

TWINS IN KÄHLER AND SASAKI GEOMETRY

CHARLES P. BOYER, HONGNIAN HUANG, EVELINE LEGENDRE,
AND CHRISTINA W. TØNNESEN-FRIEDMAN

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notions of weighted extremal Kähler twins together with the related notion of extremal Sasaki twins. In the Kähler setting this leads to a generalization of the twinning phenomenon appearing among LeBrun’s strongly Hermitian solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell equations on the first Hirzebruch surface [LeB16] to weighted extremal metrics on Hirzebruch surfaces in general. We discover that many twins appear and that this can be viewed in the Sasaki setting as a case where we have more than one extremal ray in the Sasaki cone even when we do not allow changes within the isotopy class. We also study extremal Sasaki twins directly in the Sasaki setting with a main focus on the toric Sasaki case.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Brief introduction to Sasaki Geometry and the Boothby-Wang Construction	4
3. Kähler setting: 4-Weighted Extremal Kähler twins on Hirzebruch Surfaces	5
3.1. Admissible metrics on Hirzebruch Surfaces	6
3.2. Weighted Extremal Admissible Kähler metrics	7
3.3. 4-Weighted Extremal Admissible Kähler twins	8
3.4. Focus on the first two Hirzebruch surfaces, \mathbb{F}_1 and \mathbb{F}_2	10
3.5. The third and higher Hirzebruch surfaces	13
3.6. The trivial Hirzebruch Surface \mathbb{F}_0	14
4. Admissible Ruled surfaces over Riemann surfaces of any genus	15
5. Extremal Twins in the Sasaki Setting	17
5.1. Sasaki-extremal twins of Sasaki-Einstein metrics	18
5.2. cscS twins	20
5.3. Toric extremal Sasaki twins	21
5.4. The toric odd-dimensional sphere	23
6. Twins of Toric extremal Kähler metrics on quadrilaterals	24
6.1. Calabi Toric	24
6.2. Orthotoric	28
6.3. Product Toric	31
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and explore the existence of what we call *weighted extremal Kähler twins* in which a Kähler metric is weighted extremal in the sense of Apostolov-Calderbank [AC21] with respect to some fixed weight p but with respect to two different smooth

Date: November 21, 2024.

The first author was partially supported by grant #519432 from the Simons Foundation. The third author is partially supported by France ANR project BRIDGES No ANR-21-CE40-0017.

positive Killing potentials that are not scalar multiples of each other. More precisely, recall that for a given $p \in \mathbb{R}$, a Kähler manifold (N^n, J, g, ω) with a fixed Killing potential f (i.e. $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla_g f} J = 0$) is said to be a (f, p) -extremal Kähler structure if the (f, p) -scalar curvature, that is

$$(1) \quad \text{Scal}_{f,p}(g) := f^2 \text{Scal}(g) - 2(p-1)f\Delta_g f - p(p-1)|df|_g^2,$$

is a Killing potential, [AC21]. This is a direct extension of the now classical extremal Kähler metrics of Calabi [Cal82] which correspond to the case $(f, p) = (1, p)$ for any p . A natural question arises: *Is it possible to have a Kähler metric which is (f, p) -extremal with respect to more than one positive Killing potential, f , which are not rescales of each other?* As we shall see in this paper, the answer is a resounding “Yes” and the *twin* structures obtained this way encompass many well known Kähler and Hermitian surfaces like the Page metric [Pag78] and many other examples eg. [LeB10, LeB15].

We thus introduce the notion of *weighted extremal Kähler twins* as follows.

Definition 1. *Let (N, J, g, ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and let $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that f_1, f_2 are two positive, smooth Killing potentials on (N, J, g, ω) that are not constant rescales of each other. If g is simultaneously (f_1, p) -extremal and (f_2, p) extremal then we say that (g, f_1) and (g, f_2) are p -weighted extremal Kähler twins.*

Our interest in weighted extremal Kähler twins comes essentially from two geometric cases where each twin corresponds to a genuine “metric structure” either Hermitian or Sasakian:

- When $p = 2n$, $\text{Scal}_{f,2n}(g)$ computes the scalar curvature of the Hermitian metric $h = f^{-2}g$. In particular, the case $n = 2$ and $p = 4$ is of great interest due to a discovery by LeBrun. If $\text{Scal}_{f,4}(g)$ is constant, this corresponds to the strongly Hermitian solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations [LeB10, LeB15]

$$(2) \quad dF = 0, \quad d \star F = 0, \quad (\text{Ric}_h + F \circ F)_0 = 0$$

where F is a smooth 2-form and the subscript 0 denotes the trace free component. Hence, F is just an harmonic 2-form that satisfies the 3rd equation. On the trivial and first Hirzebruch surfaces, LeBrun found many such solutions (see [LeB15, LeB16]) among which the Page metric [Pag78] on the first Hirzebruch surface is a special case.

- When $p = n + 2$ and (J, g, ω) is the transversal Kähler structure of a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Sasaki manifold $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_1)$ in the sense that $\omega = d\eta$ where η is a contact 1-form with Reeb vector field ξ_1 and such that $(\mathcal{D} = \ker \eta, J)$ is a CR structure, then each ξ_1 -invariant positive Killing potential f determines a new Sasaki structure $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_f)$ with the same underlying CR-structure but another Reeb vector field, ξ_f such that ξ_f and ξ_1 commute. We refer to [AC21] where it is proven that g is a $(f, n + 2)$ -extremal Kähler metric if and only if ξ_f is the Reeb vector field of an extremal Sasaki structure in the sense of [BGS08].

This last situation leads to the following natural notion.

Definition 2. *Let (M, \mathcal{D}, J) be a pseudo-convex CR structure of Sasaki type on a compact smooth manifold M of dimension $2n + 1$. Extremal Sasaki twins of (\mathcal{D}, J) are two extremal Sasaki structures both compatible with (\mathcal{D}, J) and having commuting non-colinear Sasaki-Reeb vector fields.*

Note that for a compact Kähler manifold (N, J, g, ω) with an integer Kähler class $[\omega]$, we can form - via the Boothby-Wang construction - a regular Sasaki structure on the circle bundle over N corresponding to the class $[\omega]$, so a $(f, n + 2)$ -extremal Kähler metric on N corresponds to an extremal Sasaki structure which might be irregular. Moreover, the two examples above coincide

when $n = 2$, so for $p = 4$, weighted extremal Kähler twins on a Hodge compact Kähler surface correspond to both Sasaki extremal metrics with the same underlying CR-structure and strongly Hermitian solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equation.

In the Kähler setting, the study of twins have their origin in LeBrun's study of the Einstein-Maxwell equations [LeB10, LeB15, LeB16], where a curious twinning phenomenon appear for certain Kähler classes on the first Hirzebruch surface. In the Sasaki setting, while it is well known [BGS08] that the set of extremal Sasakian structures is open when one can deform within the isotopy classes, the existence of twins which occurs when the CR structures are fixed appears, with some special case (Bochner flat [Bry01, ACG06]) exceptions, to be a discrete phenomenon and it is precisely this that we wish to study.

Remark 1.1. *More generally, given a compact torus T and a T -Hamiltonian Kähler manifold (N, ω, J, g) with a momentum map $\mu : M \rightarrow P$ and moment polytope P , one can extend the notion above to more general weighted Kähler twins as a pair of triplets (ω, v, w) , $(\omega, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$ of respectively (v, w) -extremal Kähler metrics and (\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) -extremal Kähler metrics in the sense of Lahdili [Lah19] where, here, $v, w, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ are smooth functions on P . For example, any v -soliton admits a twin [AJL23]. To that extent, the notion seems very flexible while the occurrence of p -weighted extremal Kähler twins appears to be scarce.*

We first explore the notion of twins on *Hirzebruch surfaces* which are compact, complex surfaces given as a projective bundle over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ of the form $\mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{n}))$ for $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$. As we recall in §3.1 below, up to a dilatation, Kähler classes of the \mathbf{n} -th Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_n are conveniently parameterized by $x \in (0, 1)$ and each of these classes contains an important family of explicit Kähler metrics called *admissible*. In each class, one of these admissible metrics is the extremal Kähler metric originally build by Calabi [Cal82].

Theorem 1. *Every Hirzebruch surface has an open, non-empty sub-cone of the Kähler cone such that every Kähler class in this sub-cone admits a non-trivial pair of weighted extremal Kähler twins (g, f_1) and (g, f_2) of weight 4. For the trivial, first, and second Hirzebruch surfaces, this sub-cone is the entire Kähler cone.*

Every Hirzebruch surface has a Kähler metric with integer primitive Kähler class, such that the Boothby-Wang constructed Sasaki manifold above this Kähler manifold contains a pair of non-trivial extremal Sasaki twins.

Moreover, each admissible extremal metric on a Hirzebruch surface admits at most one extremal twin and the Sasaki-Einstein structure on the circle bundle corresponding to the canonical bundle of a Hirzebruch surface admits no twin.

The last statement is proved using the classification of extremal Kähler surfaces with toric Hamiltonian 2-forms [ACG06, ACGTF04, Leg11b]. This toric formalism is also used here in §5.4, to prove by a direct and simple calculation that for any positive Killing potential f of $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, g, J, \omega)$ with its Fubini-Study Kähler metric g , (g, f) and $(g, 1)$ are $(n+2)$ -weighted extremal twins. This results can be extracted also from the results in [Bry01, ACG06] since the CR-structure of the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional round sphere governs the Bochner-flatness of the (local) Kähler quotient which in turns ensures extremality in the Calabi sense. All that to say that the Fubini-Study Kähler metric of \mathbb{P}^n has a $(n+1)$ -dimensional cone of $(n+2)$ -weighted extremal twins, but in all examples we know this is the only Sasaki-Einstein structure admitting a twin. In fact, identifying Killing potentials with an affine function on the moment polytope, we exhibit a combinatorial condition obstructing the existence of twins to a Sasaki-Einstein metric, see Lemma 5.1. We use this criterion to prove the following, see Example 3.

Corollary 1. *The standard regular Sasaki–Einstein structure on the circle bundle over $Bl_3(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ the blow-up of \mathbb{CP}^2 at the 3 generic fixed points admits no extremal Sasaki twin.*

We have a special interest in constant scalar Sasaki (cscS) structures which is a particular case of extremal Sasaki metrics that comes in rays into their Sasaki cones. Since the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature, $Scal(\xi_f)$, of ξ_f equals $Scal_{f,n+2}(g)/f$, this extremal Sasaki structure is a cscS precisely when

$$(3) \quad Scal_{f,n+2}(g)/f = \text{constant.}$$

The condition that both $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_1)$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_f)$ are cscS gives a strong equation on f via (3). Exploiting this, we are able to show the following result which implies that the (projective) set of solution is quadratic.

Theorem 2. *Let (M, \mathcal{D}, J) be a pseudoconvex compact connected manifold on which acts a compact torus T and denote \mathfrak{t}^+ the Sasaki cone. Denote by $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+) \subset \mathfrak{t}^+$ the set of rays of cscS structure (i.e for each $\xi \in \text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)$, (M, \mathcal{D}, J, ξ) is cscS). Then,*

- 1) $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathfrak{t}^+/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ meets every line in $\mathfrak{t}^+/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ in at most two points;
- 2) $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)$ lies in the boundary of its convex hull.

In the examples we studied we did not find more than two cscS twins on the same CR structure. In particular, there is no more than two cscS twins on the CR-structure underlying a toric Sasaki manifold with moment quadrilaterals, see §6. Note that this confirms that among the three cscS structures of [Leg11a] only two of them are twins. Finally, we also point out that there is an abundance of these two cscS twins. See Example 4.

In §2 we will give a brief review of Sasaki geometry and in particular describe the Boothby-Wang construction alluded to at various points in this paper. In §3 we will focus on the Kähler setting and treat the Hirzebruch surfaces in great detail and produce many examples of weighted extremal Kähler metrics with weight $p = 4$. See Propositions 1, 2, 3, as well as §3.6. The weight $p = 4$ is chosen since the complex dimension n is two and so here p is both

- equal to $2n$, allowing us to generalize the twinning phenomenon appearing among the strongly Hermitian solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell equations on the first Hirzebruch surface, and
- equal to $n + 2$, allowing us, when appropriate, to draw conclusion pertaining to extremal Sasaki twins.

In §4 we extrapolate the work from §3 to show that we also have examples of weighted extremal Kähler metrics with weight $p = 4$ on minimal ruled surfaces over higher genus Riemann surfaces. This is to show that the twinning phenomenon is not confined to toric structures. §5 is dedicated to extremal Sasaki twins and the last section contains the local or transversal computations on the quadrilaterals.

Acknowledgements. *We would like to thank Vestislav Apostolov for making us aware of the work by Futaki and Ono [FO18, FO19] and Viza De Souza [VdS21]. See Remark 3.4. We also would like to thank Claude LeBrun and Roland Půček for their interest in our work.*

2. BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO SASAKI GEOMETRY AND THE BOOTHBY-WANG CONSTRUCTION

Sasaki geometry can be thought of as the odd dimensional sister geometry of Kähler geometry. Indeed, up to an infinitesimal deformation, a Sasakian structure is an S^1 orbibundle over a Kähler orbifold. This is the orbifold version of a construction due originally to Boothby and Wang [BW58]

in the context of contact and symplectic geometries. When the Reeb vector field of the contact structure has closed orbits the symplectic base is an orbifold, and when the symplectic base has a Kählerian structure the total space of the S^1 orbifold has a Sasakian structure. We refer to this construction as the orbifold Boothby-Wang construction, or just the Boothby-Wang construction for short when the context is clear. It is important to realize that there are Sasakian structures which do not have a well-defined base. These arise when the orbits of the Reeb vector field are not closed, but their closure generates a higher dimensional torus. To summarize, Sasaki geometry can be understood, up to infinitesimal deformations, in terms of the bundle structure $\text{circle} \longrightarrow \text{Sasaki} \longrightarrow \text{Kähler}$.

For more details see Section 7.1 in [BG08].

In this paper, whenever we are considering a Sasaki structure (\mathcal{D}, J, ξ) with Sasaki metric g we will use $Scal(g)$ to denote the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature $Scal(\xi)$ of ξ . This also corresponds to the transverse scalar curvature, i.e., the scalar curvature of the transverse (local) Kähler structure. [The scalar curvature of the Sasaki metric g (as a Riemannian metric on the underlying $2n + 1$ -dimensional manifold) is equal to $Scal(g) - 2n$, and hence just differs from $Scal(g)$ by a constant.]

Remark 2.1. *Given the Boothby-Wang construction it is occasionally useful to mix the jargon between Definitions 1 and 2. Suppose g is an extremal Kähler metric such that it is the transverse Kähler structure of an extremal Sasaki structure (\mathcal{D}, J, ξ) . Further, suppose that $(g, 1)$ and (g, f) are p -weighted extremal Kähler twins with $p = n + 2$, i.e., $f > 0$ is a non-constant Killing potential and g is $(f, n + 2)$ -extremal. Then we know from the discussion in §1 that (g, f) corresponds to an extremal Sasaki structure (\mathcal{D}, J, ξ_f) and therefore (\mathcal{D}, J, ξ) and (\mathcal{D}, J, ξ_f) are extremal Sasaki twins. In this case, and when it is convenient, we might say that the Kähler metric g “has a extremal Sasaki twin.”*

3. KÄHLER SETTING: 4-WEIGHTED EXTREMAL KÄHLER TWINS ON HIRZEBRUCH SURFACES

We will now explore a particularly attractive and well-known family of compact, complex surfaces, namely the *Hirzebruch surfaces*. These are total spaces of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ that can be classified by non-negative integers with the integer zero corresponding to the trivial Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. In general we have the n^{th} Hirzebruch surface, \mathbb{F}_n , for each positive integer n .

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let \mathbb{F}_n denote the n th Hirzebruch surface of the form $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, where $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is the holomorphic line bundle of degree n over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, and \mathcal{O} is the trivial holomorphic line bundle.

We know that \mathbb{F}_n admits no cscK metrics when $n > 0$ (see [Cal82]). Let $g_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$ be the Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ of constant scalar curvature $2s$, with Kähler form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$, such that $c_1(\mathcal{O}(n)) = [\frac{\omega_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}]$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$ denote the canonical bundle of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. Since $c_1(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}^{-1}) = [\rho_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}/2\pi]$, where $\rho_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$ denotes the Ricci form, we must have that $s = 2/n$.

The natural fiber wise \mathbb{C}^* -action on $\mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ extends to a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* -action on \mathbb{F}_n . The open and dense set \mathbb{F}_n^0 of stable points with respect to the latter action has the structure of a principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle over the stable quotient. A choice of a Hermitian norm on the fibers of $\mathcal{O}(n)$, whose Chern connection has curvature equal to $\omega_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$, induces via a Legendre transform a function $\mathfrak{z} : \mathbb{F}_n^0 \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ whose extension to \mathbb{F}_n consists of the critical manifolds $E_0 := \mathfrak{z}^{-1}(1) = P(\mathcal{O} \oplus 0)$ and $E_\infty := \mathfrak{z}^{-1}(-1) = P(0 \oplus \mathcal{O}(n))$. These are respectively the zero and infinity section of $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. It is well-known that E_0 and E_∞ have the property that $E_0^2 = n$ and $E_\infty^2 = -n$, respectively. If C denotes a fiber of the ruling $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, then $C^2 = 0$, while $C \cdot E_i = 1$ for both, $i = 0$ and $i = \infty$. Any real cohomology class in the two dimensional space $H^2(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{R})$ may be

written as a linear combination of the Poincaré duals of E_0 and C , i.e. $(m_1 E_0 + m_2 C)^*$. Thus, we may think of $H^2(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{R})$ as \mathbb{R}^2 , with coordinates (m_1, m_2) . So the Kähler cone \mathcal{K} may be identified with $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{(m_1, m_2) \mid m_1 > 0, m_2 > 0\}$.

3.1. Admissible metrics on Hirzebruch Surfaces. The term *admissible Kähler metrics* were introduced as such in [ACGTF08], but on a Hirzebruch surface they date much further back to [Cal82]. What follows is a quick overview on how to build such metrics on \mathbb{F}_n . We will use the notation from [ACGTF08] to which we refer for references as well as more technical details on what follows below.

Let θ be a connection one form for the Hermitian metric on \mathbb{F}_n^0 , with curvature $d\theta = \omega_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$. Let Θ be a real function which is smooth and positive on the open interval $(-1, 1)$ and continuous on the closed interval $[-1, 1]$. Let x be a real number such that $0 < x < 1$. Then an admissible Kähler metric is given on \mathbb{F}_n^0 by

$$(4) \quad g_x = \frac{1 + x\mathfrak{z}}{x} g_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} + \frac{d\mathfrak{z}^2}{\Theta(\mathfrak{z})} + \Theta(\mathfrak{z})\theta^2$$

with Kähler form

$$\omega_x = \frac{1 + x\mathfrak{z}}{x} \omega_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} + d\mathfrak{z} \wedge \theta.$$

The complex structure yielding this Kähler structure is given by the pullback of the base complex structure along with the requirement

$$(5) \quad Jd\mathfrak{z} = \Theta\theta.$$

The function \mathfrak{z} is Hamiltonian with $K = J \text{grad } \mathfrak{z}$ a Killing vector field. Observe that K generates the circle action which induces the holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* -action on \mathbb{F}_n as introduced above. In fact, \mathfrak{z} is the moment map on \mathbb{F}_n for the circle action, decomposing \mathbb{F}_n into the free orbits $\mathbb{F}_n^0 = \mathfrak{z}^{-1}((-1, 1))$ and the special orbits $\mathfrak{z}^{-1}(\pm 1)$. Finally, θ satisfies $\theta(K) = 1$. In order that g_x (be a genuine metric and) extend to all of \mathbb{F}_n , Θ must satisfy the positivity and boundary conditions

$$(6) \quad (i) \Theta(\mathfrak{z}) > 0, \quad -1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1, \quad (ii) \Theta(\pm 1) = 0, \quad (iii) \Theta'(\pm 1) = \mp 2.$$

It is convenient to define a function $F(\mathfrak{z})$ by the formula

$$(7) \quad \Theta(\mathfrak{z}) = \frac{F(\mathfrak{z})}{(1 + x\mathfrak{z})}.$$

Since $(1 + x\mathfrak{z})$ is positive for $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$, conditions (6) imply the following equivalent conditions on $F(\mathfrak{z})$:

$$(8) \quad (i) F(\mathfrak{z}) > 0, \quad -1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1, \quad (ii) F(\pm 1) = 0, \quad (iii) F'(\pm 1) = \mp 2(1 \pm x).$$

The construction of admissible Kähler metrics is based on the symplectic viewpoint. Different choices of F yield different complex structures that are all compatible with the same fixed symplectic form ω_x . However, for each F there is an S^1 -equivariant diffeomorphism pulling back J to the original fixed complex structure on \mathbb{F}_n in such a way that the Kähler form of the new Kähler metric is in the same cohomology class as ω_x [ACGTF08]. Therefore, with all else fixed, we may view the set of the functions F satisfying (8) as parametrizing a certain family of Kähler metrics within the same Kähler class of \mathbb{F}_n .

One easily checks that the Kähler class of an admissible metric (4) is given by

$$(9) \quad [\omega_x] = 4\pi E_0^* + \frac{2\pi(1-x)\mathbf{n}}{x} C^*.$$

Up to an overall rescale, every Kähler class in the Kähler cone may be represented by an admissible Kähler metric. Note that when $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$, $[\omega_x]$ can be rescaled to be an integer cohomology

class. Thus the $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ cases are of particular interest from a Sasaki geometry point of view.

3.2. Weighted Extremal Admissible Kähler metrics. As described in §3 of [BHLTF23], by employing Proposition 11 of [ACGTF08] and (the second half of) Theorem 1 in [AMTF22], we have that (up to scale) every Kähler class on \mathbb{F}_n admits an admissible $(c\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal metric for any choice of $c \in (-1, 1)$.

Following [AMTF22] (with some adjustment in notation), we have that for a given $c \in (-1, 1)$ and $x \in (0, 1)$, the admissible $(c\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal metric $g_{x,c}$ is determined by the function

$$(10) \quad F_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z}) := (c\mathfrak{z} + 1)^3 \left[\frac{2(1-x)}{(1-c)^3} (\mathfrak{z} + 1) + \int_{-1}^{\mathfrak{z}} Q(t)(\mathfrak{z} - t) dt \right],$$

where

$$Q(t) = \frac{2xs}{(ct + 1)^3} - \frac{(A_1 t + A_2)(1 + xt)}{(ct + 1)^5}$$

and A_1 and A_2 are the unique solutions to the linear system

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{1,-5}A_1 + \alpha_{0,-5}A_2 &= 2\beta_{0,-3} \\ \alpha_{2,-5}A_1 + \alpha_{1,-5}A_2 &= 2\beta_{1,-3} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{r,-k} &= \int_{-1}^1 (ct + 1)^{-k} t^r (1 + xt) dt \\ \beta_{r,-k} &= xs \int_{-1}^1 (ct + 1)^{-k} t^r dt \\ &\quad + (-1)^r (1 - c)^{-k} (1 - x) + (1 + c)^{-k} (1 + x). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to calculate that

$$F_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z}) = (1 - \mathfrak{z}^2)P_{x,c}$$

where

$$(12) \quad P_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z}) = \frac{c^2sx + 3c^2x^2 - c^2 - 2csx^2 + 3cx^3 - 7cx + sx^3 - 4x^2 + 6}{2(3c^2x^2 - c^2 - 4cx - x^2 + 3)} + x\mathfrak{z} + \frac{(c-x)(-csx + 3cx^2 - c + sx^2 - 2x)}{2(3c^2x^2 - c^2 - 4cx - x^2 + 3)} \mathfrak{z}^2.$$

Note that $3c^2x^2 - c^2 - 4cx - x^2 + 3 = (3x^2 - 1)c^2 - 4xc + 3 - x^2 > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $c \in (-1, 1)$. Note also that for any $c \in (-1, 1)$ and $x \in (0, 1)$, all of the conditions in (8) are satisfied here¹. Then, $Scal_{c\mathfrak{z}+1,4}(g_x)$ is constant ($A_1 = 0$) iff

$$(13) \quad \alpha_{0,-5}\beta_{1,-3} - \alpha_{1,-5}\beta_{0,-3} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(14) \quad (c^2x - 2c + x)(c^2sx - 2cx - sx + 2) = 0.$$

The solutions to Equation (14) just recover the examples of the strongly Hermitian solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations already produced by LeBrun in [LeB16].

Similarly, (3) (with $f = c\mathfrak{z} + 1$ and complex dimension $n = 2$) is satisfied precisely when

$$(15) \quad \alpha_{0,-4}\beta_{1,-3} - \alpha_{1,-4}\beta_{0,-3} = 0$$

(see equation (10) in [BHLTF23]) and (15) is equivalent to

$$(16) \quad (3x^2 + sx - 1)c^3 - x(6 + sx)c^2 + (5 - sx + x^2)c + (sx - 2)x = 0.$$

¹If we extend things to higher genus geometrically ruled surfaces (as we will in Appendix 4), (i) of (8) would not be guaranteed in all cases. See e.g. [TF98], for the classic (extremal) case where $c = 0$.

When $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$, this leads to csc Sasaki metrics on S^3 -bundles over S^2 . These metrics have been studied in e.g. [BP14, Leg11a]. Note that for a given value of $s = 2/n$ and $x \in (0, 1)$, (16) has a unique solution. This can be seen directly (following a similar discussion in §4.1 of [BTF24]) by making a change of variable $c = \frac{1-\hat{c}}{1+\hat{c}}$ with $0 < \hat{c} < +\infty$ so that (16) rewrites to $q(\hat{c}) = 0$, where $q(\hat{c})$ is the polynomial

$$q(\hat{c}) = -(1+x)^2\hat{c}^3 - (1+x)(2(1-x) - sx)\hat{c}^2 + (1-x)(2+2x-sx)\hat{c} + (1-x)^2.$$

Since $s \leq 2$ and $0 < x < 1$, it is not hard to see that $q(\hat{c})$ has precisely one positive real root². The uniqueness part uses Descartes's rule of signs. A simple example can be obtained by taking $s = 2$ and $x = \frac{1}{3}$ in which case Equation (16) becomes a quadric with the solution $c = \frac{5-2\sqrt{5}}{5}$.

3.3. 4-Weighted Extremal Admissible Kähler twins. For a given $x \in (0, 1)$, we now study cases where we have two distinct values $a, b \in (-1, 1)$ so that $F_{x,a} = F_{x,b}$. This means that the corresponding admissible Kähler metric, $g_x := g_{x,a} = g_{x,b}$ is a $(f, 4)$ -extremal Kähler metric with respect to two different Killing potentials, $f_1 = a\mathfrak{z} + 1$ and $f_2 = b\mathfrak{z} + 1$ which are then a pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins (g, f_1) and (g, f_2) .

We already know that such cases exist. Indeed, examples can be found among LeBrun's strongly Hermitian solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations on the first Hirzebruch surface [LeB16] (see the discussion in §3.2 of [KTF16]): For each $4/5 < x < 1$, there exist pairs of admissible 4-weighted extremal twins $(g_x, a\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ and $(g_x, b\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ such that both $c = a$ and $c = b$ solve (14), that is $Scal_{a\mathfrak{z}+1,4}(g_x)$ and $Scal_{b\mathfrak{z}+1,4}(g_x)$ are both constant. Moving forward, we will refer to these as the *LeBrun Einstein-Maxwell Twins*.

Another example on the first Hirzebruch surface is the case of the Page metric, h , [Pag78] which is Hermitian Einstein, and its companion extremal Kähler metric g , with (up to scale) $f_1 = Scal(g)$ and $f_2 = 1$ (see [Der83]) and $h = f_1^{-2}g$. Here, $Scal_{f_1,4} = Scal(h)$ is constant, but $Scal_{f_2,4} = Scal(g)$ is not constant. We will call this pair the *Page Twins*. We encourage the reader to read §5 of [LeB16] for a more detailed discussion of the Page metric in the first Hirzebruch surface.

What we are about to discover is that there is an *abundance* of such 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins on \mathbb{F}_n .

For a given $x \in (0, 1)$ and $a \in (-1, 1)$, consider the admissible $(a\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal Kähler metric with $P_{x,a}$ as in (12). A potential twin would be manifested by a value $b \in (-1, 1)$, with $b \neq a$, such that $P_{x,b} = P_{x,a}$, i.e.,

$$\frac{b^2sx + 3b^2x^2 - b^2 - 2bsx^2 + 3bx^3 - 7bx + sx^3 - 4x^2 + 6}{2(3b^2x^2 - b^2 - 4bx - x^2 + 3)} = \frac{a^2sx + 3a^2x^2 - a^2 - 2asx^2 + 3ax^3 - 7ax + sx^3 - 4x^2 + 6}{2(3a^2x^2 - a^2 - 4ax - x^2 + 3)}$$

$$\frac{(b-x)(-bsx + 3bx^2 - b + sx^2 - 2x)}{2(3b^2x^2 - b^2 - 4bx - x^2 + 3)} = \frac{(a-x)(-asx + 3ax^2 - a + sx^2 - 2x)}{2(3a^2x^2 - a^2 - 4ax - x^2 + 3)}$$

It is straightforward to calculate that, bearing in mind $x \in (0, 1)$ and $a, b \in (-1, 1)$, this system is equivalent to one equation:

$$(a-b)(x(1-2sx+x^2) + a(1+sx-3x^2+sx^3) + b(1+sx-3x^2+sx^3 + ax(-1-2sx+3x^2))) = 0.$$

Since we are looking for solutions with $b \neq a$, this further simplifies to

$$(17) \quad x(1-2sx+x^2) + (1+sx-3x^2+sx^3)a + (1+sx-3x^2+sx^3)b - x(1+2sx-3x^2)ab = 0.$$

²This observation is pertaining to a proper subcone of the Sasaki cone and, in particular, does not in any way imply uniqueness of csc Sasaki metrics in the (entire) Sasaki cone. From [Leg11a] we know that such uniqueness does not hold in general.

Solving for b we get

$$(18) \quad b = -\frac{x(1 - 2sx + x^2) + a(1 + sx - 3x^2 + sx^3)}{(1 + sx - 3x^2 + sx^3 - ax(1 + 2sx - 3x^2))}.$$

As long as $s = 2/\mathfrak{n}$, $x \in (0, 1)$, and $a \in (-1, 1)$ are such that $(1 + sx - 3x^2 + sx^3 - ax(1 + 2sx - 3x^2)) \neq 0$ and moreover b as given in (18) satisfies $-1 < b < 1$, we have a genuine solution $b \in (-1, 1)$, which will give us a pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins, $(g_x, a\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ and $(g_x, b\mathfrak{z} + 1)$, provided $b \neq a$. If everything else is okay but $b = a$, it just means that the twins coincide with each other which would correspond to a bifurcation point.

Remark 3.1. *By the nature of the equation (17), we see that (at least generically (meaning the corresponding conic section is not degenerate), for a given admissible $(a\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal Kähler metric g_x there is at most one other value $b \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{a\}$ such that g_x is also $(b\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal.*

Assuming the non-degeneracy of (17), we also note that if $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ (giving us an integer Kähler class up to rescale) and if (a, b) is a solution to (17), then $a \in \mathbb{Q} \iff b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Example 1 (Generalizing the Page twins). *Let us assume $\mathfrak{n} = 1$, so $s = 2$. That is, \mathbb{F}_1 is the first Hirzebruch surface. Then (18) is equivalent to*

$$b = -\frac{x(1 - 4x + x^2) + a(1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3)}{(1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3 + ax(-1 - 4x + 3x^2))},$$

and if we further assume $a = 0$, we get

$$b = -\frac{x(1 - 4x + x^2)}{(1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3)}.$$

Notice first that the denominator in the above expression is positive for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Further, with b as given above

$$b - (-1) = \frac{(x + 1)(x^2 + 1)}{2x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x + 1} > 0$$

and

$$1 - b = \frac{(1 - x)(1 + 4x - 3x^2)}{2x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x + 1} > 0$$

and hence

$$-1 < -\frac{x(1 - 4x + x^2)}{(1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3)} < 1.$$

Finally notice that $b \neq 0$ as long as $x \in (0, 1) \setminus \{2 - \sqrt{3}\}$. Thus in this case we have the following result that generalizes the Page twins. When $x = 2 - \sqrt{3}$, we get $b = 0 = a$ and the two twins are identical ($x = 2 - \sqrt{3}$ is a bifurcation point).

From this example we obtain the following result:

Proposition 1. *For all $x \in (0, 1) \setminus \{2 - \sqrt{3}\}$, Calabi's (admissible) extremal Kähler metric, g_x , viewed as a $(1, 4)$ -extremal Kähler metric, is "twinning" with the $(b\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal metric g_x , where $b \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ is given by $b = -\frac{x(1-4x+x^2)}{(1+2x-3x^2+2x^3)}$. In other words, g_x is both extremal and $(b\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal and we have a pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins $(g_x, 1)$ and $(g_x, b\mathfrak{z} + 1)$.*

Remark 3.2. *Note that with $c = b = -\frac{x(1-4x+x^2)}{(1+2x-3x^2+2x^3)}$ and $s = 2$, (13) simplifies to*

$$-3 + 18x^2 - 16x^3 + 5x^4 = 0.$$

The solution, $x_P \in (0, 1)$, of this equation has an explicit, albeit complicated, expression. See e.g. [LeB16] for the explicit Kähler class in question and hence a way to find the exact value of x_P .

Approximately we have $x_P \approx 0.52$. This corresponds to the Kähler class containing the extremal Kähler metric conformal (via $(b_3 + 1)^{-2}$) to the Page metric which as previously mentioned is Einstein. Thus for $x = x_P \approx 0.52$, Proposition 1 gives us the Page twins.

Remark 3.3. If we assume $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ in Proposition 1, then after an appropriate rescale we can consider the Boothby-Wang constructed Sasaki manifold over the extremal Kähler metric corresponding to (as rescale) of g_x . Then the 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins represent two extremal rays in the Sasaki cone, where the two extremal Sasaki metrics are genuinely in the exact same Sasaki cone, that is, there is no deformation within the isotopy classes, or equivalently there is no movement within the corresponding Kähler classes. That is, the two metrics are extremal Sasaki twins.

3.4. Focus on the first two Hirzebruch surfaces, \mathbb{F}_1 and \mathbb{F}_2 . Here we will assume $s = 2$ or $s = 1$. That is, $\mathfrak{n} = 1$ or $\mathfrak{n} = 2$ and $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{n}$ is the first or second Hirzebruch surface. Note that equation (17) define a conic section

$$Aa^2 + Bab + Cb^2 + Da + Eb + F = 0$$

in the variables a, b , where $A = C = 0$, $B = x(3x^2 - 2sx - 1)$, $D = E = (1 + sx - 3x^2 + sx^3)$, and $F = x(1 - 2sx + x^2)$. Since $B \neq 0$ for $0 < x < 1$, we see that we get a hyperbola. In matrix form, the equation may be written

$$(a \ b \ 1) \begin{pmatrix} A & B/2 & D/2 \\ B/2 & C & E/2 \\ D/2 & E/2 & F \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and here

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B/2 & D/2 \\ B/2 & C & E/2 \\ D/2 & E/2 & F \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B/2 & D/2 \\ B/2 & 0 & D/2 \\ D/2 & D/2 & F \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of this matrix equals

$$\frac{B(D^2 - BF)}{4} = \frac{x(3x^2 - 2sx - 1)(1 - x^2)^2(1 + 2sx + (s^2 - 3)x^2)}{4} \underbrace{\neq}_{0 < x < 1, s=1,2} 0$$

and thus, for $s = 2$ or $s = 1$, the solution set to equation (17) forms a non-degenerate hyperbola H_x for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

Let U denote the complement of the diagonal in the subset $\{(a, b) \mid 0 < |a|, |b| < 1\}$ of the ab -plane.

Claim: $\forall x \in (0, 1)$, $H_x \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim: The left-hand-side of (17) equals a smooth function $g(s, x, a, b)$. Now observe that, since $0 < x < 1$,


- $g(2, x, -1, 0) = -(1 + x)(1 + x^2) < 0$
- $g(1, x, -1, 0) = -(1 - x^2) < 0$
- $g(2, x, 0, 1) = (1 - x)(1 + 4x - 3x^2) > 0$
- $g(1, x, 0, 1) = (1 - x)(1 + 3x - 2x^2) > 0$.

This tells us that for both $s = 2$ and $s = 1$ and any $x \in (0, 1)$, there must exist at least one solution to (17) on the (open) line segment between $(-1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ in the ab -plane. Since this (open) line segment is inside the square $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$, does not intersect the diagonal and does not intersect the lines $a = 0$, $b = 0$, we are done. \square

Due to this claim we have the following result:

Proposition 2. *In every Kähler class on the first and second Hirzebruch surface we have at least one genuine pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins $(g_x, a\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ and $(g_x, b\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ where neither of them are extremal.*

Of course, since H_x is a non-degenerate hyperbola the claim above actually ensures that we have an uncountable (one parameter) number of pairs of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins in each Kähler class. To illustrate this, we include the figure below showing $H_{1/6}, H_{2/6}, H_{3/6}, H_{4/6}$, and $H_{5/6}$ for $s = 2$. Note that here the curves move toward the top right corner as x increases.



3.4.1. *The LeBrun Einstein-Maxwell Twins on the First Hirzebruch Surface.* Let us now focus further on the first Hirzebruch surface. Since $s = 2$, equation (17) for potential 4-weighted extrema Kähler twins $(g_x, a\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ and $(g_x, b\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ becomes

$$(19) \quad x(1 - 4x + x^2) + (1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3)a + (1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3)b - x(1 + 4x - 3x^2)ab = 0.$$

Viewed another way, and as we saw in Example 1, for a given admissible 4-weighted extremal Kähler metric $(g_x, a\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ (with $-1 < a < 1$) in the Kähler class given by $x \in (0, 1)$, we have a potential twin $(g_x, b\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ given by

$$(20) \quad b = -\frac{x(1 - 4x + x^2) + a(1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3)}{(1 + 2x - 3x^2 + 2x^3 + ax(-1 - 4x + 3x^2))},$$

as long as $-1 < b < 1$ (and - for a genuine twin - $b \neq a$).

Let us assume that $a \in (-1, 1)$ solves (14), with $s = 2$, and thus corresponds to one of the strongly Hermitian solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations³ due to LeBrun in [LeB16]. That is, $Scal_{a\mathfrak{z}+1,4}(g_x)$ is constant and

$$(21) \quad (xa^2 - 2a + x)(xa^2 - xa + (1 - x)) = 0.$$

³ $h = (a\mathfrak{z} + 1)^{-2}g_x$ is conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell.


As discovered in [LeB16], (21) (as an equation in a) has solutions

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{1-\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x} \quad \text{valid for all } 0 < x < 1 \\ a_{21} &= \frac{x-\sqrt{x(5x-4)}}{2x} \quad \text{valid for } 4/5 \leq x < 1 \\ a_{22} &= \frac{x+\sqrt{x(5x-4)}}{2x} \quad \text{valid for } 4/5 \leq x < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that when $x = 4/5$, $a_1 = a_{21} = a_{22}$, and for $4/5 < x < 1$, the three solutions are distinct. Thus, when $0 < x \leq 4/5$ we have precisely one solution and when $4/5 < x < 1$ we have three distinct solutions.

It is not hard to check that (19) is solved by $(a, b) = (a_{21}, a_{22})$ and this recovers precisely the LeBrun Einstein-Maxwell Twins. If we denote the shared Kähler metric in the Kähler class given by x , corresponding to a_{21} and a_{22} , by $g_{x,2}$, then for each $x \in (4/5, 1)$, the LeBrun Einstein-Maxwell twins is the pair $(g_{x,2}, a_{21}\mathfrak{J} + 1), (g_{x,2}, a_{22}\mathfrak{J} + 1)$. On the other hand, if we denote the Kähler metric in the Kähler class given by x , corresponding to a_1 , by $g_{x,1}$, we have for all $x \in (0, 1)$ a solution to the Einstein-Maxwell equations given by $(g_{x,1}, a_1\mathfrak{J} + 1)$. This solution also has a twin, $(g_{x,1}, b_1\mathfrak{J} + 1)$ obtained by letting $b_1 = b$ when $a = a_1$ in (20)⁴

However, $(g_{x,1}, b_1\mathfrak{J} + 1)$ does not correspond to a solution of (21) and $(g_{x,1}, b_1\mathfrak{J} + 1)$ is therefore not a solution to the Einstein-Maxwell equations. The figure below shows a_1, b_1, a_{21} , and a_{22} as functions of $x \in (0, 1)$. The red and green curves correspond to the LeBrun Einstein-Maxwell Twins (a_{21} and a_{22}), the blue curve is a_1 and the orange curve is b_1 .



At the x value where the orange curve crosses the x -axis we have, once more, the Page twins sitting on the orange and blue curve, respectively.

Remark 3.4. *We would be remiss if we did not mention that, in addition to LeBrun's solutions in [LeB16], certain Hirzebruch surfaces also admit solutions to the strongly Hermitian Einstein-Maxwell equations where the corresponding 4-weighted extremal Kähler metric is non-admissible, i.e., not of Calabi type, and ambitoric in the sense of [ACG16]. This existence result is due to work by Viza De Souza [VdS21] building on work by Futaki and Ono [FO18, FO19] as well as Apostolov and Maschler [AM19]. It would be very interesting to explore whether any of these solutions could be part of a pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins.*

3.4.2. The Sasaki Einstein metric over the first Hirzebruch surface and related twins. Continuing our focus on the first Hirzebruch class we note that for $x = 1/2$, the admissible Kähler class agrees (up to scale) with the first Chern class. Thus the corresponding Boothby-Wang constructed Sasaki structure is Gorenstein and the unique solution to (3) (with complex dimension $n = 2$ and manifested in (16)), corresponds to a Sasaki-Einstein metric (on an η -Einstein ray). It is not hard to check that the solution to (16) for $s = 2$ and $x = 1/2$ is $c = \frac{1}{3}(4 - \sqrt{13})$. Setting

⁴One can check that $b_1 = \frac{a_1(a_1^4 + 4a_1^3 - 6a_1^2 + 12a_1 - 3)}{a_1^4 + 12a_1^3 - 10a_1^2 + 4a_1 + 1}$, and for $0 < x < 1$, $0 < a_1 < 1$, we have $-1 < b_1 < 1$.

$s = 2$, $x = 1/2$ and $a = \frac{1}{3}(4 - \sqrt{13})$ in (17), yields the trivial solution $b = \frac{1}{3}(4 - \sqrt{13}) = a$. Thus, the Sasaki Einstein metric does not have an extremal Sasaki twin in this sub cone (given by $f = c_3 + 1$) of its Sasaki cone. [We shall see later in §6 that it has no twin at all.] On the other hand for the cscS solution with $s = 2$ and $x = \frac{1}{3}$ we obtain a weighted extremal Sasaki twin by taking

$$a = c = \frac{5 - 2\sqrt{5}}{5}, \quad b = \frac{-45 + 19\sqrt{5}}{25 + 9\sqrt{5}}.$$

3.5. The third and higher Hirzebruch surfaces. Focussing on the Hirzebruch surfaces of degree three or higher, we now assume that $s = 2/\mathbf{n}$ with $\mathbf{n} = 3, 4, 5, \dots$. This means that $s \leq 2/3$ and one can check that then the hyperbola in a, b given by (17) will be degenerate for exactly two values of x in $(0, 1)$, namely when $x = \frac{1}{3}(\sqrt{s^2 + 3} + s)$ or $x = -\frac{s+\sqrt{3}}{s^2-3}$ (See the discussion at the beginning of §3.4). It can also be checked directly that for $x = \frac{1}{3}(\sqrt{s^2 + 3} + s)$ or $x = -\frac{s+\sqrt{3}}{s^2-3}$, (17) has no solutions with $-1 < a, b < 1$.

Note now that since $0 < s \leq 2/3$ we have that $0 < s < \frac{1}{3}(\sqrt{s^2 + 3} + s) < -\frac{s+\sqrt{3}}{s^2-3} < 1$. Thus, with $s \leq 2/3$, the solution set to equation (17) forms a non-degenerate hyperbola H_x for all $x \in (0, s] \subset (0, 1)$. Let W denote the complement of the diagonal in the subset $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ of the ab -plane.

Claim: $\forall s = 2/\mathbf{n} \leq 2/3, \forall x \in (0, s], H_x \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim: Assuming $0 < x \leq s \leq 2/3$, it is not hard to see that, if we view the left hand side of equation (17) as a smooth function $g(s, x, a, b)$, then,


$$\begin{aligned} g(s, x, -1, -1) &= -2(1+x)^2(1+(s-2)x) < 0 \\ g(s, x, 1, 0) &= (1-x)(1+(2-x)x+sx(1-x)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that for $0 < x \leq s = 2/\mathbf{n} \leq 2/3$, there is at least one solution to (17) on the open line segment between $(-1, -1)$ and $(1, 0)$ in the ab -plane. Since this open line segment is inside the square $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ and does not intersect the diagonal, we are done. \square

Due to this claim we have the following result:

Proposition 3. *For each Kähler class determined by $0 < x \leq 2/\mathbf{n}$ on the third and higher Hirzebruch surfaces $(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{n}}$ for $\mathbf{n} = 3, 4, 5, \dots$) we have at least one genuine pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins $(g_x, a_3 + 1)$ and $(g_x, b_3 + 1)$.*

Once again, due to non-degeneracy of the hyperbola, for each Kähler class determined by $0 < x \leq 2/\mathbf{n}$, we have an uncountable (one parameter) number of pairs of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins. The figure below shows H_x for $x = 1/\mathbf{n}$ and $s = 2/\mathbf{n}$ when $\mathbf{n} = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$. Here the curves are moving towards the upper right corner as \mathbf{n} increases.



Finally, we also present some sporadic explicit solutions:

- Equation (17) is solved for $s = 2/n$, $x = 1/n$, $a = 1/n$, and $b = -2/n$ and for $n \geq 3$ this corresponds to a genuine and explicit pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins, $(g_{\frac{1}{n}}, \frac{1}{n}\mathfrak{z} + 1)$ and $(g_{\frac{1}{n}}, \frac{-2}{n}\mathfrak{z} + 1)$, on the n th Hirzebruch surface.
- Equation (17) is solved for $s = 2/n$, $x = 1/n$, $a = 0$, and $b = b_n = -\frac{n(n^2-3)}{n^4-n^2+2}$. For $n \geq 2$, we can check that $-1 < b_n < 1$ and thus this solution corresponds to a genuine and explicit pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins, $(g_{\frac{1}{n}}, 1)$ and $(g_{\frac{1}{n}}, b_n\mathfrak{z} + 1)$, on the n th Hirzebruch surface, where here $g_{\frac{1}{n}}$ is Calabi Extremal. Note that the second Hirzebruch surface is included in this example.

3.6. The trivial Hirzebruch Surface \mathbb{F}_0 . We end §3 by considering the 0^{th} Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_0 := \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. While product metrics on \mathbb{F}_0 may be considered as degenerate cases of the admissible set-up in §3.1, we will take a direct approach to such metrics. Indeed a product Kähler structure (g, ω) on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ can be described on an open dense set as follows:

$$(22) \quad g = \frac{1}{A(x)} dx^2 + A(x) dt^2 + \frac{1}{B(y)} dy^2 + B(y) ds^2, \quad \omega = dx \wedge dt + dy \wedge ds,$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $-\alpha < x < \alpha$, $-\beta < y < \beta$, t, s are angular coordinates, and $A(x)$, $B(y)$ are positive smooth functions. For g to extend to all of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ as a smooth Kähler metric we have the following boundary conditions:

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} A(\pm\alpha) &= 0 & B(\pm\beta) &= 0 \\ A'(\pm\alpha) &= \mp 2 & B'(\pm\beta) &= \mp 2 \end{aligned}$$

Varying the values of $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ sweeps out the Kähler cone of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ with product metrics.

We know from [LeB15] that with $0 < \alpha < \beta < 2\alpha$, $f > 0$ a certain non-constant affine function of y , $A(x) = (\alpha^2 - x^2)/\alpha$, and $B(y)$ is an appropriate 4th degree polynomial, the metric of the form (22) is a (non-*cscK*) $Scal_{f,4}(g)$ -constant Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.

More generally and specifically, let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and c be a real number such that $c^2\beta^2 < (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2$ and consider the positive linear affine function $f(y) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + cy$ for $-\beta < y < \beta$. Then with

$$A(x) = (\alpha^2 - x^2)/\alpha$$

and

$$B(y) = \frac{(\beta^2 - y^2) (6\alpha(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 + (\beta - \alpha)c^2\beta^2 - (\alpha + \beta)c^2y^2)}{2\alpha\beta (3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 - \beta^2c^2)},$$

we get a smooth Kähler product metric⁵, g_c , of the form (22), on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ satisfying that $Scal_{f,4}(g)$ is a linear affine function of y :

$$\begin{aligned} Scal_{f,4}(g) &= \frac{6((\alpha+\beta)(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^4 - 6\alpha\beta^2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^2c^2 + (\alpha-\beta)\beta^4c^4)}{\alpha\beta(3(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^2 - \beta^2c^2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{12c((\alpha^2+\beta^2)((2\alpha-\beta)(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^2 + \beta^3c^2))}{\alpha\beta(3(\alpha^2+\beta^2)^2 - \beta^2c^2)}y \end{aligned}$$

This gives a family of $(f, 4)$ -extremal Kähler metrics, containing LeBrun's Einstein-Maxwell solutions [LeB15] (when c is such that $Scal_{f,4}(g)$ is constant) as a special cases. Moreover, since $B(y)$ for c and $-c$ are the same, we have that $g_c = g_{-c}$ (let us denote this by $g_{|c|}$). Thus we have 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins $(g_{|c|}, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + cy)$, $(g_{|c|}, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 - cy)$. One can also easily check that this is the only possibility of twinning among this family of 4-weighted extremal Kähler metrics.

Note that when α and β are rational, the rays corresponding to $f(y) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \pm cy$ in the unreduced Sasaki-Reeb cone of the Boothby-Wang constructed Sasaki manifold with respect to an appropriate rescale of g_c (and its Kähler form) are related under a transformation in the Weyl group \mathbb{Z}_2 acting on the cone. Furthermore, in that case, the Sasaki metric corresponding to $f(y) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + cy$ is *cscS* precisely when $Scal_{f,4}(g)/f$ equals a constant. From the above expression for $Scal_{f,4}(g)$, a calculation shows that this is equivalent to c satisfying the equation

$$c^2 = \frac{(\beta - 5\alpha)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2}{(\beta - \alpha)\beta^2}.$$

For $\beta > 5\alpha$ this has viable solutions $c = \pm \sqrt{\frac{(\beta-5\alpha)(\alpha^2+\beta^2)}{(\beta-\alpha)\beta}}$, recovering the “extra” *cscS* solutions from [Leg11a] on the Wang-Ziller join. From the above discussion we can see that these *cscS* are in fact extremal Sasaki twins.

4. ADMISSIBLE RULED SURFACES OVER RIEMANN SURFACES OF ANY GENUS

In this section we show that 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins exist outside of the realm of Hirzebruch surfaces (and other toric Kähler manifolds). To do this we simply supply related examples that can be directly derived from a generalization of the set-up in §3.

Let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a Riemann surface of any genus \mathfrak{g} , let $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let $g_{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ be a Kähler Einstein metric on $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of constant scalar curvature $2s = \frac{4(1-\mathfrak{g})}{\mathfrak{n}}$, with Kähler form $\omega_{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Now let $L_{\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a holomorphic line bundle over $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $c_1(L_{\mathfrak{n}}) = [\frac{\omega_{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}}}{2\pi}]$. Then $c_1(L_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathfrak{n}\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ being the standard primitive, integer Kähler class on $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Following e.g. [ACGTF08] we have an *admissible manifold* $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$ of the form $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus L_{\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and, similarly to §3.1 we can exhaust the Kähler cone (up to scale) with admissible metrics g_x of the form (4), where $x \in (0, 1)$ still determines the Kähler class (up to scale) via (9). Further, following [AMTF22], for each choice of $x \in (0, 1)$ and $c \in (-1, 1)$ we have a function $F_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z})$ defined by (10) that satisfies (ii) and (iii) of (8) and would give us an admissible $(c\mathfrak{z} + 1, 4)$ -extremal metric $g_{x,c}$ provided that also (i) of (8) is satisfied. It is the latter condition that is not guaranteed in general when $\mathfrak{g} > 1$. As long as we are aware of this, we can use everything else that was developed in §3.3 to find 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins.

Recall that

$$F_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z}) = (1 - \mathfrak{z}^2)P_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z}),$$

⁵It is easy to check that $B(y) > 0$ for $-\beta < y < \beta$, $B(\pm\beta) = 0$, and that $B'(\pm\beta) = \mp 2$.

where $P_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z})$ is given by (12). Thus, (i) of (8) is equivalent to $P_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z}) > 0$ for $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$. Now we notice that if $c = x$, then $P_{x,c}(\mathfrak{z}) = (1 + x\mathfrak{z})$, which is clearly positive for $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$ since $x \in (0, 1)$. Thus any solution (a, b) to (17) with $a = x$ such that $-1 < b < 1$ would produce a pair of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins. Setting $a = x$ in (17) gives us formally a solution $b \neq a$.

$$(24) \quad b = \frac{x(2 - sx)}{3x^2 - sx - 1}.$$

Thus, for $-1 < b < 1$ to be true, s and x need to satisfy that $-1 < \frac{x(2-sx)}{3x^2-sx-1} < 1$. Clearly there are many choices for $s = \frac{2(\mathfrak{g}-1)}{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $x \in (0, 1)$ where this is satisfied and thus we have many examples of 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins.

To make this explicit and tie it in with extremal Sasaki twins we will find solutions that correspond to smooth $M_{\mathfrak{g}} *_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathfrak{w}}^3$ joins as described in e.g. [BTF16, BTF14] where $M_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the canonical Sasaki manifold over $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$. This means that we want to cast $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$ with the Kähler class determined by x via (9) as the regular quotient of $M_{\mathfrak{g}} *_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathfrak{w}}^3$. This process is discussed in §4.4 of [BHLTF18]. Since we want to pick cases where $M_{\mathfrak{g}} *_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathfrak{w}}^3$ is a S^3 -bundle over $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we will chose $l_2 = 1$ in accordance with Proposition 7.6.7 in [BG08]. We also want to arrive at examples for both the twisted and untwisted bundle of each possible genus \mathfrak{g} .

Following e.g. §4.4 of [BHLTF18] we see that the correspondence between the $M_{\mathfrak{g}} *_{l_1, 1} S_{\mathfrak{w}}^3$ and $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$ with Kähler class determined by x is as follows

$$(25) \quad \mathfrak{n} = l_1(w_1 - w_2) \quad x = \frac{w_1 - w_2}{w_1 + w_2}$$

and by Theorem 3.5 in [BTF14] we know that $M_{\mathfrak{g}} *_{l_1, 1} S_{\mathfrak{w}}^3$ is diffeomorphic to the trivial (untwisted) bundle $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}} \times S^3$ if \mathfrak{n} is even and diffeomorphic to the non-trivial (twisted) S^3 -bundle over $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ if \mathfrak{n} is odd.

We look at four cases:

(1) Untwisted:

(a) $w_1 = 11$, $w_2 = 9$ and $l_1 = 1$ giving $x = 1/10$, $\mathfrak{n} = 2$, and hence $s = (1 - \mathfrak{g})$

(b) $w_1 = 11$, $w_2 = 9$ and $l_1 = 2$ giving $x = 1/10$, $\mathfrak{n} = 4$, and hence $s = \frac{(1-\mathfrak{g})}{2}$

(2) Twisted

(a) $w_1 = 51$, $w_2 = 50$ and $l_1 = 1$ giving $x = 1/101$, $\mathfrak{n} = 1$, and hence $s = 2(1 - \mathfrak{g})$

(b) $w_1 = 51$, $w_2 = 50$ and $l_1 = 3$ giving $x = 1/101$, $\mathfrak{n} = 3$, and hence $s = \frac{2(1-\mathfrak{g})}{3}$.

We now see that for each non-negative integer value of \mathfrak{g} , there is at least one untwisted and one twisted sub-case above where b in (24) satisfies $-1 < b < 1$.

(1) Untwisted: When $x = 1/10$ we get

$$b = \frac{s - 20}{10s + 97}.$$

(a) For $s = (1 - \mathfrak{g})$, this becomes

$$b = \frac{\mathfrak{g} + 19}{10\mathfrak{g} - 107}.$$

(b) For $s = \frac{(1-\mathfrak{g})}{2}$, this becomes

$$b = \frac{\mathfrak{g} + 39}{2(5\mathfrak{g} - 102)}.$$

It is a simple exercise to check for all non-negative integer values of \mathfrak{g} at least one of $\frac{\mathfrak{g}+19}{10\mathfrak{g}-107}$ and $\frac{\mathfrak{g}+39}{2(5\mathfrak{g}-102)}$ will be inside the interval $(-1, 1)$.

(2) Twisted: When $x = 1/101$ we get

$$b = \frac{s - 202}{101s + 10198}.$$

(a) For $s = 2(1 - \mathfrak{g})$, this becomes

$$b = \frac{\mathfrak{g} + 100}{101\mathfrak{g} - 5200}.$$

(b) For $s = \frac{2(1-\mathfrak{g})}{3}$, this becomes

$$b = \frac{\mathfrak{g} + 302}{(101\mathfrak{g} - 15398)}.$$

Again, it is easy to check that for all non-negative integer values of \mathfrak{g} at least one of $\frac{\mathfrak{g}+100}{101\mathfrak{g}-5200}$ and $\frac{\mathfrak{g}+302}{(101\mathfrak{g}-15398)}$ will be inside the interval $(-1, 1)$.

We can now conclude with the following proposition:

Proposition 4. *For any genus \mathfrak{g} there is choice of an even (as well as odd) $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that the ruled surface $S_{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus L_{\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a pair of non-trivial 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins where the Kähler class of the shared Kähler metric can be taken to be a primitive integer cohomology class.*

*Further, the even \mathfrak{n} , together with an appropriate Kähler class, can be chosen such that this gives a pair of extremal Sasaki twins on $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}} \times S^3$ and the odd \mathfrak{n} , together with an appropriate Kähler class, can be chosen such that this gives a pair of extremal Sasaki twins on the non-trivial S^3 -bundle over $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In both cases, the CR-structure arises from a smooth join $M_{\mathfrak{g}} *_l_{1,1} S_{\mathfrak{w}}^3$.*

5. EXTREMAL TWINS IN THE SASAKI SETTING

Let (M, \mathcal{D}, J) be a pseudo-convex CR structure of Sasaki type on a compact smooth manifold M of dimension $2n + 1$. *Extremal Sasaki twins* of (\mathcal{D}, J) are two extremal Sasaki structures both compatible with (\mathcal{D}, J) and having commuting Sasaki-Reeb vector fields. In contrast to the Kähler setting, the twinning phenomenon in the Sasaki setting gives rise to distinct extremal Sasaki metrics which, however, belong to the same underlying pseudo-convex CR structure, that is, one does not deform within the isotopy class.

Let $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_0)$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_1)$ be such twins (here ξ_i denotes the Sasaki-Reeb vector field, together with (\mathcal{D}, J) , determines also the contact 1-form η_i (by $\mathcal{D} = \ker \eta_i$, $\eta_i(\xi_i) = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta_i = 0$) and the Sasaki metric g_i . Since the associated Sasaki-Reeb vector fields commute, they induce the action of a torus which is a subgroup of a maximal torus, say $T \subset (\text{Con}(\eta_0) \cap \text{Con}(\eta_1)) \cap \text{CR}(\mathcal{D}, J)$ with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} and where $\text{Con}(\eta_i)$ is the strict contactomorphism group with respect to η_i and $\text{CR}(\mathcal{D}, J)$ is the CR-transformation group.

By [BGS08], (\mathcal{D}, J, η_0) and (\mathcal{D}, J, η_1) are both T -invariant and we denote by

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$$

the η_0 -momentum map where, of course, \mathfrak{t}^* is the dual of \mathfrak{t} . That is, $\mu(a) = \eta_0(\underline{a})$ where \underline{a} is the vector field induced on M from $a \in \mathfrak{t}$ via the action. Recall that $P := \mu(M)$ is a compact polytope in the affine space $\Pi_{\xi_0} := \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \langle \xi_0, x \rangle = 1\}$ [Ler03]. From the work of [AC21], based on the Lee and Tanno formula [Lee86, Tan89], we know that $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0)$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_1)$ are extremal Sasaki twins if and only if, denoting $f := \eta_0(\xi_1)$,

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Scal}(g_0) \quad \text{and} \\ & f \text{Scal}(g_1) = f^2 \text{Scal}(g_0) - 2(n+1)f\Delta^{g_0}f - (n+1)(n+2)|df|_{g_0}^2 \end{aligned}$$

are pull-backs, by μ , of affine functions on Π_{ξ_0} . Indeed, g_1 is extremal if and only if $Scal(g_1) = \eta_1(Z_1^{ext})$ for the contact, Killing vector field Z_1^{ext} , so that $Z_1^{ext} \in \mathfrak{t}$. Now, $\eta_1 = \eta_0(\xi_1)^{-1}\eta_0 = f^{-1}\eta_0$. Thus g_1 is extremal if and only if $Scal(g_1) = \eta_1(Z_1^{ext}) = f^{-1}\eta_0(Z_1^{ext})$, that is iff $fScal(g_1) = \eta_0(Z_1^{ext}) = \langle \mu, Z_1^{ext} \rangle$.

In particular, noticing that f is also the pull-back of an affine function on Π_{ξ_0} , if $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0)$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_1)$ are extremal Sasaki twins, then the function

$$(27) \quad fScal(g_1) - f^2Scal(g_0) = -2(n+1)f\Delta^{g_0}f - (n+1)(n+2)|df|_{g_0}^2$$

(seen as a function on P) is the pull-back of a polynomial function of degree at most 3 on Π_{ξ_0} .

In what follows the Killing potential $f = \eta_0(\xi_1)$ is often identified with the affine function on \mathfrak{t}^ whose pull-back by μ is f .*

In the next two subsections, we will exploit the affine geometry and basic facts on Hamiltonian torus action to study the extremal Sasaki twins whenever one of the twins has constant scalar curvature. To this end, we introduce more notation and recall that the moment polytope $P = \mu(M)$ inherits a Duistermaat-Heckman measure from the pushforward $DH := \mu_*\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n/n!$ and we denote by $x_o = x_o^{\xi_0} \in P$ the barycenter of P with respect to this measure. We get dual decompositions

$$(28) \quad \mathfrak{t}^* = \mathbb{R}x_o \oplus \xi_0^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t} := \mathbb{R}\xi_0 \oplus \check{\mathfrak{t}}$$

where $\check{\mathfrak{t}} := \{a \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \langle x_o, a \rangle = 0\} = \{a \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \int_M \eta_0(\underline{a})\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n = 0\}$.

5.1. Sasaki-extremal twins of Sasaki-Einstein metrics. We now assume furthermore that $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0, \xi_0, g_0)$ is a Sasaki-Einstein manifold. Up to an inconsequential transverse homothety (see (7.3.10) in [BG08]), we may assume that $Scal(g_0) = 2n$. From the Sasaki version of the Matsushima–Lichnerowicz theorem, the Sasaki-Einstein condition implies that Killing potentials are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian. In our setting this means that for each affine function $f \in \text{Aff}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$

$$\Delta^{g_0}f = 2(f - f(x_o)).$$

The condition that $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_1, g_1)$ is a twin is equivalent to the condition that $fScal(g_1)$ is the pull-back of an affine-linear function on P where $f := \eta_0(\xi_1)$. So we write

$$fScal(g_1) = \mu^*\ell_1^{ext}$$

and $f(x) = \langle v, x \rangle + \lambda$, where $\lambda = f(x_o)$. Using that $(M, D, J, \eta_0, \xi_0, g_0)$ is a Sasaki-Einstein manifold and the Lee–Tanno formula (26), we then have, as functions on P

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell_1^{ext} &= f^2Scal(g_0) - 2(n+1)(f\Delta^{g_0}(f \circ \mu) - 2(n+1)(n+2)|df|_{g_0}^2) \\ &= 2nf^2 - 4(n+1)f(f - \lambda) - 2(n+1)(n+2)|df|_{g_0}^2 \\ &= -2(n+2)f^2 + 4\lambda(n+1)f - 2(n+1)(n+2)|df|_{g_0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the last term of (29) vanishes on the critical points of μ . Since the vertices of P are images by μ of critical points of μ we see that equation (29) implies that

$$\ell_1^{ext}(p) = -2(n+2)f^2(p) + 4\lambda(n+1)f(p) \quad \forall p \in \text{vertices}(P).$$

Let $k = \dim \Pi_{\xi_0}$, so that $k+1 = \dim \mathfrak{t}$ and pick $k+1$ vertices say p_0, \dots, p_k of P forming a corner of P , i.e each segment between p_i and p_0 is an edge of P for $i = 1, \dots, k$. This provides affine coordinates $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ for the hyperplane Π_{ξ_0} so that each $p \in \Pi_{\xi_0}$ corresponds to $p = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i p_i$

with $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 1$. In these coordinates an affine-linear function $f \in \text{Aff}(\Pi_{\xi_0}, \mathbb{R})$ corresponds to a $(k+1)$ -tuple $f = (w_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ so that

$$f(p) = \sum_{i=0}^k w_i \alpha_i.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0, \xi_0, g_0)$ be a Sasaki-Einstein manifold with moment polytope $P \subset H$. In terms of the affine coordinates above, if an affine-linear function $f = (w_i)_{i=1, \dots, k} \in \text{Aff}(\Pi_{\xi_0}, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ corresponds to an extremal Sasaki twin of $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0, \xi_0, g_0)$ then for every vertex $p = (\alpha_i)_{i=0, \dots, k}$ of P we have*

$$(30) \quad \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i w_i^2 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i w_i \right)^2.$$

Note that for $p \in \{p_0, \dots, p_k\}$, (30) is trivially satisfied. Moreover the equation $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 1$ implies that (30) is equivalent to

$$(31) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (w_i - w_0)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (w_i - w_0) \right)^2.$$

Proof. In these coordinates (29) reads

$$(32) \quad \ell_2^{\text{ext}}(p_i) = -2(n+2)f^2(p_i) + 4\lambda(n+1)f(p_i) = -2(n+2)w_i^2 + 4\lambda(n+1)w_i$$

for $i = 0, \dots, k$. For any other vertex $p \in P$ with affine coordinates $(\alpha_i)_{i=0, \dots, k}$ we have

$$(33) \quad \ell_2^{\text{ext}}(p) = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i (-2(n+2)w_i^2 + 4\lambda(n+1)w_i)$$

and, on the other hand,

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell_2^{\text{ext}}(p) &= -2(n+2)f^2(p) + 4\lambda(n+1)f(p) \\ &= -2(n+2) \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i w_i \right)^2 + 4\lambda(n+1) \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i w_i. \end{aligned}$$

The last two equations coincide and the last terms cancel each other. Therefore, if $f = (w_i)_{i=0, \dots, k} \in \text{Aff}(P, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ corresponds to a twin, then for each vertex $p = (\alpha_i)_{i=0, \dots, k}$ of P equation (30) holds. \square

The next two examples show that the condition obtained above is non-trivial in the toric case that is when the torus has maximal dimension (i.e $k = n = (\dim M - 1)/2$).

Example 2. *We check the condition (30) on the standard Sasaki-Einstein structure on the circle bundle over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. The vertices of P are $p_0 = (-1, -1)$, $p_1 = (-1, 1)$, $p_2 = (1, -1)$ and $p = (1, 1)$, so that $\alpha_0 = -1$, $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$. The solutions (twins) we seek correspond to solutions $(w_i)_{i=0, \dots, 2} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^3$ satisfying*

$$-w_0^2 + w_1^2 + w_2^2 = (-w_0 + w_1 + w_2)^2.$$

So $w_0 = w_1 = w_2 = 1$ is the solution corresponding to the original Sasaki-Einstein metric (i.e $f \equiv 1$) and one can check easily that

$$-w_0^2 + w_1^2 + w_2^2 = (-w_0 + w_1 + w_2)^2 \iff 0 = (w_1 - w_0)(w_2 - w_0).$$

This implies that, up to a dilatation, extremal Sasaki twins of the Sasaki–Einstein metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ can only correspond to $w_0 = w_1 = 1$ or $w_0 = w_2 = 1$. In fact, we will see later in Corollary 4 that this Sasaki–Einstein metric has no extremal Sasaki twins at all.

Example 3. We check the condition (30) on the standard Sasaki–Einstein structure on the circle bundle over $Bl_3(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)$, the blow-up of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ at the 3 generic fixed points. The Delzant polytope of the anticanonical bundle of $Bl_3(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the hexagon with vertices $p_0 = (-1, 0)$, $p_1 = (0, -1)$, $p_2 = (-1, 1)$, $p_3 = (0, 1)$, $p_4 = (1, 0)$, $p_5 = (1, -1)$. Taking p_0, p_1, p_2 as the base points of the barycentric coordinates we get that the coordinates of three other vertices are $p_3 = (-2, 1, 2)$, $p_4 = (-3, 2, 2)$ and $p_5 = (-2, 2, 1)$. The condition (30) on p_3 gives that the function $f = (w_0, w_1, w_2)$ must satisfy

$$(35) \quad -2(w_2 - w_0)^2 = 4(w_2 - w_0)(w_1 - w_0)$$

while on p_4 the condition (30) is

$$(36) \quad -((w_1 - w_0)^2 + (w_2 - w_0)^2) = 4(w_1 - w_0)(w_2 - w_0).$$

Inserting (35) into (36) gives that

$$(w_1 - w_0)^2 = (w_2 - w_0)^2$$

which in turns gives $(w_1 - w_0) = \pm(w_2 - w_0)$. Putting this back in (35) implies that either

$$3(w_1 - w_0)^2 = 9(w_1 - w_0)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad 3(w_1 - w_0)^2 = (w_1 - w_0)^2$$

which are both impossible unless $w_0 = w_1$ and thus $w_0 = w_1 = w_2$. In conclusion, the Sasaki–Einstein structure on the circle bundle over $Bl_3(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)$ has no twin.

5.2. cscS twins. If both $Scal(g_0)$ and $Scal(g_1)$ are constant, say $C_0 := Scal(g_0)$ and $C_1 := Scal(g_1)$, then dividing (27) by f , gives

$$(37) \quad C_1 - fC_0 = -2(n+1)\Delta^{g_0}f - (n+1)(n+2)f^{-1}|df|_{g_0}^2.$$

Lemma 5.2. Let (M, \mathcal{D}, J) is a pseudoconvex compact connected manifold on which acts a compact torus T and denote \mathfrak{t}^+ the Sasaki cone.

- 1) If $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_0)$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_1)$ are non-colinear cscS twins, then there exists no non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda\xi_0 + \xi_1 \in \mathfrak{t}^+$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \lambda\xi_0 + \xi_1)$ is cscS.
- 2) Moreover, if $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_1), \dots, (M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_k)$ are cscS twins, then there is no cscS on (M, \mathcal{D}, J) in the cone spanned by ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k in \mathfrak{t}^+ unless the ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k are all colinear.

Proof. We first prove the second claim. Assume that the combination $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \xi_i$, where $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^k$, defines a cscS structure on (\mathcal{D}, J) and denote by η the contact 1-form and by g the Sasaki metric associated to (\mathcal{D}, J, ξ) . The hypothesis is that each ξ_i defines a cscS structure on (\mathcal{D}, J) and denote $f_i := \eta(\xi_i)$ the associated Killing potential and $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$ the constant transversal scalar curvature of (\mathcal{D}, J, ξ_i) . Since $\eta(\xi) = 1$ we have

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f_i$$

and, moreover, each function f_i satisfies the equation

$$(38) \quad C_i - C f_i = -2(n+1)\Delta^g f_i - (n+1)(n+2)(f_i)^{-1}|df_i|_g^2$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Taking the weighted sum over λ_i , we have

$$(39) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i C_i - C = +0 - (n+1)(n+2) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{f_i} |df_i|_g^2$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since the left hand side of (39) is constant, the right hand side is constant as well. But the right hand side vanishes on the maximally fixed point set of the torus action (which is non-empty since M is compact) and then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{f_i} |df_i|_g^2 \equiv 0.$$

This implies that $df_i \equiv 0$, that is f_i is constant, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, since $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^k$. This proves the second claim.

For the first claim, we get two equations

$$C_1 - Cf = -2(n+1)\Delta^g f - (n+1)(n+2)(f)^{-1}|df|_g^2$$

and

$$(40) \quad C_\lambda - C(f + \lambda) = -2(n+1)\Delta^g f - (n+1)(n+2)(f + \lambda)^{-1}|df|_g^2.$$

So $C_\lambda - C_1 - C\lambda = (n+1)(n+2)\frac{\lambda}{f(f+\lambda)}|df|_g^2$ which holds if and only if $\lambda = 0$ or $df \equiv 0$. \square

Remark 5.1. *Another way to understand the first claim of the last Lemma goes as follows: given a cscS $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \xi_0)$ and $\xi_1 \in \mathfrak{t}^+$ not colinear to ξ_0 , there is at most one $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \lambda\xi_0 + \xi_1)$ is likely to be cscS. This candidate is the unique critical point of the Einstein-Hilbert functional $\mathbf{EH} : \mathfrak{t}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ along the path $\lambda \mapsto \lambda\xi_0 + \xi_1$ thanks to [BHLTF17, Lemma 3.3].*

Corollary 2. *Let (M, \mathcal{D}, J) is a pseudoconvex compact connected manifold on which acts a compact torus T and denote \mathfrak{t}^+ the Sasaki cone. Denote by $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+) \subset \mathfrak{t}^+$ the set of rays of cscS structure (i.e for each $\xi \in \text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)$, (M, \mathcal{D}, J, ξ) is cscS). Then,*

- 1) $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathfrak{t}^+/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ meets every line in $\mathfrak{t}^+/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ in at most two points;
- 2) $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)$ lies in the boundary of its convex hull.

By [BHLTF21], the set $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \mathfrak{t}^+/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is algebraic, so the last observation gives that its degree is at most two.

Corollary 3. *The set $\text{cscS}(\mathfrak{t}^+)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is either empty or lies in a quadratic subvariety of $\mathfrak{t}^+/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.*

5.3. Toric extremal Sasaki twins. We now assume that $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0)$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_1)$ are toric meaning that the maximal torus

$$T \subset (\text{Con}(\eta_0) \cap \text{Con}(\eta_1)) \cap \text{CR}(\mathcal{D}, J)$$

has dimension $n+1$ where $\dim M = 2n+1$.

In that case, the Delzant-Lerman-Tolman correspondence, adapted to the contact setting [BG00, Ler03], states that the contact toric strict manifold (M, \mathcal{D}, η_0) is completely determined by the moment polytope

$$P := \mu(M)$$

and a labelling, that is a set of affine-linear functions $\ell = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\} \subset \text{Aff}(\Pi_{\xi_0}, \mathbb{R})$ which itself corresponds to the weights of the action on M . Recall that $F_i = \ell_i^{-1}(0) \cap P$ are the facets of the polytope P and that

$$P = \{x \in \Pi_{\xi_0} \mid \ell(x) \geq 0\}$$

where we denote $u_i := d\ell_i$ the (inward) normal vector to the facet F_i .

Any T -invariant tensor or operator on $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0)$ can be suitably translated in terms of the data (P, ℓ, Λ) where Λ is the lattice of weights of T in \mathfrak{t}^* , this is the essence of the (Sasaki version) of the Abreu–Guillemin theory (see eg [Apo22] or [Abr10, Leg11a] for the Sasaki version). Therefore,

the CR-structure, equivalently the Sasaki metric g_0 , corresponds to a *symplectic potential* $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathring{P}) \cap C^0(P)$ fulfilling the following conditions

- φ is strictly convex on the relative interior of the polytope \mathring{P} , the inverse of its Hessian

$$\mathbf{H} := (H_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^{-1}$$

extends smoothly on P and satisfies

- $\mathbf{H}(u_k, \cdot) = 0$ on F_k ;
- $d\mathbf{H}(u_k, u_k) = 2u_k$ on F_k .

Any T -invariant function h on M is the pull-back of a function on P and to lighten the notation we will directly denote $h(x)$ the value taken by h on $\mu^{-1}(x)$ where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denotes affine coordinates on Π_{ξ_0} .

The transverse scalar curvature of $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0)$ is T -invariant and is

$$(41) \quad \text{Scal}(g_0) = -\frac{\partial^2 H_{ij}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

and, $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0)$ is extremal if and only if (41) is affine-linear. In that case, this affine-linear function, say ℓ^{ext} is completely determined by the data (P, ℓ) . The basic Laplacian on T -invariant functions has the following form

$$(42) \quad \Delta_B^{g_0} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(H_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

while the square norm of the g_0 -gradient of a T -invariant function h is

$$(43) \quad |dh|_{g_0}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}.$$

Now the fact that f is g_0 -Killing and positive corresponds to the fact that $f \in \text{Aff}(\Pi_{\xi_0}, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ and we write it as

$$f(x) = \langle v, x \rangle + \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i + \lambda.$$

Applying formula (26), like in [AC21], and the formulas above, we have that $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0)$ and $(M, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_1)$ are both extremal Sasaki if and only if $\text{Scal}(g_0) = \ell^{ext}(x)$ and the following expression

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(x)^2 \ell^{ext}(x) - 2(n+1)f(x)\Delta^{g_0} f - (n+1)(n+2)|df|_{g_0}^2 = \\ & = f(x)^2 \ell^{ext}(x) + 2(n+1)f(x) \sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij,i} v_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^n (n+1)(n+2)H_{ij} v_i v_j \end{aligned}$$

is affine-linear. In particular, in that case,

$$(45) \quad 2(n+1)f(x) \sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij,i} v_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^n (n+1)(n+2)H_{ij} v_i v_j$$

is a polynomial of degree 3 in x . Note that following (27), we also know that (45) should be divisible by f .

5.4. The toric odd-dimensional sphere. Here we consider the case of the odd-dimensional sphere ($M = \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_0$) with its standard CR flat, toric, Sasaki-Einstein structure and whose Kähler quotient is $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study structure. From Bryant [Bry01] and Apostolov–Calderbank–Gauduchon [ACG06], we know that the extremal Kähler metrics on the weighted projectives spaces are all Bochner flat and Kähler quotients of the CR flat Sasaki sphere. It implies, in our language, that the Sasaki cone of the CR flat sphere is exhausted by (twinning) extremal Sasaki metrics.

In this subsection, we retrieve this result using the toric set-up and basic calculus. In that case the polytope P is a n -simplex and we can assume that $\ell_1(x) = 1 + x_1, \dots, \ell_n(x) = 1 + x_n$ and $\ell_0(x) = 1 - x_1 - \dots - x_n$. The symplectic potential is known, from [Abr01] to be

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \ell_k(x) \log \ell_k(x)$$

and, thus, its Hessian is

$$\mathbf{G} = \frac{1}{2\ell_0} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\ell_0}{\ell_1} + 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{\ell_0}{\ell_1} + 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ 1 & & & 1 & \frac{\ell_0}{\ell_n} + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with inverse

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\ell_1 - \frac{2\ell_1^2}{n+1} & -\frac{2\ell_1\ell_2}{n+1} & -\frac{2\ell_1\ell_3}{n+1} & \dots & -\frac{2\ell_1\ell_n}{n+1} \\ -\frac{2\ell_1\ell_2}{n+1} & 2\ell_2 - \frac{2\ell_2^2}{n+1} & -\frac{2\ell_3\ell_2}{n+1} & \dots & -\frac{2\ell_n\ell_2}{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\frac{2\ell_1\ell_n}{n+1} & \dots & & -\frac{2\ell_{n-1}\ell_n}{n+1} & 2\ell_n - \frac{2\ell_n^2}{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can check the well-known fact that, for Kähler–Einstein structures (with Einstein constant⁶ 1) the momentum map coordinates are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian and we find, for all $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(46) \quad \Delta_B^{g_0} x_j = - \sum_{i=1}^n H_{ij,i} = 2(x_j - p_i)$$

where $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, the i -th coordinate of the barycenter of the moment polytope, so that $Scal(g_0) = 2n$.

Replacing the values of $H_{ij} = 2\delta_{ij}\ell_i - 2\frac{\ell_i\ell_j}{n+1}$ and (46) in (45) we get

$$-4(n+1)f(x) \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - p_j)v_j - 2(n+1)(n+2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(2\delta_{ij}\ell_i - 2\frac{\ell_i\ell_j}{n+1} \right) v_i v_j,$$

⁶For the Sasaki-Einstein case, the transverse scalar curvature is $4n(n+1)$ and hence the Einstein constant of the transverse Kähler structure is $2(n+1)$. However, since everything works fine up to scale (homothety deformation of the Sasaki structure) we use (as in §5.1) the Einstein constant 1.

which is a polynomial of degree 2 in x as soon as $f \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. When $f \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}_{>0})$, it defines a CR-twist $(M = \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \mathcal{D}, J, \eta_1)$ which is extremal if and only if

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} f\text{Scal}(g_0) = & 2nf^2(x) - 4(n+1)f(x) \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - p_j)v_j \\ & - 2(n+1)(n+2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(2\delta_{ij}\ell_i(x) - 2\frac{\ell_i(x)\ell_j(x)}{n+1} \right) v_i v_j \end{aligned}$$

is affine-linear in x . Denoting $m = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$ the right hand side of (47) is

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} f\text{Scal}(g_1) = & 2nf^2(x) - 4(n+1)f(x)(f(x) - \lambda) \\ & + 2(n+2)(f^2(x) + 2(m - \lambda)f(x) + (m - \lambda)^2) \\ & - 2(n+1)(n+2) \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2(1 + x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last equation, the coefficient of f^2 sum to zero and therefore (48) is linear in x . This gives a new proof of the following result which is an immediate consequence of [Bry01, ACG06].

Proposition 5. *The Sasaki cone of the CR flat sphere is exhausted by extremal Sasaki metrics (that are all twins).*

6. TWINS OF TORIC EXTREMAL KÄHLER METRICS ON QUADRILATERALS

In this section we study 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins by looking for pairs of the form $(g, 1)$ and (g, f) . In other words, our viewpoint will be to start with an actual extremal Kähler metric g and then look for a non-constant Killing potential $f > 0$ such that $(g, 1)$ and (g, f) are 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins.

We will assume that g is extremal Kähler and toric, i.e., part of a full toric Delzant structure (ω, J, g, T, μ) with a quadrilateral Delzant polytope. From [Leg11b] we know that if g is csc then g is either Calabi toric, orthotoric, or a product metric. For this reason, and given our particular interest in csc structures, we will focus this section on those three subcases of toric Kähler structures on quadrilaterals. To study extremal toric Kähler structures on quadrilaterals in general, one would need to consider all ambitoric structures [ACG15]. We will leave that endeavor to the future or interested readers.

6.1. Calabi Toric. Any trapezoid is equivalent (by an affine transform) to a *Calabi trapezoid* where

Definition 3. *A Calabi trapezoid is a polytope in \mathbb{R}^2 which is the image of a rectangle $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\beta_1 \geq 0$, by the map $\sigma : (x, y) \mapsto (x, xy)$.*

Let Δ be a Calabi trapezoid with parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$, with $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\beta_1 \geq 0$. The normals of Δ can be written as:

$$(49) \quad u_{\alpha_1} = C_{\alpha_1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\alpha_2} = C_{\alpha_2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\beta_1} = C_{\beta_1} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\beta_2} = C_{\beta_2} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\beta_2} > 0$ and $C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1} < 0$. Thus, any labeled Calabi trapezoid determines and is determined by an 8-tuple $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1}, C_{\beta_2})$ which we shall refer to as *Calabi parameters*. We shall also refer to the subset $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ as *Calabi coordinates*.

Definition 4. (ω, J, g, T, μ) is a Calabi toric structure with Calabi coordinates $(x, y) \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2]$ if $(\mu_1 = x, \mu_2 = xy, s, t)$ are action-angle coordinates and there exist functions, $A \in C^\infty([\alpha_1, \alpha_2])$ and $B \in C^\infty([\beta_1, \beta_2])$, such that $A(x)$ and $B(y)$ are positive on the interior and on the interior we have

$$(50) \quad g := g_{A,B} := x \frac{dx^2}{A(x)} + x \frac{dy^2}{B(y)} + \frac{A(x)}{x} (dt + yds)^2 + xB(y)ds^2$$

and

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} A(\alpha_i) &= 0, \quad B(\beta_i) = 0 \\ A'(\alpha_i) &= \frac{2}{C_{\alpha_i}}, \quad B'(\beta_i) = -\frac{2}{C_{\beta_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

For a Calabi toric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$, the inverse of the Hessian of the symplectic potential is

$$(52) \quad \mathbf{H}_{A,B} = \frac{1}{x} \begin{pmatrix} A(x) & yA(x) \\ yA(x) & x^2B(y) + y^2A(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

the Laplacian of the momentum map components is

$$\Delta^{g_{A,B}} \mu_1 = \Delta^{g_{A,B}} x = -\frac{A'(x)}{x}$$

and

$$\Delta^{g_{A,B}} \mu_2 = \Delta^{g_{A,B}} xy = -\frac{yA'(x) + xB'(y)}{x},$$

and the scalar curvature of $g_{A,B}$ is

$$(53) \quad \text{Scal}(g_{A,B}) = -\frac{A''(x) + B''(y)}{x}.$$

We recall the following

Proposition 6. [ACG03] *A Calabi toric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ is extremal (in the Calabi sense, i.e, $\text{Scal}(g_{A,B})$ is affine linear in (x, xy)) if and only if B is a polynomial of order 2 in y , A is a polynomial of order at most 4 in x and $B''(y) = -2A_2$ where*

$$(54) \quad A(x) = A_0x^4 + A_1x^3 + A_2x^2 + A_3x + A_4.$$

In this case,

$$(55) \quad \text{Scal}(g_{A,B}) = -12A_0x - 6A_1$$

and $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ is Kähler-Einstein if and only $A_0 = A_3 = 0$.

Remark 6.1. *Note that, via the boundary conditions above, each choice of Calabi parameters $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1}, C_{\beta_2})$ with $C_{\beta_1} = -C_{\beta_2}$ uniquely determines $A(x)$ and $B(y)$, polynomials of degree 4 and 2 respectively, such that $B''(y) = -2A_2$. Moreover, in that case, A and B are both positive on the interior of $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ and $[\beta_1, \beta_2]$, respectively [Leg11b, Theorem 1.2].*

Proposition 7. *If an extremal Calabi toric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ admits a non-constant Killing potential $f > 0$ such that $(g_{A,B}, 1)$ and $(g_{A,B}, f)$ are 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins, then f is of the form $f(x, xy) = \lambda + c_1x$ and $A_3\lambda = 2c_1A_4$. In particular, any extremal Calabi toric structure admits at most one extremal Sasaki twin (up to a dilatation) and any Kähler-Einstein Calabi toric structure admits no non-trivial twin.*

Proof. We compute the Laplacians assuming A has the form (54) and $B(y) = -A_2y^2 + B_1y + B_0$. We get

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^{g_{A,B}} x &= -\frac{A'(x)}{x} = -\left(4A_0x^2 + 3A_1x + 2A_2 + \frac{A_3}{x}\right) \\ \Delta^{g_{A,B}} xy &= -\left(4A_0yx^2 + 3A_1yx + 2A_2y + \frac{A_3y}{x}\right) + 2A_2y - B_1 \\ &= -\left(4A_0yx^2 + 3A_1yx + \frac{A_3y}{x} + B_1\right), \end{aligned}$$

and writing $f(x, xy) = \lambda + c_1x + c_2xy$ we have

$$(57) \quad \begin{aligned} |df|_{g_{A,B}}^2 &= c_1^2 \frac{A(x)}{x} + c_2^2 \left(xB(y) + y^2 \frac{A(x)}{x}\right) + 2c_1c_2y \frac{A(x)}{x} \\ &= y^2 \left(c_2^2 \left(-A_2x + \frac{A(x)}{x}\right)\right) + (2c_1c_2y + c_1^2) \frac{A(x)}{x} + c_2^2x(B_1y + B_0). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (55), (56) and (57) we get that the coefficient of y^2 in $f^2 \text{Scal}(g_{A,B}) - 6f \Delta^{g_{A,B}} f - 12|df|_{g_{A,B}}^2$ is

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} &c_2^2x^2(-12A_0x - 6A_1) + 6c_2^2x \left(4A_0x^2 + 3A_1x + \frac{A_3}{x}\right) - 12c_2^2 \left(-A_2x + \frac{A(x)}{x}\right) \\ &= c_2^2 \left(-12A_0x^3 - 6A_1x^2 + 24A_0x^3 + 18A_1x^2 + 6A_3 - 12 \left(-A_2x + \frac{A(x)}{x}\right)\right) \\ &= c_2^2 \left(+12A_0x^3 + 12A_2x + 6A_3 - 12 \left(-A_2x + \frac{A(x)}{x}\right)\right) \\ &= c_2^2 \left(+12A_0x^3 + 12A_2x + 6A_3 - 12 \left(A_0x^3 + A_1x^2 + A_3 + \frac{A_4}{x}\right)\right) \\ &= c_2^2 \left(+12A_0x^3 + 12A_1x^2 + 6A_3 - 12A_0x^3 - 12A_1x^2 - 12A_3 - 12 \frac{A_4}{x}\right) \\ &= c_2^2 \left(-6A_3 - 12 \frac{A_4}{x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that if $\text{Scal}_{f,4}(g_{A,B})$ is affine linear in (x, xy) then

$$c_2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad A_3 = A_4 = 0.$$

However, if the latter holds then

$$A(x) = x^2(A_0x^2 + A_1x + A_2)$$

which is impossible due to the boundary conditions (51): First, A must have two distinct positive roots; $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ and hence $A_1 = -A_0(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$ and $A_2 = A_0\alpha_1\alpha_2$. Assuming this, $A'(\alpha_1) = -A_0\alpha_1^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)$ and $A'(\alpha_2) = A_0\alpha_2^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)$ and, since we need $A'(\alpha_1) > 0$ and $A'(\alpha_2) < 0$, we conclude that A_0 must be negative. Since $A_2 = A_0\alpha_1\alpha_2$, this in turn forces A_2 to be negative and hence $B''(y) = -2A_2 > 0$. However, this is not possible due to the boundary conditions on B .

Therefore, if $\text{Scal}_{f,4}(g_{A,B})$ is affine linear in (x, xy) , then $c_2 = 0$.

Now we assume that $f(x, xy) = \lambda + c_1x$, still assuming that $A(x)$ has the form (54), and study the expression for the weighted scalar curvature

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} Scal_{f,4}(g_{A,B}) &= f^2 Scal(g_{A,B}) - 6f \Delta^{g_{A,B}} f - 12|df|_{g_{A,B}}^2 \\ &= (\lambda + c_1x)^2 (Scal(g_{A,B})) - 6c_1(\lambda + c_1x) \Delta^{g_{A,B}} x - 12c_1^2 \frac{A(x)}{x} \end{aligned}$$

which is rational in x and does not depend on y . We find that the coefficients of x^2 and of x^3 in (59) are both 0 while the coefficient of $1/x$ is

$$(60) \quad 6c_1(A_3\lambda - 2c_1A_4).$$

Note that the twins are non-colinear if and only if $c_1 \neq 0$. This proves the first claim of the proposition. Moreover, we cannot have $A_3 = A_4 = 0$ since this contradicts the boundary condition (51) which implies uniqueness of the twin.

Finally, if $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ is Kähler–Einstein then $A_0 = A_3 = 0$ and by (60) we also have $A_4 = 0$. This would imply that $A(x) = A_1x^3 + A_2x^2$ which would again contradict the boundary condition (51). \square

Remark 6.2. *As we already know from Proposition 1, the set of extremal Calabi toric metrics, g , admitting a non-constant Killing potential $f > 0$ such that $(g, 1)$ and (g, f) are 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins is certainly not empty. Below we add yet another example that is somewhat special.*

Example 4. CscS twins *Assume that the Calabi parameters are given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1}, C_{\beta_2}) \\ &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C, -\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_2^2}C, -\frac{\alpha_1^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{(\alpha_1^2 + 3\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2)(\beta_2 - \beta_1)}C, \frac{\alpha_1^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{(\alpha_1^2 + 3\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2)(\beta_2 - \beta_1)}C). \end{aligned}$$

Then A and B from Proposition 6 are given explicitly by

$$A(x) = \frac{2(x - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 - x)(\alpha_1x + \alpha_2x - \alpha_1\alpha_2)}{\alpha_1^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)C}$$

and

$$B(y) = \frac{2(\alpha_1^2 + 3\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2)(y - \beta_1)(\beta_2 - y)}{\alpha_1^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)C}.$$

Since A is a cubic, we have that $Scal(g_{A,B})$ is constant. Indeed,

$$Scal(g_{A,B}) = \frac{12(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{\alpha_1^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)C}.$$

If we assume $f(x, xy) = \lambda + c_1x$ with $\lambda = -1$ and $c_1 = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$, we have that f is positive for $\alpha_1 \leq x \leq \alpha_2$, g is $(f, 4)$ -extremal, and moreover

$$Scal_{f,4}(g_{A,B}) = \frac{12(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(-\alpha_1\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)x)}{\alpha_1^3\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)C} = Scal(g_{A,B})f.$$

As long as the Calabi parameters can occur as a choice of transverse Kähler structure for a Sasaki structure this gives a pair of extremal Sasaki twins which are both cscS.

We have a lot of such examples as we now show. We want to find Calabi parameters satisfying the above and associated to a smooth Sasaki manifold. From [Leg11b, Lemma 4.1], it is not restrictive to assume that $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha := \alpha_2 > 1$, $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta := \beta_2 > 0$. In that case the linear-affine function defining the Calabi polytope are

$$\ell_1(u, v) = -C + Cu, \quad \ell_2(u, v) = CF(\alpha)v,$$

$$\ell_3(u, v) = C - \frac{C}{\alpha}u, \quad \ell_4(u, v) = CF(\alpha)u - CF(\alpha)v$$

where $F(\alpha) := \frac{(\alpha-1)}{(1+3\alpha+\alpha^2)}$. By the Delzant–Lerman construction, if the labelled polytope is a transversal polytope of a smooth Sasaki manifold then the symplectic cone over it is, up to an equivariant symplectomorphism, the circle quotient of $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \{0\} \mid \sum_i k_i |z_i|^2 = 0\}$ where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $\sum_{i=1}^4 k_i \ell_i = 0$, $\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = 1$ and the circle action $(\gamma, z) \mapsto (\gamma^{k_i} z_i)_{i=1, \dots, 4}$ is free. We need to find the k_i 's. In our situation $\sum_{i=1}^4 k_i \ell_i = 0$ implies that

$$-k_1 C + k_3 C = 0, \quad k_2 CF(\alpha) - k_4 CF(\alpha) = 0$$

and

$$k_1 C - k_3 \frac{C}{\alpha} + k_4 CF(\alpha) = 0.$$

In particular $k_1 = k_3$ and $k_2 = k_4$ while

$$k_3(1 - \alpha) = k_4 \alpha F(\alpha).$$

This last equation (since $\alpha - 1 > 0$) is equivalent to

$$-k_3/k_4 = \frac{\alpha}{1 + 3\alpha + \alpha^2}.$$

Now it is easy to see that, as long as $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (1, +\infty)$, we can choose co-prime solutions $k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

6.2. Orthotoric. Any generic (no parallel edges) quadrilateral is equivalent to an *orthotoric quadrilateral* where

Definition 5. A *orthotoric quadrilateral* is a quadrilateral in \mathbb{R}^2 which is the image of a rectangle $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with $\beta_2 < \alpha_1$, by the map $\sigma : (x, y) \mapsto (x + y, xy)$.

Let Δ be an orthotoric quadrilateral with parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$, with $\beta_2 < \alpha_1$. The normals of Δ can be written as:

$$(61) \quad u_{\alpha_1} = C_{\alpha_1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\alpha_2} = C_{\alpha_2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\beta_1} = C_{\beta_1} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\beta_2} = C_{\beta_2} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\beta_2} > 0$ and $C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1} < 0$. Thus, any labeled orthotoric quadrilateral determines and is determined by a 8-tuple

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1}, C_{\beta_2})$$

which we shall refer to as *orthotoric parameters*.

Definition 6. (ω, J, g, T, μ) is an *orthotoric structure* with orthotoric coordinates $(x, y) \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2]$, with $\beta_2 < \alpha_1$, if

$(\mu_1 = x + y, \mu_2 = xy, s, t)$ are action-angle coordinates and there exist functions, $A \in C^\infty([\alpha_1, \alpha_2])$ and $B \in C^\infty([\beta_1, \beta_2])$, such that $A(x)$ and $B(y)$ are positive in the interior and in the interior we have

$$(62) \quad g = g_{A,B} := \frac{(x-y)}{A(x)} dx^2 + \frac{(x-y)}{B(y)} dy^2 + \frac{A(x)}{(x-y)} (dt + yds)^2 + \frac{B(y)}{(x-y)} (dt + xds)^2$$

and

$$(63) \quad \begin{aligned} A(\alpha_i) &= 0, \quad B(\beta_i) = 0 \\ A'(\alpha_i) &= \frac{2}{C_{\alpha_i}}, \quad B'(\beta_i) = -\frac{2}{C_{\beta_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

For an orthotoric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$, the inverse of the Hessian of the symplectic potential is

$$(64) \quad \mathbf{H}_{A,B} = \frac{1}{x-y} \begin{pmatrix} A(x) + B(y) & yA(x) + xB(y) \\ yA(x) + xB(y) & y^2A(x) + x^2B(y) \end{pmatrix},$$

the Laplacian of the momentum map components are

$$\Delta^{g_{A,B}} \mu_1 = \Delta^{g_{A,B}}(x+y) = -\frac{A'(x) + B'(y)}{x-y}$$

and

$$\Delta^{g_{A,B}} \mu_2 = \Delta^{g_{A,B}} xy = -\frac{yA'(x) + xB'(y)}{x-y},$$

and the scalar curvature of $g_{A,B}$ is

$$(65) \quad \text{Scal}(g_{A,B}) = -\frac{A''(x) + B''(y)}{x-y}.$$

We recall the following

Proposition 8. [ACG03] *An orthotoric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ is extremal (in the Calabi sense, i.e, $\text{Scal}(g_{A,B})$ is affine linear in $(x+y, xy)$) if and only if A and B are both polynomials of order at most 4 and are related as follows:*

$$(66) \quad A(x) = A_0x^4 + A_1x^3 + A_2x^2 + A_3x + A_4,$$

$$(67) \quad B(y) = -A_0y^4 - A_1y^3 - A_2y^2 + B_3y + B_4.$$

In this case,

$$(68) \quad \text{Scal}(g_{A,B}) = -12A_0(x+y) - 6A_1$$

and $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ is csc if and only if $A_0 = 0$. Finally, $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ is Kähler-Einstein if and only $A_0 = 0$ and $B_3 = -A_3$.

Remark 6.3. From §3.2 of [Leg11b], we know that not every choice of orthotoric parameters $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1}, C_{\beta_2})$ allows an extremal structure as above.

Proposition 9. *If an extremal orthotoric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ admits a non-constant Killing potential $f > 0$ such that $(g_{A,B}, 1)$ and $(g_{A,B}, f)$ are 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins, then f is of the form $f(x+y, xy) = \lambda + c_1(x+y)$ where $\lambda(A_3 + B_3) - 2c_1(A_4 + B_4) = 0$ is a non-trivial condition. In particular, any extremal orthotoric structure admits at most one extremal Sasaki twin (up to a dilatation) and any Kähler-Einstein orthotoric structure admits no non-trivial twin.*

Proof. We compute the Laplacians assuming A has the form (66) and B has the form (67). We get

$$(69) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^{g_{A,B}}(x+y) &= -\frac{A'(x) + B'(y)}{x-y} \\ &= -\frac{4A_0(x^3 - y^3) + 3A_1(x^2 - y^2) + 2A_2(x-y) + A_3 + B_3}{x-y} \\ \Delta^{g_{A,B}} xy &= -\frac{yA'(x) + xB'(y)}{x-y} \\ &= -\frac{4A_0xy(x^2 - y^2) + 3A_1xy(x-y) + A_3y + B_3x}{x-y} \end{aligned}$$

and writing $f(x, xy) = \lambda + c_1(x+y) + c_2xy$ we have

(70)

$$\begin{aligned}
|df|_{g_{A,B}}^2 &= \frac{c_1^2(A(x) + B(y)) + c_2^2(y^2A(x) + x^2B(y)) + 2c_1c_2(yA(x) + xB(y))}{x-y} \\
&= \frac{c_1^2(A_0(x^4 - y^4) + A_1(x^3 - y^3) + A_2(x^2 - y^2) + A_3x + B_3y + A_4 + B_4)}{x-y} \\
&\quad + \frac{c_2^2(A_0x^2y^2(x^2 - y^2) + A_1x^2y^2(x - y) + xy(A_3y + B_3x) + A_4y^2 + B_4x^2)}{x-y} \\
&\quad + \frac{2c_1c_2(A_0xy(x^3 - y^3) + A_1xy(x^2 - y^2) + A_2xy(x - y) + xy(A_3 + B_3) + A_4y + B_4x)}{x-y}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (68), (69) and (70) we get that $Scal_{f,4}(g_{A,B}) = f^2Scal(g_{A,B}) - 6f\Delta^{g_{A,B}}f - 12|df|_{g_{A,B}}^2$ equals $g(x, y)/(x - y)$ where

(71)

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x, y) &= -(\lambda + c_1(x + y) + c_2xy)^2(12A_0(x + y) + 6A_1)(x - y) \\
&\quad + 6c_1(\lambda + c_1(x + y) + c_2xy)(4A_0(x^3 - y^3) + 3A_1(x^2 - y^2) + 2A_2(x - y) + A_3 + B_3) \\
&\quad + 6c_2(\lambda + c_1(x + y) + c_2xy)(4A_0xy(x^2 - y^2) + 3A_1xy(x - y) + A_3y + B_3x) \\
&\quad - 12c_1^2(A_0(x^4 - y^4) + A_1(x^3 - y^3) + A_2(x^2 - y^2) + A_3x + B_3y + A_4 + B_4) \\
&\quad - 12c_2^2(A_0x^2y^2(x^2 - y^2) + A_1x^2y^2(x - y) + xy(A_3y + B_3x) + A_4y^2 + B_4x^2) \\
&\quad - 24c_1c_2(A_0xy(x^3 - y^3) + A_1xy(x^2 - y^2) + A_2xy(x - y) + xy(A_3 + B_3) + A_4y + B_4x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, in order for $Scal_{f,4}(g_{A,B}) = g(x, y)/(x - y)$ to be an affine linear function in $(x + y, xy)$ we need in particular that $(x - y)$ is a factor of $g(x, y)$. In other words, $g(x, x)$ has to vanish for all x . Now we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x, x) &= 6c_1(\lambda + 2c_1x + c_2x^2)(A_3 + B_3) \\
&\quad + 6c_2(\lambda + 2c_1x + c_2x^2)(A_3 + B_3)x \\
&\quad - 12c_1^2((A_3 + B_3)x + A_4 + B_4) \\
&\quad - 12c_2^2((A_3 + B_3)x^3 + (A_4 + B_4)x^2) \\
&\quad - 24c_1c_2((A_3 + B_3)x^2 + (A_4 + B_4)x).
\end{aligned}
\tag{72}$$

If we assume that $g_{A,B}$ is Kähler-Einstein (so in particular $B_3 = -A_3$) this simplifies further to $g(x, x) = -12(A_4 + B_4)(c_1^2 + 2c_1c_2x + c_2^2x^2) = -12(A_4 + B_4)(c_1 + c_2x)^2$. Since $A_4 + B_4 = 0$ would result in $A(t) = -B(t)$ as 4-degree polynomials, a moment's thought gives that this is just not possible to reconcile with (63) (since $A(t)$ would have roots at $\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ and also have an impossible fifth root between β_2 and α_1). Therefore, in the Kähler-Einstein case, we must have $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ and hence f is just a constant.

Moving forward, we now notice that the coefficient of x^3 equals $-6c_2^2(A_3 + B_3)$. Since we just saw that $A_3 + B_3 = 0$ is not possible, we then see that we need $c_2 = 0$ and hence we must have $f = \lambda + c_1(x + y)$. Then (72) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x, x) &= 6c_1(\lambda + 2c_1x)(A_3 + B_3) \\
&\quad - 12c_1^2((A_3 + B_3)x + A_4 + B_4) \\
&= 6c_1(\lambda(A_3 + B_3) - 2c_1(A_4 + B_4)).
\end{aligned}
\tag{73}$$

In the non-trivial case ($c_1 \neq 0$) we then get the necessary equation $\lambda(A_3 + B_3) - 2c_1(A_4 + B_4) = 0$. Since the above discussion showed that we cannot have $(A_3 + B_3) = (A_4 + B_4) = 0$, the equation $\lambda(A_3 + B_3) - 2c_1(A_4 + B_4) = 0$ is never trivial, and thus, up to an overall re-scale, there is at most one choice of non-trivial f making $Scal_{f,4}(g_{A,B})$ an affine function in $(x + y, xy)$. \square

Remark 6.4. *It is not hard (albeit a bit tedious) to check that if $\lambda(A_3 + B_3) - 2c_1(A_4 + B_4) = 0$ is satisfied then $g(x, y)/(x - y)$ is indeed an affine linear function in $(x + y, xy)$ as desired. So assuming we have chosen our orthotoric parameters $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1}, C_{\beta_2})$ in a way that we have an orthotoric extremal structure $g_{A,B}$ and assuming that $f = \lambda + c_1(x + y)$ with $\lambda(A_3 + B_3) - 2c_1(A_4 + B_4) = 0$ is such that it is positive for $\alpha_1 \leq x \leq \alpha_2$, $\beta_1 \leq y \leq \beta_2$, then we have an actual example.*

6.3. Product Toric. Any parallelogram is equivalent (by an affine transformation) to a rectangle $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ and $\beta_2 > \beta_1$.

Let Δ be the rectangle $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The normals of Δ can be written as:

$$(74) \quad u_{\alpha_1} = C_{\alpha_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\alpha_2} = C_{\alpha_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\beta_1} = C_{\beta_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\beta_2} = C_{\beta_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\beta_2} > 0$ and $C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1} < 0$. Thus, any labeled rectangle determines and is determined by an 8-tuple $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, C_{\alpha_1}, C_{\alpha_2}, C_{\beta_1}, C_{\beta_2})$ which we shall refer to as *product toric parameters*.

Definition 7. *The quintuple (ω, J, g, T, μ) is a product toric structure with product toric coordinates $(x, y) \in [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2]$ if*

$(\mu_1 = x, \mu_2 = y, s, t)$ are action-angle coordinates and there exist functions, $A \in C^\infty([\alpha_1, \alpha_2])$ and $B \in C^\infty([\beta_1, \beta_2])$, such that $A(x)$ and $B(y)$ are positive in the interior and in the interior

$$(75) \quad g = g_{A,B} := \frac{dx^2}{A(x)} + \frac{dy^2}{B(y)} + A(x)dt^2 + B(y)ds^2$$

and

$$(76) \quad \begin{aligned} A(\alpha_i) &= 0, \quad B(\beta_i) = 0 \\ A'(\alpha_i) &= \frac{2}{C_{\alpha_i}}, \quad B'(\beta_i) = -\frac{2}{C_{\beta_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

For a product toric structure (ω, J, g, T, μ) , it is straightforward to see that the Laplacian of the momentum map components are

$$\Delta^{g_{A,B}} \mu_1 = \Delta^{g_{A,B}} x = -A'(x)$$

and

$$\Delta^{g_{A,B}} \mu_2 = \Delta^{g_{A,B}} y = -B'(y),$$

and the scalar curvature of $g_{A,B}$ is

$$(77) \quad Scal(g_{A,B}) = -(A''(x) + B''(y)).$$

We can now state the following simple companion to Propositions 6 and 8:

Proposition 10. [\[ACG03\]](#) *A product toric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ is extremal (in the Calabi sense, i.e., $Scal(g_{A,B})$ is affine linear in (x, y)) if and only if A and B are both polynomials of order at most 3:*

$$(78) \quad A(x) = A_0x^3 + A_1x^2 + A_2x + A_3.$$

$$(79) \quad B(y) = B_0y^3 + B_1y^2 + B_2y + B_3.$$

In that case,

$$(80) \quad \text{Scal}(g_{A,B}) = -6(A_0x + B_0y) - 2(A_1 + B_1)$$

and $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ has constant scalar curvature if and only if $A_0 = B_0 = 0$.

Proposition 11. *If an extremal product toric structure $(\omega, J, g_{A,B}, T, \mu)$ admits a non-constant Killing potential $f > 0$ such that $(g_{A,B}, 1)$ and $(g_{A,B}, f)$ are 4-weighted extremal Kähler twins, then f is of the form $f(x, y) = \lambda + c_1x + c_2y$ where $A_0c_2 = B_0c_1$ and*

- if $c_1 \neq 0$ (and hence $A_0 \neq 0$), then $3A_0\lambda = c_1(A_1 + B_1)$
- if $c_2 \neq 0$, (and hence $B_0 \neq 0$) then $3B_0\lambda = c_2(A_1 + B_1)$.

In particular, any extremal product toric structure admits at most one extremal Sasaki twin (up to a dilatation) and any csc product toric structure admits no non-trivial twin.

Proof. We compute the Laplacians assuming A has the form (78) and B has the form (79). We get

$$(81) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^{g_{A,B}}x &= -A'(x) = -3A_0x^2 - 2A_1x - A_2 \\ \Delta^{g_{A,B}}y &= -B'(y) = -3B_0y^2 - 2B_1y - B_2 \end{aligned}$$

and writing $f(x, y) = \lambda + c_1x + c_2y$ we have

$$(82) \quad \begin{aligned} |df|_{g_{A,B}}^2 &= c_1^2A(x) + c_2^2B(y) \\ &= c_1^2(A_0x^3 + A_1x^2 + A_2x + A_3) + c_2^2(B_0y^3 + B_1y^2 + B_2y + B_3). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (80), (81) and (82) we get that $\text{Scal}_{f,4}(g_{A,B}) = f^2\text{Scal}(g_{A,B}) - 6f\Delta^{g_{A,B}}f - 12|df|_{g_{A,B}}^2$ equals $g(x, y)$ where

$$(83) \quad \begin{aligned} g(x, y) &= -(\lambda + c_1x + c_2y)^2(-6(A_0x + B_0y) - 2(A_1 + B_1)) \\ &\quad + 6c_1(\lambda + c_1x + c_2y)(3A_0x^2 + 2A_1x + A_2) \\ &\quad + 6c_2(\lambda + c_1x + c_2y)(3B_0y^2 + 2B_1y + B_2) \\ &\quad - 12c_1^2(A_0x^3 + A_1x^2 + A_2x + A_3) \\ &\quad - 12c_2^2(B_0y^3 + B_1y^2 + B_2y + B_3) \\ &= -2(\lambda^2(A_1 + B_1) - 3\lambda(A_2c_1 + B_2c_2) + 6(A_3c_1^2 + B_3c_2^2)) \\ &\quad - 2(3A_0\lambda^2 - 4A_1\lambda c_1 + 2B_1\lambda c_1 + 3A_2c_1^2 - 3B_2c_1c_2)x \\ &\quad - 2(3B_0\lambda^2 - 4B_1\lambda c_2 + 2A_1\lambda c_2 + 3B_2c_2^2 - 3A_2c_1c_2)y \\ &\quad + 2c_1(3A_0\lambda - c_1(A_1 + B_1))x^2 \\ &\quad + 2c_2(3B_0\lambda - c_2(A_1 + B_1))y^2 \\ &\quad - 4(3\lambda(B_0c_1 + A_0c_2) - 2(A_1 + B_1)c_1c_2)xy \\ &\quad + 6c_1(A_0c_2 - B_0c_1)x^2y \\ &\quad + 6c_2(B_0c_1 - A_0c_2)xy^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is affine linear in (x, y) if and only if the coefficients of x^2, y^2, xy, x^2y and xy^2 all vanish. If we are in the csc case ($A_0 = B_0 = 0$) then, looking at the coefficients of x^2 and y^2 , we need

$$c_1^2(A_1 + B_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2^2(A_1 + B_1) = 0.$$

In the non-trivial case where at least one of c_1, c_2 does not vanish, this gives $A_1 = -B_1$ and since $A_0 = B_0$ this would mean that one of $A(x)$ and $B(y)$ is a concave up parabola or both $A(x)$ and $B(y)$ are linear. Neither is compatible with (76). This proves the last part of the proposition.

Moving forward we assume we are in the non-trivial case, i.e., at least one of c_1 and c_2 is non-zero. In that case, $g(x, y)$ is affine linear in (x, y) , if and only if

$$(84) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0c_2 - B_0c_1 &= 0 \\ 3\lambda(B_0c_1 + A_0c_2) - 2(A_1 + B_1)c_1c_2 &= 0 \\ c_1(3A_0\lambda - c_1(A_1 + B_1)) &= 0 \\ c_2(3B_0\lambda - c_2(A_1 + B_1)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that we choose $c_1 \neq 0$. Then the first equation in (84) together with the fact that not both A_0 and B_0 can be zero, tells us that $A_0 \neq 0$. Further, the system (84) is equivalent to the non-trivial system

$$(85) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0c_2 &= B_0c_1 \\ 3A_0\lambda &= c_1(A_1 + B_1). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, if $c_2 \neq 0$, then $B_0 \neq 0$ and the system (84) is equivalent to

$$(86) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0c_2 &= B_0c_1 \\ 3B_0\lambda &= c_2(A_1 + B_1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part of the proposition. □

The last part of Proposition 11 gives

Corollary 4. *The regular csc Sasaki structure arising from the Boothby-Wang construction over a product csc Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ has no extremal Sasaki twin.*

REFERENCES

- [Abr01] M. Abreu, *Kähler metrics on toric orbifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **58** (2001), no. 1, 151–187. MR 1895351 (2003b:53046)
- [Abr10] Miguel Abreu, *Kähler-Sasaki geometry of toric symplectic cones in action-angle coordinates*, Port. Math. **67** (2010), no. 2, 121–153. MR 2662864
- [AC21] Vestislav Apostolov and David M. J. Calderbank, *The CR geometry of weighted extremal Kähler and Sasaki metrics*, Math. Ann. **379** (2021), no. 3–4, 1047–1088. MR 4238260
- [ACG03] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, and P. Gauduchon, *The geometry of weakly self-dual Kähler surfaces*, Compositio Math. **135** (2003), no. 3, 279–322. MR 1956815 (2004f:53045)
- [ACG06] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, and Paul Gauduchon, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. I. General theory*, J. Differential Geom. **73** (2006), no. 3, 359–412. MR 2228318 (2007b:53149)
- [ACG15] ———, *Ambitoric geometry II: extremal toric surfaces and Einstein 4-orbifolds*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **48** (2015), no. 5, 1075–1112. MR 3429476
- [ACG16] ———, *Ambitoric geometry I: Einstein metrics and extremal ambikähler structures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **721** (2016), 109–147. MR 3574879
- [ACGTF04] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon, and C. W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. II. Global classification*, J. Differential Geom. **68** (2004), no. 2, 277–345. MR 2144249
- [ACGTF08] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, Paul Gauduchon, and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. III. Extremal metrics and stability*, Invent. Math. **173** (2008), no. 3, 547–601. MR 2425136 (2009m:32043)
- [AJL23] Vestislav Apostolov, Simon Jubert, and Abdellah Lahdili, *Weighted K-stability and coercivity with applications to extremal Kähler and Sasaki metrics*, Geom. Topol. **27** (2023), no. 8, 3229–3302. MR 4668097
- [AM19] Vestislav Apostolov and Gideon Maschler, *Conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell geometry*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **21** (2019), no. 5, 1319–1360. MR 3941493

- [AMTF22] Vestislav Apostolov, Gideon Maschler, and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Weighted extremal Kähler metrics and the Einstein-Maxwell geometry of projective bundles*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **30** (2022), no. 4, 689–744. MR 4545849
- [Apo22] Vestislav Apostolov, *The Kähler geometry of toric manifolds*, preprint;arXiv:2208.12493 [math.DG] (2022).
- [BG00] Charles P. Boyer and Krzysztof Galicki, *A note on toric contact geometry*, J. Geom. Phys. **35** (2000), no. 4, 288–298. MR 1780757 (2001h:53124)
- [BG08] ———, *Sasakian geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. MR MR2382957 (2009c:53058)
- [BGS08] Charles P. Boyer, Krzysztof Galicki, and Santiago R. Simanca, *Canonical Sasakian metrics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **279** (2008), no. 3, 705–733. MR 2386725
- [BHLTF17] Charles P. Boyer, Hongnian Huang, Eveline Legendre, and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *The Einstein-Hilbert functional and the Sasaki-Futaki invariant*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2017), no. 7, 1942–1974. MR 3658189
- [BHLTF18] ———, *Reducibility in Sasakian geometry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370** (2018), no. 10, 6825–6869. MR 3841834
- [BHLTF21] ———, *Some open problems in Sasaki geometry*, Differential geometry in the large, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 463, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2021, pp. 143–168. MR 4420789
- [BHLTF23] ———, *Existence and non-existence of constant scalar curvature and extremal Sasaki metrics*, Math. Z. **304** (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 61, 29. MR 4617164
- [BP14] Charles P. Boyer and Justin Pati, *On the equivalence problem for toric contact structures on S^3 -bundles over S^2* , Pacific J. Math. **267** (2014), no. 2, 277–324. MR 3207586
- [Bry01] R. L. Bryant, *Bochner-Kähler metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 3, 623–715 (electronic). MR 1824987 (2002i:53096)
- [BTF14] Charles P. Boyer and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Extremal Sasakian geometry on S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no. 20, 5510–5562. MR 3271180
- [BTF16] ———, *The Sasaki join, Hamiltonian 2-forms, and constant scalar curvature*, J. Geom. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 2, 1023–1060. MR 3472828
- [BTF24] ———, *Sasakian geometry on sphere bundles II: Constant scalar curvature*, Differential Geom. Appl. **96** (2024), Paper No. 102166, 23. MR 4761312
- [BW58] W. M. Boothby and H. C. Wang, *On contact manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 721–734. MR 22 #3015
- [Cal82] E. Calabi, *Extremal Kähler metrics*, Seminar on Differential Geometry, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 102, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982, pp. 259–290. MR 83i:53088
- [Der83] Andrzej Derdziński, *Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four*, Compositio Math. **49** (1983), no. 3, 405–433. MR 707181
- [FO18] Akito Futaki and Hajime Ono, *Volume minimization and conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell geometry*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **70** (2018), no. 4, 1493–1521. MR 3868215
- [FO19] ———, *Conformally Einstein-Maxwell Kähler metrics and structure of the automorphism group*, Math. Z. **292** (2019), no. 1-2, 571–589. MR 3968916
- [KTF16] Caner Koca and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Strongly Hermitian Einstein-Maxwell solutions on ruled surfaces*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **50** (2016), no. 1, 29–46. MR 3521556
- [Lah19] Abdellah Lahdili, *Kähler metrics with constant weighted scalar curvature and weighted K-stability*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **119** (2019), no. 4, 1065–1114. MR 3964827
- [LeB10] Claude LeBrun, *The Einstein-Maxwell equations, extremal Kähler metrics, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, The many facets of geometry, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2010, pp. 17–33. MR 2681684
- [LeB15] ———, *The Einstein-Maxwell equations, Kähler metrics, and Hermitian geometry*, J. Geom. Phys. **91** (2015), 163–171. MR 3327057
- [LeB16] ———, *The Einstein-Maxwell equations and conformally Kähler geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **344** (2016), no. 2, 621–653. MR 3500251
- [Lee86] J.M Lee, *The Fefferman metric and pseudo-Hermitian invariants*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (1986), no. 1, 412–429.
- [Leg11a] Eveline Legendre, *Existence and non-uniqueness of constant scalar curvature toric Sasaki metrics*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1613–1634. MR 2834736
- [Leg11b] ———, *Toric geometry of convex quadrilaterals*, J. Symplectic Geom. **9** (2011), no. 3, 343–385. MR 2817779 (2012g:53079)

- [Ler03] Eugene Lerman, *Contact toric manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **1** (2003), no. 4, 785–828. MR 2039164
- [Pag78] Don Page, *A compact rotating gravitational instanton*, Phys. Lett. B (1978), 235–238.
- [Tan89] S. Tanno, *Variational problems on contact riemannian manifolds*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (1989), no. 134, 349–379.
- [TF98] Christina Wiis Tønnesen-Friedman, *Extremal Kähler metrics on minimal ruled surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **502** (1998), 175–197. MR MR1647571 (99g:58026)
- [VdS21] Isaque Viza de Souza, *Conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell metrics on Hirzebruch surfaces*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **59** (2021), no. 2, 263–284. MR 4213767

CHARLES P. BOYER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87131.

Email address: cboyer@unm.edu

HONGNIAN HUANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87131.

Email address: hnhuang@gmail.com

EVELINE LEGENDRE, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN ÉQUIPE AGL, 21 AV. CLAUDE BERNARD, 69100 VILLEURBANNE, FRANCE

Email address: eveline.legendre@math.univ-lyon1.fr

CHRISTINA W. TØNNESEN-FRIEDMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, SCHENECTADY, NEW YORK 12308, USA

Email address: tonnesec@union.edu