

Operator Characterization via Projectors and Nilpotents

Shih-Yu Chang *

November 20, 2024

Abstract

This paper presents significant contributions to the study of operators with countable, continuous, and hybrid spectra, with applications across both finite-dimensional and infinite-dimensional contexts, particularly in non-Hermitian (non-self-adjoint) systems. For finite-dimensional operators, a new concept of analogous matrices is introduced, where two matrices are deemed analogous if they share the same projector and nilpotent structures. This perspective highlights structural equivalences beyond simple spectral similarities. To aid in classifying such matrices, a graph-based representation of these projectors and nilpotent elements is developed, offering both visual and computational insights. Additionally, the paper calculates the number of distinct families of analogous matrices based on matrix size, providing a fundamental tool for matrix classification. The paper also extends the spectral mapping theorem to multivariate functions of matrices, incorporating both Hermitian and non-Hermitian matrices. With functions assumed to be holomorphic, this generalized theorem expands the applicability of spectral theory to a wider class of operators. The framework established for finite-dimensional matrices is further extended to infinite-dimensional settings, including countable spectrum operators, enhancing understanding of operator behavior in broader contexts. For continuous spectrum operators, the paper advances von Neumann’s spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators to include a larger class of spectral operators, accommodating both self-adjoint and non-self-adjoint cases. This expansion provides a unified spectral framework that generalizes spectral decomposition, allowing the spectral mapping theorem to be applied in diverse cases. Furthermore, analogous to finite dimensions, the concept of analogous operators is introduced for continuous spectrum operators, enhancing operator classification. For operators with hybrid spectrum—comprising both discrete and continuous elements—analogue properties and spectral mapping are also explored.

Index terms— Functional calculus, spectral mapping theorem, Hermitian matrix, self-adjoint operators, graph representation, projector, nilpotent, Jordan decomposition.

1 Introduction

Spectral analysis of operators is crucial in mathematics because it provides deep insights into the structure and behavior of linear operators, particularly through the study of their spectra (the set of eigenvalues and corresponding eigenspaces) [1, 2]. This analysis generalizes concepts like diagonalization and eigenvalues from finite-dimensional matrices to infinite-dimensional spaces, enabling us to solve various functional and differential equations. In quantum mechanics, for instance, operators represent physical observables, and their spectra correspond to possible measurement outcomes. Spectral theory helps predict system behavior, solve linear PDEs, and provides foundational tools in areas like harmonic analysis and probability theory [3–5].

*Shih-Yu Chang is with the Department of Applied Data Science, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA, U. S. A. (e-mail: shihyu.chang@sjsu.edu).

In science and technology, spectral analysis plays a key role in diverse fields such as signal processing, image compression, and machine learning. In signal processing, for example, the Fourier transform is an application of spectral theory, decomposing signals into their frequency components. In engineering, eigenvalue problems appear in vibration analysis and stability studies, while in machine learning, techniques like Principal Component Analysis (PCA) rely on spectral methods to reduce data dimensions, identify patterns, and improve computational efficiency [6]. Spectral methods are also essential in network analysis, where the spectrum of the graph Laplacian reveals information about the structure and dynamics of complex networks [7].

In an excellent physics review [8], the authors provides a comprehensive exploration of the foundations and applications of *non-Hermitian physics* in both classical and quantum systems. It begins by introducing key concepts from non-Hermitian linear algebra, such as Jordan normal form, biorthogonality, exceptional points, pseudo-Hermiticity, and parity-time (PT) symmetry. These mathematical tools are fundamental for understanding phenomena unique to non-Hermitian systems. The review then discusses various classical systems—such as photonics, mechanics, and acoustics—that simulate non-Hermitian wave physics, highlighting phenomena like unidirectional invisibility, enhanced sensitivity, and coherent perfect absorption. In the quantum realm, the review explains how non-Hermitian operators describe open quantum systems using approaches like the Feshbach projection and quantum trajectory. These frameworks are applied to a wide range of physical systems, from atomic and molecular physics to nuclear physics, where phenomena such as quantum resonances, superradiance, and the quantum Zeno effect are also discussed. The review also covers the emerging field of band topology in non-Hermitian systems, offering a complete classification and examples. Additionally, topics like nonreciprocal transport, speed limits, and nonunitary quantum walks are explored, demonstrating the broad relevance of non-Hermitian physics across multiple scientific domains. All these fascinating studies in physics related to non-Hermitian systems are grounded in either non-Hermitian matrices (finite dimensions) or non-self-adjoint operators (infinite dimensions).

This paper introduces several important contributions to the study of countable spectrum (include both finite-dimensional and infinite-dimesional operators), continous spectrum, and hybrid spectrum operators, with a focus on developing new frameworks for analyzing matrices and operators, especially in the context of projectors and nilpotents. For finite-dimensional matrices, the paper proposes a novel concept of analogous matrices, where two matrices are considered analogous if they share the same projectors and nilpotent structures. This concept provides a new lens through which to view matrix equivalence, emphasizing their internal structures rather than just their spectra. Building on this, the paper presents a graph representation of these shared projectors and nilpotent elements, enabling a visual and computational way to classify matrices within the same family of analogous matrices. Another major contribution is the enumeration of the total number of different families of analogous matrices, given the matrix size, which provides a foundational result for understanding matrix classification.

Furthermore, the paper extends the spectral mapping theorem to functions with multivariate matrix inputs, allowing for both Hermitian and non-Hermitian matrices as arguments. The functions are assumed to be holomorphic and represented by infinite series, extending classical results into a more general, multivariate setting. This result is particularly valuable for applications where matrix inputs are not limited to Hermitian matrices, broadening the applicability of spectral theory in physics and other domains. Analogous properties and spctral mapping theorem for finite-dimensional operators are also extended to countable infinite-dimensional operators.

For continous spectrum operators, the paper first extends the von Neumann spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators by deriving the *spectral mapping theorem* for a broader class of spectral operators, which includes both self-adjoint and non-self-adjoint operators. This extension generalizes the spectral decomposition concept, allowing the spectral mapping theorem to apply to operators beyond the self-adjoint case, accommodating a wider variety of spectral types while still preserving many of the core spectral properties established in the self-adjoint framework. The result is a unified spectral framework that encompasses

the von Neumann spectral theorem as a specific case within this broader setting, thereby expanding the applicability of spectral theory to include non-self-adjoint operators as well. Additionally, analogous to the finite-dimensional case, the paper introduces the concept of analogous operators for continuous spectrum operators and studies their properties, expanding the understanding of operator equivalence beyond finite-dimensional settings. Lastly, the paper presents the multivariate spectral mapping theorem for continuous spectrum operators, where the inputs are several continuous spectrum operators of holomorphic functions, and the results hold for both self-adjoint and non-self-adjoint operators. Finally, we consider hybrid spectrum operators, where spectrum is composed by discrete set and continuous set. Analogous properties and spectral mapping theorem for hybrid operators are also explored.

A key remark made in the paper is that while the resolvent approach is the traditional method for the spectral mapping theorem (via functional calculus), our proposed method based on projectors and nilpotents (Jordan Decomposition) offers significant advantages [2, 9, 10]. The resolvent approach falls short in capturing the nilpotent structure of operators, especially in identifying generalized eigenspaces and Jordan blocks, which are essential for understanding nilpotent behavior. This limitation becomes especially pronounced when the eigenvalue is zero, making it challenging to detect or analyze nilpotency. In contrast, our projector and nilpotent method directly addresses these gaps, providing a more complete framework for spectral analysis of countable spectrum (include both finite-dimensional and infinite-dimensional operators), continuous spectrum, and hybrid spectrum operators. Furthermore, our approach effectively accommodates the spectral analysis of noncommutative operators, expanding the scope of tools available for complex operator behavior.

In Section 2, we begin by reviewing the Jordan decomposition for square matrices, followed by a discussion of analogous operator properties, an exploration of finite-dimensional operator type enumeration, and the establishment of the spectral mapping theorem for multivariate square matrices. Section 3 extends the concepts of analogous operators and the spectral mapping theorem to countable infinite-dimensional operators. In Section 4, we begin with the study of analogous continuous spectrum operators and establish the multivariate spectral mapping theorem for continuous spectrum operators. Finally, Section 5 presents analogous properties and the spectral mapping theorem for hybrid spectrum operators.

2 Finite-Dimensional Operators

The section is organized as follows:

- Section 2.1 reviews the Jordan decomposition for square matrices.
- Section 2.2 introduces the concept of “Analogous Operators” between square matrices and discusses their properties.
- Section 2.3 explores the enumeration of analogous operator families for matrices with given dimension.
- Section 2.4 establishes a spectral mapping theorem for multivariate square matrices.

2.1 Jordan Decomposition Review

The Jordan decomposition, also known as the *Jordan canonical form* or *Jordan normal form*, is a mathematical concept used in linear algebra to simplify the representation of matrices. It expresses a matrix in a nearly diagonal form, making it easier to analyze and understand the matrix’s properties, particularly for matrices that are not diagonalizable.

We review the following important concepts. A Jordan Block with dimension $m \times m$ and the eigenvalue λ , denoted by $J_m(\lambda)$, can be expressed by

$$\mathbf{J}_m(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \quad (1)$$

where λ is a scalar (an eigenvalue of the original matrix), and the off-diagonal elements are either 0 or 1.

The algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ_k of a matrix \mathbf{X} refers to how many times λ_k appears as a root of the *characteristic polynomial* of \mathbf{X} . If λ_k is an eigenvalue, the algebraic multiplicity, denoted by $\alpha_k^{(A)}$, is the power (or count) of λ_k in the factorization of the characteristic polynomial, i.e., $\det(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I}) = 0$, where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix of the same size as \mathbf{X} . On the other hand, the geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ_k of a matrix \mathbf{X} , denoted by $\alpha_k^{(G)}$, is the dimension of the eigenspace associated with λ_k . If the eigenspace of λ_k is defined as: $\text{Null}(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I})$, where $\text{Null}(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I})$ refers to the null space (or kernel) of the matrix $(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I})$. The dimension of this null space gives the geometric multiplicity of λ_k .

Jordan decomposition theorem says that we can decompose a square matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ as [11]:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is an invertible matrix, and $\alpha_k^{(G)}$ is the geometry multiplicity with respect to the k -th eigenvalue λ_k . We have the following relationships about $\alpha_k^{(A)}$ and $\alpha_k^{(G)}$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^{(A)} = m, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} m_{k,i} = \alpha_k^{(A)}. \quad (4)$$

We have the following lemma about Jordan decomposition.

Lemma 1 Given a matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, we can express it by

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is the projector matrices with respect to the eigenvalue λ_k and i -th dimension of the null space $\text{Null}(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I})$; and $\mathbf{N}_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is the nilpotent matrices with respect to the eigenvalue λ_k and i -th dimension of the null space $\text{Null}(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I})$.

Proof: Since each Jordan Block with dimension $m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}$ and the eigenvalue λ_k , denoted by $\mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k)$, can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_k & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_k & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}_{m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}} \\ &= \lambda_k \mathbf{I}_{m_{k,i}} + \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{m_{k,i}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{m_{k,i}}$ is an identity matrix with the dimension $m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{m_{k,i}}$ is a off-diagonal matrix with the dimension $m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{m_{k,i}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}}. \quad (7)$$

We construct the identity matrix $\mathbf{I}_{k,i}$ with the dimension $m \times m$ as

$$\mathbf{I}_{k,i} = \bigoplus_{k'=1}^K \bigoplus_{i'=1}^{\alpha_{k'}^{(G)}} \delta(k, k') \delta(i', i) \mathbf{I}_{m_{k',i'}}, \quad (8)$$

where $\delta(a, b)=0$ if $a \neq b$, and $\delta(a, b)=1$ if $a=b$. Similarly, we also construct the off-diagonal matrix $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ with the dimension $m \times m$ as

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{k,i} = \bigoplus_{k'=1}^K \bigoplus_{i'=1}^{\alpha_{k'}^{(G)}} \delta(k, k') \delta(i', i) \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{m_{k',i'}}. \quad (9)$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ be constructed by

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,i} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{I}_{k,i} \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\mathbf{N}_{k,i} = \mathbf{V} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{k,i} \mathbf{V}^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

From Eq. (2), we have Eq. (5).

Finally, we have to show that matrices $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ are projector matrices and matrices $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$ are nilpotent matrices. Because we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} = \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \quad (12)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{P}_{k,i} = \mathbf{I}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, matrices $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ are projectors as they are orthogonal and complete. On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{N}_{k,i}^\ell \neq \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^{m_{k,i}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (14)$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell < m_{k,i}$. This shows that matrices $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$ are nilpotent matrices. \square

2.2 Analogous Matrices and Their Properties

We will introduce a new concept, named as *Analogous Operators*, between two square matrices and its properties in Section 2.2.1. In Section 2.2.2, a graph representation method is proposed to demonstrate a family of matrices which are analogous.

2.2.1 Analogous Operators

In linear algebra, when two matrices share the same Jordan form but differ in eigenvalues, it reveals an intriguing mathematical relationship. Both matrices possess the same *generalized eigenvector structure*—with identical Jordan block arrangements, dimensions, and chain lengths. This means that the underlying geometric relationships in both matrices remain unchanged, even though their eigenvalues differ. The geometric multiplicity and algebraic multiplicity remain identical, preserving the fundamental structure of the transformation. However, the matrices differ in how the eigenvector chains are scaled, as eigenvalues represent the stretching or compression along corresponding eigenspaces.

This insight motivates us to explore new notions of *analogous matrices* that go beyond simple similarity. If two matrices can have the same underlying structure yet exhibit different behaviors in terms of scaling, we might design new frameworks to classify matrices based on their geometric and algebraic traits, but with flexibility in eigenvalue-based transformations. Such a notion could help us understand systems that share core dynamics but differ in specific physical or theoretical properties.

Given two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} with the same size $m \times m$ and the same number of distinct eigenvalues λ_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, we say that the matrix \mathbf{X} is *analogous* to the matrix \mathbf{Y} with respect to the ratios $[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K] \in \mathbb{C}^K$ and $c_i \neq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, denoted by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$, if these two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We will have the following properties about two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$.

Proposition 1 *Two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} have the same rank.*

Proof: From Eq. (15), we know that the number of zero-valued eigenvalues of the matrix \mathbf{X} should be equal to the number of zero-valued eigenvalues of the matrix \mathbf{Y} . \square

The next proposition is to show that the similar relationship between two matrices is the special case of the proposed analogous relationship.

Proposition 2 *Two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[1, 1, \dots, 1]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are similar.*

Proof: Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(1 \times \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

therefore, we can obtain the matrix \mathbf{Y} from the matrix \mathbf{X} by

$$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{U}^{-1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{Y}. \quad (17)$$

□

The next proposition is about the commutative condition of two analogous matrices.

Proposition 3 *Two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, U} \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are commute under the conventional matrix multiplication.*

Proof: Because two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, U} \mathbf{Y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c_k \times \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} c_k \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Besides, we also have the following relations among $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$ from Eq. (10) and Eq. (11):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} &= \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} \mathbf{N}_{k,i} &= \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} = \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \mathbf{N}_{k',i'} &= \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^2 \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y} &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} c_k \lambda_k^2 \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} c_k \lambda_k \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k \mathbf{N}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^2 \\ &= \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

□

The next proposition is to show that the proposed analogous relationship between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 4 *The relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof: Given the matrices \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} with the following formats:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Z} &= \mathbf{W} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(d_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{W}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &\propto_{[1, 1, \dots, 1]}^{U, U} \mathbf{X}, \text{ (reflexive)} \\ \mathbf{X} &\propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{[1/c_1, 1/c_2, \dots, 1/c_K]}^{V, U} \mathbf{X} \text{ (symmetric)} \\ \mathbf{X} &\propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{[d_1/c_1, d_2/c_2, \dots, d_K/c_K]}^{V, W} \mathbf{Z} \\ &\implies \mathbf{X} \propto_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_K]}^{U, W} \mathbf{Z} \text{ (transitive)} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

□

The next proposition is to show the matrix determinant relationship between two analogous matrices.

Proposition 5 *Given two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

we have

$$\det(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{Y})}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^K c_k^{\alpha_k^{(A)}} \right)}. \quad (25)$$

Proof: Because we have

$$\det(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \lambda_k^{\alpha_k^{(A)}}, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\det(\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{k=1}^K (c_k \lambda_k)^{\alpha_k^{(A)}}, \quad (27)$$

this proposition is proved by comparing Eq. (26) and Eq. (27). \square

The next proposition is to show the matrix trace relationship for two analogous matrices.

Proposition 6 Given two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c,c,\dots,c]}^{U,V} \mathbf{Y}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

we have

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{X}) = c \text{Trace}(\mathbf{Y}) \quad (29)$$

Proof: Because we have

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^{(A)} \lambda_k, \quad (30)$$

and

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^{(A)} c \lambda_k, \quad (31)$$

this proposition is proved by comparing Eq. (30) and Eq. (31). \square

The next proposition is to show the relationship of characteristic polynomials between two analogous matrices.

Proposition 7 Given two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c,c,\dots,c]}^{U,V} \mathbf{Y}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

we have

$$\text{CP}_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = \text{CP}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right), \quad (33)$$

where $\text{CP}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix \mathbf{X} and $\text{CP}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix \mathbf{Y} .

Proof: Since two polynomials $\text{CP}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\text{CP}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ have roots that share the same scalar ratio c , it suggests a proportional relationship c between the roots of the polynomials. \square

2.2.2 Graph Representation of Projectors and Nilpotents in Finite-Dimensional Operators

To represent the algebraic structure of projectors and nilpotents for matrices come from the same analogous family, we can formulate a graph where the nodes correspond to the elements $P_{k,i}$, $N_{k,i}$, and $\mathbf{0}$, while the edges capture the interactions among $P_{k,i}$ and $N_{k,i}$. The relations described in Eq. (20) provide the foundation for defining both the nodes and the edges. Such graph, named as *Analogous Structure Graph* (ASG), will be used to represent the whole family of analogous matrices since they share the same elements of $P_{k,i}$ and $N_{k,i}$.

We begin with graph node representation. Each element $P_{k,i}$, $N_{k,i}$, and $\mathbf{0}$ corresponds to a node in the graph:

- $P_{k,i}$: Represents a projection operator that behaves according to the Kronecker delta conditions. It will have a self-loop as $P_{k,i}^2 = P_{k,i}$.
- $N_{k,i}$: Represents a nilpotent matrix operator with specific degrees of nilpotency, i.e., $N_{k,i}^\ell \neq \mathbf{0}$ for $\ell < m_{k,i}$ and $N_{k,i}^\ell = \mathbf{0}$ for $\ell \geq m_{k,i}$. Thus, $N_{k,i}$ is linked to $\mathbf{0}$ as it becomes the zero operator after reaching the maximum nilpotency degree.
- $\mathbf{0}$: The zero matrix, which can be interpreted as an absorbing node for any operator becoming zero.

Edges between the nodes will reflect the multiplication rules described in Eq. (20):

- $P_{k,i}P_{k',i'} = P_{k,i}\delta(k, k')\delta(i, i')$: This relation indicates that $P_{k,i}$ only interacts with itself, forming self-loops on nodes corresponding to $P_{k,i}$, with no direct edges between different $P_{k,i}$ and $P_{k',i'}$ unless $k = k'$ and $i = i'$.
- $P_{k',i'}N_{k,i} = N_{k,i}P_{k',i'} = N_{k,i}\delta(k, k')\delta(i, i')$: These interactions define edges between $N_{k,i}$ and $P_{k,i}$, forming directional edges where $N_{k,i}$ maps to $P_{k,i}$, provided the Kronecker delta condition is satisfied.
- $N_{k,i}N_{k',i'} = N_{k,i}^2\delta(k, k')\delta(i, i')$: This equation illustrates that $N_{k,i}$ interacts with itself with the nilpotency condition indicating that higher powers of $N_{k,i}$ eventually map to $\mathbf{0}$.

The resulting graph will be a directed graph with nodes $P_{k,i}$, $N_{k,i}$, and $\mathbf{0}$, where:

- $P_{k,i}$ has self-loops due to their self-multiplication properties.
- $N_{k,i}$ will be mapped to $\mathbf{0}$ finally once it reaches its maximum degree of nilpotency, which is $m_{k,i}$.
- No direct edges between $P_{k,i}$ and $P_{k',i'}$ or between different $N_{k,i}$ unless the Kronecker delta conditions $k = k'$ and $i = i'$ are satisfied.

Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate the relationships among the projection and nilpotent matrices in a structured manner, allowing for a visual and analytical exploration of their algebraic interactions.

Example 1 below is provided to show an *Analogous Structure Graph* (ASG) for an analogous family of matrices with dimension 4×4 .




Figure 1: The graph representation of projectors and their transitions.




Figure 2: The graph representation of nilpotents and their transitions.

Example 1 Suppose we are give the following matrix X as

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= \begin{pmatrix} 8.23 & -1.91 & 0.09 & -2.14 \\ 3.32 & 2.73 & 0.73 & -1.59 \\ 1.43 & -0.23 & 2.27 & -0.66 \\ 3.05 & -1.18 & -1.18 & 1.77 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.11 & -0.05 & 0.45 & 0.07 \\ -0.16 & 0.14 & -0.36 & 0.30 \\ -0.52 & 0.59 & 0.09 & 0.11 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)
 \end{aligned}$$

then, we have the parameters: $K = 3$, $\alpha_1^G = \alpha_2^G = 1$, and $\alpha_3^G = 2$ for such Jordan form. From Lemma 1, we have

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k P_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} N_{k,i}. \quad (35)$$

Step 1: Projection Matrices $P_{k,i}$

Projection matrices $P_{k,i}$ correspond to the Jordan blocks. Since the problem specifies different α_k^G , we construct each block accordingly.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{1,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.11 & -0.05 & 0.45 & 0.07 \\ -0.16 & 0.14 & -0.36 & 0.30 \\ -0.52 & 0.59 & 0.09 & 0.11 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -0.11 & -0.04 & 0.45 & 0.07 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.23 & -0.09 & 0.91 & 0.14 \\ -0.34 & -0.14 & 1.36 & 0.20 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{P}_{2,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.11 & -0.05 & 0.45 & 0.07 \\ -0.16 & 0.14 & -0.36 & 0.30 \\ -0.52 & 0.59 & 0.09 & 0.11 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -0.32 & 0.27 & -0.72 & 0.59 \\ -0.16 & 0.14 & -0.36 & 0.30 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.63 & 0.54 & -1.45 & 1.18 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha_3^G = 2$, we need two projection matrices, $\mathbf{P}_{3,1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{3,2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{3,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.11 & -0.05 & 0.45 & 0.07 \\ -0.16 & 0.14 & -0.36 & 0.30 \\ -0.52 & 0.59 & 0.09 & 0.11 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -1.57 & 1.77 & 0.27 & 0.34 \\ -2.09 & 2.36 & 0.36 & 0.45 \\ -0.52 & 0.59 & 0.09 & 0.11 \\ -0.52 & 0.59 & 0.09 & 0.11 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{P}_{3,2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.11 & -0.05 & 0.45 & 0.07 \\ -0.16 & 0.14 & -0.36 & 0.30 \\ -0.52 & 0.59 & 0.09 & 0.11 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2.25 & -1.5 & 0 & -0.75 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \\ 1.5 & -1 & 0 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Nilpotent Matrices $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$

The nilpotent matrices $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$ correspond to the generalized eigenvectors in each Jordan block. They satisfy the property that $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}^2 = 0$.

$$\mathbf{N}_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{38}$$

For $\alpha_3^G = 2$, the nilpotent matrix $N_{3,1}$ can be non-zero.

$$N_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.25 & -1.5 & 0 & -0.75 \\ 3 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \\ 0.75 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.25 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_{3,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

Step 3: Example Matrix X

Using the decomposition formula, we have

$$X = \lambda_1 P_{1,1} + \lambda_2 P_{2,1} + \lambda_3 P_{3,1} + \lambda_3 P_{3,2} + N_{1,1} + N_{2,1} + N_{3,1} + N_{3,2}$$

Then, we have analogous structure graph for the matrix X with the following graph data

- Nodes are $P_{1,1}$, $P_{2,1}$, $P_{3,1}$, $P_{3,2}$, $N_{1,1}$, $N_{2,1}$, $N_{3,1}$, $N_{3,2}$ and $\mathbf{0}$.
- Edges are $P_{1,1}$, $P_{2,1}$, $P_{3,1}$, $P_{3,2}$, $N_{1,1}$, $N_{2,1}$, $N_{3,1}$, and $N_{3,2}$.
- Nodes transition by edges:

1. Node $P_{1,1}$: $P_{1,1}^2 = P_{1,1}$, $P_{1,1}N_{1,1} = N_{1,1}P_{1,1} = N_{1,1}$,
2. Node $P_{2,1}$: $P_{2,1}^2 = P_{2,1}$, $P_{2,1}N_{2,1} = N_{2,1}P_{2,1} = N_{2,1}$,
3. Node $P_{3,1}$: $P_{3,1}^2 = P_{3,1}$, $P_{3,1}N_{3,1} = N_{3,1}P_{3,1} = N_{3,1}$,
4. Node $P_{3,2}$: $P_{3,2}^2 = P_{3,2}$, $P_{3,2}N_{3,2} = N_{3,2}P_{3,2} = N_{3,2}$,
5. Node $N_{1,1}$: $N_{1,1} = \mathbf{0}$, $P_{1,1}N_{1,1} = N_{1,1}P_{1,1} = N_{1,1}$,
6. Node $N_{2,1}$: $N_{2,1} = \mathbf{0}$, $P_{2,1}N_{2,1} = N_{2,1}P_{2,1} = N_{2,1}$,
7. Node $N_{3,1}$: $N_{3,1}^2 = \mathbf{0}$, $P_{3,1}N_{3,1} = N_{3,1}P_{3,1} = N_{3,1}$,
8. Node $N_{3,2}$: $N_{3,2} = \mathbf{0}$, $P_{3,2}N_{3,2} = N_{3,2}P_{3,2} = N_{3,2}$.

2.3 Enumeration of Finite-Dimensional Operator Types

In this section, we will evaluate the number of analogous families for a given matrix with the dimension m . We begin by defining two counting functions $P_k(m)$ and $P(m)$. The function $P_k(m)$ will return the number of the following integer solutions of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k :

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = m, \quad (40)$$

where m is a nonnegative natural number with $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_k$ and $x_k \geq 1$. The function $P_k(m)$ will return the number of partitions of m into k parts. For all m , we have $P_1(m) = 1$. We also have the following recurrence relation for $P_k(m)$:

$$P_k(m) = P_k(m - k) + P_{k-1}(m - 1). \quad (41)$$

On the other hand, we will define the function $P(m)$ to evaluate the number of the following integer solutions of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k :

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = m, \quad (42)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ with $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_k$ and $x_k \geq 0$. By comparing the counting relations between Eq. (40) and Eq. (42) Then, we have

$$P(m) = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k(m). \quad (43)$$

Recall we have the following relationships about $\alpha_k^{(A)}$ and $\alpha_k^{(G)}$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^{(A)} = m, \quad (44)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} m_{k,i} = \alpha_k^{(A)}. \quad (45)$$

For a $m \times m$ matrix with K distinct eigenvalues and their algebraic multiplicities $\alpha_k^{(A)}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, we can count the number of different Jordan blocks by (multiplication principal) via Eq. (45)

$$\prod_{k=1}^K P(\alpha_k^{(A)}). \quad (46)$$

Because the value of K can be ranged from 1 to m , the number of analogous families for a given matrix with the dimension m can be expressed by (addition principal)

$$\sum_{K=1}^m \left[\sum_{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^{(A)} = m} \prod_{k=1}^K P(\alpha_k^{(A)}) \right]. \quad (47)$$

Note that there are $P_K(m)$ valid solutions of $\alpha_k^{(A)}$ in $\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k^{(A)} = m$.

2.4 Spectral Mapping Theorem for Multivariate Finite-Dimensional Operators

In this section, we will establish spectral mapping theorem for multivariate finite-dimensional operators. We adopt power series method used in [8], however, they did not provide condition when those functions can be expressed by power series approach.

2.4.1 Single Variable

We consider a single-variable complex function $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i$ represents a power series. The conditions under which this series is valid, i.e., when it converges and defines a well-behaved function, depend on the radius of convergence of the power series [12].

The radius of convergence, R determines the region within which the series converges. It is given by the formula:

$$\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[i]{|a_i|} \quad (48)$$

or equivalently, using the root test or ratio test:

$$\frac{1}{R} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{i+1}}{a_i} \right| \quad (49)$$

if the limit exists. The function $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i$ represents a power series that converges within a disk of radius R , determined by the root test given by Eq. (48) or ratio test given by Eq. (49). The series defines an analytic function inside the disk $|z| < R$.

Theorem 1 *Given an analytic function $f(z)$ within the domain for $|z| < R$, a matrix \mathbf{X} with the dimension m and K distinct eigenvalues λ_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ such that*

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}, \quad (50)$$

where $|\lambda_k| < R$, then, we have

$$f(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} f(\lambda_k) \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{k,i}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda_k)}{q!} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^q \right]. \quad (51)$$

Proof: Recall we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} &= \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} \mathbf{N}_{k,i} &= \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} = \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \mathbf{N}_{k',i'} &= \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^2 \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Because $f(z)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \mathbf{X}^{\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \right)^{\ell} \\ &= {}_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} a_{\ell} (\lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \mathbf{N}_{k,i})^{\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q! (\ell-q)!} \lambda_k^{\ell-q} \mathbf{P}_{k,i}^{\ell-q} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^q \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[a_{\ell} \lambda_k^{\ell} \mathbf{P}_{k,i}^{\ell} + \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q! (\ell-q)!} \lambda_k^{\ell-q} \mathbf{P}_{k,i}^{\ell-q} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^q \right] \\ &= {}_2 \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} f(\lambda_k) \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{k,i}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda_k)}{q!} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^q \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where we apply Eq. (52) in $=_1$ and $=_2$, and $f^{(q)}(z) = \sum_{\ell=q}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{(\ell-q)!} z^{\ell-q}$ in $=_2$. \square

2.4.2 Multiple Variables

Let $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ be a function of n complex variables z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n . A multivariate power series expansion around a point (typically the origin) is of the form:

$$f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=0}^{\infty} a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} z_1^{i_1} z_2^{i_2} \dots z_n^{i_n}, \quad (54)$$

where the coefficients a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} are constants, and the exponents i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n are non-negative integers.

The power series converges within a certain domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The convergence is generally within a polydisk or domain of convergence, defined by:

$$D(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n) = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_1| < R_1, |z_2| < R_2, \dots, |z_n| < R_n\}, \quad (55)$$

where R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n are positive real numbers called the radius of convergence in each direction.

The multivariate radius of convergence for each variable can be determined similarly to the single-variable case, using a generalization of the ratio or root test. For instance, for each variable z_j , the series must satisfy:

$$\limsup_{i_j \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[i_j]{|a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|} = \frac{1}{R_j}. \quad (56)$$

The function $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ must be analytic (holomorphic) in each variable within the region of convergence. This means that for each fixed set of values of z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n , the function f is analytic in z_1 , and similarly for all other variables. This property is called separate analyticity.

We first consider spectral mapping theorem for two input matrices in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2 *Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2)$ within the domain for $|z_1| < R_1$ and $|z_2| < R_2$, the first matrix \mathbf{X}_1 with the dimension m and K_1 distinct eigenvalues λ_{k_1} for $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$ such that*

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^G} \lambda_{k_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} + \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^G} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}, \quad (57)$$

where $|\lambda_{k_1}| < R_1$, and second matrix \mathbf{X}_2 with the dimension m and K_2 distinct eigenvalues λ_{k_2} for $k_2 = 1, 2, \dots, K_2$ such that

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^G} \lambda_{k_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} + \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^G} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}, \quad (58)$$

where $|\lambda_{k_2}| < R_2$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} f(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2}) \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f^{(-, q_2)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_2!} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1, -)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1, q_2)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1! q_2!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Recall we still have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k'_1, i'_1} &= \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \delta(k_1, k'_1) \delta(i_1, i'_1), \\
\mathbf{P}_{k'_1, i'_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k'_1, i'_1} = \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \delta(k_1, k'_1) \delta(i_1, i'_1), \\
\mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{N}_{k'_1, i'_1} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^2 \delta(k_1, k'_1) \delta(i_1, i'_1). \tag{60}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \mathbf{P}_{k'_2, i'_2} &= \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \delta(k_2, k'_2) \delta(i_2, i'_2), \\
\mathbf{P}_{k'_2, i'_2} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \mathbf{P}_{k'_2, i'_2} = \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \delta(k_2, k'_2) \delta(i_2, i'_2), \\
\mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \mathbf{N}_{k'_2, i'_2} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^2 \delta(k_2, k'_2) \delta(i_2, i'_2). \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $f(z)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \mathbf{X}_1^{\ell_1} \mathbf{X}_2^{\ell_2} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left(\sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \lambda_{k_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} + \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \right)^{\ell_1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \lambda_{k_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} + \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \right)^{\ell_2} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} (\lambda_{k_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} + \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1})^{\ell_1} \right] \left[\sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} (\lambda_{k_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} + \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2})^{\ell_2} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\sum_{q_1=0}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1! (\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_{k_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_2=0}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2! (\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_{k_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\lambda_{k_1}^{\ell_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1}^{\ell_1} + \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1! (\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_{k_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\lambda_{k_2}^{\ell_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2}^{\ell_2} + \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2! (\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_{k_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} f(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2}) \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f(-, q_2)(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_2!} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{f(q_1, -)(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k_1=1}^{K_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{K_2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f(q_1, q_2)(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1! q_2!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2}. \tag{62}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Eq. (60) and Eq. (61) in $=_1$ and $=_2$, and following relations about the partial derivatives of

$f(z_1, z_2)$ in $=_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(-, q_2)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=q_2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_2!}{(\ell_2 - q_2)!} z_1^{\ell_1} z_2^{\ell_2 - q_2}, \\
f^{(q_1, -)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=q_1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_1!}{(\ell_1 - q_1)!} z_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} z_2^{\ell_2}, \\
f^{(q_1, q_2)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=q_1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=q_2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_1! \ell_2!}{(\ell_1 - q_1)! (\ell_2 - q_2)!} z_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} z_2^{\ell_2 - q_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

□

Before we present the spectralmapping theorem for any number of input matrices, we have to introduce several special ntations. Given r positive integers q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r , we define $\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)$ to be the selection of these r arguments q_1, \dots, q_r to κ arguments, i.e., we have

$$\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r) = \{q_{\ell_1}, q_{\ell_2}, \dots, q_{\ell_\kappa}\}. \tag{64}$$

We use $\text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r))$ to obtain indices of those κ positive integers $\{q_{\ell_1}, q_{\ell_2}, \dots, q_{\ell_\kappa}\}$, i.e., we have

$$\text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_\kappa\}. \tag{65}$$

We use $\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r) = 1$ to represent $q_{\ell_1} = 1, q_{\ell_2} = 1, \dots, q_{\ell_\kappa} = 1$. We also use

$m_{k_l} \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r))$, $i \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) - 1$ to represent $m_{k_{\ell_1}, i_{\ell_1}} - 1, m_{k_{\ell_2}, i_{\ell_2}} - 1, \dots, m_{k_{\ell_\kappa}, i_{\ell_\kappa}} - 1$.

We are ready to present Theorem 3 about spectral mapping theorem for r matrices.

Theorem 3 Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r)$ within the domain for $|z_l| < R_l$, and the matrix \mathbf{X}_l with the dimension m and K_l distinct eigenvalues λ_{k_l} for $k_l = 1, 2, \dots, K_l$ such that

$$\mathbf{X}_l = \sum_{k_l=1}^{K_l} \sum_{i_l=1}^{\alpha_{k_l}^G} \lambda_{k_l} \mathbf{P}_{k_l, i_l} + \sum_{k_l=1}^{K_l} \sum_{i_l=1}^{\alpha_{k_l}^G} \mathbf{N}_{k_l, i_l}, \tag{66}$$

where $|\lambda_{k_l}| < R_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r) &= \\
&\sum_{k_1=\dots=k_r=1}^{K_1, \dots, K_r} \sum_{i_1=\dots=i_r=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_r}^{(G)}} f(\lambda_{k_1}, \dots, \lambda_{k_r}) \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \dots \mathbf{P}_{k_r, i_r} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=\dots=k_r=1}^{K_1, \dots, K_r} \sum_{i_1=\dots=i_r=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_r}^{(G)}} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)} \left(\sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)=1}^{m_\kappa \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)), i \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) - 1} \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \frac{f^{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \dots, \lambda_{k_r})}{q_{\ell_1}! q_{\ell_2}! \dots q_{\ell_\kappa}!} \times \prod_{\beta=\text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{N}_{k_\beta, i_\beta}^{q_\beta}}^r \mathbf{Y} \\
&\quad \beta \neq \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{P}_{k_\beta, i_\beta} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=\dots=k_r=1}^{K_1, \dots, K_r} \sum_{i_1=\dots=i_r=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_r}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=\dots=q_r=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1} - 1, \dots, m_{k_r, i_r} - 1} \frac{f^{(q_1, \dots, q_r)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \dots, \lambda_{k_r})}{q_1! \dots q_r!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \dots \mathbf{N}_{k_r, i_r}^{q_r}
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

where we have

- $\sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)}$ is the summation running over all selection of $\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)$ given κ ;
- $f^{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ represents the partial derivatives with respect to variables with indices $\iota_1, \iota_2, \dots, \iota_\kappa$ and the orders of derivatives given by $q_{\iota_1}, q_{\iota_2}, \dots, q_{\iota_\kappa}$.

Proof: The proof follows directly from Theorem 2, with the remaining steps consisting primarily of routine notational manipulations. \square

3 Countable Infinite-Dimensional Operators

3.1 Jordan Decomposition for Countable Infinite-Dimensional Operators

In this section, we consider a square matrix with countable infinite dimension $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty \times \infty}$, which can be expressed by

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}. \quad (68)$$

where $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty \times \infty}$ is an invertible infinite-dimensional matrix, and $\alpha_k^{(G)}$ is the geometry multiplicity with respect to the k -th eigenvalue λ_k . We have the following relationship about $\alpha_k^{(A)}$ and $\alpha_k^{(G)}$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} m_{k,i} = \alpha_k^{(A)}. \quad (69)$$

We have the following lemma about Jordan decomposition for countable infinite-dimensional operators.

Lemma 2 Given a square infinite dimensional matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty \times \infty}$, we can express it by

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}, \quad (70)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty \times \infty}$ is the projector matrices with respect to the eigenvalue λ_k and i -th dimension of the null space $\text{Null}(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I})$; and $\mathbf{N}_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty \times \infty}$ is the nilpotent matrices with respect to the eigenvalue λ_k and i -th dimension of the null space $\text{Null}(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I})$.

Proof: Since each Jordan Block with dimension $m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}$ and the eigenvalue λ_k , denoted by $\mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k)$, can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_k & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_k & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}_{m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}} \\ &= \lambda_k \mathbf{I}_{m_{k,i}} + \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{m_{k,i}}$ is an identity matrix with the dimension $m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}$, and $\mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}$ is a off-diagonal matrix with the dimension $m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}$ such that

$$\mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m_{k,i} \times m_{k,i}}. \quad (72)$$

We construct the identity matrix $\mathbf{I}_{k,i}$ with the dimension $\infty \times \infty$ as

$$\mathbf{I}_{k,i} = \bigoplus_{k'=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i'=1}^{\alpha_{k'}^{(G)}} \delta(k, k') \delta(i', i) \mathbf{I}_{m_{k',i'}}, \quad (73)$$

where $\delta(a, b)=0$ if $a \neq b$, and $\delta(a, b)=1$ if $a=b$. Similarly, we also construct the off-diagonal matrix \mathbf{J} with the dimension $\infty \times \infty$ as

$$\mathbf{J}_{k,i} = \bigoplus_{k'=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i'=1}^{\alpha_{k'}^{(G)}} \delta(k, k') \delta(i', i) \mathbf{J}_{m_{k',i'}}. \quad (74)$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ be constructed by

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,i} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{I}_{k,i} \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \quad (75)$$

and

$$\mathbf{N}_{k,i} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{J}_{k,i} \mathbf{V}^{-1}. \quad (76)$$

From Eq. (68), we have Eq. (70).

Finally, we have to show that matrices $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ are projector matrices and matrices $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$ are nilpotent matrices. Because we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} = \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \quad (77)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{P}_{k,i} = \mathbf{I}. \quad (78)$$

Therefore, matrices $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ are projectors as they are orthogonal and complete. On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{N}_{k,i}^\ell \neq \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^{m_{k,i}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (79)$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell < m_{k,i}$. This shows that matrices $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$ are nilpotent matrices. \square

3.2 Analogous Infinite-Dimensional Matrices and Their Properties

In this section, we will extend those results from Section 2.2 to infinite-dimensional matrices.

Given two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} with the same size $\infty \times \infty$ and the same number of distinct eigenvalues λ_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, we say that the matrix \mathbf{X} is analogous to the matrix \mathbf{Y} with respect to the ratios $[c_1, c_2, \dots] \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$ and $c_i \neq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, denoted by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$, if these two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

We will have the following properties about two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$.

Proposition 8 *Two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} have the same rank.*

Proof: From Eq. (80), we know that the number of zero-valued eigenvalues of the matrix \mathbf{X} should be equal to the number of zero-valued eigenvalues of the matrix \mathbf{Y} . \square

The next proposition is to show that the similar relationship between two infinite-dimensional matrices is the special case of the proposed analogous relationship.

Proposition 9 *Two analogous infinite-dimensional matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[1, 1, \dots]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two infinite-dimensional matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are similar.*

Proof: Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(1 \times \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

therefore, we can obtain the matrix \mathbf{Y} from the matrix \mathbf{X} by

$$\mathbf{V} \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{Y}. \quad (82)$$

\square

The next proposition is about the commutative condition of two analogous infinite-dimensional matrices.

Proposition 10 *Two analogous infinite-dimensional matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U, U} \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two infinite-dimensional matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are commute under the conventional matrix multiplication.*

Proof: Because two analogous infinite-dimensional matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, U} \mathbf{Y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_{k,i}}(c_k \times \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} c_k \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Besides, we also have the following relations among $\mathbf{P}_{k,i}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{k,i}$ from Eq. (75) and Eq. (76):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} &= \mathbf{P}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} \mathbf{N}_{k,i} &= \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \mathbf{P}_{k',i'} = \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \mathbf{N}_{k',i'} &= \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^2 \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'). \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} c_k \lambda_k^2 \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} c_k \lambda_k \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k \mathbf{N}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^2 \\ &= \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X} \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

□

The next proposition is to show that the proposed analogous relationship between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 11 *The relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K]}^{U, V} \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof: Given the infinite-dimensional matrices \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} with the following formats:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_k, i}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_k, i}(c_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Z} &= \mathbf{W} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_k, i}(d_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{W}^{-1},\end{aligned}\tag{87}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} &\propto_{[1,1,\dots]}^{U,U} \mathbf{X}, \text{ (reflexive)} \\ \mathbf{X} &\propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U,V} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{[1/c_1, 1/c_2, \dots]}^{V,U} \mathbf{X} \text{ (symmetric)} \\ \mathbf{X} &\propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U,V} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{[d_1/c_1, d_2/c_2, \dots]}^{V,W} \mathbf{Z} \\ &\implies \mathbf{X} \propto_{[d_1, d_2, \dots]}^{U,W} \mathbf{Z} \text{ (transitive)}\end{aligned}\tag{88}$$

□

The next proposition is to show the matrix determinant relationship between two analogous infinite-dimensional matrices.

Proposition 12 Given two analogous matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_1, c_2, \dots]}^{U,V} \mathbf{Y}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_k, i}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_k, i}(c_k \lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1};\end{aligned}\tag{89}$$

we have

$$\det(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{Y})}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{\alpha_k^{(A)}} \right)}.\tag{90}$$

Proof: Because we have

$$\det(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\alpha_k^{(A)}},\tag{91}$$

and

$$\det(\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k \lambda_k)^{\alpha_k^{(A)}},\tag{92}$$

this proposition is proved by comparing Eq. (91) and Eq. (92). \square

The next proposition is to show the matrix trace relationship for two analogous infinite dimensional matrices.

Proposition 13 *Given two analogous infinite dimensional matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c,c,\dots]}^{U,V} \mathbf{Y}$ with*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_k,i}(\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \mathbf{J}_{m_k,i}(c\lambda_k) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1};\end{aligned}\tag{93}$$

we have

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{X}) = c\text{Trace}(\mathbf{Y})\tag{94}$$

Proof: Because we have

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^{(A)} \lambda_k,\tag{95}$$

and

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^{(A)} c\lambda_k,\tag{96}$$

this proposition is proved by comparing Eq. (95) and Eq. (96). \square

3.3 Graph Representation of Projectors and Nilpotents in Infinite-Dimensional Operators

The graph representations in Figures 1 and 2 can be extended to incorporate infinite projectors and nilpotent elements, providing a structured visualization that facilitates both visual and analytical exploration of their algebraic interactions.

3.4 Asymptotic Size of Analogous Operator Types

In this section, we consider the asymptotic size of analogous operator types when the dimension approaches to infinity. We have the following theorem that applies the results from Section 2.3

Theorem 4 *Consider finite dimensional matrices with the dimensions $m \times m$ and K (fixed) distinct eigenvalues such that $K \ll m$. If we assume that $\alpha_k^{(A)} = \frac{m}{K}$, asymptotically, the total number of analogous families for such matrices is*

$$\left[\frac{\exp(\pi \sqrt{2 \frac{m}{K} / 3})}{4\sqrt{3} \frac{m}{K}} \right]^K,\tag{97}$$

where $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: From Eq. (47), the total number of analogous families for such matrices becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^K P\left(\alpha_k^{(A)}\right) &= \prod_{k=1}^K P\left(\frac{m}{K}\right) \\ &\approx_1 \left[\frac{\exp\left(\pi\sqrt{\frac{2m}{3K}}\right)}{\frac{4\sqrt{3m}}{K}} \right]^K, \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

where we apply approximation formula in \approx_1 for the partition function $P\left(\frac{m}{K}\right)$ [13]. \square

3.5 Spectral Mapping Theorem for Multivariate Countable Infinite-Dimensional Operators

In this section, we will establish spectral mapping theorem for multivariate countable infinite-dimensional operators.

3.5.1 Single Variable

Theorem 5 *Given an analytic function $f(z)$ within the domain for $|z| < R$, a square infinite-dimensional matrix X with infinite distinct eigenvalues λ_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ such that*

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \lambda_k P_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} N_{k,i}, \quad (99)$$

where $|\lambda_k| < R$, then, we have

$$f(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} f(\lambda_k) P_{k,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^{(G)}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{k,i}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda_k)}{q!} N_{k,i}^q \right]. \quad (100)$$

Proof: Recall we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k,i} P_{k',i'} &= P_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ P_{k',i'} N_{k,i} &= N_{k,i} P_{k',i'} = N_{k,i} \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'), \\ N_{k,i} N_{k',i'} &= N_{k,i}^2 \delta(k, k') \delta(i, i'). \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

Because $f(z)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \mathbf{X}^{\ell} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \mathbf{N}_{k,i} \right)^{\ell} \\
&=_{=1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} a_{\ell} (\lambda_k \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \mathbf{N}_{k,i})^{\ell} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda_k^{\ell-q} \mathbf{P}_{k,i}^{\ell-q} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^q \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[a_{\ell} \lambda_k^{\ell} \mathbf{P}_{k,i}^{\ell} + \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda_k^{\ell-q} \mathbf{P}_{k,i}^{\ell-q} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^q \right] \\
&=_{=2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} f(\lambda_k) \mathbf{P}_{k,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_k^G} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{k,i}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda_k)}{q!} \mathbf{N}_{k,i}^q \right]. \tag{102}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Eq. (101) in $=_1$ and $=_2$, and $f^{(q)}(z) = \sum_{\ell=q}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{(\ell-q)!} z^{\ell-q}$ in $=_2$. \square

3.5.2 Multiple Variables

We first consider spectral mapping theorem for two input matrices in Theorem 6.

Theorem 6 *Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2)$ within the domain for $|z_1| < R_1$ and $|z_2| < R_2$, the first matrix \mathbf{X}_1 with distinct eigenvalues λ_{k_1} for $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots$ such that*

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^G} \lambda_{k_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} + \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^G} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}, \tag{103}$$

where $|\lambda_{k_1}| < R_1$, and second matrix \mathbf{X}_2 with distinct eigenvalues λ_{k_2} for $k_2 = 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^G} \lambda_{k_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} + \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^G} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}, \tag{104}$$

where $|\lambda_{k_2}| < R_2$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} f(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2}) \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f^{(-, q_2)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_2!} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1, -)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1, q_2)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1! q_2!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \tag{105}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Recall we still have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k'_1, i'_1} &= \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \delta(k_1, k'_1) \delta(i_1, i'_1), \\
\mathbf{P}_{k'_1, i'_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k'_1, i'_1} = \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \delta(k_1, k'_1) \delta(i_1, i'_1), \\
\mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{N}_{k'_1, i'_1} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^2 \delta(k_1, k'_1) \delta(i_1, i'_1). \tag{106}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \mathbf{P}_{k'_2, i'_2} &= \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \delta(k_2, k'_2) \delta(i_2, i'_2), \\
\mathbf{P}_{k'_2, i'_2} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \mathbf{P}_{k'_2, i'_2} = \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \delta(k_2, k'_2) \delta(i_2, i'_2), \\
\mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \mathbf{N}_{k'_2, i'_2} &= \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^2 \delta(k_2, k'_2) \delta(i_2, i'_2). \tag{107}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $f(z)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \mathbf{X}_1^{\ell_1} \mathbf{X}_2^{\ell_2} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left(\sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \lambda_{k_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} + \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1} \right)^{\ell_1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \lambda_{k_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} + \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2} \right)^{\ell_2} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} (\lambda_{k_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} + \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1})^{\ell_1} \right] \left[\sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} (\lambda_{k_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} + \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2})^{\ell_2} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\sum_{q_1=0}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_{k_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_2=0}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_{k_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\lambda_{k_1}^{\ell_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1}^{\ell_1} + \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_{k_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1}^{\ell_1 - q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\lambda_{k_2}^{\ell_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2}^{\ell_2} + \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_{k_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2}^{\ell_2 - q_2} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} f(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2}) \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f(-, q_2)(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_2!} \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \frac{f(q_1, -)(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{P}_{k_2, i_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\alpha_{k_2}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{k_2, i_2}-1} \frac{f(q_1, q_2)(\lambda_{k_1}, \lambda_{k_2})}{q_1! q_2!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \mathbf{N}_{k_2, i_2}^{q_2}. \tag{108}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Eq. (106) and Eq. (107) in $=_1$ and $=_2$, and following relations about the partial derivatives

of $f(z_1, z_2)$ in $=_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(-, q_2)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=q_2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_2!}{(\ell_2 - q_2)!} z_1^{\ell_1} z_2^{\ell_2 - q_2}, \\
f^{(q_1, -)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=q_1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_1!}{(\ell_1 - q_1)!} z_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} z_2^{\ell_2}, \\
f^{(q_1, q_2)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=q_1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=q_2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_1! \ell_2!}{(\ell_1 - q_1)! (\ell_2 - q_2)!} z_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} z_2^{\ell_2 - q_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

□

We are ready to present Theorem 7 about spectral mapping theorem for r infinite dimensional matrices.

Theorem 7 *Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r)$ within the domain for $|z_l| < R_l$, and the matrix \mathbf{X}_l with distinct eigenvalues λ_{k_l} for $k_l = 1, 2, \dots$ such that*

$$\mathbf{X}_l = \sum_{k_l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_l=1}^{\alpha_{k_l}^{(G)}} \lambda_{k_l} \mathbf{P}_{k_l, i_l} + \sum_{k_l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_l=1}^{\alpha_{k_l}^{(G)}} \mathbf{N}_{k_l, i_l}, \tag{110}$$

where $|\lambda_{k_l}| < R_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r) &= \\
&\sum_{k_1=\dots=k_r=1}^{\infty, \dots, \infty} \sum_{i_1=\dots=i_r=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_r}^{(G)}} f(\lambda_{k_1}, \dots, \lambda_{k_r}) \mathbf{P}_{k_1, i_1} \dots \mathbf{P}_{k_r, i_r} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=\dots=k_r=1}^{\infty, \dots, \infty} \sum_{i_1=\dots=i_r=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_r}^{(G)}} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\alpha_{\kappa}(q_1, \dots, q_r)} \left(\begin{array}{c} m_{\kappa} \text{Ind}(\alpha_{\kappa}(q_1, \dots, q_r)) \text{Ind}(\alpha_{\kappa}(q_1, \dots, q_r))^{-1} \\ \sum_{\alpha_{\kappa}(q_1, \dots, q_r)=1} \end{array} \right) \\
&\quad \frac{f^{\alpha_{\kappa}(q_1, \dots, q_r)}(\lambda_{k_1}, \dots, \lambda_{k_r})}{q_{\ell_1}! q_{\ell_2}! \dots q_{\ell_{\kappa}}!} \times \prod_{\beta=1}^r \mathbf{Y} \\
&\quad \begin{array}{l} \beta = \text{Ind}(\alpha_{\kappa}(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{N}_{k_{\beta}, i_{\beta}}^{q_{\beta}} \\ \beta \neq \text{Ind}(\alpha_{\kappa}(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{P}_{k_{\beta}, i_{\beta}} \end{array} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=\dots=k_r=1}^{\infty, \dots, \infty} \sum_{i_1=\dots=i_r=1}^{\alpha_{k_1}^{(G)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_r}^{(G)}} \sum_{q_1=\dots=q_r=1}^{m_{k_1, i_1}-1, \dots, m_{k_r, i_r}-1} \frac{f(q_1, \dots, q_r)(\lambda_{k_1}, \dots, \lambda_{k_r})}{q_1! \dots q_r!} \mathbf{N}_{k_1, i_1}^{q_1} \dots \mathbf{N}_{k_r, i_r}^{q_r}. \tag{111}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: The proof follows directly from Theorem 6, with the remaining steps consisting primarily of routine notational manipulations. □

4 Continuous Spectrum Operators

4.1 Spectral Mapping Theorem for Continuous Spectrum Operators

In this section, spectral mapping theorem for continuous spectrum operators and its functional calculus will be presented. We will focus in discussing spectral operators [14]. We first introduce the following Theorem 8 about the spectral decomposition.

Theorem 8 Given a spectral operator \mathbf{X} , we can express it by

$$\mathbf{X} = \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \quad (112)$$

where $\sigma(\mathbf{X})$ is the spectrum of the operator \mathbf{X} , i.e., the eigenvalue set of the operator \mathbf{X} .

Proof: From [14], we have the spectrum of \mathbf{X} identical to the spectrum of $\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)$, then, we have the following decomposition

$$\mathbf{X} = \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}, \quad (113)$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)$ is the spectral measure with respect to the eigenvalue λ , and $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is the nilpotent part of the operator \mathbf{X} .

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{X} - \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\ &=_{\text{1}} \mathbf{X} \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) - \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda \mathbf{I} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

where we apply the property $\mathbf{I} = \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)$ in $=_{\text{1}}$. This theorem is proved. \square

Lemma 3 below will give relationships among $d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)$ which will be used to establish the functional calculus for the operator \mathbf{X} .

Lemma 3 We have the following relationships for a spectral operator \mathbf{X} :

$$d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda') = d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)\delta(\lambda, \lambda'), \quad (115)$$

$$(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)(\mathbf{X} - \lambda' \mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda') = (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)\delta(\lambda, \lambda'), \quad (116)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda')(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) &= (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda') \\ &= (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)\delta(\lambda, \lambda'). \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

Proof: Let the spectrum of the operator \mathbf{X} be decomposed by the following disjoint Borel sets:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{X}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^K \sigma_k \quad (118)$$

We define $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k)$ as

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_k} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda). \quad (119)$$

Also, we also define $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k)$ as

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_k} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda). \quad (120)$$

From the spectral measure properties with respect to σ_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_{k'}) &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k)\delta(k, k'), \\ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k)\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_{k'}) &= \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_{k'})\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k) \\ &= \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_{k'})\delta(k, k'), \\ \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_k)\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}(\sigma_{k'}) &= \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}^2(\sigma_k)\delta(k, k'), \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

This lemma is proved by applying a limiting process as $K \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem 9 below is the main result of this section. Our functional calculus formula for spectral operators provides a more detailed elaboration compared to the functional calculus formulas given by [14, 15], as we offer a more precise characterization of the degree of the nilpotent part corresponding to different eigenvalues.

Theorem 9 *Given an analytic function $f(z)$ within the domain for $|z| < R$, a spectral operator \mathbf{X} with the following spectral decomposition*

$$\mathbf{X} = \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \quad (122)$$

where $|\lambda| < R$ for $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})$, then, we have

$$f(\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} f(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{q=1}^{m_\lambda-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \quad (123)$$

where m_λ is a positive integer to measure the degree of nilpotency with respect to the eigenvalue λ , i.e.,

$$(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \begin{cases} \neq \mathbf{0} & \text{if } q < m_\lambda. \\ = \mathbf{0} & \text{if } q \geq m_\lambda. \end{cases} \quad (124)$$

Proof:

Because $f(z)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \mathbf{X}^{\ell} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right)^{\ell} \\
&= {}_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} a_{\ell} (\lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda))^{\ell} \\
&= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda^{\ell-q} [d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^{\ell-q} [(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^q \\
&= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[a_{\ell} \lambda^{\ell} [d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^{\ell} + \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda^{\ell-q} [d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^{\ell-q} [(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^q \right] \\
&= {}_2 \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \left[f(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right] \\
&= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} f(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \tag{125}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Lemma 3 in $=_1$ and $=_2$, and $f^{(q)}(z) = \sum_{\ell=q}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{(\ell-q)!} z^{\ell-q}$ in $=_2$. \square

4.2 Analogous Continous Spectrum Operators and Their Properties

In Section 2.2.1, we explore concepts related to analogous matrices and their properties. In parallel, we introduce *analogous operators* based on Theorem 8.

Given two spectral operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , we say that \mathbf{X} is analogous to \mathbf{Y} with respect to the invertible operator \mathbf{U} and a ratio function $c(\lambda)$, where $c(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})$. This relationship, denoted by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{c(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}$, holds if \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} can be expressed as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &= \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right), \\
\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}. \tag{126}
\end{aligned}$$

We will have the following properties about two analogous operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{c(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}$.

Proposition 14 is to show that the similarity relationship between two operators is the special case of the proposed analogous relationship.

Proposition 14 *Two analogous operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{c(\lambda)=1}^U \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are similar.*

Proof: Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right), \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} 1 \times \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - 1 \times \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

therefore, we can obtain the operator \mathbf{Y} from the operator \mathbf{X} by

$$\mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{Y}. \quad (128)$$

□

The next proposition is about the commutative condition for two analogous operators.

Proposition 15 *Two analogous operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{c(\lambda)}^I \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are commute under the multiplication.*

Proof: Because two analogous operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{c(\lambda)}^I \mathbf{Y}$, we have

$$\mathbf{X} = \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right), \quad (129)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{I} \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right) \mathbf{I}^{-1}. \quad (130)$$

Moreover, from Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Y} &= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda^2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\ &\quad + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\ &= \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X} \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

□

The next proposition is to show that the proposed analogous relationship between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{c(\lambda)}^U \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 16 *The relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{c(\lambda)}^U \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof: Given the operators \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} with the following formats:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &= \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right), \\
\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} c_1(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_1(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\
\mathbf{Z} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} c_2(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_2(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1} \quad (132)
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &\propto_{c_1(\lambda)=1}^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{X}, \text{ (reflexive)} \\
\mathbf{X} &\propto_{c_1(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{c_1(\lambda)^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U}^{-1}} \mathbf{X} \text{ (symmetric)} \\
\mathbf{X} &\propto_{c_1(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{c_2(\lambda)/c_1(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}^{-1}\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{Z} \\
&\implies \mathbf{X} \propto_{c_2(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{Z} \text{ (transitive)} \quad (133)
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.3 Spectral Mapping Theorem for Multivariate Continuous Spectrum Operators

We first consider spectral mapping theorem for two input operators in Theorem 10.

Theorem 10 *Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2)$ within the domain for $|z_1| < R_1$ and $|z_2| < R_2$, the first operator \mathbf{X}_1 decomposed by:*

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \lambda_1 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1), \quad (134)$$

where $|\lambda_1| < R_1$, and second operator \mathbf{X}_2 decomposed by:

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \lambda_2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) + \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2), \quad (135)$$

where $|\lambda_2| < R_2$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{f^{(-,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_2!} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1,-)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_1!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \\
&\frac{f^{(q_1,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_1!q_2!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2). \tag{136}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: From Lemma 3, we have the following relationships for a spectral operator \mathbf{X}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1), \\
(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda'_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1), \\
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1). \tag{137}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have the following relationships for a spectral operator \mathbf{X}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2), \\
(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda'_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2), \\
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2). \tag{138}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $f(z_1, z_2)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \mathbf{X}_1^{\ell_1} \mathbf{X}_2^{\ell_2} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left(\int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \lambda_1 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \right)^{\ell_1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \lambda_2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) + \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \right)^{\ell_2} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} (\lambda_1 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) + (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{\ell_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} (\lambda_2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) + (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{\ell_2} \right] \\
&= \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_1=0}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{\ell_1 - q_1} ((\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_2=0}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_2^{\ell_2 - q_2} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{\ell_2 - q_2} ((\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{q_2} \right] \left. \right\} \\
&= \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\lambda_1^{\ell_1} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{\ell_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{\ell_1 - q_1} ((\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\lambda_2^{\ell_2} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{\ell_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_2^{\ell_2 - q_2} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{\ell_2 - q_2} ((\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{q_2} \right] \left. \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&=_{=2} \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{f^{(-,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_2!} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1,-)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_1!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \\
&\frac{f^{(q_1,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_1! q_2!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2), \tag{139}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Eq. (137) and Eq. (138) in $=_1$, and $=_2$, and following relations about the partial derivatives of $f(z_1, z_2)$ in $=_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(-,q_2)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=q_2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_2!}{(\ell_2 - q_2)!} z_1^{\ell_1} z_2^{\ell_2 - q_2}, \\
f^{(q_1,-)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=q_1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_1!}{(\ell_1 - q_1)!} z_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} z_2^{\ell_2}, \\
f^{(q_1, q_2)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=q_1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_2=q_2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \ell_1! \ell_2!}{(\ell_1 - q_1)! (\ell_2 - q_2)!} z_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} z_2^{\ell_2 - q_2}. \tag{140}
\end{aligned}$$

□

We are ready to present Theorem 11 about spectral mapping theorem for r spectral operators.

Theorem 11 *Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r)$ within the domain for $|z_l| < R_l$, and the operator \mathbf{X}_l decomposed by:*

$$\mathbf{X}_l = \int_{\lambda_l \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_l)} \lambda_l d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_l}(\lambda_l) + \int_{\lambda_l \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_l)} (\mathbf{X}_l - \lambda_l \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_l}(\lambda_l), \tag{141}$$

where $|\lambda_l| < R_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r) &= \\
&\int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \cdots \int_{\lambda_r \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_r)} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \cdots d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_r}(\lambda_r) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \cdots \int_{\lambda_r \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_r)} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)} \left(\sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)=1}^{m_\lambda \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) - 1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{f^{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)}{q_{\iota_1}! q_{\iota_2}! \cdots q_{\iota_\kappa}!} \times \prod_{\beta=\text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y}=(\mathbf{X}_\beta - \lambda_\beta \mathbf{I})^{q_\beta} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_\beta)}^r \mathbf{Y} \right) \\
&\quad \left. \beta \neq \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y}=d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_\beta) \right) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_1)} \cdots \int_{\lambda_r \in \sigma(\mathbf{X}_r)} \sum_{q_1=\dots=q_r=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1, \dots, m_{\lambda_r}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1, \dots, q_r)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)}{q_1! \cdots q_r!} \\
&\times (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \cdots (\mathbf{X}_r - \lambda_r \mathbf{I})^{q_r} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_r}(\lambda_r), \quad (142)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have

- $\sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)}$ runs over all κ selections of q_1, \dots, q_r by $\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)$;
- $m_\lambda \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) - 1 = m_{\lambda_{\iota_1}} - 1, \dots, m_{\lambda_{\iota_\kappa}} - 1$;
- $f^{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ represents the partial derivatives with respect to variables with indices $\iota_1, \iota_2, \dots, \iota_\kappa$ and the orders of derivatives given by $q_{\iota_1}, q_{\iota_2}, \dots, q_{\iota_\kappa}$.

Proof: The proof follows directly from Theorem 10, with the remaining steps consisting primarily of routine notational manipulations. \square

5 Hybrid Spectrum Operators

Operators that have both discrete and continuous eigenvalues arise in several physical and mathematical contexts, particularly in quantum mechanics, spectral theory, and differential operators on non-compact domains. Operators with mixed spectra often appear in systems where there is a combination of confined (bounded) and unbounded regions, or where localized perturbations create bound states in an otherwise continuous spectrum. The resulting mixed spectra reflect both discrete states (e.g., bound states or modes) and a continuous range of states (e.g., free or scattering states), common in many physical and mathematical settings. In this section, we examine spectral properties of operators that feature both discrete eigenvalues and continuous spectra.

5.1 Spectral Mapping Theorem for Hybrid Spectrum Operators

In this section, spectral mapping theorem for hybrid operator and its functional calculus will be presented. We still focus in discussing spectral operators. We first introduce the following Theorem 12 about the spectral decomposition.

Theorem 12 Given a spectral hybrid operator \mathbf{X} , we can express it by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} &= \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda),\end{aligned}\quad (143)$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i)$ are the projectors corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_i , $\sigma_d(\mathbf{X})$ and $\sigma_c(\mathbf{X})$ are sets of the discrete eigenvalues and continous spectra of the operator \mathbf{X} , respectively.

Proof: From [14], we have the spectrum of \mathbf{X} identical to the spectrum of

$$\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda),\quad (144)$$

then, we have the following decomposition

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}},\quad (145)$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is the nilpotent part of the operator \mathbf{X} .

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{X} - \left[\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right] \\ &=_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{X} \left[\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right] - \left[\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda),\end{aligned}\quad (146)$$

where we apply the property

$$\mathbf{I} = \left[\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right]\quad (147)$$

in $=_{\mathbf{1}}$. This theorem is proved. \square

Lemma 4 below will give relationships among $\mathbf{F}(\lambda_i)$, $(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i)$, $d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)$ and $(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)$ which will be used to establish the functional calculus for the operator \mathbf{X} .

Lemma 4 We have the following relationships for a spectral operator \mathbf{X} :

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{i'}) = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \delta(\lambda_i, \lambda_{i'}),\quad (148)$$

$$(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_{i'} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{i'}) = (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I})^2 \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \delta(\lambda_i, \lambda_{i'}),\quad (149)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_{i'}) (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) &= (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_{i'}) \\
&= (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) \delta(\lambda_i, \lambda_{i'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) &= d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) &= (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) &= d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) &= (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{151}$$

Proof: Since λ_i , $\lambda_{i'}$ and the neighborhood region around λ are exclusive, this Lemma follows from the proof in Lemma 3. \square

Theorem 13 below is the main result of this section.

Theorem 13 *Given an analytic function $f(z)$ within the domain for $|z| < R$, a spectral operator \mathbf{X} with the following spectral decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &= \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) \\
&+ \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda),
\end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

where $|\lambda_i| < R$ for $\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})$ and $|\lambda| < R$ for $\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})$, then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} f(\lambda_i) \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} f(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) \\
&+ \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda_i}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda_i)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I})^q \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) \\
&+ \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda),
\end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

where m_{λ_i} is a positive integer to measure the degree of nilpotency with respect to the eigenvalue λ_i , i.e.,

$$(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I})^q \mathbf{F}_X(\lambda_i) \begin{cases} \neq \mathbf{0} & \text{if } q < m_{\lambda_i}, \\ = \mathbf{0} & \text{if } q \geq m_{\lambda_i}; \end{cases} \tag{154}$$

and m_{λ} is a positive integer to measure the degree of nilpotency with respect to the eigenvalue λ , i.e.,

$$(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_X(\lambda) \begin{cases} \neq \mathbf{0} & \text{if } q < m_{\lambda}, \\ = \mathbf{0} & \text{if } q \geq m_{\lambda}. \end{cases} \tag{155}$$

Proof:

Because $f(z)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \mathbf{X}^{\ell} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right)^{\ell} \\
&\stackrel{=1}{=} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} a_{\ell} (\lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i))^{\ell} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} a_{\ell} (\lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda))^{\ell} \right] \\
&= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda^{\ell-q} [d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^{\ell-q} [(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^q \\
&\quad + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda_i^{\ell-q} [\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i)]^{\ell-q} [(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i)]^q \\
&= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[a_{\ell} \lambda^{\ell} [d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^{\ell} + \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda^{\ell-q} [d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^{\ell-q} [(\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda)]^q \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[a_{\ell} \lambda_i^{\ell} [\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i)]^{\ell} + \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{q!(\ell-q)!} \lambda_i^{\ell-q} [\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i)]^{\ell-q} [(\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i)]^q \right] \\
&\stackrel{=2}{=} \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \left[f(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \left[f(\lambda_i) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda_i}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda_i)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I})^q \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \right] \\
&= \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} f(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^q d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} f(\lambda_i) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\lambda_i}-1} \frac{f^{(q)}(\lambda_i)}{q!} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I})^q \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i), \tag{156}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 in $=1$ and $=2$, and $f^{(q)}(z) = \sum_{\ell=q}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell} \ell!}{(\ell-q)!} z^{\ell-q}$ in $=2$. \square

5.2 Analogous Hybrid Spectrum Operators and Their Properties

Given two hybrid spectrum operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , we say that \mathbf{X} is analogous to \mathbf{Y} with respect to the invertible operator \mathbf{U} , a sequence of complex numbers $[c_i] \neq 0$ and a ratio function $c(\lambda)$, where $c(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})$. This relationship, denoted by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_i], c(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}$, holds if \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} can be expressed as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}. \quad (157) \end{aligned}$$

We will have the following properties about two analogous hybrid spectrum operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_i], c(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}$. Proposition 17 is to show that the similarity relationship between two hybrid spectrum operators is the special case of the proposed analogous relationship.

Proposition 17 *Two analogous hybrid spectrum operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[1], c(\lambda)=1}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two hybrid spectrum operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are similar.*

Proof: Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} 1 \times \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} 1 \times \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - 1 \times \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - 1 \times \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}. \quad (158) \end{aligned}$$

therefore, we can obtain the operator \mathbf{Y} from the operator \mathbf{X} by

$$\mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{Y}. \quad (159)$$

□

The next proposition is about the commutative condition for two analogous hybrid spectrum operators.

Proposition 18 *Two analogous hybrid spectrum operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_i],c(\lambda)}^I \mathbf{Y}$, then, these two hybrid spectrum operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are commute under the multiplication.*

Proof: Because two analogous hybrid spectrum operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are given by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_i],c(\lambda)}^I \mathbf{Y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} = & \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right), \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} = & \mathbf{I} \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \mathbf{I}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (161)$$

Moreover, from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y} = & \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda^2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\ & + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} c(\lambda) \lambda (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{X} - c(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \\ & + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} c_i \lambda_i^2 \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i (\mathbf{X} - c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \\ & + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} c_i \lambda_i (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{X} - c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \\ = & \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X} \end{aligned} \quad (162)$$

□

The next proposition is to show that the proposed analogous relationship between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_i],c(\lambda)}^U \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 19 *The relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_i],c(\lambda)}^U \mathbf{Y}$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof: Given the operators \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} with the following formats:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &= \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \\
\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} c_{1,i} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} c_1(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_{1,i} \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_1(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}, \\
\mathbf{Z} &= \mathbf{V} \left(\sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} c_{2,i} \lambda_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} c_2(\lambda) \lambda d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_{2,i} \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \int_{\lambda \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - c_2(\lambda) \lambda \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda), \right) \mathbf{V}^{-1} \quad (163)
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &\propto_{c_{1,i}=1, c_1(\lambda)=1}^{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{X}, \text{ (reflexive)} \\
\mathbf{X} &\propto_{c_{1,i}, c_1(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{c_{1,i}^{-1}, c_1(\lambda)^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U}^{-1}} \mathbf{X} \text{ (symmetric)} \\
\mathbf{X} &\propto_{c_{1,i}, c_1(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \propto_{c_{2,i}/c_{1,i}, c_2(\lambda)/c_1(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{U}^{-1}\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{Z} \\
&\implies \mathbf{X} \propto_{c_{2,i}, c_2(\lambda)}^{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{Z} \text{ (transitive)} \quad (164)
\end{aligned}$$

□

The next proposition is to show the Fredholm determinant of a operator \mathbf{X} , denoted by $\det_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{X})$, of the trace-class operators, a special case of spectral operators, for two analogous operators.

Proposition 20 Given two analogous trace-class operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c_i], i=1,2,\dots}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{Y}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \right), \\
\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{X} - c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}; \quad (165)
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\det_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{Y}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + c_i \lambda_i) \\
&\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{X}) \otimes [c_i] \quad (166)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Because we have

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + c_i \lambda_i), \quad (167)$$

and

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda_i), \quad (168)$$

this proposition is proved by comparing Eq. (167) and Eq. (168). \square

The next proposition is to show the trace relationship for two trace-class operators.

Proposition 21 *Given two analogous trace-class operators \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} provided by the relationship $\mathbf{X} \propto_{[c, c, \dots]}^U \mathbf{Y}$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \right), \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{U} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{X} - c_i \lambda_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_i) \right) \mathbf{U}^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (169)$$

we have

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{X}) = c \text{Trace}(\mathbf{Y}) \quad (170)$$

Proof: Because we have

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i, \quad (171)$$

and

$$\text{Trace}(\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c \lambda_i, \quad (172)$$

this proposition is proved by comparing Eq. (171) and Eq. (172). \square

5.3 Spectral Mapping Theorem for Multivariate Hybrid Operators

We first consider spectral mapping theorem for two input hybrid operators in Theorem 14.

Theorem 14 *Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2)$ within the domain for $|z_1| < R_1$ and $|z_2| < R_2$, the first hybrid operator \mathbf{X}_1 decomposed by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_1 &= \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{1,i}) + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_1 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_1) \\ &+ \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{1,i}) + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_1), \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

where $|\lambda_{1,i}| < R_1$ and $|\lambda_1| < R_1$, and the second hybrid operator \mathbf{X}_2 decomposed by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_2 &= \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{2,i}) + \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_2) \\ &+ \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{2,i}) + \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_2), \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

where $|\lambda_{2,i}| < R_2$ and $|\lambda_2| < R_2$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{f^{(-,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_2!} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1,-)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_1!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \\ &\frac{f^{(q_1,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{q_1! q_2!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} f(\lambda_1, \lambda_{2,i}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_{2,i}}-1} \frac{f^{(-,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_{2,i})}{q_2!} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_2} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1,-)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_{2,i})}{q_1!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_{2,i}}-1} \\ &\frac{f^{(q_1,q_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_{2,i})}{q_1! q_2!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_2} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \end{aligned} \quad (175)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1) \lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \int f(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_2) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1) \lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \int \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{f^{(-,q_2)}(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_2)}{q_2!} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1) \lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \int \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_{1,i}}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1,-)}(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_2)}{q_1!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_1} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1) \lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \int \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_{1,i}}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \\
& \frac{f^{(q_1,q_2)}(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_2)}{q_1! q_2!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_1} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^{q_2} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} f(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_{2,i}}-1} \frac{f^{(-,q_2)}(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i})}{q_2!} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_2} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_{1,i}}-1} \frac{f^{(q_1,-)}(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i})}{q_1!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_1} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{q_1=1}^{m_{\lambda_{1,i}}-1} \sum_{q_2=1}^{m_{\lambda_{2,i}}-1} \\
& \frac{f^{(q_1,q_2)}(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i})}{q_1! q_2!} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_1} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_2} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) \tag{176}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: From Lemma 3, we have the following relationships for the continuous spectrum part of the operator \mathbf{X}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1), \\
(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda'_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})^2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1), \\
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) \delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1). \tag{177}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have the following relationships for the continuous spectrum part of the operator \mathbf{X}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2), \\
(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda'_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})^2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) \delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2)(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda)d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2)\delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{178}$$

From Lemma 4, we have the following relationships for the discrete spectrum part of the operator \mathbf{X}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) &= \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1)\delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1), \\
(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1)(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda'_1\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1\mathbf{I})^2\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1)\delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1), \\
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1)(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda'_1) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1)\delta(\lambda_1, \lambda'_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{179}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i)d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i)(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i)d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda)(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i)(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda) &= (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda)(\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

Similarly, we also have the following relationships for the discrete spectrum part of the operator \mathbf{X}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) &= d\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2)\delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2), \\
(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2)(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda'_2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})^2\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2)\delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2), \\
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2)(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda'_2) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2)\delta(\lambda_2, \lambda'_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{181}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i)d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i)(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i)d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda) &= d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda)(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0} \\
(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i)(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda) &= (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda\mathbf{I})d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda)(\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_i\mathbf{I})\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_i) = \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned} \tag{182}$$

Because $f(z_1, z_2)$ is an analytic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) &= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \mathbf{X}_1^{\ell_1} \mathbf{X}_2^{\ell_2} \\
&= \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left(\sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{1,i}) + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_1 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{1,i}) + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_1) \right)^{\ell_1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{2,i}) + \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{2,i}) + \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_2) \right)^{\ell_2} \\
&=1 \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \left[\sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} (\lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) + (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}))^{\ell_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} (\lambda_1 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1) + (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{\ell_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} (\lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}) + (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}))^{\ell_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} (\lambda_2 d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2) + (\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{\ell_2} \right] \\
&=2 \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_1=0}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{\ell_1 - q_1} ((\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_2=0}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_2^{\ell_2 - q_2} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{\ell_2 - q_2} ((\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{q_2} \right] \left. \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \int_{\lambda_2 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_1=0}^{m_{\lambda_{1,i}}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_{1,i}^{\ell_1 - q_1} (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}))^{\ell_1 - q_1} ((\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}))^{q_1} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{q_2=0}^{m_{\lambda_2}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_2^{\ell_2 - q_2} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{\ell_2 - q_2} ((\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_2))^{q_2} \right] \left. \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \right. \\
& \times \left[\sum_{q_1=0}^{m_{\lambda_1}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_1^{\ell_1 - q_1} (d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{\ell_1 - q_1} ((\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_1))^{q_1} \right] \\
& \times \left[\sum_{q_2=0}^{m_{\lambda_{2,i}}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_{2,i}^{\ell_2 - q_2} (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}))^{\ell_2 - q_2} ((\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}))^{q_2} \right] \left. \right\} \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \sum_{\lambda_{2,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_2)} \sum_{\ell_1=0, \ell_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ a_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \right. \\
& \times \left[\sum_{q_1=0}^{m_{\lambda_{1,i}}-1} \frac{\ell_1!}{q_1!(\ell_1 - q_1)!} \lambda_{1,i}^{\ell_1 - q_1} (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}))^{\ell_1 - q_1} ((\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}))^{q_1} \right] \\
& \times \left[\sum_{q_2=0}^{m_{\lambda_{2,i}}-1} \frac{\ell_2!}{q_2!(\ell_2 - q_2)!} \lambda_{2,i}^{\ell_2 - q_2} (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}))^{\ell_2 - q_2} ((\mathbf{X}_2 - \lambda_{2,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\lambda_{2,i}))^{q_2} \right] \left. \right\}, \quad (183)
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply relationships given by Eqs (177)-(182) in $=_1$ and $=_2$. The remaining steps in this theorem follow the same method as Theorem 10. \square

We are ready to present Theorem 15 about spectral mapping theorem for r spectral hybrid operators.

Theorem 15 *Given an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r)$ within the domain for $|z_l| < R_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and the operator \mathbf{X}_l decomposed by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}_l = & \sum_{\lambda_{l,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_{l,i} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{l,i}) + \int_{\lambda_l \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} \lambda_l d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_l) \\
& + \sum_{\lambda_{l,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_{l,i} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_{l,i}) + \int_{\lambda_l \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X})} (\mathbf{X} - \lambda_l \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda_l), \quad (184)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\lambda_{l,i}| < R_l$ and $|\lambda_l| < R_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_r) = & \sum_{\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\}} \left[\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \\ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \dots \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_{r,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_r)} \\ \int_{\lambda_r \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_r)} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} f \left(\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \right) \right. \\
& \times \left. \left\{ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) \right\} \dots \left\{ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_r}(\lambda_{r,i}) \right\} \right] \\
& + \sum_{\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\}} \left[\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \\ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \dots \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_{r,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_r)} \\ \int_{\lambda_r \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_r)} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} m \left\{ \lambda \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) \right\}^i \\ \lambda \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) \\ \sum_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)=1} \end{smallmatrix} \right)^{-1} \right. \\
& \frac{f_{\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)} \left(\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \right)}{q_{l_1}! q_{l_2}! \dots q_{l_\kappa}!} \\
& \times \left. \prod_{\beta=1}^r \left[\mathbf{Y} \right] \right] \\
& \beta = \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_\beta - \lambda_{\beta,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_\beta} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \\ (\mathbf{X}_\beta - \lambda_{\beta,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_\beta} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \\
& \beta \neq \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)), \mathbf{Y} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \\ d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \\
& + \sum_{\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\}} \left[\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_{1,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_1)} \\ \int_{\lambda_1 \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_1)} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \dots \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_{r,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_r)} \\ \int_{\lambda_r \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_r)} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \sum_{q_1=\dots=q_r=1}^{m \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}^{-1}, \dots, m \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\}^{-1}} \right. \\
& \frac{f(q_1, \dots, q_r) \left(\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \right)}{q_1! \dots q_r!} \\
& \times \left. \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_1} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) \\ (\mathbf{X}_1 - \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_1} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\lambda_{1,i}) \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \dots \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_r - \lambda_{r,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_r} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_r}(\lambda_{r,i}) \\ (\mathbf{X}_r - \lambda_{r,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_r} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_r}(\lambda_{r,i}) \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \right], \tag{185}
\end{aligned}$$

where the summation $\sum_{\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\}}$ will run over all 2^r summands (combinations) of $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{1,i} \\ \lambda_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{r,i} \\ \lambda_r \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$.

Each summand will be used to select corresponding term in $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_{l,i} \in \sigma_d(\mathbf{X}_l)} \\ \int_{\lambda_l \in \sigma_c(\mathbf{X}_l)} \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_{l,i} \\ \lambda_l \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) \\ \lambda \text{Ind}(\alpha_\kappa(q_1, \dots, q_r)) \end{smallmatrix} \right\}^i$, $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_\beta - \lambda_{\beta,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_\beta} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \\ (\mathbf{X}_\beta - \lambda_{\beta,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_\beta} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \right\}, \left\{ d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_\beta}(\lambda_{\beta,i}) \right\}, \left\{ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_l}(\lambda_{l,i}) \right\},$ and $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} (\mathbf{X}_l - \lambda_{l,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_l} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}_l}(\lambda_{l,i}) \\ (\mathbf{X}_l - \lambda_{l,i} \mathbf{I})^{q_l} d\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}_l}(\lambda_{l,i}) \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ where $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Proof: The proof follows directly from Theorem 14, with the remaining steps consisting primarily of routine notational manipulations. \square

References

- [1] S. Fucik, J. Necas, J. Soucek, and V. Soucek, *Spectral analysis of nonlinear operators*. Springer, 2006, vol. 346.

- [2] A. Aiello, “Spectral theorem for dummies: A pedagogical discussion on quantum probability and random variable theory,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.12742*, 2022.
- [3] K.-C. Chang, X. Wang, and X. Wu, “On the spectral theory of positive operators and pde applications,” *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 3171–3200, 2020.
- [4] S. P. Gudder, “Spectral methods for a generalized probability theory,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 119, no. 3, pp. 428–442, 1965.
- [5] Y. I. Karlovich, “Pseudodifferential operators with compound non-regular symbols,” in *The Diversity and Beauty of Applied Operator Theory*. Springer, 2018, pp. 331–353.
- [6] H. Guo, K. J. Marfurt, and J. Liu, “Principal component spectral analysis,” *Geophysics*, vol. 74, no. 4, pp. P35–P43, 2009.
- [7] F. R. Chung, “Lectures on spectral graph theory,” *CBMS Lectures, Fresno*, vol. 6, no. 92, pp. 17–21, 1996.
- [8] Y. Ashida, Z. Gong, and M. Ueda, “Non-Hermitian physics,” *Advances in Physics*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 249–435, 2020.
- [9] J. L. Taylor, “A joint spectrum for several commuting operators,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 172–191, 1970.
- [10] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa, “A new functional calculus for noncommuting operators,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 254, no. 8, pp. 2255–2274, 2008.
- [11] I. Gohberg and S. Goldberg, “A simple proof of the Jordan decomposition theorem for matrices,” *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 157–159, 1996.
- [12] H. K. Pathak, R. Agarwal, and Y. J. Cho, *Functions of a complex variable*. CRC Press, 2015.
- [13] N. A. Brigham, “A general asymptotic formula for partition functions,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 182–191, 1950.
- [14] N. Dunford, “A survey of the theory of spectral operators,” 1958.
- [15] S. Kantorovitz, “A jordan decomposition for operators in Banach space,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 120, no. 3, pp. 526–550, 1965.