# Beyond Classical Thermodynamics: Insight into the Microstructures of Black Holes with Quantum-Triggered Violations

Haximjan Abdusattar[∗](#page-0-0)

College of Physics and Electrical Engineering, Kashi University, Kashgar 844006, Xinjiang, China

In this study, we investigate the microstructures of a charged AdS (Anti-de Sitter) black hole exhibiting quantum anomalies through the lens of Ruppeiner geometry. Previous research has established that black holes undergo P-V phase transitions and exhibit critical phenomena near their critical points, characterized by four critical exponents that typically obey scaling laws predicted by mean-field theory. However, recent findings have revealed that black holes with quantum anomalies can violate these scaling laws. Motivated by these discoveries, we employ Ruppeiner geometry to probe the thermodynamic fluctuations and gain insights into the microstructure of such black holes. Our analysis aims to elucidate how quantum effects modify the microscopic properties of spacetime, offering a novel perspective on the understanding of black hole thermodynamics.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering work that established the four laws of black hole thermodynamics [\[1\]](#page-7-0), the field of black hole physics has evolved significantly. The identification of surface gravity and area as black hole temperature [\[2\]](#page-7-1) and entropy [\[3\]](#page-7-2), respectively, has opened up new avenues of research in black hole thermodynamics. One of the most vibrant research areas in this domain centers on exploring phase transitions in black holes, particularly within the fascinating realm of black hole chemistry in the extended phase space framework, where the cosmological constant is viewed as a thermodynamic pressure variable, i.e.,  $P = -\Lambda/8\pi$  [\[4–](#page-7-3)[6\]](#page-7-4). With such an interpretation, in Ref.[\[7\]](#page-7-5) the authors has demonstrated that four-dimensional charged AdS (Anti-de Sitter) black holes exhibit both first-order (discontinuous) and second-order (continuous) phase transitions between small and large black hole states within this extended phase space. This transition mirrors the Van der Waals gas/liquid phase transition, resulting in identical critical exponents for both systems, and hence obey the thermodynamic scaling laws as described by mean field theory. The study of critical behavior in black holes within this context, known as "P-V criticality" has been extensively explored in the literature [\[8–](#page-7-6)[12\]](#page-7-7) (see also [\[13](#page-7-8)[–23\]](#page-7-9) for more related works and reviews [\[24,](#page-7-10) [25\]](#page-7-11)). Various intriguing phenomena have been observed in the extended phase space of black holes, including multiple critical points [\[26\]](#page-7-12), triple critical points [\[27\]](#page-7-13), reentrant phase transitions [\[28\]](#page-7-14), and superfluid-like phase transitions [\[29\]](#page-7-15).

Despite the significant progress in black hole thermodynamics, the statistical description of black hole microstates remains elusive. The lack of a complete understanding of the microscopic structure of black holes has profound implications for our comprehension of quantum gravity. To address this issue, various approaches and theories of gravity have been explored [\[30](#page-7-16)[–36\]](#page-7-17), with the aim of discerning the underlying degrees of freedom of a black hole. One intriguing way to incorporate quantum effects in black holes is to consider the back-reaction caused by conformal anomaly of quantum field theory in black hole spacetime [\[37,](#page-7-18) [38\]](#page-7-19). In classical field theory with conformal symmetry, the trace of the stress-energy tensor vanishes. However, for quantum conformal field theory (CFT) in four dimensions, its vacuum expectation value [\[39,](#page-7-20) [40\]](#page-7-21)

$$
\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu} \rangle_{a} = \beta C_{\mu\nu\lambda\delta} C^{\mu\nu\lambda\delta} - \alpha_{c} (R_{\mu\nu\lambda\delta} R^{\mu\nu\lambda\delta} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^{2}), \qquad (1)
$$

is non-zero, leading to the phenomenon known as quantum conformal anomaly. The quantum conformal anomaly can be expressed mathematically in terms of the Weyl tensor  $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\delta}$  and the Euler characteristic (the second term of r.h.s in above equation), with two non-negative constants called central charges that reflect the degrees of freedom of the underlying quantum field theory [\[39,](#page-7-20) [40\]](#page-7-21). Considering the back-reaction induced by this quantum anomaly, the classical solution to the Einstein equation undergoes modification, resulting in a novel spherical symmetric black hole solution [\[37,](#page-7-18) [38\]](#page-7-19)

$$
ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + f(r)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})
$$
 (2)

where the function  $f(r)$  is given by<sup>1</sup>

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
f(r) = 1 - \frac{r^2}{4\alpha_c} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 8\alpha_c \left(\frac{2M}{r^3} - \frac{Q^2}{r^4} - \frac{1}{l^2}\right)} \right], (3)
$$

considering only type A anomaly with  $\beta = 0$ . Here, M and  $Q$  are integration constants, while  $l$  is linked to the cosmological constant via  $\Lambda = -3/l^2$ . In the limit of large

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>Electronic address: [axim@nuaa.edu.cn](mailto:axim@nuaa.edu.cn)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this scenario, the branch with the negative sign  $($ " −") pertains to an AdS spacetime, whereas the branch with the positive sign  $(*+")$  corresponds to a de Sitter spacetime. However, in this paper, we are solely interested in the AdS scenario and its thermodynamic properties.

 $r$ , where quantum corrections are negligible, this solution approximates to the Reissner-Nordström-Anti-de Sitter (RN-AdS) black hole. This black hole solution, which incorporates the effects of quantum conformal anomaly, exhibits interesting thermodynamic properties. By examining the thermodynamic properties of this black hole solution, a deeper insight can be gained into the black hole's microscopic structure and the fundamental degrees of freedom that govern its behavior.

Interestingly, a recent studies has unveiled a fascinating discovery: in black hole systems with quantum conformal anomaly, the scaling laws can be violated under specific conditions [\[41\]](#page-7-22). When  $\alpha_c \leq 0$ , the behavior of the black hole system aligns with mean field theory. In this case, the scaling laws, which describe the relationships between various physical quantities in the system, are upheld and not violated. This indicates that the presence of quantum conformal anomaly does not significantly alter the standard behavior expected from mean field theory under these conditions. Specifically, when  $\alpha_c = Q^2/8$ , an intriguing breakdown of scaling laws occurs in the black hole system. This specific condition arises when the coefficient  $a_{11}$  in the expansion of pressure  $P(V, T)$  near the critical point is zero. Here, the central charge parameter  $\alpha_c$  and  $U(1)$  charge Q are related in such a way that triggers a unique phase structure in the black hole system. This phase structure differs significantly from that observed in van der Waals-like systems, which typically adhere to scaling laws. The violation of scaling laws in this scenario suggests that the quantum conformal anomaly plays a crucial role in modifying the behavior of the black hole system under these specific conditions.

Despite discovering a novel phase transition, the statistical description of black hole microstructures exhibiting quantum anomalies has not yet been thoroughly investigated, profoundly influencing our comprehension of quantum gravity. Notably, the thermodynamic geometry method emerges as a pivotal tool for probing into the microstructures of black holes. In Ref.[\[42\]](#page-7-23), Ruppeiner introduced a Riemannian thermodynamic entropy metric to delineate the theory of thermodynamic fluctuations and delineated a systematic framework for computing the Ricci curvature scalar R of the Ruppeiner metric, also known as the thermodynamic curvature. This metric revealed a profound connection between the sign of R and the particle interactions within a thermodynamic system: a positive  $R$  signifies repulsive interactions, a negative R indicates attractive interactions, and  $R = 0$  implies the absence of interactions [\[43](#page-7-24)[–45\]](#page-8-0). Since its inception, thermodynamic geometry has been meticulously explored in the context of various black hole systems [\[46](#page-8-1)[–56\]](#page-8-2) (for related works, see [\[57](#page-8-3)[–68\]](#page-8-4)), and our work specifically focuses on the application within the FRW cosmological model [\[69\]](#page-8-5). Inspired by these fascinating discoveries, our research endeavors to utilize Ruppeiner geometry to delve into the microstructure of black holes characterized by quantum conformal anomalies. By examining the curvature of the Ruppeiner metric, we aspire to gain deeper

insights into the nature of microscopic interactions and the underlying degrees of freedom that govern the behavior of these black holes.

The structure of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we shall make a brief review on Ruppeiner thermodynamic geometry. In Sec[.III,](#page-2-0) we shall study the behavior of thermodynamic curvature for the charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly, where we obtain the coexisting volumes of the black hole during the P-V phase transition. In Sec[.IV,](#page-4-0) we shall obtain the coexisting volumes of the charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly during the P-V phase transition by considering the specific relation  $\alpha_c = Q^2/8$ , corresponding to the case that the black hole system exhibits a breakdown of scaling laws. We also study the behavior of thermodynamic curvature of this black hole along coexisting volumes. In Sec[.V,](#page-6-0) we end the work with conclusions and discussion. Throughout this paper, we adopt natural units such that  $c = G = \hbar = 1.$ 

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. WARM-UP: RUPPEINER THERMODYNAMIC GEOMETRY

In this section, we will provide a concise overview of some key results of Ruppeiner thermodynamic geometry [\[42–](#page-7-23)[44,](#page-7-25) [52\]](#page-8-6) as an introduction. While statistical mechanics allows us to derive macroscopic thermodynamic quantities from the microscopic constituents of an ordinary fluid system, our current knowledge necessitates adopting a thermodynamic geometric approach in reverse to understand the microscopic structure of a black hole. We start with Boltzmann's entropy formula [\[42\]](#page-7-23)

$$
S = k_{\rm B} \ln \Omega \tag{4}
$$

which is a fundamental principle in statistical mechanics. Here,  $k_B$  represents the Boltzmann constant, approximately equal to  $1.38 \times 10^{-23} J/K$ , and  $\Omega$  denotes the number of microscopic states in the corresponding thermodynamic system. By rearranging this relationship, one obtains

$$
\Omega = e^{\frac{S}{k_{\rm B}}},\tag{5}
$$

which is the starting point of thermodynamic fluctuation theory. For a system described by  $N + 1$  independent variables  $x^{\mu}$  ( $\mu=0, 1, ..., N$ ), the probability of finding the system's state within a specific range defined by  $(x^{0},...,x^{N})$  and  $(x^{0} + dx^{0},...,x^{N} + dx^{N})$  is proportional to the number of microstates within that range. This probability can be expressed as

$$
P(x^{0},...,x^{N})dx^{0}...dx^{N} = C\Omega(x^{0},...,x^{N})dx^{0}...dx^{N},
$$
 (6)

where  $C$  is a normalization constant. Hence

$$
P(x^0, ..., x^N) \propto e^{\frac{S}{k_B}}.
$$
 (7)

Now, consider a thermodynamic system divided into a small subsystem S and its environment E. The

total entropy can be decomposed as  $S(x^0, ..., x^N)$  $S_{\rm S}(x^0, ..., x^N) + S_{\rm E}(x^0, ..., x^N)$  with  $S_{\rm S} \ll S_{\rm E} \sim S$ . Expanding the total entropy near its local maximum at  $x^{\mu} = x_0^{\mu}$ , one obtains

$$
S = S_0 + \left. \frac{\partial S_S}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right|_0 \Delta x_S^{\mu} + \left. \frac{\partial S_E}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right|_0 \Delta x_E^{\mu}
$$
 (8)

$$
+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 S_{\rm S}}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu}\bigg|_0 \Delta x_{\rm S}^\mu \Delta x_{\rm S}^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 S_{\rm E}}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu}\bigg|_0 \Delta x_{\rm E}^\mu \Delta x_{\rm E}^\nu + \cdots
$$

where  $S_0$  represents the local maximum of the entropy and " $|_0$ " denotes evaluation at  $|_{x^{\mu}=x_0^{\mu}}$ . It is reasonable to assume that the fluctuating parameters are both conserved and additive, meaning  $x_{\rm S}^{\mu} + x_{\rm E}^{\mu} = x_{\rm total}^{\mu}$ , which remains constant. Consequently, the sum of the second<br>and third terms cause zero (or equivalently,  $\frac{\partial S_5}{\partial \rho}$   $\Lambda \omega^{\mu}$  is and third terms equals zero (or equivalently,  $\frac{\partial S_S}{\partial x^{\mu}}|_0 \Delta x_S^{\mu}$  is the negative of  $\frac{\partial S_{\rm E}}{\partial x^{\mu}}|_0 \Delta x_{\rm E}^{\mu}$ . Given that  $S_{\rm E}$  is comparable in scale to the entire system, the final term in equation [\(8\)](#page-2-1) is substantially smaller than the fourth term and can therefore be neglected. Therefore, one can approximate the entropy change as

$$
\Delta S = S - S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 S_S}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu} \bigg|_0 \Delta x_S^\mu \Delta x_S^\nu + \cdots. \tag{9}
$$

Absorbing  $S_0$  into the normalization constant, the probability expressed as

$$
P(x^{0},...,x^{N}) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta l^{2}}, \qquad (10)
$$

where  $\Delta l^2$  represents the distance separating two adjacent fluctuation states, and is defined as  $[42-44]$  $[42-44]$ 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\Delta l^2 = -\frac{1}{k_B} \frac{\partial^2 S_S}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu} \Delta x^\mu \Delta x^\nu. \tag{11}
$$

In thermodynamic information geometry, the rarity of a fluctuation between two thermodynamic states is indicative of their greater separation. Consequently, the line element [\(11\)](#page-2-2) contains information regarding the effective interaction that exists between two microscopic fluctuation states. For a specific fluid system, the curvature scalar derived from Eq. $(11)$  serves as a gauge for its microstructure interactions. Notably, the entropy within this information geometry framework, known as Ruppeiner geometry, constitutes the thermodynamic potential.

To explore the microstructure of the black hole, one can utilize the principles of Ruppeiner geometry. For simplicity, we set the Boltzmann constant  $k<sub>B</sub>$  to 1 and omit the subscript  $S$  from the entropy  $S_S$ . Applying the thermodynamic first law and considering temperature T and thermodynamic volume  $V$  as the fluctuation variables, the line element [\(11\)](#page-2-2) can be formulated as described in [\[52\]](#page-8-6)

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
dl^2 = \frac{C_V}{T^2}dT^2 + \frac{(\partial_V P)_T}{T}dV^2, \qquad (12)
$$

where  $C_V = T(\partial S/\partial T)_V$  being the heat capacity at constant volume.

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>III. P-V CRITICALITY AND MICROSTRUCTURE OF CHARGED ADS BLACK HOLE WITH QUANTUM ANOMALY FOR  $\alpha_c \leqslant 0$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>The thermodynamic geometry method offers a valuable instrument for exploring the microstructure of black holes. In this section, we conduct a comprehensive analysis of the thermodynamic properties and Ruppeiner geometry for a charged AdS (Anti-de Sitter) black hole exhibiting quantum conformal anomaly. Our approach begins by deriving the volumes of the coexisting small and large phases of this black hole, focusing on the region near the critical point. Subsequently, we delve into the behavior of the thermodynamic curvature for the black hole, specifically within the context of these coexisting volumes.

### A. Coexistence Curves of the P-V Phase Transition

For the charged AdS black hole with quantum conformal anomaly, as described by  $Eq.(3)$  $Eq.(3)$ , the thermodynamic equation of state is provided in [\[41\]](#page-7-22) and is given by

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
P = \frac{T}{2r_{\rm h}} - \frac{1}{8\pi r_{\rm h}^2} - \frac{2\alpha_{\rm c}T}{r_{\rm h}^3} + \frac{-2\alpha_{\rm c} + Q^2}{8\pi r_{\rm h}^4} \,,\qquad(13)
$$

where  $r<sub>h</sub>$  denotes the horizon radius and the thermodynamic volume V is defined as  $V = 4\pi r_h^3/3$ .

The necessary condition for the occurrence of a  $P-V$ phase transition in the system described by  $Eq.(13)$  $Eq.(13)$  is that the first and second derivatives of the pressure  $P$ with respect to the volume  $V$  at constant temperature  $T$ must both be zero:

<span id="page-2-7"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial V^2}\right)_T = 0.
$$
\n(14)

These conditions yield a critical point solution, denoted by  $T = T_c$ ,  $P = P_c$  and  $V = V_c$ . It is known that this system for  $\alpha_c \leq 0$  exhibits a small-large black hole phase transition, with the critical point given by  $[41, 62]$  $[41, 62]$  $[41, 62]$ 

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
V_c = \frac{4\pi}{3}(-12\alpha_c + 3Q^2 + K)^{3/2},
$$
  
\n
$$
T_c = \frac{3Q^2 - K}{48\pi\alpha_c\sqrt{-12\alpha_c + 3Q^2 + K}},
$$
  
\n
$$
P_c = \frac{-18\alpha_c + 6Q^2 + K}{24\pi(-12\alpha_c + 3Q^2 + K)^2},
$$
\n(15)

where  $K \equiv \sqrt{192\alpha_c^2 + 9Q^4 - 96\alpha_c Q^2}$  and  $V_c = 4\pi r_{\text{hc}}^3/3$ , with  $r_{\text{hc}}$  being the critical horizon radius. To conveniently and explicitly display the diagrams, we first define the dimensionless reduced pressure, reduced temperature, and reduced volume as follows

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
\widetilde{P} \equiv \frac{P}{P_{\rm c}}, \qquad \widetilde{V} \equiv \frac{V}{V_{\rm c}}, \qquad \widetilde{T} \equiv \frac{T}{T_{\rm c}}. \tag{16}
$$

The corresponding critical behavior of the black hole is illustrated in Fig[.1.](#page-3-0)



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG. 1:  $\widetilde{P}$ - $\widetilde{V}$  isotherms for the reduced equation of state of a charged AdS black hole with quantum conformal anomaly. The diagram shows ideal gas behavior, indicating a unique phase of the black hole for  $T > T_c$ , and oscillatory behavior for  $T < T_c$ , which suggests the existence of small and large black hole phases undergoing a first-order phase transition.

To observe the divergence behavior of the thermodynamic curvature of charged AdS black hole with quantum conformal anomaly in the next subsection, we provide the reduced coexisting volumes during the P-V phase transition. For this goal, we make an expansion to equation of state [\(13\)](#page-2-3) near the critical point as

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
\widetilde{P}(t,\omega) = 1 + a_{10}t + a_{11}t\omega + a_{03}\omega^3 + 0(t\omega^3, \omega^4) \quad (17)
$$

where  $t \equiv \tilde{T} - 1$ ,  $\omega \equiv \tilde{V} - 1$ , and the coefficients are given by [\[41\]](#page-7-22)

$$
a_{10} = \frac{4(3Q^2 - 4\alpha_c + K)}{9Q^2 - 22\alpha_c},
$$
\n(18)

$$
a_{11}\ =\ \frac{2(5K-3Q^2+24\alpha_{\rm c})}{27Q^2-66\alpha_{\rm c}}\,,\ a_{03}=\frac{K-15Q^2+40\alpha_{\rm c}}{27(9Q^2-22\alpha_{\rm c}}\,.
$$

When the black hole system undergoes a phase transition from a small black hole to a large one, the temperature and pressure remain constant, while the thermodynamic volume changes from  $\omega_s$  to  $\omega_l$ , where the labels 's' and 'l' represent 'small' and 'large' respectively. During the phase transition, Maxwell's equal area law holds [\[70](#page-8-8)[–72\]](#page-8-9) (see also Refs. [\[15,](#page-7-26) [21,](#page-7-27) [69\]](#page-8-5) for more related discussion)

$$
\widetilde{P}(t,\omega_s) = \widetilde{P}(t,\omega_l), \qquad \int_{\omega_s}^{\omega_l} \omega d\widetilde{P} = 0. \tag{19}
$$

Employing Maxwell's equal area law, we obtain the non-trivial reduced volumes of the coexistence small and large phases of the charged AdS black hole with quantum conformal anomaly near the critical point, which are

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\widetilde{V}_s = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{18K}{3Q^2 - 8\alpha_c}(1 - \widetilde{T})},\tag{20}
$$

$$
\widetilde{V}_l = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{18K}{3Q^2 - 8\alpha_{\rm c}}(1 - \widetilde{T})}. \tag{21}
$$

The coexistence curves in the  $\widetilde{T}$ - $\widetilde{V}$  diagram corresponding to Eqs. $(20)$  and  $(21)$  are shown in Fig[.2.](#page-3-2)



<span id="page-3-2"></span>FIG. 2: Coexistence curves: Phase structure of the charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly in  $\widetilde{T}$ - $\widetilde{V}$  diagram.

The coexistence curves provide a clear visual representation of the phase transition behavior near the critical point. Specifically, the blue (left) solid curve represents small black holes, while the red (right) solid curve represents large black holes. These curves meet at the critical point, denoted by a black dot, and the area beneath these curves signifies the coexistence phase.

### B. Thermodynamic curvature via P-V criticality

In the following, we apply the Ruppeiner geometry to investigate the divergence behavior of the thermodynamic curvature of charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly along the coexisting volumes during the P-V phase transition.

One special property of charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly is that their heat capacity at constant volume vanishes, i.e.,  $C_V = 0$ . This leads the line element [\(12\)](#page-2-4) singular, and consequently information of the associated black hole microstructure is not revealed from the thermodynamic geometry. To avoid this problem, one can treat  $C_V$  as a constant whose value is infinitesimally close to zero, defining a new normalized scalar curvature [\[52,](#page-8-6) [53\]](#page-8-10)

<span id="page-3-5"></span>
$$
R_{\rm N} = C_V R
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(\partial_V P)^2 - T^2 (\partial_{V,T} P)^2 + 2T^2 (\partial_V P)(\partial_{V,T,T} P)}{2(\partial_V P)^2}.
$$
\n(22)

By utilizing Equations  $(13)$ ,  $(15)$ , and  $(16)$ , we derive the normalized scalar curvature for the charged AdS black hole incorporating quantum anomaly, as outlined below

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
R_{\rm N} = \frac{2\delta\beta}{(\beta + \delta)^2},\tag{23}
$$

where

$$
\beta = \delta + \widetilde{T}\widetilde{V}(K^2 - 9Q^4) - 12\alpha_c(K - 3Q^2)(1 + \widetilde{V}^{2/3})\widetilde{T}\widetilde{V}^{1/3} \n\delta = 12\alpha_c[4\alpha_c + \widetilde{V}^{2/3}(K - 12\alpha_c) + Q^2(3\widetilde{V}^{2/3} - 2)].
$$

Note that  $R_N$  explicitly depend on the black hole charge Q and quantum anomaly. We see that for most of the parameter space the value of  $R_N$  is near zero. However, in proximity to the critical point defined by the equation

$$
\widetilde{T}_{\rm div} = \frac{24\alpha_c[4\alpha_c + \widetilde{V}^{2/3}(K - 12\alpha_c) + Q^2(3\widetilde{V}^{2/3} - 2)]}{\widetilde{V}(9Q^4 - K^2) + 12\alpha_c(\widetilde{V}^{1/3} + \widetilde{V})(K - 3Q^2)}
$$
(24)

 $R_N$  undergoes significant variations, diving to negative infinity along this curve. This behavior suggests a rapid transformation in the microstructure of the charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly near the temperature  $\tilde{T}_{\text{div}}$ . Furthermore, we have computed the curves where  $R_N$  changes its sign, given by

$$
\widetilde{T}_0 = \frac{\widetilde{T}_{\text{div}}}{2},\tag{25}
$$

whose traversal indicates a change between attractive or repulsive interactions within the microstructure.

Finally, we discuss the behaviors of thermodynamic curvature towards the critical point along the coexistence curve. For this purpose, we write  $R_N$  as a function of T by using Eqs. $(20)$ ,  $(21)$  and  $(23)$ , the series expansions of it at  $T$  along the coexistence curves have the following forms

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
R_{\rm N}^s = -\frac{1}{8t^2} - \frac{\eta}{\left[ (8\alpha_{\rm c} - 3Q^2)t \right]^{3/2}} + \dots O(t^{-1}, \dots), (26)
$$

$$
R_{\rm N}^l = -\frac{1}{8t^2} + \frac{\eta}{\left[ (8\alpha_{\rm c} - 3Q^2)t \right]^{3/2}} + ...O(t^{-1}, ...), (27)
$$

with

$$
\eta = \frac{\sqrt{K}(768\alpha_{\rm c}^2 - 80\alpha_{\rm c}K + 31KQ^2 + 63Q^4 - 456\alpha_{\rm c}Q^2)}{4\sqrt{2}(-8\alpha_{\rm c} + K + Q^2)}
$$
(28)

where  $t = \widetilde{T} - 1$  is the deviation from the critical temperature. We see that  $R_N \to -\infty$  at the critical point with a universal critical exponent of 2.

Furthermore, by utilizing Eqs. $(26)$  and  $(27)$  and ignoring the high orders, we obtain the following expression

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} R_{\rm N} t^2 = -\frac{1}{8} \,. \tag{29}
$$

This analysis unveils a universal, dimensionless constant of  $-1/8$ , which aligns perfectly with the numerical findings for the van der Waals fluid, as reported in [\[52\]](#page-8-6). To visualize how  $R_{\rm N}$  diverges, we present a graphical representation of the normalized thermodynamic curvature for both the small and large coexistence phases in Fig[.3.](#page-4-2)

Figure [3](#page-4-2) shows that the normalized thermodynamic curvature  $R_N$  in both small and large phases diverges to negative infinity  $(R_N \to -\infty)$  at the critical point. Moreover,  $|R_N|$  decreases as the temperature deviated from the critical temperature, becoming small at small  $\widetilde{T}$ . Notably, at the critical point, the normalized thermodynamic curvature  $R_N$  diverges to negative infinity in both the small



<span id="page-4-2"></span>FIG. 3: The normalized thermodynamic curvature  $R_N$  of the charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly for  $\alpha_c \leq 0$ along the coexistence small and large phases.

and large phases. As the temperature deviates from the critical temperature,  $|R_N|$  decreases, becoming negligible at lower temperatures. In contrast to a van der Waals fluid system, where weak repulsive interactions dominate throughout the parameter space above the coexistence curve.

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>IV. P-V CRITICALITY AND MICROSTRUCTURE OF CHARGED ADS BLACK HOLE WITH QUANTUM ANOMALY FOR  $\alpha_{\rm c}=0$

In the context of four-dimensional black hole system, it has been observed that under specific conditions related to the central charge and  $U(1)$  charge  $(\alpha_c = Q^2/8)$ , the coefficient  $a_{11}$  in an expansion of the equation of state near the critical point can vanish, i.e.,  $a_{11} = 0$ , results in critical exponents that deviate from those predicted by mean field theory, instead adhering to unique scaling laws outlined in [\[41\]](#page-7-22). This distinctive characteristic sets the black hole exhibiting quantum anomalies apart from a Van der Waals fluid. Consequently, it is intriguing to explore whether the thermodynamic scalar curvature of such a black hole system differs from that of a Van der Waals system.

### A. Coexistence Curves of the P-V Phase Transition

The equation of state with the specific condition involving the central charge and  $U(1)$  charge  $(\alpha_c = Q^2/8)$ reads [\[41\]](#page-7-22)

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
P = \frac{T}{2r_{\rm h}} - \frac{1}{8\pi r_{\rm h}^2} + \frac{Q^2(8\pi r_{\rm h}T + 3)}{32\pi r_{\rm h}^4},\tag{30}
$$

where the thermodynamic volume  $V = 4\pi r_h^3/3$ . From the criticality condition [\(14\)](#page-2-7), one obtains the critical point as

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
V_c = \sqrt{6}\pi Q^3
$$
,  $T_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}\pi Q}$ ,  $P_c = \frac{5}{72\pi Q^2}$ . (31)

For this special case, the critical behavior of charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly is shown in Fig[.4.](#page-5-0)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>FIG. 4:  $\widetilde{P}\text{-}\widetilde{V}$  isotherms for the reduced equation of state of charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly [\[41\]](#page-7-22). It shows the oscillatory behaviors for both of  $T < T_c$  and  $T > T_c$ , indicating the existence of small and large black hole phases which undergo a first-order phase transitions occur both above and below the critical temperature.

Expand the equation of state [\(30\)](#page-4-3) near the critical point like Eq. $(17)$ , one can obtain [\[41\]](#page-7-22)

$$
\widetilde{P}(t,\omega) = 1 + \frac{8}{5}t - \frac{4}{15}t\omega - \frac{8}{135}\omega^3 + \mathcal{O}(t\omega^3, \omega^4). \quad (32)
$$

Employing Maxwell's equal area law as the same method discussed above section, we obtain the non-trivial reduced volumes of the coexistence small and large phases of black hole with quantum anomaly near the critical point, which are

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\widetilde{V}_s = 1 - \frac{3}{2}(\sqrt{3} + 1)(\widetilde{T} - 1), \tag{33}
$$

$$
\widetilde{V}_l = 1 + \frac{3}{2}(\sqrt{3} - 1)(\widetilde{T} - 1).
$$
 (34)

To gain a better understanding of the phase transition behaviors for the coexistence small and large phases around the critical point, we plot the  $\overline{T}$ - $\overline{V}$  diagram corresponding to Eqs. $(33)$  and  $(34)$ , as shown in Fig[.5.](#page-5-2)

We observe that the small and large black hole phases are positioned on the left and right, respectively, with the dashed curves on either side depicting them and converging at the critical point (marked as a black dot). This coexistence phase is evident for both  $T < T_c$  and  $T > T<sub>c</sub>$ , indicating the presence of small and large black hole phases that undergo first-order phase transitions both above and below the critical temperature.

#### B. Thermodynamic curvature via P-V criticality

Inserting Eqs.  $(16)$ ,  $(30)$  and  $(31)$  into  $(22)$ , we derive the normalized scalar curvature for the charged AdS black



<span id="page-5-2"></span>FIG. 5: Coexistence curves: Phase structure of the charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly in  $\widetilde{T}$ - $\widetilde{V}$  diagram.

hole incorporating quantum anomaly with  $\alpha_c = Q^2/8$ , as obtained below

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
R_{\rm N} = \frac{1 - 2\tilde{T}\tilde{V}^{1/3}}{2(\tilde{T}\tilde{V}^{1/3} - 1)^2} \,. \tag{35}
$$

Note that  $R_N$  does not explicitly depend on the black hole charge  $Q$ –all black holes with different charge share the same expression in the reduced parameter space, a universal result.

In the vicinity of the curve defined by

$$
\widetilde{T}_{\rm div} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{V}^{1/3}}\tag{36}
$$

 $R_N$  undergoes dramatic changes, approaching negative infinity on this curve. This abrupt change suggests that the microstructure of the black hole undergoes rapid alterations near the temperature  $\tilde{T}_{div}$ . Furthermore, we calculate the curves where  $R_N$  changes its sign, given by

$$
\widetilde{T}_0 = \frac{\widetilde{T}_{\text{div}}}{2} \,,\tag{37}
$$

indicating a transition between attractive and repulsive interactions within the microstructure as one traverses these curves. These curves are universal, encompassing all charged AdS black holes exhibiting quantum anomalies, irrespective of the specific value of Q.

Finally, we discuss the behaviors of thermodynamic curvature towards the critical point along the coexistence curve. For this purpose, we write  $R_N$  as a function of  $T$ by using Eqs. $(33)$ ,  $(34)$  and  $(35)$ , the series expansions of it at  $\tilde{T}$  along the coexistence curves

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
R_{\rm N}^s = \frac{-2 - \sqrt{3}}{t^2} + \frac{34 + 20\sqrt{3}}{t} + \dots O(t^1, \dots), \quad (38)
$$

$$
R_N^l = \frac{-2 + \sqrt{3}}{t^2} + \frac{34 - 20\sqrt{3}}{t} + \dots O(t^1, \dots), \quad (39)
$$

for the small and large black hole cases respectively, where  $t = \tilde{T} - 1$ . We see that  $R_N \rightarrow -\infty$  at the critical point with a universal critical exponent of 2. Furthermore, by utilizing Eqs. $(38)$  and  $(39)$  and ignoring the high orders, we obtain the following expression

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} R_{\rm N} t^2 = -2 \pm \sqrt{3} \,. \tag{40}
$$

This result differs from the corresponding value obtained for a van der Waals fluid, which is−1/8 [\[52\]](#page-8-6).

To see the divergence behavior of  $R_N$ , we illustrate the normalized thermodynamic curvature along the coexistence small and large phases in Fig[.6.](#page-6-1)



<span id="page-6-1"></span>FIG. 6: The normalized thermodynamic curvature  $R_N$  of the charged AdS black hole with quantum anomaly along the coexistence small and large phases. For  $T < T_c$ , the  $R_N$  changes the sign to positive for the both of small and large black hole phase cases. For  $T > T_c$ , the  $R_N$  always negative along the coexistence large black hole phase, while the  $R_N$  can be positive as the temperature divided from critical temperature.

Figure [6](#page-6-1) demonstrates that at the critical point, the normalized thermodynamic curvature  $R_N$  diverges to negative infinity  $(R_N \to -\infty)$  in both the small and large phases. As the temperature deviates from the critical temperature,  $|R_N|$  decreases. It is noteworthy that for temperatures below the critical temperature  $(T < T_c)$ ,  $R_N$  changes sign to positive in both the small and large black hole phases, suggesting that a weak repulsive interaction dominates in charged AdS black holes with quantum anomalies at low temperatures (despite similarities to a van der Waals (VdW) fluid in other respects). For temperatures above the critical temperature  $(T > T_c)$ ,  $R_N$ remains negative along the coexistence curve for the large black hole phase, indicating that an attractive interaction dominates in charged AdS black holes with quantum anomalies. However, along the coexistence curve for the small black hole phase,  $R_N$  can be positive as the temperature deviates from the critical temperature, implying that the microstructural interaction in the coexistence small phase of charged AdS black holes with quantum anomalies undergoes a transition from attractive to repulsive during the phase transition.

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have utilized the Ruppeiner thermodynamic geometry as a powerful framework to investigate the microscopic structure of charged AdS black holes exhibiting quantum anomalies. Considering quantum effects, we analyzed two scenarios:  $\alpha_c \leq 0$  and  $\alpha_c = Q^2/8$ . Furthermore, for both cases, we investigated the volumes corresponding to the coexistence of small and large black holes during the P-V phase transitions, and examined the divergence behavior of the thermodynamic curvature scalar  $R_N$ .

For the first scenario ( $\alpha_c \leq 0$ ), the critical behavior of  $R_N$  revealed a universal critical exponent of 2 along both the saturated small and large black hole curves, accompanied by a universal coefficient of  $-1/8$ . This coefficient aligns precisely with the numerical results obtained for a van der Waals fluid. Notably, at the critical point, the normalized thermodynamic curvature  $R_N$  diverges to negative infinity in both the small and large phases. As the temperature deviates from the critical temperature,  $|R_N|$ decreases, becoming negligible at lower temperatures. In contrast to a van der Waals fluid system, we observed that weak repulsive interactions prevail in charged AdS black holes with quantum anomalies at lower temperatures.

For the second scenario ( $\alpha_c = Q^2/8$ ), although  $R_N$ exhibits a universal critical exponent of 2 along both the small and large black hole curves, its coefficient of √  $-2 \pm \sqrt{3}$  differs significantly from the corresponding value of −1/8 obtained for a van der Waals fluid. Moreover, at the critical point, the normalized thermodynamic curvature  $R_N$  diverges to negative infinity  $(R_N \to -\infty)$  in both the small and large phases. As the temperature deviates from the critical temperature,  $|R_N|$  decreases. It is noteworthy that for temperatures below the critical temperature  $(T < T_c)$ ,  $R_N$  changes sign to positive in both the small and large black hole phases, suggesting that a weak repulsive interaction dominates in charged AdS black holes with quantum anomalies at low temperatures (despite similarities to a van der Waals (VdW) fluid in other respects). For temperatures above the critical temperature  $(T > T_c)$ ,  $R_N$  remains negative along the coexistence curve for the large black hole phase, indicating that an attractive interaction dominates in charged AdS black holes with quantum anomalies. However, along the coexistence curve for the small black hole phase,  $R_N$  can be positive as the temperature deviates from the critical temperature, implying that the microstructural interaction in the coexistence small phase of charged AdS black holes with quantum anomalies undergoes a transition from attractive to repulsive during the phase transition.

The exploration of black hole thermodynamics, enriched by the incorporation of quantum conformal anomaly, has opened up fresh perspectives on the geometric understanding of black hole microstructures. By delving into the thermodynamic attributes and employing Ruppeiner geometry within the framework of a black hole model that incorporates quantum conformal anomaly, we aim to unravel the intricate degrees of freedom that fundamentally dictate black hole behavior. This endeavor holds profound implications for advancing our grasp of quantum gravity and the foundational fabric of spacetime. It would be particularly intriguing to extend our research to a broader spectrum of black hole systems exhibiting diverse properties, particularly those where traditional scaling laws falter. Such an expansion would not only enrich our understanding but also provide a more nuanced view of the underlying degrees of freedom that underpin black hole thermodynamics. By doing so, we anticipate gaining substantially more detailed insights into the fundamental

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[1] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, [Commun.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01645742) Math. Phys. 31[, 161-170 \(1973\).](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01645742)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[2] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199-220 (1975) [erratum: [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01608497) 46, 206 (1976)].
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[3] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 7[, 2333-2346 \(1973\).](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[4] D. Kastor, S. Ray, and J. Traschen, Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 195011 (2009), [\[arXiv:hep-th/0904.2765\].](https://arxiv.org/pdf/0904.2765)
- [5] B. P. Dolan, Class. Quant. Grav. 28, 125020 (2011), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1008.5023\].](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1008.5023)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[6] B. P. Dolan, Class. Quant. Grav. 28, 235017 (2011), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1106.6260\].](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1106.6260)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[7] D. Kubiznak and R. B. Mann, JHEP 07, 033 (2012), [\[arXiv:hep-th/1205.0559\].](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1205.0559)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[8] S. Gunasekaran, R. B. Mann and D. Kubiznak, JHEP 11, 110 (2012), [\[arXiv:hep-th/1208.6251\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.6251)
- [9] S. W. Wei and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 87, no.4, 044014 (2013), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1209.1707\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.1707)
- [10] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao, L. Li and R. Q. Yang, JHEP 09, 005 (2013), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1306.6233\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.6233)
- [11] M. H. Dehghani, S. Kamrani and A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) no.10, 104020, [\[arXiv:hep-th/1505.02386\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.02386)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[12] S. H. Hendi and M. H. Vahidinia, Phys. Rev. D 88, no.8, 084045 (2013), [\[arXiv:hep-th/1212.6128\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6128)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[13] J. Xu, L. M. Cao and Y. P. Hu, Phys. Rev. D 91, no.12, 124033 (2015), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1506.03578\].](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.03578)
- [14] R. G. Cai, Y. P. Hu, Q. Y. Pan and Y. L. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 91, no.2, 024032 (2015), [\[arXiv:hep-th/1409.2369\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.2369)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>[15] B. R. Majhi and S. Samanta, Phys. Lett. B 773, 203 (2017), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1609.06224\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.06224)
- [16] P. Cheng, S. W. Wei and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016), 024025, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1603.08694\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.08694)
- [17] A. Dehyadegari and A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D 98, no.2, 024011 (2018), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1711.01151\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.01151)
- [18] A. N. Kumara, C. L. A. Rizwan, D. Vaid and K. M. Ajith, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1906.11550\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.11550)
- [19] R. Li and J. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 813, 136035 (2021), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/2009.09319\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.09319)
- [20] Y. P. Hu, H. A. Zeng, Z. M. Jiang and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 100, no.8, 084004 (2019), [\[arXiv:gr](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.09938)[qc/1812.09938\].](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.09938)
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>[21] K. Bhattacharya and B. R. Majhi, Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 10, 104024 (2017), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1702.07174\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.07174)
- [22] K. Bhattacharya, B. R. Majhi and S. Samanta, Phys. Rev. D 96, no.8, 084037 (2017), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1709.02650\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.02650)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[23] S. H. Hendi, R. B. Mann, S. Panahiyan and B. Eslam Panah, Phys. Rev. D 95, no.2, 021501 (2017), [\[arXiv:gr-](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.09938)

mechanisms governing these enigmatic objects, thereby further refining our comprehension of the quantum and gravitational realms.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under grant No. 12465012 and the Kashi University high-level talent research start-up fund project under grant No. 022024002.

[qc/1702.00432\].](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.09938)

- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[24] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann and Z. Sherkatghanad, Galaxies 2 (2014), 89-159, [\[arXiv:hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.2586)[th/1401.2586\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.2586)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[25] D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann and M. Teo, Class. Quant. Grav. 34, no.6, 063001 (2017), [\[arXiv:hep-th/1608.06147\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.06147)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[26] M. Tavakoli, J. Wu and R. B. Mann, JHEP 12, 117 (2022) [\[arXiv:hep-th/2207.03505\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.03505)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[27] N. Altamirano, D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann and Z. Sherkatghanad, Class. Quant. Grav. 31, 042001 (2014) [\[arXiv:hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.2672)[th/1308.2672\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.2672)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[28] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 88, no.10, 101502 (2013) [\[arXiv:hep-th/1306.5756\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.5756)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>[29] R. A. Hennigar, R. B. Mann and E. Tjoa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, no.2, 021301 (2017) [\[arXiv:hep-th/1609.02564\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02564)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[30] H. Geng and Y. Jiang, [\[arXiv:hep-th/2409.12219\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.12219)
- [31] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 428-429 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9603060\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9603060)
- [32] G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2368-2371 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9602051\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9602051)
- [33] R. Emparan and G. T. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 141601 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0607023\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0607023)
- [34] O. Lunin and S. D. Mathur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 211303 (2002) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0202072\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0202072)
- [35] H. Lu, J. Mei and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 091301 (2009) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0904.1595\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0904.1595)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>[36] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi and K. Krasnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 904-907 (1998) [\[arXiv:gr-qc/9710007\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9710007)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>[37] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, JHEP 04, 082 (2010) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0911.4379\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.4379)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>[38] R. G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B 733, 183-189 (2014) [\[arXiv:hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.1246)[th/1405.1246\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.1246)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>[39] M. J. Duff, Class. Quant. Grav. 11, 1387-1404 (1994) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9308075\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9308075)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>[40] S. Deser and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. B 309, 279-284 (1993) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9302047\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9302047)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>[41] Y. P. Hu, H. Zhang, Y. S. An, G. Y. Sun, W. L. You, D. N. Shi, X. Chen and R. G. Cai, [\[arXiv:gr](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23783)[qc/2410.23783\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23783)
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>[42] G. Ruppeiner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 605-659 (1995), [\[erra](https://journals.aps.org/rmp/abstract/10.1103/RevModPhys.67.605)[tum: Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://journals.aps.org/rmp/abstract/10.1103/RevModPhys.67.605) 68, 313-313 (1996)]; G. Ruppeiner, [Phys. Rev. A](https://journals.aps.org/rmp/abstract/10.1103/RevModPhys.67.605) 20 (1979) 1608.
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>[43] G. Ruppeiner, J. Phys.: Conf. Series **410** (2013) 012138, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1210.2011\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.2011)
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>[44] G. Ruppeiner, Springer Proc. Phys. **153** (2014) 179, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1309.0901\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.0901)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[45] H. Oshima, T. Obata, and H. Hara, [J. Phys. A: Math.](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0305-4470/32/36/302) Gen. 32[, 6373 \(1999\).](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0305-4470/32/36/302)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[46] A. Sahay, T. Sarkar and G. Sengupta, JHEP 07, 082 (2010) [\[arXiv:hep-th/1004.1625\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1004.1625)
- [47] C. Niu, Y. Tian and X. N. Wu, Phys. Rev. D 85, 024017 (2012) [\[arXiv:hep-th/1104.3066\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.3066)
- [48] J. E. Aman, I. Bengtsson and N. Pidokrajt, Gen. Rel. Grav. 35, 1733 (2003) [\[arXiv:gr-qc/0304015\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0304015)
- [49] T. Sarkar, G. Sengupta and B. Nath Tiwari, JHEP 11, 015 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0606084\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0606084)
- [50] H. Quevedo and A. Sanchez, JHEP 09, 034 (2008) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0805.3003\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3003)
- [51] R. Banerjee, S. K. Modak and S. Samanta, Phys. Rev. D 84, 064024 (2011) [\[arXiv:hep-th/1005.4832\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4832)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[52] S. W. Wei, Y. X. Liu and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, no.7, 071103 (2019), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1906.10840\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.10840)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[53] S. W. Wei, Y. X. Liu and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 100, no.12, 124033 (2019), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1909.03887\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.03887)
- [54] S. W. Wei and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, no.16, 169903 (2016), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1502.00386\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.00386)
- [55] J. L. Zhang, R. G. Cai and H. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 91, no.4, 044028 (2015) [\[arXiv:hep-th/1502.01428\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01428)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[56] S. A. Hosseini Mansoori, M. Rafiee and S. W. Wei, Phys. Rev. D 102, no.12, 124066 (2020) [\[arXiv:gr](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03255)[qc/2007.03255\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03255)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[57] Y. P. Hu, L. Cai, X. Liang, S. B. Kong and H. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 822, 136661 (2021), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/2010.09363\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.09363)
- 58] H. Abdusattar, *[Phys. Dark Univ.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212686423000626?via)* 40, 101228 (2023).
- [59] S. H. Hendi, A. Sheykhi, S. Panahiyan and B. Eslam Panah, Phys. Rev. D 92, no.6, 064028 (2015) [\[arXiv:hep-](https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.08593)

[th/1509.08593\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.08593)

- [60] A. Sahay, Phys. Rev. D 95, no.6, 064002 (2017) [\[arXiv:hep-th/1604.04181\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.04181)
- [61] S. W. Wei and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 803 (2020), 135287 [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1910.04528\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04528)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[62] S. W. Wei and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 101, no.10, 104018 (2020) [\[arXiv:gr-qc/2003.14275\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.14275)
- [63] A. Dehyadegari, A. Sheykhi and A. Montakhab, Phys. Lett. B 768 (2017) 235-240, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1607.05333\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.05333)
- [64] M. Kord Zangeneh, A. Dehyadegari, A. Sheykhi and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 084054, [\[arXiv:gr](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.04432)[qc/1709.04432\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.04432)
- [65] Z.-M. Xu, B. Wu and W.-L. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 024018, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1910.12182\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.12182)
- [66] A. Ghosh and C. Bhamidipati, Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020) 046005, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1911.06280\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.06280)
- [67] A. Ghosh and C. Bhamidipati, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) no.10, 106007, [\[arXiv:hep-th/2001.10510\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.10510)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[68] X.-Y. Guo, H.-F. Li, L.-C. Zhang and R. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 064036, [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1901.04703\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04703)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[69] H. Abdusattar, JHEP 09, 147 (2023), [\[arXiv:gr](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.08348v2)[qc/2304.08348\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.08348v2)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[70] E. Spallucci and A. Smailagic, Phys. Lett. B 723, 436-441 (2013), [\[arXiv:hep-th/1305.3379\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.3379)
- [71] S. Q. Lan, J. X. Mo and W. B. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. C 75, no.9, 419 (2015), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1503.07658\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.07658)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[72] H. Xu and Z. M. Xu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 26, no.04, 1750037 (2016), [\[arXiv:gr-qc/1510.06557\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.06557)