Banner appropriate to article type will appear here in typeset article

Internal shear layers generated by a vertically oscillating cylinder in unbounded and bounded rotating fluids

Jiyang He^{1,2}†, Benjamin Favier² and Stéphane Le Dizès²

¹Department of Ocean Science, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China ²Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Med, IRPHE, Marseille, France

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

In previous works, we analysed the internal shear layers excited by a viscous forcing (longitudinal libration) in a spherical shell geometry (He *et al.*, *J. Fluid Mech.* **939**, A3, 2022; **974**, A3, 2023). We now consider the stronger inviscid forcing corresponding to the vertical oscillation of the inner core. We limit our analysis to two-dimensional geometries but examine three different configurations: freely-propagating wave beams in an unbounded domain and two wave patterns (a periodic orbit and an attractor) in a cylindrical shell geometry. The asymptotic structures of the internal shear layers are assumed to follow the similarity solution of Moore & Saffman (*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 264 (1156), 1969, 597-634) in the small viscous limit. The two undefined parameters of the similarity solution (singularity strength and amplitude) are derived by asymptotically matching the similarity solution with the inviscid solution. For each case, the derivation of the latter is achieved either through separation of variables combined with analytical continuation or the method of characteristics. Global inviscid solutions, when obtained, closely match numerical solutions for small Ekman numbers far from the critical lines, while viscous asymptotic solutions show excellent performance near those lines. The amplitude scalings of the internal shear layers excited by an inviscid forcing are found to be divergent as the Ekman number E decreases, specifically $O(E^{-1/6})$ for the critical point singularity and $O(E^{-1/3})$ for attractors, in contrast to the convergent scalings found for a viscous forcing.

Key words:

1. Introduction

Inertial waves are ubiquitous in rotating fluids. They are associated with the Coriolis restoring force. They propagate at a fixed angle θ_c relative to the plane perpendicular to the rotation axis that only depends on the inertial wave frequency ω^* through the dispersion relation θ_c = arccos($\omega^*/(2\Omega^*)$) (Ω^* being the rotation rate) [\(Greenspan](#page-26-0) [1968\)](#page-26-0). This angle is conserved when waves reflect on boundaries. Owing to this property, the width of an inertial wave beam

† Email address for correspondence: jiyanghe123@gmail.com

contracts or expands, depending on the inclination of the boundary relative to the propagation angle θ_c [\(Phillips](#page-27-0) [1963\)](#page-27-0). The contraction effect is responsible for the creation of inviscid singularities on the critical characteristics, such as the tangent lines from the critical points on a convex boundary (Le Dizès [2024\)](#page-27-1), and the attractors inside a bounded domain [\(Ogilvie](#page-27-2) [2020\)](#page-27-2). Inviscid singularities can be understood as the outcome of the hyperbolic character of the Poincaré equation, namely, the ability to transport singularities ([Kerswell](#page-27-3) [1995\)](#page-27-3) and to form an ill-posed Cauchy problem in closed domains [\(Rieutord](#page-27-4) *et al.* [2000\)](#page-27-4).

In numerical simulations and experiments, these inviscid singularities are smoothed out by viscosity, giving rise to oscillatory internal shear layers around the critical characteristics [\(Kerswell](#page-27-3) [1995\)](#page-27-3). These layers become the dominant feature of a global viscous solution when inviscid singularities are present. The internal shear layers associated with a critical slope singularity have been experimentally observed in both rotating [\(Greenspan](#page-26-0) [1968\)](#page-26-0) and stratified [\(Mowbray & Rarity](#page-27-5) [1967;](#page-27-5) [Zhang](#page-27-6) *et al.* [2007\)](#page-27-6) fluids, owing to the similarity between the dispersion relation of inertial waves and that of internal gravity waves. Similarly in a bounded domain, attractors give rise to internal shear layers that have been observed in various configurations (Maas *[et al.](#page-27-7)* [1997;](#page-27-7) [Hazewinkel](#page-26-1) *et al.* [2008;](#page-26-1) Klein *[et al.](#page-27-8)* [2014\)](#page-27-8).

The mathematical description of the internal oscillatory shear layers relies on local asymptotic analysis in the limit of the small Ekman number E (defined as the ratio between the viscous term and the Coriolis term, see below). Although different scalings have been identified for the width of these layers in forced and eigenvalue problems, including $E^{1/3}$. $E^{1/4}$, $E^{1/5}$ and $E^{1/6}$ [\(Kerswell](#page-27-3) [1995;](#page-27-3) [Rieutord & Valdettaro](#page-27-9) [2018\)](#page-27-9), the scaling in $E^{1/3}$ appears as the natural scaling in a forced problem for the width of the internal shear layer associated with a critical slope singularity or an attractor. For the former, the scaling was derived by dominance balance [\(Walton](#page-27-10) [1975](#page-27-10)*a*,*[b](#page-27-11)*; [Kerswell](#page-27-3) [1995\)](#page-27-3), and numerically validated [\(Lin &](#page-27-12) [Noir](#page-27-12) [2021\)](#page-27-12). For the latter, it was inferred by [Ogilvie](#page-27-13) [\(2005\)](#page-27-13) and numerically validated by [Grisouard](#page-26-2) *et al.* [\(2008\)](#page-26-2).

The viscous structure of these layers has been the subject of many works. The first theoretical work in an oscillatory context goes probably back to [Walton](#page-27-10) [\(1975](#page-27-10)*a*). The building block is now believed to be a class of similarity solutions that was introduced by [Moore &](#page-27-14) [Saffman](#page-27-14) [\(1969\)](#page-27-14) in rotating fluids and [Thomas & Stevenson](#page-27-15) [\(1972\)](#page-27-15) in stratified fluids. These solutions depend on an index m that is related to the strength of the underlying inviscid singularity (Le Dizes [2024\)](#page-27-1). The similarity solutions were initially used to describe in the far field the solution generated by a localized oscillating source [\(Hurley & Keady](#page-26-3) [1997;](#page-26-3) [Voisin](#page-27-16) [2003\)](#page-27-16). In this context, [Machicoane](#page-27-17) *et al.* [\(2015\)](#page-27-17) have shown that the index m is linked to multipolar character of the source. [Tilgner](#page-27-18) [\(2000\)](#page-27-18) and Le Dizès [\(2015\)](#page-27-19) have also shown that they describe the thin shear layers generated from the border of an oscillating disk. The use of the similarity solutions to describe the oscillatory internal shear layers generated from a critical point was first made by Le Dizès & Le Bars (2017) in the case of a viscous forcing for a librating object in an unbounded domain. He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5) extended these results to a bounded geometry for both a periodic wave pattern and an attractor. For the attractor case, they used original ideas that were introduced in an inclined rotating square subject to a body forcing by [Ogilvie](#page-27-13) [\(2005\)](#page-27-13). These ideas will also be used in the present study.

In our previous works (Le Dizès & Le Bars [2017;](#page-27-20) He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5), the internal shear layers were generated by libration, that is by a viscous forcing at the critical point. In the present study, we consider an inviscid forcing, specifically vertical oscillation, where internal shear layers are forced through pressure coupling. For such a forcing, the nature of the internal shear layers generated from a critical point is expected to be different. Le Dizès [\(2024\)](#page-27-1) has explained that in contrast to the viscous case, the amplitude of the internal shear layer cannot be obtained in closed form from a local analysis of the critical point. Our analysis will therefore go through the derivation of global inviscid solutions before considering internal shear layers. It will be limited to two-dimensional geometries.

We start with the classical problem of an oscillating cylinder in an unbounded domain. The inviscid problem has been solved in a stratified fluid by [Hurley](#page-26-6) [\(1997\)](#page-26-6). We provide here the inviscid solution in a rotating fluid using the same approach. This solution is singular along the characteristics issued from the critical points. From the behavior of the solution close to the singularity, we obtain the index and the amplitude of the similarity solution that describes the viscous structure of the internal shear layer. The approximation that is obtained is compared with viscous numerical results obtained for very small Ekman numbers. We then consider the cylindrical shell geometry. The ray patterns strongly depend on the frequency. For a frequency $\omega^* = \sqrt{2} \Omega^*$, the propagation is at 45 degrees with respect to the rotation axis. We first consider this case for which the ray trajectories are periodic. A global inviscid solution is obtained by writing done the conditions of reflection on each trajectory. This solution is singular on the critical lines. To obtain an approximation valid close to these lines, we will adapt the method used in He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022\)](#page-26-4) for a viscous forcing. Both the index and the amplitude of the similarity solutions needed to build this asymptotic solution are obtained by matching this solution with the global inviscid solution. Again, the theoretical results are compared with numerical results. In the last section, we consider the case of an attractor. An asymptotic solution is obtained close to the attractor using the analysis developed in He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [\(2023\)](#page-26-5), and then compared to numerical results. The paper is concluded by summarizing the main results, emphasizing the difference between inviscid and viscous forcings, and discussing the applicability of the results in a three-dimensional context.

2. Framework

2.1. *Geometry and governing equations*

We consider the internal shear layers generated by a two-dimensional cylindrical body oscillating vertically in either an unbounded or bounded domain. For the former, the configuration is a circular cylinder immersed in an unbounded fluid, as shown in figure [1a;](#page-3-0) for the latter, the geometry is a cylindrical annulus, as shown in figure [1b.](#page-3-0) In both cases, the cylinders axes are horizontal and extend to infinity, making the problems twodimensional. We adopt a Cartesian coordinate system, where the axis \mathcal{O}_z is vertical to the horizontal plane Oxy . The cylinders axes are along the axis Oy such that cross-sections lie in the vertical plane Oxz . The flow is that of an incompressible fluid with constant kinematic viscosity v^* , rotating around the axis Oz with a uniform rotation rate Ω^* . Although the flow field only depends on x and z , it has three velocity components.

Due to the two dimensional nature of the problem, we can use a polar coordinate system to describe the flow field, with the radial coordinate $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$ and angular coordinate ϑ = arctan (z/x). The flow domain is defined by $\rho \in [\varrho_i^*, \varrho_o^*]$, where ϱ_o^* is infinite for the unbounded case. In the bounded case, we write $[\varrho_i^*, \varrho_o^*] = [\eta \varrho^*, \varrho^*]$, with $\eta \in]0, 1[$ defining the aspect ratio. For convenience of comparison, the radial range of the unbounded domain is chosen as $[\varrho_i^*, \varrho_o^*] = [\eta \varrho^*, +\infty]$, with the same inner radius as the bounded domain.

For both configurations, lengths are non-dimensionalised by ρ^* , resulting in nondimensional radial ranges of $[\eta, 1]$ for the bounded domain and $[\eta, +\infty]$ for the unbounded domain. Time is non-dimensionalised by the angular period $1/\Omega^*$. Using these scales, the Ekman number is defined as

$$
E = \frac{v^*}{\Omega^* \varrho^{*2}}.
$$
\n
$$
(2.1)
$$

The imposed harmonic forcing is the vertical oscillation of the cylinder in the unbounded

Figure 1: Two dimensional configurations in unbounded (a) and bounded (b) domains.

domain or the inner cylinder in the bounded domain (see red arrows in figure [1\)](#page-3-0), with the displacement amplitude $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^*/\varrho^*$ ($\varepsilon \ll 1$) and the frequency $\omega = \omega^*/\Omega^*$. As in our previous works (Le Dizès & Le Bars [2017;](#page-27-20) He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5), we focus on the linear harmonic response and consider solutions in the form

$$
(\nu, p)e^{-i\omega t} + c.c., \tag{2.2}
$$

where $c.c$ denotes the complex conjugate. The velocity ν and pressure p satisfy the linearised incompressible Navier-Stokes in the rotating frame:

$$
-i\omega v_x - 2v_y + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - E\nabla^2 v_x = 0, \qquad (2.3a)
$$

$$
-i\omega v_z + \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - E\nabla^2 v_z = 0, \qquad (2.3b)
$$

$$
-i\omega v_y + 2v_x - E\nabla^2 v_y = 0,
$$
\n(2.3*c*)

$$
\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = 0, \tag{2.3d}
$$

with the Laplacian operator

$$
\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}.
$$
 (2.4)

As the oscillations are assumed to be very small ($\varepsilon \ll 1$), the (inner) cylinder can be assumed fixed at leading order. The boundary conditions at the surface of the (inner) cylinder read

$$
v = e_z
$$
, at $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} = \eta$. (2.5)

We apply no-slip boundary conditions at the outer cylinder. In the unbounded case, we apply a condition of radiation which states that the field should be composed of outgoing waves

Focus on Fluids articles must not exceed this page length

at infinity. In a viscous fluid, this condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the solution at infinity. In the numerical code, the condition of radiation will be applied by damping the waves propagating after a large but finite radial distance.

We shall confine ourselves to the inertial wave regime, namely, $0 < \omega < 2$, within which the dominant structures of the linear response are internal shear layers.

2.2. *Numerical method*

The numerical method from our previous work (He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [2023\)](#page-26-5) is adopted here. The governing equations [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) are numerically solved in polar coordinates (ρ , ϑ). In terms of the streamfunction ψ and the associated variable χ ,

$$
\nu_{\varrho} = -\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \vartheta}, \quad \nu_{\vartheta} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varrho}, \quad \nu_{\mathbf{y}} = \chi,
$$
 (2.6*a* – *c*)

the governing equations (2.3) are recast to

$$
-i\omega \nabla^2 \psi + 2\left(\sin \vartheta \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \varrho} + \frac{\cos \vartheta}{\varrho} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \vartheta}\right) - E \nabla^4 \psi = 0, \qquad (2.7a)
$$

$$
-i\omega\chi - 2\left(\sin\vartheta + \frac{\cos\vartheta}{\varrho}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\vartheta}\right) - E\nabla^4\chi = 0,
$$
 (2.7*b*)

with the operator

$$
\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varrho^2} + \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} + \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vartheta^2}.
$$
 (2.8)

The streamfunction ψ and the associated variable χ are expanded by Fourier series in the angular direction as

$$
\psi = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_l(\varrho) e^{il\vartheta}, \quad \chi = -i \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_l(\varrho) e^{il\vartheta}.
$$
 (2.9*a*,*b*)

The projection of the governing equations [\(2.7\)](#page-4-0) onto this basis leads to

$$
i\omega \nabla_l^2 \psi_l + (\chi_{l-1}' - \chi_{l+1}') - \frac{1}{\varrho} \left[(l-1)\chi_{l-1} + (l+1)\chi_{l+1} \right] + E \nabla_l^4 \psi_l = f_l^{\psi}, \quad (2.10a)
$$

$$
i\omega\chi_{l} + (\psi'_{l-1} - \psi'_{l+1}) - \frac{1}{\varrho} \left[(l-1)\psi_{l-1} + (L+1)\psi_{l+1} \right] + E \nabla_{l}^{2} \chi_{l} = f_{l}^{\chi}, \quad (2.10b)
$$

with

$$
\nabla_l^2 = \frac{d^2}{d\varrho^2} + \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{d}{d\varrho} - \frac{l^2}{\varrho^2}.
$$
 (2.11)

The right-hand side terms f''_k \int_l^{ψ} and f_l^{χ} $\frac{cX}{l}$ represent a sponger layer absorbing outgoing waves and will be specified later.

The spectral equations (2.10) are supplemented with boundary conditions at both ends of the radial direction. The vertical oscillation (2.5) at one end yields the following boundary condition

$$
\psi_l = \frac{\varrho}{2} \delta_{1l}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_l}{\mathrm{d}\varrho} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1l}, \quad \chi_l = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \varrho = \eta. \tag{2.12}
$$

The boundary condition at the other end is

d

$$
\psi_l = \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_l}{\mathrm{d}\varrho} = \chi_l = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \varrho = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \varrho \to +\infty,\tag{2.13}
$$

for the bounded or unbounded domain respectively. Since the forcing is imposed at $l = 1$ for ψ , only the Fourier components $\psi_1, \chi_2, \psi_3, \chi_4, \cdots$ are excited.

Special treatment is required for the unbounded domain, which is truncated at a finite radius. The position of the outer end is chosen to be far from the source, within the limit of numerical resources. A sponge layer is added near the outer end to absorb all outgoing waves. We directly implement the sponge layer in the spectral equations (2.10) through the right-hand terms f_t^{ψ} \int_l^{ψ} and f_l^{χ} $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{X}}_l$. They take the form of a damping function as follows

$$
f_l^{\psi} = \frac{1}{2Y} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{\varrho - \varrho_s}{\Lambda}\right) \right] \nabla_l^2 \psi_l,
$$
 (2.14*a*)

$$
f_l^{\chi} = \frac{1}{2\Upsilon} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{\varrho - \varrho_s}{\Lambda}\right) \right] \chi_l.
$$
 (2.14b)

The parameter Υ is the time scale at which waves are damped and is simply taken as ω^{-1} . The parameter ρ_s is the position where the sponge layer is centred and should be close to the outer end. The parameter Λ controls the domain range affected by the sponge layer. Suitable values of ρ_s and Λ are chosen by trial and error.

Finally, the spectral equations (2.10) are truncated at the Fourier order L and further discretized using the Chebyshev collocation method, with the derivatives relative to ρ replaced by the Chebyshev differentiation matrices of order $N + 1$. The resulting block tridiagonal system is solved by the block tridiagonal algorithm as described in He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [\(2023\)](#page-26-5).

2.3. *Inviscid framework in global and local coordinate systems*

In this part, we are going to summarize the general inviscid framework in global and local coordinate systems that will be freque+ntly adopted when developing theories for different configurations.

In the inviscid case ($E = 0$), the governing equations [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) can be recast into the famous Poincaré equation in the Cartesian coordinate system

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \left(1 - \frac{4}{\omega^2}\right) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = 0,
$$
\n(2.15)

with the streamfunction ψ defined as

$$
\nu_x = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}, \quad \nu_z = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.
$$
 (2.16*a*, *b*)

The velocity component v_y perpendicular to the plane Oxz is related to v_x by

$$
v_y = \frac{2}{\mathrm{i}\omega} v_x. \tag{2.17}
$$

In the inertial wave regime ($0 < \omega < 2$), the Poincaré equation ([2.15\)](#page-5-0) is a two-dimensional hyperbolic equation, the solution of which can be described in terms of its characteristics. As in [Voisin](#page-27-21) [\(2021\)](#page-27-21), the global characteristic coordinates are defined as

$$
x_{\pm} = \sin \theta_c x \mp \cos \theta_c z, \quad z_{\pm} = \pm \cos \theta_c x + \sin \theta_c z, \tag{2.18a,b}
$$

with

$$
\omega = 2\cos\theta_c, \tag{2.19}
$$

where θ_c is the acute angle between the characteristics and the horizontal plane. The characteristic coordinates x_{\pm} and z_{\pm} are perpendicular and parallel to the characteristics,

Figure 2: Tangent characteristic lines (blue dashed lines) of a cylinder in an unbounded domain. (x_{\pm}, z_{\pm}) are characteristic coordinates. $(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp})$ are local coordinates attached to critical rays $L_1 - L_4$ in the first quadrant.

respectively; particularly, $|x_{\pm}| = \eta$ denote the positions of the characteristics tangent to the cylinder (see blue symbols figure [2\)](#page-6-0). The canonical form of the Poincaré equation (2.15) (2.15) is simply $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_+ \partial x_-} = 0$; the corresponding solution is separable in terms of x_+ , namely,

$$
\psi(x_+, x_-) = \psi_+(x_+) + \psi_-(x_-). \tag{2.20}
$$

The corresponding velocity vector in the Oxz plane is

$$
v_{z_{+}}\mathbf{e}_{z_{+}} + v_{z_{-}}\mathbf{e}_{z_{-}} \tag{2.21}
$$

with

$$
v_{z_{\pm}}(x_{\pm}) = \frac{d\psi_{\pm}}{dx_{\pm}},
$$
\n(2.22)

where ${\bf e}_{z_{\pm}}$ are unit vectors in the z_{\pm} directions. The velocity vector can be projected into the Cartesian coordinates, to yield the velocity components in the latter

$$
v_x = (v_{z_+} - v_{z_-}) \cos \theta_c, v_z = (v_{z_+} + v_{z_-}) \sin \theta_c, v_y = \frac{2}{i\omega} v_x = -i(v_{z_+} - v_{z_-}). \tag{2.23a,b,c}
$$

Usually, the inviscid solution may become singular on critical characteristics. In this case, it is preferable to describe the solution in terms of local coordinates $(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp})$ around the critical characteristics, where x_{\parallel} measures the distance to the source along the critical characteristic and x_{\perp} the displacement relative to it. The orientations of the local coordinates $(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp})$ depend on the direction of the wave propagation on the critical characteristics. For a fixed frequency ω that corresponds to a fixed θ_c , inertial waves propagate in four possible directions, which are θ_c , $\pi - \theta_c$, $\pi + \theta_c$ and $2\pi - \theta_c$ with respect to the positive horizontal

Figure 3: Critical rays with four different propagation directions (in red) and their local coordinates (in black). Schematic of the reflection on a boundary is shown in orange.

direction (see red symbols in figure [3\)](#page-7-0). The direction of the local parallel coordinate x_{\parallel} follows the propagation direction; the orientation of the perpendicular coordinate x_{\perp} is chosen such that it does not change during propagation (see black symbols in figure [3\)](#page-7-0). In these local frames, the streamfunction is related to the local velocity components (v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) through

$$
v_{\parallel} = \epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{\perp}}, \quad v_{\perp} = -\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{\parallel}}, \tag{2.24a,b}
$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ for the rays with the inclined angles $\pi - \theta_c$ and $2\pi - \theta_c$, and $\epsilon = -1$ for the rays with inclined angles θ_c and $\pi - \theta_c$ (see figure [3\)](#page-7-0). In the inviscid case, the streamfunction and the parallel velocities depend on the local perpendicular coordinate x_{\perp} only, namely,

$$
\psi(x_{\perp}), \quad v_{\parallel}(x_{\perp}) = \epsilon \frac{d\psi(x_{\perp})}{dx_{\perp}}.
$$
 (2.25*a*,*b*)

The velocity components (v_x, v_z) in the Cartesian coordinates are obtained through projecting v_{\parallel} e_{||} into the latter. Notably, v_y is related to v_{\parallel} through a phase shift, namely,

$$
v_y = \pm iv_{\parallel}. \tag{2.26}
$$

The sign of the phase is " + " for the rays with the inclined angles $\pi - \theta_c$ and $\pi + \theta_c$, and it is " – " for the rays with the inclined angles θ_c and $2\pi - \theta_c$ (see figure [3\)](#page-7-0).

2.4. *Viscous similarity solution describing internal shear layers*

Now we briefly describe the general asymptotic structure of internal shear layers, irrespective of the configurations. We only show the expressions in two dimensions that are relevant to the current work. Similar descriptions can be found in our previous works (Le Dizes $\&$ Le Bars [2017;](#page-27-20) He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5).

In the small viscosity limit ($E \rightarrow 0$), the quantitative feature of the internal shear layers can be described by the famous similarity solution of [Moore & Saffman](#page-27-14) [\(1969\)](#page-27-14), characterised by a width scaling as $E^{1/3}$. This similarity solution is expressed in the local coordinates $(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp})$ described above. A similarity variable is introduced as

$$
\zeta = \frac{x_{\perp}}{E^{1/3}} \left(\frac{2 \sin \theta_c}{x_{\parallel}} \right)^{1/3}.
$$
 (2.27)

At leading order, the main velocity component is along the critical characteristics, namely v_{\parallel} , which takes the form

$$
v_{\parallel} = C_0 H_m(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}) = C_0 \left(\frac{x_{\parallel}}{2 \sin \theta_c}\right)^{-m/3} h_m(\zeta), \qquad (2.28)
$$

with the special function introduced by [Moore & Saffman](#page-27-14) [\(1969\)](#page-27-14)

$$
h_m(\zeta) = \frac{e^{-im\pi/2}}{(m-1)!} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{ip\zeta - p^3} p^{m-1} dp.
$$
 (2.29)

The perpendicular velocity v_{\perp} is $O(E^{1/3})$ smaller. Owing to the fluid rotation, the similarity solution also possesses a velocity component perpendicular to the plane (e_{\parallel}, e_{\perp}). It is related to v_{\parallel} by a simple phase shift according the relation [\(2.26\)](#page-7-1).

There are two free parameters in the similarity solution (2.28) : the amplitude C_0 and the index m. The calculation of these parameters for each configuration constitutes the core of our work. It will mainly follow the line of our previous works (Le Dizès $\&$ Le Bars [2017;](#page-27-20) He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5) performed for a viscous forcing. One can first note that the similarity solution is indeed associated with an inviscid singularity, which is visible when considering the outer limit ($|\eta| \to \infty$) of the similarity solution

$$
\nu_{\parallel} \sim \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} C_0 x_{\perp}^{-m} E^{m/3}, & \zeta \to +\infty; \\ C_0 (-x_{\perp})^{-m} e^{-im\pi} E^{m/3}, & \zeta \to -\infty. \end{array} \right. \tag{2.30}
$$

Note the behavior in $|x_{\perp}|^{-m}$ on both sides of the singularity. The index m is then directly related to the strength of the underlying inviscid singularity. Note also the phase shift in $e^{-im\pi}$ for x_{\perp} < 0. This phase shift is associated with the direction of propagation of the singular field, which has to be in the direction of +**e**[∥] [\(Le Dizes`](#page-27-1) [2024\)](#page-27-1). The similarity solution (2.28) can be expressed in terms of a streamfunction ψ . Integration of the similarity solution [\(2.28\)](#page-8-0) leads to

$$
\psi = \epsilon \frac{C_0 E^{1/3}}{m - 1} H_{m - 1}(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}).
$$
\n(2.31)

Note that, the streamfunction ψ is $O(E^{1/3})$ smaller than v_{\parallel} .

2.4.1. *Reflections on boundaries and axes*

In a bounded domain, the self-similar beam is expected to reflect on the boundaries. The reflection law, based on the preservation of the self-similar structure and the non-penetrability condition, has been discussed in Le Dizès [\(2020\)](#page-27-22); He [et al.](#page-26-4) [\(2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5). For an incident beam $v_n^{(i)}$ $\binom{i}{\parallel} = C_0^{(i)} H_m(x_{\parallel}^{(i)})$ $\psi_{\parallel}^{(i)}$, $x_{\perp}^{(i)}$) and its reflected counterpart $\psi_{\parallel}^{(r)}$ $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}_{\parallel} = C_0^{(r)} H_m(x_{\parallel}^{(r)})$ $\binom{r}{\parallel}$, $x_{\perp}^{(r)}$), the conservation of the similarity variable and the non-penetrability condition lead to relations for the travelled distance and amplitude before and after the reflection

$$
\frac{x_{\parallel b}^{(r)}}{x_{\parallel b}^{(i)}} = \alpha^3, \quad \frac{C_0^{(r)}}{C_0^{(i)}} = \alpha^{m-1},\tag{2.32a,b}
$$

where the subscript b indicates that the values are taken at the reflection point. The reflection factor α is given by

$$
\alpha = \frac{\sin \theta^{(r)}}{\sin \theta^{(i)}},\tag{2.33}
$$

where $\theta^{(r)}$ and $\theta^{(i)}$ represent the angles of the reflected and incident beams relative to the tangent surface at the reflection point (see orange symbols in figure [3\)](#page-7-0). The value, when compared to 1, indicates a contraction (< 1) or expansion (> 1) of the beam width. Notably, there is no contraction or expansion on the horizontal or vertical axis, and the reflection factor remains consistently equal to 1. The reflection law on a boundary [\(2.32\)](#page-9-0) demonstrates that both the distance to the source and the amplitude are a priori modified by reflection.

Although the reflection factor α is always 1 on the axes, the reflection can still modify the phase. Similar to the reflection law on a boundary [\(2.32\)](#page-9-0), the counterpart on the axes can be written as

$$
x_{\parallel b}^{(r)} = x_{\parallel b}^{(i)}, \quad C_0^{(r)} = e^{i\varphi} C_0^{(i)}, \tag{2.34a,b}
$$

where φ is the phase shift. The phase shift can be directly obtained from the symmetry property of the forcing. The vertical oscillation is symmetric about the vertical axis (O_z) and anti-symmetric about the horizontal axis $(0x)$. Thus, the corresponding phase shift is

$$
\varphi = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{on } Oz, \\ \pi, & \text{on } Ox. \end{cases}
$$
 (2.35)

3. Unbounded domain

We first consider the unbounded domain, without outer cylinder. To illustrate the wave we first consider the unbounded domain, wholet other cylinder. To intustrate the wave
pattern, the numerical contours of $|v_y|$ are shown in figure [4](#page-10-0) for the frequency $\omega = \sqrt{2}$ at the Ekman number $E = 10^{-10}$. We clearly observe strong shear layers originating from the critical points of the cylinder, where the local slope of the boundary coincides with one of the directions of propagation.

In order to derive the similarity solutions for the internal shear layers around the tangent characteristics, we need to understand the corresponding inviscid behaviour near these lines, which can be extracted from a global inviscid solution outside a cylinder. In the following sections, we first derive the global inviscid solution, and then extract the local inviscid solutions from it. Finally, we match them with the similarity solution to get the index and amplitude.

3.1. *Global inviscid solution*

The global inviscid solution is obtained by solving the Poincaré equation (2.15) (2.15) analytically, with the boundary condition at the surface of the cylinder (2.5)

$$
\psi = x \quad \text{at} \quad x^2 + z^2 = \eta^2. \tag{3.1}
$$

At the other end far from the cylinder, the streamfunction is allowed to freely radiate to infinity.

As discussed before, the Poincaré equation ([2.15\)](#page-5-0) in the inertial range $0 < \omega < 2$ is actually

Rapids articles must not exceed this page length

Figure 4: Contour of $|v_y|$ by numerical method for $\omega = \sqrt{2}$, with $\eta = 0.35$ and $E = 10^{-10}$ in an unbounded domain. Numerical domain size is [0.35, 3.5], with sponge layer specified by $\Upsilon = \omega^{-1}$, $\rho_s = 2.45$ and $\Lambda = 0.2$ (see equation [2.14\)](#page-5-1); the resolution is $N = 3000$ and $L = 6000$; the result is shown in the truncated domain [0.35, 1.1].

a hyperbolic equation. A similar equation, along with the boundary condition [\(3.1\)](#page-9-1), appears in studies of internal wave generation, where the analytical techniques for solving it are well developed [\(Appleby & Crighton](#page-26-7) [1986,](#page-26-7) [1987;](#page-26-8) [Hurley](#page-26-6) [1997;](#page-26-6) [Hurley & Keady](#page-26-3) [1997;](#page-26-3) [Voisin](#page-27-16) [2003;](#page-27-16) Voisin *[et al.](#page-27-23)* [2011;](#page-27-23) [Voisin](#page-27-21) [2021\)](#page-27-21). The general technique involves solving an elliptic equation in the evanescent regime and analytically continuing the solution to the propagating regime. We can easily adapt this technique to our problem. We first solve Poincaré equation [\(2.15\)](#page-5-0) in the regime $\omega > 2$ where the equation is elliptic. Using a coordinate stretching similar to that of [Voisin](#page-27-21) [\(2021\)](#page-27-21)

$$
x_{\star} = \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 - 4}}{2}x, \quad z_{\star} = \frac{\omega}{2}z,
$$
 (3.2*a*,*b*)

the elliptic equation (2.15) is recast into a standard Laplacian equation

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_\star^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z_\star^2} = 0,\tag{3.3}
$$

with the boundary condition specified at the surface of an ellipse

$$
\psi = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - 4}} x_{\star} \quad \text{at} \quad \left(\frac{2x_{\star}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - 4\eta}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2z_{\star}}{\omega\eta}\right)^2 = 1. \tag{3.4}
$$

The Laplacian equation for a region outside an ellipse can be solved analytically using elliptic coordinates

$$
x_{\star} = \eta \sinh \sigma \cos \tau, \quad z_{\star} = \eta \cosh \sigma \sin \tau, \tag{3.5a,b}
$$

where σ is a non-negative real number and $\tau \in [0, 2\pi]$. Recasting the Laplacian equation into the (σ, τ) coordinates and using separation of variables, we obtain the solution

$$
\psi(\sigma,\tau) = \eta \frac{2}{\omega - \sqrt{\omega^2 - 4}} e^{-\sigma} \cos \tau.
$$
 (3.6)

The next step is to analytically continue the solution (3.6) from the evanescent regime $\omega > 2$ to the propagating regime $0 < \omega < 2$. The mathematical details of the analytical

Figure 5: Comparison between inviscid and numerical solutions at $E = 10^{-10}$ on the line $z = -x + 1.0$ (see figure [4\)](#page-10-0) for velocity components $v_x(a, d)$, $v_z(b, e)$ and $v_y(cf)$. The vertical axes are in symlog scale. Insets show local profiles around the critical line L_2 .

continuation are given in appendix \overline{A} . The solution [\(3.6\)](#page-10-1) is analytically continued into the formula [\(2.20\)](#page-6-1) with

$$
\psi_{\pm} = \frac{\eta}{2} e^{i(\theta_c - \pi/2)} \left[\frac{x_{\pm}}{\eta} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{x_{\pm}}{\eta}\right)^2 - 1} \right],
$$
\n(3.7)

where the square root is determined by

$$
(x_{\pm}^2 - \eta^2)^{1/2} = \begin{cases} |x_{\pm}^2 - \eta^2|^{1/2} sgn(x_{\pm}), & |x_{\pm}| > \eta; \\ \mp i |x_{\pm}^2 - \eta^2|^{1/2} sgn(x_{\pm}), & |x_{\pm}| < \eta. \end{cases}
$$
(3.8)

The solution is separable in terms of the characteristic coordinates x_{+} , which is a natural property of the two-dimensional hyperbolic equation.

Of particular interest are the velocities along the characteristics. They can be obtained by taking derivatives of ψ with respect to $x_+(2.22)$ $x_+(2.22)$, which leads to

$$
v_{z_{\pm}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{i(\theta_c - \pi/2)} \left(1 - \frac{x_{\pm}}{\sqrt{x_{\pm}^2 - \eta^2}} \right).
$$
 (3.9)

Thus, we have obtained the global inviscid velocities along the characteristics. Obviously, the global inviscid solution [\(3.9\)](#page-11-0) is singular at the points where $|x_+| = \eta$, that is on the characteristics tangent to the cylinder.

The velocity components (v_x, v_z, v_y) in the Cartesian coordinates are related to $v_{z_{\pm}}$ by (2.23) . In figures [5](#page-11-1) and [6,](#page-12-0) we compare the global inviscid solution (3.9) and the numerical counterpart at $E = 10^{-10}$ for the velocity profiles of (v_x, v_z, v_y) along the cuts $z = -x + 1.0$ and $z = x + 0.3$ (see figure [4\)](#page-10-0), respectively. The cut $z = -x + 1.0$ goes through the critical lines L_2 and L_4 , while $z = x + 0.3$ goes through L_1 (see figure [2\)](#page-6-0). Far from the critical lines, the two solutions agree with each other very well at the small Ekman number. Close to the

Figure 6: Similar comparison to figure [5](#page-11-1) but for the line $z = x + 0.3$ (see figure [4\)](#page-10-0). Insets show local profiles around the critical line L_1 .

critical lines, the singularity of the inviscid solution is clearly visible, necessitating viscous smoothing.

3.2. *Local inviscid solutions*

Now we try to obtain the local inviscid solutions around the singular lines that will be utilised for asymptotic matching. Due to symmetry, we only consider the local inviscid solutions near the four critical rays $L_1 - L_4$ in the first quadrant; see red arrows in figure [2.](#page-6-0) The definitions of the corresponding local coordinates for the four rays are shown in black in figure [2.](#page-6-0) The transformations between the local and global coordinates perpendicular to the characteristics are as follows

$$
x_{\perp L_1} = x_{-} - \eta; \quad x_{\perp L_2} = -x_{+} - \eta; \quad x_{\perp L_3} = -x_{-} + \eta; \quad x_{\perp L_4} = -x_{+} + \eta. \quad (3.10a, b, c, d)
$$

The positions of the tangent lines $|x_{\pm}| = \eta$ become simply $x_{\perp} = 0$ in the local coordinates. The singular part of the global velocity $v_{z_{\pm}}$ [\(3.9\)](#page-11-0) corresponds to the local parallel velocity v_{\parallel} close to the critical characteristic. Thus, we obtain the local inviscid parallel velocity v_{\parallel} along the lines $L_1 - L_4$ as follows

$$
v_{\parallel} = \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2\sqrt{2}} x_{\perp}^{-1/2} \begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_c + \pi/2)}, & L_1; \\ e^{i(\theta_c + \pi/2)}, & L_2; \\ e^{i\theta_c}, & L_3; \\ e^{i(\theta_c - \pi)}, & L_4. \end{cases}
$$
 (3.11*a, b, c, d*)

The phases are determined by the square roots in the global coordinates according to (3.8) . Note that these solutions are only for the positive sides of the local perpendicular coordinates x_{\perp} (see figure [2\)](#page-6-0); the counterparts on the negative sides possess an additional phase e^{-iπ/2}.

Figure 7: Comparison of velocity profiles between the numerical (superscript "NS") and asymptotic (superscript "AS") solutions for v_v at $E = 10⁻¹⁰$ on the four short white cuts $S_1 - S_4$ shown in figure [4.](#page-10-0)

3.3. *Viscous similarity solutions*

The matching of the local inviscid solutions (3.11) with the similarity solution (2.28) is straightforward. By doing so, we obtain the singularity strength

$$
m = 1/2 \tag{3.12}
$$

and the amplitudes

$$
C_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2\sqrt{2}} E^{-1/6} \begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_c + \pi/2)}, & L_1; \\ e^{i(\theta_c + \pi/2)}, & L_2; \\ e^{i\theta_c}, & L_3; \\ e^{i(\theta_c - \pi)}, & L_4. \end{cases}
$$
 (3.13*a, b, c, d*)

Obviously, the amplitudes scale with the Ekman number as $E^{-1/6}$. Note that, there is no phase shift from L_1 to L_2 on the vertical axis Oz , and there is a phase shift of π on the horizontal axis Ox . These are consistent with the phase shifts [\(2.35\)](#page-9-2) that were obtained from symmetry conditions directly.

After obtaining the singularity strength and the amplitudes, we need to determine the local coordinates before calculating the asymptotic solutions. The coordinate x_1 can be measured according to the definitions shown in figure [2.](#page-6-0) Determining the parallel coordinates x_{\parallel} requires information about the source position ($x_{\parallel} = 0$). As in Le Dizès & Le Bars [\(2017\)](#page-27-20), the critical point (S_c in figure [2\)](#page-6-0) is considered as the source, from which two rays propagate northward and southward, traveling along the ray path $L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ and $L_3 \rightarrow L_4$, respectively. Thus, x_{\parallel} for each line is calculated by measuring the distance to the critical point along the ray path.

The excellent performance of the asymptotic solutions against the numerical solutions is shown in figure [7](#page-13-0) for the velocity profiles v_y at the Ekman number $E = 10^{-10}$ around the four critical lines $L_1 - L_4$.

4. Bounded domain

In a bounded domain, the geometry of the characteristics becomes important. In a cylindrical annulus of fixed aspect ratio, the characteristic patterns only depend on the forcing frequency. As shown by [Rieutord](#page-27-24) *et al.* [\(2001\)](#page-27-24), characteristics either form periodic orbits or attractors.

Both ray patterns were considered in He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5) in the case of a viscous forcing. In these works, the asymptotic solution was obtained by propagating the similarity solutions from the critical point with the amplitudes obtained from the unbounded domain analysis. This approach has been theoretically justified by Le Dizes (2024) . In that case, he showed

Figure 8: Periodic orbit in a bounded domain for $\eta = 0.35$ and $\omega = \sqrt{2}$: (*a*) numerical result of $|v_v|$ at $E = 10^{-11}$ with the resolution $(N, L) = (2500, 8000)$; (b) critical characteristics $L_1 - L_8$ (red color) and a neighboring ray path $P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4 P_5 P_6 P_7 P_8$ (blue color) with the co-latitude θ . Note that L_6 coincides with L_8 .

that the characteristics of the similarity solution only depend on the local property of the viscous forcing close to critical point. Le Dizès [\(2024\)](#page-27-1) also explained that this result is not valid for an inviscid forcing. The amplitude of the similarity solution in a closed geometry is expected to depend on the global ray pattern.

As in the unbounded domain considered in § [3,](#page-9-3) we shall first derive an inviscid solution. Unfortunately, a global inviscid solution in a cylindrical annulus cannot be obtained by separation of variables, since the Poincaré equation (2.15) (2.15) is not separable on both boundaries [\(Rieutord](#page-27-24) *et al.* [2001\)](#page-27-24). However, in two dimensions, one can use the method of the characteristics. The expressions for the streamfunction and velocities [\(2.20-](#page-6-1)[2.22\)](#page-6-2), which are dependent of the global characteristic coordinates x_{\pm} , are valid in the whole domain. They can be used to obtain equations involving $v_{z\pm}$ or ψ_{\pm} by propagating the boundary conditions along the lines x_{\pm} = cste. This is the method of characteristics [\(Maas & Lam](#page-27-25) [1995;](#page-27-25) [Ogilvie](#page-27-13) [2005\)](#page-27-13) that we shall use for both periodic orbits and attractors.

4.1. *Periodic orbits*

Similar to He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022\)](#page-26-4), we consider the simple periodic orbit at the frequency $\omega = \sqrt{2}$ and aspect ratio $\eta = 0.35$. The corresponding inclined angle is $\theta_c = \pi/4$. Figure [8](#page-14-0)(*a*) shows the numerical result for the amplitude of v_y at $E = 10^{-11}$, where internal shear layers are observed around the critical characteristics $L_1 - L_8$ issued from the critical point S_c (see figure $8b$ $8b$). One also clearly notices that the solution is much larger within the area enclosed by the critical lines and boundaries than in the rest of the domain.

4.1.1. *Global inviscid solutions*

To derive the inviscid solution for this particular ray pattern, we consider an arbitrary circuit issued from a point on the inner boundary different from S_c , as shown by the blue lines $P_1P_2P_3P_4P_5P_6P_7P_8P_1$ $P_1P_2P_3P_4P_5P_6P_7P_8P_1$ $P_1P_2P_3P_4P_5P_6P_7P_8P_1$ in figure 8(b). The circuit is entirely determined by the co-latitude angle θ of the point P_1 on the inner boundary. We denote by $v_{\parallel j}$ the velocity along the segment $P_i P_{i+1}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8, P_9 = P_1)$ $(j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8, P_9 = P_1)$ $(j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8, P_9 = P_1)$ in the direction $P_i \rightarrow P_{i+1}$ as indicated in figure 8(*b*). If $x_{\pm i}$ denotes the constant value of x_{\pm} on each segment $P_i P_{i+1}$ using the convention defined in figure [2,](#page-6-0) the velocity $v_{\parallel j}$ corresponds to $v_{z-}(x-)$ for $j = 1, 5, 7, -v_{z-}(x-)$ for $j = 3$, $v_{z_{+}}(x_{+})$ for $j = 2, 6$ and $-v_{z_{+}}(x_{+})$ for $j = 4, 8$.

According to the non-penetrability conditions on the boundaries and symmetry conditions on the axes, the velocities $v_{\parallel i}$ of the segments are related to each other by

$$
v_{\|1} \sin (\pi/4 - \theta) - v_{\|8} \sin (\pi/4 + \theta) = \cos \theta, \tag{4.1a}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 2} = v_{\parallel 1}, \tag{4.1b}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 3} = v_{\parallel 2}/k_3, \tag{4.1c}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 4} = v_{\parallel 3} / k_4, \tag{4.1d}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 5} = -v_{\parallel 4}, \tag{4.1e}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 6} \sin (\pi/4 + \theta) - v_{\parallel 5} \sin (\pi/4 - \theta) = \sin \theta, \tag{4.1f}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 7} = v_{\parallel 6} / k_7, \tag{4.1g}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 8} = v_{\parallel 7}/k_8, \tag{4.1h}
$$

where the reflection coefficients on the outer boundary are

$$
k_3 = \frac{1}{k_4} = \frac{\eta \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \eta^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta \right)}}, \quad k_7 = \frac{1}{k_8} = \frac{\eta \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \theta \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \eta^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \theta \right)}}. \tag{4.2a,b}
$$

By symmetry, one has $v_{\parallel 2} = v_{\parallel 4}$, and $v_{\parallel 6} = v_{\parallel 8}$. The relations [\(4.1\)](#page-15-0) can thus be reduced to two functional equations for $v_{\parallel 1}$ and $v_{\parallel 8}$, namely,

$$
v_{\parallel 1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \theta \right) - v_{\parallel 8} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta \right) = \cos \theta, \tag{4.3a}
$$

$$
v_{\parallel 1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \theta \right) + v_{\parallel 8} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta \right) = \sin \theta. \tag{4.3b}
$$

By solving the above equations for $v_{\parallel 1}$ and $v_{\parallel 8}$ and using the relations for the other components, we can obtain unique expressions for $v_{\parallel i}$ as functions of the co-latitude θ , namely,

$$
v_{\parallel 1} = v_{\parallel 2} = v_{\parallel 4} = -v_{\parallel 5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sin(\pi/4 + \theta)}{\sin(\pi/4 - \theta)},
$$
 (4.4*a*)

$$
v_{\parallel 3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \eta^2 \sin^2(\pi/4 + \theta)}}{\eta \sin(\pi/4 - \theta)},
$$
(4.4b)

$$
v_{\parallel 6} = v_{\parallel 8} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sin(\pi/4 - \theta)}{\sin(\pi/4 + \theta)},
$$
 (4.4*c*)

$$
v_{\parallel 7} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \eta^2 \sin^2(\pi/4 - \theta)}}{\eta \sin(\pi/4 + \theta)},
$$
\n(4.4*d*)

with $\theta \in [0, \pi/4]$.

The above global inviscid solutions apply only to the area swept by the circuit $P_1 \cdots P_8P_1$ with $\theta \in [0, \pi/4]$, which is on one side of the critical lines (see figure $8(b)$ $8(b)$). On the other side, the ray path does not touch the inner boundary and is not forced. The solution is therefore undetermined in this region. We choose to put it to zero. This choice is consistent with the numerical results displayed in figure $8(a)$ $8(a)$: the two regions which are not touched by rays emitted from the inner core are indeed black, that corresponds to a small amplitude.

Figure 9: Comparison between inviscid and numerical solutions on the line $z = -x + 0.8$ (see figure [8](#page-14-0)*a*) for velocity components v_x (*a*, *d*), v_z (*b*, *e*) and v_y (*cf*) at $E = 10^{-11}$. The vertical axes are in symlog scale. Insets show local profiles around the critical line L_2 .

The above expressions [\(4.4\)](#page-15-1) can be rewritten in terms of the characteristic variables (x_+, x_-) defined in [\(2.18\)](#page-5-2). By doing so, we obtain the functions $v_{z+}(x_+)$ that appears in [\(2.21\)](#page-6-4), as we did for the unbounded domain (see expression (3.9)). The result is

$$
\nu_{z_{+}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{cases} 0, & x_{+} < -\eta; \\ \frac{-x_{+}}{\sqrt{\eta^{2} - x_{+}^{2}}}, & -\eta < x_{+} < \eta; \\ 0, & x_{+} > \eta, \end{cases} \tag{4.5}
$$

and

$$
v_{z-} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{cases} \n\operatorname{sgn}(x_+) - \frac{x_-}{\sqrt{\eta^2 - x_-^2}}, & \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} < x_- < \eta; \\
0, & \eta < x_- < \sqrt{1 - \eta^2}; \\
\frac{-x_-}{\sqrt{x_-^2 - (1 - \eta^2)}}, & \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} < x_- < 1.\n\end{cases} \tag{4.6}
$$

The expression [\(4.5\)](#page-16-0) for v_{z_+} is singular at $x_+ = \pm \eta$, which correspond to the critical lines L_2 and L_4 ; the expression [\(4.6\)](#page-16-1) for v_{z-} is singular at $x_- = \eta$ and $x_- = \sqrt{1 - \eta^2}$, which correspond to the critical lines L_1 , L_5 and L_3 . For instance, close to L_1 , one has, as $x_-\rightarrow \eta$

$$
\nu_{z_{-}} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2\sqrt{\eta - x_{-}}}, & x_{-} < \eta; \\ 0, & \eta < x_{-}. \end{cases}
$$
 (4.7)

Note that on the line L_6 (or L_8) corresponding to $x_+ = 0$, no singularity is present.

With the expressions [\(4.5](#page-16-0)[-4.6\)](#page-16-1) for $v_{z_{\pm}}$, the velocity components (v_x, v_z, v_y) are obtained through the transforms (2.23) . In figures [9](#page-16-2) and [10,](#page-17-0) we compare the global inviscid solution $(4.5-4.6)$ $(4.5-4.6)$ with the numerical solution obtained at $E = 10^{-11}$ for the velocity profiles of (v_x, v_z, v_y) along the cuts $z = -x + 0.8$ $z = -x + 0.8$ and $z = x + 0.3$ (see figure 8*a*), respectively. The cut $z = -x + 0.8$ goes through the critical lines L_2 , L_4 and L_6 (or L_8), while $z = x + 0.3$

Figure 10: Similar comparison to figure [9](#page-16-2) but on the cut $z = x + 0.3$ (see figure [8](#page-14-0)*a*).

goes through L_1 and L_3 (see figure [8](#page-14-0)b). Far from the critical lines, the two solutions agree with each other very well. On the other hand, near the critical lines, the inviscid solution fails to capture the local shear-layer structures (see insets in figures $9d$ $9d$ and $10d$ $10d$), as for the unbounded case. Close to the critical lines, viscosity has to be introduced to smooth out the singularity. It is the subject of the next subsection.

4.1.2. *Viscous solution close to the critical line*

We are going to construct a viscous solution close to the critical line following the approach that has been described in He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022\)](#page-26-4). The idea is to assume that the critical point S_c is the singularity source, from which two singular beams are generated on either side of S_c and along L_1 and L_5 . These beams are assumed to have the similarity form [\(2.28\)](#page-8-0) described in section §[2.4.](#page-7-2) Based on the nature of the singularity of the inviscid solution in $|x_{\perp}|^{-1/2}$, one can expect that the similarity solution describing these beams will have an index $m = 1/2$. Nevertheless, we shall keep this parameter undetermined as well as the complex amplitudes of the similarity solution generated on each side.

Staring from the critical point, the northward beam will travel along the circuit $L_1 \rightarrow$ $L_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_5$, while the southward beam follows the opposite direction. L_1 and L_5 are the initial segments for the northward and southward beams respectively. Since the ray path is periodic, wave beams will return to the critical point after one cycle. They will then continue to propagate for another cycle, and so on, until they are fully dissipated by viscosity. For the nth cycle on the segment L_i , the asymptotic solution of the northward or southward beam is denoted as

$$
v_{\parallel j,n} = C_{j,n} H_m(x_{\parallel j,n}, \zeta_{j,n}),
$$
\n(4.8)

where the first subscript *j* denotes the segment L_i and the second subscript *n* denotes the *n*th cycle ($n = 0$ being for the very first cycle). The amplitudes and local coordinates expressions for $n = 0$ are determined by reflection laws on the axes and boundaries. They have been derived for each segment in He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022\)](#page-26-4). For completeness, we have reproduced these results in appendix [B.](#page-25-1) For the subsequent cycles, the perpendicular coordinate remains unchanged, while the amplitude and parallel coordinate are modified, as explained in [He](#page-26-4) *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022\)](#page-26-4). They can be expressed in terms of the values obtained for the first cycle $n = 0$ as

$$
x_{\|j,n} = x_{\|j,0} + n\mathcal{L}_j,\tag{4.9a}
$$

$$
\zeta_{j,n} = \zeta_{j,0}(x_{\|j,n}/x_{\|j,0})^{1/3},\tag{4.9b}
$$

$$
C_{j,n} = C_{j,0} e^{\mathrm{i}n\pi}.
$$
 (4.9c)

After one cycle, the parallel coordinate increases by the distance traveled along the closed circuit \mathcal{L}_j (see equation [\(B 2\)](#page-26-9) below); the amplitude is modified by a phase shift π which is acquired at the reflection on the horizontal axis Ox .

The final asymptotic solution is the sum of all $v_{\parallel j,n}$ from $n = 0$ to +∞. As demonstrated by He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022\)](#page-26-4), this infinite series converges to a finite value if there exists a nonzero phase shift after the completion of each cycle. This requirement is satisfied as the phase shift along one cycle is π . The resulting expression for the segment L_i is

$$
v_{\parallel j} = \lim_{N \to +\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} v_{\parallel j,n} = C_{j,0} G_m(x_{\parallel j,0}, x_{\perp j,0}, \mathcal{L}_j),
$$
\n(4.10)

with

$$
G_m(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}, \mathcal{L}) = \left(\frac{x_{\parallel}}{2\sin\theta_c}\right)^{-m/3} g_m(\zeta, \mathcal{L}/x_{\parallel}), \tag{4.11a}
$$

$$
g_m(\zeta, \mathcal{L}/x_{\parallel}) = \frac{e^{-im\pi/2}}{(m-1)!} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{ip\zeta - p^3} p^{m-1}}{1 + e^{-p^3 \mathcal{L}/x_{\parallel}}} dp.
$$
 (4.11b)

Note that the solution is expressed in terms of the variables in the very first cycle $(n = 0)$ only. The above discussion holds both for the northward and southward beams. Finally, the asymptotic solution is the sum of two contributions, namely,

$$
v_{\parallel j} = C_{j,0}^{NW} G_m(x_{\parallel j,0}^{NW}, x_{\perp j,0}^{NW}, \mathcal{L}_j) + C_{j,0}^{SW} G_m(x_{\parallel j,0}^{SW}, x_{\perp j,0}^{SW}, \mathcal{L}_j),
$$
(4.12)

with 'NW' and 'SW' denoting 'northward' and 'southward' respectively. The amplitudes and local coordinates for the very first cycle $(n = 0)$ are given in appendix **[B](#page-25-1)** in tables [2](#page-26-10) and [3](#page-26-11) for the northward and southward beams, respectively. The orientation of $v_{\parallel j}$ is given in figure [3.](#page-7-0) It corresponds to the orientation of the northward beam. From $v_{\parallel j}$, one can deduce the corresponding transverse velocity component v_y using [\(2.26\)](#page-7-1).

We are now able to do the matching of this expression with the behavior of the global inviscid solution close to the critical line. The behavior of the asymptotic solution [\(4.12\)](#page-18-0) as one goes away from the critical line is easily obtained using

$$
G_m(x_{\parallel}, x_{\perp}) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} x_{\perp}^{-m} E^{m/3}, & \zeta \to +\infty \\ \frac{1}{2} (-x_{\perp})^{-m} e^{-im\pi} E^{m/3}, & \zeta \to -\infty. \end{cases}
$$
(4.13)

It gives for example on L_1

$$
v_{\parallel 1} = v_{z_{-}} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} x_{\perp 1}^{-m} E^{m/3} (C_{1,0}^{NW} - e^{-im\pi} C_{5,0}^{SW}), & x_{\perp 1} > 0\\ \frac{1}{2} (-x_{\perp 1})^{-m} E^{m/3} (C_{1,0}^{NW} e^{-im\pi} - C_{5,0}^{SW}), & x_{\perp 1} < 0, \end{cases}
$$
(4.14)

where $x_{\perp 1} = x_{-} - \eta = (x + z - \sqrt{2}\eta)/\sqrt{2}$ is the local coordinate of the northward beam (see table [2\)](#page-26-10). Note that we have replaced $C_{1,0}^{SW}$ with $-C_{5,0}^{SW}$ using the relation in the second column of the last row of the table [3.](#page-26-11)

Figure 11: Comparison of velocity profiles between the numerical and asymptotic solutions for v_y at $E = 10^{-11}$ on the five cuts $S_1 - S_5$ shown in figure [8.](#page-14-0)

The matching of these behaviors with the inviscid solution around L_1 (equation [\(4.7\)](#page-16-3)) immediately gives $m = 1/2$, as expected, and

$$
C_{1,0}^{NW} = \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2} e^{i\pi/2} E^{-1/6}, \quad C_{5,0}^{SW} = \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2} E^{-1/6}.
$$
 (4.15*a*,*b*)

One can then check that with these values, we recover the behavior of the inviscid solution close to the other lines L_j , $j = 2, ..., 5$.

It is interesting to compare these expressions with those obtained in an unbounded domain (compare $(3.13a)$ $(3.13a)$ with $(4.15a)$ $(4.15a)$ for the northward beam and $(3.13c)$ with $(4.15b)$ for the southward beam). They both scale as $E^{-1/6}$ and exhibit a $\pi/2$ phase shift between northward and southward beams. Yet, the norm and the phase of the beam amplitudes are different in unbounded and bounded cases.

It is also worth mentioning that (4.15) could have been deduced using another argument. Le Dizès [\(2024\)](#page-27-1) demonstrated that the singular beams emitted along L_1 and L_5 from S_c depend on the waves emitted along the characteristic direction L_6 (L_8), see figure [8\(](#page-14-0)b). In the unbounded case, the waves emitted in that direction have a specific expression that was deduced from the global inviscid solution. For the bounded case with periodic orbits, we claim that nothing can be emitted along L_6 . The reason is the boundary condition on L_6 on the outer core which imposes the vanishing of the normal velocity, that is the vanishing of the velocity on L_6 . The amplitude of the singular beams emitted along L_1 and L_5 is then completely determined by the local behavior around S_c in that case. More precisely, if one uses equations (49) and (57a,b) of Le Dizès [\(2024\)](#page-27-1) with $F'(0) = 0$ in (49), expressions [\(4.15a](#page-19-0),b) are recovered.

In figure [11,](#page-19-1) the asymptotic solution [\(4.12\)](#page-18-0) obtained with $C_{i,0}^{NW}$ and $C_{i,0}^{SW}$ given by

Figure 12: Attractors in a bounded domain for $\eta = 0.35$ and $\omega = 0.8102$. (*a*) Numerical result of $|v_v|$ at $E = 10^{-11}$ with the resolution $(N, L) = (2500, 8000)$; (b) Ray paths (blue and green) from the critical point converge to two attractors (red).

 $(4.15a, b)$ $(4.15a, b)$ is compared with the numerical solution obtained for $E = 10^{-11}$. This comparison is done for the velocity profiles v_y on the five cuts $S_1 - S_5$, shown in figure [8.](#page-14-0) We can see that the agreement is excellent on all the cuts. This confirms that the asymptotic solution derived above describes correctly the solution close to the critical line in the limit of small Ekman numbers.

Note that we have not derived the viscous solutions for the weaker internal shear layers around L_6 (L_8 L_8) (see figure 8 and [9\)](#page-16-2). As discussed earlier, they are not related to inviscid singularities. Instead, they result from the split reflections on the inner boundary. A related technique for deriving the corresponding viscous solutions, developed by He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [\(2022\)](#page-26-4), can be applied here. The process is rather straightforward and thus omitted.

4.2. *Attractors*

We now consider the more generic case of an attractor. The frequency is chosen to be $\omega = 0.8102$, the same as in our former work (He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [2023\)](#page-26-5). The aspect ratio $\eta = 0.35$ is also the same. Other values of η and ω could have been chosen as the analysis that we are going to perform is not specific to these values. Only the condition of no-phase-shift along the attractors will be needed as we shall see below.

The qualitative results obtained by numerical method for $E = 10^{-11}$ and ray propagation are shown in figure [12.](#page-20-0) There are two attractors present: the polar attractor $P_0^{(P)} \cdots P_7^{(P)}$ and the equatorial attractor $P_0^{(E)} \cdots P_5^{(E)}$, reached by the rays propagating northward and southward, respectively. As discussed by He [et al.](#page-26-5) [\(2023\)](#page-26-5), when the wave pattern forms attractors, the problem is complicated by the presence of both critical point and attractor singularities. For the case of a viscous forcing, He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [\(2023\)](#page-26-5) have shown that one can construct two asymptotic solutions corresponding to the two underlying singularities, which are valid close to the critical line and attractor, respectively.

For an inviscid forcing, the singular solution generated from the critical point cannot be obtained in a general setting as the amplitude of wave beams emitted along the critical lines is a priori unknown (Le Dizès [2024\)](#page-27-1). However, as we shall see, an asymptotic solution valid around an attractor can be constructed using the method of [Ogilvie](#page-27-13) [\(2005\)](#page-27-13), provided that the

attractor has no phase shift (He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [2023\)](#page-26-5). This phase shift condition is satisfied by both attractors shown in figure [12,](#page-20-0) as both attractors have two contact points on the horizontal axis Ox and the overall phase shift for one cycle is zero.

We now adapt the method developed in He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [\(2023\)](#page-26-5) to build an asymptotic solutions close to the attractors. The first step is to find a local inviscid solution close to each attractor. By contrast with the periodic orbit considered in $\S 4.1$, we shall not need a global inviscid solution. For convenience, we denote the J vertices of an attractor as $P_0, P_1, \cdots, P_{L-1}$, as shown in figure [12.](#page-20-0) The index *J* is equivalent to the first 0, namely, $P_J \iff P_0$. In the following, the subscript j denotes the variables related to the vertex P_j or the segment $P_i P_{i+1}$. The analysis is done for a given attractor, either the polar attractor or the equator attractor.

In He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [\(2023\)](#page-26-5), the method of [Ogilvie](#page-27-13) [\(2005\)](#page-27-13) was adapted to derive a functional equation governing the local inviscid streamfunction ψ_i of the segment $P_i P_{i+1}$. We can simply replace the forcing term of the functional equation with the formula of the vertical oscillation. The inviscid functional equation for an attractor forced by the vertical oscillation is obtained as

$$
\psi_j(\alpha x_{\perp j}) - \psi_j(x_{\perp j}) = \epsilon_j \delta,\tag{4.16}
$$

with

$$
\delta = x_0,\tag{4.17}
$$

and

$$
\alpha = \alpha_0 \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{J-1}.\tag{4.18}
$$

The parameter δ is the forcing term resulting from the boundary condition imposed by the vertical oscillation of the inner boundary (3.1) ; its value is simply the horizontal coordinate x_0 of P_0 , since only P_0 is subject to the vertical oscillation. The parameter α (< 1) is the contraction factor of the attractor. The parameter ϵ_i is the sign in the streamfunction definition [\(2.24\)](#page-7-3) in terms of the local coordinates. The above functional equation means that, after one cycle along the attractor, the beam width is contracted by a factor α while being forced by a constant term $\epsilon_i \delta$ coming from the vertical oscillation of the inner core.

The dominant solution to the functional equation (4.16) is

$$
\psi_j(x_{\perp j}) \sim \frac{\epsilon_j \delta}{\ln \alpha} \ln |x_{\perp j}|. \tag{4.19}
$$

According to $(2.24a)$ $(2.24a)$, the corresponding parallel velocity is

$$
v_{\parallel j} \sim \frac{\delta}{\ln \alpha} x_{\perp j}^{-1},\tag{4.20}
$$

for which one can also deduce the transverse velocity v_{vi} using [\(2.26\)](#page-7-1). Once again, we match the above inviscid solution with the outer limit of similarity solution (2.30) . Finally we obtain the singularity strength

$$
m = 1 \tag{4.21}
$$

and the amplitude

$$
C_0 = \frac{\delta}{\ln \alpha} E^{-1/3}.
$$
 (4.22)

We need to determine the local coordinates in order to plot the similarity solution. The perpendicular coordinate $x_{\perp i}$ can be easily calculated by measuring the distance relative to each segment $P_j P_{j+1}$. The parallel coordinate $x_{\parallel j}$ is obtained by an argument which has

Figure 13: Comparison of velocity profiles between the numerical and asymptotic solutions for v_v at $\vec{E} = 10^{-11}$ on the two cuts shown in figure [12](#page-20-0)*a*. Blue and green vertical lines are positions of northward and southward critical rays respectively.

been detailed in He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [\(2023\)](#page-26-5). This coordinate is decomposed into

$$
x_{\parallel j} = L_j^{(s)} + x'_{\parallel j},\tag{4.23}
$$

where $L_j^{(s)}$ is the distance between P_j and the virtual source, and $x'_{\parallel j}$, the distance measured from P_j along the segment $P_j P_{j+1}$. According to the reflection law [\(2.32](#page-9-0)*a*), $L_j^{(s)}$ ($j > 0$) is related to the distance $L_0^{(s)}$ of the first point P_0 to the source by

$$
L_j^{(s)} = (\cdots ((L_0^{(s)} + l_0)\alpha_1^3 + l_1)\alpha_2^3 + \cdots + l_{j-1})\alpha_j^3.
$$
 (4.24)

When $j = J$, the distance $L_j^{(s)}$ is taken to be the same as $L_0^{(s)}$, ensuring that the distance to the virtual source does not change after one complete cycle along the attractor. This gives an equation for $L_0^{(s)}$ that can be solved as

$$
L_0^{(s)} = \left(l_0 + \frac{l_1}{\alpha_1^3} + \frac{l_2}{\alpha_1^3 \alpha_2^3} + \dots + \frac{l_{J-1}}{\alpha_1^3 \cdots \alpha_{J-1}^3} \right) \frac{\alpha^3}{1 - \alpha^3}.
$$
 (4.25)

Using [\(4.23\)](#page-22-0) and [\(4.24\)](#page-22-1), we have then a formula for each parallel coordinate $x_{\parallel j}$. We are now able to plot the similarity solution for each segment of the attractor.

The performance of this asymptotic solution against the numerical solution is shown in figure [13](#page-22-2) for the velocity profiles of v_y on the two cuts shown in figure [12](#page-20-0) at $E = 10^{-11}$. Excellence agreement is achieved around the positions of the attractors (x_{∞}) . Additionally, in the numerical results, we observe that the numerical solution close to the critical line (that is close to $x_1, x_2, ...$) is negligible compared to that around the attractor x_∞ .

The Ekman number scalings of the velocity amplitudes at the critical positions on the two cuts are shown in figure [14.](#page-23-0) At the attractor location x_{∞} , the scaling is $E^{-1/3}$, as predicted. At the location x_1 , which is the first crossing point with the critical line, the scaling is close to $E^{-1/6}$, the same as that for the periodic orbit. This is in agreement with the prediction obtained by Le Dizès [\(2024\)](#page-27-1) for the amplitude of the viscous beam created from a critical point by an inviscid forcing.

5. Conclusion

Using asymptotic analysis and numerical integration of the linearized governing equations, we have studied the internal shear layers generated in a rotating fluid by vertical oscillations of a two-dimensional cylinder, both in an unbounded and in a bounded domain where the outer boundary is a fixed cylinder of same axis. We have shown that these internal shear

Figure 14: Ekman number scalings of the velocity amplitude at the critical positions on the two cuts shown in figure $12a$ $12a$.

layers can always be described by the class of similarity solutions introduced by [Moore &](#page-27-14) [Saffman](#page-27-14) [\(1969\)](#page-27-14). Our main work has been to find the index (or singularity strength) m and amplitude C_0 characterizing these solutions for the different configurations that we have considered. This has been done by various techniques. In the unbounded case and in the bounded case with periodic orbits, we have first obtained a global inviscid solution, then performed the asymptotic matching of this solution with the viscous approximation deduced by propagating the similarity solution from the critical point. In both cases, we have compared the inviscid solution and the viscous approximation with numerical results obtained for small Ekman numbers, and an excellent agreement has been observed. In the bounded case with attractor, only a local inviscid approximation close to attractor has been derived from which the adequate viscous approximation describing the internal shear layer has been obtained. This approximation has been shown to capture the behaviour of the numerical solution close to the attractor.

In all cases, the width of the internal shear layer is $O(E^{1/3})$, as expected from the scaling of the similarity solution. However, different Ekman scalings for the amplitude C_0 have been obtained, with a scaling in $E^{-1/6}$ for the internal shear layer created from a critical point, and in $E^{-1/3}$ for the internal shear layer close to the attractor. These large amplitudes are specific to the inviscid forcing. For a viscous forcing, weaker amplitudes in $E^{1/12}$ and $E^{1/6}$ were obtained for the internal shear layers near a critical line and an attractor, respectively (Le Dizès & Le Bars [2017;](#page-27-20) He *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5).

These differences can be directly attributed to the different index m obtained for the similarity solution describing the internal shear layer, and to the strength of the forcing, as C_0 is directly obtained by the relation

$$
C_0 \sim O(A_F E^{-m/3}),\tag{5.1}
$$

where A_F denotes the forcing magnitude which is $O(E^{1/2})$ for a viscous forcing and $O(1)$ for an inviscid forcing. For the inviscid forcing, we have shown that $m = 1/2$ for the critical point singularity and $m = 1$ for the attractor, while for the viscous forcing, it was $m = 5/4$ for the critical point singularity and $m = 1$ for the attractor. The change of scaling of C_0 around an attractor between inviscid and viscous forcings is therefore only due to the change of the strength of the forcing, as the internal shear layer keeps the same similarity structure with $m = 1$. This is different for the internal shear layer issued from a critical point. In the viscous case, Le Dizès & Le Bars (2017) obtained an index m larger than 1, that is a singularity stronger than that of the attractor, while m is smaller than 1 in the inviscid case. This explains

Forcing	Singularity Critical point Attractor	
Viscous forcing	$\begin{vmatrix} m = 5/4 \\ C_0 \sim O(E^{1/12}) \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{array}{c} m = 1 \\ C_0 \sim O(E^{1/6}) \end{array}$	
Inviscid forcing	$\begin{vmatrix} m = 1/2 \\ C_0 \sim O(E^{-1/6}) \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} m = 1 \\ C_0 \sim O(E^{-1/3}) \end{vmatrix}$	

Table 1: Different values of singularity strength (m) and viscous scalings of amplitudes $(C₀)$ for different forcings and inviscid singularities. Note that, in a bounded domain, the scalings of the critical-point singularity apply to periodic orbits with a phase shift, while those of the attractor singularity apply to attractors without a phase shift.

the dominance of the attractor over the critical point internal shear layer in the inviscid case, while an opposite situation was observed in the viscous case (He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [2023\)](#page-26-5). The different values of m and C_0 according to the forcing and the nature of the singularity are summarized in table [1.](#page-24-0)

It is worth recalling the hypotheses that have been made to derive the approximations from which the above scalings have been deduced. We have seen that in a bounded domain, the presence of a phase shift has a crucial importance. For the periodic orbit case, we have been able to construct a solution because a phase shift was present. When there is no phase shift, both the method used to derive the global inviscid solution and the viscous approximations break down: the functional relations (4.3) have no solution, and the sum that gives (4.10) diverges. In that case, we expect a larger response which may not follow the scaling of table [1.](#page-24-0) For the attractor, it is the opposite: no phase shift should be present after one cycle along the attractor to construct the local approximation. The reason has been explained in [He](#page-26-5) *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [\(2023\)](#page-26-5). With a phase shift, the solution [\(4.19\)](#page-21-1) in $\ln |x_+\|$ does not exist anymore. The solution close to the attractor with a phase shift is therefore not expected to be associated with a similarity solution of index $m = 1$. Its amplitude is expected to be smaller as observed numerically (He *[et al.](#page-26-5)* [2023\)](#page-26-5).

In this work, we have only considered two-dimensional (2D) configurations. Some of the results are expected to be also valid in three-dimensional axisymmetric (3DA) configurations. For instance, in 3DA, the characteristics in a meridional plane remain the same as in 2D, so the critical lines and the attractors are located at the same place. A similarity solution can also be constructed to describe the internal shear layers far from the axis in 3DA. It has the same expression as in 2D, rescaled by the square root of the distance to the rotation axis. It has already been used for the case of a viscous forcing [\(Le Dizes & Le Bars](#page-27-20) 2017 ; [He](#page-26-4) *[et al.](#page-26-4)* [2022,](#page-26-4) [2023\)](#page-26-5). Yet, there is an important difference that is worth mentioning. In 3DA, the similarity solution gains a $\pi/2$ phase jump as it crosses the axis, whereas there is no such phase jump in 2D. This naturally affects the total phase shift obtained on a periodic orbit in 3DA, which will then in general be different from the 2D problem. In view of the crucial role played by this phase shift in the determination of the amplitude of the similarity solution, one can therefore expect some important effects, especially for the configurations where the phase shift is non-zero in one case, and zero in the other.

Acknowledgements. J.H. acknowledges the China Scholarship Council for financial support (CSC 202008440260). The Centre de Calcul Intensif d'Aix-Marseille is acknowledged for granting access to its high-performance computing resources.

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

26

Appendix A. Mathematical details of analytically continuing the solution [\(3.6\)](#page-10-1)

In this section, we will show how to analytically continue the solution (3.6) from the evanescent regime ($\omega > 2$) to the propagating regime ($0 < \omega < 2$). As in [Voisin](#page-27-21) [\(2021\)](#page-27-21), the continuation is implemented with the Lighthill's radiation condition, by adding to the frequency a small positive imaginary part ϵ which will tend to zero. Equivalently, the following replacement will be performed

$$
\omega \to \omega + i0 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} (\omega + i\epsilon). \tag{A1}
$$

Following [Voisin](#page-27-21) [\(2021\)](#page-27-21), we aim to express the stretched elliptic coordinates (σ , τ) in terms of the more comprehensible characteristic coordinates (x_{\pm}, z_{\pm}) [\(2.18\)](#page-5-2). Combining [\(3.2\)](#page-10-2) and (3.5) , we have

$$
\sinh \sigma \cos \tau = \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 - 4} x}{2 \eta}, \quad \cosh \sigma \sin \tau = \frac{\omega z}{2 \eta}. \quad (A \, 2a, b)
$$

Expanding the above expressions with $\omega = 2 \cos \theta_c + i\epsilon (0 \lt \epsilon/2 \ll 1)$, we obtain

$$
\sinh \sigma \cos \tau \sim i\frac{x}{\eta} \left(\sin \theta_c - i\frac{\epsilon}{2} \cot \theta_c \right), \quad \cosh \sigma \sin \tau \sim \frac{z}{\eta} \left(\cos \theta_c + i\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right). \tag{A3a,b}
$$

Moreover, using the definitions of the characteristic coordinates [\(2.18\)](#page-5-2), we get

$$
\cosh\left(\sigma \mp \mathrm{i}\tau - \mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sim \frac{x_{\pm}}{\eta} \mp \mathrm{i}\frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{1}{\sin\theta_c} z_{\pm}.\tag{A4}
$$

When ϵ tends to 0, we can write

$$
\sigma \mp i\tau - i\frac{\pi}{2} = \operatorname{arcosh}\left(\frac{x_{\pm}}{\eta}\right). \tag{A 5}
$$

Then, replacing $e^{-\sigma \pm i\tau}$ in [\(3.6\)](#page-10-1) with the above formula, we obtain the solution [\(2.20\)](#page-6-1) and [\(3.7\)](#page-11-3). Note that the term $2/(\omega - \sqrt{\omega^2 - 4})$ in [\(3.6\)](#page-10-1) simply corresponds to $e^{i\theta_c}$.

The determination of the square roots (3.8) is derived by the replacement

$$
x_{\pm} \to x_{\pm} \mp i0 \text{sgn}(z_{\pm}). \tag{A 6}
$$

Appendix B. Properties of the similarity solution on the first cycle for the periodic orbit case $(\theta_c = \pi/4)$.

In this section, we provide the value of the quantities needed to define the similarity solution (2.28) on the first cycle of the periodic orbit issued from the critical point S_c for the case $\theta_c = \pi/4.$

The results are shown in tables [2-](#page-26-10)[3](#page-26-11) for the northward and southward beams, respectively. The amplitude $C_{i,0}$ and the local coordinates $(x_{\parallel i,0}, x_{\perp i,0})$ for the first cycle are given for each segment $L_1, ..., L_5$ defined in figure $8(b)$ $8(b)$. Note that, the amplitudes are expressed in terms of $C_{1,0}^{NW}$ and $C_{5,0}^{SW}$ for the northward and southward beams respectively. The expressions of $C_{1,0}^{NW}$ and $C_{5,0}^{SW}$ are given by [\(4.15\)](#page-19-0).

The lengths $l_1, ..., l_5$ of the segment $L_1, ..., L_5$ that appear in the expression of x_{\parallel} are given by

$$
l_1 = \eta
$$
, $l_2 = \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} - \eta$, $l_3 = 2\eta$, $l_4 = l_2$, $l_5 = l_1$. (B1)

After one cycle, the parallel coordinate increases by the distance traveled along the closed

	Critical line Amplitude $C_{i,0}^{NW}$	$x_{\parallel i,0}$	$x_{\perp j,0}$
L_1	$C_{1,0}^{NW}$	$\frac{-x+z}{\sqrt{2}}$	$x+z-\sqrt{2}\eta$ $\sqrt{2}$
L_2	$C_{1.0}^{NW}$	$l_1 + \frac{x+z-\sqrt{2}\eta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{-x+z-\sqrt{2}}{\eta}$
L_3	$C_{1,0}^{NW} k_{3c}^{-1/2}$	$(l_1 + l_2)k_{3c}^3 + \frac{x - z + \sqrt{2}\eta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{-x-z+\sqrt{2-2\eta^2}}{2\pi}$
L_4	$C_{1,0}^{NW}$	$l_1 + l_2 + l_3 k_{3c}^{-3} + \frac{-x - z + \sqrt{2 - 2\eta^2}}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{x-z-\sqrt{2}\eta}{2}$
L ₅	$-C_{1,0}^{NW}$	$l_1 + l_2 + l_3 k_{3c}^{-3} + l_4 + \frac{-x + z + \sqrt{2}\eta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$x+z-\sqrt{2}\eta$

Table 2: Amplitudes and local coordinates of the northward beam from L_1 to L_5 .

	Critical line Amplitude $C_{i,0}^{SW}$	$x_{\parallel 5,0}$	$x_{\perp i,0}$
L ₅	$C_{5,0}^{SW}$	$\frac{-x-z+1}{2\eta}$	$\frac{-x-z+\sqrt{2}}{n}$
L_4	$-C_{5,0}^{SW}$	$l_5 + \frac{x+z-\sqrt{2}\eta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-x+z+\sqrt{2n}$
L_3	$-C_{5.0}^{SW}k_{4c}^{1/2}$	$(l_5 + l_4)k_{4c}^{-3} + \frac{-x + z + \sqrt{2}\eta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$x+z-y$
L ₂	$-C_{5,0}^{SW}$	$l_5 + l_4 + l_3 k_{4c}^3 + \frac{-x - z + \sqrt{2 - 2\eta^2}}{\sqrt{2}}$	$x-z+\sqrt{2}\eta$
L_1	$-C_{5,0}^{SW}$	$l_5 + l_4 + l_3 k_{4c}^3 + l_2 + \frac{x - z + \sqrt{2\eta}}{2\sqrt{2\eta}}$	$-x-z+y2\eta$

Table 3: Amplitudes and local coordinates of the southward beam from L_5 to L_1 .

circuit, which is

$$
\mathcal{L}_j = \begin{cases} l_1 + l_2 + l_3 k_{3c}^{-3} + l_4 + l_5, & \text{for} \quad L_1, L_2, L_4, L_5; \\ (l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_5) k_{3c}^3 + l_3, & \text{for} \quad L_3. \end{cases}
$$
 (B 2)

REFERENCES

- APPLEBY, J. C. & CRIGHTON, D. G. 1986 Non-Boussinesq effects in the diffraction of internal waves from an oscillating cylinder. *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics* **39** (2), 209–231.
- Appleby, J. C. & Crighton, D. G. 1987 Internal gravity waves generated by oscillations of a sphere. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **183**, 439–450.
- Greenspan, H. P. 1968 *The theory of rotating fluids*. CUP Archive.
- GRISOUARD, N., STAQUET, C. & PAIRAUD, I. 2008 Numerical simulation of a two-dimensional internal wave attractor. *J. Fluid Mech.* **614**, 1–14.
- Hazewinkel, J., Van Breevoort, P., Dalziel, S. B. & Maas, L. R. M. 2008 Observations of the wavenumber spectrum and evolution of an internal wave attractor. *J. Fluid Mech.* **598**, 373–382.
- He, J., Favier, B., Rieutord, M. & Le Dizès, S. 2022 Internal shear layers in librating spherical shells: The case of periodic characteristic paths. *J. Fluid Mech.* **939**.
- He, J., FAVIER, B., RIEUTORD, M. & LE DIZÈS, S. 2023 Internal shear layers in librating spherical shells: The case of attractors. *J. Fluid Mech.* **974**, A3.
- HURLEY, D. G. 1997 The generation of internal waves by vibrating elliptic cylinders. Part 1. Inviscid solution. *J. Fluid Mech.* **351**, 105–118.
- HURLEY, D. G. & KEADY, G. 1997 The generation of internal waves by vibrating elliptic cylinders. Part 2. Approximate viscous solution. *J. Fluid Mech.* **351**, 119–138.
- Kerswell, R. R. 1995 On the internal shear layers spawned by the critical regions in oscillatory Ekman boundary layers. *J. Fluid Mech.* **298**, 311–325.
- Klein, M., Seelig, T., Kurgansky, M., Ghasemi, A. V. & others 2014 Inertial wave excitation and focusing in a liquid bounded by a frustrum and a cylinder. *J. Fluid Mech.* **751**, 255–297.
- Le Dizes, S. ` 2015 Wave field and zonal flow of a librating disk. *J. Fluid Mech.* **782**, 178–208.
- Le Dizes, S. ` 2020 Reflection of oscillating internal shear layers: Nonlinear corrections. *J. Fluid Mech.* **899**, A21.
- Le Dizès, S. 2024 Critical slope singularities in rotating and stratified fluids. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 9 (3), 034803.
- Le Dizès, S. & Le Bars, M. 2017 Internal shear layers from librating objects. *J. Fluid Mech.* **826**, 653–675.
- Lin, Y. & Noir, J. 2021 Libration-driven inertial waves and mean zonal flows in spherical shells. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **115** (3), 258–279.
- MAAS, L., BENIELLI, D., SOMMERIA, J. & LAM, F.-P. 1997 Observation of an internal wave attractor in a confined, stably stratified fluid. *Nature* **388** (6642), 557–561.
- Maas, L. R. M. & Lam, F. A. 1995 Geometric focusing of internal waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **300**, 1–41.
- MACHICOANE, N., CORTET, P., VOISIN, B. & MOISY, F. 2015 Influence of the multipole order of the source on the decay of an inertial wave beam in a rotating fluid. *Phys. Fluids* **27** (6), 066602.
- Moore, D. W. & Saffman, P. G. 1969 The structure of free vertical shear layers in a rotating fluid and the motion produced by a slowly rising body. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **264** (1156), 597–634.
- Mowbray, D. E. & Rarity, B. S. H. 1967 A theoretical and experimental investigation of the phase configuration of internal waves of small amplitude in a density stratified liquid. *J. Fluid Mech.* **28**, $1-16.$
- Ogilvie, G. I. 2005 Wave attractors and the asymptotic dissipation rate of tidal disturbances. *J. Fluid Mech.* **543**, 19–44.
- Ogilvie, G. I. 2020 Internal waves and tides in stars and giant planets. In *Fluid Mechanics of Planets and Stars*, pp. 1–30. Springer.
- Phillips, O. M. 1963 Energy Transfer in Rotating Fluids by Reflection of Inertial Waves. *Phys. Fluids* **6** (4), 513.
- RIEUTORD, M., GEORGEOT, B. & VALDETTARO, L. 2000 Wave Attractors in Rotating Fluids: A Paradigm for Ill-Posed Cauchy Problems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (20), 4277–4280.
- RIEUTORD, M., GEORGEOT, B. & VALDETTARO, L. 2001 Inertial waves in a rotating spherical shell: Attractors and asymptotic spectrum. *J. Fluid Mech.* **435**, 103–144.
- RIEUTORD, M. & VALDETTARO, L. 2018 Axisymmetric inertial modes in a spherical shell at low Ekman numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.* **844**, 597–634.
- Thomas, N. H. & Stevenson, T. N. 1972 A similarity solution for viscous internal waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **54** (3), 495–506.
- Tilgner, A. 2000 Oscillatory shear layers in source driven flows in an unbounded rotating fluid. *Phys. Fluids* **12**, 1101–11.
- Voisin, B. 2003 Limit states of internal wave beams. *J. Fluid Mech.* **496**, 243–293.
- Voisin, B. 2021 Boundary integrals for oscillating bodies in stratified fluids. *J. Fluid Mech.* **927**, A3.
- VOISIN, B., ERMANYUK, E. V. & FLÓR, J.-B. 2011 Internal wave generation by oscillation of a sphere, with application to internal tides. *J. Fluid Mech.* **666**, 308–357.
- Walton, I. C. 1975*a* On waves in a thin rotating spherical shell of slightly viscous fluid. *Mathematika* **22** (1), 46–59.
- Walton, I. C. 1975*b* Viscous shear layers in an oscillating rotating fluid. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **344** (1636), 101–110.
- Zhang, H. P., King, B. & Swinney, Harry L. 2007 Experimental study of internal gravity waves generated by supercritical topography. *Phys. Fluids* **19** (9), 096602.