

LOOPS, HOLONOMY AND SIGNATURE

JUAN ALONSO, JUAN MANUEL BURGOS, AND MIGUEL PATERNAIN

ABSTRACT. We show that there is a topology on the group of loops in euclidean space such that this group is embedded in a Lie group which is simple relative to the loops. An extension of this Lie group gives the structural group of a principal bundle with connection whose holonomy coincides with the Chen signature map. We also give an alternative geometric new proof of Chen signature Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is about the intimate relationship between two theories that developed almost independently of each other. Starting in the fifties, K. T. Chen developed a map, the *Chen signature map* (1), which gives a faithful representation of certain equivalence classes of piecewise regular curves [Ch1, Ch2, Ch3]. In recent years, this theory had a resurgence in the context of stochastic processes [Ly], bounded variation paths [HL] and rough paths [Ly, BGLY]. Since then, the framework of the theory has been mainly functional analysis and stochastic processes.

Independently, in the context of differential geometry, the classical theory of holonomy maps of a principal fiber bundles with connection evolved to modern grounds to fulfil the needs of an abstract formulation of holonomy both in mathematics [Mi, La, Te1, Te2] and theoretical physics as well [Ba, BM, BS, GT].

In this paper, we construct a principal bundle with connection whose holonomy coincides with the Chen signature map on the group of loops \mathcal{L}_x based at the point x in euclidean space hence it is a monomorphism. In other words, we show that the Chen signature map on loops is the holonomy map of a principal bundle with connection. The definition of the group \mathcal{L}_x will be given in section 2. However, for the purposes of this introduction, it is enough to say that it is the set of equivalence classes of piecewise regular closed curves based at the point x , under the relation $\alpha\alpha\alpha^{-1}\beta \sim \alpha\beta$. The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a principal bundle with connection $(E, \mathbb{R}^n, G, \pi, \nabla)$ such that for any x in \mathbb{R}^n , the holonomy map is a monomorphism*

$$\text{Hol}_{\nabla, x} : \mathcal{L}_x \hookrightarrow G$$

and it coincides with the Chen signature map (1) on \mathcal{L}_x . Moreover, the closure of its image is characterized by those elements verifying the shuffle relation (see section 2.2) with vanishing degree one terms.

The structural group G is a connected and simply connected infinite dimensional Lie group whose Lie algebra is freely generated in n independent generators. The problem of finding such a group is not trivial and is described in (section 1.2.1, *Differential equations on matrix groups*, p. 225 in [Ly]), where an

heuristic motivational argument is given assuming its existence. In this paper we solve this problem and this is the content of Proposition 3.1. After this work was completed, we noticed the recent result in [Ner] which is similar to Proposition 3.1. On the other hand, the purpose of our main result, Theorem 1.1, is to establish the relationship between the signature and holonomy.

As an immediate corollary we have an alternative proof of Chen Theorem [Ch2].

Corollary 1.2. *The logarithm of the Chen signature is a Lie element.*

There is a C^∞ -topology on the group of based loops \mathcal{L}_x such that it becomes a topological Lie group [Te1, Te2]. However, this group is not a Lie group and K. T. Chen developed a theory of differentiable spaces [Ch4, Ch5], a generalization of manifolds, to deal with this problem. Here, we show that there is a topology on the group of loops giving it the structure of a topological Lie group with the property that it can be embedded in Lie group. Identifying the group of loops with its image under the holonomy map in Theorem 1.1, we have another corollary of the theorem.

Corollary 1.3. *Consider x in \mathbb{R}^n . There is a topology τ on \mathcal{L}_x and a proper closed normal Lie subgroup $H \triangleleft G$ verifying the following:*

- (1) *H contains an embedded copy of (\mathcal{L}_x, τ) as a topological subgroup; i.e. $\mathcal{L}_x \subset H$.*
- (2) *It is simple relative to \mathcal{L}_x : there are no proper closed normal subgroups $H' \triangleleft H$ such that $\mathcal{L}_x \subset H'$.*
- (3) *The Lie algebra of H is an infinitely generated free Lie algebra.*
- (4) *The codimension of H in G is at least n .*

We do not know if the group H coincides with $[G, G]$.

Finally, we give a geometric alternative new proof of Chen signature theorem as a corollary of the holonomy Theorem by Spalanzani [Sp]

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Loops and Holonomy. Let I be the unit interval and M a Riemannian manifold. We begin by recalling some standard notations. A *path* in M is a continuous function from I to M , and we say that two paths $a, b : I \rightarrow M$ are equivalent modulo reparametrization if there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\sigma : I \rightarrow I$ such that $a \circ \sigma = b$. Denote by Ω_0 the quotient set under this equivalence relation. If $a(1) = b(0)$ we define ab and a^{-1} as follows: $ab(t) = a(2t)$ if $t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $ab(t) = b(2t - 1)$ if $t \in [1/2, 1]$; $a^{-1}(t) = a(1 - t)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $e_x \in \Omega_0$ be the constant path at x , i.e. $e_x(t) = x$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$.

In order to define what we call *thin homotopy* between piecewise regular paths we need to consider another preliminary equivalence, which amounts to collapse constant sub-paths. Let a be a non-constant path in M . We shall define a *minimal form* a_r for a as follows: let $I_i \subset I$ be the maximal subintervals in which a is constant, and let $\sigma : I \rightarrow I$ be a surjective non-decreasing continuous function, constant in each I_i and strictly increasing in $I - \bigcup_i I_i$. Then there is $a_r : I \rightarrow M$ such that $a = a_r \circ \sigma$, which is non-constant on any subinterval of I (this map is obtained by a universal property of quotients). Different choices of the function σ give rise to minimal forms that are equivalent modulo reparametrization, and

moreover, if two paths a and b are equivalent, so are any of their minimal forms a_r and b_r . This allows us to define the *minimal class* of an element of Ω_0 (as the class of any minimal form of any representative), and take a quotient Ω_1 where we identify two elements of Ω_0 if they have the same minimal class (extending the definition to constant paths in the trivial way). The product and inverse are well defined on Ω_1 , and the classes of constant paths are units for the product.

Let $\Omega \subset \Omega_1$ be the set of classes of either constant paths or paths that are *piecewise regular*, i.e. a finite concatenation of smooth immersions. Notice that for $\alpha \in \Omega$ there are well defined notions of endpoints $\alpha(0)$ and $\alpha(1)$. Throughout the paper we will refer to the elements $\alpha \in \Omega$ as *curves*, and say that α is a *closed curve* if $\alpha(0) = \alpha(1)$.

In the set Ω we consider the equivalence relation generated by the identifications $\alpha\alpha\alpha^{-1}\beta \sim \alpha\beta$. This is what we call equivalence under *thin homotopies*. With the formal definition in hand, we recall the concepts from the introduction: Let $\mathcal{E}(M)$ denote the quotient set of Ω under thin homotopies, and let $\mathcal{L}_x(M) \subset \mathcal{E}(M)$ be the projection of the set of closed curves starting and ending at x . Note that $\mathcal{L}_x(M)$ is a group under concatenation whose identity element id_x is the equivalence class of e_x , the constant path at x .

Other possible identification is, given a principal bundle (E, M, G, π) , G a Lie group, identify two curves α and β , $\alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = \beta(0) = \beta(1) = x$ if they have the same holonomy for every connection in the bundle, the group obtained this way is called the *group of hoops* and is denoted by \mathcal{H}_x . We shall use Theorem 1.7 from [Sp].

Theorem 2.1. *If G is connected and non-solvable, then $\mathcal{L}_x = \mathcal{H}_x$.*

2.2. Iterated Integrals and Signature. If $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_q$ are one forms in a manifold M and $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ is a piecewise regular path, define the iterated integral by the formula

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_q = \int_{t > t_1 > \dots > t_q} \omega_1(\gamma'(t_1)) \omega_2(\gamma'(t_1)) \dots \omega_q(\gamma'(t_q)) dt_q \dots dt_1.$$

If γ is a piecewise regular curve γ , Chen [Ch3] defines the formal power series

$$(1) \quad \Theta(\gamma) = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p=1}^n \int_{\gamma} dx_{i_1} dx_{i_2} \dots dx_{i_p} X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_p}$$

in the noncommutative indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_n . This will be called the *Chen signature map*. Chen signature theorem can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *If $\Theta(\gamma) = 1$, then γ is trivial in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

The reconstruction of the path from its signature is a non trivial task and an active research area [BGLY, HL, LX, WLC]. The *shuffle product* is a commutative associative product on the tensor algebra of coordinates

$$\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{T}(X_1^*, X_2^*, \dots, X_n^*), \quad X_i^*(X_j) = \delta_{ij},$$

defined on monomials as the sum of all possible ways of writing two given monomials together while preserving their orders. For example:

$$X_1^* \cdot_{sh} X_2^* X_3^* = X_1^* X_2^* X_3^* + X_2^* X_1^* X_3^* + X_2^* X_3^* X_1^*.$$

The tensor algebra \mathcal{T}^* acts linearly on the graded completed algebra

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}(\widehat{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n})$$

and we say that an element θ in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ verifies the *shuffle relation* if

$$f(\theta)g(\theta) = (f \cdot_{sh} g)(\theta), \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{T}^*.$$

The Chen signature of every path verifies the shuffle relation and we have the following partial result by W. L. Chow [WLC]. Recall that the completed algebra $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ has a natural ascending filtration \mathcal{T}_n by grading.

Theorem 2.3. *For every θ in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ and every natural n , there is a piecewise linear path γ such that $\Theta(\gamma) = \theta$ in \mathcal{T}_n .*

3. HOLONOMY AND SIGNATURE

In this section we construct a principal bundle with connection whose holonomy is a monomorphism and coincides with the Chen signature map on loops. The main step towards this goal is the construction of a Lie group with a free Lie algebra generated on finite elements. As we mentioned in the introduction, this group was treated heuristically in (section 1.2.1, *Differential equations on matrix groups*, p. 225 in [Ly]) for motivational purposes.

Denote by \mathfrak{g} the real Lie algebra freely generated by the elements X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , that is

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n).$$

Because this Lie algebra is infinite dimensional, the Lie algebra/Lie group correspondence is not assured by Lie's third Theorem. Even so, it may happen that the corresponding exponential map does not cover a neighbourhood of the neutral element as it occurs with the Witt group of vector fields of the circle. Moreover, even covering a neighbourhood of the neutral element, in the case of a non-compact Lie group the exponential may not be surjective as it happens with $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

We shall construct a Lie group G whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} in the next Proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *There is a connected and simply connected Lie group G whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} and the exponential map is bijective continuous.*

Proof. Consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and its *nilpotent completion* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$; i.e. the one induced by the lower central series $(\mathfrak{g}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_n, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{m+1} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m].$$

We say that the completed Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a *pro-nilpotent Lie algebra*. Note that since \mathfrak{g} is a rational free Lie algebra, its nilpotent completion coincides with its *graded completion* as well as with its *Malcev completion*.

Since the quotients $\pi_n : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_n$ are residually finite, that is for every non-zero element $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ there is a natural n such that $\pi_n(x) \neq \mathbf{0}$, there is a monomorphism of \mathfrak{g} into its completion

$$(2) \quad \varphi : \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

For every natural n , denote the quotient by $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_n$. This is a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra hence by Lie's third Theorem, there is a Lie

group H_n with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_n and exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow H_n$. Moreover, this correspondence is functorial and we have the following commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{h}_n & \xrightarrow{\exp_n} & H_n \\ \pi_{n,m} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi'_{n,m} \\ \mathfrak{h}_m & \xrightarrow{\exp_m} & H_m \end{array}, \quad m \leq n$$

where $\pi_{n,m}$ denotes the projection induced by the inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_m$ and $\pi'_{n,m}$ denotes the corresponding one on the Lie groups.

Since \mathfrak{h}_n is a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra, the exponential map \exp_n is a homeomorphism. We will prove this claim by explicitly constructing the exponential map along with the corresponding Lie group. As a set, define $H_n = \mathfrak{h}_n$ and the exponential simply as the identity map where the group product is given by the *Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula*

$$x \cdot y = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \frac{1}{12}[x, [x, y]] + \frac{1}{12}[y, [y, x]] + \dots$$

This is well defined since \mathfrak{h}_n is nilpotent hence there is only a finite amount of terms in the sum. Adding a deformation parameter, the group product can be seen as a non-commutative continuous deformation of the addition in \mathfrak{h}_n ¹. This proves the claim.

Taking the inverse limit of the inverse system (3) gives a Lie group and an exponential map

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{h}_n \xrightarrow{\widehat{\exp}} \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n = \hat{G}.$$

Because \exp_n is a homeomorphism for every natural n , the exponential map $\widehat{\exp}$ is so. We have proved that there is a Lie group \hat{G} whose Lie algebra is $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the exponential map $\widehat{\exp} : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is a homeomorphism.

This construction can be seen in the context of *Malcev Lie algebras* (Definition 2.1, [PS]) since $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Malcev Lie algebra hence it has an associated Lie group \hat{G} (Definition 2.2, [PS]). It can also be seen in the category of *pro-nilpotent Lie algebras*, see (Remark V.1.3 (e) in [Nee]).

Now, consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with the initial topology of the morphism (2). This is a coarser topology and will be called the *pro-nilpotent topology*. The Lie algebra with this new topology will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_{p.n.}$ and we have that

$$(4) \quad \text{id} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{p.n.}$$

is continuous. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{p.n.}$ is connected and simply connected since \mathfrak{g} is so. Because the exponential $\widehat{\exp}$ is a homeomorphism, the image of its restriction on $\mathfrak{g}_{p.n.}$ gives a subgroup $G < \hat{G}$, that is the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G & \hookrightarrow \hat{G} \\ & \uparrow \exp & \uparrow \widehat{\exp} \\ \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathfrak{g}_{p.n.} & \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \end{array}$$

¹This may be a little bit confusing at first. The new product is no longer commutative in general although the neutral element \mathbf{e} of this new group corresponds to the zero element under this correspondence.

and it is clear that the exponential map \exp is a homeomorphism. In particular, G is connected and simply connected and the composition of the exponential \exp with the map (4) gives the claimed bijective continuous exponential map, the pointed arrow in the diagram above. We have the result. \square

Remark 1. *We have proved that the exponential map*

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g}_{p.n.} \rightarrow G$$

is a homeomorphism with respect to the pro-nilpotent topology. The family of sets

$$U(\varepsilon, n) = B(\mathbf{0}; \varepsilon) + \mathfrak{g}_n$$

generate this topology under translations on the Lie algebra and also on the Lie group via the exponential map. This is the topology of the Lie group G .

Now, consider the trivial principal bundle $E = \mathbb{R}^n \times G$ with connection ∇ whose associated one-form is

$$\omega_\nabla = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i dx^i, \quad \omega_\nabla \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g}).$$

This is well defined [Va]².

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove that the holonomy $Hol_{\nabla, x}$ coincides with the Chen signature map (1) on loops. Because $G < \hat{G} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, where the last equality is at the level of sets, it will be enough to show that the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is isomorphic to the graded completion of the tensor algebra in the generators X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n ,

$$(5) \quad U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{T}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}.$$

Then, the result follows immediately by the path-ordering exponential representation of the holonomy map [BM].

The universal enveloping algebra functor U is left adjoint hence it preserves inverse limits. Since \mathfrak{g} is the free Lie algebra generated by X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , its universal enveloping algebra is isomorphic to the tensor algebra of these generators

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathcal{T}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n).$$

Moreover, under the previous isomorphism, $U(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ is isomorphic to T_n , where \mathfrak{g}_n and T_n are the ideals generated by the elements of degree greater than or equal to n in the respective algebras. In particular, the universal enveloping algebra commutes with the graded completion

$$U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) = U\left(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_n\right) \cong \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_n) \cong \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U(\mathfrak{g}) / T_n = \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}$$

and we have proved the identity (5).

By Chen signature Theorem 2.2, the holonomy is $Hol_{\nabla, x}$ is a monomorphism and by Chow Theorem 2.3 (see remark 1) the closure of its image consist of those

²Quoting Peter Michor [Mic]: "You can carry over to infinite dimensions all constructions of finite dimensional differential geometry for which you have direct chart descriptions. But be very careful whenever you have to solve equations (ODE's, implicit functions, etc.)". This idea is formalized in [Va].

elements verifying the shuffle relation with vanishing degree one terms. This concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By Theorem 1.1, the holonomy is a monomorphism and we can take the initial topology on \mathcal{L}_x . This gives an embedding $\mathcal{L}_x \subset G$ as a topological group.

We can think about the smallest closed normal subgroup $H \trianglelefteq G$ such that $\mathcal{L}_x \subset H$. This subgroup exists by a standard Zorn lemma argument. By definition, it is *simple relative to \mathcal{L}_x* .

The Lie subgroup $H \trianglelefteq G$ is normal hence its Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$ is actually an ideal. It is clear that \mathfrak{h} is not trivial since otherwise the Lie group H would be trivial as well but this is absurd since it contains the non-trivial loop group \mathcal{L}_x . Since \mathfrak{g} is a free Lie algebra and \mathfrak{h} is a non-trivial ideal, it is an infinitely generated free Lie algebra [Ek].

Since the holonomy map coincides with the Chen signature map by Theorem 1.1 and the degree one terms of this map vanish on the loop group \mathcal{L}_x , it is clear that H must be contained in the closed Lie subgroup generated via the exponential by the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_2 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. In particular $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}_2 < \mathfrak{g}$ giving

$$[\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{g}] \leq [\mathfrak{g}_2 : \mathfrak{g}] = n.$$

Taking the exponential gives the respective codimension result on the Lie groups and this concludes the proof. \square

Definition 3.2. Consider x in \mathbb{R}^n . The Lie group H and the topology τ in Corollary 1.3 will be called the Lie group generated by \mathcal{L}_x and the embedded topology on \mathcal{L}_x respectively.

Question: Is $\mathfrak{h} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$? or equivalently, is $H = [G, G]$?

4. GEOMETRIC PROOF OF CHEN SIGNATURE THEOREM

4.1. Main lemma. The content of the following Lemma is similar to the Fundamental Lemma 3.5 in [Ch3]. We show that it can be derived from Theorem 2.1 giving in the next subsection a geometric proof Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 4.1. If $\gamma \in \Omega$ is not trivial in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there are compactly supported one forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_q \neq 0.$$

Proof. Let γ be a non trivial class in \mathcal{E} and set $x = \gamma(0)$. Assume first that γ is closed; i.e. γ is not trivial in $\mathcal{L}_x(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We consider the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R}^n \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Since $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is connected and non solvable, then Theorem 2.1 applies, that is, there is a connection A in the bundle $\mathbb{R}^n \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, such that its holonomy $H_A(\gamma)$ is not trivial.

The holonomy can be expressed (see [BM], p.235) as

$$H_A(\gamma) = I + \sum_{n>0} (-1)^n \int_{t>t_1>\dots>t_n} A(\gamma'(t_1)) \dots A(\gamma'(t_n)) dt_n \dots dt_1.$$

Since $H_A(\gamma)$ is not the identity, there is $q > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t > t_1 > \dots > t_q} A(\gamma'(t_1)) \dots A(\gamma'(t_q)) dt_q \dots dt_1 \neq 0.$$

Write $A = (a_{ij})$ where $a_{i,j}$ are real valued one-forms. Note that the (i, j) - entry of

$$\int_{t > t_1 > \dots > t_q} A(\gamma'(t_1)) \dots A(\gamma'(t_q)) dt_q \dots dt_1$$

is given by

$$\int_{t > t_1 > \dots > t_q} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}} a_{ik_1}(\gamma'(t_1)) a_{k_1 k_2}(\gamma'(t_2)) \dots a_{k_{q-1} j}(\gamma'(t_q)) dt_q \dots dt_1.$$

Then, there are indexes i, j and a $(q-1)$ -tuple k_1, \dots, k_{q-1} such that

$$\int_{t > t_1 > \dots > t_q} a_{ik_1}(\gamma'(t_1)) a_{k_1 k_2}(\gamma'(t_2)) \dots a_{k_{q-1} j}(\gamma'(t_q)) dt_q \dots dt_1 \neq 0,$$

i.e. there are q real one-forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q$ such that

$$\int_{t > t_1 > \dots > t_q} \omega_1(\gamma'(t_1)) \omega_2(\gamma'(t_2)) \dots \omega_q(\gamma'(t_q)) dt_q \dots dt_1 \neq 0,$$

that is

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_q \neq 0.$$

In the proof of Theorem 7.1 of [Sp] it is assumed that the support of A is compact, hence the supports of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_q$ are compact as well. If γ is not closed, i.e. $\gamma(0) \neq \gamma(1)$, we consider a compactly supported real smooth function f such that $f(\gamma(0)) \neq f(\gamma(1))$ and therefore obtain $\int_{\gamma} df \neq 0$. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2. Now, the kernel of the signature can be obtained as in [Ch3]. Since the supports of $\omega_1 \dots \omega_q$ are compact, there are $\bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_q$ with polynomial coefficients such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \bar{\omega}_1 \bar{\omega}_2 \dots \bar{\omega}_q \neq 0.$$

By additivity, we may assume that $\bar{\omega}_i$ have monomial coefficients, namely, there are monomials g_1, \dots, g_q such that

$$\int_{\gamma} g_1 dx_{j_1} g_2 dx_{j_2} \dots g_q dx_{j_q} \neq 0.$$

We have to show that this expression is a linear combination of elementary iterated integrals, i.e. integrals of the form

$$\int_{\gamma} dx_{i_1} dx_{i_2} \dots dx_{i_p},$$

and then one of those integrals has to be non zero, finishing the theorem.

The claim follows by induction in q as in Lemma 4.1 of [Ch3]. Assume that $q = 1$. Since g_1 is a monomial we have $g_1 = x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}$. Let γ_t be the restriction of γ to $[0, t]$. Hence we have

$$x_i(\gamma(t)) = \int_{\gamma_t} dx_i + x_i(\gamma(0)),$$

and thus

$$\int_{\gamma} g_1 dx_{j_1} = \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma_t} dx_1 + x_1(\gamma(0)) \right)^{m_1} \dots \left(\int_{\gamma_t} dx_n + x_n(\gamma(0)) \right)^{m_n} x'_{j_1}(t) dt$$

which gives a linear combination of integrals

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma_t} dx_{i_1} dx_{i_2} \dots dx_{i_k} \right) x'_{j_1}(t) dt = \int_{\gamma} dx_{i_1} dx_{i_2} \dots dx_{i_k} dx_{j_1}.$$

For the induction step, note that

$$\int_{\gamma} g_1 dx_{j_1} g_2 dx_{j_2} \dots g_q dx_{j_q} = \int_0^1 \int_{\gamma_t} g_1 dx_{j_1} g_2 dx_{j_2} \dots g_{q-1} dx_{j_{q-1}} g_q(\gamma(t)) dx_{j_q}(\gamma(t)).$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\int_{\gamma_t} g_1 dx_{j_1} g_2 dx_{j_2} \dots g_{q-1} dx_{j_{q-1}}$$

is a linear combination of integrals of the form

$$\int_{\gamma_t} dx_{i_1} dx_{i_2} \dots dx_{i_k}.$$

Applying the base step we have that

$$\int_{\gamma} g_1 dx_{j_1} g_2 dx_{j_2} \dots g_q dx_{j_q}$$

is a linear combination of integrals of the form

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\gamma_t} dx_{i_1} dx_{i_2} \dots dx_{i_k} \int_{\gamma_t} dx_{i_{k+1}} dx_{i_{k+2}} \dots dx_{i_{k+r}}$$

which is a linear combination of elementary integrals. This assertion follows from the following definition and lemma from [Ch3] which we include for the sake of completeness.

Definition 4.2.

$$\int_a^b f_1(t) dt \dots f_p(t) dt = \int_a^b \left(\int_a^s f_1(t) dt \dots f_{p-1}(t) dt \right) f_p(s) ds.$$

The following is Lemma 2.1 in [Ch3].

Lemma 4.3. *The product $\int_a^b f_1(t) dt \dots f_i(t) dt \int_a^b f_{i+1}(t) dt \dots f_p(t) dt$ is a linear combination of integrals $\int_a^b f_{i_1}(t) dt \dots f_{i_p}(t) dt$.*

Proof. The cases $p = 1$, $p = i$, $i = 0$ are trivial so assume that $p \geq 2$ and $0 < i < p$. Set

$$g(s) = \int_a^s f_1(t) dt \dots f_i(t) dt \int_a^s f_{i+1}(t) dt \dots f_p(t) dt,$$

$$h_1(s) = \int_a^s f_1(t) dt \dots f_{i-1}(t) dt \int_a^s f_{i+1}(t) dt \dots f_p(t) dt,$$

$$h_2(s) = \int_a^s f_1(t) dt \dots f_i(t) dt \int_a^s f_{i+1}(t) dt \dots f_{p-1}(t) dt.$$

Observe that

$$g(b) = \int_a^b g'(t)dt = \int_a^b h_1(t)f_i(t)dt + \int_a^b h_2(t)f_p(t)dt.$$

The Lemma is finished applying the induction hypothesis to h_1 and h_2 . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Pablo Lessa for putting our attention on the Chen signature map.

REFERENCES

- [Ba] J.W. Barrett, *Holonomy and Path Structures in General Relativity and Yang-Mills Theory*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **30** 9 (1991).
- [BGLY] H. Boedihardjo, X. Geng, T. Lyons, D. Yang, *The signature of a rough path: uniqueness*, Adv. Math. **293** (2016), 720–737.
- [BM] J. Baez, J. P. Muniain, *Gauge fields, knots and gravity*, Series on Knots and Everything, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ **4** (1994).
- [BS] J. Baez, S. Sawin, *Functional integration on spaces of connections*, Journal of Functional Analysis **150** (1997), 1–26.
- [Ch1] K. T. Chen, *Iterated integrals and exponential homomorphisms*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **4** (1954), 502–512.
- [Ch2] K. T. Chen, *Integration of Paths, Geometric Invariants and a Generalized Baker-Hausdorff Formula*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series **65** 1 (1957), 163–178.
- [Ch3] K. T. Chen, *Integration of paths: a faithful representation of paths by non-commutative formal power series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 395–407.
- [Ch4] K. T. Chen, *Iterated integrals of differential forms and loop space homology*, Ann. of Math. **97** 2 (1973), 217–246.
- [Ch5] K. T. Chen, *Iterated path integrals*, Bull. A.M.S. **83** 5 (1977).
- [Ek] N. Ekici, *On ideals of free lie algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **45** 3 (1987), 201–205.
- [GT] R. Gambini, A. Trias, *Gauge Dynamics in the C-representation*, Nucl. Phys., **B278** (1986), 436–448.
- [HL] B. Hambly, T. Lyons. *Uniqueness for the signature of a path of bounded variation and the reduced path group*, Ann. Math. **171** 1 (2010), 109–167.
- [La] R. Lashof, *Clasification of fibre bundles by the loop space of the base*, Ann. of Math. **64** (1956), 436–446.
- [Ly] T. J. Lyons, *Differential equations driven by rough signals*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana **14** 2 (1998), 215–310.
- [LX] T. Lyons, W. Xu, *Inverting the signature of a path*, Journal of the European Mathematical Society **7** 20 (2018), 1655–1687.
- [Mic] <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/88302/associated-vector-bundles-of-infinite-rank-and-induced-connections>
- [Mi] J. Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles I*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), 272–284.
- [Nee] K. H. Neeb, *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups*, 3rd cycle, Monastir (Tunisie) (Summer school) (2005), pp.76.
- [Ner] Y. A. Neretin, *A Lie group corresponding to the free Lie algebra and its universality*, arXiv:2411.11184.
- [PS] S. Papadima, A. I. Suciuc, *Chen Lie algebras*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2004** (2003), 1057–1086.
- [Sp] P. Spallanzani, *Groups of loops and hoops*, Comm. Math. Phys. **216** 2 (2001), 243–253.
- [Te1] C. Teleman, *Connections and bundles I*, Indagationes Mathematicae, **31** (1969), 89–103.
- [Te2] C. Teleman, *Connections and bundles II*, Indagationes Mathematicae, **31** (1969), 104–112.
- [Va] E. E. Vassiliou, *Christoffler Symbols and Connection Forms on Infinite-Dimensional Fibre Bundles*, Bull. Soc. Math. Grece **15** 15 (1974), 115–122.
- [WLC] W. L. Chow, *Über Systeme von linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung*, Math. Ann. **117** (1939), 98–105.

UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA, CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, IGUÁ 4225,
11400 MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY.

Email address: `juan@cmat.edu.uy`

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS,
CINVESTAV- CONACYT, AV. INSTITUTO POLITÉCNICO NACIONAL 2508, COL. SAN PEDRO
ZACATENCO, 07360 CIUDAD DE MÉXICO, MÉXICO.

Email address: `burgos@math.cinvestav.mx`

UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA, CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, IGUÁ 4225,
11400 MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY.

Email address: `miguel@cmat.edu.uy`