

Quantum-Brain: Quantum-Inspired Neural Network Approach to Vision-Brain Understanding

Hoang-Quan Nguyen¹, Xuan-Bac Nguyen¹, Hugh Churchill²,
Arabinda Kumar Choudhary³, Pawan Sinha⁴, Samee U. Khan⁵, Khoa Luu¹

¹Dept. of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science, University of Arkansas, AR

²Dept. of Physics, University of Arkansas, AR

³Dept. of Radiology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, NY

⁴Dept. of Brain & Cognitive Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, MA

⁵Dept. of Electrical Engineering & Computer Engineering, Mississippi State University, MS

{hn016, xnguyen, hchurch, khoaluu}@uark.edu,

choudhaa@upstate.edu, psinha@mit.edu, skhan@ece.msstate.edu




Figure 1. **Quantum-Brain for Vision-Brain Understanding.** Motivated by the connectivities in brain signals and entanglement in quantum theory, we propose Quantum-Brain, a quantum-inspired neural network to explore the connectivities in brain signals for vision-brain understanding. Apart from self-attention, we evaluate the entanglement between brain voxels to enhance connectivity exploration.

Abstract

Vision-brain understanding aims to extract semantic information about brain signals from human perceptions. Existing deep learning methods for vision-brain understanding are usually introduced in a traditional learning paradigm missing the ability to learn the connectivities between brain regions. Meanwhile, the quantum computing theory offers a new paradigm for designing deep learning models. Motivated by the connectivities in the brain signals and the entanglement properties in quantum computing, we propose a novel Quantum-Brain approach, a quantum-inspired neural network, to tackle the vision-brain understanding problem. To compute the connectivity between areas in brain signals, we introduce a new Quantum-Inspired Voxel-Controlling module to learn the impact of a brain voxel on others represented in the Hilbert space. To effectively learn connectivity, a novel Phase-Shifting module is presented to calibrate the value of the brain signals. Finally, we introduce a new Measurement-like Pro-

jection module to present the connectivity information from the Hilbert space into the feature space. The proposed approach can learn to find the connectivities between fMRI voxels and enhance the semantic information obtained from human perceptions. Our experimental results on the Natural Scene Dataset benchmarks illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method with Top-1 accuracies of 95.1% and 95.6% on image and brain retrieval tasks and an Inception score of 95.3% on fMRI-to-image reconstruction task. Our proposed quantum-inspired network brings a potential paradigm to solving the vision-brain problems via the quantum computing theory.

1. Introduction

Human perception of the world is determined by external stimuli and individual experiments that create brain activities involving non-linear interactions among 86 billion neuronal cells, which are thus complex [42, 52]. An effective method called functional Magnetic Resonance Imag-

ing (fMRI) is usually applied to measure brain activities via Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent (BOLD) signals and implicitly has correlations or connectivities between neurons in response to stimuli [70, 79]. With the development of deep learning models, it is essential to learn the information of the measured fMRI signals with their corresponding visual data [4, 61].

Vision-brain understanding aims to learn information from brain signals obtained from image stimuli. It offers the exploration interest of cognition and perception that potentially contributes to brain-computer interface [13] and beyond. Brain-to-image, especially fMRI-to-image, is one of the fundamental tasks in vision-brain understanding. Generative models including GANs [15] and diffusion models [53, 76] enable to reconstruct more realistic images [44, 58, 61, 66]. Moreover, cross-subject and multiple-subject brain decoding is taken into account [48, 59, 74]. Besides, image and brain retrievals are also essential to map the information of the brain signals and images into the same information space [27, 43, 58]. However, vision-brain understanding is still confronted with significant challenges that hinder its applications on a broader scale.

Limitations in Prior Methods: Prior methods focus on the conditioned image generation models [65, 66] that ignore the information extraction in the brain signals. The other methods focus on the fMRI activity mapping to the embeddings of the images [8, 48, 58, 74]. However, these methods make assumptions about the roles of brain regions that *hinder the exploration of complex information and connectivity in brain signals*. Moreover, since the connectivities in the fMRI signals are crucial to extracting the information, the multi-layer perceptron (MLP) backbone models in prior methods [58, 59] *show the missing correlation between fMRI voxels in the brain activities*. Besides, self-attention is one of the conventional approaches to computing the correlation between elements [8, 48]. However, in fMRI voxels, the connectivities are based on the functional information of each brain region, while self-attention learns to find patterns for correlation computing which makes the model *harder to learn and extract the connectivity*. Fig. 1 illustrates the difference between self-attention and the proposed approach.

Motivations from Quantum Machine Learning: Far apart from traditional computers, quantum computers are emerging machines that perform quantum algorithms [2]. Quantum computing utilizes quantum theory to process data in quantum devices [20, 41] with existing models including quantum circuits, quantum annealing, and adiabatic quantum computation. It has been proved that quantum computers outperform classical computers in solving specific problems [16, 63]. In the noisy intermediate scale quantum (NISQ) era [47], while quantum computers cannot perform complex quantum algorithms for practical ap-

plications, the quantum computing theory provides a new mathematical formalism for computational tasks. Hence, quantum machine learning is introduced as a new learning paradigm utilizing quantum computation to enhance classical machine learning models [5, 56]. Moreover, quantum theory has been applied in classical algorithms and deep learning models, expected to improve computational performance and quality [14, 67].

The quantum theory has two *important properties, including superposition and entanglement*. While the superposition can represent high-dimensional information, the entanglement can compute the connection between entities. Motivated by the quantum theory, we introduce a quantum-inspired network for vision-brain understanding. The proposed quantum-inspired network includes a new phase-shifting module to calibrate the value of the fMRI voxels, a voxel connection module to compute the connectivity between voxels via the entanglement, and a measurement-like projection module to project the information represented in the Hilbert space into the feature space. It is a simple but efficient method to compute the connectivity of the fMRI voxels for vision-brain understanding.

Contributions of this Work: To contribute to the development of the vision-brain understanding, we introduce a novel Quantum-Brain approach, a quantum-inspired neural network, to learn the connectivity information in the brain signal corresponding to human perceptions. To the best of our knowledge, *the proposed Quantum-Brain model is the first quantum-inspired approach to vision-brain understanding*. The contributions of this work can be summarized as follows. First, motivated by the entanglement property in quantum computing, we proposed a novel *Quantum-Inspired Voxel-Controlling Module* for learning the connectivity in the brain signals. Second, a new *Phase Shifting Module* is introduced to calibrate the voxel values in the brain signals and enhance the robustness of the connectivity extraction. Third, as the current connectivity information is represented in the quantum space, a new *Measurement-like Projection Module* is presented to transform the extracted information into the feature space. Finally, through our experiments on the Natural Scene Dataset (NSD) benchmarks [1], including image-brain retrieval and fMRI-to-image reconstruction, our proposed method achieves state-of-the-art performance with the Top-1 accuracy of 95.1% and 95.6% on the image and brain retrieval benchmarks and Inception score of 95.3% on the fMRI-to-image reconstruction benchmark. Our experimental results show the effectiveness of brain feature representation for vision-brain understanding.

2. Related Work

This section describes prior visual brain signal decoding methods and quantum-inspired methods for deep learning problems.




Figure 2. **The overview framework of our proposed quantum-inspired neural network approach to vision-brain understanding.** The Phase-Shifting module calibrates the voxel values for better fMRI representation. The Voxel-Controlling module computes the connectivities between voxels. The Measurement-like Projection module maps the fMRI information from Hilbert space to feature space for semantic feature extraction. The extracted semantic features are applied for vision-brain understanding tasks, i.e., fMRI-to-image reconstruction and image-brain retrieval. **Best viewed in color.**

2.1. Visual Brain Signal Decoding

Researchers showed that visual information, such as spatial position [68], orientation [19, 23], and coarse image category [11, 18], could be decoded from fMRI signals using linear models. With the advent of generative adversarial networks [15], more advanced decoding methods became possible and enabled researchers to map brain activity to the latent space of these models to reconstruct images such as handwritten digits [55], human faces [12, 72], and natural scenes [44, 60, 61]. Recently, with the development of multimodal contrastive models like CLIP [49], diffusion models [21, 64] like Stable Diffusion [53], and new large-scale fMRI datasets [1], fMRI-to-image reconstructions have achieved an unprecedented level of quality [17, 43, 66].

Lin et al. [27] reconstructed seen images by aligning fMRI voxel data into CLIP space and generating outputs via a fine-tuned LaFite GAN [80]. Moreover, the alignment between the fMRI voxels and the CLIP space enabled the model to perform image-brain retrieval tasks. Ozelik and VanRullen [43] employed a two-stage approach with Versatile Diffusion [76], utilizing low- and high-level processing. Gu et al. [17] also applied a similar pipeline and extended on Ozelik et al. [44] by implementing IC-GAN [7] for reconstruction. Unlike prior methods, they did not flatten voxels but aligned them to SwAV [6] features using

surface-based convolutional networks. Meanwhile, Takagi and Nishimoto [66] used ridge regression to align the fMRI voxels into Stable Diffusion latent and CLIP text patients by selecting different voxel regions for various components. MinD-Vis [8] addressed fMRI-to-image reconstruction by pre-training a masked brain encoder on a distinct large-scale fMRI dataset rather than the Natural Scenes Dataset [1], producing a more informative latent input for their image reconstruction model. Building on this, MinD-Video [9] expanded the MinD-Vis approach to reconstruct video instead of single images. MindEye [58] enhanced fMRI-to-image reconstruction and image-brain retrieval by separating the feature representation via contrastive learning and diffusion priors. Additionally, Psychometry [48], MindBridge [74], and MindEye2 [59] focused on a unified fMRI feature extraction from multiple subjects. Meanwhile, previous work analyzed the correlation between fMRI signals and deep learning models [36, 37, 77].

2.2. Quantum-Inspired Machine Learning

Recently, quantum computing has been applied to machine learning tasks, i.e., clustering [29, 35, 38, 40], principal component analysis [30], least-squares fitting [24, 57], and binary classification [51]. With the rise of quantum neural networks, variational quantum algorithms [33, 45] were introduced to alternate the classical deep learning algorithms [3, 10, 22, 34, 39, 54].

Meanwhile, quantum-inspired computing refers to practical methods derived from quantum computing concepts. The mathematical formalism of quantum computing has been adopted in various machine learning tasks, including natural language processing [25], computer vision [62, 67, 78], information retrieval [69, 71] and multimodal analysis [14, 26]. Van et al. [71] applied the quantum probability of object representation in information retrieval tasks. Li et al. [25] proposed a quantum-inspired network in language models for semantic matching to achieve better outcome quality and interpretability. Li et al. [26] investigated multimodal feature fusion methods via a quantum-inspired neural network for conversation emotion recognition. Meanwhile, Gkoumas et al. [14] introduced a video semantic recognition network by fusing multimodal information at the decision level. Moreover, quantum-inspired methods have also been developed for image-processing tasks. Shi et al. [62] presented complex-valued convolutional neural networks to process high-dimensional data and represent better non-linear space. Especially, Tang et al. [67] exploited the wave-like function to represent an image via phase-shifting operations to learn the relationship between patches. Meanwhile, Zhang et al. [78] utilize the quantum state representation to enhance the hyperspectral image feature extraction.

3. Preliminaries

Most quantum computers are constructed based on the quantum computing model [2, 41]. A quantum computation includes state initialization, unitary evolution, and measurement. The quantum device is first set to the initial state. The quantum state is modified by applying unitary operators to quantum devices. Then, the initial state of the system is transformed into the final state containing processing results in the quantum device. To obtain classical information from the quantum device, measurements are utilized to extract processing results from the final state.

Mathematically, a quantum state can be represented by a state vector in an N -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^N . We adopt the Dirac notations in quantum theory to denote the quantum states [41]. The notations $|\cdot\rangle$ and $\langle\cdot|$ denote a *Ket* to represent a complex-valued unit vector and a *Bra* to indicate its conjugate transpose. In detail, given a set of basis state vectors $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}_{n=1}^N$, a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ can be represented as a combination of basis state vectors as shown in Eqn. (1).

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^N A_n e^{i\theta_n} |\phi_n\rangle \quad (1)$$

where A_n is a probability amplitude satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^N |A_n|^2 = 1$, i is the imaginary unit, and θ_n indicates the phase. A simple example of basis state vectors for \mathcal{H}^N is the standard unit basis. In particular, the standard basis of \mathcal{H}^2 is formed by column vectors $|0\rangle_2 = (1, 0)^\top$

and $|1\rangle_2 = (0, 1)^\top$. Here, we use the notation as in Eqn. (2) to represent the standard basis of \mathcal{H}^N .

$$|n\rangle_N, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (2)$$

Respectively, $\langle n|_N$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $|n\rangle_N$. All components of $|n\rangle_N$ are 0 except the n -th component is 1. In quantum theory, Eq. (1) represents the superposition of basis states.

A unitary operator U denotes a quantum state evolution that transforms the initial states $|\psi\rangle$ to final states $|\psi'\rangle$. These operators manipulate the phases θ_n and amplitudes A_n by applying specific physical operations to the quantum system. In quantum computing, projection-valued measure (PVM) is a function that can collapse the system state from the superposition of multiple basis states to one basis state. The mathematical formulation of PVM is a set of projection operators $\{\Pi_m = |\phi_m\rangle\langle\phi_m|\}_{m=1}^M$. As described in the *Born rule* [41], Π_m projects the quantum state $|\psi'\rangle$ to the basis state $|\phi_m\rangle$ with a probability $P(|\phi_m\rangle)$ as in Eqn. (3).

$$P(|\phi_m\rangle) = \langle\phi_m|\psi'\rangle\langle\psi'|\phi_m\rangle \quad (3)$$

4. The Proposed Quantum-Brain Approach

This section presents the vision-brain understanding problem and the limitations of prior vision-brain understanding methods. Then, we introduce the quantum-inspired neural network based on the entanglement. Finally, we summarize the learning framework for vision-brain understanding. Fig. 2 illustrates an overview framework of our proposed quantum-inspired neural network approach to vision-brain understanding.

4.1. Input Modeling

Let $X_{\text{image}} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^C$ be an image and its corresponding fMRI voxels where H , W , and C is the height and width of the image and the number of voxels in the fMRI. An fMRI voxel encoder is applied to extract the features $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ of \mathbf{x} and learn to align the extracted features to the high-level features $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ of X_{image} for the semantic understanding, where D is the dimension of the semantic features. In this work, we use CLIP ViT-L/14 [49] to build the semantic feature space of the images since the CLIP image encoders are trained to maximize the similarity with text captions of the images.

4.2. Connectivity of Brain Voxels via Entanglement

Limitations of Self-Attention. In the Vision-Brain Understanding problem, self-attention in Transformers [73] is one of the conventional approaches to compute the correlations or connectivities between elements. In the scenario of fMRI voxels, the connectivities between brain voxels depend on the *information processing function* of each brain




Figure 3. The connectivity map from the V1 brain region, i.e., the low-level perception region, to other regions. While the self-attention method fails to illustrate the connectivities between correct specific regions, the proposed quantum-inspired network focuses on the fMRI regions corresponding to the reference image, i.e., the body and face regions. **Best viewed in color.**

region. Each brain region is responsible for specific information and can connect with others. For example, a low-level information region that directly processes information from human perception can connect to specific high-level information regions to process more complex semantic information. A conventional self-attention model learns to find patterns of brain activities without realizing that the connectivities depend on specific positions. Hence, the self-attention model fails to learn the connectivities in the brain signals. Fig. 3 illustrates the comparison between the connectivity learnability of the self-attention method and the proposed quantum-inspired network.

The Connectivity of Entanglement. Fig. 4 illustrates the connectivity between two voxels via entanglement. Given two fMRI voxels x_j and x_k , we compute the connectivity of the voxel x_k to the voxel x_j . Since the voxels x_j and x_k are raw values representing the brain signal, the phase-shifting operators V_j and V_k are applied to calibrate the voxels into suitable values for the entanglement. Then, a controlling operator computes the connectivity of the voxel x_k to the voxel x_j . Finally, measurement operators are presented to project the connectivity information into the feature space.

4.3. Quantum-Inspired Voxel-Controlling Module

It is crucial to take account of the connectivities in the fMRI signal to explore the semantic information. The controlling operators in quantum computing can utilize the connectivities between fMRI voxels. In detail, for each fMRI voxel x_j , its value can be formulated into a quantum state $|\psi_j\rangle = \sqrt{1-x_j}|0\rangle + \sqrt{x_j}|1\rangle$. Then, the voxel x_j can be




Figure 4. The voxels connection via entanglement. Given two voxels x_j and x_k , the connectivity of the voxel x_k to the voxel x_j is computed via the quantum entanglement.

controlled by the other voxel x_k via a controlling operator $U_{\text{control}}^{(j,k)}$ defined as Eqn. (4).

$$U_{\text{control}}^{(j,k)} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U^{(j,k)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where I and $U^{(j,k)}$ are 2×2 identity operator and 2×2 learnable operator, respectively. The quantum state representing the connection from the fMRI voxel x_k to the fMRI voxel x_j is computed as Eqn. (5).

$$|\psi_{j,k}\rangle = U_{\text{control}}^{(j,k)} (|\psi_k\rangle \otimes |\psi_j\rangle) \quad (5)$$

where \otimes is the tensor product. The higher the voxel value x_k is, the more likely the voxel x_j is affected by x_k . In particular, the operator $U^{(j,k)}$ is defined as controlling weight, i.e., the connectivity weight from the voxel x_k to x_j .

Moreover, to enhance the connectivity representation, we apply a Phase-Shifting module calibrating the voxel values. In detail, the quantum state $|\psi_j\rangle$ representing the fMRI voxel x_j can be formulated as Eqn. (6).

$$|\psi_j\rangle = \sqrt{1-x_j}e^{i\theta_0^{(j)}}|0\rangle + \sqrt{x_j}e^{i\theta_1^{(j)}}|1\rangle \quad (6)$$

where $\theta_0^{(j)}$ and $\theta_1^{(j)}$ are learnable phase parameters. This representation can exploit the complex-valued space for connectivity extraction.

4.4. Measurement-like Projection Module

The connectivity information represented by the quantum state $|\psi_{j,k}\rangle$ needs to be projected from Hilbert space into the feature space for the later extraction layers. Inspired by PVM, a measurement-like projection module f_{MPM} is introduced to obtain the features of the connectivities between fMRI voxels. From Eqn. (3), given a computed state $|\psi_{j,k}\rangle$ and a learnable basis state $|\phi_{j,k}\rangle$, the mathematical formulation of the measurement can be described as Eqn. (7).

$$f_{\text{MPM}}(|\psi_{j,k}\rangle, |\phi_{j,k}\rangle) = \langle\psi_{j,k}|\phi_{j,k}\rangle\langle\phi_{j,k}|\psi_{j,k}\rangle \quad (7)$$

While the quantum state $|\psi_{j,k}\rangle$ is represented in the 4-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^4 , the connectivity information

can be reduced into 2-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^2 . This is because the value of $\sqrt{1-x_j}$ in $|\psi_k\rangle \otimes |\psi_j\rangle$ is redundant for the projection since the value of $\sqrt{x_j}$ is also in that state. In detail, the learnable basis state $|\phi_{j,k}\rangle$ can be defined as Eqn. (8).

$$|\phi_{j,k}\rangle = (0, 1, 0, W_{j,k})^\top \quad (8)$$

Then, derived from Eqn. (7), the connectivity function from x_k to x_j can be formulated as Eqn. (9).

$$f(x_j, x_k) = x_j + x_j x_k (W_{j,k}^2 - 1) + x_j a_k \cdot 2W_{j,k} \cos(\theta_0^{(k)} - \theta_1^{(k)}) \quad (9)$$

where $a_k = \sqrt{(1-x_k)x_k}$. When the voxel x_j is highly activated and a_k has a high value, i.e., the voxel x_k is at a moderate activation, the phase information will be applied to compute the connectivity. Then, the connectivity function can be aggregated from other voxels to the voxel x_j as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_j) &= \sum_{k=1}^C c_{j,k} f(x_j, x_k) \\ &= x_j + x_j \sum_{k=1}^C x_k W'_{j,k} \\ &\quad + x_j \sum_{k=1}^C a_k \cos(\theta_0^{(k)} - \theta_1^{(k)}) W''_{j,k} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^C c_{j,k} = 1$, $W'_{j,k} = c_{j,k}(W_{j,k}^2 - 1)$, and $W''_{j,k} = 2c_{j,k}W_{j,k}$ are learnable parameters. Hence, the overall connectivity function of the fMRI voxels is defined as Eqn. (11).

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} \odot (\mathbf{W}'\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{x} \odot (\mathbf{W}''(\mathbf{a} \odot \cos(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}_1))) \quad (11)$$

where \odot is the element-wise product, and \mathbf{W}' and \mathbf{W}'' are learnable matrices.

4.5. High-Level Feature Contrastive Learning

For vision-brain understanding tasks, the features of the fMRI signals should be aligned with the high-level features of the corresponding images. Contrastive learning is an effective learning method that represents multiple modalities. It maximizes the cosine similarity for positive pairs while minimizing the similarity for negative pairs. Contrastive language-image pre-trained model (CLIP) [49] is a multi-modal contrastive model aligning the features of the images and their captions in texts into the same feature space. Because of the same feature space mapping, the features of the images extracted by CLIP have high semantic information obtained from the corresponding text captions.

Following the CLIP learning procedure, we use the CLIP loss [49] to learn the high-level features of the fMRI signals. Given N pairs of fMRI feature \mathbf{p}_i and its corresponding image feature \mathbf{t}_i , the CLIP loss is defined as Eqn. (12).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CLIP}} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\log \left(\frac{\exp(\mathbf{p}_i^\top \cdot \mathbf{t}_i / \tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^N \exp(\mathbf{p}_i^\top \cdot \mathbf{t}_j / \tau)} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\log \left(\frac{\exp(\mathbf{t}_i^\top \cdot \mathbf{p}_i / \tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^N \exp(\mathbf{t}_i^\top \cdot \mathbf{p}_j / \tau)} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where τ is a temperature hyperparameter.

5. Experiments

5.1. Implementation Details

Our experiments use CLIP ViT-L/14 [49] as a semantic image feature extraction. The reference images are resized into the resolution of 224×224 . The quantum-inspired network includes 4 blocks of voxel connection module. The fMRI voxels are extracted into 257×768 dimensional features, aligning to the 257×768 dimensional hidden CLIP features similar to [43, 58, 74]. We use the CLIP loss with a temperature $\tau = 4 \times 10^{-3}$ for the vision-brain alignment and the pre-trained Versatile Diffusion model [76] for image reconstruction. For better fMRI-to-image reconstruction, we apply a diffusion prior [50] to align the output features with the input space of the pre-trained diffusion model. The learning rate is set to 3×10^{-4} with the Cosine learning rate scheduler [32]. The model is optimized using AdamW [31] with 240 epochs and a batch size of 32. The proposed Quantum-Brain model and experiments are implemented and performed in PyTorch [46] on a single NVIDIA A100 GPU.

5.2. Datasets and Benchmarks

We use the Natural Scenes Dataset (NSD) [1] for evaluation. This public fMRI dataset consists of the brain responses of 8 participants observing natural images from the MS-COCO dataset [28]. We can study the semantic features of the brain activities via the natural images corresponding to the fMRI signals. Following [43, 58, 66], we train subject-specific models for 4 participants separately. For each participant, there are 25,962 samples split into 24,980 training samples and 982 testing samples, similar to [43, 58, 66].

To evaluate the performance of the proposed quantum-inspired neural network, we perform two vision-brain understanding tasks including fMRI-to-image reconstruction and image-brain retrieval. To demonstrate the feature representation capacity of the proposed approach, we evaluate the two benchmarks without further fine-tuning. The evaluation protocols and experimental results will be described in the following sections.




Figure 5. The fMRI-to-image comparison between Takagi & Nishimoto [66], MinD-Vis [8], MindEye [58], and our proposed network on the Subject 1 NSD dataset [1]. Compared to prior methods, the images reconstructed by our proposed method have more consistent structures and closer semantic meaning to the reference images.

Table 1. Quantitative comparison of fMRI-to-image reconstruction methods on the NSD benchmark. The **bolded** results indicate the best results and the underlined results indicate the secondary best results.

Method	Low-level				High-level			
	PixCorr \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	AlexNet(2) \uparrow	AlexNet(5) \uparrow	InceptionV3 \uparrow	CLIP \uparrow	EffNet-B \downarrow	SwAV \downarrow
Lin et al. [27]	-	-	-	-	78.2%	-	-	-
Takagi & Nishimoto [66]	-	-	83.0%	83.0%	76.0%	77.0%	-	-
Gu et al. [17]	.150	.325	-	-	-	-	.862	.465
Ozcelik & VanRullen [43]	.254	.356	94.2%	96.2%	87.2%	91.5%	.775	.423
MinD-Vis [8]	.145	.294	82.4%	92.4%	89.9%	90.2%	.715	.413
MindEye [58]	.309	.323	94.7%	<u>97.8%</u>	93.8%	94.1%	.645	<u>.367</u>
MindBridge (Single) [74]	.148	.259	86.9%	95.3%	92.2%	94.3%	.713	.413
DREAM [75]	.288	.338	<u>95.0%</u>	97.5%	94.8%	<u>95.2%</u>	<u>.638</u>	.413
Ours	<u>.307</u>	<u>.348</u>	95.6%	97.9%	95.3%	96.2%	.606	.348

5.3. fMRI-to-Image Reconstruction

Following MindEye [58], we use a diffusion prior module to align the fMRI embeddings to the conditional embedding space for the pre-trained image generation. Similar to MindEye [58], we use the pre-trained Versatile Diffusion [76] to reconstruct high-quality images from fMRI features.

Fig. 5 illustrates the qualitative results of our proposed model in fMRI-to-image reconstruction compared to prior methods [8, 58, 66]. In addition to the high-quality images, our reconstructed images have a closer semantic meaning to the reference images compared to previous methods.

We also provide quantitative results of the proposed approach as shown in Table 1. Following Ozcelik and VanRullen [43], we evaluate the methods with 8 different image quality metrics. PixCorr is the pixel-level correlation metric between reconstructed images and ground-truth images. SSIM is the structural similarity index metric. AlexNet(2) and AlexNet(5) are the 2-way comparisons of the second and fifth layers of AlexNet, respectively. Inception is the 2-way comparison of the last pooling layer of InceptionV3. CLIP is the 2-way comparison of the output layer of the CLIP-Vision model. EffNet-B and SwAV are distance met-

Table 2. Image-Brain retrieval results on the NSD benchmark.

Method	Model	Image \uparrow	Brain \uparrow
Lin et al. [27]	MLP	11.0%	49.0%
Ozcelik... [43]	Linear Regression	21.1%	30.3%
MinD-Vis [8]	Transformer	91.6%	85.9%
MindEye [58]	MLP + Projector + Prior	93.6%	90.1%
Ours	Quantum-Brain	95.1%	95.6%

rics gathered from EfficientNet-B1 and SwAV-ResNet50 models. The first four are low-level metrics, while the last four express higher-level properties. As illustrated in Table 1, our proposed model extracts fMRI information and achieves better high-level similarities to the corresponding images compared to prior methods. Meanwhile, the proposed model obtains competitive low-level results. It shows that the features extracted by the proposed quantum-inspired neural network are well-aligned with the semantic feature space of the images.

5.4. Image-Brain Retrieval

Image-brain retrieval benchmarks study the level of fine-grain vision information contained in the brain embeddings. Following Lin et al. [27], the image-brain retrieval benchmarks evaluate the models in two separate procedures. For the image retrieval task, each testing fMRI sample is extracted into an fMRI embedding. Then we compute the cosine similarity to the CLIP image embeddings of its corresponding image and 299 other random images. For each testing sample, a correct retrieval is determined when the cosine similarity of the fMRI embedding and its corresponding image embedding is the highest. We average the retrieval results across all testing samples and repeat the whole process 30 times, similar to [27]. For brain retrieval, the procedure is similar to the image retrieval except we randomly select 299 other fMRI samples.

As shown in Table 2, our model outperforms previous methods [27, 43, 58] by a large margin. On the image-brain retrieval benchmark, the proposed approach achieves higher top-1 accuracy of 95.1% and 95.6% on the image and brain retrieval evaluations, respectively. It shows that the proposed model has a better and more balanced performance on the image-brain retrieval benchmark.

Table 3. Effectiveness of our method on the NSD Image-Brain Retrieval.

Phase-Shifting	Voxel-Controlling	Measurement-like Projection	Image \uparrow	Brain \uparrow
			90.7%	86.4%
	✓		91.4%	87.1%
	✓	✓	94.0%	88.6%
✓			91.6%	87.2%
✓	✓		93.1%	91.4%
✓	✓	✓	95.1%	95.6%

5.5. Ablation Studies

Our ablation experiments study the effectiveness of our proposed modules on the NSD image-brain retrieval benchmark as shown in Table 3.

Effectiveness of Phase-Shifting Module. As shown in Table 3, the Phase-Shifting module calibrates and better represents the brain voxel values for later information extraction. In detail, the top-1 accuracies of image retrieval have been increased by 0.9%, 1.7%, and 1.1% for the three settings. Moreover, the Phase-Shifting module helps the Voxel-Controlling module extract the brain information better when the increasing of the top-1 accuracies of the image and brain retrieval has been improved from 0.7% to 1.5% and from 0.7% to 4.2%.

Effectiveness of Controlling Module. Table 3 illustrates the impact of the Voxel-Controlling module. As shown in our results, the Voxel-Controlling module has improved the top-1 accuracies of the image and brain retrieval from 90.7% and 86.4% to 91.4% and 87.1% without Phase-Shifting module, and from 91.6% and 87.2% to 93.1% and 91.4% with Phase-Shifting module.

Effectiveness of Measurement-like Projection Module. As reported in Table 3, the Measurement-like Projection Module helps to transform the information in the Hilbert space into the feature space. Hence, the extracted information can be well-aligned with the semantic information obtained from the image CLIP features. In particular, the top-1 accuracies of the image and brain retrieval have been increased by 2.6% and 1.5% without the Phase-Shifting module and by 2.0% and 4.2% with the Phase-Shifting module.

6. Conclusions

This paper has introduced Quantum-Brain, a new quantum-inspired neural network for vision-brain understanding. Motivated by the entanglement properties in quantum computing theory, we have proposed a novel Quantum-Inspired Voxel-Controlling module to compute the connectivities between fMRI voxels represented in the Hilbert space. Then, a new Phase-Shifting module has been introduced to make the Quantum-Inspired Voxel-Controlling module learn the connectivities more effectively. Finally, we have presented a novel Measurement-like Projection Module to transform the connectivity information from the Hilbert space to the feature space. Our experimental results have demonstrated the effectiveness and significance of our proposed quantum-inspired neural network.

Limitations. This study used a specific network design and hyperparameters to support our hypothesis. Our experiments are limited to the standard scale of the benchmarks due to the computational limitation. However, our proposed approach can be generalized for larger-scale benchmarks based on our theoretical analysis.

References

- [1] Emily J Allen, Ghislain St-Yves, Yihan Wu, Jesse L Breedlove, Jacob S Prince, Logan T Dowdle, Matthias Nau, Brad Caron, Franco Pestilli, Ian Charest, et al. A massive 7t fmri dataset to bridge cognitive neuroscience and artificial intelligence. *Nature neuroscience*, 25(1):116–126, 2022. 2, 3, 6, 7
- [2] Frank Arute, Kunal Arya, Ryan Babbush, Dave Bacon, Joseph C Bardin, Rami Barends, Rupak Biswas, Sergio Boixo, Fernando GSL Brandao, David A Buell, et al. Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor. *Nature*, 574(7779):505–510, 2019. 2, 4
- [3] Johannes Bausch. Recurrent quantum neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1368–1379, 2020. 3
- [4] Roman Belyi, Guy Gaziv, Assaf Hoogi, Francesca Strappini, Tal Golan, and Michal Irani. From voxels to pixels and back: Self-supervision in natural-image reconstruction from fmri. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 32, 2019. 2
- [5] Jacob Biamonte, Peter Wittek, Nicola Pancotti, Patrick Rebentrost, Nathan Wiebe, and Seth Lloyd. Quantum machine learning. *Nature*, 549(7671):195–202, 2017. 2
- [6] Mathilde Caron, Ishan Misra, Julien Mairal, Priya Goyal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Unsupervised learning of visual features by contrasting cluster assignments. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:9912–9924, 2020. 3
- [7] Arantxa Casanova, Marlene Careil, Jakob Verbeek, Michal Drozdal, and Adriana Romero Soriano. Instance-conditioned gan. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:27517–27529, 2021. 3
- [8] Zijiao Chen, Jiaxin Qing, Tiange Xiang, Wan Lin Yue, and Juan Helen Zhou. Seeing beyond the brain: Conditional diffusion model with sparse masked modeling for vision decoding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22710–22720, 2023. 2, 3, 7, 8
- [9] Zijiao Chen, Jiaxin Qing, and Juan Helen Zhou. Cinematic mindscapes: High-quality video reconstruction from brain activity. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 3
- [10] Iris Cong, Soonwon Choi, and Mikhail D Lukin. Quantum convolutional neural networks. *Nature Physics*, 15(12):1273–1278, 2019. 3
- [11] David D Cox and Robert L Savoy. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fmri)“brain reading”: detecting and classifying distributed patterns of fmri activity in human visual cortex. *Neuroimage*, 19(2):261–270, 2003. 3
- [12] Thirza Dado, Yağmur Güçlütürk, Luca Ambrogioni, Gabriëlle Ras, Sander Bosch, Marcel van Gerven, and Umüt Güçlü. Hyperrealistic neural decoding for reconstructing faces from fmri activations via the gan latent space. *Scientific reports*, 12(1):141, 2022. 3
- [13] Bing Du, Xiaomu Cheng, Yiping Duan, and Huansheng Ning. fmri brain decoding and its applications in brain-computer interface: A survey. *Brain Sciences*, 12(2):228, 2022. 2
- [14] Dimitris Gkoumas, Qiuchi Li, Shahram Dehdashti, Massimo Melucci, Yijun Yu, and Dawei Song. Quantum cognitively motivated decision fusion for video sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 827–835, 2021. 2, 4
- [15] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11):139–144, 2020. 2, 3
- [16] Lov K Grover. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 212–219, 1996. 2
- [17] Zijin Gu, Keith Jamison, Amy Kuceyeski, and Mert Sabuncu. Decoding natural image stimuli from fmri data with a surface-based convolutional network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.02409*, 2022. 3, 7
- [18] James V Haxby, M Ida Gobbini, Maura L Furey, Alunit Ishai, Jennifer L Schouten, and Pietro Pietrini. Distributed and overlapping representations of faces and objects in ventral temporal cortex. *Science*, 293(5539):2425–2430, 2001. 3
- [19] John-Dylan Haynes and Geraint Rees. Predicting the orientation of invisible stimuli from activity in human primary visual cortex. *Nature neuroscience*, 8(5):686–691, 2005. 3
- [20] Jack D Hidary and Jack D Hidary. *Quantum computing: an applied approach*. Springer, 2019. 2
- [21] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 3
- [22] He-Liang Huang, Yuxuan Du, Ming Gong, Youwei Zhao, Yulin Wu, Chaoyue Wang, Shaowei Li, Futian Liang, Jin Lin, Yu Xu, et al. Experimental quantum generative adversarial networks for image generation. *Physical Review Applied*, 16(2):024051, 2021. 3
- [23] Yukiyasu Kamitani and Frank Tong. Decoding the visual and subjective contents of the human brain. *Nature neuroscience*, 8(5):679–685, 2005. 3
- [24] Iordanis Kerenidis and Anupam Prakash. Quantum gradient descent for linear systems and least squares. *Physical Review A*, 101(2):022316, 2020. 3
- [25] Qiuchi Li, Benyou Wang, and Massimo Melucci. Cnm: An interpretable complex-valued network for matching. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.05298*, 2019. 4
- [26] Qiuchi Li, Dimitris Gkoumas, Alessandro Sordani, Jian-Yun Nie, and Massimo Melucci. Quantum-inspired neural network for conversational emotion recognition. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 13270–13278, 2021. 4
- [27] Sikun Lin, Thomas Sprague, and Ambuj K Singh. Mind reader: Reconstructing complex images from brain activities. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:29624–29636, 2022. 2, 3, 7, 8
- [28] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence

- Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014. 6
- [29] Seth Lloyd, Masoud Mohseni, and Patrick Rebentrost. Quantum algorithms for supervised and unsupervised machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.0411*, 2013. 3
- [30] Seth Lloyd, Masoud Mohseni, and Patrick Rebentrost. Quantum principal component analysis. *Nature physics*, 10(9):631–633, 2014. 3
- [31] I Loshchilov. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017. 6
- [32] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Sgdr: Stochastic gradient descent with warm restarts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.03983*, 2016. 6
- [33] Kosuke Mitarai, Makoto Negoro, Masahiro Kitagawa, and Keisuke Fujii. Quantum circuit learning. *Physical Review A*, 98(3):032309, 2018. 3
- [34] Hoang-Quan Nguyen, Xuan Bac Nguyen, Samuel Yen-Chi Chen, Hugh Churchill, Nicholas Borys, Samee U Khan, and Khoa Luu. Diffusion-inspired quantum noise mitigation in parameterized quantum circuits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.00843*, 2024. 3
- [35] Xuan Bac Nguyen, Hugh Churchill, Khoa Luu, and Samee U Khan. Quantum vision clustering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09907*, 2023. 3
- [36] Xuan-Bac Nguyen, Xin Li, Samee U Khan, and Khoa Luu. Brainformer: Modeling mri brain functions to machine vision. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00236*, 2023. 3
- [37] Xuan-Bac Nguyen, Hojin Jang, Xin Li, Samee U Khan, Pawan Sinha, and Khoa Luu. Bractive: A brain activation approach to human visual brain learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.18808*, 2024. 3
- [38] Xuan-Bac Nguyen, Hoang-Quan Nguyen, Samuel Yen-Chi Chen, Samee U Khan, Hugh Churchill, and Khoa Luu. Qclusformer: A quantum transformer-based framework for unsupervised visual clustering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.19722*, 2024. 3
- [39] Xuan-Bac Nguyen, Hoang-Quan Nguyen, Hugh Churchill, Samee U Khan, and Khoa Luu. Hierarchical quantum control gates for functional mri understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.03596*, 2024. 3
- [40] Xuan-Bac Nguyen, Hoang-Quan Nguyen, Hugh Churchill, Samee U Khan, and Khoa Luu. Quantum visual feature encoding revisited. *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, 6(2):61, 2024. 3
- [41] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac L Chuang. *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010. 2, 4
- [42] Bruno A Olshausen and David J Field. Emergence of simple-cell receptive field properties by learning a sparse code for natural images. *Nature*, 381(6583):607–609, 1996. 1
- [43] Furkan Ozcelik and Rufin VanRullen. Natural scene reconstruction from fmri signals using generative latent diffusion. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1):15666, 2023. 2, 3, 6, 7, 8
- [44] Furkan Ozcelik, Bhavin Choksi, Milad Mozafari, Leila Reddy, and Rufin VanRullen. Reconstruction of perceived images from fmri patterns and semantic brain exploration using instance-conditioned gans. In *2022 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2022. 2, 3
- [45] Massimo Panella and Giuseppe Martinelli. Neural networks with quantum architecture and quantum learning. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 39(1):61–77, 2011. 3
- [46] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019. 6
- [47] John Preskill. Quantum computing in the nisq era and beyond. *Quantum*, 2:79, 2018. 2
- [48] Ruijie Quan, Wenguan Wang, Zhibo Tian, Fan Ma, and Yi Yang. Psychometry: An omnifit model for image reconstruction from human brain activity. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 233–243, 2024. 2, 3
- [49] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 3, 4, 6
- [50] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1(2):3, 2022. 6
- [51] Patrick Rebentrost, Masoud Mohseni, and Seth Lloyd. Quantum support vector machine for big data classification. *Physical review letters*, 113(13):130503, 2014. 3
- [52] Edmund T Rolls and Martin J Tovee. Sparseness of the neuronal representation of stimuli in the primate temporal visual cortex. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 73(2):713–726, 1995. 1
- [53] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 2, 3
- [54] Jonathan Romero, Jonathan P Olson, and Alan Aspuru-Guzik. Quantum autoencoders for efficient compression of quantum data. *Quantum Science and Technology*, 2(4):045001, 2017. 3
- [55] Sanne Schoenmakers, Markus Barth, Tom Heskes, and Marcel Van Gerven. Linear reconstruction of perceived images from human brain activity. *NeuroImage*, 83:951–961, 2013. 3
- [56] Maria Schuld, Ilya Sinayskiy, and Francesco Petruccione. An introduction to quantum machine learning. *Contemporary Physics*, 56(2):172–185, 2015. 2
- [57] Maria Schuld, Ilya Sinayskiy, and Francesco Petruccione. Prediction by linear regression on a quantum computer. *Physical Review A*, 94(2):022342, 2016. 3
- [58] Paul Scotti, Atmadeep Banerjee, Jimmie Goode, Stepan Shabalin, Alex Nguyen, Aidan Dempster, Nathalie Verlinde,

- Elad Yundler, David Weisberg, Kenneth Norman, et al. Reconstructing the mind’s eye: fmri-to-image with contrastive learning and diffusion priors. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 2, 3, 6, 7, 8
- [59] Paul S Scotti, Mihir Tripathy, Cesar Kadir Torrico Villanueva, Reese Kneeland, Tong Chen, Ashutosh Narang, Charan Santhirasegaran, Jonathan Xu, Thomas Naselaris, Kenneth A Norman, et al. Mindeye2: Shared-subject models enable fmri-to-image with 1 hour of data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11207*, 2024. 2, 3
- [60] Katja Seeliger, Umut Güçlü, Luca Ambrogioni, Yagmur Güçlütürk, and Marcel AJ Van Gerven. Generative adversarial networks for reconstructing natural images from brain activity. *NeuroImage*, 181:775–785, 2018. 3
- [61] Guohua Shen, Tomoyasu Horikawa, Kei Majima, and Yukiyasu Kamitani. Deep image reconstruction from human brain activity. *PLoS computational biology*, 15(1):e1006633, 2019. 2, 3
- [62] Shangshang Shi, Zhimin Wang, Guolong Cui, Shengbin Wang, Ruimin Shang, Wendong Li, Zhiqiang Wei, and Yongjian Gu. Quantum-inspired complex convolutional neural networks. *Applied Intelligence*, 52(15):17912–17921, 2022. 4
- [63] Peter W Shor. Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. *SIAM review*, 41(2):303–332, 1999. 2
- [64] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Improved techniques for training score-based generative models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:12438–12448, 2020. 3
- [65] Jingyuan Sun, Mingxiao Li, Zijiao Chen, Yunhao Zhang, Shaonan Wang, and Marie-Francine Moens. Contrast, attend and diffuse to decode high-resolution images from brain activities. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 2
- [66] Yu Takagi and Shinji Nishimoto. High-resolution image reconstruction with latent diffusion models from human brain activity. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14453–14463, 2023. 2, 3, 6, 7
- [67] Yehui Tang, Kai Han, Jianyuan Guo, Chang Xu, Yanxi Li, Chao Xu, and Yunhe Wang. An image patch is a wave: Phase-aware vision mlp. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10935–10944, 2022. 2, 4
- [68] Bertrand Thirion, Edouard Duchesnay, Edward Hubbard, Jessica Dubois, Jean-Baptiste Poline, Denis LeBihan, and Stanislas Dehaene. Inverse retinotopy: inferring the visual content of images from brain activation patterns. *Neuroimage*, 33(4):1104–1116, 2006. 3
- [69] Sagar Uprety, Dimitris Gkoumas, and Dawei Song. A survey of quantum theory inspired approaches to information retrieval. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 53(5):1–39, 2020. 4
- [70] Martijn P Van Den Heuvel and Hilleke E Hulshoff Pol. Exploring the brain network: a review on resting-state fmri functional connectivity. *European neuropsychopharmacology*, 20(8):519–534, 2010. 2
- [71] Cornelis Joost Van Rijsbergen. *The geometry of information retrieval*. Cambridge University Press, 2004. 4
- [72] Rufin VanRullen and Leila Reddy. Reconstructing faces from fmri patterns using deep generative neural networks. *Communications biology*, 2(1):193, 2019. 3
- [73] A Vaswani. Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017. 4
- [74] Shizun Wang, Songhua Liu, Zhenxiong Tan, and Xinchao Wang. Mindbridge: A cross-subject brain decoding framework. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11333–11342, 2024. 2, 3, 6, 7
- [75] Weihao Xia, Raoul de Charette, Cengiz Oztireli, and Jing-Hao Xue. Dream: Visual decoding from reversing human visual system. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 8226–8235, 2024. 7
- [76] Xingqian Xu, Zhangyang Wang, Gong Zhang, Kai Wang, and Humphrey Shi. Versatile diffusion: Text, images and variations all in one diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7754–7765, 2023. 2, 3, 6, 7
- [77] Huzheng Yang, James Gee, and Jianbo Shi. Brain decodes deep nets. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 23030–23040, 2024. 3
- [78] Jie Zhang, Yongshan Zhang, and Yicong Zhou. Quantum-inspired spectral-spatial pyramid network for hyperspectral image classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 9925–9934, 2023. 4
- [79] Juan Zhou, Michael D Greicius, Efsthios D Gennatas, Matthew E Growdon, Jung Y Jang, Gil D Rabinovici, Joel H Kramer, Michael Weiner, Bruce L Miller, and William W Seeley. Divergent network connectivity changes in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia and alzheimer’s disease. *Brain*, 133(5):1352–1367, 2010. 2
- [80] Yufan Zhou, Ruiyi Zhang, Changyou Chen, Chunyuan Li, Chris Tensmeyer, Tong Yu, Jiuxiang Gu, Jinhui Xu, and Tong Sun. Towards language-free training for text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 17907–17917, 2022. 3