

NOTE ON THE  $a$ -POINTS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

PENG-CHENG HANG AND MIN-JIE LUO

ABSTRACT. For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , the zeros of  $\zeta(s) - a$ , denoted by  $\rho_a = \beta_a + i\gamma_a$ , are called  $a$ -points of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ . In this paper, we reformulate some basic results about the  $a$ -points of  $\zeta(s)$  shown by Garunkštis and Steuding. We then deduce an asymptotic of the sum

$$S_T(a, \delta) = \sum_{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $0 \neq \delta = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\log \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \ll 1$ , and  $X > 0$  and  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$  are fixed.

We also find the interesting varied behavior of  $S_T(a, \delta)$  in different  $X$  ranges, which is more complicated than those described before by Gonek and Pearce-Crump.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\zeta(s)$  be the Riemann zeta function,  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable,  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  be a non-trivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$  and  $a$  be any complex number. The zeros of  $\zeta(s) - a$ , say  $\rho_a = \beta_a + i\gamma_a$ , are called  $a$ -points of  $\zeta(s)$ . Additionally,  $a$ -points with  $\beta_a \leq 0$  are said to be trivial. Clearly, when  $a = 0$ , the  $a$ -points reduce to the ordinary zeros.

There are many interesting investigations on the distribution of the values of the derivatives  $\zeta^{(n)}(s)$  of  $\zeta(s)$  at its zeros. For instance, Fujii proved in [3] that

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho) = \frac{T}{4\pi} \log^2 \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) + (C_0 - 1) \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + (1 - C_0 - C_0^2 + 3C_1) \frac{T}{2\pi} + E(T), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $E(T)$  is given explicitly both unconditionally and assuming Riemann Hypothesis, and  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are the constants in the expansion

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + C_0 + C_1(s-1) + \dots$$

But there are lesser studies on the distribution concerning the  $a$ -points.

A fundamental result on the  $a$ -points is the Riemann-von Mangoldt-type formula. In 1913, Landau [1] estimated the number of nontrivial  $a$ -points, namely

$$N_a(T) := \sum_{\substack{\beta_a > 0 \\ 1 < \gamma_a \leq T}} 1 = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e c_a} + \mathcal{O}(\log T), \quad T \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.2)$$

with the constant  $c_a = 1$  if  $a \neq 1$ , and  $c_1 = 2$ . As with the usual Riemann-von Mangoldt formula, (1.2) is of great importance in studying the distribution of  $a$ -points.

In 2014, Garunkštis and Steuding [4] showed that there are countably many trivial  $a$ -points, but their proof is not fully rigorous. They generalized (1.1) by using (1.2) and proving that

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a) = \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho) - \frac{aT}{2\pi} \left\{ \log^2 \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) - 2 \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + 2 \right\} + \mathcal{O} \left( T^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right). \quad (1.3)$$

They also showed

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 < \gamma_a \leq T} (\zeta(\rho_a + i\delta) - a) \\ &= \left( 1 - \frac{\sin(2\pi\alpha)}{2\pi\alpha} + i\pi\alpha \left( \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi\alpha} \right)^2 - a(1 - \cos(2\pi\alpha) + i \sin(2\pi\alpha)) \right) \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} \end{aligned}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M06, 11M26, 41A60.

*Key words and phrases.* Riemann zeta function,  $a$ -points, value-distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{T}{2\pi} \left( -1 + e^{-2\pi i\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{i\delta} \left( \frac{1}{1-i\delta} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{1-i\delta} \left( \zeta(1-i\delta) + \frac{1}{i\delta} \right) \right) \right) \\
& + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1+i\delta) + \frac{1}{i\delta} - a \left\{ 1 + \log c_a + (\cos(2\pi\alpha) - i\sin(2\pi\alpha)) \times \right. \\
& \left. \times \left( \frac{1}{(1-i\delta)^2} - \frac{2\pi i\alpha}{1-i\delta} \right) \right\} + E(T)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

uniformly in  $\alpha$ , where  $0 \neq \delta = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\log \frac{T}{2\pi}} \ll 1$ . They further remarked in [4, p. 13] that differentiation of the above formula with respect to  $\alpha$  leads to (1.3). The idea of differentiation seems to originate in the work of Ingham in [8, p. 275].

Jakhlouti and Mazhouda [10] then generalized (1.3) by showing that for any fixed  $X > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a) X^{\rho_a} = \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho) X^\rho - \frac{aT}{2\pi} \left\{ \log^2 \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) - 2 \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + 2 \right\} + \mathcal{O} \left( T^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right), \tag{1.5}$$

where the sum on the right was evaluated by Fujii [3]: for any fixed  $X > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho) X^\rho & = -\Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) \log X - \sum_{mr=X} \Lambda(r) \log m \right\} \\
& + X \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m X} \log^2 m + \frac{1}{2} X \log X \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m X} \log m \\
& - \frac{1}{4} X \log X (2 \log X - \pi i) \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m X} - X \sum_{mr \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m r X} \Lambda(r) \log m \\
& + \mathcal{O} \left( T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

with

$$\Delta(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0, & \text{if } X \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

and  $\Lambda(r)$  denoting the von Mangoldt function defined by

$$\Lambda(r) = \begin{cases} \log p, & \text{if } r = p^k \text{ for some prime } p \text{ and some integer } k \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

However, there is a minor mistake in (1.5), which is corrected in Corollary 2.4.

In this paper, we shall revisit Garunkštis and Steuding's work on the trivial  $a$ -points and also make a revision of Jakhlouti and Mazhouda's result (1.5). Motivated by considering (1.4), we shall generalize (1.5) by deriving the asymptotics of

$$S_T(a, \delta) := \sum_{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $X > 0$  and  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$  are fixed, and  $0 \neq \delta = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\log \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \ll 1$ .

The advantage of considering (1.8) is that taking  $a = 0$  and differentiating it with respect to  $\alpha$  can yield the work of Pearce-Crump [14] on the asymptotics of

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \zeta^{(n)}(\rho) X^\rho, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, setting  $X = 1$  in the corresponding asymptotic expansion recovers [7, Theorem 3].

## 2. Statement of results

Throughout this paper,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $X > 0$  are assumed to be any fixed numbers. Let  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  be a non-trivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$  and let  $\rho_a = \beta_a + i\gamma_a$  be any  $a$ -point of  $\zeta(s)$ . Write  $s = \sigma + it$  with

$\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , use the notation for the characteristic function

$$\mathbb{1}_{x \in \Omega} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and recall the function  $\Delta(X) = \mathbb{1}_{X \in \mathbb{Z}}$  defined in (1.7). Then we can state our main results.

The first generalizes Fujii's result (1.6), since by letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , (2.1) reduces to (1.6).

**Theorem 2.1.** Fix numbers  $X > 0$  and  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$ . Then for  $0 \neq \delta := \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\log \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \ll 1$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta) X^\rho &= -\Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \left\{ X^{-i\delta} \log X \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) - \sum_{mr=X} \Lambda(r) m^{-i\delta} \log m \right\} \\ &\quad - X^{1-i\delta} \log X \left( \frac{1}{2} \log X - \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m X} \\ &\quad + X^{1-i\delta} \log X \left( \sum_{mr \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m r X} \Lambda(r) r^{-i\delta} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m X} \log m \right) \\ &\quad + X^{1-i\delta} \sum_{mr \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi i m r X} \Lambda(r) r^{-i\delta} \log r + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

holds uniformly in  $\alpha$ .

As Pearce-Crump pointed out in [14, p. 5], it is clear from the following corollary that the changing behavior of asymptotic expansions (2.1) and (2.2) depends on whether  $X$  is an integer.

**Corollary 2.2.** Fix any positive integer  $X$  and any number  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$ . Then for  $0 \neq \delta := \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\log \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \ll 1$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta) X^\rho &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mr=X} \Lambda(r) m^{-i\delta} \log m - X^{-i\delta} \frac{T}{2\pi} Z_\delta \left( \frac{T}{2\pi X} \right) \\ &\quad - X^{-i\delta} \log X \frac{T}{2\pi} \left( \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - 1 + \frac{\zeta'(1+i\delta)}{\zeta} - \frac{\zeta(1-i\delta)}{1-i\delta} \left( \frac{T}{2\pi X} \right)^{-i\delta} \right) \\ &\quad + E(T) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

uniformly in  $\alpha$ , where

$$Z_\delta(x) := \zeta'(1+i\delta) + \frac{x^{-i\delta}}{1-i\delta} \left\{ \frac{\zeta''\zeta - \zeta'^2}{\zeta^2} (1-i\delta) + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} (1-i\delta) \left( \log x - \frac{1}{1-i\delta} \right) \right\}$$

and the error term is

$$E(T) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(T e^{-C\sqrt{\log T}}), & \text{unconditionally} \\ \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}), & \text{assuming Riemann Hypothesis} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

with  $C > 0$  being an absolute constant.

Our second theorem corrects and improves Jakhlouti and Mazhouda's result (1.5).

**Theorem 2.3.** Fix numbers  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X > 0$  and  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$ . Then for  $0 \neq \delta := \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\log \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \ll 1$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a} &= \sum_{\tau < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta) X^\rho - \Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mr=X} \left( \Lambda(r) + c_a(r) \right) m^{-i\delta} \log m \\ &\quad - a K_\delta^{(1)} \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^7 T\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

uniformly in  $\alpha$ , where  $c_a(1) = 0$ , the coefficients  $c_a(r)$  ( $r \geq 2$ ) defined by [15, Equation (29)]

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} = \sum_{r \geq 2} \frac{c_a(r)}{r^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1 \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_\delta^{(1)}(x) &:= \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mn=\frac{1}{X}} \mu(m)n^{i\delta} \cdot x^{1-i\delta} \left( \frac{\log^2 x}{1-i\delta} - \frac{2\log x}{(1-i\delta)^2} + \frac{2}{(1-i\delta)^3} \right) \\ &\quad + \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mnr=\frac{1}{X}} \Lambda(m)(m^{i\delta}+1)n^{i\delta}\mu(r) \cdot x^{1-i\delta} \left( \frac{\log x}{1-i\delta} - \frac{1}{(1-i\delta)^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mnr\ell=\frac{1}{X}} \Lambda(m)(mn)^{i\delta}\mu(r)\Lambda(\ell) \cdot x^{1-i\delta} (1-i\delta)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mu(r)$  denoting the Möbius function. If, further,  $X$  is a positive integer, then (2.4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta)X^{\rho_a} &= \sum_{\tau < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta)X^\rho - \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mr=X} (\Lambda(r) + c_a(r))m^{-i\delta} \log m \\ &\quad - \mathbb{1}_{X=1} \cdot aK_\delta^{(2)} \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^7 T\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$K_\delta^{(2)}(x) := x^{1-i\delta} \left( \frac{\log^2 x}{1-i\delta} - \frac{2\log x}{(1-i\delta)^2} + \frac{2}{(1-i\delta)^3} \right).$$

Letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in (2.6) and using (1.1), one may get the following corollary, which provides the correct statement of (1.5).

**Corollary 2.4.** *Fix any number  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and any positive integer  $X$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a)X^{\rho_a} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - a \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X=1} \right) \frac{T}{2\pi} \log^2 \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) + (C_0 - 1 - \log X - 2a \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X=1}) \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} \\ &\quad + \left\{ 1 - C_0 - C_0^2 + 3C_1 - 2a \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X=1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{mr=X} c_a(r) \log m - \left( C_0 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log X \right) \log X \right\} \frac{T}{2\pi} + E(T), \end{aligned}$$

where the error term  $E(T)$  is given by (2.3), the coefficients  $c_a(r)$  are defined in (2.5) and the constants  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are the coefficients in the Laurent expansion of  $\zeta(s)$  at  $s = 1$ , namely

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + C_0 + C_1(s-1) + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

### 3. Overview of the paper

In the first two sections we have described the motivation and main results of this paper.

In Section 4, we will present some basic results about the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  and the Riemann xi function  $\xi(s)$ . We will also make a revision of Garunkštis and Steuding's results [4, Lemmas 4 and 6].

In Section 5, we will use the results from Section 4 to prove Theorem 2.1. Our starting point is the contour integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(s) \zeta'(s+i\delta) X^s ds,$$

where  $b = 1 + \frac{1}{\log T}$ ,  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes the positively oriented rectangle with the vertices  $b + i\tau, b + iT, 1 - b + iT, 1 - b + i\tau$ .

In Section 6, we will prove Corollary 2.2 by evaluating the leading terms.

In Section 7, we will carefully evaluate the contour integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s+i\delta) X^s ds$$

to prove Theorem 2.3, where  $B \asymp \log T$ ,  $b = 1 + \frac{1}{\log T}$ ,  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$ , and  $\mathcal{R}$  denotes the positively oriented rectangle with the vertices  $B + i\tau, B + iT, 1 - b + iT, 1 - b + i\tau$ .

The paper concludes with some remarks in Section 8 on our main results.

#### 4. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some basic results about  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\xi(s)$  and revisit Garunkštis and Steuding's results in [4] about the trivial  $a$ -points.

We first recall the functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$

$$\zeta(1-s) = \chi(1-s)\zeta(s), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\chi(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s)$$

has the leading behavior [5, Equation (13)]

$$\chi(1-s) = e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}i} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(it \log \frac{t}{2\pi e}\right) (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})) \quad (4.2)$$

for  $\sigma$  fixed and  $t \geq 1$ , and where  $\Gamma(s)$  is the Gamma function.

Taking the logarithmic derivative of (4.1) and using [5, Equation (33)]

$$\frac{\chi'}{\chi}(1-s) = -\log \frac{|t|}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1})$$

imply that for  $\sigma$  fixed and  $|t| \geq t_0 > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) = -\log \frac{|t|}{2\pi} - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1}). \quad (4.3)$$

It should be mentioned that for  $\sigma > 1$ , the following Dirichlet series are convergent:

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^s}, \quad \zeta^{(n)}(s) = (-1)^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log^n m}{m^s}, \quad \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = -\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(r)}{r^s}. \quad (4.4)$$

We also need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1** ([7, Lemma 1]). *For  $t \geq t_0 > 0$  uniformly in  $\sigma$ ,*

$$\zeta^{(n)}(\sigma + it) \ll \begin{cases} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \log^{n+1} t, & \text{if } \sigma \leq 0, \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\sigma)} \log^{n+1} t, & \text{if } 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \\ \log^{n+1} t, & \text{if } \sigma \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.2** ([7, Lemma 2]). *If  $T$  is such that  $|T - \gamma| \gg \frac{1}{\log T}$  for any ordinate  $\gamma$ , uniformly for  $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$  we have*

$$\left(\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}\right)^{(n)}(\sigma + iT) \ll \log^{n+2} T.$$

**Lemma 4.3** ([7, Lemma 4]). *For  $\sigma \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 1$ ,*

$$\zeta^{(n)}(1-s) = (-1)^n \chi(1-s) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \log^{n-k} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \zeta^{(k)}(s) + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}} \log^{n-1} t\right).$$

**Lemma 4.4** ([14, Lemma 4]). *Let  $X > 0$  be a fixed number. Let  $\{b_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of complex numbers such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $b_m \ll m^\varepsilon$ . Let  $c > 1$  and let  $k \geq 0$  be an integer. Then for  $T$  sufficiently large, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^T \chi(1-c-it) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m m^{-c-it}\right) \log^k \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) e^{-it \log X} dt \\ & = X^c \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}} b_m \log^k(mX) e^{-2\pi i m X} + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{c-\frac{1}{2}} \log^k T\right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the Riemann xi function defined by

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} s(s-1) \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s),$$

which satisfies the functional equation  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ . We need the following expansion for  $\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(s)$ .

**Lemma 4.5** ([14, Lemma 3]). *For  $0 < \arg(s) < \pi - \theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < \pi$  and  $t \geq t_0 > 0$ ,*

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).$$

Now let us reformulate Garunkštis and Steuding's results on the location of the trivial  $a$ -points.

**Lemma 4.6** ([4, Lemma 4]). *There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$|\zeta(s)| > c(2\pi e)^\sigma \frac{|1-s|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}}{\log|t|} \quad (\sigma \leq 0, |t| \geq 2).$$

*If Riemann Hypothesis is true, fix  $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $c = c(\epsilon) > 0$  such that*

$$|\zeta(s)| > c(2\pi e)^\sigma |t|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma+\epsilon} \quad (\sigma < 1/2, |t| \geq 2).$$

*Proof.* It is well known (see [13, p. 65, Exercise 4.6]) that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that, for  $1 - \frac{c}{\log(|t|+2)} < \sigma < 2$ ,

$$\zeta(s)^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(\log|s|), \quad |s| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, for  $1 \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\log|t|}$  and  $|t| \geq 2$ ,

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \gg \frac{2}{\log(\sigma^2 + t^2)} \gg \frac{1}{\log|t|}.$$

Recall the estimate [16, p. 50, Equation (3.6.1)]

$$\zeta(\sigma + it)^{-1} \ll \frac{\log^{\frac{1}{4}}|t|}{(\sigma - 1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \quad (\sigma > 1, |t| \geq 2),$$

which shows that  $|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \gg \frac{1}{\log|t|}$  holds for  $\sigma > 1 + \frac{1}{\log|t|}$  and  $|t| \geq 2$ . Hence

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \gg \frac{1}{\log|t|} \quad (\sigma \geq 1, |t| \geq 2). \quad (4.5)$$

Assuming Riemann Hypothesis, we get from [16, p. 337, Equation (14.2.6)] that for fixed  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \gg_{\sigma, \epsilon} |t|^{-\epsilon} \quad (|t| \geq 2). \quad (4.6)$$

Use of Stirling's formula [12, Equation (5.11.1)] confirms that for  $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$|\chi(s)| \asymp (2\pi e)^\sigma |1-s|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} e^{|t| \arctan \frac{1-\sigma}{|t|}} \geq (2\pi e)^\sigma |1-s|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}. \quad (4.7)$$

Combining this with (4.5) and (4.6) gives the desired results.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7** ([4, Lemma 6]). *For any complex number  $a$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that there is just one simple  $a$ -point of  $\zeta(s)$  in a neighborhood around  $s = -2k$  for all positive integers  $k \geq N$ ; apart from these, there are no other  $a$ -points in the left half-plane  $\Re(s) \leq 0$  except possibly finitely many near  $s = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Lemma 4.6 claims that there exists a constant  $T_a > 0$  such that the inequality  $|\zeta(s)| \geq |a| + 1$  holds throughout the domain  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma \leq 0, |t| \geq T_a\}$ .

For any positive integer  $n$ , consider the region

$$\Omega_k := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : -2k - 1 < \sigma < -2k + 1, |t| < T_a\}.$$

By (4.7), we obtain that there is a positive integer  $N \geq 2\pi e$  such that for  $k \geq N$ ,  $|\zeta(s)| \geq |a| + 1$  is valid on the boundary  $\partial\Omega_k$ . Applying Rouché's theorem,  $\zeta(s) - a$  and  $\zeta(s)$  have the same number of zeros in  $\Omega_k$  for each  $k \geq N$ . Moreover,  $\zeta(s) - a$  has finitely many zeros in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : -2N + 1 < \sigma \leq 0, |t| < T_a\}$  since it is analytic there.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** *For any fixed  $c > 0$ , apply (4.7) and Rouché's theorem in the region*

$$\Omega'_k := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : -2k - 1 < \sigma < -2k + 1, |t| < c\}.$$

*Then there exists an  $N > 1$  such that  $\zeta(s) - a$  and  $\zeta(s)$  have the same number of zeros in  $\Omega'_k$  for each  $k \geq N$ . Thus there are finitely many  $a$ -points in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma \leq 0\} \setminus \bigcup_{k \geq N} \Omega'_k$ . In particular,*

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta_a \leq 0 \\ c < \gamma_a \leq T}} 1 = \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (4.8)$$

The following partial fraction decomposition formula is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.7; see [4, p. 8] for the proof.

**Lemma 4.8** ([4, Lemma 8]). *Let  $a$  be a fixed complex number. Then, for  $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$  and  $|t| \geq 1$ ,*

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} = \sum_{|t - \gamma_a| \leq 1} \frac{1}{s - \rho_a} + \mathcal{O}(\log(|t| + 1)),$$

where the summation is taken over all  $a$ -points  $\rho_a = \beta_a + i\gamma_a$  satisfying  $|t - \gamma_a| \leq 1$ .

### 5. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Fix numbers  $X > 0$  and  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$ . Write  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  for a non-trivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$ . Suppose that  $T$  is large. Write

$$I = \sum_{\tau < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta) X^\rho.$$

Set  $b = 1 + \frac{1}{\log T}$ . Then use of Cauchy's residue theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{1-b+i\tau}^{b+i\tau} + \int_{b+i\tau}^{b+iT} + \int_{b+iT}^{1-b+iT} + \int_{1-b+iT}^{1-b+i\tau} \right) \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(s) \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s ds + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T) \\ &=: I_B + I_R + I_T + I_L + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Throughout this section, we assume that  $|T - \gamma| \gg \frac{1}{\log T}$ . In view of (1.2) with  $a = 0$ , the error  $\mathcal{O}(\log^3 T)$  in (5.1) results from removing this restriction on  $T$ .

Using the upper bound for  $\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(s)$  [5, Equation (18)]

$$\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(\sigma + iT) \ll \log^2 T \quad (-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2)$$

and the estimate [14, Lemma 2]

$$\int_{1-b}^b |\zeta'(\sigma + iT)| d\sigma \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T,$$

we get

$$I_B + I_T \ll 1 + \log^2 T \int_{1-b}^b |\zeta'(\sigma + iT)| X^\sigma d\sigma \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T. \quad (5.2)$$

#### 5.1. Evaluating $I_R$ .

Using Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_R &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\tau^T \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(b + it) \zeta'(b + it + i\delta) X^{b+it} dt \\ &= \frac{X^b}{2\pi} \int_\tau^T \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \right) + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b + it) \right\} \zeta'(b + it + i\delta) X^{it} dt \\ &=: I_{R,1} + I_{R,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.4) and Lemma 4.1 gives

$$I_{R,1} = -\frac{X^b}{2\pi} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\log m}{m^{b+i\delta}} \int_\tau^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) e^{it \log \frac{X}{m}} dt + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T).$$

For terms with  $\frac{X}{m} \neq 1$  the integral can be estimated by integration by parts. Due to (2.7), these terms contribute an error term

$$\ll \log T \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\log m}{m^b} = \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b) \right| \log T \ll \log^2 T.$$

Thus, with  $\Delta(X)$  defined as in (1.7),

$$I_{R,1} = -X^b \Delta(X) X^{-b-i\delta} \log X \int_\tau^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) dt + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T)$$

$$= -\Delta(X)X^{-i\delta} \log X \frac{T}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T).$$

Similarly,

$$I_{R,2} = \Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mn=X} \Lambda(m)n^{-i\delta} \log n + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T).$$

Hence

$$I_R = -\Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \left\{ X^{-i\delta} \log X \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) - \sum_{mr=X} \Lambda(r)m^{-i\delta} \log m \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T).$$

## 5.2. Evaluating $I_L$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} I_L &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-b+i\tau}^{1-b+iT} \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(s) \zeta'(s+i\delta) X^s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-b+i\tau}^{1-b+iT} \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(1-s) \zeta'(s+i\delta) X^s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\tau}^{b-iT} \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(u) \zeta'(1-u+i\delta) X^{1-u} du. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{I_L} &= \frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \frac{\xi'}{\xi}(b+it-i\delta) \\ &\quad \left\{ \chi(1-b-it) \left( \zeta(b+it) \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \zeta'(b+it) \right) + \mathcal{O}(t^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}} \log t) \right\} X^{-it} dt \\ &= \frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b+it-i\delta) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \right) \\ &\quad \left\{ \chi(1-b-it) \left( \zeta(b+it) \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \zeta'(b+it) \right) + \mathcal{O}(t^{\sigma-\frac{3}{2}} \log t) \right\} e^{-it \log X} dt \\ &= \frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b+it-i\delta) \right) \\ &\quad \left( \zeta(b+it) \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \zeta'(b+it) \right) e^{-it \log X} dt + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T) \\ &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.4 to each of the integrals  $J_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \zeta(b+it) \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) e^{-it \log X} dt \\ &= \frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \sum_{m \geq 1} m^{-b-it} \cdot \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) e^{-it \log X} dt \\ &= X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{m \leq \frac{T+\delta}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi i m X} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log^2(mX) + \frac{\pi i}{4} \log(mX) \right) + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 T) \\ &= X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi i m X} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log^2(mX) + \frac{\pi i}{4} \log(mX) \right) + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 T), \\ J_2 &= \frac{X^{1+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \zeta(b+it) \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b+it-i\delta) e^{-it \log X} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} dt \\ &= -\frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)n^{i\delta}}{(mn)^{b+it}} \cdot e^{-it \log X} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} dt \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= -X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{mn \leq \frac{T+\delta}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imnX} \Lambda(n) n^{i\delta} \log(mnX) + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T\right) \\
&= -X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{mn \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imnX} \Lambda(n) n^{i\delta} \log(mnX) + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T\right), \\
J_3 &= \frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \zeta'(b+it) \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) e^{-it \log X} dt \\
&= -\frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\log m}{m^{b+it}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) e^{-it \log X} dt \\
&= -X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{m \leq \frac{T+\delta}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imX} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(mX) + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) \log m + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T\right) \\
&= -X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imX} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(mX) + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) \log m + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T\right), \\
J_4 &= \frac{X^{1+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \zeta'(b+it) \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b+it-i\delta) e^{-it \log X} dt \\
&= \frac{X^{1-b+i\delta}}{2\pi} \int_{\tau+\delta}^{T+\delta} \chi(1-b-it) \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n) n^{i\delta} \log m}{(mn)^{b+it}} \cdot e^{-it \log X} dt \\
&= X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{mn \leq \frac{T+\delta}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imnX} \Lambda(n) n^{i\delta} \log m + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
&= X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{mn \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imnX} \Lambda(n) n^{i\delta} \log m + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these expressions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{I}_L &= -X^{1+i\delta} \log X \left(\frac{1}{2} \log X + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imX} - \frac{1}{2} X^{1+i\delta} \log X \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imX} \log m \\
&\quad + X^{1+i\delta} \sum_{mn \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{-2\pi imnX} \Lambda(n) n^{i\delta} \log(nX) + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
I_L &= -X^{1-i\delta} \log X \left(\frac{1}{2} \log X - \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi imX} - \frac{1}{2} X^{1-i\delta} \log X \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi imX} \log m \\
&\quad + X^{1-i\delta} \sum_{mn \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi imnX} \Lambda(n) n^{-i\delta} \log(nX) + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right).
\end{aligned}$$

### 5.3. Finishing the proof.

Combining (5.1), (5.2) and the expansions for  $I_R$  and  $I_L$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= -\Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \left\{ X^{-i\delta} \log X \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) - \sum_{mr=X} \Lambda(r) m^{-i\delta} \log m \right\} \\
&\quad - X^{1-i\delta} \log X \left(\frac{1}{2} \log X - \frac{\pi i}{4}\right) \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi imX} - \frac{1}{2} X^{1-i\delta} \log X \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi imX} \log m \\
&\quad + X^{1-i\delta} \sum_{mr \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} e^{2\pi imrX} \Lambda(r) r^{-i\delta} \log(rX) + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

### 6. Proof of Corollary 2.2

Assume that  $X$  is a positive integer. Then the right-hand side of (2.1) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{T}{2\pi} \left\{ X^{-i\delta} \log X \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) - \sum_{mr=X} \Lambda(r) m^{-i\delta} \log m \right\} \\ & - X^{1-i\delta} \log X \left( \frac{1}{2} \log X - \frac{\pi i}{4} \right) \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} 1 - \frac{1}{2} X^{1-i\delta} \log X \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \log m \\ & + X^{1-i\delta} \log X \sum_{mr \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \Lambda(r) r^{-i\delta} + X^{1-i\delta} \sum_{mr \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \Lambda(r) r^{-i\delta} \log r + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Applying the truncated Perron formula [11, Corollary 5.3] and following the analysis in [7, Sections 6 and 7], we may obtain

$$L := \sum_{mr \leq x} \Lambda(r) r^{i\delta} \log r = \sum_{s_0 \in \{1, 1+i\delta\}} \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_0} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \zeta'(s-i\delta) \frac{x^s}{s} \right) + E(x),$$

where  $E(x)$  is defined as in (2.3). Calculation of the residues on the right gives

$$L = -\zeta'(1-i\delta)x - \frac{x^{1+i\delta}}{1+i\delta} \left\{ \frac{\zeta''\zeta - \zeta'^2}{\zeta^2}(1+i\delta) + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1+i\delta) \left( \log x - \frac{1}{1+i\delta} \right) \right\} + E(x). \quad (6.2)$$

Note that

$$\sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \log m = \frac{T}{2\pi X} \log \frac{T}{2\pi X} - \frac{T}{2\pi X} + \mathcal{O}(\log T) \quad (6.3)$$

and recall Fujii's estimate [2, p. 112]

$$\sum_{mr \leq x} \Lambda(r) r^{i\delta} = \frac{\zeta(1+i\delta)}{1+i\delta} x^{1+i\delta} - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-i\delta)x + E(x). \quad (6.4)$$

Combining the formulas (6.1)-(6.4) completes the proof.

### 7. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Fix the numbers  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X > 0$  and  $\tau \geq |\delta| + 1$ , let  $0 \neq \delta = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\log \frac{T}{2\pi X}} \ll 1$  and assume that  $T$  is large. Write  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\rho_a = \beta_a + i\gamma_a$  for an  $a$ -point of  $\zeta(s)$ . Set

$$S := \sum_{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a}.$$

If we assume

$$\min_{\rho_a} |T - \gamma_a| \geq \frac{1}{\log T}, \quad (7.1)$$

use of (1.2) and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.7 yields

$$S = \sum_{\substack{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T \\ \text{assuming (7.1)}}} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a} + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right).$$

Assume that  $a \neq 1$ . Now we choose  $b = 1 + \frac{1}{\log T}$  and  $B = \frac{\log T}{2 \log(X+2)}$ . Since

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) = 1 + o(1), \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$$

uniformly in  $t$ , there are no  $a$ -points in the half-plane  $\Re(s) > B - 1$ . Take  $\mathcal{R}$  to be the positively oriented rectangle with the vertices  $1 - b + i\tau, B + i\tau, B + iT, 1 - b + iT$ . If assuming (7.1), in view of (4.8) and Lemma 4.7, we may take  $\tau$  large enough such that there are no  $a$ -points on the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{R}$ . Thus, under (7.1),

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T \\ \text{assuming (7.1)}}} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s ds.$$

If  $a = 1$ , consider the function  $f(s) = 2^s(\zeta(s) - 1)$  in place of  $\zeta(s) - a$ . Since

$$f(s) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 3} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^s,$$

there is a zero-free right half-plane for  $f(s)$ . Furthermore,

$$\frac{f'}{f}(s) = \log 2 + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - 1}.$$

It implies that the constant term does not contribute by integration over a closed contour and we can use the same argument as in the case  $a \neq 1$ .

In the rest of this section, we assume (7.1) and let  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ .

### 7.1. Beginning the proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s ds + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{1-b+iT}^{1-b+i\tau} + \int_{1-b+i\tau}^{B+i\tau} + \int_{B+i\tau}^{B+iT} + \int_{B+iT}^{1-b+iT} \right) \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s ds + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right) \\ &=: S^L + S^B + S^R + S^T + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T\right). \end{aligned}$$

The integral along the bottom of  $\mathcal{R}$  is

$$S^B = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{1-b+i\tau}^{2+i\tau} + \int_{2+i\tau}^{B+i\tau} \right) \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s ds \ll 1 + \int_2^B X^\sigma d\sigma.$$

We obtain from the following lemma that  $S^B = \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *If  $X > 0$  and  $B = \frac{\log T}{2 \log(X+2)}$ , then  $\int_2^B X^\sigma d\sigma \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $X=1$ , then  $\int_2^B X^\sigma d\sigma = B - 2 \ll \log T$ . If  $X \neq 1$ ,  $\frac{\log X}{2 \log(X+2)} < \frac{1}{2}$  and thus

$$\int_2^B X^\sigma d\sigma = \frac{X^B - X^2}{\log X} \ll X^B = \exp\left(\frac{\log X}{2 \log(X+2)} \log T\right) < T^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

To estimate  $S^R$ , note that as  $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\zeta'(s) = - \sum_{m=2}^N \frac{\log m}{m^s} + \mathcal{O}\left((N+1)^{-\sigma}\right).$$

Applying (2.5), we obtain that as  $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s + i\delta) &= \left( \sum_{2 \leq m \leq N} \frac{-\log m}{m^{s+i\delta}} + \mathcal{O}\left((N+1)^{-\sigma}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{2 \leq r \leq N} \frac{c_a(r)}{r^s} + \mathcal{O}\left((N+1)^{-\sigma}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{4 \leq k \leq N} \frac{a_k}{k^s} + \mathcal{O}\left((N+1)^{-\sigma}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_k = - \sum_{mr=k} c_a(r) m^{-i\delta} \log m.$$

Take  $N = (\lfloor X \rfloor + 3)^5 - 1$  and then for  $s$  on the segment  $[B + i\tau, B + iT]$ ,

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s = \sum_{4 \leq k \leq N} a_k k^{-s} X^s + \mathcal{O}\left(X^B (N+1)^{-B}\right),$$

which illustrates that

$$S^R = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{4 \leq k \leq N} a_k \int_{B+i\tau}^{B+iT} X^s k^{-s} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(T X^B (N+1)^{-B}\right)$$

$$= \Delta(X) \frac{a_X T}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(4^{-B} X^B) + \mathcal{O}(T X^B (N+1)^{-B}).$$

Since

$$4^{-B} X^B = \exp\left(\frac{\log X - \log 4}{2 \log(X+2)} \log T\right) < T^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$T X^B (N+1)^{-B} < T (\lfloor X \rfloor + 3)^{-4B} = \exp\left(\log T - \frac{2 \log(\lfloor X \rfloor + 3)}{\log(X+2)} \log T\right) < T^{-1},$$

we have

$$S^R = -\Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mr=k} c_a(r) m^{-i\delta} \log m + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Now estimate  $S^T$ . It follows from Lemma 4.8 that for  $1-b \leq \sigma \leq 2$ ,

$$\frac{\zeta'(\sigma + iT)}{\zeta(\sigma + iT) - a} = \sum_{|T - \gamma_a| \leq 1} \frac{1}{\sigma + iT - \rho_a} + \mathcal{O}(\log T).$$

By (1.2), there are  $\mathcal{O}(\log T)$  terms in the sum above. Moreover, by (7.1),

$$|\sigma + iT - \rho_a|^{-1} \leq |T - \gamma_a|^{-1} \leq \log T.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\zeta'(\sigma + iT)}{\zeta(\sigma + iT) - a} \ll \log^2 T \quad (1-b \leq \sigma \leq 2).$$

If  $\sigma > 2$ ,  $\zeta(\sigma + iT) - a \asymp 1$  and then adopt Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\frac{\zeta'(\sigma + iT)}{\zeta(\sigma + iT) - a} \ll \log^2 T \quad (\sigma > 2).$$

Using Lemmas 4.1 and 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^T &\ll \log^2 T \left( \int_{1-b}^0 + \int_0^1 + \int_1^B \right) |\zeta'(\sigma + iT + i\delta)| X^\sigma d\sigma \\ &\ll \log^4 T \left( \int_{1-b}^0 T^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} X^\sigma d\sigma + \int_0^1 T^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\sigma)} X^\sigma d\sigma + \int_1^B X^\sigma d\sigma \right) \\ &\ll T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^4 T. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have

$$\sum_{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a} = S^L - \Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mr=k} c_a(r) m^{-i\delta} \log m + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^4 T).$$

## 7.2. Evaluating $S^L$ .

By Lemma 4.6, there is a constant  $A = A(a) > \tau$  such that

$$\left| \frac{a}{\zeta(s)} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } s = 1 - b + it, |t| \geq A.$$

Hence the series expansion

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s) - a} = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \left( 1 + \frac{a}{\zeta(s)} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a}{\zeta(s)} \right)^k \right)$$

is valid on the segment  $[1-b+iA, 1-b+iT]$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-b+i\tau}^{1-b+iA} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s) - a} \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s ds \ll 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^L &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-b+i\tau}^{1-b+iA} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \left( 1 + \frac{a}{\zeta(s)} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a}{\zeta(s)} \right)^k \right) \zeta'(s + i\delta) X^s ds + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &=: K_1 - aK_2 + K_3 + \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $K_3$ , combination of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.6 gives

$$K_3 \ll \int_A^T t^{b-\frac{1}{2}} \log^5 t dt \cdot \sum_{k \geq 2} \left( \frac{c_0 \log T}{\sqrt{T}} \right)^k \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^7 T.$$

Applying Cauchy's residue theorem, we deduce

$$K_1 = \sum_{A < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta) X^\rho + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_{b+iT}^{b+iA} + \int_{1-b+iT}^{b+iT} + \int_{b+iA}^{1-b+iA} \right) \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \zeta'(s+i\delta) X^s ds,$$

where we have assumed that  $|T - \gamma| \gg \frac{1}{\log T}$ . Removing this restriction on  $T$  and noticing that  $X^s \ll 1$  on the segments  $[1-b+iT, b+iT] \cup [b+iA, 1-b+iA]$ , the analysis in [7, p. 148] yields

$$K_1 = \sum_{\tau < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta) X^\rho - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b+iA}^{b+iT} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \zeta'(s+i\delta) X^s ds + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T).$$

Denote by  $K_1^*$  the integral in  $K_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_1^* &= \frac{X^b}{2\pi} \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(m) n^{-i\delta} \log n}{(mn)^b} \int_A^T e^{it \log \frac{x}{mn}} dt \\ &= \Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mn=X} \Lambda(m) n^{-i\delta} \log n + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T), \end{aligned}$$

where the second line results from integration by parts. Therefore,

$$K_1 = \sum_{\tau < \gamma \leq T} \zeta'(\rho + i\delta) X^\rho - \Delta(X) \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{mn=X} \Lambda(m) n^{-i\delta} \log n + \mathcal{O}(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 T).$$

### 7.3. Evaluating $K_2$ .

Using (4.3), we have

$$\frac{\zeta'(s+i\delta)}{\zeta(s)} = \frac{\chi(s+i\delta)}{\chi(s)} \frac{\zeta(1-s-i\delta)}{\zeta(1-s)} \left( -\log \frac{|t+\delta|}{2\pi} - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s-i\delta) + \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1}) \right).$$

Applying (4.2), we get

$$\frac{\chi(\sigma+i\delta+it)}{\chi(\sigma+it)} = e^{-i\delta \log \frac{t}{2\pi}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1})).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-b+iA}^{1-b+iT} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \frac{\zeta'(s+i\delta)}{\zeta(s)} X^s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-b+iA}^{1-b+iT} \left( \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \right) \left( \log \frac{t+\delta}{2\pi} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s-i\delta) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-i\delta \log \frac{t}{2\pi}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})) \frac{\zeta(1-s-i\delta)}{\zeta(1-s)} X^s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1-b+iA}^{1-b+iT} \left( \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) \right) \left( \log \frac{t+\delta}{2\pi} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s-i\delta) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-i\delta \log \frac{t}{2\pi}} \frac{\zeta(1-s-i\delta)}{\zeta(1-s)} X^s ds + \mathcal{O}(\log^5 T) \\ &= \frac{X^{1-b}}{2\pi} \int_A^T \left( \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \log \frac{t+\delta}{2\pi} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b-it) \log \frac{t+\delta}{2\pi} + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b-it-i\delta) \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b-it) \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b-it-i\delta) \right) e^{it \log X - i\delta \log \frac{t}{2\pi}} \frac{\zeta(b-it-i\delta)}{\zeta(b-it)} ds + \mathcal{O}(\log^5 T) \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following estimates

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) = \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b-it) \ll \min \{ \log^3 t, \log T \},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s-i\delta) &= \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(b-it-i\delta) \ll \min\{\log^3 t, \log T\}, \\ \frac{\zeta(1-s-i\delta)}{\zeta(1-s)} &= \frac{\zeta(b-it-i\delta)}{\zeta(b-it)} \ll \log^2 t, \quad X^s \ll 1,\end{aligned}$$

which are obtained from (2.7), (4.5) and Lemma (4.1).

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \frac{X^{1-b}}{2\pi} \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\mu(m)n^{i\delta}}{(mn)^b} \int_A^T e^{it \log(Xmn) - i\delta \log \frac{t}{2\pi}} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \log \frac{t+\delta}{2\pi} dt \\ &= \frac{X^{1-b}}{2\pi} \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mn=\frac{1}{X}} \frac{\mu(m)n^{i\delta}}{(mn)^b} \int_A^T e^{-i\delta \log \frac{t}{2\pi}} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \log \frac{t+\delta}{2\pi} dt + \mathcal{O}(\log^4 T) \\ &= X \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mn=\frac{1}{X}} \mu(m)n^{i\delta} \int_A^T e^{-i\delta \log \frac{t}{2\pi}} \left( \log^2 \frac{t}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \right) dt + \mathcal{O}(\log^4 T) \\ &= X \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mn=\frac{1}{X}} \mu(m)n^{i\delta} \cdot \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^{1-i\delta} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \frac{1}{1-i\delta} \log^2 \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) - \frac{2}{(1-i\delta)^2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{2}{(1-i\delta)^3} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\log^4 T).\end{aligned}$$

Similar, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &= X \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mnr=\frac{1}{X}} \Lambda(m)n^{i\delta} \mu(r) \cdot \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^{1-i\delta} \left( \frac{1}{1-i\delta} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{(1-i\delta)^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T), \\ I_3 &= X \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mnr=\frac{1}{X}} \Lambda(m)(mn)^{i\delta} \mu(r) \cdot \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^{1-i\delta} \left( \frac{1}{1-i\delta} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{(1-i\delta)^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T), \\ I_4 &= X \Delta(X^{-1}) \sum_{mnr\ell=\frac{1}{X}} \Lambda(m)(mn)^{i\delta} \mu(r)\Lambda(\ell) \cdot \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^{1-i\delta} (1-i\delta)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T).\end{aligned}$$

Combining the expansions above gives an expression for  $K_2$ .

#### 7.4. Finishing the proof.

(2.4) follows from the estimates obtained in the previous subsections of this section. If, further,  $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we have

$$\Delta(X) = 1, \quad \Delta(X^{-1}) = \mathbb{1}_{X=1}, \quad \mu(1) = 1, \quad \Lambda(1) = 0,$$

which simplify  $K_2$ , show (2.6) and complete the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Remark 7.1.** *There is a minor error in (1.5), since Jakhoulou and Mazhouda [10] made a mistake in their derivation. For example, for any fixed  $X > 0$ , they got [10, Equation (17)]*

$$\begin{aligned}K_1 &= -\frac{a}{2\pi} X^{1-b} \int_A^T X^{it} \log^2 \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right) dt + \mathcal{O}(\log T) \\ &= -\frac{aT}{2\pi} \left\{ \log^2 \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) - 2 \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + 2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\log^2 T),\end{aligned}$$

which is valid only when  $X = 1$ , because by integration by parts,

$$K_1 = -\frac{aX^{1-b}}{2\pi i \log X} \int_A^T \log^2 \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right) d(X^{it}) + \mathcal{O}(\log T) = \mathcal{O}(\log^2 T)$$

holds when  $X > 0$  and  $X \neq 1$ .

### 8. Further remarks

Taking  $X = 1$  in (2.4) and differentiating (2.4) with respect to  $\alpha$  yield the asymptotics of

$$\sum_{1 < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta^{(n)}(\rho_a), \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

but the consequent expansion is implicit. Indeed, by repeating the proof of Theorem 2.3, we may obtain the explicit expansion in Theorem 8.1, and even get the explicit expansion for

$$\sum_{1 < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta^{(n)}(\rho_a) X^{\rho_a}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

which generalizes Pearce-Crump's result [14, Corollary 6.1].

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $a$  be any complex number. Then for  $T$  large,*

$$\sum_{1 < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta^{(n)}(\rho_a) = \sum_{1 < \gamma \leq T} \zeta^{(n)}(\rho) + a \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} \{A_1(k; T) - A_2(k; T)\} + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{n+6} T\right),$$

where the first sum on the right admits the expansion in [7, Theorem 3],

$$A_1(k; T) := \sum_{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}} \Lambda_k(m) \log^{n-k+1} m, \quad A_2(k; T) := \sum_{mr \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}} \Lambda(r) \Lambda_k(m) \log^{n-k}(mr),$$

and  $\Lambda_k$  is the higher von Mangoldt function defined by [9, Equation (1.43)]

$$\Lambda_k(m) := \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) \log^k \left(\frac{m}{d}\right).$$

**Remark 8.1.** *To obtain the expansion in  $T$ , we have to use Perron's formula to establish the full asymptotics of  $A_1(k; T)$  and  $A_2(k; T)$ . Setting  $a = 0$  in the theorem recovers [7, Theorem 3].*

The formula (2.4) also reveals that the function

$$S_T(a, \delta) := \sum_{\tau < \gamma_a \leq T} \zeta'(\rho_a + i\delta) X^{\rho_a}$$

is analytic in  $\delta$ . Because  $c_0(r) = -\Lambda(r)$  in Theorem 2.3,  $S_T(a, \delta)$ , as a function in  $a$ , is analytic at  $a = 0$  and discontinuous elsewhere. Furthermore, both the factors  $\Delta(X)$  and  $\Delta(X^{-1})$  appear in (2.4). This fact reflects the varied behavior of  $S_T(a, \delta)$  in different  $X$  ranges, which is more complicated than those described in Gonek [6, p. 93] and Pearce-Crump [14, p. 5]. It would be of interest to explain these phenomena without calculation.

Finally, as Steuding pointed out in [15, p. 686], it would be desirable to know any number-theoretical meaning of the coefficients  $c_a(r)$  with  $a \neq 0$ .

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Andrew Pearce-Crump for his valuable comments on this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bohr, E. Landau and J. E. Littlewood, Sur la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  dans le voisinage de la droite  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ , *Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg.* (1913) 3–35.
- [2] A. Fujii, On a conjecture of Shanks, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **70**(4) (1994) 109–114.
- [3] A. Fujii, On the distribution of values of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function at its zeros, I, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **276** (2012) 51–76.
- [4] R. Garunkštis and J. Steuding, On the roots of the equation  $\zeta(s) = a$ , *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb.* **84**(1) (2014) 1–15.
- [5] S. M. Gonek, Mean values of the Riemann zeta-function and its derivatives, *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984) 123–141.
- [6] S. M. Gonek, A formula of Landau and mean values of  $\zeta(s)$ , in *Topics in Analytic Number Theory* (University of Texas Press, Austin, 1985), pp. 92–97.
- [7] C. Hughes and A. Pearce-Crump, A discrete mean-value theorem for the higher derivatives of the Riemann zeta function, *J. Number Theory* **241** (2022) 142–164.
- [8] A. E. Ingham, Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **27** (1927) 273–300.
- [9] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Colloquium Publications, Vol. 53 (American Mathematical Society, 2004).

- [10] M. T. Jakhoulou and K. Mazhouda, Distribution of the values of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function on its  $a$ -points, *Unif. Distrib. Theory* **9**(1) (2014) 115–125.
- [11] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory. I. Classical Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 97 (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
- [12] F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl and M.A. McClain (Editors), *NIST digital library of mathematical functions*, Release 1.2.2 of 2024-09-15, available at <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>.
- [13] S. J. Patterson, *An Introduction to the Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 14 (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [14] A. Pearce-Crump, A further generalization of sums of higher derivatives of the Riemann zeta function, *Int. J. Number Theory* (2025) 1–19.
- [15] J. Steuding, One hundred years uniform distribution modulo one and recent applications to Riemann's zeta-function, in *Topics in mathematical analysis and applications*, Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Vol. 94 (Springer, 2014), pp. 659–698.
- [16] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Oxford Science Publications (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).

PENG-CHENG HANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, DONGHUA UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 201620, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.  
*Email address:* mathroc618@outlook.com

MIN-JIE LUO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, DONGHUA UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 201620, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.  
*Email address:* mathwinnie@live.com; mathwinnie@dhu.edu.cn