

Integrated Water Resource Management in the Segura Hydrographic Basin: an Artificial Intelligence Approach

Urtzi Otamendi^{a,b,*}, Mikel Maiza^a, Igor G. Olaizola^a, Basilio Sierra^b, Markel Flores^a, Marco Quartulli^a

^a*Vicomtech Foundation, Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Donostia-San Sebastián 20009, Spain*

^b*Department of Computer Sciences and Artificial Intelligence, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Donostia-San Sebastián 20018, Spain*

Abstract

Managing resources effectively in uncertain demand, variable availability, and complex governance policies is a significant challenge. This paper presents a paradigmatic framework for addressing these issues in water management scenarios by integrating advanced physical modelling, remote sensing techniques, and Artificial Intelligence algorithms. The proposed approach accurately predicts water availability, estimates demand, and optimizes resource allocation on both short- and long-term basis, combining a comprehensive hydrological model, agronomic crop models for precise demand estimation, and Mixed-Integer Linear Programming for efficient resource distribution. In the study case of the Segura Hydrographic Basin, the approach successfully allocated approximately 642 million cubic meters (hm^3) of water over six months, minimizing the deficit to 9.7% of the total estimated demand. The methodology demonstrated significant environmental benefits, reducing CO₂ emissions while optimizing resource distribution. This robust solution supports informed decision-making processes, ensuring sustainable water management across diverse contexts. The generalizability of this approach allows its adaptation to other basins, contributing to improved governance and policy implementation on a broader scale. Ultimately, the methodology has been validated and integrated into the operational water management practices in the Segura Hydrographic Basin in Spain.

Keywords: water management; decision-making; optimization; remote sensing; Artificial Intelligence

1. Introduction

Efficient and sustainable water resource management constitutes a critical challenge due to increasing demand and environmental constraints. With approximately 4 billion people confronting water scarcity annually (Mekonnen & Hoekstra, 2016), threats like pollution and climate change-induced droughts and floods are increasing. Effectively managing these challenges requires a holistic approach and integrated solutions for water management.

The Segura Hydrographic Basin, situated in south-eastern Spain, is a semi-arid region characterized by a favourable climate and a scarcity of rainfall (Lopez-Bermudez et al., 1998; Swyngedouw, 2014). These distinctive aspects, combined with the geological characteristics and soil richness, make it conducive to agricultural activity (Puy & Balbo, 2013; Aguillo & Portero, 2002). Approximately 87% of resources are exclusively dedicated to agrarian practices (CHS, 2015). However, over the past three decades, water demand has consistently exceeded availability, resulting in a persistent water deficit aggravated by intensive exploitation and recurring droughts (Pulido-Velazquez et al., 2022; Garcia Galiano et al., 2015).

In response to the intrinsic environmental constraints of the region, the escalating demand, and water pollution, optimum water resource management has emerged as an important necessity (Ródenas & Albacete, 2013). In the last decades, several studies and works have conducted a shift away from sectoral and disjointed management toward the adoption of Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) (Aldaya et al., 2019), facilitating a broader analysis that encompasses the interconnection of social, economic, and governance factors (Grindlay et al., 2011a). IWRM is inherently complex, particularly when considering the role of humans within these systems. It is crucial to highlight clear assumptions regarding the limitations imposed by human factors and their significant influence on the efficacy of IWRM.

In resource management and decision-making, it is imperative to incorporate an understanding of the dynamic environmental conditions (Trunk et al., 2020; Xiang et al., 2021). Within this application context, the scenario is subject to active changes due to environmental factors, such as climate variability or seasonal fluctuations in resource use. Therefore, precise monitoring and forecasting of these changes are crucial (Chen et al., 2021; Wang & Cai, 2009; Hamlet & Lettenmaier, 2000). Further, water capacities and resources exhibit variability, as do the associated demands (CHS, 2015). Considering both aspects

*Corresponding author.

Email address: uotamendi@vicomtech.org (Urtzi Otamendi)




Figure 1: Overview of the proposed Integrated Water Resources Management pipeline in the Segura Hydrographic Basin.

and leveraging the capacity to estimate and predict future conditions, decision-making capacities are enhanced, facilitating optimal and efficient management of water resources (Muratoglu et al., 2022).

Current Integrated Water Resources Management systems use simulation models and historical data for decision-making. Most of these techniques lack the use of forward-looking data, contemplating only a static environment, even though we are dealing with a dynamic scenario such as the hydrological one. The use of artificial intelligence prediction techniques has helped to improve the performance of other decision-making domains. In this work, we propose as a novelty the use of AI models, machine learning, and generative AI to improve the monitoring of the environment and the consequent decision-making.

This paper presents an approach for Integrated Water Resources Management in the Segura Hydrographic Basin (refer to Figure 1). The work focuses on developing an intelligent decision-making system to facilitate efficient and sustainable water resource management in the region. This methodology offers a comprehensive and integrated pipeline using advanced techniques to forecast available resources and estimate demands across the basin’s expanse. The proposed solution optimizes the resources-to-demands distribution of water on both a daily and long-term (6-7 months) basis. The daily or short-term simulation takes 15 days, defined by the governance processes in the basin. The novel approach considers the diverse water demand fluctuations (urban, agricultural, industrial, touristic, and wetlands) and important KPIs associated with the different uses of water, such as environmental impact, measured in CO2 emissions, and economic impact.

This decision-making system encompasses multiple stages throughout the pipeline:

1. **Weather Forecasting:** combines data from atmospheric forecasting services and historical data from

local weather stations. This information is processed via a Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) system to extract crucial variables, subsequently adjusting them to align with Segura Basin’s specific features.

2. **Distributed Hydrological Model (DHM):** it entails the simulation of water flow, storage and dynamic interaction of interconnected hydrological components using historical and real-time sensor data combined with weather forecasts.
3. **Agronomic Modeling:** it is performed by leveraging historical earth observation (EO) and weather forecasting data using Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques. This stage includes developing agronomic crop models to predict irrigation demands and biomass production.
4. **Decision-making system:** it makes use of a Complementary Hydrological Model (CHM) representing the Segura Hydrographic Basin to simulate the effects of the decisions in the water availability. The algorithm determines the optimal actions to supply the predicted demand with estimated and simulated resources while considering CO2 emissions and economic impact.

As a result of the research work, the proposed decision-making approach is validated and integrated within a real operational framework. The algorithms implemented in this system are connected with real-time sensing systems, thus providing the capability of making predictions and estimations for the monitoring data.

The remainder of the article is structured as follows: Section 2 formally describes the hydrological structure of the Segura Hydrographic Basin and the central water management problems. Section 3 describes the proposed solution and associated pipeline of services, briefly introducing technological details. Section 4 illustrates the functioning

of the decision-making process in a real scenario, including the description of some exemplary results and their discussion. Section 4.1 presents the integration of the developed approach in a real operational scenario. Finally, Section 5 contains the concluding remarks.

2. Problem description

The Segura Hydrographic Basin is one of Europe’s most intricate river systems (Grindlay et al., 2011b), presenting a critical challenge for Integrated Water Resources Management. In addition to limited water resources, governance complexities increase management difficulties, such as intense competition among water users, especially in agriculture.

The frequency of pollution incidents in water bodies has increased the need for strict regulations on surface water resources (de Dios Gomez-Gomez et al., 2022). As a consequence, reliance on groundwater is significant, supplemented by unconventional sources like transfers from neighbouring river basins, treated wastewater reuse, and desalination plants (Ródenas & Albacete, 2013; Lapuente, 2012). Managing water demand in the basin involves addressing several key factors:

- Adjusting water volume demands while considering supply guarantees, quality standards to combat pollution, and economic and environmental impact.
- Legal constraints related to water use rights and water transfer regulations. For instance, governance complexity considerably increases when historically determined irrigation rights in agricultural activities do not correspond with the required irrigation demand of the harvest.

Moreover, with agriculture dominating water usage (87%), competition for water use rights intensifies. The basin annually demands an average of $1,700\text{hm}^3$ of water, where 800hm^3 are from the basin’s natural resources (CHS, 2023a). Strategic measures such as promoting reuse, regulating flows, managing aquifer exploitation, and implementing desalination are critical.

Regarding water quality, the Segura Hydrographic Basin faces several water pollution problems. These incidents, arising from industry, agriculture, and urban areas, considerably degrade water, aggravating the pressure on limited resources. Agricultural activities aggravate pollution due to fertilizers and pesticides, contributing to contamination. Urbanization and industrialization also contribute to pollution, with wastewater discharges containing heavy metals and organic compounds posing risks to ecosystems and human health.

The above issues amplify the challenge of ensuring water quality and sustainability. Managing the imbalance between resources and demand requires comprehensive strategies tackling scarcity and pollution issues. Integrated approaches enclosing pollution prevention, efficient water use

and reuse, and ecosystem restoration are critical to mitigating these dual challenges.

2.1. Available Resources

The Segura Hydrographic Basin spans an approximate surface area of $19,025\text{ km}^2$. Its hydrographic network primarily comprises the Segura River and its branches. The basin has been subdivided into 14 hydraulic zones, taking into account hydrographic, administrative, socioeconomic, and environmental factors (CHS, 2023e).

Precipitation data from 1980/81 to 2017/18 CEDEX (2017) reveals that the average annual precipitation in the basin varies. It exhibits seasonal and spatial variations, with wet months occurring mainly in autumn and spring and dry months prevailing in summer (refer to Figure 2). Geographically, rainfall is concentrated in mountainous regions, with some areas experiencing annual rainfall exceeding $1,000\text{ mm/year}$.




Figure 2: Historical average accumulated monthly precipitation from 1980/81 to 2017/18 (CEDEX, 2017).

Water bodies in the basin are classified into two main groups: surface water and groundwater. Groundwater bodies, defined as aquifers or groups of aquifers managed collectively, are 63 and comprise 242 individual aquifers.

Surface water water bodies are further categorized into rivers, lakes, transitional, and coastal waters based on their nature. These categories may include natural, highly modified, or artificial water bodies. For instance, dams are categorized as modified or artificial lakes (CHS, 2023e). Dams and lakes utilized as salt pans also fall under this category. The CHS identifies $1,553\text{ km}$ of significant natural rivers in the basin (CHS, 2023e).

The basin comprises 177 water bodies, including rivers, lakes, reservoirs, and groundwater sources (refer to Figure 3).

Determining the flow rates and stored resources in these bodies is complex. For decades, resource monitoring has been conducted to collect historical data and analyse fluctuations (Erena et al., 2019; Galiano et al., 2011). These measurements are invaluable for realistically estimating the bodies’ water storage. Due to their underground nature, aquifers pose significant challenges in monitoring.

According to a study conducted by the CHS (2023b), the Segura Hydrographic Basin manages an annual natural water supply of $1,410\text{hm}^3$, based on historical records




Figure 3: Categorised water bodies in the Segura Hydrographic Basin (CHS, 2023e).

from 1980/81 to 2017/18 (refer to Table 1). Within this water resource allocation, $764hm^3$ are sourced from water bodies delineated within the basin (refer to Figure 3). According to this study, $491hm^3$ originate from aquifers (groundwater), while the remaining $273hm^3$ are from surface water resources.

Due to water scarcity within the demarcation, unconventional water sources, such as desalination and water reuse, have been increasingly implemented in recent decades (CHS, 2023a). Accordingly, desalination processes produce $302hm^3$ of water, with $223hm^3$ allocated for agricultural purposes and the remainder for urban or industrial use. Regarding water recycling, $142hm^3$ is derived from urban or industrial demand reuse, while $121hm^3$ is obtained from irrigation runoff to surface and groundwater bodies. Additionally, coastal bodies, such as aquifers and wadis that do not discharge into the river, contribute $81hm^3$.

	<i>hm³/year</i>
Natural sources of the Basin	764
Inter-community basin transfer	312
Desalination	302
Water Recycling	263
Not draining Coastal bodies	81
	<i>1722 hm³/year</i>

Table 1: Average resources per year of the Segura Hydrographic Basin (CHS, 2023b), considering the contributions of other inter-community basins.

Additionally, resources from other inter-community basins amount to $312hm^3$, with $295hm^3$ supplied by the Tajo-Segura aqueduct and the remainder sourced from Negratín.

However, these resource availability are based on historical measurements, introducing a significant source of uncertainty. Weather conditions in the current and preceding years highly influence the state of basin bodies. Pro-

longed droughts and years of scarce rainfall generate deviations from historical data, emphasising the importance of real-time monitoring and forecasting to characterize the actual condition for water management scenarios.

2.2. Demand Units

In the hydrological plan of the basin, water demands encapsulate the various utilization and activities that impact water resources. The Segura Demarcation Hydrological Plan (CHS, 2023c) delineates four primary categories of water usage: (1) population supply, (2) agricultural demand, (3) industrial usage, (4) service usage, such as golf courses, and (5) environmental demand for wetland maintenance. The CHS partitions the demand into demand units to ensure efficient governance of these demands. A demand unit refers to demands of the same category that use common sources and reintegrate their returns in the same geographical area.

Each demand unit has distinct characteristics depending on the usage category. For instance, urban demand units, encompassing domestic, public, and commercial usage, are prioritized over other uses, such as recreational activities like golf courses.

Considering the economic impact of water usage, it is critical to analyse the economic activities reliant on demand units, measure how water usage influences various sectors such as agriculture, industry, and tourism, and identify differences in economic contributions among different usage types and supply costs from each resource category.

Urban Demand Units (UDU) includes domestic use, supply to local and institutional public services, and water service for businesses and industries in the municipal area. The demand for a UDU is predicated on recorded water consumption, including non-recorded sources like losses (leaks), non-measured authorized consumption, or estimated unauthorized consumption. Urban demand is subdivided into 13 units.

For urban areas, governmental institutions clearly outline specific legal water allocation criteria. Demand is considered satisfied when the deficit within a month does not exceed 10% of the corresponding monthly demand and the cumulative deficit does not surpass 8% of the annual demand over ten consecutive years.

Agricultural Demand Units (ADU) encompass areas where water usage includes agricultural, forestry, and livestock demands. In ADUs, agricultural irrigation constitutes the primary use. Measuring the demand of each ADU is complex due to diverse crops, geolocation, and other variables affecting production. Consequently, agricultural demand emerges as the relationship between theoretical water requirements and overall irrigation efficiency, combining actual irrigation demand with resource allocation efficiency.

The computation of irrigation demand integrates various factors from the total area within the digitalized surface of irrigation rights, including unproductive areas, crop




Figure 4: Map of the Agricultural Demand Units (ADU) in the Segura Hydrographic Basin (CHS, 2023a).

rotation, and unproductive section deductions. Additionally, annual remote sensing campaigns are used to recalculate actual irrigated surfaces (CHS, 2023c). Within this context, water demand is estimated by determining the necessary water per unit of irrigated area for optimal crop production, considering crop type, climatic attributes, and hydrological factors.

In the CHS study, the effective irrigation area of ADUs spans $448.5ha$, divided among 62 ADUs as depicted in Figure 4.

Industrial Demand Units (IDU) are the areas primarily dedicated to manufacturing industry activities, excluding extractive, energy, and construction activities. Industrial water supply may be sourced from urban supply networks (connected) or proprietary sources (non-connected). These demands are estimated from industrial subsectors and area considerations. 7 IDUs are identified as non-connected in the basin, while connected industrial areas are subsumed within the corresponding UDU.

	hm^3	%
ADU	1,476.3	87
UDU	200.9	11.84
SDU	11.2	0.66
IDU	8.5	0.5
1,696.9		

Table 2: Estimated Water demands of the Segura Hydrographic Basin in 2021 (CHS, 2023a).

Service Demand Units (SDU) represent a demand for energy production and service and recreational uses not connected to municipal supply networks. Regarding

energy production, the demands include two solar thermal power plants, three thermal power plants and other hydroelectric uses. Recreational and tourism services are mainly focused on the maintenance of golf courses. The golf course irrigation area is estimated by remote sensing at $1,400ha$. For each ha of the golf course, it is assumed irrigation of $8,000m^3/ha/year$. Within the Segura Hydrographic Basin, 10 SDUs are identified.

Wetland Demand Units (WDU) represents the environmental demand for wetland maintenance, which is additional to the environmental maintenance flows. Wetlands in the basin encompass the following elements: cryptowetlands, coastal lagoons or salt marshes, inland salt marshes and lagoons (CHS, 2023c). For water management, a consumptive environmental demand is defined for the maintenance of these wetlands ($31.67hm^3$ per year). However, these demands are not included within the basin’s water supply management, as it is considered an additional system constraint.

According to the study performed by CHS (2023c), the total demand in 2021 is estimated to be $1,696.9hm^3$ (refer to table 2). The table shows the dominance of agricultural demand in water usage with 87% of the demand, underscoring the importance of targeted water management strategies in this sector.

3. Material and methods

This section provides an overview of the technological framework developed and the water resource management strategy proposed for the Segura Hydrographic Basin, addressing the challenges posed by government complexities and water scarcity issues inherent to the region. Given the hydrological dynamics, containing aquifers and constrained by limited precipitation, accurately monitoring current water resources and predicting future availability is a challenging task.

Estimating agricultural demand is particularly challenging due to conflicts between established irrigation rights and actual irrigation requirements (Rupérez-Moreno et al., 2017). Thus, this paper introduces a management pipeline integrating advanced techniques to comprehensively characterise the dynamics for facilitating efficient and sustainable water management procedures.

This pipeline comprises interconnected modules (refer to Figure 1), leveraging one stage’s predictive outcomes as inputs for subsequent algorithms to generate further predictions. While the underlying algorithms’ intricacies are beyond the scope of this article, the research work focuses on presenting the workflow of the developed framework and providing an overview orchestration of the artificial intelligence techniques employed to characterise the basin.

This section comprises three primary parts: water resource prediction, water demand estimation, and water management optimisation. Each component is crucial in the holistic approach to water resource management.

3.1. Resource Prediction

In this phase, the objective is to predict the availability of water resources in the short- (15 days) and long-term (6 months), leveraging a combination of historical data, real-time measurements, and advanced AI techniques. The weather forecasting and Distributed Hydrological Model (DHM) modules are used for resource prediction.

3.1.1. Weather forecasting

The weather forecasting module generates short- and long-term forecasts by combining various prediction systems. For short-term forecasts spanning 15 days, the following public models are utilized: (1) High-Resolution Model (HRS) from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)¹, (2) *Icosahedral Nonhydrostatic* model from Deutscher Wetterdienst², (3) Global Forecast System model from National Centers for Environmental Prediction³ and (4) *Action de Recherche Petite Echelle Grande Echelle* (ARPEGE) from Météo-France⁴.




Figure 5: Accumulated surface evapotranspiration forecast example in the Segura Hydrographic Basin.

In the forecasting process, an initial simulation is conducted using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) technique, leveraging historical records from AEMET⁵ and local weather stations to generate high-resolution predictions in a rasterized grid format. Subsequently, mathematical and statistical procedures are applied to interpolate the required variables using the four forecasting models (refer to Table 3). Finally, a Machine Learning (ML) approach integrates the grid with the interpolated product, enhancing precipitation predictions and yielding an improved forecast product (refer to Figure 5).

¹ECMWF, <https://www.ecmwf.int/>

²Deutscher Wetterdienst, <https://www.dwd.de>

³National Centers for Environmental Prediction, <https://www.weather.gov/ncep/>

⁴Météo-France, <https://meteofrance.com/>

⁵Agencia Estatal de Meteorología <https://www.aemet.es/>

Variable	Unit
Accumulated Precipitation	kg/m^2
Mean sea level pressure	Pa
Accumulated surface evaporation	kg/m^2
Downward short wave flux at ground surface	W/m^2
2 metre surface temperature	K
10 metre U wind component	m/s
10 metre V wind component	m/s
Surface solar radiation downwards	J/m^2

Table 3: The main variables inferred in the weather forecast module.

For the long-term forecasts spanning six months, the following models are utilized: (1) High-Resolution Model (HRS) from ECMWF, (2) Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD) long-term model, (3) UK Met Office⁶ model and (4) Météo-France. These models offer a spatial resolution of one degree per pixel and a temporal resolution of 24 hours. Similarly to the short-term predictions, the outcomes from these models are integrated with a long-term WRF simulation. These predictions contain the variables outlined in table 3.

3.1.2. Distributed Hydrological Model

Using the MIKE SHE⁷ tool, a Distributed Hydrological Model (DHM) is developed based on physical processes implementation and model calibration. This model provides an advanced simulation of the water bodies building networks and estimating surface and groundwater flow. However, the details of this DHM implementation are outside the scope of this paper.

The model is initially developed and calibrated using historical records from 2015 to 2021. Subsequently, real-time monitoring data is incorporated into the online prediction system. The model simulates interconnected components' flow, storage, and dynamic interaction, representing surface flows, unsaturated soil zone flows, groundwater, and rivers.

The model integrates various variables to establish the hydraulic network and internal dynamics and incorporates weather forecasts from the prior pipeline step, focusing on important predicted variables such as accumulated precipitation and potential evapotranspiration. This information is extracted at a resolution of $3 km^2$ per pixel, enabling detailed analysis and prediction of hydrological processes. Additionally, topographical data defines the drainage flow in surface bodies, facilitating accurate modelling of water movement within the basin (refer to Figure 6). Furthermore, soil usage data is essential for delineating urban, industrial, and agricultural areas.

⁶UK Met Office <https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/>

⁷Dansk Hydraulisk Institut (DHI), <https://www.mikepoweredbydhi.com/products/mike-she>




Figure 6: Example of the prediction of average water content in the rootzone generated by the DHM.

In this predictive process, it is essential to consider the water needs from various demand units and the corresponding resource extractions. For this purpose, irrigation rights and historical trends are applied to estimate water usage demands and returns.

The hydrological model generates multiple significant outcomes, including:

- Integration of real-time data with model-based predictions to enhance accuracy.
- Monitoring of storage changes for both surface and groundwater resources.
- Assessment of the volume of stored resources.
- Time series data to forecast future water availability against established operational thresholds.
- Allocation of water resources from diverse sources, encompassing surface and groundwater runoff.

The hydrological simulations provide short-term (15 days) and long-term (6 months) predictions, assisting in understanding water dynamics, availability, and optimizing allocation.

3.2. Demand estimation

Estimating water usage is a critical aspect of basin management. Its complexity originates from diverse uses and consumption implications; generating ad-hoc modelling for each demand unit is nearly impractical. Given that agricultural activities (ADU) use 87% of total demand (refer to Table 2), this framework prioritizes irrigation demand prediction. Differences between irrigation rights and actual needs lead to conflicts and waste of water. Inter-annual demand curves are defined based on historical records for other demand units, such as UDU, UDI, and SDU.

3.2.1. Agricultural demand estimation

Agronomic models of representative crops are developed to measure the agricultural water demand in the basin. Given the expansive nature of the land areas under analysis, remote sensing techniques emerge as the most efficient approach. Thus, state-of-the-art deep learning techniques are employed to estimate crop water requirements, leveraging satellite multispectral imagery with weather forecasts. The algorithms employed in this agricultural model fall outside the scope of this article. This model is derived from the methodologies presented in prior research, which are comprehensively detailed in three published papers by Guzinski et al. (2020, 2021, 2023).

The input data for this module encompasses a range of sources:

- Synthetic Aperture Radar data from the Sentinel-1 satellite from the Copernicus Programme⁸.
- High-resolution optical data from the Sentinel-2 satellite.
- Optical and thermal data from the Sentinel-3 satellite.
- Phenological data extracted from the Copernicus Land Monitoring System⁹.
- Rasterized weather forecasts from the pipeline.

Utilizing remote sensing data, the leaf area index of plants is computed, enabling the measurement of plant transpiration. Subsequently, the AquaCrop model (Raes et al., 2009) is employed to estimate dry biomass (refer to Figure 7). Following various studies (Li et al., 2021; Nielsen et al., 2015), a linear relationship correlates biomass production and water consumption of a given species. These valuable estimations serve for crop growth modelling.

Integrating remote sensing data with evapotranspiration values enables the identification of recently irrigated areas. Using historical observations facilitates the detection of irrigation activities and provides insights into land usage. Moreover, utilizing inferred historical irrigation data enables the estimation of irrigation probability using weather forecasts and the period of the year (refer to Figure 8).

Modelling and comparing actual and potential evapotranspiration is instrumental in estimating the crop water deficit. Evapotranspiration, typically derived from an energy perspective using satellite data, encompasses net radiation, ground heat flux, sensible heat flux, and latent heat flux (Liou & Kar, 2014; Aryalekshmi et al., 2021). Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-3 satellites facilitate the collection of this vital information.

⁸Copernicus Programme <https://sentinewiki.copernicus.eu/web/copernicus-programme>

⁹Copernicus Land Monitoring Service (CLMS) <https://land.copernicus.eu/>



Figure 7: Illustrative example of dry biomass productivity map computed in the crop growth modelling.




Figure 8: Crop irrigation classification and the probability of irrigation illustrative example.

Thus, the irrigation demands of Agricultural Demand Units (ADUs) are determined by modelling actual evapotranspiration, crop growth dynamics, and historical irrigation probabilities.

3.3. Decision-making system

The estimations mentioned above are pivotal in the last phase of the water management decision-making pipeline. By incorporating future estimates of income (water resources) and demands, the decision-making system achieves a more realistic depiction.

Decision-making involves selecting optimal actions based on scenario input data, hydrological and mathematical system modelling, and a cost function to evaluate performance (refer to Figure 9). Previous sections (3.2 and 3.1) outline the generation of input data. This section details system modelling and the associated cost function.

The system modelling operates a Complementary Hydrological Model (CHM), with decision-making variables representing flow management or control within the Segura Hydrographic Basin. This model reproduces hydrological dynamics similarly to the DHM, offering faster simulation




Figure 9: Overview diagram of the decision-making system, where C represents the cost function and S_i represents the water supply to the unit i in the decision-making process.

but slightly reduced accuracy. The cost function integrates factors such as demand-supply satisfaction, economic considerations, and the CO2 impact of proposed actions.

3.3.1. Design of the Complementary Hydrological Model

The Complementary Hydrological Model (CHM) is developed for comprehensive basin management, incorporating interconnected components, water bodies, demand units and dynamic interactions (refer to Figure 10).

The model is developed using an open-source, advanced network resource allocation library developed by Tomlinson et al. (2020). This system employs, inter alia, nodes, links, and storage, modelling elements, thus facilitating hydrological modelling and simulation over daily or monthly periods, up to a year, to meet specified requirements.

Data feeding CHM's parameters, as well as water resource and demand status, are sourced from the previous steps of the pipeline: resource prediction (3.1) and demand estimation (3.2).




Figure 10: Representation of the CHM showing the interconnected components.

Overall, the CHM is a versatile, water resource management tool, for flow simulation, thus offering advanced decision-making capabilities. It manages water resources

based on end-user operating rules, covering priority of use, rights, guarantees, ecological flows, reservoir operation standards, groundwater limits, aqueduct regulations, and water quality. These rules provide a structured framework for sustainable and equitable water management, optimizing resource allocation and utilization within the CHM framework.

3.3.2. Cost Function

The multi-objective cost function driving the optimal resources-to-demands water management in the approach integrates three primary objectives: demand-supply satisfaction, hydro-economic considerations, and CO2 impact assessment (refer to Equation 1).

Demand-supply satisfaction is a critical metric which can be used to avoid or minimize water deficits within demand units. It compares water income to unit demands, considering the order of preference, ecological flows, and usage rights during water distribution. In the equation the term $\left(\frac{D_i - S_i}{D_i}\right)^2$ represents the deficit metric for each demand unit i , emphasizing minimizing water deficits. This metric computes the deficit proportionally to the demand, allowing the optimizer to give equal importance to each unit instead of weighting the units with higher demands. Squaring this proportional deficit enables the algorithm to consider the deficit or surplus equally.

In the context of Agricultural demand areas (ADUs), which represent the principal portion of water demand (87%), demand estimation is based on agronomic models that provide short- and long-term predictions. Urban demand forecasting relies on empirical curves derived from studies carried out by the CHS (2023c), offering demand projections for each UDU in the forthcoming decade. These projections are defined to consider seasonal variations, ensuring accuracy in estimations, particularly in scenarios marked by elevated water demand, such as during the summer tourism period. Meanwhile, IDUs and SDUs use practically annual raw demand estimations since the CHS considers consistent monthly distribution. The wetland demand (WDU) is assumed to be a constraint, rather than a demand-supply management variable.

The final aim of a *demand-supply satisfaction*-based water management criterion is the optimal demand-supply, that is, minimizing water deficits while prioritizing the following order: (1) UDU, (2) ADU, (3) IDU, and (4) SDU.

CO2 impact is evaluated by assessing the CO2 emission and absorption factors associated with the activities of demand units, and the environmental effects of the generation water for each source (refer to Table 4); the latter is determined by considering the energy density (kWh/m^3) required for generating each water source, thus applying basin's electric power emission factor ($0.354kg\ CO_2/kWh$), according to Ecoinvent (2021), to finally derive the CO2 impact ($kg\ CO_2/m^3$).

In the equation 1, the $impact_{CO_2}(S_i)$ captures the CO2 emissions related to generating and supplying water to unit




Figure 11: Representation of UDU's demand curve in the year 2021.

i.

	Energy Density	CO2 emission
Surface water	0.06	0.0212
Groundwater	0.9	0.318
Desalination	4.32	1.529
Recycling	0.78	0.276
Transfer	1.21	0.428

Table 4: CO2 impact corresponding to the energy density associated with the generation of each water source.

Assessing CO2 emissions linked to water usage is complex. For agricultural activities, the analytical findings of Martín Górriz et al. (2020) are followed, which objectively measure the role of irrigable areas by estimating greenhouse gas emissions, CO2 absorption from cultivated crops, and overall carbon footprint. Urban demand units (UDUs) are assigned a CO2 emission value of $0.017432137kg\ CO_2/m^3$ (Santos et al., 2016). In contrast, industrial emissions vary by economic activity type (CHS, 2023a), for instance, the wood industry has an emission of $48.26kg\ CO_2/m^3$. Additionally, SDUs are attributed an average emission value of $0.6926kg\ CO_2/m^3$ (Guairacaja Yáñez, 2021).

Economic Impact is evaluated by considering the benefits derived from the water demand activities and the costs associated with the generation of water for each source (refer to Table 5) following the study of the Segura Hydrographic Basin confederation (CHS, 2015). In the equation, the term $impact_{economic}(S_i)$ accounts for the economic cost and benefits associated with generating and distributing water to meet the supply S_i . Since the objective is to maximize the economic impact, the variable is expressed as a negative value in the cost function.

For ADUs, demand curves are applied to correlate irrigation water volume with the potential benefits of each crop. Thus, when the decision-making system allocates supply to ADUs, the curve yields the corresponding benefits. IDUs entail creating specific curves for each activity type by determining the ratio between water usage and

	Cost (€/m ³)
Surface water	0.003
Groundwater	0.25
Desalinization	0.6
Recycling	0.0
Transfer	0.15

Table 5: Economic cost associated with the water generation of each source (CHS, 2015).

total benefits based on historical records. Evaluating the economic benefit of UDUs is intricate due to the absence of a defined economic activity objective; thus, benefit measurement proposals are derived from research of Crespo et al. (2022). Lastly, SDU demand benefits, based on the study by Diaz et al. (2007), are assigned a value of 12.66 €/m³.

$$\text{Minimize: } C = w_1 \cdot \sum_i \left(\frac{D_i - S_i}{D_i} \right)^2 - w_2 \cdot \sum_i (\text{impact}_e$$

$$\text{Subject to: } \sum_i S_i \leq \text{Total Supply}$$

$$S_i \leq D_i \quad \forall i$$

Ecological flow constraints

Legal constraints on water use rights and t

Where:

$$D_i = \text{Demand of unit } i$$

$$w_1, w_2, w_3 = \text{Weights assigned to each obje}$$

Decision Variables:

$$S_i = \text{Supply allocated to unit } i$$

(1)

3.3.3. Optimization Algorithm

The decision-making system employs Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (LP), a mathematical optimization technique, in conjunction with the complementary model to derive decision outcomes based on a cost function.

In the LP model, the decision variables correspond to the flows defined within the hydrological network of the complementary model (refer to subsection 3.3.1). These variables are subject to the following constraints:

- **Ecological Flows:** this is the minimum flow of water required to sustain ecological integrity within the basin (CHS (2023d)). The volume of ecological flow varies based on the condition, with predefined minimum thresholds for normal and drought scenarios. This ecological flow includes the environmental demand for wetland maintenance (WDU).
- **Minimum Water Resource Levels:** These restrictions define the minimum levels of water resources, particularly for storage bodies. These constraints regulate the maximum extractable water in a period.

- **Water Rights:** these constraints delimit the legal usage rights of each water source across the entire basin. Unlike irrigation rights in ADUs, this encompasses a broader scope and is applicable basin-wide.

Integrating the constraints ensures a solution following ecological preservation mandates, resource sustainability, and regulatory frameworks.

4. Results and Discussion

This section presents the results of real water management planning in the Segura Hydrographic Basin. The analysis includes a long-term optimization covering six months, from April 2, 2024, to September 29, 2024. The objective function emphasizes minimizing the deficit ($w_1 = 0.6$), over the environmental ($w_2 = 0.3$) and economic impact ($w_3 = 0.1$).




Figure 12: Evolution of the total estimated agricultural demand (hm^3) corresponding to the period of the exemplary use case. The shadows represent the uncertainty of the estimation.

During the above period, a supply of approximately 641.92 hm^3 of water is assigned to meet an estimated demand of 710.24 hm^3 (refer to Table 7). The decision-making system projects an approximate deficit of 69 hm^3 of water, representing 9.7% of the total demand. It must be noted that the estimated demand is subject to variations and uncertainties due to the prediction models. Consequently, the longer the prediction period, the larger the uncertainty introduced into the algorithm (refer to Figure 12). Hence, the deficit obtained in this decision-making process will vary as the planning period progresses.

Regarding the obtained results, the effect of the weighting of the different objectives in the cost function can be clearly seen in this example solution. The economic impact has a low weight ($w_3 = 0.1$), causing the deficit to primarily affect the units that generate the highest economic cost, namely the agricultural and industrial demands while

	Usage (hm^3)
Surface water	383.18
Groundwater	22.78
Desalination	28.66
Recycling	71.24
Transfer	136.06
	641.92 hm^3

Table 6: Resource usage resulted in the decision-making example case.

prioritizing the urban demand fulfilment. Figure 13 illustrates the daily deficit for each type of demand, by aggregating the deficit of individual demand units for calculating a total deficit per demand type and average percentual deficit per demand unit.

The water deficit impacts agricultural demand the most since it represents the most demanding activity. However, due to the lower priority of the economic impact in the optimization function, industrial demand units experience a higher proportional deficit, nearing 50% of their demand. In this sense, although both agricultural and industrial activities generate substantial economic impacts, industrial activities contribute more to CO2 emissions compared to agricultural activities. Consequently, the optimization algorithm prioritizes agricultural demand over industrial demand.

Moreover, the average percentage deficit trend indicates that urban demand units (UDUs) are prioritized over agricultural, industrial, and service demands. However, urban supply can be affected, as seen in the first month of the timeline, due to tougher quality and source restrictions. As indicated above, the water demand for wetlands is introduced as a constraint in the system, thus resulting in a corresponding net zero deficit.

In terms of environmental impact, Table 6 shows that surface waters are the most exploited, with 60% of total use since surface water bodies have the lowest economic and environmental cost (refer to Tables 4 & 5). Conversely, desalinated waters have the highest environmental impact and are among the least utilized, with 4.4% of total use.

Transfer waters are the second most utilized despite having a considerable economic and environmental impact. As shown in Table 8, urban demand mainly consumes surface and transfer waters due to quality restrictions that prevent these demands from using recycled and desalinated waters. Given that minimizing the deficit is significantly more important than economic or environmental impact according to the above weighting, the system prioritizes this decision at the expense of the absolute cost.

It can be seen that groundwater usage is almost residual, which is currently a positive result, explained by continuous over-exploitation in the past. This occurs since groundwater costs are significantly higher than surface water sources (refer to Table 5), an aspect that has not been considered in the planning processes followed in the past.




Figure 13: Timeline of the daily evolution of the total water deficit (hm^3) and average percentual deficit for each water demand type.

The results demonstrate that the proposed methodology significantly advances environmental resource management by transitioning from traditional static models to a dynamic, AI-driven approach. As shown by the Segura Hydrographic Basin case, conventional IWRM systems that rely on historical data and static simulations are insufficient for addressing the complexities of modern hydrological challenges. In contrast, our approach integrates advanced modelling with real-time data inputs, weather forecasting, and distributed hydrological simulation to create a forward-looking decision-making system. This system continuously adapts to current and predicted environmental conditions, leading to more accurate water availability and demand predictions. Consequently, as shown in figure 13, this allows for more efficient resource allocation, thus reducing wastage and improving sustainability.

Incorporating artificial intelligence models into the decision-making process also introduces adaptability and precision unreachable using conventional methods. As indicated by the results in table 7, the system optimizes resource distribution while considering environmental indicators such as CO2 emissions and economic impacts. This guarantees that decisions are made to minimize environmental impact and advance long-term sustainability rather than just satisfying short-term water demands. For instance, optimizing irrigation schedules in agriculture demonstrates a significant reduction in water usage and associated CO2 emissions, obtaining both environmental and economic benefits. These findings highlight significant improvements offered by this methodology compared with traditional approaches, which often fail to account for the dynamic nature of environmental systems and the broader impacts of water management decisions.

Moreover, the generalizability of this approach is noticeable since it relies on globally accessible weather fore-

	Supply (hm^3)	Pred. Demand (hm^3)	Emissions (t CO ₂ eq)	Economic (mill. €)
Agricultural	506.43	570.11	526,177	23,414.09
Urban	114.63	116.02	2,342	1,720.86
Industrial	1.92	4.72	1,178,296	12,238.15
Service	5.19	5.63	4,236	76.95
Wetland	13.75	13.75	-5,583	0
	641.92	710.24	1,705,468	37,450.05

Table 7: Water supply distribution, CO₂ and economic impact for each demand type from April 2, 2024, to September 29, 2024, obtained in the example case using the proposed decision-making pipeline.

Unit	Supply	Surface water	Groundwater	Transfer
UDU03	26.033	26.033	0.0	0.0
UDU04	19.461	19.461	0.0	0.0
UDU05	19.412	19.412	0.0	0.0
UDU07	16.095	0.0	0.0	16.095
UDU01	9.541	9.541	0.0	0.0
UDU02	9.403	9.403	0.0	0.0
UDU06	5.894	0.0	0.0	5.894
UDU14	2.452	2.452	0.0	0.0
UDU08	2.154	2.154	0.0	0.0
UDU09	1.609	1.609	0.0	0.0
UDU11	1.128	0.882	0.246	0.0
UDU12	0.624	0.624	0.0	0.0
UDU13	0.475	0.475	0.0	0.0
UDU10	0.350	0.0	0.350	0.0

Table 8: Total supply (hm^3) and resource usage distribution in the example case for each Urban Demand Unit.

casts and remote sensing data, enabling its application to various geographical regions beyond the Segura Hydrographic Basin. However, the physical modelling components, specifically the Distributed Hydrological Model (DHM) and Complementary Hydrological Model (CHM), must be modified to reflect the characteristics of different basins. This adaptation implies adjusting the models for local hydrological features, such as topography and existing water infrastructure. Once these adjustments are performed the approach can be executed in other basins, providing a robust and scalable solution for water resource management in diverse environments.

4.1. Integration

The proposed pipeline has been developed in the context of a pre-commercial public procurement tendering framework and undertaken in close collaboration with government institutions and the Segura Hydrographic Confederation (CHS). Consequently, this collaboration has facilitated the integration of the proposed approach into the Integrated Water Resources Management framework of the Rivera Basin. This section briefly overviews the integration process of the decision-making system into an operational scenario.

The proposed framework operates as a semi-automated system, wherein specific pipeline components are periodically executed, while others require user interaction and/or configuration. Consequently, the developed solution is integrated into a software architecture comprising a secured, user-friendly Web application (refer to Figure 14).




Figure 14: Operational example of the decision-making framework integrated into a Web application. The visualization shows the accumulated CO₂ emissions per ADU in the short-term prediction period.

The implemented architecture is integrated within the operational infrastructures of the CHS, thus enabling interaction with its real-time monitoring systems, such as dam monitoring. Furthermore, historical records are extracted from the CHS and stored in a high-speed read-and-write InfluxDB database, specifically designed for time series management. Using a local replica of the records enhances the efficiency of data reading, processing, and visualization.

The weather forecasting and hydrological simulations in the DHM are executed daily, thus reducing uncertainty in the planning scenario, especially in the first days. These demanding algorithms are executed in distributed computing servers. Also, the generated geospatial predictions are stored in a common data lake for efficient data management.

Similarly, the periodic predictions of water resources and estimation of demands enable the decision-making system to optimise water planning with reduced uncer-

tainty. Consequently, end-users perform management decisions based on more accurate estimations. Interaction with the Web application allows experts to generate, analyze, and validate new water management solutions, incorporating the desired water distribution priorities (w_1, w_2, w_3) in the cost function (refer to Section 3.3.2).

Additionally, the developed Web application provides users with tools for exploring and analyzing the data employed in the decision-making process, including the real-time monitoring of data, user-demanded forecasting of operational variables and, crop irrigation information, such as historical irrigation, estimated and predicted water demand and, irrigation proposal, through a user-friendly, interactive map service.

5. Conclusions

This paper presents an Integrated Water Resources Management pipeline for decision-making in the Segura Hydrographic Basin. The research work introduces a novel approach for predicting available water resources and demands by leveraging advanced techniques, such as the integration of remote sensing data and deep learning models for agricultural crop growth forecasting. The proposed methodology offers a comprehensive solution to address the optimal management of water.

The basin is known for its complex river systems, as documented by Grindlay et al. (2011b), and presents critical challenges such as limited water resources, governance complexities, pollution incidents, and prolonged droughts. Given the significant agricultural activities, accurate water demand estimation is contingent upon measuring crop dynamics and production requirements.

The proposed methodology integrates real-time monitoring systems within the basin with advanced weather forecasting and physical simulation models to predict forthcoming water resource availability (refer to Section 3.1). The fluctuation of irrigation needs in agricultural activities is estimated using high-resolution irrigation demand maps generated by processing remote sensing data using cutting-edge AI techniques (refer to Section 3.2). These forecasts are used in a decision-making algorithm to optimize economic and environmental outcomes, prioritizing demand-supply balance, ecological flows, and historical water use rights of basin resources (refer to Section 3.3). The proposed platform is finally integrated into CHS's operational framework, through close collaboration with the Segura Hydrographic Confederation. Integrating an interactive Web application facilitates obtaining valuable feedback on performance aspects and enhances the understanding of expert-user interaction dynamics. Thus, with the development of the above water management tool, it can be said that this research work has opened a window towards the consideration of cutting-end digital tools for river basin water management, considering physical models and river basin simulators, operational predictive models based on AI, and models for estimating the economic impact and

environmental impact of the various uses of water that, to date, have not been taken into account.

Future work should focus on improving the prediction of agricultural demand and reducing uncertainty, as this is the critical factor of optimal water management in the basin. Incorporating additional data sources, such as soil moisture sensors and localized weather data, can enhance the accuracy of crop growth forecasting. Collaborating with agricultural stakeholders and conducting comprehensive field measurements will also improve the robustness and precision of predictions. Refining deep learning algorithms with advanced techniques like Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) could allow the simulation of more diverse scenarios. Finally, incorporating fine-tuning, human-in-the-loop-based advanced algorithms for more accurately validating economic, environmental, and social considerations will be valuable.

Overall, the work introduces a solution for optimum water management based on artificial intelligence techniques. While the technical intricacies and mathematical validations of the techniques are beyond the scope of this paper, they represent a crucial direction for future exploration and refinement. This decision-making tool enables the simulation of diverse and dynamic scenarios endowing decision-makers with a higher accuracy in their estimations.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by project GEMELO DIGITAL CHS (IN3210/2021), financed by the *CDTI-CPI-2020* program of the *Centro para el Desarrollo Tecnológico y la Innovación* (CDTI) and run in collaboration with *Confederación Hidrográfica del Segura* (CHS), Grusamar, DHI, Meteobit and Vicomtech Foundation.

References

- Aguillo, F., & Portero, I. (2002). Agua y producción agrícola: un análisis econométrico del caso de murcia. *Revista española de estudios agrosociales y pesqueros*, (pp. 129–157).
- Aldaya, M. M., Custodio, E., Llamas, R., Fernández, M. F., García, J., & Ángel Ródenas, M. (2019). An academic analysis with recommendations for water management and planning at the basin scale: A review of water planning in the segura river basin. *Science of The Total Environment*, 662, 755–768. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.266>.
- Aryalekshmi, B., Biradar, R. C., Chandrasekar, K., & Ahamed, J. M. (2021). Analysis of various surface energy balance models for evapotranspiration estimation using satellite data. *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 24, 1119–1126.

- CEDEX, C. d. E. H. (2017). Modelo simpa. periodo de simulación: 1940/41 a 2017/18.
- Chen, M., Cui, Y., Wang, X., Xie, H., Liu, F., Luo, T., Zheng, S., & Luo, Y. (2021). A reinforcement learning approach to irrigation decision-making for rice using weather forecasts. *Agricultural Water Management*, *250*, 106838.
- CHS, S. H. B. C. (2015). Hydrological plan of the segura river basin district 2015-2021.
- CHS, S. H. B. C. (2023a). Hydrological plan of the segura river basin district 2022-2027.
- CHS, S. H. B. C. (2023b). Hydrological plan of the segura river basin district 2022-2027 - annexe ii - resource inventory.
- CHS, S. H. B. C. (2023c). Hydrological plan of the segura river basin district 2022-2027 - annexe iii - uses and demands.
- CHS, S. H. B. C. (2023d). Hydrological plan of the segura river basin district 2022-2027 - annexe v - ecologic flows.
- CHS, S. H. B. C. (2023e). Hydrological plan of the segura river basin district 2022-2027 - annexe xi.
- Crespo, D., Albiac, J., Dinar, A., Esteban, E., & Kahil, T. (2022). Integrating ecosystem benefits for sustainable water allocation in hydroeconomic modeling. *PloS one*, *17*, e0267439.
- Diaz, J. R., Knox, J., & Weatherhead, E. (2007). Competing demands for irrigation water: golf and agriculture in spain. *Irrigation and Drainage: The journal of the International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage*, *56*, 541–549.
- de Dios Gomez-Gomez, J., Pulido-Velazquez, D., Collados-Lara, A.-J., & Fernandez-Chacon, F. (2022). The impact of climate change scenarios on droughts and their propagation in an arid mediterranean basin. a useful approach for planning adaptation strategies. *Science of The Total Environment*, *820*, 153128. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153128>.
- Ecoinvent (2021). Ecoinvent database energy sector. URL: <https://ecoinvent.org/>.
- Erena, M., Atenza, J. F., García-Galiano, S., Domínguez, J. A., & Bernabé, J. M. (2019). Use of drones for the topo-bathymetric monitoring of the reservoirs of the segura river basin. *Water*, *11*, 445.
- Galiano, S. G., Mallebrera, M. U., Abril, A. M., Osorio, J. G., & Botía, C. T. (2011). Monitoring regional drought conditions in the segura river basin from remote sensing. In *Agricultural drought indices proceedings of an expert meeting* (p. 150).
- García Galiano, S. G., Olmos Gimenez, P., & Giraldo-Osorio, J. D. (2015). Assessing nonstationary spatial patterns of extreme droughts from long-term high-resolution observational dataset on a semiarid basin (spain). *Water*, *7*, 5458–5473.
- Grindlay, A., Zamorano, M., Rodríguez, M., Molero, E., & Urrea, M. (2011a). Implementation of the european water framework directive: Integration of hydrological and regional planning at the segura river basin, southeast spain. *Land Use Policy*, *28*, 242–256. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2010.06.005>.
- Grindlay, G., Mora, J., Gras, L., & de Loos-Vollebregt, M. T. (2011b). Atomic spectrometry methods for wine analysis: A critical evaluation and discussion of recent applications. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, *691*, 18–32.
- Guairacaja Yáñez, K. M. (2021). Estimación de la huella de carbono del campo de golf” el olivar de la hinojosa” (madrid). *Agronomica*, .
- Guzinski, R., Nieto, H., Sánchez, J. M., López-Urrea, R., Boujnah, D. M., & Boulet, G. (2021). Utility of copernicus-based inputs for actual evapotranspiration modeling in support of sustainable water use in agriculture. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, *14*, 11466–11484.
- Guzinski, R., Nieto, H., Sánchez, R. R., Sánchez, J. M., Jomaa, I., Zitouna-Chebbi, R., Rounsard, O., & López-Urrea, R. (2023). Improving field-scale crop actual evapotranspiration monitoring with sentinel-3, sentinel-2, and landsat data fusion. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, *125*, 103587.
- Guzinski, R., Nieto, H., Sandholt, I., & Karamitilios, G. (2020). Modelling high-resolution actual evapotranspiration through sentinel-2 and sentinel-3 data fusion. *Remote Sensing*, *12*, 1433.
- Hamlet, A. F., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2000). Long-range climate forecasting and its use for water management in the pacific northwest region of north america. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, *2*, 163–182.
- Lapuente, E. (2012). Full cost in desalination. a case study of the segura river basin. *Desalination*, *300*, 40–45. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.06.002>.
- Li, D., Liu, J., Verhoef, A., Xi, B., & Hernandez-Santana, V. (2021). Understanding the relationship between biomass production and water use of populus tomentosa trees throughout an entire short-rotation. *Agricultural Water Management*, *246*, 106710.

- Liou, Y.-A., & Kar, S. K. (2014). Evapotranspiration estimation with remote sensing and various surface energy balance algorithms—a review. *Energies*, *7*, 2821–2849.
- Lopez-Bermudez, F., Romero-Díaz, A., Martínez-Fernández, J., & Martínez-Fernández, J. (1998). Vegetation and soil erosion under a semi-arid mediterranean climate: a case study from murcia (spain). *Geomorphology*, *24*, 51–58.
- Martín Górriz, B., Gallego Elvira, B., Martínez Álvarez, V., Maestre Valero, J. F. et al. (2020). Balance de carbono de las zonas regables del trasvase tajo-segura. *Asociación Española de Riegos y Drenajes (AERYD)*, .
- Mekonnen, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2016). Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. *Science advances*, *2*, e1500323.
- Muratoglu, A., Iraz, E., & Ercin, E. (2022). Water resources management of large hydrological basins in semi-arid regions: Spatial and temporal variability of water footprint of the upper ephrates river basin. *Science of The Total Environment*, *846*, 157396. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157396>.
- Nielsen, D. C., Lyon, D. J., Hergert, G. W., Higgins, R. K., & Holman, J. D. (2015). Cover crop biomass production and water use in the central great plains. *Agronomy Journal*, *107*, 2047–2058.
- Pulido-Velazquez, D., Collados-Lara, A.-J., Fernandez-Chacon, F. et al. (2022). The impact of climate change scenarios on droughts and their propagation in an arid mediterranean basin. a useful approach for planning adaptation strategies. *Science of The Total Environment*, *820*, 153128.
- Puy, A., & Balbo, A. (2013). The genesis of irrigated terraces in al-andalus. a geoarchaeological perspective on intensive agriculture in semi-arid environments (ricote, murcia, spain). *Journal of Arid Environments*, *89*, 45–56.
- Raes, D., Steduto, P., Hsiao, T. C., & Fereres, E. (2009). Aquacrop—the fao crop model to simulate yield response to water: Ii. main algorithms and software description. *Agronomy Journal*, *101*, 438–447.
- Rupérez-Moreno, C., Senent-Aparicio, J., Martínez-Vicente, D., García-Aróstegui, J. L., Calvo-Rubio, F. C., & Pérez-Sánchez, J. (2017). Sustainability of irrigated agriculture with overexploited aquifers: The case of segura basin (se, spain). *Agricultural Water Management*, *182*, 67–76. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.12.008>.
- Ródenas, M. A., & Albacete, M. (2013). The River Segura: reclaimed water, recovered river. *Journal of Water Reuse and Desalination*, *4*, 50–57. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2166/wrd.2013.044>. doi:10.2166/wrd.2013.044.
- Santos, S. N., Ivorra, A. G., Gil, E. M., & Garcia, M. C. (2016). Cálculo de la huella de carbono del ciclo urbano del agua. la experiencia de emuasa. *Tecnoaqua*, (pp. 58–65).
- Swyngedouw, E. (2014). 'not a drop of water...': State, modernity and the production of nature in spain, 1898-2010. *Environment and History*, *20*, 67–92.
- Tomlinson, J., Arnott, J., & Harou, J. (2020). A water resource simulator in python. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *126*, 104635. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2020.104635>.
- Trunk, A., Birkel, H., & Hartmann, E. (2020). On the current state of combining human and artificial intelligence for strategic organizational decision making. *Business Research*, *13*, 875–919.
- Wang, D., & Cai, X. (2009). Irrigation scheduling—role of weather forecasting and farmers' behavior. *Journal of water resources planning and management*, *135*, 364–372.
- Xiang, X., Li, Q., Khan, S., & Khalaf, O. I. (2021). Urban water resource management for sustainable environment planning using artificial intelligence techniques. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *86*, 106515.