

# Quantitative regularity for the MHD equations via the localization technique in frequency space

Baishun Lai<sup>1</sup> and Shihao Zhang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MOE-LCSM, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P. R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, we employ the localization technique in frequency space developed by Tao in [31] to investigate the quantitative estimates for the MHD equations. With the help of quantitative Carleman inequalities given by Tao in [31] and the pigeonhole principle, we establish the quantitative regularity for the critical  $L^3$  norm bounded solutions which enables us explicitly quantify the blow-up behavior in terms of  $L^3$  norm near a potential first-time singularity. Some technical innovations, such as introducing the corrector function, are required due to the fact that the scales are inconsistent between the magnetic field and the vorticity field.

*Key words:* Blow-up rate; Quantitative analysis; Carleman inequalities; Critical space; Pigeonhole principle.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 35B44, 35B65, 35Q30, 76D03.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and main results</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Research progress of 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations . . . . .	2
1.2	Formulation of main results . . . . .	6
1.3	New ingredients of the proof . . . . .	7
1.4	Notations . . . . .	9
1.5	Plan of the paper . . . . .	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1	Multiplier theorem, some basic estimates of the heat operator and the quantitative Carleman inequality . . . . .	12
2.2	Quantitative version of $\epsilon$ -regularity for MHD system . . . . .	15
<b>3</b>	<b>The Proof of the Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>An upper bound estimate of <math>N_0</math></b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Bounded total speed estimate . . . . .	23
4.2	Epochs of estimation and annuli of estimation for $v$ and $H$ . . . . .	26
4.3	Frequency bubbles of concentration . . . . .	32
4.4	The proof of Proposition 1.1 . . . . .	40
<b>5</b>	<b>Appendix A. Proof the Lemma 2.8</b>	<b>52</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>58</b>

# 1 Introduction and main results

The purpose of this article is to study the explicit quantification of the blow-up rate with respect to time of the critical norm near a potential singularity for the Magneto-Hydrodynamics (MHD for short) equations, which is a branch of continuum mechanics that examines the flow of electrically conducting fluids under the influence of magnetic fields. Due to its numerous practical applications, such as magnetic separation and targeted delivery of drugs or radioisotopes via magnetic guidance, research on magnetohydrodynamics has attracted widespread attention. The movement of conductive fluids (such as liquid metal mercury, liquid sodium, and plasma) in a magnetic field is a result of the coupling of these two fundamental forces. Firstly, fluid motion generates an electric current that modifies the existing magnetic field. Secondly, the interaction between the current and the magnetic field produces a mechanical force in the fluid, accelerating it in a direction perpendicular to both the magnetic field and the current. Therefore, in mathematical physics, the MHD equations are derived by coupling the Navier-Stokes equations from fluid mechanics with Maxwell's equations from electromagnetic fields. For more physical background on the MHD equation, we refer the interested readers to the reference [1, 8, 10]. In three-dimensional space, the incompressible MHD equations on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + (v \cdot \nabla)v + \nabla \Pi = (H \cdot \nabla)H, \\ \partial_t H - \Delta H + (v \cdot \nabla)H - (H \cdot \nabla)v = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} H = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $v : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $H : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\Pi \triangleq \pi + \frac{|H|^2}{2}$ , and  $\pi : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  correspond to the velocity field, magnetic field and pressure of the fluid, respectively. We note that, in the case  $H \equiv 0$ , (1.1) reduces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation (NSE for short)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + (u \cdot \nabla)v - \Delta v + \nabla \pi = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

which has a simple form but rich mathematical structure. The above equation (1.1)<sub>1</sub> can be interpreted as the NSE (1.2) perturbed by an external force term  $(H \cdot \nabla)H$ , which is controlled by the linear equation (1.1)<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, before describing our contribution, we first find it instructive to review the research progress on the singular solutions of Navier-Stokes equation (1.2).

## 1.1 Research progress of 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

The research on singular solutions to the incompressible NSE (1.2) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be traced back to Leray's pioneering work in [21]. In [21], Leray used the principle of extremal values for integral equations to prove that if the existence interval of a smooth solution  $v$  is a finite interval  $(0, T)$ , where the first blow-up time of the solution is  $t = T$ , then it must exhibit the following blow-up behavior:

$$\|v(t)\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq \frac{c(p)}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{3}{p})}}, \quad p \in (3, \infty], \quad (1.3)$$

with some  $c(p)$  depending only on  $p$ . However, it, for the critical case  $p = 3$ , is extremely complicated. In fact, the qualitative analysis about the  $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$  norm of potential singularity solutions at the maximum existence time  $T$  has been a well-known open problem for a long time, where the time  $T$  is finite, i.e. whether

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \|v(t)\|_{L^3_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \infty \quad (1.4)$$

holds true or equivalently whether

$$v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \Rightarrow v \text{ is regular at } t = T. \quad (1.5)$$

One of the important reasons for the complexity of this situation is that the so-called *concentrated compaction* phenomenon might happen, that is, the condition  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$  does not guarantee the fact that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  makes  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^3(\Omega))} < \varepsilon$ . As a result of this phenomenon, one cannot directly prove (1.4) or (1.5) is true by exploiting the usual regularity estimate of heat operator (for example the  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimate). Until 2003, Escauriaza, Seregin and Šverák in their celebrated paper [11] suppressed the concentration by using the rescaling procedure and a backward uniqueness for parabolic operators and showed (1.4) is true. Precisely, the authors in [11] assumed that (1.4) is not valid and then obtained a blow-up sequence via using Navier-Stokes rescaling<sup>1</sup>. By using compactness techniques, they showed that the blow-up sequence converges to a nontrivial solution of the system (1.2), often referred to as the limiting solution which satisfies the differential inequality corresponding to the heat operator due to Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type spatial localization technique [4]. Then, by employing the well-known Carleman inequality and the backward uniqueness of parabolic operators, they proved that the limiting solution must be zero. This is a contradiction. Throughout this proof, the localization technique in physical space and the Carleman inequality for parabolic operators play an important roles. Subsequently, the results of [11] were extended to various critical space, and the specific details can be found in [3, 13] and related references. It is worth noting that the results mentioned in [11, 13], and [3] are qualitative and their proofs are derived by contradiction and compactness arguments.

It is natural to ask: for critical cases, is there a quantitative description of the singularity behavior of solutions similar to (1.3)? In a recent celebrated paper [31], Tao developed a localization technique in frequency space and a quantitative version of the Carleman inequality from which he, by combining the pigeonhole principle, derived a explicit quantitative estimate for solutions of the NSE (1.2) belonging to the critical space  $L^\infty(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . As a result of this quantitative estimate, Tao showed in [31] that if a finite energy solution  $v$  (with Schwartz class initial data) that first loses smoothness at  $T_* > 0$  then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_*^-} \frac{\|v(t)\|_{L^3_x(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{(\log \log \log(\frac{1}{T_* - t}))^c} = +\infty. \quad (1.6)$$

with some small enough constant  $c > 0$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>if  $(v, \pi)$  is the solution of the system (1.2), then for any  $\lambda > 0$  the functions

$$v^\lambda(t, x) \triangleq \lambda v(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \pi^\lambda(t, x) \triangleq \lambda^2 \pi(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$$

is also a solution of the system (1.2).

To illuminate the motivations of this paper in detail, we sketch Tao's strategy as follows (see Section 6 in [31] for details). Assume that  $u$  is a classical solution to the NSE (1.2) in  $(0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$  satisfies

$$\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3((0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A,$$

with some sufficiently large absolute constant  $A > C_0 \gg 1$ , and there exists a universal constant  $\varepsilon_0$  such that if the frequency is satisfied  $N \geq N^*$  we have

$$N^{-1} \|P_N v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\frac{T}{2}, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \varepsilon_0,$$

then  $\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\frac{T}{8}, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$  can be estimated explicitly in terms of  $A$  and  $N^*$ . Thus, the key to the problem is to find an upper bound on  $N^*$ . Employing the localization technique in frequency space and the quantitative version of the Carleman inequality, Tao showed in [31]  $N^* \simeq \exp(\exp(\exp(A^{C_0^7})))$  whose proof is divided into three steps:

**1) Backward frequency bubbling.**

Suppose  $\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3([t_0 - T, t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A$  is such that  $N_0^{-1} |P_{N_0} v(x_0, t_0)| > A^{-C_0}$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $N_n > 0$  and  $(t_n, x_n) \in (t_0 - T, t_{n-1}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  such that

$$N_n^{-1} |P_{N_n} v(x_n, t_n)| > A^{-C_0},$$

with

$$x_n = x_0 + O((t_0 - t_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad N_n \sim |t_0 - t_n|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**2) The vorticity lower bound converted to a lower bound on the velocity.**

- *Transfer of concentration in Fourier space to physical space.* The previous step and  $\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3((0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A$  imply that for some small scales  $S > 0$  and  $I_S \subset [t_0 - S, t_0 - A_3^{-O(1)} S]$  such that

$$\int_{|x-x_0| \leq A_4^{O(1)} S^{\frac{1}{2}}} |\nabla \times v(t', x)|^2 dx \geq A_3^{-O(1)} S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } t' \in I_S \quad (1.7)$$

called as an enstrophy-type lower bound, where  $A_j \triangleq A^{C_0^j}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $A_{j+1} = A_j^{C_0}$ .

- *Large-scale propagation of concentration.* Using quantitative versions of the Carleman inequalities which requires the ‘‘epochs of regularity’’, Tao showed that the enstrophy-type lower bound (1.7) can be transferred from small scales  $\{x : |x - x_0| \leq A_4^{O(1)} S^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$  to large scale, i.e., for any  $t' \in I_S$  and  $S' = A^{-O(1)} S$ , one has

$$\int_{R < |x-x_0| \leq 2R} |\nabla \times v(t', x)|^2 dx \gtrsim \exp(-O(A_5^3 R^3 / S')) (S')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1.8)$$

with any  $R \geq A_5 S^{1/2}$ .

- *Forward-in-time propagation of concentration.* With the help of the quantitative Carleman inequalities, one can propagate the lower bound on  $I_S \times \{x : R < |x - x_0| \leq 2R\}$  forward in time until one returns to original time  $t_0$ , which finally leads to

$$\int_{R_S < |x-x_0| < R'_S} |v(t_0, x)|^3 dx \geq \exp(-\exp(A_6^{O(1)})). \quad (1.9)$$

### 3) Conclusion : summing scales to bound $TN_0^2$ .

Letting  $S$  vary for certain permissible scale, the annuli in (1.9) become disjoint. The sum of (1.9) over such disjoint permissible annuli is bounded above by  $\|v(t_0, x)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . This gives the desired bounded on  $N_0$ , i.e.

$$TN_0^2 \lesssim \exp(\exp(\exp(A_6^{O(1)}))),$$

which implies that  $N^* \simeq \exp(\exp(\exp(A_6^{C_0^7})))$ . This, along with the classical energy method, yields

$$\|v(t, x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \exp(\exp(\exp(A_6^{C_0^7})))t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Finally, assume by contradiction that (1.6) fails and take  $A = (\log \log \log(\frac{1}{T^* - t}))^c$ , one derives a contradiction by using the Prodi-Serrin-Ladyshenskaya criterion (see [20, 26, 30]). By now, a series of generalizations of Tao's work were promoted by Barker, Prange [2], Palasek [23, 24], and Hu et al. [17]. Combining the local-in-space smoothing techniques (near the initial time) established by Jia and Šverák [18] with the quantitative Carleman inequality obtained by Tao [31], Barker and Prange in [2] investigate the behavior of critical norms near a potential singularity to the solutions of (1.2) with Type I bound  $\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{3,\infty}} \leq M$ . Namely, if  $T^*$  is a first blow-up time and  $(0, T^*)$  is a singular point, then

$$\|v\|_{L^3(B_R(0))} \geq C_M \log\left(\frac{1}{T^* - t}\right), \quad R = O(T^* - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

What's more, this blow-up rate is optimal for a class of potential non-zero backward discretely self-similar solutions. In addition, they quantified the result of Seregin [28], which say that if  $v$  is a smooth finite-energy solution to the system (1.2) on  $(-1, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  with

$$\limsup_n \|v(\cdot, t_n)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty \text{ and } t_n \uparrow 0,$$

then for  $j \gg 1$

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (\frac{t_{j+1}}{4}, 0))} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-t_{j+1}}}\right).$$

In [23], Palasek proved that if the solution of (1.2) satisfies the critical bound

$$\|r^{1-\frac{3}{q}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^q} \leq A,$$

with  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ , and  $v, q$  fall into one of two case:

either  $q \in (3, +\infty)$ , or  $v$  is axisymmetric and  $q \in (2, 3]$ ,<sup>2</sup>

then the blow-up rate (1.6) can be improved to

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^*} \frac{\|r^{1-\frac{3}{q}}v(t)\|_{L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{(\log \log(\frac{1}{T^* - t}))^c} = +\infty$$

for some constant  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ . Since then, Palasek [24] got the quantitative regularity for solutions  $v \in L_t^\infty L_x^d$  to the system (1.2) for case  $d \geq 4$ , which gives a quantification of the

---

<sup>2</sup>The condition that  $v$  is an axisymmetric solution can actually be relaxed to its equivalence with another axisymmetric function. In other words, there exists an axisymmetric function  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $C > 0$  such that  $C^{-1}f \leq |v| \leq Cf$ .

qualitative result obtained by Dong and Du [9]. Very recently, Hu et al. [17] studied the quantitative regularity and blow-up criterion of the classical solution to the NSE (1.2) in  $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  ( $3 < p < \infty$ ). Due to the low regularity in  $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , some new ideas are given to fix the related blocks in [17]. For more details in this direct, refer to [2, 17, 23, 24] and their references. Additionally, whether the blow-up rate (1.6) for this problem is optimal and whether the results can be extended to other critical spaces such as the Lorentz space  $L^{3,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  remain open problems.

## 1.2 Formulation of main results

In this paper, as an attempt to understand Tao's idea in [31], we investigate quantitative estimates for MHD equations. It is well-known [29] that the system (1.1) admits a local strong solution and a global energy weak solution for any given Schwartz class initial data. As in NSE (1.2), the question of the regularity and uniqueness of weak solutions is still open. For convenience, we sketch the research on the regularity criteria for solutions of the MHD system. The Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin-type criteria to the MHD equations in terms of both the velocity field  $v$  and the magnetic field  $H$  is established by Wu in [32, 33], which says: if the solution  $(v, H)$  of the MHD equation satisfies

$$\int_0^T (\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|H\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) dt < \infty,$$

then  $(v, H)$  is smooth on  $[0, T]$ . Subsequently, people via some numerical experiments find that the velocity field should play a more important role than the magnetic field in the regularity theory of solutions, see for example [25]. In fact, He and Xin [15] and Zhou [35] have presented some regularity criteria to the MHD equations in terms of the velocity field only. Subsequently, Chen, Miao, and Zhang [6, 7] extended and improved upon the results of [15] and [35]. Additionally, Cao and Wu [5] explored the scenario where the integrability condition is solely imposed on the directional derivative of the velocity field. They demonstrated that if the directional derivative of  $v$ , denoted as  $\partial_{x_3}v$ , satisfies the integrability condition

$$\partial_{x_3}v \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = 1, \quad q \in (3, \infty),$$

then  $(v, H)$  is regular in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T]$ . For more details on the regularity criteria of weak solutions of (1.1), we refer the reader to [14, 16, 34] and the references therein. For the limit case  $L^\infty(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , Mahalov, Nicolaenko and Shikin in [22] considered the qualitative regularity of solution to (1.1) and showed that

- Suppose  $(v, H, \pi)$  is a classical solution to MHD equations whose maximal time of existence  $T_*$  is finite. Then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_*^-} \|(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} = +\infty. \tag{1.10}$$

Inspired by the breakthrough work of Tao [31], we quantify (1.10). Let us now state our first theorem

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(v, H) : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\pi : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution to the MHD equations with*

$$\|(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3([-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A \quad (1.11)$$

for some  $A > C_0 \gg 1$ . Then, for  $j = 0, 1$ , the following quantitative estimates hold

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_x^j(v, H)(t, x)| &\leq \exp \exp \exp(A C_0^8), \\ |\nabla_x^j(\omega, J)(t, x)| &\leq \exp \exp \exp(A C_0^8), \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

whenever  $\frac{7}{8} \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Remark 1.1.* In fact, the above quantitative estimates are valid for any  $j \geq 0$  by using Lemma 2.8.

Theorem 1.1, along with MHD equations version of Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin criteria, gives the following quantitative blow-up criterion.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(v, H) : [-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\pi : [-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution to the MHD equations which blows up at  $t = 1$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\|(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{(\log \log \log(\frac{1}{1-t}))^c} = +\infty,$$

for some constant  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ .

### 1.3 New ingredients of the proof

To obtain the quantitative estimates (1.12), we, by using the same argument as [31], need to establish the upper bound for  $N_0$ <sup>3</sup>. To gain the upper bound of  $N_0$ , the core of the proof is to derive enstrophy-type lower bounds for the *corrector* function  $W_c(t, x)$  which is defined below, the key ingredient is the quantitative Carleman inequalities. To exploit the quantitative Carleman inequality, we have to establish the related differential inequality. To this end, we need to derive the quantitative  $L^\infty$  estimates for  $u, \nabla u, \nabla H$ , etc. However, to establish the related differential inequality, we have to overcome blocks arose by inconsistent scales between the magnetic field  $H$  and the vorticity  $w$ .

For convenience, we first introduce the equations satisfied by  $\nabla \times v(t, x)$  and  $\nabla \times H(t, x)$ , denoted by  $\omega(t, x)$  and  $J(t, x)$  respectively. Now taking the curl on both sides of (1.1)<sub>1</sub> and (1.1)<sub>2</sub>, one has

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega + (v \cdot \nabla) \omega - (\omega \cdot \nabla) v - (H \cdot \nabla) J + (J \cdot \nabla) H = 0, \\ \partial_t J - \Delta J + (v \cdot \nabla) J - (H \cdot \nabla) \omega - (J \cdot \nabla) v + (\omega \cdot \nabla) H = 2R(v, H) \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

with

$$R(v, H) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3 v \cdot \partial_2 H - \partial_2 v \cdot \partial_3 H \\ \partial_1 v \cdot \partial_3 H - \partial_3 v \cdot \partial_1 H \\ \partial_2 v \cdot \partial_1 H - \partial_1 v \cdot \partial_2 H \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Under the scale-invariant assumption (1.11), if  $N^{-1} \|P_N(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty(0, 1; L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} < A^{-C}$  fails for  $N = N_0$ , what is an upper bound for  $N_0$ ?

This system can be viewed as a heat system with variable coefficients (in which the lower order coefficients  $v$ ,  $H$ ,  $\nabla v$ ,  $\nabla H$  depend on the velocity field and magnetic field). However, it is impossible that the pair  $(\omega, J)$  satisfies the following differential inequality

$$\left|(\partial_t - \Delta)(\omega, J)\right| \leq C_{\text{carl}}^{-1} \tilde{T}^{-1} |(\omega, J)| + C_{\text{carl}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{T}^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla(\omega, J)|,$$

due to the additional term  $R(v, H)$ . Thus, we can not directly use system (1.13) to derive the analogous lower bound (1.9). To fixed this problem, we introduce  $W = (H, \omega, \partial_{x_k} H)$ , where  $\partial_{x_k} H \triangleq H_{x_k}$ , ( $k = 1, 2, 3$ ) satisfies

$$\partial_t H_{x_k} - \Delta H_{x_k} = (H_{x_k} \cdot \nabla)v + (H \cdot \nabla)v_{x_k} - (v_{x_k} \cdot \nabla)H - (v \cdot \nabla)H_{x_k}. \quad (1.14)$$

With the help of epochs of estimate and annuli of regularity for  $v$  and  $H$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial_t - \Delta)W| &\leq \left(C_{\text{carl}}^{-1} \tilde{T}^{-1} + C_{\text{carl}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{T}^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) |W| + \left(C_{\text{carl}}^{-1} \tilde{T}^{-1} + C_{\text{carl}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{T}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) |\nabla W| \\ &\leq C_{\text{carl}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{T}^{-\frac{3}{2}} |W| + C_{\text{carl}}^{-1} \tilde{T}^{-1} |\nabla W|, \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

due to the fact that the scale of time  $\tilde{T}$  is small. On the other hand, we notice that the MHD system (1.1), as the NSE (1.2), is invariant with respect to the following rescaling

$$(v_\lambda(x, t), \pi_\lambda(x, t), H_\lambda(x, t)) := (\lambda v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \lambda^2 \pi(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \lambda H(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t))$$

This scale-invariance property enable us to assign a “dimension” to the following quantities

$$\tilde{T}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ has dimension } -1; \quad \partial_t \text{ (or } \partial_x^2) \text{ has dimension } -2.$$

That is to say the scales (or dimension) of  $\tilde{T}^{-1}$  and  $\partial_t$  (or  $\partial_x^2$ ) are equivalent. However, to exploit the quantitative version of the Carleman inequality, the dimensions of left and right sides of the differential inequality (2.5) (or (2.6)) must be consistent since the scale of time is small. Obviously, the scales of left and right sides of the differential inequality (1.15) are inconsistent. Thus we can not directly use quantitative Carleman inequalities for  $W$  to derive desired lower bound estimates. To overcome this block, some technical innovations are required. Precisely, we perform a translation and scaling transformation to  $W$  by setting

$$v_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda v(t'_1 - \lambda^2 t, x_* + \lambda x), \quad H_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda H(t'_1 - \lambda^2 t, x_* + \lambda x),$$

and

$$(v_\lambda, H_\lambda)_{x_k}(t, x) = \lambda^2 (v_{y_k}, H_{y_k})(t'_1 - \lambda^2 t, x_* + \lambda x), \quad (\omega_\lambda, J_\lambda)(t, x) = \lambda^2 (\omega, J)(t'_1 - \lambda^2 t, x_* + \lambda x),$$

where  $\lambda = \sqrt{\tilde{T}}$ ,  $y = x_* + \lambda x$  and  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . It is clear that  $(v_\lambda, H_\lambda, (H_\lambda)_{x_k})$  is also a solution of (1.1), (1.14), and  $W_\lambda \triangleq (H_\lambda, \omega_\lambda, (H_\lambda)_{x_1}, (H_\lambda)_{x_2}, (H_\lambda)_{x_3})$  fulfills the following differential inequality

$$|\partial_t W_\lambda + \Delta W_\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{4} |W_\lambda| + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla W_\lambda| \quad \text{on } [0, 1] \times \Omega. \quad (1.16)$$

Here the space domain  $\Omega$  is  $\mathbb{R}^3$  or the given annulus, see Section 4 for more details. The advantage of the differential inequality (1.16) is that the scale of time is normalised, and

so the quantitative Carleman inequality is valid for  $W_\lambda$ . However, notices that  $W_\lambda(x, t) \neq \lambda^2 W(y, t'_1 - \lambda^2 t)$  since scales are inconsistent between the magnetic field  $H$  and the vorticity  $w$ , one can not derive the analogous enstrophy-type lower bound (1.8) for  $W_\lambda$ , which is the second main block of the paper. The idea, fixing this difficulty, is now to introduce a *corrector* function  $W_c(t, x)$  defined by

$$W_c(t, x) = T_3^{-1} |H(t, x)|^2 + |\omega(t, x)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 |H_{x_i}(t, x)|^2$$

with  $A_4^2 N_0^{-2} \leq T_3 \leq A_4^{-1}$ . Using the quantitative Carleman inequality to  $W_\lambda$  and the pigeonhole principle, we, scaling back to the original variables, finally derive the exponentially small yet significant enstrophy-type lower bound for the *corrector* function  $W_c(t, x)$  at the final moment of time  $t_0$ :

$$\int_{5\tilde{R} \leq |x| \leq \frac{3A_6\tilde{R}}{10}} W_c(t_0, x) \, dx \gtrsim e^{-e^{A_6^8} T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}}},$$

with  $A_6 T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \tilde{R} \leq e^{A_6^7} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Finally, the pigeonhole principle and Hölder's inequality enable us convert the lower bound of  $W_c(t_0, x)$  to the lower bound on  $(v(t_0, x), H(t_0, x))$ , i.e.,

$$\int_{B(\tilde{x}, \tilde{r})} |v(t_0, x)|^3 \, dx + \int_{B(\tilde{x}, \tilde{r})} |H(t_0, x)|^3 \, dx \gtrsim e^{-9e^{A_6^{11}}},$$

where  $5\tilde{R} \leq |\tilde{x}| \leq \frac{3A_6\tilde{R}}{10}$  and  $\tilde{r} = e^{-e^{A_6^{11}}} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The above lower bound finally leads to the the upper bound for  $N_0$ . Precisely,

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $(v, H, \pi) : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution of (1.1) satisfying*

$$\|(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3([-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A. \quad (1.17)$$

*Suppose that there exists  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $N_0 > A_4$  such that*

$$|P_{N_0}(v, H)(t_0, x_0)| \geq A_1^{-1} N_0.$$

*Then*

$$N_0 \leq e^{e^{A_6^{12}}}.$$

## 1.4 Notations

1. we denote  $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\partial_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  and adapt the notation

$$\nabla^m \text{ (or } D^m) = \partial_{x_1}^{m_1} \partial_{x_2}^{m_2} \partial_{x_3}^{m_3} \quad \text{with } m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = m.$$

2. Let  $u, v$  be two vector fields, we define second order tensor product

$$u \otimes v = (u_i v_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$$

and  $v \cdot \nabla u = v_i \cdot \partial_i u$ . Throughout the paper, we use Einstein's convention for the sum on repeated indices.

3. The notation  $X = O(Y)$  means  $X \lesssim Y$ , i.e., there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $|X| \leq CY$ . We also use the notation  $X \lesssim_j Y$ , which means that  $|X| \leq C_j Y$  for some  $C_j > 0$  depending only  $j$ .
4. The usual parabolic cylinder is defined as

$$Q_r(a, s) \triangleq \{(x, t) : |x - a| < r, s - r^2 < t < s\}.$$

If  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $R > 0$ , we use  $B_R(x_0)$  to denote the ball  $B(x_0, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - x_0| \leq R\}$ .

5. We use the mixed Lebesgue norms

$$\|v\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I \times \Omega)} \triangleq \left( \int_I \|v(t)\|_{L_x^r(\Omega)}^q dt \right)^{1/q}$$

where

$$\|v(t)\|_{L_x^r(\Omega)} \triangleq \left( \int_{\Omega} |v(t, x)|^r dx \right)^{1/r}$$

with the usual modifications when  $q = \infty$  or  $r = \infty$ . Here  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a domain and  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a interval. If  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain, we denote  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , by the space of all uniformly  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous functions on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , i.e. functions  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which there exists the constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

The space  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  of  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous functions on  $\overline{\Omega}$  with norm

$$\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \triangleq \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |f(x)| + \sup_{x, y \in \overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}$$

is a Banach space. The space  $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ , of all  $k$ -times continuously differentiable functions with derivatives up to order  $k$  continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C^k(\overline{\Omega})} \triangleq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}.$$

$C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , consists of all functions  $f \in C^k(\overline{\Omega})$  for which all the  $k$ th derivatives are Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $\partial^\gamma f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for every multi-index  $\gamma$  with  $|\gamma| = k$ .

6. Given a Schwartz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx \quad \left( \text{or } \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx \right),$$

and then for any  $N > 0$  we define the Littlewood-Paley projection  $P_{\leq N}$  by the formula

$$\widehat{P_{\leq N} f}(\xi) \triangleq \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right) \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

where  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a fixed bump function supported on  $B(0, 1)$  that equals 1 on  $B(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . We also define the companion Littlewood-Paley projections

$$P_N \triangleq P_{\leq N} - P_{\leq \frac{N}{2}}, \quad P_{> N} \triangleq \mathbb{I} - P_{\leq N}, \quad \tilde{P}_N \triangleq P_{\leq 2N} - P_{\leq \frac{N}{4}}$$

where  $\mathbb{I}$  denotes the identity operator; thus for instance

$$P_{\leq N} f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{2^{-k}N} f, \quad P_{> N} f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{2^k N} f$$

for Schwartz  $f$ . Also we have

$$P_N = P_N \tilde{P}_N.$$

These operators can also be applied to vector-valued Schwartz functions by working component by component. These operators commute with other Fourier multipliers such as the Laplacian  $\Delta$  and its inverse  $\Delta^{-1}$ , partial derivatives  $\partial_i$ , heat propagators  $e^{t\Delta}$ , and the Leray projection

$$\mathbb{P} \triangleq -\nabla \times \Delta^{-1} \nabla \times$$

to divergence-free vector fields. It is important to emphasize that if  $N' \sim N'' \ll N$ , then

$$\tilde{P}_N(P_{\leq N'} v P_{\leq N''} v) = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}\left[\tilde{P}_N(P_{\leq N'} v P_{\leq N''} v)\right](\xi) \\ &= \mathcal{F}\left[\tilde{P}_N(P_{\leq N'} v_i P_{\leq N''} v_j)\right] \\ &= \left[\varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{2N'}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{4\xi}{N''}\right)\right] \mathcal{F}\left(P_{\leq N'} v_i P_{\leq N''} v_j\right) \\ &= \left[\varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{2N}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{4\xi}{N}\right)\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\mathcal{F}(P_{\leq N'} v_i)](\xi - \eta) [\mathcal{F}(P_{\leq N''} v_j)](\eta) \, d\eta \\ &= \left[\varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{2N}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{4\xi}{N}\right)\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{\xi - \eta}{N'}\right) \mathcal{F}(v_i)\right] \left[\varphi\left(\frac{\eta}{N''}\right) \mathcal{F}(v_j)\right] \, d\eta \\ &= \Psi_1 \cdot \Psi_2, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Psi_1(\xi) \triangleq \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{2N}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{4\xi}{N}\right), \quad \Psi_2(\xi) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{\xi - \eta}{N'}\right) \mathcal{F}(v_i)\right] \left[\varphi\left(\frac{\eta}{N''}\right) \mathcal{F}(v_j)\right] \, d\eta.$$

It is clear that

$$\text{supp}\Psi_1(\xi) \cap \text{supp}\Psi_2(\xi) = \emptyset$$

due to  $N' \sim N'' \ll N$ . This leads to (1.18).

## 1.5 Plan of the paper

In Section 2, we discuss the various tools used in this paper, such as the multiplier theorem, basic estimates of heat operator, the quantitative  $\epsilon$ -regularity for higher order derivative, the quantitative Carleman inequality, etc. Section 3 presents the proof of the main results of this paper. Section 4 derives the upper bound of  $N_0$  under the  $L^3$  critical bounds of  $(v, H)$  which is the core of this paper. In particular:

- In Section 4.1, we present the basic estimates, which are built upon the breakthrough work by Tao [31].
- In Section 4.2, we introduce new methods for proving the epoch regularity estimates of  $v$  and  $H$  and the annuli regularity estimates, both of which are crucial for proving the main results in Section 4.
- In Section 4.3, we construct a sequence of frequency bubbles, which are essential for proving the upper bound of  $N_0$ .
- In Section 4.4, we devote to the proof of Proposition 1.1. The core of the proof is that we transform the lower bound of  $W_c$  into that of  $v$  and  $H$ . Notice that unlike Tao's method, which relies on the treatment of the vorticity equation, the direct application of Tao's method to convert the concentration compactness of  $\omega$  and  $J$  into that of  $v$  and  $H$  is no longer valid due to the influence of  $R(v, H)$  in (1.13)<sub>2</sub>. To solve this problem, we consider the equation of  $\nabla H$  and make use of the scaling invariant property of the system (1.1) to fix the blocks due to the fact that scales are inconsistent between the magnetic field  $H$  and the vorticity  $w$ .

In the final Appendix 5, we primarily devote to the proof of Lemma 2.8.

## 2 Preliminaries

Due to the localized technique in frequency space adopted in this paper, the multiplier theorem and the heat kernel estimate below will play an important role in this paper. We, for their proofs, refer the interested reader to [31].

### 2.1 Multiplier theorem, some basic estimates of the heat operator and the quantitative Carleman inequality

**Lemma 2.1.** <sup>[31]</sup> *[Multiplier theorem] Let  $N > 0$ , and let  $m : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a smooth function supported on  $B(0, N)$  that obeys the bounds*

$$|\nabla^j m(\xi)| \leq MN^{-j}$$

for all  $0 \leq j \leq 100$  and some positive constants  $M$ . Let  $T_m$  denote the associated Fourier multiplier, i.e.

$$\widehat{T_m f}(\xi) \triangleq m(\xi)f(\xi).$$

Then one has

$$\|T_m f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim MN^{\frac{3}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

whenever  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Schwartz function. In particular, if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $A \geq 1$ , and

$$\Omega_{\frac{A}{N}} \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, \Omega) < \frac{A}{N}\},$$

then we have

$$\|T_m f\|_{L^{q_1}(\Omega)} \lesssim MN^{\frac{3}{p_1} - \frac{3}{q_1}} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega_{\frac{A}{N}})} + A^{-50} M |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2}} N^{\frac{3}{p_2} - \frac{3}{q_2}} \|f\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad (2.1)$$

whenever  $1 \leq p_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq p_2 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$  are such that  $q_2 \geq q_1$ , and  $|\Omega|$  denotes the volume of  $\Omega$ . Thus for instance, we have the Bernstein inequalities

$$\|\nabla^j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_j N^{j + \frac{3}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad (2.2)$$

whenever  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ ,  $j \geq 0$ , and  $f$  is a Schwartz function whose Fourier transform is supported on  $B(0, N)$ , and from this, we drive

$$\|P_N e^{t\Delta} \nabla^j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_j \exp(-N^2 t/20) N^{j + \frac{3}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.3)$$

for any  $t > 0$ . Summing this, we obtain the standard heat kernel bounds

$$\|e^{t\Delta} \nabla^j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_j t^{-\frac{j}{2} - \frac{3}{2p} + \frac{3}{2q}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the process of proving Lemma 2.8, the Lemmas 2.2-2.4 below are important tools. The proof of Lemma 2.2-2.4 are presented on page 397 of [27], and we omitted it's proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** [27] Assume that  $l, l', r, r'$  satisfy either

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq l \leq r \leq \infty, & 1 < l' \leq r' < \infty, \\ \frac{n}{l} + \frac{2}{l'} \leq \frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{r'} + 2, \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq l \leq r \leq \infty, & 1 < l' \leq r' = \infty, \\ \frac{n}{l} + \frac{2}{l'} < \frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{r'} + 2. \end{cases}$$

Then for any  $T \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $c = c(n, l, l', r, r')$  such that

$$\|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} f\|_{L_t^{l'} L_x^r((-T, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_t^{l'} L_x^r((-T, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [27] Assume that  $m, m', r, r'$  satisfy either

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq m \leq r \leq \infty, & 1 < m' \leq r' < \infty, \\ \frac{n}{m} + \frac{2}{m'} \leq \frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{r'} + 1, \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq m \leq r \leq \infty, & 1 < m' \leq r' = \infty, \\ \frac{n}{m} + \frac{2}{m'} < \frac{n}{r} + \frac{2}{r'} + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then for any  $T \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $c = c(n, m, m', r, r')$  such that

$$\|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} \nabla f\|_{L_t^{m'} L_x^r((-T, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_t^m L_x^r((-T, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** <sup>[27]</sup> (i) Let  $f, g \in L_t^\infty L_x^\infty((-T, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $T \in (0, \infty)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1}(\nabla f + g)\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}((-T,0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq c(\|f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty((-T,0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty((-T,0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

(ii) Let  $f, g \in L_t^\infty C_x^{k,\alpha}((-T, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1}(\nabla f + g)\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{k+1}((-T,0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq c(\|f\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{k,\alpha}((-T,0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|g\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{k,\alpha}((-T,0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

Lemmas 2.5-2.6 are the quantitative Carleman inequalities defined respectively on the circle and the sphere which play a key role in derivation of upper bound of  $N_0$ . Here, we don't plan to provide their proof due to limited the length of paper. we refer the interesting reader to [31] (see Section 4).

**Lemma 2.5.** <sup>[31]</sup> (First Carleman inequality) Let  $C_{carl} \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $T \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $0 < r_- < r_+$ , where  $C_{carl}$  is called Carleman's constant. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the space-time annulus

$$\mathcal{A} \triangleq \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : t \in [0, T]; r_- \leq |x| \leq r_+\}.$$

Let  $U : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth function and such that  $U$  satisfies the differential inequality

$$|\partial_t U + \Delta U| \leq C_{carl}^{-1} T^{-1} |U| + C_{carl}^{-\frac{1}{2}} T^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla U| \quad \text{on } \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.5)$$

Assume the inequality

$$r_-^2 \geq 4C_{carl} T.$$

Then one has the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{4}} \int_{10r_- \leq |x| \leq \frac{r_+}{2}} T^{-1} |U|^2 + |\nabla U|^2 \, dx dt \\ & \lesssim C_{carl}^2 e^{-\frac{r_- r_+}{4C_{carl} T}} \left[ \int \int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{\frac{2|x|^2}{C_{carl} T}} \left( T^{-1} |U(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla U(t, x)|^2 \right) \, dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + e^{\frac{2r_+^2}{C_{carl} T}} \int_{r_- \leq |x| \leq r_+} |U(0, x)|^2 \, dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.6.** <sup>[31]</sup> (Second Carleman inequality) Let  $C_{carl} \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $T \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $r > 0$ , and we define the cylindrical region

$$\mathcal{C} \triangleq \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : t \in [0, T]; |x| \leq r\}.$$

Let  $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth function and such that  $U$  satisfies the differential inequality

$$|\partial_t U + \Delta U| \leq C_{carl}^{-1} T^{-1} |U| + C_{carl}^{-\frac{1}{2}} T^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla U| \quad \text{on } \mathcal{C}. \quad (2.6)$$

Assume

$$r^2 \geq 4000T.$$

Then, for any  $0 < t_1 \leq t_0 < \frac{T}{10000}$  one has the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{2t_0} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{r}{2}} (T^{-1}|U|^2 + |\nabla U|^2) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} dx dt \\ & \lesssim e^{-\frac{r^2}{500t_0}} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq r} T^{-1}|U(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla U(t, x)|^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{t_0}{t_1}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{et_0}{t_1}\right)^{\frac{Cr^2}{t_0}} \int_{|x| \leq r} |U(0, x)|^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t_1}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Quantitative version of $\epsilon$ -regularity for MHD system

This subsection mainly devote to the quantitative estimates for for the higher-order derivatives of  $(v, H)$  (see the Corollary 2.1 for more details), which are key ingredients in the proof of Proposition 4.3 regarding the annuli of estimation for  $(v, H)$ . First, we present the following Lemma 2.7 which can be obtained directly by using the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type iteration, and we will not elaborate on its proof here, and refer the interested reader to page 291 of [27].

**Lemma 2.7.** [27] *There exist absolute constants  $\epsilon_* > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that if  $(v, H, \Pi)$  is a suitable weak solution of the MHD equations (1.1) on  $Q_1(0, 0)$  and for some  $\epsilon < \epsilon_*$*

$$\int_{Q_1(0,0)} |v|^3 + |H|^3 + |\Pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx ds \leq \epsilon,$$

then

$$\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} \leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, the following qualitative estimate holds for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$\max_{z \in Q_{\frac{1}{8}}(0,0)} |\nabla^k(v, H)(z)| \leq C_k. \quad (2.8)$$

In fact, the quantitative estimate (2.7) is valid for  $(\nabla^k v, \nabla^k H)$  for any  $k > 0$  by taking advantage of the following lemma, i.e., the above  $C_k$  in (2.8) can be quantified.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $(v, H, \Pi)$  be a distributional solution to the MHD equation (1.1) in  $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, 0)$ . Furthermore, suppose  $v, H \in L^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, 0))$ ,  $\omega, J \in L^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0, 0))$  with*

$$\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} < 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^k(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{8}}(0,0))} \\ & \leq C'_k \left( \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

for any integer  $k \geq 0$ . Here  $C_k \in (0, \infty)$  is a universal constant.

*Remark 2.1.* The quantitative version of Barker-Prange's result for Navier-Stokes system was presented in [2] without proof. Here, for completeness, we give a full proof in Appendix 5 by exploiting the localisation procedure and  $L^q$ - $L^p$  estimates of the heat operator which is different from the Serrin's procedure and of independent interest. However, compared with Barker-Prange's result, our quantitative estimates are improved by using the velocity field  $v$  in place of vorticity field  $\omega$  in the left-hand side of (2.10). On the other hand, our quantitative result extend Barker-Prange's estimate from  $j = 1, 2$  to any  $j \geq 1$ .

Combining Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, we immediately derive the following corollary which is a core result of this subsection.

**Corollary 2.1.** *There exist absolute constants  $\varepsilon'_* \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $(v, H, \Pi)$  is a suitable weak solution of the MHD equations (1.1) on  $Q_1(0, 0)$  and for some  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon'_*$*

$$\int_{Q_1(0,0)} |v|^3 + |H|^3 + |\Pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx ds \leq \varepsilon',$$

then for any positive integer  $k > 0$

$$\|\nabla^k v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{8}}(0,0))} + \|\nabla^k H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{8}}(0,0))} \leq C'_k (\varepsilon')^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

for some universal constant  $C'_k > 0$ .

*Proof.* First, by Lemma 2.7 we can conclude that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} \leq C (\varepsilon')^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (2.11)$$

This, along with the following interpolation inequality and (2.11)

$$\|h\|_{W_x^{1,2}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} \leq C \|h\|_{L_x^2(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{W_x^{2,2}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } h \in W_x^{2,2}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)),$$

yields

$$\|\nabla(v, H)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} \leq C \|(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(v, H)\|_{L_t^2 W_x^{2,2}(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C (\varepsilon')^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

which implies (2.9) is holds. Together with Lemma 2.8, we derive that for any any positive integer  $k > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^k(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{8}}(0,0))} \\ & \leq C'_k (\|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}) \\ & \leq C'_k (\varepsilon')^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of Corollary 2.1.  $\square$

### 3 The Proof of the Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Firstly, by using the upper bound estimate of  $N_0$  which proof will be postponed to the Section 4, and the energy method we will prove the Theorem 1.1. Secondly, with the help of the quantitative estimates of Theorem 1.1, we finish the proof of the Theorem 1.2

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First we note that, due to the Proposition 1.1,

$$\|P_N(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A_1^{-1} N \quad (3.1)$$

whenever  $N \geq N_*$  with

$$N_* \triangleq \exp(\exp(\exp(A^{C_0^7}))).$$

In the following, we will use the classical energy method to derive the desired result. However, the solution  $(v, H)$  don't belong to the energy function space. To fix this difficult, we split  $v = v^l + v^n$ ,  $H = H^l + H^n$  on  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , where

$$v^l(t) \triangleq e^{(t+1)\Delta} v(-1, x), \quad H^l(t) \triangleq e^{(t+1)\Delta} H(-1, x).$$

This, along with (1.17) and (2.4) yields

$$\|\nabla^j(v^l, H^l)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p([\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_j A, \quad (3.2)$$

for any  $3 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $j \geq 0$ . Now let us denote the nonlinear component by  $v^n \triangleq v - v^l$ ,  $H^n \triangleq H - H^l$ , and similarly split  $\omega = \omega^l + \omega^n$ ,  $J = J^l + J^n$ . For convenience, we introduce the nonlinear enstrophy

$$\mathbb{E}(t) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega^n(t, x)|^2 + |J^n(t, x)|^2 dx \quad (3.3)$$

for  $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . From the equation (1.13) and integration by parts we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(t) = -\mathbb{Y}_1(t) + \mathbb{Y}_2(t) + \mathbb{Y}_3(t) + \mathbb{Y}_4(t) + \mathbb{Y}_5(t) + \mathbb{Y}_6(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Y}_1(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega^n(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla J^n(t, x)|^2 dx \\ \mathbb{Y}_2(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (H \cdot \nabla) J^l dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (H \cdot \nabla) \omega^l dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (v \cdot \nabla) \omega^l dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (v \cdot \nabla) J^l dx \\ \mathbb{Y}_3(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (\omega^n \cdot \nabla) v^l dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (J^n \cdot \nabla) v^l dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T(v^n, H^l) \cdot J^n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (J^n \cdot \nabla) H^l dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (\omega^n \cdot \nabla) H^l dx \\ \mathbb{Y}_4(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (\omega^l \cdot \nabla) v^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (J^l \cdot \nabla) v^n dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T(v^l, H^n) \cdot J^n \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (J^l \cdot \nabla) H^n \, dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (\omega^l \cdot \nabla) H^n \, dx \\
\mathbb{Y}_5(t) & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (\omega^l \cdot \nabla) v^l \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (J^l \cdot \nabla) v^l \, dx \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T(v^l, H^l) \cdot J^n \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (J^l \cdot \nabla) H^l \, dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (\omega^l \cdot \nabla) H^l \, dx \\
\mathbb{Y}_6(t) & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (\omega^n \cdot \nabla) v^n \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (J^n \cdot \nabla) v^n \, dx \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T(v^n, H^n) \cdot J^n \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^n \cdot (J^n \cdot \nabla) H^n \, dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} J^n \cdot (\omega^n \cdot \nabla) H^n \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

First, it is clear that from Hölder inequality, (3.2), (1.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{Y}_2(t)| & \leq \|\omega^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|H\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla J^l\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|J^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|H\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \omega^l\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& + \|\omega^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \omega^l\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|J^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla v^l\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& \lesssim A^2 (\|\omega^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|J^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \lesssim A^2 \mathbb{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \lesssim A^4 + \mathbb{E}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, one has

$$|\mathbb{Y}_3(t)| + |\mathbb{Y}_4(t)| \leq A(\|\omega^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|J^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) = A\mathbb{E}(t) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$|\mathbb{Y}_5(t)| \leq A^2(\|\omega^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|J^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \lesssim A^2 \mathbb{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \leq A^4 + \mathbb{E}(t) \tag{3.6}$$

To estimate nonlinear term  $\mathbb{Y}_6(t)$ , more work needs to be done. Indeed, by applying a Littlewood-Paley decomposition to  $\mathbb{Y}_6(t)$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Y}_6(t) & = \sum_{N_1, N_2, N_3} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{N_1} \omega^n \cdot P_{N_2} \omega^n \cdot \nabla P_{N_3} v^n \, dx \right. \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{N_1} J^n \cdot P_{N_2} J^n \cdot \nabla P_{N_3} v^n \, dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} T(P_{N_1} v^n, P_{N_2} H^n) \cdot P_{N_3} J^n \, dx \\
& \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{N_1} \omega^n \cdot P_{N_2} J^n \cdot \nabla P_{N_3} H^n \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{N_1} J^n \cdot P_{N_2} \omega^n \cdot \nabla P_{N_3} H^n \, dx \right\} \\
& \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i,
\end{aligned}$$

First, we consider  $I_1$ . Notices that by using Plancherel's theorem

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{F} \left[ \left( \sum_{N_1} P_{N_1} \omega^n \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{N_2} P_{N_2} \omega^n \right) \right] (\xi) \cdot \mathcal{F} \left( \sum_{N_3} P_{N_3} \nabla v^n \right) (\xi) \, d\xi$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \sum_{N_1} \Psi_3 \widehat{\omega^n}(\eta) \right) \left( \sum_{N_2} \Psi_4 \widehat{\omega^n}(\xi - \zeta) \right) \left( \sum_{N_3} \Psi_5 \widehat{\nabla v^n}(\xi) \right) d\eta d\xi$$

where

$$\Psi_3 = \varphi\left(\frac{\eta}{N_1}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{2\eta}{N_1}\right), \quad \Psi_4 = \varphi\left(\frac{\xi - \zeta}{N_2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{2\xi - 2\zeta}{N_2}\right), \quad \Psi_5 = \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{N_3}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{2\xi}{N_3}\right).$$

thus, the low-low interaction is vanish, i.e.,  $I_1 \triangleq I_{1,1} + I_{1,2} + I_{1,3}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,1} &= \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2} \sum_{N_3 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{N_1} \omega^n \cdot (P_{N_2} \omega^n \cdot \nabla) P_{N_3} v^n \, dx \\ I_{1,2} &= \sum_{N_1 \sim N_3} \sum_{N_2 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{N_1} \omega^n \cdot (P_{N_2} \omega^n \cdot \nabla) P_{N_3} v^n \, dx \\ I_{1,3} &= \sum_{N_2 \sim N_3} \sum_{N_1 \lesssim N_2 \sim N_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{N_1} \omega^n \cdot (P_{N_2} \omega^n \cdot \nabla) P_{N_3} v^n \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

To consider  $I_{1,1}$ , we first note that from (2.2), (1.17), (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N_3 \lesssim N_1} \|P_{N_3} \nabla v^n\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \left( \sum_{N_* \leq N_3 \lesssim N_1} + \sum_{N_3 \leq N_*} \right) \|P_{N_3} \nabla v^n\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \sum_{N_* \leq N_3 \lesssim N_1} A_1^{-1} N_3^2 + \sum_{N_3 \leq N_*} A N_3^2 \\ &\lesssim A_1^{-1} N_1^2 + A N_*^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$I_{1,1} \lesssim A_1^{-1} \sum_{N_1} N_1^2 \|P_{N_1} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + A N_*^2 \sum_{N_1} \|P_{N_1} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2.$$

Similarly,

$$I_{1,2} \lesssim A_1^{-1} \sum_{N_3} N_3^2 \|P_{N_3} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + A N_*^2 \sum_{N_3} \|P_{N_3} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

and

$$I_{1,3} \lesssim A_1^{-1} \sum_{N_2} N_2^2 \|P_{N_2} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + A N_*^2 \sum_{N_2} \|P_{N_2} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2.$$

Thus, we finally derive

$$I_1 \lesssim A_1^{-1} \sum_N N^2 \|P_N \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + A N_*^2 \sum_N \|P_N \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2.$$

By the similar calculation as above, one has

$$I_2, I_3 \lesssim A_1^{-1} \sum_N N^2 \|P_N J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + A N_*^2 \sum_N \|P_N J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_4, I_5 &\lesssim A_1^{-1} \sum_N N^2 (\|P_N \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|P_N J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) \\ &\quad + AN_*^2 \sum_N (\|P_N \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|P_{N_1} J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combined with the above estimates, one finally obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Y}_6(t) &\lesssim A_1^{-1} \sum_N N^2 (\|P_N \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|P_N J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) \\ &\quad + AN_*^2 \sum_N (\|P_N \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|P_N J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Plancherel's theorem we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Y}_1(t) &\sim \sum_{N_1} N_1^2 (\|P_{N_1} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|P_{N_1} J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2), \\ \mathbb{E}(t) &\sim \sum_{N_1} (\|P_{N_1} \omega^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|P_{N_1} J^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{Y}_6(t) \lesssim A_1^{-1} \mathbb{Y}_1(t) + AN_*^2 \mathbb{E}(t).$$

This, along with (3.4)-(3.6), yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(t) + \mathbb{Y}_1(t) \lesssim AN_*^2 \mathbb{E}(t) + A^4. \quad (3.7)$$

By the aid of Gronwall's inequality, one further derives for any  $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq \tau \leq 1$

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau) \lesssim e^{AN_*^2(\tau-s)} \mathbb{E}(s) + A^4 \int_s^\tau e^{AN_*^2(\tau-\theta)} d\theta.$$

Thus, if  $0 < \tau - s \leq A^{-1}N_*^{-2}$  one has

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau) \lesssim \mathbb{E}(s) + A^4. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we further claim that

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v^n|^2 + |\nabla H^n|^2) dx dt \lesssim A^4. \quad (3.9)$$

Notices that the nonlinear pair  $(v^n, H^n)$  fulfills

$$\partial_t v^n = \Delta v^n - \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v - H \otimes H) - \nabla \Pi, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\partial_t H^n = \Delta H^n + (v \cdot \nabla)H - (H \cdot \nabla)v. \quad (3.11)$$

Multiplying (3.10), (3.11) by  $v^n$  and  $H^n$ , respectively, and integrating with respect to  $x$  over  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v^n|^2 + |H^n|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v^n|^2 + |\nabla H^n|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla v^n) : (v \otimes v) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(H \cdot \nabla)v - (v \cdot \nabla)H] \cdot H^n - (\nabla v^n) : (H \otimes H) dx \\ &\triangleq I_6 + I_7. \end{aligned}$$

As before, we denote the nonlinear component by  $v^n \triangleq v - v^l$  and  $H^n \triangleq H - H^l$  which fulfil by using (1.17), (3.2)

$$\|(v^n, H^n)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3([-1/2, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A.$$

It is clear that by a simple calculation

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla v^n) : (v \otimes v) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla v^n) : (v^l \otimes v + v^n \otimes v^l) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v^n|^2 \, dx + C \|v^l\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|v\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|v^n\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|v^l\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v^n|^2 \, dx + CA^4. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla v^n) : (v^n \otimes v^n) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i v_j^n) v_i^n v_j^n \, dx = 0,$$

due to  $\operatorname{div} v^n = 0$ . Similarly,

$$I_7 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla H^n|^2 \, dx + CA^4.$$

From this, we immediately derive the desired estimate (3.9). Thus, from (3.9), we also have

$$\int_{\frac{3}{4} - A^{-1}N_*^{-2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \mathbb{E}(t) \, dt \leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \mathbb{E}(t) \, dt \lesssim A^4,$$

and hence by the pigeonhole principle, there is at least one time  $t_1 \in (\frac{3}{4} - A^{-1}N_*^{-2}, \frac{3}{4})$  with  $\mathbb{E}(t_1) \lesssim A^5 N_*^2$ . Together with (3.8), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(t_2) &\lesssim \mathbb{E}(t_1) + A^4 \lesssim A^5 N_*^2 \lesssim N_*^3, \quad \text{for all } t_2 \in [t_1, t_1 + A^{-1}N_*^{-2}], \\ \mathbb{E}(t_3) &\lesssim \mathbb{E}(t_1 + A^{-1}N_*^{-2}) + A^4 \lesssim A^5 N_*^2 \lesssim N_*^3, \quad \text{for all } t_3 \in [t_1 + A^{-1}N_*^{-2}, t_1 + 2A^{-1}N_*^{-2}], \\ &\dots \\ \mathbb{E}(t_n) &\lesssim \mathbb{E}(1 - A^{-1}N_*^{-2}) + A^4 \lesssim A^5 N_*^2 \lesssim N_*^3, \quad \text{for all } t_n \in [1 - A^{-1}N_*^{-2}, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Through this iterative process, we can get  $\mathbb{E}(t) \lesssim N_*^3$  for all  $\frac{3}{4} \leq t \leq 1$ , which, along with (3.7), yields

$$\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 \mathbb{Y}_1(t) \, dt = \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega^n(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla J^n(t, x)|^2 \, dx \, dt \lesssim N_*^3.$$

This further implies

$$\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 v(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla^2 H^n(t, x)|^2 \, dx \, dt \lesssim N_*^3 \quad (3.12)$$

Here, we have used the fact

$$\|\nabla v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|\omega^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \|\nabla H^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|J^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

due to  $\operatorname{div} v^n = \operatorname{div} H^n = 0$ . Iterating the estimate (3.12) (The detailed iterative process is given in Proposition 4.2 below), we finally derive

$$|(v, H)(t, x)|, |\nabla(v, H)(t, x)|, |\nabla^2(v, H)(t, x)| \lesssim N_*^{30}$$

on  $[\frac{7}{8}, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . This concludes Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.* In contrast to the proof of Proposition 1.1, we use the enstrophy-type quantity (3.3) to derive the desired result, instead of the quantity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega^n(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla H^n(t, x)|^2 dx. \quad (3.13)$$

The main reason is that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla) J^n \cdot \omega^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla) \omega^n \cdot J^n dx = 0.$$

However, if we use the quantity (3.13), we have to deal with the term

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla) J^n \cdot \omega^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla) v_{x_k}^n \cdot H_{x_k}^n dx \neq 0,$$

which is estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla) J^n \cdot \omega^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla) v_{x_k}^n \cdot H_{x_k}^n dx \right| \\ & \leq \|H\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \omega^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla H_{x_k}^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim AY_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.7) fails, which disable us to prove Theorem 1.1 with the help of the Gronwall's inequality and bootstrap technique.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. The key ingredient of the proof is the quantitative estimates (1.12) of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $c > 0$  be a sufficiently small constant, and suppose for contradiction that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\|(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{[\log \log \log(\frac{1}{1-t})]^c} < +\infty$$

Thus, for some constant  $M > 1$ , we know that there exists  $0 < \delta < \exp\{-\exp \exp(M^{\frac{1}{c}})\}$  such that

$$\|(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M[\log \log \log(\frac{1}{1-t})]^c, \quad t \in [1 - \delta, 1)$$

Applying Theorem 1.1, for  $c$  small enough (for example we can choose that  $2cC_0^8 < 1$ ). Then, we obtain

$$\|(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \exp \left\{ \exp \left[ \exp \left( M^{C_0^8} \cdot [\log \log \log(\frac{1}{1-t})]^{cC_0^8} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \exp \left\{ \exp \left[ \exp \left( \left[ \log \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right) \right]^{2cC_0^8} \right) \right] \right\} \\
&\lesssim \exp \left\{ \exp \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \log \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right) \right] \right) \right] \right\} \\
&\lesssim \exp \left\{ \exp \left[ \exp \left( \log \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{1}{10}} \right) \right] \right\} \\
&\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{1}{10}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{1}{10}} &= \log \log \left( \frac{1}{10} \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right) \right) \\
&= \log \left[ \log \frac{1}{10} + \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right) \right] \\
&> \log \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right) \right) \\
&= \log \frac{1}{2} + \log \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right) \\
&> \frac{1}{2} \log \log \log \left( \frac{1}{1-t} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $v$  and  $H$  are bounded in  $L_t^2 L_x^\infty((1-\delta, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ , contradicting the classical blow-up criterion [32, 33]. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## 4 An upper bound estimate of $N_0$

In this section, we will prove Proposition 1.1, which provides an upper bound estimate for  $N_0$ , in four steps. Firstly, in section 4.1, we provided an estimate of the bounded total velocity, which is necessary for proving the construction of frequency bubbles in section 4.3. Secondly, in section 4.2, we proved that the epochs of estimation and annuli of estimation for  $v$  and  $H$ , both of which are crucial for proving the main results in this section. Finally, in section 4.4, we provided an upper bound estimate for  $N_0$ , which constitutes the proof of Proposition 1.1.

### 4.1 Bounded total speed estimate

In this section, we consider the bounded total speed estimate for MHD system, which is crucial for obtaining the epochs regularity and annuli regularity estimates of  $(v, H)$ , as well as for the construction of frequency bubbles. Let us emphasize that our strategy is due to Foias, Guillopé and Temam in [12], which is much more direct and simpler compared with Tao's argument in [31]

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $v, H, \pi, A$  obey the hypotheses of Proposition 1.1. Then for any interval  $I \subset [0, 1]$ , we have*

$$\|(v, H)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^4 |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* We will use the energy method to derive the desired result. First, we assume  $I = [0, 1]$ . Together with the pigeonhole principle, and the estimate (3.9), ensures that there

exists  $t_0 \in [-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4}]$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v^n(t_0)|^2 + |\nabla H^n(t_0)|^2 dx \lesssim A^4. \quad (4.2)$$

To conclude the proof, we need to show

$$\int_{t_0}^1 \frac{\|\Delta(v^n, H^n)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{\left(A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\right)^2} dt \lesssim A^4. \quad (4.3)$$

We suppose this estimate is valid at the moment. Then from this and multiply inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \|v^n\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|H^n\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^1 \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^1 \left(A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\|\Delta v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^1 A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt + \int_0^1 \frac{\|\Delta v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Delta H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{\left(A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\right)^2} dt \\ & \lesssim A^4. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.2), we finally get

$$\|v\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^4, \quad \|H\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^4. \quad (4.4)$$

Now we remain to show (4.3). Indeed, multiplying (3.10), (3.11) by  $\Delta v^n$ ,  $\Delta H^n$ , respectively, one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla(v^n, H^n)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta(v^n, H^n)|^2 dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot \nabla)v \cdot \Delta v^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot \nabla)H \cdot \Delta H^n dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla)H \cdot \Delta v^n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H \cdot \nabla)v \cdot \Delta H^n dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^n \cdot \nabla)v^n \cdot \Delta v^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^n \cdot \nabla)v^l \cdot \Delta v^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^l \cdot \nabla)v^n \cdot \Delta v^n dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^l \cdot \nabla)v^l \cdot \Delta v^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^n \cdot \nabla)H^n \cdot \Delta H^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^n \cdot \nabla)H^l \cdot \Delta H^n dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^l \cdot \nabla)H^n \cdot \Delta H^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v^l \cdot \nabla)H^l \cdot \Delta H^n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^n \cdot \nabla)H^n \cdot \Delta v^n dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^n \cdot \nabla)H^l \cdot \Delta v^n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^l \cdot \nabla)H^n \cdot \Delta v^n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^l \cdot \nabla)v^l \cdot \Delta v^n dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^n \cdot \nabla)v^n \cdot \Delta H^n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^n \cdot \nabla)v^l \cdot \Delta H^n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^l \cdot \nabla)v^n \cdot \Delta H^n dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H^l \cdot \nabla)v^l \cdot \Delta H^n dx \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{16} K_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where according to Hölder's inequality, Young's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (3.2), we can give the estimates of  $K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 16$ ) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1| &\leq \|v^n\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla v^n\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|v^n\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{18} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|\nabla v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6, \\ |K_2| &\leq \|\nabla v^l\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v^n\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{18} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|\nabla v^l\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\nabla v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{18} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + CA^2 \|\nabla v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By a similarly calculation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &|K_3| + |K_6| + |K_7| + |K_{10}| + |K_{11}| + |K_{14}| + |K_{15}| \\ &\leq \frac{3}{18} \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{2}{9} \|\Delta H^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + CA^2 (\|\nabla v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2), \\ &|K_4| + |K_8| + |K_{12}| + |K_{16}| \leq \frac{2}{9} \left( \|\Delta H^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) + CA^4, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|K_5| + |K_9| + |K_{13}| \leq \frac{1}{6} \left( \|\Delta H^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Delta v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) + C (\|\nabla v^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6).$$

Substituting the estimate of  $K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 16$ ) into (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) + \|\Delta v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Delta H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6 + A^2 (\|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) + A^4 \\ &\lesssim \left( \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + A^2 \right)^3, \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left[ - (A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2)^{-1} \right] + \frac{\|\Delta v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Delta H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{\left( A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right)^2} \\ &\lesssim A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Integrating with respect to  $t$  over  $[t_0, 1]$  for (4.6) and using (3.9), (4.2), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^1 \frac{\|\Delta(v^n, H^n)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{\left( A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right)^2} dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{t_0}^1 A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt + \frac{\|\nabla v^n(t_0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla H^n(t_0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{A^4} \lesssim A^4. \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate (4.3).

Secondly, if  $I = [t_1, t_2] \subset \subset [0, 1]$ , we can invoke the scaling and translation argument to derive the desired result. In fact, we take

$$v^\lambda(t, x) \triangleq \lambda v(\lambda^2 t + t_1, \lambda x), \quad H^\lambda(t, x) \triangleq \lambda H(\lambda^2 t + t_1, \lambda x), \quad (4.7)$$

with  $\lambda = \sqrt{t_2 - t_1}$ , then  $(v^\lambda, H^\lambda)$  is also a classical solution of (1.1) in  $\left[-\frac{1+t_1}{t_2-t_1}, \frac{1-t_1}{t_2-t_1}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $[-1, 1] \subset \left[-\frac{1+t_1}{t_2-t_1}, \frac{1-t_1}{t_2-t_1}\right]$ . Notices that  $\|(v^\lambda, H^\lambda)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3} = \|(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3} \leq A$ , then by (4.4), we have

$$\|(v^\lambda, H^\lambda)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^4,$$

from which one has

$$\|(v, H)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \|(v^\lambda, H^\lambda)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^4 |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.8)$$

for any interval  $I \subset [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Epochs of estimation and annuli of estimation for $v$ and $H$

The role of this section in the paper is crucial, particularly in the estimation of the upper bound for  $N_0$  using quantitative versions of the Carleman inequality. Here, the standard argument, such as the bootstrap technique, is employed.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $v, \pi, H, A$  obey the hypotheses of Proposition 1.1. For any interval  $I \subset [0, 1]$ , there is a subinterval  $I' \subset I$  with  $|I'| \gtrsim A^{-8}|I|$  such that*

$$\|\nabla^j(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(I' \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{35} |I|^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we assume  $I = [0, 1]$ . Taking the gradient of (3.10), (3.11), then taking the inner product with  $\nabla v^n$  and  $\nabla H^n$  respectively, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v^n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla H^n|^2) dx \right] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^2 v^n|^2 + |\nabla^2 H^n|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v - H \otimes H) \cdot \Delta v^n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ (v \cdot \nabla)H - (H \cdot \nabla)v \right] \cdot \Delta H^n dx \triangleq I_8 + I_9. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates for  $I_8$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_8 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v - H \otimes H) \cdot \Delta v^n dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|\operatorname{div}(v \otimes v)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|\operatorname{div}(H \otimes H)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|v\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|H\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\nabla H\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \left( A^2 + \|v^n\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \left( A^2 + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( A^2 + \|H^n\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \left( A^2 + \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \left( A^2 + E_1(t) \right) \left( A^2 + E_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( A^2 + E_2(t) \right) \left( A^2 + E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \|\nabla^2 H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{5}{8} \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^2 H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \left[ A^4 + A^4 \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}(t)^3 \right], \quad (4.9) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \triangleq E_1(t) + E_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v^n(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla H^n(t, x)|^2 dx, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Similarly, we also have

$$I_9 \leq \frac{5}{8} \|\nabla^2 H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \left[ A^4 + A^4 \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}(t)^3 \right] \quad (4.10)$$

From (4.9) and (4.10), for any  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) + \left( \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla^2 H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \leq C \left[ A^4 + A^4 \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}(t)^3 \right]. \quad (4.11)$$

On the other hand, by the pigeonhole principle and (3.9), we can find a time  $t_1 \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(t_1) \lesssim A^4$ . Now we define

$$t^* = \sup \left\{ t \mid \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t} |\mathcal{E}(s)| \leq CA^4 \right\},$$

then from (4.11), we know that for any  $t \in [t_1, t^*]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t) &\leq \mathcal{E}(t_1) + C \int_{t_1}^{t^*} A^4 + A^4 \mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}(t)^2 \, dt \\ &\leq A^4 + CA^{12}(t^* - t_1) \leq CA^4, \end{aligned}$$

from which we can show that  $t^* = t_1 + cA^{-8}$  and  $c > 0$  is a small absolute constant. Thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq CA^4 \quad \text{for } t \in [t_1, t_1 + cA^{-8}]. \quad (4.12)$$

For simplicity, we set  $\tau(s) \triangleq t_1 + scA^{-8}$ , inserting (4.12) back into (4.11) one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) + \left( \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla^2 H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) \lesssim A^{12} \quad \text{for } t \in [\tau(0), \tau(1)],$$

and hence by the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$\int_{\tau(0)}^{\tau(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^2 v^n|^2 + |\nabla^2 H^n|^2) \, dx dt \lesssim A^4. \quad (4.13)$$

This, along with Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|v^n\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 v^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|H^n\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 H^n\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

the Hölder's inequality, (4.12), yields

$$\|(v^n, H^n)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([\tau(0), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^2.$$

Hence by (3.2), we have

$$\|(v, H)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([\tau(0), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^2. \quad (4.14)$$

Furthermore, from Sobolev embedding and (4.13) one has

$$\|(\nabla v^n, \nabla H^n)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^2,$$

which, along with (3.2) yields that

$$\|(\nabla v, \nabla H)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^2. \quad (4.15)$$

These are subcritical regularity estimates which can be further improved through iterative methods.

**Step 1.** "Bootstrap" estimate (4.14): from  $L_t^4 L_x^\infty$  to  $L_t^\infty L_x^\infty$ . In fact, from (1.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|e^{(t-\tau(0))\Delta}(v, H)(\tau(0))\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau(0)}^t \|e^{(t-t')\Delta}\{\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div}(H \otimes H - v \otimes v), \nabla \times (v \times H)\}(t')\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt' \\ &\lesssim A(t - \tau(0))^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\tau(0)}^t (t - t')^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|v(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|H(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.14) and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, yields

$$\|(v, H)\|_{L_t^8 L_x^\infty([\tau(0.1), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^4. \quad (4.16)$$

Repeating the above argument, we now also see for  $t \in [\tau(0.2), \tau(1)]$  that

$$\|(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A(t - \tau(0.1))^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\tau(0.1)}^t (t - t')^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|v(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|H(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2) dt',$$

which, along with (4.16) and the Hölder's inequality, leads to

$$\|(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\tau(0.2), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^8. \quad (4.17)$$

**Step 2.** "Bootstrap" estimate (4.15): from  $L_t^2 L_x^6$  to  $L_t^\infty L_x^\infty$ . Notices that for  $t \in [\tau(0.3), \tau(1)]$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla v, \nabla H)(t) &= e^{(t-\tau(0.2))\Delta} \nabla (v, H)(\tau(0.2)) \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau(0.2)}^t e^{(t-t')\Delta} \nabla \{\mathbb{P}\operatorname{div}(H \otimes H - v \otimes v), \nabla \times (v \times H)\}(t') dt'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

From (1.17) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla v, \nabla H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim A(t - \tau(0.2))^{-1} \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau(0.2)}^t (t - t')^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left( \|\operatorname{div}(v \otimes v)\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\operatorname{div}(H \otimes H)\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) dt' \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau(0.2)}^t (t - t')^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left( \|v \cdot \nabla H\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|H \cdot \nabla v\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) dt', \end{aligned}$$

where from (4.15), (4.17) and Hölder's inequality one has

$$\left\| \{\operatorname{div}(v \otimes v), \operatorname{div}(H \otimes H), v \cdot \nabla H, H \cdot \nabla v\} \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.2), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{10},$$

Thus, combining with (4.18) and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, it is concluded that

$$\|(\nabla v, \nabla H)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([\tau(0.3), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{10}. \quad (4.19)$$

Therefore, from (4.17), (4.19) and Hölder's inequality, we can get

$$\left\| \{\operatorname{div}(v \otimes v), \operatorname{div}(H \otimes H), v \cdot \nabla H, H \cdot \nabla v\} \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([\tau(0.3), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{18}. \quad (4.20)$$

Furthermore, for any  $t \in [\tau(0.4), \tau(1)]$  we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla v, \nabla H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim A(t - \tau(0.3))^{-1} \\ &+ \int_{\tau(0.3)}^t (t - t')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|\operatorname{div}(v \otimes v)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\operatorname{div}(H \otimes H)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) dt' \\ &+ \int_{\tau(0.3)}^t (t - t')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|v \cdot \nabla H\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|H \cdot \nabla v\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) dt', \end{aligned}$$

and hence by (4.20) we have

$$\|(\nabla v, \nabla H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{18}. \quad (4.21)$$

In order to estimate  $\nabla^2 v$  and  $\nabla^2 H$ , we introduce a cut-off function  $\Xi(t) \in C_c^\infty([0, \tau(1)])$  such that  $0 \leq \Xi \leq 1$  and

$$\Xi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [\tau(0.5), \tau(1)], \\ \text{smooth}, & t \in (\tau(0.4), \tau(0.5)), \\ 0, & t \in [0, \tau(0.4)]. \end{cases}$$

Thus we set  $S(t, x) \triangleq \Xi(t)\omega(t, x)$ ,  $M(t, x) \triangleq \Xi(t)J(t, x)$  which, respectively, fulfill in  $(\tau(0.4), \tau(1)) \times \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t S - \Delta S = \omega \partial_t \Xi + (S \cdot \nabla)v + (H \cdot \nabla)M - (v \cdot \nabla)S + (M \cdot \nabla)H, \\ S(\tau(0.4), x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t M - \Delta M = J \partial_t \Xi + (H \cdot \nabla)S + (M \cdot \nabla)v - (v \cdot \nabla)M + (S \cdot \nabla)H + 2\Xi R(v, H), \\ M(\tau(0.4), x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By using the maximal regularity Theorem of parabolic equations (see the page 117 of [27]), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_t S\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla^2 S\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim A^8 \left( \|\nabla M\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla S\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) + A^{20} \\ &\lesssim A^8 \left[ \left( \int_{\tau(0.4)}^{\tau(1)} \|M\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla^2 M\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{3}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\tau(0.4)}^{\tau(1)} \|S\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla^2 S\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{3}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + A^{20} \\ &\lesssim A^8 (\tau(1) - \tau(0.4))^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[ \|J\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^2 M\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^2 S\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \right] \\ &\lesssim A^{18} \left( \|\nabla^2 M\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \|\nabla^2 S\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) + A^{20} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left( \|\nabla^2 M\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla^2 S\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) + CA^{27}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\partial_t M\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla^2 M\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3} \left( \|\nabla^2 M\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla^2 S\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.4), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) + CA^{27},$$

which combining with (4.22), we have

$$\|\nabla^2 \omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.5), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla^2 J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.5), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{27},$$

which, along with the Biot-Savart law and the Calderón-Zygmund estimates (see the page 380 of [27]), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla^2 u, \nabla^2 H)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.5), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|(\nabla^2(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \times (\omega, J))\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.5), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{\tau(0.5)}^{\tau(1)} \|\nabla(\omega, J)\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{\tau(0.5)}^{\tau(1)} \|(\omega, J)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla^2(\omega, J)\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{3}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim A^{19}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Now, taking the gradient of (4.18), we use Duhamel's principle again for  $t \in [\tau(0.6), \tau(1)]$  that

$$\|(\nabla^2 v, \nabla^2 H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{18} (t - \tau(0.5))^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\tau(0.5)}^t (t - t')^{-\frac{3}{4}} (F, G)(t') dt',$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \|\partial_k H_i \partial_i H_j + H_i \partial_{ik} H_j - \partial_k v_i \partial_i v_j - v_i \partial_{ik} v_j\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \\ G(t) &= \|\partial_k H_i \partial_i v_j + H_i \partial_{ik} v_j - \partial_k v_i \partial_i H_j - v_i \partial_{ik} H_j\|_{L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

By estimates (4.17), (4.21) and (4.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_k H_i \partial_i H_j + H_i \partial_{ik} H_j - \partial_k v_i \partial_i v_j - v_i \partial_{ik} v_j\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.5), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim A^{27}, \\ \|\partial_k H_i \partial_i v_j + H_i \partial_{ik} v_j - \partial_k v_i \partial_i H_j - v_i \partial_{ik} H_j\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6([\tau(0.5), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim A^{27}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeat the proof process in (4.19), we have

$$\|(\nabla^2 v, \nabla^2 H)(t)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([\tau(0.6), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{27},$$

and then

$$\|(\nabla^2 v, \nabla^2 H)(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([\tau(0.7), \tau(1)] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{35}.$$

Finally, if  $I = [\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2] \subset\subset [0, 1]$ , we can borrow the scaling and translation transformation (4.7) and follow almost verbatim the proof of (4.8) to derive the desired result. Here, we omit its details.  $\square$

To invoke the Lemma 2.6, we had to prove the annuli of estimates for  $v$  and  $H$ . It is worth noting that, compared to the approach using covering theories in Tao [31], we, as in [2], provided a simple and direct proof from a new perspective by using the  $\varepsilon$ -regularity method.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $(v, H, p) : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.17). There exist a absolute constant  $\varepsilon'_* \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$  such that if  $0 < T' \leq \frac{1}{64}$ ,  $R_0 \geq 1$  and*

$$\mu' = -\frac{\log \varepsilon'_*}{\log A}.$$

*Then there exists a scale*

$$16R_0\sqrt{T'} \leq \tilde{R} \leq 16e^{2\mu' A^{\mu'+2}} R_0\sqrt{T'},$$

*such that on the region*

$$\Omega := \{(t, x) \in [-T', 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \tilde{R} \leq |x| \leq \frac{A^{\mu'}}{4}\tilde{R}\},$$

*we have*

$$\|\nabla^j(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim A^{-\frac{\mu'}{3}}(T')^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* Fix any  $R_0 \geq 1$ , we can from (1.17) and Calderón-Zygmund inequality obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^0 \int_{(A^{\mu'})^k R_0 \leq |x| \leq (A^{\mu'})^{k+1} R_0} |v|^3 + |H|^3 + |\Pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx dt \leq A.$$

By the pigeonhole principle, there exists  $k_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lceil A^{\mu'+1} \rceil\}$  such that

$$\int_{-1}^0 \int_{(A^{\mu'})^{k_0} R_0 \leq |x| \leq (A^{\mu'})^{k_0+1} R_0} |v|^3 + |H|^3 + |\Pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx dt \leq A^{-\mu'}.$$

Let us define  $R' \triangleq A^{\mu' k_0} R_0$ , then

$$R_0 \leq R' \leq e^{2\mu' A^{\mu'+2}} R_0,$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^0 \int_{R' \leq |x| \leq A^{\mu'} R'} |v|^3 + |H|^3 + |\Pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx dt \leq A^{-\mu'}.$$

which implies

$$\sup_{x' \in \Gamma} \int_{-1}^0 \int_{B_1(x')} |v|^3 + |H|^3 + |\Pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx dt \leq A^{-\mu'} = \varepsilon'_*.$$

with

$$\Gamma \triangleq \{x : R' + 1 \leq |x| \leq A^{\mu'} R' - 1\}.$$

Here,  $\varepsilon'_*$  is defined in Corollary 2.1. Then, by applying Corollary 2.1, one has for  $j = 0, 1, 2$

$$\sup_{x' \in \Gamma} \|\nabla^j(v, H)\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{8}}(x', 0))} \leq C'_j A^{-\frac{\mu'}{3}}$$

which also implies

$$\|\nabla^j(v, H)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma \times [-\frac{1}{64}, 0])} \leq C'_j A^{-\frac{\mu'}{3}}. \quad (4.24)$$

Now to conclude the proof, we take a scaling transformation

$$(v^{\lambda'}, H^{\lambda'})(t, x) \triangleq \lambda'(v, H)(\lambda'^2 t, \lambda' x) \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

with  $\lambda' = 8\sqrt{T'}$ , which is also the classical solution of (1.1) in  $[-\frac{1}{64T'}, \frac{1}{64T'}] \times \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $[-1, 1] \subset [-\frac{1}{64T'}, \frac{1}{64T'}]$ . Then from (4.24), one has for  $j = 0, 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^j(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-T', 0] \times (B(0, \frac{A\mu'}{4}\tilde{R}) \setminus B(0, \tilde{R})))} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda')^{j+1}} \|\nabla^j(v^{\lambda'}, H^{\lambda'})\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([- \frac{1}{64}, 0] \times (B(0, \frac{A\mu'}{2}R') \setminus B(0, 2R')))} \\ &\lesssim A^{-\frac{\mu'}{3}} (T')^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{R} = 16\sqrt{T'}R'$ . Here

$$\{x : 2R' \leq |x| \leq \frac{A\mu'}{2}R'\} \subset \Gamma,$$

due to

$$A\mu'R' - 1 \geq \frac{A\mu'}{2}R' \geq 2R' \geq R' + 1.$$

□

### 4.3 Frequency bubbles of concentration

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $(v, H, \pi) : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.17). If there exists  $(t_1, x_1) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$*

$$|P_{N_1}(v, H)(t_1, x_1)| \geq A_1^{-1}N_1$$

with  $N_1 \geq A_3$ . Then there exists  $(t_2, x_2) \in [-1, t_1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $N_2 \in [A_2^{-1}N_1, A_2N_1]$  such that

$$A_3^{-1}N_1^{-2} \leq t_1 - t_2 \leq A_3N_1^{-2}, \quad |x_2 - x_1| \leq A_4N_1^{-1}$$

and

$$|P_{N_2}(v, H)(t_2, x_2)| \geq A_1^{-1}N_2.$$

*Proof.* First, Let us define  $(v_{N_1}, H_{N_1})(t, x) = N_1^{-1}(v, H)\left(\frac{t}{N_1^2}, \frac{x}{N_1}\right)$  which is a solution of (1.1) in  $(-N_1^2, N_1^2) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . Notices that by a simple calculation,

$$P_1(v_{N_1}, H_{N_1})(t, x) = N_1^{-1}P_{N_1}(v, H)\left(\frac{t}{N_1^2}, \frac{x}{N_1}\right),$$

which implies that

$$|P_1(v_{N_1}, H_{N_1})(x_1, t_1)| \geq A_1^{-1}. \quad (4.25)$$

Due to translation invariance, we also assume  $(x_1, t_1) = (0, 0)$ . In the following, we consider  $(v_{N_1}, H_{N_1})$  in  $(-N_1^2, N_1^2) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  which still denoted by  $(v, H)$  for simplicity. Now, assume for contradiction that the claim fails, then for all  $A_2^{-1} \leq N \leq A_2$ , we have

$$\|P_N(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3, -A_3^{-1}] \times B(0, A_4))} \leq A_1^{-1}N. \quad (4.26)$$

Now we claim that the estimate (4.26) is valid in  $[-A_3, 0] \times B(0, A_4)$ . To do this, we apply  $P_N$  to both sides of (1.1) and find that by (1.17) and (2.2)

$$\|P_N \Delta(v, H)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^3 \|(v, H)\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim AN^3,$$

On the other hand, using Hölder inequality, one derives

$$\|v \otimes v - H \otimes H\|_{L_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|H\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim A^2,$$

$$\|v \times H\|_{L_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|H\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^2.$$

This, together Lemma 2.1, yields

$$\|P_N \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v - H \otimes H)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^3 \|v \otimes v - H \otimes H\|_{L_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^2 N^3,$$

$$\|P_N \nabla \times (v \times H)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim N^3 \|v \times H\|_{L_x^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^2 N^3,$$

from which one further obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t P_N(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3^{-1}, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|P_N \Delta(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3^{-1}, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad + \|P_N \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v - H \otimes H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3^{-1}, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad + \|P_N \nabla \times (v \times H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3^{-1}, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim A^2 N^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Therefore, it is clear that for any  $t \in [-A_3^{-1}, 0]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_N v(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, A_4))} &\leq \left| \|P_N v(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, A_4))} - \|P_N v(\cdot, -A_3^{-1})\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, A_4))} \right| + A_1^{-1} N \\ &= \left| \int_{-A_3^{-1}}^t \partial_s \|P_N v(\cdot, s)\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, A_4))} ds \right| + A_1^{-1} N \\ &\leq A_3^{-1} \|\partial_t P_N v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3^{-1}, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + A_1^{-1} N \\ &\leq A_3^{-1} A^2 N^3 + A_1^{-1} N \lesssim A_1^{-1} N. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|P_{N_2} H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3^{-1}, 0] \times B(0, A_4))} \lesssim A_1^{-1} N.$$

The above calculation, along with (4.26), yields

$$\|P_N(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, A_4))} \lesssim A_1^{-1} N, \quad A_2^{-1} \leq N \leq A_2. \quad (4.28)$$

In the following, we split our proof into four steps for the clarity.

**Step 1.** The  $L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}$  estimates of  $P_N(v, H)$  for  $N \geq A_2^{-1}$ .

For  $t \in [-A_3, 0]$ , using Duhamel's formula, (1.1) and Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_N(v, H)(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(B(0, A_4))} \\ &\leq \|e^{(t+2A_3)\Delta} P_N(v, H)(-2A_3)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(B(0, A_4))} \\ &\quad + \int_{-2A_3}^t \|e^{(t-t')\Delta} P_N\{\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v - H \otimes H), \nabla \times (v \times H)\}(t')\|_{L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt' \\ &\lesssim AA_4 e^{-\frac{N^2(t+2A_3)}{20}} + \int_{-2A_3}^t e^{-\frac{N^2(t-t')}{20}} N \left( \|v\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|H\|_{L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) dt' \\ &\lesssim AA_4 e^{-\frac{N^2 A_3}{20}} + A^2 N^{-1} \lesssim A^2 N^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

**Step 2.** The  $L_t^\infty L_x^1$  estimates of  $P_N(v, H)$  for  $A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq N \leq A^3 A_4^{40}$ . For  $t \in [-\frac{A_3}{2}, 0]$ , from (2.3) and (1.17) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_N v(t)\|_{L_x^1(B(0, \frac{5A_4}{8}))} &\leq \|e^{(t+A_3)\Delta} P_N v(-A_3)\|_{L_x^1(B(0, \frac{5A_4}{8}))} \\
&\quad + \int_{-A_3}^t \|P_N e^{(t-t')\Delta} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}[\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v - H \otimes H)](t')\|_{L_x^1(B(0, \frac{5A_4}{8}))} dt' \\
&\lesssim AA_4^2 e^{-\frac{N^2 A_3}{40}} + \int_{-A_3}^t N e^{-\frac{N^2(t-t')}{20}} \left( \|\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v - H \otimes H)\|_{L_x^1(B(0, \frac{3A_4}{4}))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + A_4^{-50} A_4 \|\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v - H \otimes H)\|_{L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) dt' \\
&\lesssim A^3 N^{-2} + N^{-1} \left( \|\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{3A_4}{4}))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\tilde{P}_N(H \otimes H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{3A_4}{4}))} \right) + A_4^{-40} N^{-1}. \tag{4.30}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the  $L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{3A_4}{4}))$  for  $\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v, H \otimes H)$ , we can write

$$\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v) = \tilde{P}_N(P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes v) + \tilde{P}_N(P_{\leq\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v),$$

where we have used the fact that (1.18). From (2.1), (1.17), (4.29) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{P}_N(P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes v, P_{\leq\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{3A_4}{4}))} \\
&\lesssim \|(P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes v, P_{\leq\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, A_4))} \\
&\quad + A_4^{-50} A_4 \|(P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes v, P_{\leq\frac{N}{100}} v \otimes P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}([-A_3, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim A \sum_{N' > \frac{N}{100}} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, A_4))} \\
&\quad + \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{100}} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, A_4))} \|P_{>\frac{N}{100}} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, A_4))} + A_4^{-50} A_4 A^2 \\
&\lesssim A^3 \sum_{N' > \frac{N}{100}} N'^{-1} + A^3 N^{-1} + A_4^{-40} \lesssim A^3 N^{-1} + A_4^{-40}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\|\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{3A_4}{4}))} \lesssim A^3 N^{-1} + A_4^{-40}.$$

Repeat the calculation process, obviously we can get

$$\|\tilde{P}_N(H \otimes H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{3A_4}{4}))} \lesssim A^3 N^{-1} + A_4^{-40}.$$

Combining with (4.30), we have the estimate

$$\|P_N v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{5A_4}{8}))} \lesssim A^3 N^{-2} + A_4^{-40} N^{-1} \lesssim A^3 N^{-2} \tag{4.31}$$

due to  $A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq N \leq A^3 A_4^{40}$ . Similarly,

$$\|P_N H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-A_3, 0] \times B(0, \frac{5A_4}{8}))} \lesssim A^3 N^{-2} + A_4^{-40} N^{-1} \lesssim A^3 N^{-2}. \tag{4.32}$$

for  $A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq N \leq A^3 A_4^{40}$ .

**Step 3.** The  $L_t^\infty L_x^2$  estimates of  $P_N(v, H)$  for  $A_2^{-\frac{1}{3}} \leq N \leq A_2^{\frac{1}{3}}$ .

By using Duhamel's formula, (1.1) and the triangle inequality as before, for any  $t \in [-\frac{A_3}{3}, 0]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_N v(t)\|_{L_x^2(B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} &\leq \|e^{(t+\frac{A_3}{2})\Delta} P_N v(-\frac{A_3}{2})\|_{L_x^2(B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \\
&\quad + \int_{-\frac{A_3}{2}}^t \|P_N e^{(t-t')\Delta} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}[\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v - H \otimes H)](t')\|_{L_x^2(B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} dt' \\
&\lesssim A A_4^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{N^2 A_3}{120}} + N^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{3}))} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\tilde{P}_N(H \otimes H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{3}))} \right) + A_4^{-40}. \tag{4.33}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate  $\|\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{3}))}$ , we split

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{P}_N(v(t') \otimes v(t')) \\
&= \sum_{N' \sim N'' \lesssim N} \tilde{P}_N(P_{N'} v(t') \otimes P_{N''} v(t')) + \sum_{N' \lesssim N'' \sim N} \tilde{P}_N(P_{N'} v(t') \otimes P_{N''} v(t')) \\
&\quad + \sum_{N'' \lesssim N' \sim N} \tilde{P}_N(P_{N'} v(t') \otimes P_{N''} v(t')) + \sum_{N \lesssim N' \sim N''} \tilde{P}_N(P_{N'} v(t') \otimes P_{N''} v(t')).
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\sum_{N' \sim N'' \lesssim N} \tilde{P}_N(P_{N'} v(t') \otimes P_{N''} v(t'))$ , called by the ‘‘low-low’’ term, disappears due to (1.18). Then, we use the triangle inequality, Hölder inequality, (2.1), and (4.28)-(4.32) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{P}_N(v \otimes v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{3}))} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{N' \lesssim N'' \sim N} \|P_{N'} v \otimes P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))} \\
&\quad + \sum_{N \lesssim N' \sim N''} \|P_{N'} v \otimes P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))} + A_4^{-40} \\
&\lesssim \left( \sum_{N' \leq A_2^{-1}} + \sum_{A_2^{-1} \leq N' \lesssim N} \right) \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))} \|P_N v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))} \\
&\quad + \sum_{N \lesssim N' \leq A_2} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))} \\
&\quad + \sum_{N' \geq A_2} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([- \frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{2}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_4^{-40} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{N' \leq A_2^{-1}} A N' A^3 N^{-2} + \sum_{A_2^{-1} \leq N' \lesssim N} A_1^{-1} N' A^3 N^{-2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{N \lesssim N' \leq A_2} A^3 (N')^{-2} A_1^{-1} N' + \sum_{N' \geq A_2} (A^2 (N')^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}} (A N')^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_4^{-40} \\
&\lesssim A^4 A_2^{-1} N^{-2} + A_1^{-1} A^3 N^{-1} + A^4 A_2^{-1} + A_4^{-40}. \tag{4.34}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\|\tilde{P}_N(H \otimes H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-\frac{A_3}{2}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{3}))} \lesssim A^4 A_2^{-1} N^{-2} + A_1^{-1} A^3 N^{-1} + A^4 A_2^{-1} + A_4^{-40},$$

which, along with (4.33) and (4.34), yields

$$\|P_N v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([-\frac{A_3}{3}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \lesssim A^3 A_1^{-1} N^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|P_N H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([-\frac{A_3}{3}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \lesssim A^3 A_1^{-1} N^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Step 4.** End of the proof.

First, using the hypothesis (4.25) and Duhamel's formula, one has

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{-1} &\leq |P_1(v, H)(0, 0)| \\ &\leq |e^{\frac{A_3}{4}\Delta} P_1(v, H)(-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0)| \\ &\quad + \int_{-\frac{A_3}{4}}^0 |e^{-t'\Delta} P_1 \tilde{P}_1 \{\mathbb{P}\text{div}[(v \otimes v - H \otimes H)], \nabla \times (v \times H)\}(t', 0)| dt' \\ &\lesssim A e^{-\frac{A_3}{80}} + \int_{-\frac{A_3}{4}}^0 e^{\frac{t'}{20}} \left( \|\tilde{P}_1(v \otimes v - H \otimes H, v \times H)\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))} + A_4^{-50} A^2 \right) dt' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} A_1^{-1} + C \int_{-\frac{A_3}{4}}^0 e^{\frac{t'}{20}} \left( \|\tilde{P}_1(v \otimes v)\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\tilde{P}_1(H \otimes H)\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))} + \|\tilde{P}_1(v \times H)\|_{L_x^\infty(B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))} \right) dt'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

To conclude the proof, we need to consider  $\|\tilde{P}_1(v \otimes v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))}$ ,  $\|\tilde{P}_1(H \otimes H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))}$  and  $\|\tilde{P}_1(v \times H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))}$ , respectively. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{P}_1(v \otimes v)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{8}))} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{N' \lesssim N'' \sim 1} \|P_{N'} v \otimes P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \lesssim N' \sim N'' \leq A_2^{\frac{1}{3}}} \|P_{N'} v \otimes P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N' \sim N'' \geq A_2^{\frac{1}{3}}} \|P_{N'} v \otimes P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} + A_4^{-40} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A_2^{-1} \leq N' \lesssim N'' \sim 1} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \|P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N' \leq A_2^{-1}, N'' \sim 1} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \|P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \lesssim N' \sim N'' \leq A_2^{\frac{1}{3}}} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \|P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([-\frac{A_3}{4}, 0] \times B(0, \frac{A_4}{4}))} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{A_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq N' \sim N''} \|P_{N'} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{3}{2}}([-A_3/4, 0] \times B(0, A_4))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|P_{N''} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3/4, 0] \times B(0, A_4))}^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_4^{-40} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{A_2^{-1} \leq N' \lesssim N'' \sim 1} (A_1^{-1} N')(A_1^{-1} N'') + \sum_{N' \leq A_2^{-1}, N'' \sim 1} (AN')(AN'') \\
& + \sum_{1 \lesssim N' \sim N'' \leq A_2^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left[ A^3 A_1^{-1} (N')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 + \sum_{A_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq N' \sim N''} [A^2 (N')^{-1}]^{\frac{3}{2}} (AN')^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_4^{-40} \\
& \lesssim A_1^{-2} + A^2 A_2^{-1} + A^6 A_1^{-2} + A^{\frac{7}{2}} A_2^{-\frac{1}{3}} + A_4^{-40} \lesssim A^6 A_1^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\tilde{P}_1(H \otimes H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3/4, 0] \times B(0, A_4/8))} + \|\tilde{P}_1(v \times H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-A_3/4, 0] \times B(0, A_4/8))} \lesssim A^6 A_1^{-2}.$$

Putting the above estimate into (4.35) we get

$$A_1^{-1} \lesssim A^6 A_1^{-2}$$

which derives a contradiction. That is there exist  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) \in [-A_3, -A_3^{-1}] \times B(0, A_4)$  and  $\tilde{N} \in [A_2^{-1}, A_2]$  such that

$$|P_{\tilde{N}}(v_{N_1}, H_{N_1})(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})| \geq A_1^{-1} \tilde{N}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 P_{\tilde{N}}(v_{N_1}, H_{N_1})(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) &= P_{\tilde{N}}(v, H)\left(\frac{\tilde{t}}{N_1^2}, \frac{\tilde{x}}{N_1}\right) \\
&= N_1^3 \int_{\frac{\tilde{N}}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \tilde{N}} e^{2\pi i \tilde{x} \cdot \xi} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{\tilde{N}}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{2\xi}{\tilde{N}}\right) \right] (\hat{v}, \hat{H})\left(\frac{\tilde{t}}{N_1^2}, N_1 \xi\right) d\xi \\
&= \int_{\frac{N_1 \tilde{N}}{4} \leq |\xi'| \leq N_1 \tilde{N}} e^{2\pi i \frac{\tilde{x}}{N_1} \cdot \xi'} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{\xi'}{N_1 \tilde{N}}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{2\xi'}{N_1 \tilde{N}}\right) \right] (\hat{v}, \hat{H})\left(\frac{\tilde{t}}{N_1^2}, \xi'\right) d\xi' \\
&= P_{N_1 \tilde{N}}(v, H)(t_2, x_2),
\end{aligned}$$

with  $(t_2, x_2) = \left(\frac{\tilde{t}}{N_1^2}, \frac{\tilde{x}}{N_1}\right) \in [-A_3 N_1^{-2}, -A_3^{-1} N_1^{-2}] \times B(0, A_4 N_1^{-1})$ . Therefore,

$$|P_{N_2}(v, H)(t_2, x_2)| \geq A_1^{-1} N_2.$$

with  $N_2 = N_1 \tilde{N} \in [A_2^{-1} N_1, A_2 N_1]$ . This, along with the translation invariance of system (1.1), concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $(v, H, p) : [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.17) and  $N_0 > A_4$ . For  $t_0 = 1$ , if there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that*

$$|P_{N_0}(v, H)(t_0, x_0)| \geq A_1^{-1} N_0.$$

*Then for every  $A_4 N_0^{-2} \leq T_1 \leq A_4^{-1}$ , there exist*

$$(t_1, x_1) \in [t_0 - T_1, t_0 - A_3^{-3} T_1] \times B(x_0, A_4^2 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and

$$A_3^{-1}T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq N_1 \leq A_3^{\frac{1}{2}}T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

such that

$$|P_{N_1}(v, H)(t_1, x_1)| \geq A_1^{-1}N_1.$$

*Proof.* By iteratively applying Proposition 4.4, we may find a sequence

$$(t_0, x_0), (t_1, x_1), \dots, (t_n, x_n) \in [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and  $N_0, N_1, \dots, N_n > 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , with the properties

$$|P_{N_i}(v, H)(t_i, x_i)| \geq A_1^{-1}N_i \quad (4.36)$$

$$A_2^{-1}N_{i-1} \leq N_i \leq A_2N_{i-1}, \quad (4.37)$$

$$A_3^{-1}N_{i-1}^{-2} \leq t_{i-1} - t_i \leq A_3N_{i-1}^{-2}, \quad (4.38)$$

$$|x_i - x_{i-1}| \leq A_4N_{i-1}^{-1}, \quad (4.39)$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Firstly, we claim the above iterations  $n < \infty$ . Indeed, since  $(v, H)$  is a classical solution of (1.1), one has

$$A_1^{-1}N_i \leq |P_{N_i}(v, H)(t_i, x_i)| \leq \|(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \triangleq L < \infty,$$

which, together with (4.38), implies

$$t_{i-1} - t_i \geq A_3^{-1}A_1^{-2}L^{-2}.$$

This leads us to infer

$$n \leq 2A_3A_1^2L^2 < \infty.$$

Secondly, we prove  $t_n < 1 - T_1$  for any  $T_1 \in [A_4N_0^{-2}, A_4^{-1}]$ . In fact, we notice by Proposition 4.4 that if the iteration (4.36)-(4.39) stops, either  $N_n < A_3$  or  $t_n$  is close to  $-1$ . If  $N_n < A_3$ , then by (4.38), (4.37)

$$t_{n-1} - t_n \geq A_3^{-1}N_{n-1}^{-2} \geq A_3^{-1}A_2^{-2}N_n^{-2} > A_3^{-3}A_2^{-2}.$$

This implies

$$t_n < 1 - A_3^{-3}A_2^{-2} < 1 - A_4^{-1} \leq 1 - T_1 \leq 1 - A_4N_0^{-2} < t_1,$$

due to  $t_{n-1} < 1$ . On the other hand, if  $t_n \in [-1, 0)$ , it is clear that

$$t_n < 0 < 1 - A_4^{-1} \leq 1 - T_1 \leq 1 - A_4N_0^{-2} < 1 - A_3N_0^{-2} < t_1,$$

i.e.,  $t_n < 1 - T_1 < t_1$ . Now, we define

$$m = \max\{0 \leq i < n \mid t_i \geq 1 - T_1\},$$

then  $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $t_{m+1} < 1 - T_1$ . Next, we split our proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** For any  $T_1 \in [A_4N_0^{-2}, A_4^{-1}]$ , there exists some  $1 \leq i \leq m$  such that

$$A_3^{-1}T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq N_i \lesssim A_3^{\frac{1}{2}}T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 - T_1 \leq t_i \lesssim 1 - A_3^{-3}T_1 \quad (4.40)$$

In fact, from (4.38),

$$T_1 < 1 - t_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (t_{i-1} - t_i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^m A_3 N_i^{-2}.$$

this, together with the pigeonhole principle, shows that there exists some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$N_i^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{m+1} A_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.41)$$

If the above inequality is valid for  $i = 0$ , then

$$A_4 A_3^{-1} T_1 \lesssim A_4 N_0^{-2} \leq T_1,$$

which derives a contradiction. Thus (4.41) is valid only for some  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Finally, (4.41), along with (4.38) and (4.37), implies

$$T_1 \geq 1 - t_i \geq t_{i-1} - t_i \geq A_3^{-1} N_{i-1}^{-2} \geq A_3^{-1} A_2^{-2} N_i^{-2} \geq A_3^{-2} N_i^{-2} \gtrsim A_3^{-3} T_1.$$

This, together with (4.41), leads to (4.40).

**Step 2.** Let  $i$  be given by Step 1, then

$$|x_i - x_0| \lesssim A_4^2 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.42)$$

In fact, from (4.39) it is clear that

$$|x_i - x_0| \leq \sum_{k=1}^i |x_k - x_{k-1}| \leq A_4 \sum_{k=1}^i N_{k-1}^{-1}. \quad (4.43)$$

To conclude the proof, we have to estimate  $\sum_{k=1}^i N_{k-1}^{-1}$ . First, we notices that from (4.27) we have for all  $t \in [t_i - A_3^{-1} N_i^{-2}, t_i]$  ( $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{N_i}(v, H)(t_i)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} - \|P_{N_i}(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_t^{t_i} \partial_s P_{N_i}(v, H)(s) \, ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq (t_i - t) \|\partial_t P_{N_i}(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq A_3^{-2} A^2 N_i. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.36), yields

$$\|P_{N_i}(v, H)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \gtrsim A_1^{-1} N_i.$$

Besides, applying (4.1) and (4.36), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_i - A_3^{-1} N_i^{-2}}^{t_i} A_1^{-1} N_i \, dt & \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_i - A_3^{-1} N_i^{-2}}^{t_i} \|P_{N_i}(v, H)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, dt \\ & \lesssim \|(v, H)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty([1-T_1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \lesssim A^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} N_i^{-1} \lesssim A_3^2 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.44)$$

Here we have used the fact

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} [t_i - A_3^{-1} N_i^{-2}, t_i] \subset [1 - T_1, 1],$$

and

$$(t_i - A_3^{-1} N_i^{-2}, t_i) \cap (t_j - A_3^{-1} N_j^{-2}, t_j) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \neq j \leq m-1.$$

If  $i \leq m-1$ , then we immediately derive (4.42) from (4.43) and (4.44). Now, if  $i = m$ , we notice from (4.40) that  $N_i \lesssim A_3 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then we can extend the sum (4.44) to the final index  $m$ . Thus, (4.42) is still valid. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4.4 The proof of Proposition 1.1

*The proof of Proposition 1.1.* Thanks to translation invariance, we assume  $(t_0, x_0) = (0, 0)$ . By Corollary 4.1, we can know that for any  $A_4 N_0^{-2} \leq T_1 \leq A_4^{-1}$ , there exists

$$(t_1, x_1) \in [-T_1, -A_3^{-3} T_1] \times B(0, A_4^2 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and

$$A_3^{-1} T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq N_1 \leq A_3^{\frac{1}{2}} T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.45)$$

such that

$$|P_{N_1}(v, H)(t_1, x_1)| \geq A_1^{-1} N_1.$$

The rest of the proof is divided into five steps.

**Step 1.** *Transfer of concentration in Fourier space to physical space.* The purpose of this step is to prove the following estimate:

$$\int_{B(0, A_4^2 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}})} |\omega(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla H(t, x)|^2 + T_1^{-1} |H(t, x)|^2 \, dx \geq C_* A_4^{\frac{17}{2}} T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.46)$$

for all  $t \in I''$ . Here,  $I'' \subset \subset [t_1, t_1 + A_1^{-2} N_1^{-2}] \cap [-T_1, -A_3^{-3} T_1]$  is defined below. From the Biot-Savart law

$$P_{N_1} v(t_1, x_1) = (-\Delta)^{-1} P_{N_1} \nabla \times \tilde{P}_{N_1} \omega(t_1, x_1),$$

and hence by (2.1), (2.2), (1.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{-1} N_1 &\leq \|P_{N_1}(v, H)(t_1, x)\|_{L^\infty(B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{2N_1}))} \\ &\leq C_* N_1^{-1} \|\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{N_1}))} + C_* A_1^{-50} N_1^{-1} \|\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C_* N_1^{-1} \|\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{N_1}))} + C_* A A_1^{-50} N_1 \\ &\leq C_* N_1^{-1} \|\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{N_1}))} + \frac{1}{2} A_1^{-1} N_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the follow,  $C_* > 0$  is a constant and independent of  $A_1, N_1$ , which may vary from line to line. Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{-1}N_1 &\leq \|P_{N_1}\tilde{P}_{N_1}H(t_1, x)\|_{L^\infty(B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{2N_1}))} \\ &\leq C_*\|\tilde{P}_{N_1}H(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{N_1}))} + C_*A_1^{-50}AN_1 \\ &\leq C_*\|\tilde{P}_{N_1}H(t_1)\|_{L^\infty(B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{N_1}))} + \frac{1}{2}A_1^{-1}N_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for some  $x'_1, x'_2 \in B(x_1, \frac{A_1}{N_1}) \subset B(0, A_4^{\frac{5}{2}}T_1^{\frac{1}{2}})$  one has

$$|\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega(t_1, x'_1), \nabla H(t_1, x'_1))| \geq C_*A_1^{-1}N_1^2; \quad |\tilde{P}_{N_1}H(t_1, x'_2)| \geq C_*A_1^{-1}N_1.$$

Notices that by using (2.2), (1.17) and (4.27), it follows that for any  $(t, x) \in [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$

$$|\nabla\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)| \leq C_*AN_1^3; \quad |\nabla\tilde{P}_{N_1}H| \leq C_*AN_1^2$$

and

$$|\partial_t\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)| \leq C_*A^2N_1^4; \quad |\partial_t\tilde{P}_{N_1}H| \leq C_*A^2N_1^3.$$

Then, for any  $(t, x) \in [t_1, t_1 + A_1^{-2}N_1^{-2}] \times B(x'_1, A_1^{-2}N_1^{-1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_*A_1^{-1}N_1^2 - |\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t, x)| &\leq |\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t_1, x'_1)| - |\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t, x)| \\ &\leq |\nabla\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)||x - x'_1| + |\partial_t\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)||t - t_1| \\ &\leq C_*AN_1^3A_1^{-2}N_1^{-1} + C_*A^2N_1^4A_1^{-2}N_1^{-2} \\ &\leq \frac{C_*}{2}A_1^{-1}N_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that on  $[t_1, t_1 + A_1^{-2}N_1^{-2}] \times B(x'_1, A_1^{-2}N_1^{-1})$

$$|\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)| \geq \frac{C_*}{2}A_1^{-1}N_1^2.$$

From this and (4.45), one has for  $t \in [t_1, t_1 + A_1^{-2}N_1^{-2}]$

$$\int_{B(0, A_4^3T_1^{\frac{1}{2}})} |\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t, x)|^2 dx \geq C_*A_4^{\frac{17}{2}}T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.47)$$

due to  $B(x'_1, A_1^{-2}N_1^{-1}) \subset B(0, A_4^3T_1^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . Similarly, one also has

$$|\tilde{P}_{N_1}H(t, x)| \geq \frac{C_*}{2}A_1^{-1}N_1,$$

for any  $(t, x) \in [t_1, t_1 + A_1^{-2}N_1^{-2}] \times B(x'_2, A_1^{-2}N_1^{-1})$ , and further derives with help of (4.45)

$$\int_{B(0, A_4^3T_1^{\frac{1}{2}})} |\tilde{P}_{N_1}H(t, x)|^2 dx \geq C_*A_4^{\frac{17}{2}}T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in [t_1, t_1 + A_1^{-2}N_1^{-2}].$$

On the other hand, Proposition 4.2 implies that there is an interval

$$I' \subset I = [t_1, t_1 + A_1^{-2}N_1^{-2}] \cap [-T_1, -A_3^{-3}T_1] \subset [-1, 0],$$

with  $|I'| = A^{-8}|I|$  such that for every  $(t, x) \in I' \times \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\|\nabla^j(v, H)(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(I' \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_* A^{35} |I|^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (4.48)$$

Now we take

$$|I''| = \frac{1}{64C_*^2 A^{70}} |I'|,$$

and derive by (4.48)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^j(v, H)(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(I'' \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C_* A^{35-4(j+1)} |I'|^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \\ &= C_* A^{35-4(j+1)} (64C_*^2 A^{70})^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} |I''|^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8^{j+1}} |I''|^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

Finally, by (2.1), (4.47) and (4.49) we have for any  $t \in I''$

$$\begin{aligned} C_*^{\frac{1}{2}} A_4^{\frac{17}{4}} T_1^{-\frac{1}{4}} &\leq \|\tilde{P}_{N_1}(\omega, \nabla H)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^3 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} \\ &\leq C_* \|(\omega, \nabla H)\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} + C_* A_4^{-50} \left(A_4^3 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|(\omega, \nabla H)(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C_* \|(\omega, \nabla H)\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} + C_* A_4^{-40} \left(A_4^3 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} T_1^{-1} \\ &\leq C_* \|(\omega, \nabla H)\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} + \frac{C_*^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} A_4^{\frac{17}{4}} T_1^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \\ C_*^{\frac{1}{2}} A_4^{\frac{17}{4}} T_1^{\frac{1}{4}} &\leq \|\tilde{P}_{N_1} H\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^3 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} \\ &\leq C_* \|H\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} + C_* A_4^{-50} \left(A_4^3 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|H\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C_* \|H\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} + C_* A_4^{-40} \left(A_4^3 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_* \|H\|_{L_x^2(B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))} + \frac{C_*^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} A_4^{\frac{17}{4}} T_1^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain the desired estimate (4.46). The following step use the Carleman inequality to transfer the concentration (4.46) from the small scales  $B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}})$  to large scales.

**Step 2.** *Large-scale propagation of concentration by using second Carleman inequality.*  
The goal of this step to prove the following claim:

$$\int_{-T_1}^{-A_4^{-1}T_1} \int_{B(0, 2R) \setminus B(0, \frac{R}{2})} |\omega|^2 + |\nabla H|^2 + T_2^{-1} |H|^2 \, dyd\tau \geq C_* A_4^7 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_4^4 R^2}{T_1}}. \quad (4.50)$$

for all  $A_4 N_0^{-2} \leq T_1 \leq A_4^{-1}$ ,  $R \geq A_5 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Denote by  $I'' \triangleq [t'_1 - T_2, t'_1]$  for convenience, and introduce a new 15-component vector  $W = (H, \omega, H_{x_1}, H_{x_2}, H_{x_3})$ , where  $H_{x_k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3$ ) satisfy the system

$$\partial_t H_{x_k} - \Delta H_{x_k} = (H_{x_k} \cdot \nabla)v + (H \cdot \nabla)v_{x_k} - (v_{x_k} \cdot \nabla)H - (v \cdot \nabla)H_{x_k}. \quad (4.51)$$

To obtain the desired result, we take the following scaling transformations

$$(v_\lambda, H_\lambda)(t, x) = \lambda(v, H)(t'_1 - \lambda^2 t, x_* + \lambda x), \quad (\omega_\lambda, J_\lambda)(t, x) = \lambda^2(\omega, J)(t'_1 - \lambda^2 t, x_* + \lambda x),$$

$$((v_\lambda)_{x_k}, (H_\lambda)_{x_k})(t, x) = \lambda^2(v_{(x_* + \lambda x)_k}, H_{(x_* + \lambda x)_k})(t'_1 - \lambda^2 t, x_* + \lambda x),$$

with  $\lambda = \sqrt{T_2}$ . It is clear that  $(v_\lambda, H_\lambda)$  is also a solution of system (1.1) in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , and, by (1.1)<sub>2</sub>, (1.13)<sub>1</sub>, (4.51) and (4.49), fulfils

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t H_\lambda + \Delta H_\lambda| &\leq |\nabla H_\lambda| |v_\lambda| + \|H_\lambda\| |\nabla v_\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{8} |\nabla H_\lambda| + \frac{1}{64} |H_\lambda|, \\ |\partial_t \omega_\lambda + \Delta \omega_\lambda| &\leq |\nabla \omega_\lambda| |v_\lambda| + |\omega_\lambda| |\nabla v_\lambda| + |\nabla J_\lambda| |H_\lambda| + |J_\lambda| |\nabla H_\lambda| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} |\nabla \omega_\lambda| + \frac{1}{64} |\omega_\lambda| + \frac{1}{512} |H_\lambda| + \frac{1}{64} |\nabla H_\lambda|, \\ |\partial_t (H_\lambda)_{x_k} + \Delta (H_\lambda)_{x_k}| &\leq |\nabla v_\lambda| |(H_\lambda)_{x_k}| + |H_\lambda| |\nabla (v_\lambda)_{x_k}| + |\nabla H_\lambda| |(v_\lambda)_{x_k}| + |v_\lambda| |\nabla (H_\lambda)_{x_k}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{64} |(H_\lambda)_{x_k}| + \frac{1}{512} |H_\lambda| + \frac{1}{64} |\nabla H_\lambda| + \frac{1}{64} |\nabla (H_\lambda)_{x_k}|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$|\partial_t W_\lambda + \Delta W_\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{4} |W_\lambda| + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla W_\lambda| \quad \text{on } [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

with  $W_\lambda(t, x) \triangleq (H_\lambda, \omega_\lambda, (H_\lambda)_{x_1}, (H_\lambda)_{x_2}, (H_\lambda)_{x_3})$ . Therefore (2.6) is satisfied with  $C_{cart} = 4$ . We now apply Lemma 2.6 on the slab  $[0, 1] \times B(0, r)$  with  $r \triangleq \frac{A_5 |x_*|}{\sqrt{T_2}}$ ,  $|x_*| \geq A_5 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $t_0 \triangleq \frac{1}{20000}$ , and  $t_1 \triangleq A_5^{-4}$ , to concluded that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\frac{1}{20000}}^{\frac{1}{10000}} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{r}{2}} (|W_\lambda(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla W_\lambda(t, x)|^2) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} dx dt \\ &\leq C_* e^{-\frac{40A_5^2 |x_*|^2}{T_2}} \int_0^1 \int_{|x| \leq r} (|W_\lambda(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla W_\lambda(t, x)|^2) dx dt \\ &\quad + C_* A_5^6 \left( \frac{A_5^4 e}{20000} \right)^{\frac{20000 C_* A_5^2 |x_*|^2}{T_2}} \int_{|x| \leq r} |W_\lambda(0, x)|^2 e^{-\frac{A_5^4 |x|^2}{4}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

Let  $y = x_* + \lambda x$  and  $\tau = t'_1 - \lambda^2 t$ , then (4.52) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &\triangleq \int_{t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{10000}}^{t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{20000}} \int_{B(x_*, \frac{A_5 |x_*|}{2})} \left[ (T_2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} |H(\tau, y)|^2 + (T_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\omega(\tau, y)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (T_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |H_{y_k}(\tau, y)|^2 \right] e^{-\frac{|y-x_*|^2}{4(t'_1 - \tau)}} dy d\tau \\ &\leq C_* e^{-\frac{40A_5^2 |x_*|^2}{T_2}} X_1 + C_* A_5^6 \left( \frac{A_5^4 e}{20000} \right)^{\frac{20000 C_* A_5^2 |x_*|^2}{T_2}} Y_1 \\ &\leq C_* e^{-\frac{40A_5^2 |x_*|^2}{T_2}} X_1 + C_* e^{\frac{A_5^{\frac{5}{2}} |x_*|^2}{T_2}} Y_1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.53)$$

where

$$X_1 \triangleq \int_{t'_1 - T_2}^{t'_1} \int_{B(x_*, A_5 |x_*|)} \left[ T_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (T_2^{-1} |H(\tau, y)|^2 + |\omega(\tau, y)|^2 + |H_{y_k}(\tau, y)|^2) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (T_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla H(\tau, y)|^2 + (T_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \omega(\tau, y)|^2 + (T_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla H_{y_k}(\tau, y)|^2 \Big] dy d\tau \\
Y_1 \triangleq & \int_{B(x_*, A_5|x_*|)} \left[ (T_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |H(t'_1, y)|^2 + (T_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\omega(t'_1, y)|^2 + (T_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |H_{y_k}(t'_1, y)|^2 \right] e^{-\frac{A_5^4|y-x_*|^2}{4T_2}} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

First, from (4.46)

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 & \geq (T_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{10000}}^{t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{20000}} \int_{B(x_*, 2|x_*|)} \left[ |\omega(\tau, y)|^2 + |\nabla H(\tau, y)|^2 + T_2^{-1} |H(\tau, y)|^2 \right] e^{-\frac{|y-x_*|^2}{4(t'_1-\tau)}} dy d\tau \\
& \geq (T_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{10000|x_*|^2}{T_2}} \int_{t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{10000}}^{t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{20000}} \int_{B(0, A_4^4 T_1^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left[ |\omega(\tau, y)|^2 + |\nabla H(\tau, y)|^2 + T_2^{-1} |H(\tau, y)|^2 \right] dy \\
& \geq T_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{T_2}{20000} \cdot C_* A_4^{\frac{17}{2}} T_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{10000|x_*|^2}{T_2}} \geq C_* A_4^8 e^{-\frac{10000|x_*|^2}{T_2}},
\end{aligned}$$

secondly, by (4.49)

$$C_* e^{-\frac{40A_5^2|x_*|^2}{T_2}} X_1 \leq C_* e^{-\frac{40A_5|x_*|^2}{T_2}} \left( \frac{|A_5 x_*|}{\sqrt{T_2}} \right)^3 \leq \frac{C_* A_4^8}{2} e^{-\frac{10000|x_*|^2}{T_2}}.$$

Therefore, by (4.53) and (4.49), we conclude that  $Y_1 \geq C_* A_4^8 e^{-\frac{A_5^3|x_*|^2}{T_2}}$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_* A_4^8 e^{-\frac{A_5^3|x_*|^2}{T_2}} \\
& \leq \int_{B(x_*, A_5|x_*|)} |\widetilde{W}(t'_1, y)| e^{-\frac{A_5^4|y-x_*|^2}{4T_2}} dy \\
& \leq \int_{B(x_*, \frac{|x_*|}{2})} |\widetilde{W}(t'_1, y)| dy + \int_{B(x_*, A_5|x_*|) \setminus B(x_*, \frac{|x_*|}{2})} |\widetilde{W}(t'_1, y)| e^{-\frac{A_5^4|y-x_*|^2}{4T_2}} dy \\
& \leq \int_{B(x_*, \frac{|x_*|}{2})} |\widetilde{W}(t'_1, y)| dy + C_* \left( \frac{A_5^2|x_*|^2}{T_2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_5^4|x_*|^2}{16T_2}} \\
& \leq T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{B(x_*, \frac{|x_*|}{2})} |\omega(t'_1, y)|^2 + |\nabla H(t'_1, y)|^2 + T_2^{-1} |H(t'_1, y)|^2 dy + \frac{1}{2} C_* A_4^8 e^{-\frac{A_5^3|x_*|^2}{T_2}},
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$|\widetilde{W}(t'_1, y)| \triangleq T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (|\omega(t'_1, y)|^2 + |\nabla H(t'_1, y)|^2 + T_2^{-1} |H(t'_1, y)|^2).$$

Thus,

$$\int_{B(x_*, \frac{|x_*|}{2})} |\omega(t'_1, y)|^2 + |\nabla H(t'_1, y)|^2 + T_2^{-1} |H(t'_1, y)|^2 dy \geq \frac{C_*}{2} A_4^8 e^{-\frac{A_5^3|x_*|^2}{T_2}} T_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.54)$$

Now for any  $\tau \in [t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{8}, t'_1]$ , repeating the above procedure verbatim with  $t'_1, I''$  replaced by  $\tau, [t'_1 - T_2, \tau]$ , respectively, we can also derive the estimate (4.54). Denote by  $|x_*| = R$ , one has for any  $\tau \in [t'_1 - \frac{T_2}{8}, t'_1]$

$$\int_{B(0, 2R) \setminus B(0, \frac{R}{2})} |\omega(\tau, y)|^2 + |\nabla H(\tau, y)|^2 + T_2^{-1} |H(\tau, y)|^2 dy \geq \frac{C_*}{2} A_4^8 T_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_5^3|x_*|^2}{T_2}} \quad (4.55)$$

due to

$$B(x_*, \frac{|x_*|}{2}) \subset B(0, 2R) \setminus B(0, \frac{R}{2}).$$

On the other hand, since  $[t'_1 - T_2, t'_1] \subset [-T_1, -A_3^{-3}T_1]$ , we have

$$-T_1 \leq -T_1 + T_2 \leq t'_1 \leq -A_3^{-3}T_1 \leq -A_4^{-1}T_1.$$

Then, by integrating (4.55) respect to  $\tau$  on  $[-T_1, -A_4^{-1}T_1]$ , we finally conclude (4.50).

**Step 3.** *Forward propagation of concentration via first Carleman inequality.* The goal of this step and Step 4 below is to prove the following estimate

$$\int_{5\tilde{R} \leq |x| \leq \frac{3A_6\tilde{R}}{10}} T_3^{-1} |H(0, \theta)|^2 + |\omega(0, \theta)|^2 + |H_{\theta_k}(0, \theta)|^2 d\theta \geq C_* e^{-e^{A_6^{10}}} T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.56)$$

for all

$$A_4^2 N_0^{-2} \leq T_3 \leq A_4^{-1}.$$

Notices that by Proposition 4.3, there exist absolute constants  $\varepsilon'_* = \frac{1}{4A_6^6}$ ,  $R_0 = \frac{A_6}{16}$  and  $\mu' = \frac{\log(4A_6^6)}{\log A}$  such that on the cylindrical annulus

$$\Omega := \left\{ (t, x) \in [-T_3, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \tilde{R} \leq |x| \leq A_6^6 \tilde{R} \right\},$$

one has the estimates

$$\|\nabla^j(v, H)(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_* A_6^{-2} T_3^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \quad (4.57)$$

with

$$A_6 T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \tilde{R} \leq e^{A_6^7} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.58)$$

As Step 1, we take a transformation

$$\begin{aligned} (v_\mu, H_\mu)(t, x) &= \mu(v, H)(-\mu^2 t, \mu x), & (\omega_\mu, J_\mu)(t, x) &= \mu^2(\omega, J)(-\mu^2 t, \mu x), \\ ((v_\mu)_{x_k}, (H_\mu)_{x_k})(t, x) &= \mu^2(v_{\mu x_k}, H_{\mu x_k})(-\mu^2 t, \mu x), & \mu &= \sqrt{T_3}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $v_\mu, H_\mu$  is a solution of system (1.1) in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$  such that by (4.57)

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t H_\mu + \Delta H_\mu| &\leq |\nabla H_\mu| |v_\mu| + |H_\mu| |\nabla v_\mu| \leq C_* A_6^{-2} (|\nabla H_\mu| + |H_\mu|); \\ |\partial_t \omega_\mu + \Delta \omega_\mu| &\leq |\nabla \omega_\mu| |v_\mu| + |\omega_\mu| |\nabla v_\mu| + |\nabla J_\mu| |H_\mu| + |J_\mu| |\nabla H_\mu| \\ &\leq C_* A_6^{-2} (|\nabla \omega_\mu| + |\omega_\mu| + |H_\mu| + |\nabla H_\mu|); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t (H_\mu)_{x_k} + \Delta (H_\mu)_{x_k}| &\leq |\nabla v_\mu| |(H_\mu)_{x_k}| + |H_\mu| |\nabla (v_\mu)_{x_k}| + |\nabla H_\mu| |(v_\mu)_{x_k}| + |v_\mu| |\nabla (H_\mu)_{x_k}| \\ &\leq C_* A_6^{-2} (|(H_\mu)_{x_k}| + |H_\mu| + |\nabla H_\mu| + |\nabla (H_\mu)_{x_k}|), \end{aligned}$$

for  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \left( B(0, \frac{A_6 \tilde{R}}{10\sqrt{T_3}}) \setminus B(0, \frac{10\tilde{R}}{\sqrt{T_3}}) \right)$ . That is

$$|\partial_t W_\mu + \Delta W_\mu| \leq C_* A_6^{-1} |\nabla W_\mu| + C_*^2 A_6^{-2} |W_\mu|$$

with

$$W_\mu(t, x) \triangleq (H_\mu, \omega_\mu, (H_\mu)_{x_1}, (H_\mu)_{x_2}, (H_\mu)_{x_3}).$$

We now apply Lemma 2.5 on the slab  $[0, \frac{1}{A_2}] \times (B(0, r_+) \setminus B(0, r_-))$  with  $C_{cart} = A_2$ ,  $r_- \triangleq \frac{10\tilde{R}}{\sqrt{T_3}}$ ,  $r_+ \triangleq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{10\sqrt{T_3}}$ , to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{1}{4A_2}} \int_{\frac{10\tilde{R}}{\sqrt{T_3}} \leq |x| \leq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{20\sqrt{T_3}}} |W_\mu(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla W_\mu(t, x)|^2 \, dx dt \\ & \leq C_* A_2^2 e^{-\frac{A_6\tilde{R}^2}{4T_3}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{A_2}} \int_{\frac{10\tilde{R}}{\sqrt{T_3}} \leq |x| \leq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{10\sqrt{T_3}}} e^{2|x|^2} (A_2 |W_\mu(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla W_\mu(t, x)|^2) \, dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + e^{\frac{A_6^2\tilde{R}^2}{50T_3}} \int_{\frac{10\tilde{R}}{\sqrt{T_3}} \leq |x| \leq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{10\sqrt{T_3}}} |W_\mu(0, x)|^2 \, dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

Let  $z = \mu x$  and  $s = -\mu^2 t$ , then (4.59) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 & \triangleq \int_{-\frac{T_3}{4A_2}}^0 \int_{10\tilde{R} \leq |z| \leq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{20}} T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \, dz ds \\ & \leq C_* A_2^3 e^{-\frac{A_6\tilde{R}^2}{4T_3}} X_2 + C_* e^{A_6^9} T_3 Y_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 & \triangleq \int_{-\frac{T_3}{A_2}}^0 \int_{10\tilde{R} \leq |z| \leq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{10}} e^{\frac{2|z|^2}{T_3}} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) \, dz ds, \\ Y_2 & \triangleq \int_{10\tilde{R} \leq |z| \leq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{10}} T_3^{-1} |H(0, z)|^2 + |\omega(0, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(0, z)|^2 \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.50) with  $R = 200\tilde{R}$  and  $\tilde{T}_1 = \frac{T_3}{4A_2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 & \geq \frac{1}{4} A_2^{-1} \int_{-\tilde{T}_1}^0 \int_{\frac{\tilde{R}}{2} \leq |z| \leq \frac{A_6\tilde{R}}{4000}} \tilde{T}_1^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \, dz ds \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4} A_2^{-1} \int_{-\tilde{T}_1}^{-A_4^{-1}\tilde{T}_1} \int_{B(0, 2R) \setminus B(0, \frac{\tilde{R}}{2})} \tilde{T}_1^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \, dz ds \\ & \geq \frac{C_*}{4} A_2^{-1} A_4^7 \tilde{T}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_4^4 R^2}{T_1}} \geq C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}^2}{T_3}}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.60) yields

$$C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}^2}{T_3}} \leq C_* A_2^3 e^{-\frac{A_6\tilde{R}^2}{4T_3}} X_2 + C_* e^{A_6^9} T_3 Y_2.$$

Thus we either have

$$X_2 \geq C_* e^{\frac{A_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}^2}{T_3}} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.61)$$

or

$$Y_2 \geq C_* e^{-e^{A_6^{10}}} T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.62)$$

It is clear that (4.62) implies our desired estimate (4.56). Therefore, we only consider the case that the bounded (4.61) holds which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} C_* e^{\frac{A_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}^2}{T_3}} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \log_2 \frac{A_6}{200} \rceil} \int_{-\frac{T_3}{A_2}}^0 \int_{(10\tilde{R}) \cdot 2^k \leq |z| \leq (10\tilde{R}) \cdot 2^{k+1}} e^{\frac{2|z|^2}{T_3}} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) dz ds, \end{aligned}$$

From the pigeonhole principle, there exists  $k_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lceil \log_2 \frac{A_6}{200} \rceil\}$  and  $10\tilde{R} \leq \tilde{R}_1 = 10\tilde{R} \cdot 2^{k_0} \leq \frac{A_6 \tilde{R}}{10}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\frac{T_3}{A_2}}^0 \int_{\tilde{R}_1 \leq |z| \leq 2\tilde{R}_1} e^{\frac{2|z|^2}{T_3}} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) dz ds, \\ &\geq \frac{C_*}{\lceil \log_2 \frac{A_6}{200} \rceil} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{A_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}^2}{T_3}} \geq C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{A_6^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

due to  $\tilde{R} \geq \frac{10\tilde{R}_1}{A_6}$ . From this, we immediately derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\frac{T_3}{A_2}}^0 \int_{\tilde{R}_1 \leq |x| \leq 2\tilde{R}_1} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) dz ds \geq C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{10\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

because of  $e^{\frac{2|z|^2}{T_3}} \leq e^{\frac{8\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}$ . On the other hand, from (4.57)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-T_3 e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}}^0 \int_{\tilde{R}_1 \leq |x| \leq 2\tilde{R}_1} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) dz ds \\ &\leq C_* A_6^{-2} \left( \frac{\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \leq \frac{1}{2} C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{10\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (4.63)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{10\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \\ &\leq \int_{-\frac{T_3}{A_2}}^{-T_3 e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}} \int_{\tilde{R}_1 \leq |x| \leq 2\tilde{R}_1} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) dz ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\lceil \log_2(A_2^{-1} e^{\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}) - 1 \rceil} \int_{-\lceil T_3 e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \rceil \cdot 2^\lambda}^{-\lceil T_3 e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \rceil \cdot 2^{\lambda+1}} \int_{\tilde{R}_1 \leq |x| \leq 2\tilde{R}_1} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) dz ds. \end{aligned}$$

by a further application of the pigeonhole principle, there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lceil \log_2(A_2^{-1} e^{\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}) - 1 \rceil\}$  and a locate time scale  $t_3 = e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} T_3 \cdot 2^{\lambda_0}$  such that

$$e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} T_3 \leq t_3 \leq \frac{T_3}{A_2}, \quad (4.64)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-2t_3}^{-t_3} \int_{\tilde{R}_1 \leq |x| \leq 2\tilde{R}_1} \left( T_3^{-1} |H(s, z)|^2 + |\omega(s, z)|^2 + |H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 + |\nabla H(s, z)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T_3 |\nabla \omega(s, z)|^2 + T_3 |\nabla H_{z_k}(s, z)|^2 \right) dz ds \geq C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{15\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}. \end{aligned}$$

We cover the annulus  $B(0, 2\tilde{R}_1) \setminus B(0, \tilde{R}_1)$  with

$$C_* \frac{8\tilde{R}_1^3 - \tilde{R}_1^3}{t_3^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq 7C_* \frac{\tilde{R}_1^3}{T_3^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{\frac{30\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \leq 7C_* e^{\frac{31\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}},$$

balls of radius  $t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and apply the pigeonhole principle to find that there exists  $x_3 \in \{x : \tilde{R}_1 \leq |x| \leq 2\tilde{R}_1\}$  such that

$$\int_{-2t_3}^{-t_3} \int_{B(x_3, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}})} T_3^{-1} |H|^2 + |\omega|^2 + |\nabla H|^2 + T_3 \left( |\nabla \omega|^2 + |\nabla H_{z_k}|^2 \right) dz ds \geq C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{46\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}. \quad (4.65)$$

In the Step 4 below, we continue to apply the Carleman inequality to transfer the above low bound to the time 0.

**Step 4.** *The concentration continues to propagate on a large-scale by using second Carleman inequality.* In this step, we will continue to use the second Carleman inequality to derive (4.56) if the case (4.61) holds. As Step 1, we take a transformation

$$(v_\nu, H_\nu)(t, x) = \nu(v, H)(-\nu^2 t, x_3 + \nu x), \quad (\omega_\nu, J_\nu)(t, x) = \nu^2(\omega, J)(-\nu^2 t, x_3 + \nu x),$$

$$((v_\nu)_{x_k}, (H_\nu)_{x_k})(t, x) = \nu^2(v_{(x_3 + \nu x)_k}, H_{(x_3 + \nu x)_k})(-\nu^2 t, x_3 + \nu x), \quad \nu = \sqrt{20000t_3}.$$

It is clear that  $v_\nu, H_\nu$  is a solution of system 1.1 in  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . Notice that due to (4.64), one has

$$\begin{aligned} [-\nu^2, 0] &= [-20000t_3, 0] \subset \left[-\frac{20000T_3}{A_2}, 0\right] \subset [-T_3, 0], \\ \nu r &= \frac{A_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \tilde{R}_1 \sqrt{20000t_3}}{\sqrt{T_3}} \leq \frac{A_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{20000} \tilde{R}_1}{A_2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{\tilde{R}_1}{2} \leq \frac{|x_3|}{2}, \quad \text{with } r \triangleq \frac{A_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \tilde{R}_1}{\sqrt{T_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$B(x_3, \nu r) \subset B(x_3, \frac{|x_3|}{2}) \subset \left\{ \frac{\tilde{R}_1}{2} \leq |y| \leq 3\tilde{R}_1 \right\} \subset \left\{ 5\tilde{R} \leq |y| \leq \frac{3A_6\tilde{R}}{10} \right\} \subset \left\{ \tilde{R} \leq |y| \leq A_6^6\tilde{R} \right\},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^j(v_\nu, H_\nu)(t, x)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty([0,1] \times B(0,r))} \\ &= \nu^{j+1} \|\nabla^j(v, H)(s, y)\|_{L_s^\infty L_y^\infty([- \nu^2, 0] \times B(x_3, \nu r))} \\ &\leq \nu^{j+1} \|\nabla^j(v, H)(s, y)\|_{L_s^\infty L_y^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_* A_6^{-2} A_2^{-\frac{j+1}{2}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t H_\nu + \Delta H_\nu| &\leq |\nabla H_\nu| |v_\nu| + |H_\nu| |\nabla v_\nu| \leq C_* A_6^{-2} A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\nabla H_\nu| + |H_\nu|); \\ |\partial_t \omega_\nu + \Delta \omega_\nu| &\leq |\nabla \omega_\nu| |v_\nu| + |\omega_\nu| |\nabla v_\nu| + |\nabla J_\nu| |H_\nu| + |J_\nu| |\nabla H_\nu| \\ &\leq C_* A_6^{-2} A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\nabla \omega_\nu| + |\omega_\nu| + |H_\nu| + |\nabla H_\nu|); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t (H_\nu)_{x_k} + \Delta (H_\nu)_{x_k}| &\leq |\nabla v_\nu| |(H_\nu)_{x_k}| + |H_\nu| |\nabla (v_\nu)_{x_k}| + |\nabla H_\nu| |(v_\nu)_{x_k}| + |v_\nu| |\nabla (H_\nu)_{x_k}| \\ &\leq C_* A_6^{-2} A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|(H_\nu)_{x_k}| + |H_\nu| + |\nabla H_\nu| + |\nabla (H_\nu)_{x_k}|), \end{aligned}$$

for  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times B(0, r)$ . That is

$$|\partial_t W_\nu + \Delta W_\nu| \leq C_* A_6^{-1} A_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\nabla W_\nu| + C_*^2 A_6^{-2} A_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} |W_\nu|,$$

with

$$W_\nu(t, x) \triangleq (H_\nu, \omega_\nu, (H_\nu)_{x_1}, (H_\nu)_{x_2}, (H_\nu)_{x_3}).$$

We now apply Lemma 2.6 on the slab  $[0, 1] \times B(0, r)$  with  $C_{carl} = 1$ ,  $t_0 = t_1 = \frac{1}{20000}$ , to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{1}{20000}}^{\frac{1}{10000}} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \left[ |W_\nu(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla W_\nu(t, x)|^2 \right] e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} dx dt \\ &\leq C_* e^{-\frac{40A_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \int_0^1 \int_{|x| \leq r} \left[ |W_\nu(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla W_\nu(t, x)|^2 \right] dx dt \\ &\quad + C_* e^{\frac{A_2 \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \int_{|x| \leq r} |W_\nu(0, x)|^2 e^{-5000|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Scaling back to the original variables leads to (i.e.,  $\theta = x_3 + \nu x$  and  $\sigma = -\nu^2 t$ )

$$\begin{aligned} Z_3 &\triangleq \int_{-2t_3}^{-t_3} \int_{B(x_3, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left( t_3^{-1} |H(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + |\omega(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + |H_{\theta_k}(\sigma, \theta)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\nabla H(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + t_3 |\nabla \omega(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + t_3 |\nabla H_{\theta_k}(\sigma, \theta)|^2 \right) e^{\frac{|\theta - x_3|^2}{4\sigma}} d\theta d\sigma \\ &\leq C_* \left( e^{-\frac{40A_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} X_3 + t_3 e^{\frac{A_2 \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} Y_3 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

where we have used the fact due to (4.58)

$$\frac{\nu r}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{20000}t_3 A_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \tilde{R}_1}{2\sqrt{T_3}} \geq \frac{10\sqrt{20000}A_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \tilde{R}}{2\sqrt{T_3}} t_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 5\sqrt{20000}A_6 A_2^{\frac{1}{4}} t_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq t_3^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 &\triangleq \int_{-20000t_3}^0 \int_{B(x_3, \frac{|x_3|}{2})} t_3^{-1} |H(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + |\omega(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + |H_{\theta_k}(\sigma, \theta)|^2 \\ &\quad + |\nabla H(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + t_3 |\nabla \omega(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + t_3 |\nabla H_{\theta_k}(\sigma, \theta)|^2 \, d\theta d\sigma, \\ Y_3 &\triangleq \int_{B(x_3, \frac{|x_3|}{2})} \left( t_3^{-1} |H(0, \theta)|^2 + |\omega(0, \theta)|^2 + |H_{\theta_k}(0, \theta)|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{|\theta-x_3|^2}{4t_3}} \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.64) and (4.65), one has

$$\begin{aligned} Z_3 &\geq \int_{-2t_3}^{-t_3} \int_{B(x_3, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left( A_2 T_3^{-1} |H(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + |\omega(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + |H_{\theta_k}(\sigma, \theta)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\nabla H(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + T_3 e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \left( |\nabla \omega(\sigma, \theta)|^2 + |\nabla H_{\theta_k}(\sigma, \theta)|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{|\theta-x_3|^2}{4\sigma}} \, d\theta d\sigma \right. \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{1}{8}} e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \int_{-2t_3}^{-t_3} \int_{B(x_3, t_3^{\frac{1}{2}})} T_3^{-1} |H|^2 + |\omega|^2 + |\nabla H|^2 + T_3 \left( |\nabla \omega|^2 + |\nabla H_{z_k}|^2 \right) \, d\theta d\sigma \\ &\geq C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{66\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

From (4.57) and (4.64) we have

$$C_* e^{-\frac{40A_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} X_3 \leq C_* T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{|x_3|^2}{T_3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{40A_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} e^{-\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \leq \frac{C_*}{2} e^{-\frac{66\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which along with (4.66) and (4.67), yields

$$Y_3 \geq \frac{C_*}{2} t_3^{-1} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{66\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} e^{-\frac{A_2 \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \geq C_* T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}.$$

Denote by

$$W_c(0, \theta) = T_3^{-1} |H(0, \theta)|^2 + |\omega(0, \theta)|^2 + |H_{\theta_k}(0, \theta)|^2,$$

we have by using (4.64)

$$e^{\frac{20\tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}} \int_{5\tilde{R} \leq |\theta| \leq \frac{3A_6 \tilde{R}}{10}} W_c(0, \theta) \, d\theta \geq Y_3 \geq C_* T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{A_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{R}_1^2}{T_3}}.$$

This, together with  $10A_6 T_3^{1/2} \leq \tilde{R}_1 \leq \frac{e^{A_6} A_6 T_3^{1/2}}{10}$ , implies (4.56).

**Step 5.** *Conclusion: summing of scales to derive the upper bound for  $N_0$ .* First, we note that the volume of the annulus  $\{x : 5\tilde{R} \leq |x| \leq \frac{3A_6 \tilde{R}}{10}\}$  is bounded by  $T_3^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{e^{A_6^8}}$  by (4.58), which enables us find a point  $\tilde{x} \in (B(0, \frac{3A_6 \tilde{R}}{10}) \setminus B(0, 5\tilde{R}))$  such that

$$T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} |H(0, \tilde{x})| + |\omega(0, \tilde{x})| + |H_{\theta_k}(0, \tilde{x})| \gtrsim e^{-e^{A_6^{11}}} T_3^{-1}$$

due to the pigeonhole principle and (4.56). This, together with (4.57), yields

$$\begin{aligned} T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} H(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y) \xi(y) dy \right| &\geq T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} H(0, \tilde{x}) \xi(y) dy \right| \\ &\quad - T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H(0, \tilde{x}) - H(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y)) \xi(y) dy \right| \\ &\gtrsim T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} |H(0, \tilde{x})| - e^{-e^{A_6^{11}}} A_6^{-2} T_3^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y) \eta(y) dy \right| &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega(0, \tilde{x}) \eta(y) dy \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\omega(0, \tilde{x}) - \omega(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y)) \eta(y) dy \right| \\ &\gtrsim |\omega(0, \tilde{x})| - e^{-e^{A_6^{11}}} A_6^{-2} T_3^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} H_{\theta_k}(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y) \varphi(y) dy \right| &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} H_{\theta_k}(0, \tilde{x}) \varphi(y) dy \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (H_{\theta_k}(0, \tilde{x}) - H_{\theta_k}(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y)) \varphi(y) dy \right| \\ &\gtrsim |H_{\theta_k}(0, \tilde{x})| - e^{-e^{A_6^{11}}} A_6^{-2} T_3^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

with  $\tilde{r} = e^{-e^{A_6^{11}}} T_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Here, the bump function  $\Phi = (\xi, \eta, \varphi)$  is smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with compact support such that  $\Phi \equiv 1$  on  $B(0, 1)$ , and  $\xi, \eta, \varphi$  are the 3-component vector, respectively. By adding (4.68)-(4.70) and integrating by parts, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2e^{A_6^{11}}} T_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} &\lesssim \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} H(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y) \xi(y) dy \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y) \nabla \times \eta(y) dy \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} H(0, \tilde{x} - \tilde{r}y) \partial_{y_i} \varphi_i(y) dy \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-9e^{A_6^{11}}} &\lesssim \int_{B(\tilde{x}, \tilde{r})} |(v, H)(0, x)|^3 dx \lesssim \int_{5\tilde{R} - \tilde{r} \leq |x| \leq \frac{3A_6 \tilde{R}}{10} + \tilde{r}} |(v, H)(0, x)|^3 dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{T_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |x| \leq (e^{A_7} T_3)^{\frac{1}{2}}} |(v, H)(0, x)|^3 dx. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A_4^2 N_0^{-2} \leq T_3 \leq A_4^{-1}$ . Summing over a set of such scales  $T_3$  increasing geometrically at ratio  $e^{A_7}$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &A_7^{-1} \log(A_4^{-3} N_0^2) e^{-9e^{A_6^{11}}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{A_4 N_0^{-1} \leq |x| \leq e^{\frac{A_7}{2}} A_4 N_0^{-1}} + \cdots + \int_{e^{\frac{A_7}{2} \cdot (m-1)} A_4 N_0^{-1} \leq |x| \leq e^{\frac{A_7}{2}} A_4^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) |(v, H)(0, x)|^3 dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\lceil \log(A_4^{-3} N_0^2) \rceil} \int_{e^{\frac{A_7}{2} \cdot m} A_4 N_0^{-1} \leq |x| \leq e^{\frac{A_7}{2} \cdot (m+1)} A_4 N_0^{-1}} |(v, H)(0, x)|^3 dx. \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(v, H)(0, x)|^3 dx \lesssim A, \end{aligned}$$

which finally leads to

$$N_0^2 \leq e^{e^{e^{A_6^{12}}}}.$$

□

*Remark 4.1.* The triply exponential nature of the bounds in Proposition 1.1 can be explained as follows. The first exponential factor originates from Proposition 4.3, which helps identify an appropriate spatial scale  $\tilde{R}$ . The second exponential factor is derived from the quantitative Carleman inequalities. Finally, the third exponential factor results from the need to identify a sufficient number of disjoint spatial scales to contradict (1.17).

## 5 Appendix A. Proof the Lemma 2.8

The following lemma plays a key role in the proof of Lemma 2.8.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $B = B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $B' = B_{1-\delta}(0)$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Let  $v \in L^2(B)$  be divergence-free and  $\omega \triangleq \nabla \times v$ . Then, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  we have*

$$\|D^k v\|_{L^p(B')} \leq c(\|D^{k-1} \omega\|_{L^p(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)} + \|\omega\|_{L^p(B)})$$

and

$$\|D^k v\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B')} \leq c(\|D^{k-1} \omega\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Here  $c$  denotes the generic constant depending only on  $\delta$ ,  $p$  and  $\alpha$ .

The proof this lemma is standard which is well-known consequence of the classical  $L^p$ - and  $C^\alpha$ -estimates for the Laplace equation. However, since we cannot find it in the literature, we give a full proof for reader's convenience.

*The proof of Lemma A.1.* we first notice that  $\Delta v = -\nabla \times \omega$  due to  $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ . To derive the desired result, we set  $\tau$  be a smooth cut-off function that equals 1 in  $B' \subset\subset B$  and vanishes outside  $B$ , and then

$$D^k v = D^{k-1}(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x_i}(\nabla \times (\omega \tau)) + D^k A,$$

where  $A$  is harmonic on  $B'$ . From the elliptic regularity for harmonic functions and Calderón-Zygmund inequality, we have:

Case 1.  $1 < p < 3$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^k A\|_{L^\infty(B')} &\leq c\|A\|_{L^1(B'')} \leq c(\|(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \times (\omega \tau))\|_{L^1(B'')} + \|v\|_{L^1(B'')}) \\ &\leq c(\|(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \times (\omega \tau))\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}) \\ &\leq c(\|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \times (\omega \tau))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}) \\ &\leq c(\|\omega\|_{L^p(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p^* = \frac{3p}{3-p} > 1$ ,  $B' \subset\subset B'' \subset\subset B$ .

Case 2.  $1 < \tilde{p} < 3 \leq p < \infty$ .

$$\|D^k A\|_{L^\infty(B')} \leq c\|A\|_{L^1(B'')} \leq c(\|(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \times (\omega \tau))\|_{L^{\tilde{p}^*}(B'')} + \|v\|_{L^1(B'')})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c(\|(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \times (\omega\tau))\|_{L^{\tilde{p}^*}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}) \\
&\leq c(\|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \times (\omega\tau))\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}) \\
&\leq c(\|\omega\|_{L^p(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{p}^* = \frac{3\tilde{p}}{3-\tilde{p}} > 1$ . This, along with the Calderón-Zygmund inequality, yields

$$\|D^k v\|_{L^p(B')} \leq c(\|D^{k-1}\omega\|_{L^p(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)} + \|\omega\|_{L^p(B)}).$$

which is a desired result. Now we turn to the proof of (A.1). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^k A\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B')} &\leq \|D^k A\|_{C^1(B')} \leq c\|D^{k+1} A\|_{L^\infty(B')} \leq c\|A\|_{L^1(B'')} \\
&\leq c(\|\omega\|_{L^p(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}) \leq c(\|\omega\|_{L^\infty(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)}).
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with the classical Schauder estimate (for example, see [19])

$$\|(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_{x_i}(\nabla \times (\omega\tau))\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\omega\tau\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

derives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^k v\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B')} &\leq \|D^k(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \times (\omega\tau))\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B')} + \|D^k A\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B')} \\
&\leq c(\|D^{k-1}\omega\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B)})
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma A.1.  $\square$

*The proof of Lemma 2.8.* In order to obtain the desired result, we consider equation (1.13)<sub>1</sub>, (4.51) as a heat equation with force term

$$(\omega \cdot \nabla)v - (J \cdot \nabla)H - (v \cdot \nabla)\omega + (H \cdot \nabla)J,$$

and

$$(H_{x_k} \cdot \nabla)v + (H \cdot \nabla)v_{x_k} - (v_{x_k} \cdot \nabla)H - (v \cdot \nabla)H_{x_k},$$

respectively, which has some known regularity. Smoothing properties of the heat operator enable us to improve the regularity of  $\omega$  and  $\nabla H$ .

**Step 1. Localisation.** To derive the  $L_t^\infty L_x^\infty$  interior estimates of  $\omega$  and  $\nabla H$ , we introduce a cutoff function  $\chi_1(t, x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  such that  $0 \leq \chi_1(t, x) \leq 1$ ,

$$\chi_1(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & (t, x) \in Q_{\frac{5}{12}}(0, 0), \\ 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus Q_{\frac{11}{24}}(0, 0). \end{cases}$$

By a simple calculation, we see that the  $\chi\omega_i$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_t - \Delta)(\chi_1\omega_i) \\
&= \partial_t(\chi_1\omega_i) - \partial_{jj}(\chi_1\omega_i) \\
&= \omega_i\partial_t\chi_1 + \chi_1\partial_t\omega_i - (\omega_i\partial_{jj}\chi_1 + \partial_j\chi_1\partial_j\omega_i) - (\partial_j\chi_1\partial_j\omega_i + \chi_1\partial_{jj}\omega_i) \\
&= \chi_1(\partial_t\omega_i - \partial_{jj}\omega_i) + (\partial_t\chi_1 - \partial_{jj}\chi_1)\omega_i - 2\partial_j\chi_1\partial_j\omega_i \\
&= \chi_1(\omega_j\partial_jv_i + H_j\partial_jJ_i - v_j\partial_j\omega_i - J_j\partial_jH_i) + \omega_i\partial_t\chi_1 + \omega_i\partial_{jj}\chi_1 - 2\partial_j(\omega_i\partial_j\chi_1) \\
&= \partial_j(\chi_1\omega_jv_i - \chi_1\omega_iv_j) + \partial_j[\chi_1(H_jJ_i - H_iJ_j)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\partial_j \chi_1 \left( \omega_i v_j + J_j H_i - \omega_j v_i - J_i H_j \right) + (\partial_t \chi_1 + \Delta \chi_1) \omega_i - 2\partial_j (\partial_j \chi_1 \omega_i) \\
& \triangleq F_i^{(1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

and  $\chi_1(H_{x_k})_i$  fulfills

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t - \Delta)(\chi_1(H_{x_k})_i) &= \partial_j \left( \chi_1(H_{x_k})_j v_i - \chi_1(H_{x_k})_i v_j \right) + \partial_j \left[ \chi_1(H_j(v_{x_k})_i - H_i(v_{x_k})_j) \right] \\
& \quad - \partial_j \chi_1 \left[ H_i(v_{x_k})_j + (H_{x_k})_i v_j - (v_{x_k})_i H_j - v_i(H_{x_k})_j \right] \\
& \quad + (\partial_t \chi_1 + \Delta \chi_1)(H_{x_k})_i - 2\partial_j (\partial_j \chi_1(H_{x_k})_i) \\
& \triangleq G_i^{(1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where  $\omega_i, (H_{x_k})_i$  are the  $i$ th component of  $\omega, H_{x_k}$ , respectively. By the uniqueness of the solution to the the heat operator (see the page 393 of [27]), one has

$$(\chi_1 \omega_i, \chi_1(H_{x_k})_i) = (\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1}(F_i^{(1)}, G_i^{(1)}).$$

**Step 2. Bootstrapping arguments: from  $L^2$  to  $L^\infty$ .** First, by using the Lemma 2.2-2.3 and (2.9), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} F_i^{(1)}\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
& \lesssim \|\chi_1 \omega_j v_i - \chi_1 \omega_i v_j\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{11}{24}}(0,0))} + \|\chi_1 H_j J_i - \chi_1 H_i J_j\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{11}{24}}(0,0))} \\
& \quad + \|(\partial_j \chi_1)(\omega_i v_j + J_j H_i - \omega_j v_i - J_i H_j)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{11}{24}}(0,0))} + \|(\partial_t \chi_1 + \Delta \chi_1) \omega_i\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{11}{24}}(0,0))} \\
& \lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))},
\end{aligned}$$

similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} G_i^{(1)}\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
& \lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the Lemma A.1 has been used in the last inequality. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\omega_i, (H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{5}{12}}(0,0))} \\
& \lesssim \|(\chi_1 \omega_i, \chi_1(H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
& \lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Running the localisation argument above again, we take a cutoff function  $\chi_2(t, x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  such that  $0 \leq \chi_2(t, x) \leq 1$ ,

$$\chi_2(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & (t, x) \in Q_{\frac{1}{3}}(0, 0), \\ 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus Q_{\frac{3}{8}}(0, 0), \end{cases}$$

then

$$\|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} F_i^{(2)}\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^4)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \|\chi_2 \omega_j v_i - \chi_2 \omega_i v_j\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{3}{8}}(0,0))} + \|\chi_2 H_j J_i - \chi_2 H_i J_j\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{3}{8}}(0,0))} \\
&\quad + \|(\partial_j \chi_2)(\omega_i v_j + J_j H_i - \omega_j v_i - J_i H_j)\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{3}{8}}(0,0))} + \|(\partial_t \chi_2 + \Delta \chi_2) \omega_i\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{3}{8}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))},
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using Lemma 2.2-2.3, Lemma A.1 and (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} G_i^{(2)}\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{5}{12}}(0,0))} + \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{5}{8}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^3 L_x^3(Q_{\frac{5}{12}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L^3(Q_{\frac{5}{12}}(0,0))} + \|v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{5}{12}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 2.2 again to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\omega_i, (H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{1}{3}}(0,0))} \\
&\leq \|(\chi_2 \omega_i, \chi_2 (H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, to finish our proof of this step, we take  $\chi_3(t, x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  such that  $0 \leq \chi_3(t, x) \leq 1$ ,

$$\chi_3(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & (t, x) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0, 0), \\ 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0, 0), \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} F_i^{(3)}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
&\lesssim \|\chi_3 \omega_j v_i - \chi_3 \omega_i v_j\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0,0))} + \|\chi_3 H_j J_i - \chi_3 H_i J_j\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0,0))} \\
&\quad + \|(\partial_j \chi_3)(\omega_i v_j + J_j H_i - \omega_j v_i - J_i H_j)\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0,0))} \\
&\quad + \|(\partial_t \chi_3 + \Delta \chi_3) \omega_i\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0,0))} + \|(\partial_j \chi_3) \omega_i\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|\omega\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{1}{3}}(0,0))} + \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{1}{3}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))},
\end{aligned}$$

and we used Lemma A.1, this suggests that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\partial_t - \Delta)^{-1} G_i^{(3)}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0,0))} + \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{7}{24}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{1}{3}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6(Q_{\frac{1}{3}}(0,0))} + \|v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{3}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma 2.2, we can obtain

$$\|(\omega_i, (H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0,0))}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|(\chi_3 \omega_i, \chi_3 (H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}. \quad (\text{A.4})
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 3.** From  $L^\infty$  to  $C^1$ . Let us apply these results to equation (A.2) and (A.3), which we rewrites as

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)(\eta_1 \omega_i) = \partial_j f_1 + g_1,$$

and

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)(\eta_2 (H_{x_k})_i) = \partial_j f_2 + g_2,$$

where  $\eta_1(t, x), \eta_2(t, x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  satisfies  $0 \leq \eta_1, \eta_2 \leq 1$  with

$$\eta_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & (t, x) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2})]}(0, 0), \\ 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0, 0), \end{cases} \quad \eta_2 = \begin{cases} 1, & (t, x) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0, 0), \\ 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0, 0), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= \eta_1 \omega_j v_i - \eta_1 \omega_i v_j + \eta_1 H_j J_i - \eta_1 H_i J_j - 2(\partial_j \eta_1) \omega_i, \\
f_2 &= \eta_2 (H_{x_k})_j v_i - \eta_2 (H_{x_k})_i v_j + \eta_2 H_j (v_{x_k})_i - \eta_2 H_i (v_{x_k})_j - 2(\partial_j \eta_2) (H_{x_k})_i, \\
g_1 &= \partial_j \eta_1 (\omega_i v_j + J_j H_i - \omega_j v_i - J_i H_j) + (\partial_t \eta_1 + \Delta \eta_1) \omega_i \\
g_2 &= \partial_j \eta_2 [H_i (v_{x_k})_j + (H_{x_k})_i v_j - (v_{x_k})_i H_j - v_i (H_{x_k})_j] + (\partial_t \eta_2 + \Delta \eta_2) (H_{x_k})_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the result of the Step 2, we know that  $v, H, \omega, \nabla H \in L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0, 0))$ . This, along with the Lemma 2.4, (2.9) and (A.4), yields for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\omega\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2})]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|f_1\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0,0))} + \|g_1\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0,0))} + \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma A.1 again, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|\omega\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2})]}(0,0))} + \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\|\nabla H\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^3})]}(0,0))}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \|f_2\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0,0))} + \|g_2\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0,0))} + \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^2})]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 4. Conclusion by induction.** We conclude the proof by induction on  $k$  in this step. Now, let's assume that the following estimates hold up to the  $m$ -order derivative, i.e. for any  $2 \leq k \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^k(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6k-6}}]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}. \quad (\text{A.5})
\end{aligned}$$

We will prove the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^{m+1}(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m}}]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (A.5), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^{m-1}(\omega_i, (H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-6}}]}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, according to the calculation process of equation (A.2)-(A.3), we get

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)(\varphi_1 \partial_{x_r}^{m-1} \omega_i) = \partial_j F_1 + G_1,$$

and

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)[\varphi_2 \partial_{x_r}^{m-1} (H_{x_k})_i] = \partial_j F_2 + G_2,$$

where  $\varphi_1(t, x), \varphi_2(t, x) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  satisfies  $0 \leq \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \leq 1$  with

$$\varphi_1(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & (t, x) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-5}}]}(0, 0), \\ 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-6}}]}(0, 0), \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_2(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & (t, x) \in Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-3}}]}(0, 0), \\ 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-4}}]}(0, 0), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{s} & \left[ \varphi_1(\partial_{x_r}^s \omega_j)(\partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} v_i) + \varphi_1(\partial_{x_r}^s H_j)(\partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} J_i) \right. \\
& \left. - \varphi_1(\partial_{x_r}^s v_j)(\partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} \omega_i) - \varphi_1(\partial_{x_r}^s J_j)(\partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} H_i) \right] - 2(\partial_j \varphi_1)(\partial_{x_r}^{m-1} \omega_i),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2 &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{s} \left[ \varphi_2 \partial_{x_r}^s (H_{x_k})_j (\partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} v_i) + \varphi_2 (\partial_{x_r}^s H_j) \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} (v_{x_k})_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \varphi_2 \partial_{x_r}^s (v_{x_k})_j (\partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} H_i) - \varphi_2 (\partial_{x_r}^s v_j) \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} (H_{x_k})_i \right] - 2(\partial_j \varphi_2) (\partial_{x_r}^{m-1} (H_{x_k})_i), \\
G_1 &= \partial_j \varphi_1 \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{s} \left( \partial_{x_r}^s \omega_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} v_i + \partial_{x_r}^s H_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} J_i - \partial_{x_r}^s v_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} \omega_i \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \partial_{x_r}^s J_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} H_i \right) \right] + (\partial_t \varphi_1 + \Delta \varphi_1) (\partial_{x_r}^{m-1} \omega_i) \\
G_2 &= \partial_j \varphi_2 \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{s} \left( \partial_{x_r}^s (H_{x_k})_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} v_i + \partial_{x_r}^s H_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} (v_{x_k})_i \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \partial_{x_r}^s (v_{x_k})_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} H_i - \partial_{x_r}^s v_j \partial_{x_r}^{m-1-s} (H_{x_k})_i \right) \right] + (\partial_t \varphi_2 + \Delta \varphi_2) [\partial_{x_r}^{m-1} (H_{x_k})_i].
\end{aligned}$$

Then, following the process of the Step 3 of the above proof, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boxed{\text{the estimate } \|\nabla^{m-1}(\omega_i, (H_{x_k})_i)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-6}})}]}(0,0))} \\
&\xrightarrow{(1)} \boxed{\text{the estimate } \|\nabla^{m-1}\omega\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-5}})}]}(0,0))} \\
&\xrightarrow{(2)} \boxed{\text{the estimate } \|\nabla^m v\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-4}})}]}(0,0))} \\
&\xrightarrow{(3)} \boxed{\text{the estimate } \|\nabla^m H\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-3}})}]}(0,0))} \\
&\xrightarrow{(4)} \boxed{\text{the estimate } \|(\nabla^m \omega, \nabla^{m+1} H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-2}})}]}(0,0))} \\
&\xrightarrow{(5)} \boxed{\text{the estimate } \|\nabla^m \omega\|_{L_t^\infty C_x^{0,\alpha}(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m-1}})}]}(0,0))} \\
&\xrightarrow{(6)} \boxed{\text{the estimate } \|\nabla^{m+1} v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{4}-[\frac{1}{2^3}(1-\frac{1}{2^{6m}})}]}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notices that

$$\frac{1}{4} - \left( \frac{1}{2^4} + \frac{1}{2^5} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{6m+3}} \right) = \frac{1}{4} - \left[ \frac{1}{2^3} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{6m}} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2^{6m+3}} > \frac{1}{8},$$

which, along with Lemma 2.8, leads to that for any  $m \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^m(v, H)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{8}}(0,0))} \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|H\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|\omega\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))} + \|J\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}(0,0))}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The research of BL was partially supported by NSFC-12371202 and Hunan provincial NSF-2022jj10032, 22A0057.

## References

- [1] F. Armero and J. C. Simo. Long-term dissipativity of time-stepping algorithms for an abstract evolution equation with applications to the incompressible MHD and Navier-Stokes equations. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 131(1-2):41–90, 1996.
- [2] T. Barker and C. Prange. Quantitative regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations via spatial concentration. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 385(2):717–792, 2021.
- [3] T. Barker and G. Seregin. A necessary condition of potential blowup for the Navier-Stokes system in half-space. *Math. Ann.*, 369(3-4):1327–1352, 2017.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg. Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 35(6):771–831, 1982.
- [5] C. Cao and J. Wu. Two regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 248(9):2263–2274, 2010.
- [6] Q. Chen, C. Miao, and Z. Zhang. The Beale-Kato-Majda criterion for the 3D magneto-hydrodynamics equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 275(3):861–872, 2007.
- [7] Q. Chen, C. Miao, and Z. Zhang. On the regularity criterion of weak solution for the 3D viscous magneto-hydrodynamics equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 284(3):919–930, 2008.
- [8] T. G. Cowling. *Magnetohydrodynamics*. Interscience Tracts on Physics and Astronomy, No. 4. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York; Interscience Publishers, Ltd., London, 1957.
- [9] H. Dong and D. Du. The Navier-Stokes equations in the critical Lebesgue space. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 292(3):811–827, 2009.
- [10] G. Duvaut and J. L. Lions. Inéquations en thermoélasticité et magnétohydrodynamique. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 46:241–279, 1972.
- [11] L. Escauriaza, G. Seregin, and V. Šverák. Backward uniqueness for parabolic equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 169(2):147–157, 2003.
- [12] C. Foias, C. Guillopé, and R. Temam. New a priori estimates for Navier-Stokes equations in dimension 3. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 6(3):329–359, 1981.
- [13] I. Gallagher, G. S. Koch, and F. Planchon. Blow-up of critical Besov norms at a potential Navier-Stokes singularity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 343(1):39–82, 2016.
- [14] C. He and Y. Wang. On the regularity criteria for weak solutions to the magneto-hydrodynamic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 238(1):1–17, 2007.
- [15] C. He and Z. Xin. On the regularity of weak solutions to the magneto-hydrodynamic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 213(2):235–254, 2005.
- [16] C. He and Z. Xin. Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to the incompressible magneto-hydrodynamic equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 227(1):113–152, 2005.

- [17] R. Hu, P. T. Nguyen, Q. H. Nguyen, and P. Zhang. Quantitative bounds for bounded solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in endpoint critical Besov spaces, 2024.
- [18] H. Jia and V. Šverák. Local-in-space estimates near initial time for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations and forward self-similar solutions. *Invent. Math.*, 196(1):233–265, 2014.
- [19] N. V. Krylov. *Lectures on elliptic and parabolic equations in Hölder spaces*, volume 12 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [20] O. A. Ladyženskaja. Uniqueness and smoothness of generalized solutions of Navier-Stokes equations. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 5:169–185, 1967.
- [21] J. Leray. Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace. *Acta Math.*, 63(1):193–248, 1934.
- [22] A. Mahalov, B. Nicolaenko, and T. Shilkin.  $L_{3,\infty}$ -solutions to the MHD equations. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 336:112–132, 275–276, 2006.
- [23] S. Palasek. Improved quantitative regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations in a scale of critical spaces. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 242(3):1479–1531, 2021.
- [24] S. Palasek. A minimum critical blowup rate for the high-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 24(4):Paper No. 108, 28, 2022.
- [25] H. Politano, A. Pouquet, and P.-L. Sulem. Current and vorticity dynamics in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *Phys. Plasmas*, 2(8):2931–2939, 1995.
- [26] G. Prodi. Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 48:173–182, 1959.
- [27] J. C. Robinson, J. L. Rodrigo, and W. Sadowski. *The three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, volume 157 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. Classical theory.
- [28] G. Seregin. A certain necessary condition of potential blow up for Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 312(3):833–845, 2012.
- [29] M. Sermange and R. Temam. Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 36(5):635–664, 1983.
- [30] J. Serrin. On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 9:187–195, 1962.
- [31] T. Tao. Quantitative bounds for critically bounded solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. In *Nine mathematical challenges—an elucidation*, volume 104 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 149–193. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2021] ©2021.
- [32] J. Wu. Bounds and new approaches for the 3D MHD equations. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 12(4):395–413, 2002.

- [33] J. Wu. Regularity results for weak solutions of the 3D MHD equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 10(1-2):543–556, 2004.
- [34] J. Wu. Regularity criteria for the generalized MHD equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 33(1-3):285–306, 2008.
- [35] Y. Zhou. Remarks on regularities for the 3D MHD equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 12(5):881–886, 2005.