satisfying $\alpha'_{\sigma}(0) = 0$ such that $\max_{t \in [0,T]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_{\sigma}(t)| \to 0$ as $\sigma \to 0$.

Lemma 2.1. If $\alpha'(0) = 0$, we have $|q^{(j)}(t)| \leq C$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and j = 0, 1.

Proof. From (2.12), we have $|\mathcal{G}(t\varsigma)| \leq C$ such that $|q(t)| \leq C$ for $t \in [0, T]$. To bound q'(t), we differentiate (2.12) to get

$$q'(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial_t \mathcal{G}(t\varsigma) \Gamma(1 - \alpha(t\varsigma)) + \varsigma \alpha'(t\varsigma) \Gamma'(1 - \alpha(t\varsigma)) \mathcal{G}(t\varsigma)}{[\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t\varsigma))]^2} \frac{(1 - \varsigma)^{\alpha_0 - 1} \varsigma^{-\alpha_0}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \mathrm{d}\varsigma$$

Since $\alpha(t) \in (0,1)$, it remains to bound $|\partial_t \mathcal{G}(t\varsigma)|$ and $|\Gamma'(1 - \alpha(t\varsigma))|$. First, we discuss the estimate of $|\Gamma'(1 - \alpha(t\varsigma))|$. By [2], we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(j)} = j \ e^{\gamma j} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{j}{n}\right) e^{-j/n}, \quad \gamma \approx 0.5772$$

which implies

$$-\ln\Gamma(j) = \ln j + \gamma j + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\ln\left(1 + \frac{j}{n}\right) - \frac{j}{n} \right].$$
(2.19)

Noting that $\ln\left(1+\frac{j}{n}\right)-\frac{j}{n}=O(j^2/n^2)$ as $n\to\infty$, thus we can differentiate (2.19) to obtain that

$$\frac{\Gamma'(j)}{\Gamma(j)} = -\gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+j}\right) - \frac{1}{j} = -\gamma + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{j-1}{(n+1)(n+j)}.$$

If $j \in (0,1)$, we have $|\Gamma'(j)| \leq C$, which implies that $|\Gamma'(1-\alpha(t\varsigma))| \leq C$. If $\alpha'(0) = 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{G}(t\varsigma) = e^{[\alpha(0) - \alpha(t\varsigma)]\ln(tz)} = e^{-\int_0^{t\varsigma} (t\varsigma - s)\alpha''(s)\mathrm{d}s\ln(t\varsigma)},$$

thus we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{G}(t\varsigma) = -\mathcal{G}(t\varsigma)\varsigma \left[\frac{1}{t\varsigma} \int_0^{t\varsigma} (t\varsigma - s)\alpha''(s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^{t\varsigma} \alpha''(s) \mathrm{d}s \ln(t\varsigma) \right]$$

Then we yield $|\partial_t D(t\varsigma)| \leq C$ with $t \in [0,T]$. We finish the proof of the lemma.

3. Time-discrete scheme

In this section, our aim is to establish a temporal semi-discrete scheme for the problem (2.15)-(2.16) using a quadrature rule to approximate the convolution terms and give a theoretical analysis of the semi-discrete scheme. For simplicity, we will drop the spatial variable x in the function, e.g. we denote $\omega(x,t)$ as $\omega(t)$.

3.1. Establishment of time-discrete scheme

Given a positive integer N, we discretize the temporal interval [0, T] into N-subintervals such that the time step size $\tau = T/N$ and $t_n = n\tau$, with $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Next, we consider the transformed equation (2.15) at the point $t = t_n$, for $1 \le n \le N$,

$$\omega(t_n) + (q' * \omega)(t_n) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \beta_{\alpha_0} * \partial_x^2 \omega(t_n) + \lambda \beta_{\alpha_0} * \omega(t_n) = F(t_n).$$
(3.1)

In order to discretize the nonlocal terms in (3.1), we introduce the following piecewise linear interpolating to obtain

$$(q'*\omega)(t_n) = \varphi_n(\omega) + (\Upsilon_1)^n, \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$
(3.2)

$$(\beta_{\alpha_0} * \omega)(t_n) = \widetilde{\varphi}_n(\omega) + (\Upsilon_2)^n, \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$
(3.3)

$$(\beta_{\alpha_0} * \partial_x^2 \omega)(t_n) = \widetilde{\varphi}_n (\partial_x^2 \omega) + (\Upsilon_3)^n, \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$
(3.4)

in which,

$$\varphi_n(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varpi_{n,j}\omega(t_j), \quad \varpi_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{\min(t,t_j)} q'(t-s) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_n(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}\omega(t_j), \quad \widetilde{\varphi}_n(\partial_x^2\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}\partial_x^2\omega(t_j), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{\min(t,t_j)} \beta_{\alpha_0}(t-s) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (3.7)$$

and from [15] it follows that

$$|(\Upsilon_1)^n| \le 2\delta_{n-1} \int_0^\tau |\partial_t \omega(t)| \mathrm{d}t + \tau \sum_{j=2}^n \delta_{n-j} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left|\partial_t^2 \omega(t)\right| \mathrm{d}t,\tag{3.8}$$

$$|(\Upsilon_2)^n| \le 2\widetilde{\delta}_{n-1} \int_0^\tau |\partial_t \omega(t)| \mathrm{d}t + \tau \sum_{j=2}^n \widetilde{\delta}_{n-j} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left|\partial_t^2 \omega(t)\right| \mathrm{d}t,\tag{3.9}$$

$$|(\Upsilon_3)^n| \le 2\widetilde{\delta}_{n-1} \int_0^\tau |\partial_t \partial_x^2 \omega(t)| \mathrm{d}t + \tau \sum_{j=2}^n \widetilde{\delta}_{n-j} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left|\partial_t^2 \partial_x^2 \omega(t)\right| \mathrm{d}t,$$
(3.10)

with the notations

$$\delta_j = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |q'(t)| \mathrm{d}t, \quad \tilde{\delta}_j = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |\beta_{\alpha_0}(t)| \mathrm{d}t.$$
(3.11)

Accordingly, we substitute (3.2)-(3.4) into (3.1) to yield with $\omega^n := \omega(t_n)$ and $F^n := F(t_n)$,

$$\omega^{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{\omega}_{n,j} \omega^{j} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\omega}_{n,j} \omega^{j} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\omega}_{n,j} \partial_{x}^{2} \omega^{j}$$

$$=F^n + R^n, \quad 1 \le n \le N, \tag{3.12}$$

where $R^n = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(\Upsilon_3)^n - (\Upsilon_1)^n - \lambda(\Upsilon_2)^n$. Following this, by omitting the truncation errors R^n and replacing ω^n with its numerical approximation \mathcal{W}^n , we achieve the following time-discrete scheme

$$\mathcal{W}^n + \sum_{j=1}^n \varpi_{n,j} \mathcal{W}^j + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \mathcal{W}^j - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \partial_x^2 \mathcal{W}^j = F^n, \ 1 \le n \le N,$$
(3.13)

$$\mathcal{W}^0 = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

3.2. Analysis of time-discrete scheme

Next, we give the stability and convergence of the time-discrete scheme (3.13)-(3.14) by means of energy argument. First we prove stability results for the time semi-discrete scheme.

Theorem 3.1. Let $u(t_n)$ be the solution of (2.6), and U^n is the numerical approximation of $u(t_n)$. Assume that Lemma 2.1 holds, then

$$\|U^N\|_A \le C(T) \left(\|\bar{c}_t\| + \sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \|F^n\|^2} \right),$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ defined in (3.18).

Proof. We take the inner product of (3.13) with τW^n and sum for n from 1 to N, then

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\mathcal{W}^{n}\|^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \mathcal{W}^{j}, \mathcal{W}^{n} \right) + \lambda \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} (\mathcal{W}^{j}, \mathcal{W}^{n}) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} (\nabla \mathcal{W}^{j}, \nabla \mathcal{W}^{n}) = \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (F^{n}, \mathcal{W}^{n}).$$

$$(3.15)$$

Since the kernel $\beta_{\alpha_0}(t)$ is positive definite with $\mathcal{W}^0 = 0$, we have

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} (\nabla \mathcal{W}^j, \nabla \mathcal{W}^n) \ge 0, \qquad (3.16)$$

and

$$\lambda \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}(\mathcal{W}^{j}, \mathcal{W}^{n}) \ge 0, \qquad (3.17)$$

see [15]. Then, we utilize the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.16), (3.17) and Young's inequality to yield

$$\frac{1}{2}\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\mathcal{W}^{n}\|^{2} \leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \mathcal{W}^{j} \right\|^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|F^{n}\|^{2}$$
$$\leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varpi_{n,j}| \|\mathcal{W}^{j}\| \right)^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|F^{n}\|^{2}$$

By defining a new norm

$$\|\mathcal{W}^{n}\|_{A} := \sqrt{\tau \sum_{l=1}^{n} \|\mathcal{W}^{l}\|^{2}}, \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$
(3.18)

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{W}^{N}\|_{A}^{2} &\leq 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varpi_{n,j}| \left\| \mathcal{W}^{j} \right\| \right)^{2} + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|F^{n}\|^{2} \\ &\leq C\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\mathcal{W}^{n}\|_{A}^{2} + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|F^{n}\|^{2} \,, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $|\varpi_{n,j}| \leq C\tau$, see (3.5) and Lemma 2.1. For the formula above, the discrete Grönwall's lemma gives by taking $\tau \leq \frac{1}{2C}$,

$$\left\| \mathcal{W}^{N} \right\|_{A}^{2} \le C(T) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tau \left\| F^{n} \right\|^{2}, \quad N \ge 1.$$

Let U^n be the numerical approximation of u(t) of the problem (2.6) at the mesh point t_n , respectively. Based on $U^n = \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{\sigma^2}\right)x\right)\left(\mathcal{W}^n + \bar{c}_t^*\right)$, it can be obtained

$$\|U^N\|_A \le C(T) \left(\|\bar{c}_t\| + \sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \|F^n\|^2} \right).$$

The proof is completed.

We now consider the convergence of the time-discrete scheme (3.13)-(3.14). Denote

$$\Theta^n = \omega(t_n) - \mathcal{W}^n, \quad 0 \le n \le N.$$

Then, we subtract (3.13) from (3.12) to yield the following error equation

$$\Theta^n + \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{\omega}_{n,j} \Theta^j + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\overline{\omega}}_{n,j} \Theta^j - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\overline{\omega}}_{n,j} \partial_x^2 \Theta^j = R^n, \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$
(3.19)

with $\Theta^0 = 0$. Subsequently, we establish the following convergence result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $u(t_n)$ be the solution of (2.6) and U^n be the numerical approximation of $u(t_n)$. Then, based on Lemma 2.1, it holds that

$$\max_{1 \le n \le N} \|u(t_n) - U^n\|_A \le C(T)\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_0}.$$

Proof. Analysing similarly to Theorem 3.1, we use (3.19) to derive

$$\begin{split} \left\|\Theta^{N}\right\|_{A} &\leq C(T) \left(\left\|\Theta^{0}\right\| + \sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|R^{n}\|^{2}} \right) \\ &\leq C(T) \left(\sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|(\Upsilon_{1})^{n}\|^{2}} + \sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|(\Upsilon_{2})^{n}\|^{2}} + \sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|(\Upsilon_{3})^{n}\|^{2}} \right) \end{split}$$

We analyse each term on the right-hand side of the equation. By (2.18), Lemma 2.1 and (3.8) arrives at

$$\|(\Upsilon_1)^n\| \le C\tau \int_0^\tau t^{\alpha_0 - 1} \mathrm{d}t + C\tau^2 \int_\tau^{t_n} t^{\alpha_0 - 2} \mathrm{d}t \le C\tau^{\alpha_0 + 1},$$
8

where we employ $\delta_n \leq C\tau$. It concludes that

$$au \sum_{n=1}^{N} \| (\Upsilon_1)^n \|^2 \le C \tau^{2(\alpha_0+1)}$$

Utilizing (3.11), we have $\tilde{\delta}_n = \frac{t_{n+1}^{\alpha_0} - t_n^{\alpha_0}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 + 1)} \leq C\tau^{\alpha_0}$, thus combined with (3.9) yields

$$\|(\Upsilon_2)^n\| \le C\tau^{\alpha_0} \int_0^\tau t^{\alpha_0 - 1} \mathrm{d}t + C\tau^{1 + \alpha_0} \int_\tau^{t_n} t^{\alpha_0 - 2} \mathrm{d}t \le C\tau^{2\alpha_0}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \| (\Upsilon_2)^n \| &\leq C\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t_n^{\alpha_0} - t_{n-1}^{\alpha_0}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 + 1)} \int_0^\tau t^{\alpha_0 - 1} \mathrm{d}t + C\tau^2 \sum_{n=2}^{N} \sum_{j=2}^n \widetilde{\delta}_{n-j} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} t^{\alpha_0 - 2} \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq C\tau^{\alpha_0 + 1} + C\tau^2 \sum_{j=2}^N \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} t^{\alpha_0 - 2} \mathrm{d}t \left(\sum_{l=0}^{N-j} \widetilde{\delta}_l \right) \leq C\tau^{\alpha_0 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the above two formulas deduce

$$au \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|(\Upsilon_2)^n\|^2 \le C \tau^{3\alpha_0 + 1}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$au \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|(\Upsilon_3)^n\|^2 \le C \tau^{3\alpha_0 + 1},$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

4. Fully discrete finite element scheme

In this section, we give a fully discrete finite element scheme based on a time semi-discrete scheme and derive its convergence result.

Define a quasi-uniform partition of $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$ with mesh diameter h and let S_h be the space of continuous and piecewise linear functions on $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$ with respect to the partition. Let I be the identity operator. The Ritz projection $\Pi_h : H_0^1([\bar{a}, \bar{b}]) \to S_h([\bar{a}, \bar{b}])$ defined by $(\nabla(\psi - \Pi_h \psi), \nabla \varrho) = 0$ for any $\varrho \in S_h$ has the approximation property [20]

$$\|(I - \Pi_h)\psi\| \le Ch^2 \|\psi\|_{H^2}, \quad \forall \psi \in H^2([\bar{a}, \bar{b}]) \cap H^1_0([\bar{a}, \bar{b}]).$$
(4.1)

Multiply equation (3.12) by $\Lambda \in H_0^1[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$ on $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$ to obtain the weak formulation for any $\Lambda \in H_0^1([\bar{a}, \bar{b}])$ and n = 1, 2, ..., N

$$(\omega^{n}, \Lambda) + (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \omega^{j}, \Lambda) + \lambda(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \omega^{j}, \Lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \nabla \omega^{j}, \nabla \Lambda)$$
$$= (F^{n}, \Lambda) + (R^{n}, \Lambda), \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$
(4.2)

Drop the local truncation error terms in (4.2) to obtain a fully-discrete finite element scheme: find $\mathcal{W}^n \in S_h$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ such that

$$(\mathcal{W}^{n},\Lambda) + (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \mathcal{W}^{j},\Lambda) + \lambda(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \mathcal{W}^{j},\Lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \nabla \mathcal{W}^{j},\nabla\Lambda)$$
$$= (F^{n},\Lambda), \quad \forall \Lambda \in S_{h}.$$
(4.3)

After solving the numerical solution \mathcal{W}^n in (4.3), we further define the numerical solution U^n of equation (2.6) as follows

$$U^{n} = \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{\sigma^{2}}\right)x\right)\left(\mathcal{W}^{n} + \bar{c}_{t}^{*}\right).$$

4.1. Error estimate of fully discrete scheme

Theorem 4.1. Assume that ω satisfies the regularity assumption in (2.18) and \mathcal{W}^n be the numerical approximation of ω . Then, the following convergence result holds with $T < \infty$

$$\sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\omega^n - \mathcal{W}^n\|^2} \le C(T)(\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_0} + h^2).$$
(4.4)

Proof. Set $\omega^n - \mathcal{W}^n = \xi^n + \eta^n$ with $\eta^n := \omega^n - \prod_h \omega^n$ and $\xi^n := \prod_h \omega^n - \mathcal{W}^n$. Then we subtract (4.3) from (4.2) and select $\Lambda = \xi^n$ to obtain

$$(\xi^{n},\xi^{n}) + (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j}\xi^{j},\xi^{n}) + \lambda(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}\xi^{j},\xi^{n}) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}\nabla\xi^{j},\nabla\xi^{n})$$
$$= (R^{n},\xi^{n}) - (\eta^{n},\xi^{n}) - (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j}\eta^{j},\xi^{n}) - \lambda(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}\eta^{j},\xi^{n}).$$
(4.5)

We multiply the equation by τ and sum n from 1 to N to get

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\xi^{n}, \xi^{n}) + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \xi^{j}, \xi^{n}) + \lambda \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \xi^{j}, \xi^{n}) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \nabla \xi^{j}, \nabla \xi^{n}) = \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (R^{n}, \xi^{n}) - \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\eta^{n}, \xi^{n}) - \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \eta^{j}, \xi^{n}) - \lambda \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \eta^{j}, \xi^{n}).$$

$$(4.6)$$

Since the kernel $\beta_{\alpha_0}(t)$ is positive definite with $\xi^0 = 0$, we have

$$\lambda \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \xi^{j}, \xi^{n} \right) \ge 0, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \nabla \xi^j, \nabla \xi^n) \ge 0,$$
(4.8)

see [15]. Further, we utilize the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (4.7), (4.8) and $|ab| \leq \frac{1}{8}a^2 + 2b^2$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\xi^{n}\|^{2} &\leq 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \xi^{j} \right\|^{2} + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|R^{n}\|^{2} + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\eta^{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varpi_{n,j} \eta^{j} \right\|^{2} + 2\tau\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j} \eta^{j} \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varpi_{n,j}| \|\xi^{j}\| \right)^{2} + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|R^{n}\|^{2} + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\eta^{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varpi_{n,j}| \|\eta^{j}\| \right)^{2} + 2\tau\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}| \|\eta^{j}\| \right)^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By defining a new norm

 $\frac{3}{8}$

$$\|\xi^n\|_B := \sqrt{\tau \sum_{l=1}^n \|\xi^l\|^2}, \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$
(4.9)

then we have

$$\begin{split} \|\xi^{N}\|_{B}^{2} \leq C\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\xi^{n}\|_{B}^{2} + \frac{16}{3}\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|R^{n}\|^{2} + \frac{16}{3}\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\eta^{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{16}{3}\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varpi_{n,j}| \|\eta^{j}\|\right)^{2} + \frac{16}{3}\tau \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}| \|\eta^{j}\|\right)^{2}. \end{split}$$

We utilize $|\varpi_{n,j}| \leq C\tau$, $|\widetilde{\varpi}_{n,j}| \leq C\tau$ and $||\eta^n|| \leq Ch^2$ to obtain

$$\|\xi^N\|_B^2 \le C\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \|\xi^n\|_B^2 + \frac{16}{3}\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \|R^n\|^2 + C\tau \sum_{n=1}^N \|\eta^n\|^2 + C\tau \sum_{n=1}^N h^4.$$

For the formula above, the discrete Grönwall's lemma gives by taking $\tau \leq \frac{1}{2C}$,

$$\|\xi^N\|_B^2 \le C(T)\tau \sum_{n=1}^N (\|\eta^n\|^2 + \|R^n\|^2 + h^4)$$
$$\le (C(T))^2 (\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_0} + h^2)^2.$$

We combine this with $\|\omega^N - \mathcal{W}^N\| \leq Ch^2$ to get

$$\|\omega^N - \mathcal{W}^N\|_B^2 \le C(T)^2 (\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_0} + h^2)^2.$$

The proof is completed by using (4.9).

5. Numerical experiments

In this section, we provide some numerical examples to verify our theoretical results. We define the following $L^2(0,T;L^2)$ error to measure the accuracy of the numerical solution

$$Error_{\tau}(N,M) = \sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|U^{2n} - U^n\|_{L^2}^2}, \quad \|U^n\|_{L^2} = \sqrt{h \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} (U_j^n)^2},$$

	$\alpha_0 = 0.$	1		$\alpha_0 = 0.$	4
N	$Error_{\tau}(N, M)$	$Order_{\tau}$	Ν	$Error_{\tau}(N, M)$	$Order_{\tau}$
16	1.8337×10^{-3}	*	16	2.0227×10^{-3}	*
32	1.1920×10^{-3}	0.62	32	9.1176×10^{-4}	1.15
64	7.7044×10^{-4}	0.63	64	4.0515×10^{-4}	1.17
128	4.9478×10^{-4}	0.64	128	1.7783×10^{-4}	1.19
Theory		0.65			1.10
	$\alpha_0 = 0.$	7		$\alpha_0 = 0.$	9
N	$Error_{\tau}(N, M)$	$Order_{\tau}$	Ν	$Error_{\tau}(N, M)$	$Order_{\tau}$
16	4.7357×10^{-4}	*	16	1.3701×10^{-4}	*
32	1.5230×10^{-4}	1.64	32	3.6889×10^{-5}	1.89
	4.8774×10^{-5}	1.64	64	9.8434×10^{-6}	1.91
64	101117.10				
$\frac{64}{128}$	1.5554×10^{-5}	1.65	128	2.5712×10^{-6}	1.94

Table 5.1: $L^2(0,T;L^2)$ -norm errors and temporal convergence orders when M = 32.

$$Error_h(N, M) = \sqrt{\tau \sum_{n=1}^N h \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} |U_{2j}^n - U_j^n|^2},$$

and we obtain the temporal and spatial convergence rates by

$$Order_{\tau} = \log_2\left(\frac{Error_{\tau}(N,M)}{Error_{\tau}(2N,M)}\right), \quad Order_h = \log_2\left(\frac{Error_h(N,M)}{Error_h(N,2M)}\right)$$

Example 1. This example considers the case when the exact solution is unknown with $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = (0, 1), T = 1, \sigma = 0.45, r = 0.03$. Set the initial data $u_0(x) = \sin(\pi x)$, the forcing term $f = (\frac{r}{\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2})\pi \cos(\pi x)$, and $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 - \frac{1}{11}t \ (\alpha'(0) \neq 0), \text{ where } \alpha_0 \in [0.1, 1).$

First, with different α_0 , the $L^2(0,T;L^2)$ errors and temporal convergence orders are shown in Table 5.1 when M = 32. The numerical results in Table 5.1 demonstrate that our method achieves $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_0$ order convergence in the time direction as N increases, which is consistent with the theoretical analysis. In Table 5.2, we explore the $L^2(0,T;L^2)$ error and the order of spatial convergence of the finite element scheme when N = 32 for different α_0 . As M increases, the second-order convergence rate in space is observed from Table 5.2.

Example 2. This example considers the case when the exact solution is unknown with $(\bar{a}, b) = (0, 1)$ and T = 1. Let the initial data $u_0(x) = \sin(\pi x)$, the forcing term f = 0, $\sigma = 0.5$, r = 0.25 and $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 - \frac{1}{11}t^2$ ($\alpha'(0) = 0$), where $\alpha_0 \in [0.1, 1)$.

Here we consider the second example, in which case $\alpha'(0) = 0$. Table 5.3 reflects the rate of convergence $\approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_0$ for the finite element scheme at $\alpha_0 = 0.1, 0.4, 0.7, 0.9$, with the order of convergence reaching second order as α_0 tends to 1. Table 5.4 lists the $L^2(0, T; L^2)$ errors and the order of spatial convergence for M = 32. The numerical results show that the finite element method achieves stable second order spatial convergence for all tested values of α_0 , which is consistent with analytical predictions.

6. Conclusions

In this work, we investigate the subdiffusive Black-Scholes model of variable exponent, which is widely applied in situations where the historical information significantly influences the current pricing. A series of

	$\alpha_0 = 0.1$		_	$\alpha_0 = 0.4$	4
M	$Error_h(N, M)$	$Order_h$	M	$Error_h(N, M)$	$Order_h$
32	1.0586×10^{-4}	*	32	9.2023×10^{-5}	*
64	2.6466×10^{-5}	2.00	64	2.3005×10^{-5}	2.00
128	6.6166×10^{-6}	2.00	128	5.7513×10^{-6}	2.00
256	1.6542×10^{-6}	2.00	256	1.4378×10^{-6}	2.00
Theory		2.00			2.00
	$\alpha_0 = 0.$	7	_	$\alpha_0 = 0.9$	9
М	$\alpha_0 = 0.$ $Error_h(N, M)$	7 $Order_h$	 M	$\alpha_0 = 0.9$ $Error_h(N, M)$	9 $Order_h$
M 32			 		
	$Error_h(N, M)$	$Order_h$		$Error_h(N, M)$	$Order_h$
32	$Error_h(N, M)$ 7.7674 × 10 ⁻⁵	$Order_h$	32	$Error_h(N, M)$ 6.5094 × 10 ⁻⁵	$Order_h$ *
32 64	$Error_h(N, M)$ 7.7674 × 10 ⁻⁵ 1.9417 × 10 ⁻⁵	$Order_h$ * 2.00	32 64	$Error_h(N, M)$ 6.5094 × 10 ⁻⁵ 1.6271 × 10 ⁻⁵	$Order_h \\ * \\ 2.00$

Table 5.2: $L^2(0,T;L^2)$ -norm errors and spatial convergence orders when N = 32.

Table 5.3: $L^2(0,T;L^2)$ -norm errors and temporal convergence orders when M = 32.

	$\alpha_0 = 0.1$		_	$\alpha_0 = 0.4$	
N	E(N, M)	$Rate^t$	N	E(N, M)	$Rate^t$
32	2.1244×10^{-3}	*	32	1.9582×10^{-4}	*
64	1.3822×10^{-3}	0.62	64	1.0376×10^{-4}	0.92
128	8.9649×10^{-4}	0.62	128	5.2783×10^{-5}	0.98
256	5.7974×10^{-4}	0.63	256	2.5898×10^{-5}	1.03
Theory		0.65			1.10
	$\alpha_0 = 0.7$,	_	$\alpha_0 = 0.9$	
Ν	$\frac{\alpha_0 = 0.7}{E(N, M)}$	$Rate^t$	N	$\alpha_0 = 0.9$ $E(N, M)$	$Rate^t$
<u>N</u> 32			N 32		
	E(N,M)	$Rate^t$		E(N,M)	$Rate^t$
32	E(N, M) 3.6093 × 10 ⁻⁴	$Rate^t$	32	E(N, M) 1.0412 × 10 ⁻⁴	$Rate^t$
32 64	E(N, M) 3.6093 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.2192 × 10 ⁻⁴	<i>Rate^t</i> * 1.57	32 64	E(N, M) 1.0412 × 10 ⁻⁴ 2.9759 × 10 ⁻⁵	Rate ^t * 1.81

	$\alpha_0 = 0.1$			$\alpha_0 = 0.4$	L
M	F(N, M)	$Rate^h$	M	F(N, M)	$Rate^h$
32	2.4148×10^{-4}	*	32	2.3292×10^{-4}	*
64	6.1457×10^{-5}	1.97	64	5.9371×10^{-5}	1.97
128	1.5505×10^{-5}	1.99	128	1.4990×10^{-5}	1.99
256	3.8940×10^{-6}	1.99	256	3.7664×10^{-6}	1.99
Theory		2.00			2.00
	$ \alpha_0 = 0.7 $	7		$ \alpha_0 = 0.9 $)
	$\alpha_0 = 0.1$			$\alpha_0 = 0.3$,
M	F(N,M)	$Rate^h$	M	F(N,M)	Rate ^h
M 32			- M 32		
	F(N,M)	$Rate^h$		F(N,M)	$Rate^h$
32	F(N, M) 2.2778 × 10 ⁻⁴	$Rate^h$	32	F(N, M) 2.2503 × 10 ⁻⁴	$Rate^h$ *
32 64	F(N, M) 2.2778 × 10 ⁻⁴ 5.8120 × 10 ⁻⁵	Rate ^h * 1.97	32 64	F(N, M) 2.2503 × 10 ⁻⁴ 5.7465 × 10 ⁻⁵	Rate ^h * 1.97

Table 5.4: $L^2(0,T;L^2)$ -norm errors and spatial convergence orders when N = 32.

transformations are applied to convert the original model into a feasible formulation such that the numerical methods could be designed and analyzed. Numerical experiments are conducted to confirm the theoretical results. The developed model transformation could also be employed in other option pricing models that will be investigated in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the National Social Science Foundation of China under Grant 24BTJ006.

References

- [1] R. Adams and J. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Elsevier, San Diego, 2003.
- [2] R. Askey, R. Roy, Gamma function, NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 135–147, (Chapter 5).
- [3] F. Black and M. Scholes, The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. J. Political Economy, 81 (1973), pp. 637-654.
- [4] M. Capiński and E. Kopp, *The Black-Scholes model*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2012.
- [5] P. Carr and L. Wu, The finite moment log stable process and option pricing. J. Finance, 2 (2003), pp. 597-626.
- [6] W. Chen, X. Xu, S. Zhu, A predictor-corrector approach for pricing American options under the finite moment log-stable model. Appl. Numer. Math., 97 (2015), pp. 15–29.
- [7] R. De Staelen and A. Hendy, Numerically pricing double barrier options in a time-fractional Black-Scholes model. Comput. Math. Appl., 74 (2017), pp. 1166–1175.
- [8] J. Duan, L. Lu, L. Chen, Y. An, Fractional model and solution for the Black-Scholes equation. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 41 (2018), pp. 697–704.
- [9] A. Golbabai, O. Nikan, T. Nikazad, Numerical analysis of time fractional Black-Scholes European option pricing model arising in financial market. Comp. Appl. Math., 38 (2019), p. 173.
- [10] B. Jin, Fractional differential equations-an approach via fractional derivatives, Appl. Math. Sci. 206, Springer, Cham. 2021.
- [11] G. Jumarie, Derivation and solutions of some fractional Black-Scholes equations in coarse-grained space and time. application to merton's optimal portfolio. Comput. Math. Appl., 59 (2010), pp. 1142–1164.
- [12] G. Krzyżanowski and M. Magdziarz, A tempered subdiffusive Black–Scholes model. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 27 (2024), pp. 1800–1834.

- [13] J. Korbel and Y. Luchko, Modeling of financial processes with a space-time fractional diffusion equation of varying order. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 19 (2016), pp. 1414–1433.
- [14] C. Lorenzo and T. Hartley, Variable order and distributed order fractional operators. Nonlinear dynamics, 29 (2002), pp. 57–98.
- [15] W. McLean and V. Thomée, Numerical solution of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 35 (1993), pp. 23–70.
- [16] O. Nikan, Z. Avazzadeh, J. Machado, Numerical study of the nonlinear anomalous reaction-subdiffusion process arising in the electroanalytical chemistry. J. Comput. Sci., 53 (2021), p. 101394.
- [17] M. Shirzadi, M. Dehghan, A. Bastani, On the pricing of multi-asset options under jump-diffusion processes using meshfree moving least-squares approximation. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 84 (2020), p. 105160.
- [18] T. Škovránek, I. Podlubny, I. Petráš, Modeling of the national economies in state-space: A fractional calculus approach. Econ. Model, 29 (2012) pp. 1322–1327.
- [19] F. Soleymani and S. Zhu, Error and stability estimates of a time-fractional option pricing model under fully spatialtemporal graded meshes, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 425 (2023), p. 115075.
- [20] V. Thomee, Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems, Springer, 2007.
- [21] Y. Wang, A compact finite difference method for solving a class of time fractional convection-subdiffusion equations, BIT, 55 (2015), pp. 1187–1217.
- [22] W. Wyss, The fractional Black-Scholes equation. Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal., 3 (2017), pp. 51-62.
- [23] H. Zhang, F. Liu, I. Turner, Q. Yang, Numerical solution of the time fractional Black-Scholes model governing European options. Comput. Math. Appl., 71 (2016), pp. 1772–1783.
- [24] M. Zhang and X. Zheng, Numerical approximation to a variable-order time-fractional Black-Scholes model with applications in option pricing. Comput. Econ., 62 (2023) pp. 1155–1175.
- [25] X. Zheng, Two methods addressing variable-exponent fractional initial and boundary value problems and Abel integral equation, arXiv:2404.09421v2.
- [26] X. Zheng, H. Wang, W. Qiu, Numerical analysis for high-order methods for variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation, arXiv:2406.02941v3.