# **REGULARIZATION FOR POINT VORTICES ON S<sup>2</sup>**

TAKASHI SAKAJO, CHANGJUN ZOU

ABSTRACT. We construct a series of patch type solutions for incompressible Euler equation on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , which constitutes the regularization for steady or traveling point vortex systems. We first prove the existence of k-fold symmetric patch solutions, whose limit is the well-known von Kármán point vortex street on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ ; then we consider the general steady case, where besides a non-localized part induced by the sphere rotation, j positive and k negative patches are located near a nondegenerate critical point of the Kirchhoff–Routh function on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . Our construction is accomplished by Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction argument, where the traveling speed or vortex patch location are used to eliminate the degenerate direction of a linearized operator. We also show that the boundary of each vortex patch is a  $C^1$  close curve, which is a perturbation of a small ellipse in the spherical coordinates. As far as we know, this is the first attempt for a regularization of the point-vortex equilibria on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

**Keywords:** Incompressible Euler equation on  $S^2$ ; Regularization for point vortices; Kármán vortex street; Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction argument

2020 MSC Primary: 76B47; Secondary: 76B03.

## CONTENTS

2
2
5
7
11
11
11
14
19
23
24
26
28
29

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

We construct a new kind of vorticity solution for the incompressible Euler equation on the rotating unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  defined by

$$\mathbb{S}^2 := \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^3 = 1 \},\$$

where the vorticity is constituted by k positive and j negative patches near a k + j point vortex system. In this introduction, we will derive the mathematical model, present some historical notes, expose our main results, and give the organization of the paper.

1.1. Euler equation on a unit sphere  $S^2$ . Our research mainly deals with the vorticity solutions for the Euler equation on a unit sphere  $S^2$ , which is a model to describe the dynamic of westerlies or hurricanes on Earth, great red spots on Jupiter, and sunspots. These solutions are important for meteorological predictions and the study of the motion of planets' atmospheres. For a more complete introduction to these equations, we refer the readers to [18, 37]. To derive the semilinear elliptic equation of the vorticity function and explain our approach for construction, we first introduce some basic notions in mathematical analysis on  $S^2$ , which is endowed with a smooth manifold structure described by the following two charts:

$$C_1: (0,\pi) \times (0,2\pi) \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
  

$$(\theta,\varphi) \to (\sin\theta\cos\varphi,\sin\theta\sin\varphi,\cos\theta)$$
  

$$C_2: (0,\pi) \times (0,2\pi) \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
  

$$(\bar{\theta},\bar{\varphi}) \to (-\sin\bar{\theta}\cos\bar{\varphi}, -\sin\bar{\theta}, -\sin\bar{\theta}\sin\bar{\varphi})$$

In this paper, we mainly work on the first chart  $C_1$ , where the two variables  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are called the colatitude and the longitude respectively. On the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , the Riemannian metric is given by

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\theta,\varphi) = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2.$$

Let  $\{N, S\}$  be the south and north poles of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . Then for any point  $\mathbf{z} = (\theta, \varphi) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N, S\}$ on the sphere except for the two poles, an orthogonal basis of the tangent space  $T_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbb{S}^2$  is given by

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{ heta} = \partial_{ heta} \quad ext{and} \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{arphi} = rac{\partial_{arphi}}{\sin heta}$$

where the classical identification between tangent vectors and directional differentiation is used. In this coordinate frame, the Riemannian volume is given by

$$d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi.$$

Denote  $f(\mathbf{z}): \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  as a function on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . The integration for f on the sphere can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\theta, \varphi) \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi.$$

The gradient of f is defined by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\theta, \varphi) = \partial_{\theta} f(\theta, \varphi) \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} + \frac{\partial_{\varphi} f(\theta, \varphi)}{\sin \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi},$$

whose normal is

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\perp} f(\theta, \varphi) = J \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\theta, \varphi), \qquad \operatorname{Mat}(J) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a vector function  $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{z}) = (f_{\theta}(\theta, \varphi), f_{\varphi}(\theta, \varphi))$ , the divergence is defined by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\theta} (\sin \theta f_{\theta}(\theta, \varphi)) + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\varphi} f_{\varphi}(\theta, \varphi)$$

Using the gradient and the divergence on the sphere, we can write down the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ ,

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\theta} (\sin \theta \partial_{\theta} f(\theta, \varphi)) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_{\varphi}^2 f(\theta, \varphi),$$

where the north and south poles are two singular points. In the sequel, we use the Green representation for  $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ , namely,

$$(-\Delta)^{-1}_{\mathbb{S}^2}f = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')d\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{z}'),$$

as an important tool for the construction of localized vorticity solutions, where the function  $G(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}')$  takes the form

$$G(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \ln\left(1 - \cos\theta\cos\theta' - \sin\theta\sin\theta'\cos(\varphi - \varphi')\right) + \frac{\ln 2}{4\pi}$$

Notice that

$$D(\theta, \phi, \theta', \varphi') = 1 - \cos\theta \cos\theta' - \sin\theta \sin\theta' \cos(\varphi - \varphi')$$
$$= 2\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2}\right) + \sin\theta \sin\theta' \sin^2\left(\frac{\varphi - \varphi'}{2}\right)\right].$$

By the Taylor expansion for the sine function, we see that the singular part in  $G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')$  is similar to the fundamental solution  $-\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}$  for  $-\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is defined as

$$\Gamma(\theta,\varphi,\theta',\varphi') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \ln\left[(\theta-\theta')^2 + (\varphi-\varphi')^2 \sin^2\theta\right].$$

Then we can split  $G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')$  into two parts

$$G(\theta, \phi, \theta', \phi') = \Gamma(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') + [G - \Gamma(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi')]$$
  
=  $\Gamma(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') + H(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi').$  (1.1)

The function  $H(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') \in C^1(B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{z}) \times B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{z}))$  for any  $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N, S\}$  is the remaining regular part, in which  $B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{z})$  denotes a spherical cap around  $\boldsymbol{z}$  with a small radius  $\delta$ . This observation is a starting point for our construction of solutions close to point vortices.

### TAKASHI SAKAJO, CHANGJUN ZOU

Now we introduce the vorticity formulation for the incompressible Euler equations on a rotating sphere  $S^2$ , which takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} (\omega - 2\gamma \cos \theta) = 0, \\ \boldsymbol{v} = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\perp} (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1} \omega, \\ \omega \big|_{t=0} = \omega_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\omega$  is the vorticity function,  $\boldsymbol{v}$  is the velocity field, and  $\gamma$  is the uniform angular rotation speed. In (1.2), the term  $-2\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \cos \theta$  corresponds to the Coriolis force coming from the rotation of the sphere, and the second equation is the Biot–Savart law on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , which means the velocity field  $\boldsymbol{v}$  is divergence free. According to the divergence theorem, we can deduce the Gauss constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \omega(t, \boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{z}) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty).$$

In this paper, we first consider the traveling wave solutions as a special relative equilibrium of (1.2) with  $\gamma = 0$ , which is obtained by a translation acting on initial data  $\omega_0$ , namely,

$$\omega(t,\theta,\varphi) = \omega_0(\theta,\varphi + Wt).$$

By substituting it into (1.2) and letting  $\psi = (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1}\omega$  be the stream function, we find that the first equation of (1.2) becomes

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\perp}(\psi + W\cos\theta) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\omega = 0$$

which means that  $\psi + W \cos \theta$  and  $\omega$  are functional dependent. Hence if we impose

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi = F(\psi + W\cos\theta), \tag{1.3}$$

then  $\omega = (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi$  gives a traveling wave solution to (1.2) with  $\gamma = 0$ . It should be noticed that if  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then the support of potential vorticity  $\omega - 2\gamma \cos \theta$  is the whole sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , which will bring extraordinary difficulties for the construction of traveling localized vortices  $\omega$ , even if for point vortex systems. For discussions on this direction, we refer interested readers to [29].

However, we can construct non-localized steady solutions to (1.2) with  $\gamma \neq 0$  such that  $\partial_t \omega = 0$ . In this situation, the first equation in (1.2) turns to be

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\perp}\psi\cdot\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\omega-2\gamma\cos\theta)=0,$$

from which we deduce that if  $\psi$  satisfies

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi = F(\psi) + 2\gamma\cos\theta, \qquad (1.4)$$

then  $\omega = (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi$  gives a steady solution to (1.2) on a rotating sphere at speed  $\gamma$ .

In fact, these two kind solutions can be transformed to each other. Notice that it holds  $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\cos\theta = 2\cos\theta$ . We have following lemma, which describes the equivalence for (1.3) and (1.4).

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $\psi$  be a solution of

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi = F(\psi + W\cos\theta).$$

Then  $\psi_{\gamma} = \psi + \gamma \cos \theta$  gives a solution to

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\gamma} = F(\psi_{\gamma} + (W - \gamma)\cos\theta) + 2\gamma\cos\theta.$$

In view of Lemma 1.1, once we construct a traveling localized wave solution to (1.3), we actually obtain a class of non-localized solutions to (1.4) with different sphere rotation speed.

1.2. Historical notes. The vorticity formulation of incompressible Euler equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \omega = 0, \\ \boldsymbol{v} = \nabla^{\perp} (-\Delta)^{-1} \omega, \\ \omega \big|_{t=0} = \omega_0. \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

The global well-posedness for (1.5) with initial data in  $L^1 \cap L^\infty$  was established by Yudovich [36] in 1963, which is also extended to the Euler equation on the sphere (1.2). Since then, the theory of weak solutions has been considerably improved, see [13, 14, 16, 27].

Besides the well-posedness, researchers who study fluid mechanics are also interested in specific global solutions of (1.2) or (1.5), which maintain their shape during evolution. The general approach for giving such solutions is to make perturbations near trivial ones, which can be roughly divided into two large classes.

Owing to the structure of the nonlinear term, it is well-known that all radial functions are stationary solutions to (1.5), and the vortices bifurcating from these trivial ones constitute the first class of global solutions. These N-fold symmetric solutions rotate at a uniform angular velocity, which is also known as V-states. In 1978, Deem and Zabusky [11] used numerical simulations to show the possible existence of V-states. A few years later, Burbea [3] put forward a new method of finding V-states for (1.5), where local bifurcation was applied in Hardy space, and the key observation is to rewrite (1.5) in a functional analytic framework by conformal mapping. However, the proof in [3] has a gap, since the functions in Hardy space do not actually have derivatives. It was not until 2013 that Hmidi, Mateu, and Verdera [23] gave the first rigorous proof for the existence of simply-connected V-states by contour dynamics equations, and Hassainia and Hmidi [19] extended this approach to the gSQG case. Since then, the bifurcation method has been widely used in the construction of V-states for active scalar equations. For instance, De La Hoze, Hassaina, Hmidi, Mateu, Verdera [12] proved the existence of doubly connected vortex patches bifurcated from annuli at specific angular velocity. While 2-fold symmetric V-states near Kirchhoff elliptic vortices in Euler flow [24] and V-states with smooth vorticity distribution were discovered by Castro, Córdoba, and Gómez-Serrano in [8]. Moreover, Hassainia, Masmoudi, and Wheeler [21] made continuation on the bifurcation parameter by analytic tool, and studied the global behavior of solutions. For quasi-periodic cases, there are also some results by KAM theory, see [2, 20].

### TAKASHI SAKAJO, CHANGJUN ZOU

For the equation (1.2) on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , the analogies for V-States of (1.5) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are called zonal solutions, where the stream function  $\psi(\theta, \varphi) = \psi(\theta)$  is longitude independent. Especially, The zonal Rossby-Haurwitz stream functions of degree  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\Psi_n(\theta) = \beta Y_n^0(\theta) + \frac{2\gamma}{n(n+1)-2}\cos\theta, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

are special stationary solutions, where  $Y_n^0(\theta)$  are spherical harmonic functions. In [9], Constantin and Germain considered local and global bifurcation of non-zonal solutions to (1.2) from Rossby-Haurwitz waves. They also proved the stability in  $H^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$  of degree 2 case as well as the instability in  $H^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$  of more general non-zonal Rossby-Haurwitz type solutions. They also showed that any solution to (1.2) with F' > -6 must be zonal (modulo rotation) and stable in  $H^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$  provided an additional constraint F' < 0, where the constant -6 corresponds to the second eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Very recently, García, Hassainia, and Roulley [17] developed the idea in [23], and constructed k-fold symmetric vortex cap solutions by using contour dynamic equations and bifurcation tool. For other existence and stability results on this aspect, we refer to [6, 7, 31].

In this sequel, we mainly consider the second class of global solutions, which consist of relatively regular vortex patches near a system of point vortices given by

$$\omega^*(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \kappa_n \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{x}_n}$$

with  $\delta_x$  the Dirac measure at point x. Although the velocity field at the location of each point vortex is singular, their dynamics can be understood in a way that each point vortex is transported by the velocity field created by the other point vortices. More precisely, this point vortex system on a two-dimensional domain D is dominated by a Hamiltonian system,

$$\kappa_n \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_n = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}_n}^{\perp} \mathcal{K}_N, \quad 1 \le n \le N,$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_N$  is called the Kirchhoff–Routh function defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_N(oldsymbol{x}_1,oldsymbol{x}_2,..,oldsymbol{x}_N) := rac{1}{2}\sum_{m,n=1,m
eq n}^N \kappa_m \kappa_n G_D(oldsymbol{x}_n,oldsymbol{x}_m) + rac{1}{2}\sum_{m=1}^N \kappa_m^2 H_D(oldsymbol{x}_m,oldsymbol{x}_m)$$

with  $G_D$  denotes the Green kernel on D,  $H_D$  is its corresponding Robin function. See [25, 26] for the planar case and [15] for the spherical case. Owing to the Hamilton structure for the motion of point vortices, the point-vortex dynamics on the Riemann surface has been discussed by many mathematicians, and different kinds of relative equilibrium were found, for which we refer to [29, 30, 32, 35].

The procedure of approximating  $\omega^*$  by relatively regular solutions is called a regularization or a desingularization of point vortices. For the planar case (1.4), there is abundant literature on this field. In [28], Marchioro and Pulvirenti considered the evolution of vorticity in the Euler flow with smooth initial data near k point vortices. The same topic was discussed by Davila, Del Pino, Musso, and Wei via a newly developed gluing method in [10]. As for the regularization for equilibria in the Euler flow, Turkington constructed global vortex patch solutions near point vortices in [34], which is inspired by Arnold's dual variational principle [1], and is now known as the vorticity method. There are also other approaches towards the same aim, which focus on the stream function and its related semilinear elliptic equation. For example, in [33], Smets and Van Schaftingen gave a regularization of stationary vortices in different cases by the mountain-pass lemma; in [5], Cao, Peng, and Yan considered the vortex patch problem of the planer Euler equation by singular perturbation of stream function. The contour dynamic equation used for the construction of V-states is also available for a regularization procedure, which we refer to [22] for the construction of co-rotating and counter-rotating patch pairs.

In the following, we will construct a series of patch solutions to approximate the von Kármán vortex street on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , and then deal with a general case. Our construction is more difficult and delicate than the planar case due to the different structure of Laplacian. However, we will show that the dynamic property of small vortex patches on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is strongly dependent on the corresponding Kirchhoff–Routh function, which is similar to the regularization for point vortices on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

1.3. The main results. Let us start our research from the most classic point vortex system on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , namely, the k periodic von Kármán vortex street, where there are 2k point vortices with the circulation  $\kappa$ , half positive and half negative, traveling at a uniform angular speed along the equator.

To be more precise, for  $1 \le i \le k$ , let  $\mathbf{z}_i^+ = (\theta_0, \varphi_i^+)$  be the location of positive vortices on the northern hemisphere and  $\mathbf{z}_i^- = (\pi - \theta_0, \varphi_i^-)$  be the location of negative vortices on the southern hemisphere. There are two types of vortex streets, the first type is

$$\varphi_i^+ = \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{k}, \qquad \varphi_i^- = \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{k}, \qquad (\mathbf{type}\,\mathbf{1})$$

and the second type is

$$\varphi_i^+ = \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{3\pi}{2k}, \qquad \varphi_i^- = \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{2k}. \qquad (\mathbf{type}\,\mathbf{2})$$

Then the point vortex solution can be written as

$$\omega^*(\boldsymbol{z}) = \kappa \sum_{i=1}^k \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_i^+} - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^k \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_i^-},$$

whose stream function is

$$\psi^*(\boldsymbol{z}) = \kappa \sum_{i=1}^k G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^+) - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^k G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^-).$$

The point vortex model constitutes a Hamilton system, and the uniform traveling angular velocity of the vortex street is given by

$$W^* = \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=2}^k \partial_\theta G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^+) + \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \partial_\theta H(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_1^+) - \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^k \partial_\theta G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^-).$$

See [15]. Note that the vortex shedding can be put in a stationary frame with sphere rotation speed  $\gamma = W^*$ .

We construct a series of vorticity solutions  $\{\omega_{\varepsilon}\}$ , tending to  $\omega^*$  but with a more regular form than the Dirac measures. This procedure is hence regarded as a regularization for point vortices. In [4], the authors have considered the  $C^1$  regular solutions for the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . However, we will consider the solution of patch type in this paper, whose initial data is the characteristic function of the 2k domain,

$$\omega_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^+} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^-}$$

with  $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  are small areas centered at  $\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{\pm}$ , and  $\varepsilon$  is a small scale parameter. As  $\varepsilon \to 0^{+}$ ,  $\omega_{*}$  tends to the point vortex solution  $\omega_{*}$ . Actually, the stream function  $\psi_{\varepsilon} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{2}})^{-1}\omega_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies the following equation

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}}$$
(1.6)

by (1.3), where

$$\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^{+}, \qquad \{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^{-}$$

are the positive and negative vorticity sets separately,  $W_{\varepsilon}$  is the traveling angular velocity, and  $\mu_{\varepsilon}$  is a flux constant.

To simplify the problem, we assume  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$  are of k-fold symmetry. That is to say, for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi i}{k}, \varphi\right) = \psi_{\varepsilon}(\theta, \varphi) \text{ and } \omega_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi i}{k}, \varphi\right) = \omega_{\varepsilon}(\theta, \varphi).$$

Moreover, we require that  $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^+$  and  $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^-$  are symmetric with respect to  $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{k})$ , so that they have the same shape. Then we can only deal with  $\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}^+$  in the construction. Having done these preparations, we have the main theorem in our paper, which is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose  $\mathbf{z}_i^{\pm}$  satisfy (type 1) or (type 2) with  $0 < \theta_0 \leq \pi/2$ . Then there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  small, such that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ , (1.6) has a solution ( $\psi_{\varepsilon}, W_{\varepsilon}$ ). Let  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon}$  be the vorticity function. We have the following asymptotic estimates:

(i) One has

$$\omega_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{+}} - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{-}} \quad as \ \varepsilon \to 0^{+},$$

where the convergence is in the sense of measures, and  $\kappa$  is the circulation for each vortex.

(ii) The boundary of vorticity set  $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  is a  $C^1$  close curve, which is parameterized as

$$\partial\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{\pm} + \left[ \sqrt{\kappa/\pi\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon) \right] (\cos\xi, \sin^{-1}\theta_{0}\sin\xi) \mid \xi \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}$$

(iii) As  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , the traveling angular velocities are given by

$$W_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} \to \frac{\kappa}{\sin \theta_0} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}, \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{k}\right) + \frac{\kappa}{\sin \theta_0} \partial_{\theta} H\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}, \theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}\right) \\ - \frac{\kappa}{\sin \theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}, \pi - \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{k}\right)$$

for (type 1) vortex street, and

$$W_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \to \frac{\kappa}{\sin \theta_0} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{2k}, \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{3\pi}{2k}\right) + \frac{\kappa}{\sin \theta_0} \partial_{\theta} H\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{2k}, \theta_0, \frac{\pi i}{2k}\right) \\ - \frac{\kappa}{\sin \theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{2k}, \pi - \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{2k}\right)$$

for (type 2) vortex street.

Remark 1.3. With the existence result in Theorem 1.2, we actually obtain a class of nonlocalized solutions to (1.2) by Lemma 1.1. If we let  $\tilde{\omega}_{\varepsilon} = \omega_{\varepsilon} + 2\gamma \cos \theta$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\perp} \psi_{\varepsilon} + (0, \gamma \sin \theta)$ , then we give a traveling wave solution at the angular velocity  $W_{\gamma} = W - \gamma$  on a sphere with  $\gamma$  the rotation speed.

The von Kármán point vortex street is only a special case of steady or traveling point vortex system on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . For other vivid examples, we refer to [30], where different kinds of point vortex equilibria are given. To get a step further for general cases, we consider (1.4), and let

$$\omega^*(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{j} \kappa_m^+ \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_m^+} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \kappa_n^- \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_n^-} + 2\gamma \cos \theta$$

be the limit vortex function. In this situation,  $\omega^*(z)$  is composed of singular point vortex part and regular non-localized part  $2\gamma \cos \theta$ , which is no longer a point vortex system but a vortex-wave system. However, in view of Lemma 1.1, it can be regarded as equal to a point vortex system

$$\hat{\omega}^*(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^j \kappa_m^+ \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_m^+} - \sum_{n=1}^k \kappa_n^- \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_n^-}$$

with traveling speed  $W = \gamma$  on a stationary sphere.

For  $\omega^*(\boldsymbol{z})$ , the Gauss constraint implies that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{j} \kappa_m^+ = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \kappa_n^-.$$

By the dynamics of point vortices on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  [15], the coordinates  $(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \cdots, \boldsymbol{z}_j^+, \boldsymbol{z}_1^-, \cdots, \boldsymbol{z}_k^-)$ with  $\boldsymbol{z}_m^+ = (\phi_m^+, \theta_m^+), \ \boldsymbol{z}_n^- = (\phi_n^-, \theta_n^-) \ (\theta_m^+, \theta_n^- \neq 0, \pi)$  should be on a critical point of the Kirchhoff–Routh function

$$\mathcal{K}_{k+j}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{j}^{+},\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{-}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,l=1,m\neq l}^{j} \kappa_{m}^{+} \kappa_{l}^{+} G(\boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{+},\boldsymbol{z}_{l}^{+}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,n=1,l\neq n}^{k} \kappa_{l}^{-} \kappa_{n}^{-} G(\boldsymbol{z}_{l}^{-},\boldsymbol{z}_{n}^{-}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{k} (\kappa_{m}^{+})^{2} H(\boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{+},\boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{+}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{j} (\kappa_{n}^{-})^{2} H(\boldsymbol{z}_{n}^{-},\boldsymbol{z}_{n}^{-}) \\
- \sum_{m=1}^{j} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \kappa_{m}^{+} \kappa_{n}^{-} G(\boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{+},\boldsymbol{z}_{n}^{-}) - \gamma \sum_{m=1}^{j} \kappa_{m}^{+} \cos \theta_{m}^{+} + \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{k} \kappa_{n}^{-} \cos \theta_{n}^{-}.$$
(1.7)

Now we are going to construct a series of vortex solutions to regularize  $\omega^*(z)$ . According to Lemma 1.1, if we let  $\psi_{\varepsilon} = \psi - \gamma \cos \theta$  in (1.4), then  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  should satisfy the equation

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma\cos\theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma\cos\theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}}, \qquad (1.8)$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is a small constant,  $\mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-$  are flux constants to be prescribed, and

$$\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}\} = \Omega_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}, \qquad \{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}\} = \Omega_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}$$

are the positive and negative level sets. The following theorem gives the regularization for singular vortex  $\omega^*(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{j} \kappa_m^+ \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_m^+} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \kappa_n^- \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_n^-} + 2\gamma \cos \theta.$ 

**Theorem 1.4.** For any nondegenerate critical point  $(\mathbf{z}_1^+, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_j^+, \mathbf{z}_1^-, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_k^-)$  of Kirchhoff-Routh function  $\mathcal{K}_{k+j}$  defined by (1.7), there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  small, such that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ , (1.8) has a solution  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon} + 2\gamma \cos \theta$  be the vorticity function. We have the following asymptotic estimates:

(i) One has

$$\omega_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \sum_{m=1}^{j} \kappa_{m}^{+} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{+}} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \kappa_{n}^{-} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_{n}^{-}} + 2\gamma \cos \theta \quad as \ \varepsilon \to 0^{+},$$

where the convergence is in the sense of measures, and  $\kappa_l^{\pm}$  is the circulation for each point vortex.

(ii) The boundaries of level sets  $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}^+$ ,  $\Omega_{n,\varepsilon}^-$ , are  $C^1$  close curves, which are parameterized as

$$\partial\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}^{+} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+} + \left[ \sqrt{\kappa_{m}^{+}/\pi\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon) \right] (\cos\xi, \sin^{-1}\theta_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}\sin\xi) \mid \xi \in [0, 2\pi) \right\},\$$

and

$$\partial\Omega_{n,\varepsilon}^{-} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-} + \left[ \sqrt{\kappa_{n}^{-}/\pi\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon) \right] (\cos\xi, \sin^{-1}\theta_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}\sin\xi) \mid \xi \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}$$

with

$$(\boldsymbol{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^+,\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{j,\varepsilon}^+,\boldsymbol{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^-,\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{k,\varepsilon}^-) o (\boldsymbol{z}_1^+,\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_j^+,\boldsymbol{z}_1^-,\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_k^-) \quad as \ \varepsilon \to 0^+,$$

In Theorem 1.2, we fix the location  $\mathbf{z}_i^{\pm}$  of each vortex patch and adjust the traveling angular speed  $W_{\varepsilon}$ . While in Theorem 1.4, we fix the rotating speed of the sphere  $\gamma$  and find the proper condition for the location vector  $(\mathbf{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^+, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_{j,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^-, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_{k,\varepsilon}^-)$ . The aim of these two procedures is similar, which is to eliminate the degenerate direction of a linearized operator and make  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  a solution to the primal problem (1.6) or (1.8).

1.4. Organization of the paper. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we obtain a series of patch solutions to regularize the Kármán point vortex street on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . To this purpose, we first construct suitable approximate solutions via the stream function of the well-known Rankine vortex and transform the problem into a semilinear equation for the perturbation function. Then, we solve the projective problem module one-dimensional kernel of a linearized operator. The proof is finished by finding the condition for traveling speed  $W_{\varepsilon}$  and making the projection operator equal identity. This method is known as the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction procedure. The regularization for a general steady vortexwave system on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is discussed in Section 3, where a (2j + 2k)-dimensional problem is solved to determine the vortex location  $(\mathbf{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^+, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_{j,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^-, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_{k,\varepsilon}^-)$ .

# 2. Regularization for Kármán point vortex street on $\mathbb{S}^2$

Since the regularized vorticity  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$  concentrates to

$$\kappa \sum_{i=1}^k \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_i^+} - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^k \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_i^-},$$

the asymptotic behavior of the stream function  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  far from the vorticity set  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is given by

$$\kappa \sum_{i=1}^{k} G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{+}) - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{k} G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{-}).$$

Our first task is to modify the Green kernel and to find an approximate solution, which relies on the decomposition (1.1) of  $G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')$  at  $\boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm}$ . In the following, we are going to approximate  $\kappa\Gamma(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})$  and  $\kappa H(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})$  in (1.1) separately, and make up a vortex patch solution on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

2.1. The approximate stream function. The equation for the stream function of the well-known Rankine vortex is

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w = \mathbf{1}_{B_1(\mathbf{0})}, & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ w = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{|\mathbf{y}|}, & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_1(\mathbf{0}), \end{cases}$$

whose solution is given by

$$w(\boldsymbol{y}) = \begin{cases} rac{1}{4}(1 - |\boldsymbol{y}|^2), & ext{if } |\boldsymbol{y}| \le 1, \\ \ rac{1}{2}\lnrac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}, & ext{if } |\boldsymbol{y}| \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

The approximate solution for  $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})$  looks like a scaled version of w. Define the tangent mapping  $A : (\theta, \varphi) \to (x_1, x_2)$  from  $\mathbb{S}^2$  to  $T_{\boldsymbol{z}} \mathbb{S}^2$  with the matrix

$$\operatorname{Mat}(A) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \sin\theta \end{array}\right).$$

Recall that the locations  $z_i^{\pm}$  satisfy (type 1) or (type 2) with  $0 < \theta_0 < \pi/2$ . We introduce the function

$$V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} (s_{\varepsilon}^2 - |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})|^2), & \text{if } |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})| \le s_{\varepsilon}, \\ \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \frac{|\ln \varepsilon|}{|\ln s_{\varepsilon}|} \ln |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})|, & \text{if } |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})| \ge s_{\varepsilon} \end{cases}$$

as the approximate function for  $\kappa \Gamma(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the distance in the tangent space  $T_{\boldsymbol{z}} \mathbb{S}^2$ . To make  $V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm} a C^1$  smooth function, direct calculation gives the following relationship.

$$\beta_{\varepsilon} := \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \frac{|\ln \varepsilon|}{s_{\varepsilon} |\ln s_{\varepsilon}|} = \frac{s_{\varepsilon}}{2\varepsilon^2},\tag{2.1}$$

where  $\beta_{\varepsilon}$  is the value of  $-\partial_{\theta}V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  at  $(s_{\varepsilon} + \theta_0, \varphi_i^{\pm})$ . By the formulation of  $V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$ , it holds the following integration equation.

$$V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} \Gamma(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') d\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{z}').$$

To approximate the remaining regular part  $\kappa H(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})$ , we introduce

$$R_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} H(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') d\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{z}').$$

Since  $H(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')$  is  $C^1$  smooth, we can also use the symmetry in the integration to derive that  $R_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})$  is an  $O(\varepsilon^2)$ -perturbation of  $\kappa H(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})$ .

By the definitions of  $V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})$  and  $R_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})$ , we have

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\left(V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}+R_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}\right) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}}.$$

Thus we split  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z})$  as

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_{i,\varepsilon}^{+} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{i,\varepsilon}^{+} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_{i,\varepsilon}^{-} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{i,\varepsilon}^{-} + \phi_{\varepsilon}$$
$$:= \Psi_{\varepsilon} + \phi_{\varepsilon},$$

in which  $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z})$  is the approximate solution, and  $\phi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z})$  is a small error term. Note that it holds

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mid V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\} = \{ \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mid |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})| < s_{\varepsilon} \}.$$
(2.2)

Denote  $C_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{\pm}) = \{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{S}^{2} \mid |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{\pm})| = s_{\varepsilon}\}$ , and  $B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{\pm}) \subset \mathbb{S}^{2}$  as the spherical cap region with radius  $\delta$  to localize each vortex. Then equation (1.6) is then transformed to

$$\begin{split} 0 &= -\varepsilon^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k V_{i,\varepsilon}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^k R_{i,\varepsilon}^+ - \sum_{i=1}^k V_{i,\varepsilon}^- - \sum_{i=1}^k R_{i,\varepsilon}^- + \phi_{\varepsilon} \right) \\ &- \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left( -\varepsilon^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left( V_{i,\varepsilon}^+ + R_{i,\varepsilon}^+ \right) - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^+ > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} \right) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^k \left( -\varepsilon^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left( V_{i,\varepsilon}^- + R_{i,\varepsilon}^- \right) - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^- > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} \right) \\ &+ \varepsilon^2 \left( -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi_{\varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \delta_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(z_i^+)} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \delta_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(z_i^-)} \right) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(z_i^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^+ > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} - \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \delta_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(z_i^-)} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(z_i^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^- > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} + \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \delta_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(z_i^-)} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \phi_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon^2 N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}), \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon} := (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\phi_{\varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_i^+)} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}}\phi_{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_i^-)}$$

is the linear term, and

$$\begin{split} N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{z}_i^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^+ > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} - \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_i^+)} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{z}_i^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{i,\varepsilon}^- > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} + \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_i^-)} \right) \\ &:= N_{\varepsilon}^+(\phi_{\varepsilon}) - N_{\varepsilon}^-(\phi_{\varepsilon}) \end{split}$$

is the nonlinear perturbation. To make  $N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})$  sufficiently small, the flux constant  $\mu_{\varepsilon}$  is determined by

$$-\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \kappa \sum_{i=2}^{k} G(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{+}) - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{k} G(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{-}) + \kappa H(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}) - W_{\varepsilon} \cos\theta_{0} - \mu_{\varepsilon}.$$
(2.3)

Having done all these preparations, we are now to solve the semilinear problem

$$\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon} = N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}), \tag{2.4}$$

which is discussed in the next part.

2.2. The linear problem. If  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  is invertable, we can transform (2.4) into a fixed point problem  $\phi_{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1} N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})$ , which is easy to handle. However,  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  is not invertible in our problem. Thus we must find the approximate kernel, and deal with the projective problem.

To begin with, let us consider the linearized operator for  $-\Delta v - \mathbf{1}_{\{v>0\}}$  at the well-known Rankine vortex as

$$\mathbb{L}_0 \phi := -\Delta \phi - 2\phi \delta_{|\boldsymbol{y}|=1}. \tag{2.5}$$

In [5], the authors dealt with a eigenvalue problem for Laplace–Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  to show that

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $w \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a solution to  $\mathbb{L}_0 w = 0$  with the operator  $\mathbb{L}_0$  defined in (2.5). Then

$$w \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_2}\right\}.$$

By defining the mapping  $A_0: (\theta, \varphi) \to (x_1, x_2)$  from  $\mathbb{S}^2$  to  $T_{\mathbf{z}_i^{\pm}} \mathbb{S}^2$  with the matrix

$$\operatorname{Mat}(A_0) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \sin \theta_0 \end{array}\right),$$

we introduce the rescaled Rankine vortex  $U_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})$  as

$$U_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} (s_{\varepsilon}^2 - |A_0(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})|^2), & \text{if } |A_0(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})| \le s_{\varepsilon}, \\ \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \frac{|\ln \varepsilon|}{|\ln s_{\varepsilon}|} \ln |A_0(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})|, & \text{if } |A_0(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm})| \ge s_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

which are functions near  $V_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})$ . Since  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  is almost the scaled version of  $\mathbb{L}_{0}$ , a suitable choice for the element in the approximate kernel is

$$Z_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{i}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) \frac{\partial U_{i,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{i}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) \frac{\partial U_{i,\varepsilon}^{-}}{\partial \theta},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial U_{i,\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \theta} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}(\theta - \theta_0), & \text{if } |A_0(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^+)| \le s_{\varepsilon}, \\ -\frac{\kappa |\ln \varepsilon|}{2\pi |\ln s_{\varepsilon}|} \frac{\theta - \theta_0}{|A_0(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^+)|^2}, & \text{if } |A_0(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^+)| \ge s_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial U_{i,\varepsilon}^{-}}{\partial \theta} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{2}}(\theta - \theta_{0}), & \text{if } |A_{0}(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{-})| \leq s_{\varepsilon}, \\ -\frac{\kappa |\ln \varepsilon|}{2\pi |\ln s_{\varepsilon}|} \frac{\theta - (\pi - \theta_{0})}{|A_{0}(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{-})|^{2}}, & \text{if } |A_{0}(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{-})| \geq s_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\chi_i^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm}|_{\mathbb{S}^2} < \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|, \\ 0, & \text{if } |\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{\pm}|_{\mathbb{S}^2} \ge 2\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

are smooth truncation functions radially symmetric with respect to  $\boldsymbol{z}_i^\pm$  satisfying

$$|\nabla \chi_i^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla^2 \chi_i^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|^2}$$

with  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  the distance on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . We claim that the approximate kernel of  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  is one-dimensional, since the direction  $\partial_{\varphi}$  is eliminated by the k-fold symmetry of the vertex streets.

Also thanks to the k-fold symmetry of the problem, we can restrict our discussion to the domain

$$\Pi := (0,\pi) \times (0,2\pi/k)$$

which is regarded as a typical period of Kármán vortex streets. In these settings, the projective problem for (2.4) is rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}\phi = \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z}) + \Lambda(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Z_{\varepsilon}, & \text{in }\Pi, \\ \int_{\Pi}\phi(\boldsymbol{z})(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Z_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z})d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

where the nonlinear term  $\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z})$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z}) \subset B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+) \cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-)$$

with L a large positive constant, and  $\Lambda$  is the coefficient such that

$$\int_{\Pi} Z_{\varepsilon} \big[ \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \phi - \mathbf{h} - \Lambda(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Z_{\varepsilon} \big] d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0.$$

By denoting the  $\|\cdot\|_*$  norm for the function  $\phi$  on the sphere as

$$\|\phi\|_* = \sup_{\Pi} |\phi|,$$

we first give a coercive estimate for  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  in the next lemma, from which we can verify the choice of  $Z_{\varepsilon}$  is reasonable. In the subsequent proof, the large positive constant L is always used to bound the radius of each vortex set  $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  with  $L\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume that **h** satisfies supp  $\mathbf{h} \subset B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_1^+) \cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_1^-)$ , and

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} < \infty$$

for  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ , then there exists a small  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a positive constant  $c_0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  and solution pair  $(\phi, \Lambda)$  to (2.6), it holds

$$\|\phi\|_* + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^p(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} + |\Lambda|\varepsilon^{-1} \le c_0 \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))}.$$
 (2.7)

*Proof.* To derive the estimate for coefficient  $\Lambda$ , we integrate by parts to obtain

$$\Lambda \int_{\Pi} \left[ (\partial_{\theta} Z_{\varepsilon})^2 + \left( \frac{\partial_{\varphi} Z_{\varepsilon}}{\sin \theta} \right)^2 \right] d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \int_{\Pi} Z_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \phi d\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \int_{\Pi} Z_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{h} d\boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$
(2.8)

Let

 $\Pi^+ := (0, \pi/2) \times (0, 2\pi/k)$ 

be the upper half of  $\Pi$ . For the left hand side of (2.8), it holds

$$\Lambda \int_{\Pi} \left[ (\partial_{\theta} Z_{\varepsilon})^{2} + \left( \frac{\partial_{\varphi} Z_{\varepsilon}}{\sin \theta} \right)^{2} \right] d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 2\Lambda \int_{\Pi^{+}} \sin \theta \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^{2} U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{\frac{\partial^{2} U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \varphi \partial \theta}}{\sin \theta_{0}} \right)^{2} \right] d\theta d\varphi + \Lambda \frac{o_{\varepsilon}(1)}{\varepsilon^{2}}$$
$$= \Lambda \frac{C_{z}}{\varepsilon^{2}} (1 + o_{\varepsilon}(1)),$$

where  $C_z = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\nabla \partial_{y_1} w)^2 d\boldsymbol{y}$  is a positive constant. To estimate the first term in the right-hand side of (2.8), it is sufficient to consider the integration in  $\Pi^+$  owing to the symmetry of the vortex streets.

$$\int_{\Pi^{+}} Z_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \phi d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
$$= \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}) Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})} \phi \sin \theta \frac{\partial U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta}.$$

It holds

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}) Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi \left[ \partial_{\theta} (\sin \theta \partial_{\theta} Z_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{\partial_{\varphi}^{2} Z_{\varepsilon}}{\sin \theta} \right] d\theta d\varphi \\ &= \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi \cos \theta \partial_{\theta} \left( \chi_{1}^{+} \frac{\partial U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta} \right) d\theta d\varphi + \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi \sin \theta \left[ (\partial_{\theta}^{2} \chi_{1}^{+}) \frac{\partial U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial_{\varphi}^{2} \chi_{1}^{+}}{\sin^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta} \right] d\theta d\varphi \\ &+ 2 \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi \sin \theta \left[ \partial_{\theta} \chi_{1}^{+} \frac{\partial^{2} U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\varphi} \chi_{1}^{+}}{\sin^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \varphi \partial \theta} \right] d\theta d\varphi \\ &+ \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi \chi_{1}^{+} \sin \theta \left[ \frac{1}{\sin^{2} \theta} - \frac{1}{\sin^{2} \theta_{0}} \right] \frac{\partial^{2} U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \varphi^{2} \partial \theta} d\theta d\varphi + \int_{\Pi^{+}} \phi \chi_{1}^{+} \sin \theta \left[ \frac{\partial^{3} U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta^{3}} + \frac{\partial^{3} U_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \varphi^{2} \partial \theta} \right] d\theta d\varphi \\ &= I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4} + I_{5}. \end{split}$$

According to the property for truncation function  $\chi_1^+$ , we have  $I_1 \leq C |\ln \varepsilon| ||\phi||_*, I_2 \leq \frac{C ||\phi||_*}{\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|},$  $I_3 \leq \frac{C\|\phi\|_*}{\varepsilon \|\ln \varepsilon\|}$ , and  $I_4 \leq C\|\phi\|_*$ . For the last term  $I_5$ , by applying the nondegenerate property in Theorem 2.1, we have

$$I_5 = \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)} \phi \sin \theta \frac{\partial U_{1,\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \theta} + O_{\varepsilon}(1) \|\phi\|_*.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{\Pi^+} Z_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \phi d\boldsymbol{\sigma} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|} \|\phi\|_*$$

For the last term in (2.8), we can apply the Poincaré inequality to derive

$$\int_{\Pi} Z_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{h} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} \leq \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))} \|\nabla Z_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p'}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))} \\ \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p'}-2} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))}.$$

Concluding all the above estimates together, we have

$$|\Lambda|\varepsilon^{-1} \leq \frac{C}{|\ln\varepsilon|} \|\phi\|_* + \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))}.$$

Since

$$\|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Z_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} \le C\|\nabla Z_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} = C\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p}-2},$$
(2.9)

it holds

$$\|\Lambda(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Z_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} \leq C\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p}-1}}{|\ln\varepsilon|}\|\phi\|_* + C\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))}$$

To obtain the estimate for  $\|\phi\|_*$  and  $\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^p(B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_1^-))}$  in the next step, we argue by contradiction. Suppose not. Then there exists a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  tending to 0, such that

$$\|\phi_n\|_* + \varepsilon_n^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi_n\|_{L^p(B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} = 1, \qquad (2.10)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_n^{\frac{2}{p'}-2} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

The solution  $\phi_n$  satisfies the equation

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\phi_n = \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}}\phi_n \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)} + \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}}\phi_n \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-)} + \mathbf{h} + \Lambda(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Z_{\varepsilon}.$$

By letting

$$g(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_n \delta_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-)} + \mathbf{h} + \Lambda(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Z_{\varepsilon}$$

and  $\tilde{v}(\boldsymbol{y}) = v(s_{\varepsilon_n}y_1 + \theta_0, s_{\varepsilon_n}\sin^{-1}(s_{\varepsilon_n}y_1 + \theta_0)y_2 + \varphi_1^+)$  for a general function v, we see that  $\tilde{\phi}_n$  satisfies the scaled equation

$$\int_{D_n} \left[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_n}{\partial y_1} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y_1} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_n}{\partial y_2} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y_2} \right] d\boldsymbol{y} = 2 \int_{|\boldsymbol{y}|=1} \tilde{\phi}_n \zeta d\boldsymbol{\xi} + \langle \tilde{g}_n, \zeta \rangle, \quad \forall \zeta \in C_0^\infty(D_n),$$
(2.11)

where

$$D_n := \{ \boldsymbol{y} \mid (s_{\varepsilon_n} y_1 + \theta_0, s_{\varepsilon_n} \sin^{-1} (s_{\varepsilon_n} y_1 + \theta_0) y_2 + \varphi_1^+) \in \Pi^+ \}.$$

Since

$$\|\tilde{g}_{n}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L}(\mathbf{0}))} \leq C\varepsilon_{n}^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{\frac{2}{p}-1}}{|\ln\varepsilon_{n}|} \|\phi_{n}\|_{*} + \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon_{n}}(\mathbf{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon_{n}}(\mathbf{z}_{1}^{-}))} \right) = o_{n}(1)$$

by estimate (2.9),  $\tilde{\phi}_n$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by regularity theory of elliptic operator, and bounded in  $C^{\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  by Sobolev embedding. Hence we can assume  $\tilde{\phi}_n$ converge uniformly to  $\phi^* \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^2)$  in any fixed compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which satisfies the limit equation

$$-\Delta \phi^* = 2\phi^* \boldsymbol{\delta}_{|\boldsymbol{y}|=1}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Owing to Theorem 2.1 and the symmetry property of our problem, it holds

$$\phi^* = C^* \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}.$$

However, from the projection condition in (2.6) we know that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \phi^* \nabla \partial_{y_1} w dy = 0$ . Thus  $C^* = 0$  and

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} = o_n(1).$$

Then, in view of the maximum principle, we have

$$\|\phi_n\|_* = o_n(1). \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand, the right of equation (2.11) can be controlled by

$$o_n(1) \|\zeta\|_{W^{1,1}(B_L(\mathbf{0}))} + o_n(1) \|\zeta\|_{W^{1,p'}(B_L(\mathbf{0}))} = o_n(1) \left( \int_{B_L(\mathbf{0})} |\nabla\zeta|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} d\mathbf{y}.$$

As a result, we deduce that

$$\varepsilon_n^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla \phi_n\|_{L^p(B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon_n}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} \le C \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_n\|_{L^p(B_L(\boldsymbol{0}))} = o_n(1).$$

Hence we get a contradiction to (2.10). Combining this fact with the estimate for  $\Lambda$ , we then deduce that (2.7) holds, and complete the proof of Lemma 2.2.

By the coercive estimate in Lemma 2.2, the projective problem (2.6) is solvable according to the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that supp  $\mathbf{h} \subset B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_1^+) \cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_1^-)$  and

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} < \infty$$

for  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ . Then there exists a small  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  and  $\Lambda$  the projection coefficient, (2.6) has a unique solution  $\phi_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{h}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$  is a linear operator. Moreover, there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{*} + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))} \leq c_{0}\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))}.$$
(2.13)

18

*Proof.* Let  $H(\Pi)$  be the Hilbert space endowed with the inner product

$$[u,v]_{H(\Pi)} = \int_{\Pi} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varphi} \right] d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

We also introduce two spaces as follows. The first one is

$$E_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ u \in H(\Pi) \mid \|u\|_* < \infty, \ k \text{-fold symmetric}, \ [u, Z_{\varepsilon}]_{H(\Pi)} = 0 \right\}$$

with norm  $|| \cdot ||_*$ , and the second one is

$$F_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ \mathbf{h}^* \in W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+) \cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-)) \mid k \text{-fold symmetric}, \int_{\Pi} Z_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{h}^* d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0 \right\}$$

with  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ . Then for  $\phi_{\varepsilon} \in E_{\varepsilon}$ , the problem (2.6) has an equivalent operator form.

$$\phi_{\varepsilon} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{-1}_* P_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \delta_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)} + \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \delta_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-)} \right) + (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{-1}_* P_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{h}$$
$$= \mathscr{K} \phi_{\varepsilon} + (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{-1}_* P_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{h},$$

where

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{-1}_* u := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{\Pi} G((\theta, \varphi + 2i\pi/k), \boldsymbol{z}') u(\boldsymbol{z}') d\boldsymbol{\sigma}',$$

and  $P_{\varepsilon}$  is the projection operator to  $F_{\varepsilon}$ . Since  $Z_{\varepsilon}$  has a compact support owing to the truncation  $\chi_i^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})$ , by the definition of  $G_*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')$ , we see that  $\mathscr{K}$  maps  $E_{\varepsilon}$  to  $E_{\varepsilon}$ .

Notice that  $\mathscr{K}$  is a compact operator. In view of the Fredholm alternative, (2.6) has a unique solution if the homogeneous equation

$$\phi_{\varepsilon} = \mathscr{K} \phi_{\varepsilon}$$

has only trivial solution in  $E_{\varepsilon}$ , which can be obtained from Lemma 2.2. Now we set

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} := (\mathrm{Id} - \mathscr{K})^{-1} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{-1}_* P_{\varepsilon},$$

and the estimate (2.13) holds by Lemma 2.2. The proof is thus complete.

2.3. The reduction. In order to apply the contraction mapping theorem, we give a delicate estimate for the nonlinear term  $N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})$ , which relies on an expansion near the vorticity domain  $\Omega_{1,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$ .

By denoting  $\Pi^+ := (0, \pi/2) \times (0, 2\pi/k)$ , and

$$\tilde{v}(\boldsymbol{y}) = v(s_{\varepsilon}y_1 + \theta_0, s_{\varepsilon}\sin^{-1}(s_{\varepsilon}y_1 + \theta_0)y_2 + \varphi_1^+)$$

for a general function v, we have following lemma concerning the estimate for the level set  $\{ \boldsymbol{z} \mid \psi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}) + W_{\varepsilon} \sin \theta_0 = \mu_{\varepsilon} \}.$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a function satisfying

$$\|\nabla\tilde{\phi}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{L}(\mathbf{0}))} + \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{L}(\mathbf{0}))} = O(\varepsilon).$$

$$(2.14)$$

with  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ . Then the set

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}} := \{ \boldsymbol{y} \mid \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{y}) + \tilde{\phi} + W_{\varepsilon} \cos(s_{\varepsilon} y_1 + \theta_0) = \mu_{\varepsilon} \}$$

is a closed convex curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}}(\xi) &= (1 + t_{\varepsilon}(\xi))(\cos\xi,\sin\xi) \\ &= (1 + t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}}(\xi) + t_{\varepsilon,\mathcal{H}}(\xi) + O(\varepsilon^2))(\cos\xi,\sin\xi) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon}}\tilde{\phi}(\cos\xi,\sin\xi)\right)(\cos\xi,\sin\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{H}(\xi)}{s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon}}(\cos\theta,0) \\ &+ O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \xi \in (0,2\pi] \end{split}$$
(2.15)

with  $||t_{\varepsilon}||_{C^{1}[0,2\pi)} = O(\varepsilon)$ , and

$$\mathcal{H}(\xi) = \left\langle \kappa \sum_{i=2}^{k} \nabla G(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{+}) + \kappa \nabla H(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}) - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nabla G(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{-}) - (W_{\varepsilon} \sin \theta_{0}, 0), \quad (s_{\varepsilon} \cos \xi, s_{\varepsilon} \sin^{-1}(s_{\varepsilon} y_{1} + \theta_{0}) \sin \xi) \right\rangle.$$

Moreover, it holds

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_1} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_2} \Big| = \left(\frac{1}{s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon}} + O(\varepsilon)\right) \|\tilde{\phi}_1 - \tilde{\phi}_2\|_{L^{\infty}(B_L(\mathbf{0}))}$$
(2.16)

for two functions  $\tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2$  satisfying (2.14).

*Proof.* Let  $(y_1, y_2) = (\cos \xi, \sin \xi)$ , and the inner product  $\mathcal{H}(\xi)$  is a regular term of order  $O(\varepsilon)$ . Then by the definition of  $\mu_{\varepsilon}$  in (2.3), we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{y}) - W_{\varepsilon}\cos(s_{\varepsilon}y_1 + \theta_0) - \mu_{\varepsilon} = \tilde{\phi} + \mathcal{H} + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

In view of (2.1) and (2.2), it holds

$$\nabla \left[ \tilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{y}) - W_{\varepsilon} \cos(s_{\varepsilon} y_{1} + \theta_{0}) - \mu_{\varepsilon} \right] \Big|_{|\boldsymbol{y}|=1}$$
$$= \nabla \left[ \tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] \Big|_{|\boldsymbol{y}|=1} + O(\varepsilon) = s_{\varepsilon} \beta_{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon)$$

Using the implicit function theorem, we have

$$\|t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}}\|_{C^{1}[0,2\pi)} = \frac{\|\phi\|_{C^{1}(B_{L}(\mathbf{0}))}}{s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon)} = O(\varepsilon),$$

and

$$\|t_{\varepsilon,\mathcal{H}}\|_{C^1[0,2\pi)} = \frac{\|\mathcal{H}\|_{C^1(B_L(\mathbf{0}))}}{s_\varepsilon\beta_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon)} = O(\varepsilon).$$

Hence  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}}(\xi)$  is a  $C^1$  closed curve. The quantitative estimates (2.15) and (2.16) also follows from the implicit function theorem directly.

In view of Lemma 2.3, we consider

$$\phi_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}).$$

In the next lemma, we show the smallness and the contraction property for the error term  $N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})$ , so that the contraction mapping theorem is applied to obtain the existence of  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$  in  $E_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** There exists a small  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ , there is a unique solution  $\phi_{\varepsilon} \in E_{\varepsilon}$  to (2.6). Moreover  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{*} + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))} = O(\varepsilon)$$

$$(2.17)$$

for  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon} := \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} R_{\varepsilon}$ , and a neighborhood of origin in  $E_{\varepsilon}$  as

$$\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon} := E_{\varepsilon} \cap \left\{ \phi \mid \|\phi\|_{*} + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))} \leq C\varepsilon, \ p \in (2,\infty] \right\}$$

with C a large positive constant. We will show that  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$  is a contraction map from  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$  to  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ , so that a unique fixed point  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$  can be obtained by the contraction mapping theorem. Actually, letting  $\mathbf{h} = N_{\varepsilon}(\phi)$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ , and noticing that  $N_{\varepsilon}(\phi)$  satisfies the assumptions for  $\mathbf{h}$  in Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}N_{\varepsilon}(\phi)\|_{*} + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}N_{\varepsilon}(\phi)\|_{L^{p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))} \leq c_{0}\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|N_{\varepsilon}(\phi)\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))}.$$

To begin with, we are to show that  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$  maps  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$  continuously into itself. For simplicity, we only consider  $N_{\varepsilon}^+(\phi)$  restricted in  $\Pi^+$ . For each  $\zeta(\boldsymbol{y}) \in C_0^{\infty}(B_L(\boldsymbol{0}))$ , by applying the estimates in Lemma 2.4, we derive

$$\begin{split} \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{B_{L}(\mathbf{0})} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}+W_{\varepsilon}\cos(s_{\varepsilon}y_{1}+\theta_{0})>\mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}^{+}>\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} \right] \zeta d\boldsymbol{y} - 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \tilde{\phi}\zeta(1,\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{1+t_{\varepsilon}(\xi)} t\zeta(t,\xi) dt d\xi - 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \tilde{\phi}\zeta(1,\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{1+t_{\varepsilon}(\xi)} t\zeta(1,\xi) dt d\xi - 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \tilde{\phi}\zeta(1,\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{1+t_{\varepsilon}(\xi)} t(\zeta(t,\theta) - \zeta(1,\xi)) dt d\xi \\ &= \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(1,\xi)}{s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon}} \zeta(1,\xi) d\xi - 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \tilde{\phi}\zeta(1,\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{1+t_{\varepsilon}(\xi)} t(\zeta(t,\theta) - \zeta(1,\xi)) dt d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{1+t_{\varepsilon}(\xi)} t\int_{1}^{t} \frac{\partial\tilde{\varphi}(r,\xi)}{\partial r} dr dt d\xi = O(\varepsilon) \|\zeta\|_{W^{1,p'}(B_{L}(\mathbf{0}))}, \end{split}$$

where we have used the estimate for the gradient value  $\beta_{\varepsilon}$  in (2.1). Hence it holds

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{s}{p}} \| N_{\varepsilon}(\phi) \|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+) \cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))} = O(\varepsilon),$$

#### TAKASHI SAKAJO, CHANGJUN ZOU

and

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}N_{\varepsilon}(\phi)\|_{*} + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}N_{\varepsilon}(\phi)\|_{L^{p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-}))} = O(\varepsilon)$$

for  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ . Thus the operator  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$  indeed maps  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$  to  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$  continuously.

In the next step, we are to verify that  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$  is a contraction mapping under the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} = \|\cdot\|_* + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)\cup B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^-))}, \quad p \in (2, +\infty]$$

We already know that  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$  is close under this norm. Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be two functions in  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ . By Lemma 2.2 and symmetry of the problem with respect to  $\theta = \pi/2$ , we have

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\phi_1 - \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\phi_2\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \le C\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\|N_{\varepsilon}^+(\phi_1) - N_{\varepsilon}^+(\phi_2)\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_1^+))},$$

where

$$N_{\varepsilon}^{+}(\phi_{1}) - N_{\varepsilon}^{+}(\phi_{2}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left( \mathbf{1}_{\{\Psi_{\varepsilon} + \phi_{1} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\Psi_{\varepsilon} + \phi_{2} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - 2\left[\phi_{1}(r,\xi) - \phi_{2}(r,\xi)\right] \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+})} \right).$$

According to (2.16) in Lemma 2.4, for each  $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}(B_L(\mathbf{0}))$ , it holds

$$\frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{B_{L}(\mathbf{0})} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{\{\tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\phi}_{1} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos(s_{\varepsilon}y_{1} + \theta_{0}) > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\phi}_{1} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos(s_{\varepsilon}y_{1} + \theta_{0}) > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} \right] \zeta d\boldsymbol{y} \\
= \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_{1}} - t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_{2}}) \zeta(1,\xi) d\xi + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \|\tilde{\phi}_{1} - \tilde{\phi}_{2}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{L}(\mathbf{0}))} \|\zeta\|_{W^{1,p'}(B_{L}(\mathbf{0}))}.$$

By denoting  $\phi^* = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ , we then obtain the expansion

$$t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_{1}} - t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_{2}} = -s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{*}(1,\xi) + \int_{1}^{1+t_{\varepsilon,1}(\xi)} \frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}_{*}(r,\xi)}{\partial r}dr + \int_{1+t_{\varepsilon,1}(\xi)}^{1+t_{\varepsilon,2}(\xi)} \frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}_{2}(r,\xi)}{\partial r}dr\right).$$

Then using the definition of  $\beta_{\varepsilon}$  in (2.1), one can deduce that

$$\frac{s_{\varepsilon}^2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^{2\pi} (t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_1} - t_{\varepsilon,\tilde{\phi}_2}) \zeta(1,\xi) d\xi$$
$$= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} (\tilde{\phi}_1 - \tilde{\phi}_2) \zeta(1,\xi) d\xi + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \|\tilde{\phi}^*\|_{L^{\infty}(B_L(\mathbf{0}))} \|\zeta\|_{W^{1,p'}(B_L(\mathbf{0}))}$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|N_{\varepsilon}^{+}(\phi_{1}) - N_{\varepsilon}^{+}(\phi_{2})\|_{W^{-1,p}(B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+}))} = o_{\varepsilon}(1) \|\phi_{1} - \phi_{2}\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}},$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\phi_1 - \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\phi_2\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} = o_{\varepsilon}(1)\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}}.$$

Hence we have shown that  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$  is a contraction map from  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$  into itself.

By applying the contraction mapping theorem, we now can claim that there is a unique  $\phi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\phi_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon}$ , which satisfies (2.17).

22

Although we obtain a solution to the projective problem (2.6), it does not solve the primitive equation (2.4). To go back to the problem (2.4), we will solve a one-dimensional problem in the next part.

## 2.4. The one-dimensional problem. From (2.6), it holds

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} = \Lambda(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Z_{\varepsilon}.$$

Multiplying above equality by  $Z_{\varepsilon}$  and integrating on  $\Pi,$  we have

$$\int_{\Pi} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} \right] Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \Lambda \int_{\Pi} Z_{\varepsilon} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

To make  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  a solution to (2.4), we choose a suitable traveling angular velocity  $W_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\Lambda = 0$ , which needs the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.6. It holds

$$\int_{\Pi} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon} \cos \theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} \right] Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
  
=  $2\kappa \left[ \kappa \sum_{i=2}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^+) + \kappa \partial_{\theta} H(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_1^+) - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^-) - W_{\varepsilon} \sin \theta_0 + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \right].$ 

*Proof.* Notice that

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - W_{\varepsilon}\cos\theta > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} = \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon} - N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}).$$

For simplicity, we consider the following integration in  $\Pi^+ = (0, \pi/2) \times (0, 2\pi/k)$ .

$$\int_{\Pi^+} Z_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \phi_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \int_{\Pi^+} Z_{\varepsilon} N_{\varepsilon}^+(\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = I_1 - I_2.$$

For the first part of the integration  $I_1$ , from Lemma 2.2, we already know that

$$I_1 = \frac{C}{\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|} \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_* = o_\varepsilon(1).$$

For the second part of the integration  $I_2$ , by Lemma 2.4 it holds

$$\int_{\Pi^+} Z_{\varepsilon} N_{\varepsilon}^+(\phi_{\varepsilon}) d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon} + W\cos(s_{\varepsilon}y_1 + \theta_0) > \mu_{\varepsilon}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}^+ > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} - \frac{2}{s_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{\varepsilon}}(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+)} \right] Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
$$= \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{H}(\xi)}{s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon}} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] Z_{\varepsilon} d\xi + o_{\varepsilon}(1),$$

where  $\beta_{\varepsilon}$  is defined in (2.1). Then we have

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= \frac{s_{\varepsilon}^2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathcal{H}(\xi)}{s_{\varepsilon} \beta_{\varepsilon}} Z_{\varepsilon} d\xi + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \\ &= -\kappa \left[ \kappa \sum_{i=2}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^+) + \kappa \partial_{\theta} H(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_1^+) - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^-) - W_{\varepsilon} \sin \theta_0 \right], \end{split}$$

where we have used the asymptotic estimates  $\frac{s_{\varepsilon}^2}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\kappa}{\pi} + o_{\varepsilon}(1)$  and  $s_{\varepsilon}\beta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} + o_{\varepsilon}(1)$  in (2.1). Since the integration on  $\Pi^- = (\pi/2, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi/k)$  is the same as in  $\Pi^+$ , the proof is complete by multiplying it twice.

Now we are ready to give the proof for Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2:** To obtain a family of desired solutions to (2.4), we only need to find suitable  $W_{\varepsilon}$  such that coefficient  $\Lambda = 0$ . In the proof of Lemma 2.6, we already obtain

$$\int_{\Pi} Z_{\varepsilon}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Z_{\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \int_{\Pi} \left[ (\partial_{\theta} Z_{\varepsilon})^2 + \left( \frac{\partial_{\varphi} Z_{\varepsilon}}{\sin \theta} \right)^2 \right] d\boldsymbol{\sigma} > 0.$$

Hence by Lemma 2.6,  $\Lambda = 0$  is equivalent to the characterization

$$W_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=2}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^+) + \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \partial_{\theta} H(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_1^+) - \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \boldsymbol{z}_i^-) + o_{\varepsilon}(1).$$

As a result, for the (type 1) vortex streets, the traveling angular velocity is

$$W_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} = \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}, \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{k}\right) + \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \partial_{\theta} H\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}, \theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}\right) \\ - \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{k}, \pi - \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{k}\right) + o_{\varepsilon}(1),$$

and for the (type 2) vortex streets, the traveling angular velocity is

$$W_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} = \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{2k}, \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{3\pi}{2k}\right) + \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \partial_{\theta} H\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{2k}, \theta_0, \frac{\pi i}{2k}\right) \\ - \frac{\kappa}{\sin\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \partial_{\theta} G\left(\theta_0, \frac{\pi}{2k}, \pi - \theta_0, \frac{2\pi i}{k} - \frac{\pi}{2k}\right) + o_{\varepsilon}(1),$$

which gives rise to the property (ii). The convergence for  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon}$  stated in (i) is obvious. Finally, the estimate (iii) for vorticity sets  $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  is directly from Lemma 2.4, and  $s_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\kappa/\pi\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon)$  by (2.1). Thus we have completed the proof.

## 3. The general steady case

In this section, we discuss the general steady case where the vorticity solutions are near the vortex-wave system

$$\omega^*(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^j \kappa_m^+ \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_m^+} - \sum_{n=1}^k \kappa_n^- \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{z}_n^-} + 2\gamma \cos \theta,$$

with the rotating speed of the sphere  $\gamma$  and  $\sum_{m=1}^{j} \kappa_{m}^{+} = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \kappa_{n}^{-}$ . To approximate the singular part for the stream function of each vortex, let  $\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+} = (\phi_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}, \theta_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}), \ \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-} = (\phi_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}, \theta_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})$  be

undetermined, and define

$$V_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_{m}^{+} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{2}} (s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+2} - |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})|^{2}), & \text{if } |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})| \leq s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}, \\ \frac{\kappa_{m}^{+} - |\ln\varepsilon|}{2\pi |\ln s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}|} \ln |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})|, & \text{if } |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})| \geq s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$V_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_{n}^{-}}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{2}} (s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-2} - |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})|^{2}), & \text{if } |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})| \leq s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}, \\ \frac{\kappa_{n}^{-}}{2\pi} \frac{|\ln\varepsilon|}{|\ln s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}|} \ln |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})|, & \text{if } |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})| \geq s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}, \end{cases}$$

where A is the same tangent mapping defined in Section 2, and  $s_{m,\varepsilon}^+$ ,  $s_{n,\varepsilon}^-$  satisfy the regularity condition

$$\beta_{m,\varepsilon}^{+} = \frac{\kappa_m^{+}}{2\pi} \frac{|\ln\varepsilon|}{s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}|\ln s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}|} = \frac{s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}}{2\varepsilon^2}, \quad \beta_{n,\varepsilon}^{-} = \frac{\kappa_n^{-}}{2\pi} \frac{|\ln\varepsilon|}{s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}|\ln s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}|} = \frac{s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}}{2\varepsilon^2}.$$

Then let

$$R_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\{V_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} H(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') d\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{z}'),$$

and

$$R_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\{V_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) > \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} H(\theta, \varphi, \theta', \varphi') d\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{z}')$$

be the approximations of the regular part H. The solution  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  to (1.8) is decomposed as

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{j} U_{m,\varepsilon}^{+} + \sum_{m=1}^{j} R_{m,\varepsilon}^{+} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} U_{n,\varepsilon}^{-} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n,\varepsilon}^{-} + \phi_{\varepsilon}$$
$$:= \Psi_{\varepsilon} + \phi_{\varepsilon},$$

where  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$  is a small perturbation term. Using the linearization method, we then transform equation (1.6) to a semilinear problem on  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ 

$$\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon} = N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\phi_{\varepsilon} - \sum_{m=1}^{j} \frac{2}{s_{m,\varepsilon}^+} \phi_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}^+_{s_{m,\varepsilon}^+}(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+)} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{2}{s_{n,\varepsilon}^+} \phi_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}^-_{s_{n,\varepsilon}^-}(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^-)},$$

and

$$N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{j} \left( \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_{m}^{+})} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{m,\varepsilon}^{+} > \frac{\kappa_{m}^{+}}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} - \frac{2}{s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}}(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \left( \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_{n}^{-})} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{V_{n,\varepsilon}^{-} > \frac{\kappa_{m}^{-}}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}} + \frac{2}{s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}} \phi_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{s_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}}(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})} \right)$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}_{s_{m,\varepsilon}^+}(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+) = \{ \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mid |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+)| = s_{m,\varepsilon}^+ \},\$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bar{s_{n,\varepsilon}}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}) = \{ \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mid |A(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon})| = \bar{s_{n,\varepsilon}} \}.$$

To make  $N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})$  as small as possible,  $\mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+$  and  $\mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-$  are chosen to satisfy

$$-\frac{\kappa_m^+}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = -\sum_{i\neq m}^j \kappa_i^+ G(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \boldsymbol{z}_{i,\varepsilon}^+) - \sum_{l=1}^k \kappa_l^- G(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^-) - \kappa_m^+ H(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+) - \gamma\cos\theta_{m,\varepsilon}^+ + \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+,$$

and

$$-\frac{\kappa_n^-}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = -\sum_{l\neq n}^j \kappa_l^- G(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^-, \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^-) - \sum_{i=1}^k \kappa_i^+ G(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^-, \boldsymbol{z}_{i,\varepsilon}^+) \\ -\kappa_n^- H(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^-, \boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^-) - \gamma\cos\theta_{n,\varepsilon}^- + \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-.$$

3.1. The reduction. Since  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  is not invertible as we discussed in Section 2, we first consider the projective problem of (3.1). For this purpose, we define the tangent mapping  $A_l^{\pm}: (\theta, \varphi) \to (x_1, x_2)$  from  $\mathbb{S}^2$  to  $T_{\mathbf{z}_l^{\pm}} \mathbb{S}^2$  with the matrix

$$\operatorname{Mat}(A_l^{\pm}) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \sin \theta_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} \end{array}\right)$$

We also define  $U_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})$  as

$$U_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa_l^{\pm}}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} (s_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm 2} - |A_l^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm})|^2), & \text{if } |A_l^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm})| \le s_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}, \\ \frac{\kappa_l^{\pm}}{2\pi} \frac{|\ln \varepsilon|}{|\ln s_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}|} \ln |A_l^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm})|, & \text{if } |A_l^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm})| \ge s_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}. \end{cases}$$

Then the approximate kernel of  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  is (2j + 2k)-dimensional, which is given by the linear combination of

$$X_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \chi_{m}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) \frac{\partial U_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta}, \quad Y_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \chi_{m}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z}) \frac{\partial U_{m,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \varphi}, \quad 1 \le m \le j,$$

and

$$X_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \chi_{n}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) \frac{\partial U_{n,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \theta}, \quad Y_{n,\varepsilon}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \chi_{n}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) \frac{\partial U_{n,\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \varphi}, \quad 1 \le n \le k,$$

where

$$\chi_l^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{ if } |\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}|_{\mathbb{S}^2} < \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|, \\ 0, & \text{ if } |\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}|_{\mathbb{S}^2} \ge 2\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon| \end{cases}$$

are smooth truncation functions radially symmetric with respect to  $\boldsymbol{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  satisfying

$$|\nabla \chi_i^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})| \leq rac{2}{\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|} \quad ext{and} \quad |\nabla^2 \chi_i^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z})| \leq rac{2}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|^2}.$$

26

With these preparations, the projection problem for (3.1) is written as

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}\phi = \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z}) + (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\sum_{m=1}^{j} \left[a_m^+ X_{m,\varepsilon}^+ + b_m^+ Y_{m,\varepsilon}^+\right] \\ + (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\sum_{n=1}^{k} \left[a_n^- X_{n,\varepsilon}^- + b_n^- Y_{n,\varepsilon}^-\right], & \text{in } \mathbb{S}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi(\boldsymbol{z})(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) X_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0, & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi(\boldsymbol{z})(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Y_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where the nonlinear term  $\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z})$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z}) \subset \left(\cup_{m=1}^{j} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})\right) \cup \left(\cup_{n=1}^{k} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})\right)$$

with L a large positive constant, and

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = (a_1^+, \cdots, a_j^+, b_1^+, \cdots, b_j^+, a_1^-, \cdots, a_k^-, b_1^-, \cdots, b_k^-)$$

is the (2j + 2k)-dimensional projection vector determined by

$$a_{l}^{\pm} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} X_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}) X_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} X_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} \big[ \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\phi} - \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z}) \big] d\boldsymbol{\sigma},$$
$$b_{l}^{\pm} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} Y_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}) Y_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} Y_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} \big[ \mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\phi} - \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{z}) \big] d\boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

By denoting the  $\|\cdot\|_*$  norm for the function  $\phi$  on the sphere as

$$\|\phi\|_* = \sup_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\phi|,$$

we can prove the following coercive estimate for  $\mathbb{L}_{\varepsilon}$  by a similar spirit of Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that **h** satisfies supp  $\mathbf{h} \subset \left(\cup_{m=1}^{j} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})\right) \cup \left(\cup_{n=1}^{k} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})\right)$ , and

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}\left(\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{j} B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} B_{L\varepsilon}(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})\right)\right)} < \infty$$

for  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ , then there exists a small  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a positive constant  $c_0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  and solution pair  $(\phi, \Lambda)$  to (3.2), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{*} + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{p}\left(\left(\cup_{m=1}^{j} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})\right)\cup\left(\cup_{n=1}^{k} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})\right)\right)} + |\boldsymbol{\Lambda}| \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \\ &\leq c_{0}\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\boldsymbol{h}\|_{W^{-1,p}\left(\left(\cup_{m=1}^{j} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})\right)\cup\left(\cup_{n=1}^{k} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})\right)\right)} \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.1, we use the contraction mapping theorem as in Section 2 to verify there exists a unique solution  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$  to (3.2) with  $h(z) = N_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{\varepsilon})$ , such that

$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{*} + \varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}\left(\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{j} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^{+})\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} B_{L\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^{-})\right)\right)} = O(\varepsilon)$$

for  $p \in (2, +\infty]$ , provided  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  with  $\varepsilon_0$  small.

3.2. The (2j+2k)-dimensional problem. Multiplying (3.2) by  $X_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$ ,  $Y_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  and integrating on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , for the projection vector  $\mathbf{\Lambda} = (a_1^+, \cdots, a_j^+, b_1^+, \cdots, b_j^+, a_1^-, \cdots, a_k^-, b_1^-, \cdots, b_k^-)$  we have the equations

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] X_{m,\varepsilon}^+ d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
$$= a_m^+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} X_{m,\varepsilon}^+ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) X_{m,\varepsilon}^+ d\boldsymbol{\sigma},$$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] Y_{m,\varepsilon}^+ d\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ &= b_m^+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{m,\varepsilon}^+ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Y_{m,\varepsilon}^+ d\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \end{split}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] X_{n,\varepsilon}^- d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
$$= a_n^- \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} X_{n,\varepsilon}^- (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) X_{n,\varepsilon}^- d\boldsymbol{\sigma},$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] Y_{n,\varepsilon}^- d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
$$= b_n^- \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{n,\varepsilon}^- (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Y_{n,\varepsilon}^- d\boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

To make  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  a solution to (1.8), the following lemma is established as an analogy of Lemma 2.6.

# Lemma 3.2. One has

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] X_{m,\varepsilon}^+ d\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ &= \kappa_m^+ \left[ \kappa_i^+ \sum_{i \neq m}^j \partial_{\theta} G(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{i,\varepsilon}^+) + \kappa_m^+ \partial_{\theta} H(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+) - \kappa_l^- \sum_{l=1}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^-) - \gamma \sin \theta_{m,\varepsilon}^+ + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \right], \\ &\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] Y_{m,\varepsilon}^+ d\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ &= \kappa_m^- \left[ \kappa_i^+ \sum_{i \neq m}^j \partial_{\varphi} G(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{i,\varepsilon}^+) + \kappa_m^+ \partial_{\varphi} H(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+) - \kappa_l^- \sum_{l=1}^k \partial_{\varphi} G(\mathbf{z}_{m,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^-) + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \right], \end{split}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] X_{n,\varepsilon}^- d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
$$= \kappa_n^+ \left[ \kappa_l^+ \sum_{l \neq n}^k \partial_{\theta} G(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^-, \mathbf{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^-) + \kappa_n^+ \partial_{\theta} H(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^+) - \kappa_i^- \sum_{i=1}^j \partial_{\theta} G(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{i,\varepsilon}^-) - \gamma \sin \theta_{n,\varepsilon}^- + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \right],$$

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) \psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^j \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_m^+)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\psi_{\varepsilon} + \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{m,\varepsilon}^+\}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{z}_n^-)} \mathbf{1}_{\{-\psi_{\varepsilon} - \gamma \cos \theta > \mu_{n,\varepsilon}^-\}} \right] Y_{n,\varepsilon}^- d\boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ &= \kappa_n^- \left[ \kappa_l^+ \sum_{l \neq n}^k \partial_{\varphi} G(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^-, \mathbf{z}_{l,\varepsilon}^-) + \kappa_n^+ \partial_{\varphi} H(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^+) - \kappa_i^- \sum_{i=1}^j \partial_{\varphi} G(\mathbf{z}_{n,\varepsilon}^+, \mathbf{z}_{i,\varepsilon}^-) + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \right]. \end{split}$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4:** To obtain a family of desired solutions to (1.8), we need to choose suitable locations  $z_{m,\varepsilon}^+, z_{n,\varepsilon}^-$  such that  $\Lambda = 0$ . Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} X_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) X_{l,\varepsilon}^{\pm} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}) Y_{i,\varepsilon}^{\pm} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} > 0.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.2 and  $(\boldsymbol{z}_1^+, \cdots, \boldsymbol{z}_j^+, \boldsymbol{z}_1^-, \cdots, \boldsymbol{z}_k^-)$  being a nondegenerate critical point of Kirchhoff–Routh function  $\mathcal{K}_{k+j}$  in (1.7), we claim that there exists a proper location series

$$\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^{+},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{j,\varepsilon}^{+},\boldsymbol{z}_{1,\varepsilon}^{-},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{k,\varepsilon}^{-}\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{+},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{j}^{+},\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{-},\cdots,\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{-}\right)+o_{\varepsilon}(1)$$

such that  $\Lambda = 0$ , which gives the existence and limiting behavior for vortex centers

$$(oldsymbol{z}^+_{1,arepsilon},\cdots,oldsymbol{z}^+_{j,arepsilon},oldsymbol{z}^-_{1,arepsilon},\cdots,oldsymbol{z}^-_{k,arepsilon})$$

in (ii). While the estimates for level sets  $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}^+$  and  $\Omega_{n,\varepsilon}^-$  are similar as in Theorem 1.2. According to our construction, the convergence for  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})\psi_{\varepsilon}$  stated in (i) is obvious. Hence we have completed the proof.

**Conflict of interest statement:** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Data available statement: Our manuscript has no associated data.

#### References

- Arnol'd, V.I.: Variational principles for three-dimensional steady-state flows of an ideal fluid. J. Appl. Math. Mech. 29, 1002–1008 (1965); Translation of Prikl. Mat. Mekh. 29, 846–851 (1965) https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8928(65)90119-X
- Berti, M., Hassainia, Z., Masmoudi, N.: Time quasi-periodic vortex patches of Euler equation in the plane. *Invent. math.* 233, 1279–1391 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-023-01195-4
- Burbea, J.: Motions of vortex patches. Lett. Math. Phys. 6, 1–16 (1982) https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02281165

- [4] Cao, D., Qin, G., Zhan, W., Zou, C.: Kármán vortex street for the generalized surface quasi-geostrophic equation, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 62, 168 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-023-02518-2
- [5] Cao, D., Peng, S., Yan, S.: Planar vortex patch problem in incompressible steady flow, Adv. Math., 270 (2015), 263–301 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2014.09.027
- [6] Cao D., Wang G., Zuo B.: Stability of degree-2 Rossby-Haurwitz waves. arXiv: 2305.03279
- [7] Caprino, S., Marchioro, C.: On nonlinear stability of stationary Euler flows on a rotating sphere. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 129, 24–36 (1988) https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(88)90231-4
- [8] Castro, A, Córdoba, D, Gómez-Serrano, J.: Uniformly rotating smooth solutions for the incompressible 2D Euler equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 231, no. 2, 719–785 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-018-1288-3
- [9] Constantin, A., Germain, P: Stratospheric Planetary Flows from the Perspective of the Euler Equation on a Rotating Sphere. Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 245, 587–644 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-022-01791-3
- [10] Davila, J., Del Pino, M., Musso M., Wei J.: Gluing Methods for Vortex Dynamics in Euler Flows, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal., 235 (2020), 1467–1530. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-019-01448-8
- [11] Deem, G.S., Zabusky. N.J.: Vortex waves: stationary "V-states" interactions, recurrence, and breaking. Phys. Rev. Lett. 40(13), 859–862 (1978) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.40.859
- [12] de la Hoz, F., Hmidi, T., Mateu, J., Verdera, J.: Doubly connected V-states for the planar Euler equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 48(3), 1892–1928 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1137/140992801
- [13] Delort, J.-M.: Existence de nappes de tourbillon en dimension deux. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4, no. 3, 553–586 (1991) https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-1991-110257-6
- [14] DiPerna, R.J., Majda, A.J.: Concentrations in regularizations for 2–D incompressible flow. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 40, no. 3, 301–345 (1987) https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160400304
- [15] Dritschel D.G., Boatto S.: The motion of point vortices on closed surfaces. Proc. R. Soc. A. 471: 20140890 (2015) http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2014.0890
- [16] Elgindi, T.M., Jeong, I.–J.: Symmetries and critical phenomena in fluids. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 73(2), 257–316 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.21829
- [17] García, C., Hassainia Z., Roulley, E.: Dynamics of vortex cap solutions on the rotating unit sphere. arXiv: 2306. 00154
- [18] Gregory J.H., Holton J.R.: An introduction to dynamic meteorology. International Geophysics Series. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, MA, 4 edition, 2004.
- [19] Hassainia, Z., Hmidi, T.: On the V-states for the generalized quasi-geostrophic equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 337, no. 1, 321–377 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2300-5
- [20] Hassainia, Z., Hmidi, T., Masmoudi, N.: Kam theory for active scalar equations. To appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [21] Hassainia, Z., Masmoudi, N., Wheeler, M.H.: Global bifurcation of rotating vortex patches. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 73, no. 9, 1933–1980 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.21855
- [22] Hmidi, T., Mateu, J.: Existence of co-rotating and counter-rotating vortex pairs for active scalar equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 350, 699-747 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-016-2784-7
- [23] Hmidi, T., Mateu, J., Verdera, J.: Boundary regularity of rotating vortex patches. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 209(1), 171–208 (2013) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-013-0618-8
- [24] Kirchhoff, G.: Vorlesungen uber mathematische Physik, Leipzig, (1874)
- [25] Lin, C.C.: On the motion of vortices in two dimensions. I. Existence of the Kirchhoff–Routh function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 27, 570–575 (1941) https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814415651\_0016
- [26] Lin, C.C.: On the motion of vortices in two dimensions. II. Some further investigations on the Kirchhoff-Routh function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 27, 575–577 (1941) https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814415651\_0016
- [27] Majda, A.J., Bertozzi A.L.: Vorticity and incompressible flow. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 27. Cambridge University Press (2002)

- [28] Marchioro, C., Pulvirenti, M.: Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids. Springer-Verlag, New York (1994)
- [29] Newton, P.K., Sakajo, T.: The N-vortex problem on a rotating sphere. III. Ring configurations coupled to a background field. Proc. R. Soc. A. 463, 961–977 (2007) http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2006.1802
- [30] Newton, P.K., Sakajo, T.: Point vortex equilibria and optimal packings of circles on a sphere. Proc. R. Soc. A. 467, 1468–1490 (2011) http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2010.0368
- [31] Nualart. N.: On zonal steady solutions to the 2D Euler equations on the rotating unit sphere. Nonlinearity 36, 4981 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/acec26
- [32] Sakajo, T.: Vortex crystals on the surface of a torus. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A. 2158, (2019) https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0344
- [33] Smets, D., Van Schaftingen, J.: Desingularization of vortices for the Euler equation. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 198, 869–925 (2010) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-010-0293-y
- [34] Turkington, B.: On steady vortex flow in two dimensions. I, II. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 8, 999–1030, 1031–1071 (1983) https://doi.org/10.1080/03605308308820293, https://doi.org/10.1080/03605308308820294
- [35] Wang, Q: The N-Vortex Problem on a Riemann Sphere. Commun. Math. Phys. 385, 565–593 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-021-04044-8
- [36] V.I. Yudovich: Non-stationary flows of an ideal incompressible fluid. Zhurnal Vych Matematika, 3 (1963), 1032–1106, English translation: U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys., 3 (1963), 1407– 1456.
- [37] Yuri, N.S.: Mathematical problems of the dynamics of incompressible fluid on a rotating sphere. Springer, Cham, 2017.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN *Email address*: sakajo@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, SICHUAN, 610064, P.R. CHINA *Email address*: zouchangjun@amss.ac.cn