

A BIRKHOFF NORMAL FORM THEOREM FOR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON TORUS

JIANJUN LIU DUOHUI XIANG

ABSTRACT. We prove an abstract Birkhoff normal form theorem for Hamiltonian partial differential equations on torus. The normal form is complete up to arbitrary finite order. The proof is based on a valid non-resonant condition and a suitable norm of Hamiltonian function. Then as two examples, we apply this theorem to nonlinear wave equation in one dimension and nonlinear Schrödinger equation in high dimension. Consequently, the polynomially long time stability is proved in Sobolev spaces H^s with the index s being much smaller than before. Further, by taking the iterative steps depending on the size of initial datum, we prove sub-exponentially long time stability for these two equations.

Keywords: Birkhoff normal form, long time stability, nonlinear wave equations, nonlinear Schrödinger equations

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Statement of abstract results	5
3. Proof of normal form theorem and its corollary	8
4. Applications	18
4.1. Nonlinear wave equation in one dimension	18
4.2. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation in high dimension	25
Appendix	31
References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we consider long time behavior of small amplitude solutions of Hamiltonian partial differential equations on torus. As an example, let us begin with the nonlinear wave equation

$$(1.1) \quad u_{tt} - u_{xx} + mu + f(x, u) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

where $m > 0$ and the function f is analytic of order at least two with respect to u at the origin, i.e. $f(x, 0) = \partial_u f(x, u)|_{u=0} = 0$. Denote $\Lambda := (-\partial_{xx} + m)^{1/2}$ and let

Supported by NNSFC (Grant Nos. 11822108, 11971299, 12090010, 12090013)

$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}u + i\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_t)$. Then using the Fourier expansion $z(t, x) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} z_a(t)e^{iax}$, the equation (1.1) is written as

$$(1.2) \quad \dot{z}_a = -i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}_a}$$

with the Hamiltonian function

$$(1.3) \quad H = H_0 + P = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_a |z_a|^2 + P(z, \bar{z}),$$

where $\omega_a = \sqrt{a^2 + m}$ and P has a zero of order at least three at the origin.

For Hamiltonian of the form (1.3), Birkhoff normal form is a fundamental tool to investigate long time dynamics around the origin. In analogy to classical Birkhoff normal form theorem of finite dimensions, one hopes to find an analytic canonical transformation Φ_r for $r \geq 1$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad H \circ \Phi_r = H_0 + \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{R},$$

where \mathcal{L} is a resonant term of order at most $r + 2$, and the remainder term \mathcal{R} has a zero of order $r + 3$. For infinite dimensional systems, usually there is no uniformly positive lower bound to ensure non-resonant conditions. In [BG06], only these terms with at most two big Fourier modes were eliminated. As a result, instead of (1.4),

$$(1.5) \quad H \circ \Phi_r = H_0 + \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{R}_N + \mathcal{R}$$

with \mathcal{R}_N being a truncated remainder in which all terms have at least three big Fourier modes bigger than the truncation parameter N . Correspondingly, the non-resonant conditions are

$$(1.6) \quad |\omega_{a_1} \pm \omega_{a_2} \pm \cdots \pm \omega_{a_{l+2}}| \geq \frac{\gamma}{N^\alpha},$$

where $\gamma > 0, \alpha \geq 0, 1 \leq l \leq r$, and $|a_1| \leq |a_2| \leq \cdots \leq |a_{l+2}|$ with $|a_l| \leq N$. By tame property, the truncated remainder \mathcal{R}_N was well estimated in Sobolev space H^s with the index s sufficiently large. Then an abstract Birkhoff normal form theorem was built to prove long time stability for a wide class of Hamiltonian partial differential equations on torus, such as nonlinear wave equations and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. More precisely, if the initial data satisfy $\|u(0)\|_{H^s} \leq \varepsilon$, then the solutions satisfy $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq 2\varepsilon$ for any $|t| \leq \varepsilon^{-r}$, where $s \geq s_*$ with

$$(1.7) \quad s_* = O(r^2\alpha).$$

Specially for the nonlinear wave equation (1.1), the lower bound $s_* = O(r^8)$. There are many other papers in which Birkhoff normal form is used to investigate partial differential equations on torus. For nonlinear wave and Schrödinger equations, also see [Bou96, Bam03, CLY16, BFG20a] for example; for equations with unbounded nonlinear vector field, see [Del12, YZ14, BD18, Zha20] for example; for equations without external parameters, see [Bou00, BFG20b, BG21] for example.

In the present paper, we eliminate all non-resonant terms of order $r + 2$. Thus the Hamiltonian (1.3) is transformed into (1.4), which is a complete Birkhoff normal form up to order $r + 2$. The non-resonant conditions are

$$(1.8) \quad |\omega_{a_1} \pm \omega_{a_2} \pm \cdots \pm \omega_{a_{l+2}}| \geq \gamma \left(\frac{a_1^*}{a_2^* \cdots a_{l+2}^*} \right)^\tau,$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, and $a_1^* \geq a_2^* \geq \cdots \geq a_{l+2}^*$ denotes the decreasing rearrangement of $\{1 + |a_1|, 1 + |a_2|, \dots, 1 + |a_{l+2}|\}$. Notice that, without truncation, there is no uniformly positive lower bound for the right hand side of (1.8). Then we build an abstract Birkhoff normal form theorem to prove long time stability for Hamiltonian partial differential equations on torus, seeing Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1. More precisely, the phase space is H^s , $s \geq s_*$ with

$$(1.9) \quad s_* = O(r\tau),$$

which is usually much smaller than it in [BG06]. Specially for the nonlinear wave equation (1.1), we prove $s_* = O(r^4)$, seeing Theorem 4.1. In addition, for all constants in the Birkhoff normal form process, we concretely calculate the dependence on the iterative steps r . Therefore, similarly with [BMP20], we get longer time of stability by taking big enough r depending on ε , namely

$$(1.10) \quad T = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{1+\lambda}} \quad \text{for any } 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{4},$$

seeing Corollary 4.1.

Actually, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 are valid for partial differential equations on \mathbb{T}^d with $d \geq 1$. As an application in higher dimensions, for example, we consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(1.11) \quad i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + V * u + g(x, u, \bar{u}), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d$$

with the dimension $d \geq 2$, the potential V , and the nonlinearity g being smooth with respect to x and analytic of order at least three with respect to u, \bar{u} . In Theorem 4.2, we prove the long time stability in H^s , where the index s satisfies $s \geq s_* = O(r)$ instead of $s_* = O(r^3)$ in [BG06]. Further, we consider longer time of stability by taking big enough r depending on ε . Previously in [BMP20], this type of long time stability has been investigated for the equation (1.11) with $d = 1$ and an analytic nonlinearity $g(x, |u|^2)u$. Precisely, the non-resonant conditions are of the form

$$(1.12) \quad |k \cdot \omega| > \gamma \prod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + |k_a|^2 \max\{1, |a|^\tau\}}$$

with $\gamma > 0$, $\tau \geq 2$, and the stability time is

$$(1.13) \quad T = e^{\frac{(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2}{C \ln \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}$$

with $C > 0$. Notice that the non-resonant conditions (1.12) are invalid for the equation (1.11) with $d \geq 2$. In the present paper, for the equation (1.11) with $d \geq 2$, we get the

stability time

$$(1.14) \quad T = \rho^{\frac{(\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2}{24\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}$$

with $\rho = 2^{d+4}3^d$, seeing Corollary 4.2. Especially for the equation (1.11) with the nonlinearity $g(u, \bar{u})$, i.e., not containing the spatial variable x explicitly, we get

$$(1.15) \quad T = \rho^{\frac{1}{46}(\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2},$$

seeing Corollary 4.3. There are some other papers to investigate longer time of stability, also seeing [Bam99a, FG13, CLSY18, CLW20, CMW20, CMWZ22] for example.

In order to obtain more concrete estimates in Birkhoff normal form process, we introduce the s, N -norm (2.7). This norm is adapted to partial differential equations with their nonlinearity being smooth with respect to the spatial variable x on torus. We emphasize that this norm is well kept under Poisson bracket, seeing the inequality (3.8) in which the index s, N are maintained. As a result, we could concretely estimate the remainder term \mathcal{R} by its Taylor series, seeing (2.17) in Theorem 2.1. When the nonlinearity does not contain the spatial variable x explicitly, the norm (2.7) means that the coefficient of every monomial is bounded by

$$(1.16) \quad \left(\frac{a_2^* \cdots a_{l+2}^*}{a_1^*} \right)^s.$$

Compared with $a_3^* \cdots a_{l+2}^*$, the structure of $\frac{a_2^* \cdots a_{l+2}^*}{a_1^*}$ is better kept under Poisson bracket. This structure has been used for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in [Liu22]. In addition, we mention [BDGS07] for long time stability of nonlinear wave equations on Zoll manifold, in which the localized coefficients are of the form

$$(1.17) \quad \frac{(a_3^*)^{\nu+N}}{(|a_1^* - a_2^*| + a_3^*)^N}$$

with $\nu \geq 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$. These are some others papers with coefficient estimates. For nonlinear wave equations on sphere, also see [Bam08, Del15] for example; for nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations with quadratic potential, see [GIP09, Zha10] for example.

Now, we lay out an outline of the present paper.

In section 2, we firstly introduce some notations and the norm (2.7). Then we give an abstract Birkhoff normal form theorem with concrete estimates of all constants in the transformation ϕ , the normal form \mathcal{Z} and the remainder term \mathcal{R} , seeing Theorem 2.1. Finally, under the non-resonant condition (2.18), we deduce the long time stability results, seeing Corollary 2.1.

In section 3, we prove Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1. Firstly, we estimate the vector field, the Poisson bracket, and the solution of homological equation, seeing Lemma 3.1–Lemma 3.6. Then we prove an iterative lemma, seeing Lemma 3.7. In these lemmas, all constants are concretely calculated. Finally, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 are proved.

In section 4, we present two applications, i.e., nonlinear wave equation in one dimension and nonlinear Schrödinger equation in high dimension. Firstly, for these two equations with nonlinearity being smooth with respect to x and analytic with respect to u , we prove polynomially long time stability in H^s , seeing Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. Compared with [BG06], the index s in these two theorems could be smaller. Moreover, the same as [BG06], we could also assume the nonlinearity being smooth with respect to u . In fact, in [BG06] the nonlinearity P is written as $P = P' + \mathcal{R}_*$, where the polynomial P' is dealt in Birkhoff normal form and the Taylor remainder \mathcal{R}_* has no influence on the stability. Further, for these two equations with nonlinearity being analytic with respect to both x and u , we prove sub-exponentially long time stability, seeing Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.2. Furthermore, for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonlinearity not containing the spatial variable x explicitly, the stability time in Corollary 4.2 could be improved, seeing Corollary 4.3.

Appendix contains several lemmas. Lemma 1 provides one elementary inequality being frequently used in this paper. Lemma 2 is used to estimate the vector field of homogenous polynomials. Lemma 3 shows a relationship between Fourier modes. Lemma 4–Lemma 8 are used to estimate the measure for nonlinear wave equations.

2. STATEMENT OF ABSTRACT RESULTS

Define the Hilbert space $\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C})$ of the complex sequences $\xi = \{\xi_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|\xi\|_s^2 := \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |\xi_a|^2 < \infty$$

with $\langle a \rangle = 1 + |a| = 1 + \sqrt{a_1^2 + \cdots + a_d^2}$. Notice that for complex function $u(x) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \xi_a e^{ia \cdot x}$ on \mathbb{T}^d with $a \cdot x = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_d x_d$, the Sobolev norm $\|u\|_{H^s}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\xi\|_s$. The scale of phase spaces

$$\mathcal{P}_s := \ell_s^2 \oplus \ell_s^2 \ni (\xi, \bar{\xi}) = (\{\xi_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d}, \{\bar{\xi}_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d})$$

is endowed by the standard symplectic structure $-i \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} d\xi_a \wedge d\bar{\xi}_a$. For a Hamiltonian function $H(\xi, \bar{\xi})$, define its vector field

$$(2.2) \quad X_H(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = -i \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\xi}}, -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi} \right),$$

and for two Hamiltonian functions $H(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ and $F(\xi, \bar{\xi})$, define their Poisson bracket

$$(2.3) \quad \{H, F\} = -i \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_a} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{\xi}_a} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\xi}_a} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_a} \right).$$

In a brief statement, we identify $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$ with $\mathbb{U}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ and use the convenient notation $z = (z_j)_{j=(\delta, a) \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$, where

$$z_j = \begin{cases} \xi_a, & \text{when } \delta = 1, \\ \bar{\xi}_a, & \text{when } \delta = -1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{j} = (-\delta, a)$, then $\bar{z}_j = z_{\bar{j}}$. Set $\langle j \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ and define

$$(2.4) \quad \|z\|_s^2 := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle j \rangle^{2s} |z_j|^2 = \|\xi\|_s^2 + \|\bar{\xi}\|_s^2.$$

For $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_l) = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l$, denote the monomial $z_{\mathbf{j}} = z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_l}$ and the momentum

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) = \delta_1 a_1 + \cdots + \delta_l a_l.$$

Consider a homogeneous polynomial $f(z)$ of order l with the symmetric form

$$(2.6) \quad f(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}} z_{\mathbf{j}},$$

where for any permutation σ , $\tilde{f}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})} = \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}}$. For any $N \geq s \geq 0$, define the s, N -norm of the homogeneous polynomial

$$(2.7) \quad |f|_{s,N} = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle b \rangle^{N-s} \sup_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})=b} \left| \left(\frac{j_1^*}{j_2^* \cdots j_l^*} \right)^s \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}} \right|,$$

where $j_1^* \geq \cdots \geq j_l^*$ denotes the decreasing rearrangement of $\{\langle j_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle j_l \rangle\}$. Remark that $j_1^* \leq \langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \cdots j_l^*$. So $|f|_{s,N} \leq |f|_{s',N}$ for any $s \geq s'$. Denote $\mathcal{F}_l^{s,N}$ as the class of homogeneous polynomials of order l with the form (2.6) and finite s, N -norm.

For a function $f(z) = \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i(z)$ with $f_i \in \mathcal{F}_{i+2}^{s,N}$, define

$$(2.8) \quad \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R = \sum_{i \geq 1} |f_i|_{s,N} R^i.$$

Consider a homogeneous polynomial $\mathcal{L}(z)$ of order l , which is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{j}} z_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Fix $\tau \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. The polynomial \mathcal{L} is said to be in (γ, τ) -normal form with respect to ω if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{j}} \neq 0$ implies

$$(2.9) \quad |\delta_1 \omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_l \omega_{a_l}| < \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \cdots j_l^*} \right)^\tau.$$

Theorem 2.1. Consider the Hamiltonian function

$$(2.10) \quad H = H_0 + P = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_a |\xi_a|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} P_i,$$

where P_i is a homogeneous polynomial of order $i+2$ and there exist $s_0 \geq 0, R' > 0$ such that for any $N \geq s_0$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R'$, the higher order perturbation P satisfies

$$(2.11) \quad \langle |P| \rangle_{s_0, N}^R \leq C_N R.$$

Fix $\tau \geq 0, \gamma > 0$ and a positive integer r . Let

$$(2.12) \quad \rho = 2^{d+4}3^d$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad R_* = \min\left\{\frac{R'}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{10\rho r^4 C_N}\right\}.$$

Then for any $s \geq s_0 + r\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$, $N \geq s + \frac{d+1}{2}$ and $R \leq R_*$, there exists a canonical transformation $\phi : B_s(\frac{R}{2\rho}) \mapsto B_s(\frac{R}{\rho})$ such that

$$(2.14) \quad H \circ \phi = (H_0 + P) \circ \phi = H_0 + \mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{R},$$

where

(i) the transformation satisfies the estimate:

$$(2.15) \quad \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R/(2\rho)} \|z - \phi(z)\|_s \leq \frac{2C_N}{\gamma} R^2,$$

and the same estimate is fulfilled by the inverse transformation;

(ii) \mathcal{Z} is a polynomial of order at most $r + 2$ which is in (γ, τ) -normal form with respect to ω and satisfies the estimate:

$$(2.16) \quad \langle |\mathcal{Z}| \rangle_{s_0+r\tau, N}^R \leq rC_N R;$$

(iii) the remainder term $\mathcal{R}^{(r)}$ is of order at least $r + 3$ and satisfies the estimate:

$$(2.17) \quad \langle |\mathcal{R}| \rangle_{s_0+r\tau, N}^R \leq \frac{C_N}{R_*^r} R^{r+1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_l := \{\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \mid \exists \text{ permutation } \sigma, \text{ s.t. } \forall k, \delta_k = -\delta_{\sigma(k)}, |a_k| = |a_{\sigma(k)}|\}$. We say that the family of frequencies $\{\omega_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is non-resonant up to order r if there exist $\tau \geq 0, \gamma > 0$ such that for any $l \leq r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l$, one has

$$(2.18) \quad |\delta_1 \omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_l \omega_{a_l}| \geq \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \cdots j_l^*} \right)^\tau.$$

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, denote the super action $J_a := \sum_{\langle b \rangle = \langle a \rangle} z_b \bar{z}_b$. If the family of frequencies $\{\omega_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is non-resonant, then the normal form \mathcal{Z} only depends on the super action. Hence, we have the following result of long time stability.

Corollary 2.1. Consider the Hamiltonian function H in Theorem 2.1. Fix a positive integer r and assume that the family of frequencies $\{\omega_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is non-resonant up to order $r + 2$ for some $\tau \geq 0, \gamma > 0$. For any $s \geq s_0 + r\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$, let $N = s + \frac{d+1}{2}$,

$$(2.19) \quad \varepsilon_* = \frac{3}{20\rho} R_*$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{5\rho^2 C_N} (4\varepsilon_*)^r.$$

If the initial datum $z(0) \in \mathcal{P}_s$ satisfies

$$(2.21) \quad \varepsilon := \|z(0)\|_s \leq \varepsilon_*,$$

then one has

$$(2.22) \quad \|z(t)\|_s \leq 2\varepsilon, \text{ for } |t| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-(r+1)}.$$

Moreover, for any $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, one has

$$(2.23) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |J_a(t) - J_a(0)| \leq c_2 \varepsilon^{3-\nu}, \text{ for } |t| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-(r+\nu)}$$

with $c_2 = 1 + \frac{200C_N \rho^2}{\gamma}$.

Remark 2.1. Let $\mathcal{I}_l := \{\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \mid \exists \text{ permutation } \sigma, \text{ s.t. } \forall k, \delta_k = -\delta_{\sigma(k)}, a_k = a_{\sigma(k)}\}$. If there exist $\tau \geq 0, \gamma > 0$ such that for any $l \leq r$ and $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l$, the non-resonant conditions (2.18) hold, then the normal form \mathcal{L} only depends on the action $I_a := z_a \bar{z}_a$ and the estimate (2.23) in Corollary 2.1 can be replaced by

$$(2.24) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |I_a(t) - I_a(0)| \leq c_2 \varepsilon^{3-\nu}, \text{ for } |t| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-(r+\nu)}.$$

3. PROOF OF NORMAL FORM THEOREM AND ITS COROLLARY

Before proving the normal form theorem, we firstly estimate the vector field of polynomial functions by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let f be homogenous polynomial of order $r \geq 3$ satisfying $|f|_{s_0, N} < +\infty$ with $N \geq s_0 + d + 1$. Then for any $s \in [s_0 + \frac{d+1}{2}, N - \frac{d+1}{2}]$, one has

$$(3.1) \quad \|X_f(z)\|_s \leq |f|_{s_0, N} (\rho \|z\|_s)^{r-1}$$

with the constant $\rho = 2^{d+4} 3^d$.

Proof. Write

$$f(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^r} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}} z_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})=b} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}} z_{\mathbf{j}}$$

and $z'_{j_i} = \langle j_i \rangle^{s - \frac{d+1}{2}} |z_{j_i}|, \forall i = 1, \dots, r$. By the definition of norm, one has

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|X_f(z)\|_s &\leq \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\sum_{j_r} \langle j_r \rangle^{2s} \left| r \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_{r-1} \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})=b}} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_{r-1}} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq r \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\langle b \rangle^{N - (s - \frac{d+1}{2})} \sup_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})=b} \left| \left(\frac{j_1^*}{j_2^* \cdots j_r^*} \right)^{s - \frac{d+1}{2}} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\sum_{j_r} \langle j_r \rangle^{2s} \left| \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_{r-1} \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})=b}} \langle b \rangle^{s - \frac{d+1}{2} - N} \left(\frac{j_2^* \cdots j_r^*}{j_1^*} \right)^{s - \frac{d+1}{2}} |z_{j_1}| \cdots |z_{j_{r-1}}| \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq r|f|_{s-\frac{d+1}{2},N} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\sum_{j_r} \langle j_r \rangle^{2s} \left| \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_{r-1} \\ \mathcal{M}(j)=b}} \langle b \rangle^{s-\frac{d+1}{2}-N} \left(\frac{j_2^* \cdots j_r^*}{j_1^*} \right)^{s-\frac{d+1}{2}} |z_{j_1}| \cdots |z_{j_{r-1}}| \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq r|f|_{s_0,N} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\sum_{j_r} \langle j_r \rangle^{d+1} \left| \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_{r-1} \\ \mathcal{M}(j)=b}} \langle b \rangle^{s-\frac{d+1}{2}-N} z'_{j_1} \cdots z'_{j_{r-1}} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq r|f|_{s_0,N} \left(\sum_{j_r} \langle j_r \rangle^{d+1} \left| \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_{r-1}, b \\ \mathcal{M}(j,(-1,b))=0}} \langle b \rangle^{s-\frac{d+1}{2}-N} z'_{j_1} \cdots z'_{j_{r-1}} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq r|f|_{s_0,N} \|y * \underbrace{z' * \cdots * z'}_{r-1}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $y = \{y_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$ with $y_{(1,b)} = 0$ and $y_{(-1,b)} = \langle b \rangle^{s-\frac{d+1}{2}-N}$. For any $u = \{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$ and $v = \{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$, by Hölder inequality and Lemma 2 in Appendix, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u * v\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^2 &= \sum_j \langle j \rangle^{d+1} \left| \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \\ \mathcal{M}(j_1, j_2, j)=0}} u_{j_1} v_{j_2} \right|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_j \langle j \rangle^{d+1} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \\ \mathcal{M}(j_1, j_2, j)=0}} \frac{1}{\langle j_1 \rangle^{d+1} \langle j_2 \rangle^{d+1}} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \\ \mathcal{M}(j_1, j_2, j)=0}} \langle j_1 \rangle^{d+1} |u_{j_1}|^2 \langle j_2 \rangle^{d+1} |v_{j_2}|^2 \right) \\
&\leq \sum_j 2^{d+3} 3^d \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \\ \mathcal{M}(j_1, j_2, j)=0}} \langle j_1 \rangle^{d+1} |u_{j_1}|^2 \langle j_2 \rangle^{d+1} |v_{j_2}|^2 \\
&= \rho \|u\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^2 \|v\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, one has

$$(3.3) \quad \|y * \underbrace{z' * \cdots * z'}_{r-1}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^2 \leq \rho^{r-1} \|y\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^2 \|z'\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^{2(r-1)}.$$

Notice that

$$(3.4) \quad \|z'\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^2 = \sum_j \langle j \rangle^{d+1} |z'_j|^2 = \sum_j \langle j \rangle^{2s} |z_j|^2 = \|z\|_s^2.$$

For any $s \leq N - \frac{d+1}{2}$, by Lemma 1 in Appendix, one has

$$(3.5) \quad \|y\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^2 = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle b \rangle^{d+1} \langle b \rangle^{2s-(d+1)-2N} \leq \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle b \rangle^{-(d+1)} \leq 3^d.$$

By (3.2)-(3.5) and the fact that $3^{\frac{d}{2}} r < \rho^{\frac{r-1}{2}}$, one has

$$\|X_f(z)\|_s \leq 3^{\frac{d}{2}} r |f|_{s_0,N} \rho^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \|z\|_s^{r-1} < |f|_{s_0,N} (\rho \|z\|_s)^{r-1}.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. Let $f = \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i$ with f_i a homogenous polynomial of order $i + 2$, and $\langle |f| \rangle_{s_0, N}^R < +\infty$ with $N \geq s_0 + d + 1$. Then for any $s \in [s_0 + \frac{d+1}{2}, N - \frac{d+1}{2}]$, one has

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R/\rho} \|X_f(z)\|_s \leq R \langle |f| \rangle_{s_0, N}^R$$

with the constant $\rho = 2^{d+4}3^d$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, one has

$$\sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R/\rho} \|X_f(z)\|_s \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R/\rho} \|X_{f_i}(z)\|_s \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} |f_i|_{s_0, N} R^{i+1} = R \langle |f| \rangle_{s_0, N}^R.$$

□

Consider an analytic function χ and its corresponding Hamiltonian equation $\dot{z} = X_\chi(z)$. Denote by Φ_χ^t the corresponding flow and the time 1 flow Φ_χ^1 is called the Lie transformation generated by χ . Given analytic function f , one has

$$(3.7) \quad f \circ \Phi_\chi^1 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \underbrace{\{\chi, \{\cdots, \{\chi, f\} \cdots\}\}}_k := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} ad_\chi^k f,$$

where $ad_\chi^0 f = f$, $ad_\chi^1 f = \{\chi, f\}$. Next, We will estimate the terms of the series (3.7) in the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Let f, g be homogenous polynomials of order r_1 and r_2 , respectively. Assume that $|f|_{s, N}, |g|_{s, N} < +\infty$, then one has

$$(3.8) \quad |\{f, g\}|_{s, N} \leq 2r_1 r_2 |f|_{s, N} |g|_{s, N}.$$

Proof. Write f, g and $\{f, g\}$ the symmetric form explicitly as follows:

$$f(z) = \sum_{j' \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^{r_1}} \tilde{f}_{j'} z_{j'}, \quad g(z) = \sum_{j'' \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^{r_2}} \tilde{g}_{j''} z_{j''}$$

and

$$\varphi(z) := \{f, g\} = \sum_{j \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^{r_1+r_2-2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{j_1, \dots, j_{r_1-1}, j_{r_1}, \dots, j_{r_1+r_2-2}} \tilde{z}_j$$

with j_1, \dots, j_{r_1-1} of f and $j_{r_1}, \dots, j_{r_1+r_2-2}$ of g . By the definition of Poisson bracket, one has

$$|\tilde{\varphi}_{j_1, \dots, j_{r_1-1}, j_{r_1}, \dots, j_{r_1+r_2-2}}| \leq r_1 r_2 \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d} \tilde{f}_{j_1, \dots, j_{r_1-1}, j} \tilde{g}_{j_{r_1}, \dots, j_{r_1+r_2-2}, \bar{j}} \right|.$$

So

$$|\varphi|_{s, N} \leq r_1 r_2 \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle b \rangle^{N-s} \sup_{\mathcal{M}(j)=b} \left| \left(\frac{j_1^*}{j_2^* \cdots j_{r_1+r_2-2}^*} \right)^s \sum_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d} \tilde{f}_{j_1, \dots, j_{r_1-1}, j} \tilde{g}_{j_{r_1}, \dots, j_{r_1+r_2-2}, \bar{j}} \right|.$$

Might as well let $\langle j_1 \rangle \geq \cdots \geq \langle j_{r_1-1} \rangle, \langle j_{r_1} \rangle \geq \cdots \geq \langle j_{r_1+r_2-2} \rangle$ and $\langle j_1 \rangle \geq \langle j_{r_1} \rangle$. By $\langle j \rangle = \langle \bar{j} \rangle$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{j_1^*}{j_2^* \cdots j_{r_1+r_2-2}^*} &= \frac{\langle j_1 \rangle}{\langle j_2 \rangle \cdots \langle j_{r_1+r_2-2} \rangle} \\ &\begin{cases} \leq \frac{\langle j \rangle}{\langle j_1 \rangle \cdots \langle j_{r_1-1} \rangle} \frac{\langle \bar{j} \rangle}{\langle j_{r_1} \rangle \cdots \langle j_{r_1+r_2-2} \rangle}, & \text{when } \langle j \rangle \geq \langle j_1 \rangle \geq \langle j_{r_1} \rangle; \\ = \frac{\langle j_1 \rangle}{\langle j_2 \rangle \cdots \langle j_{r_1-1} \rangle} \frac{\langle j \rangle}{\langle j_{r_1} \rangle \cdots \langle j_{r_1+r_2-2} \rangle}, & \text{when } \langle j_1 \rangle \geq \langle j \rangle \geq \langle j_{r_1} \rangle; \\ \leq \frac{\langle j_1 \rangle}{\langle j_2 \rangle \cdots \langle j_{r_1-1} \rangle} \frac{\langle j_{r_1} \rangle}{\langle j_{r_1+1} \rangle \cdots \langle j_{r_1+r_2-2} \rangle} \frac{\langle j \rangle}{\langle j \rangle}, & \text{when } \langle j_1 \rangle \geq \langle j_{r_1} \rangle \geq \langle j \rangle \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{j_1'^*}{j_2'^* \cdots j_{r_1}'^*} \frac{j_1''^*}{j_2''^* \cdots j_{r_2}''^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{M}(j) = \mathcal{M}(j') + \mathcal{M}(j'')$ and $\langle \mathcal{M}(j) \rangle \leq \langle \mathcal{M}(j') \rangle \langle \mathcal{M}(j'') \rangle$. Hence, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi|_{s,N} &\leq r_1 r_2 \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d}} \sup_{\mathcal{M}(j)=b} |\langle b \rangle^{N-s} \left(\frac{j_1^*}{j_2^* \cdots j_{r_1+r_2-2}^*} \right)^s \tilde{f}_{j_1, \dots, j_{r_1-1}, j} \tilde{g}_{j_{r_1}, \dots, j_{r_1+r_2-2}, \bar{j}}| \\ &\leq 2r_1 r_2 \sum_{\substack{b, b' \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \mathcal{M}(j)=b \\ \mathcal{M}(j')=b'}} \sup_{\mathcal{M}(j)=b} |\langle b \rangle^{N-s} \left(\frac{j_1^*}{j_2^* \cdots j_{r_1+r_2-2}^*} \right)^s \tilde{f}_{j_1, \dots, j_{r_1-1}, j} \tilde{g}_{j_{r_1}, \dots, j_{r_1+r_2-2}, \bar{j}}| \\ &\leq 2r_1 r_2 \sum_{\substack{b', b'' \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \mathcal{M}(j')=b' \\ \mathcal{M}(j'')=b''}} \sup_{\mathcal{M}(j)=b} |\langle b' \rangle^{N-s} \langle b'' \rangle^{N-s} \left(\frac{j_1'^*}{j_2'^* \cdots j_{r_1}'^*} \right)^s \left(\frac{j_1''^*}{j_2''^* \cdots j_{r_2}''^*} \right)^s \tilde{f}_{j'} \tilde{g}_{j''}| \\ &= 2r_1 r_2 |f|_{s,N} |g|_{s,N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. Let χ be a homogenous polynomial of order $r \geq 3$ and $f = \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i$ with f_i a homogenous polynomial of order $i + 2$. Assume that $|\chi|_{s,N}, \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R < +\infty$, then for any $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, one has

$$(3.9) \quad \langle |\{\chi, f\}| \rangle_{s,N}^{R(1-\theta)} \leq \frac{4r}{e\theta} \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |\{\chi, f\}| \rangle_{s,N}^{R(1-\theta)} &= \sum_{i \geq 1} |\{\chi, f_i\}|_{s,N} R^{i+r-2} (1-\theta)^{i+r-2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i \geq 1} 2r(i+2) |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} |f_i|_{s,N} R^i (1-\theta)^{i+r-2} \\ &\leq 2r(1-\theta)^{r-4} \sup_{i \geq 1} (i+2) (1-\theta)^{i+2} \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} \\ &\leq \frac{2r(1-\theta)^{r-4}}{e\theta} \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{4r}{e\theta} \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2}.$$

□

Lemma 3.5. Let χ be a homogenous polynomial of order $r \geq 3$ and $f = \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i$ with f_i a homogenous polynomial of order $i + 2$. Assume that $|\chi|_{s,N}, \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R < +\infty$, then for any $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, one has

$$(3.10) \quad \langle \frac{1}{k!} ad_{\chi}^k f \rangle_{s,N}^{R(1-\theta)} \leq \left(\frac{4r}{\theta} |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} \right)^k \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

Proof. Fix k and denote $\theta' = \theta/k$. For any $l \leq k$, let $C_l^{(k)} = \langle \frac{1}{l!} ad_{\chi}^l f \rangle_{s,N}^{R(1-\theta' l)}$. By Lemma 3.4, one has

$$\begin{aligned} C_l^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{l} \langle \{ \chi, \frac{1}{(l-1)!} ad_{\chi}^{l-1} f \} \rangle_{s,N}^{R(1-\theta' l)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{l} \frac{4r}{e\theta'} \langle \frac{1}{(l-1)!} ad_{\chi}^{l-1} f \rangle_{s,N}^{R(1-\theta'(l-1))} |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} (1 - \theta'(l-1))^{r-2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{l} \frac{4r}{e\theta'} |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} C_{l-1}^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $C_0^{(k)} = \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R$. By induction and the inequality $k^k \leq k! e^k$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} C_k^{(k)} &\leq \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{4r}{e\theta'} |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} \right)^k C_0^{(k)} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{4rk}{e\theta} |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} \right)^k \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4r}{\theta} |\chi|_{s,N} R^{r-2} \right)^k \langle |f| \rangle_{s,N}^R. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.10) holds. □

In order to construct the canonical transformation ϕ in Theorem 2.1, the following lemma solves homological equations.

Lemma 3.6. Let f be homogenous polynomial of order $r \geq 3$ and $H_0 = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_a |\xi_a|^2$. If $|f|_{s,N} < +\infty$, then there exist homogenous polynomials χ, \mathcal{Z} of order r such that

$$(3.11) \quad \{H_0, \chi\} + \mathcal{Z} = f,$$

where \mathcal{Z} is in (γ, τ) -normal form with respect to ω . Moreover, χ and \mathcal{Z} fulfill the estimates

$$(3.12) \quad |\chi|_{s+\tau, N} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} |f|_{s, N} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{Z}|_{s, N} \leq |f|_{s, N}.$$

Proof. Expand f, χ, \mathcal{Z} in Taylor series, namely,

$$f(z) = \sum_{j \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^r} f_j z_j, \quad \chi(z) = \sum_{j \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^r} \chi_j z_j, \quad \mathcal{Z}(z) = \sum_{j \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^r} \mathcal{Z}_j z_j,$$

and then for any $\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^r$, the equation (3.11) is equivalent to

$$-i(\delta_1\omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_r\omega_{a_r})\chi_{\mathbf{j}} + \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{j}} = f_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Define

$$\chi_{\mathbf{j}} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{\mathbf{j}}}{-i(\delta_1\omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_r\omega_{a_r})}, & \text{when } |\delta_1\omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_r\omega_{a_r}| \geq \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle_{j_2^* \dots j_r^*}} \right)^\tau, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{j}} = \begin{cases} f_{\mathbf{j}}, & \text{when } |\delta_1\omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_r\omega_{a_r}| < \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle_{j_2^* \dots j_r^*}} \right)^\tau, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By construction, \mathcal{Z} is in (γ, τ) -normal form with respect to ω and the estimates (3.12) immediately follow from the definition of the norm. \square

In order to state the iterative lemma, we need some notations. Fix an integer $r \geq 1$ and for any positive integer $l \leq r$, write $R_{*l} = R_*(2 - \frac{l}{r})$; similarly, for any $R \leq R_*$, write $R_l = R(2 - \frac{l}{r})$. We proceed by induction from $l-1$ to l . Initially, $H^{(0)} = H_0 + \mathcal{Z}^{(0)} + \mathcal{R}^{(0)}$ with $\mathcal{Z}^{(0)} = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(0)} = P$.

Lemma 3.7 (Iterative Lemma). Consider the Hamiltonian function (2.10) in Theorem 2.1. There exists a homogenous polynomial Hamiltonian χ_l of order $l+2$ such that for any $s \geq s_0 + l\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$ and $N \geq s + \frac{d+1}{2}$, the Lie transformation $\Phi_{\chi_l}^1 : B_s(\frac{R_l}{2\rho}) \mapsto B_s(\frac{R_{l-1}}{2\rho})$ puts H in normal form:

$$(3.13) \quad H^{(l)} := H^{(l-1)} \circ \Phi_{\chi_l}^1 = H_0 + \mathcal{Z}^{(l)} + \mathcal{R}^{(l)},$$

where

(i) the transformation satisfies the estimate:

$$(3.14) \quad \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R_l/(2\rho)} \|z - \Phi_{\chi_l}^1(z)\|_s \leq \frac{C_N}{2^{l+1}\gamma} R_{l-1}^2;$$

(ii) $\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}$ is a polynomial of order at most $l+2$ which is in (γ, τ) -normal form with respect to ω and

$$(3.15) \quad \langle |\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} \leq lC_N R_l;$$

(iii) the remainder term $\mathcal{R}^{(l)}$ is of order at least $l+3$ and

$$(3.16) \quad \langle |\mathcal{R}^{(l)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} \leq C_N R_l \left(\frac{R_l}{R_{*l}} \right)^l.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} = \sum_{i \geq l} \mathcal{R}_i^{(l-1)}$ with $\mathcal{R}_i^{(l-1)}$ a homogenous polynomial of order $i+2$. By Lemma 3.6, there exist homogenous polynomials χ_l and \mathcal{Z}_l of order $l+2$, where \mathcal{Z}_l is in (γ, τ) -normal form with respect to ω , such that

$$\{H_0, \chi_l\} + \mathcal{Z}_l = \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}$$

with the following estimates

$$(3.17) \quad |\chi_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} |\mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}|_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N},$$

$$(3.18) \quad |\mathcal{Z}_l|_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N} \leq |\mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}|_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N}.$$

By the formula (3.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(l-1)} \circ \Phi_{\chi_l}^1 &= H_0 + \{\chi_l, H_0\} + \mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)} + \mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i H_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i \mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i \mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} \\ &:= H_0 + \mathcal{Z}^{(l)} + \mathcal{R}^{(l)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{Z}^{(l)} = \mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)} + \mathcal{Z}_l,$$

$$(3.20) \quad \mathcal{R}^{(l)} = \mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i H_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i \mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i \mathcal{R}^{(l-1)}.$$

By (3.16) for $l-1$, one has

$$(3.21) \quad |\mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}|_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N} \leq \frac{C_N}{R_{*l-1}^{l-1}}.$$

By (3.17) and (3.21), one has

$$(3.22) \quad |\chi_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} \leq \frac{C_N}{\gamma R_{*l-1}^{l-1}}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and (3.22), one has

$$\sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R_{l-1}/(2\rho)} \|X_{\chi_l}(z)\|_s \leq |\chi_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} \left(\frac{R_{l-1}}{2}\right)^{l+1} \leq \frac{C_N}{2^{l+1}\gamma} \left(\frac{R_{l-1}}{R_{*l-1}}\right)^{l-1} R_{l-1}^2 \leq \frac{C_N}{2^{l+1}\gamma} R_{l-1}^2.$$

Notice that $R_{l-1} \leq 2R$ by the definition of R_{l-1} and $R \leq R_* \leq \frac{\gamma}{2\rho r C_N}$ by (2.13). Then one has

$$\sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R_{l-1}/(2\rho)} \|X_{\chi_l}(z)\|_s \leq \frac{C_N}{2^{l+1}\gamma} (2R)^2 \leq \frac{C_N}{2^{l+1}\gamma} 4R_* R \leq \frac{R}{2\rho r} = \frac{R_{l-1} - R_l}{2\rho}.$$

So the transformation $\Phi_{\chi_l}^1$ is well defined in $B_s(\frac{R_l}{2\rho})$ with the estimate

$$\sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R_l/(2\rho)} \|z - \Phi_{\chi_l}^1(z)\|_s \leq \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R_{l-1}/(2\rho)} \|X_{\chi_l}(z)\|_s \leq \frac{C_N}{2^{l+1}\gamma} R_{l-1}^2.$$

Next, we will estimate $\langle |\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l}$. By (3.18) and (3.21), one has

$$(3.23) \quad |\mathcal{Z}_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} R_l^l \leq |\mathcal{Z}_l|_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N} R_l^l \leq C_N R_l \left(\frac{R_l}{R_{*l-1}}\right)^{l-1} \leq C_N R_l.$$

By (3.15) for $l - 1$, one has

$$(3.24) \quad \langle |\mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} \leq \langle |\mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N}^{R_{l-1}} \frac{R_l}{R_{l-1}} \leq (l-1)C_N R_l.$$

In view of (3.19), by (3.23) and (3.24), one has

$$\langle |\mathcal{Z}^{(l)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} = \langle |\mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} + |\mathcal{Z}_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} R_l^l \leq lC_N R_l.$$

Finally, we estimate $\langle |\mathcal{R}^{(l)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l}$ by dividing $\mathcal{R}^{(l)}$ in (3.20) into four parts .

1) Taking $R_{l-1} = R_{*l-1}$ in (3.16) for $l - 1$, one has

$$\langle |\mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N}^{R_{*l-1}} \leq \langle |\mathcal{R}^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N}^{R_{*l-1}} \leq C_N R_{*l-1},$$

and hence

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle |\mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} &\leq \langle |\mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N}^{R_{*l-1}} \left(\frac{R_l}{R_{*l-1}}\right)^{l+1} \\ &\leq C_N R_{*l-1} \left(\frac{R_l}{R_{*l-1}}\right)^{l+1} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2r-l+1}\right)^l C_N R_l \left(\frac{R_l}{R_{*l}}\right)^l \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2r}\right) C_N R_l \left(\frac{R_l}{R_{*l}}\right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

2) Notice that $ad_{\chi_l}^1 H_0 = \mathcal{Z}_l - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}$ and then

$$(3.26) \quad \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} ad_{\chi_l}^i (\mathcal{Z}_l - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}).$$

By Lemma 3.5 and $R_l = R_{l-1} \left(1 - \frac{R}{rR_{l-1}}\right)$, one has

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \left| \frac{1}{(i+1)!} ad_{\chi_l}^i (\mathcal{Z}_l - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}) \right| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{i+1} \left(\frac{4(l+2)}{R/(rR_{l-1})} |\chi_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} R_{l-1}^l \right)^i \langle |\mathcal{Z}_l - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_{l-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.22), one has

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{4(l+2)}{R/(rR_{l-1})} |\chi_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} R_{l-1}^l &\leq 8r(l+2) \frac{C_N}{\gamma R_{*l-1}^{l-1}} R_{l-1}^l \\ &= \frac{8r(l+2)C_N R_{*l-1}}{\gamma} \left(\frac{R_{l-1}}{R_{*l-1}}\right)^l \\ &\leq \frac{1}{20r^2} \left(\frac{R_l}{R_{*l}}\right)^l, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $R_{*l-1} \leq 2R_* \leq \frac{2\gamma}{960r^4 C_N}$ and $\frac{R_{l-1}}{2R_{*l-1}} = \frac{R_l}{2R_*}$. By the construction of \mathcal{Z}_l and the estimate (3.21), one has

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle |\mathcal{Z}_l - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_{l-1}} &\leq \langle |\mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_{l-1}} \leq |\mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}|_{s_0+(l-1)\tau, N} R_{l-1}^l \\ &\leq \frac{C_N}{R_{*l-1}^{l-1}} R_{l-1}^l \leq C_N R_{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.26)–(3.29) one has

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \left| \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i H_0 \right| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \langle \left| \frac{1}{(i+1)!} ad_{\chi_l}^i (\mathcal{Z}_l - \mathcal{R}_l^{(l-1)}) \right| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} \\ &\leq C_N R_{l-1} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i+1} \left(\frac{1}{20r^2} \right)^i \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^{li} \\ &\leq C_N R_{l-1} \frac{1}{20r^2} \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^l \\ &\leq \frac{1}{10r^2} C_N R_l \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

3) Similarly, by Lemma 3.5, (3.15) for $l-1$ and (3.28), one has

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \left| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i \mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)} \right| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} &\leq \langle |\mathcal{Z}^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_{l-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{4(l+2)}{R/(rR_{l-1})} |\chi_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} R_{l-1}^l \right)^i \\ &\leq (l-1) C_N R_{l-1} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{20r^2} \right)^i \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^{li} \\ &\leq (l-1) C_N R_{l-1} \frac{1}{10r^2} \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^l \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5r} C_N R_l \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

4) By Lemma 3.5, (3.16) for $l-1$ and (3.28), one has

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \left| \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i!} ad_{\chi_l}^i \mathcal{R}^{(l-1)} \right| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_l} &\leq \langle |\mathcal{R}^{(l-1)}| \rangle_{s_0+l\tau, N}^{R_{l-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{4(l+2)}{R/(rR_{l-1})} |\chi_l|_{s_0+l\tau, N} R_{l-1}^l \right)^i \\ &\leq C_N R_{l-1} \left(\frac{R_{l-1}}{R_{*l-1}} \right)^{l-1} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{20r^2} \right)^i \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^{li} \\ &\leq C_N R_{l-1} \frac{1}{10r^2} \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^l \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5r^2} C_N R_l \left(\frac{R_l}{R_*} \right)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for any positive $l \leq r$, $1 - \frac{1}{2r} + \frac{1}{10r^2} + \frac{1}{5r} + \frac{1}{5r^2} \leq 1$ and by (3.25), (3.30)–(3.32), the estimate (3.16) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. After r iterations, let $\phi = \Phi_{\chi_1}^1 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{\chi_r}^1$, $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^{(r)}$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(r)}$. By (3.14), for any $s \geq s_0 + r\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$ and $R \leq R_*$, $\phi : B_s(\frac{R}{2\rho}) \mapsto B_s(\frac{R}{\rho})$ satisfies the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R/(2\rho)} \|z - \phi(z)\|_s &\leq \sum_{l=1}^r \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R_l/(2\rho)} \|z - \Phi_{\chi_l}^1(z)\|_s \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^r \frac{C_N}{2^{l+1}\gamma} R_{l-1}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2C_N}{\gamma} R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can draw the conclusion. \square

At the end of this section, we will prove long time stability by Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Corollary 2.1. Applying Theorem 2.1, for any $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_*$, there exists a canonical transformation $z = \phi(z')$ with the following estimates:

$$(3.33) \quad \sup_{\|z'\|_s \leq 5\varepsilon/3} \|z' - \phi(z')\|_s, \quad \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq 5\varepsilon/3} \|z - \phi^{-1}(z)\|_s \leq \frac{2C_N}{\gamma} \left(\frac{10\rho\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2.$$

So one has

$$(3.34) \quad \|z'(0)\|_s \leq \|z(0)\|_s + \|z(0) - \phi^{-1}(z(0))\|_s \leq \varepsilon + \frac{2C_N}{\gamma} \left(\frac{10\rho\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon.$$

Define $F(z) = \|z\|_s^2$ and denote by T the escape time of z' from $B_s(5\varepsilon/3)$. Then for any $|t| \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.35) \quad |F(z'(t)) - F(z'(0))| &= \left| \int_0^t \{H \circ \phi, F\}(z'(t)) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t \{\mathcal{R}, F\}(z'(t)) dt \right| \\ &\leq T \sup_{|t| \leq T} |\{\mathcal{R}, F\}(z'(t))| \\ &\leq T \sup_{|t| \leq T} \|X_{\mathcal{R}}(z'(t))\|_s \|z'(t)\|_s. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, (2.17) in Theorem 2.1 and the definition of ε_* in (2.19), one has

$$(3.36) \quad \sup_{\|z'\|_s \leq 5\varepsilon/3} \|X_{\mathcal{R}}(z')\|_s \leq \frac{5\rho}{3} \varepsilon \langle |\mathcal{R}| \rangle_{s_0+r\tau}^{5\rho\varepsilon/3} \leq \frac{C_N}{R_*^r} \left(\frac{5\rho}{3} \varepsilon\right)^{r+2} = \frac{25\rho^2 C_N}{9(4\varepsilon_*)^r} \varepsilon^{r+2}.$$

Notice that for any $|t| \leq T$, $\|z'(t)\|_s \leq \frac{5}{3}\varepsilon$, and by (3.35) and (3.36), one has

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(z'(t)) - F(z'(0))| &\leq T \sup_{\|z'(t)\|_s \leq 5\varepsilon/3} \|X_{\mathcal{A}}(z'(t))\|_s \|z'(t)\|_s \\ &\leq T \frac{125\rho^2 C_N}{27(4\varepsilon_*)^r} \varepsilon^{r+3} \\ &< \frac{T}{c_1} \varepsilon^{r+3} \end{aligned}$$

with the constant c_1 defined in (2.20). If $T < c_1 \varepsilon^{-(r+1)}$, by (3.34) and (3.37), one has

$$\left(\frac{5}{3}\varepsilon\right)^2 = \|z'(T)\|_s^2 = F(z'(T)) \leq F(z'(0)) + |F(z'(T)) - F(z'(0))| < \left(\frac{4}{3}\varepsilon\right)^2 + \varepsilon^2,$$

which is impossible. Hence, $T \geq c_1 \varepsilon^{-(r+1)}$. Then for any $t \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-(r+1)}$, by (3.33), one has

$$\|z(t)\|_s \leq \|z'(t)\|_s + \|z'(t) - \phi(z'(t))\|_s \leq \frac{5}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{2C_N}{\gamma} \left(\frac{10\rho}{3}\varepsilon\right)^2 \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

In addition, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, one has

$$(3.38) \quad |J_a(t) - J_a(0)| \leq |J_a(t) - J'_a(t)| + |J'_a(t) - J'_a(0)| + |J'_a(0) - J_a(0)|.$$

Similarly to (3.35)–(3.37) but $\langle a \rangle^{2s} J'_a$ instead of $F(z')$, for any $|t| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-(r+\nu)}$, one has

$$(3.39) \quad \langle a \rangle^{2s} |J'_a(t) - J'_a(0)| \leq \varepsilon^{3-\nu}.$$

By (3.33), one has

$$(3.40) \quad \langle a \rangle^{2s} |J_a(t) - J'_a(t)| \leq (\|z(t)\|_s + \|z'(t)\|_s) \|z(t) - z'(t)\|_s \leq \frac{100C_N \rho^2}{\gamma} \varepsilon^3.$$

So (2.23) follows from (3.38)–(3.40). \square

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, as two examples, we prove long time stability of nonlinear wave equation in one dimension and nonlinear Schrödinger equation in high dimension by applying Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1.

4.1. Nonlinear wave equation in one dimension. As an application, we consider the following nonlinear wave equation

$$(4.1) \quad u_{tt} - u_{xx} + mu + f(x, u) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T},$$

where $m \in [1, 2]$, the function f is smooth with respect to x and analytic of order at least two with respect to u at the origin, i.e. $f(x, 0) = \partial_u f(x, u)|_{u=0} = 0$. Write the operator $\Lambda := (-\partial_{xx} + m)^{1/2}$ and let

$$(4.2) \quad z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u + i\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_t).$$

Then the equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$(4.3) \quad \dot{z} = -i\Lambda z - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}f\left(x, \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{z + \bar{z}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right).$$

Using the Fourier expansion $z(t, x) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} z_a(t) e^{iax}$, rewrite (4.3) as

$$(4.4) \quad \dot{z}_a = -i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}_a}$$

with the Hamiltonian function

$$(4.5) \quad H(z, \bar{z}) = H_0(z, \bar{z}) + P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_a |z_a|^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} F\left(x, \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{z_a e^{iax} + \bar{z}_a e^{-iax}}{\sqrt{2}\omega_a}\right)\right) dx,$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \omega_a = \sqrt{a^2 + m}$$

and F is the primitive function of f with respect to the variable u , i.e., $f = \partial_u F$. In view of (4.2), the norm $\|z\|_{s-\frac{1}{2}}$ is equivalent to the Sobolev norm $\|(u, u_t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T})}$.

For the small initial datum $(u(0, x), u_t(0, x)) \in H^s(\mathbb{T}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T})$ with large s , we have the following result of long time stability.

Theorem 4.1. Fix a positive integer r and a real number $\tau \geq 63(r+2)^3$. For any small enough $\gamma > 0$, there exists a subset $\Theta \subset [1, 2]$ with $|\Theta| \leq e^{12(r+2)\gamma \frac{1}{7(r+2)^2}} < 1$ such that for any $m \in [1, 2] \setminus \Theta$ and $s \geq r\tau + 1$, if the initial datum $(u(0, x), \dot{u}(0, x)) \in H^s(\mathbb{T}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies

$$\varepsilon := \|(u(0, x), \dot{u}(0, x))\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \varepsilon_*,$$

then for $|t| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-r}$, the solution $u(t, x)$ of the nonlinear wave equation (4.1) satisfies

$$(4.7) \quad \|u(t, x)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |J_a(t) - J_a(0)| \leq c_2 \varepsilon^3,$$

where $\varepsilon_* = \frac{\gamma}{75 \times 2^{13} r^4 C_{s+1}}$, $c_1 = \frac{4^{r-5} \varepsilon_*^r}{45 C_{s+1}}$ and $c_2 = 1 + \frac{225 \times 2^{13} C_{s+1}}{\gamma}$ with the constant $C_{s+1} > 0$.

By taking big enough r depending on ε , we get longer time of stability in the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. Consider the nonlinear wave equation (4.1) with nonlinearity $f(x, u)$ being analytic in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of the origin. Assume $0 < \lambda < 1/4$. For any small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, if the initial datum $(u(0, x), \dot{u}(0, x))$ satisfies

$$\|(u(0, x), \dot{u}(0, x))\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \varepsilon$$

with $s = 63(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{4\lambda}$, then there exists a subset $\Theta \subset [1, 2]$ with $|\Theta| \leq e^{-(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\lambda}$ such that for any $m \in [1, 2] \setminus \Theta$, the solution $u(t, x)$ satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad \|u(t, x)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |J_a(t) - J_a(0)| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

for

$$(4.11) \quad |t| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{1+\lambda}}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we firstly estimate the nonlinearity P in (4.5).

Lemma 4.1. There exists $R' > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 0$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R'$,

$$(4.12) \quad \langle |P| \rangle_{0,N}^R \leq C_N R.$$

Especially, if the nonlinearity $f(x, u)$ is also analytic with respect to $x \in \mathbb{T}$, then we can take

$$(4.13) \quad C_N = C' \left(\frac{N+2}{e\mu} \right)^{N+2}$$

with the constants $\mu, C' > 0$.

Proof. Expand the function F in power series, namely $F(x, u) = \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} F_n(x)u^n$. Write $P = \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} P_n$, where P_n is a homogeneous of order n ,

$$P_n = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{F}_n(-b) \sum_{\mathcal{M}(j)=b} \frac{z_j}{\sqrt{2}^n \sqrt{\omega_{a_1} \cdots \omega_{a_n}}}$$

with $\hat{F}_n(b)$ being the Fourier coefficient of $F_n(x)$. Notice that $F(x, u)$ is smooth with respect to x and analytic with respect to u . Hence, there exists $R' > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 0$, there exists $\tilde{C}_N > 0$ such that

$$(4.14) \quad |\hat{F}_n(b)| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_N}{R'^n} \langle b \rangle^{-N-2}.$$

In view of (2.7), one has

$$|P_n|_{0,N} = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle b \rangle^N |\hat{F}_n(-b)| \sup_{\mathcal{M}(j)=b} \left| \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{\omega_{a_1} \cdots \omega_{a_n}}} \right| \leq \frac{3\tilde{C}_N}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} R'^n}.$$

Hence, in view of (2.8), for any $R \leq R'$, one has

$$\langle |P| \rangle_{0,N}^R = \sum_{n \geq 3} |P_n|_{0,N} R^{n-2} \leq \frac{3}{2(\sqrt{2}-1)} \frac{\tilde{C}_N}{R^3} R.$$

Let $C_N = \frac{3}{2(\sqrt{2}-1)} \frac{\tilde{C}_N}{R^3}$ and then we get (4.12). When $f(x, u)$ is analytic, there exist constants $C'', \mu > 0$ such that for any $n \geq 3$, the Fourier coefficient $\hat{F}_n(b)$ satisfies the estimate

$$|\hat{F}_n(b)| \leq \frac{C'' e^{-\mu|b|}}{R'^n} \leq \frac{C'' e^\mu}{R'^n} \left(\frac{N+2}{e\mu} \right)^{N+2} \langle b \rangle^{-N-2}.$$

Hence, we draw the conclusion with $C' = \frac{3C'' e^\mu}{2(\sqrt{2}-1)R^3}$. \square

Next, we will consider the property of the family of frequencies $\{\omega_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in (4.6). For $\tau \geq 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l$ with $l \geq 3$, define the resonant set

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) = \left\{ m \in [1, 2] \mid |\delta_1 \omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_l \omega_{a_l}| < \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \cdots j_l^*} \right)^\tau \right\}.$$

Remark that for any permutation σ , $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}(\gamma, \tau) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$. Hence, assume that $j_k^* = \langle j_k \rangle$ without loss of generality in the following. Now, we estimate the measure of

$$(4.16) \quad \Theta := \bigcup_{l=3}^{r+2} \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau).$$

Lemma 4.2. If $\tau \geq 63(r+2)^3$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$, then

$$(4.17) \quad |\Theta| \leq e^{12(r+2)} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7(r+2)^2}}.$$

Proof. The process is parallel to that in the subsection 6.2 of [Bam03]. However, for the purpose to obtain concrete constants on the right side of (4.17), we need give the details. Denote $\Theta_l := \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$. We divide the set Θ_l into three parts, namely $\Theta_l := \Theta_l^{(1)} \cup \Theta_l^{(2)} \cup \Theta_l^{(3)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_l^{(1)} &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 = 1}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau), \\ \Theta_l^{(2)} &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 = -1, j_2^* \leq A}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau), \\ \Theta_l^{(3)} &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 = -1, j_2^* > A}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

with taking

$$(4.18) \quad A = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{7l}} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{\frac{\tau}{27l}}.$$

(i) Estimate of $\Theta_l^{(1)}$.

In view of $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ and the fact $\langle a_k \rangle / \sqrt{2} \leq \omega_{a_k} \leq \sqrt{2} \langle a_k \rangle$, for any $m \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ with $\gamma < 1$, one has

$$j_1^* + j_2^* \leq \sqrt{2}(\omega_{a_1} + \omega_{a_2}) \leq \sqrt{2}(1 + \omega_{a_3} + \cdots + \omega_{a_l}) \leq 2(1 + j_3^* + \cdots + j_l^*) \leq 2l \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*.$$

Hence, $j_1^* \leq 2l \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*$ and $j_2^* \leq l \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*$. By Lemma 7 in Appendix and $\tau \geq 9l^2 + 30l$, one has

$$(4.19) \quad |\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)| \leq 2^{3l+6} l^9 (j_2^*)^4 \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{3l+2-\frac{\tau}{3l}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{l}} \leq 2^{3l+6} l^{13} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{-4} \gamma^{\frac{1}{l}}.$$

Notice that

$$(4.20) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}} \langle j \rangle^{-2} \leq \frac{2\pi^2}{3}.$$

Taking the sum about $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l$ with $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$, by (4.19) and (4.20), one has

$$(4.21) \quad |\Theta_l^{(1)}| \leq 2^{3l+11} l^{15} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{l}}.$$

(ii) Estimate of $\Theta_l^{(2)}$.

In view of $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$ and $j_2^* \leq A$, for any $m \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ with $\gamma < 1$, one has

$$j_1^* \leq \sqrt{2} \omega_{a_1} \leq \sqrt{2} (1 + \omega_{a_2} + \cdots + \omega_{a_l}) \leq 2(1 + j_2^* + \cdots + j_l^*) \leq 2lA \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*.$$

By Lemma 7 in Appendix and (4.18), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta_l^{(2)}| &\leq \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \\ j_2^* \leq A, j_1^* \leq 2lA j_3^* \cdots j_l^*}} 2^{3l+6} l^9 (j_2^*)^4 \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{3l+2-\frac{\tau}{3l}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ &\leq 2^{3l+11} l^{10} \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} A^6 \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{3l+3-\frac{\tau}{3l}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ &= 2^{3l+11} l^{10} \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{3l+3-\frac{\tau}{21l}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by $\tau \geq 63l^2 + 105l$ and (4.20), one has

$$(4.22) \quad |\Theta_l^{(2)}| \leq 2^{3l+11} l^{10} \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l}} \leq 2^{3l+11} l^{10} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l}}.$$

(iii) Estimate of $\Theta_l^{(3)}$.

In view of $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$ and $j_2^* > A$, one has $\delta_1 \omega_{a_1} + \delta_2 \omega_{a_2} = n + r_{a_1, a_2}$ with $n = \delta_1(|a_1| - |a_2|)$ and

$$(4.23) \quad |r_{a_1, a_2}| \leq \frac{2}{\langle a_2 \rangle} \leq \frac{2}{A}$$

for any $m \in [1, 2]$. By Lemma 3 in Appendix, one has

$$(4.24) \quad \gamma\left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \cdots j_l^*}\right)^\tau \leq \gamma(j_3^* \cdots j_l^*)^{-\frac{\tau}{3}} \leq \frac{1}{A}.$$

Write $\mathbf{j}' := (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=3}^l$ and let

$$(4.25) \quad \mathcal{P}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}\left(\frac{3}{A}\right) := \left\{m \in [1, 2] \mid |n + \delta_3 \omega_{a_3} + \cdots + \delta_l \omega_{a_l}| < \frac{3}{A}\right\}.$$

By (4.23) and (4.24), one has $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) \subseteq \mathcal{P}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}\left(\frac{3}{A}\right)$ and thus $\Theta_l^{(3)} \subseteq \bigcup_{n, \mathbf{j}'} \mathcal{P}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}\left(\frac{3}{A}\right)$. Notice that

$$|n| \leq |r_{a_1, a_2}| + 1 + \omega_{a_3} + \cdots + \omega_{a_l} \leq \frac{2}{A} + 1 + \sqrt{2}(j_3^* + \cdots + j_l^*) \leq 2l \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*.$$

Then by Lemma 8 in Appendix, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta_l^{(3)}| &\leq \sum_{n, j_3, \dots, j_l} 2^{3l-3} l^6 \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*\right)^{3l-6} \left(\frac{3}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ &\leq 2^{3l-1} l^7 \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*\right)^{3l-5} \left(\frac{3}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ &\leq 2^{3l} l^7 \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*\right)^{3l-5-\frac{\tau}{21l^2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by $\tau \geq 63l^3 - 63l^2$ and (4.20), one has

$$(4.26) \quad |\Theta_l^{(3)}| \leq 2^{3l} l^7 \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*\right)^{-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l^2}} \leq 2^{3l} l^7 \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l^2}}.$$

To sum up, when $\tau \geq 63l^3$, by estimates (4.21), (4.22) and (4.26), one has

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Theta_l| &\leq |\Theta_l^{(1)}| + |\Theta_l^{(2)}| + |\Theta_l^{(3)}| \\ &\leq 2^{3l+11} l^{15} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7}} + 2^{3l+11} l^{10} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7}} + 2^{3l} l^7 \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l^2}} \\ &\leq 2^{18} l^{15} \left(\frac{16\pi^2}{3}\right)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l^2}} \\ &\leq e^{9l+6} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $2^{18} l^{15} \leq e^{5l+14}$ and $16\pi^2/3 \leq e^4$. Hence, when $\tau \geq 63(r+2)^3$, one has

$$(4.28) \quad |\Theta| = \left| \bigcup_{l=3}^{r+2} \Theta_l \right| \leq \sum_{l=3}^{r+2} e^{9l+6} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7l^2}} \leq e^{9(r+2)+7} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7(r+2)^2}}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $R' > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 0$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that $R \leq R'$, one has $\langle |P| \rangle_{0,N}^R \leq C_N R$. This means that the condition (2.11) in Theorem 2.1 holds with $s_0 = 0$. Notice that $\rho = 96$ in (2.12). Assuming $\gamma < 480r^4 C_N R'$, then $R_* = \frac{\gamma}{960r^4 C_N}$ in (2.13). Then for any $s \geq r\tau + 1$ and $N = s + 1$, one has $\varepsilon_* = \frac{\gamma}{75 \times 2^{13} r^4 C_N}$ in (2.19). Assuming $\gamma < e^{-84(r+2)^3}$, then by Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 2.1, we can get Theorem 4.1 with $c_1 = \frac{4^{r-5} \varepsilon_*^r}{45 C_N}$ and $c_2 = 1 + \frac{225 \times 2^{13} C_N}{\gamma}$. \square

Finally, we apply Theorem 4.1 to prove corollary 4.1.

Proof of Corollary 4.1. Take $r = [(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\lambda] - 2$, $\tau = 63(r+2)^3$ and $\gamma = e^{-91(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{3\lambda}}$. Then $s = 63(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{4\lambda} \geq r\tau + 1$ and

$$|\Theta| \leq e^{12(r+2)} \gamma^{\frac{1}{7(r+2)^2}} \leq e^{-(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\lambda}.$$

By (4.13) with $N = s + 1$ in Lemma 4.1, there exist the constants $\mu, C' > 0$ such that

$$(4.29) \quad c_1 \varepsilon^{-r} = \tilde{c}_1 \left(\frac{e\mu}{N+2} \right)^{(N+2)(r+1)} \left(\frac{\tilde{c}_0 \gamma}{r^4} \right)^r \varepsilon^{-r},$$

$$(4.30) \quad c_2 \varepsilon^3 = \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{c}_2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{N+2}{e\mu} \right)^{N+2} \right) \varepsilon^3$$

with $\tilde{c}_0 = \frac{1}{75 \times 2^{11} C'}$, $\tilde{c}_1 = \frac{1}{45 \times 2^{10} C'}$ and $\tilde{c}_2 = 225 \times 2^{13} C'$. Notice that for $0 < \lambda < 1/4$ and small enough ε , one has

$$(4.31) \quad (N+2)(r+1) \ln \frac{N+2}{e\mu} \leq \frac{1}{6} r \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon},$$

$$(4.32) \quad \ln \frac{r^4}{\tilde{c}_0 \gamma} \leq \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

By (4.29), (4.31) and (4.32), one has

$$c_1 \varepsilon^{-r} \geq \tilde{c}_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{3}r} \geq \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^\lambda} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{1+\lambda}}.$$

Similarly, by (4.30), one has

$$c_2 \varepsilon^3 \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Hence, we can draw the conclusion. \square

4.2. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation in high dimension. Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the d -dimensional torus

$$(4.33) \quad i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + V * u + g(x, u, \bar{u}), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

where $d \geq 2$, the real value function g is smooth with respect to x and analytic of order at least three with respect to u, \bar{u} at $(u, \bar{u}) = (0, 0)$. The potential $V(x) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{v_a}{\langle a \rangle^m} e^{ia \cdot x}$, where $m > \frac{d}{2}$ is fixed, and $\{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is chosen in the space \mathcal{V} given by

$$(4.34) \quad \mathcal{V} = \left\{ \{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mid v_a \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}.$$

Expand $u(x) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} z_a e^{ia \cdot x}$ and then the Hamiltonian function is given by

$$(4.35) \quad H(z, \bar{z}) = H_0(z, \bar{z}) + P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_a |z_a|^2 + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} G(x, u, \bar{u}) dx,$$

where

$$(4.36) \quad \omega_a = |a|^2 + \frac{v_a}{\langle a \rangle^m}$$

and G is the primitive function of g with respect to the variable \bar{u} , i.e., $g = \partial_{\bar{u}} G$.

Recall the notation $\rho = 2^{d+4} 3^d$ in (2.12), and in this subsection, we fix a real number $\tau \geq 15(m + 2d + 1)$. Then we have long time stability of solutions of the equation (4.33).

Theorem 4.2. Fix a positive integer r . For any small enough $\gamma > 0$, there exists a subset $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ satisfying $|\mathcal{V}'| \leq \rho^{r+3} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}} < 1$ such that for any $\{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}'$ and $s \geq r\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$, if the initial datum $u(0, x) \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ satisfies $\varepsilon := \|u(0, x)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \varepsilon_*$, then for $|t| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-r}$, the solution $u(t, x)$ of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4.33) satisfies

$$(4.37) \quad \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.38) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |I_a(t) - I_a(0)| \leq c_2 \varepsilon^3,$$

where $\varepsilon_* = \frac{3\gamma}{200\rho^2 r^4 C_{s+1}}$, $c_1 = \frac{(4\varepsilon_*)^r}{5\rho^2 C_{s+1}}$ and $c_2 = 1 + \frac{200\rho^2 C_{s+1}}{\gamma}$ with the constant $C_{s+1} > 0$.

Take big enough r depending on ε , and then we get longer time of stability.

Corollary 4.2. Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4.33) with nonlinearity $g(x, u, \bar{u})$ being analytic in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of the origin. For any small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, if the initial datum $u(0, x)$ satisfies $\|u(0, x)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \varepsilon$, where $s = \frac{\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{12 \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$, then there exists a subset $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ satisfying

$$|\mathcal{V}'| \leq \rho^{-\frac{\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{60\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}$$

such that for any $\{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}'$, the solution $u(t, x)$ satisfies

$$(4.39) \quad \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.40) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |I_a(t) - I_a(0)| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

for

$$(4.41) \quad |t| \leq \rho^{\frac{(\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2}{24\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}.$$

Especially, if nonlinearity of the equation (4.33) does not contain the spatial variable x explicitly, then the denominator $\log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ in the stability time (4.41) could be deleted. See the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (4.33) with nonlinearity $g(u, \bar{u})$ being analytic in \mathcal{U} , where \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of the origin. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $s \geq \frac{7}{9} \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, if the initial datum $u(0, x)$ satisfies $\|u(0, x)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \varepsilon$, then there exists a subset $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$ satisfying $|\mathcal{V}'| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{30}}$ such that for any $\{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}'$, the solution $u(t, x)$ satisfies

$$(4.42) \quad \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.43) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle a \rangle^{2s} |I_a(t) - I_a(0)| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

for

$$(4.44) \quad |t| \leq \rho^{\frac{1}{46} (\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2}.$$

Similarly with Lemma 4.1, we prove that nonlinearity $P(z, \bar{z})$ of (4.35) satisfies the assumption (2.11).

Lemma 4.3. There exists $R' > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 0$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R'$,

$$(4.45) \quad \langle |P| \rangle_{0, N}^R \leq C_N R.$$

Especially, if the nonlinearity $g(x, u, \bar{u})$ is also analytic with respect to $x \in \mathbb{T}$, then we can take

$$(4.46) \quad C_N = C' \left(\frac{N+2}{e\mu} \right)^{N+2}$$

with the constants $\mu, C' > 0$.

Proof. Expand the function G in power series, namely $G(x, u) = \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} G_n(x) u^n$. Write $P = \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} P_n$, where P_n is homogeneous of order n ,

$$P_n = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{G}_n(-b) \sum_{\mathcal{M}(j)=b} z_j$$

with $\hat{G}_n(b)$ being the Fourier coefficient of $G_n(x)$. Notice that $G(x, u, \bar{u})$ is smooth with respect to x and analytic with respect to u, \bar{u} . Hence, there exists $R' > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 0$, there exists $\tilde{C}_N > 0$ such that

$$(4.47) \quad |\hat{G}_n(b)| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_N}{(2R')^n} \langle b \rangle^{-N-2}.$$

In view of (2.7), one has

$$|P_n|_{0,N} = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle b \rangle^N |\hat{G}_n(-b)| \leq \frac{3\tilde{C}_N}{(2R')^n}.$$

Hence, in view of (2.8), for any $R \leq R'$, one has

$$\langle |P| \rangle_{0,N}^R = \sum_{n \geq 3} |P_n|_{0,N} R^{n-2} \leq \frac{3\tilde{C}_N}{4R^3} R.$$

Let $C_N = \frac{3\tilde{C}_N}{4R^3}$ and then we get (4.45). When $g(x, u, \bar{u})$ is analytic, there exist constants $C'', \mu > 0$ such that for any $n \geq 3$, the Fourier coefficient $\hat{G}_n(b)$ satisfies the estimate

$$|\hat{G}_n(b)| \leq \frac{C'' e^{-\mu|b|}}{(2R')^n} \leq \frac{C'' e^\mu}{(2R')^n} \left(\frac{N+2}{e\mu} \right)^{N+2} \langle b \rangle^{-N-2}.$$

Hence, we draw the conclusion with $C' = \frac{3C'' e^\mu}{4R^3}$. \square

The following Lemma shows that for almost all $V \in \mathcal{V}$, the family of frequencies $\{\omega_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ in (4.36) is non-resonant up to order $r+2$.

Lemma 4.4. For any $\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l$, define the resonant set

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) = \left\{ \{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \mid |\delta_1 \omega_{a_1} + \cdots + \delta_l \omega_{a_l}| < \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle_{j_2^* \dots j_l^*}} \right)^\tau \right\}.$$

If $\tau \geq 15(m+2d+1)$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$, then

$$(4.48) \quad \left| \bigcup_{l=3}^{r+2} \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) \right| \leq \rho^{r+3} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}}$$

with $\rho = 2^{d+4} 3^d$.

Proof. Remark that for any permutation σ , $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(\mathbf{j})}(\gamma, \tau) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$. Hence, assume that $j_k^* = \langle j_k \rangle$ without loss of generality. In view of $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l$, by Lemma 3 in Appendix, one has

$$(4.49) \quad |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)| \leq 2(j_l^*)^m \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle_{j_2^* \dots j_l^*}} \right)^\tau \leq 2\gamma \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{m-\frac{\tau}{3}}.$$

Similarly with the proof of Lemma 4.2, we divide $\bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ into three parts, namely $\bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) = \mathcal{V}_l^{(1)} + \mathcal{V}_l^{(2)} + \mathcal{V}_l^{(3)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_l^{(1)} &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 = 1}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau), \\ \mathcal{V}_l^{(2)} &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 = -1, j_2^* \leq A}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau), \\ \mathcal{V}_l^{(3)} &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l \\ \delta_1 \delta_2 = -1, j_2^* > A}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

with taking

$$(4.50) \quad A = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{m+2d}} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{\frac{\tau}{3(m+2d)}}.$$

(i) Estimate of $\mathcal{V}_l^{(1)}$.

In view of $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$, for any $\{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ with $\gamma < 1$, one has $|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 \leq |a_3|^2 + \cdots + |a_l|^2 + 1 + \frac{l}{2}$ and then

$$(j_1^*)^2 \leq 2(1 + |a_1|^2) \leq 2(1 + |a_3|^2 + \cdots + |a_l|^2 + 1 + \frac{l}{2}) \leq 3l \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^2.$$

Hence, one has $j_2^* \leq j_1^* \leq \sqrt{3l} \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*$. Taking the sum about $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l$ with $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$, by (4.49), the fact $\tau \geq 3m + 9d + 3$ and Lemma 1 in Appendix, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (4.51) \quad |\mathcal{V}_l^{(1)}| &\leq 4 \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} 2\gamma \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{m - \frac{\tau}{3}} (2\sqrt{3l} \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*)^{2d} \\ &\leq 8(12l)^d \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \gamma \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{-(d+1)} \\ &\leq 8(12l)^d (2 \times 3^d)^{l-2} \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Estimate of $\mathcal{V}_l^{(2)}$.

In view of $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$ and $j_2^* \leq A$, for any $\{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ with $\gamma < 1$, one has $|a_1|^2 \leq |a_2|^2 + \cdots + |a_l|^2 + 1 + \frac{l}{2}$ and then

$$(j_1^*)^2 \leq 2(1 + |a_1|^2) \leq 2(1 + |a_2|^2 + \cdots + |a_l|^2 + 1 + \frac{l}{2}) \leq (lA \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*)^2.$$

Hence, $j_1^* \leq lA \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*$. By (4.49), (4.50), the fact $\tau \geq 3(m+2d+1)(m+2d)/m$ and Lemma 1 in Appendix, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.52) \quad |\mathcal{Y}_l^{(2)}| &\leq 4 \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} 2\gamma \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{m-\frac{\tau}{3}} (2A)^d (2lA \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*)^d \\
&\leq 8(4l)^d \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{m+d-\frac{\tau m}{3(m+2d)}} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}} \\
&\leq 8(4l)^d (2 \times 3^d)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Estimate of $\mathcal{Y}_l^{(3)}$.

In view of $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$ and $j_2^* > A$, one has $\omega_{a_1} - \omega_{a_2} = n + \nu_{a_1, a_2}$ with $n = \delta_1(|a_1|^2 - |a_2|^2)$ and

$$(4.53) \quad |\nu_{a_1, a_2}| = \left| \frac{v_{a_1}}{\langle a_1 \rangle^m} - \frac{v_{a_2}}{\langle a_2 \rangle^m} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\langle a_2 \rangle^m} < \frac{1}{A^m}.$$

By Lemma 3 in Appendix and (4.50), one has

$$(4.54) \quad \gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) j_2^* \dots j_l^*} \right)^\tau \leq \gamma (j_3^* \dots j_l^*)^{-\frac{\tau}{3}} \leq \frac{1}{A^m}.$$

Write $\mathbf{j}' = (j_3, \dots, j_l)$ and let

$$\mathcal{Y}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}(A') = \left\{ \{v_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \mid |n + \delta_3 \omega_{a_3} + \dots + \delta_l \omega_{a_l}| < \frac{2}{A^m} \right\}.$$

By (4.53) and (4.54), one has $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}(A)$ and thus $\mathcal{Y}_l^{(3)} \subseteq \bigcup_{n, \mathbf{j}'} \mathcal{Y}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}(A)$. Notice that $|n| < |a_3|^2 + \dots + |a_l|^2 + \frac{l}{2} + 1 < l \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^2$. Then by (4.50), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.55) \quad |\mathcal{Y}_l^{(3)}| &\leq \sum_{n, j_3, \dots, j_l} |\mathcal{Y}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}(A')| \\
&\leq \sum_{n, j_3, \dots, j_l} 2(j_l^*)^m \frac{2}{A^m} \\
&\leq 8l \sum_{j_3, \dots, j_l} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{m+2-\frac{\tau m}{3(m+2d)}} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.55), the fact $\tau \geq 3(m+2d)(m+d+3)/m$ and Lemma 1 in Appendix, one has

$$(4.56) \quad |\mathcal{Y}_l^{(3)}| \leq 8l(2 \times 3^d)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}}.$$

To sum up, by estimates (4.51), (4.52) and (4.56), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.57) \quad \left| \bigcup_j \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) \right| &\leq |\mathcal{Y}_l^{(1)}| + |\mathcal{Y}_l^{(2)}| + |\mathcal{Y}_l^{(3)}| \\
&\leq (8(12l)^d + 8(4l)^d + 8l)(2 \times 3^d)^{l-2} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \rho^l \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}}$$

with $\rho = 2^{d+4}3^d$. In view of $m > d/2$, hence when $\tau \geq 15(m + 2d + 1)$, one has

$$(4.58) \quad \left| \bigcup_{l=3}^{r+2} \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_l} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) \right| \leq \sum_{l=3}^{r+2} \rho^l \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}} \leq \rho^{r+3} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By Lemma 4.3, there exists $R' > 0$ such that for any $N \geq 0$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that $R \leq R'$, one has $\langle |P| \rangle_{0,N}^R \leq C_N R$. This means that the condition (2.11) in Theorem 2.1 holds with $s_0 = 0$. Assuming $\gamma < 5\rho^4 C_N R'$, then $R_* = \frac{\gamma}{10\rho^4 C_N}$ in (2.13). Then for any $s \geq r\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$ and $N = s+1$, one has $\varepsilon_* = \frac{3\gamma}{200\rho^2 r^4 C_N}$ in (2.19). Assuming $\gamma < \rho^{-\frac{(m+2d)(r+3)}{m}}$, then by Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 2.1, we can get Theorem 4.2 with $c_1 = \frac{(4\varepsilon_*)^r}{5\rho^2 C_N}$ and $c_2 = 1 + \frac{200\rho^2 C_N}{\gamma}$. \square

Finally, we apply Theorem 4.2 to prove Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.3.

Proof of Corollary 4.2. Take $r = \lfloor \frac{\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{12\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \rfloor - 3$, $\gamma = \rho^{-\frac{\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{2\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}$. Then

$$s = \frac{\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{12 \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \geq r\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$$

and for any $m > \frac{d}{2}$, one has

$$|\mathcal{V}'| \leq \rho^{r+3} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}} \leq \rho^{-\frac{\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{60\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}.$$

By (4.46) with $N = s+1$ in Lemma 4.3, there exist the constants $\mu, C' > 0$ such that

$$(4.59) \quad c_1 \varepsilon^{-r} = \tilde{c}_1 \left(\frac{e\mu}{N+2} \right)^{(N+2)(r+1)} \left(\frac{\tilde{c}_0 \gamma}{r^4} \right)^r \varepsilon^{-r},$$

$$(4.60) \quad c_2 \varepsilon^3 = \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{c}_2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{N+2}{e\mu} \right)^{N+2} \right) \varepsilon^3$$

with $\tilde{c}_0 = \frac{3}{50\rho^2 C'}$, $\tilde{c}_1 = \frac{1}{5\rho^2 C'}$ and $\tilde{c}_2 = 200\rho^2 C'$. Notice that for any small enough ε , one has

$$(4.61) \quad (N+2)(r+1) \log_\rho \frac{N+2}{e\mu} \leq \frac{1}{6} r \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon},$$

$$(4.62) \quad \log_\rho \frac{r^4}{\tilde{c}_0 \gamma} \leq \frac{1}{6} \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

By (4.59), (4.61) and (4.62), one has

$$c_1 \varepsilon^{-r} \geq \tilde{c}_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{3}r} \geq \varepsilon^{-\frac{\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{24\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}} = \rho^{\frac{(\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2}{24\tau \log_\rho \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}.$$

Similarly, by (4.60), one has

$$c_2 \varepsilon^3 \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Hence, we can draw the conclusion. \square

Proof of Corollary 4.3. By Lemma 4.3 and the definition of norm (2.7), the estimate (4.45) holds with the constant C_N independent of N . Take $r = \lceil \frac{1}{30} \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rceil - 3$, $\gamma = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3}} = \rho^{-\frac{1}{3} \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$. Then thus $s \geq \frac{\tau}{9} \log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \geq r\tau + \frac{d+1}{2}$, $|\mathcal{V}'| \leq \rho^{r+3} \gamma^{\frac{m}{m+2d}} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{30}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \varepsilon^{-r} &= \frac{1}{5\rho^2 C_N} \left(\frac{3}{50\rho^2 C_N} \frac{\gamma}{r^4} \right)^r \varepsilon^{-r} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{5\rho^2 C_N} \left(\frac{3}{50\rho^2 C_N r^4} \right)^r \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{3}r} \\ &\geq \rho^{\frac{1}{46} (\log_\rho \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

and $c_2 \varepsilon^3 = (1 + \frac{200\rho^2 C_N}{\gamma}) \varepsilon^3 \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}$. \square

APPENDIX

Lemma 1. One has

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{\langle j \rangle^{d+1}} = 2 \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{\langle a \rangle^{d+1}} < 2 \times 3^d.$$

Proof. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ and $|a|_\infty = \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_d|\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{\langle a \rangle^{d+1}} &\leq \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{(1 + |a|_\infty)^{d+1}} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d}{(1+n)^{d+1}} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2d(2n+1)^{d-1}}{(1+n)^{d+1}} \\ &\leq 1 + 2^d d \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1+n)^2} \\ &= 1 + 2^d d \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 \right) \\ &< 3^d. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 2. For any given $j \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d$, one has

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \mathcal{M}(j_1, j_2, j) = 0}} \frac{\langle j \rangle^{d+1}}{\langle j_1 \rangle^{d+1} \langle j_2 \rangle^{d+1}} < 2^{d+3} 3^d.$$

Proof. By $\langle j \rangle \leq \langle j_1 \rangle + \langle j_2 \rangle$ and Lemma 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \mathcal{M}(j_1, j_2, j) = 0}} \frac{\langle j \rangle^{d+1}}{\langle j_1 \rangle^{d+1} \langle j_2 \rangle^{d+1}} &\leq 2^d \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \mathcal{M}(j_1, j_2, j) = 0}} \left(\frac{1}{\langle j_1 \rangle^{d+1}} + \frac{1}{\langle j_2 \rangle^{d+1}} \right) \\ &= 2^{d+2} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{\langle j_1 \rangle^{d+1}} \\ &< 2^{d+3} 3^d. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. For any $\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d)^l$, one has

$$(3) \quad j_1^* \leq \langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Proof. By direct calculation, for any real numbers $x \geq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq x$, one has

$$(4) \quad 1 + x + y \leq (1 + x)(1 + y)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Assuming $|a_1| \geq |a_2| \geq \dots \geq |a_l|$ and $|a_1| \geq 1$ without loss of generality, then by induction, one has

$$\begin{aligned} j_1^* &= 1 + |a_1| \\ &\leq 1 + |\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_l| \\ &\leq (1 + |\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_{l-1}|)(1 + |a_l|)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\leq (1 + |\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})| + |a_2|)(1 + |a_3|)^{\frac{2}{3}} \dots (1 + |a_l|)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\leq (1 + |\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j})|)(1 + |a_2|)(1 + |a_3|)^{\frac{2}{3}} \dots (1 + |a_l|)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can draw the conclusion. □

Lemma 4. For $\omega_a = \sqrt{a^2 + m}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in [1, 2]$, one has the following estimates:

$$(5) \quad \omega_0^{-2} - \omega_1^{-2} \geq \frac{1}{6} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \langle 0 \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle 1 \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$

$$(6) \quad \omega_0^{-2} - \omega_a^{-2} \geq \frac{1}{3} > \langle 0 \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle a \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \text{for any } a \geq 2,$$

$$(7) \quad \omega_b^{-2} - \omega_a^{-2} > \langle b \rangle^{-3} > \langle b \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle a \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \text{for any } a > b \geq 1.$$

Proof. These estimates follow from direct calculation. \square

Lemma 5. Let $u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(K)}$ be K independent vectors with $\|u^{(i)}\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$. Let $w \in \mathbb{R}^K$ be an arbitrary vector, then there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ such that

$$(8) \quad |w \cdot u^{(i_0)}| \geq \frac{\|w\|_{\ell^1} |\det(u)|}{K^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

where $\|w\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{k=1}^K |w_k|$ and $\det(u)$ is the determinant of the matrix formed by the components of the vectors $u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(K)}$.

Proof. It is Lemma 6.9 of [Bam03]. \square

Lemma 6. Let h is $l + 1$ times differentiable on an interval $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{P}_X = \{x \in \mathcal{P} \mid |h(x)| < X\}$. Assume that

(i) for any $x \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists $i_0 \leq l$ such that $h^{(i_0)}(x) > A$,

(ii) there exists B such that for any $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $1 \leq i \leq l + 1$, one has $|h^{(i)}(x)| \leq B$.
Then

$$(9) \quad \frac{|\mathcal{P}_X|}{|\mathcal{P}|} \leq \frac{B}{A} 2(2 + 3 + \dots + l + \frac{2}{A}) X^{\frac{1}{l}}.$$

Proof. It is Lemma 8.4 of [Bam99b]. \square

Lemma 7. Assume $\gamma < 1$. Then for any $\mathbf{j} \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l$, one has

$$(10) \quad |\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)| \leq 2^{3l+6} l^9 (j_2^*)^4 (j_3^* \dots j_l^*)^{3l+2-\frac{\tau}{3l}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{l}},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ is defined in (4.15).

Proof. The process is similar to Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.12 in [Bam03]. However, for the purpose to obtain concrete constants on the right side of (10), we need give the details. At first, for any integer $3 \leq K \leq l$, consider indexes $0 \leq a'_1 < \dots < a'_K$ and the determinant

$$D := \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{a'_1} & \omega_{a'_2} & \dots & \omega_{a'_K} \\ \frac{d\omega_{a'_1}}{dm} & \frac{d\omega_{a'_2}}{dm} & \dots & \frac{d\omega_{a'_K}}{dm} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{d^{K-1}\omega_{a'_1}}{dm^{K-1}} & \frac{d^{K-1}\omega_{a'_2}}{dm^{K-1}} & \dots & \frac{d^{K-1}\omega_{a'_K}}{dm^{K-1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Notice that

$$(11) \quad \frac{d\omega_a}{dm} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_a^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^i\omega_a}{dm^i} = \frac{(2i-3)!}{(-4)^{i-1}(i-2)!} \omega_a^{-2i+1} \quad \text{for any } i \geq 2.$$

Hence, one has

$$(12) \quad D = \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{K-1} \frac{(2k-3)!}{(-4)^{k-1}(k-2)!} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \right) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \omega_{a'_1}^{-2} & \omega_{a'_2}^{-2} & \cdots & \omega_{a'_K}^{-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_{a'_1}^{-2(K-1)} & \omega_{a'_2}^{-2(K-1)} & \cdots & \omega_{a'_K}^{-2(K-1)} \end{vmatrix},$$

where the last Vandermonde determinant about $\omega_{a'_k}^{-2}$ ($k = 1, \dots, K$) is equal to

$$(13) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq K} (\omega_{a'_i}^{-2} - \omega_{a'_k}^{-2}).$$

By Lemma 4, one has

$$(14) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq K-2} (\omega_{a'_i}^{-2} - \omega_{a'_k}^{-2}) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq K-2} \langle a'_i \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}(K-3)},$$

$$(15) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i \leq K-2} (\omega_{a'_i}^{-2} - \omega_{a'_{K-1}}^{-2}) \geq \frac{1}{6} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq K-2} \langle a'_i \rangle^{-3},$$

$$(16) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i \leq K-2} (\omega_{a'_i}^{-2} - \omega_{a'_K}^{-2}) \geq \frac{1}{3} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq K-2} \langle a'_i \rangle^{-3},$$

$$(17) \quad \omega_{a'_{K-1}}^{-2} - \omega_{a'_K}^{-2} \geq \langle a'_{K-1} \rangle^{-3}.$$

By (12)–(17), one has

$$(18) \quad |D| \geq \frac{1}{54\sqrt{2}} \left(\prod_{k=2}^{K-1} \frac{(2k-3)!}{4^{k-1}(k-2)!} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \right) \frac{1}{(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq K-2} \langle a'_i \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}(K+1)}) \langle a'_{K-1} \rangle^3}.$$

Next, we estimate the sum of elements of each row of D . By (11), one has

$$(19) \quad \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \leq K \omega_{a'_K},$$

$$(20) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dm} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \right) \right| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k}^{-1} \leq \frac{K}{2},$$

$$(21) \quad \left| \frac{d^i}{dm^i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \right) \right| = \frac{(2i-3)!}{4^{i-1}(i-2)!} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k}^{1-2i} \right) \leq \frac{2(2i-3)!}{4^{i-1}(i-2)!}, \text{ for any } i \geq 2.$$

Hence, one has

$$(22) \quad \prod_{i=0}^{K-1} \left| \frac{d^i}{dm^i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \right) \right| \leq 2^{K-3} K^2 \left(\prod_{k=2}^{K-1} \frac{(2k-3)!}{4^{k-1}(k-2)!} \right) \omega_{a'_K}.$$

By Lemma 5, (18), (22) and the fact $\omega_{a_k} \geq \langle a_k \rangle / \sqrt{2}$, there exists $i_0 \in \{0, \dots, K-1\}$ such that

$$(23) \quad \left| w \cdot \frac{d^{i_0} \omega}{dm^{i_0}} \right| \geq \frac{\|w\|_{\ell^1} |D|}{K^{\frac{3}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{K-1} \left| \frac{d^i}{dm^i} (\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k}) \right|} \\ \geq \frac{\|w\|_{\ell^1}}{2^{\frac{3}{2}K+3} K^{\frac{7}{2}} (\prod_{k=1}^{K-2} \langle a'_k \rangle)^{\frac{3}{2}K+\frac{1}{2}} \langle a'_{K-1} \rangle^2},$$

where $\omega = (\omega_{a'_1}, \dots, \omega_{a'_K})$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^K$ is a vector whose components are not zero. Moreover, for $K=2$, (23) still holds with convention that $\prod_{k=1}^{K-2} \langle a'_k \rangle = 1$.

Finally, for any $\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l$, we estimate the measure of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$. Let $h(m) = \sum_{k=1}^l \delta_k \omega_{a_k}(m)$. Then $h(m)$ could be expressed by $\sum_{k=1}^K w_k \omega_{a'_k}$ with $w_k \neq 0$ and $0 \leq a'_1 < \dots < a'_K$. If $K=1$, then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau) = \emptyset$. So we only need to consider the case $2 \leq K \leq l$, and thus $2 \leq \|w\|_{\ell^1} \leq l$. By (23), for any $m \in [1, 2]$, there exists $i_0 \in \{0, \dots, K-1\}$ such that

$$(24) \quad |h^{(i_0)}(m)| \geq \frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}l+2} l^{\frac{7}{2}} (\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*)^{\frac{3}{2}l+\frac{1}{2}} (j_2^*)^2}.$$

For any $i = 1, \dots, K$, in view of (11), one has

$$|h^{(i)}(m)| \leq \|w\|_{\ell^1} \sup_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left| \frac{d^i \omega_{a'_k}}{dm^i} \right| \leq l \frac{(i-1)!}{2} \leq l \frac{(K-1)!}{2}.$$

Notice that $\prod_{k=1}^{K-2} \langle a'_k \rangle \geq (K-2)!$. Hence, one has

$$(25) \quad |h^{(i)}(m)| \leq \frac{l(K-1)}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{K-2} \langle a'_k \rangle \leq \frac{l^2}{2} \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*.$$

By (24), (25), Lemma 6 and Lemma 3, one has

$$|\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)| \leq 8 \left(\frac{l^2}{2} \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right) \left(2^{\frac{3}{2}l+2} l^{\frac{7}{2}} (\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*)^{\frac{3}{2}l+\frac{1}{2}} (j_2^*)^2 \right)^2 \left(\gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \dots j_l^*} \right)^\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{K-1}} \\ \leq 2^{3l+6} l^9 (j_2^*)^4 \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{3l+2} \left(\gamma \left(\frac{j_1^*}{\langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle j_2^* \dots j_l^*} \right)^\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} \\ \leq 2^{3l+6} l^9 (j_2^*)^4 \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{3l+2-\frac{\tau}{3l}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{l}}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

For any $\mathbf{j} = (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=1}^l \in (\mathbb{U}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})^l \setminus \mathcal{J}_l$, if $\delta_1 \delta_2 = 1$ or $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$ and j_2^* is not too big, then we estimate the measure of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ by Lemma 7. Otherwise, we estimate $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{j}}(\gamma, \tau)$ by

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{P}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}(X) := \{m \in [1, 2] \mid |n + \delta_3 \omega_{a_3} + \dots + \delta_l \omega_{a_l}| < X\}$$

with $n := \delta_1(|a_1| - |a_2|)$, $\mathbf{j}' := (\delta_k, a_k)_{k=3}^l$ and an appropriate X .

Lemma 8. For the above n, \mathbf{j}' , one has

$$(27) \quad |\mathcal{P}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}(X)| \leq 2^{3l-3} l^6 (j_3^* \cdots j_l^*)^{3l-6} X^{\frac{1}{l}}.$$

Proof. Similarly with the proof of Lemma 7, for any integer $K \geq 2$, consider indexes $0 \leq a'_1 < \cdots < a'_K$ and the determinant

$$D' := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{d\omega_{a'_1}}{dm} & \frac{d\omega_{a'_2}}{dm} & \cdots & \frac{d\omega_{a'_K}}{dm} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{d^K \omega_{a'_1}}{dm^K} & \frac{d^K \omega_{a'_2}}{dm^K} & \cdots & \frac{d^K \omega_{a'_K}}{dm^K} \end{vmatrix}.$$

By (11), (13), Lemma 4 and $\omega_a \leq \sqrt{2}\langle a \rangle$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |D'| &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{k=2}^K \frac{(2k-3)!}{4^{k-1}(k-2)!} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k}^{-1} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq K} |\omega_{a'_k}^{-2} - \omega_{a'_i}^{-2}| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6(\sqrt{2})^{K-1}} \left(\prod_{k=2}^K \frac{(2k-3)!}{4^{k-1}(k-2)!} \right) \prod_{k=1}^K \langle a'_k \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}K}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by (20) and (21), one has

$$\prod_{i=1}^K \left| \frac{d^i}{dm^i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \right) \right| \leq 2^{K-2} K \left(\prod_{i=2}^K \frac{(2i-3)!}{4^{i-1}(i-2)!} \right).$$

Hence, by Lemma 5, for any $m \in [1, 2]$, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ such that

$$(28) \quad \left| w \cdot \frac{d^{i_0} \omega}{dm^{i_0}} \right| \geq \frac{\|w\|_{\ell^1} |D'|}{K^{\frac{3}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^K \left| \frac{d^i}{dm^i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \omega_{a'_k} \right) \right|} \geq \frac{\|w\|_{\ell^1}}{2^{\frac{3}{2}K + \frac{1}{2}} K^{\frac{5}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^K \langle a'_k \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}K - \frac{1}{2}}},$$

where $\omega = (\omega_{a'_1}, \dots, \omega_{a'_K})$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^K$ is a vector whose components are not zero. Moreover, for $K = 1$, (28) still holds.

Finally, we estimate the measure of $\mathcal{P}'_{n, \mathbf{j}'}(X)$. Let $h(m) = n + \sum_{k=3}^l \delta_k \omega_{a_k}(m)$. Then $h(m)$ could be expressed by $n + \sum_{k=1}^K w_k \omega_{a'_k}$ with $w_k \neq 0$ and $0 \leq a'_1 < \cdots < a'_K$. Obviously, $1 \leq K \leq l - 2$ and then by (28), for any $m \in [1, 2]$, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ such that

$$(29) \quad |h^{(i_0)}(m)| > \frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}(l-2) + \frac{1}{2}} l^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{\frac{3}{2}l - \frac{7}{2}}}.$$

In view of (11), for any $i = 1, \dots, K + 1$, one has

$$|h^{(i)}(m)| \leq l \frac{(i-1)!}{2} \leq l \frac{K!}{2}.$$

Notice that $\prod_{k=1}^K \langle a'_k \rangle \geq K!$ and thus

$$(30) \quad |h^{(i)}(m)| \leq \frac{l}{2} \prod_{k=1}^K \langle a'_k \rangle \leq \frac{l}{2} \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^*.$$

By (29), (30) and Lemma 6, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}'_{n,j'}(\gamma, \tau)| &\leq 8 \left(\frac{l}{2} \prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right) \left(2^{\frac{3}{2}(l-2) + \frac{1}{2}} l^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{\frac{3}{2}l - \frac{7}{2}} \right)^2 X^{\frac{1}{K}} \\ &\leq 2^{3l-3} l^6 \left(\prod_{k=3}^l j_k^* \right)^{3l-6} X^{\frac{1}{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bam99a] D. Bambusi. Nekhoroshev theorem for small amplitude solutions in nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Math. Z.*, 230(2):345–387, 1999.
- [Bam99b] D. Bambusi. On long time stability in Hamiltonian perturbations of non-resonant linear PDEs. *Nonlinearity*, 12(4):823–850, 1999.
- [Bam03] D. Bambusi. Birkhoff normal form for some nonlinear PDEs. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 234(2):253–285, 2003.
- [Bam08] D. Bambusi. A Birkhoff normal form theorem for some semilinear PDEs. In *Hamiltonian dynamical systems and applications*, NATO Sci. Peace Secur. Ser. B Phys. Biophys., pages 213–247. Springer, Dordrecht, 2008.
- [BD18] M. Berti and J.-M. Delort. *Almost global solutions of capillary-gravity water waves equations on the circle*, volume 24 of *Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana*. Springer, Cham; Unione Matematica Italiana, [Bologna], 2018.
- [BDGS07] D. Bambusi, J.-M. Delort, B. Grébert, and J. Szeftel. Almost global existence for Hamiltonian semilinear Klein-Gordon equations with small Cauchy data on Zoll manifolds. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(11):1665–1690, 2007.
- [BFG20a] J. Bernier, E. Faou, and B. Grébert. Long time behavior of the solutions of NLW on the d -dimensional torus. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 8:12–26, 2020.
- [BFG20b] J. Bernier, E. Faou, and B. Grébert. Rational Normal Forms and Stability of Small Solutions to Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations. *Ann. PDE*, 6(2):14, 2020.
- [BG06] D. Bambusi and B. Grébert. Birkhoff normal form for partial differential equations with tame modulus. *Duke Math. J.*, 135(3):507–567, 2006.
- [BG21] J. Bernier and B. Grébert. Long time dynamics for generalized Korteweg-de Vries and Benjamin-Ono equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 241(3):1139–1241, 2021.
- [BMP20] L. Biasco, J.-E. Massetti, and M. Procesi. An abstract Birkhoff normal form theorem and exponential type stability of the 1d NLS. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 375(3):2089–2153, 2020.
- [Bou96] J. Bourgain. Construction of approximative and almost periodic solutions of perturbed linear Schrödinger and wave equations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 6(2):201–230, 1996.
- [Bou00] J. Bourgain. On diffusion in high-dimensional Hamiltonian systems and PDE. *J. Anal. Math.*, 80:1–35, 2000.
- [CLSY18] H. Cong, J. Liu, Y. Shi, and X. Yuan. The stability of full dimensional KAM tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 264(7):4504–4563, 2018.

- [CLW20] H. Cong, C. Liu, and P. Wang. A Nekhoroshev type theorem for the nonlinear wave equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(4):3853–3889, 2020.
- [CLY16] H. Cong, J. Liu, and X. Yuan. Stability of KAM tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 239(1134):vii+85, 2016.
- [CMW20] H. Cong, L. Mi, and P. Wang. A Nekhoroshev type theorem for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 268(9):5207–5256, 2020.
- [CMWZ22] H. Cong, L. Mi, X. Wu, and Q. Zhang. Exponential stability estimate for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinearity*, 35(5):2385–2423, 2022.
- [Del12] J.-M. Delort. A quasi-linear Birkhoff normal forms method. Application to the quasi-linear Klein-Gordon equation on S^1 . *Astérisque*, (341):vi+113, 2012.
- [Del15] J.-M. Delort. Quasi-linear perturbations of Hamiltonian Klein-Gordon equations on spheres. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 234(1103):vi+80, 2015.
- [FG13] E. Faou and B. Grébert. A Nekhoroshev-type theorem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the torus. *Anal. PDE*, 6(6):1243–1262, 2013.
- [GIP09] B. Grébert, R. Imekraz, and É. Paturel. Normal forms for semilinear quantum harmonic oscillators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 291(3):763–798, 2009.
- [Liu22] J. Liu. Birkhoff Normal Form for the Derivative Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 38(1):249–262, 2022.
- [YZ14] X. Yuan and J. Zhang. Long time stability of Hamiltonian partial differential equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 46(5):3176–3222, 2014.
- [Zha10] Q. Zhang. Long-time existence for semi-linear Klein-Gordon equations with quadratic potential. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35(4):630–668, 2010.
- [Zha20] J. Zhang. Almost global solutions to Hamiltonian derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations on the circle. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 32(3):1401–1455, 2020.

(Jianjun Liu) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610065, CHINA
Email address: jianjun.liu@scu.edu.cn

(Duohui Xiang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610065, CHINA
Email address: duohui.xiang@outlook.com