

Global existence and blow-up for the variable coefficient Schrödinger equations with a linear potential ^{*}

Bowen Zheng,[†] Tohru Ozawa[‡]

*College of Sciences, China Jiliang University,
Hangzhou 310018, P. R. China,*

*Department of Applied Physics, Waseda University,
Tokyo 169-8555, Japan*

Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of variable coefficient Schrödinger equations with a linear potential

$$i\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot (|x|^b \nabla u) - V(x)u = -|x|^c |u|^p u,$$

where $2 - n < b \leq 0$, $c \geq b - 2$ and $0 < \mathbf{p}_c \leq (2 - b)(p + 2)$, where $\mathbf{p}_c := np - 2c$. In the radial or finite variance case, we firstly prove the global existence and blow-up below the ground state threshold for the mass-critical and inter-critical nonlinearities. Next, adopting the variational method of Ibrahim-Masmoudi-Nakanishi [23], we obtain a sufficient condition on the nonradial initial data, under which the global behavior of the general solution is established.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the variable coefficient Schrödinger equation with a linear potential (INLS_{b,V} for short)

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot (|x|^b \nabla u) - V(x)u = -|x|^c |u|^p u, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

^{*}2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q55, 35B44.

[†]E-mail: *bwen_zj1516@126.com* (B. Zheng).

[‡]Corresponding author: *txozawa@waseda.jp* (T. Ozawa).

where $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $2-n < b < 2$, $c \geq b-2$, $0 < \mathbf{p}_c := np-2c \leq (2-b)(p+2)$ and the potential $V(x) \geq 0$. The INLS $_{b,V}$ appears in the study of standing waves problem for the following degenerate elliptic equation:

$$\nabla \cdot (|x|^b \nabla \phi) - V(x)\phi = -|x|^c |\phi|^p \phi,$$

of which the properties of nontrivial solution have attracted a lot of interests from the mathematical community (see e.g. [5], [16], [18], [26]-[28], [30] and references therein).

We define the functional adapted to the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{A}_{b,V} := -\nabla \cdot (|x|^b \nabla) + V(x)$$

by

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1}^2 := \langle \mathcal{A}_{b,V} u, u \rangle = \int (|x|^b |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2) dx.$$

The INLS $_b$ (case $V = 0$) enjoys a scaling invariance under the scaling $u \mapsto u_\lambda$, where

$$u_\lambda(x, t) := \lambda^{\frac{2-b+c}{p}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^{2-b} t), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\|u_\lambda(\cdot, 0)\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \lambda^{s + \frac{2-b+c}{p} - \frac{n}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s},$$

which shows that (1.2) leaves the the usual homogeneous Sobolev \dot{H}^{s_c} -norm of the initial data invariant with the critical Sobolev index

$$s_c := \frac{n}{2} - \frac{2-b+c}{p}.$$

The mass-critical case corresponds to $s_c = 0$ (*equivalently* $\mathbf{p}_c = 2(2-b)$); The energy-critical case corresponds to $s_c = \frac{2-b}{2}$ (*equivalently* $\mathbf{p}_c = (2-b)(p+2)$). The intercritical case corresponds to $s_c \in (0, \frac{2-b}{2})$ (*equivalently* $\mathbf{p}_c \in (2(2-b), (2-b)(p+2))$). For later uses, it is convenient to introduce the following exponent:

$$\sigma := \frac{2-b-2s_c}{2s_c} = \frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b}. \quad (1.3)$$

The main purpose of the present paper is to study long time dynamics (global existence, finite or infinite time blow-up) of the radial and non-radial solutions to the INLS $_{b,V}$. Since $a(x) = |x|^b$ with $b < 0$ can be singular at $x = 0$, the local well-posedness analysis of the INLS $_{b,V}$ is more subtle and intricate in several aspects, for example the energy space associated with $\mathcal{A}_{b,V}$ is no longer usual Sobolev space, and Strichartz estimate arguments fails. To our aim, we take the LWP in $W_b^{1,2}$ related to the INLS $_{b,V}$

as an assumption when necessary and build part of our conditional result upon it. This means that we always assume that for any $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$, the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ admits a unique local solution u in $C([0, T^*]; W_b^{1,2})$ with the maximal lifetime $0 < T^* < +\infty$. If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2} = \infty,$$

we call such u is the finite time (*resp. infinite time*) blow-up solution when $T^* < +\infty$ (*resp.* $T^* = +\infty$). In addition, the solutions to $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ formally conserve their mass and energy (see e.g. [3]),

$$M(u(t)) := \int |u|^2 dx = M(u_0), \quad (1.4)$$

$$E_{b,V}(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int V(x) |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+2} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} = E_{b,V}(u_0). \quad (1.5)$$

Let us review some recent development for the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$. When $b = 0$ and $V = 0$, (1.1) reduces to the inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation (INLS)

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = -|x|^c |u|^p u.$$

In this case, the local well-posedness in H^1 of the solutions were established by Geneoud-Stuart [13], whose proof makes use of the energy method developed by Cazenave [4]. See also [14] for other proof based on Strichartz estimates argument. In the mass-critical case, Genoud [12] showed that the INLS with $\max\{-2, -n\} < c < 0$ is globally well-posedness in H^1 by assuming $u_0 \in H^1$ and $\|u_0\|_2 < \|\mathcal{Q}\|_2$, where \mathcal{Q} is the unique positive radial solution to the elliptic equation

$$\Delta \mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{Q} + |x|^c |\mathcal{Q}|^p \mathcal{Q} = 0.$$

This result was also extended by Farah [10] to the intercritical case, and he also showed that the solution blows up in finite time (see also [7]).

When $b = 0$ and $V \neq 0$, the global existence and blow-up for the INLS_V equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - Vu = -|x|^c |u|^p u$$

were already extensively studied in recent years. Under the assumptions that $V \in \mathcal{K}_0 \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\|V_-\|_{\mathcal{K}} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int \frac{|V_-(y)|}{|x-y|} dy < 4\pi$, Hong [22] studied the INLS_V and established the local well-posedness in H^1 . In case $c = 0$, Hamano-Ikeda [21] proved that the intercritical INLS_V in three dimension with $V \geq 0$, $L^{\frac{3}{2}} \ni x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$ is globally well-posed in H^1 if $u_0 \in H^1$ and satisfies

$$E_V(u_0)M(u_0)^{\delta_c} < E_0(\mathcal{Q})M(\mathcal{Q})^{\delta_c}, \quad \|\nabla u_0\|_2 \|u_0\|_2^{\delta_c} < \|\nabla \mathcal{Q}\|_2 \|\mathcal{Q}\|_2^{\delta_c},$$

where $\delta_c := \frac{1-\beta_c}{\beta_c}$ with $\beta_c := \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p}$. They also proved that if $2V + x \cdot \nabla V \geq 0$,

$$E_V(u_0)M(u_0)^{\delta_c} < E_0(Q)M(Q)^{\delta_c}, \quad \|\nabla u_0\|_2 \|u_0\|_2^{\delta_c} > \|\nabla Q\|_2 \|Q\|_2^{\delta_c},$$

and, in addition, either $|x|u_0 \in L^2$ or u_0 is radial, then the solution blows up in finite time. Recently, the global existence and blow-up criteria for INLS_V in inhomogeneous case $-1 < c < 0$ were also studied by Guo-Wang-Yao [19] (case $p = 2$) and Dinh [6] (case $\frac{4+2c}{3} < p < 4 + 2c$). More results on other type of potential have also been addressed, see e.g. Killip-Murphy-Visan-Zheng [25], Dinh-Keraani [8], An-Kim-Jang [1] for the inverse-power potential $V(x) = \frac{d}{|x|^\sigma}$, or Gustafson-Inui [20], Ikeda-Inui [24] for repulsive delta potential.

As we see, the global existence and blow-up for the INLS or INLS_V equations have been studied extensively, of which the dispersion coefficient are both $b = 0$. While when $b \neq 0$, the more general variable coefficient Schrödinger equation (1.1) or even for $V = 0$ is less understood. Such problem become more subtle in the presence of the dispersion coefficient $|x|^b$. Motivated by the aforementioned works, we are mainly interested in studying the global existence and blow-up behavior for the focusing $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ in case with $2 - n < b < 2$ and $2(2 - b) \leq \mathbf{p}_c < (2 - b)(p + 2)$.

In this paper, we pose some additional assumptions on the potential $V(x)$ as follows:

- (I) $V(x) \geq 0, \quad x \cdot \nabla V + (2 - b)V \geq 0;$
- (II) $x \cdot \nabla V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx);$
- (III) $x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0;$
- (IV) $x \cdot \nabla^2 V x^T \leq -(3 - b)x \cdot \nabla V;$

where $\nabla^2 V$ is the Hessian matrix of V .

Our first goal here is to establish a sufficient condition for the global existence and blow-up of $W_b^{1,2}$ -solution to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ for radial or finite variance initial data.

Theorem 1.1. (*Mass-critical case*) Let $n \geq 3$, $2 - n < b \leq 0$, $c \geq b - 2$, $\mathbf{p}_c = 2(2 - b)$ and let $V(x)$ satisfy (I). Suppose u is the solution to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ with initial data $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$.

(i) If $\|u_0\|_2 < \|Q_1\|_2$ and u_0 is radial, where Q_1 is the ground state of the following elliptic equation

$$\nabla \cdot (|x|^b \nabla Q_\omega) - \omega Q_\omega + |x|^c |Q_\omega|^p Q_\omega = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

with $\omega = 1$, then u exists globally and $\sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} \|u\|_{W_b^{1,2}} < \infty$;

(ii) If $E_{b,V}(u_0) < 0$ and $u_0 \in L^2(|x|^{2-b} dx)$, then the solution u blows up in finite time.

Theorem 1.2. (*Intercritical case*) Let $n \geq 3$, $2 - n < b \leq 0$, $c \geq b - 2$, $2(2 - b) < \mathbf{p}_c \leq (2 - b)(p + 2)$ and let $V(x)$ satisfy (I)-(II). Let $u(t)$ be the solution to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ with initial data $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$ and $E_{b,V}(u_0)M(u_0)^\sigma < E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma$.

(i) If $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u_0\|_2^\sigma < \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma$ and u_0 is radial, then u exists globally and

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma < \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, +\infty);$$

(ii) If $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u_0\|_2^\sigma > \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma$, and either $u_0 \in L^2(|x|^{2-b}dx)$ or u_0 is radial (in this case, we further assume that $p < 4$), then the solution $u(t)$ blows up in finite time and

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma > \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T^*). \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 1.3. When u_0 is radial, the restriction $p < 4$ is added to control the nonlinear term \mathcal{R}_3 in the localized virial estimate (see Lemma 2.9).

The main tools for proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are the coercivity given by the virial identities related to the following virial functional

$$P(u) := \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 - \frac{1}{2-b} \int (x \cdot \nabla V) |u|^2 dx - \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)(p+2)} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \quad (1.8)$$

and sharp radial Gagliardo-Nirenberg type estimate. How to derive the uniform bounds of the functional P in the presence of space-dependent coefficient $|x|^b$ and the term $x \cdot \nabla V$ is the main difficulty we encounter. For this reason, our argument will be more complicated.

In the second part, we aim to extend the global existence and blow-up properties to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ with general initial data (not necessarily radial or finite variance). It is conjectured that if a general solution to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T^*)} P(u) \leq -\delta, \quad (1.9)$$

then it blows up. However, there is no affirmative answer on this conjecture up to data for the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$. To overcome it, we will replace the threshold $E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma$ in Theorem 1.2 and classify the initial data by using the ground state Q_ω to the elliptic equation (1.6), which mainly adopts the variational method of Ibrahim-Masmoudi-Nakanishi [23].

To state it, we introduce several notations now. We define the action functional

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\omega,V}(\phi) &:= E_{b,V}(\phi) + \frac{\omega}{2} M(\phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int V(x) |\phi|^2 dx + \frac{\omega}{2} \|\phi\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

By a scaling transformation $\phi \mapsto \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}$, where

$$\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(x) := e^{\alpha\lambda}\phi(e^{\beta\lambda}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.11)$$

we define the first order derivative of $S_{\omega,V}(\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta})$ at $\lambda = 0$ by $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} S_{\omega,V}(\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta})|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{2\alpha - n\beta}{2} \left(\int V(x)|\phi|^2 dx + \omega \|\phi\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta}{2} \int (x \cdot \nabla V)|\phi|^2 dx - \frac{\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

In particular, when $(\alpha, \beta) = (n, 2)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\phi) &:= \frac{1}{2-b} K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(\phi) \\ &= \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 - \frac{1}{2-b} \int (x \cdot \nabla V)|\phi|^2 dx - \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)(p+2)} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}, \\ I_{\omega,V}(\phi) &:= K_{\omega,V}^{1,0}(\phi) = \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 + \int V(x)|\phi|^2 dx + \omega \|\phi\|_2^2 - \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where P is the virial functional defined in (1.8), and $I_{\omega,V}$ is called Nehari functional.

For each $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in the range

$$\alpha > 0, \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad 2\alpha - n\beta \geq 0 \quad (1.13)$$

and the elliptic equation (1.6), we consider the following constrained minimizing problem for nonradial function

$$m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} = \inf\{S_{\omega,V}(\phi) : \phi \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}, K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0\}. \quad (1.14)$$

As in [33], the minimizing problem $m_{\omega,0}^{1,0}$ is proved to be attained by the ground state Q_ω . However, the more general case $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ for (α, β) satisfying (1.13) seems to be unknown in the study of stability analysis, which is essential in our proof of global existence and blow-up of the INLS_{b,V}.

In order to do so, we first investigate some properties of the ground state Q_ω and make a comparison on the minimization problems between $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $2-n < b < 2$, $b-2 < c \leq \min\{\frac{nb}{n-2}, 0\}$, $\omega > 0$, $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2-b)(p+2)$ and let (α, β) satisfy (1.13).*

(i) *If $V = 0$, then $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is attained by the ground state Q_ω of the elliptic equation (1.6);*

(ii) *If $V(x)$ satisfies (I) and (III), then $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$.*

Proposition 1.4 shows that $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is independent of (α, β) . From now on, we express $m_{\omega,0} := m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ for simplicity.

Now by defining two subsets in $W_b^{1,2}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}^+ = \{\phi \in W_b^{1,2} : S_{\omega,V}(\phi) < m_{\omega,0}, \quad K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(\phi) \geq 0\} \quad (1.15)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}^- = \{\phi \in W_b^{1,2} : S_{\omega,V}(\phi) < m_{\omega,0}, \quad K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(\phi) < 0\}, \quad (1.16)$$

we prove the global existence and blow-up of the nonradial solution to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$, whose action is less than $m_{\omega,0}$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $n \geq 3$, $2 - n < b < 2$, $b - 2 < c \leq 0$, $\omega > 0$, $2(2 - b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2 - b)(p + 2)$ and let $V(x)$ satisfy (I)-(IV). Let $u \in C([0, T^*); W_b^{1,2})$ be the local solution to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ with initial data $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$.*

- (i) *If $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, then the solution exists globally and $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^+$ for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$.*
- (ii) *If $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-$ and additionally*

$$c \leq b \leq 0, \quad \mathbf{p}_c \leq \frac{2c(2 - b)}{b},$$

then $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^-$ for any $t \in [0, T^)$ and one of the following finite/infinite time blow-up statement holds true:*

- (1) *$T^* < +\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2} = +\infty$;*
- (2) *$T^* = +\infty$ and there exists a time sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\nabla u(t_n)\|_{b,2} = +\infty.$$

Remark 1.6. *There is a restriction $\mathbf{p}_c \leq \frac{2c(2-b)}{b}$ for the blow-up. This restriction is due to the Hardy-Sobolev inequality in (2.15).*

As a byproduct of the above criteria, we unify the condition $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-$ with (1.17), and obtain the following nonradial blow-up result for the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$, which is a version independent of the frequency.

Corollary 1.7. *Let n , b , c , \mathbf{p}_c and $V(x)$ be the same as in Theorem 1.5 (ii). Let u be the corresponding solution to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ with initial data $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$ and satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} E_{b,V}(u_0)M(u_0)^\sigma < E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma, \\ \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u_0\|_2^\sigma > \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma. \end{cases} \quad (1.17)$$

Then either $T^ < +\infty$, or $T^* = +\infty$ and there exists a time sequence $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\|\nabla u(t_n)\|_{b,2} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we are devoted to preparatory materials, including the weighted Sobolev embedding and virial estimates adapted to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$. In Section 3, we prove the global existence and blow-up for the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ with radial initial data given in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 4, we show the variational analysis given in Proposition 1.4. Finally, the global existence and blow-up for nonradial solution are given in Section 5.

Notations. We use $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \omega(x)dx)$ to denote the weighted Lebesgue space, which is defined via

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \omega(x)dx)} = \left(\int \omega(x)|u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

To shorten formulas, we often abbreviate $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \omega(x)dx)$ by $L^q(\omega(x)dx)$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; |x|^a dx)}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{a,q}$. In particular, we denote $\|\cdot\|_q = \|\cdot\|_{0,q}$.

We also define by the weighted Sobolev space $W_a^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_a^{s,q}} = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{a,q} + \|u\|_q \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{\dot{W}_a^{s,q}} = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{a,q}.$$

We make the usual modifications when q equals to 2. In particular, $H^s = W_0^{s,2}$, $\dot{H}^s = \dot{W}_0^{s,2}$, where H^s (or \dot{H}^s) are usual inhomogeneous (or homogeneous) Sobolev spaces. We denote $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$. If not specified, we use C_α to denote various constants which depend on α .

2 Preliminary

2.1 Key tools

The following sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for radial functions $f \in W_b^{1,2}$ can be found in [31].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $2 - n < b \leq 0$ and let one of the following conditions*

$$(1) \quad b - 2 \leq c \leq 0 : \quad -2c < \mathbf{p}_c < (2 - b)(p + 2), \quad (2.1)$$

$$(2) \quad c > 0 : \quad \frac{(2 - b)p}{2} < \mathbf{p}_c < (2 - b)(p + 2) \quad (2.2)$$

holds. Then for any radial function $f \in W_b^{1,2}$, we have

$$\|f\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \leq C_{GN} \|\nabla f\|_{b,2}^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \|f\|_2^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2)-\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $C_{GN} = \left(\frac{\omega \mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)(p+2)-\mathbf{p}_c} \right)^{1-\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2(2-b)}} \frac{(2-b)(p+2)}{\mathbf{p}_c \|Q_\omega\|_2^p}$. The equality is attained by Q_ω , which is the ground state solution to the elliptic equation (1.6).

Remark 2.2. (1) We also have the following Pohozaev's identities:

$$\|Q_\omega\|_2^2 = \frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)(p+2)\omega} \|Q_\omega\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} = \frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{\omega \mathbf{p}_c} \|\nabla Q_\omega\|_{b,2}^2. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, we have

$$C_{GN} = \frac{(2-b)(p+2)}{\mathbf{p}_c} (\|\nabla Q_\omega\|_{b,2} \|Q_\omega\|_2^\sigma)^{2 - \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}}.$$

(2) We note that the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for nonradial functions $f \in W_b^{1,2}$

$$\|f\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{b,2}^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \|f\|_2^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \quad (2.5)$$

and $-n < c \leq 0$, $\mathbf{p}_c \leq (2-b)(p+2)$ can be derived from the celebrated Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (see [2]), which also occurred in [32].

In the case where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded, the weighted Sobolev embedding lemma has been proved in [17].

Lemma 2.3. Let $n \geq 3$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded set. Assume that $b > 2-n$ and $b-2 < c \leq \frac{nb}{n-2}$. Then the embedding

$$\dot{W}_b^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega; |x|^c dx)$$

is continuous provided $q \in [1, \frac{2n+2c}{n-2+b}]$, and this embedding is compact for $q \in [1, \frac{2n+2c}{n-2+b})$.

Now we extend the above compact embedding lemma to the whole Euclidean space, which mainly adopts the idea in [32]. We include the proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.4. Let $n \geq 3$, $2-n < b < 2$, $b-2 < c \leq \frac{nb}{n-2}$. If the function ω satisfies

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega(x)}{|x|^c} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega(x)}{|x|^c} < \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

then the following embedding

$$W_b^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^{p+2}(\omega(x) dx) \quad (2.7)$$

is compact for all $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2-b)(p+2)$.

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $W_b^{1,2}$. Then there exists a function $f \in W_b^{1,2}$ such that, up to subsequence,

$$f_n \rightharpoonup f \quad \text{in } W_b^{1,2} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

Define $g_n = f_n - f$. It suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \omega(x) |g_n|^{p+2} dx = 0.$$

To do it, by (2.6), there exists $R_1 > r_1 > 0$ and various constants $C > 0$ such that

$$\omega(x) \leq C|x|^c \quad \text{for any } x \text{ with } 0 < |x| \leq r_1$$

and

$$\omega(x) \leq C|x|^c \quad \text{for any } x \text{ with } |x| \geq R_1.$$

Now we choose a smooth cut-off function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq \frac{r_1}{2}, \\ 0, & |x| \geq r_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \psi| \leq C.$$

Then for $0 < r < \frac{r_1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \leq r} \omega(x) |g_n|^{p+2} dx &\leq C r^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{2}} \int |x|^{\frac{np - (2-b)(p+2)}{2}} |\psi g_n|^{p+2} dx \\ &\leq C r^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{2}} \left(\int |x|^b |\nabla(\psi g_n)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2-b)(p+2)$ and $b > 2-n$, where we used the Hardy-Sobolev inequality (2.15) at the last step. So, given any small $\epsilon > 0$ and combined with (2.8), there exists $r_1 > r > 0$ such that

$$\int_{|x| \leq r} \omega(x) |g_n|^{p+2} dx < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \quad (2.9)$$

Next, we will control the integral $\int \omega(x) |g_n|^{p+2} dx$ out of a big ball. For $R > R_1$, we have

$$\int_{|x| > R} \omega(x) |g_n|^{p+2} dx \leq \frac{C}{R^{\frac{2-b}{2}}} \int_{|x| > R} |x|^{c+\frac{2-b}{2}} |g_n|^{p+2} dx. \quad (2.10)$$

To estimate (2.10), we invoke the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality to obtain

$$\int |x|^{c+\frac{2-b}{2}} |g_n|^{p+2} dx \leq C \|\nabla g_n\|_{b,2}^{a(p+2)} \|g_n\|_2^{(1-a)(p+2)}$$

for $p \in (\frac{2c+2-b}{n}, \frac{2c+3(2-b)}{n-2+b})$ and $b > 2-n$, where $a = \frac{\mathbf{p}_c - 2 + b}{(2-b)(p+2)} \in (0, 1)$. Thus we have proved that

$$\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{p+2}(|x|^{c+\frac{2-b}{2}} dx) \quad (2.11)$$

for all $p \in (\frac{2(2-b+c)}{n}, \frac{2(2-b+c)}{n-2+b})$. Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$, it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that there exists $R > R_1$ such that

$$\int_{|x|>R} \omega(x)|g_n|^{p+2}dx < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \quad (2.12)$$

Finally, for $R > r > 0$ given above, we deduce from Lemma 2.3 that the embedding

$$\dot{W}_b^{1,2}(r < |x| \leq R) \hookrightarrow L^{p+2}(r < |x| \leq R; |x|^c dx)$$

is compact for $p < \frac{2(2-b+c)}{n-2+b}$. This together with (2.8) yields that

$$\int_{r<|x|\leq R} \omega(x)|g_n|^{p+2}dx < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, putting (2.9), (2.12) and (2.13) all together, we conclude that the embedding (2.7) is compact. \square

As a consequence, for $\omega(x) = |x|^c$ with $b-2 < c \leq \frac{nb}{n-2}$, Lemma 2.4 implies that the embedding

$$W_b^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^{p+2}(|x|^c dx) \quad (2.14)$$

is compact for $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2-b)(p+2)$.

At the end, we recall the radially weighted Strauss inequality cited in [31].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $b \geq 2 - 2n$ and $f \in W_b^{1,2}$ be a radial function. Then we have the following inequality*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{\frac{2n-2+b}{4}} |f| \leq C_n \|\nabla f\|_{b,2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{b,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The following known Hardy-Sobolev inequality appears in [29].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $n \geq 3$, $b > 2 - n$ and $-\frac{b}{2} \leq d \leq \frac{2-b}{2}$. Then*

$$\left(\int |x|^{-\frac{2nd}{n-2+b+2d}} |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2+b+2d}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2+b+2d}{n}} \leq C_{b,d} \int |x|^b |\nabla f|^2 dx. \quad (2.15)$$

2.2 Virial identities

Given a real value function ψ , we define

$$I_\psi(t) = \int \psi(x) |u|^2 dx. \quad (2.16)$$

A direct computation shows that

Lemma 2.7. *Let $u \in C(I; W_b^{1,2})$ be the solution to the $INLS_{b,V}$. Assume that $\psi, \phi \in W^{4,\infty}$ and satisfy*

$$\nabla\psi(x) = \frac{\nabla\phi(x)}{|x|^b}.$$

Then

$$I'_\psi(t) = 2\text{Im} \int \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla u \bar{u} dx \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I''_\psi(t) = & -\frac{2}{p+2} \int (p|x|^c \Delta\phi - 2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla|x|^c) |u|^{p+2} dx \\ & -2 \int (\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla|x|^b) |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2 \int \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla V |u|^2 dx \\ & +4\text{Re} \int (\nabla^2\phi \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \bar{u} |x|^b dx \\ & - \int (|x|^b \Delta^2\phi + \nabla\Delta\phi \cdot \nabla|x|^b) |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. The proof is standard, see, for instance [3], which deals with the variable coefficient Schrödinger equation with harmonic potential $V(x) = |x|^2$. Here we omit the details. \square

As a consequence, we obtain the following virial identity for the $INLS_{b,V}$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2} \cap L^2(|x|^{2-b} dx)$. Assume $u \in C(I; W_b^{1,2})$ is the maximal lifespan solution to the $INLS_{b,V}$. Then $u \in C(I; L^2(|x|^{2-b} dx))$ and for any $t \in I$,*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \|u(t)\|_{2-b,2}^2 = 2(2-b)^2 \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 - 2(2-b) \int (x \cdot \nabla V) |u|^2 dx - \frac{2(2-b)\mathbf{p}_c}{p+2} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, we multiply the $INLS_{b,V}$ equation (1.1) by $ie^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}}|x|^{2-b}\bar{u}$, integrate over \mathbb{R}^n and take the real part of the result to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int e^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}} |x|^{2-b} |u|^2 dx = 2\text{Im} \int |x|^b \nabla u \cdot \nabla (e^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}} |x|^{2-b} \bar{u}) dx.$$

Integrating the above equality with respect to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}} |x|^{2-b} |u|^2 dx &= \int e^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}} |x|^{2-b} |u_0|^2 dx \\ &+ 2(2-b)\text{Im} \int_0^t \int e^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}} \bar{u} x \cdot \nabla u (1 - 2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Since $e^{-\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}}(1-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b})$ is bounded in both x and ε , and that ∇u is bounded in $L^2(|x|^b dx)$, so it follows from (2.19) that for every compact interval $I \subset [0, T^*)$, there exists constant C such that

$$\int e^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}}|x|^{2-b}|u|^2 dx \leq C \int e^{-2\varepsilon|x|^{2-b}}|x|^{2-b}|u_0|^2 dx < \infty$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows that the function $t \mapsto u(t)$ is weakly continuous in $[0, T^*) \rightarrow L^2(|x|^{2-b} dx)$. Now let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.19), then

$$\int |x|^{2-b}|u|^2 dx = \int |x|^{2-b}|u_0|^2 dx + 2(2-b)\text{Im} \int_0^t \int \bar{u}x \cdot \nabla u dx dt,$$

which yields that the function $t \mapsto u(t)$ is continuous in $[0, T^*) \rightarrow L^2(|x|^{2-b} dx)$. Hence, we conclude that the solution $u \in C([0, T^*); L^2(|x|^{2-b} dx))$.

It remains to show the virial identity. Let $\nabla \phi = x$ in Lemma 2.7, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \|u(t)\|_{2-b,2}^2 &= 2(2-b)^2 \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 \\ &\quad - 2(2-b) \int (x \cdot \nabla V)|u|^2 dx - \frac{2(2-b)\mathbf{p}_c}{p+2} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result. \square

Next, we establish a localized virial estimate related to the INLS $_{b,V}$ equation (1.1).

If we suppose ψ and ϕ are radially symmetric, then Lemma 2.7 implies

$$I'_\psi(t) = 2\text{Im} \int \phi' \frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} dx, \quad (2.20)$$

where $r = |x|$. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} 4\text{Re} \int (\nabla^2 \phi \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \bar{u} |x|^b dx &= 4 \int \frac{\phi'}{r} |x|^b |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 4 \int \left(\frac{\phi''}{r^2} - \frac{\phi'}{r^3} \right) |x|^b |x \cdot \nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is obtained by the fact that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{x_j}{r} \partial_r, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = \left(\frac{\delta_{jk}}{r} - \frac{x_j x_k}{r^3} \right) \partial_r + \frac{x_j x_k}{r^2} \partial_r^2$$

on radial functions. Thus, (2.18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} I''_\psi(t) &= 4 \int \left(\frac{\phi''}{r^2} - \frac{\phi'}{r^3} \right) |x|^b |x \cdot \nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + (4-2b) \int \frac{\phi'}{r} |x|^b |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{2}{p+2} \int (p\Delta \phi - \frac{2c\phi'}{r}) |x|^c |u|^{p+2} dx \\ &\quad - 2 \int \frac{\phi'}{r} (x \cdot \nabla V) |u|^2 dx - \int (|x|^b \Delta^2 \phi + \nabla \Delta \phi \cdot \nabla |x|^b) |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

By choosing an appropriate cut-off function similar to x^2 near the origin $x = 0$, we deduce the following localized virial estimate.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $b > 2 - n$. If $x \cdot \nabla V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)$ and $u \in C([0, T^*]; W_b^{1,2})$ is the solution to the INLS $_{b,V}$ with radial initial data u_0 , then there exists constant $C > 0$ depending on $\mathbf{p}_c, b, M(u_0)$ such that the following localized virial estimate*

$$I''_{\psi_R}(t) \leq 4(2-b)P(u) + C_\epsilon R^{-\frac{2\mathbf{p}_c - (2-b)p}{4-p}} M(u_0)^{\frac{p}{4}+1} + CR^{b-2}M(u_0) + (2b + C\epsilon + C\|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|>R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)})\|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 \quad (2.22)$$

holds for any $t \in [0, T^*)$.

Proof. We consider the radial function ϕ_R constructed by $\phi_R(x) = R^2\Theta(\frac{x}{R})$, where

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^2, & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ \text{smooth}, & \text{if } 1 < |x| < 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

and $\|\Theta\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq 2$. Applying $\nabla\psi_R = \frac{\nabla\phi_R}{|x|^b}$ to (2.21), we can rewrite $I''_{\psi_R}(t)$ as

$$I''_{\psi_R}(t) = 4(2-b)P(u) + \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3 + \mathcal{R}_4, \quad (2.24)$$

where \mathcal{R}_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = 4 \int_{|x|>R} \left(\frac{\phi_R''}{r^2} - \frac{\phi_R'}{r^3} \right) |x|^b |x \cdot \nabla u|^2 dx + (4-2b) \int_{|x|>R} \left(\frac{\phi_R'}{r} - 2 \right) |x|^b |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = - \int_{|x|>R} (|x|^b \Delta^2 \phi_R + \nabla \Delta \phi_R \cdot \nabla |x|^b) |u|^2 dx,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = -\frac{2}{p+2} \int_{|x|>R} \left(p \Delta \phi_R - \frac{2c\phi_R'}{r} \right) |x|^c |u|^{p+2} dx + \frac{4\mathbf{p}_c}{p+2} \int_{|x|>R} |x|^c |u|^{p+2} dx,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4 = 2 \int_{|x|>R} \left(2 - \frac{\phi_R'}{r} \right) (x \cdot \nabla V) |u|^2 dx.$$

Similarly to the argument of Lemma 2.8 in [33], which deals with the INLS $_{b,V}$ without potential, we easily get

$$\mathcal{R}_1 \leq 2b \int_{|x|>R} \left(2 - \frac{\phi_R'}{r} \right) |x|^b |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 \leq C_{n,b} R^{b-2} \|u\|_2^2 \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_3 \leq C_{\mathbf{p}_c} \int_{|x|>R} |x|^c |u|^{p+2} dx \leq C_{\mathbf{p}_c} R^{c-\frac{(2n-2+b)p}{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^{\frac{p}{2}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{p}{2}+2},$$

where the last inequality in \mathcal{R}_3 is obtained by Lemma 2.5. Thus, applying the Young inequality to \mathcal{R}_3 , we further have

$$\mathcal{R}_3 \leq C_{\epsilon, \mathbf{p}_c} R^{-\frac{2\mathbf{p}_c-(2-b)p}{4-p}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{p}{2}+2} + C\epsilon \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 \|u\|_2^{\frac{p}{2}+2}. \quad (2.27)$$

The remaining task is to control the potential part \mathcal{R}_4 . Since $2 - \frac{\phi'_R}{r} \geq 0$, we use the Hölder inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_4 &\leq C \int_{|x|>R} |x \cdot \nabla V| |u|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{|x|>R} |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} |x \cdot \nabla V|^{\frac{n}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{|x|>R} |x|^{\frac{nb}{n-2}} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.15) yields

$$\mathcal{R}_4 \leq C_b \|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|>R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2. \quad (2.28)$$

Therefore, putting (2.25)-(2.28) all together, we conclude the result of Lemma 2.9. \square

3 Global existence and blow-up for radial case

In this section, we are devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

3.1 Global existence

In this part, we study the global existence for the mass-critical and intercritical INLS $_{b,V}$ with radial initial data, upon the LWP assumption in $W_b^{1,2}$ stated in Section 1.

Proof of the global parts in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Let $u \in C([0, T^*); W_b^{1,2})$ be the solution to the INLS $_{b,V}$ with $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$. In view of the conservation laws, we just need to bound the $W_b^{1,2}$ -norm of $u(t)$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$.

Case 1 (Mass-critical). Since $V(x) \geq 0$, we deduce from the energy conservation that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 + \int V(x) |u|^2 dx = 2E_{b,V}(u_0) + \frac{2}{p+2} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}.$$

Invoking the sharp radial Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.3), we further get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 &\leq 2E_{b,V}(u_0) + \frac{2C_{GN}}{p+2} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2)-\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \\ &= 2E_{b,V}(u_0) + \left(\frac{\|u_0\|_2}{\|Q_\omega\|_2} \right)^p \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u_0\|_2 < \|Q_\omega\|_2$, we obtain that $\|\nabla u\|_{b,2}$ is bounded for any $t \in [0, T^*)$, which derives the global existence in Theorem 1.1 (i).

Case 2 (Intercritical). Multiplying both sides of $E_{b,V}(u)$ by $M(u)^\sigma$ and using the sharp radial Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}E_{b,V}(u)M(u)^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2}(\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \|u\|_2^{2\sigma} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma \right)^2 - \frac{C_{GN}}{p+2} (\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma)^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}},\end{aligned}\quad (3.1)$$

where we used the fact that $\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \geq \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}$ for all $V \geq 0$.

Now for $\alpha > 0$, which will be chosen later, we introduce a function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{2-b}{\mathbf{p}_c} \alpha^{2-\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} x^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \infty).$$

Differentiating the function f with respect to x , we have

$$f'(x) = \alpha^{2-\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} x(\alpha^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}-2} - x^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}-2}).$$

Since $\mathbf{p}_c > 2(2-b)$, we see that $f'(x) > 0$ on $(0, \alpha)$ and $f'(x) < 0$ on (α, ∞) , which implies that $f(x)$ has a local maximum at $x_{\max} = \alpha$.

We choose $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha = \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma$, then it follows from Pohozaev's identities (2.4) that

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{\mathbf{p}_c - 2(2-b)}{2\mathbf{p}_c} (\|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma)^2 = E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma. \quad (3.2)$$

By hypothesis in Theorem 1.2, (3.1), (3.2) and the conservation laws, we obtain

$$f(\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma) \leq E_{b,V}(u)M(u)^\sigma < f(\|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T^*).$$

Therefore, we see that

$$\text{either } \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma < \alpha \quad \text{or} \quad \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u\|_2^\sigma > \alpha \quad (3.3)$$

is valid for any $t \in [0, T^*)$.

Since $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u_0\|_2^\sigma < \alpha$, by the continuity argument and (3.3), we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u(t)\|_2^\sigma < \alpha$$

for any $t \in [0, T^*)$, which proves the global existence in Theorem 1.2 (i).

3.2 Blow-up

In this section, based on the virial identities, we prove the blow-up results for the intercritical INLS $_{b,V}$ with radial or finite variance initial data.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii). Using (1.8) and the virial identity in Corollary 2.8, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \|u(t)\|_{2-b,2}^2 = 2(2-b)^2 P(u(t)).$$

Since $x \cdot \nabla V + (2-b)V \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$P(u(t)) \leq \|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2}^2 + \int V(x)|u(t)|^2 dx - \frac{\mathbf{P}_c}{(2-b)(p+2)} \|u(t)\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}, \quad (3.4)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \|u(t)\|_{2-b,2}^2 \leq 4(2-b)^2 E_{b,V}(u(t)) + \frac{2(2-b)^2}{p+2} \left(2 - \frac{\mathbf{P}_c}{2-b}\right) \|u(t)\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}.$$

So by the energy conservation and $\mathbf{p}_c = 2(2-b)$, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \|u(t)\|_{2-b,2}^2 \leq 4(2-b)^2 E_{b,V}(u_0) < 0.$$

Using the Glassey's argument (see [15]), we prove the finite time blow-up result for the fast-decaying and negative-energy solutions.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii). Firstly, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 (i), it follows from the assumption

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1} \|u_0\|_2^\sigma > \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2} \|Q_1\|_2^\sigma$$

and (3.3) that the lower bound (1.7) of the solution $u(t)$ to the INLS $_{b,V}$ also holds.

Case 1 ($u_0 \in L^2(|x|^{2-b} dx)$). By Corollary 2.8 and (1.8), we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \|u(t)\|_{2-b,2}^2 = 2(2-b)^2 P(u(t)). \quad (3.5)$$

Now we claim that there exists $\delta = \delta(b, \mathbf{p}_c, u_0) > 0$ such that the virial functional bound

$$P(u) \leq -\delta \quad (3.6)$$

holds for either (2.1) or (2.2).

Indeed, from (3.4), it suffices to bound the integral term $\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1}^2 - \frac{\mathbf{P}_c}{(p+2)(2-b)} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}$.

To our aim, we use the energy conservation to get

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1}^2 - \frac{\mathbf{P}_c}{(p+2)(2-b)} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} = \frac{\mathbf{P}_c}{2-b} E_{b,V}(u_0) - \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}_c}{4-2b} - 1\right) \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1}^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Since

$$E_{b,V}(u_0) < \frac{M(Q_1)^\sigma}{M(u_0)^\sigma} E_b(Q_1),$$

let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(b, \mathbf{p}_c, u_0, Q_1) > 0$ be such that $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2}(\frac{M(Q_1)^\sigma}{M(u_0)^\sigma} E_b(Q_1) - E_{b,V}(u_0))$, we get

$$E_{b,V}(u_0) < \frac{1}{2} E_{b,V}(u_0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{M(Q_1)^\sigma}{M(u_0)^\sigma} E_b(Q_1) = \frac{M(Q_1)^\sigma}{M(u_0)^\sigma} E_b(Q_1) - \varepsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, collecting (3.7), (3.8) and (1.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1}^2 - \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{(p+2)(2-b)} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ & \leq \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b} \left(\frac{M(Q_1)^\sigma}{M(u_0)^\sigma} E_b(Q_1) - \varepsilon \right) - \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{4-2b} - 1 \right) \frac{\|Q_1\|_2^{2\sigma}}{\|u_0\|_2^{2\sigma}} \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2}^2 \\ & = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b} \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by the fact that

$$E_b(Q_1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2-b}{\mathbf{p}_c} \right) \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2}^2.$$

The claim (3.6) follows.

At the end, combining (3.5) with (3.6), we obtain that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \|u(t)\|_{2-b,2}^2 \leq -2(2-b)^2 \delta$$

for any $t \in [0, T^*)$. This shows that the solution $u(t)$ to the INLS $_{b,V}$ blows up in finite time.

Case 2 (u_0 is radial). The main blow-up mechanism is governed by an ODE equation $f^s < f'$, namely, if $s > 1$, then f will blow up in finite time. We proceed by contradiction and assume that the maximal existence $T^* = +\infty$.

To start it, we give a lower bound of blow-up rate. That is, there exist $C > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2}^2 \geq Ct^2 \quad (3.9)$$

for all $t \geq T_0$.

In fact, from Lemma 2.9, if we take $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $C\epsilon < -b$ and then, we take $R > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$C \|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x| > R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)} < -b$$

and

$$C_\epsilon R^{-\frac{2\mathbf{p}_c-(2-b)p}{4-p}} M(u_0)^{\frac{p}{4}+1} < 2(2-b)\delta.$$

Thus we have

$$I''_{\psi_R}(t) < -2(2-b)\delta < 0.$$

Now we integrate the above inequality from 0 to t , then

$$I'_{\psi_R}(t) \leq -2(2-b)\delta t + I'_{\psi_R}(0).$$

We may choose $T_0 > 0$ sufficiently large such that $I'_{\psi_R}(0) \leq (2-b)\delta T_0$. Then we get

$$I'_{\psi_R}(t) \leq -(2-b)\delta t, \quad \forall t \geq T_0. \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.7 and the Hölder inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |I'_{\psi_R}(t)| &\leq 2R \int |\nabla \Theta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)| |\nabla u| |\bar{u}| dx \\ &\leq 4R \int_{|x| \leq R} |\nabla u| |\bar{u}| dx + CR \int_{R < |x| \leq 2R} |\nabla u| |\bar{u}| dx \\ &\leq CR^{1-\frac{b}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2} \|u\|_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where Θ is defined in (2.23). Thus, combining (3.10) with (3.11), we obtain

$$(2-b)\delta t \leq |I'_{\psi_R}(t)| \leq CR^{1-\frac{b}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2} M(u_0)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which arrives at (3.9).

To our aim, we also need to give another finer virial estimate about $I''_{\psi_R}(t)$, which is slight modification of (2.22) in Lemma 2.9. Here we can see the difference between two cases in the treatment of the functional P and the terms involving $\|\nabla u\|_{b,2}$.

By (2.22), (3.4) and the energy conservation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{\psi_R}(t) &\leq 4\mathbf{p}_c E_{b,V}(u_0) - 2(\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b)(\|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 + \int V(x)|u|^2 dx) \\ &\quad + C_\epsilon R^{-\frac{2\mathbf{p}_c-(2-b)p}{4-p}} M(u_0)^{\frac{p}{4}+1} + CR^{b-2} M(u_0) \\ &\quad + C\epsilon M(u_0)^{\frac{p}{4}+1} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 + C\|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|>R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

If we take $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $C\epsilon M(u_0)^{\frac{p}{4}+1} < \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b)$ and take $R > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$C\|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|>R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)} < \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b),$$

we then deduce from (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{\psi_R}(t) &\leq 4\mathbf{p}_c E_{b,V}(u_0) - (\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b) \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2 \\ &\quad + C_\epsilon R^{-\frac{2\mathbf{p}_c - (2-b)p}{4-p}} M(u_0)^{\frac{p}{4}+1} + CR^{b-2} M(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.9), there exists $T_1 \geq T_0$ and $\delta_0 := \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b) > 0$ such that

$$I''_{\psi_R}(t) \leq -\delta_0 \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}^2.$$

We integrate the above inequality over $[T_1, t]$, then it follows from (3.10) that

$$I'_{\psi_R}(t) \leq -\delta_0 \int_{T_1}^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{b,2}^2 ds + I'_{\psi_R}(T_1) \leq -\delta_0 \int_{T_1}^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{b,2}^2 ds. \quad (3.13)$$

So, combining (3.11) with (3.13), we obtain

$$\delta_0 \int_{T_1}^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{b,2}^2 ds \leq |I'_{\psi_R}(t)| \leq CR^{1-\frac{b}{2}} M(u_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2}.$$

Define $f(t) := \int_{T_1}^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{b,2}^2 ds$ and thus

$$Af(t)^2 \leq f'(t),$$

where $A := \frac{\delta_0^2}{C^2 R^{2-b} M(u_0)}$. Finally, taking $T_2 > T_1$ and integrating on $[T_2, t]$, we get

$$A(t - T_2) \leq \frac{1}{f(T_2)} - \frac{1}{f(t)} \leq \frac{1}{f(T_2)} < \infty.$$

Due to $f(T_2) > 0$, we let $t \rightarrow \infty$ and arrive at a contradiction. Therefore, the solution $u(t)$ to the INLS $_{b,V}$ blows up in finite time. We complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).

4 Variational Characterization

In the first two subsections, we show the properties of minimizing problem (1.14) for each (α, β) in (1.13), and give the proof of Proposition 1.4.

4.1 Minimizing problems

For each $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we define the following functional:

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) := S_{\omega,0}(\phi) - \frac{K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)}{\mu}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mu := \max\{2\alpha + (2 - b - n)\beta, 2\alpha - n\beta\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $b < 2$ and $\omega > 0$. Then*

$$\mu \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \geq \frac{(2-b)|\beta|}{2} \min\{\|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2, \omega \|\phi\|_2^2\} + \frac{p\alpha - (2-b+c)\beta + (2-b)\tilde{\beta}}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2},$$

where

$$\tilde{\beta} = \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if } \beta < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \beta \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the definition of $S_{\omega,0}$ and $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2} [\mu - 2\alpha - (2-b-n)\beta] \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{\omega}{2} [\mu - (2\alpha - n\beta)] \|\phi\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+2} [\mu - (p+2)\alpha + (n+c)\beta] \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, one can easily check that

$$[\mu - 2\alpha - (2-b-n)\beta] \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 = \begin{cases} -(2-b)\beta \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2, & \beta < 0, \\ 0, & \beta \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$[\mu - (2\alpha - n\beta)] \|\phi\|_2^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \beta < 0, \\ (2-b)\beta \|\phi\|_2^2, & \beta \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$[\mu - (p+2)\alpha + (n+c)\beta] \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} = \begin{cases} (-p\alpha + c\beta) \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}, & \beta < 0, \\ [-p\alpha + (2-b+c)\beta] \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}, & \beta \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mu \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \geq \frac{(2-b)|\beta|}{2} \min\{\|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2, \omega \|\phi\|_2^2\} + \frac{p\alpha - (2-b+c)\beta + (2-b)\tilde{\beta}}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}.$$

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is completed. \square

Next, we prove the positivity of $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$ near the origin in $W_b^{1,2}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $2-n < b < 2$, $b-2 < c \leq 0$, $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c \leq (2-b)(p+2)$, $V \geq 0$, $x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$ and let (α, β) satisfy (1.13). For $\omega > 0$, if the uniform L^2 -bounded sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) > 0$ for sufficiently large n .*

Proof. Since $V \geq 0$, $x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$ and (1.13), we use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) &\geq \frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 - \frac{\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ &\geq \frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 - \frac{\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta}{(p+2)C} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \|\phi\|_2^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is satisfied by the relation

$$\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta \geq \alpha p - (2-b+c)\beta \geq 0.$$

Since $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in L^2 , there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$C_0 := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_n\|_2 < \infty.$$

By the hypothesis $\|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq N$, the estimate holds

$$\|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2} \leq \left(\frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{4CC_0^{p+2-\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}}} \frac{p+2}{\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta} \right)^{\frac{2-b}{\mathbf{p}_c - 2(2-b)}}.$$

Therefore, combining the above three estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) &\geq \|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2}^2 \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} - \frac{\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta}{p+2} CC_0^{p+2-\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}} \|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2}^{\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b}-2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{4} \|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2}^2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of this lemma. \square

As a consequence, we claim that

$$K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}) > 0 \tag{4.2}$$

for sufficiently small $\lambda < 0$. Indeed, from a simple computation

$$\|\nabla \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}\|_{b,2} = e^{\alpha\lambda + \frac{(2-b-n)\beta\lambda}{2}} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2},$$

we obtain that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \|\nabla \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}\|_{b,2} = 0$, which together with Lemma 4.2 concludes (4.2).

Now we consider the minimizing problem $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ for each (α, β) in (1.13). To do it, we denote the set of nontrivial solutions to the equation (1.6) by

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega := \{\phi \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\} : S'_{\omega,0}(\phi) = 0\}.$$

The set of ground states is denoted by \mathcal{G}_ω :

$$\mathcal{G}_\omega := \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\omega : S_{\omega,0}(\phi) \leq S_{\omega,0}(v) \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{A}_\omega\}.$$

We can solve the minimizing problem (1.14) in the case $V = 0$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $2 - n < b < 2$, $b - 2 < c \leq \min\{\frac{nb}{n-2}, 0\}$, $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2-b)(p+2)$ and let (α, β) satisfy (1.13). Then for $\omega > 0$, there exists $\phi \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$S_{\omega,0}(\phi) = m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0.$$

Proof. We split the proof of Proposition 4.3 into the following three steps.

Step 1. We first prove that the minimizing sequence of (1.14) with $V = 0$ is bounded in $W_b^{1,2}$ and the weak limit of it is nonzero.

Let $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$ be a minimizing sequence of (1.14) with $V = 0$, namely

$$K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\omega,0}(\phi_n) \rightarrow m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus it follows from (4.1) that

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) = S_{\omega,0}(\phi_n) \rightarrow m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Since (α, β) satisfies (1.13) and $\mathbf{p}_c > 2(2-b)$, Lemma 4.1 and (4.3) yield that $\|\phi_n\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}$ is bounded. Since $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) = 0$, then

$$\frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} \|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{(2\alpha - n\beta)\omega}{2} \|\phi_n\|_2^2 \leq C$$

for some $C > 0$, which shows that $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W_b^{1,2}$. Therefore there exists $\phi \in W_b^{1,2}$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi$ weakly in $W_b^{1,2}$. From Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\phi_n \rightarrow \phi \quad \text{in } L^{p+2}(|x|^c dx) \quad (4.4)$$

for all $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2-b)(p+2)$.

Now we claim that $\phi \neq 0$. Assume that $\phi = 0$ by contradiction. Then we deduce from $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) = 0$ and (4.4) that

$$\frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} \|\nabla \phi_n\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{(2\alpha - n\beta)\omega}{2} \|\phi_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, Lemma 4.2 implies that $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) > 0$ for sufficiently large n , which contradicts $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) = 0$. Hence $\phi \neq 0$.

Step 3. We prove that ϕ is the minimizer of (1.14) with $V = 0$.

Denote $\phi_n = \phi + r_n$, where $r_n \rightarrow 0$ in $W_b^{1,2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the definition of $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and a simple computation, we have

$$K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) + \frac{2\alpha + (2 - b - n)\beta}{2} \|\nabla r_n\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{(2\alpha - n\beta)\omega}{2} \|r_n\|_2^2 + o_n(1),$$

where $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that

$$K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) = 0.$$

So $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq 0$.

Now we assume that $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) < 0$. From Lemma 4.2, we easily deduce that $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda\phi) > 0$ for sufficiently small $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. This together with the continuity of $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda\phi)$ in λ implies that there exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_0\phi) = 0$. By the definition of $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and (4.1), we have

$$m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq S_{\omega,0}(\lambda_0\phi) = \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_0\phi) < \lambda_0^2 \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi). \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, by a simple computation, we obtain

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) + o_n(1),$$

where $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This together with (4.3) yields

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_n) \rightarrow m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, combining (4.5) with (4.6), we infer that

$$m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq S_{\omega,0}(\lambda_0\phi) < \lambda_0^2 \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0$. Moreover,

$$S_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta},$$

which together with the definition of $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ yields that $S_{\omega,0}(\phi) = m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Hence, ϕ is the minimizer of (1.14) with $V = 0$. \square

4.2 Proof of Proposition 1.4

Now, we are able to prove Proposition 1.4, which shows the parameter independence of $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ via its characterization by the ground states $Q_{\omega,0}$.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. (i) We consider the minimization problem

$$\mathcal{M}_\omega = \{\phi \in W_b^{1,2} : S_{\omega,0}(\phi) = m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0\}. \quad (4.7)$$

By Proposition 4.3, we show that $\mathcal{M}_\omega \neq \emptyset$. To show that the minimizer of (1.14) with $V = 0$ is also the ground state of the equation (1.6), it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{M}_\omega = \mathcal{G}_\omega$.

On one hand, we prove $\mathcal{M}_\omega \subset \mathcal{G}_\omega$. Assume $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$, we firstly claim that $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$.

Indeed, since ϕ is the minimizer of (1.14) with $V = 0$, so there exists a Lagrange multiplier $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $S'_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \tau K'_{\omega,0}(\phi)$. Recalling the definition $K_{\omega,0}$, we deduce

$$0 = K_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} S_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \langle S'_{\omega,0}(\phi), \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \rangle = \tau \langle K'_{\omega,0}(\phi), \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \rangle, \quad (4.8)$$

where we write $K_{\omega,0} := K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} \phi := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta} |_{\lambda=0}$ for simplicity.

Since

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} K_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \langle K'_{\omega,0}(\phi), \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} \phi \rangle,$$

which linked with (4.8) yields that

$$0 = K_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \tau \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta} K_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \tau \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}^2 S_{\omega,0}(\phi). \quad (4.9)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}^2 S_{\omega,0}(\phi) &= \frac{[2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta]^2}{2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega(2\alpha - n\beta)^2}{2} \|\phi\|_2^2 - \frac{[\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta]^2}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ &\leq \mu \left(\frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{b,2}^2 + \frac{\omega(2\alpha - n\beta)}{2} \|\phi\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{[\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta]^2}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta}{p+2} [\mu - \alpha(p+2) + (n+c)\beta] \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where the last inequality holds by the conditions that $c \geq b-2$, $\mathbf{p}_c > 2(2-b)$ and (1.13). Thus, it follows from (4.9) and (4.10) that

$$0 = K_{\omega,0}(\phi) = \tau \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}^2 S_{\omega,0}(\phi) < 0.$$

This implies that $\tau = 0$, and hence $S'_{\omega,0}(\phi) = 0$. Therefore $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ is proved.

Next, for any $v \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$, we deduce from (4.8) that $K_{\omega,0}(v) = \langle S'_{\omega,0}(v), \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}v \rangle = 0$ and $v \neq 0$. By the definition of \mathcal{M}_ω , we have

$$S_{\omega,0}(\phi) \leq S_{\omega,0}(v).$$

This implies that $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_\omega$. So $\mathcal{M}_\omega \subset \mathcal{G}_\omega$.

On the other hand, from Proposition 4.3, there exists $v \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$ such that $S_{\omega,0}(v) = m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $K_{\omega,0}(v) = 0$, which immediately implies $v \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$. So if we assume $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_\omega$, by the definition of \mathcal{G}_ω , we get

$$S_{\omega,0}(\phi) \leq S_{\omega,0}(v) = m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Moreover, we deduce from $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ and (4.8) that $K_{\omega,0}(\phi) = 0$ and $\phi \neq 0$, which implies that $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq S_{\omega,0}(\phi)$. Thus, $S_{\omega,0}(\phi) = m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and then $\mathcal{G}_\omega \subset \mathcal{M}_\omega$. Therefore, we obtain that $\mathcal{G}_\omega = \mathcal{M}_\omega$. Hence, $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is attained by the ground state Q_ω of (1.6).

(ii) We introduce the functional

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) &:= S_{\omega,V}(\phi) - \frac{1}{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta} K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{4\alpha + 2(2-b-n)\beta} \int [(2-b)V + x \cdot \nabla V + (2-b)\omega] |\phi|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha p - (2-b+c)\beta}{(p+2)[2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta]} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

In particular, $J_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} = \tilde{J}_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ in case $V = 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, which is defined in (4.1).

By considering the following minimizing problem in terms of $J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$:

$$n_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} := \inf \{ J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) : \phi \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}, K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq 0 \},$$

we claim that

$$n_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} = m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}. \tag{4.12}$$

Indeed, Let $\phi \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq 0$. If $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0$, by the definition of $m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and (4.11), we obtain

$$m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq S_{\omega,V}(\phi) = J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi).$$

If else $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) < 0$. From Lemma 4.2 and the continuity of $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda\phi)$ in λ , there exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_0\phi) = 0$. Thus,

$$m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq S_{\omega,V}(\lambda_0\phi) = J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_0\phi) < J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi),$$

where the last inequality is obtained by the fact that $J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda\phi)$ is monotone increasing in $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Hence, we conclude that $n_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} \geq m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$.

To prove $n_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$, we assume $\phi \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0$. By the definition of $n_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and (4.11), we get $n_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = S_{\omega,V}$. Thus we have $n_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Therefore, we conclude (4.12).

Now, let $\phi \in W_b^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0$. Then we obtain $S_{\omega,V}(\phi) = J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$. Since $V \geq 0$ and $x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$, we get $K_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = 0$. Thus it follows from (4.12) that

$$m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq J_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq J_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) = S_{\omega,V}(\phi),$$

which arrives at $m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Hence, we finish the proof of Proposition 1.4. \square

4.3 Invariant Flow

In this part, we will prove that the sets \mathcal{N}^\pm defined in (1.15) and (1.16) are invariant under the flow of (1.1).

We denote

$$L_{\omega,V}(\phi) := \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1}^2 + \omega \|\phi\|_2^2$$

and the frequency

$$\omega_1 := -\frac{1}{2} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} [(2-b)V + x \cdot \nabla V].$$

The following lemma makes a comparison between $S_{\omega,V}(\phi)$ and $L_{\omega,V}(\phi)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $b < 2$, $c \geq b-2$, $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c \leq (2-b)(p+2)$, $x \cdot \nabla V + (2-b)V \geq 0$ and let (α, β) satisfy (1.13). Assume $\omega \geq \omega_1$ and $\phi \in W_b^{1,2}$ satisfies $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \geq 0$. Then*

$$2S_{\omega,V}(\phi) \leq L_{\omega,V}(\phi) \leq \frac{2\alpha(p+2) - 2(n+c)\beta}{\alpha p - (2-b+c)\beta} S_{\omega,V}(\phi).$$

Proof. Since

$$S_{\omega,V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} L_{\omega,V}(\phi) - \frac{1}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \leq \frac{1}{2} L_{\omega,V}(\phi),$$

it is clear that the first inequality holds. To prove the second inequality, we rewrite (1.12) as

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) &= \frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} L_{\omega,V}(\phi) - \frac{\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta}{p+2} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta}{2} \int [(2-b)V + x \cdot \nabla V + (2-b)\omega] |\phi|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

then we further have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) + \frac{\alpha p - (2-b+c)\beta}{2} L_{\omega,V}(\phi) &= [\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta] S_{\omega,V}(\phi) \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta}{2} \int [(2-b)V + x \cdot \nabla V + (2-b)\omega] |\phi|^2 dx \\ &\leq [\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta] S_{\omega,V}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, together with $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \geq 0$, we infer that

$$\frac{\alpha p - (2-b+c)\beta}{2} L_{\omega,V}(\phi) \leq [\alpha(p+2) - (n+c)\beta] S_{\omega,V}(\phi).$$

We prove this lemma. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $2-n < b < 2$, $b-2 < c \leq 0$, $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c \leq (2-b)(p+2)$, $V \geq 0$, $-(2-b)V \leq x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$, $x \nabla^2 V x^T \leq -(n+3-b-\frac{2\alpha}{\beta})x \cdot \nabla V$ and let (α, β) satisfy (1.13). Assume $\phi \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta} := \{\phi \in W_b^{1,2} : S_{\omega,V}(\phi) < m_{\omega,0}, K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) < 0\}$. Then*

$$K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq -[2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta](m_{\omega,0} - S_{\omega,V}(\phi)), \quad (4.13)$$

where $\nabla^2 V$ is the Hessian matrix of V .

Proof. We write $g(\lambda) := S_{\omega,V}(\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta})$, where $\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = e^{\alpha\lambda}\phi(e^{\beta\lambda}x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\lambda) &= \frac{2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta}{2} e^{[2\alpha+(2-b-n)\beta]\lambda} \|\nabla\phi\|_{b,2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2\alpha - n\beta}{2} e^{(2\alpha-n\beta)\lambda} (\omega\|\phi\|_2^2 + \int V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x) |\phi|^2 dx) \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta e^{[2\alpha-(n+1)\beta]\lambda}}{2} \int x \cdot \nabla V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x) |\phi|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{(p+2)\alpha - (n+c)\beta}{p+2} e^{[(p+2)\alpha-(n+c)\beta]\lambda} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Since $\phi \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\beta}$, we deduce that

$$g'(0) = K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) < 0.$$

By (4.2), we get

$$K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}) = g'(\lambda) > 0$$

for sufficiently small $\lambda < 0$. Thus by the continuity of $g'(\lambda)$ in λ , there exists $\lambda_0 < 0$ such that

$$g'(\lambda_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g'(\lambda) < 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (\lambda_0, 0]. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, by the definition of $m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and Proposition 1.4 (ii), we have

$$m_{\omega,0} \leq m_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta} \leq S_{\omega,V}(\phi_{\lambda_0}^{\alpha,\beta}) = g(\lambda_0). \quad (4.16)$$

Now we differentiate $g'(\lambda)$ again to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g''(\lambda) &= \frac{[2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta]^2}{2} e^{[2\alpha+(2-b-n)\beta]\lambda} \|\nabla\phi\|_{b,2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(2\alpha-n\beta)^2}{2} e^{(2\alpha-n\beta)\lambda} (\omega\|\phi\|_2^2 + \int V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x)|\phi|^2 dx) \\ &\quad + \frac{(2\alpha-n\beta)^2}{2} e^{(2\alpha-n\beta)\lambda} \int x \cdot \nabla V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x)|\phi|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta^2}{2} e^{[2\alpha-(n+2)\beta]\lambda} \int x \nabla^2 V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x) x^T |\phi|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{[(p+2)\alpha - (n+c)\beta]^2}{p+2} e^{[(p+2)\alpha-(n+c)\beta]\lambda} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ &\leq \frac{[2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta]^2}{2} e^{[2\alpha+(2-b-n)\beta]\lambda} \|\nabla\phi\|_{b,2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(2\alpha-n\beta)^2}{2} e^{(2\alpha-n\beta)\lambda} (\omega\|\phi\|_2^2 + \int V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x)|\phi|^2 dx) \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta[2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta]}{2} e^{[2\alpha-(n+1)\beta]\lambda} \int x \cdot \nabla V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x)|\phi|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{[(p+2)\alpha - (n+c)\beta]^2}{p+2} e^{[(p+2)\alpha-(n+c)\beta]\lambda} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (4.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned} g''(\lambda) &- [2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta]g'(\lambda) \\ &\leq -\frac{(2-b)(2\alpha-n\beta)\beta}{2} e^{(2\alpha-n\beta)\lambda} (\omega\|\phi\|_2^2 + \int V(e^{-\beta\lambda}x)|\phi|^2 dx) \\ &\quad - \frac{[(p+2)\alpha - (n+c)\beta][\alpha p - (2-b+c)\beta]}{p+2} e^{[(p+2)\alpha-(n+c)\beta]\lambda} \|\phi\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality over $[\lambda_0, 0]$, we get

$$g'(0) - g'(\lambda_0) \leq [2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta](g(0) - g(\lambda_0)).$$

Thus, by (4.15) and (4.16), we have $K_{\omega,V}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi) \leq -[2\alpha + (2-b-n)\beta](m_{\omega,0} - S_{\omega,V}(\phi))$. Hence, Lemma 4.5 is proved. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let $2-n < b < 2$, $b-2 < c \leq 0$, $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c < (2-b)(p+2)$, $V \geq 0$, $-(2-b)V \leq x \cdot \nabla V \leq 0$, $x \nabla^2 V x^T \leq -(3-b)x \cdot \nabla V$. Then the sets \mathcal{N}^\pm are invariant under the flow of (1.1).*

Proof. Since $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, then $S_{\omega,V}(u_0) < m_{\omega,0}$ and $K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(u_0) \geq 0$. We discuss it into two cases:

When $K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(u_0) = 0$, it follows from the definition of $m_{\omega,V}^{n,2}$ and Proposition 1.4 (ii) that

$$m_{\omega,V}^{n,2} \leq S_{\omega,V}(u_0) < m_{\omega,0} \leq m_{\omega,V}^{n,2}.$$

Thus we have $u_0 \equiv 0$, and then $u(x, t) \equiv 0$. Therefore, $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^+$.

When $K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(u_0) > 0$, we prove $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^+$ by contradiction as follows. If the conclusion does not hold, then there exists $t_0 \in [0, T^*)$ such that $K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(u(t_0)) = 0$. This implies that

$$m_{\omega,V}^{n,2} \leq S_{\omega,V}(u(t_0)).$$

By Proposition 1.4 and the conservation laws, we infer that

$$S_{\omega,V}(u(t_0)) = S_{\omega,V}(u_0) < m_{\omega,0} \leq m_{\omega,V}^{n,2},$$

which arrives at a contradiction. Hence $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^+$.

For $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-$, Lemma 4.5 and the conservation laws imply that $K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(u) \leq -2(2-b)(m_{\omega,0} - S_{\omega,V}(u_0)) < 0$, which concludes $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^-$. □

5 Global existence and Blow-up for non-radial case

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.7, of which the proof of blow-up relies on the method of Du-Wu-Zhang [9]. To start it, we establish the following L^2 estimate in the exterior ball.

Lemma 5.1. *For $2-n < b \leq 0$. Let $u \in C([0, \infty); W_b^{1,2})$ be the solution to the INLS $_{b,V}$, which satisfies*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2} < \infty. \quad (5.1)$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\eta > 0$, $R > 0$ and $t \in [0, \frac{\eta R^{1-b/2}}{C_1 C \|u_0\|_2}]$, the following estimate

$$\int_{|x| > R} |u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq o_R(1) + \eta \quad (5.2)$$

holds, where $o_R(1)$ denotes a function of R satisfying $o_R(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let $\psi_R(x) = \theta(\frac{x}{R})$ be a radial function defined as

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \text{smooth}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < |x| < 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq |x|. \end{cases}$$

We note that there exists constant $C > 0$ such that $|\nabla\theta| \leq C$. Using (2.17) in Lemma 2.7, $\nabla\psi_R = \frac{\nabla\phi_R}{|x|^b}$ and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\psi_R}(t) &= I_{\psi_R}(0) + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} I_{\psi_R}(s) ds \\ &\leq I_{\psi_R}(0) + \int_0^t |2\text{Im} \int_{|x| \geq \frac{R}{2}} |x|^b \nabla\psi_R \cdot \nabla u \bar{u} dx| ds \\ &\leq I_{\psi_R}(0) + 2t \|\ |x|^{\frac{b}{2}} \nabla\psi_R \|_{L^\infty(|x| \geq \frac{R}{2})} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2} \|u\|_2 \\ &\leq I_{\psi_R}(0) + \frac{C_1 C \|u_0\|_2 t}{R^{1-\frac{b}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, where $C_1 := \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2}$. For $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$, one can see that

$$I_{\psi_R}(0) = \int \psi_R(x) |u_0|^2 dx \leq \int_{|x| \geq \frac{R}{2}} |u_0|^2 dx = o_R(1)$$

and

$$\int_{|x| > R} |u|^2 dx \leq I_{\psi_R}(t).$$

Thus we conclude (5.2). The proof of Lemma 5.1 is completed. \square

Next, we give the following important result, which shows that there exists no global solution whose $L^q(|x|^{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}} dx)$ norms are uniformly bounded in time.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $2 - n < b \leq 0$, $V(x) \geq 0$ and $x \cdot \nabla V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)$. Let $u \in C([0, T^*); W_b^{1,2})$ be the corresponding solution to the INLS $_{b,V}$ with $u_0 \in W_b^{1,2}$. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the virial functional satisfies the bound*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T^*)} P(u) \leq -\delta, \quad (5.3)$$

then there exists no global solution $u \in C([0, \infty); W_b^{1,2})$ with

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}, q} < \infty \quad \text{for some } q > p + 2. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. We assume by contradiction that there exists a global solution $u(t)$ to the $\text{INLS}_{b,V}$ such that

$$T^* = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad C_0 := \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}, q} < \infty \quad (5.5)$$

for some $q > p+2$. Then we claim that there exists a constant $0 < C_1 = C_1(C_0, E_b(u_0), M(u_0)) < \infty$ such that

$$C_1 := \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2} < \infty. \quad (5.6)$$

To see it, we invoke the following weighted interpolation relationship

$$(L^{p_0}(w_0^{p_0} dx), L^{p_1}(w_1^{p_1} dx))_{\vartheta, \tilde{q}} = L^{\tilde{q}}(w_0^{(1-\vartheta)\tilde{q}} w_1^{\vartheta\tilde{q}} dx)$$

cited in [11], where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\vartheta}{p_0} + \frac{\vartheta}{p_1}$ and $0 < \vartheta < 1$, which can be applied to give

$$\|u\|_{c,p+2} \leq C \|u\|_{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}, q}^{1-\vartheta} \|u\|_2^{\vartheta} \quad (5.7)$$

for all $q > p+2$. Since $V(x) \geq 0$, then it follows from the energy conservation and (5.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{b,2} &\leq 2E_{b,V}(u_0) + \frac{2}{p+2} \|u\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ &\leq 2E_{b,V}(u_0) + \frac{2}{p+2} \|u\|_{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}, q}^{(1-\vartheta)(p+2)} \|u\|_2^{\vartheta(p+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (5.5) yields the result (5.6).

Now we continue the argument of (5.4). Recalling the functional $I''_{\psi_R}(t)$ defined in (2.24), we claim that there exists $C = C(b, \mathbf{p}_c, C_0, C_2)$ and $\vartheta_q > 0$ such that the following nonradial version of the localized virial estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{\psi_R}(t) &\leq 4(2-b)P(u) + C \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\vartheta_q(p+2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R^{2-b}} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)} + C \|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|>R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

holds for $q > p+2$.

Indeed, from (2.24), the estimates for \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are the same as in (2.25) and (2.26) respectively, so it reduces to control the terms \mathcal{R}_3 and \mathcal{R}_4 .

For \mathcal{R}_3 , invoking the interpolation inequality (5.7) again, we have ϑ_q with $0 < \vartheta_q = \frac{2(q-p-2)}{(q-2)(p+2)} < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_3 &\leq C_{p,c} \|u\|_{L^q(|x|>R; |x|^{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}} dx)}^{(1-\vartheta_q)(p+2)} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\vartheta_q(p+2)} \\ &\leq C_{p,c} C_0^{(1-\vartheta_q)(p+2)} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\vartheta_q(p+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

For \mathcal{R}_4 , it follows from (2.28) and (5.6) that

$$\mathcal{R}_4 \leq C_b C_1^2 \|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|>R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)}. \quad (5.10)$$

Thus, putting (2.25), (2.26), (5.9)-(5.10) all together, we conclude the estimate (5.8).

Finally, by (5.3), (5.8) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{\psi_R}(s) &\leq -4(2-b)\delta + o_R(1) + C\eta^{\frac{\vartheta_q(p+2)}{2}} + \frac{CM(u_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R^{2-b}} + C\|x \cdot \nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(|x|>R; |x|^{-\frac{nb}{2}} dx)} \\ &\leq -4(2-b)\delta + C\eta^{\frac{\vartheta_q(p+2)}{2}} + o_R(1) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\eta > 0, R > 0$ and $s \in [0, \frac{\eta R^{1-\frac{b}{2}}}{C_1 C_2 \|u_0\|_2}]$. We take $\eta = \eta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$C\eta^{\frac{\vartheta_q(p+2)}{2}} \leq (4-2b)\delta,$$

which yields

$$I''_{\psi_R}(s) \leq -(4-2b)\delta + o_R(1). \quad (5.11)$$

Now we set

$$T = T(R) := \alpha_0 R^{1-\frac{b}{2}} = \frac{\eta_0 R^{1-\frac{b}{2}}}{C_1 C - 2M(u_0)},$$

where α_0 is independent of R . So, by integrating (5.11) over $s \in [0, t]$ and then integrating over $t \in [0, T]$, one has

$$I_{\psi_R}(T) \leq I_{\psi_R}(0) + I'_{\psi_R}(0)\alpha_0 R^{1-\frac{b}{2}} + (-(4-2b)\delta + o_R(1))\alpha_0^2 R^{2-b}. \quad (5.12)$$

To proceed it, we claim that for $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$I_{\psi_R}(0) = o_R(1)R^{2-b}, \quad I'_{\psi_R}(0) = o_R(1)R^{1-\frac{b}{2}}. \quad (5.13)$$

Indeed, by $\nabla\psi_R = \frac{\nabla\phi_R}{|x|^b}$, defined as (2.23), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\psi_R}(0) &\leq \frac{2}{2-b} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{R}} |x|^{2-b} |u_0|^2 dx + \int_{\sqrt{R} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \left(\int_0^x \frac{R^2 \nabla \Theta(\frac{x}{R})}{|x|^b} dx \right) |u_0|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{2-b} R^{1-\frac{b}{2}} M(u_0) + C R^{2-b} \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{R}} |u_0|^2 dx = o_R(1) R^{2-b}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'_{\psi_R}(0) &= 4\text{Im} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{R}} x \cdot \nabla u_0 \bar{u}_0 dx + 2 \int_{\sqrt{R} \leq |x| \leq 2R} R \partial_r \Theta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \frac{x \cdot \nabla u_0}{r} \bar{u}_0 dx \\ &\leq 4 \| |x|^{1-\frac{b}{2}} \|_{L^\infty(|x| \leq \sqrt{R})} \|\nabla u_0\|_{b,2} \|u_0\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2CR \| |x|^{-\frac{b}{2}} \|_{L^\infty(\sqrt{R} \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \|\nabla u_0\|_{b,2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(|x| \geq \sqrt{R})} \\ &\leq 4R^{\frac{2-b}{4}} \|\nabla u_0\|_{b,2} \|u_0\|_2 + 2CR^{1-\frac{b}{2}} \|\nabla u_0\|_{b,2} o_R(1) = o_R(1) R^{1-\frac{b}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which arrives at (5.13).

Hence, combining (5.12) with (5.13), and choosing R large enough, we infer that

$$I_{\psi_R}(T) \leq [-(4-2b)\delta\alpha_0^2 + o_R(1)]R^{2-b} \leq -(2-b)\delta\alpha_0^2, \quad (5.14)$$

which contradicts $I_{\psi_R}(T) = \int \psi_R(x)|u(x,T)|^2 dx \geq 0$. Hence, we finish the proof of Lemma 5.2. \square

With the above lemmas in hand, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (i) Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, it follows from Proposition 4.6 that the corresponding solution $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^+$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$. By the local well-posedness theory, we only need to control the $W_b^{1,2}$ -norm of $u(t)$.

To see it, we use Lemma 4.4 and $V \geq 0$ to get

$$C_\omega \|u(t)\|_{W_b^{1,2}}^2 \leq L_{\omega,V}(u(t)) \leq \frac{2\mathbf{p}_c}{\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b} S_{\omega,V}(u(t)) < C m_{\omega,0}^{\alpha,\beta}$$

for all $t \in [0, T^*)$, where C is a positive constant depending on b and \mathbf{p}_c . Thus we get $\|u(t)\|_{W_b^{1,2}}$ is bounded for any $t \in [0, T^*)$, and therefore $u(t)$ exists globally in $[0, \infty)$.

(ii) Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-$, we obtain $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}^-$ by Proposition 4.6. We now prove the blow-up results in two cases:

(1) If $T^* < +\infty$, the local well-posedness theory implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{b,2} = \infty$.

(2) If $T^* = +\infty$. By Lemma 4.5, there exists

$$\delta_1 := 2(m_{\omega,0}^{n,2} - S_{\omega,V}(u_0)) > 0$$

such that

$$P(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2-b} K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(u(t)) \leq -\delta_1$$

for all $t \in [0, T^*)$. Thus, Lemma 5.2 implies that there exists a time sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{t_n \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t_n)\|_{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}, q} = \infty \quad (5.15)$$

for any $q > p+2$. For such $u(t_n)$, we use the Hardy-Sobolev inequality in Lemma 2.6 to get

$$\|u(t_n)\|_{\frac{c(q-2)}{p}, q} \leq C_b \|\nabla u(t_n)\|_{b,2} \quad (5.16)$$

for all $2(2-b) < \mathbf{p}_c \leq \frac{2c(2-b)}{b}$. Therefore, combining (5.15) with (5.16), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla u(t_n)\|_{b,2} = \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed. \square

Finally, we give the proof of Corollary 1.7.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. From Theorem 1.5 (ii), it is sufficient to show that (1.17) implies that $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-$.

Firstly, we claim that the following two conditions are equivalent:

$$E_{b,V}(u_0)M(u_0)^\sigma < E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_{\omega,V}(u_0) < m_{\omega,0}. \quad (5.17)$$

Indeed, by Proposition 1.4, we obtain $m_{\omega,0} = S_{\omega,0}(Q_\omega)$, where $Q_\omega(x)$ is the ground state of the elliptic equation (1.6). So for $\omega > 0$, we write

$$f(\omega) := S_{\omega,0}(Q_\omega) - S_{\omega,V}(u_0).$$

Let $Q_\omega = \omega^{\frac{2-b+c}{(2-b)p}} Q_1(\omega^{\frac{1}{2-b}} x)$, one can easily check that $Q_1(x)$ satisfies the equation (1.6) with $\omega = 1$. This implies that

$$S_{\omega,0}(Q_\omega) = \omega^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2)-\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)p}} S_{1,0}(Q_1).$$

By rewriting

$$f(\omega) = \omega^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2)-\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)p}} S_{1,0}(Q_1) - S_{\omega,V}(u_0), \quad (5.18)$$

we conclude that the claim (5.17) is valid if and only if $\sup_{\omega>0} f(\omega) > 0$.

Since

$$f'(\omega) = \frac{(2-b)(p+2)-\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)p} \omega^{\frac{2(2-b)-\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)p}} S_{1,0}(Q_1) - \frac{1}{2} M(u_0),$$

we solve $f'(\omega_0) = 0$ and obtain

$$\omega_0 = \left(\frac{(2-b)p}{2(2-b)(p+2)-2\mathbf{p}_c} \frac{M(u_0)}{S_{1,0}(Q_1)} \right)^{\frac{(2-b)p}{2(2-b)-\mathbf{p}_c}} > 0.$$

A simple calculation shows that the function f has a maximum value at $\omega = \omega_0$. Therefore, the statement $S_{\omega,V}(u_0) < m_{\omega,0}$ holds if and only if $f(\omega_0) > 0$.

Substituting ω_0 into (5.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega_0) &= \left(\frac{2(2-b)(p+2)-2\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)p} \right)^{\frac{(2-b)p}{\mathbf{p}_c-4+2b}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_c-4+2b}{2(2-b)(p+2)-2\mathbf{p}_c} \\ &\quad \times \frac{S_{1,0}(Q_1)^{\frac{(2-b)p}{\mathbf{p}_c-4+2b}}}{M(u_0)^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2)-\mathbf{p}_c}{\mathbf{p}_c-4+2b}}} - E_{b,V}(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from $f(\omega_0) > 0$ that

$$\left(\frac{2(2-b)(p+2) - 2\mathbf{p}_c}{(2-b)p} \right)^{\frac{(2-b)p}{\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b}{2(2-b)(p+2) - 2\mathbf{p}_c} S_{1,0}(Q_1)^{\frac{(2-b)p}{\mathbf{p}_c + 2b - 4}} > E_{b,V}(u_0)M(u_0)^\sigma, \quad (5.19)$$

where σ is defined in (1.3).

Recalling the Pohozaev identities (2.4) related to Q_1 , we deduce that

$$S_{1,0}(Q_1) = \frac{(2-b)p}{2\mathbf{p}_c} \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2}^2$$

and

$$E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma = \left(\frac{(2-b)(p+2)}{\mathbf{p}_c} - 1 \right)^{\frac{(2-b)(p+2) - \mathbf{p}_c}{\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b}{2\mathbf{p}_c} \|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2}^{\frac{2(2-b)p}{\mathbf{p}_c - 4 + 2b}},$$

which yields that

$$\text{L.H.S. of (5.19)} = E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma.$$

Hence, we obtain $E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma > E_{b,V}(u_0)M(u_0)^\sigma$.

Next, we need to show that (1.17) implies that

$$K_{\omega,V}^{n,2}(u_0) < 0. \quad (5.20)$$

To this end, by the definition of the functional P and (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(u_0) &\leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{b,2}^2 + \int V(x)|u_0|^2 dx - \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{(p+2)(2-b)} \|u_0\|_{c,p+2}^{p+2} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b} E_{b,V}(u_0) - \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{4-2b} - 1 \right) \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{b,V}^1}^2 \\ &< \frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{2-b} \frac{E_b(Q_1)M(Q_1)^\sigma}{M(u_0)^\sigma} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_c}{4-2b} - 1 \right) \frac{\|\nabla Q_1\|_{b,2}^2 \|Q_1\|_2^{2\sigma}}{\|u_0\|_2^{2\sigma}}, \end{aligned}$$

so it follows from the Pohozaev's identities and (1.17) that $P(u_0) < 0$, and then (5.20) is proved.

Finally, combining (5.17) with (5.20), we conclude that $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-$. Corollary 1.7 is proved. \square

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12401147).

Competing Interests

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

References

- [1] J. AN, J. KIM, R. JANG, *Global existence and blow-up for the focusing inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation with inverse-square potential*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 28(2) (2023), pp. 1046–1067.
- [2] L. CAFFARELLI, R. KOHN, L. NIRENBERG, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compos. Math., 53 (1984), pp. 259–275.
- [3] D. CAO, P. HAN, *Inhomogeneous critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a harmonic potential*, J. Math. Phys., 51 (2010), pp. 043505.
- [4] T. CAZENAVE, *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.10, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [5] Y. DENG, Y. LI, F. YANG, *On the positive radial solutions of a class of singular semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differ. Equations, 253 (2012), pp. 481–501.
- [6] V.D. DINH, *Global dynamics for a class of inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential*, Math. Nachr., 294(4) (2021), pp. 672–716.
- [7] V.D. DINH, *Blowup of H^1 solutions for a class of the focusing inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal., 174 (2018), pp. 169–188.
- [8] V.D. DINH, S. KERAANI, *Long time dynamics of non-radial solutions to inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 53(4) (2021), pp. 4765–4811.
- [9] D. DU, Y. WU, K. ZHANG, *On blow-up criterion for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 36 (2016), pp. 3639–3650.
- [10] L.G. FARAH, *Global well-posedness and blow-up on the energy space for the inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Evol. Equ., 16(1) (2016), pp. 193–208.
- [11] D. FREITAG, *Real interpolation of weighted L^p -spaces*, Math. Nachr., 86 (1978), pp. 15–18.
- [12] F. GENOUD, *An inhomogeneous, L^2 -critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Z. Anal. Anwend., 31(3) (2012), pp. 283–290.

- [13] F. GENOUD, C.A. STUART, *Schrödinger equations with a spatially decaying non-linearity: existence and stability of standing waves*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 21(1) (2008), pp. 137–186.
- [14] C.M. GUZMAN, *On well posedness for the inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 37 (2017), pp. 249–286.
- [15] R.T. GLASSEY, *On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys., 18 (1976), pp. 1794–1797.
- [16] Z. GUO, X. GUAN, F. WAN, *Existence and regularity of positive solutions of a degenerate elliptic problem*, Math. Nachr., 292 (2019), pp. 56–78.
- [17] Z. GUO, X. GUAN, F. WAN, *Sobolev type embedding and weak solutions with a prescribed singular set*, Sci. China Math., 59(10) (2016), pp. 1975–1994.
- [18] Z. GUO, Y. LI, F. WAN, *Asymptotic behavior at the isolated singularities of solutions of some equations on singular manifolds with conical metrics*, Commun. Part. Diff. Eq., 45 (2020), pp. 1647–1681.
- [19] Q. GUO, H. WANG, X. YAO, *Scattering and blow-up criteria for 3d cubic focusing nonlinear inhomogeneous NLS with a potential*, arXiv.org/abs/1801.05165.
- [20] S. GUSTAFSON, T. INUI, *Scattering and blow-up for threshold even solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with repulsive delta potential at low frequencies*, Journal of Differential Equations, 412 (2024), pp. 758–796.
- [21] M. HAMANO, M. IKEDA, *Global dynamics below the ground state for the focusing Schrödinger equation with a potential*, J. Evol. Equ., 20(3) (2020), pp. 1131–1172.
- [22] Y. HONG, *Scattering for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 15 (2016), pp. 1571–1601.
- [23] S. IBRAHIM, N. MASMOUDI, K. NAKANISHI, *Scattering threshold for the focusing nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, Anal. PDE, 4 (2011), pp. 405–460.
- [24] M. IKEDA, T. INUI, *Global dynamics below the standing waves for the focusing semilinear Schrödinger equation with a repulsive Dirac delta potential*, Anal. PDE, 10(2) (2017), pp. 481–512.
- [25] R. KILLIP, J. MURPHY, M. VISAN, J. ZHENG, *The focusing cubic NLS with inverse-square potential in three space dimensions*, Differ. Integral Equ., 30 (2017), pp. 161–206.
- [26] R. MUSINA, *Ground state solutions of a critical problem involving cylindrical weights*, Nonlinear Anal., 68 (2008), pp. 3972–3986.

- [27] Y. SHEN, Z. CHEN, *Nonlinear degenerate elliptic equation with Hardy potential and critical parameter*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 69 (2008), pp. 1462–1477.
- [28] N. SHIOJI, K. WATANABE, *Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of positive radial solutions of $\operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla u) + \rho(-gu + hu^p) = 0$* , *Calculus Var. Partial Differ. Equations*, 55 (2016), pp. 32.
- [29] Z. WANG, M. WILLEM, *Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities with remainder terms*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 203 (2003), pp. 550–568.
- [30] Y. ZHANG, J. YANG, Y. SHEN, *Solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with general weight in the Sobolev-Hardy space*, *P. Am. Math. Soc.*, 139(1) (2011), pp. 219–230.
- [31] B. ZHENG, T. OZAWA, J. ZHAI, *Blow-up solutions for a class of divergence Schrödinger equations with intercritical inhomogeneous nonlinearity*, *J. Math. Phys.*, 64 (2023), pp. 011502.
- [32] B. ZHENG, T. OZAWA, *The blow-up dynamics for the divergence Schrödinger equations with inhomogeneous nonlinearity*, preprint.
- [33] B. ZHENG, W. ZHU, *Strong instability of standing waves for the divergence Schrödinger equation with inhomogeneous nonlinearity*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 530 (2024), pp. 127730.