

HIGHER GAUSSIAN MAPS FOR SPECIAL CLASSES OF CURVES

D. FARO, P. FREDIANI, AND A. LACOPO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study higher Gaussian (or Wahl) maps for the canonical bundle of certain smooth projective curves. More precisely, we determine the rank of higher Gaussian maps of the canonical bundle for plane curves, for curves contained in certain linear systems in a surface given by a product of two curves and for curves contained in a sufficiently ample line bundle on an Enriques surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a smooth projective curve. The k -th Gaussian map (or Wahl map) is the map

$$\gamma_C^k : H^0(C \times C, I_{\Delta_C}^k \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes (k+2)}),$$

induced by the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{\Delta_C}^{k+1} \rightarrow I_{\Delta_C}^k \rightarrow K_C^{\otimes k} \rightarrow 0$. Wahl showed in [20] that if C sits on a $K3$ surface, then γ_C^1 is not surjective (see also [3]). On the other hand, Ciliberto, Harris and Miranda proved that for the general curve of genus $g \geq 10$, $g \neq 11$, γ_C^1 is surjective (see [6], [18] for another proof). Actually also the converse holds: a Brill-Noether Petri general curve with non surjective (first) Wahl map lies on a $K3$ surface or on a limit thereof, as shown in [1]. More generally, the (co)rank of the first Wahl map is related to the extendability of the curve as a linear section of a higher dimensional variety, as shown in [5].

The main purpose of this work is to determine the (co)rank of higher Wahl maps for certain classes of smooth projective curves. The study of higher Gaussian maps has been carried out mainly in two directions. On the one hand, as in the case $k = 1$, to give obstructions for the extendability of curves. This is the case of the main result of [8], where it is shown that if a curve lies on an abelian surface, then the second Wahl map is not surjective (whereas the first is surjective if the curve belongs to a sufficiently positive linear system). This again has to be compared with the main result in ([4]), which states the surjectivity of the second Wahl map for the general curve of genus greater than or equal to 18.

On the other hand, the study of higher Wahl maps has been applied to the study of the local geometry of the Torelli locus inside the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties. More precisely, in [15] and [13] it is shown that there is a relation between the

D. Faro, P. Frediani and A. Lacopo are members of GNSAGA (INdAM) and are partially supported by PRIN project *Moduli spaces and special varieties* (2022).

second fundamental form of the Torelli morphism and higher even Wahl maps. This generalized the main result in [9] concerning the second Wahl map.

The first main result of this work is the computation of the (co)rank of higher Wahl maps for plane curves.

Theorem 1.1. (see Theorem 3.7) *If C is a smooth plane curve of degree $d \geq 6$, then for all $0 \leq k \leq \frac{d-6}{2}$, we have*

$$\text{rank}(\gamma_C^k) = \frac{2k+3}{2}(d(d-3) - k(k+3)) = \frac{2k+3}{2}(2g-2 - k(k+3)),$$

$$\text{corank}(\gamma_C^k) = k(k+3)\frac{2k+3}{2}.$$

We observe that the corank of γ_C^k is independent of the degree of the plane curve, as previously shown by Wahl in the case $k = 1$ ([19, Remark 4.9]).

The second main result gives a sufficient condition for the surjectivity of higher Gaussian maps for curves lying in the product of two curves. This generalizes results of Wahl and Colombo - Frediani for cases $k = 1$ ([19, Theorem 4.11]), and $k = 2$ respectively ([7, Theorem 3.1]).

Theorem 1.2. (see Theorem 4.5) *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Let C_i , $i = 1, 2$ be smooth projective algebraic curves of genus g_i , let D_i be an effective divisor on C_i of degree d_i . Denote by $p_i : X := C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow C_i$ the projections and suppose that*

1. $g_1 \geq 2, g_2 \geq 1$ or $g_1 \geq 1, g_2 \geq 2$, and $d_i \geq kg_i + k + 3$ for $i = 1, 2$ or,
2. $g_1 = 0, g_2 \geq 2, d_1 > 2(k+1), d_1 > \frac{kd_2}{g_2-1}, d_2 \geq kg_2 + k + 3$.

Then for any irreducible smooth curve C in the linear system $|p_1^(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$, γ_C^k is surjective.*

This in turn gives another proof of the surjectivity of higher Gaussian maps for the general curve of genus g , where g can take an infinite number of values. The surjectivity of higher Gaussian maps for the general curve of genus big enough was already shown by Rios Ortiz in [17].

Corollary 1.3. *Let $k \geq 2$. For all g_i and d_i satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2, the general curve of genus*

$$(1) \quad g = 1 + (g_2 - 1)d_1 + (g_1 - 1)d_2 + d_1d_2,$$

has surjective k th Gaussian map.

The last part of the paper deals with the study of canonical Gaussian maps for curves on Enriques surfaces, and it is based on previous results by Faro and Spelta for Prym-canonical Gaussian maps [14].

The main result is the following

Theorem 1.4. (see Theorem 5.2) *Let X be an unnodal Enriques surface and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If C is a smooth curve with $\phi(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) > 4(k+2)$, then γ_C^k is surjective. If $k = 1$ it is sufficient to require $\phi(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) > 6$.*

Here the function ϕ is a measure of the positivity of a line bundle on an Enriques surface (see section 5 for the definition).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we recall the definition of the Gaussian maps and some useful variations. In section 3 we consider the situation of plane curves, proving Theorem 1.1. In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2. In section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

2. GAUSSIAN MAPS

Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety, let $\Delta_Y \subset Y \times Y$ be the diagonal and let $p, q : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the two projections. Let L and M be line bundles on Y . For a non-negative integer k , the k -th Gaussian map associated with these data is the restriction map to the diagonal

$$(2) \quad H^0(Y \times Y, I_{\Delta_Y}^k \otimes p^*L \otimes q^*M) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{L,M}^k} H^0(Y, I_{\Delta_Y|_{\Delta_Y}}^k \otimes L \otimes M) \cong H^0(Y, S^k\Omega_Y^1 \otimes L \otimes M).$$

The exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_{\Delta_Y}^{k+1} \rightarrow I_{\Delta_Y}^k \rightarrow S^k\Omega_Y^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

(where $S^k\Omega_Y^1$ is identified to its image via the diagonal map), tensored by $p^*L \otimes q^*M$, shows that the domain of the k -th Gaussian map is the kernel of the previous one:

$$\gamma_{L,M}^k : \ker \gamma_{L,M}^{k-1} \rightarrow H^0(S^k\Omega_Y^1 \otimes L \otimes M).$$

In our applications, we will also assume that the two line bundles L and M coincide.

Assume Z is a smooth irreducible divisor on Y . We consider a variant of Gaussian maps that appear in [18], [8]. They are defined as follows: let L be a line bundle on Y , and let M_Z be a line bundle on Z , seen as a sheaf on Y . The k -th order Gaussian map associated with these data is

$$(4) \quad \gamma_{L,M_Z}^k : H^0(Y \times Y, I_{\Delta_Y}^k \otimes p^*L \otimes q^*M_Z) \rightarrow H^0(Y, S^k\Omega_Y^1 \otimes L \otimes M_Z).$$

Sequence (3), tensored with $p^*L \otimes q^*M_Z$ remains exact. In fact, since q^*M_Z is locally free on $Y \times Z$, and $I_{\Delta_Y}^k/I_{\Delta_Y}^{k+1}$ is locally free on Δ_Y , it suffices to show that $\text{tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Z}) = 0$. Consider the following exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{Y \times Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Z} \rightarrow 0$, and tensor it by \mathcal{O}_{Δ_Y} . We obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \text{tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Z}) \rightarrow I_{Y \times Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

where Δ_Z is the diagonal of Z in $Y \times Z$. Since $I_{Y \times Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Y}$ is the ideal sheaf of Δ_Z in Δ_Y , we get $\text{tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Y}, \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Z}) = 0$. Hence, as above, the domain of these k -th Gaussian

maps is the kernel of the previous ones:

$$(5) \quad \gamma_{L, M_Z}^k : \ker \gamma_{L, M_Z}^{k-1} \rightarrow H^0(S^k \Omega_Y^1 \otimes L \otimes M_Z).$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on Y which is locally free on Z and apply p_* to the exact sequence (3) tensored by $q^* \mathcal{F}$. Setting

$$R_{Y, \mathcal{F}}^k := p_*(I_{\Delta_Y}^k \otimes q^* \mathcal{F}),$$

we get

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_{Y, \mathcal{F}}^{k+1} \rightarrow R_{Y, \mathcal{F}}^k \rightarrow S^k \Omega_Y^1 \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_Y}^{k+1} \otimes q^* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_Y}^k \otimes q^* \mathcal{F})$$

If $\mathcal{F} = L$ is a line bundle on Y , $R_{Y, L}^k$ are vector bundles on Y . Tensoring (6) by another line bundle M and taking global sections we obtain the Gaussian maps defined in (2) as the maps

$$(7) \quad \gamma_{L, M}^k : H^0(Y, R_{Y, L}^k \otimes M) \rightarrow H^0(S^k \Omega_Y^1 \otimes L \otimes M).$$

When $L = M$ we will set $\gamma_L^k := \gamma_{L, L}^k$.

In the paper we will consider the following setup: let X be a smooth projective surface, H an ample line bundle on it, $C \in |H|$ a smooth projective curve, so by adjunction $K_C = (K_X \otimes H)|_C$. Set $L := K_X \otimes H$.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, R_{X, L}^k \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_L^k} & H^0(X, S^k \Omega_X^1(2L)) \\ \downarrow & & \searrow p_1 \\ & & H^0(C, S^k \Omega_X^1(2L)|_C) \\ & & \swarrow p_2 \\ H^0(C, R_{C, K_C}^k \otimes K_C) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_C^k} & H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes(k+2)}). \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map and the map p_1 are the restriction maps. The map p_2 is constructed as follows: let us consider the conormal bundle exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-C) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X|C}^1 \longrightarrow K_C \longrightarrow 0,$$

take its k -th symmetric power

$$(8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S^{k-1} \Omega_{X|C}^1(-C) \longrightarrow S^k \Omega_{X|C}^1 \longrightarrow K_C^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

tensor it by $K_C^{\otimes 2}$ and take global sections.

So to study γ_C^k we will study the maps γ_L^k , p_1 and p_2 .

3. GAUSSIAN MAPS ON PLANE CURVES

In this section we will deal with the following setup: $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, C will be a smooth plane curve of degree d , $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3)$, so that $L|_C = K_C$. We set

$$(9) \quad R_{\mathbb{P}^2}^k := R_{\mathbb{P}^2, L}^k = p_*(I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^k \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3))),$$

$$(10) \quad G^k := R_{\mathbb{P}^2, K_C}^k = p_*(I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^k \otimes q^*(K_C)),$$

$$(11) \quad R_C^k := R_{C, K_C}^k = p_*(I_{\Delta_C}^k \otimes q^*K_C).$$

We denote by

$$(12) \quad \gamma_C^k := \gamma_{K_C, K_C}^k : H^0(C, R_C^k \otimes K_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes(k+2)}),$$

the k -th Gaussian map of the canonical bundle of the curve C , and by

$$(13) \quad \gamma_L^k := \gamma_{L, L}^k : H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, R_{\mathbb{P}^2}^k \otimes L) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(2L)),$$

the k -th Gaussian map of the line bundle L on \mathbb{P}^2 . We want to compute the rank of γ_C^k , the k -th Gaussian map of the canonical bundle of the curve.

The restriction map p_1 in diagram (2) comes from the following exact sequence

$$(14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6) \longrightarrow S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(2d-6) \longrightarrow S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(2d-6) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $k \leq d-3$. Then γ_L^k is surjective.*

Proof. This follows from [17, Corollary 2.1]. □

Now we only have to study p_1 and p_2 . First, we will show the surjectivity of p_1 .

Proposition 3.2. *With our assumptions, if $k \leq \frac{d-6}{2}$, then p_1 is surjective.*

Proof. Notice that by (14), it is enough to prove that $H^1(S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6)) = 0$. From [11, Theorem 1.3.1], if $k \leq \frac{d-6}{2}$, $H^i(S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6)) = 0$ for $i > 0$. □

Let us now focus on p_2 .

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$. Then*

$$\text{corank}(p_2) = k(k+3) \frac{2k+3}{2},$$

hence

$$\text{rank}(p_2) = \frac{2k+3}{2}(d(d-3) - k(k+3)).$$

So if $k \leq \frac{d-6}{2}$, we have

$$\text{rank}(\gamma_C^k) \geq \text{rank}(p_2) = \frac{2k+3}{2}(d(d-3) - k(k+3)).$$

Proof. We need to study exact sequence (8) tensored by $K_C^{\otimes 2}$, namely the following

$$(15) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(d-6) \longrightarrow S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(2d-6) \longrightarrow K_C^{\otimes(k+2)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

First we compute $H^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(d-6))$.

In order to do that, we consider the exact sequence

$$(16) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-6) \longrightarrow S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6) \longrightarrow S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(d-6) \longrightarrow 0$$

obtained by tensoring with $S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6)$ the restriction sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0.$$

Again, using [11, Theorem 1.3.1], we see that if $k-1 \leq \frac{d-6}{2}$, or equivalently $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$, we have $H^i(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6)) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Hence by (16) we get $H^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(d-6)) \cong H^2(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-6))$. Again by [11, Theorem 1.3.1], we see that

$$\dim(H^2(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-6))) = \dim(S^{k-1}\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes S^{k+2}\mathbb{C}^3) - \dim(S^{k-2}\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes S^{k+1}\mathbb{C}^3) = k(k+3)\frac{2k+3}{2}.$$

Now we claim that $H^1(S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(2d-6)) = 0$. Indeed, let us consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6) \longrightarrow S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(2d-6) \longrightarrow S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(2d-6) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since we already know that $H^2(S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6)) = 0$, we only have to show that $H^1(S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(2d-6)) = 0$. Indeed, from [11, Theorem 1.3.1], this is true if $2d-6-k \geq k$, which is equivalent to $k \leq d-3$. Since we have $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2} \leq d-3$, we are done.

Finally, putting all these things together, from (15) we get

$$H^0(S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(2d-6)) \xrightarrow{p_2} H^0(K_C^{\otimes(k+2)}) \longrightarrow H^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|_C}^1(d-6)) \cong \mathbb{C}^{k(k+3)\frac{2k+3}{2}} \longrightarrow 0$$

which gives precisely $\text{corank}(p_2) = k(k+3)\frac{2k+3}{2}$. The last statement follows from the commutativity of diagram (2) and by the surjectivity of γ_L^k and p_1 . □

We will show that for $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$, the image of γ_C^k is contained in the image of p_2 , hence by Proposition 3.3 we conclude that if $k \leq \frac{d-6}{2}$ the rank of γ_C^k is equal to $\text{rank}(p_2) = \frac{2k+3}{2}(d(d-3) - k(k+3))$. We have the following

Lemma 3.4. *Let C be a smooth plane curve of degree $d \geq 5$. Then, $\forall 0 \leq k \leq d-3$ we have*

- (1) $R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_C}^k \otimes q^* K_C) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$,
- (2) $R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^k \otimes q^*(K_C)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$,
- (3) $R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^k \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3))) = 0$.

Proof. To prove (1), first notice that $\forall x \in C$, we have

$$H^1(p^{-1}(x), I_{\Delta_C}^k \otimes q^* K_C) \cong H^1(C, K_C(-kx)) \cong H^1(C, K_C) \cong \mathbb{C},$$

for all $k \leq \text{gon}(C) - 1 = d - 2$. Then by Grauert's theorem (see e.g. [16], p. 288) $R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_C}^k \otimes q^* K_C)$ is locally free and its fibre at x is $H^1(C, K_C(-kx))$. For $k = 0$ we have $R^1 p_*(q^* K_C) \cong H^1(C, K_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C$. By induction on k , for any $0 \leq k \leq \text{gon}(C) - 1$, the exact sequence (6) gives a natural map of line bundles

$$f_k : R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_C}^{k+1} \otimes q^* K_C) \rightarrow R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_C}^k \otimes q^* K_C),$$

that on the fibres at any point $x \in C$ gives the natural isomorphism

$$H^1(C, K_C(-(k+1)x)) \rightarrow H^1(C, K_C(-kx)).$$

Hence the map f_k is an isomorphism, for all $0 \leq k+1 \leq \text{gon}(C) - 1 = d - 2$, so for any $k \leq d - 3$ the line bundles $R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_C}^k \otimes q^* K_C)$ are all isomorphic to $R^1 p_*(q^* K_C) \cong H^1(C, K_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C$.

To prove (2), we argue in the same way, noticing that for all $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$, for all $k \leq \text{gon}(C) - 1 = d - 2$, we have

$$H^1(p^{-1}(x), I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^k \otimes q^*(K_C)) \cong H^1(C, K_C(-kx)) \cong H^1(C, K_C) \cong \mathbb{C}, \text{ if } x \in C,$$

$$H^1(p^{-1}(x), I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^k \otimes q^*(K_C)) \cong H^1(C, K_C) \cong \mathbb{C}, \text{ if } x \notin C.$$

So again by Grauert's Theorem we know that $R^1 p_*(I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^k \otimes q^*(K_C))$ is a line bundle and we conclude as in case (1).

To prove (3), we show that $H^1(p^{-1}(x), I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^{k+1} \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3))) = 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and for all $k \leq d - 3$. We have

$$H^1(p^{-1}(x), I_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}^{k+1} \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3))) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3) \otimes \mathcal{I}_x^{k+1}),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$, where \mathcal{I}_x denotes the ideal sheaf of the point.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_x^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}/\mathcal{I}_x^{k+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

tensor it by $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3)$ and take cohomology. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_x^{k+1} \otimes L) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, L) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}/\mathcal{I}_x^{k+1} \otimes L) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_x^{k+1} \otimes L) \rightarrow 0$$

since $H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, L) = 0$. Then if L is k -very ample, the restriction map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, L) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}/\mathcal{I}_x^{k+1} \otimes L)$ is surjective, hence $H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_x^{k+1} \otimes L) = 0$. By a result of Beltrametti and Sommese ([2]) we know that L is k -very ample if $k \leq d - 3$. This concludes the proof. \square

Applying the results of Lemma 3.4 to the exact sequence (6), we immediately get the following exact sequences:

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_C^{k+1} \rightarrow R_C^k \rightarrow K_C^{\otimes(k+1)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall k \leq d-3,$$

$$(18) \quad 0 \rightarrow G^{k+1} \rightarrow G^k \rightarrow S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 \otimes K_C \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall k \leq d-3,$$

$$(19) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{k+1} \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{P}^2}^k \rightarrow S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-3) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall k \leq d-3.$$

Take $k \leq d-3$, then taking restriction to C of the exact sequence (18), we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-C) \otimes K_C \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G^{k+1}(-C) & \longrightarrow & G^k(-C) & \longrightarrow & S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-C) \otimes K_C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & G^k & \longrightarrow & S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 \otimes K_C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-C) \otimes K_C & \longrightarrow & G_{|C}^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{g^{k+1}} & G_{|C}^k & \xrightarrow{r^k} & S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 \otimes K_C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.5. *The image of the map g^{k+1} in diagram (20) is R_C^{k+1} , for all $k \leq d-3$. So we have the following exact sequences:*

$$(21) \quad 0 \rightarrow S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(-3) \rightarrow G_{|C}^{k+1} \rightarrow R_C^{k+1} \rightarrow 0$$

$$(22) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_C^{k+1} \rightarrow G_{|C}^k \rightarrow S^k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 \otimes K_C \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. We have to prove that the image of g^{k+1} is R_C^{k+1} . The rest immediately follows splitting the bottom exact sequence of diagram (20) in two short exact sequences.

We do it by induction on k . If $k=0$, restricting to C the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow K_C \rightarrow 0,$$

we get

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(K_C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(-3) \rightarrow G_{|C}^1 \xrightarrow{g^1} H^0(C, K_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{ev} K_C \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact, if we tensor by \mathcal{O}_C the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L(-C) \rightarrow L \rightarrow L_{|C} \cong K_C \rightarrow 0$$

we get

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(K_C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow L_{|C}(-C) \rightarrow L_{|C} \rightarrow K_C \rightarrow 0,$$

so we obtain

$$\operatorname{tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(K_C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong L_{|C}(-C) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(-3).$$

Now, since $\ker(ev) = R_C$ by definition, we get that the image of g^1 is R_C .

By induction, assume that we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(-3) \rightarrow G_{|C}^k \rightarrow R_C^k \rightarrow 0.$$

Take the cotangent exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-d) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1 \rightarrow K_C \rightarrow 0,$$

and its k -th symmetric power tensored by K_C :

$$0 \rightarrow S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(-3) \rightarrow S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1 \otimes K_C \rightarrow K_C^{\otimes(k+1)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$(23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(-3) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(-3) & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R_C^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & G_{|C}^k & \xrightarrow{r^k} & S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1 \otimes K_C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R_C^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & R_C^k & \longrightarrow & K_C^{\otimes(k+1)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

So we see that the image of g^{k+1} which is the kernel of r^k is R_C^{k+1} . \square

Now, take diagram (23), tensored by K_C and take cohomology. We get the following diagram

(24)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & H^0(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(d-6)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(d-6)) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 \longrightarrow & H^0(R_C^{k+1} \otimes K_C) & \longrightarrow & H^0(G_C^k \otimes K_C) & \xrightarrow{H^0(r^k)} & H^0(S^k\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(2d-6)) & \longrightarrow \\
& \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 & \\
0 \longrightarrow & H^0(R_C^{k+1} \otimes K_C) & \longrightarrow & H^0(R_C^k \otimes K_C) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_C^k} & H^0(K_C^{\otimes(k+2)}) & \longrightarrow \\
& & & \downarrow e_C^k & & \downarrow & \\
& & & H^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(d-6)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(d-6)) &
\end{array}$$

Proposition 3.6. *For all $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$, the map e_C^k is identically zero, hence the image of the k -th Gaussian map γ_C^k is contained in the image of p_2 . Thus, for all $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$ we have:*

$$\text{corank}(\gamma_C^k) \geq \text{corank}(p_2) = k(k+3)\frac{2k+3}{2}.$$

Proof. Dualizing equation (18), we obtain

$$(25) \quad 0 \rightarrow (G^{k-1})^* \rightarrow (G^k)^* \rightarrow S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3) \rightarrow 0,$$

since ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}xt^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(d-3), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) &\cong S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-d) \otimes \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \cong \\
&\cong S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(C) \cong S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3).
\end{aligned}$$

So we get the following commutative digram

(26)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & (G^k)^*(-C) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (G^k)^*(-C) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 \longrightarrow & (G^{k-1})^* & \longrightarrow & (G^k)^* & \longrightarrow & S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & \\
0 \longrightarrow & (R_C^k)^* & \longrightarrow & (G^k)^*_{|C} & \longrightarrow & S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
& 0 & & 0 & & &
\end{array}$$

The bottom row of the above diagram is the dual of the exact sequence (21)

$$0 \longrightarrow S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(-3) \longrightarrow G_{|C}^k \longrightarrow R_C^k \longrightarrow 0,$$

since we have the isomorphism

$$(G_{|C}^k)^* = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(G_{|C}^k, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong (\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(G^k, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}))_{|C} = (G^k)_{|C}^*.$$

This last isomorphism can be seen as follows: take the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

and apply $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(G^k, \cdot)$. We get

$$0 \longrightarrow (G^k)^*(-C) \longrightarrow (G^k)^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(G^k, \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow 0,$$

since G^k is locally free. This shows that $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(G^k, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong (G^k)_{|C}^*$. Hence, since we have $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(G^k, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(G_{|C}^k, \mathcal{O}_C)$, we are done.

Considering the two coboundary maps of the cohomology exact sequences of the two horizontal exact sequences of diagram (26), we get the commutative diagram:

$$(27) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3)) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H^1((G^{k-1})^*) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H^0(S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3)) & \xrightarrow{(e_C^k)^*} & H^1((R_C^k)^*) \end{array} .$$

Hence to show that $e_C^k = 0$, it suffices to prove that $\rho = 0$.

Equations (10) and (19) for $k = 0$ give the commutative diagram

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 & \longrightarrow & H^0(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G^1 & \longrightarrow & H^0(K_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} & \longrightarrow & K_C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{H}^1 := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) & & & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array} ,$$

For all $k \geq 2$ we get the following commutative diagram

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-3) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R_{\mathbb{P}^2}^k & \longrightarrow & R_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{k-1} & \longrightarrow & S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G^k & \longrightarrow & G^{k-1} & \longrightarrow & S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}^1(d-3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-3) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^k & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{k-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array},$$

where we denote by \mathcal{H}^k , \mathcal{H}^{k-1} the cokernels of the vertical maps. Notice that they are vector bundles. This can be seen by induction on k , since $\mathcal{H}^1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ and using the bottom exact sequence of diagram (29).

Dualising we get

$$(30) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-d) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{H}^{k-1})^* & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{H}^k)^* & \longrightarrow & S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (G^{k-1})^* & \longrightarrow & (G^k)^* & \longrightarrow & S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

Taking the coboundary maps in cohomology we obtain

$$(31) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ H^0(S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^1((\mathcal{H}^{k-1})^*) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H^0(S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2|C}(3)) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H^1((G^{k-1})^*) \\ \downarrow & & \\ 0 & & \end{array}$$

since $H^1(S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-d)) \cong (H^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6)))^* = 0$, and $H^0(S^{k-1}T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3-d)) \cong (H^2(S^{k-1}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(d-6)))^* = 0$ for $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$ (see [11, Theorem 1.3.1]).

So, to show that $\rho = 0$, it suffices to prove that $\alpha = 0$.

We will show that $H^1((\mathcal{H}^{k-1})^*) \cong H^1(\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(-3))^* = 0$, for all $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$.

In fact, by definition $\mathcal{H}^1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$, hence

$$H^1(\mathcal{H}^1(-3)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-6)) = 0.$$

The last row of diagram (29) tensored by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ gives

$$0 \rightarrow S^{k-2}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-6) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k-2}(-3) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^1(S^{k-2}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(-6)) = 0$, by induction $H^1(\mathcal{H}^{k-2}(-3)) = 0$, then we get that $H^1(\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(-3)) = 0$, for all $k \leq \frac{d-4}{2}$. This concludes the proof. \square

So we have the following

Theorem 3.7. *If C is a smooth plane curve of degree $d \geq 6$, for all $0 \leq k \leq \frac{d-6}{2}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\gamma_C^k) &= \frac{2k+3}{2}(d(d-3) - k(k+3)) = \frac{2k+3}{2}(2g - 2 - k(k+3)), \\ \text{corank}(\gamma_C^k) &= k(k+3)\frac{2k+3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof immediately follows from Propositions, (3.3), (3.6). \square

Remark 3.8. *Notice that the corank of γ_C^k is independent of the degree of the plane curve, as it was already shown in the case $k = 1$ ([19, Remark 4.9]).*

4. CURVES IN A PRODUCT OF TWO CURVES

In this section we study certain higher Gaussian maps $\gamma_{X,L}^k$ for a surface $X = C_1 \times C_2$, where C_1 and C_2 are smooth projective curves of genus g_1 , respectively g_2 . We prove their surjectivity under suitable assumptions on the degree of the line bundle L . Then we use this result to prove the surjectivity of the higher Gaussian maps γ_C^k for certain curves C lying on X .

We will use the following result [10, Theorem 1.7].

Theorem 4.1. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and let L and M be line bundles of degree d and m respectively. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and assume that $d, m \geq (k+1)(g+1)$.*

i If $d + m \geq (k+1)(2g+2) + 2g - 1$, then $\gamma_{L,M}^k$ is surjective.

ii If C is not hyperelliptic $d + m \geq (k+1)(2g+2) + 2g - 2$, then $\gamma_{L,M}^k$ is surjective.

In the following, we denote by Δ the diagonal in $X \times X$, and by Δ_i , the diagonal in $C_i \times C_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let C_i , $i = 1, 2$, be two smooth curves of genus g_1 and g_2 , respectively. Take $k \geq 1$ and let L_1 be a line bundle on C_1 of degree l_1 and L_2 be a line bundle on C_2 of degree l_2 . Suppose that g_i and l_i satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1 with $d = m = l_1$ and $d = m = l_2$ and set $L = p_1^*L_1 \otimes p_2^*L_2$. Then the higher Gaussian map $\gamma_{X,L}^k$ is surjective.*

Proof. Recall from section 2 that $\gamma_{X,L}^k$ is given by:

$$\gamma_{X,L}^k : H^0(X \times X, I_\Delta^k \otimes p^*L \otimes q^*L) \rightarrow H^0(X, I_\Delta^k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}} \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes p^*L \otimes q^*L).$$

Let $\phi_1 : (C_1 \times C_2) \times (C_1 \times C_2) \rightarrow (C_1 \times C_1)$ and $\phi_2 : (C_1 \times C_2) \times (C_1 \times C_2) \rightarrow (C_2 \times C_2)$ be the projections. Observe that we have the following:

$$(32) \quad I_\Delta^k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}} \mathcal{O}_\Delta \simeq (\phi_1^*I_{\Delta_1} \oplus \phi_2^*I_{\Delta_2})^{\otimes k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}} \mathcal{O}_\Delta.$$

From this we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0((\phi_1^*I_{\Delta_1} \oplus \phi_2^*I_{\Delta_2})^{\otimes k} \otimes L^{\boxtimes 2}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^0((\phi_1^*I_{\Delta_1} \oplus \phi_2^*I_{\Delta_2})^{\otimes k} \otimes L^{\boxtimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Delta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ H^0(I_\Delta^k \otimes L^{\boxtimes 2}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X,L}^k} & H^0(I_\Delta^k / I_\Delta^{k+1} \otimes L^{\boxtimes 2}) \end{array}$$

where we have denoted by $L^{\boxtimes 2} = L \boxtimes L$ the tensor product $p^*L \otimes q^*L$. Then it is sufficient to show that ψ is surjective. Now observe that ψ decomposes as a direct sum of maps

$$\begin{array}{c} H^0((\phi_1^*I_{\Delta_1})^{\otimes i} \otimes (\phi_2^*I_{\Delta_2})^{\otimes j} \otimes L^{\boxtimes 2}) \\ \downarrow \psi_{i,j} \\ H^0((\phi_1^*I_{\Delta_1})^{\otimes i} \otimes (\phi_2^*I_{\Delta_2})^{\otimes j} \otimes L^{\boxtimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Delta), \end{array}$$

where i, j vary among the pairs of non negative integers such that $i + j = k$. As in the proof of [12, Proposition 2.1.7], using Künneth formula and the fact $L = p_1^*L_1 \otimes p_2^*L_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_\Delta \simeq \phi_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1} \otimes \phi_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}$, $\psi_{i,j}$ becomes the tensor product $\gamma_{1,L_1}^i \otimes \gamma_{2,L_2}^j$, where γ_{i,L_i}^r is the r th Gaussian map on C_i associated with the line bundle L_i , $i = 1, 2$. Since we are assuming that g_i and $\deg(L_i)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1, each of the Gaussian map is surjective and so each of the $\psi_{i,j}$ is. Hence we conclude that ψ is surjective. \square

Remark 4.3. *More generally, following a similar approach as the one in Proposition 4.2, one can prove an analogous surjectivity statement for mixed higher Gaussian maps on $X = C_1 \times C_2$, i.e. higher Gaussian maps associated with line bundles $L = p_1^*L_1 \otimes p_2^*L_2$ and $M = p_1^*M_1 \otimes p_2^*M_2$.*

For future convenience we state the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *Set $X = C_1 \times C_2$ and let D_i , $i = 1, 2$, be a divisor on C_i of degree d_i . Let $C \in |p_1^*(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$, where $p_i : X := C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow C_i$ are the usual projections, and let H be the line bundle $K_X(C)$ on X . If*

$$(33) \quad g_i \geq 0, d_i \geq kg_i + k + 3, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then the higher Gaussian map $\gamma_{X,H}^k$ is surjective.

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.2 with $L_i = K_{C_i}(D_i)$. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Let C_i , $i = 1, 2$ be smooth projective algebraic curves of genus g_i , let D_i be an effective divisor on C_i of degree d_i . Denote by $p_i : X := C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow C_i$ the projections and suppose that*

1. $g_1 \geq 2, g_2 \geq 1$ or $g_1 \geq 1, g_2 \geq 2$, and $d_i \geq kg_i + k + 3$ for $i = 1, 2$ or,
2. $g_1 = 0, g_2 \geq 2, d_1 > 2(k + 1), d_1 > \frac{kd_2}{g_2 - 1}, d_2 \geq kg_2 + k + 3$.

Then for any irreducible smooth curve C in the linear system $|p_1^*(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$, γ_C^k is surjective.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(34) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X \times X, \mathcal{I}_{\Delta_X}^k(H \boxtimes H)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X,H}^k} & H^0(X, S^k \Omega_X^1 \otimes H^{\otimes 2}) \\ \downarrow & & \searrow^{p_1} \\ H^0(C \times C, \mathcal{I}_{\Delta_C}^k(K_C \boxtimes K_C)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_C^k} & H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes(k+2)}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \swarrow_{p_2} \end{array}$$

where H denotes the line bundle $K_X(C)$, \mathcal{I}_{Δ_X} and \mathcal{I}_{Δ_C} are ideal sheaves of the diagonals in $X \times X$ and $C \times C$, respectively. As in section 2, the vertical arrow and p_1 are restriction maps and p_2 comes from the exact sequence (8) tensored by $K_C^{\otimes 2}$.

The surjectivity of γ_C^k will follow from the surjectivity of $\gamma_{X,H}^k$, p_1 and p_2 .

Surjectivity of $\gamma_{X,H}^k$.

This follows immediately from Proposition 4.2.

Surjectivity of p_1 .

In order to show the surjectivity of p_1 it is enough to prove that $H^1(\mathrm{Sym}^k \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{\otimes 2}(C)) = 0$. Denoted by K_i the canonical bundle of C_i for $i = 1, 2$ observe that

$$(35) \quad K_X^{\otimes 2}(C) = p_1^*(K_1^{\otimes 2}) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^{\otimes 2})(C)$$

$$(36) \quad S^k \Omega_X^1 \simeq S^k(p_1^*K_1 \oplus p_2^*K_2) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} p_1^*(K_1^{\otimes i}) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^{\otimes j}).$$

Hence

$$(37) \quad S^k \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{\otimes 2}(C) = \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} (p_1^*(K_1^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)))$$

Then by Künneth formula we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(S^k \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{\otimes 2}(C)) &= H^1\left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} (p_1^*(K_1^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)) \otimes p_2^*(K_2^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)))\right). \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} H^1(K_1^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)) \otimes H^0(K_2^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} H^0(K_1^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)) \otimes H^1(K_2^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} H^1(K_1^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)) \otimes H^0(K_2^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i,j \geq 0}} H^0(K_1^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)) \otimes H^1(K_2^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that since by Serre duality

$$H^1(K_1^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)) \simeq H^0(K_1^{\otimes(-i-1)}(-D_1)) \text{ and } H^1(K_2^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)) \simeq H^0(K_2^{\otimes(-j-1)}(-D_2)),$$

to have the desired vanishing it is sufficient that for any $0 \leq i, j \leq k$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &> -(i+1)(2g_1 - 2); \\ d_2 &> -(j+1)(2g_2 - 2). \end{aligned}$$

If $g_1, g_2 > 0$, these of course occur for every $d_1, d_2 \geq 1$. If $g_1 = 0$ ($g_2 = 0$) the conditions become

$$(38) \quad d_1 > 2(k+1), \quad d_2 > 2(k+1).$$

Surjectivity of p_2

In order to show to the surjectivity of p_2 by (8) it is enough to prove that $H^1(S^{k-1} \Omega_{X|_C}^1 \otimes K_X^{\otimes 2}(C)) = 0$. Observe that

$$(39) \quad S^{k-1} \Omega_{X|_C}^1 \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2}(-C) = S^{k-1}((p_1^*K_{C_1} \oplus p_2^*K_{C_2})|_C) \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2}(-C),$$

which is isomorphic to

$$(40) \quad \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k-1 \\ i,j \geq 0}} p_1^*K_{C_1}^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)|_C \otimes p_2^*K_{C_2}^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)|_C.$$

Then we get

$$H^1(S^{k-1}\Omega_{X|C}^1 \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2}(-C)) = \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k-1 \\ i,j \geq 0}} H^1(p_1^*K_{C_1}^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)|_C \otimes p_2^*K_{C_2}^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)|_C).$$

Again, by Serre duality, in order to have the vanishing of H^1 , it is enough that

$$2g(C) - 2 < \deg(p_1^*K_{C_1}^{\otimes(i+2)}(D_1)|_C \otimes p_2^*K_{C_2}^{\otimes(j+2)}(D_2)|_C),$$

i.e.

$$(2g_1 - 2)d_2 + (2g_2 - 2)d_1 + 2d_1d_2 < (i + 2)(2g_1 - 2)d_2 + (j + 2)(2g_2 - 2)d_1 + 2d_1d_2$$

for every i, j . If $g_1 \geq 2$ and $g_2 \geq 1$ or viceversa then the conditions hold for every $d_1, d_2 \geq 1$. If $g_1 = 0, g_2 \geq 1$ the conditions become

$$(41) \quad -2d_2 + (2g_2 - 2)d_1 < -(i + 2)2d_2 + (j + 2)(2g_2 - 2)d_1$$

for every $i, j \geq 0, i + j = k - 1$, which is equivalent to the condition relative to $i = k - 1, j = 0$. That is

$$(g_2 - 1)d_1 > d_2k.$$

Then if $g_1 = 0$ we need $g_2 \geq 2$ and $d_1 > \frac{kd_2}{(g_2-1)}$. Analogously if $g_2 = 0$ we need $g_1 \geq 2$ and $d_2 > \frac{kd_1}{(g_1-1)}$. Comparing all the conditions we conclude. \square

Remark 4.6. *If the divisors D_1 and D_2 in Proposition 4.5 are general divisors on C_1 and C_2 , then the linear system $|p_1^*(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$ is base-point-free, and there actually exists a smooth irreducible curve $C \in |p_1^*(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$. This follows from the fact that under the hypothesis of Proposition 4.5, we always have $d_i \geq g_i + 1$, and we use classical Brill-Noether theory. The general curve $C \in |p_1^*(D_1) \otimes p_2^*(D_2)|$ is a smooth curve of genus*

$$g(C) = 1 + (g_2 - 1)d_1 + (g_1 - 1)d_2 + d_1d_2.$$

In particular the lowest genus (depending on k) is obtained by choosing $g_1 = 0$ and $g_2 = 2, d_1 = 3k + 3$ and $d_2 = 2k + 3$. This is

$$6k^2 + 17k + 13.$$

Notice that this is higher than the bound in [17, Theorem D].

A consequence of the previous Proposition is the following.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $k \geq 2$. For all g_i and d_i satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 4.5 the general curve of genus*

$$(42) \quad g = 1 + (g_2 - 1)d_1 + (g_1 - 1)d_2 + d_1d_2,$$

has surjective k th Gaussian-Wahl map.

5. HIGHER GAUSSIAN MAPS FOR CURVES ON ENRIQUES SURFACES

In this section we show the surjectivity of the higher Gaussian maps γ_C^k when C is a curve lying on a sufficiently positive linear system of a unnodal Enriques surface. We recall that an Enriques surface is called unnodal if it does not contain any -2 curves. The general Enriques surface is unnodal.

Before going into the proof we recall the definition of the ϕ -function. In the following X will always be an Enriques surface.

Definition 5.1. *Let H be a line bundle on X with $H^2 > 0$. Then*

$$(43) \quad \phi(H) := \{H \cdot F : F \in \text{Pic}(X), F^2 = 0, F \not\equiv 0\},$$

where $\text{Pic}(X)$ is the Picard group of X and $\sim \equiv$ stands for numerically equivalent. Recall that if X is an Enriques surface, then $K_X^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$. In particular $\phi(H) = \phi(H \otimes K_X)$ for any H .

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be an unnodal Enriques surface and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If C is a smooth curve with $\phi(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) > 4(k+2)$, then γ_C^k is surjective. If $k = 1$ it is sufficient to require $\phi(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) > 6$*

Proof. The proof again considers the following commutative diagram.

$$(44) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X \times X, \mathcal{I}_{\Delta_X}^k(H \boxtimes H)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X,H}^k} & H^0(X, S^k \Omega_X^1 \otimes H^{\otimes 2}) \\ \downarrow & & \searrow^{p_1} \\ H^0(C \times C, \mathcal{I}_{\Delta_C}^k(K_C \boxtimes K_C)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_C^k} & H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes(k+2)}) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \swarrow_{p_2} \\ H^0(C, (S^k \Omega_X^1 \otimes H^{\otimes 2})|_C) \end{array}$$

where H denotes the line bundle $K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C)$. As usual we show the surjectivity of $\gamma_{X,H}^k$, p_1 and p_2 .

Consider the Hilbert scheme of two points $X^{[2]}$ of X . We recall that $\text{Pic}(X^{[2]}) \simeq \text{Pic}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}B$, where $2B$ is the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $X^{[2]} \rightarrow X^{(2)}$, and $X^{(2)}$ is the second symmetric product. In the following, if $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$, we denote by \tilde{L} the corresponding line bundle on $X^{[2]}$.

By [17, Theorem A], for the surjectivity of $\gamma_{X,H}^k$, it is sufficient to show that $H^1(X^{[2]}, \tilde{H} - (k+2)B) = 0$. This immediately follows from Kodaira vanishing since by [14, Corollary 4.2] $\tilde{H} - (k+2)B - K_{X^{[2]}} = \tilde{H} - K_X - (k+2)B$ is ample.

As in the previous sections, in order to show the surjectivity of p_1 , it is enough to prove that $H^1(S^k \Omega_X^1 \otimes K_X^{\otimes 2}(C)) = H^1(S^k \Omega_X^1(C)) = 0$. This follows immediately from [14, Lemma 4.4].

Finally, recall that p_2 comes from the k th symmetric power of the conormal exact sequence twisted by $H^{\otimes 2}$:

$$0 \rightarrow S^{k-1} \Omega_{X|C}^1(C) \rightarrow S^k \Omega_{X|C}^1(2C) \rightarrow K_C^{\otimes(k+2)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, as usual, to show the surjectivity of p_2 is enough to prove that $H^1(X, S^{k-1}\Omega_{X|C}^1(C)) = 0$. For the proof of this vanishing see [14, 4.7] and [14, Remark 4.5] for the case $k = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, A. Bruno, E. Sernesi. On hyperplane sections of K3 surfaces. *Algebr. Geom.* 4 (2017), no. 5, 562–596 [1](#)
- [2] M. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese. On the preservation of k -very ampleness under adjunction. *Math. Z.* 212, 257–283 (1993). [7](#)
- [3] A. Beauville, J. Merindol. Sections hyperplanes des surfaces K3. *Duke Math. J.* 55 (1987), no. 4, 873–878. [1](#)
- [4] A. Calabri, C. Ciliberto, and R. Miranda. *The rank of the second Gaussian map for general curves.* *Michigan Math. J.* 60 (2011), no. 3, 545–559. [1](#)
- [5] C. Ciliberto, T. Dedieu, E. Sernesi. "Wahl maps and extensions of canonical curves and K3 surfaces". *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, vol. 2020, no. 761, 2020, pp. 219–245. [1](#)
- [6] C. Ciliberto, J. Harris, R. Miranda. On the surjectivity of the Wahl map. *Duke Math. Jour.* 57 (1988), 829–858. [1](#)
- [7] E. Colombo and P. Frediani. Some results on the second Gaussian map for curves. *Michigan Math. J.*, 58(3):745–758, 2009. [2](#)
- [8] E. Colombo, P. Frediani and G. Pareschi Hyperplane sections of abelian surfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 21(2012), no.1, 183–200. [1](#), [3](#)
- [9] E. Colombo, G. P. Pirola, and A. Tortora. Hodge–Gaussian maps. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 30(1):125–146, 2001. [2](#)
- [10] A. Bertram, L. Ein, Lazarsfeld, R. (1991). Surjectivity of gaussian maps for line bundles of large degree on curves. In: *Algebraic Geometry. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol 1479. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg [14](#)
- [11] Etesse, A., Cohomology of twisted symmetric powers of cotangent bundles of smooth complete intersections. arXiv:2211.08955 [math.AG] [5](#), [6](#), [13](#)
- [12] Faro, D., Gaussian maps on curves and algebraic surfaces. (Tesi di dottorato, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, 2024). [14](#)
- [13] Faro, D., Frediani, P., Lacopo, A., Higher Gaussian maps on the hyperelliptic locus and second fundamental form. arXiv:2406.17408 [math.AG] [1](#)
- [14] Faro, D., Spelta, I., Gauss-Prym maps on Enriques surfaces, *Math. Nachr.* 296 (2023), 4454–4462. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.202200287> [2](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [15] P. Frediani. Second fundamental form and higher Gaussian maps. arXiv:2208.14794. To appear in *Perspectives on four decades of Algebraic Geometry Volume 1: in Memory of Alberto Collino*, Progress in Mathematics volume 351, Birkhauser. [1](#)
- [16] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry.* Grad. Texts in Math., No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. xvi+496 pp. [7](#)
- [17] Á. D. Ríos Ortiz . Higher Gaussian maps on K3 surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* IMRN(2024), no. 10, 8185–8212. [2](#), [5](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [18] Voisin, C., Sur l'application de Wahl des courbes satisfaisant la condition de Brill-Noether-Petri, *Acta Math.* **168** (1992), 249–272. [1](#), [3](#)
- [19] J.Wahl. Gaussian maps on algebraic curves. *Journal of Differential Geometry.* *J. Differential Geom.* 32(1), 77–98, (1990) [2](#), [13](#)

- [20] J. Wahl. The Jacobian algebra of a graded Gorenstein singularity. *Duke Math. J.* 55(1987), no.4, 843-871.

1

DARIO FARO, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PAVIA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA FERRATA 1, 27100 PAVIA, ITALY

Email address: `dario.faro@unipv.it`

PAOLA FREDIANI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PAVIA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA FERRATA 1, 27100 PAVIA, ITALY

Email address: `paola.frediani@unipv.it`

ANTONIO LACOPO, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PAVIA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA FERRATA 1, 27100 PAVIA, ITALY

Email address: `antonio.lacopo01@universitadipavia.it`