

Maximum-norm a posteriori error bounds for parabolic equations discretised by the extrapolated Euler method in time and FEM in space

Torsten Linß* Goran Radojević†

November 22, 2024

Abstract

A class of linear parabolic equations is considered. We derive a framework for the a posteriori error analysis of time discretisations by Richardson extrapolation of arbitrary order combined with finite element discretisations in space. We use the idea of elliptic reconstructions and certain bounds for the Green's function of the parabolic operator. The crucial point in the analysis is the design of suitable polynomial reconstructions in time from approximations that are given only in the mesh points.

Keywords: parabolic problems, maximum-norm a posteriori error estimates, backward Euler, extrapolation, elliptic reconstructions, Green's function.

AMS subject classification (2000): 65M15, 65M50, 65M60.

1 Introduction

Given a second-order linear elliptic operator \mathcal{L} in an open spatial domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with Lipschitz boundary, we consider the linear parabolic equation

$$\mathcal{K}u := \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u = f, \quad \text{in } Q := \Omega \times (0, T], \quad (1a)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad (1b)$$

and the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (1c)$$

There is a vast literature dealing with numerical methods for approximating parabolic problems. One classical example is Thomée's monograph [22] which gives a comprehensive overview of the FEM for (1) and related equations. The subject is also elaborated on in various textbooks, e.g. [9, 16]. The majority of these publications focus on *a priori* error estimation, i.e. convergence results given in terms of the mesh size and the regularity of the *exact* solution.

More recently, the derivation of *a posteriori* error bounds has attracted the attention of many researchers. This approach yields error bounds in terms of the *computed* numerical solution, and therefore yields computable bounds on the error.

Most publications to date study the error in L_2 -norms or in energy norms naturally induced by the variational formulation of the problem, see e.g. [1, 2, 10]. In contrast, our focus is on a posteriori error

*Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, FernUniversität in Hagen, Universitätsstraße 11, 58095 Hagen, Germany, torsten.linss@fernuni-hagen.de

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia, goran.radojevic@dmi.uns.ac.rs.

bounds in the *maximum norm*. The first such results were probably given in [7], however the proofs were deferred to a later paper which seems to have never been published.

A key publication is [20] by Makridakis and Nochetto who introduced the concept of *elliptic reconstructions*. This idea was used in a number of publications to study the FEM combined with various time discretisations: backward Euler, Crank Nicolson and discontinuous Galerkin [4, 5, 12]. The techniques in those papers are all tailored to the particular method(s) under consideration and therefore differ to some extent. Moreover, different — although related — stability results have been used.

In [19] a common framework for the maximum-norm a posteriori error analysis of second order time discretisations was derived and applied to various time discretisations: backward Euler, Crank-Nicolson, a two-step extrapolation method based on backward Euler, the BDF-2 method, the Lobatto IIIC method and a two-stage SDIRK method.

In the present paper we shall study the use of Richardson extrapolations of arbitrary order $L \geq 2$ for the time discretisation, while in space any finite-element method might be used. The case $L = 2$ was studied in [19]. There the point-wise approximations in the nodes of the temporal mesh were joined by “straight lines” (i.e. Π_1 -interpolation) to obtain a continuous approximation on the whole time interval $[0, T]$. For $L > 2$ the design of this *temporal reconstruction* is more delicate. To match to order L of the method, on each subinterval the reconstruction should use a polynomial of degree $L - 1$. However, only in the mesh points the approximation is of order L , all other auxilliary approximations constructed during the extrapolation process are of lower order and therefore useless for our purpose. This crucial issue will be addressed in §4.4.2.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we specify our assumptions on the data of problem (1) and recapitulate certain aspects of the existence theory. Section 3 contains details of the time discretisation by the Richardson extrapolation technique applied to the implicit Euler method.

In Section 4 we develop our a posteriori error analysis. We formulate the assumptions for the analysis in §4.1 (existence of error estimators for the elliptic problems) and in §4.2 (bounds on the Green’s function of the parabolic problem). In §4.3 the concept of elliptic reconstructions is recalled, while the main result is derived in §4.4.

2 Weak formulation

We shall study (1) in its standard variational form, cf. [9, §5.1.1]. The appropriate Gelfand triple consists of the spaces

$$V = H_0^1(\Omega), \quad H = L_2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad V^* = H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, by $a(\cdot, \cdot): V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote the bilinear form associated with the elliptic operator \mathcal{L} , while $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V^* \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the duality pairing and $(\cdot, \cdot): H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the scalar product in H . Also we shall denote by $\|\cdot\|_{q,D}$ the standard norm in $L_q(D)$, $q \in [1, \infty]$.

The solution u of (1) may be considered as a mapping $[0, T] \rightarrow V: t \mapsto u(t)$, and we will denote its (temporal) derivative by u' (and $\partial_t u$). Let

$$W_2^1(0, T; V, H) := \{v \in L_2(0, T; V) : v' \in L_2(0, T; V^*)\}.$$

Then our variational formulation of (1) reads: Given $u^0 \in H$ and $F \in L_2(0, T; V^*)$, find $u \in W_2^1(0, T; V, H)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), \chi) + a(u(t), \chi) = \langle F(t), \chi \rangle \quad \forall \chi \in V, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (2a)$$

and

$$u(0) = u^0. \quad (2b)$$

This problem possesses a unique solution. In the sequel we shall assume that the source term F is more regular and can be represented as $\langle F(t), \chi \rangle = (f, \chi)$, $\forall v \in V$, with a function $f \in L_2(0, T; H)$

We are interested in maximum-norm error estimates. Therefore, we have to make further assumptions on the data to ensure that the solution can be evaluated pointwise. To this end, we assume that the initial and boundary data satisfy the zero-th order compatibility condition, i.e. $u^0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and that u^0 is Hölder continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. Under standard assumptions on f and \mathcal{L} , problem (1) possesses a unique solution that is continuous on \bar{Q} ; see [15, §5, Theorem 6.4].

3 Time discretisation

Let a mesh in time be given by

$$\omega: 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_M = T,$$

with mesh intervals $I_j := (t_{j-1}, t_j)$ and step sizes $\tau_j := t_j - t_{j-1}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Furthermore, for $\xi \in [0, 1]$, set $t_{j-\xi} := t_j - \xi\tau_j$, and for any function $v \in C^0([0, T], L_2(\Omega))$ let $v^{j-\xi} := v(t_{j-\xi})$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, M$.

For the temporal discretisation of (2) we shall use Richardson extrapolation with $L \geq 2$ extrapolation steps applied to the backward Euler method. We slightly modify (2) by replacing f by \hat{f} , where $\hat{f}|_{I_j} \in \Pi_{L-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, M$, interpolates f at the mesh points and on $L-2$ further points on each mesh interval I_j .

For the spatial discretisation, let V_h be a finite dimensional (FE-)subspace of V , and let $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_h$ be approximations of the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ and of the scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) . These may involve quadrature, for example. Furthermore, let $u_h^0 \in V_h$ be an approximation of the initial condition u^0 ,

Then our discretisation is as follows:

Algorithm.

S1: Initialise constants

$$\alpha_\ell := \frac{|\mathbf{e}^\ell|_{\mathbf{B}}}{|\mathbf{1}|_{\mathbf{B}}}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{B} := (b_{ik}) \in \mathbb{R}^{L,L-1}, \quad b_{im} = 1/i^m.$$

S2: Define initial approximations

FOR $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L$ DO

$$v_\ell^0 = u_h^0$$

ENDFOR // ℓ

S3: Time stepping procedure

FOR $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ DO

FOR $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L$ DO

FOR $k = \ell - 1, \dots, 0$ DO

Find $v_\ell^{j-k/\ell}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{v_\ell^{j-k/\ell} - v_\ell^{j-(k+1)/\ell}}{\tau_j/\ell}, \chi \right)_h + a_h(v_\ell^{j-k/\ell}, \chi) = (\hat{f}^{j-k/\ell}, \chi)_h, \quad \forall \chi \in V_h$$

ENDFOR // k

ENDFOR // ℓ

$$u_h^j := \sum_{\ell=1}^L \alpha_\ell v_\ell^j \quad \left(\approx u(t_j) \right)$$

ENDFOR // j

4 A posteriori error analysis

Our analysis uses three main ingredients:

- a posteriori error bounds for the elliptic problem $\mathcal{L}y = g$, see §4.1,
- bounds for the GREEN's function associated with the parabolic operator \mathcal{K} , see §4.2 and
- the idea of elliptic reconstructions introduced by Makridakis and Nochetto [20], see §4.3.

In §4.4, after these concepts have been reviewed, we derive an a posteriori error estimator for the extrapolated Euler method (and FEM in space) applied to (1). As mentioned earlier, the crucial point will be the design of the temporal reconstruction of u_h .

4.1 A posteriori error estimation for the elliptic problem

Given $g \in H$, consider the elliptic boundary-value problem of finding $y \in V$ such that

$$a(y, \chi) = (g, \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in V, \quad (3)$$

and its discretisation of finding $y_h \in V_h$ such that

$$a_h(y_h, \chi) = (g, \chi)_h, \quad \forall \chi \in V_h. \quad (4)$$

Assumption 1. *There exists an a posteriori error estimator η for the FEM (4) applied to the elliptic problem (3) with*

$$\|y_h - y\|_{\infty, \Omega} \leq \eta(y_h, g).$$

A few error estimators of this type are available in the literature. We mention some of them.

- Nochetto et al. [21] study the semilinear problem $-\Delta u + g(\cdot, u) = 0$ in up to three space dimensions. They give a posteriori error bounds for arbitrary order FEM on quasiuniform triangulations.
- Demlow and Kopteva [3] too consider arbitrary order FEM on quasiuniform triangulations, but for the singularly perturbed equation $-\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + g(\cdot, u) = 0$. A posteriori error estimates are established that are robust in the perturbation parameter. Furthermore, in [11] for the same problem P_1 -FEM on *anisotropic* meshes are investigated, while Kopteva and Rankin [14] derive related results for the discontinuous Galerkin FEM.
- Convection dominated problems have been studied by Demlow, Franz and Kopteva [6]. A posteriori error bounds in the maximum norm are given for classical conforming and for stabilised FEM.
- In [17, 18] arbitrary order FEM for the linear problem $-\varepsilon^2 u'' + ru = g$ in $(0, 1)$, $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ are considered. In contrast to the afore mentioned contributions all constants appearing in the error estimator are given explicitly.

4.2 Green's functions

Let the GREEN's function associated with \mathcal{K} and an arbitrary point $x \in \Omega$ be denoted by \mathcal{G} , Then for all $\varphi \in W_2^1(0, T; V, H)$

$$\varphi(x, t) = (\varphi(0), \mathcal{G}(t)) + \int_0^t \langle (\mathcal{K}\varphi)(s), \mathcal{G}(t-s) \rangle ds, \quad \text{see [8].} \quad (5)$$

The GREEN's function $\mathcal{G}: \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \in (0, T]$, solves, for fixed x ,

$$\partial_t \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{L}^* \mathcal{G} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \mathcal{G}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \mathcal{G}(0) = \delta_x = \delta(\cdot - x).$$

Assumption 2. *There exist non-negative constants κ_0 , κ_1 , κ'_1 and γ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{G}(t)\|_{1, \Omega} \leq \kappa_0 e^{-\gamma t} =: \varphi_0(t), \quad \|\partial_t \mathcal{G}(t)\|_{1, \Omega} \leq \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{t} + \kappa'_1 \right) e^{-\gamma t} =: \varphi_1(t), \quad (6)$$

for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $t \in [0, T]$.

A more detailed discussion of problem classes for which such results are available is given in [13, §2], see also [12, Appendix A].

4.3 Elliptic reconstruction

Given approximations $u_h \in C^0([0, T], V_h)$ of u and $\hat{f} \in C^0([0, T], L_2(\Omega))$ of f , we define $\psi \in C^0([0, T], V_h)$ by

$$(\psi(t), \chi)_h = a_h(u_h(t), \chi) - (\hat{f}(t), \chi)_h \quad \forall \chi \in V_h, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (7)$$

This can be reformulated as an ‘‘elliptic’’ problem:

$$a_h(u_h(t), \chi) = (\hat{f} + \psi(t), \chi)_h \quad \forall \chi \in V_h, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (8)$$

Next, let $R(t) \in C^0([0, T], H_0^1(\Omega))$ solve

$$a(R(t), \chi) = (\hat{f}(t) + \psi(t), \chi) \quad \forall \chi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (9)$$

or for short:

$$\mathcal{L}R(t) = \hat{f}(t) + \psi(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (10)$$

The function R is referred to as the elliptic reconstruction of u_h , [20].

Now, $u_h(t)$ can be regarded as the finite-element approximation of $R(t)$ obtained by (8). Its error $E(t) := R(t) - u_h(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, can be bounded using the estimator from §4.1:

$$\|E(t)\|_\infty = \|(u_h - R)(t)\|_\infty \leq \eta_{\text{ell}}(t) := \eta(u_h(t), (\hat{f} + \psi)(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (11)$$

Remark 1. By (7) and the inner loop of the time stepping procedure we have for the Richardson extrapolation

$$\psi(t_j) = \psi^j = - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \alpha_\ell \frac{v_\ell^j - v_\ell^{j-1/\ell}}{\tau_j/\ell}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (12)$$

4.4 A posteriori error estimation for the parabolic problem

We are now in a position to derive the main result of the paper.

4.4.1 General framework

We use the GREEN’S function representation (5) with φ replaced by $u - u_h$ to express the error at final time T and for any $x \in \Omega$ as

$$(u(T) - u_h^M)(x) = (u - u_h)(x, T) = (u^0 - u_h^0, \mathcal{G}(T)) + \int_0^T \langle (\mathcal{K}(u - u_h)(s), \mathcal{G}(T - s)) \rangle ds. \quad (13)$$

This involves the residual of u_h in the differential equation for which we have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(u - u_h)(s) &= f(s) - \mathcal{L}u_h(s) - \partial_t u_h(s) \\ &= (f - \hat{f})(s) + \mathcal{L}E(s) - \psi(t) - \partial_t u_h(s), \quad s \in [0, T] \setminus \omega_t, \end{aligned}$$

by (10) and $E = R - u_h$. This is substituted into (13) to give

$$\begin{aligned} (u(T) - u_h^M)(x) &= (u^0 - u_h^0, \mathcal{G}(T)) + \int_0^T ((f - \hat{f})(s), \mathcal{G}(T - s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{L}E(s), \mathcal{G}(T - s) \rangle ds - \int_0^T \langle (\psi + \partial_t u_h)(s), \mathcal{G}(T - s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The first two terms can be bounded using Hölder’s inequality and (6):

$$\left| (u^0 - u_h^0, \mathcal{G}(T)) \right| \leq \kappa_0 \sigma_0 \|u^0 - u_h^0\|_{\infty, \Omega} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{I_j} ((f - \hat{f})(s), \mathcal{G}(T - s)) ds \right| \leq \kappa_0 \sigma_j \int_{I_j} \|(f - \hat{f})(s)\|_{\infty, \Omega} ds, \quad (16)$$

where we have set

$$\sigma_j := \exp(-\gamma(T - t_j)), \quad j = 0, \dots, M. \quad (17)$$

The remaining two terms in (14), namely

$$\int_0^T \langle \mathcal{L}E(s), \mathcal{G}(T - s) \rangle ds \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \langle (\psi + \partial_t u_h)(s), \mathcal{G}(T - s) \rangle ds$$

have to be treated individually depending on the (piecewise) polynomial degree of the temporal reconstruction u_h .

4.4.2 Temporal reconstructions

The temporal reconstruction of the u_h^j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, will be designed in a systematic manner. Starting from a continuous piecewise Π_1 -interpolation, on each interval I_j we add polynomial bubbles. A suitable basis for these are the integrated Legendre polynomials.

Let P_i denote the Legendre polynomial of order $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the integrated Legendre polynomials N_i are defined by

$$N_{i+1}(\xi) := \int_{-1}^{\xi} P_i(\zeta) d\zeta = (\xi^2 - 1) \frac{P'_i(\xi)}{i(i+1)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that

$$N_{i+1} = \frac{P_{i+1} - P_{i-1}}{2i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{i+1}(-1) = N_{i+1}(1) = 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, we define the temporal reconstruction for the L -step Richardson extrapolation method, $L \geq 2$. To this end, let both the reconstruction u_h and the interpolant \hat{f} of f be of polynomial degree $L - 1$ on each \bar{I}_j . This degree matches the order of the time discretisation. Because of (7), both the function ψ and the elliptic reconstruction R are of polynomial degree $L - 1$ on \bar{I}_j too.

Any function $\varphi \in C^0([0, T], V)$ that is of piecewise polynomial degree $L - 1$ can be written as

$$\varphi = \Delta^0 \varphi^j + \Delta^1 \varphi^j P_1 \circ \xi_j + \sum_{i=2}^{L-1} \Delta^i \varphi^j N_i \circ \xi_j \quad \text{in } \bar{I}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (18)$$

where

$$\Delta^0 := \frac{\varphi^j + \varphi^{j-1}}{2}, \quad \Delta^1 \varphi^j := \frac{\varphi^j - \varphi^{j-1}}{2},$$

while the $\xi_j: \bar{I}_j \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ are affine maps from the time intervals \bar{I}_j onto the reference interval $[-1, 1]$:

$$\xi_j: \bar{I}_j \rightarrow [-1, 1]: s \mapsto \xi_j(s) := \frac{2(s - t_{j-1/2})}{\tau_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

Note that the $\Delta^i \varphi^j$, $i = 2, \dots, p$, uniquely define φ on \bar{I}_j . On the other hand, prescribing the values of φ at additional $L - 2$ points in I_j will determine the $\Delta^i \varphi^j$.

While the $\Delta^i \hat{f}^j$ are uniquely defined by the particular interpolation of f used in the implementation of the Richardson extrapolation procedure, the $\Delta^i u_h^j$, $i = 2, \dots, L - 1$, have to be chosen carefully to obtain suitable a posteriori error bounds.

As stated, on each I_j the functions/reconstructions \hat{f} , u_h , ψ and R are elements of Π_{L-1} , and in view of (7), (8) and (9), we have

$$a_h(\Delta^i u_h^j, \chi) = (\Delta^i \psi^j + \Delta^i \hat{f}^j, \chi)_h \quad \forall \chi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, L-1, \quad (19)$$

and

$$a(\Delta^i R^j, \chi) = (\Delta^i \hat{f}^j + \Delta^i \psi^j, \chi) \quad \forall \chi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, L-1.$$

The latter and (11) imply

$$\|\Delta^i E^j\|_\infty \leq \eta_{\text{ell},i}^j := \eta(\Delta^i u_h^j, \Delta^i \hat{f}^j + \Delta^i \psi^j), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, L-1, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (20)$$

We now define the $\Delta^i u_h^j$ and $\Delta^i \psi^j$, $i = 2, \dots, L-1$, by carefully examining $\psi + \partial_t u_h$, the last term in (14). For $L = 2$, we have

$$\psi + \partial_t u_h = \Delta^0 \psi^j + \frac{2\Delta^1 u_h^j}{\tau_j} + \Delta^1 \psi^j P_1 \circ \xi_j \quad \text{in } I_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M, \quad (21)$$

while for $L > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi + \partial_t u_h = & \Delta^0 \psi^j + \frac{2\Delta^1 u_h^j}{\tau_j} - \frac{\Delta^2 \psi^j}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^{L-3} \left\{ \frac{\Delta^i \psi^j}{2i-1} + \frac{2}{\tau_j} \Delta^{i+1} u_h^j - \frac{\Delta^{i+2} \psi^j}{2i+3} \right\} P_i \circ \xi_j \\ & + \left\{ \frac{\Delta^{L-2} \psi^j}{2L-5} + \frac{2}{\tau_j} \Delta^{L-1} u_h^j \right\} P_{L-2} \circ \xi_j + \frac{\Delta^{L-1} \psi^j}{2L-3} P_{L-1} \circ \xi_j \quad \text{in } I_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to defining the $\Delta^i \psi^j$ as follows

$$\frac{\Delta^2 \psi^j}{3} := \Delta^0 \psi^j + \frac{2\Delta^1 u_h^j}{\tau_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Delta^{i+2} \psi^j}{2i+3} := \frac{\Delta^i \psi^j}{2i-1} + \frac{2}{\tau_j} \Delta^{i+1} u_h^j, \quad i = 1, \dots, L-3, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

The $\Delta^i u_h^j$ are then computed according to (19). With these definitions of the quantities $\Delta^i \psi^j$ and $\Delta^i u_h^j$, we have

$$\psi + \partial_t u_h = \left\{ \frac{\Delta^{L-2} \psi^j}{2L-5} + \frac{2}{\tau_j} \Delta^{L-1} u_h^j \right\} P_{L-2} \circ \xi_j + \frac{\Delta^{L-1} \psi^j}{2L-3} P_{L-1} \circ \xi_j \quad \text{in } I_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (22)$$

Both (21) and (22) take the form

$$\psi + \partial_t u_h = \alpha_L P_{L-2} \circ \xi_j + \beta_L P_{L-1} \circ \xi_j \quad \text{in } I_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M,$$

where α_L and β_L may vary in space, but are constant in time. The following lemma will be used to bound those terms.

Lemma 1. *Let $v \in V$ be arbitrary. Then*

$$\left| \int_{I_j} \langle v, \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle P_i(\xi_j(s)) ds \right| \leq \sigma_j \mu_{j,i} \|v\|_{\infty, \Omega}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad j = 1, \dots, M,$$

where the σ_j have been defined in (17) and

$$\mu_{j,i} = \begin{cases} \kappa_0 \tau_j, & \text{for } i = 0, \\ \min \left\{ \frac{\kappa_0 \tau_j}{2} \|P_i\|_{1,(-1,1)}, \frac{\kappa_1}{\tau_j} \int_{I_j} \frac{(t_j-t)(t-t_{j-1})}{T-t} dt + \kappa'_1 \frac{\tau_j^2}{24} \right\}, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, the Hölder inequality and (6) yield

$$\left| \int_{I_j} \langle v, \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle P_i(\xi_j(s)) ds \right| \leq \|v\|_{\infty, \Omega} \int_{I_j} \varphi_0(T-s) |P_i(\xi_j(s))| ds \leq \|v\|_{\infty, \Omega} \frac{\kappa_0 \sigma_j \tau_j}{2} \|P_i\|_{1, (-1, 1)}. \quad (23)$$

Note that $P_0 \equiv 1$ and therefore, $\|P_0\|_{1, (-1, 1)} = 2$.

If $i \geq 1$, then integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_j} \langle v, \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle P_i(\xi_j(s)) ds &= \frac{\tau_j}{2} \int_{I_j} \langle v, \mathcal{G}'(T-s) \rangle N_{i+1}(\xi_j(s)) ds \\ &= \frac{2}{\tau_j} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} \int_{I_j} \langle v, \mathcal{G}'(T-t) \rangle P'_i(\xi_j(t)) (t-t_j)(t-t_{j-1}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus — by Hölder's inequality and (6) —

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{I_j} \langle v, \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle P_i(\xi_j(s)) ds \right| &\leq \frac{2}{\tau_j} \|v\|_{\infty, \Omega} \frac{\|P'_i \circ \xi_j\|_{\infty, I_j}}{i(i+1)} \int_{I_j} \varphi_1(T-s)(t_j-s)(s-t_{j-1}) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_j} \|v\|_{\infty, \Omega} \int_{I_j} \varphi_1(T-s)(t_j-s)(s-t_{j-1}) ds, \end{aligned}$$

because $\|P'_i\|_{\infty, (-1, 1)} = i(i+1)/2$. Next, by (6)

$$\left| \int_{I_j} \langle v, \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle P_i(\xi_j(s)) ds \right| \leq \|v\|_{\infty, \Omega} \sigma_j \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{\tau_j} \int_{I_j} \frac{(t_j-s)(s-t_{j-1})}{T-s} ds + \kappa'_1 \frac{\tau_j^2}{24} \right) \quad (24)$$

Taking the minimum of (23) and (24), we obtain the desired result. \square

Remark 2. Note that

$$\int_{I_j} \frac{(t_j-t)(t-t_{j-1})}{T-t} dt = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_j^2}{2} + (T-t_j) \left[\tau_j + (T-t_{j-1}) \ln \left(1 + \frac{\tau_j}{T-t_j} \right) \right], & j = 1, \dots, M-1, \\ \frac{\tau_M^2}{2}, & j = M. \end{cases}$$

4.4.3 Error contributions by the spatial discretisation

One term in (14) still needs our attention:

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \int_{I_j} \langle \mathcal{L}E(s), \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle ds.$$

It captures the error contributions arising from the spatial discretisation.

The properties of the Green's function yield

$$\langle \mathcal{L}E(s), \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle = \langle E(s), \mathcal{L}^* \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle = -\langle E(s), \partial_t \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle,$$

which we integrate by parts to give

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \int_{I_j} \langle \mathcal{L}E(s), \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle ds = \langle E^M, \mathcal{G}(0) \rangle - \langle E^0, \mathcal{G}(T) \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{I_j} \langle \partial_t E(s), \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle ds.$$

Hölder's inequality and (6) give

$$\left| \langle E^0, \mathcal{G}(T) \rangle \right| + \left| \langle E^M, \mathcal{G}(0) \rangle \right| \leq \kappa_0 \{ \sigma_0 \eta_{\text{ell}}^0 + \eta_{\text{ell}}^M \} \quad (25)$$

Next, note that

$$\partial_t E = \frac{2}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \Delta^i E^j P_{i-1} \circ \xi_j \quad \text{in } I_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

Consequently, using (6) and (20)

$$\left| \int_{I_j} \langle \partial_t E(s), \mathcal{G}(T-s) \rangle ds \right| \leq \frac{2}{\tau_j} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \|\Delta^i E^j\|_{\infty, \Omega} \int_{I_j} \varphi_0(T-s) |P_{i-1} \circ \xi_j| ds \leq \kappa_0 \sigma_j \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \eta_{\text{ell}, i}^j \|P_{i-1}\|_{1, (-1, 1)}.$$

4.4.4 A posteriori error bound

We gather the results of §4.4.1, §4.4.2 and §4.4.3 to establish our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $u_h^j \in V_h$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, M$, be the sequence of approximations to $u(t_j)$ generated by the L -step extrapolation method introduced in Section 3. Then*

$$\|u(T) - u_h^M\|_{\infty, \Omega} \leq \eta^M := \eta_{\text{init}} + \eta_f + \eta_\psi + \eta_\Psi + \eta_{\text{ell}}$$

where the components of the error estimator η^M are

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\text{init}} &:= \kappa_0 \sigma_0 \|u^0 - u_h^0\|_{\infty, \Omega}, \quad \eta_f := \kappa_0 \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j \int_{I_j} \|(f - \hat{f})(s)\|_{\infty, \Omega} ds, \\ \eta_t &:= \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_j \left(\mu_{j, L-2} \left\| \frac{\Delta^{L-2} \psi^j}{2L-5} + \frac{2}{\tau_j} \Delta^{L-1} u_h^j \right\|_{\infty, \Omega} + \frac{\mu_{j, L-1}}{2L-3} \|\Delta^{L-1} \psi^j\|_{\infty, \Omega} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta_{\text{ell}} := \kappa_0 \left\{ \sigma_0 \eta_{\text{ell}}^0 + \eta_{\text{ell}}^M \right\} + \kappa_0 \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_j \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \eta_{\text{ell}, i}^j \|P_{i-1}\|_{1, (-1, 1)}.$$

Remark 3. (i) *In general, the supremum norms in these estimates can not be determined exactly, but need to be approximated. For example, one can evaluate $\|u^0 - u_h^0\|$ at a fixed number of points in each subdomain of the triangulation of Ω (excluding interpolation of quadrature points).*

(ii) *The integrals $\int_{I_j} \|(f - \hat{f})(s)\|_{\infty, \Omega} ds$ need to be approximated as well. One possibility is the use of sufficiently accurate quadrature rules, that take into account the polynomial degree of the interpolant \hat{f} .*

References

- [1] M. Bieterman and I. Babuška. The finite element method for parabolic equations. I. A posteriori error estimation. *Numer. Math.*, 40(3):339–371, 1982.
- [2] M. Bieterman and I. Babuška. The finite element method for parabolic equations. II. A posteriori error estimation and adaptive approach. *Numer. Math.*, 40(3):373–406, 1982.
- [3] A. Demlow and N. Kopteva. Maximum-norm a posteriori error estimates for singularly perturbed elliptic reaction-diffusion problems. *Numer. Math.*, 133(4):707–742, 2016.
- [4] A. Demlow, O. Lakkis, and C. Makridakis. A posteriori error estimates in the maximum norm for parabolic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47(3):2157–2176, 2009.
- [5] A. Demlow and Ch. Makridakis. Sharply local pointwise a posteriori error estimates for parabolic problems. *Math. Comp.*, 79(271):1233–1262, 2010.

- [6] Alan Demlow, Sebastian Franz, and Natalia Kopteva. Maximum norm *a posteriori* error estimates for convection-diffusion problems. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 43(5):2562–2584, 2023.
- [7] K. Eriksson and C. Johnson. Adaptive finite element methods for parabolic problems. II. Optimal error estimates in $L_\infty L_2$ and $L_\infty L_\infty$. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 32(3):706–740, 1995.
- [8] A. Friedman. *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1964.
- [9] Ch. Grossmann, H.-G. Roos, and M. Stynes. *Numerical treatment of partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [10] C. Johnson, Yi Yong Nie, and V. Thomée. An a posteriori error estimate and adaptive timestep control for a backward Euler discretization of a parabolic problem. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 27(2):277–291, 1990.
- [11] N. Kopteva. Maximum-norm a posteriori error estimates for singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion problems on anisotropic meshes. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 53(6):2519–2544, 2015.
- [12] N. Kopteva and T. Linß. Maximum norm a posteriori error estimation for parabolic problems using elliptic reconstructions. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 51(3):1494–1524, 2013.
- [13] N. Kopteva and T. Linß. Improved maximum-norm a posteriori error estimates for linear and semi-linear parabolic equations. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 43(5):999–1022, 2017.
- [14] Natalia Kopteva and Richard Rankin. Pointwise a posteriori error estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods for singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 61(4):1938–1961, 2023.
- [15] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural'tseva. *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*. American Mathematical Society, 1968.
- [16] M. G. Larson and F. Bengzon. *The finite element method: theory, implementation, and applications*, volume 10 of *Texts in Computational Science and Engineering*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [17] T. Linß. Maximum-norm error analysis of a non-monotone FEM for a singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion problem. *BIT*, 47(2):379–391, 2007.
- [18] T. Linß. A posteriori error estimation for arbitrary order FEM applied to singularly perturbed one-dimensional reaction-diffusion problems. *Appl. Math.*, 59(3):241–256, 2014.
- [19] T. Linß, M. Ossadnik, and G. Radojev. A unified approach to maximum-norm *a posteriori* error estimation for second-order time discretizations of parabolic equations. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 44(3):1644–1659, 2024.
- [20] Ch. Makridakis and R. H. Nochetto. Elliptic reconstruction and a posteriori error estimates for parabolic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 41(4):1585–1594, 2003.
- [21] R. H. Nochetto, A. Schmidt, K. G. Siebert, and A. Ve eser. Pointwise a posteriori error estimates for monotone semi-linear equations. *Numer. Math.*, 104(4):515–538, 2006.
- [22] V. Thomée. *Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems*, volume 25 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2006.