

SPACE-TIME STRUCTURE AND PARTICLE-FLUID DUALITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH HARD POTENTIALS

YU-CHU LIN, HAITAO WANG, AND KUNG-CHIEN WU

ABSTRACT. We study the quantitative pointwise behavior of solutions to the Boltzmann equation for hard potentials and Maxwellian molecules, which generalize the hard sphere case introduced by Liu-Yu in 2004 [16]. The large time behavior of the solution is dominated by fluid structures, similar to the hard sphere case [10, 16]. However, unlike hard sphere, the spatial decay here depends on the potential power γ and the initial velocity weight. A key challenge in this problem is the loss of velocity weight in linear estimates, which makes standard nonlinear iteration infeasible.

To address this, we develop an Enhanced Mixture Lemma, demonstrating that mixing the transport and gain parts of the linearized collision operator can generate arbitrary-order regularity and decay in both space and velocity variables. This allows us to decompose the linearized solution into fluid (arbitrary regularity and velocity decay) and particle (rapid space-time decay, but with loss of velocity decay) parts, making it possible to solve the nonlinear problem through this particle-fluid duality.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The model.** Consider the Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + \xi \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), \\ F(0, x, \xi) = F_0(x, \xi), \end{cases} \quad (t, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.1)$$

where $F(t, x, \xi)$ is the velocity distribution function for the particles at time $t \geq 0$, position $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and microscopic velocity $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The bilinear collision operator is given by

$$Q(F, G) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \Omega) \{F'_* G' + G'_* F' - F_* G - F G_*\} d\xi_* d\Omega,$$

with collision kernel

$$B(\vartheta) = |\xi - \xi_*|^\gamma \beta(\vartheta).$$

Here the usual convention, i.e., $F = F(t, x, \xi)$, $F_* = F_*(t, x, \xi_*)$, $F' = F(t, x, \xi)$ and $F'_* = F(t, x, \xi'_*)$, is used; the post-collisional velocities of particles satisfy

$$\xi' = \xi - [(\xi - \xi_*) \cdot \Omega] \Omega, \quad \xi'_* = \xi_* + [(\xi - \xi_*) \cdot \Omega] \Omega, \quad \Omega \in S^2.$$

Throughout this paper, we consider the *Maxwellian molecules* ($\gamma = 0$) and *hard potentials* ($0 < \gamma < 1$); and $\beta(\vartheta)$ satisfies the Grad's angular cutoff assumption

$$0 < \beta(\vartheta) \leq C |\cos \vartheta|,$$

for some constant $C > 0$, where ϑ is defined by

$$\cos \vartheta = \frac{|(\xi - \xi_*) \cdot \Omega|}{|\xi - \xi_*|}.$$

The case that $\gamma = 1$ and $\beta(\vartheta) = |\cos \vartheta|$ is called hard sphere model.

Date: November 19, 2024.

Key words and phrases. Boltzmann equation, hard potential, Maxwellian molecules.

It is known that the global Maxwellians are equilibriums to the Boltzmann equation. In the perturbation regime near the Maxwellian, we look for the solution in the form of

$$F = \mathcal{M} + \sqrt{\mathcal{M}}f, \quad F_0(x, \xi) = \mathcal{M} + \varepsilon\sqrt{\mathcal{M}}f_0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, with a perturbation f to \mathcal{M} , where the global Maxwellian \mathcal{M} is normalized as

$$\mathcal{M} = (2\pi)^{-3/2}e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}}.$$

Substituting (1.2) into (1.1), the perturbation f satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \xi \cdot \nabla_x f = Lf + \Gamma(f, f) \\ f|_{t=0} = \varepsilon f_0, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$Lf = 2\mathcal{M}^{-1/2}Q(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{1/2}f), \quad \Gamma(f, f) = \mathcal{M}^{-1/2}Q(\mathcal{M}^{1/2}f, \mathcal{M}^{1/2}f).$$

The null space of L is a five-dimensional vector space spanned by an orthonormal basis $\{\chi_i\}_{i=0}^4$, where

$$\text{Ker}(L) = \{\chi_0, \chi_i, \chi_4\} = \left\{ \mathcal{M}^{1/2}, \xi_i \mathcal{M}^{1/2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(|\xi|^2 - 3)\mathcal{M}^{1/2} \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Based on this property, one can introduce the macro-micro decomposition: let P_0 be the orthogonal projection with respect to the L_ξ^2 inner product onto $\text{Ker}(L)$, and $P_1 \equiv \text{Id} - P_0$.

The goal of this paper is to study the explicit space-time pointwise structure of (1.3).

1.2. Notations. In order to proceed, we introduce some notations that will be frequently used throughout the paper. We denote $\langle \xi \rangle^\beta = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\beta/2}$ and $\langle \xi \rangle_D^\beta = (D^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\beta/2}$ with $D > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For the microscopic variable ξ , we denote

$$|g|_{L_\xi^q} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g|^q d\xi \right)^{1/q} \text{ if } 1 \leq q < \infty, \quad |g|_{L_\xi^\infty} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} |g(\xi)|,$$

and the weighted norms can be defined by

$$|g|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^q} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\langle \xi \rangle^\beta g|^q d\xi \right)^{1/q} \text{ if } 1 \leq q < \infty, \quad |g|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} |\langle \xi \rangle^\beta g(\xi)|.$$

The L_ξ^2 inner product in \mathbb{R}^3 will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\xi$, i.e.,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(\xi) \overline{g(\xi)} d\xi.$$

For the Boltzmann equation, the natural norm in ξ is $|\cdot|_{L_\xi^2}$, which is defined as

$$|g|_{L_\xi^2}^2 = \left| \langle \xi \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} g \right|_{L_\xi^2}^2.$$

For the space variable x , we have similar notations, namely,

$$|g|_{L_x^q} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \text{ if } 1 \leq q < \infty, \quad |g|_{L_x^\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |g(x)|.$$

Finally, with \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} being two normed spaces, we define

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}} = \| |g|_{\mathcal{Y}} \|_{\mathcal{X}},$$

and for simplicity, we denote

$$\|g\|_{L^2} = \|g\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g|_{L_x^2}^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

For any two functions $f(x, t)$ and $g(x, t)$, we define the space-time convolution as

$$f(x, t) *_{x, t} g(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x - y, t - \tau) g(y, \tau) dy d\tau.$$

For simplicity of notations, hereafter, we abbreviate $\leq C$ to \lesssim , where C is a constant depending only on fixed numbers.

1.3. Review of previous works and main difficulties. The perturbation equation (1.3) has been studied extensively using spectral analysis and energy methods; see the classical works [4, 15, 14, 21, 22] and references therein. These studies assume smooth initial data with high Sobolev regularity and obtain decay of the perturbation in a global norm.

To better understand the solution's quantitative aspects, such as its singularity structure and wave behavior, [16] initiated research on the Green's function and space-time pointwise behavior for the 1D Boltzmann equation with hard sphere. This approach has proven crucial for the study of the initial-boundary value problem [18], pointwise shock stability [27], and invariant manifold theory [20]. Pointwise behaviors have also been studied for the 3D linearized Boltzmann equation [17, 19], the Boltzmann equation coupled with an electromagnetic field [6, 7], and the Boltzmann equation with polynomial tail perturbation [13]. Notably, all of these pointwise results are limited to the hard sphere model.

Therefore, it is natural to study the pointwise behavior for other potentials, such as hard, Maxwell gas, and soft potentials, with or without an angular cutoff. In particular, it would be very interesting to understand how solutions behave differently across these models. Some attempts have been made to study the pointwise structures of Boltzmann equation with hard potentials by the authors and others [5, 9, 10], but only at the linearized level. These studies encounter a weight loss in microscopic velocity in the linear estimates, which prevents the nonlinear iteration and closure that has been achieved for the hard sphere case. Incidentally, if one focuses only on spatial asymptotic behavior of the solution, without detailed descriptions inside the finite Mach region, the weighted energy method can be used to obtain the desired results for the nonlinear problem [8].

Let us examine the challenges in more detail. It is known that for the angular cutoff model, the linearized collision operator L in (1.3) consists of a multiplicative operator $\nu(\xi)$ and an integral operator K :

$$Lh = -\nu(\xi)h + Kh,$$

where $\nu(\xi) \sim (1 + |\xi|)^\gamma$ depends on the potential. The linearized Boltzmann equation can be expressed as

$$\partial_t h + \xi \cdot \nabla h + \nu(\xi)h = Kh, \quad h|_{t=0} = h_0. \quad (1.4)$$

Let \mathbb{S}^t denote the solution operator for the damped transport equation on the left-hand side of (1.4), which is explicitly given by

$$\mathbb{S}^t h_0 = e^{-\nu(\xi)t} h_0(x - \xi t, \xi),$$

satisfying

$$|\mathbb{S}^t h_0| = |e^{-\nu(\xi)t} h_0(x - \xi t, \xi)| \lesssim e^{-\nu_0(1+|\xi|)^\gamma t} |h_0(x - \xi t, \xi)|. \quad (1.5)$$

In the soft potential case, where γ is negative, the damping in the exponent becomes degenerate as ξ grows large. This indicates that to achieve time decay, one must sacrifice some weight in the microscopic velocity, as discussed in [1, 8, 11], etc.

In the hard potential case, letting $x - \xi t = y$ and assuming that the initial data h_0 is compactly supported in space, say $h_0(x, \cdot) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$, it readily follows from (1.5) that

$$\|\mathbb{S}^t h_0\| \lesssim \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu_0(t+|x-y|)^\gamma t^{1-\gamma}} |h_0(y, \cdot)|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim e^{-\frac{t+|x|^\gamma t^{1-\gamma}}{C}} \|h_0\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}. \quad (1.6)$$

When $\gamma = 1$, i.e., the hard sphere case, we have

$$\|\mathbb{S}^t h_0\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \lesssim e^{-\frac{t+|x|}{C}} \|h_0\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}.$$

Note that the solution and initial data are in the same weighted space.

By Duhamel's principle, the solution h to (1.4) solves the integral equation

$$h(t) = \mathbb{S}^t h_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{S}^{t-\tau} K h(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then, a Picard-type iteration can be designed to extract the first several terms while *maintaining the space-time exponential decay structure*. These terms represent the most singular part of the solution and are referred as *particle-like waves*. The interplay between operators \mathbb{S}^t and K provides a regularization mechanism for the remainder, known as the Mixture Lemma [16], which we will return to shortly.

However, in the hard potential and Maxwell gas cases where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, one observes from (1.6) that the spatial variable x can become very large while the damping exponent remains only of order $O(1)$. This implies that, although the initial data is compactly supported near the origin, it can quickly spread throughout the whole space without decay as time progresses due to the large microscopic velocity. To achieve spatial decay, we need to sacrifice some velocity weight, similarly to the trade-off required in the soft potential case to obtain time decay. Moreover, velocity weight is sacrificed at each step in the Picard-type iteration, leading to a cumulative loss of velocity weight in the linear estimate, see [9, 10]. Thus, the nonlinear closure procedure for the hard sphere case cannot be applied.

On the other hand, classical spectral analysis [2, 16, 23] shows that for values of γ within the range $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, the discrete spectrum of the semigroup for the linearized Boltzmann equation, $e^{(-\xi \cdot \nabla + L)t}$, exhibit similar behavior, corresponding to the *fluid-like component* of the solution and dominating its large-time behavior. Intuitively, the macroscopic fluid behavior is associated with thermodynamic equilibrium, close to a Maxwellian, and should be irrelevant to the loss of velocity weight.

Therefore, to overcome the loss of velocity weight while preserving the space-time structure of the solution, we need to develop a more complete separation of the particle and fluid parts in the linear estimates and implement a corresponding decomposition at the nonlinear level.

1.4. Key ingredients and ideas. Now we introduce the key ingredients and ideas to realize the complete particle-fluid decomposition.

1.4.1. Enhanced Mixture Lemma. Motivated by (1.4), a Picard-type iteration is designed by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h^{(0)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h^{(0)} + \nu(\xi) h^{(0)} &= 0, & h^{(0)}|_{t=0} &= h_0, \\ \partial_t h^{(m)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h^{(m)} + \nu(\xi) h^{(m)} &= K h^{(m-1)}, & h^{(m)}|_{t=0} &= 0, \text{ for } m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The solution can be written down explicitly

$$h^{(m)}(t, x, \xi) = \mathbf{M}_m^t h_0, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where *mixture operator* \mathbf{M}_m^t is defined by

$$\mathbf{M}_m^t h_0(x, \xi) = \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \mathbb{S}^{t-t_1} K \mathbb{S}^{t_1-t_2} K \cdots \mathbb{S}^{t_{m-1}-t_m} K \mathbb{S}^{t_m} h_0 dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_m.$$

Heuristically, we can consider K as a collision with ambient particles that obey a Maxwellian distribution, and regard the number of mixing as the number of times a particle collides with background during traveling. The more collisions the particles undergo, the closer they approach thermo-equilibrium.

As shown in [16], the mixture operator \mathbf{M}_m^t can transfer regularity from the velocity variable to the spatial variable. Specifically, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1 (Mixture Lemma, [16]). *For each given $k \geq 0$, there exists a positive constant C_k such that*

$$\|\partial_x^k \mathbf{M}_{2k}^t h_0\|_{L_x^2(L_\xi^2)} \leq C_k e^{-\nu_0 t} \left(\|h_0\|_{L_x^2(L_\xi^2)} + \|\partial_\xi^k h_0\|_{L_x^2(L_\xi^2)} \right).$$

In the hard sphere case, as previously mentioned, particle-like waves decay exponentially in both space and time while retaining velocity weight, so the primary concern here is regularity. The above mixture lemma is sufficient for this purpose.

However, for hard potentials, additional challenges arise, including the loss of velocity weight and spatial decay. We therefore develop an enhanced version of the mixture lemma, which demonstrates that mixing not only transfers regularity from velocity to space, but also generates regularity and decay in both space and velocity up to an arbitrary order as one desires.

Lemma 1.2 (Enhanced Mixture Lemma).

(1) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, any $P \geq 0$, when

$$N \geq \max \left\{ P + \frac{|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha|\gamma}{2}, 3(|\alpha| + |\beta|) \right\},$$

we have

$$\left\| (1 + |\xi|)^P \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0 \right\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2} \leq C e^{-ct} \|h_0\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2}.$$

(2) Suppose $h_0(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, any $M, P \geq 0$, any $Q \in \mathbb{R}$, when

$$N \geq \max \left\{ \frac{P + (|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha|\gamma) + M(1 - \gamma) - Q}{2}, 3(|\alpha| + |\beta|) \right\},$$

we have

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0(t, x, \xi) \right| \leq C e^{-c_0 \nu(\xi)t} (1 + |x|)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty}.$$

1.4.2. *Particle-fluid duality.* With the Enhanced Mixture Lemma, we decompose the solution into a particle-like part, which corresponds to those experiencing insufficient collisions, and a fluid-like part, which constitutes the remaining part. Specifically, we define:

$$\mathbb{G}_*^t h_0 \equiv \sum_{k=0}^N h^{(k)}, \quad \mathbb{G}_\#^t h_0 \equiv h - \mathbb{G}_*^t h_0,$$

where h is the solution to linearized Boltzmann equation (1.4), and N is an integer chosen based on our needs. The fluid-like part $\mathbb{G}_\#^t h_0$, denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{(N)}$ for simplicity, satisfies

$$\partial_t \mathcal{R}^{(N)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{R}^{(N)} - L \mathcal{R}^{(N)} = K h^{(N)}, \quad \mathcal{R}^{(N)}|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Thanks to the Enhanced Mixture Lemma, the source term $K h^{(N)}$ provides sufficient velocity decay and spatial decay. However, this decomposition has a drawback: the solution operator \mathbb{G}^t gains an extra decay of $(1 + t)^{-1/2}$ when acting on purely microscopic data, but the decomposition above breaks the macro-micro structure. By combining the decompositions from both the spectral and particle-fluid perspectives, we can reassemble the solution operator to restore the separation-of-scale property (see Theorem 3.1).

The result reveals a duality between the particle and fluid aspects in the Boltzmann equation. The particle-like part inherits the singularity of the initial data, decays quickly in time, and its spatial decay rate depends on the intermolecular potential and initial velocity weight. Conversely, the fluid-like part is regular, governs the large-time behavior, decays rapidly in velocity, and propagates at essentially finite speed in space-time.

1.4.3. *Decomposition of the nonlinear solution.* Due to nonlinear interactions, we cannot expect a fully separation of the particle and fluid components for the nonlinear problem, as is possible for the linearized case. Nevertheless, given their distinct structures, we use \mathbb{G}_*^t and $\mathbb{G}_\#^t$ to decompose the solution to the nonlinear problem (1.3) through the following coupled integral system:

$$\begin{cases} f_* = \varepsilon \mathbb{G}_*^t f_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{G}_*^{t-\tau} \Gamma(f_* + f_\#, f_* + f_\#)(\tau) d\tau, \\ f_\# = \varepsilon \mathbb{G}_\#^t f_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{G}_\#^{t-\tau} \Gamma(f_* + f_\#, f_* + f_\#)(\tau) d\tau. \end{cases}$$

For f_* , the loss of velocity weight is intrinsic; we account for this by sacrificing some velocity weight and applying interpolation techniques to obtain the desired structure. In the case of $f_\#$, no velocity weight is lost, and we carry out the nonlinear wave interaction to reveal an explicit space-time structure, where the scale separation property of $\mathbb{G}_\#^t$ plays a crucial role.

To close the nonlinear problem, we propose a polynomial-type ansatz to facilitate estimates. However, since the initial data has compact spatial support and the fluid part should propagate at a finite speed, we expect the solution to exhibit faster decay outside the sound wave cone.

We further employ a weighted energy method to improve the spatial asymptotic behavior of the solution.

1.5. Main result. Finally, we state the main theorem of this paper, which is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, as below:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $\beta > 3/2 + \gamma$ and $\theta_0 \geq 42 - 34\gamma$. Assume that f_0 is compactly supported in the variable x for all ξ , namely,*

$$f_0(x, \xi) \equiv 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq 1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and $f_0(x, \cdot) \in L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty$, where $\hat{\beta} = \beta + \theta_0$. For any fixed $\delta > 0$, there exists a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the solution f of the nonlinear problem (1.3) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_{L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon \left[\Phi_m(x, t) + (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\theta_0}{1-\gamma}} \right] \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq (\mathbf{c}+\delta)t\}} \left[(1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{c}t, t) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where Φ_m and B_k are defined in (2.5), and m can be any large positive number. Here, $\mathbf{c} = \sqrt{5/3}$ is the sound speed associated with the global Maxwellian \mathcal{M} .

Remark 1.1. *The estimate of solution includes terms of orders ε and ε^2 . The ε order terms represent linear waves, such as Huygens, diffusion, and Riesz waves. The ε^2 order terms arise from nonlinear interactions between these basic waves. They consist of polynomial versions of Huygens and diffusion waves, and primarily concentrate inside the sound wave cone. Compared to the linear waves, the nonlinear waves not only have a smaller magnitude (order ε^2), but also decay faster by a factor $(1+t)^{-1/2}$ than their linear counterparts.*

Remark 1.2. *Compared to the estimates for hard sphere model (see e.g. [13]), the linear waves here have a polynomial sharp estimate, while in the hard-sphere model they are exponentially sharp. This difference arises because, for hard potentials, the discrete spectrum lacks analyticity, unlike the hard-sphere case. The estimates for the nonlinear part remain the same across both models. The key distinction appears in the spatial asymptotic behavior: for the hard-sphere model, the decay is exponential, while for hard potentials, the decay rate depends on both γ and the velocity weight of the initial data.*

1.6. Organization of the paper. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we first present the basic properties of the collision operator, review existing linear estimates, and introduce new derivative estimates for the integral operator K . In Section 3, we prove the Enhanced Mixture Lemma, decompose the solution operator into particle-like and fluid-like parts, and establish their respective estimates. In Section 4, building on the linear estimates, we construct a particle-fluid decomposition for the nonlinear solution as well, develop the space-time structure within the sound wave cone through nonlinear wave interactions, and determine the spatial asymptotic behavior outside the sound wave cone using weighted energy estimates.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this preliminary section, we will review some basic properties of the linearized collision operator L and the collision operator Γ . Afterwards, we introduce several existing estimates for the linearized equation, and finally, we will prove some new derivative estimates for the operator K , which is useful in establishing the Enhanced Mixture Lemma.

2.1. Basic properties of L and Γ . The linearized collision operator L , which has been analyzed extensively by Grad [3], consists of a multiplication $\nu(\xi)$ and an integral operator $K = -K_1 + K_2$:

$$Lf = -\nu(\xi)f + Kf,$$

where

$$\nu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{M}(\xi_*) B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \Omega) d\Omega d\xi_*, \quad (2.1)$$

$$K_1 f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_1(\xi, \xi_*) f(\xi_*) d\xi_*,$$

with

$$k_1(\xi, \xi_*) = \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \Omega) d\Omega,$$

and

$$K_2 f = \mathcal{M}^{-1/2}(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \left(\mathcal{M}(\xi') \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi'_*) + \mathcal{M}(\xi'_*) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi') f(\xi') \right) B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \Omega) d\Omega d\xi_*.$$

In view of (2.1),

$$\nu(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \gamma_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi - \xi_*|^\gamma e^{-\frac{|\xi_*|^2}{2}} d\xi_*, \quad \gamma_0 = \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \beta(\theta) d\Omega.$$

In this subsection, we present a number of basic properties and estimates of the operators L , $\nu(\xi)$ and K , which can be found in [3, 9].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. For any $g \in L_\sigma^2$, we have the coercivity of the linearized collision operator L , that is, there exists a positive constant ν_0 such that*

$$\langle g, Lg \rangle_\xi \leq -\nu_0 \|Pg\|_{L_\sigma^2}^2.$$

For the multiplicative operator $\nu(\xi)$, there are positive constants ν_0 and ν_1 such that

$$\nu_0 \langle \xi \rangle^\gamma \leq \nu(\xi) \leq \nu_1 \langle \xi \rangle^\gamma,$$

and for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$,

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \nu(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{\gamma - |\alpha|}. \quad (2.2)$$

For the integral operator K ,

$$Kf = -K_1 f + K_2 f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -k_1(\xi, \xi_*) f(\xi_*) d\xi_* + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_2(\xi, \xi_*) f(\xi_*) d\xi_*,$$

the kernels $k_1(\xi, \xi_*)$ and $k_2(\xi, \xi_*)$ satisfy

$$k_1(\xi, \xi_*) \lesssim |\xi - \xi_*|^\gamma \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} (|\xi|^2 + |\xi_*|^2) \right\},$$

and

$$k_2(\xi, \xi_*) = a(\xi, \xi_*, \kappa) \exp \left(-\frac{(1-\kappa)}{8} \left[\frac{(|\xi|^2 - |\xi_*|^2)^2}{|\xi - \xi_*|^2} + |\xi - \xi_*|^2 \right] \right),$$

for any $0 < \kappa < 1$, together with

$$a(\xi, \xi_*, \kappa) \leq C_\kappa |\xi - \xi_*|^{-1} (1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma-1}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(\xi, \eta)| \langle \eta \rangle^\tau d\eta \lesssim \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau+\gamma-2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k(\xi, \eta)| \langle \xi \rangle^\tau d\xi \lesssim \langle \eta \rangle^{\tau+\gamma-2}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$|Kg|_{L_{\xi, \tau+2-\gamma}^q} \lesssim |g|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^q}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

For the nonlinear operator Γ , one has

Lemma 2.2 ([24]). *Let $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $\tau \geq 0$. Then*

$$|\nu^{-1}(\xi) \Gamma(h_1, h_2)|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^\infty} \lesssim |h_1|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^\infty} |h_2|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^\infty}.$$

2.2. Review of the linearized Boltzmann equation. In this subsection, we consider the linearized Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t h + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h = Lh, \quad h|_{t=0} = h_0. \quad (2.3)$$

We will review some basic properties of the solution. To analyze the precise structure of the solution, the plan is to use spectral information to decompose the linearized Boltzmann equation into two parts: fluid part and non-fluid part. According to the semigroup theory, the solution of the linearized Boltzmann equation h can be represented by

$$h(t, x, \xi) = \mathbb{G}^t h_0 = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \eta} e^{(-i\xi \cdot \eta + L)t} \hat{h}_0(\eta) d\eta,$$

where \hat{h}_0 is the Fourier transformation of h_0 with respect to the space variable x . Based on the spectrum analysis of the operator $-i\xi \cdot \eta + L$, the semigroup $e^{(-i\xi \cdot \eta + L)t}$ can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(-i\xi \cdot \eta + L)t} &= \chi_\delta(\eta) \sum_{j=0}^4 e^{\lambda_j(\eta)t} |e_j(\eta)\rangle \langle e_j(\eta)| + \chi_\delta(\eta) e^{(-i\xi \cdot \eta + L)t} \Pi_\eta^\perp + (1 - \chi_\delta(\eta)) e^{(-i\xi \cdot \eta + L)t} \\ &=: \hat{\mathbb{G}}_{L;0}(\eta, t) + \hat{\mathbb{G}}_{L;\perp}(\eta, t) + \hat{\mathbb{G}}_S(\eta, t) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_\delta(\eta)$ is a smooth cutoff function with $0 \leq \chi_\delta \leq 1$, $\chi_\delta(\eta) = 1$ for $|\eta| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and 0 for $|\eta| \geq \delta$, for $\delta > 0$ sufficient small. Note that the spectrums of $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_{L;\perp}(\eta, t)$ and $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_S(\eta, t)$ are strictly away from the imaginary axis (with negative real part). Moreover for $|\eta| \ll 1$, the spectrum of the operator $-i\xi \cdot \eta + L$ consists of exactly five eigenvalues $\lambda_j(\eta)$ ($0 \leq j \leq 4$) associated with the corresponding eigenfunctions $e_j(\eta)$ ([2, 17, 26]):

$$\lambda_j(\eta) = -i a_j |\eta| - A_j |\eta|^2 + O(|\eta|^3),$$

$$e_j(\eta) = E_j + O(|\eta|),$$

here $A_j > 0$, $\langle e_j(-\eta), e_l(\eta) \rangle_\xi = \delta_{jl}$, $0 \leq j, l \leq 4$ and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_0(\xi \cdot \omega) P_0 E_j = P_0(\xi \cdot \omega) E_j = a_j E_j, \\ a_0 = \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}, \quad a_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}, \quad a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0, \\ E_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}} \chi_0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \omega \cdot \bar{\chi} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} \chi_4, \\ E_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}} \chi_0 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \omega \cdot \bar{\chi} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} \chi_4, \\ E_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \chi_0 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \chi_4, \\ E_3 = \omega_1 \cdot \bar{\chi}, \\ E_4 = \omega_2 \cdot \bar{\chi}, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.4)$$

where $\bar{\chi} = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$, $\eta = |\eta| \omega$ ($\omega \in S^2$) and $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . And then we define

$$\begin{aligned} h_{L;0} &= \mathbb{G}_{L;0}^t h_0 = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_{L;0}(\eta, t) \hat{h}_0(\eta) d\eta, \\ h_{L;\perp} &= \mathbb{G}_{L;\perp}^t h_0 = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_{L;\perp}(\eta, t) \hat{h}_0(\eta) d\eta, \\ h_S &= \mathbb{G}_S^t h_0 = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_S(\eta, t) \hat{h}_0(\eta) d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

called the fluid part and nonfluid part of the long wave of h , and the short wave of h , respectively. In the meanwhile, we define

$$\begin{aligned} h_{0L} &:= (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \chi_\delta(\eta) \hat{h}_0(\eta) d\eta, \\ h_{0S} &:= (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \eta} (1 - \chi_\delta(\eta)) \hat{h}_0(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

One can see that the long wave $h_L := h_{L;0} + h_{L;\perp}$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_L + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h_L = L h_L, \\ h_L(0, x, \xi) = h_{0L}(x, \xi), \end{cases}$$

and the short wave satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_S + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h_S = L h_S, \\ h_S(0, x, \xi) = h_{0S}(x, \xi). \end{cases}$$

The pointwise behavior of the leading fluid part is determined by how the solution depends on the Fourier transformed variable of the spatial variable η , i.e., smoothly or analytically. For the three space dimensional Boltzmann equation with hard sphere [17, 19], with the analytic property of the eigenfunction $\lambda_j(|\eta|)$, one has the fluid structure of the exponential type. However, for the hard potential case $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, the dependence is no more analytic. We apply the multiplier method and follow the idea from hard sphere to obtain the almost exponential pointwise estimate in [25].

Proposition 2.2. *Let h be a solution of the linearized Boltzmann equation (2.3) with the initial data h_0 . Then for any multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, any $Q \geq 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbb{G}_{L;0}^t h_0 \right|_{L_{\xi,Q}^\infty} &\lesssim \left[(1+t)^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \Phi_m(t, x) + e^{-ct} \right] \|h_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_\xi^2}, \\ \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbb{G}_{L;0}^t P_1 h_0 \right|_{L_{\xi,Q}^\infty} &\lesssim \left[(1+t)^{-\frac{|\alpha|+1}{2}} \Phi_m(t, x) + e^{-ct} \right] \|h_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_\xi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\Phi_m(t, x) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq ct\}} (1+t)^{-3/2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) \\ + (1+t)^{-3/2} B_m(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-2} B_m(|x| - ct, t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $m > 0$, the number $\mathbf{c} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}$ is the sound speed, $\mathbf{1}_D$ is the characteristic function of the set D and

$$B_m(z, t) = \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{1+t} \right)^{-m}.$$

We also have the following time decay estimates from [12]:

Proposition 2.3. *Let h be a solution of the linearized Boltzmann equation (2.3) with the initial data h_0 . Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, any $Q > 3/2$*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbb{G}_{L;0}^t h_0 \right\|_{L_{\xi,Q}^\infty L_x^\infty} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|h_0\|_{L_\xi^\infty (L_x^\infty \cap L_x^1)}, \\ \left\| \mathbb{G}_S^t h_0 \right\|_{L_{\xi,Q}^\infty L_x^\infty} &\lesssim e^{-ct} \left(\|h_0\|_{L_{\xi,Q}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|h_0\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2} \right), \\ \left\| \partial_x^\alpha \mathbb{G}_{L;\perp}^t h_0 \right\|_{L_{\xi,Q}^\infty L_x^\infty} &\lesssim e^{-ct} \left(\|h_0\|_{L_{\xi,Q}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|h_0\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

2.3. New derivative estimates of the integral operator K . In this subsection, we revisit the integral operator K . We will study its derivative estimates, which is necessary for the proof of the Enhanced Mixture Lemma.

2.3.1. Estimate of K_1 operator. The estimates for K_1 operator are straightforward. It is an integral operator with kernel $k_1(\xi, \xi_*)$, i.e.,

$$K_1 f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_1(\xi, \xi_*) f(\xi_*) d\xi_*$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(\xi, \xi_*) &= \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} M^{1/2}(\xi_*) B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \Omega) d\Omega \\ &= (2\pi)^{-3/2} \gamma_0 |\xi_* - \xi|^\gamma e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2 + |\xi_*|^2}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\xi_* + \xi = u, \quad \xi_* - \xi = v,$$

we have

$$\partial_{\xi_*} = \partial_u + \partial_v, \quad \partial_\xi = \partial_u - \partial_v.$$

In terms of u and v , k_1 is expressed as (by abuse of notation, and without causing confusion)

$$k_1(u, v) = C|v|^\gamma e^{-\frac{|u|^2+|v|^2}{8}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_u^\beta k_1(u, v)| &\leq C|v|^\gamma e^{-\frac{|u|^2+|v|^2}{9}}, \quad |\partial_v^\beta k_1(u, v)| \leq C|v|^{\gamma-|\beta|} e^{-\frac{|u|^2+|v|^2}{9}}, \\ |\partial_u^{\beta_1} \partial_v^{\beta_2} k_1(u, v)| &\leq C|v|^{\gamma-|\beta_2|} e^{-\frac{|u|^2+|v|^2}{9}}. \end{aligned}$$

2.3.2. *Estimate of K_2 .* We first use Hilbert-Carleman coordinates to express the operator K_2 in terms of a kernel function $k_2(\xi, \eta)$. Although this procedure is standard, we include the details here for the reader's convenience. With this representation, we then perform derivative estimates.

By conservation of energy during the collision

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi)\mathcal{M}(\xi_*) = \mathcal{M}(\xi')\mathcal{M}(\xi'_*),$$

one has

$$K_2 f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \left(\mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi') f(\xi'_*) + \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi') \right) B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \Omega) d\Omega d\xi_*.$$

Let $V = \xi_* - \xi$. For fixed $\Omega \in \mathbf{S}^2$, write

$$V = V_{\parallel} + V_{\perp}$$

with

$$V_{\parallel} = (\Omega \cdot V)\Omega, \quad V_{\perp} = V - V_{\parallel} = (\Omega_{\perp} \cdot V)\Omega_{\perp},$$

where Ω_{\perp} is a unit vector perpendicular to Ω . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi' &= \xi - \xi_* + \xi_* + (\Omega \cdot V)\Omega = \xi_* - [V - (\Omega \cdot V)\Omega] = \xi_* - (\Omega_{\perp} \cdot V)\Omega_{\perp}, \\ \xi'_* &= \xi_* - \xi + \xi - (\Omega \cdot V)\Omega = \xi + [V - (\Omega \cdot V)\Omega] = \xi + (\Omega_{\perp} \cdot V)\Omega_{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting a coordinate frame with V pointing to the north pole, Ω can be expressed as

$$\Omega = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta),$$

where θ is the angle between V and Ω . Note that B depends only on the $|\cos \theta|$. Then

$$K_2 f = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \left(\mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi') f(\xi'_*) + \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi') \right) B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta d\xi_*.$$

Under the transformation $\theta \rightarrow \pi/2 - \theta$, $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \pi$, Ω will change to Ω_{\perp} , and $\xi' \rightarrow \xi'_*$, $\xi'_* \rightarrow \xi'$, so that the first term in $K_2 f$ becomes

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi') B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta) \cos \theta d\varphi d\theta d\xi_*.$$

Hence,

$$K_2 f = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi') \left[B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) + B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta) \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \right] \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta d\xi_*.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{B}(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) = \begin{cases} B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) + B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta) \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}, & \theta \in (0, \pi/2), \\ B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \pi - \theta) + B(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}) \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}, & \theta \in (\pi/2, \pi). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{B}(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) = \mathcal{B}(|\xi_* - \xi|, \pi - \theta), \quad \theta \in (0, \pi),$$

and so the angle integral can be rewritten as a sphere integral

$$K_2 f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi') \mathcal{B}(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) d\Omega d\xi_*.$$

Switching the integration order gives

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 f &= \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{V_{\perp} \perp \Omega} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi'_*) \mathcal{B}(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) d^2 V_{\perp} dz d\Omega \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{V_{\perp} \perp \Omega} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi'_*) \mathcal{B}(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) d^2 V_{\perp} dz d\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi_* - \xi = V = z\Omega + V_{\perp}.$$

Combining z and Ω together, $V_{\parallel} = z\Omega$ and we get a three dimensional integral with volume element

$$d^3 V_{\parallel} = z^2 dz d\Omega,$$

and

$$\xi_* = \xi + V = \xi + V_{\parallel} + V_{\perp}, \quad \xi' = \xi + (\Omega \cdot V)\Omega = \xi + V_{\parallel}, \quad \xi'_* = \xi_* - (\Omega \cdot V)\Omega = \xi + V_{\perp}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 f &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{V_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi'_*) f(\xi'_*) \mathcal{B}(|\xi_* - \xi|, \theta) d^2 V_{\perp} \frac{1}{|V_{\parallel}|^2} d^3 V_{\parallel} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\frac{2}{|V_{\parallel}|^2} \int_{V_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi + V_{\parallel} + V_{\perp}) \mathcal{M}^{1/2}(\xi + V_{\perp}) \mathcal{B}(|V|, \theta) d^2 V_{\perp} \right] f(\xi + V_{\parallel}) d^3 V_{\parallel} \\ &=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_{\parallel}) f(\xi + V_{\parallel}) d^3 V_{\parallel} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, \eta - \xi) f(\eta) d^3 \eta \\ &=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_2(\xi, \eta) f(\eta) d^3 \eta \end{aligned}$$

with $\cos \theta = \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V_{\perp}|^2}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_{\parallel}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^3} |V_{\parallel}|} \int_{V_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} |\xi + V_{\parallel} + V_{\perp}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\xi + V_{\perp}|^2 \right\} \\ &\quad (|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\beta(\theta) + \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \frac{|\cos \theta|}{|\sin \theta|} \right)}{|\cos \theta|} d^2 V_{\perp}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In fact, we can further write it into a more compact form

$$\tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_{\parallel}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{2-\gamma}} \int_{V_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} e^{-\frac{1}{4} |\xi + V_{\parallel} + V_{\perp}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\xi + V_{\perp}|^2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_{\perp}|}{|V_{\parallel}|}\right) d^2 V_{\perp},$$

with

$$\Theta\left(\frac{|V_{\perp}|}{|V_{\parallel}|}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{|V_{\perp}|^2}{|V_{\parallel}|^2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \frac{\beta(\arctan \frac{|V_{\perp}|}{|V_{\parallel}|}) + \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{|V_{\perp}|}{|V_{\parallel}|}\right) \left(\frac{|V_{\perp}|}{|V_{\parallel}|}\right)^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{|V_{\perp}|^2}{|V_{\parallel}|^2}}}.$$

Remark 2.1. Note that for hard sphere model, $\Theta\left(\frac{|V_{\perp}|}{|V_{\parallel}|}\right) = 1$.

The primary goal in this subsection is to give the high order derivatives (both in ξ, V_{\parallel}) estimates of $\tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_{\parallel})$. The ξ -derivatives are easy to obtain, while the V_{\parallel} -derivatives is more subtle.

Choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_1, e_2, \frac{V_{\parallel}}{|V_{\parallel}|}\right\}$ for \mathbb{R}^3 which depends on V_{\parallel} . Then $V_{\perp} \in \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$. At first glance, the integral plane depends on the direction of V_{\parallel} . However, a close look at the integrand shows the variation of the coordinate frame does not have contribution. In fact, the integrand depends on V_{\perp} through functions of the following forms

$$|V_{\perp}|, \quad \langle \xi, V_{\perp} \rangle = V_{\perp} \cdot \xi_{\perp},$$

where ξ_{\perp} is the projection of ξ to the component perpendicular to V_{\parallel} . The inner product is preserved under the variation of the direction of normal vector. Therefore,

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^3}} \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \left[\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2}}{|V_\parallel|^{2-\gamma}} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \right] d^2 V_\perp,$$

for multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$. The derivative is the sum of the following terms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2}, \\ & \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \partial_{V_\parallel^i} [|V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2}], \\ & \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \left[\Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. For the hard sphere model, the third term vanishes.

The first and second ones are easy to handle. For the first one, direct inductions show that for any $0 < q' < 1$,

$$\left| \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} \right| \leq C e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2}.$$

For the second one,

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \partial_{V_\parallel^i} [|V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2}] \right| \leq C e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-3}.$$

The third one is more subtle. Observing the following identity

$$\nabla_{V_\parallel} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) = -\Theta'\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|^3} V_\parallel, \quad \nabla_{V_\perp} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) = \Theta'\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{V_\perp}{|V_\parallel| |V_\perp|},$$

we thus have

$$\partial_{V_\parallel^i} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) = -(V_\perp \cdot \nabla_{V_\perp}) \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{V_\parallel^i}{|V_\parallel|^2}.$$

Using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \left[\Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \right] d^2 V_\perp \\ &= - \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} (V_\perp \cdot \nabla_{V_\perp}) \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{V_\parallel^i}{|V_\parallel|^2} d^2 V_\perp \\ &= 2 \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{V_\parallel^i}{|V_\parallel|^2} d^2 V_\perp \\ & \quad + \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[(V_\perp \cdot \nabla_{V_\perp}) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{V_\parallel^i}{|V_\parallel|^2} d^2 V_\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{V_\parallel^i}{|V_\parallel|^2} \right| \leq C e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-3},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[(V_\perp \cdot \nabla_{V_\perp}) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] \right| \\ &= \left| -\partial_\xi^\alpha \left[(\xi + V_\perp) \cdot V_\perp e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] \right| \\ &= \left| -[(\xi + V_\perp) \cdot V_\perp] \partial_\xi^\alpha \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] - \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha, |\alpha_1|=1} V_\perp^{\alpha_1} \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\alpha_1} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\parallel+V_\perp|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|\xi+V_\perp|^2} \right] \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(1 + |\xi_\perp|) e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\parallel + V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\perp|^2},$$

for any $0 < q' < 1$. Combining all the above estimates, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel) \right| &\leq C \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\parallel + V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\perp|^2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-2} d^2 V_\perp \\ &\quad + C \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\parallel + V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\perp|^2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-3} d^2 V_\perp \\ &\quad + C(1 + |\xi_\perp|) \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\parallel + V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\perp|^2} \Theta\left(\frac{|V_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|}\right) |V_\parallel|^{\gamma-3} d^2 V_\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Returning back to the expression similar to (2.6), one has

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel) \right| \leq C \frac{1 + |V_\parallel| + |\xi_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|^2} \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\parallel + V_\perp|^2 - \frac{q'}{4}|\xi + V_\perp|^2} \beta^*(\theta)}{(|V_\parallel|^2 + |V_\perp|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} |\cos \theta|} d^2 V_\perp,$$

where $\beta^*(\theta) = \beta(\theta) + \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \frac{|\cos \theta|}{|\sin \theta|}$.

In light of the following basic identity

$$|\xi + V_\parallel + V_\perp|^2 + |\xi + V_\perp|^2 = 2|V_\perp + \xi + \frac{V_\parallel}{2}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|V_\parallel|^2 = 2|V_\perp + \xi_\perp|^2 + 2|\xi_\parallel + \frac{V_\parallel}{2}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|V_\parallel|^2,$$

where

$$\xi = \xi_\parallel + \xi_\perp, \quad \xi_\parallel = \left(\xi \cdot \frac{V_\parallel}{|V_\parallel|}\right) \frac{V_\parallel}{|V_\parallel|}, \quad \xi_\perp = \xi - \xi_\parallel = \frac{V_\parallel \wedge (\xi \wedge V_\parallel)}{|V_\parallel|^2},$$

and the fact that $\frac{\beta^*(\theta)}{|\cos \theta|} \leq C$, one obtains

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel) \right| \leq C \frac{1 + |V_\parallel| + |\xi_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|^2} e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|\xi_\parallel + \frac{V_\parallel}{2}|^2 - \frac{q'}{8}|V_\parallel|^2} \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|V_\perp + \xi_\perp|^2}}{(|V_\parallel|^2 + |V_\perp|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} d^2 V_\perp.$$

When there is no V_\parallel -derivative, one easily gets

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|V_\parallel|} e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|\xi_\parallel + \frac{V_\parallel}{2}|^2 - \frac{q'}{8}|V_\parallel|^2} \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|V_\perp + \xi_\perp|^2}}{(|V_\parallel|^2 + |V_\perp|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} d^2 V_\perp.$$

In view of Proposition 5.2 in [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|V_\perp + \xi_\perp|^2}}{(|V_\parallel|^2 + |V_\perp|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} d^2 V_\perp &= \int_{w \perp V_\parallel} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|w|^2}}{(|V_\parallel|^2 + |w - \xi_\perp|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} d^2 w \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(1 + |V_\parallel| + |\xi_\perp|)^{1-\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the following estimates for $\tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel)$ hold:

Lemma 2.3. *For any $|\alpha| \geq 0$, $0 < q' < 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel) \right| &\leq \frac{C}{|V_\parallel|} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|\xi_\parallel + \frac{V_\parallel}{2}|^2 - \frac{q'}{8}|V_\parallel|^2}}{(1 + |V_\parallel| + |\xi_\perp|)^{1-\gamma}}, \\ \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{V_\parallel^i} \tilde{k}_2(\xi, V_\parallel) \right| &\leq C \frac{1 + |\xi_\perp|}{|V_\parallel|^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{2}|\xi_\parallel + \frac{V_\parallel}{2}|^2 - \frac{q'}{8}|V_\parallel|^2}}{(1 + |V_\parallel| + |\xi_\perp|)^{1-\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi_\parallel = \frac{(\xi \cdot V_\parallel) V_\parallel}{|V_\parallel|^2}, \quad \xi_\perp = \xi - \xi_\parallel.$$

Note that $V_{\parallel} = \eta - \xi$, $\xi_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \xi)_{\perp}$, $\xi_{\parallel} + \frac{V_{\parallel}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \xi)_{\parallel}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + |V_{\parallel}| + |\xi_{\perp}| + \frac{1}{2} |(\eta + \xi)_{\parallel}| &= 1 + |\eta - \xi| + \frac{1}{2} |(\eta + \xi)_{\perp}| + \frac{1}{2} |(\eta + \xi)_{\parallel}| \\ &\geq \left(1 + |\eta - \xi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |(\eta + \xi)_{\perp}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |(\eta + \xi)_{\parallel}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + |\eta|^2 + |\xi|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq c(1 + |\eta| + |\xi|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_2(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{k}_2(\xi, \eta - \xi)$, one can rephrase the above estimates for k_2 as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $|\alpha| \geq 0$, $0 < q' < 1$,*

$$|(\partial_{\xi} + \partial_{\eta})^{\alpha} k_2(\xi, \eta)| \leq \frac{C}{|\eta - \xi|} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{8} \frac{|\eta|^2 - |\xi|^2}{|\eta - \xi|^2} - \frac{q'}{8} |\eta - \xi|^2}}{(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{1-\gamma}},$$

$$|\partial_{\xi} (\partial_{\xi} + \partial_{\eta})^{\alpha} k_2(\xi, \eta)|, |\partial_{\eta} (\partial_{\xi} + \partial_{\eta})^{\alpha} k_2(\xi, \eta)| \leq \frac{1 + |\xi_{\perp}|}{|\eta - \xi|^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{8} \frac{|\eta|^2 - |\xi|^2}{|\eta - \xi|^2} - \frac{q'}{8} |\eta - \xi|^2}}{(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{1-\gamma}}.$$

where $|\xi_{\perp}| = |\xi \wedge (\eta - \xi)| / |\eta - \xi|$.

Combining the estimates for the operator K_1 in Section 2.3.1 and following the similar argument as that in [1], we have the following estimates for $K = -K_1 + K_2$.

Proposition 2.4. *The integral operator K can be expressed as*

$$Kf = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(\xi, \eta) f(\eta) d\eta,$$

where the kernel $k(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies

$$|k(\xi, \eta)| \leq \frac{C}{|\eta - \xi|} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{8} \frac{|\eta|^2 - |\xi|^2}{|\eta - \xi|^2} - \frac{q'}{8} |\eta - \xi|^2}}{(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{1-\gamma}},$$

for any $0 < q' < 1$. Moreover, for any $|\alpha| \geq 0$, $0 < q' < 1$,

$$|(\partial_{\xi} + \partial_{\eta})^{\alpha} k(\xi, \eta)| \leq \frac{C}{|\eta - \xi|} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{8} \frac{|\eta|^2 - |\xi|^2}{|\eta - \xi|^2} - \frac{q'}{8} |\eta - \xi|^2}}{(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{1-\gamma}} =: H^{(0)}(\xi, \eta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\xi} (\partial_{\xi} + \partial_{\eta})^{\alpha} k(\xi, \eta)|, |\partial_{\eta} (\partial_{\xi} + \partial_{\eta})^{\alpha} k(\xi, \eta)| &\leq \frac{1 + |\xi_{\perp}|}{|\eta - \xi|^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{8} \frac{|\eta|^2 - |\xi|^2}{|\eta - \xi|^2} - \frac{q'}{8} |\eta - \xi|^2}}{(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{1-\gamma}} \\ &=: H^{(1)}(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

where $|\xi_{\perp}| = |\xi \wedge (\eta - \xi)| / |\eta - \xi|$.

The following lemma is helpful for the proof of the Enhanced Mixture Lemma:

Lemma 2.5 (Proposition 5.3, [1]). *For any $\varsigma > -3$ and any $a > 0$, $b > 0$, there is a constant $c > 0$ (depending on ς , a , b) so that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi - \eta|^{\varsigma} \exp \left\{ -a |\xi - \eta|^2 - b \frac{|\eta|^2 - |\xi|^2}{|\eta - \xi|^2} \right\} d\eta \leq c(1 + |\xi|)^{-1}$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

3. LINEARIZED PROBLEM

We write the linearized Boltzmann equation as

$$\partial_t h + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h + \nu(\xi)h = Kh, \quad h|_{t=0} = h_0.$$

A Picard-type iteration for extracting the initial singularity is designed by

$$\partial_t h^{(0)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h^{(0)} + \nu(\xi)h^{(0)} = 0, \quad h^{(0)}|_{t=0} = h_0,$$

$$\partial_t h^{(m)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x h^{(m)} + \nu(\xi)h^{(m)} = Kh^{(m-1)}, \quad h^{(m)}|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$

The solution can be written down explicitly as

$$h^{(0)}(t, x, \xi) = \mathbb{S}^t h_0(x, \xi),$$

$$h^{(1)}(t, x, \xi) = \int_0^t \mathbb{S}^{t-t_1} K h^{(0)}(t_1) dt_1 = \int_0^t \mathbb{S}^{t-t_1} K \mathbb{S}^{t_1} h_0 dt_1,$$

$$h^{(m)}(t, x, \xi) = \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \mathbb{S}^{t-t_1} K \mathbb{S}^{t_1-t_2} K \cdots \mathbb{S}^{t_{m-1}-t_m} K \mathbb{S}^{t_m} h_0 dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_m$$

We introduce the following mixture operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0(x, \xi) &:= \left(\int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{N-1}} \right) \mathbb{S}^{t-t_1} K \mathbb{S}^{t_1-t_2} K \cdots \mathbb{S}^{t_{N-1}-t_N} K \mathbb{S}^{t_N} h_0 dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_N \\ &= \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $N \geq 1$, and $\mathbf{M}_0^t h_0(x, \xi) := \mathbb{S}^t h_0(x, \xi)$, where $\Xi_N = \mathbb{R}^{3N}$, $t_{N+1} = 0$. Then in terms of the mixture operator,

$$h^{(m)}(t, x, \xi) = \mathbf{M}_m^t h_0, \quad m \geq 0.$$

The solution to the linearized Boltzmann equation is formally written

$$h(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^{(k)}(t, x, \xi) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{M}_k^t \right) h_0.$$

However, the convergence is not justified. In what follows, we will truncate the summation at some N , and define the singular part to be first several terms, which contain the most singular terms. The remainder is called a regular part, which satisfies the linearized Boltzmann equation with source term (given by $Kh^{(N)}$). Specifically, we define

$$\mathcal{W}^{(N)} = \sum_{k=0}^N h^{(k)}, \quad \mathcal{R}^{(N)} = h - \mathcal{W}^{(N)}.$$

We call $\mathcal{W}^{(N)}$ the singular part and $\mathcal{R}^{(N)}$ the remainder, with $\mathcal{R}^{(N)}$ solving the linearized Boltzmann equation with source $Kh^{(N)}$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathcal{R}^{(N)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{R}^{(N)} - L\mathcal{R}^{(N)} = Kh^{(N)}, \\ \mathcal{R}^{(N)}|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The number N will be determined later.

In the next subsection, we will prove after sufficient mixture, namely, as N becomes sufficiently large, $h^{(N)}$ will become as good as we please. Therefore, we are able to apply many tools such as spectral analysis, higher order energy estimate, weighted energy estimates to analyze the remainder.

3.1. Singularity extraction and Enhanced Mixture Lemma. In this subsection, we show $h^{(N)}$ will become as good as we please. The main result is stated in Lemma 3.2. In the following we shall discuss the weighted $L_\xi^2 L_x^2$ -estimate and the pointwise structure for $h^{(0)}$ and $h^{(N)}$ ($N \geq 1$) in order.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $Q \geq 0$. Then*

$$\left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q \mathbf{M}_0^t h_0(x, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2} \leq e^{-\nu_0 t} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2}, \quad \forall Q \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, if $h_0(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$\left| \mathbf{M}_0^t h_0(x, \xi) \right|_{L_\xi^\infty} \leq C e^{-\frac{\nu(\xi)t}{2}} (1 + |x|)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty L_x^\infty}$$

where M, P are non-negative with $M(1 - \gamma) + P = Q$.

Proof. By definition, $\mathbf{M}_0^t h_0(x, \xi) := \mathbb{S}^t h_0(x, \xi)$. The first part is readily obtained.

Now assume that $h_0(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Consider the case $|x| \geq 2$. Letting $y = x - \xi t$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbf{M}_0^t h_0(x, \xi) \right| \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{\nu(\xi)t}{2}} e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}(1+|\xi|)^\gamma t} (1 + |\xi|)^{-(Q-P)} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \left| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0(x - \xi t, \xi) \right| \\ & = e^{-\frac{\nu(\xi)t}{2}} e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}(t+|x-y|)^\gamma t^{1-\gamma}} (t + |x - y|)^{-(Q-P)} t^{Q-P} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \left| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0(y, \xi) \right| \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{\nu(\xi)t}{2}} \sup_{|y| \leq 1} e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}|x-y|^\gamma t^{1-\gamma}} (|x - y|^\gamma t^{1-\gamma})^{\frac{Q-P}{1-\gamma}} |x - y|^{-\frac{Q-P}{1-\gamma}} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \left| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0(y, \xi) \right| \\ & \leq C e^{-\frac{\nu(\xi)t}{2}} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{Q-P}{1-\gamma}} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty L_x^\infty} \\ & = C e^{-\frac{\nu(\xi)t}{2}} (1 + |x|)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty L_x^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \frac{Q-P}{1-\gamma}$, $M, P \geq 0$ with $M(1 - \gamma) + P = Q$. In the case $|x| \leq 2$, it is easy to see that

$$\left| \mathbb{S}^t h_0(x, \xi) \right| \leq 3^M e^{-\nu(\xi)t} (1 + |x|)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty L_x^\infty}$$

for any $M \geq 0$, and $0 \leq P \leq Q$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2 (Enhanced Mixture Lemma).

(1) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, any $P \geq 0$, when

$$N \geq \max \left\{ P + \frac{|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha|\gamma}{2}, 3(|\alpha| + |\beta|) \right\},$$

we have

$$\left\| (1 + |\xi|)^P \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0 \right\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2} \leq C e^{-ct} \|h_0\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2}.$$

(2) Suppose $h_0(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$, we have for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, any $M, P \geq 0$, any $Q \in \mathbb{R}$, when

$$N \geq \max \left\{ \frac{P + (|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha|\gamma) + M(1 - \gamma) - Q}{2}, 3(|\alpha| + |\beta|) \right\},$$

we have

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0(t, x, \xi) \right| \leq C e^{-c_0 \nu(\xi)t} (1 + |x|)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-P} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty}.$$

Proof. By definition of \mathbf{M}_N^t ,

$$\mathbf{M}_N^t h_0(x, \xi) := \left(\int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{N-1}} \right) \mathbb{S}^{t-t_1} K \mathbb{S}^{t_1-t_2} K \cdots \mathbb{S}^{t_{N-1}-t_N} K \mathbb{S}^{t_N} h_0 dt_1 \cdots dt_N$$

$$= \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] h_0(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N).$$

Let $\ell = |\beta|$, $m = |\alpha| + |\beta|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0(x, \xi) \\ &= \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \beta} C \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \\ & \quad \partial_\xi^{\beta_1} \left[e^{-\nu(\xi)(t-t_1)} \right] \partial_\xi^{\beta_2} [k(\xi, \xi_1)] \partial_\xi^{\beta_3} \partial_x^\alpha h_0(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), $\partial_\xi^{\beta_1} [e^{-\nu(\xi)(t-t_1)}]$ satisfies similar estimates as the one without differentiation. We only consider two most interesting terms,

$$(I) = \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \partial_\xi^\beta [k(\xi, \xi_1)] \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \partial_x^\alpha h_0(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N),$$

and

$$(II) = \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_x^\alpha h_0(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N).$$

Look at (I) first. For $\partial_\xi^\beta [k(\xi, \xi_1)]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi^\beta [k(\xi, \xi_1)] &= (\partial_\xi + \partial_{\xi_1} - \partial_{\xi_1})^\beta k(\xi, \xi_1) \\ &= \sum_{\beta' + \beta'' = \beta} C (\partial_\xi + \partial_{\xi_1})^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi_1}^{\beta''} k(\xi, \xi_1). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 2.4, it suffices to consider the term $\partial_{\xi_1}^\beta k(\xi, \xi_1)$ among all the terms in the summation. One keeps only one ∂_{ξ_1} on $k(\xi, \xi_1)$, and uses integration by parts to transfer the other ξ_1 -derivatives to the rest terms, then the integrand looks like

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \partial_{\xi_1}^{\beta_1} k(\xi, \xi_1) \partial_{\xi_1}^{\beta_2} k(\xi_1, \xi_2) \\ & \cdot \left[\prod_{j=2}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \partial_{\xi_1}^{\beta_2} \partial_x^\alpha h_0(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N) \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \beta$ and $|\beta_1| = 1$. If $|\beta_1| > 1$, one can repeat this argument, namely, replaces $\partial_{\xi_1}^{\beta_1} k(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ by $(\partial_{\xi_1} + \partial_{\xi_2} - \partial_{\xi_2})^{\beta_1} k(\xi_1, \xi_2)$, expands the binomial, keeps only one ξ_2 -derivative on $k(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ and uses integration by parts again to transfer other ξ_2 -derivatives to the rest terms. Continuing this process for $|\beta|$ times, we distribute the $|\beta|$ -order ξ -derivatives evenly on ξ_j , $j = 1, \dots, |\beta|$, and eventually obtain the integrands having the following forms (neglecting other easier terms)

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \partial_{\xi_j}^{\beta_j} k(\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j) \right] \left[\prod_{j=\ell+1}^N k(\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j) \right] \\ & \cdot \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \partial_{\xi_j}^{\beta_j} \right] \left\{ \partial_x^\alpha h_0(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\varsigma_j' + \varsigma_j'' = \varsigma_j$ and $|\varsigma_j| = 1$. Without loss of generality, we only illustrate how to handle the following two terms,

$$(I_1) = \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \partial_{\xi_j}^{\varsigma_j} k(\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j) \right] \left[\prod_{j=\ell+1}^N k(\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j) \right] \\ \times \partial_x^\alpha h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right),$$

and

$$(I_2) = \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \\ \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \partial_{\xi_j}^{\varsigma_j} \right] \partial_x^\alpha h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right).$$

Note the latter one is similar to (II).

Let us focus on (I_2) and the estimates of other terms can be easily adapted from this. Our strategy is to express the x -derivatives of $h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right)$ in terms of ξ_j -derivatives, use integration by parts to assign them to kernel functions $k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1})$, and absorb them by the good properties of $k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1})$.

Note

$$\left[\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \partial_{\xi_j}^{\varsigma_j} \right] \left\{ \partial_x^\alpha h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right\} \\ = (-1)^\ell \left[\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1}) \right] \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right).$$

Further, noticing that

$$\partial_{\xi_j} h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) = -(t_j - t_{j+1}) \partial_x h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

it gives

$$\partial_x h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) = -\frac{\partial_{\xi_j} + \partial_{\xi_{j-1}}}{t_{j-1} - t_{j+1}} h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right), \quad j = 2, \dots, N-1.$$

Denoting $\alpha + \beta = \sigma$, $m = |\sigma|$, we decompose $\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sigma_j$ with each $\sigma_j \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ and $|\sigma_j| = 1$, and write

$$\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \\ = (-1)^m \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_{N-1}} + \partial_{\xi_{N-2}}}{t_{N-2} - t_N} \right)^{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\partial_{\xi_{N-4}} + \partial_{\xi_{N-5}}}{t_{N-5} - t_{N-3}} \right)^{\sigma_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial_{N-3(m-1)-1} + \partial_{N-3(m-1)-2}}{t_{N-3(m-1)-2} - t_{N-3(m-1)}} \right)^{\sigma_{m-1}} h_0.$$

It therefore follows from integration by parts that

$$(I_2) = \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} \left\{ e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \right. \\ \left. \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\partial_{\xi_{N-3j-1}} + \partial_{\xi_{N-3j-2}})^{\sigma_j} h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i (t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \left. \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\partial_{\xi_{N-3j-1}} + \partial_{\xi_{N-3j-2}})^{\sigma_j} \left(e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to our arrangement of velocity derivatives, in the above expression, each $k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1})$ bears at most one derivative, which is still integrable. It follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\partial_{\xi_{N-3j-1}} + \partial_{\xi_{N-3j-2}})^{\sigma_j} \left(e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} k(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right) \right| \\
&\leq C e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \sim \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{8} \frac{(|\xi_j|^2 - |\xi_{j+1}|^2)^2}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|^2} - \frac{q'}{8} |\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|^2}}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}| (1 + |\xi_j| + |\xi_{j+1}|)^{1-\gamma}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1 + |\xi_j|}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|} \right)^{\varsigma_j},$$

where $\varsigma_j \in \{0, 1\}$ with $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varsigma_j = m$, and $H^{(0)}, H^{(1)}$ are defined in Proposition 2.4. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(I_2)| &\leq C \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} \left\{ e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \left. \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \left| h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i (t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right| \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will derive two types of estimates for (I_2) : the first one is concerning the weighted $L_{\xi}^2 L_x^2$ norm, while the second one is concerning its pointwise estimate assuming h_0 is compactly supported in x -variable. As for the first one, applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(I_2)| &\leq C \left[\int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \right]^{1/2} \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \left| h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i (t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the first integral, we divide the integral domain $\int_{\Xi_N} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \cdots d\xi_N$ into two parts:

$$\mathbf{D}_1 = \left\{ (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} \mid \xi_{\max} \geq 2\xi_{\min} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{D}_2 = \left\{ (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} \mid \xi_{\max} \leq 2\xi_{\min} \right\},$$

where $\xi_{\max} := \max\{|\xi_0|, |\xi_1|, \dots, |\xi_N|\}$, $\xi_{\min} := \min\{|\xi_0|, |\xi_1|, \dots, |\xi_N|\}$. On \mathbf{D}_1 , from the inequality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|^2 \geq c |\xi_{\max} - \xi_{\min}|^2 \geq c |\xi_{\max}|^2 \geq c |\xi|^2, \tag{3.1}$$

and the estimates of $H^{(\varsigma_j)}$, we find

$$\int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C e^{-c(t+|\xi|^2)} \int_{T_N} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \\ &\leq C e^{-c_0(t+|\xi|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

On \mathbf{D}_2 , using the relation $|\xi_j| \sim |\xi|$ and Lemma 2.5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{T_N} \int_{\mathbf{D}_2} e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \\ &\leq C e^{-c\nu(\xi)t} \int_{T_N} \int_{\mathbf{D}_2} \left[\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \frac{(1+|\xi|)^m}{(1+|\xi|)^{N(1-\gamma)}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{8} \frac{(|\xi_j|^2 - |\xi_{j+1}|^2)^2}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|^2} - \frac{q'}{8} |\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|^2}}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|^2} \right] \\ &\leq C e^{-c\nu(\xi)t} (1+|\xi|)^{m-N(1-\gamma)-N} \int_{T_N} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \\ &\leq C e^{-c\nu(\xi)t} (1+|\xi|)^{m-N(1-\gamma)-N} \frac{t^{N-m}}{(N-m)!} t^{\ell} \\ &\leq C e^{-c\nu(\xi)t} (1+|\xi|)^{m-N(1-\gamma)-N} t^{N-|\alpha|} \\ &= C e^{-c\nu(\xi)t} [(1+|\xi|)^{\gamma} t]^{N-|\alpha|} (1+|\xi|)^{m-N(1-\gamma)-N-(N-|\alpha|)\gamma} \\ &\leq C e^{-c_0\nu(\xi)t} (1+|\xi|)^{-2N+m+|\alpha|\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |(I_2)|^2 &\leq C e^{-c_0\nu(\xi)t} (1+|\xi|)^{-2N+m+|\alpha|\gamma} \\ &\quad \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \left| h_0 \left(x - \sum_{j=0}^N \xi_j(t_j - t_{j+1}), \xi_N \right) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over Ξ_N and T_N , using L^2 -boundedness of K operator and integrability of time integral, we have

$$\left\| (1+|\xi|)^{N-\frac{m+|\alpha|\gamma}{2}} (I_2) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2 L_x^2} \leq C e^{-ct} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi}^2 L_x^2}.$$

The estimates for other terms, like (II) are similar, so that we can conclude

$$\left\| (1+|\xi|)^{N-\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha|\gamma}{2}} \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0 \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2 L_x^2} \leq C e^{-ct} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi}^2 L_x^2} \quad \text{for } N \geq 3(|\alpha| + |\beta|).$$

In the rest part, we assume $h_0(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and show the pointwise result. We still focus on (I_2) :

$$\begin{aligned} |(I_2)| &\leq C \int_{T_N} \int_{\Xi_N} \left\{ e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \left| h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the support of h_0 , we only need to consider the integration over

$$\mathcal{D} = (T_N \times \mathbb{R}^{3N}) \cap \left\{ (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N; \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N) \mid \left| x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}) \right| < 1 \right\}.$$

Again we decompose $\int_{\Xi_N} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \cdots d\xi_N$ into \mathbf{D}_1 and \mathbf{D}_2 as above and consider the integral on

$$\mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_2),$$

respectively.

On $\mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_1)$, in view of (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(s_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \\ & \leq e^{-c(t + \xi_{\max}^2)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|} \frac{e^{-\frac{q'}{9} \frac{|\xi_{j+1}|^2 - |\xi_j|^2}{|\xi_{j+1} - \xi_j|^2} - \frac{q'}{9} |\xi_{j+1} - \xi_j|^2}}{(1 + |\xi_j| + |\xi_{j+1}|)^{1-\gamma}} \left(\frac{1 + |\xi_j|}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|} \right)^{s_j} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y = x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1})$ with $|y| < 1$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} t + \xi_{\max}^2 & \geq \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 + \sqrt{\xi_{\max} t} - \frac{1}{2} \\ & \geq \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 + \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^N |\xi_i| (t_i - t_{i+1})} - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 + |x|^{1/2} - \frac{3}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_1)} \left\{ e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(s_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \left| h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right| \right\} \\ & \leq C e^{-c_0(t + |\xi|^2 + |x|^{1/2})} \left\| e^{-\frac{c|\xi|^2}{4}} h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

On $\mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_2)$, $\frac{1}{2} |\xi| \leq |\xi_j| \leq 2|\xi|$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(s_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] (1 + |\xi|)^{-Q} \\ & \leq e^{-c\nu(\xi)t} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N(1-\gamma) + m - Q} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|} e^{-\frac{q'}{9} \frac{|\xi_{j+1}|^2 - |\xi_j|^2}{|\xi_{j+1} - \xi_j|^2} - \frac{q'}{9} |\xi_{j+1} - \xi_j|^2} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi_j - \xi_{j+1}|} \right)^{s_j} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $|x| \geq 2$. Letting $y = x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i(t_i - t_{i+1})$, $|y| < 1$, gives

$$1 + |\xi| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{i=0}^N |\xi_i| (t_i - t_{i+1}) \geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2t} |x - y| \right) \geq \frac{1}{8} (1 + |x|) t^{-1},$$

on $\mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_2)$, so that for $M \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{c}{2}\nu(\xi)t} (1 + |x|)^M & \leq C e^{-\frac{c'}{2}(1+|\xi|)^\gamma t} (1 + |\xi|)^M t^M \\ & = C' e^{-\frac{c'}{2}(1+|\xi|)^\gamma t} ((1 + |\xi|)^\gamma t)^M (1 + |\xi|)^{-M\gamma} (1 + |\xi|)^M \\ & \leq C'' (1 + |\xi|)^{M(1-\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma 2.5, it follows that for $|x| \geq 2$,

$$\iint_{\mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_2)} \left\{ e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j)(t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(s_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \left. \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \left| h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i (t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right| \right\} \\
& \leq C e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \nu(\xi) t} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N(1-\gamma) + m + M(1-\gamma) - Q} (1 + |x|)^{-M} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N} \frac{t^{N-m} t^\ell}{(N-m)!} \\
& \leq C' e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \nu(\xi) t} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N(1-\gamma) + m + M(1-\gamma) - N - Q} (1 + |x|)^{-M} t^{N-|\alpha|} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \\
& = C' e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \nu(\xi) t} ((1 + |\xi|)^\gamma t)^{N-|\alpha|} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N(1-\gamma) + m + M(1-\gamma) - N - (N-|\alpha|)\gamma - Q} (1 + |x|)^{-M} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \\
& \leq C'' e^{-c_0 \nu(\xi) t} (1 + |\xi|)^{-2N - Q + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha|\gamma + M(1-\gamma)} (1 + |x|)^{-M} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for $|x| \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathcal{D} \cap (T_N \times \mathbf{D}_2)} \left\{ e^{-q' \sum_{j=0}^N \nu(\xi_j) (t_j - t_{j+1})} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} H^{(\varsigma_j)}(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) \right] \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t_j - t_{j+1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (t_{N-3j-2} - t_{N-3j})} \left| h_0 \left(x - \sum_{i=0}^N \xi_i (t_i - t_{i+1}), \xi_N \right) \right| \right\} \\
& \leq C e^{-c_0 \nu(\xi) t} (1 + |\xi|)^{-2N - Q + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha|\gamma} (1 + |x|)^{-M} \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for other terms, like (II) are similar, so that we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbf{M}_N^t h_0(x, \xi) \right| & \leq C e^{-c_0 \nu(\xi) t} (1 + |x|)^{-M} (1 + |\xi|)^{-2N - Q + [|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha|\gamma] + M(1-\gamma)} \\
& \quad \cdot \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \quad \text{for } Q \in \mathbb{R}, N \geq 3(|\alpha| + |\beta|),
\end{aligned}$$

when $h_0(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This completes the proof. \square

With this Enhanced Mixture Lemma, we have the following estimate for the singular wave $h^{(j)}$:

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $h_0 \in L_{\xi,Q}^\infty L_x^\infty$ with $Q \geq 0$ is compactly supported in the x variable for all ξ , namely,*

$$h_0(x, \xi) \equiv 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq 1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then for any $0 \leq \theta \leq Q$,

$$\left| h^{(j)} \right|_{L_{\xi,Q-\theta}^\infty} \lesssim e^{-ct} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\theta}{1-\gamma}} |h_0|_{L_x^\infty L_{\xi,Q}^\infty}, \quad j \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

3.2. Estimate of remainder I (structure inside finite Mach number region): Long wave short wave decomposition. Throughout this subsection, we will devote ourselves to the study of remainder term $\mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})}$:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} - L \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} = K h^{(\hat{N})}, \quad \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})}|_{t=0} = 0.$$

From the Enhanced Mixture Lemma, the source term $K h^{(\hat{N})}$ satisfies that for any given $\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \hat{M}, \hat{P} \geq 0$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists positive integer \hat{N} such that

$$\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \hat{q}_1, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq \hat{q}_2} \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta K h^{(\hat{N})}(t, x, \xi) \right| \leq C e^{-c_0 t} (1 + |x|)^{-\hat{M}} (1 + |\xi|)^{-\hat{P}} \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}.$$

Now fix $\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \hat{M}, \hat{P}$ with $\hat{q}_1 \geq 2, \hat{M} > 3, \hat{P} > \frac{3}{2}$. (The actual choice will be decided later.)

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} = \int_0^t \mathbb{G}^{t-s} K h^{(\hat{N})}(s) ds,$$

and therefore using Proposition 2.3 gives

$$\|\mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})}\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{P}}^\infty L_x^\infty} \leq (1+t)^{-3/2} \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^Q h_0 \right\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \quad \text{for } Q > 3/2. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that

$$g \equiv \mathbb{G}_{L; \perp}^t h_0 + \mathbb{G}_S^t h_0 - \mathcal{W}^{(\hat{N})} = \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} - \mathbb{G}_{L; 0}^t h_0,$$

by Propositions 2.3 and 3.1, we have that for $Q > 3/2$,

$$|g|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} = \left| \mathbb{G}_{L; \perp}^t h_0 + \mathbb{G}_S^t h_0 - \mathcal{W}^{(\hat{N})} \right|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \leq e^{-ct} \left(\|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|h_0\|_{L_\xi^2 L_x^2} \right).$$

On the other hand, for arbitrary $\hat{Q} > 0$, one can choose $\hat{P} > \max\{2\hat{Q} - Q, \frac{3}{2}\}$ and then use Proposition 2.3 and (3.3) to obtain

$$|g|_{L_{\xi, \hat{P}}^\infty} = \left| \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} - \mathbb{G}_{L; 0}^t h_0 \right|_{L_{\xi, \hat{P}}^\infty} \leq (1+t)^{-3/2} \left(\|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|h_0\|_{L_\xi^\infty (L_x^\infty \cap L_x^1)} \right).$$

By interpolation, we get

$$\left| \mathbb{G}_{L; \perp}^t h_0 + \mathbb{G}_S^t h_0 - \mathcal{W}^{(\hat{N})} \right|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \leq |g|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty}^{1/2} |g|_{L_{\xi, \hat{P}}^\infty}^{1/2} \leq (1+t)^{-3/4} e^{-\frac{c}{2}t} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty (L_x^\infty \cap L_x^1)}$$

and therefore (using Proposition 2.2)

$$\left| \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} \right|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \leq |g|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} + \left| \mathbb{G}_{L; 0}^t h_0 \right|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \leq [\Phi_m(t, x) + e^{-ct}] \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty L_x^\infty} \quad (3.4)$$

for h_0 compactly supported in x . Furthermore, if $P_0 h_0 = 0$, then we will have extra 1/2 time decay, that is,

$$\left| \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} \right|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \leq \left[(1+t)^{-1/2} \Phi_m(t, x) + e^{-ct} \right] \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty L_x^\infty}, \quad \text{if } P_0 h_0 = 0.$$

3.3. Estimate of remainder II (structure outside finite Mach number region): Weighted energy estimate. In the previous subsection, we obtain the estimate of $\mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})}$. However, one term in the estimate is only concerning the time decay. If restricting to the finite Mach number region, i.e., $|x| \leq 2\mathfrak{M}t$, for any $\mathfrak{M} > 0$, one can use time decay to gain the space decay. In order to get the precise structure outside the finite Mach number region, we will use the weighted energy method. We introduce the weight function:

$$w(t, x, \xi) := c_1 [\delta(\langle x \rangle - \mathfrak{M}t)]^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \left(1 - \chi \left(\frac{\delta(\langle x \rangle - \mathfrak{M}t)}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) + c_2 \langle \xi \rangle_D^\sigma \chi \left(\frac{\delta(\langle x \rangle - \mathfrak{M}t)}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \right),$$

where $\langle \xi \rangle_D = (D^2 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$. The cut-off function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is a smooth non-increasing function, $\chi(s) = 1$ for $s \leq 1$, $\chi(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$. The constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are chosen to meet the requirement $\partial_t w \leq 0$. Note that for arbitrary $\hat{Q} > 0$, $\sigma \geq 2$, choose $\hat{M} \geq \frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}$ and $\hat{P} \geq 2\hat{Q} - Q + \sigma$, by the Enhanced Mixture Lemma, the source term $Kh^{(\hat{N})}$ is good enough and allows us to do the weighted energy estimate. Applying the same argument in [9], one can prove that there exists $\mathfrak{M} > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})} \right|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \leq C (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty L_x^\infty} \quad \text{for } |x| > 2\mathfrak{M}t. \quad (3.5)$$

3.4. Conclusion of the linearized problem. Define

$$\mathbb{G}_*^t h_0 = \mathcal{W}^{(\hat{N})}, \quad \mathbb{G}_\#^t h_0 = \mathcal{R}^{(\hat{N})}.$$

In virtue of (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5), we have the following theorem of the linearized problem:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $h_0 \in L_{\xi, Q}^\infty(L_x^\infty \cap L_x^1)$, $Q > 3/2$. Then the solution $\mathbb{G}^t h_0$ can be decomposed as*

$$\mathbb{G}^t h_0 = \mathbb{G}_*^t h_0 + \mathbb{G}_\#^t h_0,$$

with the following estimates

$$|\mathbb{G}_*^t h_0|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty L_x^\infty},$$

and for arbitrary $\hat{Q} > 0$,

$$\|\mathbb{G}_\#^t h_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty L_x^\infty} \lesssim (1+t)^{-3/2} \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty(L_x^\infty \cap L_x^1)}.$$

Moreover, if we further assume h_0 is compactly supported in the x variable for all ξ , namely,

$$h_0(x, \xi) \equiv 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq 1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

then for any $\hat{Q} > 0$, $\sigma \geq 2$ and $0 < \theta \leq Q$,

$$|\mathbb{G}_*^t h_0|_{L_{\xi, Q-\theta}^\infty} \lesssim e^{-ct} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\theta}{1-\gamma}} \|h_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_{\xi, Q}^\infty},$$

$$|\mathbb{G}_\#^t h_0|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \lesssim \left[\Phi_m(t, x) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty L_x^\infty},$$

and

$$|\mathbb{G}_\#^t P_1 h_0|_{L_{\xi, \hat{Q}}^\infty} \lesssim \left[(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_m(t, x) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] \|h_0\|_{L_{\xi, Q}^\infty L_x^\infty},$$

here m can be any arbitrarily large number.

4. NONLINEAR PROBLEM

In this section, we will decompose the nonlinear solution to the fluid and the non-fluid parts to complete the nonlinear problem.

The fully nonlinear Boltzmann equation is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \xi \cdot \nabla_x f = Lf + \Gamma(f, f), \\ f|_{t=0} = \varepsilon f_0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

4.1. Nonlinear fluid structure. By the Duhamel principle, the solution satisfies the integral equation

$$f(t) = \varepsilon \mathbb{G}^t f_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{G}^{t-\tau} \Gamma(f, f)(\tau) d\tau.$$

The solution f can be decomposed into two parts:

$$f = f_* + f_\#$$

through the following coupled integral system

$$\begin{aligned} f_* &= \varepsilon \mathbb{G}_*^t f_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{G}_*^{t-\tau} \Gamma(f_* + f_\#, f_* + f_\#)(\tau) d\tau, \\ f_\# &= \varepsilon \mathbb{G}_\#^t f_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{G}_\#^{t-\tau} \Gamma(f_* + f_\#, f_* + f_\#)(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $\beta > \frac{3}{2} + \gamma$ and $\theta_0, \theta_1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_*|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} &\leq \varepsilon |\mathbb{G}_*^t f_0|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} + \int_0^t |\mathbb{G}_*^{t-\tau} \Gamma(f, f)(\tau)|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} d\tau \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left| \mathbb{G}_*^t \langle \xi \rangle^{-\theta_0} \langle \xi \rangle^{\theta_0} f_0 \right|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} + \int_0^t \left| \mathbb{G}_*^{t-\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\theta_1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\theta_1} \Gamma(f, f)(\tau) \right|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon e^{-ct} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\theta_0}{1-\gamma}} \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, \beta+\theta_0}^\infty L_x^\infty} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-c(t-\tau)} (1+|x-y|)^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-\gamma}} |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, \beta+\theta_1}^\infty}(y, \tau) dy d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by interpolation and Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, \beta + \theta_1}^\infty} &\leq |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, \beta - \gamma}^\infty}^{1/2} |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, 2(\beta + \theta_1) - (\beta - \gamma)}^\infty}^{1/2} \\
&\leq |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, \beta - \gamma}^\infty}^{1/2} \left(|\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, \beta - \gamma}^\infty}^{1/2} |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, 2^2(\beta + \theta_1) - (2^2 - 1)(\beta - \gamma)}^\infty}^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, \beta - \gamma}^\infty}^{1 - \frac{1}{2^k}} |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, 2^k(\beta + \theta_1) - (2^k - 1)(\beta - \gamma)}^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2^k}} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty}^{2 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} |f|_{L_{\xi, \beta + 2^k(\theta_1 + \gamma)}^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is well known that the large time behavior of f is given by (see ref. [8]):

$$\|f\|_{L_{\xi, \beta + 2^k(\theta_1 + \gamma)}^\infty} \|f_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon (1+t)^{-3/2} \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, \beta + 2^k(\theta_1 + \gamma)}^\infty (L_x^\infty \cap L_x^1)},$$

which implies that (here we denote $\hat{\beta} = \max\{\beta + \theta_0, \beta + 2^k(\theta_1 + \gamma)\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f_*|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon e^{-ct} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\theta_0}{1-\gamma}} \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} \|f_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\quad + \left[e^{-ct} (1+|x|)^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} \left[(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2^k}} \left(|f_*|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} + |f_\#|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} \right)^{2 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty (L_x^\infty \cap L_x^1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

As for $f_\#$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_\#|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} &\leq \varepsilon |\mathbb{G}_\#^t f_0|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} + \int_0^t \left| \mathbb{G}_\#^{t-\tau} \Gamma(f, f)(\tau) \right|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} d\tau \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \left[\Phi_m(t, x) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} \|f_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\quad + \left[(1+t)^{-1/2} \Phi_m(t, x) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} |\Gamma(f, f)|_{L_{\xi, \beta - \gamma}^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \left[\Phi_m(t, x) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} \|f_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \\
&\quad + \left[(1+t)^{-1/2} \Phi_m(t, x) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} \left[|f_*|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} + |f_\#|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} \right]^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

here σ can be arbitrarily large.

Now, we design the following ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_\#|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon \left[\Phi_m(x, t) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 \left[(1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}} B_1(|x| - ct, t) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_*|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon e^{-ct} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\theta_0}{1-\gamma}} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 (1+t)^{-3 \cdot 2^{-k}} \left[(1+t)^{-3/2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-2} B_1(|x| - ct, t) \right]^{2 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon e^{-ct} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\theta_0}{1-\gamma}} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 \left[(1+t)^{-3} B_{3(1-2^{-k})}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-(4-2^{-k})} B_{2(1-2^{-k})}(|x| - ct, t) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the ansatz assumptions, we have

$$|f_\#|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \left[(1+t)^{-3/2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-2} B_1(|x| - ct, t) \right],$$

and

$$|f_*|_{L_{\xi,\beta}^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \left[(1+t)^{-3} B_{3(1-2^{-k})}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-(4-2^{-k})} B_{2(1-2^{-k})}(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \right].$$

Therefore,

$$|f_*|_{L_{\xi,\beta}^\infty} + |f_\#|_{L_{\xi,\beta}^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \left[(1+t)^{-3/2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-2} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \right].$$

To verify the ansatz, in accordance with (4.2) and (4.3), we need to confirm that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(1+t)^{-1/2} \Phi_m(t, x) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} \left[(1+t)^{-3/2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-2} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \right]^2 \\ & \lesssim \left[(1+t)^{-1/2} \Phi_m(t, x) \right] *_{t,x} \left[(1+t)^{-3} B_3(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-4} B_2(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \right] \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[e^{-ct} (1+|x|)^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} (1+t)^{-3 \cdot 2^{-k}} \left[(1+t)^{-3/2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-2} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \right]^{2(1-2^{-k})} \\ & \lesssim \left[e^{-ct} (1+|x|)^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} \left[(1+t)^{-3} B_{3(1-2^{-k})}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-(4-2^{-k})} B_{2(1-2^{-k})}(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \right] \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-3} B_{3(1-2^{-k})}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-(4-2^{-k})} B_{2(1-2^{-k})}(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t). \end{aligned}$$

For $f_\#$, it corresponds to the fluid part. Note that the fluid structure of the hard potential case ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) is almost the same as hard sphere case, the only difference is that the hard potential case is of polynomial type of arbitrary order, and the hard sphere case is of exponential type. Therefore, the following nonlinear wave interaction estimates are parallel to those for the hard sphere case (see Section 6.2 of [13]) and hence we just state the result.

Lemma 4.1 (Nonlinear wave interactions for $f_\#$). *Let m be any large positive number. Then the following convolution estimates hold:*

(1)

$$(1+t)^{-2} B_m(|x|, t) *_{x,t} (1+t)^{-3} B_3(|x|, t) \lesssim (1+t)^{-2} B_3(|x|, t).$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq \mathbf{ct}\}} (1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) *_{x,t} (1+t)^{-4} B_2(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-5/2} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t). \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{-5/2} B_m(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) *_{x,t} (1+t)^{-3} B_3(|x|, t) \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-5/2} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t). \end{aligned}$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{-2} B_m(|x|, t) *_{x,t} (1+t)^{-4} B_2(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-5/2} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t). \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{-5/2} B_m(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) *_{x,t} (1+t)^{-4} B_2(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t) \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-5/2} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{ct}, t). \end{aligned}$$

For f_* , according to the convolution estimates in Section 5, we have

Lemma 4.2 (Nonlinear wave interaction for f_*). *Let $k \geq 3$ and $\theta_1 \geq 6(1-\gamma)(1-2^{-k})$. Then*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[e^{-ct} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} (1+t)^{-3} B_{3(1-2^{-k})}(|x|, t) \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-3} B_{3(1-2^{-k})}(|x|, t). \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[e^{-ct} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{\theta_1}{1-\gamma}} \right] *_{t,x} (1+t)^{-(4-2^{-k})} B_{2(1-2^{-k})}(|x| - \mathbf{c}t, t) \\ & \lesssim (1+t)^{-(4-2^{-k})} B_{2(1-2^{-k})}(|x| - \mathbf{c}t, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we confirm the structure of the nonlinear part.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $k \geq 3$, $\beta > 3/2 + \gamma$ and let $\theta_0, \theta_1 \geq 6(1-\gamma)(1-2^{-k})$. Assume that $f_0(x, \cdot) \in L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty$ and f_0 is compactly supported in the variable x for all ξ , namely,*

$$f_0(x, \xi) \equiv 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq 1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where $\hat{\beta} = \max\{\beta + \theta_0, \beta + 2^k(\theta_1 + \gamma)\}$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, then the solution f of the nonlinear problem (4.1) can be decomposed as $f = f_* + f_\#$, where

$$\begin{aligned} |f_*|_{L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty} & \lesssim \varepsilon e^{-ct} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\theta_0}{1-\gamma}} \\ & + \varepsilon^2 \left[(1+t)^{-3} B_{3(1-2^{-k})}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-(4-2^{-k})} B_{2(1-2^{-k})}(|x| - \mathbf{c}t, t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\#|_{L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty} & \lesssim \varepsilon \left[\Phi_m(x, t) + (1+t+|x|)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\gamma}} \right] \\ & + \varepsilon^2 \left[(1+t)^{-2} B_{3/2}(|x|, t) + (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}} B_1(|x| - \mathbf{c}t, t) \right], \end{aligned}$$

here m and σ can be any large positive numbers.

Remark 4.1. *One can choose $\theta_0 = 2^k(\theta_1 + \gamma)$ and therefore*

$$\theta_1 = 2^{-k}\theta_0 - \gamma \geq 6(1-\gamma)(1-2^{-k}).$$

If we take $k = 3$, then $\theta_0 \geq 42 - 34\gamma$. This reduces the assumption of the initial condition.

4.2. Structure outside the finite Mach number region. To improve the asymptotic behavior outside the finite Mach number region, we take the weight function

$$w = c_1 [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{\frac{p}{1-\gamma}} \left(1 - \chi \left(\frac{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) + c_2 \langle \xi \rangle_D^p \chi \left(\frac{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \right)$$

into account again, where $\delta > 0$, $p \geq 2$, $D \geq 1$, and $M > 0$. The constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are chosen to meet the requirement $\partial_t w \leq 0$. In [8], we have proved that

$$|f(t, x, \xi)|_{L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^2} \lesssim \varepsilon (1+t)^{1/2} (\langle x \rangle + Mt)^{-\frac{p}{1-\gamma}} \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, p+\beta+\gamma/2}^\infty L_x^\infty} \text{ for } |x| > 2Mt,$$

where $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, $M, D > 1$ sufficiently large, and $p \geq 2$. The largeness of M arises from the regularization estimate of the remainder. In fact, we find out that the constant M is not needed to be sufficiently large, and we can choose $\mathbf{c} < M < \mathbf{c}+1$ to be as close to \mathbf{c} as we desire, by more exquisite calculation for the regularization estimate of the remainder. In the following, we shall sketch the essential point of the proof and the rest is just followed line by line as those in [8]. Our improvement is stated as below:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 < \gamma < 1$ and let f be a solution to the Boltzmann equation (1.3) with initial data εf_0 . If $|f_0|_{L_x^\infty} \in L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty$, where $\hat{\beta} = p + \beta + \gamma/2$ for $p \geq 2$ and $\beta > 3/2$, then for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant $M = (\mathbf{c} + \delta/2)$ such that*

$$|f|_{L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty} \leq C_\delta \varepsilon (1+t)^{1/2} (\langle x \rangle + t)^{-\frac{p}{1-\gamma}} \|f_0\|_{L_{\xi, \hat{\beta}}^\infty L_x^\infty}$$

when $|x| > (M + \frac{\delta}{2})t = (\mathbf{c} + \delta)t$. The constant $C_\delta > 0$ is independent of time and $C_\delta \rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Now let $u = wf$ and we do a wave-remainder decomposition for the weighted equation

$$\partial_t u + \xi \cdot \nabla_x u - (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} u = L_w u + \Gamma_w(u, f)$$

as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^{(0)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x u^{(0)} + \tilde{\nu}(\xi) u^{(0)} = \Gamma_w(u, f), \\ u^{(0)}(0, x, \xi) = \varepsilon f_{0w}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^{(j)} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x u^{(j)} + \tilde{\nu}(\xi) u^{(j)} = K_w u^{(j-1)} \\ u^{(j)}(0, x, \xi) = 0 \end{cases}$$

for $j \geq 1$, where

$$\tilde{\nu}(\xi) = -(\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} + \nu(\xi),$$

$$L_w h := w L w^{-1} h = \nu(\xi) h + K_w h, \quad K_w(x, \xi) := w(t, x, \xi) K w^{-1}(t, x, \xi), \quad \Gamma_w(h, f) := w \Gamma(w^{-1} h, f).$$

After choosing $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$\tilde{\nu}(\xi) = -(\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} + \nu(\xi) \geq \frac{3}{4} \nu(\xi).$$

Let $\hat{N} \geq 7$ be fixed. The wave part and the remainder part are defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_w^{(\hat{N})} = \sum_{j=0}^{\hat{N}} u^{(j)}, \quad \mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})} = u - \mathcal{W}_w^{(\hat{N})},$$

with $\mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})}$ solving the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})} + \xi \cdot \nabla_x \mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})} - (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} \mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})} = L_w \mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})} + K_w u^{(\hat{N})} \\ \mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})}(0, x, \xi) = 0. \end{cases}$$

For convenience of notations, let $g = \mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})}$ and $U = K_w u^{(\hat{N})}$. Let $T > 0$ be any finite number and $\beta > 3/2$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (1+t)^{-A} \|u\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^\infty} &= C_{u, T}^\infty, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^2} = C_{u, T}^2, \\ \sup_{t \geq 0} (1+t)^{-3/2} \|\langle \xi \rangle^p f\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^\infty} &= C_f^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $A > 0$ will be eventually determined via the a priori estimate. (In fact, we have obtained $A = 1/2$ independent of time T in [8].)

To do the regularization estimate of the remainder, we need some estimates for the wave part and the weighted operator K_w as below.

Lemma 4.3 ($L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^\infty$ -estimate of $u^{(j)}$). *Let $p \geq 2$ and $f_{0w} \in L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^\infty$ with $\beta > 3/2$. Then for $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\|u^{(j)}\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \frac{t^j}{j!} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu_0 t}{2}\right) \|f_{0w}\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^\infty} + (1+t)^{-1} C_{u, T}^\infty C_f^\infty.$$

Lemma 4.4 (L^2 -estimate of $u^{(j)}$, $j \geq 1$). *Let $p \geq 2$ and $f_{0w} \in L^2$. Then for $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\|u^{(j)}\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left[\varepsilon \|f_{0w}\|_{L_{\xi, \beta}^\infty L_x^2} + C_{u, T}^2 C_f^\infty \right].$$

Lemma 4.5 (Regularization estimate of $u^{(\hat{N})}$).

$$\|u^{(\hat{N})}\|_{L_\xi^2 H_x^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left[\varepsilon \|f_{0w}\|_{L^2} + C_u^2 C_f^\infty \right].$$

Lemma 4.6 ([10]). *Let $p \geq 2$ and $D \geq 1$. Define*

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &= \{(x, \xi) : \delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) > 2\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}\}, \\ H_0 &= \{(x, \xi) : \langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma} \leq \delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \leq 2\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}\}, \\ H_- &= \{(x, \xi) : \delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) < 2\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}\}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a constant $C = C(\delta, M, \gamma) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle g, (K_w - K)g \rangle_\xi dx \\ & \leq \frac{C}{D^2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^\gamma |P_1 g|^2 d\xi dx + \int_{H_+} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |P_0 g|^2 d\xi dx + \int_{H_0 \cup H_-} |P_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to do an energy estimate for the functional

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\|g\|_{L^2} + \alpha \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2} + \alpha^2 \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2}), \quad \alpha = O(\delta^2),$$

with the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g + \xi \cdot \nabla_x g - (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} g = Lg + (K_w - K)g + U \\ g(0, x, \xi) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Direct computations show

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (\partial_{x_j} g) + \xi \cdot \nabla_x (\partial_{x_j} g) - (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} \partial_{x_j} g \\ & = L(\partial_{x_j} g) + (K_w - K) \partial_{x_j} g + \partial_{x_j} U + \mathbb{A}_1(t, x, \xi), \\ & \partial_t (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 g) + \xi \cdot \nabla_x (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 g) - (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 g \\ & = L(\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 g) + (K_w - K) \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 g + \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 U + \mathbb{A}_2(t, x, \xi), \end{aligned}$$

$1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, where

$$\mathbb{A}_1(t, x, \xi) = \frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} K_w g + K_w \left(-\frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} g \right) + \partial_{x_j} [(\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1}] g,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_2(t, x, \xi) &= \partial_{x_i} [(\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1}] \cdot \partial_{x_j} g + \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 [(\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1}] \cdot g \\ &+ \partial_{x_j} [(\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1}] \cdot \partial_{x_i} g + \frac{\partial_{x_i} w}{w} K_w \partial_{x_j} g + K_w \left(-\frac{\partial_{x_i} w}{w} \partial_{x_j} g \right) \\ &+ \partial_{x_i} \left(\frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} \right) K_w g + \frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} \frac{\partial_{x_i} w}{w} K_w g + \frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} K_w \left(-\frac{\partial_{x_i} w}{w} g \right) + \frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} K_w (\partial_{x_i} g) \\ &+ \frac{\partial_{x_i} w}{w} K_w \left(-\frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} g \right) + K_w \left(\frac{\partial_{x_i} w}{w} \frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} g \right) + K_w \left(-\partial_{x_i} \left(\frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} \right) g \right) + K_w \left(-\frac{\partial_{x_j} w}{w} \partial_{x_i} g \right). \end{aligned}$$

We compute $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{L^2}^2$ first,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle Lg, g \rangle_\xi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle g, (K_w - K)g \rangle_\xi dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} g, g \rangle d\xi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} U g d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

It readily follows from [10, Lemma 4] that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} U g d\xi dx \right| \leq C \|g\|_{L^2} \|u^{(\hat{N})}\|_{L^2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} &= \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} \left(1 - \chi \left(\frac{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + w^{-1} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) \frac{\delta}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} c_1 [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{\frac{p}{1-\gamma}} \chi' \left(\frac{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \right) \\ &\quad - w^{-1} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) \frac{\delta}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} c_2 \langle \xi \rangle_D^p \chi' \left(\frac{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)}{\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} g, g \rangle_\xi dx \\ &\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_+} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |g|^2 dx d\xi + C_1 \delta \left(\frac{M}{D} + 1 \right) \frac{p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_0} \langle \xi \rangle_D^\gamma |g|^2 dx d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_+} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_+} \left| M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right| [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} \left(\hat{\epsilon} |P_0 g|^2 + \frac{1}{4\hat{\epsilon}} |P_1 g|^2 + |P_1 g|^2 \right) dx d\xi \\ &\quad + 2C_1 \delta \left(\frac{M}{D} + 1 \right) \frac{p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_0} \langle \xi \rangle_D^\gamma (|P_0 g|^2 + |P_1 g|^2) dx d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_+} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta p M \hat{\epsilon}}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_+} (1 + |\xi|) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi \\ &\quad + 2C_1 \delta \left(\frac{M}{D} + 1 \right) D^\gamma \frac{p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_0} \langle \xi \rangle^\gamma |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{2\delta p}{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{M}{D} + 1 \right) D^\gamma \left(C_1 + 1 + \frac{1}{4\hat{\epsilon}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |\langle \xi \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} P_1 g|^2 dx d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{H_+} (1 + |\xi|) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} (1 + |\xi|) \left(\sum_{j=0}^4 \langle g, \chi_j \rangle^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^4 \chi_j^2 \right) dx d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^4 \langle g, \chi_j \rangle^2 \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{H_+} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi + \frac{1}{2D^{1-\gamma}} \int_{H_0 \cup H_-} |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.4), we have

$$P_0 \left(\frac{\xi \cdot x}{|x|} \right) P_0 g = \sum_{j=0}^4 a_j \langle g, E_j \rangle E_j,$$

and thus for $M > \mathbf{c}$ (*this is the key point to the improvement*),

$$\frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{H_+} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |P_0 g|^2 dx d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&= \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \leq 2\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \leq 2\langle \xi \rangle_D^{1-\gamma}} \frac{(M + |\xi|)}{2D^{1-\gamma}} |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(M - \frac{\xi \cdot x}{\langle x \rangle} \right) |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta p M}{2D^{1-\gamma}(1-\gamma)} \int_{H_0 \cup H_-} (1 + |\xi|) |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&= \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} \left(M |\mathbf{P}_0 g|_{L_\xi^2}^2 - \frac{|x|}{\langle x \rangle} \sum_{j=0}^4 a_j \langle g, E_j \rangle^2 \right) dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta p M}{2D^{1-\gamma}(1-\gamma)} \int_{H_0 \cup H_-} (1 + |\xi|) |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \int_{\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt) \geq 2D^{1-\gamma}} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} (M - \mathbf{c}) |\mathbf{P}_0 g|_{L_\xi^2}^2 dx \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta p M}{2D^{1-\gamma}(1-\gamma)} \int_{H_0 \cup H_-} (1 + |\xi|) |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} (M - \mathbf{c}) \int_{H_+} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx + \frac{\delta p M}{2D^{1-\gamma}(1-\gamma)} \int_{H_0 \cup H_-} (1 + |\xi|) |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma 4.6, we find for $M \geq \mathbf{c} + \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle (\partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w) w^{-1} g, g \rangle_\xi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle Lg, g \rangle_\xi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle g, (K_w - K)g \rangle_\xi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} Ugdxd\xi \\
&\leq \frac{-\delta p}{1-\gamma} \left[M - \mathbf{c} - M\hat{\varepsilon} - \frac{C(1-\gamma)}{D^2\delta p} \right] \int_{H_+} [\delta(\langle x \rangle - Mt)]^{-1} |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\quad - \left[\mu - \frac{2\delta p}{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{M}{D} + 1 \right) D^\gamma \left(C_1 + 1 + \frac{1}{4\hat{\varepsilon}} \right) - \frac{C}{D^2} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \left| \langle \xi \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mathbf{P}_1 g \right|^2 dx d\xi. \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{\delta p}{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{M}{2D^{1-\gamma}} + \frac{M\hat{\varepsilon}}{2D^{1-\gamma}} + 2C_1 \left(\frac{M}{D} + 1 \right) D^\gamma \right) + \frac{C}{D^2} \right] \int_{H_0 \cup H_-} (1 + |\xi|) |\mathbf{P}_0 g|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\quad + C \|g\|_{L^2} \left\| u^{(\hat{N})} \right\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is similar for $\|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2}^2$. The rest of proofs for $\|\mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})}\|_{L_\xi^2 H_x^2}$ and $\|\mathcal{R}_w^{(\hat{N})}\|_{L_{\xi,\beta}^\infty L_x^\infty}$ is all the same as those in [8] and we omit the details here. Therefore, after choosing $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ sufficiently small, $D \geq 1$ sufficiently large, $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, together with the wave part (Lemma 4.3), we can conclude that for any $\delta > 0$, $p \geq 2$, $\beta > 3/2$, there exist positive constants $D \geq 1$ sufficiently large and $M = \mathbf{c} + \delta$ for the choice of weight function w such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t} (1+t)^{-1/2} \|u\|_{L_{\xi,\beta}^\infty L_x^\infty} \leq C\delta\varepsilon \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{p+\beta+\gamma/2} f_0 \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty L_x^\infty},$$

or equivalently,

$$\|wf\|_{L_{\xi,\beta}^\infty L_x^\infty} = \|u\|_{L_{\xi,\beta}^\infty L_x^\infty} \leq C_\delta \varepsilon (1+t)^{1/2} \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{p+\beta+\gamma/2} f_0 \right\|_{L_{\xi}^\infty L_x^\infty}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $C_\delta > 0$ is a constant independent of time with $C_\delta \rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Note that for $|x| > (M + \delta)t = (\mathbf{c} + 2\delta)t$, we have

$$\langle x \rangle - Mt = \frac{\frac{\delta}{2M} \langle x \rangle}{2 + \frac{\delta}{2M}} + \frac{2 \langle x \rangle}{2 + \frac{\delta}{2M}} - Mt > \frac{\frac{\delta}{2M} \langle x \rangle}{2 + \frac{\delta}{2M}} + \frac{\frac{\delta}{2} t}{2 + \frac{\delta}{2M}} \geq \frac{\delta (\langle x \rangle + t)}{4M + 2\delta},$$

so that

$$|f|_{L_{\xi}^\infty} \leq C_\delta \varepsilon (1+t)^{1/2} (\langle x \rangle + t)^{-\frac{p}{1-\gamma}} \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{p+\beta+\gamma/2} f_0 \right\|_{L_{\xi}^\infty L_x^\infty}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $C_\delta > 0$ is a constant independent of time with $C_\delta \rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, as desired.

5. SOME CONVOLUTION ESTIMATES

In this section, we provide the proof of nonlinear wave interactions for f_* of Lemma 4.2 in Section 4.

Lemma 5.1.

$$\begin{aligned} A &=: \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+t-\tau)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{1+t-\tau}\right)^{-N} e^{-c\tau} (1+|y|)^{-\hat{P}} dy d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove it for two cases:

Case 1: When $|x| \leq 3\sqrt{t+1}$,

$$A \lesssim \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-m} e^{-c\tau} d\tau \lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}.$$

Case 2: When $|x| > 3\sqrt{t+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_0^t \int_{|y| < |x|/2} + \int_0^t \int_{|y| > |x|/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-m} e^{-c\tau} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-m+\frac{3}{2}} e^{-c\tau} d\tau (1+|x| + \sqrt{t+1})^{-\hat{P}} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} + (1+t)^{-m+\frac{3}{2}} (t+1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} + (1+t)^{-m-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}+\frac{3}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{1+t}\right)^{-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.2. For any $m \geq 0$, $N > 3/2$, $\hat{P} \geq \max\{N + \frac{5}{2}, 2N, 5\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+t-\tau)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x-y| - c(t-\tau))^2}{1+t-\tau}\right)^{-N} e^{-c_0\tau} (1+|y|)^{-\hat{P}} dy d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may assume $c = 1$ and write

$$I = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1 + \tau)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|y| - \tau)^2}{1 + \tau} \right)^{-N} dy d\tau.$$

We divide the xt space into five parts

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 &= \{|x| \leq \sqrt{1+t}\}, & \mathcal{D}_2 &= \{|x - ct| \leq \sqrt{1+t}\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_3 &= \{|x| \geq t + \sqrt{1+t}\}, & \mathcal{D}_4 &= \{\sqrt{1+t} \leq |x| \leq \frac{t}{2}\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_5 &= \{\frac{t}{2} \leq |x| \leq t - \sqrt{1+t}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ and $t \leq 65$,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + \tau)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|y| - \tau)^2}{1 + \tau} \right)^{-N} dy d\tau \\ &\quad + (1 + t)^{-m} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\hat{P}} dy d\tau \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{4}} + (1 + t)^{-m} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-m-N}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ and $t \geq 65$, we have

$$|x - y| \geq |y| - |x| \geq \frac{\tau}{2} - \sqrt{1+t} \geq \frac{t}{4} - \sqrt{1+t} \geq \frac{t}{8}$$

when $|y| \geq \frac{\tau}{2}$, $\frac{t}{2} \leq \tau \leq t$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1 + \tau)^{-m} dy d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_{|y| < \frac{\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1 + t)^{-m-N} dy d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_{|y| \geq \frac{\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1 + t)^{-m-\hat{P}} \left(1 + \frac{(|y| - \tau)^2}{1 + \tau} \right)^{-N} dy d\tau \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{4}} + (1 + t)^{-m-N} + (1 + t)^{-m+\frac{5}{2}-\hat{P}} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-m-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_1$,

$$I \lesssim (1 + t)^{-m-N} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-m} \left[1 + \frac{(|x| - t)^2}{1 + t} \right]^{-N}.$$

For $(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\hat{P}} dy d\tau + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1 + |x - y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1 + t)^{-m} dy d\tau \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{3}} + (1 + t)^{-m} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-m} \left[1 + \frac{(|x| - t)^2}{1 + t} \right]^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_3$, we split the the space domain into two parts $\{|y| < \frac{|x| + \tau}{2}\}$ and $\{|y| \geq \frac{|x| + \tau}{2}\}$.
If $|y| < \frac{|x| + \tau}{2}$, then

$$|x - y| > |x| - \frac{|x| + \tau}{2} = \frac{|x| - t}{2} + \frac{t - \tau}{2};$$

if $|y| \geq \frac{|x| + \tau}{2}$, then

$$|y| - \tau \geq \frac{|x| + \tau}{2} - \tau = \frac{|x| - t}{2} + \frac{t - \tau}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int_{|y| < \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|-t}{2} + \frac{t-\tau}{2}\right)^{-\hat{P}} (1+\tau)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|y|-\tau)^2}{1+\tau}\right)^{-N} dyd\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int_{|y| \geq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1+|x-y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1+\tau)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2 + (t-\tau)^2}{1+\tau}\right)^{-N} dyd\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_{|y| < \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|-t}{2} + \frac{t-\tau}{2}\right)^{-\hat{P}} (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|y|-\tau)^2}{1+\tau}\right)^{-N} dyd\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int_{|y| \geq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1+|x-y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2 + (t-\tau)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} dyd\tau \\
&\lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}} + e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} \\
&\quad + (1+t)^{-m+\frac{5}{2}-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}} + (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} \\
&\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_4$, we split the integral into three parts

$$I = \left(\int_0^{\frac{2t}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} + \int_{\frac{2t}{3}}^t \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} + \int_{\frac{2t}{3}}^t \int_{|y| > \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} \right) (\dots) dyd\tau = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

It immediately follows that

$$I_1 \lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{3}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}.$$

If $\frac{2}{3}t \leq \tau \leq t$, $|y| \leq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}$, then

$$\tau - |y| \geq \tau - \frac{|x|+\tau}{2} = \frac{\tau - |x|}{2} \geq \frac{t}{12} \geq \frac{t - |x|}{12};$$

if $\frac{2}{3}t \leq \tau \leq t$, $|y| > \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}$, then

$$|x - y| \geq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2} - |x| = \frac{\tau - |x|}{2} \geq \frac{t}{12} \geq \frac{t - |x|}{12}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\lesssim \int_{\frac{2t}{3}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1+|x-y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} dyd\tau \\
&\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}, \\
I_3 &\lesssim \int_{\frac{2t}{3}}^t \int_{|y| > \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1+t-|x|)^{-\hat{P}} (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|y|-\tau)^2}{1+\tau}\right)^{-N} dyd\tau \\
&\lesssim (1+t)^{-m+\frac{5}{2}} (1+t-|x|)^{-\hat{P}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-m+\frac{5}{2}-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-\frac{\hat{P}}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$I \lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|x|-t)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}.$$

For $(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}_5$, we split the integral into three parts

$$I = \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\frac{t+|x|}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t+|x|}{2}}^t \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\dots) dy d\tau = J_1 + J_2 + J_3.$$

It immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|x-y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1+\tau)^{-m} dy d\tau \lesssim e^{-\frac{c_0 t}{3}}, \\ J_2 &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} e^{-\frac{c_0}{2} \left(\frac{t-|x|}{2}\right)} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\frac{t+|x|}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{c_0(t-\tau)}{2}} (1+|x-y|)^{-\hat{P}} dy d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(t-|x|)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

For J_3 , we further split the integral into two parts

$$J_3 = \int_{\frac{t+|x|}{2}}^t \left(\int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} + \int_{|y| > \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} \right) (\dots) dy d\tau.$$

If $\frac{t+|x|}{2} \leq \tau \leq t$, $|y| \leq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}$, then

$$\tau - |y| \geq \tau - \frac{|x|+\tau}{2} = \frac{\tau - |x|}{2} \geq \frac{t - |x|}{4};$$

if $\frac{t+|x|}{2} \leq \tau \leq t$, $|y| > \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}$, then

$$|x-y| \geq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2} - |x| = \frac{\tau - |x|}{2} \geq \frac{t - |x|}{4}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\lesssim \int_{\frac{t+|x|}{2}}^t \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1+|x-y|)^{-\hat{P}} (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(t-|x|)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} dy d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{t+|x|}{2}}^t \int_{|y| > \frac{|x|+\tau}{2}} e^{-c_0(t-\tau)} (1+t-|x|)^{-\hat{P}} (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(|y|-\tau)^2}{1+\tau}\right)^{-N} dy d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(t-|x|)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N} + (1+t)^{-m+\frac{5}{2}} (1+t-|x|)^{-\hat{P}} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(t-|x|)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining J_1 , J_2 and J_3 gives

$$I \lesssim (1+t)^{-m} \left(1 + \frac{(t-|x|)^2}{1+t}\right)^{-N}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Acknowledgment This work is partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2022YFA1007300. Y.-C. Lin is supported by the National Science and Technology Council under the grant NSTC 112-2115-M-006-006-MY2. H.-T. Wang is supported by NSFC under Grant No. 12371220 and 12031013, the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese

Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDA25010403. K.-C. Wu is supported by the National Science and Technology Council under the grant NSTC 112-2628-M-006-006 -MY4 and National Center for Theoretical Sciences.

Data Availability Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no Conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Caflisch, The Boltzmann equation with a soft potential: I. linear, spatially-homogeneous, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 74 (1980), 71-95.
- [2] R. Ellis, M. Pinsky, The first and second fluid approximation to the linearized Boltzmann equation. *J. Math. Pure. Appl.* 54 (1975), 125-156.
- [3] H. Grad, Asymptotic theory of the Boltzmann equation, *Rarefied Gas Dynamics*, J. A. Laurmann, Ed. 1, 26, pp.26–59 Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- [4] Y. Guo, The Boltzmann equation in the whole space, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 53 (2004), 1081-1094.
- [5] M.-Y. Lee, T.-P. Liu, S.-H. Yu, Large-time behavior of solutions for the Boltzmann equation with hard potentials, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 269 (2007), no. 1, 17–37.
- [6] H.-L. Li, T. Yang, M.-Y. Zhong, Green’s function and pointwise space-time behaviors of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 235 (2020), no. 2, 1011–1057.
- [7] H.-L. Li, T. Yang, M.-Y. Zhong, Green’s function and pointwise behavior of the one-dimensional Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 247 (2023), no. 5, Paper No. 82, 95 pp.
- [8] Y.-C. Lin, M.-J. Lyu, H.-T. Wang, K.-C. Wu, Space-time behavior of the Boltzmann equation with soft potentials, *J. Differential Equations*, 322 (2022), 180-236.
- [9] Y.-C. Lin, H.-T. Wang, K.-C. Wu, Quantitative Pointwise Estimate of the Solution of the Linearized Boltzmann Equation, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 171 (2018), 927-964.
- [10] Y.-C. Lin, H.-T. Wang, K.-C. Wu, Spatial behavior to the solution of the linearized Boltzmann equation with hard potentials, *J. Math. Phys.*, 61, 021504 (2020).
- [11] Y.-C. Lin, H.-T. Wang, K.-C. Wu, Mixture estimate in fractional sense and its application to the well-posedness of the Boltzmann equation with very soft potential, *Mathematische Annalen*, 387 (2023), 2061-2103.
- [12] Y.-C. Lin, H.-T. Wang, K.-C. Wu: Stability of background perturbation for Boltzmann equation, (2023), arXiv:2307.15849.
- [13] Y.-C. Lin, H.-T. Wang, K.-C. Wu: 3D hard sphere Boltzmann equation: explicit structure and the transition process from polynomial tail to Gaussian tail, (2024), arXiv:2408.02183.
- [14] T.-P. Liu, T. Yang, S.-H. Yu, Energy method for the Boltzmann equation, *Phys. D*, 188 (2004), 178-192.
- [15] T.-P. Liu, S.-H. Yu, Boltzmann equation: micro-macro decompositions and positivity of shock profiles, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 246 (2004), no. 1, 133–179.
- [16] T.-P. Liu, S.-H. Yu, The Green’s function and large time behavior of solutions for the one-dimensional Boltzmann equation, *Commun. Pure App. Math.*, 57 (2004), 1543-1608.
- [17] T.-P. Liu, S.-H. Yu, Green’s function of Boltzmann equation, 3-D waves, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin.* 1 (2006), 1-78.
- [18] T.-P. Liu, S.-H. Yu, Initial-boundary value problem for one-dimensional wave solutions of the Boltzmann equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), no. 3, 295–356.
- [19] T.-P. Liu, S.-H. Yu, Solving Boltzmann equation, Part I : Green’s function, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.)*, 6 (2011), 151-243.
- [20] T.-P. Liu, S.-H. Yu, Invariant manifolds for steady Boltzmann flows and applications, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 209 (2013), no. 3, 869–997.
- [21] T. Nishida, Fluid dynamical limit of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation to the level of the compressible Euler equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 61 (1978), no. 2, 119–148.
- [22] S. Ukai, On the existence of global solutions of mixed problem for non-linear Boltzmann equation. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 50 (1974), 179–184.
- [23] S. Ukai, Les solutions globales de léquation de Boltzmann dans léspace tout entier et dans le demi-espace. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 282 (1976), no. 6, Ai, A317–A320.
- [24] S. Ukai, T. Yang, The Boltzmann equation in the space $L^2 \cap L^\infty_\beta$: global and time-periodic solutions, *Anal. Appl.*, 4 (2006), no. 3, 263-310.
- [25] H.-T. Wang, K.-C. Wu, Solving linearized Landau equation pointwisely, arXiv:1709.00839.
- [26] T. Yang, H. Yu, Spectrum analysis of some kinetic equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 222 (2016), no. 2, 731-768.

- [27] S.-H. Yu, Nonlinear wave propagations over a Boltzmann shock profile, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010), no. 4, 1041–1118.

YU-CHU LIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY, TAINAN, TAIWAN
Email address: yuchu@mail.ncku.edu.tw

HAITAO WANG, INSTITUTE OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MOE-LSA, CMA-SHANGHAI, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, CHINA
Email address: haitallica@sjtu.edu.cn

KUNG-CHIEN WU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY, TAINAN, TAIWAN AND NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN
Email address: kungchienwu@gmail.com