

PIORS: Personalized Intelligent Outpatient Reception based on Large Language Model with Multi-Agents Medical Scenario Simulation

Zhijie Bao^{1, 2†}, Qingyun Liu^{1†}, Ying Guo^{3, 4}, Zhengqiang Ye⁵, Jun Shen^{3, 6}, Shirong Xie⁵,
Jiajie Peng^{7*}, Xuanjing Huang⁸, Zhongyu Wei^{1, 2, 9*}

¹School of Data Science, Fudan University, China,

²MOE Laboratory for National Development and Intelligent Governance, Fudan University, China,

³Shanghai Baoshan District Wusong Central Hospital, China, ⁴Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, China,
⁵Eye & ENT Hospital of Fudan University, China, ⁶Zhongshan Hospital Wusong Branch, Fudan University, China,

⁷School of Computer Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University, China,

⁸School of Computer Science, Fudan University, China,

⁹Research Institute of Automatic and Complex Systems, Fudan University, China

{zjbao24, qyliu21}@m.fudan.edu.cn, jiajiepeng@nwpu.edu.cn

{xjhuang, zywei}@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

In China, receptionist nurses face overwhelming workloads in outpatient settings, limiting their time and attention for each patient and ultimately reducing service quality. In this paper, we present the **Personalized Intelligent Outpatient Reception System (PIORS)**. This system integrates an LLM-based reception nurse and a collaboration between LLM and hospital information system (HIS) into real outpatient reception setting, aiming to deliver personalized, high-quality, and efficient reception services. Additionally, to enhance the performance of LLMs in real-world healthcare scenarios, we propose a medical conversational data generation framework named **Service Flow aware Medical Scenario Simulation (SFMS)**, aiming to adapt the LLM to the real-world environments and PIORS settings. We evaluate the effectiveness of PIORS and SFMS through automatic and human assessments involving 15 users and 15 clinical experts. The results demonstrate that PIORS-Nurse outperforms all baselines, including the current state-of-the-art model GPT-4o, and aligns with human preferences and clinical needs. Further details and demo can be found at <https://github.com/FudanDISC/PIORS>

1 Introduction

Outpatient reception play a crucial role in daily hospital workflow. Receptionist nurses are responsible for guiding patients to the appropriate departments and addressing their questions and concerns regarding general administration and primary care (Litchfield et al., 2017). An effective and empathetic

supportive conversation will ease patients' anxiety and discomfort, improve their medical adherence, facilitate subsequent diagnosis and is the cornerstone of the overall positive experience (Kwame and Petrucka, 2021; Sharkiya, 2023).

In 2023, China recorded an astounding 9.3 billion outpatient visits, representing a 13% increase from the previous year (of the PRC, 2024). This surge highlights the immense burden on the healthcare system and ongoing challenges in the efficiency of hospital administration. Previous study indicate that receptionist nurses are required to address nearly one case per minute, communicating an average of 2,149.2 words per hour (Wan et al., 2024). This overwhelming workload restricts the time and attention available for each patient, leading to a limited quality of services provided. Moreover, patients often spend meaningless time in the waiting during the outpatient process, which could be utilized more productively to improve overall efficiency.


Given the remarkable capabilities and cost-effectiveness of large language models (LLMs), integrating them into the outpatient reception workflow presents a promising solution for these issues (Li et al., 2024a; Wan et al., 2024).

In this paper, we develop **Personalized Intelligent Outpatient Reception System (PIORS)**, which integrates an LLM-based reception nurse into real outpatient reception setting to deliver personalized, high-quality, and efficient reception services. The system is detailed in Figure 1, which include four participants, a patient, a reception nurse, the clinical doctor and a information assistant, and is integrated with the hospital information system (HIS). The LLM nurse can access and maintain patients' information with assistance from a

[†]The two authors contribute equal to this work.

^{*}Corresponding authors.

● Service Flow aware Medical Scenario Simulation



● Personalized Intelligent Outpatient Reception System




Figure 1: The overall framework of Personalized Intelligent Outpatient Reception System (PIORS). The upper section shows the framework of SFMS, which supports the development of PIORS-Nurse. Bottom part is the details of PIORS, which involves four participants: a patient, a reception nurse (referred to as PIORS-Nurse), the clinical doctor and information assistant (referred to as HospInfo-Assistant). PIORS is integrated with the Hospital Information System (HIS), and the system’s pipeline is depicted in this diagram.

HospInfo-assistant, enabling responses that are relevant to patients’ conditions and concerns. During the reception conversation, the LLM nurse gathers patients’ medical information for improved department guiding. Following the reception process, details such as symptoms and medical history are updated in the patient archives and made available to clinical doctors, enhancing the efficiency of outpatient processes and reducing the workload of physicians. Furthermore, the LLM nurse is promising to integrate additional functions, such as report interpretation and examination planning, to offer more comprehensive and enriched outpatient reception services.

Additionally, to adapt the LLM to the real-world environments and PIORS settings, we propose a

simulated-based framework named **Service Flow aware Medical Scenario Simulation (SFMS)** for medical conversational data generation. This approach addresses the disconnection between traditional knowledge-centric LLM training methods and the complex, dynamic contexts encountered in practical settings (Singhal et al., 2023; Kung et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024b; Mehandru et al., 2024). By using real-world data, simulating diverse patient interactions and incorporating service flow control, SFMS supports the development of PIORS in a manner that more closely aligns with real-world scenarios.

Based on 2,400 Chinese hospital outpatient records, we develop the PIORS-Nurse via SFMS. We conduct both automatic and human evaluations,

involving 15 users and 15 clinical experts, to assess the effectiveness of our approach in outpatient reception. Results from the automatic evaluation demonstrate that our method outperforms all baselines, including the current state-of-the-art model GPT-4o, in terms of accuracy in department guiding and information-gathering capability. In the user evaluation, our method achieves a win or tie ratio of over 81% compared to the best baseline, suggesting a better experience in real scenarios. Expert evaluation reveals that the PIORS-Nurse performs significantly better in inquiry capabilities and concise responses. Overall, By streamlining outpatient reception processes and improving communication with PIORS, our study contribute to a more efficient and patient-centered healthcare experience. Further details and demo can be found at <https://github.com/FudanDISC/PIORS>

2 Personalized Intelligent Outpatient Reception System (PIORS)

The service processes of PIORS involves four participants, a *patient*, a *nurse*, a *information assistant* and the *clinical doctor*, and is integrated with the *hospital information system (HIS)*. Among these, nurse and information assistant are two LLM agents, specific to PIORS-Nurse (the development details is provided in §4.2) and HospInfo-Assistant respectively.

During the reception, PIORS-Nurse interacts with the patient to gather essential medical information and address their questions and concerns regarding administration and primary care. The medical information is used to guide them to the appropriate department or enhance the consultation of primary care. This interaction is enhanced by the HospInfo-Assistant, which make hospital information and patient archives available to PIORS-Nurse, enabling the provision of personalized and high-quality service. Following the reception process, details such as symptoms and medical history are updated in the patient archives and made available to clinical doctors, enhancing the efficiency of outpatient processes and reducing the workload of physicians.

2.1 Workflow

Patient Intake The outpatient visit begins when a patient enters the hospital, where PIORS-Nurse receives the patient, conducts a pre-consultation to gather information about the chief complaint

and medical history, and provides appropriate department recommendation. Notably, for follow-up visits, PIORS-Nurse will raise retrieval requests for the corresponding initial consultation record and provides personalized inquiries based on this information. HospInfo-Assistant executes the retrieval query, obtains results from HIS, and forwards them to PIORS-Nurse.

Record Documentation and Handoff At the conclusion of the conversation, PIORS-Nurse will summarize the dialogue to extract pre-diagnosis information, hand this information to subsequent clinical doctors, and send a record creation request to the HospInfo-Assistant. The assistant will then create a medical record in HIS, documenting the patient’s basic information (name, gender, ID, and age), chief complaint, department assignment, and relevant medical history, including present illness history, past medical history, and drug allergy history.

2.2 Duties of Nurse in PIORS

Reception nurses manage the patient intake process, responsible for guiding patients, addressing their basic inquiries and documenting their information. Based on the demand in outpatient reception scenario, we summarize the nurses’ core responsibilities below.

Offer department guidance. Ask patients for reasons coming to hospital and provide appropriate department recommendation based on their complaints. When the patient’s description is too vague and precise department guidance is challenging, additional inquiry should be conducted.

Gather pre-diagnosis information. Further collect the detailed symptoms and medical history after the department recommendation is made. Mainly focus on chief complaint, history of present illness and past medical history. For follow-up visits, nurses should inquire about changes in symptoms, adherence to prescribed treatment, and other relevant aspects of the patient’s progress.

Administrative and primary care consultation. Respond to patient queries about services, procedures and policies of hospital, provide clear and concise answers. Explain medical-related questions that patients may not understand, and address basic medical inquiries without offering specific medical advice.

Personalized service and Empathetic support. Greet patients and make them feel welcomed and

comfortable. Ease patients when they feel anxiety or panic. Offer personalized service based on the patient’s conditions and concerns.

2.3 Composition of Nurse Agent

We design a nurse agent composed of three key components: an interaction module for communication with patients, a query generation module for information retrieval, and a summarization module that extracts pre-diagnosis information from the dialogue.

Interaction Module The core component of the nurse agent, interacting directly with patients, address their questions and concerns regarding administration and primary care and guiding them to appropriate departments. It generates response based on the dialogue history, and will refer to retrieved information if given.

Query Generation Module This module first determines whether external information, such as hospital administrative information or patient archives, from HIS is needed and then generates a relevant query if required.

Summarization Module Iteratively extract symptoms and medical history from each turn of the dialogue, beginning with the first turn. For each subsequent turn, incorporate previously extracted information along with the new dialogue round to refine and expand the extracted information. Then summarize these information as medical record creation instruction and a pre-diagnosis report for subsequent clinical doctors.

2.4 HospInfo-Assistant

HospInfo-Assistant receives natural language instructions, extracts structured parameters, and calls APIs to interact with HIS. We prompt GPT-4-turbo to finish the process.

Patient Archives Management The assistant manages patient archives through creating, selecting, updating, and deleting medical records. The assistant can identify the required operation and parameters from natural language input, then invoke the appropriate API to execute the operation. Personal information can be accessed by querying the medical records associated with the patient ID and is well-maintained.

Administrative Information Retrieval When a administrative query is received, such as questions about the department locations or real-time physician schedules, HospInfo-Assistant will extracts

key words and perform information retrieval in HIS.

2.5 Promising Extensions in PIORS

The system has the potential to expand to cover services during outpatient visits and post-visit care. The nurse agent in PIORS can be readily extended with additional functional modules, promising broad applicability across various tasks, including report explanation, outpatient flow management, and post-consultation follow-up. Further extend the accessibility of HospInfo-Assistant, automated payment, examination planning and booking will become possible.

3 Service Flow aware Medical Scenario Simulation (SFMSS)

Based on the needs of real-world medical scenarios, we propose a simulation-based data generation framework, named Service Flow aware Medical Scenario Simulation (SFMSS), to construct a high-quality dataset that captures the expected behavior of the reception nurse (§2.2) and realistic patient interactions.

The SFMSS targets on the medical scenario of outpatient reception and comprises three main LLM agents: a reception nurse simulator (referred to as ‘nurse’) and a patient simulator (referred to as ‘patient’) for conducting outpatient reception conversation, as well as a supervisor agent to refine the behavior of nurse. Simulators are built on the real-world data seed as input and employ the the role-playing capabilities of LLMs for dialogue generation. Given the modeling of actions and service flow, SFMSS ensures the conversations progress in alignment with medical objectives like department guiding in our scenario, facilitating goal-oriented decision-making. Appendix B contains all the prompts of the SFMSS.

3.1 Overall workflow

Scenario Preparing: SFMSS utilize LLMs to generate scenario settings and patient profiles for agent initialization based on outpatient records, personality traits, and demographic characteristics. Detailed medical conditions and visiting department are provided to agents as references for information gathering and decision-making.

Conversation Simulation: The conversation starts by a *patient* expresses the initial demand for healthcare services. Then followed by a




Figure 2: The details of Service Flow aware Medical Scenario Simulation (SFMSS). Left shows the data source guided the simulation, where the seed data is sampled from. Right part is the workflow of SFMSS, consisting of three main component: a patient simulator, a reception nurse simulator and a supervisor agent, with their internal response pipeline illustrated.

multiple-turn dialogue between the *nurse* and the *patient*. In each turn, simulators choose an action and generate responses based on the action description and the context. At the end of each turn, the supervisor agent provides a feedback to the nurse. The conversation terminates when the patient selects the action that signals an end.

3.2 Reception nurse simulator

Under outpatient reception scenario, the nurse conducts pre-consultation with patients, guiding them to correct department. To control the overall service flow in our scenario, we design a three-step pipeline and pre-define an action space, enabling the nurse to be aware of and follow the service flow.

Action space definition Based on the primary tasks of the reception nurse outlining in §2.2, we carefully define the seven actions of the nurse simulator with guidance from clinical experts: (1) Symptom inquiry, (2) Medical history inquiry, (3) Department recommendation, (4) Priority assistance, (5) Medical question answering, (6) Administrative question answering, (7) Conclusion and confirmation. Further descriptions of the actions, depending on the type of visit, are provided in Appendix C.

Pipeline In each round conversation, given the dialogue history, the response from the nurse simulator is generated through the following three-step process. (1) Action Decision. An action selector identifies the most suitable action from the pre-defined action space and retrieves corresponding description. (2) Reflection with Feedback. The agent receives feedback from the supervisor and make modification to the chosen action if needed. (3) Response Generation. The simulator generates the final response for this turn based on the dialogue history, medical conditions from outpatient case, specific of selected action and suggestions provided by supervisor.

3.3 Patient simulator

We incorporate personality simulation into the patient simulator, and generate diverse patients with distinct communication styles and complex behavioral logic. This diversity contributes to better alignment with real-world scenarios.

Personality simulation We derive the Big Five personality traits and demographic characteristics from real-world to simulate characters of the patient. This include gender, age, incoming level,

education level and openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, neuroticism. Patient profile is generated through the following two-step process. (1) Sampling. We first sample all 9 attributes from real data. For personality, We provide a range of 3 to 8 adjectives for each Big Five trait (Appendix D) identified as high or low (high/low/moderate for each traits). We then select two adjectives for each trait not identified as moderate, to represent their characteristics. For demographic characteristics, we maintain the original form of data. (2) Patient Profile Generating. We prompt GPT-4o to generate a patient profile that covers the patient’s information, personality traits and behavioral preferences with natural language. Profile will affect communication styles, action decision and emotional expression of the patient during interactions.

Action space definition We pre-define five types of actions for a patient: (1) Expressing Needs, (2) Information Feedback, (3) Mention other topic, (4) Inquiry, (5) Ending the Conversation. Further descriptions of the actions are provided in Appendix C.

Pipeline The implementation of patient simulator starting with the profile generation. In each turn of a conversation, a response is generated following two steps. (1) Action Decision. An action selector identifies the most suitable action from the pre-defined action space and retrieves the description of this selected action. Profile of patient will affect the decision of action. (2) Response Generation. The simulator generates the final response for this turn based on the dialogue history, medical conditions from outpatient case, patient profile and specific of selected action.

3.4 Supervisor agent

The supervisor agent is designed to oversee the overall quality of dialogue and the completeness of information gathering. After each patient response, it provides two suggestions to the nurse agent. The supervisor agent comprises two sub-agents.

Dialogue quality. The dialogue quality supervisor mainly focus on monitoring patient emotions and the effectiveness of the dialogue. When the latest input from the patient indicates clear dissatisfaction or when there are multiple rounds of repetitive/ineffective dialogue, appropriate suggestions are given to the nurse agent.

Information gathering. The information gathering supervisor consists of three components: a memory bank, an information extractor and a suggestion generator. Whenever the nurse and a patient complete a round of dialogue, the information extractor extract new patient information given in the new round and add them to the memory bank. Then, the suggestion generator will compare the known memory bank and the true patient profile, and determine if the information collected is complete. If it is not completed, it will provide recommendations and corresponding action for further information collection.

4 PIORS-Nurse Development

4.1 Source Dataset

Real-world Outpatient Records. We collect the year 2023-2024 outpatient record data from a public hospital, which consists of over 750k examples covering 174 departments. Each record primarily consists of the following fields: chief complaint, present illness history, past history, department and preliminary diagnosis. All field names and their detailed descriptions are in the Appendix E.1. The real-world data is noisy and often of low quality, so we filter the records and retained a smaller high-quality dataset containing 25k examples from 36 departments. Then we extract 2,400 records as the **training set** and 500 records as the **test set** from the filter dataset through stratified sampling by department. The training set is used to construct the Supervised Fine-Tuning (SFT) dataset, while the test set is used to assess the performance of different models when serving as nurses.

Distribution of Real Patients The demographic distribution of patients is from Analysis Report of National Health Services Survey in China, 2018 (NHC, 2018). For the BigFive personality traits, we utilize the dataset collected over 1M online questionnaire answers to 50 personality items¹ to represent the real distribution. To transform the data from questionnaire answers to high, low or moderate levels of each trait, we sum up all scores (positive score for high description and negative score for low description) and classify the questionnaire based on the distance between the sum and the median of the whole dataset.

¹<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/tunguz/big-five-personality-test>

4.2 SFMSS-CD

We divide the training set into two parts: 2,000 records for first visit simulation and 400 records for follow-up visit simulation.

We utilize SFMSS, based on GPT-4o, to construct a simulated conversation dataset for outpatient reception, named **SFMSS-CD**. To accommodate different types of visits, we define two distinct action space descriptions for the nurse and patient simulators, each designed to reflect their respective behaviors in first and follow-up visit scenarios. The detailed descriptions of each action are provided in Appendix C. SFMSS-CD is composed of two parts: 2,000 first-visit conversations generated through SFMSS with the first visit action space definition, and 400 follow-up conversations generated using SFMSS with the corresponding follow-up action space definition.

Together, SFMSS-CD is a high-fidelity simulated conversation dataset covering 36 departments and various contexts, comprising a total of 2,400 samples.

4.3 Models used in PIORS-Nurse

PIORS-Nurse consists of three components, interaction module, query generation module and summarization module. For the last two modules, we leverage the capabilities of general-purpose LLMs: the query generation module utilizes GPT-4o-mini, while the summarization module employs GPT-4o. For interaction module, the core part, we develop a model specifically adapted for real-world outpatient reception scenarios, capable of fulfilling the specified responsibilities of reception nurse.

4.4 Details of Implementation

Interaction module is fine-tuned on top of Qwen2-7B-Instruct (Yang et al., 2024). Qwen2-7B-Instruct is an open-source LLM with 7 billion parameters, trained and further instruction-tuned on a large-scale dataset that encompasses up to 7 trillion tokens. It exhibits ideal performance, especially in Chinese.

The SFT dataset is constructed by integrating 1,000 general-domain conversation and instruction samples with SFMSS-CD, comprising 3,400 samples in total. The general-domain data consists of 500 samples from sharegpt_gpt4², and

²https://huggingface.co/datasets/shibing624/sharegpt_gpt4

500 samples from CQIA-Subset³.

For training, we complete the full-parameter SFT stage on 8*A100 GPUs, with the hyperparameters setting as follows: global batch size of 32, learning rate of 1e-5 with cosine scheduler, 3 epochs, maximum sequence length of 4096, warm up steps of 20 and with 0.05 weight decay.

5 Automatic Evaluation

To evaluate the core component of our system, PIORS-Nurse, we introduce an automatic dynamic evaluation pipeline to assess the performance of different models playing the role as reception nurse, using the test set as simulated patient profiles.

5.1 Evaluation Pipeline

The whole pipeline includes two parts: dialogue simulation and quality evaluation. First, prompt the selected model to play the role of nurse, then interact with the patient simulator defined in SFMSS under the outpatient reception scenario and derive the simulated dialogue. One conversation ends when patient simulator picks the ending action or the dialogue exceeds 10 rounds. Second, assess the model’s performance by evaluating the quality of simulated dialogues.

5.2 Evaluation Metrics

Based on the core responsibilities of nurses and the needs in outpatient reception scenarios, we design the following four dimensions to assess the performance of different models.

Accuracy: We evaluate the accuracy by comparing the department recommended by the model with the ground truth label given by human doctors.

Efficiency: The efficiency can be measured by length of the patient-nurse dialogue. This includes two metrics: **Average Turn Number** and **Average Turn Length**.

Information Gathering Ability: Collecting symptoms and medical history in advance can facilitate subsequent doctor diagnoses and improve the accuracy of triage. From this perspective, we introduce **Info Score** for information gathering, and prompt GPT-4o as evaluator to provide a 5-point score, given the true patient profile for reference.

Overall Performance: To assess the overall performance of a nurse model, we prompted the GPT-4o as evaluator to provide a 5-point **Overall Score**

³<https://huggingface.co/datasets/m-a-p/COIG-CQIA>

focusing on whether the core responsibilities are fulfilled and the quality of task completion.

5.3 Baselines

We first directly prompt current general LLMs GPT-4o, Qwen2-7B-Instruct and Meta-Llama-3-8b-instruct to play the role of reception nurse (Yang et al., 2024; OpenAI, 2024; AI@Meta, 2024). We also prompt HuaTuoGPT2-13B (Chen et al., 2023), a model specialized in medical domain, to show the gap between traditional training knowledge and complex real-world settings.

5.4 Ablation study

For further study on the effectiveness of the workflow and functional design of the nurse in PIORS, we introduce an ablated model, service-flow-ablated nurse (SF-ablated nurse), which is fine-tuned with same training settings describe in §4.4, utilizing the data generated solely based on the LLM’s parametric knowledge. In this approach, GPT-4o is directly prompted as a nurse simulator given the true department, without a pre-defined action space or supervisor agent, while the patient simulator and scene description remains unchanged. Prompts are provided in Appendix E.2.

5.5 Overall Results

The overall results are shown in Table 1. PIORS-Nurse ranks the first in all performance metrics, and has the shortest average turn number and average turn length.

PIORS contributes to more accurate department guidance. PIORS-Nurse demonstrates a significant improvement in accuracy compared to baselines without fine-tuning, demonstrating an 18% increase relative to the Qwen2-7b backbone. Moreover, PIORS-Nurse achieves higher accuracy compared to the SF-ablated nurse, emphasizing that the pre-designed functions and workflow in PIORS contribute to better department guidance. This also demonstrates that the heuristic knowledge is successfully embedded in LLMs through the pre-defined action space and the proposed supervisory agent.

Enhanced Information Gathering in Patient Intake Process by PIORS PIORS-Nurse outperforms all baseline models by a large margin in Info Score metric. This underscores the model’s enhanced pre-diagnosis information-gathering abilities. Distribution of Info Score is in Appendix G.

Efficient outpatient reception services via PIORS. As shown in Table 1, dialogues guided by PIORS-Nurse tend to have the shortest turn length, with over 70 characters fewer compared to the SF-ablated nurse baseline, and the shortest rounds. The total conversation length is significantly shorter compared to all baselines. These results ensure the efficiency and seamless communication between PIORS-Nurse and patients.

6 Human Evaluation

To ensure high-quality evaluations from those with significant medical experience (experts) and from the population who may engage with PIORS in practice (users), we conducted separate assessments for users and experts.

6.1 User Study

We recruit 15 volunteers to participate in the study, and randomly sample 20 records from test set as patient profiles. Each participant was assigned to simulate the 20 patients sequentially based on given profiles and engage in conversations with PIORS-Nurse, GPT-4o, and baseline, respectively. After completing the three conversations in each iteration, they made blind pairwise comparisons of PIORS-Nurse with other two model, selecting from the options: "A is better than B (Win)", "about the same (Tie)", or "B is better than A (Loss)".




Figure 3: Performance of PIORS-Nurse compared to GPT-4o and SF-ablated nurse. X-axis: % of examples voted by experts or users for a specific option, y-axis: the comparison model.

Figure 3 (lower) depicts the distribution of user comparisons. PIORS-Nurse has a win rate up to 73% and a win-or-tie rate higher than 90% compared to GPT-4o. Even compared to the fine-tuned SF-ablated nurse, our model still demonstrates a win-or-tie rate of over 81%. This result indicates that PIORS-Nurse aligns more closely with human

Method	Model	Accuracy	Overall Score	Info score	Average Turn Number	Average Turn Length
Directly Prompt	GPT-4o	0.717	3.83	2.16	3.54	207.98
	Qwen2-7B	0.634	3.65	2.28	4.22	336.40
	Llama3-8B	0.401	3.24	<u>2.65</u>	4.44	678.14
	HuatuoGPT2-13B	0.501	3.25	2.17	3.57	258.38
Fine-tuned	SF-ablated nurse	<u>0.786</u>	<u>3.92</u>	2.20	<u>3.37</u>	<u>202.55</u>
	PIORS-Nurse	0.822	4.01	3.01	3.22	139.54

Table 1: Overall results of automatic evaluation. The highest score/shortest length is highlighted in bold, while the second highest/shortest is underlined.

preferences and can better handle the complex real-world scenarios of outpatient reception.

6.2 Expert Evaluation

We recruit 15 experts, who are currently graduate or PhD students in clinical psychology, or have worked for more than 2 months in this field. Each expert was randomly assigned with 20 samples, each consists of three dialogues from the same simulated patient and different reception nurses roleplayed by PIORS-Nurse, GPT-4o, and SF-ablated nurse. Experts were asked to make two pairwise comparisons between PIORS-Nurse and other two models blindly, and evaluate the fidelity of simulated patients.

The comparison options for nurse are the same as those used in the user study. Fidelity is assessed using four levels: "Extremely High", "High", "Moderate" and "Low". The detailed definitions of patient fidelity are in Appendix F.2.

Figure 3 (upper) shows the distribution of expert comparisons. In 80% samples, experts voted PIORS-Nurse has better or comparable performance compared to SF-ablated nurse. And the ratio is even higher when compared to GPT-4o. Furthermore, 12 of 15 clinical experts believed that PIORS-Nurse performed the best overall in the evaluation of the 20 samples.

The experts highly commended PIORS-Nurse for its proactive inquiry capabilities and concise responses. They noted that PIORS-Nurse’s reasoning more closely aligns with that of real-world nurses. Furthermore, they observed that PIORS-Nurse remains focused on department triage throughout the conversation, without being easily diverted by patient input.

7 Further Analysis

In this section, we provide a more detailed analysis of the experiment results. Further demonstrate SFMSS’s ability to simulate complex real-world scenarios.

7.1 Fidelity of Patient Simulation




Figure 4: The average turn number and average turn length of simulated patient-nurse dialogues grouped by education level.

Variation in Behavior We use education level as an example to analyse the behavioral differences in simulated patients across various attribute settings. As shown in Figure 4, from illiterate to associate degree, the conversations become shorter and more efficient. This aligns with the impact of education level: higher levels of education enable patients to communicate more effectively with triage nurses. However, when patients are assigned a college level or higher, the average number of characters per turn increases significantly, and the dialogues tend to involve more rounds. This may be attributed to

two factors: 1) The small sample size for patients with the highest education level. 2) Patients with higher education levels are more likely to express their own opinions rather than simply following the guidance provided by reception nurses.

Clinical experts noted that most simulated patients are indistinguishable from or close to real patients. Notably, during dynamic interactions, the patient’s behavior is influenced by the actions of the nurse (Table 2). Under blinded conditions, PIORS-Nurse achieves the highest level of fidelity, suggesting that our approach is most closely aligned with real-world scenarios.

Nurse	Extremely High	High	Moderate	Low
GPT-4o	29.3%	47.3%	20.0%	3.3%
SF-ablated nurse	31.0%	50.3%	14.3%	4.3%
PIORS-Nurse	37.7%	47.0%	12.0%	3.3%
Overall	32.7%	48.2%	15.4%	3.7%

Table 2: Experts voting for fidelity of patient simulation with different models playing role of nurse. Extremely high means can’t distinguish from human. High means closely resemble real patient behaviour.

7.2 Results of Different Patient Simulation




Figure 5: Accuracy grouped by education level.

Education Level From the results of GPT-4o in Figure 5, we can observe that, except for the associate degree, the accuracy of department guiding increases with higher education levels. This is consistent with common sense. The exception may stem from the misalignment of the term "Associate Degree" between GPT-4o’s internal knowledge and its actual meaning in Chinese context. Figure 5 further illustrates the improvements from Qwen2-




Figure 6: Accuracy grouped by BigFive personality traits. EXT refers to Extraversion, AGR refers to Agreeableness, CON refers to Conscientiousness, OPN refers to Openness to Experience and NEU refers to Neuroticism.

7B to PIORS-Nurse, especially in higher education level. After embedding the service flow and designed duties through SFT, the model can better addressing tasks in real-world scenarios, where primary and middle school education levels are most prevalent.

BigFive Personality Traits For Qwen2-7B, patients with specific personalities, such as high extraversion, low openness to experience and high neuroticism are more easy to handle (Figure 6). Such preference can be explained: These personalities tend to provide more information (high extraversion and high neuroticism) or introduce fewer off-topic discussions (low openness to experience). After training, PIORS-Nurse exhibits a more balanced performance across various personality traits, allowing it to better adapt to complex healthcare scenarios.

8 Related Works

Medical LLMs and its Application Natural Language Processing (NLP) has found extensive application in the medical field (Chen et al., 2022b,a; Zhong et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2018). With the advent of large language models (LLMs), significant advancements have been made in developing models specially for healthcare-domain. Models like Med-PaLM2 (Singhal et al., 2023), DISC-MedLLM (Bao et al., 2023), HuatuoGPT-2 (Chen et al., 2023), Med-Gemini (Saab et al., 2024), Aqlia-Med (Zhao et al., 2024) are developed using different datasets, techniques, and frameworks. These efforts primarily focus on enhancing the medical knowledge embedded within language models and often fall short of effectively addressing the complexities of real-world scenarios. Recent

works have attempted to apply LLMs to a variety of real-world clinical scenarios, such as radiology and ophthalmology (Liu et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2023; Waisberg et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2022). The works of Wan et al. (Wan et al., 2024) and Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2024a) has demonstrated the promising prospects of LLMs in outpatient reception scenarios. Despite these advancements, the challenges posed by the intricacies of real patient interactions remain insufficiently addressed in current research.

Scenario Simulation in Healthcare Recent developments indicate that agents powered by LLMs can resolve complex tasks through human-like actions, such as tool invocation, role-playing, and reasoning (Wang et al., 2024a; Yue et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024b; Xiao et al., 2024). There is significant potential to bridge the gap between existing LLMs and their real-world applications by leveraging agent roles and scenario simulations (Mou et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024b). Research such as AI Hospital (Fan et al., 2024), AgentClinic (Schmidgall et al., 2024), and AIE (Liao et al., 2024) have created multi-agent simulation environments to evaluate the performance of LLMs in dynamic and interactive healthcare settings. Patient- Ψ (Wang et al., 2024c) and CureFun (Li et al., 2024c) propose approaches to train healthcare workers through simulated patient. However, these studies often neglect to simulate patient personality traits and demographic characteristics. Moreover, there is a lack of research utilizing scenario simulations to generate authentic data for improved real-world healthcare applications. Our work addresses these existing gaps and deficiencies, contributing to the advancement of more effective LLM-driven solutions in healthcare.

9 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose the following approach to contribute to a more efficient and patient-centered outpatient reception experience: (1) a system named Personalized Intelligent Outpatient Reception System (PIORS), to deliver personalized, high-quality, and efficient reception services. (2) a data generation framework named Service Flow aware Medical Scenario Simulation (SFMSS), to adapt LLMs to the real-world healthcare environments and support to the development of PIORS. Both automatic and human evaluation are conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness of PIORS and

SFMSS in enhancing the application of LLMs in outpatient reception. Our framework has potential to transform the application of LLMs in outpatient reception, and be generalized to broader real-world healthcare applications.

Limitations

While PIORS and SFMSS demonstrates their effectiveness to contribute to a more efficient and patient-centered healthcare experience authentic, several limitations still remain. There may be regional variations in scenarios due to differences in language, culture, and social organization. As a result, relying solely on medical records from one hospital may limit the model’s generalizability. Although the study includes diverse departments and personality simulations, the sample size of 2,400 is relatively small and may not fully capture the complexity of real clinical scenarios, particularly in departments focused on specific diseases. The simulated patient-nurse conversations may deviate from human-to-human interactions and require further validation. Additionally, PIORS have not been validated in real clinical environments. Despite these limitations, PIORS and SFMSS remains highly significant for the application of LLMs in healthcare settings, providing a novel method to enhance the application of LLMs in outpatient reception and broader healthcare settings.

Ethics Statement

Participant Recruitment

We recruited participants of user study and expert evaluation through online advertising and networks of our co-authors, as well as snowball sampling. Users are adults with normal cognitive abilities, primarily consisting of university students and graduate students from various fields of study. Experts are individuals who have obtained a graduate (Master’s or PhD) degree in clinical medicine or a related field, or who are currently pursuing such a degree, or who have at least two months of clinical work experience. We pay for each expert for participation. Users are volunteers.

Informed Consent

All participants in the user study and expert evaluation were 18 or older and provided informed consent. We did not assess any clinical outcomes. All data collected from the participants were de-

identified and consented to be released for research purposes.

System and Data Usages

All the data and framework developed in this work are intended solely for academic research purposes. The framework developed in this work are intended to augment existing outpatient reception serve, not to replace it. Our framework is designed for academic and educational purposes only. Real-world deployment will require further work, including larger-scale training and testing, alignment with departmental and administrative information in real hospitals, and broader user and expert evaluations.

All the hospital outpatient records we utilize in the study are de-identified and consented to be released for research purposes, and do not contain and Personally Identifiable Information (PII) of any patients and hospital staff. The data has been anonymized and does not contain any sensitive information. It data is intended solely for academic research purposes.

Acknowledge

The authors thank the doctors at the Eye & ENT Hospital of Fudan University, the Shanghai Baoshan District Wusong Central Hospital and the Zhongshan Hospital for their valuable advice and support in system design and evaluation.

References

- AI@Meta. 2024. [Llama 3 model card](#).
- Zhijie Bao, Wei Chen, Shengze Xiao, Kuang Ren, Jiaao Wu, Cheng Zhong, Jiajie Peng, Xuanjing Huang, and Zhongyu Wei. 2023. [DISC-MedLLM: Bridging General Large Language Models and Real-World Medical Consultation](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2308.14346 [cs].
- Junying Chen, Xidong Wang, Anningzhe Gao, Feng Jiang, Shunian Chen, Hongbo Zhang, Dingjie Song, Wenya Xie, Chuyi Kong, Jianquan Li, et al. 2023. [Huatuogpt-ii, one-stage training for medical adaption of llms](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09774*.
- Wei Chen, Zhiwei Li, Hongyi Fang, Qianyuan Yao, Cheng Zhong, Jianye Hao, Qi Zhang, Xuanjing Huang, Jiajie Peng, and Zhongyu Wei. 2022a. [A benchmark for automatic medical consultation system: frameworks, tasks and datasets](#). *Bioinformatics*, 39(1).
- Wei Chen, Cheng Zhong, Jiajie Peng, and Zhongyu Wei. 2022b. [Dxformer: a decoupled automatic diagnostic system based on decoder–encoder transformer with dense symptom representations](#). *Bioinformatics*, 39(1).
- Zhihao Fan, Jialong Tang, Wei Chen, Siyuan Wang, Zhongyu Wei, Jun Xi, Fei Huang, and Jingren Zhou. 2024. [AI Hospital: Benchmarking Large Language Models in a Multi-agent Medical Interaction Simulator](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2402.09742 [cs].
- Weihaio Gao, Zhuo Deng, Zhiyuan Niu, Fujun Rong, Chucheng Chen, Zheng Gong, Wenze Zhang, Daimin Xiao, Fang Li, Zhenjie Cao, Zhaoyi Ma, Wenbin Wei, and Lan Ma. 2023. [OphGLM: Training an Ophthalmology Large Language-and-Vision Assistant based on Instructions and Dialogue](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2306.12174 [cs].
- Tiffany H. Kung, Morgan Cheatham, Arielle Medenilla, Czarina Sillos, Lorie De Leon, Camille Elepaño, Maria Madriaga, Rimel Aggabao, Giezel Diaz-Candido, James Maningo, and Victor Tseng. 2023. [Performance of ChatGPT on USMLE: Potential for AI-assisted medical education using large language models](#). *PLOS Digital Health*, 2(2):e0000198.
- Abukari Kwame and Pammla M. Petrucka. 2021. [A literature-based study of patient-centered care and communication in nurse-patient interactions: barriers, facilitators, and the way forward](#). *BMC Nursing*, 20(1).
- Brenna Li, Ofek Gross, Noah Crampton, Mamta Kapoor, Saba Tauseef, Mohit Jain, Khai N. Truong, and Alex Mariakakis. 2024a. [Beyond the waiting room: Patient’s perspectives on the conversational nuances of pre-consultation chatbots](#). In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI ’24, page 1–24. ACM.
- Junkai Li, Siyu Wang, Meng Zhang, Weitao Li, Yunghwei Lai, Xinhui Kang, Weizhi Ma, and Yang Liu. 2024b. [Agent hospital: A simulacrum of hospital with evolvable medical agents](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- Yanzeng Li, Cheng Zeng, Jialun Zhong, Ruoyu Zhang, Minhao Zhang, and Lei Zou. 2024c. [Leveraging large language model as simulated patients for clinical education](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- Yusheng Liao, Yutong Meng, Yuhao Wang, Hongcheng Liu, Yanfeng Wang, and Yu Wang. 2024. [Automatic Interactive Evaluation for Large Language Models with State Aware Patient Simulator](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2403.08495 [cs].
- Ian Litchfield, Nicola Gale, Michael Burrows, and Sheila Greenfield. 2017. [The future role of receptionists in primary care](#). *British Journal of General Practice*, 67(664):523–524.
- Dou Liu, Ying Han, Xiandi Wang, Xiaomei Tan, Di Liu, Guangwu Qian, Kang Li, Dan Pu, and Rong Yin. 2024a. [Evaluating the application of chatgpt in outpatient triage guidance: A comparative study](#). *arXiv preprint*.

- Lei Liu, Xiaoyan Yang, Junchi Lei, Xiaoyang Liu, Yue Shen, Zhiqiang Zhang, Peng Wei, Jinjie Gu, Zhixuan Chu, Zhan Qin, and Kui Ren. 2024b. [A Survey on Medical Large Language Models: Technology, Application, Trustworthiness, and Future Directions](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2406.03712 [cs].
- Zhengliang Liu, Tianyang Zhong, Yiwei Li, Yutong Zhang, Yi Pan, Zihao Zhao, Peixin Dong, Chao Cao, Yuxiao Liu, Peng Shu, Yaonai Wei, Zihao Wu, Chong Ma, Jiaqi Wang, Sheng Wang, Mengyue Zhou, Zuowei Jiang, Chunlin Li, Jason Holmes, Shaochen Xu, Lu Zhang, Haixing Dai, Kai Zhang, Lin Zhao, Yuanhao Chen, Xu Liu, Peilong Wang, Pingkun Yan, Jun Liu, Bao Ge, Lichao Sun, Dajiang Zhu, Xiang Li, Wei Liu, Xiaoyan Cai, Xintao Hu, Xi Jiang, Shu Zhang, Xin Zhang, Tuo Zhang, Shijie Zhao, Quanzheng Li, Hongtu Zhu, Dinggang Shen, and Tianming Liu. 2023. [Evaluating Large Language Models for Radiology Natural Language Processing](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2307.13693 [cs].
- Nikita Mehandru, Brenda Y. Miao, Eduardo Rodriguez Almaraz, Madhumita Sushil, Atul J. Butte, and Ahmed Alaa. 2024. [Evaluating large language models as agents in the clinic](#). *npj Digital Medicine*, 7(1):84.
- Xinyi Mou, Jingcong Liang, Jiayu Lin, Xinnong Zhang, Xiawei Liu, Shiyue Yang, Rong Ye, Lei Chen, Haoyu Kuang, Xuanjing Huang, and Zhongyu Wei. 2024. [Agentsense: Benchmarking social intelligence of language agents through interactive scenarios](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- NHC. 2018. *Analysis Report of National Health Services Survey in China*. People's Medical Publishing House.
- National Health Commission of the PRC. 2024. [2023 statistical bulletin on the development of health and health services in china](#). <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/guihuaxxs/s3585u/202408/6c037610b3a54f6c8535c515844fae96.shtml>. Accessed: 2024-10-20.
- OpenAI. 2024. [Gpt-4o-2024-05-13](#).
- Khaled Saab, Tao Tu, Wei-Hung Weng, Ryutaro Tanno, David Stutz, Ellery Wulczyn, Fan Zhang, Tim Strother, Chunjong Park, Elahe Vedadi, Juanma Zambrano Chaves, Szu-Yeu Hu, Mike Schaekermann, Aishwarya Kamath, Yong Cheng, David G. T. Barrett, Cathy Cheung, Basil Mustafa, Anil Palepu, Daniel McDuff, Le Hou, Tomer Golany, Luyang Liu, Jean-baptiste Alayrac, Neil Houlsby, Nenad Tomasev, Jan Freyberg, Charles Lau, Jonas Kemp, Jeremy Lai, Shekoofeh Azizi, Kimberly Kanada, Si-Wai Man, Kavita Kulkarni, Ruoxi Sun, Siamak Shakeri, Luheng He, Ben Caine, Albert Webson, Natasha Latysheva, Melvin Johnson, Philip Mansfield, Jian Lu, Ehud Rivlin, Jesper Anderson, Bradley Green, Renee Wong, Jonathan Krause, Jonathon Shlens, Ewa Dominowska, S. M. Ali Eslami, Katherine Chou, Claire Cui, Oriol Vinyals, Koray Kavukcuoglu, James Manyika, Jeff Dean, Demis Hassabis, Yossi Matias, Dale Webster, Joelle Barral, Greg Corrado, Christopher Semturs, S. Sara Mahdavi, Juraj Gottweis, Alan Karthikesalingam, and Vivek Natarajan. 2024. [Capabilities of Gemini Models in Medicine](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2404.18416 [cs].
- Samuel Schmidgall, Rojin Ziaei, Carl Harris, Eduardo Reis, Jeffrey Jopling, and Michael Moor. 2024. [AgentClinic: a multimodal agent benchmark to evaluate AI in simulated clinical environments](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2405.07960 [cs].
- Samer H. Sharkiya. 2023. [Quality communication can improve patient-centred health outcomes among older patients: a rapid review](#). *BMC Health Services Research*, 23(1).
- Karan Singhal, Tao Tu, Juraj Gottweis, Rory Sayres, Ellery Wulczyn, Le Hou, Kevin Clark, Stephen Pfohl, Heather Cole-Lewis, Darlene Neal, Mike Schaekermann, Amy Wang, Mohamed Amin, Sami Lachgar, Philip Mansfield, Sushant Prakash, Bradley Green, Ewa Dominowska, Blaise Aguera y Arcas, Nenad Tomasev, Yun Liu, Renee Wong, Christopher Semturs, S. Sara Mahdavi, Joelle Barral, Dale Webster, Greg S. Corrado, Yossi Matias, Shekoofeh Azizi, Alan Karthikesalingam, and Vivek Natarajan. 2023. [Towards Expert-Level Medical Question Answering with Large Language Models](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2305.09617 [cs].
- Ethan Waisberg, Joshua Ong, Nasif Zaman, Sharif Amit Kamran, Prithul Sarker, Alireza Tavakkoli, and Andrew G. Lee. 2023. [GPT-4 for triaging ophthalmic symptoms](#). *Eye*.
- Peixing Wan, Zigeng Huang, Wenjun Tang, Yulan Nie, Dajun Pei, Shaofen Deng, Jing Chen, Yizhi Zhou, Hongru Duan, Qingyu Chen, and Erping Long. 2024. [Outpatient reception via collaboration between nurses and a large language model: a randomized controlled trial](#). *Nature Medicine*.
- Lei Wang, Chen Ma, Xueyang Feng, Zeyu Zhang, Hao Yang, Jingsen Zhang, Zhiyuan Chen, Jiakai Tang, Xu Chen, Yankai Lin, Wayne Xin Zhao, Zhewei Wei, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2024a. [A Survey on Large Language Model based Autonomous Agents](#). *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 18(6):186345. ArXiv:2308.11432 [cs].
- Lei Wang, Chen Ma, Xueyang Feng, Zeyu Zhang, Hao Yang, Jingsen Zhang, Zhiyuan Chen, Jiakai Tang, Xu Chen, Yankai Lin, Wayne Xin Zhao, Zhewei Wei, and Jirong Wen. 2024b. [A survey on large language model based autonomous agents](#). *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 18(6).
- Ruiyi Wang, Stephanie Milani, Jamie C. Chiu, Jiayin Zhi, Shaun M. Eack, Travis Labrum, Samuel M. Murphy, Nev Jones, Kate Hardy, Hong Shen, Fei Fang, and Zhiyu Zoey Chen. 2024c. [PATIENT-{\Psi}: Using Large Language Models to Simulate Patients for Training Mental Health Professionals](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2405.19660 [cs].

- Zhongyu Wei, Qianlong Liu, Baolin Peng, Huaixiao Tou, Ting Chen, Xuanjing Huang, Kam-fai Wong, and Xiangying Dai. 2018. [Task-oriented dialogue system for automatic diagnosis](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 201–207, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yihang Xiao, Jinyi Liu, Yan Zheng, Xiaohan Xie, Jianye Hao, Mingzhi Li, Ruitao Wang, Fei Ni, Yuxiao Li, Jintian Luo, Shaoqing Jiao, and Jiajie Peng. 2024. [Cellagent: An llm-driven multi-agent framework for automated single-cell data analysis](#).
- An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Guanting Dong, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jialong Tang, Jialin Wang, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Ma, Jin Xu, Jingren Zhou, Jinze Bai, Jinzheng He, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keming Lu, Keqin Chen, Kexin Yang, Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Na Ni, Pei Zhang, Peng Wang, Ru Peng, Rui Men, Ruize Gao, Runji Lin, Shijie Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Tianhang Zhu, Tianhao Li, Tianyu Liu, Wenbin Ge, Xiaodong Deng, Xiaohuan Zhou, Xingzhang Ren, Xinyu Zhang, Xipin Wei, Xuancheng Ren, Yang Fan, Yang Yao, Yichang Zhang, Yu Wan, Yunfei Chu, Yuqiong Liu, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, and Zhihao Fan. 2024. [Qwen2 technical report](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10671*.
- Xi Yang, Aokun Chen, Nima PourNejatian, Hoo Chang Shin, Kaleb E. Smith, Christopher Parisien, Colin Compas, Cheryl Martin, Anthony B. Costa, Mona G. Flores, Ying Zhang, Tanja Magoc, Christopher A. Harle, Gloria Lipori, Duane A. Mitchell, William R. Hogan, Elizabeth A. Shenkman, Jiang Bian, and Yonghui Wu. 2022. [A large language model for electronic health records](#). *npj Digital Medicine*, 5(1).
- Shengbin Yue, Siyuan Wang, Wei Chen, Xuanjing Huang, and Zhongyu Wei. 2024. [Synergistic multi-agent framework with trajectory learning for knowledge-intensive tasks](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- Xinnong Zhang, Jiayu Lin, Libo Sun, Weihong Qi, Yihang Yang, Yue Chen, Hanjia Lyu, Xinyi Mou, Siming Chen, Jiebo Luo, Xuanjing Huang, Shiping Tang, and Zhongyu Wei. 2024. [Electionsim: Massive population election simulation powered by large language model driven agents](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- Lulu Zhao, Weihao Zeng, Xiaofeng Shi, Hua Zhou, Donglin Hao, and Yonghua Lin. 2024. [Aquila-Med LLM: Pioneering Full-Process Open-Source Medical Language Models](#). *arXiv preprint*. ArXiv:2406.12182 [cs].
- Cheng Zhong, Kangenbei Liao, Wei Chen, Qianlong Liu, Baolin Peng, Xuanjing Huang, Jiajie Peng, and Zhongyu Wei. 2022. [Hierarchical reinforcement learning for automatic disease diagnosis](#). *Bioinformatics*, 38(16):3995–4001.

A Prompts Used in PIORS

All the prompts provided are translated from the original Chinese version.

A.1 PIORS-Nurse

Here we provide the prompts used in PIORS-Nurse.

Query Generation Module Below we provide the prompts used in the query generation module. First determine whether retrieval is necessary (upper), and then generate a query statement if required (lower).

<[System Prompt]>

You need to determine whether the reception nurse needs to retrieve additional information from the hospital information system (HIS) based on the most recent rounds of patient-nurse conversations during outpatient reception. The HIS contains patients' past medical records and administrative information about the hospital, such as department locations.

Please return the result in JSON format as follows: {"flag":true/false}

If the conversation involves follow-up visits or hospital administrative inquiries, set flag to true. For other scenarios that do not require additional information (e.g., first visits), set flag to false.

<[User Prompt]>

{ Most Recent Rounds of The Ongoing conversation }

<[System Prompt]>

You need to generate a query statement to retrieve information from the hospital information system (HIS) based on the latest rounds of a patient-nurse conversation, focusing on most recent input of patient. The retrieved data will serve as a reference for the reception nurse's response. The HIS contains past medical records and hospital administrative information, such as department locations.

The ID of the current patient is { patient id }.

<[User Prompt]>

{ Most Recent Rounds of The Ongoing conversation }

Summarization Module Below we provide the prompts used in summarization module. Iteratively extract pre-diagnosis information (upper) and summarize for record creation (lower).

<[System Prompt]>

You are required to record pre-diagnosis information based on the patient-nurse conversation to prepare for drafting medical records. Supplement the existing recorded information with details extracted from a new dialogue turn.

Please return the result in JSON format as follows:

{"new_record":[{"field_name1:content1},{field_name2:content2}...]}

The fields required for a medical record include: department, name, age, gender, chief complaint, present illness history, past medical history and drug allergy history. You should document new information from the new turn that contributes to completing the medical record.

If the new dialogue turn does not include any information helpful for medical record writing, return an empty list, i.e.,

{"new_record":[]}

<[User Prompt]>

Recorded Information:{ recorded information }\nNew Dialogue Turn:{new turn}\n<New Information>:

<[System Prompt]>

Generate a medical record creation instruction based on the patient-nurse conversation and the recorded information. The medical record may include the following fields: patient ID, department, name, gender, age, drug allergy history, chief complaint, present illness history, past medical history, physical examination, auxiliary examination, preliminary diagnosis, treatment opinion, and notes.

Fields not covered in the conversation do not need to be included, and the instruction should not include placeholders such as "not provided."

The patient ID must be included.

<[User Prompt]>

{ The Conversation }

A.2 HospInfo-Assistant

The prompts used in HospInfo-Assistant for extracting operation parameters are shown below.

<|System Prompt|>

You need to extract the required SQL operations, field names, conditions, and whether the query results need summarization based on the user's input. Please return the result in JSON format as:

```
{"operation": "", "condition": {}, "column_output": [], "column_change": {}, "summary": true/false}
```

"operation" specifies the database operation, one of the following: {SELECT, CREATE, UPDATE, DELETE}.

"condition" specifies the retrieval, update, or deletion conditions, structured as {"field_name1", "field_name2", ...}. Conditions can be a single value or multiple values (written as a list). If a condition represents a bounded range, return it as

```
{"field_name__range": [lower_bound, upper_bound]}
```

If a field condition represents an unbounded range, return

```
{"field_name__gt/gte"} for "greater than/greater than or equal to" or {"field_name__lt/lte"} for "less than/less than or equal to".
```

Only age and visit_date allow range queries. For create operations or operations without conditions, condition is an empty dictionary {}.

"column_output" specifies the fields to retrieve based on user input. If not explicitly specified, retrieve all fields, returning "all".

For CREATE, DELETE, and UPDATE operations, column_output should be ["all"].

"column_change" specifies the fields and values for CREATE or UPDATE operations, structured as {"field_name1", "field_name2", ...}. For DELETE and SELECT operations, column_change should be empty {}.

"summary" is true only when the user's input indicates a broad query like "overview of..." or "recent situation". For all other cases, it should be false.

Available fields and descriptions:

outpatient_number: INT, Outpatient number.

department: Department visited.

name: Patient's name.

gender: Patient's gender.

age: Patient's age (formatted as 'xx years', 'xx months', or 'xx years xx months').

drug_allergy_history: Drug allergy history of the patient.

chief_complaint: Chief complaint.

present_illness_history: Present illness history of the patient.

past_history: Past medical history of the patient.

physical_examination: Physical examination.

auxiliary_examination: Auxiliary examination.

preliminary_diagnosis: Preliminary diagnosis.

treatment_opinion: Treatment opinion.

physician_signature: Physician's signature.

patient_id: Patient ID, a unique identifier for the patient.

visit_date: TIMESTAMP, formatted as 'xxxx-xx-xxTxx:xx', visit date (default to current time: { NOW }).

notes: Notes or precautions.

<|User Prompt|>

```
{ User Input Instruction }
```


B Prompts Used in SFMSS

All the prompts provided are translated from the original Chinese version.

B.1 Reception Nurse simulator

Here we provide the prompts used in the reception nurse simulator. Below are the prompts for action decision (upper) and response generation (Lower).

<|System Prompt|>

You need to analyse a conversation in outpatient reception between a patient and a reception nurse, and determine the next action the nurse should take in their next response. Provide clear instructions for the next step. Please return the result in JSON format as:

```
{"action":}
```

You can choose from the following actions, and each action is explained in detail below. Note that you must select only the most appropriate action.

"Symptom Inquiry": Guide the patient to describe their main symptoms, or further guide them to elaborate on parts where previous information was incomplete.

"Medical History Inquiry": Ask the patient for their past history, medication history, drug allergy history and follow-up/referral results, or further guide them to elaborate on parts where previous information was incomplete. This step is not mandatory and can be skipped if the patient seems anxious.

"Department recommendation": Recommend the appropriate department based on the known patient's information. Choose this action when the conversation already includes sufficient information to make the department assignment.

"Conclusion and confirmation": The duty of reception and department guiding have been completed, include summarizing the conversation and confirming if the patient has other issues.

"Medical question answering": Respond to the patient's inquiries about advice of department and related primary healthcare questions.

"Administrative/other question answering": Briefly address administrative queries (such as hospital visit procedures, examination items and department locations) and other non-medical questions from the patient, quickly steering the conversation back to the triage.

"Priority assistance": Handle emergency situations, soothe patient emotions, and offer quick help for patients.

<|User Prompt|>

```
{ the current conversation }
```

If action selected is Department recommendation, Priority assistance or Conclusion and confirmation.

<|System Prompt|>

You are a reception nurse in a hospital and need to communicate with the patient who comes to the outpatient reception. You should collect the necessary information such as symptoms and medical history, and eventually assign the patient to the appropriate department based on the information gathered.

You need to respond to the patient by considering the previous conversation history, the patient's latest input, the action that should be taken, and the advice provided by the supervisory agent.

Be sure to adjust your conversation style based on the patient's requests and emotions, showing empathy and emotional support.

When the patient seems anxious, simplify your questions appropriately.

The response should be easy for the patient to understand and answer. Ask only one or two questions at a time, using conversational and concise language to match real-life triage situations. If necessary, first provide a brief response to the patient's inquiry or soothe their emotions. Responses should follow the actions that should be taken, and avoid recommending specific departments.

If action selected is Symptom inquiry, Medical history inquiry, Medical question answering or Administrative/other question answering.

<|System Prompt|>

You are a reception nurse and need to communicate with the patient who comes to the outpatient reception. You should collect the necessary information, such as symptoms and medical history, and eventually assign the patient to the appropriate department based on the information gathered.

You need to respond to the patient by considering the previous conversation history, the patient's latest input provided by the user, and the actions that should be taken. You will be given the correct department assignment for the patient.

It is known that the patient should be assigned to { department }.

<|User Prompt|>

```
<Patient's latest input>: {input}
```

```
<Action you should currently take>: { selected action }, { action description }
```

```
Option: [<Supervisory advice on inquiry strategy and tone>: { advice }. \n<Supervisory advice on the next steps for symptom and medical history inquiry>: { advice 2 } ]
```

B.2 Patient simulator

Patient Profile Generating

Prompts used for patient profile generating, including behavioural preference (Upper) and scene description (Lower).

<|System Prompt|>

You need to generate the behavioral preference of a patient during a outpatient reception conversation with a reception nurse based on the patient's basic information provided by the user (pay special attention to the education level, which ranges from low to high as follows: ["Illiterate", "Primary School", "Middle School", "High School", "Technical Secondary School", "Associate Degree", "Bachelor's Degree/Higher"]) and their personality. If the patient is under 18 years old, consider the following information to pertain to the patient's parents, ignore the age, and generate the communication style of the parents.

The behavioral preference should include aspects such as the patient's understanding of medical knowledge, ability to describe symptoms, ability to comprehend the nurses' questions, numerical and reasoning skills, and communication proactivity. Provide a concise and brief summary in the second person, without any paragraph breaks.

Here are some examples:

Example 1:

User: "Gender: Female; Age: 22; Education Level: Primary School; Personality: ['Quiet', 'Melancholic']; Income: Above average"

Assistant: "You tend to be quiet and melancholic when communicating with the triage staff. With a lower education level, you lack medical knowledge and find it difficult to describe your symptoms in detail. You require additional explanations to understand questions, and your numerical and logical abilities are limited, making it challenging to provide accurate numerical information. You are generally passive, and the triage staff needs to guide you actively to gather the necessary information."

Example 2:

User: "Gender: Male; Age: 60; Education Level: Primary School; Personality: ['Uncooperative', 'Aggressive', 'Irritable', 'Emotionally Unstable']; Income: Lower-middle income group"

Assistant: "You come across as uncooperative and emotional during communication with the triage staff. With a lower education level, you have limited medical knowledge and struggle to describe your symptoms clearly. Your responses may appear aggressive and irritable, and you have difficulty understanding questions, often requiring additional explanations. Your numerical and logical reasoning skills are weak, making it hard to process and provide accurate numerical information. Overall, you are passive, and the triage staff will need extra patience and skill to guide the conversation effectively."

<|User Prompt|>

Gender: { gender }; Age: { age }; Education Level: { education level }; Personality: { personalities }; Income: { income level }

<|System Prompt|>

You need to describe the patient's situation and thoughts when arriving at the hospital in the patient's voice based on the symptoms, medical history, and basic information provided by the user. Summarize the scenario in one sentence. The description should reflect the patient's psychological state according to the severity of the symptoms and should be consistent with the patient's education level. Do not include specific details of symptoms and medical history, and use vague, general expressions.

For patients with lower education levels, avoid using medical terms and describe symptoms in more colloquial language. (The education levels from high to low are: ["Illiterate", "Primary School", "Middle School", "High School/Vocational School", "Technical Secondary School", "Associate Degree", "University and Above"])

If the patient is under 18 years old, describe the scene and thoughts in the voice of the patient's parents. In this case, the information provided by the user refers to the patient, while the education level should reflect that of the parents.

Here are some examples:

Example 1:

User: "<Education Level>: High School; <Age>: 18 years; <Chief Complaint>: Abdominal bloating for 1 day.; <History of Present Illness>: Accompanied by no urine, no nausea or vomiting, no fever, no chest tightness or pain, no palpitations or blackouts, no sweating, normal bowel movements, no black stools.; <Past Medical History>: Denies history of hypertension, denies history of diabetes, denies history of heart disease, denies history of surgery or trauma.; <Visit Time>: 2023-08-02T18:41:53Z"

Assistant: "Your stomach feels a bit bloated and it hasn't gotten better for a day. Although it doesn't seem like a big deal, you decided to stop by the hospital just to check it out."

Example 2:

User: "<Education Level>: Middle School; <Age>: 91 years; <Chief Complaint>: Fainted once half an hour ago.; <History of Present Illness>: The patient experienced a brief loss of consciousness while showering, unresponsive for a few minutes, but gradually improved. No chest tightness, shortness of breath, nausea, vomiting, convulsions, or fever.; <Past Medical History>: History of hypertension. Denies history of diabetes. Denies history of heart disease. Denies history of surgery or trauma.; <Visit Time>: 2023-04-06T14:05:50Z"

Assistant: "You fainted while showering about half an hour ago. Given your age and not being in the best of health, you're really worried something might be wrong, so you rushed to the hospital for help."

<|User Prompt|>

<Education Level>: { Education Level }; <Age>: {Age}; < Chief Complaint >: { Chief Complaint }; <History of Present Illness>: { History of Present Illness }; <Past Medical History>: { Past Medical History }; <Visit Time>: { Visit Time }

Response Pipeline

Prompts used inside the patient simulator, including action decision (upper) and response generation (Lower).

<|System Prompt|>

You need to analyse a conversation in outpatient reception between a patient and a reception nurse, considering the patient's basic information and communication style, to determine the next action the patient should take in their response. Provide clear instructions for the next step. Please return the result in JSON format as:

```
{"action":;
```

You can choose from the following actions, each described in detail below:

"Expressing Needs": Briefly describe the main symptoms and present the concerns coming to hospital in the start of conversation. Alternatively, propose additional requirements that might arise during process.

"Information Feedback": Respond with the corresponding part of the patient information relevant to the question given by the nurse. The accuracy and detail of the feedback should be tailored to the communication style.

"Inquiry": Raise question based on the dialogue history. The question may be one of the following two types: (1) Questions about aspects of the doctor's inquiries or suggestions that the patient do not understand, requesting further explanations of medical terminology or asking the doctor to repeat or simplify previous statements. (2) Patients express their disagreements and questions regarding the recommendations made by the nurse.

"Ending the Conversation": After receiving the doctor's triage suggestion, the patient accepts the department assignment, ends the conversation, and leaves.

"Mention other topic": The patient may mention other topic not related to reception, such as their everyday life and hobbies.

<|User Prompt|>

```
{ the current conversation }
```

<|System Prompt|>

```
{ scene dexcription } You have arrived at the hospital and are at the outpatient reception to ask which department you should go to, communicating with the nurse for department allocation.
```

```
<Your behavioral preferences>: { behavioral preferences } Below is your personal information: Chief complaint/Main symptom: { chief complaint } Present illness history: { present illness history } \n Past medical history: { past medical history } \n Drug allergy history: { drug allergy history } \n Name: { name } \n Gender: { gender } \n Age: { age }
```

```
You need to respond to the nurse by considering the conversation history (assistant as the patient, user as the reception nurse), your own personal information, the nurse's latest input, and the action that should be taken. Please respond in accordance with the above behavioral preferences.
```

<|User Prompt|>

```
<Latest input from reception nurse>: {input} \n <Action you should take>: {action}, requires {action description} \n \n <Your behavioral preferences>: {behavioral preferences}
```

B.3 Supervisor Agent

Dialogue quality

Below is prompts used in dialogue quality supervisor.

<|System Prompt|>

You need to supervise an ongoing patient-nurse conversation, observing the patient's emotions and the effectiveness of the dialogue. If the patient expresses strong dissatisfaction in the latest exchange, or if several recent rounds of dialogue are ineffective (i.e., repetitive or providing no new information), you need to offer suggestions to the reception nurse.

Please return the result in JSON format as follows:

```
{"flag": true/false, "suggestion":;
```

If the patient exhibits strong dissatisfaction or the conversation has fallen into several rounds of ineffective dialogue, return flag: true and provide a suggestion in the suggestion field for the reception nurse on how to optimize the conversation. Suggestions could include: using a softer approach to guide the patient, calming the patient's emotions, expressing understanding and apologies, simplifying questions for quicker triage, or minimizing repeated questions about the patient's information. Make sure not to mention any specific department.

If the patient's emotions are normal or there is only mild dissatisfaction, and no ineffective dialogue or only one or two rounds of ineffective dialogue occur, return flag: false and leave the suggestion field empty.

<|User Prompt|>

```
{ Ongoing conversation }
```

Information gathering

Below is prompts used in dialogue quality supervisor.

<|System Prompt|>
 You need to compare the <Information collected by the reception nurse> provided by the user with the <Patient's real information> to determine whether the information collected by the reception nurse is generally correct and comprehensive enough to provide department recommendation. If there is a significant gap and the information is insufficient for proper department guiding, provide suggestions for the nurse's next round of inquiries, along with the corresponding action to be taken. Return the result in JSON format as follows:
 {"enough": true/false, "suggestion": provided suggestion, "action": action to be taken}
 If the information is correct and comprehensive, return enough: true and leave the suggestion empty. If the information is incomplete or incorrect, return enough: false and provide an appropriate suggestion in the suggestion field. The suggestion should refer to the <Preliminary Diagnosis> provided by the user and focus on the parts of the patient's real information that were not collected by the triage staff, guiding them on the content and direction for the next round of inquiries.
 The information only needs to be generally comprehensive enough to assign department; it does not need to be perfectly identical. Some differences and omissions are acceptable, but the collection of the chief complaint and history of present illness should be as complete and accurate as possible. Collection of past medical history is not a must.
 You can choose from the following actions, and each action is explained in detail below:
 "Symptom Inquiry": guide the patient to describe their main symptoms, or further guide them to elaborate on parts where previous information was incomplete.
 "Medical History Inquiry": Ask the patient for their past history, medication history, drug allergy history and follow-up/referral results, or further guide them to elaborate on parts where previous information was incomplete.

<|User Prompt|>
 <Information collected by the reception nurse>: {Information collected by the reception nurse}; <Patient's real information>: {Patient's real information}; <Preliminary Diagnosis> :{Preliminary Diagnosis}

C Action Space Definition

C.1 First Visit Scenario

In first visit scenarios, the action descriptions of reception nurse simulator and patient simulator is defined in Table 3 and Table 4.

Nurse Actions	Actions Description
Symptom Inquiry	Guide the patient to describe their main symptoms.
Medical History Inquiry	Ask the patient for their past medical history, medication history, drug allergy history and follow-up/referral results.
Department Recommendation	Recommend the appropriate department based on the known patient's information.
Priority Assistance	Handle emergency situations, soothe patient emotions, and offer quick help for patients.
Medical Question Answering	Respond to the patient's inquiries about advice of department and related primary healthcare questions.
Administrative Question Answering	Address administrative queries (such as hospital visit procedures, examination items and department locations) and other non-medical questions from the patient, quickly steering the conversation back to the triage.
Conclusion and Confirmation	Summarize the conversation and confirm if the patient has other issues.

Table 3: Definitions of reception nurse's actions in first visits.

Patient Actions	Actions Definition
Expressing Needs	Describe main symptoms and concerns in the start of conversation.
Information Feedback	Respond to the question raised by the nurse. The accuracy and detail of the feedback should be tailored to the communication style. Responses may include misunderstandings, answering questions not asked, partially answering the questions, or not answering at all.
Mention Other Topic	Mention other topic not related to reception, such as their everyday life and hobbies.
Inquiry	Raise questions based on the dialogue history. Questions may be related to nurse's inquiries or suggestions that the patient do not understand or disagree.
Ending the Conversation	Confirm the recommended department and end the conversation.

Table 4: Definitions of patient's actions in first visits.

C.2 Follow-up Scenario

In follow-up visit scenarios, we refine the action description of reception nurse and patient in Table5 and Table6.

Nurse Actions	Actions Description
Symptom Inquiry	Guide the patient to describe changes in symptoms since their first visit.
Medical History Inquiry	Ask the patient about any updates or additions to their past medical history, adherence to treatment opinions from clinical doctors, and examination results.
Department Recommendation	Recommend the appropriate department (usually the same) based on the medical record of initial consultation.
Priority Assistance	Handle emergency situations, soothe patient emotions, and offer quick help for patients.
Medical Question Answering	Respond to the patient's inquiries about advice of department and related primary healthcare questions.
Administrative Question Answering	Address administrative queries (such as hospital visit procedures, examination items and department locations) and other non-medical questions from the patient, quickly steering the conversation back to the triage.
Conclusion and Confirmation	Summarize the conversation and confirm if the patient has other issues.

Table 5: Descriptions of reception nurse's actions in follow-up visits.

Patient Actions	Actions Definition
Expressing Needs	Propose follow-up needs based on the symptoms from the initial record.
Information Feedback	Respond to the question raised by the nurse. The accuracy and detail of the feedback should be tailored to the communication style. Responses may include misunderstandings, answering questions not asked, partially answering the questions, or not answering at all.
Mention Other Topic	Mention other topic not related to reception, such as their everyday life and hobbies.
Inquiry	Raise questions based on the dialogue history. Questions may be related to nurse's inquiries or suggestions that the patient do not understand or disagree.
Ending the Conversation	The department recommendation has already given. The nurse's inquiries have concluded, or the patient no longer wishes to continue the conversation.

Table 6: Descriptions of Patient's actions in follow-up visits.

D Personality simulation

Big Five Trait	High Marker	Low Marker
Extraversion	Outgoing, Talkative, Bold/Confident, Positive, Energetic, Optimistic/Cheerful	Introverted, Silent, Timid/Unconfident, Negative, Lacking Energy, Melancholy
Agreeableness	Friendly, Trusting, Cooperative, Humble, Easygoing	Aggressive, Distrustful, Dishonest, Uncooperative, Arrogant, Unaccommodating
Conscientiousness	Organized, Diligent, Thorough	Disorganized, Careless, Forgetful
Openness to Experience	Imaginative, Creative, Reflective, Emotionally Sensitive, Curious, Analytical	Unimaginative, Uncreative, Unreflective, Emotionally Closed, Uncurious
Neuroticism	Calm, Patient, Emotionally Stable	Tense, Anxious, Worrisome, Irritable, Impulsive, Easily Dissatisfied, Emotionally Unstable

Table 7: High and low markers pre-defined for BigFive personality traits.

E Experiment Setup Details

E.1 Outpatient Records Details

```
An Example of Data
{
  "auxiliary_examination": "None for now",
  "outpatient_number": 7117935,
  "chief_complaint": "Left kidney cyst discovered during
routine physical examination",
  "physician_signature": "Wang*",
  "preliminary_diagnosis": "Simple renal cyst?",
  "drug_allergy_history": "None",
  "treatment_opinion": "Perform [Ultrasound] of the
kidney; Outpatient follow-up.",
  "present_illness_history": "Left kidney cyst discovered
during routine physical examination",
  "physical_examination": "No edema in the lower limbs",
  "notes": "Regular follow-up, further examination if
necessary.",
  "past_history": "Denies history of hypertension and
diabetes.",
  "department": "Nephrology",
  "age": "49 years",
  "name": "Wang***",
  "gender": "Female",
  "patient_id":
"76684842A011D4F7C900B6BA9661DB1D",
  "visit_date": "2023-07-06 10:44:26"
}
```

Figure 7: An example outpatient medical record.

Each record consists of 17 fields, the field names and their definitions are as follows:

Outpatient Number: The unique id of an outpatient medical record. **Chief Complaint:** The primary reason or main symptom for which a patient seeks medical care. **History of Present Illness:** A detailed account of the patient’s current symptoms and medical condition. **Past Medical History:** A comprehensive summary of a patient’s previous health conditions, medical treatments, surgeries, hospitalizations, chronic illnesses, allergies, and medications. It may also include significant family medical history and lifestyle factors like smoking or alcohol use. **Department:** The specific unit or division within the hospital where a patient is treated. **Drug Allergy History:** A patient’s previous allergic reactions to medications. **Age:** The age of the patient. **Gender:** The gender of the patient. **Name:** The anonymized name of the patient. **Visit Time:** The registration time of the patient’s current visit. **Patient ID:** The unique ID to identify the patient. **Preliminary Diagnosis:** The initial assessment or hypothesis made by doctors. **Physical Examina-**

tion: The systematic evaluation of a patient’s body by the doctor. **Auxiliary examination:** Additional diagnostic tests or procedures that are used to support or confirm a diagnosis. **Notes:** Specially notation given by doctors. **Physician signature:** The official signature provided by the attending doctor who is responsible for the patient’s care. This field has been anonymized. **Treatment opinion:** The professional recommendations or suggestions provided by a doctor for the patient.

An example record in the dataset is shown in Figure 7.

E.2 Prompts for Baselines

In the automatic evaluation, models are prompted to role-play the reception nurse, with the system prompt in Figure 8. The **directly prompted baselines** also share the same instruction.

```
<[System Prompt]>
You are a reception nurse in a hospital outpatient reception.
You should help patients and guide them to the appropriate
department.
The available department options are as follows, and the
final department assignment should be selected from them:
'Dermatology', 'Oncology', 'Neurology',.....
```

Figure 8: Role-play prompt for directly prompted baselines and evaluation.

When training the **SF-ablated nurse**, we directly prompt GPT-4o as reception nurse. The prompt is provided in Figure 9.

```
<[System Prompt]>
You are a reception nurse in a hospital outpatient reception.
You should help patients and guide them to the appropriate
department.
This patient should be guided to { given department label }
in the end.
```

Figure 9: Prompt for normal role-playing data generation method.

All the prompts provided are translated from the original Chinese version.

F Evaluation Details

F.1 Prompts for Automatic Evaluation

Here we provide our prompts for automatic evaluation in Figure 10 and Figure 11. (All the prompts provided are translated from the original Chinese version.)

```

<|User Prompt|>
You are a fair and strict evaluator with a solid clinical background. Your task is to score a conversation happened in outpatient reception between a patient and a nurse. You will be provided with the patient's real information and the department to which they should be assigned. You should evaluate the nurse's performance based on their ability to gather information, as well as the appropriateness and relevance of their inquiries to the patient's actual condition and potential diagnosis, and the accuracy of their department recommendation, all from a clinical expert perspective. You will assign a score from 1 to 5.
Your focus should be on the relevant aspects mentioned above. Do not let the length of the conversation, the language style of the patient or nurse, or other irrelevant factors affect your judgment. Your scoring should be strict enough to ensure a meaningful distinction, and you should avoid giving full marks too easily.
Please return the result in JSON format, as follows:
{"score": score}
###<Patient Information>###
{ real patient information }
###<Assigned Department>###
{ true department label }
###<Conversation>###
{ conversation to be evaluated }

```

Figure 10: Instruction for GPT-4o to provide the Overall Score.

```

<|User Prompt|>
You are a fair and strict evaluator with a solid clinical background. Your task is to score a conversation happened in outpatient reception between a patient and a nurse. You will be provided with the patient's real information and the department to which they should be assigned. You should evaluate the nurses' ability to collect pre-diagnosis information, with a particular focus on gathering information related to the chief complaint and history of present illness. You will assign a score from 1 to 5.
Your focus should be on the relevant aspects mentioned above. Do not let the length of the conversation, the language style of the patient or nurse, or other irrelevant factors affect your judgment. Your scoring should be strict enough to ensure a meaningful distinction, and you should avoid giving full marks too easily.
Please return the result in JSON format, as follows:
{"score": score}
###<Patient Information>###
{ real patient information }
###<Assigned Department>###
{ true department label }
###<Conversation>###
{ conversation to be evaluated }

```

Figure 11: Instruction for GPT-4o to provide the Info. Score.

F.2 Expert Evaluation Details

Fidelity Options

Extremely High: Fully consistent with the behavior of real clinical patients. The speech and behavior logic are indistinguishable from real patients, aligning with the characteristics of real patient groups.

High: Closely resemble the behavior and performance of real clinical patients, with only slight deviations. Overall, the simulation maintains the logical consistency and characteristics of real patient groups.

Moderate: The simulated patient's behavior differs noticeably from real clinical patients. The speech and behavior logic appear unnatural, making it difficult to reflect the characteristics of real patient groups.

Low: The simulated patient's speech and behavior are significantly different from those of real clinical patients. The performance shows clear logical inconsistencies and behavioral issues, resulting in a lack of realism.

G Additional Automatic Evaluation Results




Figure 12: Info Score distribution of different models.




Figure 13: Overall Score distribution of different models.




Figure 14: PASS-Nurse test results: Accuracy grouped by different departments.