

AzSLD: Azerbaijani Sign Language Dataset for Fingerspelling, Word, and Sentence Translation with Baseline Software

Nigar Alishzade*

Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Baku, Azerbaijan

French-Azerbaijani University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Jamaladdin Hasanov†

ADA University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abstract

Sign language processing technology development relies on extensive and reliable datasets, instructions, and ethical guidelines. We present a comprehensive Azerbaijani Sign Language Dataset (AzSLD) collected from diverse sign language users and linguistic parameters to facilitate advancements in sign recognition and translation systems and support the local sign language community. The dataset was created within the framework of a vision-based AzSL translation project. This study introduces the dataset as a summary of the fingerspelling alphabet and sentence- and word-level sign language datasets. The dataset was collected from signers of different ages, genders, and signing styles, with videos recorded from two camera angles to capture each sign in full detail. This approach ensures robust training and evaluation of gesture recognition models. AzSLD contains 30,000 videos, each carefully annotated with accurate sign labels and corresponding linguistic translations. The dataset is accompanied by technical documentation and source code to facilitate its use in training and testing. This

*nigar.alishzada@ufaz.az

†jhasanov@ada.edu.az

dataset offers a valuable resource of labeled data for researchers and developers working on sign language recognition, translation, or synthesis. Ethical guidelines were strictly followed throughout the project, with all participants providing informed consent for collecting, publishing, and using the data.

Keywords: Sign Language Dataset, Sign Language Recognition, Sign Language Translation

1 Introduction

Sign language technology concerns all the non-verbal people worldwide who use a certain local sign language, making it an important computer vision task. Sign Languages (SLs) are composed of manual and non-manual components through which complex semantics can be conveyed. Sign language technologies help bridge the communication gap between signers and non-signers and facilitate the interaction between the Deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) community and technology. For instance, sign language technologies can empower DHH content creators to produce and edit sign language videos more easily.

Understanding sign language videos has been tackled from a range of tasks, including sign language recognition (SLR) [1–10], sign language translation (SLT) [11–17], and identification [18]. In particular, end-to-end SLT systems can overcome communication barriers for deaf and hard-of-hearing individuals, contributing to their social integration.

SLR covers fingerspelling recognition and isolated sign classification as it is limited by the available computer vision technologies. SLT is an interdisciplinary research domain involving computer vision, machine translation, and linguistics [19]. SLT requires large amounts of high-quality labeled data, which can be challenging to collect. In this work, we present the AzSL dataset, which is mainly concerned with SL data representation regarding the needs of SLR and SLT tasks.

According to [20] and [21], collecting a sign language dataset for translation involves recording videos of signers signing in the target sign language along with annotations of the corresponding spoken language translations. These annotations could be in the form of labels that assign each sign a word from

the spoken language that most appropriately describes the meaning of the sign. In addition, annotation metadata can include information about the signer, such as age, sex, and signing proficiency. It is crucial to ensure that the data set is diverse and representative of the target sign language community, including signers of different ages and sexes with slightly different signing speeds.

The authors of [22] introduced the ASL Corpora and Sign Bank, which includes linguistic annotations for lexical signs and fingerspelling. This resource has been utilized in various research areas in linguistics and sign language recognition from videos. According to the author in [23], the creation of sign language datasets has had a significant impact on sign language research. It provides access to a comprehensive record of the language, catering to its diversity, which enables researchers to delve deeper into the study of sign languages. This has led to the development of new insights into their structure, usage, and evolution. Moreover, the availability of annotated corpora has paved the way for the advancement of language technologies, such as machine translation, which are becoming increasingly crucial.

The collection of sign language datasets for low-resource sign languages is of paramount importance, as these languages often have limited digital resources. Such datasets of low-resource sign languages lays the groundwork for fostering linguistic inclusivity and technological empowerment among underrepresented DHH populations.

In this paper, we suggest the AzSL Dataset as the first resource for Azerbaijani Sign Language with AI purposes, a large video set lasting approximately 65 hours combined with annotation data for training. We present information regarding the data collection and annotation of potential data users of the SL technology research community contributors in a clear and accessible manner. AzSLD is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License on Zenodo repository [24]. Data loaders for utilizing this dataset are publicly accessible through the GitHub repository [25].

2 Related work

The quality of the training data plays a vital role in achieving accurate and reliable results for sign language alphabet and word-level recognition, as well

as end-to-end sign language translation tasks [26]. To ensure the quality of the training data, various metrics can be used to evaluate the annotations, including lexical correctness, completeness, consistency, diversity, and representativeness.

To address the limitations of existing sign language datasets, researchers have been actively involved in collecting and annotating comprehensive datasets. In [27] authors provide an extensive overview of linguistic resources for sign languages worldwide. In Table 1 we present a list of statistics for publicly available sign language datasets, highlighting their key characteristics.

Name	Language	#Videos	#Hours	#Signers	Annotation type	Source	Loader
ASL Citizen [28]	ASL	83,399	-	52	Word-level	Crowd	
PopSign ASL v1.0 [29]	ASL	214,326	-	47	Word-level	Crowd	
MyWSL2023 [30]	Malaysian	-	-	5	Word-level	Lab	
Youtube-ASL [31]	ASL	60,000	984	>2519	Sentence-level	Web	
Alabib-65 [32]	Algerian	6,000	-	41	Word-level	Lab	
SLOVO [33]	Russian SL	1,000	9.2	194	Word-level	Lab and web	✓
AfriSign [34]	various	20,000	152	-	Sentence-level	Web	
LSA-T [35]	Spanish	14,880	21.78	103	Sentence-level	Real life	
OpenASL [36]	ASL	32,000	288	200	Sentence-level	Web	
CSL-daily [37]	Chenese SL	2,000	23	10	Word-level and sentence-level	Lab	
FluentSigners-50 [38]	Kazakh-Russian	-	-	50	Sentence-level	Lab	
LSFB Datasets [39]	French-Belgian SL	90	50	100	Word-level and sentence-level	Lab	✓
How2Sign [40]	ASL	16,000	80	11	Sentence-level	Lab	✓
BosphorusSign22k [41]	Turkish SL	22,000	19	6	Word-level	Lab	
RWTH-PHOENIX-2014T [42]	German	3,000	11	9	Word-level and sentence-level	TV	
BOBSL [43]	British	77,000	1447	39	Sentence-level	TV	
MS-ASL [44]	ASL	25,000	24	200	Sentence-level	Lab	
WLASL [45]	ASL	21,000	14	100	Word-level	Lab	✓
AUTSL [46]	Turkish	38,000	-	43	Word-level	Lab	
KETI [47]	Korean	419,000	28	14	Sentence-level	Lab	
Polytropa Parallel Corpus [48]	Greek	3,600	-	1	Word-level and sentence-level	Lab	
K-RSL [49]	Kazakh-Russian	28K	-	10	Word-level	Lab	
AzSL (our) [24]	Azerbaijani SL	30,312	65.2	43	Word-level and sentence-level	Lab	✓

Table 1: Summary statistics for related Sign Language datasets

The number of videos, signers, and variety of setups have a positive impact on the efficiency of training a custom pose estimation model using a sign language dataset. These factors indicate the diversity and richness of the dataset, which are crucial for training accurate and robust deep learning models. Fine-tuning the frozen layers of pre-trained human-pose models can be a viable approach when the length of videos in the dataset is insufficient [50]. In this case, the pre-trained models convey the human pose features and patterns from the previous extensive training made on large datasets applicable to our domain [51]. By customizing and adapting these pre-trained models for the sign language dataset, the model can adapt to the specific characteristics and nuances of sign language gestures, improving its accuracy and performance.

Some of SL datasets lack annotation granularity [52]. By including both word- and sentence-level annotations in a sign language dataset, researchers can better understand the learning process within the model layers of an end-to-end sign language translation pipeline [53]. This will enable the analysis of the model’s process and interpretation of the individual signs (tokens) as well as bring a notion of how they integrate and generate coherent translations at the sentence level.

Each sign language dataset presents an opportunity to develop assistive sign language technologies tailored to specific communities, as well as to create new benchmarking resources for the research community. Adaptable and well-documented data loaders for these datasets enhance their utility by providing researchers with standardized tools for data access and analysis, thereby facilitating comparative studies and advancing the field. In this study, we address both of these aspects.

3 AzSL Dataset

3.1 Dataset components

Including both fingerspelling and large-lexicon signs, the dataset becomes more diverse, representative, and valuable for sign language research and development. In addition, the inclusion of both approaches facilitated the use of the dataset by recognition models with different complexities.

In AzSLD, we have added labels for isolated fingerspelling and word-level videos, and offer time-aligned Azerbaijani sentence-level translations for sentence videos. Thus, we have three main components of AzSLD:

- AzSLD Fingerspelling
- AzSLD Words
- AzSLD Sentences

AzSLD Fingerspelling

Fingerspelling is a subset of sign language that spells words by representing each letter of a written alphabet with specific hand shapes and movements. In the Azerbaijani alphabet, there are 32 letters and 32 different hand signs used

in fingerspelling to represent each of these letters. Azerbaijani fingerspelling comprises of 24 static and 8 dynamic gestures. In the dataset, samples for the statically expressed letters collected as images, and samples for dynamically expressed letteres collected as videos.

a	b	c	ç	d	e	ə	f	g	ğ	x	h	ı	i	j	k
800	770	661	385	450	423	434	382	459	398	396	430	403	366	514	464
q	l	m	n	o	ö	p	r	s	ş	t	u	ü	v	y	z
353	399	397	385	420	486	418	415	394	378	421	421	368	493	478	492

Table 2: Number of samples collected for each letter

To ensure an effective image collection process and make it accessible to a wide range of users, we developed *JestDiliBot*, a Telegram bot that employs an interactive interface for data collection. Users are prompted to choose a letter and are then presented with a sample image or video corresponding to their selection. They were encouraged to capture and submit similar data. The fingerspelling data samples were collected from volunteers who were not native signers. The collected samples were checked and validated by the team of AzSL native signers. More than 200 volunteers contributed to the AzSL fingerspelling dataset. After validation there reported 10,864 images for static letters, and 3,587 videos for dynamic letters. The distributions of the images and videos for the letters are listed in Table 2. The collection of the fingerspelling dataset and the demonstration of a word recognition system are described in detail in [54].

AzSLD Words

AzSLD Words comprises 100 classes of word videos, representing the top 100 most frequent words observed within the sentences of the AzSLD Sentences dataset. The number of samples in the word-level dataset is highly imbalanced across classes. Therefore, we recommend using class weights for accuracy measurement in word-level recognition models. The recording setup for word-level videos is the same as that used for sentence-level videos.

AzSLD Sentences

The strategy used for the fingerspelling dataset is not applicable to the collection of the sentence dataset; the interpretation of each sentence and demonstration of the signs need to be moderated. AzSLD Sentences comprises

signing videos for 500 sentences, collected using frontal and side-view cameras. Each dataset folder contains time-aligned sentence annotation files and two subfolders for the recordings from the two cameras. The videos were performed by 18 to 25 different signers, with a slight imbalance among them.

A custom setup was used for filming, with a white background and a fixed seating distance from the camera. To obtain more information from the collected data, it was decided to capture the video using two cameras: one mounted on the monitor, looking straight at the actor, and another mounted on the top-left side. These two views are considered complimentary - those signs that are not visible from the front view could be noticed in the side view and vice versa (e.g., which finger has been folded or what the mouth mactivity when a hand accidentally covers it). Fig. 1 demonstrates examples of screens from both cameras for 4 different signs. Video files from the two cameras have identical names and are located in the 'Cam1' and 'Cam2' folders, respectively in the sentence-level dataset.




Figure 1: Examples of the video screens captured by the frontal and the side view cameras

Involving members of the sign language community in the dataset collection effort helps to ensure that the collected data are relevant and beneficial to the community. The AzSL dataset was annotated by fluent AzSL users to ensure its accuracy and reliability.

For the labeling of the individual signs, the video files were uploaded to the Supervisely tool [55], where each sign is associated with the word that corresponds to the start and end frames in the video.

The directory structure of the AzSLD is as follows:



3.2 Context, linguistic analysis, and annotations in AzSLD Sentences

Designing a national sign language dataset begins with a thorough understanding of the linguistic and cultural context of the target sign language [56]. Moreover, contextual information plays a crucial role in sign language understanding and translation. The first AzSL dataset provided in this paper was collaboratively developed by 42 DHH individuals who are native users of AzSLD, one CODA (Child Of Deaf Adults) person, Azerbaijani Sign Language interpreters, and social service workers specializing in assisting non-verbal individuals. The primary objective of this collaboration was to ensure that the dataset encompasses the entire glossary commonly used by individuals with hearing impairments in social service centers.

Social service agents composed and suggested sentences and short texts, including common communication phrases and question-and-answer exchanges. These materials were then reviewed and elaborated upon by members of the deaf community. Ultimately, 500 sentences were selected for inclusion in the dataset collection. By involving these stakeholders, the dataset captured a comprehensive range of relevant and meaningful signs and expressions within the context of social service centers. This is the most important field where the Deaf community needs assistance with communication. However, the scope of the dataset can be broadened in the future.

To enhance usability and accessibility, the sentences were simplified. By simplifying the language used in the dataset, it becomes more inclusive and caters to a wider range of users, regardless of their sign language proficiency level. Each sentence was recorded by at least 18 of the 40 participants involved in the project. The involvement of multiple signers ensured that the dataset captured a wide range of signing styles, signing speed variations, and nuances. This approach also accounts for individual differences in signing preferences and regional variations within Azerbaijani Sign Language.

Unlike spoken languages, sign languages lack computational tools for performing semi-automatic or automatic lexical annotations [57]. This makes the annotation of sign language (SL) datasets a labor-intensive manual task. In this study, we present the AzSL dataset, developed in alignment with

recently established agreements on annotation standards in recent research.

Sign languages have specific linguistic rules that do not directly reflect spoken language [58]. Similar to the problem of translation between spoken languages, which opposes two sequences of variable length and tokens, sign language translation requires an understanding of the meaning and order of signs in a video [59]. In an isolated sentence-level annotation, each distinct label, such as a word, number, or letter, is annotated with respect to the corresponding frame number in the video. This type of annotation provides a broader context for feature extraction and allows the analysis of sentence-level features and structures. The total length of the video varied according to the number of words performed in the video. 2 visualizes the variability of the length of videos in frame numbers.




Figure 2: Total frame numbers of large-lexicon signing videos




Figure 3: Variety of word counts in large-lexicon signing videos

Within the 500 sentences of the dataset, there are 687 unique labels, some of which recur in different sentences. 3 shows the overall number of labels within sentences. Included sentences cover various contexts—including everyday conversations, directions, time and date expressions, purchasing items, medical inquiries, social service interactions, numbers, dates, and letters—to address various communication needs that individuals with hearing impairments might encounter. The location variation for the top 10 labels within 500 sentences is shown in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: Frame numbers of top 10 most frequent labels

Meticulous frame number alignment in video annotation is essential for synchronizing signs with their corresponding glosses or spoken words. By precisely mapping each sign to specific frames, annotators can accurately define the temporal boundaries of signs. This alignment enhances the analysis and understanding of sign language content and is crucial for developing effective sign language recognition and translation systems, as it captures the nuances and timing of each sign [60].

Fig. 5 shows the variety of annotations throughout the frame range for one sentence. This sentence, comprising five labels (words), is represented by 21 different video samples and annotation files. The timing of sign appearances varies between samples of the same class while maintaining the same label order. Such labeling is beneficial for sign-boundary detection and enhances model robustness concerning temporal features [61].




Figure 5: Isolated word distribution within videos of a sentence

Collectively, these annotations enhance the versatility of the sign-language video dataset. We provide a meticulously annotated dataset with frame-number-aligned JSON format annotation files and metadata containing spoken Azerbaijani translations for each sentence. Access to both sentence-level and word-level annotations enables researchers to develop models and algorithms that can accurately recognize individual words and translate entire sentences in sign language.

3.3 Adherence to guidelines for SL AI datasets

As we created the AzSL dataset for sign language recognition and translation purposes, we focused on finding the best practices for creating SL datasets for the same purpose. Different conventions for the notation of manual and non-manual activities were used in all the other SL AI datasets. The main common elements in creating a sign language dataset involve several steps including data collection, annotation, and documentation [57].

We hold in high regard the Fairness, Accountability, Transparency, and Ethics (FATE) [62] considerations in the creation of the AzSL dataset as well as the development of recognition and translation. [62] emphasizes the complexity of rights and responsibilities in data collection and storage, as well as the sensitivity of sign language usage by technologists due to the centrality of sign language to deaf cultural identity and a history of oppression [63]. We deliberated on the language included in the AzSL dataset, the diversity of the dataset, and its potential impact on marginalized communities. We ensured that it was inclusive, diverse, and transparent in creation and use. The vocabulary created for recordings is rich and versatile in terms

of translation and does not include offensive speech.

Below we list the FATE issues along with our reflections to them:

- *Content.* The scope of the vocabulary covers everyday speech and the Deaf service (social service) lexicon. AzSL fingerspelling, numbers (0-9 and greater), directions, date/time and other important glosses included. The sentences are deliberately composed as simple as possible and cover large, yet limited vocabulary.
- *Model Performance.* Demo project provided to see a case of model training.
- *Use Cases.* The intended use of the AzSL dataset is the application of computer vision techniques for isolated and continuous recognition as well as sign language translation.
- *Ownership.* The AzSL dataset is open-source and all the contributors without an exception gave consent to share the records.
- *Access.* We share the AzSL dataset with other research teams for benchmarking purposes.
- *Collection Mechanism.* Two HD RGB cameras of 30 fps were set for records, for front and side view. A suitable laboratory environment with right lighting was built.
- *Transparency and Understanding.* The content of the videos in the sign language dataset was created by signing actors and social service workers who know AzSL and work in the Deaf service . These individuals have expertise in sign language and are familiar with the linguistic nuances and cultural aspects of AzSL. To enhance the quality and accuracy of the dataset, annotations were added by the signing actors during the recording process. This iterative process of recording and annotation allows for the refinement and improvement of the dataset, ensuring that the trained system can effectively interpret and recognize the signs for the target group of people.

3.4 Data loader for ease of training and testing

Data loaders are a fundamental component in machine learning pipelines, serving as the bridge between raw data and model training. The lack of

well-functioning data loaders hinders their usability for the machine learning community [64]. Providing a data loader for new sign language datasets is essential for the research community, particularly for benchmarking purposes. We have developed a data loader for AzSLD that can be adapted for other sign language datasets as well. By addressing the unique challenges posed by the dataset and adhering to best practices, the provided data loader can significantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of machine learning models in this domain. When developing methods for data retrieval and traversal, the following factors should be considered:

- Speed of the data retrieval. It should be possible to utilize the possibilities, features and capacity of the programming language, environment, and hardware to achieve the highest access to the data.
- Easiness of use by the training models. The data loading and retrieval processes shall consider the easy integration with the training environment. This decision shall not merely consider the programming language or frameworks (Tensorflow or Pytorch) but also the domain of the application. It is needless to consider lightweight ML frameworks if the target is complex spatio-temporal data processing.
- Customization of the details. The environment of the end user will be different from the one that dataset developer has used. To free the user from digging and understanding the principle of data loader's work, it is important to design the program based on configurable values and actions. It should also be possible to include or exclude the particular processing steps and require the output in one of the suggested formats. None of the model parameters, like batch size or layers should be hardcoded.
- Openness of the system. The loading and traversal system shall not be introduced as an external library to the users. It shall be easy to include, embed and customize the code to tailor it for the required purpose.

The source of the described data loader is publicly available at [25].

4 Limitations

While the AzSLD dataset is a valuable resource for advancing research in sign language recognition and linguistics, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations. These constraints highlight areas for potential improvement and provide context for interpreting the dataset’s applicability in various research and development efforts. The following points outline the primary limitations:

- **Limited Scope of Vocabulary:** While the dataset includes a broad range of signs, it may not cover all possible vocabulary and expressions used in everyday communication or specialized contexts within the Azerbaijani Sign Language community. The absence of certain specialized or regional signs could limit its application in domain-specific or region-specific studies.
- **Controlled Recording Environment:** The data collection was conducted in a controlled laboratory environment with a white background and fixed camera setups. This might not fully capture the variability and nuances of sign language usage in naturalistic settings. Consequently, models trained on this dataset may require additional adaptation or fine-tuning when applied to real-world environments.
- **Annotation Consistency:** While the annotations are high-precision, manual annotation processes are inherently subject to human error and variability. Inter-annotator agreement metrics and consistency checks are essential but were not exhaustively detailed in this dataset. Future iterations could benefit from incorporating more robust validation processes to ensure uniformity across annotations.
- **Language Evolution:** Sign languages evolve over time, and the dataset may not capture newly emerging signs or changes in usage patterns within the Azerbaijani Sign Language community. Regular updates to the dataset would be necessary to reflect these dynamic changes and keep it relevant for ongoing research.

By acknowledging these limitations, we aim to provide a transparent overview of the dataset’s capabilities and encourage further efforts to address these challenges in future research and data collection initiatives. Moreover, collaborative contributions from the Azerbaijani Sign Language community could

help in expanding the dataset’s scope and improving its overall quality.

Acknowledgments

The experiments were conducted at the ADA University’s Center for Data Analytics Research (CeDAR). The data collection costs are covered by the “Strengthening Data Analytics Research and Training Capacity through Establishment of dual Master of Science in Computer Science and Master of Science in Data Analytics (MSCS/DA) degree program at ADA University” project, funded by BP and the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Azerbaijan.

Additionally, we would like to thank a number of people for contributing to the AzSL Dataset. Their participation in the data collection process has been instrumental in ensuring the authenticity and relevance of the Dataset. We acknowledge the following individuals for their knowledge, skills, and efforts in making this dataset possible:

- Heydar Rahimli, Samir Sadigov, Kamran Abbasov from Azerbaijani Public Union "Support for the Deaf";
- Aytaj Gadirova and Ziba Karimzade from "DOST" Agency for social protection in Azerbaijan;
- AzSL interpreter: Yegana Taghiyeva;
- ADA students: Ibrahim Alizada, Ismayil Shahaliyev, Habil Gadirli, Kamran Huseynov, Jalal Rasulzada, Rustam Safarli;
- Deaf users of AzSL: Yagub Naghiyev, Zahra Atakishiyeva, Ibrahim Amirov, Natig Mammadli, Farid Aghaverdiyev, Sama Taghiyeva, Elvina Orucova, Narinj Hashimova, Zahir Mammadov, Turkan Hasanli, Konul Azimova, Elshad Shirinov, Jamila Shamilova, Tural Mammadov, Elnara Orujova, Aziza Mirzayeva, Rovzat Abdulmabudov, Aliya Hashimova, Aysel Bakhshaliyeva, Havva Hasanova, Shamsi Shahbazova, Konul Abbasova, Murad Aliyev, Ali Aliyev, Fatima Huseynova, Konul Mammadli, Ramil Mammadov, Gabil Karimov, Afsana Karimova, Emin Huseynli, Damad Habibov, Parviz Jafarov, Nurlan Mohsumlu, Arzu Salimova, Ayisha Lukyan.

Declarations

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

CRedit author statement

Jamaladdin Hasanov: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data curation.

Nigar Alishzade: Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing, Visualization.

Funding

Not applicable

Data availability

AzSLD is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License on Zenodo repository at <https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.13627300>. Data loaders for utilizing this dataset are publicly accessible through the GitHub repository at https://github.com/ADA-SITE-JML/azsl_data_loader

References

- [1] K. Ahmed, E. A. E. AHMED, A.-H. Omar, Y. Arif, DeepASLR: A CNN based human computer interface for American Sign Language recognition for hearing-impaired individuals, Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update 2 (2022) 100048. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpbup.2021.100048>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666990021000471>
- [2] D. Yao, X. Pan, W. Mingye, H. Xiaohui, Z. Zheng, L. Jiaqi, Full transformer network with masking future for word-level

- sign language recognition, *Neurocomputing* 500 (2022) 115–123.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2022.05.051>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925231222006178>
- [3] M. Najla, R. C. G, Real time Indian sign language recognition system, *Materials Today: Proceedings* 58 (2022) 504–508.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.03.011>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214785322013013>
- [4] K. D. Anil, S. A.S.C.S., K. P. V. V, K. E. Kiran, 3D sign language recognition using spatio temporal graph kernels, *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences* 34 (2) (2022) 143–152.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2018.11.008>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1319157818307304>
- [5] H. Chao, W. Fenhua, Z. Ran, Sign language recognition based on cbam-resnet, in: *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Advanced Manufacturing, AIAM 2019, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2019*. doi:10.1145/3358331.3358379.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3358331.3358379>
- [6] D. Sunanda, I. M. Samir, N. N. Hasan, S. Nazmul, W. Hui, A hybrid approach for Bangla sign language recognition using deep transfer learning model with random forest classifier, *Expert Systems with Applications* 213 (2023) 118914.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.118914>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417422019327>
- [7] S. Katoch, V. Singh, U. S. Tiwary, Indian sign language recognition system using SURF with SVM and CNN, *Array* 14 (2022) 100141. doi:10.1016/j.array.2022.100141.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.array.2022.100141>
- [8] R. Rastgoo, K. Kiani, S. Escalera, Real-time isolated hand sign language recognition using deep networks and SVD, *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing* 13 (1) (2021) 591–611.

- doi:10.1007/s12652-021-02920-8.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-021-02920-8>
- [9] M. Ying, X. Tianpei, K. Kangchul, Two-Stream Mixed Convolutional Neural Network for American Sign Language Recognition, *Sensors* 22 (16) (2022). doi:10.3390/s22165959.
URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/16/5959>
- [10] A. Imran, A. Razzaq, I. A. Baig, A. Hussain, S. Shahid, T.-u. Rehman, Dataset of Pakistan Sign Language and Automatic Recognition of Hand Configuration of Urdu Alphabet through Machine Learning, *Data in Brief* 36 (2021) 107021. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2021.107021>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235234092100305X>
- [11] Z. Hao, S. Yixiang, L. Zenghui, L. Qiyuan, L. Xiyao, J. Ming, S. Gerald, F. Hui, Heterogeneous attention based transformer for sign language translation, *Applied Soft Computing* 144 (2023) 110526. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2023.110526>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568494623005446>
- [12] de Castro Giulia Zanon, G. R. Reis, G. F. Gadelha, Automatic translation of sign language with multi-stream 3D CNN and generation of artificial depth maps, *Expert Systems with Applications* 215 (2023) 119394. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.119394>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417422024125>
- [13] S. et al., Skeleton-aware neural sign language translation, *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia* (2021). doi:10.1145/3474085.3475577.
- [14] J. Tao, Z. Zhou, Contrastive disentangled meta-learning for signer-independent sign language translation, in: *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM '21*, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2021, p. 5065–5073. doi:10.1145/3474085.3475456.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3474085.3475456>

- [15] J. Tao, Z. Zhou, Z. Meng, Z. Xingshan, Mc-slt: Towards low-resource signer-adaptive sign language translation, in: Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM '22, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2022, p. 4939–4947. doi:10.1145/3503161.3548069. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3503161.3548069>
- [16] X. Li, S. Yang, H. Guo, Application of virtual human sign language translation based on speech recognition, Speech Communication 152 (2023) 102951. doi:10.1016/j.specom.2023.06.001. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2023.06.001>
- [17] A. Tejaswini, S. Priyanshu, C. Akash, S. Akhil, L. Brian, P. Joseph, W. Andre, K. Nikunj, S. Shagan, S. Thomastine, P. Raymond, N. Ifeoma, Deep Learning Methods for Sign Language Translation, ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing 14 (2021) 1–30. doi:10.1145/3477498.
- [18] G. B. G. C. O. W. P. D. S. H. T., Unsupervised feature learning for visual sign language identification, Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers) (2014). doi:10.3115/v1/p14-2061.
- [19] C. Mathieu, S. Dimitar, V. Mieke, D. J., Machine translation from signed to spoken languages: state of the art and challenges, Universal Access in the Information Society (2023) 1–27doi:10.1007/s10209-023-00992-1.
- [20] J. Forster, D. Stein, E. Ormel, O. Crasborn, H. Ney, Best practice for sign language data collections regarding the needs of data-driven recognition and translation, Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Corpora and Sign Language Technologies (CSLT 2010) (2010).
- [21] A. L. Berez-Kroeker, B. McDonnell, E. Koller, L. B. Collister (Eds.), The Open Handbook of Linguistic Data Management, The MIT Press, 2022. doi:10.7551/mitpress/12200.001.0001. URL <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12200.001.0001>
- [22] N. Carol, O. Augustine, M. Dimitris, Asl video corpora and sign bank: Resources available through the american sign language linguistic re-

- search project (asllrp) (2022). doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2201.07899.
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07899>
- [23] O. Crasborn, Managing data in sign language corpora, in: The Open Handbook of Linguistic Data Management, The MIT Press, 2022, pp. 463–470. doi:10.7551/mitpress/12200.003.0044.
URL <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12200.003.0044>
- [24] N. Alishzade, J. Hasanov, Azsld - azerbaijani sign language dataset (2023). doi:10.5281/ZENODO.13627301.
URL <https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.13627301>
- [25] J. Hasanov, A data loader code for azsl, https://github.com/ADA-SITE-JML/azsl_data_loader (2023).
- [26] N. Tran, R. E. Ladner, D. Bragg, U.s. deaf community perspectives on automatic sign language translation, in: Proceedings of the 25th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility, ASSETS '23, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2023. doi:10.1145/3597638.3614507.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3597638.3614507>
- [27] M. Kopf, M. Schulder, T. Hanke, The sign language dataset compendium: Creating an overview of digital linguistic resources, in: E. Efthimiou, S.-E. Fotinea, T. Hanke, J. A. Hochgesang, J. Kristoffersen, J. Mesch, M. Schulder (Eds.), Proceedings of the LREC2022 10th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Multilingual Sign Language Resources, European Language Resources Association, Marseille, France, 2022, pp. 102–109.
URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.signlang-1.16>
- [28] A. Desai, L. Berger, F. O. Minakov, V. Milan, C. Singh, K. Pumphrey, R. E. Ladner, H. D. I. au2, A. X. Lu, N. Caselli, D. Bragg, Asl citizen: A community-sourced dataset for advancing isolated sign language recognition (2023). arXiv:2304.05934.
- [29] T. Starner, S. Forbes, M. So, D. Martin, R. Sridhar, G. Deshpande, S. Sepah, S. Shahryar, K. Bhardwaj, T. Kwok, D. Sehgal, S. Hassan, B. Neubauer, S. A. Vempala, A. Tan, J. Heath, U. U. Kumar, P. V. Mosur, T. M. Hall, R. Singh, C. Z. Cui, G. Cameron, S. Dane, G. Tanzer, Popsign ASL v1.0: An isolated american sign language dataset collected

- via smartphones, in: Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track, 2023.
URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=yEf8NSqTPu>
- [30] J. R. Tasia, R. Rizaiddin, Z. Zuliani, S. Nizaroyani, MyWSL: Malaysian words sign language dataset, Data in Brief 49 (2023) 109338. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2023.109338>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352340923004560>
- [31] U. David, T. Garrett, G. Manfred, Youtube-asl: A large-scale, open-domain american sign language-english parallel corpus (2023). doi:[10.48550/ARXIV.2306.15162](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2306.15162).
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.15162>
- [32] K. Kenza, S. Rachid, Alabib-65: A realistic dataset for algerian sign language recognition, ACM Trans. Asian Low-Resour. Lang. Inf. Process. 22 (6) (jun 2023). doi:[10.1145/3596909](https://doi.org/10.1145/3596909).
URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3596909>
- [33] K. Alexander, K. Karina, N. Alexander, P. Elizaveta, Slovo: Russian sign language dataset (2023). doi:[10.48550/ARXIV.2305.14527](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2305.14527).
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.14527>
- [34] S. Gueuwou, K. Takyi, M. Müller, M. S. Nyarko, R. Adade, R.-M. O. M. Gyening, Afrisign: Machine translation for african sign languages, in: 4th Workshop on African Natural Language Processing, 2023.
URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=EHldk3J2xk>
- [35] B. P. Dal, R. Gastón, R. Franco, Q. Facundo, S. Oscar, H. Waldo, R. Alejandro, Lsa-t: The first continuous argentinian sign language dataset for sign language translation (2022). doi:[10.48550/ARXIV.2211.15481](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2211.15481).
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.15481>
- [36] S. Bowen, B. Diane, S. Greg, L. Karen, Open-domain sign language translation learned from online video (2022). doi:[10.48550/ARXIV.2205.12870](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2205.12870).
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.12870>
- [37] Z. Hao, Z. Wengang, Q. Weizhen, P. Junfu, L. Houqiang, Improving sign language translation with monolingual data by sign back-translation

- (2021). doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2105.12397.
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.12397>
- [38] S. Anara, Fluentsigners-50: a signer independent benchmark dataset for sign language processing (2022). doi:10.5281/ZENODO.6043739.
URL <https://zenodo.org/record/6043739>
- [39] F. Jérôme, F. Benoît, M. Laurence, C. Anthony, Lsfb-cont and lsfb-isol: Two new datasets for vision-based sign language recognition, in: 2021 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2021, pp. 1–8. doi:10.1109/IJCNN52387.2021.9534336.
- [40] D. Amanda, P. Shruti, V. Lucas, G. Deepti, D. Kenneth, M. Florian, T. Jordi, G. i Nieto Xavier, How2sign: A large-scale multimodal dataset for continuous american sign language (2020). doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2008.08143.
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.08143>
- [41] Ö. Oğulcan, K. A. Alp, C. C. Necati, A. Lale, BosphorusSign22k sign language recognition dataset, in: Proceedings of the LREC2020 9th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Sign Language Resources in the Service of the Language Community, Technological Challenges and Application Perspectives, European Language Resources Association (ELRA), Marseille, France, 2020, pp. 181–188.
URL <https://aclanthology.org/2020.signlang-1.30>
- [42] C. N. Cihan, H. Simon, K. Oscar, N. Hermann, B. Richard, Neural Sign Language Translation (2018). doi:10.1109/cvpr.2018.00812.
- [43] A. Samuel, V. Gül, M. Liliane, B. Hannah, A. Triantafyllos, C. Himel, F. Neil, W. Bencie, C. Rob, M. Andrew, Z. Andrew, BBC-Oxford British Sign Language Dataset, working paper or preprint (Jan. 2022).
URL <https://hal.science/hal-03516444>
- [44] V. Hamid, K. Oscar, MS-ASL: A Large-Scale Data Set and Benchmark for Understanding American Sign Language, in: The British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC), 2019.
URL <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/ms-asl-a-large-scale-data-set-and-benchmark-for-understanding-american-sign-l>

- [45] L. Dongxu, O. C. Rodriguez, Y. Xin, L. Hongdong, Word-level deep sign language recognition from video: A new large-scale dataset and methods comparison, in: 2020 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), 2020, pp. 1448–1458. doi:10.1109/WACV45572.2020.9093512.
- [46] M. Ozge, K. Hacer, AUTSL: A Large Scale Multi-Modal Turkish Sign Language Dataset and Baseline Methods, IEEE Access 8 (2020) 181340–181355. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3028072.
- [47] K. S. S. J. S. J. H., Sign language recognition with recurrent neural network using human keypoint detection, Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Research in Adaptive and Convergent Systems (2018). doi:10.1145/3264746.3264805.
- [48] Corpus: POLYTROPON Parallel Corpus | SL Data Compendium — sign-lang.uni-hamburg.de, <https://www.sign-lang.uni-hamburg.de/lr/compendium/corpus/polytroponcor.html>, [Accessed 09-08-2023].
- [49] I. Alfarabi, M. Medet, K. Vadim, S. Anara, A Dataset for Linguistic Understanding, Visual Evaluation, and Recognition of Sign Languages: The K-RSL (2020). doi:10.18653/v1/2020.conll-1.51.
- [50] S. Prem, N. Gokul, K. Pratyush, K. Mitesh, OpenHands: Making sign language recognition accessible with pose-based pretrained models across languages, in: Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), Association for Computational Linguistics, Dublin, Ireland, 2022, pp. 2114–2133. doi:10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.150.
URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.acl-long.150>
- [51] S. S, M. S, Survey on sign language recognition in context of vision-based and deep learning, Measurement: Sensors 23 (2022) 100385. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measen.2022.100385>.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2665917422000198>
- [52] Y. Kayo, M. Amit, H. Julie, G. Yoav, A. Malihe, Including signed languages in natural language processing, in: Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing

- (Volume 1: Long Papers), Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 2021, pp. 7347–7360. doi:10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.570. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2021.acl-long.570>
- [53] N. Aloysius, G. M, P. Nedungadi, Incorporating relative position information in transformer-based sign language recognition and translation, *IEEE Access* 9 (2021) 145929–145942. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3122921.
- [54] J. Hasanov, N. Alishzade, A. Nazimzade, S. Dadashzade, T. Tahirov, Development of a hybrid word recognition system and dataset for the azerbaijani sign language dactyl alphabet, *Speech Communication* (2023) 102960doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2023.102960>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167639323000948>
- [55] Supervisely, unified os for computer vision. URL <https://docs.supervisely.com/>
- [56] H. Julie, Managing Sign Language Acquisition Video Data: A Personal Journey in the Organization and Representation of Signed Data, 2022, pp. 367–384. doi:10.7551/mitpress/12200.003.0035.
- [57] K. Maria, S. Marc, H. Thomas, Overview of Datasets for the Sign Languages of Europe (Jul. 2021). doi:10.25592/uhhfdm.9561. URL <https://doi.org/10.25592/uhhfdm.9561>
- [58] K. Maria, S. Marc, H. Thomas, The sign language dataset compendium: Creating an overview of digital linguistic resources, in: *Proceedings of the LREC2022 10th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Multilingual Sign Language Resources*, European Language Resources Association, Marseille, France, 2022, pp. 102–109. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.signlang-1.16>
- [59] C. N. Cihan, H. Simon, K. Oscar, N. Hermann, B. Richard, Neural sign language translation, in: *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 7784–7793. doi:10.1109/CVPR.2018.00812.
- [60] L. Hou, R. Lepic, E. Wilkinson, Managing sign language video data collected from the internet, in: *The Open Handbook of Linguistic*

- Data Management, The MIT Press, 2022, pp. 471–480. doi:10.7551/mitpress/12200.003.0045.
URL <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12200.003.0045>
- [61] A. Dasom, K. Sangwon, H. Hyunsu, K. B. Chul, Star-transformer: A spatio-temporal cross attention transformer for human action recognition, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), 2023, pp. 3330–3339.
- [62] D. Bragg, N. Caselli, J. A. Hochgesang, M. Huenerfauth, L. Katz-Hernandez, O. Koller, R. Kushalnagar, C. Vogler, R. E. Ladner, The fate landscape of sign language ai datasets: An interdisciplinary perspective, *ACM Trans. Access. Comput.* 14 (2) (Jul. 2021). doi:10.1145/3436996.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3436996>
- [63] A. Desai, M. D. Meulder, J. A. Hochgesang, A. Kocab, A. X. Lu, Systemic biases in sign language ai research: A deaf-led call to reevaluate research agendas (2024). *arXiv:2403.02563*.
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.02563>
- [64] D. S. Mirella, V. Vincent, E. G. Santiago, D. C. Mathieu, S. Dimitar, S. Horacio, Challenges with sign language datasets for sign language recognition and translation, in: Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, European Language Resources Association, Marseille, France, 2022, pp. 2478–2487.
URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.lrec-1.264>