

The algebraic semantics for the one-variable monadic fragment of the predicate logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$

DIEGO CASTAÑO, VALERIA CASTAÑO, JOSÉ PATRICIO DÍAZ VARELA AND MARCELA MUÑOZ SANTIS

Abstract

In this article we characterize the equivalent algebraic semantics for the one-variable monadic fragment of the first-order logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$ defined by F. Esteva, L. Godo, P. Hájek and M. Navara in *Residuated fuzzy logics with an involutive negation*, Archive for Mathematical Logic **39** (2000). To this end, we first introduce the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ as a certain class of Gödel algebras endowed with two monadic operators and a De Morgan negation. We study its basic properties, determine its subdirectly irreducible members and prove that this variety has the finite embeddability property. In particular, we prove that a special subvariety $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ of $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ is exactly the desired equivalent algebraic semantics; this is done via a functional representation of finite subdirectly irreducible algebras.

1 Introduction and preliminares

BL-algebras were introduced by P. Hájek in his book [7] as the algebraic semantics of his basic fuzzy logic (\mathcal{BL}). One of the most important extensions of \mathcal{BL} is Gödel Logic (\mathcal{G}), obtained by adding the axiom schema $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi^2$, whose algebraic semantics, Gödel algebras, is also given in [7]. Recall that the variety of Gödel algebras (\mathbb{G}) coincides with the subvariety of Heyting algebras determined by the prelinearity equation $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) \approx 1$ and \mathbb{G} is generated by the Gödel t-norm $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}$.

Later, in [6], F. Esteva, L. Godo, P. Hájek and M. Navara introduced the logic \mathcal{G}_{\sim} as an expansion of Gödel logic adding an arbitrary independent involutive negation given by the following axiom schemata:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (\sim 1) \quad \sim(\sim \varphi) \equiv \varphi & (\Delta 1) \quad \Delta \varphi \vee \neg \Delta \varphi \\
 (\sim 2) \quad \neg \varphi \rightarrow \sim \varphi & (\Delta 2) \quad \Delta(\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow (\Delta \varphi \vee \Delta \psi) \\
 (\sim 3) \quad \Delta(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \Delta(\sim \psi \rightarrow \sim \varphi) & (\Delta 5) \quad \Delta(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Delta \varphi \rightarrow \Delta \psi)
 \end{array}$$

where $\Delta \varphi$ is $\neg \sim \varphi$ and $\varphi \equiv \psi$ is $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \& (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$. The deduction rules of \mathcal{G}_{\sim} are *modus ponens* ($\frac{\varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi}$) and *necessitation* for Δ ($\frac{\varphi}{\Delta \varphi}$).

They also defined the predicate calculus based on this logic ($\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$) by taking as axioms those of \mathcal{G}_{\sim} plus the following five axioms for quantifiers given by P. Hájek in his basic predicate logic:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (\forall 1) \quad (\forall x)\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(t) \quad (t \text{ substitutable for } x \text{ in } \varphi(x)) \\
 (\exists 1) \quad \varphi(t) \rightarrow (\exists x)\varphi(x) \quad (t \text{ substitutable for } x \text{ in } \varphi(x))
 \end{array}$$

$$(\forall 2) \quad (\forall x)(\psi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow (\forall x)\varphi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \psi)$$

$$(\exists 2) \quad (\forall x)(\psi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow ((\exists x)\psi \rightarrow \varphi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \varphi)$$

$$(\forall 3) \quad (\forall x)(\psi \vee \varphi) \rightarrow ((\forall x)\psi \vee \varphi) \quad (x \text{ not free in } \varphi)$$

The inference rules of this calculus are modus ponens, necessitation $(\frac{\varphi}{\Delta\varphi})$ and generalization $(\frac{\varphi}{\forall\varphi})$.

In recent articles, D. Castaño, C. Cimadamore, J. P. Díaz Varela and L. Rueda introduced and studied the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}$ of monadic Gödel algebras as an expansion of Gödel algebras by two monadic operators \exists and \forall . They showed that these algebras constitute the equivalent algebraic semantics of the one-variable monadic fragment of the predicate Gödel logic [3, 4, 5]. Following this line of research we intend to find the equivalent algebraic semantics of the one-variable monadic fragment of the first-order logic $\mathcal{G}\forall\sim$, which is the main result of this paper. We start by introducing an expansion $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_\sim$ of the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}$ by a unary operation \sim . In Sections 2 and 3, we make a standard study of the basic properties of the algebras in this variety, which includes the characterization of congruences and subdirectly irreducible algebras. We also prove that $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_\sim$ has the finite embeddability property (FEP for short) and so it is generated by its finite members. In the next sections, we introduce an important subvariety of $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_\sim$, the variety $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_\sim$, characterized within the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_\sim$ by the identity $\exists(x \wedge \sim x) \rightarrow \forall(x \vee \sim x) \approx 1$, that is, $\exists(x \wedge \sim x) \leq \forall(x \vee \sim x)$. We are interested in this subvariety because the formula $(\exists x)(\varphi \wedge \sim \varphi) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$ is a theorem in the predicate calculus based on the logic \mathcal{G}_\sim . We give a complete characterization of the range of the monadic operators in algebras within this variety, which allows us to show that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_\sim$ is precisely the variety generated by the functional monadic G_\sim -algebras. Finally, in Section 6, taking into account that functional algebras encode the information of the models used to interpret the one-variable monadic fragment of the first-order logic $\mathcal{G}\forall\sim$, we prove that $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_\sim$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics for this calculus.

Recall (see [3]) that the algebras in $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}$ (monadic Gödel algebras, which we call MG -algebras in this article, for short) are Gödel algebras endowed with two unary operations \forall and \exists that satisfy the following identities:

$$(M1) \quad \forall x \rightarrow x \approx 1, \quad (M3) \quad \forall(\forall x \rightarrow y) \approx \forall x \rightarrow \forall y,$$

$$(M2) \quad \forall(x \rightarrow \forall y) \approx \exists x \rightarrow \forall y, \quad (M4) \quad \forall(\exists x \vee y) \approx \exists x \vee \forall y.$$

Note that in [3] there is an additional identity (M5) that holds trivially in this case.

We are interested in studying MG -algebras with an involutive negation. The following definition is motivated by the axioms of the predicate calculus based on the logic \mathcal{G}_\sim .

Definition 1.1. An algebra $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \sim, \exists, \forall, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an MG_\sim -algebra if $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \exists, \forall, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a monadic Gödel algebra, $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, \sim, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a De Morgan algebra and the following identities hold:

$$(N) \quad \neg x \rightarrow \sim x \approx 1, \text{ that is, } \neg x \leq \sim x,$$

$$(Q) \quad \forall x \approx \sim \exists \sim x,$$

where $\neg x := x \rightarrow 0$.

We denote by \mathbb{MG}_{\sim} the variety of MG_{\sim} -algebras and by \mathbb{G}_{\sim} the variety of Gödel algebras with a De Morgan negation that satisfies (N).

Here and subsequently \mathbf{A} denotes a Gödel algebra, and $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ the Gödel algebra \mathbf{A} enriched with a monadic structure and with an involutive negation. Observe that if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra, $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an MG -algebra and $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a G_{\sim} -algebra. For this reason we are interested in the properties of the algebras in \mathbb{MG} and \mathbb{G}_{\sim} . To close this section we summarize some of the main properties of G_{\sim} -algebras and MG -algebras which will be useful in the development of this article.

The variety \mathbb{G}_{\sim} was introduced by A. Monteiro in [8] as the class of symmetric fully linear algebras and later studied in, for example, [1]. The proofs of the following properties can be found in [8] and [1]. We start by recalling some arithmetical properties.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ be an algebra in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} and $a, b \in A$. Then:*

- | | |
|---|---|
| (1) $\neg a \vee \neg \neg a = 1$, | (4) $\Delta(a \vee b) = \Delta a \vee \Delta b$, |
| (2) $\Delta(a \rightarrow b) = \Delta(\sim b \rightarrow \sim a)$, | (5) $\Delta a \wedge \Delta(a \rightarrow b) \leq \Delta b$, |
| (3) $\Delta a \vee \neg \Delta a = 1$, | (6) $\Delta a \leq a$; $\Delta \Delta a = \Delta a$, |

where $\Delta a := \neg \sim a$.

Observe that (1) says that algebras in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} are Stone algebras and (2)-(5) say that they are SBL_{\sim} -algebras (see [6]).

Recall that a *filter* of a G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is an increasing nonempty set $F \subseteq A$ such that $a \wedge b \in F$ whenever $a, b \in F$. A filter F is called *prime* if $a \vee b \in F$ implies $a \in F$ or $b \in F$. We have the following important properties of the variety \mathbb{G}_{\sim} .

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ be an algebra in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} . Then:*

- (1) *The condition (N) in the definition of \mathbb{G}_{\sim} can be replaced by the Kleene condition (K): $x \wedge \sim x \leq y \vee \sim y$.*
- (2) *The set of prime filters of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a disjoint union of chains. Hence, if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a finite algebra, $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a product of totally ordered algebras.*
- (3) *The congruence lattice and the lattice of regular filters of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ are isomorphic, where F is a regular filter if F is a filter that satisfies $\Delta x \in F$ whenever $x \in F$ (see [9]).*
- (4) *$\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subdirectly irreducible algebra if and only if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is totally ordered if and only if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is simple.*

Next we summarize some of the basic properties of monadic Gödel algebras; all the proofs can be found in [3] in the broader context of monadic BL -algebras.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be an algebra in \mathbb{MG} . Then:*

- (1) $\exists A = \forall A$.
- (2) $\exists \mathbf{A}$ is a subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- (3) *The congruence lattice and the lattice of monadic filters of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ are isomorphic, where F is a monadic filter if F is a filter that satisfies $\forall x \in F$ whenever $x \in F$.*

(4) The congruence lattices of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ and $\exists \mathbf{A}$ are isomorphic.

(5) $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is finitely subdirectly irreducible if and only if $\exists \mathbf{A}$ is totally ordered.

Some arithmetical properties of MG -algebras useful for this work are the following.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be an algebra in \mathbb{MG} . Then, for any $a, b \in A$:*

- | | |
|---|--|
| (1) $\forall 1 = \exists 1 = 1$ and $\forall 0 = \exists 0 = 0$, | (4) $\forall(a \vee \forall b) = \forall a \vee \forall b$, |
| (2) $\forall a \leq a$; $a \leq \exists a$, | (5) if $a \leq b$, then $\forall a \leq \forall b$ and $\exists a \leq \exists b$. |
| (3) $\forall \forall a = \forall a$ and $\exists \exists a = \exists a$, | |

2 Subdirectly irreducible MG_{\sim} -algebras

Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be an algebra in the variety \mathbb{MG}_{\sim} . In this section we prove that $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ and that the congruence lattices of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ and $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ are isomorphic.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an algebra in \mathbb{MG}_{\sim} , then $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$.*

Proof. Since $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an MG -algebra, by Lemma 1.4, we have that $\exists \mathbf{A}$ is a Gödel algebra and $\exists A = \forall A$. We now consider $x \in \exists A$, then $x = \exists z$, for some $z \in A$. From (Q), we obtain $\forall \sim z = \sim \exists z$ and thus $\sim x = \forall \sim z$. This proves that $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$. ■

Let us define the following lattices

- $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A})$: the congruence lattice of an algebra \mathbf{A} .
- $\mathbf{F}_r(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle)$: the lattice of regular filters of an algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} .
- $\mathbf{F}_m(\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle)$: the lattice of monadic filters of an algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ in \mathbb{MG} .
- $\mathbf{F}_{mr}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle)$: the lattice of monadic regular filters of an algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ in \mathbb{MG}_{\sim} , where $F \subseteq A$ is a *monadic regular filter* if F is both, monadic and regular.

In [3, Th. 2.7] it was proved that $\mathbf{F}_m(\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Con}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle)$, for each algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ in \mathbb{MG} , and the isomorphism is given by $F \mapsto \theta_F = \{(a, b) \in A^2 : (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a) \in F\}$.

Similarly, in [9, Th. 3.3] it was proved that $\mathbf{F}_r(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Con}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle)$, for each algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} , and the isomorphism is given by $F \mapsto \theta'_F = \{(a, b) \in A^2 : a \wedge f = b \wedge f, \text{ for some } f \in F\}$.

Taking these results into account as well as the fact that $\theta_F = \theta'_F$ in an MG_{\sim} -algebra the following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be an MG_{\sim} -algebra. The correspondence $\mathbf{F}_{mr}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle) \rightarrow \mathbf{Con}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle)$ defined by $F \mapsto \theta_F = \{(a, b) \in A^2 : (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a) \in F\}$ is an order isomorphism whose inverse is given by $\theta \mapsto 1/\theta$.*

In [9] H.P. Sankappanavar defined the following terms:

$$t_k(x) := \Delta^0 x \wedge \Delta^1 x \wedge \Delta^2 x \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta^k x,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Delta^n x$ is defined recursively: $\Delta^0 x := x$ and $\Delta^{n+1} x := \Delta^n(\Delta x)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. He used them to prove that given a De Morgan Heyting algebra \mathbf{L} and $X \subseteq L$, $X \neq \emptyset$, the regular filter generated by X is the set

$$Fg_r(X) = \{a \in L : t_{k_1}(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k_n}(x_n) \leq a, \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Observe that algebras in the variety \mathbb{G}_{\sim} satisfy $\Delta x \leq x$ and $\Delta^2 x = \Delta x$ (Lemma 1.2). Then, on any of these algebras we have $t_0(x) = x$ and $t_k(x) = \Delta x$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} and $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq A$:

$$Fg_r(X) = \{a \in A : \Delta x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta x_n \leq a, \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Given an MG_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ and $X \subseteq A$, $X \neq \emptyset$, the monadic regular filter generated by X is the set*

$$\begin{aligned} Fg_{mr}(X) &= Fg_r(\{\forall x : x \in X\}) \\ &= \{a \in A : \Delta \forall x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta \forall x_n \leq a, \text{ where } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $S = \{a \in A : \Delta \forall x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta \forall x_n \leq a, \text{ where } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X\}$. By (1) we have that $S = Fg_r(\{\forall x, x \in X\})$ in the algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$. Thus, if $a \in S$, $\Delta a \in S$.

Let us prove that $\forall a \in S$ whenever $a \in S$. Given $a \in S$, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that $\Delta \forall x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta \forall x_n \leq a$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 1.4 (1) we know that $\langle \forall \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$. Then, there exists $y \in A$ such that $\Delta \forall x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta \forall x_n = \forall y$. From this $\forall y \rightarrow a = 1$ and so, $\forall(\forall y \rightarrow a) = \forall 1 = 1$. Finally, by (M3) we obtain $\forall y \rightarrow \forall a = 1$, or equivalently $\Delta \forall x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta \forall x_n \leq \forall a$.

We have proved that S is a monadic regular filter, and it is clearly the smallest one that contains X . \blacksquare

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be an MG_{\sim} -algebra. The correspondence $\mathbf{F}_{mr}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_r(\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle)$ defined by $F \mapsto F \cap \exists A$ is an order isomorphism whose inverse is given by $S \mapsto Fg_{mr}(S)$.*

Proof. Let F be a monadic regular filter of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$. Since $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$, we have that $F \cap \exists A$ is a regular filter of $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$.

Let us prove that $Fg_{mr}(F \cap \exists A) = F$, for all $F \in \mathbf{F}_{mr}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle)$. From $F \cap \exists A \subseteq F$, it follows that $Fg_{mr}(F \cap \exists A) \subseteq F$. Now suppose $x \in F$. Since $\exists A = \forall A$ and F is a monadic filter we have that $\forall x \in F \cap \exists A$. By Lemma 1.5(2) we know that $\forall x \leq x$ and consequently $x \in Fg_{mr}(F \cap \exists A)$.

Let us prove that $Fg_{mr}(S) \cap \exists A = S$ for each $S \in \mathbf{F}_r(\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle)$. Using Lemma 2.3 and $\forall S = S$ we obtain that

$$Fg_{mr}(S) \cap \exists A = Fg_r(\forall S) \cap \exists A = Fg_r(S) \cap \exists A.$$

Moreover, $Fg_r(S) \cap \exists A = S$. Indeed, from (1) we know that if $a \in Fg_r(S) \cap \exists A$ then $a \geq \Delta x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta x_n$, for some $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$. Now, since S is a regular filter, $a \in \exists A$ and S is an increasing set on $\exists A$, we have that $a \in S$. Thus, $Fg_r(S) \cap \exists A \subseteq S$. The other inclusion is trivial.

Hence, it is clear that the correspondence $F \mapsto F \cap \exists A$ is an order isomorphism. \blacksquare

From Lemma 1.3 we obtain the following immediate results.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \in \mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$, then:*

(1) $\mathbf{Con}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle) \cong \mathbf{F}_{mr}(\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle) \cong \mathbf{F}_r(\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle) \cong \mathbf{Con}(\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle)$.

(2) *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is subdirectly irreducible.
- (b) $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is simple.
- (c) $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is subdirectly irreducible.
- (d) $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is simple.
- (e) $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is totally ordered.

Remark 2.6. In particular, if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ we have, by Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 1.3 (2), that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, where $\langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ is the G_{\sim} -chain with n_i elements, and $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a totally ordered subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$.

As a consequence of the results given in this section we obtain an important property of the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$.

Theorem 2.7. $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ is a discriminator variety.

Proof. We consider the term $T(x) := \Delta \forall x$. Since $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is totally ordered for each subdirectly irreducible algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ in $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$, it follows that:

$$T(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } a \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

for all $a \in A$. Then, it is immediate that a discriminator term for each subdirectly irreducible algebra is given by

$$t(x, y, z) := (T((x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x)) \wedge z) \vee (\neg(T((x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x)) \wedge x)).$$

■

Corollary 2.8. $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \in \mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ is subdirectly irreducible if and only if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is simple if and only if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is directly indescomposable.

3 Generations by finite members

We note that the variety \mathbb{G}_{\sim} is locally finite. In fact, since all of the subdirectly irreducible algebras in this variety are totally ordered (Lemma 1.3) it is easy to see that each n -generated chain has at most $2n + 2$ elements. This implies that the class of subdirectly irreducible algebras in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} is uniformly locally finite and so, using [2, Th. 3.7] we have that \mathbb{G}_{\sim} is locally finite. This property is not true for the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$, as we will show later. Nevertheless, we prove next that the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ has the FEP and thus, it is generated by its finite members. In order to show this it will be useful to describe which subalgebras of a G_{\sim} -algebra can be the range of the quantifiers.

First recall that, in [3], D. Castaño et al. give a characterization of the range of the quantifiers of a monadic BL -algebra \mathbf{L} by means of certain types of subalgebras called *m-relatively complete subalgebras*. Given a BL -algebra \mathbf{L} , a subalgebra \mathbf{C} of \mathbf{L} is *m-relatively complete* if it satisfies:

- (s₁) For every $a \in L$, the set $\{c \in C : c \leq a\}$ has a greatest element and $\{c \in C : c \geq a\}$ has a least element.
- (s₂) For every $a \in L$ and $c_1, c_2 \in C$ such that $c_1 \leq c_2 \vee a$, there exists $c_3 \in C$ such that $c_1 \leq c_2 \vee c_3$ and $c_3 \leq a$.
- (s₃) For every $a \in L$ and $c_1 \in C$ such that $a * a \leq c_1$, there exists $c_2 \in C$ such that $a \leq c_2$ and $c_2 * c_2 \leq c_1$.

Given a G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$, a subalgebra $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is *m-relatively complete* if it satisfies (s₁)-(s₃) from the above definition. In this case, since \wedge coincides with $*$, the condition (s₃) trivially holds. Moreover, if $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ is totally ordered, (s₂) may be replaced by the following simpler equivalent condition (see [3]):

- (s'₂) If $1 = c \vee a$ for some $c \in C$ and $a \in A$, then $c = 1$ or $a = 1$.

The following characterization of the range of the quantifier \exists in an MG_{\sim} -algebra is analogous to the result given in [3] for MG -algebras, and it is useful to build MG_{\sim} -algebras from a given G_{\sim} -algebra.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ be a G_{\sim} -algebra and $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ an *m-relatively complete* subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$. We define on A the operations*

$$\exists a := \min\{c \in C : c \geq a\}, \quad \forall a := \max\{c \in C : c \leq a\},$$

*then $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra such that $\langle \forall \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$. Conversely, if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra, then $\langle \forall \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is an *m-relatively complete* subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ is an *m-relatively complete* subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ and \forall and \exists are defined as in the statement of the theorem. In [3] it was proved that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a monadic BL -algebra and consequently, it is an MG -algebra. Moreover, $\exists A = \forall A = C$. It only remains to show that $\forall a = \sim \exists \sim a$ for each $a \in A$. We write $d = \forall a = \max\{c \in C : c \leq a\}$ and $m = \exists \sim a = \min\{c \in C : c \geq \sim a\}$. From $m \geq \sim a$ we have that $\sim m \leq a$. Since $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ and $m \in C$ we obtain $\sim m \in C$ and so, $\sim m \leq d$. Dually, from $d \leq a$, $\sim d \geq \sim a$ and since $\sim d \in C$, we have that $m \leq \sim d$ and so $\sim m \geq d$. Therefore, $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra such that $\langle \forall \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$.

The converse follows from Lemma 2.1 and [3, Th. 3.1]. ■

Example 3.2. Fix two natural numbers n and r , and consider the chain $\langle \mathbf{C}_n, \sim \rangle$ with n elements in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} and the G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle := \langle \mathbf{C}_n, \sim \rangle^r$. It is easy to check that $\langle \mathbf{D}_1, \sim \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{D}_2, \sim \rangle$ are *m-relatively complete* subalgebras of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$, where $D_1 := \{x \in A : x(i) = x(j), \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, $D_2 := \{0, 1\}$ and $0, 1$ are the least and greatest elements of \mathbf{A} , respectively. Then, by Theorem 3.1 we have that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists_2, \forall_2 \rangle$ are both MG_{\sim} -algebras, where \exists_1, \forall_1 are the quantifiers associated with $\langle \mathbf{D}_1, \sim \rangle$ and \exists_2, \forall_2 are the quantifiers associated with $\langle \mathbf{D}_2, \sim \rangle$.

Theorem 3.1 also allows us to build an example showing that the variety $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$ is not locally finite. Consider the G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}, \sim \rangle^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}$ is the standard Gödel algebra on the real interval and \sim is the unary operation defined by $\sim x := 1 - x$. Let C be the set of constant sequences in $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}, \sim \rangle^{\mathbb{N}}$. It is easy to check that $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ is an

m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}, \sim \rangle^{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus, by the above theorem we obtain that $\langle \langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}, \sim \rangle^{\mathbb{N}}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra. The same argument as in [4] shows that the subalgebra of $\langle \langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}, \sim \rangle^{\mathbb{N}}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ generated by the element $a(n) := 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is infinite. This shows that the variety $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$ is not locally finite.

We now use Theorem 3.1 to prove the FEP.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a subdirectly irreducible MG_{\sim} -algebra and $N := \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is a finite set contained in A , then there exists a finite subalgebra $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle$ of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ and operations \forall_1 and \exists_1 with the following properties:*

- (1) $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ is a finite subdirectly irreducible MG_{\sim} -algebra.
- (2) $N \subseteq A_1$.
- (3) If $a \in A_1$ and $\forall a \in A_1$, then $\forall_1 a = \forall a$.
- (4) If $a \in A_1$ and $\exists a \in A_1$, then $\exists_1 a = \exists a$.

Proof. Let $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle$ be the subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ generated by N . Of course, $N \subseteq A_1$ and since the variety \mathbb{G}_{\sim} is locally finite, A_1 is finite.

Let us consider $C := A_1 \cap \forall A$. Observe that $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ is a totally ordered subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle$. We claim that it is an m -relatively complete subalgebra. In fact, it is clear that (s_1) holds since C is a finite chain. To show (s'_2) , suppose that $1 = c \vee a$, with $c \in C$ and $a \in A_1$. Since $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$, $c = 1$ or $a = 1$.

Since $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ is an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle$, by Theorem 3.1 we have that $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra where \exists_1 and \forall_1 are defined by:

$$\exists_1 a := \min\{c \in C : c \geq a\}, \quad \forall_1 a := \max\{c \in C : c \leq a\},$$

Moreover, since $\langle \mathbf{C}, \sim \rangle$ is totally ordered, $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ is subdirectly irreducible by Corollary 2.5.

To prove (3), suppose $a \in A_1$ and $\forall a \in A_1$. Let us prove that $\forall a = \forall_1 a = \max\{c \in C : c \leq a\}$. We know that $\forall a \in C$ and $\forall a \leq a$. Suppose that $m \in C$ and $m \leq a$. Since $m \in C = \forall A \cap A_1$, there exists $m' \in A$ such that $m = \forall m'$. Then $m \leq a$ implies $\forall \forall m' \leq \forall a$ and thus $m \leq \forall a$. This shows that $\forall_1 a = \forall a$.

To prove (4), let $a \in A_1$ and $\exists a \in A_1$. Since $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle$ satisfies (Q) we obtain $\sim \forall \sim a \in A_1$. Then, $\sim a, \forall \sim a \in A_1$. Using (3) we obtain $\forall \sim a = \forall_1 \sim a$ and consequently, $\exists a = \sim \forall \sim a = \sim \forall_1 \sim a = \exists_1 a$ and the proof is complete. ■

Theorem 3.4. $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$ has the FEP.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we have that the class of finite subdirectly irreducible algebras in $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$ has the F.E.P. and so $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$ has the FEP too. ■

Corollary 3.5. *The variety $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$ is generated as a quasivariety by its finite subdirectly irreducible members.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S}_{fin} be the class of all finite subdirectly irreducible algebras in $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$. Suppose we have terms t_1, \dots, t_n, t in variables x_1, \dots, x_k such that $\mathcal{S}_{fin} \models (t_1 \approx 1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n \approx 1) \Rightarrow t \approx 1$ but $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim} \not\models (t_1 \approx 1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n \approx 1) \Rightarrow t \approx 1$. Then, there exists a subdirectly

irreducible algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \in \mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ with $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \not\models (t_1 \approx 1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n \approx 1) \Rightarrow t \approx 1$. Let T be the set of all subterms of t_1, \dots, t_n, t , and let $\bar{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A^k$ be such that $t_1(\bar{a}) = 1, \dots, t_n(\bar{a}) = 1$ but $t(\bar{a}) \neq 1$. Further, let $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be the finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ of Lemma 3.3 considering $N := \{s(\bar{a}) : s \in T\}$. Then, we have that $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \not\models (t_1 \approx 1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n \approx 1) \Rightarrow t \approx 1$, contradicting our assumption. \blacksquare

4 The subvariety $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$

In this section we focus our attention on a particular subvariety of $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$, namely $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$, whose members are characterized within the variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ by the following condition:

$$\exists(x \wedge \sim x) \leq \forall(x \vee \sim x). \quad (C)$$

$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ is a proper subvariety of $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ since the subalgebras in Example 3.2 with $D_2 = \{0, 1\}$ do not satisfy (C) for $n \geq 3$.

In Section 6 we show that the variety $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the one-variable monadic fragment of the first-order logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$. Condition (C) arises from the fact that $(\exists x)(\varphi \wedge \sim \varphi) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$ is a theorem of $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$. To show this, take a formula φ in $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$, a variable w such that φ does not contain w and $\psi := \varphi[w/x]$ (the formula that results from substituting all the free occurrences of x with w). Since \mathcal{G}_{\sim} proves $(\psi \wedge \sim \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$ (easy consequence of [6, Theorem 7]) we have that $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim} \vdash (\psi \wedge \sim \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$. Using generalization we obtain $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim} \vdash (\forall x)((\psi \wedge \sim \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \sim \varphi))$ and from the fact that ψ does not contain x freely and ($\forall 2$) we have that $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim} \vdash (\psi \wedge \sim \psi) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$. Now, generalization, the fact that φ does not contain w and ($\exists 2$) yield $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim} \vdash (\exists w)(\psi \wedge \sim \psi) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$. Finally, $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim} \vdash (\exists x)(\varphi \wedge \sim \varphi) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$ follows from [7, Theorem 5.1.17.] and Modus Ponens. It is worth noting that even when x is the only variable in φ , the proof of $(\exists x)(\varphi \wedge \sim \varphi) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\varphi \vee \sim \varphi)$ requires an auxiliary variable different from x . Moreover, if a proof including only x existed, (C) should hold on the whole variety $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$.

Next, we characterize the finite subdirectly irreducible algebras in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ by means of the range of their monadic operators. We start by showing some basic results. Here, and subsequently, $\langle \mathbf{C}_n, \sim \rangle$ denotes the G_{\sim} -chain with n elements.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ -algebra and $a \in A$. Then, $a \leq \sim a$ if and only if $a \leq \exists a \leq \sim a$.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$ such that $a \leq \sim a$. Using conditions (C), (Q) and Lemma 1.5 (2) we obtain the following equivalence:

$$\exists(a \wedge \sim a) \leq \forall(a \vee \sim a) \text{ if and only if } a \leq \exists a \leq \forall \sim a \leq \sim a.$$

\blacksquare

An element d in an $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ -algebra is called a *fixed point* if it satisfies $d = \sim d$.

Corollary 4.2. *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$ -algebra with a fixed point d , then $\exists d = d$.*

Remark 2.6 states that, if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$, then $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, for some natural numbers n_1, \dots, n_r . If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{G}_{\sim}$, the following stronger result holds.

Proposition 4.3. *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_\sim -algebra such that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, then n_i is odd for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ or n_i is even for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

Proof. Assume $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ as in the statement of the lemma, and suppose that there exists a direct factor of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ with an even number of elements and a direct factor with an odd number of elements.

Observe that if n_i is even, there exists an element a_i in C_{n_i} such that $\sim a_i$ covers a_i , that is, if $a_i \leq c \leq \sim a_i$, then $c = a_i$ or $c = \sim a_i$. If n_i is odd, there exists an element $d \in C_{n_i}$ such that $d_i = \sim d_i$.

Taking this into account, consider the element $t \in A$ such that

$$t(i) := \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } n_i \text{ is even,} \\ d_i & \text{if } n_i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where a_i and d_i are the elements described above. We claim that $\exists t = t$. In fact, since by construction $t \leq \sim t$, by Proposition 4.1 we have that $t \leq \exists t \leq \sim t$. If n_i is odd, $t(i) = d_i = \sim d_i = \sim t(i)$ and so $t(i) = (\exists t)(i)$. Suppose that n_i is even, from the fact that $t \leq \exists t \leq \sim t$, (C) and (Q) we obtain

$$a_i = t(i) \leq (\exists t)(i) = (\exists(t \wedge \sim t))(i) \leq \forall(t \vee \sim t)(i) = (\sim \exists t)(i) \leq (\sim t)(i) = \sim a_i.$$

Since $\langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ has no fixed points it follows that $(\exists t)(i) < \sim(\exists t)(i)$ and so $a_i \leq (\exists t)(i) < \sim(\exists t)(i) \leq \sim a_i$. Finally, since $\sim a_i$ covers a_i , we have that $t(i) = (\exists t)(i)$. Both cases show that $t(i) = (\exists t)(i)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and consequently, $\exists t = t$. Hence, $t \in \exists A$.

Consider now the elements $\sim t \rightarrow t$ and $\sim(\sim t \rightarrow t)$ in $\exists A$. Note that

$$(\sim t \rightarrow t)(i) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } n_i \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } n_i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sim(\sim t \rightarrow t))(i) = \begin{cases} \sim a_i & \text{if } n_i \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n_i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\sim t \rightarrow t$ and $\sim(\sim t \rightarrow t)$ are non-comparable elements in $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ contradicting the fact that $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is totally ordered. \blacksquare

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in CMG_\sim such that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$.*

(1) *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ has a fixed point, then n_i is odd, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

(2) *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ has no fixed points, then n_i is even, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

The following result characterizes the finite subdirectly irreducible algebras $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ in the variety CMG_\sim by means of $\exists A$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in MG_\sim such that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$.*

(1) *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ has a fixed point d , then $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \in \text{CMG}_\sim$ if and only if $d \in \exists A$.*

(2) *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ has no fixed points, then $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \in \text{CMG}_\sim$ if and only if there exists $t \in \exists A$ such that $(\sim t)(i)$ covers $t(i)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

Proof. Assume $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ as in the statement of the theorem. The forward implication in (1) follows immediately from Corollary 4.2.

Conversely, suppose that $d \in \exists A$ and let $x \in A$. Since d is a fixed point of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$, $d(i)$ is a fixed point of $\langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Thus, the coordinates of x satisfy $x(i) \wedge \sim x(i) \leq d(i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. From this, Lemma 1.5 and (Q), we obtain $\exists(x \wedge \sim x) \leq \exists d = d = \sim d = \sim \exists d = \forall(\sim d) \leq \forall(x \vee \sim x)$. This proves that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ satisfies (C).

In order to prove (2), suppose that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ satisfies (C). Since $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ has no fixed points and it is subdirectly irreducible, by Corollary 4.4 we have that each factor $\langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ is a chain with an even number of elements. Then, for each $i = 1, \dots, r$, there exists $a_i \in C_{n_i}$ such that $\sim a_i$ covers a_i . Let us consider the element t in A given by $t(i) := a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Note that we have already proved that $t \in \exists A$ in the proof of Proposition 4.3. Conversely, suppose that there exists $t \in \exists A$ such that $(\sim t)(i)$ covers $t(i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and take $x \in A$. Thus, in each factor $\langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, we have that $x(i) \leq t(i) < \sim t(i) \leq \sim x(i)$ or $\sim x(i) \leq t(i) < \sim t(i) \leq x(i)$. In both cases $x(i) \wedge \sim x(i) \leq t(i)$, and so, $x \wedge \sim x \leq t$. Finally, since $t = \exists t$ we have that

$$\exists(x \wedge \sim x) \leq \exists t = t \leq \sim t = \sim \exists t = \forall(\sim t) \leq \forall(x \vee \sim x).$$

This shows that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ satisfies (C). ■

Our next goal is to prove that the variety \mathbf{CMG}_{\sim} has the FEP, and thus, is generated by its finite members. Moreover, we show that it is generated by its finite subdirectly irreducible members with a fixed point. We need to prove first the following result for algebras in \mathbf{MG}_{\sim} .

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in \mathbf{MG}_{\sim} , where $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$. Then:*

- (1) *If $c \in \exists A$ and $c(i) = 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, r$, then $c = 1$.*
- (2) *If $c \in \exists A$ and $c(i) = \sim c(i)$ for some $i = 1, \dots, r$, then $c = \sim c$.*

Proof. In order to prove (1) suppose that $c \in \exists A$ and there exist i, j such that $c(i) = 1$ and $c(j) \neq 1$. If we consider an element $x \in A$ such that $x(k) = 1$ for all $k \neq i$ and $x(i) = 0$, we have that $x \vee c = 1$, $c \neq 1$ and $x \neq 1$. This implies that $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is not an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$, which is a contradiction.

Suppose now that there exist $c \in \exists A$ and i, j such that $c(i) = \sim c(i)$ and $c(j) \neq \sim c(j)$. It is easy to check that either $\sim c \rightarrow c$ and $\sim(\sim c \rightarrow c)$ are non-comparable elements, or $c \rightarrow \sim c$ and $\sim(c \rightarrow \sim c)$ are, which is a contradiction. Thus, (2) holds. ■

Lemma 4.7. (1) *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a finite algebra in \mathbf{G}_{\sim} , then $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is embeddable in a finite algebra $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$ in \mathbf{G}_{\sim} with a fixed point.*

- (2) *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in \mathbf{MG}_{\sim} , then there exists a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ in \mathbf{CMG}_{\sim} with a fixed point such that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$ and $\exists A \subseteq \exists B$. In addition, if $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ satisfies (C), then $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$.*

Proof. To prove (1), let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ be a finite algebra in \mathbf{G}_{\sim} , by Lemma 1.3 (2) we can assume that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, where $\langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ are chains in \mathbf{G}_{\sim} .

For each even n_i , let $C_{n_i} := \{a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{n_i}{2}}, \sim a_{\frac{n_i}{2}}, \dots, \sim a_1\}$, where $a_1 < \dots < a_{\frac{n_i}{2}} < \sim a_{\frac{n_i}{2}} < \dots < \sim a_1$. Let us consider the algebra $\langle \overline{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ in \mathbb{G}_{\sim} , where $\overline{C}_{n_i} := C_{n_i} \cup \{d_i\}$ and

$$a_1 < \dots < a_{\frac{n_i}{2}} < d_i = \sim d_i < \sim a_{\frac{n_i}{2}} < \dots < \sim a_1.$$

For each odd n_i let $\langle \overline{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle := \langle C_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ with fixed point d_i . It is clear that $\langle C_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \overline{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, and consequently $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\prod_{i=1}^r \langle \overline{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$. Moreover, (d_1, \dots, d_r) is the fixed point of $\prod_{i=1}^r \langle \overline{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$.

In order to prove (2), let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra in MG_{\sim} with no fixed points. Again, we assume that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle C_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, where each direct factor $\langle C_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ is a chain. We proceed as the proof of (1) and produce $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle := \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \overline{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ such that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$. Here, $d := (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ is the fixed point of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$ and $d \notin A$.

Let us prove that $\exists A \cup \{d\}$ is the universe of an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$. It is easy to prove that $\exists A \cup \{d\}$ is a chain from the fact that $\langle \exists \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a totally ordered subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$. Moreover, $\sim a \in \exists A \cup \{d\}$ whenever $a \in \exists A \cup \{d\}$. Let us prove that $\exists A \cup \{d\}$ is closed under \rightarrow . Using that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ has no fixed points and Lemma 4.6 (2), we obtain that if $c \in \exists A$, $c(i) \neq d_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. So, $c \leq d$ implies $c(i) < d_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, and $d \leq c$ implies $d_i < c(i)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. From this, we have that $c \rightarrow d, d \rightarrow c \in \{1, d, c\}$. This shows that $\exists A \cup \{d\}$ is a subuniverse of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$.

The conditions (s_1) and (s_3) follow immediately. Suppose now that $1 = c \vee b$ with $b \in B$ and $c \in \exists A \cup \{d\}$. If $c(i) = 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, r$, then $c \neq d$ and we have that $c \in \exists A$. Then, using Lemma 4.6 (1) we obtain that $c = 1$. If $c(i) \neq 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$, since C_{n_i} is a totally ordered algebra, it must be that $b(i) = 1$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and so $b = 1$. This shows that (s_2) holds. We have thus proved that $\exists A \cup \{d\}$ is the universe of an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$.

From Theorem 3.1 we have that $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra where \exists_1 and \forall_1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \exists_1 a &:= \min\{c \in \exists A \cup \{d\} : c \geq a\}, \\ \forall_1 a &:= \max\{c \in \exists A \cup \{d\} : c \leq a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\exists_1 B = \exists A \cup \{d\}$ and so, $\exists A \subseteq \exists_1 B$. Moreover, since $\langle \exists \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$ is totally ordered and $\exists_1 d = d$, by Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 4.5 (1) we obtain that $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ is a subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra.

Now Suppose that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ satisfies the condition (C). We know that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$. To prove that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$, we will show that $\forall a = \forall_1 a$ and $\exists a = \exists_1 a$, whenever $a \in A$.

Let $a \in A$. If $a(i) \leq d_i$, for all i , we have that $a \leq d$ and so, $a \leq d = \sim d \leq \sim a$. Using that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ satisfies (C), we obtain $\exists a \leq \forall(\sim a) = \sim \exists a$, and the fact that $\exists A \cup \{d\}$ is a chain implies $\exists a \leq d$. Hence, $d > \exists a \geq a$, and so $\exists_1 a = \exists a$. If $a(i) \not\leq d_i$, for some i , we have that, $a \not\leq d$, and consequently, $\exists_1 a = \exists a$. Taking this into account and using the identity (Q), it follows immediately that $\forall_1 a = \forall a$, for each $a \in A$. \blacksquare

Proposition 4.8. *If $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra and $N := \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is a finite set contained in A , then there exists a finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}_2, \sim_2, \exists_2, \forall_2 \rangle$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *The subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ generated by N is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}_2, \sim_2 \rangle$.*

(2) If $a \in N$ and $\exists a \in A_2$, then $\exists_2 a = \exists a$.

(3) If $a \in N$ and $\forall a \in A_2$, then $\forall_2 a = \forall a$.

Proof. Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a finite subdirectly irreducible algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ in $\mathbb{M}G_{\sim}$ that satisfies the following:

- $N \subseteq A_1$,
- $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$,
- if $a \in A_1$ and $\forall a \in A_1$, then $\forall_1 a = \forall a$,
- if $a \in A_1$ and $\exists a \in A_1$, then $\exists_1 a = \exists a$.

We can further assume that $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{C}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$.

Suppose first that $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ has a fixed point d . Then, d is also a fixed point of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$. Since $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle \in \mathbb{C}MG_{\sim}$, by Corollary 4.2 we have that $\exists d = d$, and so $\exists_1 d = \exists d = d$. Then, by Theorem 4.5 (1), $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ is a CMG_{\sim} -algebra, and we are finished.

Now suppose $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim, \exists_1, \forall_1 \rangle$ has no fixed points. By Lemma 4.7 (2), there exists a finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}_2, \sim, \exists_2, \forall_2 \rangle$ with a fixed point $d := (d_1, \dots, d_r)$, such that $\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}_2, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$, each $\langle \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{n_i}, \sim \rangle$ is built as in the proof of Lemma 4.7 (1), $\exists_2 A_2 := \exists_1 A_1 \cup \{d\}$ and \exists_2, \forall_2 are given by

$$\exists_2 a := \min\{c \in \exists A_1 \cup \{d\} : c \geq a\},$$

$$\forall_2 a := \max\{c \in \exists A_1 \cup \{d\} : c \leq a\}.$$

Let us prove (2). Let $a \in N$ and $\exists a \in A_2$. Clearly, $\exists a \in A_1$ and so, $\exists_1 a = \exists a$. If $a(i) \leq d_i$, for all i , we have that $a \leq d$ and so, $a \leq d = \sim d \leq \sim a$. Using that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ satisfies (C), we obtain $\exists_1 a = \exists a \leq \forall(\sim a) = \sim \exists a = \sim \exists_1 a$, and the fact that $\exists A_1 \cup \{d\}$ is a chain implies $\exists_1 a \leq d$. Hence, $d > \exists_1 a \geq a$, and so $\exists_2 a = \exists_1 a = \exists a$. If $a(i) \not\leq d_i$, for some i , we have that, $a \not\leq d$, and consequently, $\exists_2 a = \exists_1 a = \exists a$. Taking this into account and using the identity (Q), it follows immediately that $\forall_2 a = \forall_1 a = \forall a$, for each $a \in N$ and $\exists a \in A_2$. ■

Theorem 4.9. CMG_{\sim} has the FEP.

Corollary 4.10. The variety CMG_{\sim} is generated as a quasivariety by its finite subdirectly irreducible members.

This corollary and Lemma 4.7 (2) yield the following stronger result.

Corollary 4.11. The variety CMG_{\sim} is generated as a quasivariety by its finite subdirectly irreducible members with a fixed point.

5 Functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebras

In this section we consider an important example of CMG_{\sim} -algebras. Our interest in these algebras arises from the fact that they encode the information of the models used to interpret the one-variable monadic fragment of the first-order logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$. We call them *functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebras* and we prove that they generate the variety \mathcal{CMG}_{\sim} .

We define functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebras in the same way that functional monadic BL -algebras are defined in [3] and [4]. Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ be a totally ordered G_{\sim} -algebra and let X be a non-empty set. Consider the G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}^X, \sim \rangle := \langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle^X$ and let S be the set of functions $f \in A^X$ such that $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ and $\sup\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ both exist in \mathbf{A} . For every $f \in S$, we define: $(\forall_{\wedge} f)(x) := \inf\{f(y) : y \in X\}$ and $(\exists_{\vee} f)(x) := \sup\{f(y) : y \in X\}$. Note that $\forall_{\wedge} f$ and $\exists_{\vee} f$ are constant maps. We write C for the set of constant maps of A^X . Let $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$ be a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}^X, \sim \rangle$ such that $B \subseteq S$ and B is closed under \exists_{\vee} and \forall_{\wedge} . In [3] it was shown that the structure $\langle \mathbf{B}, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$ is a monadic BL -algebra, $C \cap B$ is the universe of an m -relatively complete subalgebra of \mathbf{B} and $C \cap B = \exists_{\vee} B = \forall_{\wedge} B$. We claim that $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra. Indeed, it is clear that $\langle C \cap B, \sim \rangle$ is a G_{\sim} -subalgebra of \mathbf{B} and so, it is an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$. Thus, by Theorem 3.1, $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$ is an MG_{\sim} -algebra. We call the algebras $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$ that arise in this way *functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebras*. The main example of these algebras is obtained considering $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ to be the standard G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}, \sim \rangle$ and $X = \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Every functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra satisfies (C).*

Proof. Let $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$ be a functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra whit $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{A}^X, \sim \rangle$ for a suitable totally ordered G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ and a non-empty set X . Given $f \in B$, since by Lemma 1.3 (1) $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle$ satisfies the Kleene condition, we have that $f(x) \wedge \sim f(x) \leq f(y) \vee \sim f(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, we have that

$$\forall_{\wedge}(f \wedge \sim f) = \sup\{f(x) \wedge \sim f(x), x \in X\} \leq \inf\{f(y) \vee \sim f(y), y \in X\} = \forall_{\wedge}(f \vee \sim f).$$

■

Next we show that all finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebras with a fixed point are isomorphic to a functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra. We first prove this result for all finite totally ordered CMG_{\sim} -algebras.

Lemma 5.2. *Every finite totally ordered CMG_{\sim} -algebra with a fixed point is isomorphic to a functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra.*

Proof. Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite CMG_{\sim} -chain with an odd number of elements and $\exists A := \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k, \sim c_{k-1}, \dots, \sim c_0\}$ where $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k = \sim c_k < \sim c_{k-1} < \dots < \sim c_0 = 1$.

Put $A_i := \{x \in A : c_{i-1} < x < c_i\}$ and $\sim A_i := \{x \in A : \sim c_i < x < \sim c_{i-1}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have that $\exists A_i = \{c_i\}$, $\forall A_i = \{c_{i-1}\}$, $\exists(\sim A_i) = \{\sim c_{i-1}\}$ and $\forall(\sim A_i) = \{\sim c_i\}$ whenever $A_i \neq \emptyset$.

Consider the functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbb{N}}, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$. We will prove that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is embeddable in $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbb{N}}, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$. In order to show that, take the constant sequences g_i in $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$g_i(n) := \frac{i}{2k},$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Note that g_k is the fixed point in $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}, \sim \rangle$ and $0 \equiv g_0 < g_1 < \dots < g_k \equiv \frac{1}{2}$. In addition, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $j \geq 1$, consider the maps $f_i^{(j)} \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$f_i^{(j)}(n) := \begin{cases} \frac{i}{2k} - \frac{1}{2k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^j & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{i}{2k} - \frac{1}{2k} \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^j & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$0 \equiv g_0 < f_i^{(j)} < g_k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{i-1} < f_i^{(1)} < f_i^{(2)} < \dots < f_i^{(j)} < f_i^{(j+1)} < \dots < g_i.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2} < \sim f_i^{(j)} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sim g_i < \dots < \sim f_i^{(j+1)} < \sim f_i^{(j)} < \dots < \sim f_i^{(2)} < \sim f_i^{(1)} < \sim g_{i-1}.$$

Moreover, an easy computation shows that $\forall_{\wedge} f_i^{(j)} = g_{i-1}$, $\forall_{\wedge} \sim f_i^{(j)} = \sim g_i = 1 - g_i$, $\exists_{\vee} f_i^{(j)} = g_i$ and $\exists_{\vee} \sim f_i^{(j)} = \sim g_{i-1} = 1 - g_{i-1}$.

Finally, let $A_i := \{a_i^{(1)}, a_i^{(2)}, \dots, a_i^{(r_i)}\}$ and $\sim A_i := \{\sim a_i^{(r_i)}, \dots, \sim a_i^{(1)}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ where $a_i^{(1)} < a_i^{(2)} < \dots < a_i^{(r_i)}$ and $\sim a_i^{(r_i)} < \sim a_i^{(r_i-1)} < \dots < \sim a_i^{(1)}$ and consider the map $\varphi : A \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(c_i) := g_i & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k, \\ \varphi(\sim c_i) := \sim g_i & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k, \\ \varphi(a_i^{(j)}) := f_i^{(j)} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq r_i, \\ \varphi(\sim a_i^{(j)}) := \sim f_i^{(j)} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq r_i. \end{cases}$$

It follows immediately that φ is an embedding. ■

In [4] the authors built a monadic Gödel algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}^n, \bar{\exists}, \bar{\forall} \rangle$ by means of a monadic Gödel chain $\langle \mathbf{A}, \exists, \forall \rangle$ and a natural number n where the quantifiers are defined as follows:

$$\bar{\exists}(a_1, \dots, a_n)(i) := \exists(a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_n)(i) := \forall(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Here, $\bar{\exists}(A^n) = \bar{\forall}(A^n) = \{(c, \dots, c) : c \in \exists A\}$ is the universe of an m -relatively complete subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^n .

If we now consider a finite CMG_{\sim} -chain $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ with a fixed point, we have that $\langle \mathbf{A}^n, \sim \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle^n$ is a G_{\sim} -algebra. A straightforward verification shows that $\langle \bar{\exists} \mathbf{A}^n, \sim \rangle$ is an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{A}^n, \sim \rangle$ that contains the fixed point of \mathbf{A}^n . Thus, we obtain that $\langle \mathbf{A}^n, \sim, \bar{\exists}, \bar{\forall} \rangle$ is an algebra in the variety CMG_{\sim} .

Lemma 5.3. *For each finite CMG_{\sim} -chain $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ with a fixed point and every natural number n , the algebra $\langle \mathbf{A}^n, \sim, \bar{\exists}, \bar{\forall} \rangle$ is isomorphic to a functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra.*

Proof. Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite totally ordered CMG_{\sim} -algebra with a fixed point. By the previous lemma there exists an embedding φ from $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ to $\langle \mathbf{B}^X, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$ where $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$ is a G_{\sim} -chain. Thus, $\varphi(\exists a) = \exists_{\vee} \varphi(a)$, $\varphi(\forall a) = \forall_{\wedge} \varphi(a)$ and $\varphi(\sim a) = \sim \varphi(a)$, for all $a \in A$. It was proved in [4] that φ induces an embedding $\psi : \mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{X \times \{1, \dots, n\}}$ given by

$$\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, i) := \varphi(a_i)(x)$$

such that $\psi(\bar{\exists}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \exists \psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\psi(\bar{\forall}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \forall \psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. We claim that ψ also satisfies $\psi(\sim(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \sim \psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sim \psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, i) &= \sim \varphi(a_i)(x) \\ &= \varphi(\sim a_i)(x) \\ &= \psi(\sim(a_1, \dots, a_n))(x, i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \bar{\exists}, \bar{\forall} \rangle$ is isomorphic to a functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra. \blacksquare

The following basic property of finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra is the last ingredient we need to prove the main theorem of this section.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra with a fixed point where $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{A}_i, \sim \rangle$. Then, $\pi_i|_{\exists \mathbf{A}}$ is injective, where $\pi_i : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_i$ are the projection maps, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Thus, $|\exists \mathbf{A}| = |\pi_i(\exists \mathbf{A})|$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist $a, b \in \exists A$ such that $a \neq b$ and $a(i) = b(i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Observe that $a(i) = b(i) \neq 1$. Since otherwise, by Lemma 4.6 (1) we have that $a = b = 1$ which is a contradiction. Since $\exists \mathbf{A}$ is totally ordered we can suppose, without loss of generality, that $a \leq b$ and from $a \neq b$ we have that $a(j) < b(j)$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. We claim that the elements $b \rightarrow a$ and b are no comparable. Indeed, we have that $b(i) < (b \rightarrow a)(i) = 1$ and $(b \rightarrow a)(j) = a(j) < b(j)$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 5.5. *Every finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra with a fixed point is isomorphic to a monadic functional G_{\sim} -algebra.*

Proof. Let $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ be a finite subdirectly irreducible CMG_{\sim} -algebra with a fixed point. By Proposition 4.3, we can assume there are finite G_{\sim} -chains \mathbf{A}_i with a fixed point such that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \langle \mathbf{A}_i, \sim \rangle$. By the previous lemma, we can assume without loss of generality that $C := \pi_i(\exists A) = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, c_k, \sim c_{k-1}, \dots, \sim c_0\}$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, where

$$0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k = \sim c_k < \sim c_{k-1} < \dots < \sim c_0 = 1.$$

We further assume $A_i \cap A_j = C$, for $i \neq j$. Note that $\exists A = \{(x, x, \dots, x) \in A : x \in C\}$.

Put $A_{ij} := \{x \in A_i : c_{j-1} < x < c_j\}$ and $\sim A_{ij} := \{x \in A_i : \sim c_j < x < \sim c_{j-1}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq k$. We can write the underlying structure of distributive lattice of \mathbf{A}_i in terms of lattice ordinal sum as follows:

$$\{c_0\} \oplus A_{i1} \oplus \{c_1\} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{ik} \oplus \{c_k\} \oplus \sim A_{ik} \oplus \dots \oplus \{\sim c_1\} \oplus A_{i1} \oplus \{\sim c_0\}.$$

Let $B := \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ and consider the Gödel algebra \mathbf{B} with universe B given by the following ordinal sum:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{c_0\} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_{i1} \oplus \{c_1\} \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_{ik} \oplus \{c_k\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \sim A_{(r-i)k} \oplus \dots \oplus \{\sim c_1\} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \sim A_{(r-i)1} \oplus \{\sim c_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \sim be the natural involutive anti-isomorphism such that $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$ is a G_{\sim} -algebra. In addition, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, we have that $\langle \mathbf{A}_i, \sim \rangle$ is a G_{\sim} -subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$.

It is easy to check that C is the universe of an m -relatively complete subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$. Hence, by Theorems 3.1 and 4.5 we can define quantifiers in \mathbf{B} given by $\exists x := \min\{c \in C : x \leq c\}$ and $\forall x := \max\{c \in C : c \leq x\}$ such that $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a CMG_{\sim} -algebra. Therefore, we can apply the construction described before Lemma 5.3 and obtain the CMG_{\sim} -algebra $\langle \mathbf{B}^r, \sim, \bar{\exists}, \bar{\forall} \rangle$.

Since $\langle \mathbf{A}_i, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}, \sim \rangle$, we obtain that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim \rangle = \langle \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{A}_i, \sim \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}^r, \sim \rangle$. We claim that $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\langle \mathbf{B}^r, \sim, \bar{\exists}, \bar{\forall} \rangle$. Indeed, for $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\exists(a_1, \dots, a_r))(i) &= \min\{c \in C : a_j \leq c, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, r\} \\ &= \min\{c \in C : a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_r \leq c\} \\ &= (\bar{\exists}(a_1, \dots, a_r))(i). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we obtain that $\forall a = \bar{\forall}a$, for all $a \in A$. Finally, since by Lemma 5.3 we have that $\langle \mathbf{B}^r, \sim, \bar{\exists}, \bar{\forall} \rangle$ is isomorphic to a functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra, so is $\langle \mathbf{A}, \sim, \exists, \forall \rangle$. \blacksquare

This theorem and Corollary 4.11 yield the following result.

Corollary 5.6. *CMG_{\sim} is generated as a quasivariety by its finite functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebras with a fixed point.*

Taking into account the construction given in the proof of Lemma 5.2 we obtain the following stronger result.

Corollary 5.7. *CMG_{\sim} is generated as a quasivariety by the functional monadic G_{\sim} -algebra $\langle [0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbb{N}}, \sim, \exists_{\vee}, \forall_{\wedge} \rangle$.*

6 A completeness result

In this section we show that the class CMG_{\sim} is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the one-variable monadic fragment of the predicate logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$. To prove this, we introduce the logics $\mathcal{S}5(\mathbb{G}_{\sim})$, defined semantically, and the Hilbert-style syntactic calculus $\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$. We show that $\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ is complete with respect to the class CMG_{\sim} and $\mathcal{S}5(\mathbb{G}_{\sim})$ is equivalent to the one-variable monadic fragment of the first-order logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$. Finally, using a completeness result via functional algebras analogous to the one given in [4] we obtain that the equivalent algebraic semantics of the one-variable monadic fragment of the predicate logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$ is the variety CMG_{\sim} .

Next, we define the logic $\mathcal{S}5(\mathbb{G}_{\sim})$ in the same way that P. Hájek defined the logic $\mathcal{S}5(\mathbb{BL})$ in [7]. The language of this logic is the language of the logic \mathcal{G}_{\sim} augmented with the unary connectives \Box and \Diamond . The formulas in $\mathcal{S}5(\mathbb{G}_{\sim})$ are interpreted in a structure given by a triple $\mathbf{K} = \langle W, e, \mathbf{L} \rangle$ where W is a non-empty set, \mathbf{L} is a totally ordered G_{\sim} -algebra and $e : W \times Prop \rightarrow L$ is a function defined on pairs (w, p) consisting of an element $w \in W$ and a propositional variable p . The truth degree $\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K}, w}$ of a formula φ in \mathbf{K} at w is defined by setting $\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K}, w} = e(w, \varphi)$ if $\varphi \in Prop$ and extending its definition for any formula φ as follows:

- $\|0\|_{\mathbf{K}, w} := 0^{\mathbf{L}}, \|1\|_{\mathbf{K}, w} := 1^{\mathbf{L}},$
- $\|\varphi \wedge \psi\|_{\mathbf{K}, w} := \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K}, w} \wedge^{\mathbf{L}} \|\psi\|_{\mathbf{K}, w},$ and the same for \vee and $\rightarrow,$

- $\|\sim\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w} := \sim^{\mathbf{L}}\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w}$,
- $\|\Box\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w} := \inf\{\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},v} : v \in W\}$,
- $\|\Diamond\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w} := \sup\{\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},v} : v \in W\}$.

Observe that $\|\Box\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w}$ and $\|\Diamond\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w}$ may be undefined. Hence, we only consider *safe* structures, that is, structures \mathbf{K} where $\|\Box\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w}$ and $\|\Diamond\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w}$ are defined for any formula φ .

We now define the consequence relation $\models_{S5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})}$. Given a set of formulas Γ , a *model* of Γ is a safe structure $\mathbf{K} = \langle W, e, \mathbf{L} \rangle$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w} = 1$ for every $\varphi \in \Gamma$ and every $w \in W$. Thus, given a set of formulas Γ we define

$\Gamma \models_{S5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})} \varphi$ if and only if $\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{K},w} = 1$ for every model $\mathbf{K} = \langle W, e, \mathbf{L} \rangle$ of Γ and every $w \in W$.

In [7] the author proved that the modal logic $S5(\mathbb{BL})$ is equivalent to the monadic fuzzy predicate calculus $m\mathcal{BL}\forall$ with unary predicates and just one object variable x . The atomic formula $P_i(x)$ corresponds to the propositional variable p_i , modalities \Box and \Diamond correspond to the quantifiers $\forall x$ and $\exists x$, respectively, and the triples $\mathbf{K} = \langle W, e, \mathbf{L} \rangle$ correspond to models for the $m\mathcal{BL}\forall$. In the same way we can obtain that $S5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ is actually equivalent to the one-variable monadic fragment of the predicate logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$ because there is a natural correspondence between formulas of both logics, between corresponding models and between corresponding truth degrees.

We now introduce the Hilbert-style syntactic calculus $S5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ as an expansion of $S5'(BL)$ given in [3] enriched with a unary connective \sim . The axioms of $S5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ are the axioms in $S5'(BL)$ plus the following axiom schemata:

$$(G) \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi \& \psi$$

$$(\sim 1) \quad \sim(\sim\varphi) \equiv \varphi$$

$$(\sim 2) \quad \neg\varphi \rightarrow \sim\varphi$$

$$(\sim 3) \quad \Delta(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \Delta(\sim\psi \rightarrow \sim\varphi)$$

$$(\Delta 1) \quad \Delta\varphi \vee \neg\Delta\varphi$$

$$(\Delta 2) \quad \Delta(\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow (\Delta\varphi \vee \Delta\psi)$$

$$(\Delta 5) \quad \Delta(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Delta\varphi \rightarrow \Delta\psi)$$

$$(\Diamond 1) \quad \Box\varphi \equiv \sim\Diamond\sim\varphi$$

$$(\Diamond 2) \quad \Diamond(\varphi \wedge \sim\varphi) \rightarrow \Box(\varphi \vee \sim\varphi)$$

where $\neg\varphi$ is $\varphi \rightarrow \bar{0}$ and $\Delta\varphi$ is $\neg\sim\varphi$, and the inference rules of $S5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ are those of $S5'(BL)$ (modus ponens and generalization) and necessitation for Δ ($\frac{\varphi}{\Delta\varphi}$). Recall that (G) implies that $\varphi \& \psi$ is equivalent to $\varphi \wedge \psi$.

Proposition 6.1. *$S5(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ is an implicative logic.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}5'(BL)$ is an implicative logic (see [3, Lemma 4.7]) it only remains to prove that the condition $\varphi \rightarrow \psi, \psi \rightarrow \varphi \vdash \sim\psi \rightarrow \sim\varphi$ holds in $\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)$. In fact, by necessitation, $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \Delta(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ and using (~ 3) we obtain $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \Delta(\sim\psi \rightarrow \sim\varphi)$. Finally, using the definition of Δ and (~ 2) we have that $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \sim(\sim(\sim\psi \rightarrow \sim\varphi))$ and so, $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \sim\psi \rightarrow \sim\varphi$. ■

Recall that given an implicative logic \mathcal{L} in the language L it is possible to define the class $Alg^*\mathcal{L}$ of all algebras \mathbf{A} of type L with an element $1 \in A$ that satisfy:

- (Alg1) For all $\Gamma \subseteq Fm(L)$ and h homomorphism from $Fm(L)$ to \mathbf{A} , if $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ and $h[\Gamma] \subseteq \{1\}$ then $h(\varphi) = 1$.
- (Alg2) For all $a, b \in A$, if $a \rightarrow b = 1$ and $b \rightarrow a = 1$, then $a = b$.

Moreover, it is well known that $Alg^*\mathcal{L}$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic \mathcal{L} . Hence, we have that

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \text{ if and only if } \Gamma \models_{Alg^*\mathcal{L}} \varphi, \quad (2)$$

where $\Gamma \models_{Alg^*\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ means that $h(\varphi) = 1$, for every homomorphism h from $Fm(L)$ to \mathbf{A} such that $h[\Gamma] \subseteq \{1\}$.

The following result characterizes the class $Alg^*\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)$.

Proposition 6.2. *The equivalent algebraic semantics of $\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)$ is the variety \mathbb{CMG}_\sim .*

Proof. In [6], F. Esteva, L. Godo, P. Hájek and M. Navara proved that $Alg^*\mathcal{G}_\sim$ is the class of Gödel algebras that satisfy the equations of the form $\varphi \approx 1$ where φ ranges over the axiom schemata (~ 1) , (~ 2) , (~ 3) , $(\Delta 1)$, $(\Delta 2)$ and $(\Delta 5)$. Note that, by Lemma 1.2 and [6, Lemma 4], these algebras are precisely the G_\sim -algebras defined in this article.

On the other hand, D. Castaño et al. proved that $Alg^*\mathcal{S}5'(BL)$ is the class of monadic BL -algebras. Taking these results into account, and the fact that the axioms (~ 2) , $(\diamond 1)$ and $(\diamond 2)$ correspond to the conditions (N) , (Q) and (C) , the proof of this result is immediate. ■

Now, using (2) for the case $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)$ we have the following completeness result.

Theorem 6.3. *For every formula φ and set of formulas Γ in $\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)$:*

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)} \varphi \text{ if and only if } \Gamma \models_{\mathbb{CMG}_\sim} \varphi,$$

We next prove a result connecting completeness with functional algebras similar to the one given in [4].

Theorem 6.4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any formula φ and any set of formulas Γ , we have*

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)} \varphi \text{ if and only if } \Gamma \models_{\mathcal{S}5(\mathcal{G}_\sim)} \varphi$$

- (2) *The variety \mathbb{CMG}_\sim is generated, as a quasivariety, by its functional monadic G_\sim -algebras.*

Proof. The proof runs in a similar way to the corresponding proof in [4, Theorem 2.1] taking into account that $\mathcal{S5}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ is finitary because it is equivalent to the one-variable monadic fragment of the predicate calculus $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$ and this latter is finitary, and \mathbb{CMG}_{\sim} is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic $\mathcal{S5}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$ (Proposition 6.2). ■

Finally, since (2) in Theorem 6.4 holds (see Corollary 5.6) we have the following completeness result for $\mathcal{S5}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$.

Theorem 6.5 (Completeness). *For any formula φ and any set of formulas Γ , it follows that*

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{S5}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})} \varphi \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Gamma \models_{\mathcal{S5}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})} \varphi \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Gamma \models_{\mathbb{CMG}_{\sim}} \varphi.$$

Thus, we have characterized the equivalent algebraic semantics for the one-variable monadic fragment of the first-order logic $\mathcal{G}\forall_{\sim}$ as the variety \mathbb{CMG}_{\sim} . As a consequence, there exists a correspondence between the axiomatic extensions of this calculus and the subvarieties of \mathbb{CMG}_{\sim} . For this reason, it would be important to describe all subvarieties of this variety. However, considering the complexity of this problem, we believe that a way to proceed with our work would be to intend to resolve this problem for the subvariety of \mathbb{CMG}_{\sim} generated by totally ordered algebras.

To carry this out, we believe it would be very useful to use the results obtained in [5] from the variety \mathbb{MG} and to obtain a suitable topological duality from \mathbb{CMG}_{\sim} that allows us to deepen the study of this variety.

References

- [1] M. ABAD, J. P. DÍAZ VARELA, L. A. RUEDA, A. M. SUARDÍAZ, *A Note on the Equational Bases for Subvarieties of Linear Symmetric Heyting Algebras*, Actas del cuarto congreso Dr. A.R. Monteiro (1997) 119–126.
- [2] G. BEZHANISHVILI, *Locally finite varieties*, Algebra Universalis **46** (2001), no.4, 531–548.
- [3] D. CASTAÑO, C. CIMADAMORE, J. P. DIAZ VARELA, L. RUEDA, *Monadics BL-algebras: The equivalent algebraic semantics of Hájek’s monadics fuzzy logic*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. An International Journal in Information Science and Engineering **320** (2017) 40–59.
- [4] D. CASTAÑO, C. CIMADAMORE, J. P. DIAZ VARELA, L. RUEDA, *Completeness for monadic fuzzy logics via functional algebras*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **407** (2021) 161–174.
- [5] D. CASTAÑO, C. CIMADAMORE, J. P. DIAZ VARELA, L. RUEDA, *An algebraic study of S5-modal Gödel logic*, Studia Logica **109** (2021) 937–967.
- [6] F. ESTEVA, L. GODO, P. HÁJEK, M. NAVARA, *Residuated fuzzy logics with an involutive negation*, Archive for Mathematical Logic **39** (2000) 103–124.
- [7] P. HÁJEK, *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*, Trends in Logic - Studia Logica Library, 4, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1998).

- [8] A. MONTEIRO, *Sur les Algèbres de Heyting Symétriques*, Portugaliae Mathematica **39** (1980) 1-237.
- [9] H. P. SANKAPPANAVAR, *Heyting algebras with dual lattice endomorphisms*, Zeitschr. f. math. Logik und Grundlagen d. Math., Bd. **33** (1987) 565-573.

DIEGO CASTAÑO, diego.castano@uns.edu.ar
Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS), Bahía Blanca,
Argentina
Instituto de Matemática (INMABB), Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS)-CONICET,
Bahía Blanca, Argentina

VALERIA CASTAÑO, cvaleria@gmail.com
Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Neuquén, Ar-
gentina
IITCI, UNCo-CONICET, Neuquén, Argentina

JOSÉ PATRICIO DÍAZ VARELA, usdiavar@criba.edu.ar
Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS), Bahía Blanca,
Argentina
Instituto de Matemática (INMABB), Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS)-CONICET,
Bahía Blanca, Argentina

MARCELA MUÑOZ SANTIS, santis.marcela@gmail.com
Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Neuquén, Ar-
gentina