

# Compatibility axioms for left-regular bands to construct Hopf algebras

Lingxiao Hao\* and Shenglin Zhu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai, 200433, China

November 20, 2024

## Abstract

Aguiar and Mahajan provided several coalgebra axioms and algebra axioms for a family of left-regular bands to construct a commutative diagram of algebras and coalgebras. In this paper, we will add compatibility axioms to make it a diagram of Hopf algebras.

**Keywords:** left-regular band; Hopf algebra; Coxeter group; axiom

## 1 Introduction

Left regular bands, or LRBs for short, are semigroups with relations  $x^2 = x$ ,  $xyx = xy$ . The origin of LRBs can be traced to Klein-Barmen [11] and Schützenberger [18]. A significant example of a LRB is the Coxeter complex of a Coxeter group.

Aguiar and Mahajan [1] offered several coalgebra axioms and algebra axioms for a family of left-regular bands  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  to construct a diagram of algebras and coalgebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}\Sigma^n & \xrightarrow{\text{base}^*} & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}Q^n & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n) \\
 \downarrow \text{supp} & & \downarrow \text{lune} & \searrow \Psi & \downarrow s \\
 \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}L^n & \xrightarrow{\text{base}^*} & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}Z^n & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{Road} \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(Q^n)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{base} \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\Sigma^n)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{supp}^* \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(L^n)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{base} \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}Z^n \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{lune}^* \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(Z^n)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{base} \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(L^n)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{supp}^* \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\Sigma^n)^* \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{base} \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}Z^n \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{lune} \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}Q^n \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{base}^* \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}\Sigma^n
 \end{array}
 \tag{1}$$

\*Corresponding author: 21110180006@m.fudan.edu.cn

where the notations will be explained in the third section. In particular, when  $\Sigma^n$  is the set of compositions of  $[n]$ , which can be seen as the Coxeter complex of the Coxeter group of type  $A_{n-1}$  (that is, the symmetry group  $S_n$ ), the above diagram projects onto the following diagram [1, Theorem 6.1.4]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N\Lambda & \hookrightarrow & S\Lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda & \hookrightarrow & Q\Lambda \end{array}$$

where  $S\Lambda$  is the Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra [12],  $\Lambda$ ,  $Q\Lambda$  and  $N\Lambda$  are the Hopf algebras of symmetric functions [7], quasi-symmetric functions [9] and non-commutative symmetric functions [9] respectively.

Aguilar and Mahajan raised a question [1, Section 6.1] : “For a family  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of LRBs, can one give compatibility axioms such that if a family satisfies the coalgebra, algebra and compatibility axioms then Diagram 2 is a diagram of Hopf algebras?” Our work is to solve this problem.

In the second section, we recall some basic definitions related to Hopf algebras, LRBs and posets. In the third section, we will introduce Diagram 2 and several coalgebra and algebra axioms provided by Aguilar and Mahajan.

The fourth section presents our main results. We will provide compatibility axioms and prove they can make 2 a diagram of connected graded Hopf algebras. We will give an example to prove the compatibility axioms are compatible with the algebra and coalgebra axioms.

## 2 Preliminaries

We begin by recalling some basic facts about Hopf algebras. Readers are referred to the books of Montgomery [13] and Sweedler [19] for more details.

Let  $k$  be a field and  $\circ$  be the composition of maps. A  $k$ -coalgebra is a  $k$ -vector space  $C$  together with two  $k$ -linear maps, *comultiplication*  $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$  and *counit*  $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow k$ , such that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes C \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow id \otimes \Delta \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes id} & C \otimes C \otimes C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} k \otimes C & \xleftarrow{1 \otimes} & C & \xrightarrow{\otimes 1} & C \otimes k \\ & \swarrow \varepsilon \otimes id & \downarrow \Delta & \searrow id \otimes \varepsilon & \\ & & C \otimes C & & \end{array}$$

For coalgebras  $C$  and  $D$ , with comultiplications  $\Delta_C$  and  $\Delta_D$ , and counits  $\varepsilon_C$  and  $\varepsilon_D$  respectively, a map  $f : C \rightarrow D$  is a *coalgebra morphism* if  $\Delta_D \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta_C$  and if  $\varepsilon_C = \varepsilon_D \circ f$ . A subspace  $I \subseteq C$  is a *coideal* if  $\Delta I \subseteq I \otimes C + C \otimes I$  and if  $\varepsilon(I) = 0$ . It is easy to check that if  $I$  is a coideal, then the  $k$ -space  $C/I$  is a coalgebra with comultiplication induced from  $\Delta$ , and conversely.

For a coalgebra  $C$ ,  $C \otimes C$  is a coalgebra with

$$\Delta_{C \otimes C} := (id \otimes \tau \otimes id) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)$$

where  $\tau : C \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes C$  is the *twist* map given by  $\tau(v \otimes w) = w \otimes v$ , and  $\varepsilon_{C \otimes C}$  given by

$$\varepsilon_{C \otimes C}(a \otimes b) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b).$$

The field  $k$  is a coalgebra with the coproduct  $\Delta_k$  given by  $1 \mapsto 1 \otimes 1$  and  $\varepsilon_k$  given by  $1 \mapsto 1$ .

A  $k$ -space  $B$  is a *bialgebra* if  $(B, m, u)$  is an algebra ( $m$  is the product and  $u : k \rightarrow B$  is the unit),  $(B, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coalgebra, and  $m$  and  $u$  are coalgebra morphisms. A map  $f : B \rightarrow B'$  between bialgebras is called a *bialgebra morphism* if it is both an algebra morphism and a coalgebra morphism, and a subspace  $I \subseteq B$  is a *biideal* if it is both an ideal and a coideal. The quotient  $B/I$  is a bialgebra precisely when  $I$  is a biideal of  $B$ .

We will need the following definition for later proof.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $B$  be a bialgebra.  $B$  is *connected graded* if  $B = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} B_n$ ,  $B_0 = k$ ,  $B_i \cdot B_j \subseteq B_{i+j}$ , and  $\Delta B_n \subseteq \bigoplus_{i+j=n} B_i \otimes B_j$ .

For a bialgebra  $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ ,  $H$  is a *Hopf algebra* if there exists an element  $S \in \text{Hom}_k(H, H)$  s.t.

$$m \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \Delta = u \circ \varepsilon.$$

$S$  is called an *antipode* for  $H$ . For Hopf algebras  $H, K$  be with antipodes  $S_H, S_K$  respectively, a map  $f : H \rightarrow K$  is a *Hopf morphism* if it is a bialgebra morphism and  $f \circ S_H = S_K \circ f$ .

We also need to recall some notions related to LRBs. A *left-regular band* (LRB for short)[11, 18], is a semigroup  $\Sigma$  which satisfies the identities

$$x^2 = x, xyx = xy$$

for all  $x, y \in \Sigma$ .

$\Sigma$  is a poset with the partial order [1, Section 2.2.1] defined by

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow xy = y.$$

Elements of  $\Sigma$  are called *faces* and we say that  $x$  is a face of  $y$  if  $x \leq y$ .

Maximal elements in  $\Sigma$  are called *chambers*. The set of chambers is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Another relation  $\preceq$  [1, Section 2.2.2] on  $\Sigma$  is defined by  $x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow yx = y$ . It is transitive and reflexive, but not necessarily anti-symmetric. This gives an equivalent relation  $\sim$  by

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x \preceq y, y \preceq x.$$

Let  $L = \Sigma / \sim$ . Denote the quotient map by  $\text{supp} : \Sigma \rightarrow L$ . Then one has

$$yx = y \Leftrightarrow \text{supp}(x) \leq \text{supp}(y).$$

The map “*supp*” is order-preserving.

Elements of  $L$  are called *flats*. There is an analogue of the last subsection with faces and flats replaced by pointed faces and lunes respectively. Let  $Q = \{(x, c) \in \Sigma \times \mathcal{C} \mid x \leq c\}$ .

There is a partial order on  $Q$  defined by  $(x, c) \leq (y, d) \Leftrightarrow c = d$  and  $x \leq y$ .

Elements of  $Q$  are called *pointed faces*. Another relation  $\preceq$  on  $Q$  is given by  $(x, c) \preceq (y, d) \Leftrightarrow yx = y$  and  $yc = d$ . It is transitive and reflexive, but not necessarily anti-symmetric. This relation gives an equivalent relation  $\sim$  by

$$(x, c) \sim (y, d) \Leftrightarrow (x, c) \preceq (y, d), (y, d) \preceq (x, c).$$

Let  $Z = Q / \sim$ . The quotient map is denoted by  $lune: Q \rightarrow Z$ . Then

$$yx = y, yc = d \Leftrightarrow lune(x, c) \leq lune(y, d)$$

holds by definition.

Elements of  $Z$  are called *lunes* and  $lune(x, c)$  is called the lune of  $x$  and  $c$ . The *lune* map preserves order.

The map  $reg: Q \rightarrow \{R | R \subseteq \Sigma\}$  is given by  $reg(x, c) = \{y | xy \leq c\}$ . Then [1, Lemma 2.3.1] there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Q & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \text{reg} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\text{lune zone}} & Z' \end{array}$$

This diagram gives the definition of the zone map. The following lemma will be useful in later proof.

**Lemma 2.1.** [1, Lemma 2.7.4] If  $\Sigma$  is a LRB with a minimum element  $\emptyset$ , then  $\emptyset$  is the unit of the product.

In addition, some basic notions related to graded poset are needed as well. Let  $P$  be a poset. An element  $y$  in  $P$  *covers* another element  $x$  in  $P$  if  $x < y$  and there is no  $z \in P$  such that  $x < z < y$ .  $P$  is *ranked* if there exists a map  $\rho: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $\rho(y) = \rho(x) + 1$  if  $y$  covers  $x$ . The *rank* of an element  $x$  is  $\rho(x)$ . A ranked poset with minimum element  $\hat{0}$  is *graded* if  $\rho(\hat{0}) = 0$ . A graded poset  $P$  is *of rank  $n$*  if the rank of every maximal element in  $P$  is  $n$ .

## 3 The problem

### 3.1 Coalgebra and algebra axioms

In this subsection, we will introduce the coalgebra and algebra axioms given by [1, Chapter 6].

Let  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a family of LRBs, where  $\Sigma^n$  is a finite graded poset of rank  $\max\{n-1, 0\}$  and has a unique minimum element denoted by  $\emptyset_n$ . Let  $\Sigma^1 = \{\emptyset_1\}$  and  $\Sigma^0 = \{\emptyset_0\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^n$  be the set of maximal elements in  $\Sigma^n$ . For an element  $K \in \Sigma^n$ , let  $degK := rankK + 1$ , where “*rank*” denotes the rank of an element. Let  $\Sigma_K^n := \{F \in \Sigma^n | K \leq F\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_K^n := \{F \in \mathcal{C}^n | K \leq F\}$ . The following axioms were given in [1, Chapter 6]. (C1) – (CP) [1, 6.3.1] are called the coalgebra axioms while (A1) – (AP) [1, 6.6.1] are called the algebra axioms. We modify them slightly for trivial cases. Our modifications won’t influence the results in [1, Chapter 6].

**Axiom (C1).** For every  $F \in \Sigma^n$ , there exists a composition  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{degF})$  of  $n$  and a poset isomorphism

$$b_F: \Sigma_F^n \rightarrow \Sigma^{f_1} \times \Sigma^{f_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{f_{degF}}.$$

For  $F = \emptyset_n$ , the composition is  $(n)$  and the poset isomorphism  $b_{\emptyset_n}$  is the identity map  $id := \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma^n$ .

**Axiom (C2).** Let  $K \leq F$ . First apply Axiom (C1) to  $K \in \Sigma^n$  to get a composition  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{degK})$  of  $n$  and a poset isomorphism

$$b_K : \Sigma_K^n \rightarrow \Sigma^{k_1} \times \Sigma^{k_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{k_{degK}},$$

which maps  $F$  to  $F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{degK}$ . This induces an isomorphism

$$b_K : \Sigma_F^n \rightarrow \Sigma_{F_1}^{k_1} \times \Sigma_{F_2}^{k_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{F_{degK}}^{k_{degK}}.$$

Apply Axiom (C1) to  $F_i \in \Sigma^{k_i}$  to get a composition  $(f_{i1}, f_{i2}, \dots, f_{idegF_i})$  of  $k_i$  and a poset isomorphism

$$b_{F_i} : \Sigma_{F_i}^{k_i} \rightarrow \Sigma^{f_{i1}} \times \Sigma^{f_{i2}} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{f_{idegF_i}},$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq degK$ . Then, we have

$$b_F = (b_{F_1} \times b_{F_2} \times \dots \times b_{F_{degK}}) \circ b_K,$$

where  $b_F$  is the poset map given by Axiom (C1) for  $F$ . In particular, we require that

$$(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{degF}) = (f_{11}, f_{12}, \dots, f_{1degF_1}, f_{21}, \dots, f_{mdegF_m}),$$

where  $m = degK$ .

**Axiom (C3).** Every rank 2 face  $H$  of  $\Sigma^n$  has exactly two rank 1 faces, say  $K$  and  $G$ . Further the poset isomorphisms  $b_K : \Sigma_K^n \rightarrow \Sigma^{k_1} \times \Sigma^{k_2}$  and  $b_G : \Sigma_G^n \rightarrow \Sigma^{g_1} \times \Sigma^{g_2}$  map  $H$  to  $\emptyset_{k_1} \times K'$  and  $G' \times \emptyset_{g_2}$  respectively, for some rank 1 faces  $K' \in \Sigma^{k_2}$  and  $G' \in \Sigma^{g_1}$ .

**Axiom (CP).** For any  $F \leq H, N \in \Sigma^n$ , we have

$$b_F(HN) = b_F(H)b_F(N),$$

where  $b_F$  is the poset isomorphism associated to  $F$  by Axiom (C1).

**Axiom (A1).** For every composition  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$  of  $n$ , there exists a face  $F$  of  $\Sigma^n$  of degree  $k$ , and a poset isomorphism

$$j_F : \Sigma^{f_1} \times \Sigma^{f_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{f_{degF}} \rightarrow \Sigma_F^n.$$

Further, distinct compositions give distinct faces; so it is unambiguous to use the notation  $j_F$  for the poset map. If the composition is  $(n)$  then  $F = \emptyset_n$  and the poset isomorphism  $j_{\emptyset_n}$  is the identity map  $\text{id} : \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma^n$ .

In addition, for a sequence  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$  of non-negative integers, identify  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$  with  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$  as a composition, where  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$  is given by deleting all the zeros in  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ . The composition of the projection  $\Sigma^{g_1} \times \Sigma^{g_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{g_m} \rightarrow \Sigma^{f_1} \times \Sigma^{f_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{f_{degF}}$  and  $j_F$  is still denoted  $j_F$ .

**Axiom (A2).** Let  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$  be a composition of  $n$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , let  $(f_{i1}, f_{i2}, \dots, f_{ik_i})$  be a composition of  $g_i$ . First apply Axiom (A1) to the composition of  $g_i$  to get a face  $F_i$  of  $\Sigma^n$  of degree  $k_i$ , and a poset isomorphism

$$j_{F_i} : \Sigma^{f_{i1}} \times \Sigma^{f_{i2}} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{f_{ik_i}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{F_i}^{g_i}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Apply Axiom (A1) to the composition  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$  of  $n$  to get a face  $G$  of degree  $m$  and a poset isomorphism

$$j_G : \Sigma^{g_1} \times \Sigma^{g_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{g_m} \rightarrow \Sigma_G^n,$$

which maps  $F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_m$  to  $F'$ . This induces an isomorphism

$$j_G : \Sigma_{F_1}^{g_1} \times \Sigma_{F_2}^{g_2} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{F_m}^{g_m} \rightarrow \Sigma_{F'}^n,$$

with  $G \leq F'$ . Consider the composition of  $n$

$$(f_{11}, f_{12}, \dots, f_{1k_1}, f_{21}, \dots, f_{2k_2}, \dots, f_{m1}, \dots, f_{mk_m}),$$

which is the concatenation of the  $m$  compositions that we started with. Apply the axiom (A1) to it to get a face  $F \in \Sigma^n$ . Then  $j_F = j_G \circ (j_{F_1} \times j_{F_2} \times \dots \times j_{F_m})$ . In particular, we require that  $F = F'$ .

**Axiom (AP).**

$$j_F^{-1}(HN) = j_F^{-1}(H)j_F^{-1}(N),$$

for  $F, H, N \in \Sigma^n$ ,  $F \leq H, N$ .

### 3.2 The diagram

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field of characteristic 0. In this subsection, we will give the vector spaces in 1 structures of algebras and coalgebras. All of these definitions are from [1, Chapter 6].

Let  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a family of LRBs, which satisfies all coalgebra axioms (C1)–(CP) and all algebra axioms (A1)–(AP).

Let  $Q^n = \{(F, D) \in \Sigma^n \times \mathcal{C}^n \mid F \leq D\}$ , for  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $L^n = \text{supp}(\Sigma^n)$ ,  $Z^n = \text{lune}(Q^n)$ , for  $n \geq 0$ .

- [1, Sections 6.5.3 and 6.8.2] Let  $\mathcal{P} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\Sigma^n)^*$ , with the basis element corresponding to  $F \in \Sigma^n$  denoted by  $M_F$  when  $n > 0$  and 1 when  $F = \emptyset_0$ .

The coproduct on  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by

$$\Delta(M_F) = 1 \otimes M_F + M_F \otimes 1 + \sum_{K: \text{rank} K=1, K \leq F} M_{F_1} \otimes M_{F_2},$$

where  $b_K(F) = F_1 \times F_2$ .

The product on  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by

$$M_{F_1} * M_{F_2} = \sum_{F: GF = j_G(F_1 \times F_2)} M_F.$$

The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(g_1, g_2)$ , where  $F_i \in \Sigma^{g_i}$ .

- [1, Sections 6.5.5 and 6.8.4] Let  $\mathcal{Q} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(Q^n)^*$ , with the basis element corresponding to  $(F, D) \in Q^n$  denoted by  $M_{(F,D)}$  when  $n > 0$  and 1 when  $(F, D) = (\emptyset_0, \emptyset_0)$ .

The coproduct on  $\mathcal{Q}$  is given by

$$\Delta(M_{(F,D)}) = 1 \otimes M_{(F,D)} + M_{(F,D)} \otimes 1 + \sum_{K: \text{rank} K=1, K \leq F} M_{(F_1, D_1)} \otimes M_{(F_2, D_2)},$$

where  $b_K(F) = F_1 \times F_2$ ,  $b_K(D) = D_1 \times D_2$ .

The product on  $\mathcal{Q}$  is given by

$$M_{(F_1, D_1)} * M_{(F_2, D_2)} = \sum_{F: GF = j_G(F_1 \times F_2)} M_{(F, F j_G(F_1 \times F_2))}.$$

The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(g_1, g_2)$ , where  $F_i \in \Sigma^{g_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

- [1, Sections 6.5.4 and 6.8.3] Let  $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}\Sigma^n$ , with the basis element corresponding to  $P \in \Sigma^n$  denoted by  $H_P$  when  $n > 0$  and 1 when  $P = \emptyset_0$ .

The coproduct on  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$\Delta(H_P) = 1 \otimes H_P + H_P \otimes 1 + \sum_{K: \text{rank} K=1} H_{P_1} \otimes H_{P_2},$$

where  $b_K(KP) = P_1 \times P_2$ .

The product on  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$H_{P_1} * H_{P_2} = H_{j_G(P_1 \times P_2)}.$$

The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(g_1, g_2)$ , where  $P_i \in \Sigma^{g_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

- [1, Sections 6.5.6 and 6.8.5] Let  $\mathcal{N} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}Q^n$ , with the basis element corresponding to  $(P, C) \in Q^n$  denoted by  $H_{(P,C)}$  when  $n > 0$  and 1 when  $(P, C) = (\emptyset_0, \emptyset_0)$ .

The coproduct on  $\mathcal{N}$  is given by

$$\Delta(H_{(P,C)}) = 1 \otimes H_{(P,C)} + H_{(P,C)} \otimes 1 + \sum_{K: \text{rank} K=1, PK \leq C} H_{(P_1, C_1)} \otimes H_{(P_2, C_2)},$$

where  $b_K(KP) = P_1 \times P_2$ ,  $b_K(KC) = C_1 \times C_2$ .

The product on  $\mathcal{N}$  is given by

$$H_{(P_1, C_1)} * H_{(P_2, C_2)} = H_{(j_G(P_1 \times P_2), j_G(C_1 \times C_2))}.$$

The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(g_1, g_2)$ , where  $P_i \in \Sigma^{g_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

- [1, Sections 6.5.7 and 6.8.6] Let  $\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n)^*$ , with the basis element corresponding to  $(C, D) \in \mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n$  denoted by  $F_{(C,D)}$  when  $n > 0$  and 1 when  $(C, D) = (\emptyset_0, \emptyset_0)$ .

The coproduct on  $\mathcal{S}$  is given by

$$\Delta(F_{(C,D)}) = 1 \otimes F_{(C,D)} + F_{(C,D)} \otimes 1 + \sum_{K: \text{rank} K=1, K \leq D} F_{(C_1, D_1)} \otimes F_{(C_2, D_2)},$$

where  $b_K(KC) = C_1 \times C_2$ ,  $b_K(D) = D_1 \times D_2$ .

The product on  $\mathcal{S}$  is given by

$$F_{(C_1, D_1)} * F_{(C_2, D_2)} = \sum_{D: GD=j_G(D_1 \times D_2)} F_{((j_G(C_1 \times C_2)), D)}.$$

The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(g_1, g_2)$ , where  $C_i \in \Sigma^{g_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

- [1, Sections 6.5.8 and 6.8.7] Let  $\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n)$ , with the basis element corresponding to  $(D, C) \in \mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n$  denoted by  $K_{(D,C)}$  when  $n > 0$  and 1 when  $(D, C) = (\emptyset_0, \emptyset_0)$ .

The coproduct on  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by

$$\Delta(K_{(D,C)}) = 1 \otimes K_{(D,C)} + K_{(D,C)} \otimes 1 + \sum_{K: \text{rank} K=1, K \leq D} K_{(D_1, C_1)} \otimes K_{(D_2, C_2)},$$

where  $b_K(KC) = C_1 \times C_2$ ,  $b_K(D) = D_1 \times D_2$ .

The product on  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by

$$K_{(D_1, C_1)} * K_{(D_2, C_2)} = \sum_{D: GD=j_G(D_1 \times D_2)} K_{(D, j_G(C_1 \times C_2))}.$$

The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(g_1, g_2)$ , where  $C_i \in \Sigma^{g_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

- [1, Sections 5.6.5-5.6.8, 6.5.10 and 6.8.9] Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}L^n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}Z^n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}^*} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(L^n)^*$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}^*} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(Z^n)^*$ , with the basis element denoted by  $h_X$ ,  $h_L$ ,  $m_X$ ,  $m_L$  respectively, for  $X \in L^n$ ,  $L \in Z^n$  when  $n > 0$  and 1 otherwise.

Define following maps:

$$\text{supp}^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{P},$$

$$m_X \mapsto \sum_{F: \text{supp} F=X} M_F;$$

$$\text{lune}^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q},$$

$$m_L \mapsto \sum_{(F,D): \text{lune}(F,D)=L} M_{(F,D)};$$

$$\text{supp} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}},$$

$$H_P \mapsto h_{\text{supp} P};$$

$$\text{lune} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}},$$

$$H_{(P,C)} \mapsto h_{\text{lune}(P,C)}.$$



For every graded vector space in the form of  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(V^n)$  defined in this subsection, define a counit  $\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon(1) = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{K}(V^n)) = \{0\}$ . In addition, we set  $1$  to be the unit of the product  $*$  and to satisfy  $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$ . Then the unit map is a coalgebra morphism.

From definitions, it's easy to see  $lune^*$  and  $supp^*$  are injective while  $lune$  and  $supp$  are surjective. The coproducts and products on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}^*}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$  are also given in [1, Chapter 6], but with the following theorem one can see  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  as quotient algebras and quotient coalgebras of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  respectively, and see  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}^*}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$  as subalgebras and subcoalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.1.** [1, Theorems 6.1.1 and 6.1.2] Let  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a family of LRBs that satisfies all coalgebra axioms (C1) – (CP) and all algebra axioms (A1) – (AP). Then the following diagram is a diagram of coalgebras and algebras.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R} \\
 \downarrow \text{supp} & & \downarrow \text{lune} & & \searrow \\
 \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}^*} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}^*} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \mathcal{P}
 \end{array}
 \quad (2)$$

(Readers can refer to [1, Chapter 6] to know the definitions of other maps. We only need to know they are maps of algebras and coalgebras and that they can make this diagram commute.)

In light of the above theorem, Aguiar and Mahajan asked a question [1, Section 6.1]: “For a family  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of LRBs, can one give compatibility axioms such that if a family satisfies the coalgebra, algebra and compatibility axioms then Diagram 2 is a diagram of Hopf algebras?” This paper is to solve this problem.

## 4 Compatibility axioms

### 4.1 Task

Now we consider our task. The following lemma will be useful when we deal with the maps.

**Lemma 4.1.** [19, Lemma 4.0.4] Let  $H, L$  be Hopf algebras with antipodes  $S_H, S_L$ . If  $V : H \rightarrow L$  is a bialgebra map, then it is a Hopf morphism (that is  $f \circ S_H = S_L \circ f$ ).

Our goal is to construct additional axioms to make Diagram 2 a diagram of Hopf algebras. With the following lemma, we can simplify our task a lot.

**Lemma 4.2.** If  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  are bialgebras, then Diagram 2 is a diagram of Hopf algebras.

**Proof.** Due to [20, Lemma 14], for any graded connected bialgebra, one can get a formula for the antipode to make it a Hopf algebra. From axiom (C1), axiom (A1) and the definitions of the products and coproducts of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$ , we can find they are all connected graded bialgebras. Accordingly they are all Hopf algebras.

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}^*}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$  inherit algebra and coalgebra structures from  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$  respectively, so they also satisfy the compatibility condition.

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{M}/\ker(\text{supp})$ .  $\ker(\text{supp})$  is both an ideal and a coideal of  $\mathcal{M}$ , so it is a biideal, which means  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a bialgebra.  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is bialgebra similarly.

From definitions it's easy to see  $\text{lune}^*$ ,  $\text{supp}^*$ ,  $\text{lune}$  and  $\text{supp}$  are graded, so  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}^*}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$  are also graded connected bialgebras, which means they are all Hopf algebras.

From Lemma 4.1, Theorem 3.1 and the definition of bialgebra morphism we have that all the maps in Diagram 2 are Hopf algebra maps.  $\square$

Therefore our task is to give compatibility axioms to make  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  bialgebras.

## 4.2 Notation

Before showing compatibility axioms, we define some notations for convenience. For all  $n \geq 0$ , let:

$$\begin{aligned} b^n &: \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \times \Sigma^n, \\ &F \mapsto \emptyset_0 \times F, \\ B^n &: \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma^n \times \Sigma^0, \\ &F \mapsto F \times \emptyset_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T^n = \{b_K | K \in \Sigma^n, \text{rank}K = 1\} \cup \{b^n, B^n\}$ ,

$$T_F^n = \{b_K | K \in \Sigma^n, \text{rank}K = 1, K \leq F\} \cup \{b^n, B^n\},$$

for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $F \in \Sigma^n$ . Let  $T^0 = T_{\emptyset_0}^0 = \{b^0\}$ .

For  $f \in T^n$ ,  $P \in \Sigma^n$ , let

$$\hat{f}(P) = \begin{cases} b_K(KP), & \text{if } f = b_K \text{ for some } K, \\ f(P), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$K_f = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } f = b_K \text{ for some } K, \\ \emptyset_n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\hat{f}(P) = f(K_f P)$ , and

$$f \in T_F^n \Leftrightarrow F \in \Sigma_{K_f}^n \Leftrightarrow K_f \leq F.$$

## 4.3 Axioms

Now we give our compatibility axioms. Considering that we want to use them to make bialgebras, we denoted them by  $B_i$ .

**Axiom (B1).** For any  $n, m \geq 0$ , there exists a bijection  $g_{n,m} : T^n \times T^m \rightarrow$

$T^{n+m}$ . If  $f : \Sigma_{K_f}^n \rightarrow \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ ,  $f' : \Sigma_{K_{f'}}^n \rightarrow \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ , let  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f')$ , then  $f'' \in T^{n+m}$  is the unique poset isomorphism which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1)  $Im f'' = \Sigma^{n_1+m_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2+m_2}$ ,
- (2)  $GK_{f''} = j_G(K_f \times K_{f'})$ , where  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ .

**Axiom (B2).** For all  $n, m \geq 0$ ,  $f \in T^n$ ,  $f' \in T^m$ , let  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f') \in T^{n+m}$ .  $f''$  satisfies that

$$\hat{f}''(j_G(P \times P')) = j_{G_1}(P_1 \times P'_1) \times j_{G_2}(P_2 \times P'_2),$$

for any  $P \in \Sigma^n$ ,  $P' \in \Sigma^m$ , where  $\hat{f}(P) = P_1 \times P_2 \in \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ , and  $\hat{f}'(P') = P'_1 \times P'_2 \in \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ . The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ , and  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . In other words:

$$\hat{f}'' \circ j_G = (j_{G_1} \times j_{G_2}) \circ (id_{n_1} \times \tau_{n_2, m_1} \times id_{m_2}) \circ (\hat{f} \times \hat{f}'),$$

where  $\tau_{n_2, m_1}$  is the twist map  $\Sigma^{n_2} \times \Sigma^{m_1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$  given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ .

#### 4.4 Proof

Now we will prove that our compatibility axioms (B1) – (B2) together with the coalgebra axioms (C1) – (CP) and algebra axioms (A1) – (AP) can make Diagram 2 is a diagram of Hopf algebras. Let  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a family of LRBs that satisfies all axioms (C1) – (CP), (A1) – (AP) and (B1) – (B2). With Lemma 4.2, we only need to prove  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  are bialgebras. Before turning to the main results, we first prove two lemmas. The following lemmas can be seen from the compatibility axioms.

**Lemma 4.3.** For all  $n, m \geq 0$ ,  $f \in T^n$ ,  $f' \in T^m$ , let  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f') \in T^{n+m}$ . If  $Im f = \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ ,  $Im f' = \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ , then

$$\hat{f}''(G) = G_1 \times G_2.$$

The vertex  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ , where  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ .

**Proof.** Apply axiom (B2) to  $\emptyset_n \times \emptyset_m$ .

$f : \Sigma_{K_f}^n \rightarrow \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$  is a poset isomorphism and  $K_f \emptyset_n = K_f$ , so

$$\hat{f}(\emptyset_n) = f(K_f \emptyset_n) = f(K_f) = \emptyset_{n_1} \times \emptyset_{n_2}.$$

Similarly, we have  $\hat{f}'(\emptyset_m) = \emptyset_{m_1} \times \emptyset_{m_2}$ .

Since  $j_G : \Sigma^n \times \Sigma^m \rightarrow \Sigma^{n+m}$  is a poset isomorphism, we have

$$j_G(\emptyset_n \times \emptyset_m) = G.$$

Similarly,  $j_{G_i}(\emptyset_{n_i} \times \emptyset_{m_i}) = G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore

$$\hat{f}''(G) = \hat{f}''(j_G(\emptyset_n \times \emptyset_m)) = j_{G_1}(\emptyset_{n_1} \times \emptyset_{m_1}) \times j_{G_2}(\emptyset_{n_2} \times \emptyset_{m_2}) = G_1 \times G_2.$$

□

**Lemma 4.4.** For all  $n, m \geq 0$ ,  $F \in \Sigma^n$ ,  $F' \in \Sigma^m$ , there exists a bijection  $\varpi$  from

$$L = \left\{ (f, f', \widetilde{F}_1, \widetilde{F}_2) \mid f \in T_{\widetilde{F}}^n, f' \in T_{\widetilde{F}'}^m, G_i \widetilde{F}_i = j_{G_i}(F_i \times F'_i), i = 1, 2 \right\},$$

where  $f(F) = F_1 \times F_2 \in \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ ,  $f'(F') = F'_1 \times F'_2 \in \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ , and  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ ,

to

$$R = \left\{ (\widetilde{F}, f'') \mid G\widetilde{F} = j_G(F \times F'), f'' \in T_{\widetilde{F}}^{n+m} \right\},$$

where  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ .

**Proof.**  $\varpi$  is given by

$$(f, f', \widetilde{F}_1, \widetilde{F}_2) \mapsto (\widetilde{F}, f''),$$

where  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f')$ ,  $\widetilde{F} = (f'')^{-1}(\widetilde{F}_1 \times \widetilde{F}_2)$ . First we will prove  $(\widetilde{F}, f'') \in R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f''(K_{f''}G\widetilde{F}) &= f''(K_{f''}G)f''(\widetilde{F}) \\ &= (G_1 \times G_2)(\widetilde{F}_1 \times \widetilde{F}_2) \\ &= (G_1\widetilde{F}_1) \times (G_2\widetilde{F}_2) \\ &= j_{G_1}(F_1 \times F'_1) \times j_{G_2}(F_2 \times F'_2) \\ &= \hat{f}''(j_G(F \times F')) \\ &= f''(K_{f''}j_G(F \times F')). \end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows from axiom (CP) and the definition of  $T^{n+m}$ . The second one follows from Lemma 4.3. The fifth one uses axiom (B2).

Since  $f''$  is injective, we have

$$K_{f''}G\widetilde{F} = K_{f''}j_G(F \times F').$$

Premultiplying by  $G$ , then we have

$$GK_{f''}G\widetilde{F} = GK_{f''}j_G(F \times F'). \quad (3)$$

The left side of 3 is

$$GK_{f''}G\widetilde{F} = GK_{f''}\widetilde{F} = G\widetilde{F}$$

since  $\Sigma^{n+m}$  is a LRB and  $K_{f''} \leq \widetilde{F}$ . The right side of 3 is

$$\begin{aligned} GK_{f''}j_G(F \times F') &= j_G(K_f \times K_{f'})j_G(F \times F') \\ &= j_G(K_f F \times K_{f'} F') \\ &= j_G(F \times F'). \end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows from axiom (B1), while the second one is a consequence of axiom (AP), and the third one follows that  $K_f \leq F$  and  $K_{f'} \leq F'$ . Then we have

$$G\widetilde{F} = j_G(F \times F'),$$

that is,  $(\widetilde{F}, f'') \in R$ . The map  $\varpi : L \rightarrow R$  given above is injective since  $g_{n,m}$  is bijective.

For any pair  $(\widetilde{F}, f'') \in R$ , let  $f''(\widetilde{F}) = \widetilde{F}_1 \times \widetilde{F}_2, g_{n,m}^{-1} = (f, f')$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(G_1 \widetilde{F}_1) \times (G_2 \widetilde{F}_2) &= (G_1 \times G_2)(\widetilde{F}_1 \times \widetilde{F}_2) \\
&= f''(K_{f''} G) f''(\widetilde{F}) \\
&= f''(K_{f''} G \widetilde{F}) \\
&= f''(K_{f''} j_G(F \times F')) \\
&= \hat{f}''(j_G(F \times F')) \\
&= j_{G_1}(F_1 \times F'_1) \times j_{G_2}(F_2 \times F'_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from Lemma 4.3. The third one uses axiom (CP) and the definition of  $T^{n+m}$ . For the sixth equality, we apply axiom (B2) to  $F \times F'$ . Therefore  $(f, f', \widetilde{F}_1, \widetilde{F}_2) \in L$ . Thus we have proved  $\varpi$  is surjective.  $\square$

In what follows, we will show the compatibility of the products and the coproducts of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  in turn, that is, they are bialgebras. A direct result of the above lemma is the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.**  $(\mathcal{P}, \Delta, *)$  is a bialgebra.

**Proof.** We only need to prove the compatibility of  $*$  and  $\Delta$ . Let  $M_{\emptyset_0} = 1$ . Rewrite  $\Delta$ : For  $F \in \Sigma^n$ ,

$$\Delta(M_F) = \sum_{f \in T_F^n} M_{F_1} \otimes M_{F_2},$$

where  $f(F) = F_1 \times F_2$ .

Then for all  $n, m \geq 0$ ,  $F \in \Sigma^n$ ,  $F' \in \Sigma^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(M_F) * \Delta(M_{F'}) &= \left( \sum_{f \in T_F^n} M_{F_1} \otimes M_{F_2} \right) * \left( \sum_{f' \in T_{F'}^m} M_{F'_1} \otimes M_{F'_2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{f \in T_F^n, f' \in T_{F'}^m} (M_{F_1} * M_{F'_1}) \otimes (M_{F_2} * M_{F'_2}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f \in T_F^n, f' \in T_{F'}^m, \\ \widetilde{F}_i: G_i \widetilde{F}_i = j_{G_i}(F_i \times F'_i), \\ i=1,2}} M_{\widetilde{F}_1} \otimes M_{\widetilde{F}_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f(F) = F_1 \times F_2 \in \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ , and  $f'(F') = F'_1 \times F'_2 \in \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ .  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(M_F * M_{F'}) &= \Delta\left( \sum_{\widetilde{F}: G \widetilde{F} = j_G(F \times F')} M_{\widetilde{F}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\widetilde{F}: G \widetilde{F} = j_G(F \times F'), \\ f'' \in T_{\widetilde{F}}^{n+m}}} M_{\widetilde{F}_1} \otimes M_{\widetilde{F}_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f''(\widetilde{F}) = \widetilde{F}_1 \times \widetilde{F}_2$ , and  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ .

From axiom (B1) and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\Delta(M_F) * \Delta(M_{F'}) = \Delta(M_F * M_{F'}).$$

□

As for  $\mathcal{M}$ , we mainly need Axiom (B2).

**Theorem 4.2.**  $(\mathcal{M}, \Delta, *)$  is a bialgebra.

**Proof.** We only need to prove the compatibility of  $*$  and  $\Delta$ . Let  $H_{\emptyset_0} = 1$ . Rewrite  $\Delta$  : For  $P \in \Sigma^n$ ,

$$\Delta(H_P) = \sum_{f \in T^n} H_{P_1} \otimes H_{P_2},$$

where  $\hat{f}(P) = P_1 \times P_2$ .

Then for all  $n, m \geq 0$ ,  $P \in \Sigma^n$ ,  $P' \in \Sigma^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H_P) * \Delta(H_{P'}) &= \left( \sum_{f \in T^n} H_{P_1} \otimes H_{P_2} \right) * \left( \sum_{f' \in T^m} H_{P'_1} \otimes H_{P'_2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{f \in T^n, f' \in T^m} (H_{P_1} * H_{P'_1}) \otimes (H_{P_2} * H_{P'_2}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{f \in T^n, f' \in T^m, \\ P_i: G_i \tilde{P}_i, i=1,2}} H_{j_{G_1}(P_1 \times P'_1)} \otimes H_{j_{G_2}(P_2 \times P'_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}(P) = P_1 \times P_2 \in \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ , and  $\hat{f}'(P') = P'_1 \times P'_2 \in \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ .  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H_P * H_{P'}) &= \Delta H_{j_G(P \times P')} \\ &= \sum_{f'' \in T^{n+m}} H_{\tilde{P}_1} \otimes H_{\tilde{P}_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}''(j_G(P \times P')) = \tilde{P}_1 \times \tilde{P}_2$ , and  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ .

From Axiom (B1) and Axiom (B2) we have

$$\Delta(H_P) * \Delta(H_{P'}) = \Delta(H_P * H_{P'}).$$

□

The coalgebra structure and the algebra structure of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in the first coordinate are similar to those of  $\mathcal{P}$ , but we need to show the correspondence of the second coordinate.

**Theorem 4.3.**  $(\mathcal{Q}, \Delta, *)$  is a bialgebra.

**Proof.** We only need to prove the compatibility of  $*$  and  $\Delta$ . Let  $M_{(\emptyset_0, \emptyset_0)} = 1$ . Rewrite  $\Delta$  : For  $(F, D) \in Q^n$ ,

$$\Delta(M_{(F, D)}) = \sum_{f \in T_F^n} M_{(F_1, D_1)} \otimes M_{(F_2, D_2)},$$

where  $f(F) = F_1 \times F_2$ , and  $f(D) = D_1 \times D_2$ .

Then for all  $n, m \geq 0$ ,  $(F, D) \in Q^n$ ,  $(F', D') \in Q^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(M_{(F,D)} * \Delta(M_{(F',D')})) \\
&= \left( \sum_{f \in T_F^n} M_{(F_1, D_1)} \otimes M_{(F_2, D_2)} \right) * \left( \sum_{f' \in T_{F'}^m} M_{(F'_1, D'_1)} \otimes M_{(F'_2, D'_2)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{f \in T_F^n, f' \in T_{F'}^m} (M_{(F_1, D_1)} * M_{(F'_1, D'_1)}) \otimes (M_{(F_2, D_2)} * M_{(F'_2, D'_2)}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{f \in T_F^n, f' \in T_{F'}^m, \\ \tilde{F}_i: G_i \tilde{F}_i = j_{G_i}(F_i \times F'_i), \\ i=1,2}} M_{(\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_1 j_{G_1}(D_1 \times D'_1))} \otimes M_{(\tilde{F}_2, \tilde{F}_2 j_{G_2}(D_2 \times D'_2))},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f(F) = F_1 \times F_2 \in \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ ,  $f'(F') = F'_1 \times F'_2 \in \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ ,  $f(D) = D_1 \times D_2$ , and  $f'(D') = D'_1 \times D'_2$ .  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(M_{(F,D)} * M_{(F',D')}) &= \Delta\left( \sum_{\tilde{F}: G \tilde{F} = j_G(F \times F')} M_{(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F} j_G(D \times D'))} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\tilde{F}: G \tilde{F} = j_G(F \times F'), \\ f'' \in T_{\tilde{F}}^{n+m}}} M_{(\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{D}_1)} \otimes M_{(\tilde{F}_2, \tilde{D}_2)},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f''(\tilde{F}) = \tilde{F}_1 \times \tilde{F}_2$ , and  $f''(\tilde{F} j_G(D \times D')) = \tilde{D}_1 \times \tilde{D}_2$ .  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ .

We claim

$$f''(\tilde{F} j_G(D \times D')) = (\tilde{F}_1 j_{G_1}(D_1 \times D'_1)) \times (\tilde{F}_2 j_{G_2}(D_2 \times D'_2)),$$

where  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f')$ . With this claim and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\Delta(M_{(F,D)} * \Delta(M_{(F',D')})) = \Delta(M_{(F,D)} * M_{(F',D')}).$$

Now we prove the claim:

$$\begin{aligned}
f''(\tilde{F} j_G(D \times D')) &= f''(K_{f''} \tilde{F} j_G(D \times D')) \\
&= f''(K_{f''} \tilde{F} K_{f''} j_G(D \times D')) \\
&= f''(K_{f''} \tilde{F}) f''(K_{f''} j_G(D \times D')) \\
&= f''(\tilde{F}) f''(j_G(D \times D')) \\
&= (\tilde{F}_1 \times \tilde{F}_2)(j_{G_1}(D_1 \times D'_1) \times j_{G_2}(D_2 \times D'_2)) \\
&= (\tilde{F}_1 j_{G_1}(D_1 \times D'_1)) \times (\tilde{F}_2 j_{G_2}(D_2 \times D'_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the fourth equalities follow from that  $f'' \in T_{\tilde{F}}^{n+m}$ , that is,  $K_{f''} \leq \tilde{F}$ . The second one follows from the definition of LRB. The third one follows from axiom (CP) and the definition of  $T^{n+m}$ . For the fifth equality, we apply axiom (B2) to  $D \times D'$ .  $\square$ .

The coalgebra structure and the algebra structure of  $\mathcal{N}$  are similar to those of  $\mathcal{M}$ , but with a restriction. We need to show the correspondence of the restrictions.

**Theorem 4.4.**  $(\mathcal{N}, \Delta, *)$  is a bialgebra.

**Proof.** We only need to prove the compatibility of  $*$  and  $\Delta$ . Let  $H_{(\emptyset_0, \emptyset_0)} = 1$ . Rewrite  $\Delta$  : For  $(P, C) \in Q^n$ ,

$$\Delta(H_{(P,C)}) = \sum_{f \in T^n, PK_f \leq C} H_{(P_1, C_1)} \otimes H_{(P_2, C_2)},$$

where  $\hat{f}(P) = P_1 \times P_2$  and  $\hat{f}(C) = C_1 \times C_2$ .

Then for all  $n, m \geq 0$ ,  $(P, C) \in Q^n$ , and  $(P', C') \in Q^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(H_{(P,C)}) * \Delta(H_{(P',C')}) \\ = & \left( \sum_{f \in T^n, PK_f \leq C} H_{(P_1, C_1)} \otimes H_{(P_2, C_2)} \right) * \left( \sum_{f' \in T^m, P'K_{f'} \leq C'} H_{(P'_1, C'_1)} \otimes H_{(P'_2, C'_2)} \right) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{f \in T^n, f' \in T^m, \\ PK_f \leq C, P'K_{f'} \leq C'}} (H_{(P_1, C_1)} * H_{(P'_1, C'_1)}) \otimes (H_{(P_2, C_2)} * H_{(P'_2, C'_2)}) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{f \in T_P^n, f' \in T_{P'}^m, \\ PK_f \leq C, P'K_{f'} \leq C'}} H_{(j_{G_1}(P_1 \times P'_1), j_{G_1}(C_1 \times C'_1))} \otimes H_{(j_{G_2}(P_2 \times P'_2), j_{G_2}(C_2 \times C'_2))}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}(P) = P_1 \times P_2 \in \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ ,  $\hat{f}(C) = C_1 \times C_2$ ,  $\hat{f}'(P') = P'_1 \times P'_2 \in \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ , and  $\hat{f}'(P') = P'_1 \times P'_2$ .  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H_{(P,C)} * H_{(P',C')}) &= \Delta H_{(j_G(P \times P'), j_G(C \times C'))} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{f'' \in T^{n+m} \\ j_G(P \times P'), \\ j_G(P \times P')K_{f''} \leq j_G(C \times C')}} H_{(\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{C}_1)} \otimes H_{(\tilde{P}_2, \tilde{C}_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}''(j_G(P \times P')) = \tilde{P}_1 \times \tilde{P}_2$ , and  $\hat{f}''(j_G(C \times C')) = \tilde{C}_1 \times \tilde{C}_2$ .  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ .

We claim

$$PK_f \leq C, P'K_{f'} \leq C' \Leftrightarrow j_G(P \times P')K_{f''} \leq j_G(C \times C'),$$

where  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f')$ .

With this claim and axiom (B2), we have

$$\Delta(H_{(P,C)}) * \Delta(H_{(P',C')}) = \Delta(H_{(P,C)} * H_{(P',C')}).$$

Now we prove the claim:

$$\begin{aligned} j_G(P \times P')K_{f''} &= G j_G(P \times P')K_{f''} \\ &= G j_G(P \times P')G K_{f''} \\ &= j_G(P \times P')G K_{f''} \\ &= j_G(P \times P')j_G(K_f \times K_{f'}) \\ &= j_G((PK_f) \times (P'K_{f'})) \end{aligned}$$



The first and the third equality follows that  $G \leq j_G(P \times P')$ . The second one follows from the definition of a LRB. The fourth equality follows from axiom (B1), while the fifth one is a consequence of axiom (AP).  $\square$

The coalgebra and algebra structures of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are combinations of those of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ , so the proof is easy.

**Theorem 4.5.**  $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta, *)$  and  $(\mathcal{R}, \Delta, *)$  are bialgebras.

**Proof.** We only need to prove for  $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta, *)$ . Rewrite  $\Delta$ : For  $(C, D) \in \mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n$ ,

$$\Delta(F_{(C,D)}) = \sum_{f \in T_D^n} F_{(C_1, D_1)} \otimes F_{(C_2, D_2)},$$

where  $\hat{f}(C) = C_1 \times C_2$  and  $f(D) = D_1 \times D_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(F_{(C,D)}) * \Delta(F_{(C',D')}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{f \in T_D^n} F_{(C_1, D_1)} \otimes F_{(C_2, D_2)} \right) * \left( \sum_{f' \in T_{D'}^m} F_{(C'_1, D'_1)} \otimes F_{(C'_2, D'_2)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{f \in T_D^n, f' \in T_{D'}^m} (F_{(C_1, D_1)} * F_{(C'_1, D'_1)}) \otimes (F_{(C_2, D_2)} * F_{(C'_2, D'_2)}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{f \in T_D^n, f' \in T_{D'}^m, \\ \tilde{D}_i: G_i \tilde{D}_i = j_{G_i}(D_i \times D'_i), \\ i=1,2}} F_{(j_{G_1}(C_1 \times C'_1), \tilde{D}_1)} \otimes F_{(j_{G_2}(C_2 \times C'_2), \tilde{D}_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}(C) = C_1 \times C_2 \in \Sigma^{n_1} \times \Sigma^{n_2}$ ,  $\hat{f}'(C') = C'_1 \times C'_2 \in \Sigma^{m_1} \times \Sigma^{m_2}$ ,  $f(D) = D_1 \times D_2$ , and  $f'(D') = D'_1 \times D'_2$ .  $G_i$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n_i, m_i)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(F_{(C,D)} * F_{(C',D')}) &= \Delta\left( \sum_{\tilde{D}: G \tilde{D} = j_G(D \times D')} F_{(j_G(C \times C'), \tilde{D})} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tilde{D}: G \tilde{D} = j_G(D \times D'), \\ f'' \in T_{\tilde{D}}^{n+m}}} F_{(\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{D}_1)} \otimes F_{(\tilde{C}_2, \tilde{D}_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}''(j_G(C \times C')) = \tilde{C}_1 \times \tilde{C}_2$ ,  $f''(\tilde{D}) = \tilde{D}_1 \times \tilde{D}_2$ .  $G$  is given by Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$ .

Apply Axiom (B2) to  $C \times C'$ , we have that if  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f')$ , then

$$\tilde{C}_1 \times \tilde{C}_2 = \hat{f}''(j_G(C \times C')) = j_{G_1}(C_1 \times C'_1) \times j_{G_2}(C_2 \times C'_2).$$

Use Lemma 4.4 we have that

$$\Delta(F_{(C,D)}) * \Delta(M_{(F',D')}) = \Delta(F_{(C,D)} * F_{(C',D')}).$$

$\square$

With these results at hand, we can now embark on the main theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $\{\Sigma^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a family of LRBs that satisfies all axioms (C1) – (CP), (A1) – (AP) and (B1) – (B2). Then Diagram 2 is a diagram of Hopf algebras.

**Proof.** The theorem 4.6 is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.2 and theorems 4.1-4.5.

## 4.5 Example

In this section we will give an example of a family of LRBs which satisfies all the axioms (C1) – (CP), (A1) – (AP), and (B1) – (B2). The purpose of this part is to show our axioms are compatible with each other.

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. A *composition* of  $[n]$  is an ordered partition  $F^1 \cdots F^k$  of  $[n]$ . In other words,  $F^1 \cdots F^k$  are disjoint nonempty sets whose union is  $[n]$ , and their order counts. Let  $\mathcal{B}^n$  be the compositions of  $[n]$ . Define a product on  $\mathcal{B}^n$  to make it a LRB as follows: If  $F = F^1 \cdots F^k$  and  $H = H^1 \cdots H^m$ , then

$$FH = (F^1 \cap H^1 \cdots F^1 \cap H^m \cdots F^k \cap H^1 \cdots F^k \cap H^m)$$

where the hat means “deleting empty intersections”.

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{B}^n$  is a LRB.  $F \leq H \Leftrightarrow FH = H \Leftrightarrow H$  is a refinement of  $F$ . Rank the compositions of  $[n]$  by the numbers of “|”, then  $\mathcal{B}^n$  is a graded poset of rank  $n - 1$ .

There is a unique order-preserving map  $st$  from any  $n$ -set  $N$  of the integers to the standard  $n$ -set  $[n]$ . Using this map, one can standardize a composition of  $N$  to a composition of  $[n]$ . For example,  $st(9|16|57) = 5|13|24$ . “ $st$ ” is called the standardization map. Let  $A$  be a set and  $b = |A|$ , the standard map from  $A$  to  $[b]$  is denoted  $st_{A,b}$ .

Let  $F$  be a set of integers, and  $m$  an integer. Let  $F + m := \{a + m | a \in F\}$ .

Now we give the definition of  $b_F$  and  $j_F$  for a set composition  $F$ .

**Definition 4.1.** [1, Definition 6.3.3] For any composition  $F = F^1 \cdots F^l$  of  $[n]$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_F^n = \{H \in \mathcal{B}^n | F \leq H\}$ . We have  $l = \deg F$ . Let  $f_i$  be the cardinality of  $F_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . The poset isomorphism

$$b_F : \mathcal{B}_F^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{f_1} \times \mathcal{B}^{f_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}^{f_l}$$

is defined as follows. Let  $H \in \mathcal{B}_F^n$  be a refinement of  $F$ . Then the image of  $H$  on the  $i$ -th factor is obtained by lumping together the blocks of  $H$  that refine  $F_i$  and standardizing so that the result is a composition of the set  $[f_i]$ .

For example, for  $n = 8$  and  $F = 14|2357|68$ , the map  $b_F$  :

$$b_F : \mathcal{B}_F^8 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{B}^4 \times \mathcal{B}^2$$

sends  $H = 14|5|3|27|8|6$  to the triplet  $12 \times 3|2|14 \times 2|1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}^0 = \{\emptyset_0\}$ , where  $\{\emptyset_0\}$  is the empty composition of  $\emptyset$ .

**Definition 4.2.** [1, Definition 6.6.2] For a composition  $(f_1, \dots, f_k)$  of  $n$ , let  $F = F^1 | \cdots | F^k$  be the composition of the set  $[n]$ , where  $F^1 = 12 \cdots f_1$ ,  $F^2 = f_1 + 1 \cdots f_1 + f_2$ , and so on. Then define a poset isomorphism

$$j_F : \mathcal{B}^{f_1} \times \mathcal{B}^{f_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}^{f_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_F^n$$

as follows.

Let  $F_i \in \mathcal{B}^{f_i}$  be a composition of the set  $[f_i]$ . Then the image of  $F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_k$  is obtained by placing  $F_1$ ,  $F_2 + f_1$ ,  $F_3 + (f_1 + f_2)$ , and so on next to one another.

For example, for the composition  $(2, 3, 3)$  of  $8$ , we have  $F = 12|345|678$ , and the map

$$j_F : \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{B}^3 \times \mathcal{B}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_F^8$$

sends  $12 \times 3|2|1 \times 3|12$  to the set composition  $12|5|4|3|8|67$ .

Aguiar and Mahajan [1, Lemma 6.3.3 and Lemma 6.6.3] have told us  $\{\mathcal{B}^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies all coalgebra axioms (C1)–(CP) and algebra axioms (A1)–(AP). Our main task in this part is to prove that  $\{\mathcal{B}^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies our compatibility axioms (B1) and (B2) as well.

**Theorem 4.7.** The family of  $\{\mathcal{B}^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies compatibility axioms (B1) and (B2).

**Proof.** For  $n \geq 0$ , define a map  $\iota : T^n \rightarrow \{S | S \subseteq [n]\}$ :

$$\iota(f) = \begin{cases} F^1, & \text{if } f = b_K \text{ for some } K = F^1 | F^2, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } f = b^n, \\ [n], & \text{if } f = B^n. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see  $\iota$  is a bijection. For any  $S \subseteq [n]$ ,

$$\iota^{-1}(S) = \begin{cases} b_{S|[n] \setminus S}, & \text{if } \emptyset \subsetneq S \subsetneq [n], \\ b^n, & \text{if } S = \emptyset, \\ B^n, & \text{if } S = [n]. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $K_f = (\iota(f) | [n] \setminus \iota(f))$ .

Define  $g_{n,m} : T^n \times T^m \rightarrow T^{n+m}$  by

$$(\iota^{-1}(F), \iota^{-1}(F')) \mapsto \iota^{-1}(F \cup (F' + n)),$$

where  $F \subseteq [n]$ ,  $F' \subseteq [m]$ .

Axiom (A1) for the composition  $(n, m)$  gives the vertex  $G = 1 \cdots n | n + 1 \cdots n + m$ . Let  $f = \iota^{-1}(F)$ ,  $f' = \iota^{-1}(F')$ ,  $f'' = g_{n,m}(f, f')$ , then  $K_f = (F | [n] \setminus F)$ ,  $K_{f'} = (F' | [m] \setminus F')$ ,

$$K_{f''} = (F \cup (F' + n) | [n + m] \setminus (F \cup (F' + n))),$$

$$GK_{f''} = (F | [n] \setminus F | F' + n | ([m] \setminus F') + n) = j_G(K_f \times K_{f'}).$$

Therefore the family  $\{\mathcal{B}^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies compatibility axioms (B1). For any  $P = P^1 | \cdots | P^s \in \mathcal{B}^n$ ,  $P' = P'^1 | \cdots | P'^t \in \mathcal{B}^m$ , we have

$$j_G(P \times P') = P^1 | \cdots | P^s | P'^1 + n | \cdots | P'^t + n,$$

$$K_f P = (F \cap P^1 | \cdots | F \cap P^s | P^1 \setminus F | \cdots | P^s \setminus F),$$

$$K_{f'} P' = (F' \cap P'^1 | \cdots | F' \cap P'^t | P'^1 \setminus F' | \cdots | P'^t \setminus F'),$$

$$K_{f''} j_G(P \times P') = (F \cap P^1 | \cdots | F \cap P^s | (F' \cap P'^1) + n | \cdots | (F' \cap P'^t) + n | P^1 \setminus F | \cdots | P^s \setminus F | (P'^1 \setminus F') + n | \cdots | (P'^t \setminus F') + n),$$

then

$$\hat{f}(P) = st((F \cap P^1 | \cdots | F \cap P^s)) \times st((P^1 \setminus F | \cdots | P^s \setminus F)),$$

$$\hat{f}'(P') = st((F' \cap P'^1 | \cdots | F' \cap P'^t)) \times st((P'^1 \setminus F' | \cdots | P'^t \setminus F')),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}'' j_G(P \times P') &= st((F \cap P^1 | \cdots | F \cap P^s | (F' \cap P'^1) + n | \cdots | (F' \cap P'^t) + n)) \\ &\quad \times st((P^1 \setminus F | \cdots | P^s \setminus F | (P'^1 \setminus F') + n | \cdots | (P'^t \setminus F') + n)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n_1 = |F|$ ,  $n_2 = n - n_1$ ,  $m_1 = |F'|$ ,  $m_2 = m - m_1$ , then  $(n_i, m_i)$  gives  $G_i = 1 \cdots n_i |n_i + 1 \cdots n_i + m_i$ . Then consider

$$L = j_{G_1}(st((F \cap P^1 | \cdots | F \cap P^s)) \times st((F' \cap P'^1 | \cdots | F' \cap P'^t)))$$

and

$$R = j_{G_2}(st((P^1 \setminus F | \cdots | P^s \setminus F)) \times st((P'^1 \setminus F' | \cdots | P'^t \setminus F'))).$$

$L$  gives a map  $st' : F \cup (F' + n) \rightarrow [n_1 + m_1]$  by

$$st'(a) = \begin{cases} st_{F, n_1}(a), & \text{if } a \in F, \\ st_{F', m_1}(a - n) + n_1, & \text{if } a \in F' + n. \end{cases}$$

$st'$  is order-preserving so  $st' = st_{F \cup (F' + n), n_1 + m_1}$ , that is,

$$L = st((F \cap P^1 | \cdots | F \cap P^s | (F' \cap P'^1) + n | \cdots | (F' \cap P'^t) + n)).$$

Similarly,

$$R = st((P^1 \setminus F | \cdots | P^s \setminus F | (P'^1 \setminus F') + n | \cdots | (P'^t \setminus F') + n)).$$

Then we have

$$\hat{f}'' j_G(P \times P') = L \times R.$$

We conclude that the family  $\{\mathcal{B}^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies compatibility axioms (B1).

□

Apply Theorem 3.1 to  $\{\mathcal{B}^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , then Diagram 2 specializes to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M\Pi & \xrightarrow{\text{base}^*} & N\Pi & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & R\Pi & & \\
 \downarrow \text{supp} & & \downarrow \Upsilon^* & \searrow \Psi & \searrow s & & \\
 & & & & & & S\Pi \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \text{Road} \\
 \Pi_L & \xrightarrow{\text{base}^*} & \Pi_Z & \xrightarrow{\text{lune}^*} & \Pi_{Z^*} & \xrightarrow{\text{lune}^*} & Q\Pi \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & \downarrow \text{base} & \downarrow \Upsilon & & \downarrow \text{base} \\
 & & & \Pi_{L^*} & \xrightarrow{\text{supp}^*} & & P\Pi
 \end{array}$$

where the Hopf algebra  $M\Pi$ ,  $N\Pi$ , etc. and the maps can be defined in a more combinatorial way [1, Section 6.2].  $P\Pi$ ,  $\Pi_{L^*}$  and  $\Pi_L$  are also studied in [2–4, 6, 10, 14–16, 21, 22]. The internal structure of  $M\Pi$  is studied in [17].

## References

- [1] Aguilar, M., Mahajan, S.: *Coxeter groups and Hopf algebras*, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 23. AMS, Providence, RI (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1090/fim/023>
- [2] N. Bergeron, C. Hohlweg, M. Rosas, and M. Zabrocki. *Grothendieck bialgebras, partition lattices, and symmetric functions in noncommutative variables*. Electron. J. Combin., 13(1):R75 (electronic), 2006. <https://doi.org/10.37236/1101>

- [3] N. Bergeron and M. Zabrocki, *The Hopf algebras of symmetric functions and quasi-symmetric functions in non-commutative variables are free and cofree*, J. Algebra Appl., 8 (2009), pp. 581-600. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219498809003485>
- [4] Frédéric Chapoton, *Algèbres de Hopf des permutahédres, associahédres et hypercubes*, Adv. Math. 150 (2000), no. 2, 264-275. <https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1999.1868>
- [5] Richard Ehrenborg, *On posets and Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. 119 (1996), no. 1, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1996.0026>
- [6] David D. Gebhard and Bruce E. Sagan, *A chromatic symmetric function in noncommuting variables*, J. Algebraic Combin. 13 (2001), no. 3, 227-255. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011258714032>
- [7] Ladnor Geissinger, *Hopf algebras of symmetric functions and class functions*, Combinatoire et représentation du groupe symétrique (Actes Table Ronde C.N.R.S., Univ. Louis-Pasteur Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1976), Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 168-181. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 579. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bfb0090017>
- [8] Israel M. Gelfand, Daniel Krob, Alain Lascoux, Bernard Leclerc, Vladimir S. Retakh, and Jean-Yves Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. 112 (1995), no. 2, 218-348. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/9407124>
- [9] Ira M. Gessel, *Multipartite P-partitions and inner products of skew Schur functions*, Combinatorics and algebra (Boulder, Colo., 1983), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984, pp. 289-317. <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/034/777705>
- [10] Florent Hivert, *Combinatoire des fonctions quasi-symétriques*, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Marne-la-Vallée, 1999.
- [11] Fritz Klein-Barmen, *Über eine weitere Verallgemeinerung des Verbandsbegriffes*, Math. Z. 46 (1940), 472-480. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01181452>
- [12] Claudia Malvenuto and Christophe Reutenauer, *Duality between quasi-symmetric functions and the Solomon descent algebra*, J. Algebra 177 (1995), no. 3, 967-982. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1995.1336>
- [13] Susan Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 82, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1090/cbms/082>
- [14] J.-C. Novelli and J.-Y. Thibon, *Construction of dendriform tri-algebras*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 342 (2006), no. 6, 365-446. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.math/0510218>
- [15] P. Palacios, M. Ronco, *Weak Bruhat order on the set of faces of the permutohedron and the associahedron*, J. Algebra 299 (2) (2006) 648-678. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.09.042>

- [16] Frédéric Patras and Christophe Reutenauer, *On descent algebras and twisted bialgebras*, Mosc. Math. J. 4 (2004), no. 1, 199-216, 311. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1609-4514-2004-4-1-199-216>
- [17] Frédéric Patras and Manfred Schocker, *Twisted descent algebras and the Solomon-Tits algebra*, Adv. Math. 199 (2006), no. 1, 155-184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2005.01.010>
- [18] Maurice-Paul Schützenberger, *Sur certains treillis gauches*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 224 (1947), 776-778.
- [19] Moss E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [20] Mitsuhiro Takeuchi, *Free Hopf algebras generated by coalgebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971), 561-582. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/02340561>
- [21] Mercedes H. Rosas and Bruce E. Sagan, *Symmetric functions in noncommuting variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 1, 215-232 (electronic). <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9947-04-03623-2>
- [22] Margarete C. Wolf, *Symmetric functions of non-commutative elements*, Duke Math. J. (1936), 626-637. <https://doi.org/10.1215/s0012-7094-36-00253-3>