Attractor saddle for 5D black hole index

Soumya Adhikari¹, Pavan Dharanipragada², Kaberi Goswami³, Amitabh Virmani³

¹School of Physics,

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram, Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram, India 695551

> ²Centre for Strings, Gravitation and Cosmology, Department of Physics,

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India 600036

³Chennai Mathematical Institute, H1, SIPCOT IT Park, Siruseri, Kelambakkam, India 603103

soumya12physics20@iisertvm.ac.in, ic40178@imail.iitm.ac.in, kaberi@cmi.ac.in, avirmani@cmi.ac.in

Abstract

In a recent paper, Anupam, Chowdhury, and Sen [arXiv:2308.00038] constructed the non-extremal saddle that reproduces the supersymmetric index of the BMPV black hole with three independent charges in the classical limit. This saddle solution is a finite temperature complex solution saturating the BPS bound. In this paper, we write this solution in a canonical form in terms of harmonic functions on threedimensional flat base space, thereby showing that it is supersymmetric. We also show that it exhibits the new form of attraction.

Contents

1 Introduction

String theory has been very successful in counting the microstates of a class of supersymmetric black holes $(1, 2)$. In the large charge limit, the exact microscopic answer for the supersymmetric index, typically known as a Fourier coefficient of a modular form, precisely reproduces the exponential of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the corresponding supersymmetric black hole. This matching is quite remarkable, but it leaves some questions unanswered regarding the relation between the supersymmetric index and the degeneracy.

In recent years, a method has been proposed for computing the index directly on the gravity side $[3,4]$ $[3,4]$; see $[5]$ for earlier work on AdS black holes. Using this approach, the result of the index computation has been shown to agree with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $[6–11]$ $[6–11]$, including logarithmic corrections $[10, 11]$ $[10, 11]$, and very recently including higher derivative corrections $[9, 12]$ $[9, 12]$. Much of these developments have focused on fourdimensional black holes.

The aim of this paper is to further explore these ideas in five dimensions. There are already some key results in the literature in 5D: (i) Anupam, Chowdhury, and Sen (ACS) in ref. [\[11\]](#page-18-5) constructed the saddle solution for the gravitational path integral that computes the index for the five-dimensional BMPV black hole with three independent charges, (ii) ref. [\[7\]](#page-18-8) showed that the ACS saddle solution with equal charges is indeed supersymmetric in five-dimensional minimal supergravity, and very recently (iii) in ref. [\[13\]](#page-19-1) the authors have computed the on-shell supergravity action for the five-dimensional saddle solution using equivariant localisation. In this paper, we focus on the five-dimensional ACS saddle solution in $U(1)^3$ supergravity with three-independent charges as constructed in [\[11\]](#page-18-5).

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. The ACS construction does not manifest the fact that the saddle solution is supersymmetric. In section [2,](#page-2-0) we write the solution in a canonical form in terms of harmonic functions on three-dimensional flat base space, thereby showing that it is supersymmetric. In section [3,](#page-11-0) via the 4D-5D connection, we show that the ACS saddle solution exhibits the new form of attraction [\[6\]](#page-18-4), i.e., the coefficients at the poles of the harmonic functions are temperature and moduli independent and are determined by the solutions to the attractor equations for the BMPV black hole charges. We close with a brief discussion in section [4.](#page-17-0)

2 The ACS saddle solution in the Bena-Warner form

We start with eleven-dimensional supergravity. The bosonic fields are the metric and a 3-form potential $\mathcal A$. The bosonic Lagrangian is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{11} = R_{11} \star_{11} 1 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \wedge \star_{11} \mathcal{F} - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}, \qquad (2.1)
$$

where R_{11} denotes the eleven-dimensional Ricci scalar, $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}$, and \star_{11} is the elevendimensional Hodge star. We are interested in five-dimensional theory obtained by dimensional reduction on T^6 for the following form of the bosonic fields,

$$
ds_{11}^2 = ds_5^2 + h^1\left(dz_1^2 + dz_2^2\right) + h^2\left(dz_3^2 + dz_4^2\right) + h^3\left(dz_5^2 + dz_6^2\right),\tag{2.2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A} = A^1 \wedge dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + A^2 \wedge dz_3 \wedge dz_4 + A^3 \wedge dz_5 \wedge dz_6. \tag{2.3}
$$

In writing this form, we have assumed that nothing depends on the $T⁶$ coordinates. As a result, we can regard ds_5^2 as a five-dimensional metric and h^I and A^I as fivedimensional scalars and vectors, respectively. To ensure that the five-dimensional metric is the Einstein-frame metric we also assume that the scalars h^I obey the constraint

$$
h^1 h^2 h^3 = 1. \t\t(2.4)
$$

With these assumptions, the eleven-dimensional supergravity reduces to five-dimensional $U(1)³$ supergravity, whose bosonic Lagrangian is:

$$
\mathcal{L}_5 = R \star 1 - G_{IJ} dh^I \wedge \star dh^J - G_{IJ} F^I \wedge \star F^J - \frac{1}{6} C_{IJK} F^I \wedge F^J \wedge A^K, \tag{2.5}
$$

where

$$
G_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} (h^I)^{-2} \delta_{IJ},\tag{2.6}
$$

and $C_{IJK} = 1$ if (IIK) is a permutation of (123) and $C_{IJK} = 0$ otherwise. The Maxwell field strengths are $F^I = dA^I$.

The ACS saddle solution is conjectured to be a supersymmetric solution to this fivedimensional theory. Building upon the seminal work of [\[14\]](#page-19-2), supersymmetric solutions to this theory have been studied in great detail $[15–17]$ $[15–17]$. It is well known that a large class of supersymmetric solutions of this theory can be written in a canonical form in terms of 8 harmonic functions on three-dimensional flat base space; see [\[18\]](#page-19-5) for a review and further references. We call this canonical form the Bena-Warner form. A key aim of this paper is to write the ACS solution in the Bena-Warner form.

We can think of the ACS solution as the Cvetic-Youm solution [\[19\]](#page-19-6) with certain constraints on the parameters. The Cvetic-Youm solution is labelled by six parameters: mass parameter *m*, rotation parameters *a*, *b*, and charge parameters δ_I , $I = 1, 2, 3$. In [\[20\]](#page-19-7), Wu observed that the Cvetic-Youm metric can be written in the following simple form,

$$
ds^{2} = (\mathcal{H}_{1}\mathcal{H}_{2}\mathcal{H}_{3})^{1/3} \bigg[-dt^{2} + \frac{\Sigma r^{2}}{\bar{\Delta}_{r}} dr^{2} + \Sigma d\theta^{2} + (r^{2} + a^{2}) \sin^{2} \theta d\phi^{2} + (r^{2} + b^{2}) \cos^{2} \theta d\psi^{2} + \frac{2ms_{1}^{2}}{\mathcal{H}_{1}\Sigma(s_{1}^{2} - s_{2}^{2})(s_{1}^{2} - s_{3}^{2})} k_{1}^{2} + \frac{2ms_{2}^{2}}{\mathcal{H}_{2}\Sigma(s_{2}^{2} - s_{1}^{2})(s_{2}^{2} - s_{3}^{2})} k_{2}^{2} + \frac{2ms_{3}^{2}}{\mathcal{H}_{3}\Sigma(s_{3}^{2} - s_{1}^{2})(s_{3}^{2} - s_{2}^{2})} k_{3}^{2} \bigg],
$$
(2.7)

where

$$
k_I = \frac{s_I c_1 c_2 c_3}{c_I} \left(\frac{c_I^2}{c_1 c_2 c_3} dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi - b \cos^2 \theta d\psi \right) + \frac{c_I s_1 s_2 s_3}{s_I} \left(b \sin^2 \theta d\phi + a \cos^2 \theta d\psi \right),
$$
(2.8)

and

$$
\bar{\Delta}_r = (r^2 + a^2)(r^2 + b^2) - 2mr^2, \qquad (2.9)
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_I = 1 + \frac{2ms_I^2}{\Sigma}, \qquad \Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta + b^2 \sin^2 \theta, \qquad (2.10)
$$

together with $c_I = \cosh \delta_I$ and $s_I = \sinh \delta_I$. The vectors and the scalars supporting the solution take the form

$$
AI = \frac{2m}{\mathcal{H}_I \Sigma} k_I, \qquad hI = \frac{\mathcal{H}_I}{(\mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{H}_3)^{1/3}}.
$$
 (2.11)

The mass *M*, three charges $Q^{(1)}$, $Q^{(2)}$, $Q^{(3)}$ and two angular momenta J_{ϕ} , J_{ψ} are given in terms of the parameters that enter the solution as

$$
Q^{(I)} = 2 m c_I s_I,
$$
\n(2.12)

$$
M = \sqrt{m^2 + (Q^{(1)})^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + (Q^{(2)})^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + (Q^{(3)})^2},
$$
\n(2.13)

$$
J_{\phi} = 4m(ac_1c_2c_3 - bs_1s_2s_3),\tag{2.14}
$$

$$
J_{\psi} = 4m(bc_1c_2c_3 - as_1s_2s_3), \tag{2.15}
$$

where following ACS we work in units where five-dimensional Newton's constant is $G_N =$ $\pi/4$ *.* It is convenient to define,

$$
J_{3L} = \frac{1}{2}(J_{\phi} - J_{\psi}) = 2m(a - b)(c_1c_2c_3 + s_1s_2s_3),
$$
\n(2.16)

$$
J_{3R} = \frac{1}{2}(J_{\phi} + J_{\psi}) = 2m(a+b)(c_1c_2c_3 - s_1s_2s_3). \qquad (2.17)
$$

The ACS saddle solution is obtained by taking $m \to 0$ keeping the *charges and angular momenta* fixed. This requires,

$$
\delta_I = \frac{1}{2} \sinh^{-1} \frac{Q^{(I)}}{m},\tag{2.18}
$$

$$
a - b = \frac{J_{3L}}{2m(c_1c_2c_3 + s_1s_2s_3)},
$$
\n(2.19)

$$
a + b = \frac{J_{3R}}{2m(c_1c_2c_3 - s_1s_2s_3)},
$$
\n(2.20)

as we take the $m \to 0$ limit. We take $Q^{(I)}$'s to be positive. In the $m \to 0$ limit, $a - b$ goes to zero as

$$
a - b \simeq \frac{J_{3L}\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{2Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)}}},\tag{2.21}
$$

and $a + b$ diverges as

$$
a + b \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}J_{3R}\sqrt{Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)}}}{\sqrt{m}(Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)} + Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)} + Q^{(1)}Q^{(3)})}.
$$
\n(2.22)

In the Cvetic-Youm metric, the outer and inner horizons $r=r_{\pm}$ are at

$$
r_{\pm} = m - \frac{1}{2}a^2 - \frac{1}{2}b^2 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(a^2 - b^2)^2 + 4m(m - a^2 - b^2)}.
$$
 (2.23)

Since in the $m \to 0$ limit *a* and *b* diverge, so do r_{\pm} . In order to have the horizon of the final black hole at a finite location ref. [\[11\]](#page-18-5) defines,

$$
\rho^2 \equiv r^2 - \frac{1}{2}(r_+^2 + r_-^2),\tag{2.24}
$$

and writes the final metric in $(t, \rho, \theta, \phi, \psi)$ coordinates with $Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}, Q^{(3)}, J_{3L}$, and J_{3R} as the five parameters. Moreover, they restrict the parameter ranges to $Q^{(I)} > 0$ and J_{3L} real such that

$$
D := \sqrt{4Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)} - J_{3L}^2} > 0,
$$
\n(2.25)

and J_{3R} lie along the positive imaginary axis,

$$
-iJ_{3R} > 0.\t\t(2.26)
$$

For concreteness we further assume that $J_{3L} > 0$ in obtaining some of the equations below; this is not really a restriction, with minor changes J_{3L} < 0 case can be readily incorporated in our analysis. The ACS metric takes the form:

$$
ds^{2} = \Delta^{1/3} \left[-\frac{\left\{ \cos(2\theta) J_{3L} J_{3R} + 2\rho^{2} Q_{P} \right\}^{2}}{4 \Delta Q_{P}^{2}} dt^{2} + \frac{4Q_{P}^{2} \rho^{2}}{4 Q_{P}^{2} \rho^{4} - J_{3R}^{2} (J_{3L}^{2} - 4Q_{T})} d\rho^{2} + d\theta^{2} \right] - d\phi dt \frac{\sin^{2} \theta \left\{ 2\rho^{2} Q_{P} (J_{3L} + J_{3R}) + \cos(2\theta) J_{3L} J_{3R} (J_{3L} + J_{3R}) + 4Q_{T} J_{3R} \right\}}{2 \Delta Q_{P}} - d\psi dt \frac{\cos^{2} \theta \left\{ 2\rho^{2} Q_{P} (J_{3R} - J_{3L}) + \cos(2\theta) J_{3L} J_{3R} (J_{3R} - J_{3L}) + 4Q_{T} J_{3R} \right\}}{2 \Delta Q_{P}} + d\phi d\psi \frac{\sin^{2} \theta \cos^{2} \theta}{2 Q_{P}^{3} \Delta} \times \left[Q_{P} \left\{ J_{3L}^{2} Q_{P}^{2} - J_{3R}^{2} Q_{R} + 2J_{3R}^{2} Q_{T} (2\rho^{2} + Q_{S}) \right\} \right. + 2Q_{T} \cos(2\theta) J_{3L} J_{3R}^{3}
$$

$$
+\frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{\Delta}d\phi^{2}\left[\rho^{6}+\rho^{4}\left\{\frac{(4\cos^{2}\theta-1)J_{3L}J_{3R}}{2Q_{P}}+Q_{S}\right\}\right.+\frac{\rho^{2}}{4Q_{P}^{2}}\left\{4\cos^{2}\theta J_{3L}J_{3R}Q_{P}Q_{S}+\left(4\cos^{4}\theta-1\right)J_{3L}^{2}J_{3R}^{2}+4Q_{T}\sin^{2}\theta J_{3R}^{2}+4Q_{P}^{3}\right\}+\frac{1}{8Q_{P}^{3}}\left\{-2\sin^{2}\theta Q_{P}^{3}\left(J_{3R}^{2}+J_{3L}^{2}\right)+2J_{3R}^{2}Q_{P}Q_{S}\left(\cos(2\theta)J_{3L}^{2}+4Q_{T}\sin^{2}\theta\right)+\frac{4J_{3L}J_{3R}\left(Q_{T}\sin^{2}\theta\cos(2\theta)J_{3R}^{2}+Q_{P}^{3}\cos^{2}\theta\right)+8Q_{T}Q_{P}^{3}+\cos^{2}(2\theta)J_{3L}^{3}J_{3R}^{3}\right\}+\frac{\cos^{2}\theta}{\Delta}d\psi^{2}\left[\rho^{6}+\rho^{4}\left\{\frac{(1-4\sin^{2}\theta)J_{3L}J_{3R}}{2Q_{P}}+Q_{S}\right\}+\frac{\rho^{2}}{4Q_{P}^{2}}\left\{-4\sin^{2}\theta J_{3L}J_{3R}Q_{P}Q_{S}+(4\sin^{4}\theta-1)J_{3L}^{2}J_{3R}^{2}+4Q_{T}\cos^{2}\theta J_{3R}^{2}+4Q_{P}^{3}\right\}-\frac{1}{8Q_{P}^{3}}\left\{2\cos^{2}\theta Q_{P}^{3}\left(J_{3L}^{2}+J_{3R}^{2}\right)+2J_{3R}^{2}Q_{P}Q_{S}\left(\cos(2\theta)J_{3L}^{2}-4Q_{T}\cos^{2}\theta\right)+4J_{3L}J_{3R}\left(Q_{P}^{3}\sin^{2}\theta-Q_{T}\cos^{2}\theta\cos(2\theta)J_{3R}^{2}\right)+J_{3L}^{3}J_{3R}^{3}\cos^{2}(2\theta)-8Q_{T}Q_{P}^{3}\right\}\right],
$$
\n(2.27)

where

$$
Q_P \equiv Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)} + Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)} + Q^{(3)}Q^{(1)}, \tag{2.28}
$$

$$
Q_S \equiv Q^{(1)} + Q^{(2)} + Q^{(3)}, \tag{2.29}
$$

$$
Q_T \equiv Q^{(1)} Q^{(2)} Q^{(3)}, \tag{2.30}
$$

$$
Q_R \equiv (Q^{(1)})^2 (Q^{(2)})^2 + (Q^{(2)})^2 (Q^{(3)})^2 + (Q^{(3)})^2 (Q^{(1)})^2, \tag{2.31}
$$

and Δ is

$$
\Delta = \frac{1}{8Q_P^3} \prod_{I=1}^3 [\cos(2\theta) J_{3L} J_{3R} + 2(Q^{(I)} + \rho^2) Q_P].
$$
\n(2.32)

The matter fields supporting the solution were not given in [\[11\]](#page-18-5). The vectors and the scalars take the form,

$$
A^{I} = \frac{1}{\Delta_{I}} \Big\{ 2Q^{(I)}Q_{P} dt + \zeta_{I} \sin^{2} \theta d\phi + \tilde{\zeta}_{I} \cos^{2} \theta d\psi \Big\},
$$
 (2.33)

where

$$
\zeta_I = 2J_{3R}\frac{Q_T}{Q^{(I)}} - (J_{3L} + J_{3R})Q_P, \qquad \tilde{\zeta}_I = 2J_{3R}\frac{Q_T}{Q^{(I)}} + (J_{3L} - J_{3R})Q_P, \tag{2.34}
$$

$$
\Delta_I = \cos(2\theta) J_{3L} J_{3R} + 2(Q^{(I)} + \rho^2) Q_P, \qquad (2.35)
$$

and the scalars are

$$
h^I = \frac{\Delta_I}{(\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3)^{1/3}}.\tag{2.36}
$$

Since *J*3*^R* appears explicitly at several places in the metric and other fields, the solution is *not a real solution* to Lorentzian supergravity.

2.1 The four-dimensional base metric

Metric [\(2.27\)](#page-6-0) can be written in the form,

$$
ds^{2} = -f^{2}(dt + \omega_{\phi}d\phi + \omega_{\psi}d\psi)^{2} + f^{-1}ds_{4d-base}^{2},
$$
\n(2.37)

with

$$
f = \frac{\cos(2\theta)J_{3L}J_{3R} + 2\rho^2 Q_P}{2\Delta^{1/3} Q_P},
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{\phi} = \frac{Q_P \sin^2\theta [4J_{3R}Q_T + 2(J_{3R} + J_{3L})Q_P \rho^2 + J_{3L}J_{3R}(J_{3R} + J_{3L})\cos(2\theta)]}{(2Q_P^2 \rho^2 + J_{3L}J_{3R}\cos(2\theta))^2},
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{\psi} = \frac{Q_P \cos^2\theta [4J_{3R}Q_T + 2(J_{3R} - J_{3L})Q_P \rho^2 + J_{3L}J_{3R}(J_{3R} - J_{3L})\cos(2\theta)]}{(2Q_P^2 \rho^2 + J_{3L}J_{3R}\cos(2\theta))^2}.
$$
\n(2.40)

The four-dimensional base metric $ds_{\text{4d}-\text{base}}^2$ is given as,

$$
\mathrm{d}s_{\mathrm{4d-base}}^2 = k_{\rho\rho}\mathrm{d}\rho^2 + k_{\theta\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + k_{\phi\phi}\mathrm{d}\phi^2 + k_{\psi\psi}\mathrm{d}\psi^2 + 2k_{\phi\psi}\mathrm{d}\phi\mathrm{d}\psi,\tag{2.41}
$$

where

$$
k_{\rho\rho} = \rho^2 \frac{\rho^2 + cd \cos 2\theta}{\rho^4 + d^2 (1 - c^2)},
$$
\n(2.42)

$$
k_{\theta\theta} = \rho^2 + cd\cos 2\theta \tag{2.43}
$$

$$
k_{\phi\phi} = (\rho^4 + 2c d \rho^2 \cos^2 \theta + d^2 \sin^2 \theta + c^2 d^2 \cos 2\theta) \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2 + c d \cos 2\theta},
$$
 (2.44)

$$
k_{\psi\psi} = (\rho^4 - 2c \, d \, \rho^2 \sin^2 \theta + d^2 \cos^2 \theta - c^2 \, d^2 \cos 2\theta) \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\rho^2 + c \, d \cos 2\theta}, \qquad (2.45)
$$

$$
k_{\phi\psi} = \frac{d^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta}{\rho^2 + c \, d \cos 2\theta},\tag{2.46}
$$

where we have introduced two new paramaters,

$$
c = \frac{J_{3L}}{\sqrt{4Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)}}},\tag{2.47}
$$

$$
d = \frac{J_{3R}\sqrt{Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)}}}{Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)} + Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)} + Q^{(3)}Q^{(1)}}.
$$
\n(2.48)

We note that because of the restrictions on the parameters (2.25) and (2.26) , $(1-c^2) > 0$ and d^2 < 0. We also note that the four-dimensional base metric $ds_{\text{4d}-\text{base}}^2$ is Ricci flat.

The base metric ds²_{4d−base} can be written in the Gibbons-Hawking form in the same way as in $[7]$,

$$
ds_{\text{4d-base}}^2 = V^{-1}(dz + A)^2 + Vds_{\text{3d-base}}^2,\tag{2.49}
$$

where

$$
z = \psi - \phi, \qquad \varphi = \phi + \psi, \tag{2.50}
$$

and the three-dimensional base metric $ds_{3d-base}^2$ with coordinates (ρ, θ, φ) is flat. The function V in equation (2.49) reads,

$$
V = \frac{4\left(\rho^2 + cd\cos 2\theta\right)}{\rho^4 + \left(1 - c^2\right)d^2\cos^2 2\theta},\tag{2.51}
$$

with the 1-form *A* satisfying

$$
\star_3 dA = dV, \tag{2.52}
$$

where \star_3 is the Hodge star in three-dimensions with the three-dimensional base metric $ds_{3d-base}^2$. Our conventions are $\epsilon_{\rho\theta\varphi} > 0$. The three-dimensional base metric takes the form,

$$
ds_{3d-base}^2 = \frac{1}{4} (\rho^4 + (1 - c^2) d^2 \cos^2 2\theta) \left\{ \frac{\rho^2}{2(\rho^4 + (1 - c^2) d^2)} d\rho^2 + d\theta^2 \right\} + \frac{1}{16} (\rho^4 + (1 - c^2) d^2) \sin^2 2\theta d\rho^2.
$$
 (2.53)

To arrive at the cartesian form for the three-dimensional base,

$$
ds_{3d-base}^2 = dy_1^2 + dy_2^2 + dy_3^2,
$$
\n(2.54)

we need to do the following coordinate transformation,

$$
y_1 = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\rho^4 + (1 - c^2)d^2} \sin 2\theta \sin \varphi, \qquad (2.55)
$$

$$
y_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\rho^4 + (1 - c^2)d^2} \sin 2\theta \cos \varphi, \qquad (2.56)
$$

$$
y_3 = \frac{1}{4} \rho^2 \cos 2\theta. \tag{2.57}
$$

2.2 Harmonic functions

The full solution above can now readily be written in the Bena-Warner form in terms of 8 harmonic functions {*V, K^I , L^I , M*}. We quickly review the Bena-Warner form of the solution and then write the 8 harmonic functions for the ACS solution. The metric takes the form,

$$
ds^{2} = -f^{2}(dt+k)^{2} + f^{-1}ds_{4d-base}^{2},
$$
\n(2.58)

with the four-dimensional base metric given in (2.49) and (2.52) , and where the one-form *k* on the four-dimensional base space is,

$$
k = \mu(\mathrm{d}z + A) + \omega. \tag{2.59}
$$

The function μ appearing in this equation is given as,

$$
\mu = \frac{1}{6} C_{IJK} \frac{K^I K^J K^K}{V^2} + \frac{1}{2V} K^I L_I + M,\tag{2.60}
$$

and the three-dimensional one-form ω is given as

$$
\star_3 d\omega = V dM - M dV + \frac{1}{2} (K^I dL_I - L_I dK^I). \qquad (2.61)
$$

The function f in equation (2.58) takes the form,

$$
f = (Z_1 Z_2 Z_3)^{-1/3},\tag{2.62}
$$

where the three functions Z_I are specified in terms of the harmonic functions as,

$$
Z_I = \frac{1}{2V} C_{IJK} K^J K^K + L_I.
$$
\n(2.63)

The three vectors supporting the solution take the form,

$$
A^{I} = -\frac{1}{Z_{I}}(\mathrm{d}t + k) + \frac{K^{I}}{V}(\mathrm{d}z + A) + \xi^{I} + \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (2.64)
$$

with the three-dimensional one-forms ξ^I given as,

$$
\star_3 \mathrm{d} \xi^I = -\mathrm{d} K^I. \tag{2.65}
$$

Finally, the scalars supporting the solution are,

$$
h^I = (fZ_I)^{-1}.
$$
\n(2.66)

For the ACS saddle solution, the 8 harmonic functions take the general form

$$
H = h + \frac{\gamma_N}{r_N} + \frac{\gamma_S}{r_S},\tag{2.67}
$$

where r_N and r_S are the distances from the points \vec{y}_N and \vec{y}_S in the three dimensional base metric,

$$
r_N = |\vec{y} - \vec{y}_N| = \sqrt{(y^1 - y^1_N)^2 + (y^2 - y^2_N)^2 + (y^3 - y^3_N)^2},
$$
\n(2.68)

$$
r_S = |\vec{y} - \vec{y}_S| = \sqrt{(y^1 - y^1_S)^2 + (y^2 - y^2_S)^2 + (y^3 - y^3_S)^2}.
$$
 (2.69)

The pole locations are,

$$
\vec{y}_N = \{y_N^1, y_N^2, y_N^3\} = \left\{0, 0, -\frac{i}{4}\sqrt{1 - c^2} \, d\right\},\tag{2.70}
$$

$$
\vec{y}_S = \{y_S^1, y_S^2, y_S^3\} = \left\{0, 0, \frac{i}{4}\sqrt{1 - c^2} \, d\right\}.
$$
\n(2.71)

In terms of the $\{\rho, \theta, \varphi\}$ coordinates, r_N, r_S take the form,

$$
r_N = \frac{1}{4}(\rho^2 + i\sqrt{1 - c^2} \, d\cos 2\theta),\tag{2.72}
$$

$$
r_S = \frac{1}{4}(\rho^2 - i\sqrt{1 - c^2} \, d\cos 2\theta). \tag{2.73}
$$

Note that given our parameter restrictions $(2.25)-(2.26)$ $(2.25)-(2.26)$, the pole locations are real. The 8 harmonic functions are,

$$
K^{I} = \frac{k_{N}^{I}}{r_{N}} + \frac{k_{S}^{I}}{r_{S}}, \qquad V = \frac{v_{N}}{r_{N}} + \frac{v_{S}}{r_{S}}, \qquad (2.74)
$$

$$
L_I = 1 + \frac{l_{IN}}{r_N} + \frac{l_{IS}}{r_S}, \qquad \qquad M = \frac{m_N}{r_N} + \frac{m_S}{r_S}, \qquad (2.75)
$$

where,

$$
v_N = (v_S)^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + i \frac{J_{3L}}{D} \right),\tag{2.76}
$$

$$
k_N^I = (k_S^I)^* = \frac{i}{2} \frac{Q^{(1)} Q^{(2)} Q^{(3)}}{Q^{(I)} D},
$$
\n(2.77)

$$
m_N = (m_S)^* = -\frac{1}{32} \left(J_{3L} + \frac{i}{2} \left(D - \frac{J_{3L}^2}{D} \right) \right), \tag{2.78}
$$

$$
l_{IN} = (l_{IS})^* = \frac{1}{8} Q^{(I)} \left(1 - i \frac{J_{3L}}{D} \right),\tag{2.79}
$$

with *D* given in (2.25) . These harmonic functions are our first key result of this paper. Having written the ACS solution in the Bena-Warner form, it follows that the solution is supersymmetric. The Killing spinors for the general Bena-Warner class of solutions were given in [\[15\]](#page-19-3). We note that the Killing spinors constructed in [\[7\]](#page-18-8) are also of the same form. The non-real nature of the solution does not introduce additional subtleties.

3 The attractor nature of the ACS saddle

In this section, we show that the ACS saddle exhibits the new form of attraction $[6]$, i.e., the coefficients at the poles of the harmonic functions $(2.76)-(2.79)$ $(2.76)-(2.79)$ are determined by the solution to the attractor equations for the BMPV black hole charges.

To show this, it is useful to consider further (formal) dimensional reduction to four dimensions. This gives the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ STU model. Four-dimensional STU model is governed by a prepotential function F depending on four complex scalars X^M , $M = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$
F(X) = -\frac{X^1 X^2 X^3}{X^0}.
$$
\n(3.1)

For this model, the physical bosonic degrees of freedom are the metric, three complex scalars (six real scalars), and four one-forms \check{A}^M . It is convenient to work with four complex scalars X^M to begin with and later introduce a gauge fixing.

The 4D Lagrangian in a standard set of conventions can be obtained following the formalism reviewed in [\[21\]](#page-20-0). In this formalism at several stages one needs to take the complex conjugation. In the solution that we are considering the metric and other fields also take complex values. In taking the complex conjugate, one should remember that this operation does not act on the solution, i.e., even if the metric is complex, the complex conjugate of $g_{\mu\nu}$ in the supergravity formalism give us back $g_{\mu\nu}$. We define, $F_M = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X^M}$, and work in the so-called *D*-gauge [\[21\]](#page-20-0),

$$
i(\bar{X}^M F_M - \bar{F}_M X^M) = 1,\t\t(3.2)
$$

to ensure that the Einstein-Hilbert term is canonically normalised.

The relation between the five-dimensional and the four-dimensional fields is via dimensional reduction. We write the five-dimensional metric as,

$$
ds_5^2 = \tilde{f}^2(\mathrm{d}z - \check{A}^0)^2 + \tilde{f}^{-1}\mathrm{d}s_4^2,\tag{3.3}
$$

and the vectors as,

$$
A^{I} = \chi^{I}(dz - \check{A}^{0}) + \check{A}^{I}, \qquad I = 1, 2, 3.
$$
 (3.4)

Note the minus signs in front of \check{A}^0 terms. In writing these equations, we assume that that no five-dimensional field depends on the coordinate *z*. As a result, there is a fourdimensional description. The dimensionally reduced Lagrangian reads, see, e.g., [\[22\]](#page-20-1),

$$
\mathcal{L}_{4} = R \star_{4} 1 - \frac{1}{2} G_{IJ} \star_{4} \mathrm{d} h^{I} \wedge \mathrm{d} h^{J} - \frac{3}{2 \tilde{f}^{2}} \star_{4} \mathrm{d} \tilde{f} \wedge \mathrm{d} \tilde{f} - \frac{\tilde{f}^{3}}{2} \star_{4} \check{F}^{0} \wedge \check{F}^{0}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2 \tilde{f}^{2}} G_{IJ} \star_{4} \mathrm{d} \chi^{I} \wedge \mathrm{d} \chi^{J} - \frac{\tilde{f}}{2} G_{IJ} \star_{4} (\check{F}^{I} - \chi^{I} \check{F}^{0}) \wedge (\check{F}^{J} - \chi^{J} \check{F}^{0})
$$
(3.5)
$$
-\frac{1}{2} C_{IJK} \chi^{I} \check{F}^{J} \wedge \check{F}^{K} + \frac{1}{2} C_{IJK} \chi^{I} \chi^{J} \check{F}^{0} \wedge \check{F}^{K} - \frac{1}{6} C_{IJK} \chi^{I} \chi^{J} \chi^{K} \check{F}^{0} \wedge \check{F}^{0}.
$$

This Lagrangian is the STU model with the four-dimensional metric being ds_4^2 and the vectors being $\check{A}^M = (\check{A}^0, \check{A}^I)$ and $\check{F}^M = d\check{A}^M$. The scalars χ^I and h^I combine to form the complex scalars in the STU model as

$$
z^{I} = \frac{X^{I}}{X^{0}} = \chi^{I} + i\tilde{f}h^{I},
$$
\n(3.6)

in the D-gauge.

For the class of solutions we are interested in, the four-dimensional metric takes the form

$$
ds^{2} = -e^{2g}(dt + \omega)^{2} + e^{-2g}ds^{2}_{3d - base},
$$
\n(3.7)

where $ds_{3d-base}^2$ is the metric on the three-dimensional flat base space and ω is a one-form on the three-dimensional base space^{[1](#page-12-0)}. Next, we introduce rescaled scalars Y^M . Here we are following the conventions and notation of $[21,23]$ $[21,23]$, which are slightly different from $[6,9]$ $[6,9]$. We define,

$$
Y^M = e^{-g} \bar{h} X^M,\tag{3.8}
$$

¹This ω and the ω introduced in [\(2.59\)](#page-9-2) and [\(2.61\)](#page-9-3) are the same. This will become clear shortly.

where *h* is a position dependent phase that enter the description of the solutions. *h* is also the phase of the central charge function *Z*, but we do not need this information in the following, $Z = e^{-g}h$. The homogeneity of the pre-potential function,

$$
G(Y) := F(X(Y)),\tag{3.9}
$$

implies,

$$
G_M := \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^M} G(Y) = e^{-g} \bar{h} F_M.
$$
\n(3.10)

The equations of motion of supergravity relate ${Y^M - \bar{Y}^M, G_M - \bar{G}_M}$ to harmonic functions $\{H^M, H_M\}$ via the generalized stabilization equations [\[24\]](#page-20-3),

$$
Y^M - \bar{Y}^M = iH^M, \tag{3.11}
$$

$$
G_M - \bar{G}_M = iH_M. \tag{3.12}
$$

These equations determine the full spatial dependence of *Y ^M* in terms of the harmonic functions.

In terms of the harmonic functions, a rich class of half-BPS solutions of the STU model take the form [\[24\]](#page-20-3),

$$
e^{-2g} = \Sigma = -i(Y^M \bar{G}_M - \bar{Y}^M G_M), \tag{3.13}
$$

such that

$$
\Sigma^{2} = -\left(\sum_{M=0}^{3} H^{M} H_{M}\right)^{2} + \sum_{I,J,K,J',K'=1}^{3} C_{IJK} H^{J} H^{K} C^{I J' K'} H_{J'} H_{K'} + 4H^{0} H_{1} H_{2} H_{3} -4H_{0} H^{1} H^{2} H^{3}.
$$
\n(3.14)

The physical scalars take the form,

$$
\chi^{I} = \frac{2H^{I}H_{I} - \sum_{M=0}^{3} (H^{M}H_{M})}{\sum_{J,K=1}^{3} C_{IJK}H^{J}H^{K} + 2H^{0}H_{I}},
$$
\n(3.15)

and

$$
\tilde{f}h^{I} = \frac{\Sigma}{\sum_{J,K=1}^{3} C_{IJK}H^{J}H^{K} + 2H^{0}H_{I}}.
$$
\n(3.16)

The vectors take the form,

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\check{A}^M = -\partial_{H_M}(\log \Sigma)(\mathrm{d}t + \omega) + A_3^M, \qquad \mathrm{d}A_3^M = -\star_3 \mathrm{d}H^M. \tag{3.17}
$$

and finally the one-form ω is given as,

 $\sqrt{2}$

$$
\star_3 d\omega = H^M dH_M - H_M dH^M. \tag{3.18}
$$

The relation between the 8 harmonic functions $\{H^M, H_M\}$ and the 8 harmonic functions that enter the description of the solutions in the Bena-Warner form is as follows

$$
H^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V, \qquad H_{0} = \sqrt{2} M, \qquad (3.19)
$$

$$
H^{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} K^{1}, \qquad H_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L^{1}, \qquad (3.20)
$$

$$
K^{1}, \qquad H_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L^{1}, \qquad (3.20)
$$

$$
H^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} K^2, \qquad H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L^2, \qquad (3.21)
$$

$$
H^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} K^3, \qquad H_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L^3. \qquad (3.22)
$$

For the spherically symmetric asymptotically flat black hole in four-dimensions with charges $\{P^M, Q_M\}$ the harmonic functions take the form,

$$
H^M = \frac{P^M}{r} + \mathcal{O}(1),\tag{3.23}
$$

$$
H_M = \frac{Q_M}{r} + \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{3.24}
$$

where $r = \sqrt{(y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2}$ is the standard radial coordinate on the three-dimensional base and the horizon of the spherically symmetric black hole is located at $r = 0$. The generalized stabilization equations (3.11) – (3.12) give rise to the attractor equations in the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit,

$$
Y_*^M - \bar{Y}_*^M = iP^M, \tag{3.25}
$$

$$
G_{M*} - \bar{G}_{M*} = iQ_M, \t\t(3.26)
$$

where

$$
Y^M = \frac{Y_*^M}{r} + \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{3.27}
$$

$$
G_M = \frac{G_{M*}}{r} + \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{3.28}
$$

$$
\bar{Y}^M = \frac{\bar{Y}_*^M}{r} + \mathcal{O}(1),\tag{3.29}
$$

$$
\bar{G}_M = \frac{\bar{G}_{M*}}{r} + \mathcal{O}(1), \qquad (3.30)
$$

as $r \to 0$. Given the charges $\{P^M, Q_M\}$ the attractor equations $(3.25)-(3.26)$ $(3.25)-(3.26)$ $(3.25)-(3.26)$ determine ${Y_*^M, \bar{Y}_*^M, G_{M*}, \bar{G}_{M*}}.$

3.1 The new form of attraction

For the solutions we are interested in, the harmonic functions have only two poles, and they are taken as

$$
H = h + \frac{\gamma_N}{r_N} + \frac{\gamma_S}{r_S},\tag{3.31}
$$

where r_N and r_S are the distances to the point $\{y^1, y^2, y^3\}$ from the north and the south poles, cf. [\(2.68\)](#page-10-1)–[\(2.69\)](#page-10-1). The charges γ_N^M , γ_{NM} , γ_S^M and γ_{SM} at the poles of the harmonic functions are determined from the equations [\[6\]](#page-18-4):

$$
\gamma_N^M + \gamma_S^M = P^M,\tag{3.32}
$$

$$
\gamma_{NM} + \gamma_{SM} = Q_M \,,\tag{3.33}
$$

where P^{M} and Q_{M} are the electric and magnetic charges carried by the black hole, and by demanding that,

$$
Y^M = \frac{i\gamma_N^M}{r_N} + \mathcal{O}(1),\tag{3.34}
$$

$$
G_M = \frac{i\gamma_{NM}}{r_N} + \mathcal{O}(1), \qquad (3.35)
$$

$$
\bar{Y}^M = \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{3.36}
$$

$$
\bar{G}_M = \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{3.37}
$$

as $r_N \to 0$, and

$$
Y^M = \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{3.38}
$$

$$
G_M = \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{3.39}
$$

$$
\bar{Y}^M = -\frac{i\gamma_S^M}{r_S} + \mathcal{O}(1),\tag{3.40}
$$

$$
\bar{G}_M = -\frac{i\gamma_{SM}}{r_S} + \mathcal{O}(1) \,, \tag{3.41}
$$

as $r_S \rightarrow 0$. In this way, the generalized stabilization equations (3.11) – (3.12) are satisfied at the poles.

It then follows from (3.32) – (3.33) and (3.25) – (3.26) that for the given charges $\{P^{M}, Q_{N}\},$

$$
\{i\gamma_N^M, i\gamma_{NM}, -i\gamma_S^M, -i\gamma_{SM}\} = \{Y_*^M, G_{M*}, \bar{Y}_*^M, \bar{G}_{M*}\}.
$$
 (3.42)

This implies,

$$
\{\gamma_N^M, \gamma_{NM}, \gamma_S^M, \gamma_{SM}\} = \{-iY_*^M, -iG_{M*}, i\bar{Y}_*^M, i\bar{G}_{M*}\}.
$$
 (3.43)

Moreover, since for the spherically symmetric black hole Y_*^M , G_{M*} are related to \bar{Y}_*^M , \bar{G}_{M*} by complex conjugation, it also follows that

$$
\gamma_N = (\gamma_S)^*.\tag{3.44}
$$

3.2 4D-5D connection and the harmonic functions

The ACS saddle solution computes the supersymmetric index for the BMPV black hole with three independent charges. In order to obtain the coefficients at the poles for the harmonic functions,

$$
\{\gamma_N^M, \gamma_{NM}, \gamma_S^M, \gamma_{SM}\},\tag{3.45}
$$

from the new attractor mechanism reviewed in the previous section, we need to view the 5D asymptotically flat BMPV black hole from the 4D perspective. The 4D-5D connection [\[25\]](#page-20-4) allows us to identify the 4D charges $\{P^M, Q_M\}$ for the 5D BMPV black hole. We $have²$ $have²$ $have²$:

$$
P^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad Q_{0} = -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}J_{3L}, \qquad (3.46)
$$

$$
P^1 = 0, \qquad Q_1 = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} Q^{(1)}, \qquad (3.47)
$$

$$
P^2 = 0, \qquad Q_2 = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} Q^{(2)}, \qquad (3.48)
$$

$$
P^3 = 0, \qquad Q_3 = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} Q^{(3)}.
$$
\n(3.49)

The solution to the (spherically symmetric) attractor equations then gives,

$$
\gamma_N^0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + i \frac{J_{3L}}{D} \right) , \qquad \gamma_{N0} = -\frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}} \left(J_{3L} + \frac{i}{2} \left(D - \frac{J_{3L}^2}{D} \right) \right) , \qquad (3.50)
$$

$$
\gamma_N^1 = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{Q^{(2)}Q^{(3)}}{D}, \qquad \gamma_{N1} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} Q^{(1)} \left(1 - i \frac{J_{3L}}{D} \right), \qquad (3.51)
$$

$$
\gamma_N^2 = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{Q^{(1)}Q^{(3)}}{D}, \qquad \gamma_{N2} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} Q^{(2)} \left(1 - i \frac{J_{3L}}{D} \right), \qquad (3.52)
$$

²The factors of $\sqrt{2}$ are there because there is a $\sqrt{2}$ in our eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-13-1). The origin of this factor is the difference in the normalisation of the vector fields. In the $N = 2$ supergravity formalism, the vectors are typically normalised so that in the Lagrangian the kinetic term for a vector is $-\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ (see e.g., [\[21,](#page-20-0)[23\]](#page-20-2)) when the coefficient of the Einstein-Hilbert term is unity. In our case the vector kinetic terms are normalised (in a more standard manner) in eq. [\(3.5\)](#page-12-1) as $-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$.

$$
\gamma_N^3 = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)}}{D}, \qquad \gamma_{N3} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} Q^{(3)} \left(1 - i \frac{J_{3L}}{D} \right), \qquad (3.53)
$$

where D was defined in (2.25) . These expressions perfectly match with the coefficients of the harmonic functions computed in the previous section $(2.76)-(2.79)$ $(2.76)-(2.79)$ $(2.76)-(2.79)$ upon taking into account the normalisation (3.19) – (3.22) . This matching is our second key result.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have written the Anupam, Chowdhury, and Sen (ACS) non-extremal saddle solution [\[11\]](#page-18-5) in the Bena-Warner form that manifests its supersymmetric nature. Using the 4D-5D connection, we have also shown that the saddle solution exhibits the new form of attraction.

Our results offer opportunities for future work. In refs. $[9,12]$ $[9,12]$, the agreement between the entropy and index was shown to hold for half BPS black holes in $N = 2$ supergravity with higher derivative terms in four-dimensions. The corresponding five-dimensional theories with higher derivative terms are well studied $[26, 27]$ $[26, 27]$. As a future direction, it will be useful to extend the analysis of [\[12\]](#page-19-0) to five-dimensions. We hope to report on this in our future work.

Acknowledgements: We thank Subramanya Hegde, Ashoke Sen, and P Shanmugapriya for discussions. S.A. thanks CMI for hospitality during the course of this work. P. D. acknowledges funding from the Institute of Eminence intitiative of the Government of India through IC&SR of IIT Madras for the project "SB22231259PHETWO008479". P. D. also thanks the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai where this work was done at the initial stages. The work of A.V. was partly supported by SERB Core Research Grant CRG/2023/000545 and by the "Scholar in Residence" program of IIT Gandhinagar.

References

[1] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy," Phys. Lett. B **379**, 99-104 (1996) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00345-0 [arXiv:hepth/9601029 [hep-th]].

- [2] A. Sen, "Black Hole Entropy Function, Attractors and Precision Counting of Microstates," Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 2249-2431 (2008) doi:10.1007/s10714-008-0626-4 [arXiv:0708.1270 [hep-th]].
- [3] L. V. Iliesiu, M. Kologlu and G. J. Turiaci, "Supersymmetric indices factorize," JHEP **05** (2023), 032 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2023)032 [arXiv:2107.09062 [hep-th]].
- [4] M. Heydeman, L. V. Iliesiu, G. J. Turiaci and W. Zhao, "The statistical mechanics of near-BPS black holes," J. Phys. A **55** (2022) no.1, 014004 doi:10.1088/1751- 8121/ac3be9 [arXiv:2011.01953 [hep-th]].
- [5] A. Cabo-Bizet, D. Cassani, D. Martelli and S. Murthy, "Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of supersymmetric AdS₅ black holes," JHEP 10 (2019), 062 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2019)062 [arXiv:1810.11442 [hep-th]].
- [6] J. Boruch, L. V. Iliesiu, S. Murthy and G. J. Turiaci, "New forms of attraction: Attractor saddles for the black hole index," [arXiv:2310.07763 [hep-th]].
- [7] S. Hegde and A. Virmani, "Killing spinors for finite temperature Euclidean solutions at the BPS bound," JHEP **02**, 203 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2024)203 [arXiv:2311.09427 [hep-th]].
- [8] C. Chowdhury, A. Sen, P. Shanmugapriya and A. Virmani, "Supersymmetric index for small black holes," JHEP **04** (2024), 136 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2024)136 [arXiv:2401.13730 [hep-th]].
- [9] Y. Chen, S. Murthy and G. J. Turiaci, "Gravitational index of the heterotic string," JHEP **09** (2024), 041 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2024)041 [arXiv:2402.03297 [hep-th]].
- [10] A. A. H., P. V. Athira, C. Chowdhury and A. Sen, "Logarithmic correction to BPS black hole entropy from supersymmetric index at finite temperature," JHEP **03** (2024), 095 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2024)095 [arXiv:2306.07322 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. H. Anupam, C. Chowdhury and A. Sen, "Revisiting logarithmic correction to five dimensional BPS black hole entropy," JHEP **05**, 070 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2024)070 [arXiv:2308.00038 [hep-th]].
- [12] S. Hegde, A. Sen, P. Shanmugapriya and A. Virmani, "Supersymmetric Index for Half BPS Black Holes in $N=2$ Supergravity with Higher Curvature Corrections," [arXiv:2411.08260 [hep-th]].
- [13] D. Cassani, A. Ruipérez and E. Turetta, "Localization of the 5D supergravity action and Euclidean saddles for the black hole index," [arXiv:2409.01332 [hep-th]].
- [14] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, C. M. Hull, S. Pakis and H. S. Reall, "All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in five- dimensions," Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 4587-4634 (2003) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/20/21/005 [arXiv:hep-th/0209114 [hep-th]].
- [15] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, "One ring to rule them all ... and in the darkness bind them?," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9**, no.5, 667-701 (2005) doi:10.4310/ATMP.2005.v9.n5.a1 [arXiv:hep-th/0408106 [hep-th]].
- [16] J. P. Gauntlett and J. B. Gutowski, "General concentric black rings," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 045002 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.045002 [arXiv:hep-th/0408122 [hepth]].
- [17] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, "Bubbling supertubes and foaming black holes," Phys. Rev. D **74**, 066001 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.066001 [arXiv:hep-th/0505166 [hep-th]].
- [18] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, "Black holes, black rings and their microstates," Lect. Notes Phys. **755**, 1-92 (2008) doi:10.1007/978-3-540-79523-01 [arXiv:hepth/0701216 [hep-th]].
- [19] M. Cvetic and D. Youm, "General rotating five-dimensional black holes of toroidally compactified heterotic string," Nucl. Phys. B **476**, 118-132 (1996) doi:10.1016/0550- 3213(96)00355-0 [arXiv:hep-th/9603100 [hep-th]].
- [20] S. Q. Wu, "General Nonextremal Rotating Charged AdS Black Holes in Fivedimensional $U(1)^3$ Gauged Supergravity: A Simple Construction Method," Phys. Lett. B **707**, 286-291 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.12.031 [arXiv:1108.4159 $\vert \text{hep-th} \vert$.
- [21] T. Mohaupt, "Black hole entropy, special geometry and strings," Fortsch. Phys. **49**, 3-161 (2001) doi:10.1002/1521-3978(200102)49:1/3*<*3::AID-PROP3*>*3.0.CO;2-# $[\text{arXiv:hep-th}/0007195$ $[\text{hep-th}].$
- [22] A. Virmani, "Subtracted Geometry From Harrison Transformations," JHEP **07**, 086 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2012)086 [arXiv:1203.5088 [hep-th]].
- [23] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit, J. Kappeli and T. Mohaupt, "Stationary BPS solutions in N=2 supergravity with R**2 interactions," JHEP **12** (2000), 019 doi:10.1088/1126- 6708/2000/12/019 [arXiv:hep-th/0009234 [hep-th]].
- [24] K. Behrndt, D. Lust and W. A. Sabra, "Stationary solutions of N=2 supergravity," Nucl. Phys. B **510** (1998), 264-288 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00633-0 [arXiv:hepth/9705169 [hep-th]].
- [25] D. Gaiotto, A. Strominger and X. Yin, "New connections between 4-D and 5-D black holes," JHEP **02**, 024 (2006) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/02/024 [arXiv:hepth/0503217 [hep-th]].
- [26] K. Hanaki, K. Ohashi and Y. Tachikawa, "Supersymmetric Completion of an R**2 term in Five-dimensional Supergravity," Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 533 (2007) doi:10.1143/PTP.117.533 [arXiv:hep-th/0611329 [hep-th]].
- [27] N. Banerjee, B. de Wit and S. Katmadas, "The Off-Shell 4D/5D Connection," JHEP **03**, 061 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2012)061 [arXiv:1112.5371 [hep-th]].