

# A new maximal regularity for parabolic equations and an application

Jinlong Wei<sup>1</sup>, Wei Wang<sup>2\*</sup>, Guangying Lv<sup>3</sup> and Jinqiao Duan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Statistics and Mathematics, Zhongnan University of Economics and Law, Wuhan 430073, P.R. China*

weijinlong.hust@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China*

wangweinju@nju.edu.cn

<sup>3</sup>*College of Mathematics and Statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing 210044, P.R. China*

gylvmaths@126.com

<sup>4</sup>*College of Science, Great Bay University, Dongguan 523000, P.R. China*

duan@gbu.edu.cn

---

**Abstract** We introduce the Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini and Lebesgue–Hölder spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ,  $p \in (1, +\infty]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ ), and then use a vector-valued Calderón–Zygmund theorem to establish the maximal Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini and Lebesgue–Hölder regularity for a class of parabolic equations. As an application, we obtain the unique strong solvability of the following stochastic differential equation

$$X_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t b(r, X_{s,r}(x)) dr + W_t - W_s, \quad t \in [s, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad s \in [0, T],$$

for the low regularity growing drift in critical Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini spaces  $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $p \in (1, 2]$ ), where  $\{W_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  is a  $n$ -dimensional standard Wiener process. In particular, when  $p = 2$  we give a partially affirmative answer to a longstanding open problem, which was proposed by Krylov and Röckner for  $b \in L^2([0, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  based upon their work (*Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 131(2): 154–196, 2005).

*MSC:* 35K10; 60H10

*Keywords:* Calderón–Zygmund kernel, Maximal Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini regularity, Maximal Lebesgue–Hölder regularity, Stochastic differential equation, Low regularity growing drift.

---

\*Corresponding author.

# 1 Introduction

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Denote by  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  a Banach space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which can be  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $q \in [1, +\infty]$ ) or  $\mathcal{C}_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ). Let  $A$  be a closed linear operator in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  be the domain of  $A$ . Given  $T > 0$  and  $f \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ), we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = Au(t, x) + f(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

We say  $A$  has maximal  $L_t^p\text{-}\mathcal{H}_x$  regularity to the Cauchy problem (1.1) if there exist a unique solution  $u \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  to (1.1), and a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|Au\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \quad (1.2)$$

When  $A = \Delta/2$ , the classical parabolic partial differential equations theories [16, 18, 19] yield the following assertions for  $u$ :

- if  $f \in L^p([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ , then  $u \in L^p([0, T]; W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L^p([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^p([0, T]; W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))}; \quad (1.3)$$

- if  $f \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in (1, +\infty]$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $u \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_b^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_b^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \quad (1.4)$$

The above mixed norms estimates for  $u$  can also be applied to Navier–Stokes equations [14, 32], stochastic differential equations [9, 11, 25, 48, 49], stochastic transport equations [10, 12, 44]. Moreover, from (1.3) and (1.4),  $\Delta/2$  has the maximal  $L_t^p\text{-}L_x^q$  and  $L_t^p\text{-}\mathcal{C}_{b,x}^\alpha$  regularity (also see [29] for  $f$  bounded in time and weighted Hölder continuous with respect to the space variable). However, (1.3) fails for  $p \in \{1, +\infty\}$  or  $q \in \{1, +\infty\}$ , neither does (1.4) for  $p = 1$ . Recently, for  $p = +\infty$ , by assuming that  $f \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{1,\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))$  (homogeneous Besov space), Ogawa and Shimizu [34] proved that the unique solution of (1.1) with  $A = \Delta$  satisfies

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{1,\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{1,\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{1,\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

Therefore,  $\Delta$  has the maximal  $L_t^\infty\text{-}\dot{B}_{1,\infty,x}^0$  regularity. Furthermore, for general  $p \in (1, +\infty]$  and  $q \in [1, +\infty]$ , Ogawa and Shimizu [35] proved that, if  $f \in L^p([0, T]; \dot{B}_{q,p}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , the unique solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L^p([0, T]; \dot{B}_{q,p}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p([0, T]; \dot{B}_{q,p}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; \dot{B}_{q,p}^0(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \quad (1.5)$$

Combining the above maximal regularity results (1.3)–(1.5), we find that although the maximal  $L_t^p\text{-}L_x^\infty$  regularity for  $\Delta$  is not true for  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , if one relaxes  $L_x^\infty$  to a larger space  $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty,x}^0$  or restricts it to a smaller class  $\mathcal{C}_{b,x}^\alpha$ , the maximal regularity are still true for  $\Delta$ . Inspired by these facts, we pose the following question:

(♣): Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in (1, +\infty]$ . If there exists a nonnegative integrable function  $f_1 \in L^p([0, T])$  such that for every  $t \in [0, T]$

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq f_1(t) |x - y|^\alpha |\log(|x - y|)|^\beta, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x - y| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (1.6)$$

does the unique solution of (1.1) satisfy that

$$|\nabla^2 u(t, x) - \nabla^2 u(t, y)| \leq \tilde{f}(t) |x - y|^\alpha |\log(|x - y|)|^\beta, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x - y| < \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.7)$$

for some nonnegative integrable function  $\tilde{f} \in L^p([0, T])$  ?

When  $\beta = 0$ , the estimate (1.7) was first founded by Schauder [37, 38] for elliptic equations on bounded domains (also see [5, 8, 30, 39] for linear parabolic equations), and generalized by Burch from Hölder continuous coefficients to Dini continuous ones (see Definition 2.5 for Dini functions). Moreover, Burch obtained the following sharp estimate in [4]

$$|\nabla^2 u(x) - \nabla^2 u(y)| \leq C \left[ |x - y| + \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho_h(r)}{r} dr + |x - y| \int_{|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho_h(r)}{r^2} dr \right], \quad (1.8)$$

for the Laplace equation

$$\Delta u(x) = h(x), \quad x \in B_1,$$

where  $B_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ ,  $\rho_h(r) = \sup_{|x-y|<r} |h(x) - h(y)|$ . Recently, Wang [43] (also see [40]) extended Burch's result to the following parabolic Dirichlet problem in  $Q_1 = \{(t, x) : -1 < t \leq 0, |x| < 1\}$

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t, x) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) + f(t, x), \quad (1.9)$$

and established the following sharp estimate ([43, Theorem 2.1])

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 u(\xi_1) - \nabla^2 u(\xi_2)| &\leq C \left[ |\xi_1 - \xi_2| + \int_0^{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|} \frac{\rho_f(r)}{r} dr + |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \int_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|}^1 \frac{\rho_f(r)}{r^2} dr \right] \\ &+ C \left[ \int_0^{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|} \frac{\rho_a(r)}{r} dr + |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \int_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|}^1 \frac{\rho_a(r)}{r^2} dr \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where  $\xi_i = (t_i, x_i) \in Q_{1/2} = \{(t, x) : -1/4 < t \leq 0, |x| < 1/2\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $|\xi_1 - \xi_2|$  is the parabolic distance between  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$

$$\rho_f(r) = \sup_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| < r} |f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_2)| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_a(r) = \sup_{i,j} \rho_{a_{i,j}}(r).$$

More recently, the first three authors of the present paper generalized Wang's result from the bounded domain to the whole space, in which the coefficient  $f(t, x)$  is bounded in  $(t, x)$  and Dini

continuous in  $x$  with the Dini function  $\psi$ , and established the following sharp estimate ([45, Theorem 2.1])

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 u(t, x) - \nabla^2 u(t, y)| \leq & C \left[ |x - y| + \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\psi(r)}{r} dr + \psi(|x - y|) \right. \\ & \left. + |x - y| \int_{|x-y|}^1 \frac{\psi(r)}{r^2} dr \right], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |x - y| < \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

for  $a = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n} = I_{n \times n}/2$ . By (1.11),  $\nabla^2 u$  is no longer Dini continuous in space variable in general. In fact, if one chooses  $\psi(r) = |\log(r)|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ , from the second term in (1.11), then

$$\int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\psi(r)}{r} dr = 2|\log(|x - y|)|^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

but  $2|\log(\cdot)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is not a Dini function (see Definition 2.5). Thus the maximum regularity theory of solutions for parabolic equation (1.9) on the whole space is no longer true. This is our main motivation to use the Hölder class coefficients, which satisfies (1.6), instead of the Dini continuous ones. In this paper, we consider a general class of Lebesgue–Hölder coefficients and give a positive answer for the question (♣), and our main results can be applied to fully nonlinear parabolic equations (some applications of estimate (1.8) to fully nonlinear elliptic equations we refer to [43]).

For the arguments, in the next section, we first introduce the Hölder–Dini and Hölder classes, including the locally and globally bounded Hölder–Dini continuous functions, locally and globally bounded strong and weak Hölder continuous functions, and then introduce the Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini and Lebesgue–Hölder spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ). By using the classical heat kernel estimates and a vector-valued Calderón–Zygmund theorem, we prove the maximal regularity estimates for the following equation

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) - \lambda u(t, x) + f(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Furthermore, we study the drifted parabolic Cauchy problem with the space dependent diffusion

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t, x) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) + g(t, x) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) \\ \quad - \lambda u(t, x) + f(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

When  $g \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{b, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) with  $p \in [2, +\infty]$ , we prove the maximum regularity estimates for the operator  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t, x) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 + g(t, x) \cdot \nabla$  in  $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ), and when  $g \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{b, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$ , we obtain the maximum regularity in  $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for  $p \in (1, +\infty]$  as well.

Our another motivation to consider the Hölder class (1.6) comes from the the following stochastic differential equation (SDE for short) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$dX_{s,t}(x) = b(t, X_{s,t}(x))dt + dW_t, \quad t \in (s, T], \quad X_{s,s} = x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.13)$$

where  $s \in [0, T]$ ,  $\{W_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T} = \{(W_{1,t}, \dots, W_{n,t})^\top\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  is a  $n$ -dimensional standard Wiener process defined on a given stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T})$  and the drift coefficient  $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is Borel measurable. The unique strong solvability for SDE (1.13) was first established by Itô [15] for Lipschitz continuous  $b$ , and then generalized by Veretennikov [42] for bounded and measurable ones. When  $b$  is not bounded but only integrable and in the Krylov–Röckner class

$$b \in L^p([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)), \quad p, q \in [2, +\infty], \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{n}{q} < 1, \quad (1.14)$$

(also called Ladyzhenskaya–Prodi–Serrin (LPS for short) condition if the less-than sign is replaced by the less-than or equals sign), the unique strong solvability for SDE (1.13) was obtained by Krylov and Röckner [25]. However, from the viewpoint of Navier–Stokes equations  $b$  can be taken in the critical case, i.e., the less-than sign in (1.14) (called subcritical condition) is replaced by the equals sign (see [6, 28]), that is

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{n}{q} = 1. \quad (1.15)$$

In the critical case (1.15), the strong well-posedness of (1.13) is a long-standing open problem since the work of Krylov and Röckner [25]. Recently, this problem was solved by Röckner and Zhao [36, Theorem 1.1] for the following cases

$$\begin{cases} b \in L^p([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)), & p, q \in (2, +\infty), \frac{2}{p} + \frac{n}{q} = 1, n \geq 3, \\ \text{or } b \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^n(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)), & n \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

and when  $p = 2$ ,  $q = +\infty$ , the existence as well as uniqueness were also proved by Beck, Flandoli, Gubinelli and Maurelli [1, Theorem 1.5] if  $|\nabla b| \in L^2([0, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  or  $b$  is Hölder continuous in space variable further. We also refer to [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 33, 46] for more details. However, the unique strong solvability is still open under the critical case  $p = 2$ ,  $q = +\infty$ . In this paper, we use a ‘little better’ working space, which consists of all locally Dini continuous functions, instead of  $L^\infty$ , and in this space we give an affirmative answer for the above open problem for  $p = 2$ .

On the other hand, from the classical Itô theory, the drift can be taken into a low regularity Banach space for time variable (such as  $L^1$ ) if it has ‘good’ regularity in space variable (such as Lipschitz continuity), and thus we could establish the unique strong solvability for SDE (1.13) if the drift is in this low regularity Banach space. The natural choices for working spaces are intermediate ones between  $L^2([0, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $L^1([0, T]; Lip(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , i.e.,  $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in (1, 2)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set consisting of all Hölder continuous functions with Hölder exponent  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . By the scaling transformation, we also get an analogue of critical LPS condition of  $b$  for SDE (1.13)

$$b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)), \quad p \in (1, 2), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad \frac{2}{p} - \alpha = 1. \quad (1.17)$$

The unique strong solvability, which is still unsolved, for (1.13) with (1.17) seems to be important and difficult as well as (1.13) with LPS condition in critical case (1.15).

For the subcritical case ( $2/p - \alpha < 1$ ) with  $p = 2$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for SDE (1.13) have been proved by Tian, Ding and Wei [41] for bounded (in

space variable) drift. Recently, Galeati and Gerencsér [13] studied SDE (1.13) for low regularity drift  $b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in (1, 2]$  and  $\alpha \in (2/p - 1, 1)$ . By developing some new stochastic sewing lemmas, they established the existence and uniqueness for stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms. More recently, Wei, Hu and Yuan [47] discussed the low regularity growing drift  $b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^\alpha \cap \mathcal{C}^{\frac{2}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in (1, 2)$  and  $\alpha \in (2/p - 1, 1)$ . By using the Itô–Tanaka trick, they proved the unique strong solvability as well as some other properties for solutions, such as Hölder continuity and stability for the gradient of flow. Here, we consider the critically low regularity growing drift, by assuming the locally Hölder–Dini continuity of  $b$  in space variable, we prove the unique strong solvability for SDE (1.13). In particular, if  $b$  satisfies (1.6) with  $\alpha = 2/p - 1$ , we obtain the existence and uniqueness of stochastic flow of homeomorphisms for SDE (1.13).

In the following parts of the paper, the main results are presented in Section 3, and Sections 4–7 are devoted to the proofs for these results.

**Notations.**  $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0\}$ . The letter  $C$  denotes a positive constant, whose values may change in different places.  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers.

## 2 Preliminaries

First we recall some notions.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\{\mathbb{Q}_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a sequence of partitions of  $\mathbb{R}$  each consisting of disjoint Borel subsets  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_k$  such that, for each  $k$ ,

$$R_k := \sup_{Q \in \mathbb{Q}_k} \text{diam} Q < +\infty.$$

We call it a filtration of partitions if

(i) the partitions become finer as  $k$  increases, that is

$$\inf_{Q \in \mathbb{Q}_k} |Q| \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow -\infty, \quad R_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty;$$

(ii) the partitions are nested: for each  $k$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_k$  there is a (unique)  $Q' \in \mathbb{Q}_{k-1}$  such that  $Q \subset Q'$ ;

(iii) the regularity property holds: for  $Q$  and  $Q'$  as in (ii) we have  $|Q'| \leq N_0 |Q|$ , where  $N_0$  is a constant independent of  $k, Q$  and  $Q'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  be Banach spaces. By  $L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$  we denote the space of bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . Let  $D$  be a domain (open or closed) in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(D; \mathcal{H})$  we mean the space of infinitely differentiable  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued functions on  $D$  with compact support.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $\{\mathbb{Q}_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a filtration of partitions. For each  $t, r \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq r$ , let a  $\mathcal{K}(t, r) \in L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$  be defined. We say  $\mathcal{K}$  is an  $L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ -valued Calderón–Zygmund kernel relative to  $\{\mathbb{Q}_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  if

(i) for any  $t$  and  $r_0 > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(t, \cdot) \in L_{loc}^1(B_{r_0}^c(t), L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}}))$ , where  $B_{r_0}^c(t) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : |r - t| \geq r_0\}$ ;

(ii) the function  $\|\mathcal{K}(t, r) - \mathcal{K}(t, \tau)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})}$  is measurable as a function of  $(t, r, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{(t, r, \tau) : t \neq r, t \neq \tau\}$ ;

(iii) there is a constant  $C_0 \geq 1$ , and for each  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_k$ , there is a Borel set  $Q^*$  such that  $\overline{Q} \subset Q^*$ ,  $|Q^*| \leq C_0|Q|$ , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus Q^*} \|\mathcal{K}(t, r) - \mathcal{K}_{|k}(t, r)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} dt \leq C_0 \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $r \in Q$ , where

$$\mathcal{K}_{|k}(t, r) = \frac{1}{|Q_k(r)|} \int_{Q_k(r)} \mathcal{K}(t, \tau) d\tau,$$

and  $Q_k(r)$  is the unique  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{Q}_k$  such that  $r \in \tilde{Q}$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.2 and  $\{\mathbb{Q}_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the filtration of dyadic cubes. Assume that  $\mathcal{K}(t, r)$  is weakly differentiable in  $r$  for  $r \neq t$  and  $\|\partial_r \mathcal{K}(t, r)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} \leq C\phi(|t - r|)$  for all  $r \neq t$ , with a constant  $C$  independent of  $t, r$  and a function  $\phi$  satisfying*

$$\iota \int_{\iota}^{+\infty} \phi(\tau) d\tau \leq C < +\infty \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $\iota > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is an  $L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ -valued Calderón–Zygmund kernel relative to the filtration of dyadic cubes with constant  $C_0$  in (2.1) depending only on  $C$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{\mathbb{Q}_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the filtration of dyadic cubes of  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{Q}_k = \{[m, m+1)2^{-k}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_k$ , there is a unique  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $Q = [k_0, k_0 + 1)2^{-k}$ . If  $k_0$  is even, we set

$$Q_{11}^* = \left[\frac{k_0}{2} - 1, \frac{k_0}{2}\right)2^{-k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{12}^* = \left[\frac{k_0}{2}, \frac{k_0}{2} + 1\right)2^{-k+1},$$

then  $Q_{11}^*, Q_{12}^* \in \mathbb{Q}_{k-1}$ . Let  $Q_1^* = Q_{11}^* \cup Q_{12}^*$ , we have  $\overline{Q} \subset Q_1^*$  and  $|Q_1^*| \leq 4|Q|$ . Moreover, for every  $r, \tau \in Q$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Q_1^*$ ,

$$|t - \theta\tau - (1 - \theta)r| \geq 2|r - \tau|.$$

Similarly, if  $k_0$  is odd, we set

$$Q_2^* = \left[\frac{k_0 - 1}{2}, \frac{k_0 + 1}{2}\right)2^{-k+1} \cup \left[\frac{k_0 + 1}{2}, \frac{k_0 + 3}{2}\right)2^{-k+1} =: Q_{21}^* \cup Q_{22}^*,$$

then  $\overline{Q} \subset Q_2^*$ ,  $|Q_2^*| \leq 4|Q|$  and

$$|t - \theta\tau - (1 - \theta)r| \geq |r - \tau|$$

for every  $r, \tau \in Q$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Q_2^*$ . Therefore, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_k$ , there is a Borel set  $Q^* = Q_1^* \cup Q_2^*$  such that  $Q_1^*, Q_2^* \in \mathbb{Q}_{k-1}$ , and for every  $r, \tau \in Q$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Q^*$ ,

$$\overline{Q} \subset Q^*, \quad |Q^*| \leq 5|Q| \quad \text{and} \quad |t - \theta\tau - (1 - \theta)r| \geq |r - \tau|. \quad (2.3)$$

Since  $\mathcal{K}(t, r)$  is weakly differentiable in  $r$  for  $r \neq t$  and  $\|\partial_r \mathcal{K}(t, r)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} \leq C\phi(|t-r|)$ , for every  $\tau \in Q_k(\tau) \subset Q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus Q^*} \|\mathcal{K}(t, \tau) - \mathcal{K}|_k(t, \tau)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|Q_k(\tau)|} \int_{Q_k(\tau)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus Q^*} \|\mathcal{K}(t, \tau) - \mathcal{K}(t, \iota)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} dt d\iota \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|Q_k(\tau)|} \int_0^1 \int_{Q_k(\tau)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus Q^*} |\tau - \iota| \|\mathcal{K}_\tau(t, \theta\tau + (1-\theta)\iota)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} dt d\iota d\theta \\
& \leq \frac{C}{|Q_k(\tau)|} \int_0^1 \int_{Q_k(\tau)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus Q^*} |\tau - \iota| \phi(t - \theta\tau - (1-\theta)\iota) dt d\iota d\theta \\
& \leq \frac{C}{|Q_k(\tau)|} \int_{Q_k(\tau)} |\tau - \iota| \int_{|\tau-\iota|}^{+\infty} \phi(t) dt d\iota \leq C \sup_{t>0} \left[ t \int_t^{+\infty} \phi(r) dr \right] \leq C^2, \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $|t - \theta\tau - (1-\theta)\iota| \geq |\tau - \iota|$  in the fifth line since  $\tau, \iota \in Q_k(\tau)$ , and in the last inequality we have used the assumption condition (2.2).

We choose  $C_0 = \max\{5, C^2\}$ , by (2.4) we conclude that condition (iii) of Definition 2.2 is true. Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}$  is an  $L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ -valued Calderón–Zygmund kernel relative to the filtration of dyadic cubes.  $\square$

We now introduce another useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.4** ([19, Theorems 2.5 and 2.9]) *Given a (nonlinear) operator  $\mathcal{A} : L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , and suppose that*

(i)  *$\mathcal{A}$  is subadditive and bounded, that is for a constant  $C > 0$  and every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $f, f_m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , we have*

$$\left| \mathcal{A} \left( \sum_{m=1}^k f_m(t) \right) \right| \leq \sum_{m=1}^k |\mathcal{A} f_m(t)|, \text{ a.e.},$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{A}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})}. \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) *For each  $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$  and for almost all  $t$  outside of the closed support of  $g$  we have*

$$|\mathcal{A}g(t)| \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}(t, r) g(r) dr \right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}},$$

where  $\mathcal{K}(t, r)$  is an  $L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ -valued Calderón–Zygmund kernel relative to a filtration of partitions.



(iii) If  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$ ,  $f$  and all  $f_k$  vanish outside of the same ball, the norms  $\|f_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})}$  are bounded with respect to  $k$ , and  $\|f(t) - f_k(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$  at almost each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then there is a subsequence  $k(i)$  such that  $k(i) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow +\infty$  and

$$|\mathcal{A}f(t)| \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} |\mathcal{A}f_{k(i)}(t)|, \quad a.e.. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is of weak-type  $(1, 1)$  on smooth functions with compact support, that is there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that, for any  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$  and  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\gamma |\{t : |\mathcal{A}f(t)| > \gamma\}| \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|_{\mathcal{H}} dx, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $|\cdot|$  in the left hand side of (2.7) denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\{t : |\mathcal{A}f(t)| > \gamma\}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is of strong-type  $(p, p)$  for every  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , that is there is another positive constant  $C_2$  such that for all  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{A}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})}. \quad (2.8)$$

We further give some other notions before introducing the functional spaces we work in.

**Definition 2.5** An increasing continuous function  $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is called a Dini function if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r} dr < +\infty.$$

A measurable function  $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is called a slowly varying function at zero (in Karamata's sense [2, p.6]) if for all  $v > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(vr)}{\rho(r)} = 1.$$

A measurable function  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be locally Dini continuous if there is a Dini function  $\rho$  such that

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq \rho(|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |x - y| \leq 1.$$

**Example 2.6** Let  $\beta < -1$  and

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} |\log(r)|^\beta, & \text{when } 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \psi(r), & \text{when } r \geq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , which satisfies that  $\psi'(r) \geq 0$  when  $r \geq 1/2$  and

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = |\log(2)|^\beta, \quad \psi'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -2\beta |\log(2)|^{\beta-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r} dr = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|\log(r)|^\beta}{r} dr + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \psi(r) dr \leq -\frac{|\log(2)|^{\beta+1}}{\beta+1} + \frac{1}{2} \psi(1) < +\infty.$$

Moreover, for all  $v > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(vr)}{\rho(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\log(vr)|^\beta}{|\log(r)|^\beta} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\log(v) + \log(r)|^\beta}{|\log(r)|^\beta} = 1.$$

Therefore,  $\rho$  is a Dini and slowly varying (at zero) function. Similarly, let

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} |\log(r)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{r}}, & \text{when } 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \psi_1(r), & \text{when } r \geq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  with  $\psi_1'(r) \geq 0$ , when  $r \geq 1/2$  and

$$\psi_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = |\log(2)|^\beta e^{-2}, \quad \psi_1'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = |\log(2)|^{\beta-1} e^{-2}[-2\beta + 4\log(2)].$$

Then  $\rho$  is a Dini function. However, for all  $v > 1$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(vr)}{\rho(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\log(vr)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{vr}}}{|\log(r)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{r}}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{|\log(v) + \log(r)|^\beta}{|\log(r)|^\beta} e^{\frac{(v-1)}{rv}} \right] = +\infty.$$

The function  $\rho$  is not a slowly varying function at zero.

For a slowly varying function  $\rho$ , we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be an increasing continuous function, and  $\rho(r) \downarrow 0$  as  $r \downarrow 0$ . If  $\rho$  varies slowly at zero, then*

$$\rho(r) = \exp \left\{ c(r) - \int_r^{r_0} \frac{\zeta(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \right\}, \quad r \leq r_0 \in (0, 1], \quad (2.9)$$

for some continuous function  $c$  and nonnegative continuous function  $\zeta$ , which satisfy

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} c(r) = c_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \zeta(r) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_r^{r_0} \frac{\zeta(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau = +\infty.$$

**Proof.** If (2.9) holds and  $c(r) \rightarrow c_0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \rho(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \exp \left\{ c(r) - \int_r^{r_0} \frac{\zeta(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \right\} = e^{c_0} \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{r_0} \frac{\zeta(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \right\},$$

which implies  $\int_r^{r_0} \frac{\zeta(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\phi(r) = \log(\rho(e^{-r}))$ . Then  $\phi(r + \tau) - \phi(r) \rightarrow 0$  ( $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ),  $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . If one proves that  $\phi$  can be written

$$\phi(r) = c_1(r) - \int_{r_1}^r \tilde{\phi}(\tau) d\tau, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $c_1$  is continuous,  $\tilde{\phi}(r)$  is nonnegative and continuous, and

$$c_1(r) \rightarrow c_0, \quad \tilde{\phi}(r) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2.11)$$

by writing  $r_0 = e^{-r_1}$ ,  $c(r) = c_1(-\log(r))$  and  $\zeta(r) = \tilde{\phi}(-\log(r))$ , we then complete the proof.

For  $r > r_1$ , we have

$$\phi(r) = \int_0^1 [\phi(r) - \phi(r + \tau)] d\tau + \int_{r_1}^{r_1+1} \phi(\tau) d\tau - \int_{r_1}^r [\phi(\tau) - \phi(\tau + 1)] d\tau.$$

We set

$$c_1(r) = \int_0^1 [\phi(r) - \phi(r + \tau)] d\tau + \int_{r_1}^{r_1+1} \phi(\tau) d\tau$$

and  $\tilde{\phi}(r) = \phi(r) - \phi(r + 1)$ , then  $c_1(r)$  is continuous in  $r$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}(r)$  is nonnegative and continuous in  $r$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} c_1(r) = \int_{r_1}^{r_1+1} \phi(\tau) d\tau, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\phi}(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} [\phi(r) - \phi(r + 1)] = 0.$$

Thus (2.10) and (2.11) hold.  $\square$

We are now in a position to introduce our working functional spaces.

**Definition 2.8** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a monotone continuous function, and let  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel measurable function with  $|h(x) - h(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha \rho(|x - y|)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x - y| \leq 1$  and some constant  $C > 0$ .

(i) If  $\rho$  is a Dini function and  $r^{-\beta} \rho(r) \rightarrow +\infty$  for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  as  $r \downarrow 0$ , the function  $h$  is called locally Hölder–Dini continuous. The set consisting of all locally Hölder–Dini continuous functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(ii) If  $\rho$  is increasing and  $\rho(r) \downarrow 0$  as  $r \downarrow 0$ , but  $r^{-\beta} \rho(r) \rightarrow +\infty$  for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  as  $r \downarrow 0$ , the function  $h$  is called locally strongly Hölder continuous. The set consisting of all locally strongly Hölder continuous functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{l,s}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(iii) If  $\rho \equiv \text{constant}$  on  $[0, 1]$ , the function  $h$  is called locally Hölder continuous. The set consisting of all locally Hölder continuous functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{l,c}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(iv) If  $\rho$  is decreasing such that  $\rho(r) \uparrow +\infty$  but  $r^\beta \rho(r) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  as  $r \downarrow 0$ , the function  $h$  is called locally weakly Hölder continuous. The set consisting of all locally weakly Hölder continuous functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{l,w}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 2.9** (i) If  $\rho$  is a Dini function, we also use  $\mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{0,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to denote the set consisting all continuous functions  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|h(x) - h(y)| \leq C\rho(|x - y|)$  for  $|x - y| \leq 1$ .

(ii) Let  $h \in \mathcal{C}_{l,\zeta}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\zeta \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) with  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . For each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|x - y| > 1$ , then there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  ( $k$  is the integer part of  $|x - y|$ ) such that  $|x - x_1| = |x_1 - x_2| = \dots = |x_{k-1} - x_k| = 1$  and  $|x_k - y| < 1$ . Denote  $x$  by  $x_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(y)| &\leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k |h(x_{i-1}) - h(x_i)| \right] + |h(x_k) - h(y)| \\ &\leq Ck\rho(1) + C|x_k - y|^\alpha \rho(|x_k - y|) \leq 2C\rho(1)|x - y|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the function  $h$  grows at most linearly. Define the norm for  $h \in \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{\mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|h(x)|}{1 + |x|} + \sup_{0 < |x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|)} \\ &=: \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} h(\cdot)\|_0 + [h]_{\alpha,\rho} =: \|h\|_{l,\alpha,\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

then  $\mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) are Banach spaces.

(iii) For a Borel measurable function  $h$ , if  $h$  is bounded and belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we say  $h \in \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ). For  $h \in \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we define the norm by

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)| + \sup_{0 < |x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|)} =: \|h\|_0 + [h]_{\alpha,\rho} =: \|h\|_{b,\alpha,\rho},$$

then  $\mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) are Banach spaces as well.

(iv) Let  $\rho$  be given in  $\mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then there are two positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for every  $r \in [0, 1]$  and every  $0 < \epsilon < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ ,

$$r^\beta \leq C_1 r^\alpha \rho(r) \leq C_2 r^\epsilon. \quad (2.12)$$

**Definition 2.10** Let  $p \in [1, +\infty]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , we denote by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  (locally bounded Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini space) the set consisting of all Borel measurable functions  $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  satisfying  $|h(t, x) - h(t, y)| \leq f(t)|x - y|^\alpha \rho(|x - y|)$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x - y| \leq 1$  and some integrable function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, we denote by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{s, c, w\}$ ) (locally bounded Lebesgue–Hölder spaces) the set consisting all elements belong to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  as  $\mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued functions.

Further, we say  $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$  and  $0 < k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) if  $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_j \leq n$ ,  $\partial_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}}^j h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^n))$  (the subscript  $b$  means the functions are bounded), and for  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n$ ,  $[\partial_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}}^k h(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha,\rho} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ), we define the norm by

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} &= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} h(t, \cdot)\|_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \|\nabla^j h(t, \cdot)\|_0 + [\nabla^k h(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha,\rho} \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &=: \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \|h(t, \cdot)\|_{l,k,0} + [\nabla^k h(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha,\rho} \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &=: \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|h(t, \cdot)\|_{l,k+\alpha,\rho}^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where the integrals in (2.13) are interpreted as the essential supremum when  $p = +\infty$ . Then  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  are Banach spaces under the norm (2.13). Similarly, if  $h$  is bounded as well, we

define the norm for  $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} &= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \|\nabla^j h(t, \cdot)\|_0 + [\nabla^k h(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha,\rho} \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &=: \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \|h(t, \cdot)\|_{b,k,0} + [\nabla^k h(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha,\rho} \right)^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} =: \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|h(t, \cdot)\|_{b,k+\alpha,\rho}^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for every open or closed domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we define spaces  $L^p(D; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $W^{1,p}(D; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{k+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) in a similar way.

### 3 Main results

Let  $a(t) = (a_{i,j}(t))_{n \times n}$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix valued Borel bounded measurable function for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that there is a constant  $\Gamma \geq 1$  such that

$$\Gamma^{-1}|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \Gamma|\xi|^2, \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $r < t$ , we set

$$A_{r,t} := \int_r^t a(\tau) d\tau, \quad B_{r,t} = A_{r,t}^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\Gamma^{-1}(t-r)|\xi|^2 \leq \xi^\top A_{r,t} \xi \leq \Gamma(t-r)|\xi|^2, \quad \Gamma^{-1}(t-r)^{-1}|\xi|^2 \leq \xi^\top B_{r,t} \xi \leq \Gamma(t-r)^{-1}|\xi|^2.$$

Let

$$K(r, t, x) = 1_{t>r} (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \det(B_{r,t})^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(B_{r,t}x, x)}{2} \right\} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda f(t, x) &= \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(r, t, x-y) f(r, y) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(r, t, y) f(r, x-y) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a given real number and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ). We now give our first result.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , and let  $a(t) = (a_{i,j}(t))_{n \times n}$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix valued Borel bounded function such that (3.1) holds. Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in$*

$\{l, b\}, \varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ). Further assume that  $\rho$  is a slowly varying function at zero when it is increasing. Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for every  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_{\vartheta, 2, 0} dt + \gamma |\{t : [\nabla^2 G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} > \gamma\}| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\vartheta, \alpha, \rho} dt, \quad \text{when } p = 1, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_{\vartheta, 2+\alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\vartheta, \alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{when } p \in (1, +\infty], \quad (3.5)$$

where the integrals in (3.5) are interpreted as the essential supremum when  $p = +\infty$ .

**Remark 3.2** When  $p \in [1, +\infty]$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , Krylov [19] proved (3.4) and (3.5) for  $\vartheta = b$  and  $\varsigma = c$ . In the above theorem, when  $f$  belongs to smaller or larger function spaces, including the Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini and Lebesgue–Hölder spaces, we also prove the maximum regularity for the second order differential operator  $[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 - \lambda]$  which extends Krylov’s result not only from Hölder continuous functions to locally Hölder continuous functions but also from Hölder continuous functions to Hölder–Dini and Hölder classes.

We give an example to illustrate Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.3** For  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we set

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} |\log(r)|^\beta, & \text{when } 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \psi_1(r)1_{\beta < 0} + \psi_2(r)1_{\beta > 0} + 1_{\beta = 0}, & \text{when } r \geq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are smooth functions on  $[1/2, +\infty)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1(\frac{1}{2}) = |\log(2)|^\beta, \quad \psi_1'(\frac{1}{2}) = -2\beta |\log(2)|^{\beta-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1'(r) \geq 0, \quad \text{when } \beta < 0, \\ \psi_2(\frac{1}{2}) = |\log(2)|^\beta, \quad \psi_2'(\frac{1}{2}) = -2\beta |\log(2)|^{\beta-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2'(r) \leq 0, \quad \text{when } \beta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . Suppose that there exists an integrable function  $f_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f$

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq C f_1(t) |x - y|^\alpha \rho(|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |x - y| \leq 1.$$

Then  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  if  $\beta < -1$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,s}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  if  $\beta < 0$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,c}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  if  $\beta = 0$ , and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l,w}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  if  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $G_\lambda$  be given by (3.3). Then  $[\partial_t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 + \lambda] G_\lambda f = f$  (see, for instance [17] when  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ), i.e.  $G_\lambda f$  satisfies

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = Au(t, x) + f(t, x),$$

with  $A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 - \lambda$ . By (3.5), then  $G_\lambda f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and there is a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_t G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_{\vartheta, \alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|AG_\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_{\vartheta, \alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\vartheta, \alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for  $p \in (1, +\infty]$ . Therefore, the operator  $A$  has the maximum regularity for  $p \in (1, +\infty]$ . **This result, as far as we know, is new.**

Let  $T > 0$  be fixed. If  $f \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [0, T]$ , by (3.3), then

$$G_\lambda f(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(r, t, x - y) f(r, y) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (3.6)$$

and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G_\lambda f(t, x) = 0$ , which implies that  $G_\lambda f$  satisfies the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) - \lambda u(t, x) + f(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

By the calculations in Section 4, (3.4) and (3.5) hold for  $\lambda \geq 0$ , which give a positive answer for the question (♣). Moreover, the strong solutions of (3.7) in the class of  $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) is unique for  $p \in (1, +\infty]$ . Here, the unknown function  $u(t, x)$  is called a strong solution of (3.7) if  $u, \partial_t u, \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u \in L^1([0, T]; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ), which grow linearly at most, such that (3.7) holds for almost all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For the unique strong solution of (3.7), we also get the boundedness for the gradient of  $u$ . Precisely speaking, we have

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $\alpha, p, \rho, a, \vartheta, \varsigma$  and  $f$  be stated in Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha \geq 2/p - 1$ , and let  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Let  $u$  be given by (3.6).*

(i) *If  $\alpha \notin \{2/p - 1, 2/p\}$ , then  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \quad (3.8)$$

(ii) *Let  $\varsigma = d$ . If  $\alpha = 2/p - 1$ , then  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, s}^{1, \hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , and if  $\alpha = 2/p$ , then  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, s}^{2, \hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, s}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}, \hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, d}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \quad \alpha \in \left\{ \frac{2}{p} - 1, \frac{2}{p} \right\}, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\hat{\rho}(r) = \int_0^r \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau + \rho(r) + r \int_r^1 \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\tau^2} d\tau, \quad r \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.10)$$

**Remark 3.5** *By the definition of space  $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, d}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,  $\rho(r)/r \in L^1([0, 1])$ . For  $\iota > 0$ , set  $h_\iota(r) = 1_{r > \iota}$ , then*

$$\iota \int_\iota^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^2} dr = \int_0^1 \frac{\rho(r) h_\iota(r)}{r^2} dr.$$

Noticing that  $\rho(r) h_\iota(r)/r^2 \leq \rho(r)/r$  and

$$\lim_{\iota \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(r) h_\iota(r)}{r^2} = 0, \quad r \in (0, 1],$$

the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\lim_{\iota \rightarrow 0} \left[ \iota \int_{\iota}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^2} dr \right] = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\rho}(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

We then extend the the Cauchy problem (3.7) from space independent diffusion without the drift to space dependent diffusion with the drift and establish the unique strong solvability. To be precise, we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t, x) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) + g(t, x) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) \\ \quad - \lambda u(t, x) + f(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

where  $a_{i,j}(t, x), i, j = 1, \dots, n$  are real-valued functions such that  $a_{i,j} \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ). The notion of the strong solution for (3.11) is the same as (3.7). Our third result is given as the following.

**Theorem 3.6** (*Maximal Lebesgue–Hölder–Dini and Lebesgue–Hölder regularity*) *Let  $\alpha, p, \rho, \vartheta, \varsigma$  and  $f$  be stated in Theorem 3.1, and let  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Let  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in L^q([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$ . We assume further that  $a_{i,j} \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ) and there is a constant  $\Gamma \geq 1$  such that*

$$\Gamma^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t, x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \Gamma |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(i) *If  $q = p \in [2, +\infty]$ , then there is a unique  $u \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  solving the Cauchy problem (3.11), and*

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \quad (3.12)$$

for some positive constant  $C$ . Further,  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p},\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and (3.8) holds if  $\alpha \neq 2/p$ .

$u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,s}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p},\hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and (3.9) holds if  $\varsigma = d$  and  $\alpha = 2/p$ .

(ii) *If  $q = +\infty$ , then there is a unique  $u \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  solving the Cauchy problem (3.11) for  $p \in (1, +\infty]$  such that (3.12) holds. Furthermore,  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p},\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and (3.8) holds if  $2/p - 1 < \alpha \neq 2/p$ .  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta,s}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p},\hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and (3.9) holds if  $\varsigma = d$  and  $\alpha \in \{2/p - 1, 2/p\}$ .*

**Remark 3.7** *Consider the 2-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations in vorticity form*

$$\partial_t v(t, x) + u^v(t, x) \cdot \nabla v(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta v(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2,$$

where

$$u^v(t, x) = -\nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-1} v(t, x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2} (-\partial_2 G, \partial_1 G)(x - y) v(t, y) dy,$$



and  $G$  is the Green function of the Laplacian on the torus  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ . For every bounded measurable function  $v$ , we have (see [3, 31])

$$|u^v(t, x) - u^v(t, y)| \leq C|x - y| |\log(|x - y|)|, \quad x, y \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2, \quad |x - y| \leq 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore the coefficient  $u^v$  satisfies condition (1.6) with  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ , but our result does not cover this situation. To establish analogue estimates of (3.13) for solutions of Navier–Stokes equations, new ideas and techniques should be introduced.

By Theorem 3.6, if  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $f \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $g_i \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{b, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $u$  belongs to  $L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\vartheta \in \{l, b\}$ ,  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ). This conclusion is not true for  $\alpha = 0$  and general  $n$  even if  $g = 0$ ,  $(a_{i,j}(t, x))_{n \times n} = I_{n \times n}$  and  $\varsigma = d$  (see [4] for elliptic equations). However, when  $n = 1$ ,  $a(t, x) = 1$ ,  $g = 0$  and  $\rho(r) = |\log(r)|^\beta$ , it is still true for time independent  $f$ . Precisely, we have

**Corollary 3.8** (Maximal Dini regularity) *Let  $f$  be time independent and  $\rho(r) = |\log(r)|^\beta$  for  $r \in (0, 1/2)$  with  $\beta < -1$ . Let  $u$  be given by (3.6). If  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, d}^{0, \rho}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, d}^{2, \rho}(\mathbb{R}))$  and  $\partial_t u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{\vartheta, d}^{0, \rho}(\mathbb{R}))$ .*

**Remark 3.9** *We refer to Section 4 for more proof details. The main differences are to estimate terms  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  and  $J_4$  (given by (4.8)). For  $J_1$  we calculate that*

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1(t, x, y)| &= \left| 2 \int_{|x-z| \leq 2|x-y|} [f(z) - f(x)] dz \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \partial_r K(r, t, x-z) dr \right| \\ &= 2 \left| \int_{|x-z| \leq 2|x-y|} [f(z) - f(x)] e^{-\lambda t} K(0, t, x-z) dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda \int_{|x-z| \leq 2|x-y|} [f(z) - f(x)] dz \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} K(r, t, x-z) dr \right| \\ &\leq 4\rho(2|x-y|) \leq C\rho(|x-y|). \end{aligned}$$

At the same time, we get

$$|J_2(t, x, y)| \leq 2 \left| \int_{|y-z| \leq 3|x-y|} [f(z) - f(y)] dz \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \partial_r K(r, t, y-z) dr \right| \leq C\rho(|x-y|).$$

For  $J_4$ , we use L'Hospital's rule ([7, p. 346]) to get

$$\lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x-y| \int^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^2} dr}{\rho(|x-y|)} = \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\log(2|x-y|)|^\beta}{2[|\log(|x-y|)|^\beta + \beta|\log(|x-y|)|^{\beta-1}]} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus

$$|J_4(t, x, y)| \leq C\rho(|x-y|).$$

As an application of Theorem 3.6, we establish the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for SDE (1.13) with critically low regularity growing drift. Before giving the result, we need a definition.

**Definition 3.10** ([27, p.114]) *A stochastic flow of homeomorphisms on a given stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T})$  associated to SDE (1.13) is a map  $(s, t, x, \omega) \rightarrow X_{s,t}(x, \omega)$ , defined for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that*

(i) *the process  $\{X_{s,\cdot}(x)\} = \{X_{s,t}(x), t \in [s, T]\}$  is a continuous  $\{\mathcal{F}_{s,t}\}_{s \leq t \leq T}$ -adapted solution of SDE (1.13) for every  $s \in [0, T]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;*

(ii)  *$\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.,  $X_{s,t}(\cdot)$  is a homeomorphism, for all  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , and the functions  $X_{s,t}(x)$  and  $X_{s,t}^{-1}(x)$  are continuous in  $(s, t, x)$ , where  $X_{s,t}^{-1}(\cdot)$  is the inverse of  $X_{s,t}(\cdot)$ ;*

(iii)  *$\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.,  $X_{s,t}(x) = X_{r,t}(X_{s,r}(x))$  for all  $0 \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq T$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $X_{s,s}(x) = x$ .*

Now, let us give our main result for SDE (1.13).

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in (1, 2]$  such that  $\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}$  is a Dini function. Further assume that  $\rho$  is a slowly varying function at zero. Then there exists a unique stochastic flow of homeomorphisms  $\{X_{s,t}(x), t \in [s, T]\}$  to SDE (1.13).*

**Example 3.12** *Let  $p \in (1, 2]$  and  $\beta \in (-\infty, 1/p - 5/2)$ . Suppose  $b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  and satisfies*

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq b_1(t) |x - y|^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rho(|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |x - y| \leq 1, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3.14)$$

for some Borel function  $b_1 \in L^p([0, T])$ , where

$$\rho(|x - y|) = \begin{cases} |\log(|x - y|)|^\beta, & \text{when } 0 < |x - y| < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \tilde{\psi}(|x - y|), & \text{when } \frac{1}{2} \leq |x - y| \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and the smooth function  $\tilde{\psi}$  on  $[1/2, +\infty)$  satisfies

$$\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = |\log(2)|^\beta, \quad \tilde{\psi}'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -2\beta |\log(2)|^{\beta-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}' \geq 0.$$

Then  $b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$ . By Theorem 3.11, there exists a unique stochastic flow of homeomorphisms  $\{X_{s,t}(x), t \in [s, T]\}$  to (1.13) and (3.14).

**Remark 3.13** *Let  $n = 1$  and  $p \in (1, 2]$ . Take  $\tilde{p} \in (p, 3)$  and  $\alpha \in (-1, 2/\tilde{p} - 1)$ . Define*

$$b(t, x) = t^{-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}}} \text{sign}(x) |x|^\alpha, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.15)$$

Then  $b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^{\frac{2}{p}-1-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}))$  for some  $\epsilon \in (0, 2/p - 2/\tilde{p})$ , in which we regard  $\mathcal{C}^{\frac{2}{p}-1-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})$  as the usual Hölder space if  $2/p - 1 - \epsilon > 0$ , and the homogeneous Hölder–Besov space  $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\frac{2}{p}-1-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})$  if  $2/p - 1 - \epsilon \leq 0$ . For SDE (1.13) with the supercritical drift given by (3.15), then the weak uniqueness fails (see [13, Section 1.3]). In this sense, Theorem 3.11 is almost optimal.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 3.1

The proof for  $\vartheta = b$  is similar to  $\vartheta = l$ , we just give the detail calculation for  $\vartheta = l$ . Let  $G_\lambda f(t, x)$  be given by (3.3). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|G_\lambda f(t, x)|}{1 + |x|} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|} \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(r, t, y) f(r, x - y) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \right| \\
&\leq C \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(r, t, y) [1 + |x| + |y|] f_1(r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\
&\leq C \int_{-\infty}^t f_1(r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr + C \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(r, t, y) |y| f_1(r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\
&= C \int_{-\infty}^t f_1(r) [1 + (t-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_1(r) = \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} f(r, \cdot)\|_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . By virtue of Young's inequality

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_0^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the integrals in (4.1) are interpreted as the essential supremum when  $p = +\infty$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{x_i} G_\lambda f(t, x)| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_i} K(r, t, x - y) [f(r, y) - f(r, x)] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \right| \\
&\leq 2 \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{y_i} K(r, t, y)| f_2(r) [1_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^\alpha \rho(|y|) + |y| 1_{|y| > 1}] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\
&\leq C \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(2r, 2t, y) f_2(r) [1 + |y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\
&\leq C \int_{-\infty}^t f_2(r) [1 + (t-r)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{4}}] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr, \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_2(r) = [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , and in the third line we have used (2.12). The estimate (4.2) implies

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_{x_i} G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_0^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Furthermore, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , we get an analogue of (4.2)

$$|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, x)| \leq C \int_{-\infty}^t f_2(r) \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (t-r)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}} \right] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr,$$

which implies that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_0^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Combining (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4), it remains to show for every  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\gamma |\{t : [\nabla^2 G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} > \gamma\}| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{l, \alpha, \rho} dt, \quad \text{when } p = 1, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\nabla^2 G_\lambda f(t, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{l, \alpha, \rho}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{when } p \in (1, +\infty]. \quad (4.6)$$

We first prove (4.6) for  $p = +\infty$ . By (4.4), we need to show that for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $|x - y| \leq 1/3$ ) there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, x) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, y)| \leq C \text{ess sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} |x - y|^\alpha \rho(|x - y|), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.7)$$

By (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, x) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, y) \\ = & \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|x-z| \leq 2|x-y|} \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z) [f(r, z) - f(r, x)] dz \\ & - \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|x-z| \leq 2|x-y|} \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z) [f(r, z) - f(r, y)] dz \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|x-z| > 2|x-y|} \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z) [f(r, y) - f(r, x)] dz \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{2|x-y| < |x-z| \leq 1} [\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z)] \\ & \quad \times [f(r, z) - f(r, x)] dz \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|x-z| > 1} [\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z)] \\ & \quad \times [f(r, z) - f(r, x)] dz \\ = & : J_1(t, x, y) + J_2(t, x, y) + J_3(t, x, y) + J_4(t, x, y) + J_5(t, x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

For  $J_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1(t, x, y)| &\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_{|z| \leq 2|x-y|} |z|^\alpha \rho(|z|) dz \int_0^{+\infty} r^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\Gamma r}} dr \\
&\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_{|z| \leq 2|x-y|} \frac{\rho(|z|)}{|z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \int_0^{+\infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-\frac{r}{4}} dr \\
&\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_0^{2|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Observe that when  $|x - z| \leq 2|x - y|$ ,  $|y - z| = |y - x + x - z| \leq 3|x - y|$ , and thus

$$|J_2(t, x, y)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|y-z| \leq 3|x-y|} |\partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z)| |f(r, z) - f(r, y)| dz,$$

which implies

$$|J_2(t, x, y)| \leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_0^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr. \tag{4.10}$$

For  $J_3$ , by Gauss–Green’s formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&|J_3(t, x, y)| \\
&= \left| \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|x-z|=2|x-y|} \partial_{y_j} K(r, t, y-z) \nu_i [f(r, y) - f(r, x)] dS \right| \\
&\leq C \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|x-z|=2|x-y|} |y-z| (t-r)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} e^{-\frac{|y-z|^2}{2\Gamma(t-r)}} |f(r, y) - f(r, x)| dS,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$  is the exterior unit normal of the spherical surface  $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x - z| = 2|x - y|\}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3(t, x, y)| &\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} |x - y|^\alpha \rho(|x - y|) |x - y|^n \int_0^{+\infty} r^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\Gamma r}} dr \\
&\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} |x - y|^\alpha \rho(|x - y|).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

For  $J_4$ , since  $|x - z| > 2|x - y|$ , for every  $\xi \in [x, y]$  (the line with endpoints  $x$  and  $y$ ), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}|x - z| \leq |\xi - z| \leq 2|x - z|.$$

Thanks to the mean value inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_4(t, x, y)| &\leq C|x-y| \int_{-\infty}^t dr \int_{2|x-y| < |x-z| \leq 1} |f(r, z) - f(r, x)| (t-r)^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{16\Gamma(t-r)}} dz \\
&\leq C|x-y| \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_{2|x-y| < |z| \leq 1} |z|^\alpha \rho(|z|) |z|^{-n-1} dz \int_0^{+\infty} r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{r}{16}} dr \\
&\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} |x-y| \int_{2|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr. \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\beta \in (\alpha, 1)$  and  $|x-z| > 2|x-y|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z) \right| \\
&= \left| \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z) \right|^{1-\beta} \left| \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z) \right|^\beta \\
&\leq \left[ |\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z)| + |\partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z)| \right]^{1-\beta} \\
&\quad \times \left| \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 K(r, t, y-z) \right|^\beta \\
&\leq C \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{16\Gamma(t-r)}} \right]^{1-\beta} \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{16\Gamma(t-r)}} \right]^\beta |x-y|^\beta \\
&\leq C|x-y|^\beta (t-r)^{-\frac{n+2+\beta}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{16\Gamma(t-r)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|J_5(t, x, y)| \\
&\leq C|x-y|^\beta \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{|x-z| > 1} |f(r, z) - f(r, x)| (t-r)^{-\frac{n+2+\beta}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{16\Gamma(t-r)}} dz \\
&\leq C|x-y|^\beta \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda r} dr \int_{|z| > 1} |z| r^{-\frac{n+2+\beta}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{16r}} dz \\
&\leq C|x-y|^\beta \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda r} r^{-\frac{1+\beta}{2}} dr \\
&\leq C|x-y|^\beta \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho}, \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third line we have used the fact that  $f(r, \cdot)$  has at most linear growth (Remark 2.9 (ii)).

Combining (4.8)–(4.13), we deduce that

$$|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, x) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, y)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho} \left[ \int_0^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr + |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|) + |x-y| \int_{2|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr + |x-y|^\beta \right] \\
&\leq C \left[ \int_0^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr + |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|) + |x-y| \int_{2|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr \right] \\
&\leq C \left[ \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr + |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|) + 2|x-y| \int_{|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr \right] \\
&\quad + C \left[ \int_{|x-y|}^{2|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr - 2|x-y| \int_{|x-y|}^{2|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr \right] + C \int_{2|x-y|}^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr \\
&\leq C \left[ \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr + |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|) + |x-y| \int_{|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr + \int_{2|x-y|}^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr \right], \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we have used (2.12).

If  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c\}$ ), using L'Hospital's rule ([7, p. 346]), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{2|x-y|}^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr}{|x-y| \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr} &= \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{3\rho(3|x-y|)}{(3|x-y|)^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{2\rho(2|x-y|)}{(2|x-y|)^{1-\alpha}}}{\frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{1-\alpha}}} \\
&= 3^\alpha \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(3|x-y|)}{\rho(|x-y|)} - 2^\alpha \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(2|x-y|)}{\rho(|x-y|)} = 3^\alpha - 2^\alpha > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the assumption that  $\rho$  is a slowly varying function at zero if  $\rho$  is increasing. Then there is a positive constant  $C$  such that for every  $|x-y| \leq 1/3$ ,

$$\int_{2|x-y|}^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr \leq C \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr. \tag{4.15}$$

In the case of  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}_{l, w}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , by the definition,  $\rho$  is decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{2|x-y|}^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr &\leq \rho(2|x-y|) \int_{2|x-y|}^{3|x-y|} \frac{1}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr \\
&= \rho(2|x-y|) \alpha^{-1} (3^\alpha - 2^\alpha) |x-y|^\alpha \leq \alpha^{-1} \rho(|x-y|) |x-y|^\alpha. \tag{4.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.14)–(4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, x) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 G_\lambda f(t, y)| \\
&\leq C \left[ \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr + |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|) + |x-y| \int_{|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr \right]. \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

By L'Hospital's rule ([7, p. 346]) and Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr}{|x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|)} \\
&= e^{-c_0} \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr}{|x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|) e^{-c(|x-y|)}} \\
&= e^{-c_0} \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(|x-y|) |x-y|^{\alpha-1}}{[\alpha + \zeta(|x-y|)] |x-y|^{\alpha-1} \rho(|x-y|) e^{-c(|x-y|)}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x-y| \int_0^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr}{|x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|)} \\
&= e^{-c_0} \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr}{|x-y|^{\alpha-1} \rho(|x-y|) e^{-c(|x-y|)}} \\
&= e^{-c_0} \lim_{|x-y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{-\rho(|x-y|) |x-y|^{\alpha-2}}{[\alpha - 1 + \zeta(|x-y|)] |x-y|^{\alpha-2} \rho(|x-y|) e^{-c(|x-y|)}} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}. \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.18) and (4.19), for  $|x-y| \leq 1/3$ , we get

$$\max \left\{ \int_0^{|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr, |x-y| \int_{|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr \right\} \leq C |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|). \tag{4.20}$$

We then conclude (4.7) from (4.17) and (4.20).

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{C}_{b,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ ). For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , set

$$\mathcal{K}_{i,j}(t, r) h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-y) h(y) dy =: \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{i,j}(r, t, x-y) h(y) dy. \tag{4.21}$$

Further for  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$  set

$$\mathcal{A}_{i,j}^\lambda f(t, \cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \mathcal{K}_{i,j}(t, r) f(r, \cdot) dr \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}f(t) = \|\mathcal{A}_{i,j}^\lambda f(t, \cdot)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \quad \mathcal{K}(t, r) = \mathcal{K}_{i,j}(t, r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)}, \tag{4.23}$$

where we set  $\mathcal{K}_{i,j}(t, r) = 0$  for  $r > t$ .

By (4.4) and (4.7),  $\mathcal{A} : L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is well-defined and (2.5) holds true. Let  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $f_m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$  then

$$\left| \mathcal{A} \left( \sum_{m=1}^k f_m(t) \right) \right| = \left\| \mathcal{A}_{i,j}^\lambda \left( \sum_{m=1}^k f_m(t, \cdot) \right) \right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \leq \sum_{m=1}^k |\mathcal{A}f_m(t)|, \quad a.e.. \tag{4.24}$$



Therefore condition (i) of Lemma 2.4 holds.

By (4.22) and (4.23), for every  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\partial_r \mathcal{K}(t, r)h(x)| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_r K_{i,j}(r, t, y)h(x-y)dy + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{i,j}(r, t, y)h(x-y)dy \right| e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \\
&\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_r K_{i,j}(r, t, y)[h(x-y) - h(x)]dy + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{i,j}(r, t, y)[h(x-y) - h(x)]dy \right| e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \\
&\leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (t-r)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} [(t-r)^{-1} + 1] e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4\Gamma(t-r)}} [|y|^\alpha \rho(|y|) 1_{|y| \leq 1} + |y| 1_{|y| > 1}] dy e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \\
&\leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (t-r)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} [(t-r)^{-1} + 1] e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4\Gamma(t-r)}} [|y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + |y|] dy e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \\
&\leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (t-r)^{\frac{\alpha}{4}-2} \right] e^{-\lambda(t-r)}, \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the fifth line we have used (2.12).

For every  $x \neq y$  and  $|x-y| \leq 1/3$ , we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r \mathcal{K}(t, r)h(x) - \partial_r \mathcal{K}(t, r)h(y) &= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_r K_{i,j}(r, t, z)[h(x-z) - h(y-z)]dz \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{i,j}(r, t, z)[h(x-z) - h(y-z)]dz \right] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \\
&=: [I_1(t, r, x, y) + I_2(t, r, x, y)] e^{-\lambda(t-r)}. \tag{4.26}
\end{aligned}$$

We divide  $I_1$  into five parts which is analogue of (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_1(t, r, x, y) \\
&= \int_{|x-z| \leq 2|x-y|} \partial_{r, x_i, x_j}^3 K(r, t, x-z)[h(z) - h(x)]dz \\
&\quad - \int_{|x-z| \leq 2|x-y|} \partial_{r, y_i, y_j}^3 K(r, t, y-z)[h(z) - h(y)]dz \\
&\quad + \int_{|x-z| > 2|x-y|} \partial_{r, y_i, y_j}^3 K(r, t, y-z)[h(y) - h(x)]dz \\
&\quad + \int_{2|x-y| < |x-z| \leq 1} [\partial_{r, x_i, x_j}^3 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{r, y_i, y_j}^3 K(r, t, y-z)][h(z) - h(x)]dz \\
&\quad + \int_{|x-z| > 1} [\partial_{r, x_i, x_j}^3 K(r, t, x-z) - \partial_{r, y_i, y_j}^3 K(r, t, y-z)][h(z) - h(x)]dz \\
&=: I_{11}(t, r, x, y) + I_{12}(t, r, x, y) + I_{13}(t, r, x, y) + I_{14}(t, r, x, y) + I_{15}(t, r, x, y). \tag{4.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\partial_r \partial_{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}}^m K(r, t, x)| \leq C(t-r)^{-1-\frac{n+m}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\Gamma(t-r)}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{11}(t, r, x, y)| &\leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} \int_{|z| \leq 2|x-y|} |z|^\alpha \rho(|z|) (t-r)^{-\frac{n+4}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\Gamma(t-r)}} dz \\ &\leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} (t-r)^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq 2|x-y|} |z|^{\alpha-n} \rho(|z|) \left(\frac{|z|^2}{t-r}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\Gamma(t-r)}} dz \\ &\leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} (t-r)^{-2} \int_0^{2|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Repeating all calculations from (4.10) to (4.13), we get analogues of  $J_2$ – $J_5$  that

$$\begin{cases} |I_{12}(t, r, x, y)| \leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} (t-r)^{-2} \int_0^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr, \\ |I_{13}(t, r, x, y)| \leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} (t-r)^{-2} |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|), \\ |I_{14}(t, r, x, y)| \leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} (t-r)^{-2} |x-y| \int_{2|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2-\alpha}} dr, \\ |I_{15}(t, r, x, y)| \leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} (t-r)^{-\frac{3+\beta}{2}} |x-y|^\beta, \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

where  $\beta \in (\alpha, 1)$ .

By (4.28), (4.29) and (4.14)–(4.20), we conclude that

$$|I_1(t, r, x, y)| \leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} \left[ (t-r)^{-2} + (t-r)^{-\frac{3+\beta}{2}} \right] |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |x-y| \leq \frac{1}{3}. \quad (4.30)$$

Similar calculations also implies that

$$|I_2(t, r, x, y)| \leq C[h]_{\alpha, \rho} \left[ (t-r)^{-1} + (t-r)^{-\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \right] |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |x-y| \leq \frac{1}{3}. \quad (4.31)$$

Combining (4.25), (4.26), (4.30) and (4.31), we assert

$$\|\partial_r \mathcal{K}(t, r) h\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \leq C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (t-r)^{-2} \right] e^{-\lambda(t-r)},$$

which implies that

$$\|\partial_r \mathcal{K}(t, r)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} \leq C \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (t-r)^{-2} \right] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} =: C\phi(t-r). \quad (4.32)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\|\mathcal{K}(t, r)\|_{L(\mathcal{H}; \tilde{\mathcal{H}})} \leq C \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (t-r)^{-1} \right] e^{-\lambda(t-r)}. \quad (4.33)$$

By (4.21), (4.23) and (4.33), conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.2 hold.

Noticing that for every  $\iota > 0$

$$\iota \int_{\iota}^{+\infty} \phi(\tau) d\tau = \iota \int_{\iota}^{+\infty} [\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \tau^{-2}] e^{-\lambda\tau} d\tau \leq C < +\infty, \quad (4.34)$$

by Lemma 2.3,  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Calderón–Zygmund kernel relative to the filtration of dyadic cubes. Moreover, for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$

$$|\mathcal{A}f(t)| = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \mathcal{K}_{i,j}(t,r) f(r, \cdot) dr \right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}(t,r) f(r) dr \right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}},$$

which implies that condition (ii) in Lemma 2.4 holds.

Now let  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$  such that  $f$  and all  $f_k$  vanish outside of the same ball. Moreover, we assume that the norms  $\|f_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})}$  are uniformly bounded with respect to  $k$ , and  $\|f(t) - f_k(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$  for almost all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . By using the Fatou lemma, (2.6) holds, and thus condition (iii) of Lemma 2.4 holds. Further by Lemma 2.4,  $\mathcal{A}$  is of weak-type  $(1, 1)$  and strong-type  $(p, p)$  for every  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  on smooth functions with compact support, as  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\gamma |\{t : \|\nabla^2 G_{\lambda} f(t, \cdot)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} > \gamma\}| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}} dt, \quad \forall \gamma > 0, \quad \text{when } p = 1,$$

and

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\nabla^2 G_{\lambda} f(t, \cdot)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{when } p \in (1, +\infty),$$

for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$ . Therefore, (4.5) and (4.6) hold. Combining (4.1) and (4.3)–(4.6), we conclude (3.4) and (3.5) for  $\vartheta = l$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3.4

The proof for  $\vartheta = b$  is easier than that for  $\vartheta = l$ , here we just show the detail for the case of  $\vartheta = l$ . On the other hand, the proofs for  $2/p - 1 < \alpha < 2/p$  and  $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$  are similar to  $\alpha > 2/p$  and  $\alpha = 2/p$ , respectively, we only prove the case of  $\alpha > 2/p$  for  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$  and  $\alpha = 2/p$  for  $\varsigma = d$ . When  $\varsigma \in \{d, s, c, w\}$ , the calculations for  $\varsigma \in \{d, c, w\}$  are similar to the case of  $\varsigma = s$ , we only prove the case of  $\varsigma = s$ .

(i) By the representation (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(t, x)|}{1 + |x|} &\leq C \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(r, t, y) [1 + |x| + |y|] f_1(r) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^t f_1(r) \left[1 + (t-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] dr \leq C \|f_1\|_{L^p([0, T])}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where  $f_1$  is given in (4.1).

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , it follows by (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{x_i} u(t, x)| &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{y_i} K(r, t, y)| f_2(r) [1_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^\alpha \rho(|y|) + |y| 1_{|y| > 1}] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(2r, 2t, y) f_2(r) [1 + |y|^{\alpha-1-\varepsilon}] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dy dr \\
&\leq C \int_0^t f_2(r) \left[ 1 + (t-r)^{\frac{\alpha-1-\varepsilon}{2}} \right] dr \\
&\leq C \|f_2\|_{L^p([0, T])} \left[ 1 + \int_0^T r^{\frac{(\alpha-1-\varepsilon)p}{2(p-1)}} dr \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} < +\infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where  $f_2$  is given in (4.2), and in the second line we have used (2.12) for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .

Let  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Choosing  $2\varepsilon = (\alpha - 2/p)$  in (5.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x)| &\leq C \int_0^t f_2(r) \left[ (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (t-r)^{\frac{\alpha-\varepsilon}{2}-1} \right] e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \\
&\leq C \|f_2\|_{L^p([0, T])} \left[ \int_0^T r^{-\frac{p}{2(p-1)}} dr + \int_0^T r^{\frac{(\alpha-4)p+2}{4(p-1)}} dr \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} < +\infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact  $\alpha > 2/p$ .

By (5.1)–(5.3), it remains to show for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $|x - y| \leq 1/3$ ) there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 u(t, y)| \leq C |x - y|^{\alpha - \frac{2}{p}} \rho(|x - y|), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \tag{5.4}$$

Let  $J_1, \dots, J_5$  be given by (4.8) with  $-\infty$  replaced by 0. By using Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1(t, x, y)| &\leq C [f]_{p, \alpha, \rho} \int_{|z| \leq 2|x-y|} |z|^\alpha \rho(|z|) \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} r^{-\frac{(n+2)p}{2(p-1)}} e^{-\frac{p|z|^2}{4\Gamma(p-1)r}} dr \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} dz \\
&\leq C \int_{|z| \leq 2|x-y|} \frac{\rho(|z|)}{|z|^{n+\frac{2}{p}-\alpha}} dz \leq C \int_0^{2|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\alpha}} dr,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where  $[f]_{p, \alpha, \rho}^p = \int_0^T [f(r, \cdot)]_{\alpha, \rho}^p dr$ .

Similarly, we achieve

$$|J_2(t, x, y)| \leq C \int_0^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\alpha}} dr. \tag{5.6}$$

For  $J_3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3(t, x, y)| &\leq C[f]_{p,\alpha,\rho} |x-y|^\alpha \rho(|x-y|) |x-y|^n \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} r^{-\frac{(n+2)p}{2(p-1)}} e^{-\frac{p|x-y|^2}{2\Gamma(p-1)r}} dr \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq C|x-y|^{\alpha-\frac{2}{p}} \rho(|x-y|). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

For  $J_4$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_4(t, x, y)| &\leq C[f]_{p,\alpha,\rho} |x-y| \int_{2|x-y| < |z| \leq 1} |z|^\alpha \rho(|z|) dz \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} r^{-\frac{(n+3)p}{2(p-1)}} e^{-\frac{p|z|^2}{16\Gamma(p-1)r}} dr \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq C|x-y| \int_{2|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2+\frac{2}{p}-\alpha}} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

We estimate  $J_5$  by

$$\begin{aligned} |J_5(t, x, y)| &\leq C[f]_{p,\alpha,\rho} |x-y|^\beta \int_{|z|>1} |z| \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} r^{-\frac{(n+2+\beta)p}{2(p-1)}} e^{-\frac{p|z|^2}{16\Gamma(p-1)r}} dr \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} dz \\ &\leq C|x-y|^\beta \int_{|z|>1} |z|^{-n-\beta-\frac{2}{p}+1} dz \leq C|x-y|^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where  $\beta \in (\alpha, 1)$  such that  $\beta + 2/p > 1$ .

Combining (5.5) to (5.9) and (2.12), for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) - \partial_{y_i, y_j}^2 u(t, y)| \\ &\leq C \left[ \int_0^{3|x-y|} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{1+\frac{2}{p}-\alpha}} dr + |x-y|^{\alpha-\frac{2}{p}} \rho(|x-y|) + |x-y| \int_{2|x-y|}^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{2+\frac{2}{p}-\alpha}} dr \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Adapting calculations for (4.14)–(4.20) to (5.10), we achieve (5.4).

(ii) Repeating calculations of (5.5)–(5.10) and with the help of (4.15) for  $\alpha = 0$  we get for  $|x-y| \leq 1/3$  that

$$|\nabla^2 u(t, x) - \nabla^2 u(t, y)| \leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; C_{t,d}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \hat{\rho}(|x-y|), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \quad (5.11)$$

On the other hand, by (5.1) and (5.2),  $(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} |u(t, \cdot)|$ ,  $\partial_{x_i} u \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ , it remains to check  $\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). In fact

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 K(r, t, x-y) [f(r, y) - f(r, x)] dy \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_0^T r^{-\frac{p(n+2)}{2(p-1)}} e^{-\frac{p|y|^2}{8\Gamma(p-1)r}} dr \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} [|y|^\alpha \rho(|y|) 1_{|y|\leq 1} + |y| 1_{|y|>1}] e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{8\Gamma T}} dy \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|y|^{-n} \rho(|y|) 1_{|y|\leq 1} + |y|^{-\alpha-n+1} 1_{|y|>1}] e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{8\Gamma T}} dy \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{\rho(r)}{r} dr + \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{r^2}{8\Gamma T}} dr \right] \leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{5.12}
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Theorem 3.6

We only prove the case of  $\vartheta = l$ . Clearly, if  $u \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  solves the Cauchy problem (3.11), for all  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tilde{u}(t, x) = u(t, x)e^{(\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda)t} \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  solves the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t, x) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 \tilde{u}(t, x) + g(t, x) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(t, x) \\ \quad - \tilde{\lambda} \tilde{u}(t, x) + \tilde{f}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \tilde{u}(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\tilde{f}(t, x) = f(t, x)e^{(\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda)t}$ , and vice versa. So we just need prove the well-posedness of (3.11) for some  $\lambda > 1$ .

(i) For  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  we consider the following of equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = (1 - \tau)Au(t, x) + \tau \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t, x) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 u(t, x) + g(t, x) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) \right] \\ \quad - \lambda u(t, x) + f(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where  $A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(t) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2$  and  $a(t) = (a_{i,j}(t))$  is given in Theorem 3.1.

In view of Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique  $u \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  solving the Cauchy problem (6.1) with  $\tau = 0$ . Further, with the aid of Theorem 3.4,  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p},\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{1+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  when  $\alpha \neq 2/p$  and  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{2,\hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{1+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  when  $\alpha = 2/p$ . Define a mapping  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1 := L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{2+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,\varsigma}^{1+\alpha,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}v(t, x) &= \tau \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} K(r, t, \cdot) * \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{i,j}(r, \cdot) - a_{i,j}(r)) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 v(r, \cdot) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(r, \cdot) \cdot \nabla v(r, \cdot) \right] (x) dr + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} K(r, t, \cdot) * f(r, \cdot)(x) dr \\
&= : H_1(t, x) + H_2(t, x), \tag{6.2}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K(r, t, x)$  is given by (3.2).

Since  $[(a_{i,j}(r, \cdot) - a_{i,j}(r))\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 v(r, \cdot)]$ ,  $g(r, \cdot) \cdot \nabla v(r, \cdot)$  and  $f$  are all in  $L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , we have  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . Moreover, by Theorems 3.1 and 3.4, for  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  which is independent of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}v_1 - \mathcal{T}v_2\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \\ & \leq \frac{C\tau}{2} \left\| \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{i,j}(t, \cdot) - a_{i,j}(t))\partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 (v_1 - v_2) + g(r, \cdot) \cdot \nabla (v_1 - v_2) \right] \right\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq C\tau \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

It follows that there exists an  $\tau_0 > 0$  such that for  $\tau \in (0, \tau_0]$ , the mapping  $\mathcal{T}$  is contractive in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and has a fixed point  $u$  which obviously satisfies that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \quad (6.4)$$

Further, if  $\alpha \neq 2/p$ , then  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and (3.8) holds. If  $\varsigma = d$  and  $\alpha = 2/p$ , then  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, s}^{2, \hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and (3.9) holds.

On the other hand,  $u$  satisfies (6.1), thus (3.12) holds true. We then repeat the proceeding arguments to extend the solution to the interval  $[0, 2\tau_0]$ . Continuing this procedure with finitely many steps, there is a unique strong solution  $u$  for the Cauchy problem (6.1) with  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . In particular, there is a unique  $u \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  solving the Cauchy problem (3.11), and (3.12) holds mutatis mutandis. Moreover, for  $\alpha \neq 2/p$ , we have (3.8), and for  $\varsigma = d, \alpha = 2/p$ , we have (3.9).

(ii) Let  $v \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{2+\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Since  $q = +\infty$ , for  $p \in (1, +\infty]$ , we have  $g(r, \cdot) \cdot \nabla v(r, \cdot) \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, \varsigma}^{\alpha, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Then applying a fixed point argument as that in (i) and repeating analogue calculations as that in proof of Theorem 3.4 arrive at the conclusion.  $\square$

## 7 Proof of Theorem 3.11

We divide the proof into two parts: the unique strong solvability for a class of Kolmogorov equations and the well-posedness of solutions for SDE (1.13) with low regularity growing drift. For simplicity, in the following calculations, we always assume that  $T \leq 1$ .

**Part I:** the unique strong solvability for the following Kolmogorov equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta U(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot \nabla U(t, x) \\ \quad - \lambda U(t, x) + b(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ U(0, x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_T = & \{ \tilde{U} \in L^\infty([0, T]; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)); |\nabla \tilde{U}| \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n), \\ & |\nabla^2 \tilde{U}| \in L^2([0, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)), |\partial_t \tilde{U}| \in L^p([0, T]; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is large enough,  $b \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $p \in (1, 2]$ . The unknown function  $U$  is called a strong solution of (7.1) if  $U, \partial_t U, \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 U \in L^1([0, T]; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ), which have

at most linear growth in space variable, such that (7.1) holds for almost all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . We divide the proof into two cases:  $p \in (1, 2)$  and  $p = 2$ .

**Case 1:**  $p \in (1, 2)$ . We extend  $b$  from  $[0, T]$  to  $(-\infty, T]$  by defining  $b(t, x) = 0$  for  $t < 0$ . Let  $\varrho$  be a regularizing kernel

$$0 \leq \varrho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{supp}(\varrho) \subset [0, 1], \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho(r) dr = 1.$$

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set  $\varrho_m(r) = m\varrho(mr)$ , and then smooth  $b$  in time variable by  $\varrho_m$

$$b_m(t, x) = (b(\cdot, x) * \varrho_m)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} b(t - r, x) \varrho_m(r) dr.$$

For  $R > 0$ , we define  $b_{m,R}(t, x) = b_m(t, x\chi_R(x))$ , where  $\chi_R(x) = \chi(x/R)$  and

$$\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \quad |\chi'| \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } x \in B_1, \\ 0, & \text{when } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2. \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$

Then  $b_{m,R} \in L^\infty([0, T]; C_{b,d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  and there exists a (unlabelled) subsequence such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} |b_{m,R}(t, x) - b(t, x)| = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7.3)$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^T \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} b_{m,R}(t, \cdot)\|_0^p dt \leq \int_0^T \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} b(t, \cdot)\|_0^p dt \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{m,R}]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} &= \left[ \int_0^T \sup_{0 < |x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|b_{m,R}(t, x) - b_{m,R}(t, y)|^p}{|x-y|^{2-p} \rho^p(|x-y|)} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq [b_m]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} \sup_{0 < |x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|x\chi_R(x) - y\chi_R(y)|^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rho(|x\chi_R(x) - y\chi_R(y)|)}{|x-y|^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rho(|x-y|)} \\ &\leq 3^{\frac{2}{p}-1} [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} \sup_{0 < |x-y| \leq 1} \frac{\rho(3|x-y|)}{\rho(|x-y|)} \leq C [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where

$$[b_m]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}^p = \int_0^T [b_m(r, \cdot)]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}^p dr \quad \text{and} \quad [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}^p = \int_0^T [b(r, \cdot)]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}^p dr,$$

and in the last line of (7.5) we have used

$$\begin{aligned} |x\chi_R(x) - y\chi_R(y)| &\leq |x-y|\chi_R(x) + |y||\chi_R(x) - \chi_R(y)| \\ &\leq |x-y| \left[ 1 + \sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} |\chi'_R(\tau x + (1-\tau)y)| \right] \leq 3|x-y|, \end{aligned}$$



and the fact  $\rho$  is a slowly varying function at zero.

Consider the following Kolmogorov equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_{m,R}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta U_{m,R}(t, x) + b_{m,R}(t, x) \cdot \nabla U_{m,R}(t, x) \\ \quad - \lambda U_{m,R}(t, x) + b_{m,R}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ U_{m,R}(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (7.6)$$

With the help of Theorem 3.6 (ii), there exists a unique  $U_{m,R} \in L^p([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{1+\frac{2}{p}, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,p}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,s}^{1, \hat{\rho}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  solving the Cauchy problem (7.6), where  $\hat{\rho}$  is given by (3.10).

On the other hand, by the heat kernel representation, the unique strong solution has the following equivalent form

$$U_{m,R}(t, x) = \int_0^t K(t-r, \cdot) * [b_{m,R}(r, \cdot) \cdot (1 + \nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot))](x) e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr, \quad (7.7)$$

where  $K(t-r, x) = (2\pi(t-r))^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2(t-r)}}$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the following differential equation

$$\dot{x}_t = -b_{m,R}(t, x_0 + x_t), \quad x_t|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (7.8)$$

There exists a unique solution to (7.8). By setting  $\hat{U}_{m,R}(t, x) := U_{m,R}(t, x + x_0 + x_t)$ ,  $\hat{b}_{m,R}(t, x) := b_{m,R}(t, x + x_0 + x_t)$  and  $\tilde{b}_{m,R}(t, x) := b_{m,R}(t, x + x_0 + x_t) - b_{m,R}(t, x_0 + x_t)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_{m,R}(t, x) &= \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t-r, x-y) \tilde{b}_{m,R}(r, y) \cdot \nabla \hat{U}_{m,R}(r, y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t-r, x-y) \hat{b}_{m,R}(r, y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla \hat{U}_{m,R}(t, 0)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla K(t-r, y) \tilde{b}_{m,R}(r, y) \cdot [1 + \nabla \hat{U}_{m,R}(r, y)] dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla K(t-r, y)| [b_{m,R}(r, \cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rho(|y|) + |y| 1_{|y| > 1}] \\ &\quad \times [1 + \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] dy \\ &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r, \cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left( \int_{|y| \leq 1} K(2(t-r), y) (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |y|^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rho(|y|) dy + 1 \right) dr \\
& \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r, \cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4(t-r)}} (t-r)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \tau^{\frac{2}{p}+n-2} \rho(\tau) d\tau + 1 \right) dr \\
& \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r, \cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \\
& \quad \times \left[ \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8(t-r)}} \left( \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\rho(\sqrt{t-r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \frac{\rho^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{t-r}) \rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(\tau)}{(t-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \tau} d\tau + 1 \right] dr, \tag{7.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4(t-r)}} (t-r)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \tau^{\frac{2}{p}+n-2} \rho(\tau) \\
& = e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8(t-r)}} \left( \frac{\tau^2}{t-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8(t-r)}} \left( \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\rho(\sqrt{t-r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \frac{\rho^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{t-r}) \rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(\tau)}{(t-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \tau} \\
& \leq C e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8(t-r)}} \left( \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\rho(\sqrt{t-r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \frac{\rho^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{t-r}) \rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(\tau)}{(t-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\tau \in [0,1], r \in (0,T]} \left[ e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8r}} \left( \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\rho(\sqrt{r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \right] \\
& \leq \sup_{r \in (0,T], \tau \in [0, \sqrt{r}]} \left[ e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8r}} \left( \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\rho(\sqrt{r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \right] + \sup_{r \in (0,T], \tau \in [\sqrt{r}, 1]} \left[ e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8r}} \left( \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\rho(\sqrt{r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \right] \\
& \leq 1 + \sup_{r \in (0,T], \mu \in [1, 1/r]} \left[ e^{-\frac{\mu}{8}} \left( \frac{\rho(\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{r})}{\rho(\sqrt{r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \right] \\
& \leq 1 + \sup_{\mu \geq 1} \sup_{r \in (0, 1/\mu]} \left[ e^{-\frac{\mu}{8}} \left( \frac{\rho(\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{r})}{\rho(\sqrt{r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \right]. \tag{7.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $r_0 = 1$  in Lemma 2.7, in view of (2.9), leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{r \in (0, 1/\mu]} \frac{\rho(\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{r})}{\rho(\sqrt{r})} & = \sup_{r \in (0, 1/\mu]} \exp \left\{ c(\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{r}) - c(\sqrt{r}) + \int_{\sqrt{r}}^{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{r}} \frac{\zeta(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ 2 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} |c(\tau)| + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} \zeta(\tau) \log(\sqrt{\mu}) \right\} \\
& \leq C \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} \zeta(\tau). \tag{7.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (7.11) and (7.12), one concludes

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,1], r \in (0,T]} \left[ e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{8r}} \left( \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\rho(\sqrt{r})} \right)^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}} \right] \leq 1 + C \sup_{\mu \geq 1} \left[ e^{-\frac{\mu}{8}} \mu^{\frac{(3p-2)}{10p-4}} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} \zeta(\tau) \right] \leq C.$$

This, together with (7.10), yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla \hat{U}_{m,R}(t,0)| \\ & \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r, \cdot)]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \left[ \frac{\rho^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{t-r})}{(t-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \int_0^1 \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau + 1 \right] dr \\ & \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r, \cdot)]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \left[ \frac{\rho^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{t-r})}{(t-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} + 1 \right] dr, \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

where in the last inequality we have used assumption  $\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}$  is a Dini function.

Since  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary, we conclude from (7.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla U_{m,R}(t, \cdot)\|_0 \\ & \leq C [b_m]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \left\{ \int_0^T e^{-\frac{\lambda pr}{p-1}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho^{\frac{p(3p-2)}{(5p-2)(p-1)}}(\sqrt{r})}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ & \leq C [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{\lambda pr^2}{p-1}} \left[ r + \frac{\rho^{\frac{p(3p-2)}{(5p-2)(p-1)}}(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ & \leq C [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} [1 + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r, \cdot)\|_0] \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{\lambda pr^2}{p-1}} \left[ r + \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

where in the first inequality we have used the Hölder inequality.

Since  $\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(r)/r \in L^1([0, \sqrt{T}])$ , by virtue of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{\lambda pr^2}{p-1}} \left[ r + \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(r)}{r} \right] dr = 0,$$

which, by choosing  $\lambda$  large enough, also implies that

$$C [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{\lambda pr^2}{p-1}} \left[ r + \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

For this fixed large enough  $\lambda$ , then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla U_{m,R}(t, \cdot)\|_0 \leq \frac{3}{2} C [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{\lambda pr^2}{p-1}} \left[ r + \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (7.15)$$

This, together with (7.7), also suggests that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|U_{m,R}(t,x)|}{1+|x|} &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1+|x|} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t-r,y) [1 \\
&\quad + \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r,\cdot)\|_0 + [1+|x|+|y|] b_{m,1}(r)] dy \\
&\leq C \int_0^T b_1(r) [1+(T-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}] dr \\
&\leq C \|b_1\|_{L^p([0,T])} \leq C \|b\|_{L^p([0,T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{7.16}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{m,1}(r) = \|(1+|\cdot|)^{-1} b_{m,R}(r,\cdot)\|_0, \quad b_1(r) = \|(1+|\cdot|)^{-1} b(r,\cdot)\|_0 \in L^p([0,T]),$$

and  $\|b_{m,1}\|_{L^p([0,T])} \leq \|b_1\|_{L^p([0,T])}$ .

For the second order derivatives of  $U_{m,R}(t,x)$ , we get an analogue of (7.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla^2 \hat{U}_{m,R}(t,0)| \\
&\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^2 K(t-r,y)| [b_{m,R}(r,\cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1,\rho} [1_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rho(|y|) + |y| 1_{|y| > 1}] \\
&\quad \times [1 + \|\nabla U_{m,R}(r,\cdot)\|_0] dy \\
&\leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r,\cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1,\rho} (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_{|y| \leq 1} K(2(t-r),y) (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |y|^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rho(|y|) dy + 1 \right] dr \\
&\leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r,\cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1,\rho} (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{\rho^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{t-r})}{(t-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \int_0^1 \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau + 1 \right] dr \\
&\leq C \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} [b_m(r,\cdot)]_{\frac{2}{p}-1,\rho} (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{\rho^{\frac{3p-2}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{t-r})}{(t-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} + 1 \right] dr,
\end{aligned}$$

which, by Young's inequality, implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^2 U_{m,R}\|_{L^2([0,T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))} \\
&\leq C [b_m]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} \left\{ \int_0^T e^{-\frac{2\lambda pr}{3p-2}} \left[ r^{-\frac{p}{3p-2}} + \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(\sqrt{r})}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{3p-2}{2p}} \\
&\leq C [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} \left\{ 1 + \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{2\lambda pr^2}{3p-2}} \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(r)}{r} dr \right\}^{\frac{3p-2}{2p}} \leq C [b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho}. \tag{7.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Further, by (7.6), (7.15)–(7.17), then  $\partial_t U_{m,R} \in L^p([0,T]; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  and by (7.15)–(7.17) there is a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\|(1+|\cdot|)^{-1} \partial_t U_{m,R}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p([0,T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left[ \|\nabla^2 U_{m,R}\|_{L^2([0,T];L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n))} + \lambda \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} U_{m,R}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)} \right. \\
&\quad + \|\nabla U_{m,R}\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)} \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} b_{m,R}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p([0,T];L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
&\quad \left. + \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} b_{m,R}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p([0,T];L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n))} \right] \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{L^p([0,T];C_{l,d}^{\frac{2}{p}-1,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{7.18}
\end{aligned}$$

On account of (7.15)–(7.18) and (7.3), there exists a (unlabelled) subsequence  $U_{m,R}$  and a measurable function  $U \in \mathcal{H}_T$  such that  $U_{m,R}(t, x) \rightarrow U(t, x) \in \mathcal{H}_T$  for a.e.  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  as  $R$  and  $m$  tend to infinity in turn. In particular  $U$  satisfies (7.1) and the following estimate

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla U(t, \cdot)\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{7.19}$$

Now we prove the uniqueness. Observing that the equation is linear, it suffices to prove that  $U \equiv 0$  for the following nonhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta U(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot \nabla U(t, x) - \lambda U(t, x), & (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ U(0, x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

For the above Cauchy problem, if one sets  $\hat{U}$ ,  $\hat{b}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  as in (7.9), then

$$\hat{U}(t, x) = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t-r, x-y) \tilde{b}(r, y) \cdot \nabla \hat{U}(r, y) dy.$$

Further, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla U(t, \cdot)\|_0 &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \hat{U}(t, \cdot)\|_0 \\
&= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla K(t-r, \cdot - y) \tilde{b}(r, y) \cdot \nabla \hat{U}(r, y) dy \right\|_0 \\
&\leq \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \|\nabla U(r, \cdot)\|_0 C[b]_{p, \frac{2}{p}-1, \rho} \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{\lambda p r^2}{p-1}} \left[ r + \frac{\rho^{\frac{2p}{5p-2}}(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{3} \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \|\nabla U(r, \cdot)\|_0,
\end{aligned}$$

and deduce that  $\nabla U \equiv 0$ , which leads to  $U \equiv 0$  by a similar argument as in (7.16).

**Case 2:**  $p = 2$ . Let  $\tilde{\varrho}$  be another regularizing kernel

$$0 \leq \tilde{\varrho} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{supp}(\tilde{\varrho}) \subset B_1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\varrho}(x) dx = 1.$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set  $\tilde{\varrho}_k(x) = k \tilde{\varrho}(kx)$ , and then smooth  $b$  in space variable by  $\tilde{\varrho}_k$

$$b^k(t, x) = (b(t, \cdot) * \tilde{\varrho}_k)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(t, x-y) \tilde{\varrho}_k(y) dy.$$

Let  $\chi_R$  be given by (7.2). We set  $b_R^k(t, x) = b^k(t, x\chi_R(x))$ , then  $b_R^k \in L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{b,d}^{\beta,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} |b_R^k(t, x) - b(t, x)| = 0, \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (7.20)$$

Moreover, we get analogues of (7.4) and (7.5) that

$$\int_0^T \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} b_R^k(t, \cdot)\|_0^2 dt \leq 4 \int_0^T \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} b(t, \cdot)\|_0^2 dt \quad (7.21)$$

and

$$[b_R^k]_{2,0,\rho} \leq [b]_{2,0,\rho} \sup_{0 < |x-y| \leq 1} \frac{\rho(3|x-y|)}{\rho(|x-y|)} \leq C [b]_{2,0,\rho}, \quad (7.22)$$

where

$$[b_R^k]_{2,0,\rho}^2 = \int_0^T [b_R^k(r, \cdot)]_{0,\rho}^2 dr \quad \text{and} \quad [b]_{2,0,\rho}^2 = \int_0^T [b(r, \cdot)]_{0,\rho}^2 dr.$$

Consider the following Kolmogorov equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_R^k(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta U_R^k(t, x) + b_R^k(t, x) \cdot \nabla U_R^k(t, x) \\ \quad - \lambda U_R^k(t, x) + b_R^k(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ U_R^k(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (7.23)$$

By Theorem 3.6 (i), there exists a unique  $U_R^k \in L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{1+\beta,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{\beta,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l,d}^{1+\beta,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  solving the Cauchy problem (7.23). Moreover,  $U_R^k$  satisfies integral equation (7.7) if one uses  $b_R^k$  instead of  $b_{m,R}$ . Similar to (7.8)–(7.13), if one chooses  $p = 2$ , then we get an analogue of (7.14) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla U_R^k(t, \cdot)\|_0 \leq C [b]_{2,0,\rho} \left[ 1 + \sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} \|\nabla U_R^k(r, \cdot)\|_0 \right] \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-2\lambda r^2} \left[ r + \frac{\rho(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which also suggests that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla U_R^k(t, \cdot)\|_0 \leq \frac{3}{2} C [b]_{2,0,\rho} \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-2\lambda r^2} \left[ r + \frac{\rho(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (7.24)$$

by choosing  $\lambda$  large enough such that

$$C [b]_{2,0,\rho} \left\{ \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-2\lambda r^2} \left[ r + \frac{\rho(r)}{r} \right] dr \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

By similar arguments as (7.16)–(7.18), we also get

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|U_R^k(t, x)|}{1 + |x|} \leq C \|b\|_{L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, d}^{0, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))}, \\ \|\nabla^2 U_R^k\|_{L^2([0, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C [b]_{2, 0, \rho} \left[ 1 + \int_0^{\sqrt{T}} e^{-\lambda r^2} \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)}{r} dr \right] \leq C [b]_{2, 0, \rho}, \\ \|(1 + |\cdot|)^{-1} \partial_t U_R^k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2([0, T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|b\|_{L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{C}_{l, d}^{0, \rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))}. \end{cases} \quad (7.25)$$

In view of (7.24)–(7.25) and (7.20) there exists a (unlabelled) subsequence  $U_R^k$  and a measurable function  $U \in \mathcal{H}_T$  such that  $U_R^k(t, x) \rightarrow U(t, x) \in \mathcal{H}_T$  for a.e.  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  as  $R$  and  $k$  tend to infinity in turn. In particular  $U$  satisfies (7.1) and the estimate (7.19) holds true.

For the uniqueness, the argument is the same for the case of  $p \in (1, 2)$ . So we complete the proof of the unique solvability for the Kolmogorov equation (7.1) in  $\mathcal{H}_T$ .

**Part II:** the well-posedness of solutions for SDE (1.13) with low regularity growing drift.

Let  $U$  be the unique strong solution of (7.1). We set  $V(t, x) = U(T - t, x)$ , then  $V \in \mathcal{H}_T$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta V(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot \nabla V(t, x) \\ \quad = \lambda V(t, x) - b(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ V(T, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (7.26)$$

Moreover, (7.19) holds true for  $V$ . Now set  $\Phi(t, x) = x + V(t, x)$ , then  $\Phi$  forms a nonsingular homeomorphism uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$  and

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \Phi(t, \cdot)\|_0 \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{2}{3} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \Psi(t, \cdot)\|_0 \leq 2, \quad (7.27)$$

where  $\Psi(t, \cdot) = \Phi^{-1}(t, \cdot)$ .

For  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ , define

$$V_\epsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} V(r, x) dr = \int_0^1 V(t + r\epsilon, x) dr$$

and  $\Phi_\epsilon(t, x) = x + V_\epsilon(t, x)$ , where  $V(t, x) := V(T, x) = 0$  when  $t > T$ . Notice that  $\Phi_\epsilon \in W^{1, 2}([0, T]; W_{loc}^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$ , if  $X_{s, t}(x)$  is a strong solution of SDE (1.13), in light of Itô's formula ([25, Theorem 3.7]), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\epsilon(t, X_{s, t}(x)) &= \Phi_\epsilon(s, x) + \int_s^t \partial_r V_\epsilon(r, X_{s, r}(x)) dr + \int_s^t b(r, X_{s, r}(x)) \cdot \nabla V_\epsilon(r, X_{s, r}(x)) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \Delta V_\epsilon(r, X_{s, r}(x)) dr + \int_s^t b(r, X_{s, r}(x)) dr + \int_s^t [I + \nabla V_\epsilon(r, X_{s, r}(x))] dW_r. \end{aligned} \quad (7.28)$$

Since  $V \in \mathcal{H}_T$ , if one lets  $\epsilon$  tend to 0 in (7.28), we obtain

$$\Phi(t, X_{s, t}(x)) = \Phi(s, x) + \int_s^t \partial_r V(r, X_{s, r}(x)) dr + \int_s^t b(r, X_{s, r}(x)) \cdot \nabla V(r, X_{s, r}(x)) dr$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \Delta V(r, X_{s,r}(x)) dr + \int_s^t b(r, X_{s,r}(x)) dr + \int_s^t [I + \nabla V(r, X_{s,r}(x))] dW_r \\
& = \Phi(s, x) + \lambda \int_s^t V(r, X_{s,r}(x)) dr + \int_s^t (I + \nabla V(r, X_{s,r}(x))) dW_r, \tag{7.29}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the fact that  $V$  satisfies the Cauchy problem (7.26).

Denote  $Y_{s,t}(y) = \Phi(t, X_{s,t}(x))$ , it follows from (7.29) that

$$\begin{cases} dY_{s,t}(y) = \lambda V(t, \Psi(t, Y_{s,t}(y))) dt + (I + \nabla V(t, \Psi(t, Y_{s,t}(y)))) dW_t \\ \quad =: \tilde{b}(t, Y_{s,t}(y)) dt + \tilde{\sigma}(t, Y_{s,t}(y)) dW_t, \quad t \in (s, T], \\ Y_{s,s} = y = \Phi(s, x). \end{cases} \tag{7.30}$$

Conversely, if  $Y_{s,t}(y)$  is a strong solution of SDE (7.30), with the help of (7.27) and Itô's formula,  $X_{s,t}(x) = \Psi(t, Y_{s,t}(y))$  satisfies SDE (1.13). Therefore, SDEs (1.13) and (7.30) are equivalent. By the regularity of  $V$ , we have  $\tilde{b} \in L^\infty([0, T]; Lip(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} \in L^2([0, T]; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}))$ . Owing to Cauchy–Lipschitz's theorem, there exists a unique strong solution  $Y_{s,t}(y)$  to (7.30), which also satisfies that  $Y_{s,t}(y) = Y_{r,t}(Y_{s,r}(y))$  for all  $0 \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq T$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $Y_{s,s}(y) = y$ . Moreover, an application of Itô's formula to  $|Y_{s,t}|^q$  yields that

$$d|Y_{s,t}(y)|^q \leq C[1 + |Y_{s,t}(y)|^q] dt + q|Y_{s,t}(y)|^{q-2} \langle Y_{s,t}(y), \tilde{\sigma}(t, Y_{s,t}(y)) dW_t \rangle, \quad \text{for } q \geq 2.$$

Observe that for every  $t > s$ ,  $\int_s^t |Y_{s,r}(y)|^{q-2} \langle Y_{s,r}(y), \tilde{\sigma}(r, Y_{s,r}(y)) dW_r \rangle$  is a martingale. Then

$$\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,t}(y)|^q \leq C(1 + |y|^q). \tag{7.31}$$

Now let us check the homeomorphisms. Due to [26, Lemmas II.2.4, II.4.1 and II.4.2] and the estimate

$$\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}(1 + |Y_{s,t}(y)|)^\xi \leq C(1 + |y|)^\xi, \quad \text{for } \xi < 0,$$

which is direct by using the Itô formula, it is sufficient to prove that for every  $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $y \neq y'$ ) and every  $s, t, s', t' \in [0, T]$  ( $s < t, s' < t'$ ),

$$\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s,t}(y')|^{2\xi} \leq C|y - y'|^{2\xi}, \quad \text{for } \xi < 0, \tag{7.32}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s',t'}(y')|^q \leq C \left\{ |y - y'|^q + (1 + |y|^q + |y'|^q)[|s - s'|^{\frac{q}{2}} + |t - t'|^{\frac{q}{2}}] \right\}, \quad \text{for } q \geq 2. \tag{7.33}$$

We first treat (7.32). For  $\epsilon > 0$ , we choose  $f_\epsilon(x) = (\epsilon + |x|^2)$  and set  $Y_{s,t}(y, y') := Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s,t}(y')$ . In view of Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\epsilon^\xi(Y_{s,t}(y, y')) & \leq f_\epsilon^\xi(y - y') + C|\xi| \int_s^t f_\epsilon^\xi(Y_{s,r}(y, y')) dr + C|\xi(\xi - 1)| \int_s^t \kappa^2(r) f_\epsilon^\xi(Y_{s,r}(y, y')) dr \\
& \quad + 2\xi \int_s^t f_\epsilon^{\xi-1}(Y_{s,r}(y, y')) \langle Y_{s,r}(y, y'), (\tilde{\sigma}(r, Y_{s,r}(y)) - \tilde{\sigma}(r, Y_{s,r}(y'))) dW_r \rangle, \tag{7.34}
\end{aligned}$$



where  $\kappa(r) = \|\nabla^2 V(r, \cdot)\|_0 \in L^2([0, T])$  for  $\nabla V \in L^2([0, T]; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}))$ . Due to the Grönwall inequality, we obtain from (7.34) that

$$\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon + |Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s,t}(y')|^2]^\xi \leq C[\epsilon + |y - y'|^2]^\xi.$$

Then (7.32) follows by letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ .

To prove (7.33), we assume without loss of generality that  $s < s' < t < t'$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & |Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s',t'}(y')|^q \\ \leq & 3^{q-1}[|Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s,t}(y')|^q + |Y_{s,t}(y') - Y_{s',t}(y')|^q + |Y_{s',t}(y') - Y_{s',t'}(y')|^q]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.35)$$

Applying the Itô formula to  $|Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s,t}(y')|^q$  yields

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s,t}(y')|^q \leq |y - y'|^q + C \int_s^t [1 + \kappa^2(r)] \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,r}(y) - Y_{s,r}(y')|^q dr,$$

then by the Grönwall inequality

$$\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,t}(y) - Y_{s,t}(y')|^q \leq C|y - y'|^q. \quad (7.36)$$

For  $|Y_{s,t}(y') - Y_{s',t}(y')|^q$ , by employing Itô's formula again, one ascertains

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_{s,t}(y') - Y_{s',t}(y')|^q \leq \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,s'}(y') - y'|^q + C \mathbb{E} \int_{s'}^t [1 + \kappa^2(r)] |Y_{s,r}(y') - Y_{s',r}(y')|^q dr.$$

This, together with the Grönwall and Minkowski inequalities, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,t}(y') - Y_{s',t}(y')|^q & \leq C \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,s'}(y') - y'|^q \\ & \leq C \left| \int_s^{s'} [\mathbb{E}|\tilde{b}(r, Y_{s,r}(y'))|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} dr \right|^q + C \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_s^{s'} \|\tilde{\sigma}(r, Y_{s,r}(y'))\|^2 dr \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ & \leq C[1 + \sup_{s \leq r \leq T} \mathbb{E}|Y_{s,r}(y')|^q] |s - s'|^q + C|s - s'|^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ & \leq C[(1 + |y'|^q)|s - s'|^q + |s - s'|^{\frac{q}{2}}] \leq C(1 + |y'|^q)|s - s'|^{\frac{q}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.37)$$

where in the third line we have used the fact  $\tilde{b}$  is Lipschitz continuous uniformly in time variable and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is bounded.

For the term  $|Y_{s',t}(y') - Y_{s',t'}(y')|^q$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|Y_{s',t}(y') - Y_{s',t'}(y')|^q & = \mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^{t'} \tilde{b}(r, Y_{s',r}(y')) dr + \int_t^{t'} \tilde{\sigma}(r, Y_{s',r}(y')) dW_r \right|^q \\ & \leq C(1 + |y'|^q)|t - t'|^{\frac{q}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.38)$$

Summing over (7.35)–(7.38), we obtain (7.33). Thus  $Y_{s,t}(\cdot)$  forms a homeomorphism. Observing that  $Y_{s,t}$  satisfies equation (7.30), then

$$Y_{s,t}(Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y)) = Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y) + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(r, Y_{s,r}(Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y)))dr + \int_s^t \tilde{\sigma}(r, Y_{s,r}(Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y)))dW_r.$$

Noting that  $Y_{s,r}(Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y)) = Y_{r,t}^{-1}(y)$ , thus

$$Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y) = y - \int_s^t \tilde{b}(r, Y_{r,t}^{-1}(y))dr - \int_s^t \tilde{\sigma}(r, Y_{r,t}^{-1}(y))dW_r. \quad (7.39)$$

We then get an analogue of (7.33) for  $Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y)$  once taken into account the backward character of the equation (7.39). Hence  $Y_{s,t}^{-1}(y)$  is continuous in  $(s, t, y)$  almost surely in  $\omega$ , and  $\{Y_{s,t}(y), t \in [s, T]\}$  forms a stochastic flow of homeomorphisms to SDE (7.30).  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 12371243, 11771123, 12171247, the Startup Foundation for Introducing Talent of NUIST, Jiangsu Provincial Double-Innovation Doctor Program JSSCBS20210466 and Qing Lan Project.

## References

- [1] A. Beck, F. Flandoli, M. Gubinelli, M. Maurelli, Stochastic ODEs and stochastic linear PDEs with critical drift: regularity, duality and uniqueness, *Electron. J. Probab.* **24** (136) (2019) 1–72.
- [2] N. Bingham, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [3] Z. Brzézniak, F. Flandoli, M. Maurelli, Existence and uniqueness for stochastic 2D Euler flows with bounded vorticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **221** (1) (2016) 107–142.
- [4] C. Burch, The Dini condition and regularity of weak solutions of elliptic equations, *J. Differ. Equ.* **30** (3) (1978) 308–323.
- [5] S. Campanato, Equazioni paraboliche del secondo ordine e spazi  $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\Omega; \delta)$ , *Ann. Math. Pura. Appl.* **73** (4) (1966) 55–102.
- [6] P. Constantin, G. Iyer, A stochastic Lagrangian representation of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **61** (3) (2008) 330–345.
- [7] P. Dawkins, *Calculus I*, <http://tutorial.math.lamar.edu>, 2018.
- [8] H.J. Dong, S. Kim, Partial Schauder estimates for second-order elliptic and parabolic equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **40** (3) (2011) 481–500.
- [9] E. Fedrizzi, F. Flandoli, Pathwise uniqueness and continuous dependence for SDEs with non-regular drift, *Stochastics* **83** (3) (2011) 241–257.

- [10] E. Fedrizzi, F. Flandoli, Noise prevents singularities in linear transport equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **264** (6) (2012) 1329–1354
- [11] E. Fedrizzi, F. Flandoli, Hölder flow and differentiability for SDEs with nonregular drift, *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **31** (4) (2013) 708–736
- [12] F. Flandoli, M. Gubinelli, E. Priola, Well-posedness of the transport equation by stochastic perturbation, *Invent. Math.* **180** (1) (2010) 1–53.
- [13] L. Galeati, M. Gerencsér, Solution theory of fractional SDEs in complete subcritical regimes, preprint (2024), arXiv:2207.03475v3.
- [14] Y. Giga, H. Sohr, Abstract  $L^p$  estimates for the Cauchy problem with applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* **102** (1991) 72–94.
- [15] K. Itô, On stochastic differential equation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1951), 1–51.
- [16] N.V. Krylov, The heat equation in  $L_q((0, T), L_p)$ -spaces with weights, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **32** (5) (2001) 117–141.
- [17] N.V. Krylov, The Calderón–Zygmund theorem and its applications to parabolic equations (Russian), *Algebra i Analiz* **13** (4) (2001) 1–25; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **13** (4) (2002) 509–526.
- [18] N.V. Krylov, Parabolic equations in  $L_p$ -spaces with mixed norms, *Algebra i Analiz* **14** (4) (2002) 91–106.
- [19] N.V. Krylov, The Calderón–Zygmund theorem and parabolic equations in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}^{2+\alpha})$ , *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **1** (5) (2002) 799–820
- [20] N.V. Krylov, Elliptic equations with VMO  $a, b \in L_d$  and  $c \in L_{d/2}$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **374** (4) (2021) 2805–2822.
- [21] N.V. Krylov, On stochastic equations with drift in  $L_d$ , *Ann. Probab.* **49** (5) (2021) 2371–2398.
- [22] N.V. Krylov, On strong solutions of Ito’s equations with  $a \in W_d^1$  and  $b \in L_d$ , *Ann. Probab.* **49** (6) (2021) 3142–3167
- [23] N.V. Krylov, Elliptic equations in Sobolev spaces with Morrey drift and the zeroth-order coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **376** (10) (2023) 7329–7351.
- [24] N.V. Krylov, On strong solutions of Ito’s equations with  $D\sigma$  and  $b$  in Morrey classes containing  $L_d$ , *Ann. Probab.* **51** (5) (2023) 1729–1751
- [25] N.V. Krylov, M. Röckner, Strong solutions to stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **131** (2) (2005) 154–196.
- [26] H. Kunita, Stochastic Differential Equations and Stochastic Flows of Diffeomorphisms, In: *Ecole d’été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour, XII-1982*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1097**, 143–303, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [27] H. Kunita, Stochastic Flows and Stochastic Differential Equations. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **24**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [28] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, Sixth problem of the millennium: Navier–Stokes equations, existence and smoothness, *Russian Math. Surveys* **58** (2) (2003) 251–286.

- [29] L. Lorenzi, Optimal Schauder estimates for parabolic problems with data measurable with respect time, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **32** (3) (2000) 588–615.
- [30] L. Lorenzi, Schauder estimates for degenerate elliptic and parabolic problems with unbounded coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Differential Integral Equations* **18** (5) (2005) 531–566.
- [31] C. Marchioro, M. Pulvirenti, *Mathematical Theory of Incompressible Nonviscous Fluids*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [32] P. Maremonti, V.A. Solonnikov, On the estimates of solutions of evolution Stokes problem in anisotropic Sobolev spaces with mixed norm, *J. Math. Sci.* **87** (5) (1997) 3859–3877.
- [33] K. Nam, Stochastic differential equations with critical drifts, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **130** (9) (2020) 5366–5393.
- [34] T. Ogawa, S. Shimizu, End-point maximal regularity and its application to two-dimensional Keller-Segel system, *Math. Z.* **264** (3) (2010) 601–628.
- [35] T. Ogawa, S. Shimizu, End-point maximal  $L^1$ -regularity for the Cauchy problem to a parabolic equation with variable coefficients, *Math. Ann.* **365** (1-2) (2016) 661–705.
- [36] M. Röckner, G.H. Zhao, SDEs with critical time dependent drifts: strong solutions, preprint (2021), arXiv:2103.05803v4.
- [37] J. Schauder, Nuinerische abschätzungen in elliptischen lanearen differential glezchungen, *Studia Math.* **5** (1) (1934) 34–42.
- [38] J. Schauder, Über lineare elliptische differentialgleichungen zweiter ordnung, *Math. Z.* **38** (1) (1934) 257–282.
- [39] L. Simon, Schauder estimates by scaling, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **5** (5) (1997) 391–407.
- [40] G.J. Tian, X.J. Wang, Partial regularity for elliptic equations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **28** (3) (2010) 899–913.
- [41] R. Tian, L. Ding, J. Wei, Strong solutions of stochastic differential equations with square integrable drift, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **174** (2022) 1–31.
- [42] A.J. Veretennikov, On the strong solutions of stochastic differential equations, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **24** (2) (1979) 354–366.
- [43] X.J. Wang, Schauder estimates for elliptic and parabolic equations, *Chin. Ann. Math. B* **27** (6) (2006) 637–642.
- [44] J. Wei, J. Duan, H. Gao, G. Lv, Stochastic regularization for transport equations, *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.* **9** (1) (2021) 105–141.
- [45] J. Wei, G. Lv, W. Wang, Stochastic transport equation with bounded and Dini continuous drift, *J. Differ. Equ.* **323** (5) (2022) 359–403.
- [46] J. Wei, G. Lv, J.L. Wu, Stochastic differential equations with critically irregular drift coefficients, *J. Differ. Equ.* **371** (2023) 1–30.
- [47] J. Wei, J. Hu, C. Yuan, Stochastic equations with low regularity growing drifts, preprint (2023), arXiv:2310.00421v2.
- [48] X. Zhang, Strong solutions of SDEs with singular drift and Sobolev diffusion coefficients, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **115** (11) (2005) 1805–1818.

- [49] X. Zhang, Stochastic homeomorphism flows of SDEs with singular drifts and Sobolev diffusion coefficients, *Electron. J. Probab.* **16** (13) (2011) 1096–1116.