

AN ANALYTIC CHARACTERIZATION OF FREENESS FOR FINITELY GENERATED DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

YOONJE JEONG AND SANG-GYUN YOUN

ABSTRACT. We prove that a freer quantum group has smaller moments of the self-adjoint main character in the category of finitely generated discrete quantum groups. As a result, the moments are minimized precisely by the unitary free quantum groups $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$. Furthermore, in the spirit of [CC22], we prove that the operator norm of the self-adjoint main character is minimized only by unitary free quantum groups, at least in the subcategory of free easy quantum groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Freeness is a fundamental concept explored across various fields of mathematics for a long time. In the context of the group theory, *free groups* serve as the universal objects in the category of finitely generated discrete groups in the sense that any discrete group Γ with n generators is isomorphic to a quotient of the free group \mathbb{F}_n . In this case, the n generators of \mathbb{F}_n are subject to minimal constraints, ensuring their universality.

From an operator-algebraic perspective, discrete groups form a subclass of *locally compact quantum groups* (LCQGs), where *the Pontryagin duality* holds [KV00, KV03]. For each discrete quantum group \mathbb{F} , there exists the dual compact quantum group $\mathbb{G} = \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} = \widehat{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} = \mathbb{F}$. In particular, each discrete group Γ admits a dual compact quantum group $\widehat{\Gamma}$ whose polynomial algebra $\text{Pol}(\widehat{\Gamma})$ is generated by the unitary operators $\lambda(g) \in \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ given by

$$(\lambda(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $f \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ and $x \in \Gamma$.

YOONJE JEONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 1, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA, jjj0601jjj@snu.ac.kr

SANG-GYUN YOUN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-RO 1, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA, s.youn@snu.ac.kr

The concept of ‘freest’ in the category of finitely generated discrete groups is analytically characterized by the operator norm. For finitely many generators $S = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of Γ , let us write $\chi_{\Gamma,S} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(g_i)$ and $n(\Gamma, S) = \|\chi_{\Gamma,S} + \chi_{\Gamma,S}^*\|_{B(\ell^2(\Gamma))}$. Then, the following inequality

$$2\sqrt{2n-1} \leq n(\Gamma, S) \leq 2n \quad (1.2)$$

holds in general, and the minimum $2\sqrt{2n-1}$ is attained precisely when the generators $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$ freely generate Γ , i.e.

$$\Gamma = \langle g_1 \rangle * \langle g_2 \rangle * \cdots * \langle g_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_n. \quad (1.3)$$

In other words, the minimal constraints on $S = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$ force the operator norm $n(\Gamma, S)$ to be minimized. This fact comes from a well-known result of Kesten [Kes59] and has been extended to the more general context of *free probability* in a recent work [CC22].

On the other hand, the operator norm is not sufficient to characterize the concept of ‘freer’ in the category of discrete groups. For example, let Γ_2 be an amenable discrete group with generators $S = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and let Γ_1 be an arbitrary quotient of Γ_2 . Then, by [Kes59], we have

$$n(\Gamma_2, S) = 2n = n(\Gamma_1, \bar{S}) \quad (1.4)$$

where $\bar{S} = \{\bar{g}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and \bar{g}_i is the quotient image of g_i in Γ_1 .

In this paper, we employ a moment method to characterize both ‘freer’ and ‘freest’ within a broader category of *finitely generated discrete quantum groups* \mathbb{F} . Any finitely generated discrete quantum group \mathbb{F} admits the dual compact matrix quantum group \mathbb{G} , and the polynomial algebra $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ has finitely many generators u_{ij} ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) such that $u = (u_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is a unitary in $M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$. We will focus on the following k -th moments

$$m_k(\mathbb{F}, u) = h \left((\chi_{\mathbb{F},u} + \chi_{\mathbb{F},u}^*)^k \right), \quad (1.5)$$

where $\chi_{\mathbb{F},u} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ii}$ is the *main character* and h is the *Haar state* on \mathbb{G} . See Section 2 for more details. The freest object in this category is, namely, the *unitary free quantum groups* $\mathbb{FU}(Q)$ in the sense that any finitely generated discrete quantum group \mathbb{F} is a quotient of unitary free quantum group $\mathbb{FU}(Q)$ for some invertible matrix Q .

In Section 3, we prove that a freer finitely generated discrete quantum group (\mathbb{F}, u) has smaller moments $(m_k(\mathbb{F}, u))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (Theorem 3.4). Specifically, we prove that

$$m_k(\mathbb{F}_2, v) \leq m_k(\mathbb{F}_1, u) \quad (1.6)$$

for all natural numbers k , whenever (\mathbb{F}_1, u) is a quotient of (\mathbb{F}_2, v) . Furthermore, we prove that equalities hold for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if (\mathbb{F}_1, u) and (\mathbb{F}_2, v) are isomorphic. Hence, we can conclude that the unitary free

quantum groups $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{F}U(Q)$ are indeed the only minimizers of the moments $(m_k(\mathbb{T}, u))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (Corollary 3.5). Our proof relies on the *Weingarten formula*, which connects the inequalities (1.6) with a comparison between the representation categories.

In Section 4, in the spirit of [CC22], we turn our attention back to the operator norm

$$n(\mathbb{T}, u) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{2k}(\mathbb{T}, u)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \quad (1.7)$$

to investigate its connection with the notion of ‘freest’ in the category of finitely generated discrete quantum groups. An immediate consequence of Corollary 3.5 is

$$2\sqrt{2} = n(\mathbb{F}U(Q)) \leq n(\mathbb{T}, u) \quad (1.8)$$

for any finitely generated discrete quantum groups (\mathbb{T}, u) . Then a natural question is whether the minimum value $2\sqrt{2}$ is attained only when (\mathbb{T}, u) is isomorphic to a unitary free quantum group. We provide a partial affirmative answer to this question, at least in the subcategory of free easy quantum groups (Theorem 4.5).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Compact matrix quantum groups. Let us begin with the definition of a Hopf $*$ -algebra.

Definition 2.1. A Hopf $*$ -algebra is given by $(A, \Delta, \epsilon, \kappa)$ where

- (1) A is a unital $*$ -algebra,
- (2) $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism such that

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta, \quad (2.1)$$

- (3) $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional satisfying

$$(\epsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta = \epsilon(\cdot)1 = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon)\Delta, \quad (2.2)$$

- (4) $\kappa : A \rightarrow A$ is a linear map satisfying

$$m(\kappa \otimes \text{id})\Delta = \epsilon(\cdot)1 = m(\kappa \otimes \text{id})\Delta, \quad (2.3)$$

where $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is the multiplication map.

In addition, a Hopf $*$ -algebra is called a (*algebraic*) *compact quantum group* if there exists a unital positive linear functional $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(h \otimes \text{id})\Delta = h(\cdot)1 = (\text{id} \otimes h)\Delta. \quad (2.4)$$

In this case, we denote by $\mathbb{G} = (A, \Delta, \epsilon, \kappa)$ and by $A = \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$. Here, ϵ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism called the *co-unit*, κ is an anti-multiplicative map called the *antipode*, and h is a faithful state called the *Haar state*.

An element $v = (v_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n_v} \in M_{n_v}(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$ is called a (finite dimensional unitary) *representation* if

$$\Delta(v_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_v} v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n_v$. For representations $v_1 \in M_{n_{v_1}}(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$ and $v_2 \in M_{n_{v_2}}(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$, an operator $T \in B(\mathbb{C}^{n_{v_1}}, \mathbb{C}^{n_{v_2}})$ is called an *intertwiner* if

$$(T \otimes 1)v_1 = v_2(T \otimes 1). \quad (2.6)$$

In this paper, we will focus on the cases where we have a representation $u = (u_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$ such that

- (1) $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ is generated by $\{u_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$,
- (2) $u^c = (u_{ij}^*)_{i,j=1}^n$ is invertible in $M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$.

In this case, \mathbb{G} is called a *compact matrix quantum group* and we write $\mathbb{G} = (A, u)$. Here, u is called the *fundamental representation* of \mathbb{G} , and $\chi_u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ii}$ is called the *main character*.

For two representations $v = \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_{ij} \otimes v_{ij} \in M_m(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$ and $w = \sum_{k,l=1}^n e_{kl} \otimes w_{kl} \in M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$, the tensor product is defined as

$$v \oplus w = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{k,l=1}^n e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl} \otimes (v_{ij}w_{kl}), \quad (2.7)$$

and the space of morphisms is defined as

$$\text{Mor}(v, w) = \{T \in M_{n,m}\mathbb{C} : (T \otimes 1)v = w(T \otimes 1)\}. \quad (2.8)$$

For each representation $v \in M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$, the dual is given as the contragredient representation $v^c = (v_{ij}^*)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$. In addition, we have a natural linear isomorphism $\text{Mor}(v, w) \cong \text{Mor}(1, v^c \oplus w)$.

2.2. Finitely generated discrete quantum groups. Recall that the Pontryagin duality implies a one-to-one correspondence between compact quantum groups and discrete quantum groups within the framework of locally compact quantum groups. The dual discrete quantum groups \mathbb{F} of compact matrix quantum groups are called *finitely generated*. In addition, let us say that \mathbb{F} has n^2 generators when the fundamental representation of \mathbb{G} is given by $u \in M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$.

Let (\mathbb{F}_1, u) and (\mathbb{F}_2, v) be finitely generated discrete quantum groups with fundamental representations $u \in M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1))$ and $v \in M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2))$. We say that \mathbb{G}_1 is a quantum subgroup of \mathbb{G}_2 , i.e. $\mathbb{G}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{G}_2$ if we have a *-homomorphism $\phi : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1)$ satisfying

$$(\text{id}_n \otimes \phi)(v) = u. \quad (2.9)$$

In this case, we say that Γ_1 is a *quotient* of Γ_2 and write $\Gamma_2 \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_1$ at the level of finitely generated discrete quantum groups. From this point of view, it is reasonable to say that Γ_2 is ‘freer’ than Γ_1 .

Recall that any finitely generated discrete quantum group Γ with n^2 generators allows an invertible matrix $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(Q \otimes 1)u^c(Q^{-1} \otimes 1) \quad (2.10)$$

is unitary, where $u = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is the fundamental representation of the dual compact matrix quantum group \mathbb{G} [Wor87]. Moreover, in the category of finitely generated discrete quantum groups Γ with the additional condition that $(Q \otimes 1)u^c(Q^{-1} \otimes 1)$ is unitary, the universal object is the *unitary free quantum group* $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$. In this case, the dual of $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$ is denoted by U_Q^+ , and the polynomial algebra $\text{Pol}(U_Q^+)$ is the universal unital $*$ -algebra with a fundamental representation $u = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ such that $(Q \otimes 1)u^c(Q^{-1} \otimes 1)$ is a unitary.

2.3. The Weingarten formula. Let Γ be a finitely generated discrete quantum group whose dual is a compact matrix quantum group \mathbb{G} with a fundamental representation $u = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in M_n(\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}))$. Let us write $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ the fundamental representation space.

For any $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ with $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, let us denote by

$$\begin{aligned} u^\epsilon &= u^{\epsilon(1)} \oplus u^{\epsilon(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus u^{\epsilon(k)}, \\ H^\epsilon &= H^{\epsilon(1)} \otimes H^{\epsilon(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes H^{\epsilon(k)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $H^c = \overline{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$.

For a finitely generated discrete quantum group, we consider a category C^Γ whose objects are $\{u^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}}$ and the space of morphisms are given by $C^\Gamma(\epsilon, \sigma) = \text{Mor}(u^\epsilon, u^\sigma)$ with $\epsilon, \sigma : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$.

For the compact matrix quantum group \mathbb{G} , the polynomial algebra $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$ is spanned by the following monomials

$$u_{ij}^\epsilon = u_{i(1)j(1)}^{\epsilon(1)} \cdots u_{i(k)j(k)}^{\epsilon(k)} \quad (2.12)$$

with $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and $i, j : [k] \rightarrow [n]$, and the following values of the Haar state

$$h \left(u_{i(1)j(1)}^{\epsilon(1)} \cdots u_{i(k)j(k)}^{\epsilon(k)} \right) \quad (2.13)$$

are completely determined by the so-called *Weingarten matrix*. To elaborate further, for any $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$, let us identify $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon) \subset B(\mathbb{C}, H^\epsilon)$ as a subspace of $H^\epsilon \cong H^{\otimes k}$ canonically, and take a basis $D^\Gamma(\epsilon)$ of $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)$. Then any element p of the basis $D^\Gamma(\epsilon)$ can be written as

$$p = \sum_{i: [k] \rightarrow [n]} \langle p, e_i \rangle e_i \quad (2.14)$$

where $e_i = e_{i(1)} \otimes e_{i(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i(k)}$.

For each $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$, the *Gram matrix* is defined as

$$G_\epsilon^\Gamma = \sum_{p, q \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)} G_\epsilon^\Gamma(p, q) e_{p, q} = \sum_{p, q \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)} \langle p, q \rangle e_{p, q}. \quad (2.15)$$

Note that G_ϵ^Γ is invertible since $D^\Gamma(\epsilon)$ is a basis of $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)$, and the *Weingarten matrix* $W_\epsilon^\Gamma = (W_\epsilon^\Gamma(p, q))_{p, q \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)}$ is defined as the inverse of the Gram matrix, i.e.

$$W_\epsilon^\Gamma = (G_\epsilon^\Gamma)^{-1} \in M_{|D^\Gamma(\epsilon)|}(\mathbb{C}) = M_{\dim(C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon))}(\mathbb{C}). \quad (2.16)$$

The Weingarten matrix allows us to reach the following useful formula, which plays an important role in Section 4.

Theorem 2.2. [BC07a, Ban23b] Let (Γ, u) be a finitely generated discrete quantum group with n^2 generators. For any $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and $i, j : [k] \rightarrow [n]$, the following *Weingarten formula* holds:

$$h \left(u_{i(1)j(1)}^{\epsilon(1)} \cdots u_{i(k)j(k)}^{\epsilon(k)} \right) = \sum_{p, q \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)} \langle e_j, p \rangle W_\epsilon^\Gamma(p, q) \langle q, e_i \rangle. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Let \mathbb{G} be the dual compact matrix quantum group of Γ with the Haar state $h : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let us consider the unitary representation $u^\epsilon = u^{\epsilon(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus u^{\epsilon(k)}$. Then the following matrix

$$E = (\text{id}_n^{\otimes k} \otimes h)(u^\epsilon) = \left(h \left(u_{i(1)j(1)}^{\epsilon(1)} \cdots u_{i(k)j(k)}^{\epsilon(k)} \right) \right)_{i, j : [k] \rightarrow [n]} \quad (2.18)$$

is the orthogonal projection onto $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)$ by [Wor87, Proposition 4.3].

To reach the desired conclusion, it is enough to show that the following linear operator $P : H^\epsilon \rightarrow H^\epsilon$ given by

$$Px = \sum_{p, q \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)} \langle x, p \rangle W_\epsilon^\Gamma(p, q) q \quad (2.19)$$

is also the orthogonal projection onto $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)$.

Note that $H^\epsilon = C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)^\perp \oplus C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)$. Firstly, $P = 0$ on $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)^\perp$ is clear since $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)^\perp \subseteq \ker P$ by definition of P (2.19). Secondly, to see that P is the identity on $C^\Gamma(0, \epsilon)$, let us take an arbitrary element $r \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)$. Then, we have

$$Pr = \sum_{q \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{p \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)} G_\epsilon^\Gamma(r, p) W_\epsilon^\Gamma(p, q) \right) q = \sum_{q \in D^\Gamma(\epsilon)} \delta_{r, q} q = r. \quad (2.20)$$

□

2.4. Easy quantum groups. An important class of compact matrix quantum groups comes from the so-called *easy quantum group*, whose representation theory can be explained in a combinatorial way. The description is given by, namely, the *(two-)colored integers*. For example, the three integers 1 with white, 2 with white, and 3 with black can be described by the following string $\circ \circ \bullet$.

With the identification $1 = \circ$ and $c = \bullet$, every $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ can be regarded as a colored integer. For instance, if $\epsilon : [5] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^{-1}(1) = \{1, 3, 4\} \\ \epsilon^{-1}(c) = \{2, 5\}, \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

then we identify $\epsilon = \circ \bullet \circ \circ \bullet$. In particular, the colored integer \emptyset (i.e. has no dot) is identified with the trivial representation $1 \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G})$.

Let us introduce some operations on the set of colored integers.

- The *vertical reflection* $\epsilon^c : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ of $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ is given by

$$\epsilon^c(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\epsilon(k+1-i) = c) \\ c & (\epsilon(k+1-i) = 1). \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

This operation can be understood as the reversion of the order of dots and flipping their colors on the colored integers. For example, if $\epsilon = \circ \bullet \circ \circ \bullet$ as in (2.21), we have $\epsilon^c = \circ \bullet \bullet \circ \circ$.

- The *sum* of $\epsilon_1 : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and $\epsilon_2 : [l] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ is $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 : [k+l] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ given by

$$(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(i) = \begin{cases} \epsilon_1(i) & , 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ \epsilon_2(i-k) & , k+1 \leq i \leq k+l. \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

In terms of colored integers, for example, $\circ \bullet \bullet + \bullet \circ \circ = \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet \circ \circ$.

For any $\epsilon_1 : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and $\epsilon_2 : [l] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$, let us place the colored integers of ϵ_1 on an upper line and the colored integers of ϵ_2 on a lower line, and denote by $P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ the set of all partition on these $k+l$ points. For example, the following Figure 1 and Figure 2 represent two partitions p_0 and q_0 .






FIGURE 1. $p_0 \in P(\bullet \bullet \circ, \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \circ)$

FIGURE 2. $q_0 \in P(\bullet \circ \bullet \circ \bullet \circ, \bullet \bullet \bullet \circ \bullet)$


Any $p \in P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ is canonically identified with $\phi(p) \in P(0, \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1^c)$. For example, the following partition $p \in P(\circ \bullet \bullet \circ \bullet \circ, \circ \bullet)$ is identified with $\phi(p) \in P(\emptyset, \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \bullet)$.

FIGURE 3. Identification between p and $\phi(p)$

Below are some canonical operations on the space of partitions.

- (Tensor product) Let $\begin{cases} \epsilon_1 : [k_1] \rightarrow \{1, c\} \\ \sigma_1 : [l_1] \rightarrow \{1, c\} \\ \epsilon_2 : [k_2] \rightarrow \{1, c\} \\ \sigma_2 : [l_2] \rightarrow \{1, c\} \end{cases}$, and let $p \in P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$

and $q \in P(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$. The tensor product $p \otimes q \in P(\epsilon_1 + \sigma_1, \epsilon_2 + \sigma_2)$ is the horizontal concatenation, i.e. the left k_1 upper points and l_1 lower points are connected by p and the right k_2 upper points and l_2 lower points are connected by q . For example, for p_0 in Figure 1 and q_0 in Figure 2, their tensor product $p_0 \otimes q_0$ is given as follows.

FIGURE 4. $p_0 \otimes q_0$

- (Composition) Let $\epsilon_1 : [k_1] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$, $\epsilon_2 : [k_2] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$, $\epsilon_3 : [k_3] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and let $p \in P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$, $q \in P(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$. The composition

$pq \in P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3)$ is the vertical concatenation with the removal of the middle colored integers of ϵ_2 . For example, for p_0 in Figure 1 and q_0 in Figure 2, the composition q_0p_0 is represented as follows.




FIGURE 5. q_0p_0

- (Involution) The involution $p^* \in P(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1)$ of $p \in P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ is obtained by taking the reflection of all points and all strings with respect to a horizontal axis. For example, the involution p_0^* of p_0 in Figure 1 is given as follows.




FIGURE 6. p_0^*

Suppose that a collection $\mathcal{D} = \bigsqcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} D(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \subseteq P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ is closed under the tensor product, composition and involution. In addition, if

- $\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \end{array} \in D(0, \circ\bullet)$ and $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} \in D(0, \bullet\circ)$,
- $\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \circ \\ \bullet \end{array} \in D(\circ, \circ)$ and $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \circ \end{array} \in D(\bullet, \bullet)$,

then $(\{\epsilon\}, \mathcal{D})$ is called a *category of partitions*. An important fact is that, for any category of partitions $(\{\epsilon\}, \mathcal{D})$, there is a finitely generated discrete quantum group \mathbb{T} such that

$$C^{\mathbb{T}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \text{span } D(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \tag{2.24}$$

for all ϵ_1, ϵ_2 , by the *Tannaka-Krein duality*.

Example 2.3. Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r be the disjoint blocks of a partition $p \in P(0, \epsilon)$. Note that p is called a *pairing* if $|V_j| = 2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$, and called *non-crossing* if there is no $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4$ such that i_1, i_3 are in a block V_{j_1} and i_2, i_4 are in a different block V_{j_2} with $j_1 \neq j_2$. More generally, a partition $p \in P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ is called *non-crossing* if $\phi(p) \in P(0, \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1^c)$ is non-crossing. For example, the partition q_0 in Figure 2 is called non-crossing, and the partition p_0 in Figure 1 is called crossing. We denote by $NC(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$

(resp. $NC_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$) the set of all non-crossing partitions (resp. non-crossing pairings) in $P(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$, and denote the collection of all non-crossing partitions by $NC = \bigsqcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} NC(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ (resp. $NC_2 = \bigsqcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} NC_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$). They are called the category of non-crossing partitions (resp. non-crossing pairings).

For $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $p \in P(\epsilon, \sigma)$, we define $T_p \in \mathcal{L}(H^{\otimes \epsilon}, H^{\otimes \sigma})$ by

$$T_p : e_{i(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i(k)} \mapsto \sum_{j: [l] \rightarrow [n]} \delta_p(i, j) e_{j(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j(l)}. \quad (2.25)$$

Here $\delta_p(i, j) \in \{0, 1\}$ is 1 if and only if p only connects the same numbers when $i : [k] \rightarrow [n]$ and $j : [l] \rightarrow [n]$ are written on ϵ and σ , respectively. Note that $\{T_p : p \in NC_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)\}$ is linearly independent if $n \geq 2$, and $\{T_p : p \in NC(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)\}$ is linearly independent if $n \geq 4$. See [BC07b] and [BC07a] for more details.

Definition 2.4. An *easy quantum group* \mathbb{G} is a compact matrix quantum group with a category $D_{\mathbb{G}}$ of partitions satisfying

$$C^{\mathbb{F}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \text{span} \{T_p : p \in D_{\mathbb{G}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)\} \quad (2.26)$$

for all $\epsilon_1 : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and $\epsilon_2 : [l] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$. In addition, an easy quantum group is *free* if (2.26) holds for some $D_{\mathbb{G}} \subset NC$.

Example 2.5. Among pairings, horizontal strings connecting different colors and vertical strings connecting the same colors are called *matching pairings*, and the set of all non-crossing matching pairings is denoted by $\mathcal{NC}_2 = \bigsqcup_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \mathcal{NC}_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$. Then $(\{\epsilon\}, \mathcal{NC}_2)$ is called the category of matched pairings, which are identified as the representation category of the *free unitary quantum group* U_n^+ . See [Ban23a] for more details. The associated dual discrete quantum group $\mathbb{F}U_n$ is called the *unitary free quantum group*.

3. A MOMENT METHOD TO CHARACTERIZE FREENESS

Let \mathbb{F} be a finitely generated discrete quantum group and let \mathbb{G} be the associated dual compact matrix quantum group with a fundamental unitary representation $u = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. We call

$$\chi_{\mathbb{F}, u} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ii} \quad (3.1)$$

the *main character*. Let us denote the k -th moments of the *self-adjoint main character* $\chi_{\mathbb{F}} + \chi_{\mathbb{F}}^*$ by

$$m_k(\mathbb{F}, u) = h \left((\chi_{\mathbb{F}, u} + \chi_{\mathbb{F}, u}^*)^k \right) \quad (3.2)$$

with respect to the Haar state on \mathbb{G} . We often simply write $m_k(\mathbb{F})$ if there is no possibility of confusion. In particular, if \mathbb{F} is a discrete group Γ with generators $S = (g_i)_{i=1}^n$, then the fundamental unitary representation is given by $u = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii} \otimes \lambda(g_i)$ and the main character is

$$\chi_{\Gamma, u} = \chi_{\Gamma, S} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(g_i) + \lambda(g_i)^{-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then, it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} m_k(\Gamma, u) &= m_k(\Gamma, S) \\ &= \left| \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in (S')^k : x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k = e \right\} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $S' = (g_i^\epsilon)_{1 \leq i \leq n, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}}$. This leads us to the following observations in the category of finitely generated discrete groups.

Proposition 3.1. Let Γ be a finitely generated discrete group with generators $S = (g_i)_{i=1}^n$, and let R be a normal subgroup of Γ . Then we have

$$m_k(\Gamma, S) \leq m_k(\Gamma/R, \overline{S}) \quad (3.5)$$

for all natural numbers k , where the quotient group Γ/R is generated by $\overline{S} = (g_i S)_{i=1}^n$. In addition, the normal subgroup R is non-trivial if and only if $m_k(\Gamma) < m_k(\Gamma/R)$ for some natural number k .

Proof. Let us denote by

$$E_k(\Gamma, S) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in (S')^k : x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k = e \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

where $S' = (g_i^\epsilon)_{1 \leq i \leq n, \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}}$, and by $q : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/R$ the quotient map. Since $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in E_k(\Gamma, S)$ implies

$$(q(x_1), q(x_2), \dots, q(x_k)) \in E_k(\Gamma/R, q(S)), \quad (3.7)$$

we obtain (3.5) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that R is non-trivial if and only if there exists a non-trivial element $x \in R$. Let us write $x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$ with $x_i \in S'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \notin E_k(\Gamma, S)$, but we have $(q(x_1), q(x_2), \dots, q(x_k)) \in E_k(\Gamma/R, q(S))$ since

$$q(x_1)q(x_2) \cdots q(x_k) = q(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k) = q(x) = R. \quad (3.8)$$

□

Corollary 3.2. For any discrete group Γ with n generators $S = (g_i)_{i=1}^n$, we have

$$m_k(\mathbb{F}_n) \leq m_k(\Gamma, S) \quad (3.9)$$

for all natural numbers k . Furthermore, $m_k(\mathbb{F}_n) = m_k(\Gamma, S)$ holds for all natural numbers k if and only if Γ is isomorphic to the free group \mathbb{F}_n .

One of the main purposes of this paper is to extend Proposition 3.1 for finitely generated discrete groups (Γ, S) to a broader category of finitely generated discrete quantum groups (\mathbb{T}, u) . First of all, the following proposition tells us that the k -th moments $m_k(\mathbb{T}, u)$ are determined by the dimensions of the space of morphisms $C^\mathbb{T}(0, \epsilon)$.

Proposition 3.3. Let (\mathbb{T}, u) be a finitely generated discrete quantum group. Then we have

$$m_k(\mathbb{T}, u) = \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \dim C^\mathbb{T}(0, \epsilon) \quad (3.10)$$

for all natural numbers k .

Proof. Note that the k -th power $(\chi_\mathbb{T} + \chi_\mathbb{T}^*)^k$ is written as

$$\sum_{\substack{i: [k] \rightarrow [n] \\ \epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}}} u_{i(1)i(1)}^{\epsilon(1)} u_{i(2)i(2)}^{\epsilon(2)} \cdots u_{i(k)i(k)}^{\epsilon(k)}, \quad (3.11)$$

so the Weingarten formula (Theorem 2.2) allows us to write $m_k(\mathbb{T}, u)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{i: [k] \rightarrow [n] \\ \epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}}} h \left(u_{i(1)i(1)}^{\epsilon(1)} u_{i(2)i(2)}^{\epsilon(2)} \cdots u_{i(k)i(k)}^{\epsilon(k)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i: [k] \rightarrow [n] \\ \epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}}} \left(\sum_{p, q \in D^\mathbb{T}(\epsilon)} \langle e_i, p \rangle W_\epsilon^\mathbb{T}(p, q) \langle q, e_i \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \sum_{p, q \in D^\mathbb{T}(\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i: [k] \rightarrow [n]} \langle q, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, p \rangle \right) W_\epsilon^\mathbb{T}(p, q) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \sum_{p, q \in D^\mathbb{T}(\epsilon)} G_\epsilon^\mathbb{T}(q, p) W_\epsilon^\mathbb{T}(p, q) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \text{Tr}(G_\epsilon^\mathbb{T} W_\epsilon^\mathbb{T}) = \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \dim C^\mathbb{T}(0, \epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

□

Applying the categorical description of $m_k(\mathbb{T}, u)$ in Proposition 3.3, we can now extend Proposition 3.1 to the case of general finitely generated discrete quantum groups.

Theorem 3.4. Let (\mathbb{T}_1, u) and (\mathbb{T}_2, v) be finitely generated discrete quantum groups. If (\mathbb{T}_1, u) is a quotient of (\mathbb{T}_2, v) , i.e. $\mathbb{T}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_1$, then we have

$$m_k(\mathbb{T}_2, v) \leq m_k(\mathbb{T}_1, u) \quad (3.13)$$

for all natural numbers k . In addition, if $m_k(\Gamma_2, v) = m_k(\Gamma_1, u)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then Γ_1 and Γ_2 are isomorphic.

Proof. Recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_k(\Gamma_1, u) &= \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \dim C^{\Gamma_1}(0, \epsilon) \\ m_k(\Gamma_2, v) &= \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \dim C^{\Gamma_2}(0, \epsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

by Proposition 3.3. Since $\dim C^{\Gamma_1}(0, \epsilon)$ (resp. $\dim C^{\Gamma_2}(0, \epsilon)$) counts the number of the trivial representation in an irreducible decomposition of the representation $v^\epsilon = v^{\epsilon(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus v^{\epsilon(k)}$ (resp. $u^\epsilon = u^{\epsilon(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus u^{\epsilon(k)}$), it is enough to prove that v^ϵ contains more trivial representations than u^ϵ . Let us suppose that there exist non-trivial mutually inequivalent irreducible representations $u^{\gamma_1}, u^{\gamma_2}, \dots, u^{\gamma_r}$ with multiplicities $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$v^\epsilon = n_1 u^{\gamma_1} \oplus n_2 u^{\gamma_2} \oplus \dots \oplus n_r u^{\gamma_r} \oplus n_{r+1} 1_{\mathbb{G}_2} \quad (3.15)$$

where $1_{\mathbb{G}_2}$ is the trivial representation of \mathbb{G}_2 with the multiplicity $n_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Recall that $\Gamma_2 \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_1$ implies that there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_2) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{G}_1)$ satisfying

$$(\text{id}_n \otimes \phi)(u) = v, \quad (3.16)$$

implying $(\text{id}_{n^k} \otimes \phi)(u^\epsilon) = v^\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$. Moreover, since

$$v^\epsilon = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\text{id}_{n_i} \otimes \phi)(u^{\gamma_i}) \right) \oplus n_{r+1} 1_{\mathbb{G}_1} \quad (3.17)$$

and each $v_i = (\text{id}_{n_i} \otimes \phi)(u^{\gamma_i})$ is a representation of \mathbb{G}_1 , the number of trivial representation in the irreducible decomposition of v^ϵ is greater than or equal to n_{r+1} , which is the number of trivial representation in u^ϵ .

Now, let us suppose that $\Gamma_2 \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_1$ is strict, i.e. there exists $\epsilon_0 : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and coefficients $(a_{i_0 j_0})_{i_0, j_0 \in [k] \rightarrow [n]}$ such that

$$x_0 = \sum_{i_0, j_0 \in [k] \rightarrow [n]} a_{i_0 j_0} u_{i_0 j_0}^{\epsilon_0} \neq 0 \quad (3.18)$$

and $\phi(x_0) = \sum_{i_0, j_0 \in [k] \rightarrow [n]} a_{i_0 j_0} v_{i_0 j_0}^{\epsilon_0} = 0$. Then $x = x_0^* x_0 \neq 0$ and $\phi(x) = \phi(x_0)^* \phi(x_0) = 0$, and there exists $\epsilon : [2k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ and coefficients $(b_{ij})_{i, j: [2k] \rightarrow [n]}$ such that

$$x = x_0^* x_0 = \sum_{i, j \in [2k] \rightarrow [n]} b_{ij} u_{ij}^\epsilon. \quad (3.19)$$

We may assume that u^ϵ is of the form (3.15) with $n_{r+1} > 0$. Then $x' = x - n_{r+1} 1_{\mathbb{G}_2}$ satisfies $h(x') = 0$ and $\phi(x') = \phi(x) - n_{r+1} 1_{\mathbb{G}_1} = -n_{r+1} 1_{\mathbb{G}_1}$.

This implies $h(\phi(x')) = -n_{r+1} \neq 0$, which is impossible if the trivial representation is not contained in any irreducible decompositions of all the representations $v_i = (\text{id}_{n_i} \otimes \phi)(u^{\gamma_i})$ of \mathbb{G}_1 in (3.17). Thus, at least one v_i contains the trivial representation, so the number of trivial representations of v^ϵ is greater than that of u^ϵ . \square

Since the unitary free quantum group $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$ is the universal object among all finitely generated discrete quantum groups (\mathbb{T}, u) satisfying that $(Q \otimes 1)u^\epsilon(Q^{-1} \otimes 1)$ is a unitary, we obtain the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.5. For any finitely generated discrete quantum group (\mathbb{T}, u) , there exists an invertible $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$m_k(\mathbb{F}U(Q)) \leq m_k(\mathbb{T}, u) \quad (3.20)$$

for all natural numbers k . In addition, if

$$m_k(\mathbb{F}U(Q)) = m_k(\mathbb{T}, u) \quad (3.21)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then \mathbb{T} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$.

Proof. It is enough to note that for any finitely generated discrete quantum group (\mathbb{T}, u) there exists an invertible $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(Q \otimes 1)u^\epsilon(Q^{-1} \otimes 1)$ is unitary. This implies that \mathbb{T} is a quotient of $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$. \square

4. A PARTIAL ANSWER ON THE CASE OF THE OPERATOR NORM

One might wonder whether a parallel result of Theorem 3.4 holds particularly for the operator norm. As mentioned in the Introduction, the operator norm is not enough to characterize ‘freer’, but can characterize ‘freest’ within the category of finitely generated discrete groups.

In this section, let us focus on the following (reduced) operator norm

$$n(\mathbb{T}, u) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{2k}(\mathbb{T}, u)^{\frac{1}{2k}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[h \left((\chi_{\mathbb{T}, u} + \chi_{\mathbb{T}, u}^*)^{2k} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2k}} \quad (4.1)$$

within the category of finitely generated discrete quantum groups. Then, the following Proposition follows from the conclusions in Section 3.

Proposition 4.1. Let (\mathbb{T}_1, u) and (\mathbb{T}_2, v) be finitely generated discrete quantum groups. If (\mathbb{T}_1, u) is a quotient of (\mathbb{T}_2, v) , i.e. $\mathbb{T}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_1$, then $n(\mathbb{T}_2, v) \leq n(\mathbb{T}_1, u)$. In particular, for any finitely generated discrete quantum group \mathbb{T} with a fundamental unitary representation $w = (w_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, there exists an invertible $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$2\sqrt{2} = n(\mathbb{F}U(Q)) \leq n(\mathbb{T}, w), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$ is a unitary free quantum group.

Proof. The first conclusion is a direct consequence from Theorem 3.4 and (4.1) since $\mathbb{T}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_1$ implies

$$n(\mathbb{T}_2, v) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{2k}(\mathbb{T}_2, v)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{2k}(\mathbb{T}_1, u)^{\frac{1}{2k}} = n(\mathbb{T}_1, u). \quad (4.3)$$

Similarly, the second conclusion follows by Corollary 3.5 and (4.1).

The only remaining to prove is the equality $n(\mathbb{F}U(Q)) = 2\sqrt{2}$. Note that $n(\mathbb{F}U(Q))$ is independent of the choice of Q since the representation theories of $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$ and $\mathbb{F}U_n$ share the same fusion rule. Specifically, we have

$$\dim C^{\mathbb{F}U(Q)}(\epsilon, \sigma) = \dim C^{\mathbb{F}U_n}(\epsilon, \sigma) \quad (4.4)$$

for all ϵ, σ , so we obtain

$$n(\mathbb{F}U(Q)) = n(\mathbb{F}U_n) = 2\sqrt{2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Here, the last equality is thanks to the fact that, for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{F}U_n$, the distribution of $\chi_{\mathbb{T}} + \chi_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ is given by $\frac{\sqrt{8-x^2}}{4\pi} dx$ on $[-2\sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2}]$ [BC07a]. \square

A natural question on Proposition 4.1 is whether the unitary free quantum groups $\mathbb{F}U(Q)$ are the only finitely generated discrete quantum groups (\mathbb{T}, u) satisfying $n(\mathbb{T}, u) = 2\sqrt{2}$. We have no complete answer for now, but will present an affirmative answer in the category of free easy quantum groups, whose representation theories are realized using non-crossing (two-colored) partitions [TW18].

Our strategy relies on the classification results in [TW18]. It turned out in [TW18] that there exist exactly three proper maximal free easy quantum subgroups of U_n^+ , which we denote by $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$, and \mathbb{G}_3 respectively. Then any proper free easy quantum subgroup $\mathbb{G} \subsetneq U_n^+$ is a quantum subgroup of one of $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2$ and \mathbb{G}_3 . See page 502 of [TW18] for a graphical overview of the inclusions between free easy quantum groups. The categories of non-crossing partitions for the proper maximal free easy quantum groups $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_3$ are as follows:

- \mathcal{H}'_{loc} , the category of all non-crossing even partitions with alternating colors in each block.
- $\mathcal{O}_{glob}(0)$, the category of all p such that $\phi(p)$ is a non-crossing pairing on a colored integer with the same number of \circ and \bullet .
- $\mathcal{B}_{loc}(0, 0)$, the category of all p obtained by removing some (or no) strings of an element of \mathcal{NC}_2

We will use these explicit structures to reach the desired conclusion later.

Let us denote by \mathbb{T}_j the finitely generated dual discrete quantum group of \mathbb{G}_j . Then, for any proper free easy quantum subgroup $\mathbb{G} \subsetneq U_n^+$, its finitely generated dual discrete quantum group \mathbb{T} satisfies

$$n(\mathbb{T}) \geq \min \{n(\mathbb{T}_1), n(\mathbb{T}_2), n(\mathbb{T}_3)\} \quad (4.6)$$

by Proposition 4.1. Hence, it is enough to prove the following inequality

$$\min \{n(\Gamma_1), n(\Gamma_2), n(\Gamma_3)\} > n(FU_n). \quad (4.7)$$

From now on, let us focus on the case $n \geq 4$ where the associated category $D_{\mathbb{G}}$ is a subset of the category NC of all non-crossing partitions. In this case, $\{T_p\}_{p \in NC}$ is linearly independent, so we have

$$m_k(\Gamma) = \sum_{\epsilon: [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} \#D_{\mathbb{G}}(0, \epsilon), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.8)$$

(Case 1) Let us begin with a proper maximal free easy quantum group \mathbb{G}_1 whose representation theory is realized by $D_{\mathbb{G}_1} = \mathcal{H}'_{loc}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let \mathbb{G}_1 be a proper maximal free easy quantum group such that $D_{\mathbb{G}_1} = \mathcal{H}'_{loc}$. Then we have

$$n(\Gamma_1) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5\sqrt{5} - 11}} \approx 3.33 > 2\sqrt{2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Note that the number of non-crossing even partitions of $2k$ points with b blocks is given by

$$\frac{1}{b} \binom{k-1}{b-1} \binom{2k}{b-1}, \quad (4.10)$$

so we obtain

$$m_{2k}(\Gamma_1) = \sum_{b=1}^k \frac{2^b}{b} \binom{k-1}{b-1} \binom{2k}{b-1}. \quad (4.11)$$

This *Fuss-Narayana polynomial* coincides with the k -th moment of a specific free Bessel law of parameter 1

$$\pi_{22} = \pi \boxtimes (\pi \boxplus \pi). \quad (4.12)$$

by [BBCC11, Theorem 5.2]. Here, π is the free Poisson law $\frac{\sqrt{4x-1}-1}{2\pi} dx$, \boxtimes is the free multiplicative convolution and \boxplus is the free additive convolution. In particular, the support of $\pi \boxtimes (\pi \boxplus \pi)$ is $[0, K]$ with

$$K = \frac{2}{5\sqrt{5} - 11}. \quad (4.13)$$

by [BBCC11, Theorem 3.2]. Thus, we can conclude that

$$n(\Gamma_1) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{2k}(\Gamma_1)^{\frac{1}{2k}} = \left(\int_0^K t^k d\pi_{22}(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} = K^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.14)$$

□

(Case 2) Secondly, let \mathbb{G}_2 be a proper maximal free easy quantum group associated to $D_{\mathbb{G}_2} = \mathcal{O}_{glob}(0)$.

Lemma 4.3. Let \mathbb{G}_2 be a proper maximal free easy quantum group such that $D_{\mathbb{G}_2} = \mathcal{O}_{glob}(0)$. Then we have

$$n(\Gamma_2) = 4. \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. For any $\epsilon : [2k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$

$$|D_{\mathbb{G}_2}(0, \epsilon)| = \begin{cases} |NC_2(2k)| & , c(\epsilon) = 0 \\ 0 & , c(\epsilon) \neq 0 \end{cases}, \quad (4.16)$$

so we have

$$\sum_{\epsilon: [2k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} |D_{\mathbb{G}_2}(0, \epsilon)| = |NC_2(2k)| \cdot \binom{2k}{k}. \quad (4.17)$$

This implies

$$n(\Gamma_2) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \right]^{\frac{1}{2k}} = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 4. \quad (4.18)$$

□

(Case 3) Lastly, let \mathbb{G}_3 be a proper maximal free easy quantum group satisfying $D_{\mathbb{G}_3} = \mathcal{B}_{loc}(0, 0)$. Then, a detailed direct calculation leads us to the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. Let \mathbb{G}_3 be a proper maximal free easy quantum group such that $D_{\mathbb{G}_3} = \mathcal{B}_{loc}(0, 0)$. Then we have

$$n(\Gamma_3) > 2\sqrt{2}. \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. Let A_k be the set of partitions obtained by removing some (or no) strings of an element in $NC_2(2k)$, then we have

$$\sum_{\epsilon: [2k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} |D_{\mathbb{G}_3}(0, \epsilon)| \geq 2^k |A_k|, \quad (4.20)$$

and $|A_k| > C_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}$ for all $\epsilon : [2k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$. Now, let us prove that there exists $t > 0$ such that

$$A_k \geq (1+t)^k C_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.21)$$

First of all, since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{k+1}}{C_k} = 4$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$k \geq N_0 \Rightarrow 3 < \frac{C_{k+1}}{C_k} < 5. \quad (4.22)$$

Let us take a positive number $t \in (0, \frac{1}{5})$ such that

$$1+t \leq \min_{0 \leq k \leq N_0} \left(\frac{A_k}{C_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}, \quad (4.23)$$

which implies $|A_k| \geq (1+t)^k C_k$ for all $0 \leq k \leq N_0$. Furthermore, $|A_k| \geq (1+t)^k C_k$ should hold for all natural numbers k . To prove this, let us suppose that there exists $N \geq N_0$ such that

$$0 \leq k \leq N \Rightarrow |A_k| \geq (1+t)^k C_k. \quad (4.24)$$

For an arbitrary element in $p \in A_{N+1}$, let us consider two disjoint cases: (1) $\{1\}$ is not a block of p and (2) Both $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$ are blocks of p . The number of the first cases is $\sum_{k=0}^N |A_k| \cdot |A_{N-k}|$, and the number of the second cases is greater than or equal to $|A_N|$, so we obtain

$$|A_{N+1}| \geq \sum_{k=0}^N |A_k| \cdot |A_{N-k}| + |A_N| \quad (4.25)$$

$$\geq (1+t)^N \sum_{k=0}^N C_k C_{N-k} + (1+t)^N C_N \quad (4.26)$$

$$= (1+t)^N (C_{N+1} + C_N) \quad (4.27)$$

$$\geq (1+t)^N C_{N+1} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \geq (1+t)^{N+1} C_{N+1}. \quad (4.28)$$

The second last inequality is thanks to (4.22). Finally, we can conclude that

$$n(\Gamma_3) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{2k}(\Gamma_3)^{\frac{1}{2k}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{\epsilon: [2k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}} |D_{\mathbb{G}_3}(0, \epsilon)| \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \quad (4.29)$$

$$\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2^k |A_k|)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2^k (1+t)^k C_k)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \quad (4.30)$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{1+t} \cdot 2 = 2\sqrt{2(1+t)} > 2\sqrt{2}. \quad (4.31)$$

□

Now, by combining (4.6) and three lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we reach the following conclusion for unitary free quantum groups $\mathbb{F}U_n$ of Kac type with $n \geq 4$:

Theorem 4.5. Let \mathbb{G} be a free easy quantum group with $\Gamma = \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. If $n(\mathbb{F}U_n) = n(\Gamma)$ with $n \geq 4$, then Γ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}U_n$, i.e. \mathbb{G} is isomorphic to U_n^+ .

One might be interested in the exact value of $n(\Gamma)$ for some other particular free easy quantum groups \mathbb{G} such as the free permutation quantum group S_n^+ or the free orthogonal quantum group O_n^+ . Recall that the categories of non-crossing partitions and non-crossing pairings are used for S_n^+ and O_n^+ , respectively. Additionally, let us consider the following free easy quantum groups \mathbb{G} satisfying $S_n^+ \subseteq \mathbb{G} \subseteq U_n^+$:

- The *bistochastic quantum group* B_n^+ is the case where $D_{\mathbb{G}}(0, \epsilon)$ is identified with $NC_{12}(0, \epsilon)$, the set of all non-crossing partitions $p = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r\}$ such that $|V_i|$ is 1 or 2 for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.
- The *liberation* of bistochastic quantum group $B_n^{'+}$ is the case where $D_{\mathbb{G}}(0, \epsilon)$ with $\epsilon : [k] \rightarrow \{1, c\}$ is identified with

$$NC'_{12}(0, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} NC_{12}(0, \epsilon) & , k \text{ is even,} \\ \emptyset & , k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

- The *hyperoctahedral quantum group* H_n^+ is the case where $D_{\mathbb{G}}(0, \epsilon)$ is identified with NC_{even} , the set of all non-crossing partitions $p = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r\}$ such that $|V_i|$ is an even number for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Note that we have the following inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_n^+ & \subseteq & B_n^{'+} & \subseteq & O_n^+ & \subseteq & U_n^+ \\ \cup & & & & \cup & & \\ S_n^+ & & \subseteq & & H_n^+ & & \end{array}, \quad (4.33)$$

and the resulting exact values $n(\Gamma)$ are given as follows.

Proposition 4.6. Let \mathbb{G} be a free easy quantum group listed in (4.33) and let $\Gamma = \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. Then we have

$$n(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 8 & , \mathbb{G} = S_n^+ (n \geq 4) \\ 6 & , \mathbb{G} = B_n^+ \text{ or } B_n^{'+} (n \geq 4) \\ 3\sqrt{3} & , \mathbb{G} = H_n^+ (n \geq 4) \\ 4 & , \mathbb{G} = O_n^+ (n \geq 2) \\ 2\sqrt{2} & , \mathbb{G} = U_n^+ (n \geq 2) \end{cases}. \quad (4.34)$$

Proof. Note that $n(\Gamma)$ equals to $\max\{|a|, |b|\}$ if the probability distribution function f of $\chi_{\Gamma} + \chi_{\Gamma}^*$ is continuous with the compact support $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. This approach covers the most cases except for $\mathbb{G} = H_n^+$.

First of all, the distributions of the self-adjoint main character are explicitly known for S_n^+ , B_n^+ , O_n^+ , and U_n^+ as follows.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\sqrt{4x^{-1}-1}}{2\pi} \text{ on } [0, 4] & , \mathbb{G} = S_n^+ (n \geq 4) \text{ [BC07b]} \\ \frac{\sqrt{(6-x)(x+2)}}{8\pi} \text{ on } [-2, 6] & , \mathbb{G} = B_n^+ (n \geq 4) \text{ [BS09]} \\ \frac{\sqrt{16-x^2}}{8\pi} \text{ on } [-4, 4] & , \mathbb{G} = O_n^+ (n \geq 2) \text{ [BC07a]} \\ \frac{\sqrt{8-x^2}}{4\pi} \text{ on } [-2\sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2}] & , \mathbb{G} = U_n^+ (n \geq 2) \text{ [BC07a]} \end{array} \right. \quad (4.35)$$

In the case $\mathbb{G} = B_n^{'+}$, the distribution of $\chi_{\Gamma} + \chi_{\Gamma}^*$ is

$$\chi_{[-6,2]}(x) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{(2-x)(6+x)}}{8\pi} + \chi_{[-2,6]}(x) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{(6-x)(x+2)}}{8\pi} \quad (4.36)$$

on $[-6, 6]$ by [BS09].

Lastly, for the hyperoctahedral quantum group $\mathbb{G} = H_n^+$, the $2k$ -th moment is

$$m_{2k}(\mathbb{G}) = \frac{2^{2k}}{2k+1} \binom{3k}{k} \quad (4.37)$$

by [BBC07, Arm09]. Then the following identity $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m!)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{m} = \frac{1}{e}$ implies the desired conclusion:

$$n(\mathbb{G}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{2k}(\mathbb{G})^{\frac{1}{2k}} = 2 \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{(3k)!}{k!(2k)!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \quad (4.38)$$

$$= 2 \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[(3k)!]^{\frac{1}{3k} \cdot \frac{3}{2}}}{[k!]^{\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{2}} [(2k)!]^{\frac{1}{2k} \cdot 1}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2 \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{3k}{e}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{2k}{e}} = 3\sqrt{3}. \quad (4.39)$$

□

REFERENCES

- [Arm09] Drew Armstrong. Generalized noncrossing partitions and combinatorics of coxeter groups / drew armstrong., 2009.
- [Ban23a] Teo Banica. Easy quantum groups. arXiv:2312.12368, 2023.
- [Ban23b] Teo Banica. *Introduction to Quantum Groups*. Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023.
- [BBC07] Teodor Banica, Julien Bichon, and Benoît Collins. The hyperoctahedral quantum group. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 22(4):345–384, 2007.
- [BBCC11] T. Banica, S. T. Belinschi, M. Capitaine, and B. Collins. Free bessel laws. *Canadian journal of mathematics*, 63(1):3–37, 2011.
- [BC07a] Teodor Banica and Benoît Collins. Integration over compact quantum groups. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 43(2):277–302, 2007.
- [BC07b] Teodor Banica and Benoît Collins. Integration over quantum permutation groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 242(2):641–657, 2007.
- [BS09] Teodor Banica and Roland Speicher. Liberation of orthogonal lie groups. *Advances in mathematics (New York. 1965)*, 222(4):1461–1501, 2009.
- [CC22] L. Cadilhac and B. Collins. A metric characterization of freeness. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(5):Paper No. 109562, 14, 2022.
- [Kes59] Harry Kesten. Full Banach mean values on countable groups. *Math. Scand.*, 7:146–156, 1959.
- [KV00] Johan Kustermans and Stefaan Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 33(6):837–934, 2000.
- [KV03] Johan Kustermans and Stefaan Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups in the von Neumann algebraic setting. *Math. Scand.*, 92(1):68–92, 2003.
- [TW18] Pierre Tarrago and Moritz Weber. The classification of tensor categories of two-colored noncrossing partitions. *Journal of combinatorial theory. Series A*, 154:464–506, 2018.
- [Wor87] Stanisław L. Woronowicz. Compact matrix pseudogroups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 111(4):613–665, 1987.