

EACH GENERIC POLYTOPE IN \mathbb{R}^3 HAS A POINT WITH TEN NORMALS TO THE BOUNDARY

IVAN NASONOV, GAIANE PANINA

ABSTRACT. It is conjectured since long that each smooth convex body $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has a point in its interior which belongs to at least $2n$ normals from different points on the boundary of \mathbf{P} . The conjecture is proven for $n = 2, 3, 4$.

We treat the same problem for convex polytopes in \mathbb{R}^3 and prove that each generic polytope has a point in its interior with 10 normals to the boundary.

This bound is exact: there exists a tetrahedron with no more than 10 normals emanating from a point in its interior.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is conjectured since long that for any smooth convex body $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a point in its interior which belongs to at least $2n$ normals from different points on the boundary of \mathbf{P} . The conjecture is a simple exercise for $n = 2$. There are two proofs for $n = 3$: a geometrical proof by E. Heil [2], and a topological proof by J. Pardon [5].

So, each 3-dimensional smooth body has a point with at least 6 emanating normals. This is an exact bound: an ellipsoid (with all different lengths of axes) has at most 6 normals from a point in its interior.

For $n = 4$, the conjecture was proven by J. Pardon [5], and (to the best of our knowledge) nothing is known for higher dimensions.

In this paper we solve a similar problem for compact convex polytopes with non empty interior.

Definition 1. *Let $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a compact convex polytope with non empty interior, let $y \in \text{Int } \mathbf{P}$. Assume a support plane h to \mathbf{P} and a point $z \in h \cap \mathbf{P}$ be such that the line yz is orthogonal to h . Then yz is called a normal to the boundary of \mathbf{P} emanating from y . The point z is called the base of the normal.*

Date: December 23, 2024.

Key words and phrases. Morse theory, bifurcation, critical point, binormal. MSC 52B70 .

Our main result is:

Theorem 1. *Each generic¹ polytope in \mathbb{R}^3 has a point with (at least) 10 emanating normals to the boundary.*

Three necessary remarks are:

- In the smooth case the bases of the normals to $\partial\mathbf{P}$ emanating from y are the critical points of the *squared distance function*

$$SQD_y = |x - y|^2 : \partial\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Generically, SQD_y is a Morse function, thus Morse theory gives a tool. With some efforts, Morse-theoretic machinery extends also to polytopes [4]. So counting normals to the boundary is equivalent to counting critical points of SQD_y .

- Each polytope in \mathbb{R}^3 has a point with at least 8 normals to the boundary. This follows straightforwardly from Morse counts, see [4]: let y be the center of the sphere of maximal radius contained in \mathbf{P} . Then the function SQD_y has at least four local minima (the tangent points) and at least one maximum, therefore at least three saddles.
- The bound in Theorem 1 is exact: there exists a tetrahedron with no more than 10 normals from a point in its interior [4].

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

All the definitions and lemmata of the section are borrowed from [4].

2.1. Active regions, bifurcation set and its sheets. Let $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a compact convex polytope with non-empty interior.

Let F be a face, an edge, or a vertex of a polytope \mathbf{P} . The *active region* $\mathcal{AR}(F)$ is the set of all points $y \in \text{Int } \mathbf{P}$ such that F contains the base of some normal emanating from y .

The *bifurcation set* $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{P})$ is the union of the boundaries of active regions. It is the PL counterpart of the focal set that appears in the smooth case.

The bifurcation set is piecewise linear. Its parts not lying on $\partial\mathbf{P}$ (*sheets*) fall into two types, red and blue, see Fig. 1.

That is,

- (1) The active region of a face F is the intersection of the infinite right angled prism based on F and the polytope \mathbf{P} .

The side faces of the prism are called *blue sheets* of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{P})$.

¹Genericity is defined in Definition 2.




FIGURE 1. Active regions of a face and an edge

- (2) The active region of an edge E sharing two faces F_1 and F_2 is the intersection of the polytope \mathbf{P} with the wedge-like polyhedron bounded by two planes containing E and orthogonal to F_1 and F_2 (these are blue sheets), and another two planes containing the endpoints of E and orthogonal to E (red sheets).
- (3) The active region of a vertex V is the inner normal cone of \mathbf{P} at the vertex V (bounded by red sheets) intersected with the polytope \mathbf{P} .

Although the function SQD_y is non-smooth, it can be treated as a Morse function. So counting critical points of SQD_y amounts to counting normals, exactly as it is in the smooth case.

Lemma 1. *For $y \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{P})$, we have:*

- (1) *Local maxima of SQD_y are attained at some vertices of \mathbf{P} .*
- (2) *Local minima are attained at some faces of \mathbf{P} .*
- (3) *Saddles are attained at some edges of \mathbf{P} .*

Lemma 2. *If y crosses transversally one sheet of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{P})$, a pair of critical points of SQD_y either dies or is born.*

- (1) *Crossing a blue sheet amounts to birth-death of a minimum and a saddle.*
- (2) *Crossing a red sheet amounts to birth-death of a maximum and a saddle.*

We say that a point $y \in \text{Int}(\mathbf{P})$ projects to a face F if the orthogonal projection of y to the affine hull of F lies in the interior of F .

An edge of a polytope with an acute dihedral angle is called an *acute edge*.

Lemma 3. (1) *A point y projects to the interior of a face iff the face contributes a minimum of SQD_y .*

- (2) *Let e be an acute edge of \mathbf{P} . Then a point $y \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{P})$ projects to e iff the function SQD_y attains a saddle at the edge e .*

Lemma 4. *For a convex polytope $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and a point $y \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{P})$, the number of critical points of SQD_y (equivalently, the number of normals emanating from y) equals $2 + 2s$, where s is the number of saddles.*

In particular, the number of normals emanating from y is even.

In the paper we restrict ourselves by *generic polytopes*:

Definition 2. *A polytope \mathbf{P} is generic if:*

- i:** *There are no right angles between edges that share a vertex.*
- ii:** *The sheets of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{P})$ intersect transversally.*
- iii:** *Two faces (as well as two edges) are neither parallel nor orthogonal. No edge is parallel to a face, unless they are incident.*

2.2. Associated spherical geometry. A *spherical polygon* is a polygon with geodesic edges lying in the standard sphere S^2 . It is always supposed to fit in an open hemisphere.

A vertex V of a polytope \mathbf{P} yields a convex spherical polygon $Spher(V)$ which is the intersection of a small sphere centered at V with the polytope \mathbf{P} . Although the sphere is small, let us assume that it is equipped with the metric of the unit sphere S^2 .

For points $X, Y \in S^2$ denote by $|XY|$ the spherical *distance* between them.

Say that a point Y *projects to* a geodesic segment s if (i) the segment and the point Y fit in an open hemisphere, (ii) s contains a point W such that the geodesic segment YW is orthogonal to s , and (iii) $|YW| < \pi/2$. In this case $|YW|$ realizes the Hausdorff distance between the point Y and the segment s .

Let $P \subset S^2$ be a convex spherical polygon, let $Y \in Int P$. Consider the spherical squared distance function

$$SQD_Y^{sph} : \partial P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Let V be a vertex of \mathbf{P} . Let a point y lie in the interior of \mathbf{P} , close to the vertex V . Here and in the sequel we denote by Y the intersection of the small sphere centered at V and the ray Vy .

Lemma 5. *In the above notation,*

- (1) *V is a local maximum of SQD_y iff $|AY| < \pi/2$ for each vertex A of the polygon $Spher(V)$.*
- (2) *Let e be an edge of \mathbf{P} incident to V . Assume $A = Spher(V) \cap e$. The edge e contains a saddle point of SQD_y iff*
 - (a) *A is a local maximum of SQD_Y^{sph} .*
 - (b) *$|AY| < \pi/2$.*
- (3) *A face F incident to V contributes a minimum of SQD_y iff Y projects to the edge $F \cap S^2$ of $Spher(V)$.*

- Definition 3.** (1) A point $Y \in \text{Int}(P)$ has a short maximum (respectively, short minimum) at $X \in \partial P$ if X is a local maximum (respectively, a local minimum) of SQD_Y^{sph} , and $|YX| < \pi/2$.
- (2) A convex spherical polygon $P \subset S^2$ is nice if there is a point Y in its interior such that SQD_Y^{sph} has at least three short maxima. Otherwise P is called skew.
- (3) A vertex V of polytope $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is skew if the associated spherical polygon $Spher(V)$ is skew.

Short maxima are attained at (some) vertices, whereas short minima are attained at edges. (This is no longer true for non-short maxima and minima.)

Example. Skew triangles were studied in [4] in details. A skew triangle is depicted in Fig 2.




FIGURE 2. A skew triangle

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proposition 1. *If a generic polytope $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ has no point in its interior with 10 emanating normals, then all the vertices of \mathbf{P} are skew.*

Proof. Assume the contrary: V is a nice vertex of \mathbf{P} , and \mathbf{P} has no point in its interior with 10 emanating normals. Let Y be a point inside $P = Spher(V)$ with three short maxima A_1, A_2, A_3 . Each of the maxima is surrounded on ∂P by two short minima, so there are at least three short minima of SQD_Y^{sph} . Consider two cases.

- (1) The number of the short minima (that are neighbors of A_i) is exactly 3. Then all the minima are short, and moreover, $|Yp| < \pi/2 \quad \forall p \in \partial P$, so $Y \in \mathcal{AR}(V)$.

Therefore the intersection of the ray VY and the polytope \mathbf{P} belongs to the active region $\mathcal{AR}(V)$. That is, for every point on the ray, V is a local maximum. Take a point y lying on the ray very close to V and let it travel along the ray. We may assume that y never crosses two sheets of the bifurcation set at a time. If this is not the case, perturb y a little bit.

Initially SQD_y has three minima $m_1, m_2,$ and $m_3,$ lying on the faces incident to V , three saddles $s_1, s_2, s_3,$ lying on edges that correspond to $A_1, A_2, A_3,$ and one local maximum V . Since V is not the global maximum, there is at least one other maximum. Altogether there are at least 8 critical points of $SQD_y,$ or, equivalently, 8 normals emanating from y .

The point y keeps moving until the *first event*, which is either a bifurcation or the point y leaves the interior of \mathbf{P} . We have the following case analysis:

(a) The first event is a birth of two new critical points. This gives us 10 normals.

(b) The point y leaves the polytope \mathbf{P} . This means that y hits one of the faces which is not incident to the vertex V . Right before y leaves the polytope \mathbf{P} , there is the fourth minimum on this face, and we have at least 10 critical points.

(c) One of the saddles s_i dies. This happens in two cases: (i) s_i meets a (local) maximum of SQD_y . The maximum cannot be V since s_i slides away from V . It is not any other maximum V' . Indeed, recall that s_i is the orthogonal projection of y to the edge. If s_i meets V' before y leaves P , then $|Vy| > |VV'|$. Then V' can't be maximum of SQD_y when $y = V$. (ii) s_i meets a minimum. It can be none of $m_1, m_2,$ and $m_3,$ so just before the bifurcation SQD_y has 4 minima and 2 maxima, which proves the claim.

(2) The number of short minima that are neighbors of A_i is at least 4.

We repeat the above construction. Initially we have at least four minima of $SQD_y,$ three saddles, and one maximum. The bifurcations are analyzed in the same way as above. If there is no bifurcation until y leaves \mathbf{P} , we arrive at a fifth minimum just before leaving the polytope, which gives 10 normals.

□

The following properties of skew polygons are crucial for the proof:

- Proposition 2.** (1) *Each skew polygon has exactly two acute angles, say, at vertices M_1 and M_2 ,*
(2) $|M_1M_2| > \pi/2$,
(3) *For any $p \in P$, the inequalities $|M_1p| < \pi/2$ and $|M_2p| < \pi/2$ imply that $|Ap| < \pi/2$ for every vertex A of P .*

We postpone the proof of the proposition to the next section.

Proof of Theorem 1 modulo Proposition 2. By Proposition 2, (1) each vertex of \mathbf{P} is incident to exactly two acute edges. Therefore, acute edges form a closed broken line on the boundary of \mathbf{P} . Denote the broken line by \mathcal{A} .

For an interior point $y \in \mathbf{P}$ set

$$SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}(x) = |x - y|^2.$$

- Lemma 6.** (1) *Minima of $SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}$ are attained at edges of \mathcal{A} . Each such a minimum is a saddle critical point of $SQD_y : \partial P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*
(2) *Maxima of $SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}$ are attained at vertices of \mathcal{A} . Maxima of $SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}$ are exactly maxima of SQD_y .*

Proof. (1) An edge contains a minimum of $SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}$ iff y projects to it. Since the edge is acute, by Lemma 6 we have a saddle of SQD_y .

(2) One way is clear: a maximum of SQD_y is also a maximum of $SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}$. The converse follows from Proposition 2, (3) and Lemma 5. \square

Definition 4. *A binormal of \mathbf{P} is a segment VW with endpoints on $\partial\mathbf{P}$ such that there exist two parallel support planes orthogonal to VW , one passing through V , and the other one through W .*

Lemma 7. *There exists a binormal VW of P such that V is a vertex, and W lies in the interior of some edge.*

Proof. Binormals of \mathbf{P} correspond to critical points of the width function.

We have the following case analysis:

- (1) If the endpoints of a binormal are two vertices of \mathbf{P} , then the binormal realises a local maximum of the width function.
- (2) If the endpoints of a binormal are a vertex of \mathbf{P} and a point in the interior of a face, then the binormal realises a local minimum.
- (3) If the endpoints of a binormal lie in the interiors of some (non-parallel, because of genericity) edges of K , then the binormal also realises a local minimum.

Since the width function is an even function defined on S^2 , or equivalently, a function defined on the projective plane, it cannot have maxima and minima only. Therefore there should be other types of binormals. The only remaining generic type is the one we need. \square

Now we are ready to prove the theorem.

Consider a binormal from Lemma 7. Let its endpoints be V (a vertex of \mathbf{P}) and W (a point in the interior of an edge). Remind that by assumption and Proposition 1, V is a skew vertex (as well as all the other vertices of \mathbf{P}).

Case 1. The binormal VW lies in the interior of \mathbf{P} .

Observe that VW belongs to the active region $\mathcal{AR}(V)$, that is, for every point on the binormal, V is a local maximum.

We may assume that the binormal never crosses two different sheets of $\mathcal{B}(P)$ at a time. If this is not the case, replace the binormal by a generic broken line emanating from V and ending at W lying close to the binormal.

Take a point y lying on the binormal very close to the vertex V . By Lemmata 5 and 3, SQD_y has two saddles s_1, s_2 on the acute edges incident to V , a saddle $s_3 = W$, two minima m_1, m_2 on the faces incident to V , and a local maximum at V . Since V is not a global maximum, there is some other global maximum; altogether there are at least 8 normals emanating from y .

Lemma 8. *Let VV' and VV'' be two acute edges incident to V . For a point y lying close to V none of V' and V'' is a (local) maximum of SQD_y .*

Proof. By Proposition 2, (2), there is an edge incident to V' which makes an obtuse angle with VV' . Therefore V' is not a maximum neither for V nor for any point y close to V . \square

Now let the point y travel along the binormal starting at V . As we have seen, initially there are at least emanating 8 normals.

Keep moving until the *first event*, which is either a bifurcation (that is, a crossing of a sheet of $\mathcal{B}(P)$), or the point y reaches W . Let us analyze the first event:

- (1) Birth of a new pair of critical points. Then right after bifurcation we have at least 10 normals.
- (2) Death of two critical points.
 - (a) One of the saddles s_i meets a local maximum, and the two critical points die. This saddle cannot be s_3 since s_3 does not move. It can be neither s_1 nor s_2 by Lemma 8.

- (b) One of the saddles $s_{1,2}$ meets a minimum. The minimum point is necessarily attained at a face incident to V , which is impossible since the distances between the feet of the normals grow as y slides away from V .
 - (c) The saddle s_3 meets a minimum. This happens only when y reaches W . This case is analyzed below.
- (3) Point y reaches $s_3 = W$ without bifurcation. Once y almost reaches W , we observe two new minima lying on faces incident to the edge containing W . By condition, no face contains both V and W , therefore none of the two new minima coincides with m_1 or m_2 . Since there were no bifurcations, all the "old" critical points persist, and eventually we have 4 minima, 2 maxima, and 3 saddles. Since the number of critical points is even, altogether there are at least 10 normals.

Case 2. VW lies in the interior of a face of P .

In this case the edge containing W is acute.

One repeats the arguments from Case 1 for a point y travelling along the binormal shifted a little bit inwards the polytope. The same bifurcation arguments survive: if y crosses a sheet of $\mathcal{B}(P)$ on its way, we get 10 emanating normals.

So the only remaining case is the one when there are no bifurcations on the (shifted) binormal. Here we need additional arguments since one of the two new minima coincides with either m_1 or m_2 . However we conclude that for a point y on the (shifted) binormal we have (at least) 3 minima, 2 maxima, and 3 saddles. Moreover, these 3 saddles are attained on acute edges of P . That is, we have three minima of $SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}$, see Lemma 6. Since \mathcal{A} is a topological circle (or a disjoint union of topological circles), there are necessarily three maxima of $SQD_{y,\mathcal{A}}$. By Lemma 6, they are maxima of SQD_y as well.

Now for y , we have (at least) 3 saddles, 3 maxima and 3 minima which gives at least 10 critical points since the number of critical points is even.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

Since the proof is quite technical, we recommend the reader to prove the proposition for skew triangles first basing on the following fact proven in [4]:

Lemma 9. *A spherical triangle ABC is skew iff (up to relabeling of the vertices), one has $|CA| > \pi/2$, $|BC| < \pi/2$, $\angle ABC > \pi/2$, $\angle BAC <$*

$\pi/2$, $\angle BCA < \pi/2$, $|BA| > \pi/2$, $|AZ| > \pi/2$ for the point $Z \in AC$ such that ZB is orthogonal to BC .

Let P be a skew spherical polygon.

Definition 5. A *bigon* is a spherical polygon with two edges. It is bounded by two halves of big circles. The *minimal bigon* $Big(P)$ of a spherical polygon P is the bigon containing P which is minimal by inclusion, or, equivalently, with the minimal angle between its edges.

Lemma 10. P intersects each edge of its minimal bigon $Big(P)$ by a segment containing the midpoint of the edge, see Fig 3.

Proof. Denote the edges of $Big(P)$ by l_1 and l_2 , and their midpoints by R and T . Firstly, prove that R and T lie on ∂P . Indeed, if $R \notin \partial P$ a rotation of l_1 decreases the bigon.




FIGURE 3. P intersects $Big(P)$ by two segments, containing the midpoints R and T .

Next, prove that P intersects each edge of $Big(P)$ by a segment. If not, two cases are possible:

(1) P intersects each of the edges by a point. Then a rotation decreases $Big(P)$.

(2) P intersects one edge by a point, and the other edge by a segment. Then necessarily the intersection point is the midpoint of the edge, say, R , and also the intersection segment contains T . Let us show that P is not skew. Consider the segment RT ; it lies in P and cuts the bigon into two equal halves. Let X be the midpoint of RT , then $X \in \mathcal{AR}(V)$ and SQD_X^{sph} has a short maximum at R and a short minimum at T . Since $|XR| = |XT|$, SQD_X^{sph} has additionally at least two short maxima lying in different halves of bigon. Altogether we have 3 short maxima. A contradiction.

□

Corollary 1. *The midpoint X of $\text{Big}(P)$ lies in P . Besides, $X \in \mathcal{AR}(V)$ and $\text{SQD}_X^{\text{sph}}$ has two (short) minima at R and T .*

Proposition 3. *If P is skew, then $\text{Big}(P)$ is acute-angled.*

Proof. Assume the contrary: $\text{Big}(P)$ is obtuse-angled. Then all the angles of P are also obtuse (this follows from minimality).

The proof is based on two lemmata.

A spherical segment AB is *short* if $|AB| < \pi/2$. Otherwise, the segment is called *long*.

Lemma 11. *If P is skew and $\text{Big}(P)$ is obtuse-angled, then no two short edges of P share a vertex.*

Proof. Let short edges AB and AC be incident. Let the point Z be as is depicted in Fig. 4.




FIGURE 4. The point Z for the proof of Lemma 11

That is, Z is the intersection of two perpendiculars to the edges emanating from B and C . We have: $|ZB| < \pi/2$, $|ZA| < \pi/2$, $|ZC| < \pi/2$, $Z \in \mathcal{AR}(A)$.² If we'll prove that $Z \in P$, then P is not skew, since for point Y lying close to Z , $\text{SQD}_Y^{\text{sph}}$ has 3 short maxima.

Assume that $Z \notin P$. Then there is a separating line l . Consider the intersection points of l with the sides of the angle A .

(1) If the intersection points lie in the upper hemisphere, then P is contained in a quarter of the sphere, so the minimal bigon cannot be obtuse-angled.

(2) Let one of such points is in the bottom hemisphere. All angles in triangle, bounded by the sides of A and line l are obtuse, then

² $\mathcal{AR}(A)$ is the set of points $Y \subset S^2$ such that A is a short local maximum.

intersection point of l with the polar line of A doesn't lie in $\mathcal{AR}(A)$. Then $Z \notin \mathcal{AR}(A)$. A contradiction. \square

Lemma 12. *If P is skew and $Big(P)$ is obtuse-angled, then P has at most 4 vertices.*

Proof. Suppose that a long edge AB is contained in one half of the bigon. For the midpoint X of the bigon, we have: $|XA| < \pi/2$, $|XB| < \pi/2$, $|AB| > \pi/2$. Then $\angle XAB$ and $\angle XBA$ are acute, so X projects on AB . Then SQD_X^{sph} has at least 3 minima. Since $X \in \mathcal{AR}(V)$, the function SQD_X^{sph} has at least 3 short maxima, so P is not skew. \square

To complete the proof of Proposition 3 we have to consider two cases:

- (1) P is a triangle. All its angles are obtuse, so it's not skew by Lemma 9 (see proof in [4]).
- (2) P is quadrilateral. Consider the triangle KLM as in Fig.5. It is a connected component of $Big(P) \setminus P$. We have: $|KL| \leq \pi/2$, $|KM| \leq \pi/2$, $|LM| < \pi/2$, $\angle KLM < \pi/2$, $\angle KML < \pi/2$, $\angle LKM > \pi/2$. Then spherical geometry tells us that K projects to LM . Let $KH \perp LM$. Moreover, $\angle LKH < \pi/2$, $\angle MKH < \pi/2$. Let $S = KH \cap RT$. Then $|SR| < \pi/2$, $|ST| < \pi/2$. Therefore $S \in \mathcal{AR}(V)$, and SQD_S^{sph} has at least three (short) minima at R, T , and H . Therefore there are three short maxima, so P is not skew.

Proposition 3 is proven. \square




FIGURE 5. Case 2: P is a quadrilateral.

By Proposition 3, the midline RT is contained in $\mathcal{AR}(V)$. With this knowledge we proceed with the proof of Proposition 2. So let X be the midpoint RT of minimal bigon $Big(P)$ of a skew polygon P .

Denote by M_1 and M_2 maxima points of SQD_X^{sph} . They lie in different halves of the bigon.

Lemma 13. M_1 and M_2 are acute vertices.

Proof. Assume that M_1 obtuse. Two cases are possible:

- (1) M_1 lies on an edge of the bigon. M_1 is clearly not a vertex of bigon since the bigon is acute-angled. Let a point X travels along the midline in the direction of this edge. R and T are short minima of SQD_X^{sph} all the time. Since these minima are fixed, and we have not other minima, there are no bifurcations on the way. But if X is close to R and M_1 is an obtuse vertex, it can not be maximum of SQD_X^{sph} .
- (2) M_1 lies in the interior of the bigon. Then incident edges M_1A and M_1B are short, and angle between them is obtuse. Consider the point Z which is defined as the intersection of two perpendiculars, as in Fig. 6. Clearly, $|ZB| < \pi/2, |ZM_1| < \pi/2, |ZA| < \pi/2, Z \in \mathcal{AR}(M_1)$. Also $X \in \mathcal{AR}(M_1)$. We also have $Z \in conv(A, B, M_1, X)$. Then $Z \in P$ and P is not skew. □




FIGURE 6. For the proof of Lemma 13

Clearly, there are no more acute vertices. Indeed, if a vertex is acute, it's a short maximal point of SQD_X^{sph} . Proposition 2, (1) is proven.

Lemma 14. Let A be a vertex of P , $p \in P$ and $|M_1p| < \pi/2$, $|M_2p| < \pi/2$ (M_1 and M_2 are the acute vertices). Therefore $|Ap| < \pi/2$.

Proof. One may assume that A lies in the same half of bigon as M_1 . Then we have: $|M_1p| < \pi/2$, $|M_1A| \leq \pi/2$, $\angle AM_1p < \pi/2$. Then $|Ap| < \pi/2$. □

The following lemma completes the proof of Proposition 2.

Lemma 15. $|M_1M_2| > \pi/2$.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is, $|M_1M_2| < \pi/2$. Apply twice Lemma 14 for $p = M_1$ and $p = M_2$ one by one. Then for any vertex A we have: $|M_1A| < \pi/2, |M_2A| < \pi/2$. Select a point A' near a vertex A , inside its spherical active region. So, $SQD_{A'}^{sph}$ has two short maxima at M_1, M_2 , and A . Therefore P is not skew. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Grebennikov, G. Panina, *A note on the concurrent normal conjecture*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 167 (2022), 529-532.
- [2] E. Heil, *Concurrent normals and critical points under weak smoothness assumptions*, In: Discrete geometry and convexity (New York, 1982), volume 440 of Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 170-178. New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1985.
- [3] J. Milnor, *Morse theory*, Annals of Math. Studies 51, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1963.
- [4] I. Nasonov, G. Panina, D. Siersma, *Concurrent normals problem for convex polytopes and Euclidean distance degree*, to appear in Acta Math. Hung.
- [5] J. Pardon, *Concurrent normals to convex bodies and spaces of morse functions*, Math. Ann., 352(2012), no. 1, 55-71.

I. NASONOV: STPETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY WANUA-NASONOV-I04@YANDEX.RU;
 G. PANINA: ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS GAIANE-PANINA@RAMBLER.RU