Differentiable GPU-Parallelized Task and Motion Planning

William Shen^{1,2*}, Caelan Garrett², Ankit Goyal², Tucker Hermans^{2,3}, Fabio Ramos^{2,4} ¹MIT CSAIL, ²NVIDIA, ³University of Utah, ⁴University of Sydney



Fig. 1: **Particle-Based Optimization for TAMP**. We search over plan skeletons, where each skeleton π induces a continuous CSP that defines the structure of a particle (parameters) and cost functions (constraints). Given π , we initialize a batch of particles, roll them out, and compute their costs. As the rollout and cost functions are differentiable, we use a gradient-based optimizer to iteratively update the particles. Our algorithm successfully solves challenging "Tetris" packing problems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Task and Motion Planning (TAMP) enables robots to plan long-horizon manipulation by simultaneously reasoning about sequences of discrete action types and continuous action parameter values, such as grasps, placements, and trajectories, that when applied from the initial state reach a goal state [6]. A family of TAMP algorithms solve problems by first searching over action sequences, also known as *plan skeletons*, and then searching for action parameter values that satisfy the collective action *constraints* that govern legal parameter values, for example collision and kinematics constraints. Each candidate plan skeleton in the high-level search induces a continuous *Constraint Satisfaction Problem* (CSP), which TAMP algorithms typically solve using a mixture of compositional *sampling* and joint *optimization* techniques [6], with each having their tradeoffs.

Sampling-based approaches to TAMP inherently disconnect the parameters by generating samples for each independently using hand-engineered, projection-based, or learned generators, and then combining them through composition and rejection [8, 14, 4, 18, 3, 19]. Because the parameters only interact through rejection sampling when evaluating constraints, many samples are often needed to satisfy problems where the constraints interact, such as tight packing problems (Figure 1). Optimization-based TAMP approaches, on the other

*Work done during internship at NVIDIA.

Correspondence to willshen@mit.edu.

CoRL 2024 Workshop on Differentiable Optimization Everywhere.

hand, solve for the continuous parameters jointly by representing constraints as analytic functions in a mathematical program [16, 7, 5, 20, 13, 2, 17, 21], and applying firstor second-order gradient descent. However, these constrained mathematical programs are highly non-convex with many local optima, making it challenging to find even a feasible solution when starting from a random parameter initialization.

We propose a differentiable framework for TAMP that is massively parallelizable on Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), enabling thousands of sampled seeds to be optimized simultaneously (Figure 1). In this regard, our work is closely related to STAMP [10]; however, we focus on more generic long-horizon TAMP problems with tight and challenging constraints. Our method treats TAMP constraint satisfaction as optimizing a batch of particles, where each particle represents an assignment to a plan skeleton's continuous parameters. We represent the plan skeleton's constraints using differentiable cost functions, including differentiable collision checkers and kinematics models [15, 22], enabling us to compute the gradient of each particle and update it toward satisfying solutions. This allows us to use gradient-based optimizers, including Adam [9] and L-BFGS [11], to iteratively update the particles towards satisfying TAMP solutions. Our use of GPU parallelism better covers the parameter space through scale than prior work, increasing the likelihood of finding the global optima. Thus, we inherit the locality of gradient descent methods and are able to explore multiple basins through parallelized global sampling. We demonstrate that our algorithm can effectively solve a highly constrained Tetris packing problem using a Franka arm in simulation (Fig. 1) and deploy our planner on a real robot arm (Fig. 3).

II. PARTICLE-BASED OPTIMIZATION FOR TAMP.

We frame TAMP as a backtracking bilevel search over plan skeletons where each plan skeleton induces a continuous CSP. For purposes of this extended abstract, we assume access to a generic outer plan skeleton generator (e.g., Section 5 of Garrett [5]) and focus on solving each CSP subproblem. First, we reduce each CSP to an unconstrained optimization problem by compiling the action and goal constraints into cost functions.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{N_p}\}$ represent the set of N_p continuous parameters induced by a plan skeleton π . We treat the goal parameters and constraints, which define the set of goal states, as a final dummy action. Each parameter $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{p_i}}$ has a dimensionality dim $(p_i) = N_{p_i}$. For example, a 7-DOF robot arm configuration has $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^7$ while a 4-DOF grasp pose has $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^4$. We define a particle as a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x}$, constructed by concatenating an assignment to the continuous parameters in \mathcal{P} , where $N_x = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \dim(p_i)$. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_b \times N_x}$ denote the matrix representing a batch of N_b particles.

Let $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{N_c}\}$ represent the set of N_c constraints on parameters \mathcal{P} imposed by the plan skeleton π . We compile each constraint c_i into a set of N_{c_i} cost functions $\mathcal{F}_i = \{f_{i,1}, f_{i,2}, \ldots, f_{i,N_{c_i}}\}$. For example, a $\mathsf{Motion}(q_1, \tau, q_2)$ constraint involving start configuration $q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^7$, trajectory $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{7H}$ with H waypoints, and end configuration $q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^7$ for a move action can be compiled into three cost functions: 1) τ is collision-free with the environment and objects, 2) each $q \in \tau$ is within the robot joint limits, and 3) there are no selfcollisions for each $q \in \tau$. We assume that the cost functions are differentiable and provide informative gradient information.

We formulate the optimization objective as an unconstrained optimization problem, where the goal is to minimize the total cost across all particles \mathbf{X} . The cost for a single particle \mathbf{x} is a weighted sum across the compiled costs:

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{c_i}} \lambda_{i,j} f_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\lambda_{i,j}$ are weights for each cost function which allows us to balance their influence during the optimization process. In practice, we set most of these weights to 1.0 and find it is not critical to fine-tune them. The overall objective function is:

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{l=1}^{N_b} C(\mathbf{x}_l),$$

where \mathbf{x}_l is the *l*-th particle in the batch **X**. While constrained optimization [16, 7, 20] is an alternative approach commonly used in the TAMP literature, we find that unconstrained optimization with off-the-shelf optimizers is sufficient for addressing the problems in our domain. We implement the rollout and cost functions using PyTorch [12] vectorized operations, allowing us to compute the costs and gradients

Particles	#Satisfying	Init Time (s)	First Sol. (s)	Best Cost
1	0.00 ± 0.00		_	
512	2.40 ± 1.08	0.65 ± 0.12	6.52 ± 2.53	1.79 ± 0.51
1024	5.90 ± 1.95	0.87 ± 0.12	3.97 ± 1.02	1.11 ± 0.25
2048	10.10 ± 2.49	1.22 ± 0.12	3.84 ± 0.67	1.00 ± 0.29
8192	43.40 ± 5.85	3.92 ± 0.11	4.12 ± 0.57	0.62 ± 0.15

TABLE I: **Particle Ablation.** Increasing the particle batch size can reduce the overall time required to find a solution, while minimizing the best cost.

for all particles in parallel and optimize using Adam [9] (firstorder) or L-BFGS [11] (second-order). Incorporating secondorder information, as supported by L-BFGS, can improve convergence speed. However, we find that in practice, the high non-convexity of the optimization landscape can require a hybrid between second-order and first-order optimizers. At each optimization step, we check which particles satisfy the constraints by evaluating their costs, which allows us to determine early stopping conditions.

III. EXPERIMENTS.



Fig. 3: **Real-World Block Stacking.** We optimize grasps, placements, and trajectory knot points.

a) Franka Tetris: In this domain, the robot's objective is to pack blocks with non-convex shapes somewhere in a tight goal region (Figure 1). This task requires reasoning about spatial arrangements, as the shapes will only fit if they are arranged in particular configuration modes. We optimize for placements, parametrized as continuous 4-DOF actions with positions [x, y, z] and yaw angles θ , along with associated 7-DOF robot joint positions. We sample a set of grasps and use an off-the-shelf motion planner [15] to solve for the full trajectories. Table I examines the impact of varying the number of particles on the particle initialization and optimization times required to find a solution. We theorize increasing the number of particles allows us to explore multiple basins in parallel, improving the chance of finding better global solutions.

b) Real World: Figure 3 depicts one of our experiments where the robot successfully stacks blocks. We use the open-world perception system from [1] to reconstruct the objects and tabletop. Videos and additional results may be found on our supplementary website.¹

¹Supplementary Website: https://williamshen-nz.github.io/gpu-tamp

REFERENCES

- Aidan Curtis, Xiaolin Fang, Leslie Pack Kaelbling, Tomás Lozano-Pérez, and Caelan Reed Garrett. Longhorizon manipulation of unknown objects via task and motion planning with estimated affordances. In *ICRA*, 2022.
- [2] Jimmy Envall, Roi Poranne, and Stelian Coros. Differentiable task assignment and motion planning. In 2023 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pages 2049–2056. IEEE, 2023.
- [3] Xiaolin Fang, Caelan Reed Garrett, Clemens Eppner, Tomás Lozano-Pérez, Leslie Pack Kaelbling, and Dieter Fox. Dimsam: Diffusion models as samplers for task and motion planning under partial observability. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13196, 2023.
- [4] Caelan R. Garrett, Tomás Lozano-Pérez, and Leslie P. Kaelbling. PDDLStream: Integrating Symbolic Planners and Blackbox Samplers. In *ICAPS*, 2020.
- [5] Caelan Reed Garrett. Sampling-Based Robot Task and Motion Planning in the Real World. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2021.
- [6] Caelan Reed Garrett, Rohan Chitnis, Rachel Holladay, Beomjoon Kim, Tom Silver, Leslie Pack Kaelbling, and Tomás Lozano-Pérez. Integrated Task and Motion Planning. Annual Review of Control, Robotics, and Autonomous Systems, 2021.
- [7] Dylan Hadfield-Menell, Christopher Lin, Rohan Chitnis, Stuart Russell, and Pieter Abbeel. Sequential Quadratic Programming for Task Plan Optimization. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on, pages 5040–5047. IEEE, 2016.
- [8] Leslie Pack Kaelbling and Tomás Lozano-Pérez. Hierarchical task and motion planning in the now. In 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pages 1470–1477. IEEE, 2011.
- [9] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980, 2014.
- [10] Yewon Lee, Philip Huang, Krishna Murthy Jatavallabhula, Andrew Z Li, Fabian Damken, Eric Heiden, Kevin Smith, Derek Nowrouzezahrai, Fabio Ramos, and Florian Shkurti. Stamp: Differentiable task and motion planning via stein variational gradient descent. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01775, 2023.
- [11] Dong C Liu and Jorge Nocedal. On the limited memory bfgs method for large scale optimization. *Mathematical programming*, 45(1):503–528, 1989.
- [12] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. Advances in neural information processing systems, 32, 2019.
- [13] Carlos Quintero-Pena, Zachary Kingston, Tianyang Pan, Rahul Shome, Anastasios Kyrillidis, and Lydia E

Kavraki. Optimal grasps and placements for task and motion planning in clutter. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages 3707–3713. IEEE, 2023.

- [14] Siddharth Srivastava, Eugene Fang, Lorenzo Riano, Rohan Chitnis, Stuart Russell, and Pieter Abbeel. Combined task and motion planning through an extensible plannerindependent interface layer. In 2014 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA), pages 639–646. IEEE, 2014.
- [15] Balakumar Sundaralingam, Siva Kumar Sastry Hari, Adam Fishman, Caelan Garrett, Karl Van Wyk, Valts Blukis, Alexander Millane, Helen Oleynikova, Ankur Handa, Fabio Ramos, et al. Curobo: Parallelized collision-free robot motion generation. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages 8112–8119. IEEE, 2023.
- [16] Marc Toussaint. Logic-geometric programming: an optimization-based approach to combined task and motion planning. In *IJCAI*, 2015.
- [17] Marc Toussaint, Cornelius V Braun, and Joaquim Ortiz-Haro. Nlp sampling: Combining mcmc and nlp methods for diverse constrained sampling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.03035*, 2024.
- [18] William Vega-Brown and Nicholas Roy. Asymptotically optimal planning under piecewise-analytic constraints. In Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics XII: Proceedings of the Twelfth Workshop on the Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics, pages 528–543. Springer, 2020.
- [19] Zhutian Yang, Jiayuan Mao, Yilun Du, Jiajun Wu, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, Tomás Lozano-Pérez, and Leslie Pack Kaelbling. Compositional Diffusion-Based Continuous Constraint Solvers. In *CoRL*, 2023.
- [20] Zhigen Zhao, Ziyi Zhou, Michael Park, and Ye Zhao. Sydebo: Symbolic-decision-embedded bilevel optimization for long-horizon manipulation in dynamic environments. *IEEE Access*, 9:128817–128826, 2021.
- [21] Zhigen Zhao, Shuo Chen, Yan Ding, Ziyi Zhou, Shiqi Zhang, Danfei Xu, and Ye Zhao. A survey of optimization-based task and motion planning: From classical to learning approaches. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.02817, 2024.
- [22] Sheng Zhong, Thomas Power, Ashwin Gupta, and Peter Mitrano. PyTorch Kinematics, July 2024.