

# GEOMETRIC VIEW OF INTERVAL POSET PERMUTATIONS

ELI BAGNO, ESTRELLA EISENBERG, SHULAMIT RECHES AND MORIAH SIGRON

**ABSTRACT.** In a recent study by Tenner, the concept of the interval poset of a permutation is introduced to effectively represent all intervals and their inclusions within a permutation. This research presents a new geometric viewpoint on these interval posets. We establish a one-to-one correspondence between the set of interval posets for permutations of size  $n$  and a specific subset of dissections of a convex polygon with  $n + 1$  sides. Through this correspondence, we investigate various intriguing subsets of interval posets and uncover their connections to particular polygon dissections.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of an interval poset for a permutation, initially introduced by Tenner in [4], effectively represents all intervals within a permutation and their inclusion relationships comprehensively. Before providing the formal definition, we have to present some preliminary definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $n$  elements. Let  $\pi = a_1 \cdots a_n \in S_n$ . An interval (or block) of  $\pi$  is a non-empty contiguous sequence of entries  $a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+k}$  whose values also form a contiguous sequence of integers. For  $a < b$ ,  $[a, b]$  denotes the interval of values that range from  $a$  to  $b$ . Clearly,  $[n] := [1, n]$  is an interval, as well as  $\{i\}$  for each  $i \in [n]$ . These are called trivial intervals. The other intervals are called proper.

For example, the permutation  $\pi = 314297856$  has  $[5, 9] = 97856$  as a proper interval as well as the following proper intervals:  $[1, 4]$ ,  $[5, 6]$ ,  $[7, 8]$ ,  $[7, 9]$  and  $[5, 8]$ .

A permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  is called *simple* if it does not have any proper intervals, for example, the permutation 3517246 is simple.

Based on Tenner's work [4], we define an *interval poset* for each permutation as follows:

---

Eli Bagno and Shulamit Reches, Department of Mathematics, Jerusalem College of Technology and Department of Mathematics, Jerusalem, Michlala College.

Estrella Eisenberg and Moriah Sigron, Department of Mathematics, Jerusalem College of Technology.

**Definition 1.2.** *The interval poset of a permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  is the poset  $P(\pi)$  whose elements are the non-empty intervals of  $\pi$ ; the order is defined by set inclusion. The minimal elements are the intervals of size 1 (see for example Figures 1 and 2).*

In [4], the interval poset is arranged in the plane so that the direct descendants of each node are positioned in increasing order from left to right though, [2] offers an alternative embedding of the same poset.

An interval poset might correspond to multiple permutations (see for instant Figure 1). Note that all simple permutations of a given order  $n$  have the same interval poset (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 1. Interval poset of the permutations: 5123647, 5321647, 4612357, 4632157, 7463215, 7461235, 7532164, 7512364




FIGURE 2. Interval poset of permutations 3142 and 2413.

The aspects of interval poset of permutations that have already been investigated are structural and enumerative (see [4],[2]). Bouvel, Cioni and Izart [2], provided a formula for the number of interval posets with  $n$  minimal elements, sequence A348479 in [3]. Tenner enumerated binary interval posets, i.e. posets whose vertices have no more than 2 direct descendants. These are exactly the interval posets of the separable permutations (i.e. avoiding both 2413 and 3142). These posets are counted by the small Schröder numbers (see Corollary 6.3 in [4]). Tenner also enumerated binary tree interval posets by  $2C_{n-1}$  where  $C_n$  is the  $n$ -th Catalan number. Here tree interval posets are the ones the Hesse diagram of which is a tree.

Then, Bouvel, Cioni and Izart in [2] enumerated the tree interval posets (sequence A054515 in [3]). Interestingly enough, this sequence also enumerates the number of ways to place non-crossing diagonals in a convex  $(n + 1)$ -gon such that no quadrilaterals are created.

In this study, we propose a different view on interval posets by introducing a simple bijection between the set of tree interval posets and the set of dissections of convex  $(n + 1)$ -gons, satisfying the conditions listed above. We also use this bijection to enumerate the entire set of interval posets by using a wider set of polygons.

We note that the enumeration of the entire set of interval posets that can be found in the literature is algebraic, using generating functions, while our approach is geometric.

Another set of interval posets that we enumerate in this work using polygons is the one corresponding to block-wise simple permutations, a term that was introduced in a recent paper by the current authors [1].

Finally, we show how to enumerate the interval posets corresponding to the set of separable permutations (see definition at the beginning of Section 6) using polygon dissections that do not intersect. It is known that this set of elements is counted by Small Schröder numbers.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON INTERVAL POSETS

In this section, we encapsulate some simple facts and observations on Interval posets that will be used in the sequel. Most of the material presented in this section has already appeared elsewhere and is included here for the sake of self-containment.

A version of the following observation has appeared in [4] as Lemma 3.1:

**Observation 2.1.** *Let  $\pi \in S_n$ . If  $I$  and  $J$  are intervals of  $\pi$  such that  $I$  is not contained in  $J$  and  $J$  is not contained in  $I$ , and  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ , then  $I \cap J$ ,  $I \cup J$ ,  $I - J$  and  $J - I$  are intervals of  $\pi$ .*

For example, take  $\pi = 3124576$ , then  $I = [1, 5]$  and  $J = [4, 7]$  are intersecting intervals of  $\pi$  and thus  $I \cup J = [1, 7]$ ,  $I \cap J = [4, 5]$ ,  $I - J = [1, 3]$ ,  $J - I = [6, 7]$  are also intervals of  $\pi$ , as can be seen in Figure 4 which depicts the permutation  $\pi$  in the common graphical way.

From this observation, we conclude the following simple result which appears as Lemma 3.7 of [4]. An original proof is provided here for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $P$  be an interval poset. If an element of  $P$  has  $k > 2$  direct descendants  $I_1, \dots, I_k$ , then for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  one has  $I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset$ .*




FIGURE 3. The permutation  $\pi = 3124576$  and its blocks in a graphical way.

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that  $I_i \cap I_j \neq \emptyset$ . Then by Observation 2.1,  $I_i \cup I_j$  is an element of  $P$  which is their only direct predecessor and they are its only direct descendants.  $\square$

The following observation appears as Corollary 3.8 in [4].

**Observation 2.3.** *If  $P(\pi)$  is the interval poset of  $\pi \in S_n$ , then no element of  $P(\pi)$  has exactly 3 direct descendants, since every permutation of order 3 must contain a block of order 2.*

Tenner [4] gave a characterization of interval posets which we cite here right after the following definition.

**Definition 2.4.** (1) *A dual claw poset has a unique maximal element and  $k \geq 4$  minimal elements covered by that maximal element.*  
 (2) *An argyle poset is the interval poset of the identity permutation  $1 \cdots k$ .*  
 (3) *A binary tree poset is a poset whose Hasse diagram is a tree in which every node has 2 children except for the minimal elements and all maximal chains have the same length (see Figure 4).*

We now quote Theorem 4.8 from [4] which explains how to construct a general interval posets using the simple bricks defined above.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Interval posets are exactly those that can be constructed by starting with the 1-element poset, and recursively replacing minimal elements with dual claw posets, argyle posets, or binary tree posets.*

### 3. INTERVAL POSETS AND CONVEX POLYGONS

The main tool of this work is a bijection between the set of interval posets of permutations of order  $n$  and a certain subset of the set of dissections of the convex  $n+1$ - polygon which we define below. This will provide us with a new geometric view on the subject of interval posets of permutations. We




FIGURE 4. From left to right: dual claw poset, argyle poset, binary tree poset.

show how to use this bijection as well as some restrictions of it to enumerate various subsets of the set of interval posets.

We identify a convex polygon with its set of vertices and denote a diagonal or an outer edge of the polygon from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  by  $(i, j)$ .

We start with the following known definition:

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be a convex  $n$ - polygon. A dissection of  $P$  is a decomposition of the polygon into finitely many sub-polygons. We distinguish between the original (outer) edges of the polygon and the inner edges coming from the dissection which are called diagonals. Occasionally, we refer to diagonals also as edges. We use the term crossing diagonals for ones that intersect in an interior point of the polygon. Also, we use the term quadrilateral to mean a sub-polygon made of 4 vertices which has no diagonals inside it.*

We introduce the following definition which will be used intensively throughout this paper.

**Definition 3.2.** *A dissection of an  $n$ - gon will be called diagonally framed if for each two crossing diagonals, all their vertices are connected to each other. Explicitly, if  $(a, c)$  and  $(b, d)$  are two crossing diagonals, then the diagonals or outer edges  $(a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (d, a)$  must also exist (see Fig. 5 for an example).*

**3.1. General interval posets.** We define a bijection between the set of interval posets with  $n$  minimal elements and the set of diagonally framed dissections of convex  $(n + 1)$ - gon without quadrilaterals as follows:

Let  $P$  be the interval poset of some  $\pi \in S_n$ . We set  $\Phi(P)$  to be the convex  $(n + 1)$ -gon whose set of diagonals is

$$\{(a, b + 1) | [a, b] \text{ is a non-minimal element of } P\},$$




FIGURE 5. A 10 - gon with crossing diagonals  $(a, c)$  and  $(b, d)$  and their "frame".




FIGURE 6. Right: the interval poset  $P$ . Left: the polygon  $\Phi(P)$

i.e. to each interval of the form  $[a, b]$  corresponds a diagonal  $(a, b + 1)$  in  $\Phi(P)$ ; note that singleton intervals correspond to outer edges in the polygon (see Figure 6 for an example).

Bouvel, Cioni and Izart [2], provided a formula for the number of interval posets with  $n$  minimal elements. They proved:

**Proposition 3.3.** *The number of interval posets with  $n > 1$  minimal elements is*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{i, \frac{n-1-i}{2}\}} \binom{n-1+i}{i} \binom{i}{k} \binom{n-2k-2}{i-1}.$$

(See sequence A348479 [3]).

We are ready now to present the main result of this subsection.

**Theorem 3.4.** *The number of interval posets with  $n$  minimal elements is equal to the number of diagonally framed dissections of the convex  $(n + 1)$ -gon such that no quadrilaterals are present (see Figure 7 for some examples of the bijection in small values of  $n$ ).*




FIGURE 7. The bijection for small values of  $n$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be an interval poset with  $n$  minimal elements. We claim that  $\Phi(P)$  must be diagonally framed. Indeed, if  $(a, c + 1)$  and  $(b, d + 1)$  are two crossing diagonals in  $\Phi(P)$ , where  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$ , then  $I = [a, c]$  and  $J = [b, d]$  are intersecting intervals in  $P$  and by Observation 2.1 we have that  $I \cup J = [a, d]$ ,  $I \cap J = [b, c]$ ,  $I - J = [a, b - 1]$  and  $J - I = [c + 1, d]$  are intervals in  $P$  corresponding respectively to the diagonals  $(a, d + 1)$ ,  $(b, c + 1)$ ,  $(a, b)$  and  $(c + 1, d + 1)$  (see Figure 8 for an illustration).

Moreover,  $\Phi(P)$  must not contain any quadrilateral. Otherwise, if  $a < b < c < d$  are such that  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  is a quadrilateral (without any subdivision) then  $P$  must contain the intervals  $[a, b - 1]$ ,  $[b, c - 1]$ ,  $[c, d - 1]$  and  $[a, d - 1]$  so the first three intervals are direct descendants of the fourth one and they are the only ones. This is impossible by Observation 2.3.  $\square$

#### 4. TREE INTERVAL POSETS

Recall that a tree poset is a poset whose Hasse diagram is a tree.

In [2], the authors calculated the generating function of the number of tree interval posets using generating functions and mentioned that this is equal to




FIGURE 8. A 10 - gon with crossing diagonals

the number of ways to place non-crossing diagonals in a convex  $(n + 1)$ -gon such that no quadrilaterals are created (sequence A054515 from OEIS [3]).

Using the function  $\Phi$  defined above, one can easily produce a combinatorial proof of the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The number of tree interval posets with  $n$  minimal elements is equal to the number of non-crossing dissections of the convex  $(n + 1)$ -gon such that no quadrilaterals are present (see Figure 9 for some examples of the bijection).*

*Proof.* We use the same mapping  $\Phi$  introduced at the beginning of Section 3.1. It is now sufficient to prove that no crossing diagonals are obtained. This is implied by the fact that intersecting diagonals stem from intersecting intervals which can not exist in a tree since they cause a circle. □

## 5. INTERVAL POSETS OF BLOCK-WISE SIMPLE PERMUTATIONS

In [1], the current authors introduced the notion of block-wise simple permutations. We cite here the definition:

**Definition 5.1.** *A permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  is called block-wise simple if it has no interval of the form  $p_1 \oplus p_2$  or  $p_1 \ominus p_2$ , where  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  stand for direct and skew sums of permutations respectively.*

There are no block-wise simple permutations of orders 2 and 3. For  $n \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ , a permutation is block-wise simple if and only if it is simple. One of the first nontrivial examples of block-wise simple permutations is 4253716.

In [1], the authors enumerated the interval posets of block-wise simple permutations. They proved:

**Proposition 5.2.** *The number of interval posets corresponding to block-wise simple permutations of order  $n \geq 4$  is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor} \binom{n+i-1}{i} \binom{n-2i-2}{i-1}$ .*






FIGURE 9. Examples for the bijection of tree interval posets for small values of  $n$ .

This is sequence A054514 from OEIS [3] which also counts the number of ways to place non-crossing diagonals in a convex  $(n + 1)$ -gon such that there are no triangles or quadrilaterals. We provide now a combinatorial proof for this claim, using the bijection defined above.

**Theorem 5.3.** *The number of interval posets that represent a block-wise simple permutation of order  $n$  is equal to the number of ways to place non-crossing diagonals in a convex  $(n + 1)$ -gon such that no triangles or quadrilaterals are present (see Figure 10 for some examples of the bijection).*

*Proof.* We use again the mapping  $\Phi$ , defined earlier. In [4] (Theorem 6.1), the author claimed that  $P(\phi)$  is a tree interval poset if and only if  $\phi$  contains no interval of the form  $p_1 \oplus p_2 \oplus p_3$  or  $p_1 \ominus p_2 \ominus p_3$ . From here, and by Definition 5.1, it is obvious that an interval poset of a block-wise simple permutation is a tree. Hence, by Theorem 4.1, it is sufficient to prove that for an interval poset  $P$  of a block-wise simple permutation,  $\Phi(P)$  has no triangles. This is true because, if  $\Phi(P)$  includes a triangle with edges  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{b, c\}$ , and  $\{a, c\}$  where  $a < b < c$ , then  $P$  must contain the intervals  $[a, b - 1]$ ,  $[b, c - 1]$ , and  $[a, c - 1]$ . Consequently,  $[a, c - 1]$  serves as the direct parent of both  $[a, b - 1]$  and  $[b, c - 1]$  which conflicts with the definition of block-wise simple permutations. □

## 6. INTERVAL POSETS OF SEPARABLE PERMUTATIONS

A permutation  $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$  is called *separable* if it can be obtained from the trivial permutation by direct and skew sums. For our needs, the following recursive definition will fit us better: the identity permutation  $e$  is separable and a permutation  $\pi \neq e$  is separable if it can be written as  $\pi = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$  or  $\pi = \pi_1 \ominus \pi_2$  such that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are themselves separable. For example,  $\pi = 45213867 = \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$  where  $\pi_1 = 45213$  and  $\pi_2 = 312$ . Now,  $\pi_1 = 12 \ominus 213$  and  $\pi_2 = 1 \ominus (1 \oplus 1)$ . In all, we have

$$\pi = ((1 \oplus 1) \ominus ((1 \ominus 1) \oplus 1)) \oplus (1 \ominus (1 \oplus 1)).$$

Recall that an interval poset is called '*binary*' if no element has more than two direct descendants. By Theorem 6.2 in [4], a permutation  $\pi$  is separable if and only if its interval poset is binary.

The number of separable permutations of order  $n$  is known to be the (big) Schröder number (sequence A006318 in the OEIS [3]). In [4], Theorem 6.3, the author proved that each interval poset of a separable permutation corresponds to exactly two separable permutations, from which she concluded that the number of such interval posets is half this number, namely the small Schröder number (sequence A001003 in the OEIS [3]).





FIGURE 10. Examples for the bijection of block-wise simple intervals for small values of  $n$

FIGURE 11. Dissection of  $C_8$ 

It is known (see [5]) that small Schröder numbers count also the dissections of the  $n + 1$  - gon such that crossing diagonals are prohibited. Here we present a bijection that proves this connection in a combinatorial way. We start with the following definition:

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $C_n$  be the convex  $n$ - polygon dissected by the set of diagonals  $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$ . Define an equivalence relation on  $D$  by  $d_i \sim d_j$  if there is a sequence  $(d_i = d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_\ell} = d_j)$  such that for each  $1 \leq u \leq \ell - 1$ ,  $d_{i_u}$  intersects  $d_{i_{u+1}}$  in its interior. Each equivalence class of this relation will be called *intersectional component*. The support of a set  $D$  of diagonals in a convex polygon is the set of vertices the diagonals of  $D$  occupy.

**Example 6.2.** The three intersectional components in Fig. 11 are  $C_1 = ((1, 7), (6, 8))$  (purple),  $C_2 = ((1, 5))$  (green) and  $C_3 = ((1, 3), (2, 4), (3, 5))$  (orange). The support of  $C_1$  is the set  $\{1, 6, 7, 8\}$

We claim:

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $P$  be an interval poset and recall the definition of the mapping  $\Phi$  from Section 3.1. Then for each intersectional component  $C$  of  $\Phi(P)$ , the graph whose vertex set is the support of  $C$  and its edges set is  $C$  itself is complete.

We prove Theorem 6.3 in two steps. First, we note that every two intersecting diagonals in  $\Phi(P)$  correspond to intersecting intervals  $I, J$  in  $P$ . Since  $\Phi(P)$  is diagonally framed by Theorem 3.4, the diagonals corresponding to  $I$  and  $J$  form a complete graph of 4 vertices.

Now, the proof of the theorem is straightforward by Lemma 6.5 which is preceded by the following simple observation.

**Observation 6.4.** Let  $G$  be a convex polygon which contains a clique  $L = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  and let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$  not in  $L$ . If  $v$  is connected to  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  then there are  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $(v, v_i)$  intersects  $(v_i, v_j)$  (see Fig. 12).




FIGURE 12.




FIGURE 13.

**Lemma 6.5.** *If  $\Phi(P)$  contains the complete graph  $K_\ell$  on  $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$  as a sub-graph, then for every diagonal  $(e, b)$  in  $\Phi(P)$  that intersects one of the diagonals of  $K_\ell$ , the graph generated by the vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\} \cup \{e, b\}$  is complete.*

*Proof.* We treat only the case in which there is some  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  such that  $b = v_i$  (see Fig. 13, left), the other case being similar. Assume that  $(e, b)$  intersects the diagonal  $(c, d)$  of  $K_\ell$  as in Fig. 13, right. By Theorem 3.4,  $\Phi(P)$  is diagonally framed so that the existence of the diagonals  $(e, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  assures the existence of the edges  $(e, c), (b, d), (b, c)$  and  $(e, d)$  (in blue), making the sub-graph generated by  $\{b, c, d, e\}$  a clique of order 4. We have to show that for each  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ ,  $(e, v_j)$  is an edge of  $\Phi(P)$ . Indeed, since  $v_j$  (which is  $v_4$  in the example of Fig. 13 right) is connected to  $b, c$  and  $d$ , being vertices of  $K_\ell$ , by Observation 6.4, there must be some  $x, y \in \{b, c, d\}$  such that  $(v_j, x)$  intersects  $(e, y)$ . Now, again by  $\Phi(P)$  being diagonally framed, we have that  $(e, v_j)$  is an edge of  $\Phi(P)$ . □




FIGURE 14.

We have now:

**Theorem 6.6.** *There is a bijection between the interval posets corresponding to separable permutations of order  $n$  and the set of dissections of the  $n + 1$  - gon such that crossing diagonals are prohibited.*

*Proof.* The proof is composed of two steps. First, apply our bijection  $\Phi$  from the set of interval posets of separable permutations of order  $n$  to the set of dissections of the  $n + 1$  - gon. Let  $P$  be an interval poset belonging to this set. By Theorem 6.3, the support of each intersectional component of  $\Phi(P)$  generates a complete graph. Now, for the second step, for each intersectional component of size greater than 1, we delete its elements (diagonals). This gives us a new dissection of our polygon in sub-polygons without any crossing. Note that since  $P$  is an interval poset corresponding to a separable permutation, by Theorem 6.2 of [4],  $P$  is binary and thus there is no sub-polygon in  $\Phi(P)$  of order greater than 3 containing no diagonals.

In the other direction, each dissection of a convex polygon of order  $n + 1$  that contains no intersecting diagonals divides the interior of the polygon into several domains. We fill each such domain that has more than 3 edges with all the diagonals to render this domain a complete graph. Finally, each diagonal  $(a, b)$  is mapped to the interval  $[a, b - 1]$ . Since we do not get any empty sub-polygons of order greater than 3, each interval has at most 2 direct descendants and thus, again by Theorem 6.2 of [4], the interval poset corresponds to a separable permutation.  $\square$

**Example 6.7.** Let  $\pi = 1254367$ . The interval poset of  $\pi$ , its corresponding dissection and the final dissection are all depicted in Fig. 14.

## 7. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have introduced a novel geometric perspective on interval posets of permutations, establishing a one-to-one correspondence between interval posets and dissections of convex polygons. This geometric approach not only provides a new way to visualize and understand interval posets but also offers a powerful tool for enumerating various subsets of these posets.

Our findings demonstrate that the set of interval posets for permutations of size  $n$  can be mapped to a specific subset of dissections of a convex polygon with  $n + 1$  sides. This correspondence has allowed us to explore interesting subsets of interval posets, such as those corresponding to block-wise simple permutations and separable permutations, and to identify their relationships with specific polygon dissections.

In conclusion, the geometric perspective on interval posets opens up new avenues for research and provides a robust framework for further exploration of permutation patterns and their associated posets. Future work could extend this approach to other classes of permutations and investigate the potential applications of these geometric insights in related fields of mathematics and computer science.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bagno, E., Eisenberg, E., Reches, S., and Sigron, M. (2023). Blockwise simple permutations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13115. 3, 8
- [2] M. Bouvel, L. Cionl and B. Izart ,The interval posets of permutations seen from the decomposition tree perspective arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.10000 (2021) 2, 3, 6, 7
- [3] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2022), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org> 2, 3, 6, 8, 10
- [4] Tenner, B.E. Interval Posets of Permutations. Order. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11083-021-09576-1>, (2022). 1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 14, 15
- [5] Stanley, R.P. *Hipparchus, Plutarch, Schröder, and Hough* . The American Mathematical Monthly, 104(4), pp.344-350, (1997). 12