

Multiplicity and asymptotic behavior of normalized solutions to p -Kirchhoff equations

Jianwen Zhou,* Puming Yang[†]

School of Mathematics and Statistics,

Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, P.R. China.

Abstract

In this paper, we study a type of p -Kirchhoff equation

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^p dx\right) \Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + |u|^{q-2} u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

with the prescribed mass

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = c > 0$$

where $a > 0, b > 0, \frac{3}{2} < p < 3, p < q < p^* := \frac{3p}{3-p}, \Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian of u , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is Lagrange multiplier. We consider both L^p -subcritical, L^p -critical and L^p -supercritical cases. Precisely, in the L^p -subcritical and L^p -critical cases, we obtain the existence and nonexistence of the normalized solutions for the p -Kirchhoff equation. In the L^p -supercritical case, we obtain the existence of radial ground states and multiplicity of radial normalized solutions for the p -Kirchhoff equation. Furthermore, we study the asymptotic behavior of normalized solutions when $b \rightarrow 0^+$. Besides, when $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq 2$, benefit from the uniqueness (up to translations) of optimizer for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we show the existence and uniqueness of normalized solutions and provide the accurate descriptions.

Keywords: p -Kirchhoff equation, Normalized solution, Ground state, Multiplicity, Asymptotic behavior.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J92, 35B40

* E-mail: jwzhou@ynu.edu.cn (Jianwen Zhou)

[†] E-mail: yangpuming@stu.ynu.edu.cn (Puming Yang)

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we study a type of p -Kirchhoff equation

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^p dx\right) \Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + |u|^{q-2} u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (1.1)$$

with the prescribed mass

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = c > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

where $a > 0, b > 0, \frac{3}{2} < p < 3, p < q < p^* := \frac{3p}{3-p}, \Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian of u , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is Lagrange multiplier.

In recent years, many researchers have focused on studying the p -Kirchhoff type problem, e.g., [1, 2, 3] and their references therein.

When $p = 2$, it reduces to the following general Kirchhoff equation

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = \lambda u + |u|^{q-2} u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (1.3)$$

which is first proposed by G. Kirchhoff in [4]. It shows the free vibration of elastic strings and describes the motions of moderately large amplitude. Kirchhoff type problem has been widely studied since a functional analysis approach is proposed in the significant work of J.L. Lions [5].

When $a = 1, b = 0$, equation(1.1) reduces to the following p -Laplacian equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + |u|^{q-2} u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (1.4)$$

The p -Laplacian operator Δ_p has a strong physical significance. It can be used as models for various physical problems. In fluid mechanics, the value $1 < p < 2$ (resp., $p = 2$ and $p > 2$) corresponds to a pseudoplastic (resp., Newtonian and dilatant) fluid.

Recently, many researchers have focused on normalized solutions. Ye [6] studied the existence of constrained minimizers for the Kirchhoff equation (1.3) and obtained the sharp existence of normalized solutions under different assumptions; Following [6], Zeng and Zhang [7] obtained the existence and uniqueness of normalized solutions for equation (1.3). For the p -Laplacian equations (1.4), Lou and Zhang [8] studied the multiplicity of normalized solutions under the L^p mass constraint (1.2). For the p -Kirchhoff equation (1.1), Ren and Lan [9] studied the existence of normalized solutions in the L^2 supercritical case.

As far as we know, there are few works concerning with the p -Kirchhoff equation (1.1) with the L^p mass constraint (1.2). Thus we will explore Eqs. (1.1)-(1.2) in this paper.

It is well known that the appearance of nonlocal term $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^p dx\right) \Delta_p u$ causes several mathematical difficulties and makes the problem different from the general p -Laplacian case. By taking λ as a Lagrange multiplier, we consider critical points of the following C^1 -functional

$$I(u) := \frac{a}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{b}{2p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^2 - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx. \quad (1.5)$$

constrained on

$$S(c) := \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = c > 0 \right\},$$

where

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^p dx < \infty \right\}.$$

We know the critical points of $I|_{S(c)}$ are weak solutions to (1.1)-(1.2) and define

$$i(c) := \inf_{u \in S(c)} I(u). \quad (1.6)$$

In the L^p subcritical and critical cases, we give the existence of normalized solutions to the p -Kirchhoff Eqs. (1.1)-(1.2):

Theorem 1.1. (1) Let $p < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, then

(i) when $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, $i(c)$ has a minimizer for any $c > 0$.

(ii) when $q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, $i(c)$ has a minimizer if and only if $c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, where $|\cdot|_s$ denote the norm of $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $Q \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a ground-state solution to the following equation

$$-\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2} \Delta_p u + \left(1 + \frac{(p-3)(q-p)}{p^2} \right) |u|^{p-2} u = |u|^{q-2} u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(iii) when $p + \frac{p^2}{3} < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, there exist $c^* > 0$ such that $i(c)$ has a minimizer if and only if $c \geq c^*$, where

$$c^* = \left[p |Q|_p^{q-p} \left(\frac{ap}{2p^2 - 3q + 3p} \right)^{\frac{2p^2 - 3q + 3p}{p^2}} \left(\frac{bp}{6pq - 8p^2} \right)^{\frac{3q - 3p - p^2}{p^2}} \right]^{\frac{p}{pq - 3q + 3p}}.$$

Moreover, for any $p < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$ and $c > 0$, if $i(c)$ has a minimizer, then Eqs.(1.1)-(1.2) has a couple of solutions $(u, \lambda) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $u \in S(c)$ and $\lambda < 0$.

(2) When $q = p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, $i(c)$ has no minimizer for all $c > 0$.

In the following three conclusions, we study the Eqs.(1.1)-(1.2) in the L^p mass supercritical case. In this case, the energy functional I constrained on $S(c)$ is not bounded from below which leads to many difficulties. In order to overcome the lack of compactness, we work on the radially symmetric functionals space $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and consider the following minimization problem:

$$m(c) := \inf_{u \in M(c)} I(u), \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$M(c) := \{u \in S_r(c) : P(u) = 0\},$$

$$S_r(c) := \{|u|_p = c : u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)\},$$

and

$$P(u) := a|\nabla u|_p^p + b|\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{pq}|u|_q^q = 0$$

is associated Pohozaev identity of Eqs(1.1)-(1.2).

Definition 1.2. We call (u, λ) is a ground-state solution to (1.1)-(1.2), if (u, λ) is a solution to (1.1)-(1.2) and u minimizes the energy functional I among all solutions of L^p -norm.

Theorem 1.3. Let $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$, for any $c > 0$, (1.1)-(1.2) has a couple of solution $(u, \lambda) \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $I(u) = m(c)$ and $\lambda < 0$. Moreover, u is a radial ground state of (1.1)-(1.2).

Next, we show the multiplicity of normalized solutions to (1.1)-(1.2):

Theorem 1.4. Let $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$ and $c > 0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{(u_n, \lambda_n)\}$ of solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) such that u_n is radial and $\lambda_n < 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Moreover, we have $\|u_n\|_{W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow +\infty$ and $I(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Based on Theorem 1.4, we study the asymptotic behavior of normalized solutions to (1.1)-(1.2) when $b \rightarrow 0^+$. We obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.5. Let $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$, $c > 0$ and $\{(u_n^b, \lambda_n^b)\} \subset S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}^-$ is obtained in Theorem 1.4. Then, for any $\{b_m\} \rightarrow 0^+(m \rightarrow +\infty)$, there is a subsequence $\{b_{m_l}\}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $u_n^{b_{m_l}} \rightarrow u_n^0$ in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\lambda_n^{b_{m_l}} \rightarrow \lambda_n^0$ in \mathbb{R} as $l \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\{(u_n^0, \lambda_n^0)\} \subset S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}^-$ is a sequence of couples of weak solutions to the following equation

$$-a\Delta_p u - \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = |u|^{q-2}u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.8)$$

As is well known, if $1 < p \leq 2$, the ground state to (2.2) is unique (up to translation), see [24]. However, if $p > 2$, the uniqueness have not been completely solved. When $1 < p \leq 2$, we obtain an accurate description of constrained minimizer of I for $i(c)$ when $p < q \leq p + \frac{p^2}{3}$ and a accurate solution to (1.1)-(1.2) when $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} \leq q < p^*$, which is stated in the following two results.

Theorem 1.6. We assume that $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq 2$, then

(1) When $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, $i(c)$ has a unique minimizer (up to translations), which is the form of $u_c = \frac{c\mu_q^{\frac{3}{p}}}{|Q|_p} Q(\mu_q x)$, where $\mu_q = \frac{t_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}$ with t_q being the unique minimum point of the function

$$f_q(t) = \frac{a}{p}t + \frac{b}{2p}t^2 - \frac{c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p|Q|_p^{q-p}} t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2}}, t \in (0, +\infty). \quad (1.9)$$

(2) When $q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, if $c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, then $i(c)$ has a unique minimizer (up to translations)

$$u_c = \frac{c\mu_q^{\frac{3}{p}}}{|Q|_p} Q(\mu_q x) \text{ where } \mu_q = \frac{t_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c} \text{ with } t_q = \frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}} - ap|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{bp|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}. \quad (1.10)$$

$$\text{Moreover, } i(c) = -\frac{b}{2p} \left(\frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}} - ap|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{bp|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}} \right)^2.$$

When $q \geq p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, Theorem 1.1 tells that $i(c)$ has no minimizer. Thus, motivated by [7], we investigate the mountain pass type critical points for $I(\cdot)$ on $S(c)$.

Definition 1.7. Given $c > 0$, the functional $I(\cdot)$ is said to have mountain pass geometry on $S(c)$ if there exists $K(c) > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(c) := \inf_{h \in \Gamma(c)} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(h(t)) > \max\{I(h(0)), I(h(1))\} \quad (1.11)$$

holds in the set $\Gamma(c) = \{h \in C([0,1]; S(c)) : h(0) \in A_{K(c)}, I(h(1)) < 0\}$, where $A_{K(c)} = \{u \in S(c) : |\nabla u|_p^p \leq K(c)\}$.

Next, when $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq 2$, under the following assumption

$$q > p + \frac{2p^2}{3}, \text{ or } q = p + \frac{2p^2}{3} \text{ and } c > \left(\frac{b|Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p^2-3p}}, \quad (1.12)$$

we investigate some properties of (1.11) by some energy estimates. Moreover, we show that if $u_c \in S(c)$ is a critical point of $I(\cdot)$ on the level $\gamma(c)$, then it is a scaling of $Q(x)$. Still let $f_q(\cdot)$ be given by (1.9) and note that it has a unique maximum point in $(0, +\infty)$ when (1.12) holds. Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. When $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq 2$, assume (1.12) holds and let \bar{t}_q be the unique maximum point of $f_q(t)$ in $(0, +\infty)$. Then $\gamma(c) = f_q(\bar{t}_q)$ and it can be attained by $\bar{u}_c = \frac{c\bar{\mu}_q^{\frac{3}{p}}}{|Q|_p} Q(\bar{\mu}_q x)$ where $\bar{\mu}_q = \frac{\bar{t}_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}$. Meanwhile, \bar{u}_c is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary results. In Section 3, we study the existence of minimizers for $i(c)$ in the L^p -subcritical and critical cases. In Section 4, we show the existence of normalized ground state in the L^p -supercritical case. In Section 5, we study the multiplicity and asymptotic behavior of normalized solutions in the L^p -supercritical case. In Section 6, we prove the existence and uniqueness of normalized solutions when $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq 2$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce various preliminary results.

Lemma 2.1. (*Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality of p -Laplacian type, [10]*) For $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, 3)$ and any $q \in [p, p^*)$, it holds that

$$|u|_q \leq \left(\frac{q}{p} \frac{1}{|Q|^{q-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{qp}} |u|_p^{1-\frac{3(q-p)}{qp}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $|u|_s$ is the norm of $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and up to translations, $Q \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a ground-state solution to the following equation([11])

$$-\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2} \Delta_p u + \left(1 + \frac{(p-3)(q-p)}{p^2} \right) |u|^{p-2} u = |u|^{q-2} u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, when $1 < p \leq 2$, the equality holds if and only if $u(x) = \alpha Q(\beta x)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, 3)$, $q \in (p, p^*)$, if $(u, \lambda) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.2), then the following identity

$$P(u) = a |\nabla u|_p^p + b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{pq} |u|_q^q = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

holds. Moreover, it can be obtained that $\lambda < 0$.

Proof. the proof is similar to the Lemma 2.3 of [12] and Lemma 2.6 of [6], so we omit it. \square

Next, we introduce a useful elementary inequality.

Lemma 2.3. There exists $c_s > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle |x|^{s-2} x - |y|^{s-2} y, x - y \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3} \geq c_s |x - y|^s & \text{for } 2 \leq s < 3, \\ (|x| + |y|)^{2-s} \left\langle |x|^{s-2} x - |y|^{s-2} y, x - y \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3} \geq c_s |x - y|^2 & \text{for } 1 < s < 2, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ denotes the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^3 .

For $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows from (2.4) that ,for $2 \leq p < 3$,

$$c_p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v) \nabla(u - v) dx, \quad (2.5)$$

and for $\frac{3}{2} < p < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_p^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (T(u, v))^{\frac{p}{2}} (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} dx \\
& \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v) \nabla(u - v) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$T(u, v) = \left\langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v, \nabla u - \nabla v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3},$$

note that the domain of integration in (2.5)-(2.6) can be replaced by any open domain of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma 2.4. (*[13], Lemma 3*) Let $I \in C^1(W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$. If $\{u_n\} \subset S(c)$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (I|_{S(c)})'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))' \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\
& \Leftrightarrow I'(u_n) - \frac{1}{c^p} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))' \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we study the case when $p < q \leq p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$. We first give a few of important lemmas before the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $p < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, then

- (1) For each $c > 0$, $i(c)$ is well defined and $i(c) \leq 0$.
- (2) If $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, then $i(c) < 0$ for all $c > 0$.
- (3) If $q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, then $i(c) < 0$ for each $c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$ and $i(c) = 0$ for each $0 < c \leq a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$.
- (4) If $p + \frac{p^2}{3} < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, then $i(c) < 0$ for each $c > c^*$ and $i(c) = 0$ for each $c \leq c^*$, where

$$c^* = \left[p |Q|_p^{q-p} \left(\frac{ap}{2p^2 - 3q + 3p} \right)^{\frac{2p^2 - 3q + 3p}{p^2}} \left(\frac{bp}{6pq - 8p^2} \right)^{\frac{3q - 3p - p^2}{p^2}} \right]^{\frac{p}{pq - 3q + 3p}}.$$

Proof. (1) For any $c > 0$ and $u \in S(c)$, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality in Lemma 2.1, it is obtained that

$$I(u) \geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{c^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $0 < \frac{3(q-p)}{p} < 2p$, I is bounded from below on $S(c)$. Set $u_t(x) := t^{\frac{3}{p}} u(tx)$, $t > 0$, then $u_t \in S(c)$ and

$$i(c) \leq I(u_t) = t^p \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + t^{2p} \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, $i(c) \leq 0$ for all $c > 0$.

(2) If $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, then $0 < \frac{3(q-p)}{p} < p$, so (3.2) implies that $i(c) < 0$ for all $c > 0$.

(3) If $q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, similar to (3.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{p |Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}} |\nabla u|_p^p. \\ &= \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} + \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p \left(1 - \frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{a |Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $0 < c \leq a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, we have $i(c) \geq 0$. By $i(c) \leq 0$, it is obtained that $i(c) = 0$ for all $0 < c \leq a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$.

By (2.2) and corresponding Pohozaev identity, we have

$$|\nabla Q|_p^p = |Q|_p^p = \frac{p}{q} |Q|_q^q. \quad (3.3)$$

When $c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, set

$$Q_t(x) := \frac{c}{|Q|_p} t^{\frac{3}{p}} Q(tx), \quad t > 0, \quad (3.4)$$

hence, $Q_t(x) \in S(c)$. By (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(Q_t) &= \frac{a}{p} (ct)^p + \frac{b}{2p} (ct)^{2p} - \frac{c^q t^p}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} \\ &= \frac{b}{2p} (ct)^{2p} + \frac{a(ct)^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{a |Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, $I(Q_t) < 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Since $i(c) \leq I(Q_t)$, we conclude that $i(c) < 0$ when $c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$.

(4) If $p + \frac{p^2}{3} < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, similar to (3.5), we have

$$I(Q_t) = \frac{a}{p} (ct)^p + \frac{b}{2p} (ct)^{2p} - \frac{c^q}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}. \quad (3.6)$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\frac{a}{p} (ct)^p + \frac{b}{2p} (ct)^{2p} \geq \left(\frac{a}{pp_1} c^p t^p \right)^{p_1} \left(\frac{b}{2pp_2} c^{2p} t^{2p} \right)^{p_2} = t^{p+pp_2} c^{p+pp_2} \left(\frac{a}{pp_1} \right)^{p_1} \left(\frac{b}{2pp_2} \right)^{p_2}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $0 < p_1, p_2 < 1$, $p_1 + p_2 = 1$ and the equality holds if and only if $\frac{a}{pp_1} c^p t^p = \frac{b}{2pp_2} c^{2p} t^{2p}$. Choosing $p_1 = 2 - \frac{3(q-p)}{p^2}$ and $p_2 = \frac{3(q-p)}{p^2} - 1$. Since $p + \frac{p^2}{3} < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, we have $0 < p_1, p_2 < 1$ and

$p_1 + p_2 = 1$. Then, by (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(Q_t) &\geq c^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \left(\frac{ap}{2p^2 - 3q + 3p} \right)^{\frac{2p^2 - 3q + 3p}{p^2}} \left(\frac{bp}{6pq - 8p^2} \right)^{\frac{3q - 3p - p^2}{p^2}} - \frac{c^q}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \\ &= \frac{c^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} \left[(c^*)^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}} - c^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $c > c^*$, choosing $t_0^p = \frac{2p_2 a}{c^p p_1 b}$ such that $\frac{a}{pp_1} c^p t^p = \frac{b}{2pp_2} c^{2p} t^{2p}$. Then,

$$i(c) \leq I(Q_t) = \frac{c^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} \left[(c^*)^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}} - c^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \right] < 0.$$

If $0 < c \leq c^*$, then for any $u \in S(c)$, by Lemma 2.1 and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{c^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \left[(c^*)^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}} - c^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $i(c) \geq 0$, combining with $i(c) \leq 0$, we conclude that $i(c) = 0$. □

Lemma 3.2. *If $q = p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, then $i(c) = -\infty$ when $c > \left(\frac{b |Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p^2 - 3p}}$ and $i(c) = 0$ when $c \leq \left(\frac{b |Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p^2 - 3p}}$*

Proof. When $c > \left(\frac{b |Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p^2 - 3p}}$, similar to (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(Q_t) &= \frac{a}{p} (ct)^p + \frac{b}{2p} (ct)^{2p} - \frac{c^q t^p}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} \\ &= \frac{a}{p} (ct)^p + \frac{b}{2p} (ct)^{2p} \left(1 - \frac{2c^{\frac{2p^2 - 3p}{3}}}{b |Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I(Q_t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which implies $i(c) = -\infty$.

When $c \leq \left(\frac{b |Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p^2 - 3p}}$, for any $u \in S(c)$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{c^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \\ &= \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} \left(1 - \frac{2c^{\frac{2p^2 - 3p}{3}}}{b |Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}} \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $i(c) \geq 0$. Since (3.2) still holds when $q = p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, we have $i(c) \leq 0$ for any $c > 0$. So we conclude that $i(c) = 0$. □

Lemma 3.3. For each $p < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, the function $c \mapsto i(c)$ is continuous on $(0, +\infty)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 3.1 (1) and is similar to the Lemma 3.3 of [12], so we omit it. □

Lemma 3.4. For each $p < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, if c satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{cases} c > 0, & \text{when } p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}, \\ c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p, & \text{when } q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}, \\ c > c^*, & \text{when } p + \frac{p^2}{3} < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where c^* is defined in Lemma 3.1, then

$$i(c) < i(\alpha) + i(\sqrt[p]{c^p - \alpha^p}), \text{ for any } 0 < \alpha < c.$$

Proof. We first claim that

$$i(\theta c) < \theta^p i(c), \text{ for any } \theta > 1. \quad (3.9)$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have $i(c) < 0$ when c satisfies (3.8). Let $\{u_n\} \subset S(c)$ be a minimizing sequence for $i(c)$. For any $\theta > 1$, set $u_n^\theta = u(\theta^{-\frac{p}{3}}x)$, then $u_n^\theta \in S(\theta c)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_n^\theta) &= \theta^p I(u_n) + \left(\theta^{p-\frac{p^2}{3}} - \theta^p \right) \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u_n|_p^p + \left(\theta^{2p-\frac{2p^2}{3}} - \theta^p \right) \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u_n|_{2p}^p \\ &\leq \theta^p I(u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $i(\theta c) \leq \theta^p i(c)$, with equality if and only if $|\nabla u_n|_p^p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.1), we have $|u_n|_q^q \rightarrow 0$ when $|\nabla u_n|_p^p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we obtain $I(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which contradicts $i(c) < 0$. Thus, we have $i(\theta c) < \theta^p i(c)$. For any $0 < \alpha < c$, apply (3.9) with $\theta = \frac{c}{\alpha} > 1$ and $\theta = \frac{c}{\sqrt[p]{c^p - \alpha^p}} > 1$ respectively, we get

$$i(c) = \frac{\alpha^p}{c^p} i\left(\frac{c}{\alpha}\alpha\right) + \frac{c^p - \alpha^p}{c^p} i\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt[p]{c^p - \alpha^p}} \sqrt[p]{c^p - \alpha^p}\right) < i(\alpha) + i(\sqrt[p]{c^p - \alpha^p}).$$

□

Lemma 3.5. For each $p < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$ and any $c > 0$, let $\{w_n\} \subset S(c)$ be a minimizing sequence for $i(c)$, then $i(c)$ possesses another minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subset S(c)$ such that

$$\|v_n - w_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow 0, (I|_{S(c)})'(v_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. When $p < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$, by Lemma 3.1 (1), we know that I is bounded from below on $S(c)$. By Ekeland's variational principle([14], Theorem 2.4), we can get a new minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subset S(c)$ for $i(c)$ such that $\|v_n - w_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow 0$, which is also a Palais-Smale sequence for $I|_{S(c)}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We complete the proof in four parts.

At first, we study the case when $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$:

(1) For $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, by Lemma 3.1, we have $i(c) < 0$ for any $c > 0$. Let $\{w_n\} \subset S(c)$ be a minimizing sequence for $i(c)$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subset S(c)$ for $i(c)$ such that

$$(I|_{S(c)})'(v_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $i(c) < 0$, it can be obtained that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In fact, for n sufficiently large, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq I(v_n) &\geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla v_n|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla v_n|_p^{2p} - \frac{c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p|Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla v_n|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \\ &\geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla v_n|_p^p - \frac{c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p|Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla v_n|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates $|\nabla v_n|_p$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ since there is $\frac{3(q-p)}{p} < p$ when $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$.

Set

$$\sigma := \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |v_n|^p dx.$$

If $\sigma = 0$, then by vanishing lemma (see[14], Lemma 1.21), $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $p < s < p^*$. Hence, $0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{a}{p} |\nabla v_n|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla v_n|_p^{2p} \right) = i(c) < 0$, which is impossible. Therefore, we must have $\sigma > 0$, and then, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(y_n)} |v_n|^p dx > 0.$$

Set $u_n(\cdot) := v_n(\cdot + y_n)$, and then, $\{u_n\}$ is still a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for $I|_{S(c)}$ on the level $i(c)$. We may assume that for some $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u \neq 0, & \text{in } W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_n \rightarrow u, & \text{in } L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3), s \in [p, p^*), \\ u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x), & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, $\alpha := |u|_p \in (0, c]$. Next, we try to prove $u \in S(c)$ and suppose to the contrary that $\alpha \in (0, c)$.

At first, we verify that, up to subsequence, $\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

We follow some ideas of [15] and [16]. By (3.10) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$I'(u_n) - \lambda_n |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } (W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))' \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

where $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{c^p} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we suppose that, up to subsequence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\nabla u_n|_p^p = A \geq 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, by (3.12), we have

$$J(u_n) := -(a + bA)\Delta_p u_n - |u_n|^{q-2}u_n - \lambda |u_n|^{p-2}u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.14)$$

in $(W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Meanwhile, it is easy to see that

$$J(u) = -(a + bA)\Delta_p u - |u|^{q-2}u - \lambda |u|^{p-2}u \in (W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'. \quad (3.15)$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, [0, 1])$ satisfy $\eta|_{B_R} = 1$ and $\text{supp } \eta \subset B_{2R}$, where $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq R\}$. From (3.14), (3.15) and $(u_n - u)\eta \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we obtain

$$(J(u_n) - J(u))[(u_n - u)\eta] \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.16)$$

By Hölder inequality and (3.11), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n|^{s-2}u_n - |u|^{s-2}u)(u_n - u)\eta dx = o_n(1), \quad \forall p \leq s < p^*, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)\nabla \eta(u_n - u) dx = o_n(1). \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.16)-(3.18), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)\nabla(u_n - u)\eta dx = o_n(1).$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6), we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^p dx = 0.$$

Taking into account of the arbitrariness of B_{2R} , we conclude that

$$\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then, by the Brezis-Lieb Lemma (see[14], Lemma 1.32), we have

$$|\nabla u_n|_p^p = |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|_p^p + |\nabla u|_p^p + o_n(1), \quad |u_n|_p^p = |u_n - u|_p^p + |u|_p^p + o_n(1). \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.19), it can be obtained that

$$i(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(u_n) \geq I(u) + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(u_n - u) \geq i(\alpha) + i(\sqrt[p]{c^p - \alpha^p}),$$

which contradicts to Lemma 3.4. So, $|u|_p = c$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We conclude from Lemma 2.1 that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, we have

$$i(c) \leq I(u) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(u_n) = i(c).$$

Thus, $u \in S(c)$ is a minimizer of $i(c)$, and then, u is a critical point of $I|_{S(c)}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, there exists $\lambda < 0$ such that (u, λ) is a couple of solution to (1.1) and (1.2).

Secondly, we study the case when $q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}$:

(2) For $q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, when $c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, we have $i(c) < 0$. Similar to the case when $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, we can get a minimizer for $i(c)$ and thus get a couple of solution (u, λ) to (1.1) and (1.2).

When $0 < c \leq a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, suppose that there exists a minimizer $u \in S(c)$ such that $I(u) = i(c) = 0$, then

$$\frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} = \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q \leq \frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{p |Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}} |\nabla u|_p^p \leq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p,$$

which implies that $|\nabla u|_p^{2p} = 0$. Hence $u = 0$, which is impossible.

Next, we study the case when $p + \frac{p^2}{3} < q < p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$:

(3) When $c > c^*$, we have $i(c) < 0$. Similar to the case when $p < q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, we can get a minimizer for $i(c)$ and thus get a couple of solution (u, λ) to (1.1) and (1.2).

When $c < c^*$, suppose that there exists $u \in S(c)$ such that $I(u) = i(c) = 0$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4, choose $\theta = \frac{c^*}{c} > 1$ and set $u^\theta = u(\theta^{-\frac{p}{3}}x)$. Then $u^\theta \in S(\theta c)$ and

$$i(c^*) \leq I(u^\theta) < \theta^p I(u) = 0,$$

which contradicts that $i(c^*) = 0$.

When $c = c^*$, set $c_n = c^* + \frac{1}{n}$. For each n , there exists $\{v_n\} \subset S(c)$ such that

$$I(v_n) = i(c_n) < 0. \tag{3.20}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can deduce that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3, we see that

$$I(v_n) \rightarrow i(c^*) = 0.$$

If $|v_n|_q^q \rightarrow 0$, then $\frac{a}{p} |\nabla v_n|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla v_n|_p^{2p} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $|\nabla v_n|_p^p \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 2.1 we see that $I(v_n) \geq 0$ for n large enough which contradicts to (3.20). Therefore, similar to part (1), there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u \neq 0, & \text{in } W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_n \rightarrow u, & \text{in } L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3), s \in [p, p^*), \\ u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x), & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

where $u_n(\cdot) := v_n(\cdot + y_n)$.

We claim that $u \in S(c^*)$ and suppose to the contrary that $|u|_p = \alpha \in (0, c^*)$. For each n , note that $I(u_n) = I(v_n) = i(c_n) < 0$, hence u_n is also a minimizer for $i(c_n)$ and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

By the Lagrange multipliers rule([17], Corollary 4.1.2), there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$I'(u_n) - \lambda_n |u_n|^{p-2} u_n = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'.$$

Obviously, $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Similar to (3.13), suppose that, up to subsequence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\nabla u_n|_p^p = A \geq 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda.$$

By a similar arugment in part (1), we obtain that $\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ a.e. on $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus by the Brezis-Lieb Lemma, we have

$$|\nabla u_n|_p^p = |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|_p^p + |\nabla u|_p^p + o_n(1), \quad |u_n|_p^p = |u_n - u|_p^p + |u|_p^p + o_n(1),$$

moreover,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(u_n) \geq I(u) + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(u_n - u).$$

By Lemma 3.1, $i(\alpha) = i(\sqrt[p]{(c^*)^p - \alpha^p}) = 0$. Because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n - u|_p^p = (c^*)^p - \alpha^p$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n - u) \geq i(\sqrt[p]{(c^*)^p - \alpha^p}) = 0,$$

which implies $0 = I(u_n) \geq I(u) + I(u_n - u) \geq I(u) \geq i(\alpha) = 0$. Hence u is a minimizer of $i(\alpha)$, but, by Lemma 3.1, there is no minimizer for $\alpha \in (0, c^*)$. So we have $u \in S(c^*)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Meanwhile, by Lemma 2.1, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$. So we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) \geq I(u) \geq i(c^*) = 0.$$

We conclude that u is a minimizer for $i(c^*)$. Similar to part (1), there exists a $\lambda < 0$ such that (u, λ) is a couple of solution to (1.1)-(1.2).

Finally, we study the case when $q = p + \frac{2p^2}{3}$:

(4) When $c > \left(\frac{b|Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p^2-3p}}$, by Lemma 3.2, we have $i(c) = -\infty$ so that $i(c)$ has no minimizer.

When $c \leq \left(\frac{b|Q|_p^{\frac{2p^2}{3}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2p^2-3p}}$, suppose that there exists a $u \in S(c)$ such that $I(u) = i(c) = 0$. Then

$$\frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} = \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q \leq \frac{c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p|Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \leq \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p}.$$

So $|\nabla u|_p^p = 0$, which is impossible. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we consider the minimization problem (1.7) in the case $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$, then I is not bounded from below on $S_r(c)$ for any $c > 0$.*

Proof. For any $c > 0$ and any $u \in S_r(c)$, set $u_t(x) := t^{\frac{3}{p}}u(tx)$, $t > 0$, then $u_t \in S(c)$ and

$$I(u_t) = t^p \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + t^{2p} \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q.$$

Because $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$, $\frac{3(q-p)}{p} > 2p$. Hence, $I(u_t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$, then I is bounded from below and coercive on $M(c)$ for any $c > 0$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq C_0$ for all $u \in M(c)$.*

Proof. Since $P(u) = 0$ for all $u \in M(c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= I(u) - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} P(u) \\ &= a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u|_p^p + b \left(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u|_p^{2p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Note that $\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} > 0$ when $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q$, we obtain that I is coercive on $M(c)$.

By Lemma 2.1 and $P(u) = 0$,

$$b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} \leq a |\nabla u|_p^p + b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} = \frac{3(q-p)}{pq} |u|_q^q \leq \frac{3(q-p)}{p} \frac{c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}.$$

Since $2p < \frac{3(q-p)}{p}$, we infer that $|\nabla u|_p$ has a positive lower bound. Hence, by (4.1), there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq C_0$ for all $u \in M(c)$. \square

By Lemma 4.2, we know that $m(c) \geq C_0 > 0$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$ and $c > 0$, for any $u \in S_r(c)$ and $u_t(x) := t^{\frac{3}{p}}u(tx)$ ($t > 0$), there exists a unique $t_0 > 0$ such that $I(u_{t_0}) = \max_{t>0} I(u_t)$ and $u_{t_0} \in M(c)$. In particular, we have*

$$t_0 < 1 \Leftrightarrow P(u) < 0, t_0 = 1 \Leftrightarrow P(u) = 0.$$

Proof. For any $u \in S_r(c)$, let

$$h(t) := I(u_t) = t^p \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + t^{2p} \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Differentiate $h(t)$ with respect to t , we obtain

$$h'(t) = \frac{t^p a |\nabla u|_p^p + t^{2p} b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - t^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q}{t} = \frac{P(u_t)}{t}.$$

Since $\frac{3(q-p)}{p} > 2p > p$, $h'(t) > 0$ when $t > 0$ sufficiently small and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h'(t) = -\infty$. Similar to [18], we infer that $h(t)$ has a unique maximum at some point $t_0 > 0$. Therefore, $h'(t_0) = \frac{P(u_{t_0})}{t_0} = 0$, which implies that $u_{t_0} \in M(c)$.

Next, we prove the last two statements. We first claim that $P(u) < 0 \Rightarrow t_0 < 1$. Just suppose that $t_0 \geq 1$, by using $h'(t_0) = 0$ and $P(u) < 0$, we obtain the following contradiction

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{t_0^p a |\nabla u|_p^p + t_0^{2p} b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - t_0^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q}{t_0^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}} \\ &\leq a |\nabla u|_p^p + b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q < 0, \end{aligned}$$

So $t_0 < 1$. If $P(u) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{t_0^p a |\nabla u|_p^p + t_0^{2p} b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - t_0^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q}{t_0^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}} \\ &= 0 \\ &= a |\nabla u|_p^p + b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that neither $t_0 > 1$ nor $t_0 < 1$ could occur since $|\nabla u|_p \neq 0$. Thus $P(u) = 0 \Rightarrow t_0 = 1$.

Next, we show that $t_0 < 1 \Rightarrow P(u) < 0$ and $t_0 = 1 \Rightarrow P(u) = 0$. If $t_0 < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{t_0^p a |\nabla u|_p^p + t_0^{2p} b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - t_0^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q}{t_0^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}} \\ &> a |\nabla u|_p^p + b |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q = P(u). \end{aligned}$$

Also, $t_0 = 1$ implies $P(u) = P(u_{t_0}) = 0$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $c > 0$ and $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$. Then $M(c)$ is a natural C^1 -manifold and each minimizer of $I|_{M(c)}$ is a critical point of $I|_{S_r(c)}$.*

Proof. For any $u \in M(c)$, we have $P(u) = 0$. Set $Q(u) := |u|_p^p - c^p = 0$. Obviously, P, Q are both of C^1 class. In order to prove that $M(c)$ is a manifold, we only need to verify that, for any $u \in M(c)$,

$$(P'(u), Q'(u)) : W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is surjection.}$$

Assume by contradiction that $P'(u)$ and $Q'(u)$ are linearly dependent for some $u \in M(c)$, i.e., there exists a constant $l \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for any $\psi \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have $P'(u)\psi = lQ'(u)\psi$ that is

$$-(a + 2b|\nabla u|_p^p)\Delta_p u - \frac{3(q-p)}{p^2} |u|^{q-2} u = l|u|^{p-2} u. \quad (4.2)$$

By the Nehari identity and Pohozaev identity of (4.2), we obtain

$$p^2 (a|\nabla u|_p^p + 2b|\nabla u|_p^{2p}) = \frac{9(q-p)^2}{pq} |u|_q^q,$$

combining with $P(u) = 0$, we have

$$\left(\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2} - 1 \right) a|\nabla u|_p^p + \left(\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2} - 2 \right) b|\nabla u|_p^{2p} = 0.$$

Since $\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2} - 2 > 0$, we conclude that $|\nabla u|_p^p = 0$ which is impossible.

Next, we prove the last statement. Suppose that u is a minimizer of $I|_{M(c)}$, then $P(u) = 0$. By the Lagrange multiplier rule, there exist two Lagrange multipliers λ and μ such that

$$I'(u) - \lambda|u|^{p-2}u - \mu P'(u) = 0 \text{ in } (W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'. \quad (4.3)$$

By the Nehari identity and Pohozaev identity of (4.3), we obtain

$$a|\nabla u|_p^p + b|\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u|_q^q - \mu \left[ap|\nabla u|_p^p + 2bp|\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)^2}{qp^2} |u|_q^q \right] = 0.$$

Recalling that $P(u) = a|\nabla u|_p^p + b|\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{pq} |u|_q^q = 0$, we have

$$\mu \left[ap|\nabla u|_p^p + 2bp|\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)^2}{qp^2} |u|_q^q \right] = 0.$$

Using $P(u) = 0$ again, we obtain

$$\mu \left[\left(p - \frac{3(q-p)}{p} \right) a|\nabla u|_p^p + \left(2p - \frac{3(q-p)}{p} \right) b|\nabla u|_p^{2p} \right] = 0.$$

Since $p - \frac{3(q-p)}{p} < 2p - \frac{3(q-p)}{p} < 0$, we conclude that $\mu = 0$. □

Lemma 4.5. For each $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$, the function $c \mapsto m(c)$ is strictly decreasing for $c > 0$.

Proof. For any $0 < c_1 < c_2$, by $\gamma(c_1) > 0$ and Lemma 4.3, there exists $\{u_n\} \subset M(c_1)$ such that

$$I(u_n) = \max_{t>0} I((u_n)_t) \leq m(c_1) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

By (4.1) and Lemma 4.2, there exists $k_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ independent of n such that

$$k_1 \leq |u_n|_q^q \leq k_2, k_3 \leq |\nabla u_n|_p^p \leq k_4. \quad (4.4)$$

Let $v_n := \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} \right)^{1-\frac{3}{p}} u_n \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2} x \right)$, then $v_n \in S_r(c_2)$ and

$$|\nabla v_n|_p^p = |\nabla u_n|_p^p, |v_n|_q^q = \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} \right)^{\frac{q(p-3)}{p}+3} |u_n|_q^q. \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.3, there exists $t_n > 0$ such that $(v_n)_{t_n} \in M(c_2)$ and $I((v_n)_{t_n}) = \max_{t>0} I((v_n)_t)$. Since $\{(v_n)_{t_n}\} \subset M(c_2)$, by Lemma 4.2, $\{|\nabla(v_n)_{t_n}|_p^p\}$ has a positive lower bound which independent of n . Combining with $|\nabla v_n|_p^p = |\nabla u_n|_p^p$, (4.4) and $t_n^p = \frac{|\nabla(v_n)_{t_n}|_p^p}{|\nabla v_n|_p^p}$, we conclude that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$t_n \geq C_1, \quad (4.6)$$

for any n . Hence, by $q < p^* = \frac{3p}{3-p}$ and (4.4)-(4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(c_2) &\leq I((v_n)_{t_n}) = I((u_n)_{t_n}) - \left(\left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} \right)^{\frac{q(p-3)}{p}+3} - 1 \right) \frac{t_n^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{q} |u_n|_q^q \\ &\leq m(c_1) + \frac{1}{n} - \left(\left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} \right)^{\frac{q(p-3)}{p}+3} - 1 \right) \frac{C_1^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{q} k_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

which implies that $m(c_2) < m(c_1)$, by letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $c > 0$, let $\{v_n\} \subset M(c)$ be a minimizing sequence for $m(c)$. If $|v_n|_q^q \rightarrow 0$, then by $P(v_n) = 0$, we deduce that $|\nabla v_n|_p^p \rightarrow 0$ which contradicts with Lemma 4.2. Thus, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(y_n)} |v_n|^p dx > 0.$$

Denote $u_n(\cdot) := v_n(\cdot + y_n)$, then $\{u_n\} \subset M(c)$ is still a minimizing sequence for $m(c)$. By (4.1), $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, up to subsequence, we may assume that there exists $u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u \neq 0, & \text{in } W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_n \rightarrow u, & \text{in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^3), s \in (p, p^*), \\ u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x), & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Then, $\alpha := |u|_p \in (0, c]$. Next, we show that u is a minimizer of $I|_{M(c)}$ for $m(c)$. By (4.8) and $u_n \in M(c)$, we obtain that $P(u) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(u_n) = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 4.3, there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $u_{t_0} \in M(c)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\alpha) &\leq I(u_{t_0}) = I(u_{t_0}) - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} P(u_{t_0}) \\ &= t_0^p a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u|_p^p + t_0^{2p} b \left(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u|_p^{2p} \\ &\leq a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u|_p^p + b \left(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u|_p^{2p} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_n|_p^p + b \left(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_n|_p^{2p} \right] \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(I(u_n) - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} P(u_n) \right) = m(c) \leq m(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which means $m(\alpha) = m(c)$ and $t_0 = 1$. By Lemma 4.5 and $\alpha \in (0, c]$, it must have $\alpha = c$. Thus, $u \in M(c)$ and $I(u) = m(c)$, i.e. $I|_{M(c)}$ attains its minimum at u . By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 2.2, we conclude that there exists $\lambda < 0$ such that $(u, \lambda) \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a couple of solution to (1.1)-(1.2). Moreover, if $(v, \mu) \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathbb{R}$ is also a couple of solution for c . Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have $P(v) = 0$ which implies that $v \in M(c)$. Since $I(u) = m(c) = \inf_{w \in M(c)} I(w) \leq I(v)$, we see that u is a radial ground state of (1.1)-(1.2). \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5

In this section, we study the multiplicity and asymptotic behavior of normalized solutions to (1.1)-(1.2) in the L^p supercritical case. Firstly, we give some lemmas which are important for our proof of Theorem 1.4. We follow some ideas of [19].

Let $\{e'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Schauder basis of $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ([20]). Set

$$e_n = \int_{O(N)} e'_n(g(x)) d\mu_g,$$

where $O(N)$ denotes the orthogonal group on \mathbb{R}^3 and $d\mu_g$ is the Haar measure on $O(N)$. Then going if necessary to select one in identical elements, we see that $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Schauder basis of $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $|e_n| = 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, denote

$$V_n := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}, V_n^\perp := \overline{\text{span}\{e_i : i \geq n+1\}}.$$

Clearly, $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) = V_n \oplus V_n^\perp$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Lemma 5.1. ([19], Lemma 6.1) *Let $p + \frac{2p^2}{3} < q < p^*$ and $c > 0$, then there holds*

$$\mu_n := \inf_{u \in V_n^\perp \cap S_r(c)} \frac{|\nabla u|_p^p}{|u|_q^p} \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we define

$$\rho_n := \left(\frac{a(\mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{L} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-p}},$$

where

$$L := \max_{x>0} \frac{(x^p + 1)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{x^q + 1}.$$

Let $B_n := \{u \in V_n^\perp \cap S_r(c) : |\nabla u|_p = \rho_n\}$. We have

Lemma 5.2.

$$\beta_n := \inf_{u \in B_n} I(u) \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. $\forall u \in B_n$, by Lemma 5.1,

$$|u|_q^p \leq \mu_n^{-1} |\nabla u|_p^p \leq \mu_n^{-1} (|\nabla u|_p^p + 1).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q \\ &\geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{1}{q(\mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}} (|\nabla u|_p^p + 1)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\geq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p - \frac{L}{q(\mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}} (|\nabla u|_p^q + 1) \\ &= \frac{a}{p} (\rho_n)^p - \frac{L}{q(\mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}} (\rho_n)^q - \frac{L}{q(\mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) a (\rho_n)^p - \frac{L}{q(\mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\beta_n \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) a (\rho_n)^p - \frac{L}{q(\mu_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}}$. By Lemma 5.1 and definition of ρ_n , we obtain that $\beta_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *There exists $0 < \rho'_0 < \rho_0$ such that*

$$\sup_{u \in S_r(c), |\nabla u|_p \leq \rho'_0} I(u) < \beta_0 := \inf_{u \in S_r(c), |\nabla u|_p = \rho_0} I(u).$$

Moreover, $\beta_0 > 0$.

Proof. Since $u \in S_r(c)$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - \frac{c^{q - \frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p |Q|_p^{q-p}} |\nabla u|_p^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} \\ &\leq I(u) \leq \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{3(q-p)}{p} > 2p$, we conclude that there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ small enough such that $\beta_0 > 0$. Meanwhile, we can choose $0 < \rho'_0 < \rho_0$ small enough such that

$$\sup_{u \in S_r(c), |\nabla u|_p \leq \rho'_0} I(u) < \frac{\beta_0}{2} < \beta_0.$$

\square

By Lemma 5.2, without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta_n > \beta_0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Next we begin to set up our min-max procedure. First we introduce the map

$$\begin{aligned} k : W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ (u, \theta) &\rightarrow k(u, \theta) := e^{\frac{3}{p}\theta} u(e^\theta x). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Then for any given $u \in S_r(c)$, we have $k(u, \theta) \in S_r(c)$ and

$$|\nabla k(u, \theta)|_p^p = e^{p\theta} |\nabla u|_p^p, |k(u, \theta)|_q^q = e^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}\theta} |u|_q^q, \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$I(k(u, \theta)) = e^{p\theta} \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + e^{2p\theta} \frac{b}{2p} |\nabla u|_p^{2p} - e^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}\theta} \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q. \quad (5.3)$$

Note that $\frac{3(q-p)}{p} > 2p$, we conclude from (5.1)-(5.3) that

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla k(u, \theta)|_p^p \rightarrow 0, I(k(u, \theta)) \rightarrow 0, & \theta \rightarrow -\infty, \\ |\nabla k(u, \theta)|_p^p \rightarrow +\infty, I(k(u, \theta)) \rightarrow -\infty, & \theta \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Since V_n is finite dimensional, it follows that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exists $\theta_n > 0$ such that

$$\bar{g}_n \in C([0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n), S_r(c)) : \bar{g}_n(t, u) = k(u, (2t - 1)\theta_n) \quad (5.4)$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla \bar{g}_n(0, u)|_p^p < (\rho'_0)^p < (\rho_n)^p, & |\nabla \bar{g}_n(1, u)|_p^p > (\rho_n)^p, \\ I(\bar{g}_n(0, u)) < \max\{\beta_0, \beta_n\}, & I(\bar{g}_n(1, u)) < \beta_n, \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

for all $u \in S_r(c) \cap V_n$. Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n := \{g \in C([0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n), S_r(c)) : g(t, -u) = -g(t, u), \\ g(0, u) = \bar{g}_n(0, u), g(1, u) = \bar{g}_n(1, u), \forall u \in (S_r(c) \cap V_n)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Clearly $\bar{g}_n \in \Gamma_n$. We introduce the following linking property:

Lemma 5.4. ([21], Lemma 2.3) *For each $g \in \Gamma_n$, there exists $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)$ such that $g(t, u) \in B_n$.*

Following Lemma 5.4, we have

Lemma 5.5. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,*

$$\gamma_n(c) := \inf_{g \in \Gamma_n} \max_{(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)} I(g(t, u)) \geq \beta_n.$$

On the other hand, $\forall g \in \Gamma_n, \forall u \in S_r(c) \cap V_n$, by (5.5), we have

$$|\nabla g(0, u)|_p = |\nabla \bar{g}_n(0, u)|_p < \rho'_0 < \rho_n,$$

$$|\nabla g(1, u)|_p = |\nabla \bar{g}_n(1, u)|_p > \rho_n > \rho_0.$$

By the continuity of $|\nabla g(t, u)|_p$ with respect to t , there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $|\nabla g(t, u)|_p = \rho_0$. Then

$\max_{(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)} I(g(t, u)) \geq \beta_0$. Since $g \in \Gamma_n$ is arbitrary, we deduce that

$$\gamma_n(c) \geq \beta_0 > 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Fix an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ from now on. We adopt the approach developed by Jeanjean[22]. First, we introduce the auxiliary functional

$$\tilde{I} : S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (u, \theta) \rightarrow I(k(u, \theta)),$$

where $k(u, \theta)$ is given in (5.1). Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_n &:= \{\tilde{g} \in C([0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n), S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}) : \tilde{g}(t, -u) = -\tilde{g}(t, u), \\ &k(\tilde{g}(0, u)) = \bar{g}_n(0, u), k(\tilde{g}(1, u)) = \bar{g}_n(1, u), \forall u \in (S_r(c) \cap V_n)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n(c) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n} \max_{(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)} \tilde{I}(\tilde{g}(t, u)),$$

we have

Lemma 5.6.

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n(c) = \gamma_n(c).$$

Proof. It follows immediately from the definition of $\tilde{\gamma}_n(c)$ and $\gamma_n(c)$ along with the fact that the maps

$$\varphi : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_n, g \rightarrow \varphi(g) := (g, 0)$$

and

$$\psi : \tilde{\Gamma}_n \rightarrow \Gamma_n, \tilde{g} \rightarrow \psi(\tilde{g}) := k \circ \tilde{g}$$

satisfy

$$\tilde{I}(\varphi(g)) = I(g) \text{ and } I(\psi(\tilde{g})) = \tilde{I}(\tilde{g}).$$

□

Therefore, it follows from (5.7), Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_n(c) &= \gamma_n(c) \\ &\geq \max\{\beta_n, \beta_0\} \\ &> \max \left\{ \max_{(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)} I(\bar{g}_n(0, u)), \max_{(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)} I(\bar{g}_n(1, u)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7. *There exists a Palais-Smale sequence $\{u_k\} \subset S_r(c)$ for $I|_{S_r(c)}$ at level $\gamma_n(c)$ such that*

$$P(u_k) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. Our proof is similar to the Lemma 6.8 of [19], so we omit it. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\{u_k\} \subset S_r(c)$ be the Palais-Smale sequence obtained in Lemma 5.7. Then there exist $u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\{\lambda_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that up to a subsequence, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$*

- (i) $u_k \rightharpoonup u \neq 0$ in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
- (ii) $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda < 0$ in \mathbb{R} ;
- (iii) $|\nabla u_k|_p \rightarrow |\nabla u|_p$;
- (iv) $-(a + b|\nabla u_k|_p^p)\Delta_p u_k - |u_k|^{q-2}u_k - \lambda_k |u_k|^{p-2}u_k \rightarrow 0$ in $(W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'$;
- (v) $-(a + b|\nabla u|_p^p)\Delta_p u - |u|^{q-2}u - \lambda |u|^{p-2}u = 0$ in $(W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'$;
- (vi) $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Since $I(u_k) \rightarrow \gamma_n(c)$ and $P(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n(c) &= I(u_k) + o(1) \\ &= I(u_k) - \frac{p}{3(q-p)}P(u_k) + o(1) \\ &= a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_k|_p^p + b \left(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_k|_p^{2p} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. So, up to a subsequence, there exist $u \in W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_k \rightharpoonup u, & \text{in } W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_k \rightarrow u, & \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_k(x) \rightarrow u(x), & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

If $u = 0$, we have $|u_k|_q^q = o(1)$. Thus we obtain $|\nabla u_k|_p^p = o(1)$ because $P(u_k) = o(1)$. As a consequence, $I(u_k) = o(1)$, which contradicts with (5.7). Thus (i) is obtained. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$-(a + b|\nabla u_k|_p^p)\Delta_p u_k - |u_k|^{q-2}u_k - \lambda_k |u_k|^{p-2}u_k \rightarrow 0$$

in $(W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{c^p} (a|\nabla u_k|_p^p + b|\nabla u_k|_p^{2p} - |u_k|_q^q), \quad (5.10)$$

then (iv) is proved. Since $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ up to a subsequence. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_k \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{c^p} (a|\nabla u_k|_p^p + b|\nabla u_k|_p^{2p} - |u_k|_q^q) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{c^p} \left(a|\nabla u_k|_p^p + b|\nabla u_k|_p^{2p} - \frac{3(q-p)}{qp} |u_k|_q^q \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{c^p} P(u_k) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Since $|u_k|_q^q \rightarrow |u|_q^q \neq 0$, we obtain $\lambda < 0$. Thus (ii) is proved. Next we prove that (iii) holds. Suppose that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |\nabla u_k|_p^p = A \geq 0$. Then, from (ii) and (iv), we have

$$-(a + bA)\Delta_p u_k - |u_k|^{q-2}u_k - \lambda |u_k|^{p-2}u_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } (W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))', \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (5.12)$$

Similar to the Proof of Theorem 1.1, we can obtain that

$$\nabla u_k \rightarrow \nabla u \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (5.13)$$

In view of the uniqueness of weak limit, using Proposition 5.4.7 of [23], by (5.9), (5.12) and (5.13), we have

$$-(a + bA)\Delta_p u - |u|^{q-2}u - \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0 \text{ in } (W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))'. \quad (5.14)$$

From (5.12) and (5.14), we have

$$(a + bA)|\nabla u_k|_p^p - |u_k|_q^q - \lambda|u_k|_p^p = o(1), \quad (5.15)$$

and

$$(a + bA)|\nabla u|_p^p - |u|_q^q - \lambda|u|_p^p = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

Combining (5.15) with (5.16), we obtain

$$(a + bA)(|\nabla u_k|_p^p - |\nabla u|_p^p) - \lambda(|u_k|_p^p - |u|_p^p) = (|u_k|_q^q - |u|_q^q) + o(1).$$

Since $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$(a + bA)(|\nabla u_k|_p^p - |\nabla u|_p^p) - \lambda(|u_k|_p^p - |u|_p^p) = o(1).$$

Since $\lambda < 0$, by the weakly lower semicontinuity of norm, we obtain

$$|\nabla u_k|_p^p - |\nabla u|_p^p = o(1), |u_k|_p^p - |u|_p^p = o(1). \quad (5.17)$$

Thus (iii) is proved and (v) is easily deduced by (5.14) and (5.17). Finally, by (5.9) and (5.17), we have $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Lemma 5.8, we get that for any fixed $c > 0$, (1.1)-(1.2) has a sequence of couples of normalized solutions $\{(u_n, \lambda_n)\} \subset S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}^-$ with $I(u_n) = \gamma_n(c)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $P(u_n) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n(c) &= I(u_n) = I(u_n) - \frac{P}{3(q-p)}P(u_n) \\ &= a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_n|_p^p + b \left(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_n|_p^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_n(c) \geq \beta_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude that $\|u_n\|_{W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow +\infty$ and $I(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Next, we study the asymptotic behavior of solutions obtained in Theorem 1.4 when $b \rightarrow 0^+$. Fix a $c > 0$ from now on. Since b is a variable in this case, we replace $I, P, \gamma_n(c)$ by $I_b, P_b, \gamma_n^b(c)$, respectively.

For $b > 0$, we denote $\{(u_n^b, \lambda_n^b)\} \subset S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}^-$ as a sequence of couples of weak solutions which we obtained in Theorem 1.4. Then, it follows that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$I_b(u_n^b) = \gamma_n^b(c). \quad (5.18)$$

Recalling the proof of Lemma 5.2, we see that β_n is independent of b . Thus, we have

$$\gamma_n^b(c) \geq \beta_n > 0, \quad (5.19)$$

for any $b > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Firstly, we claim that for any $\{b_m\} \rightarrow 0^+ (m \rightarrow +\infty)$, $\{(u_n^{b_m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} \subset S_r(c)$ is bounded in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $b_m \leq 1$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Since V_n is finite-dimensional, we obtain that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n^{b_m}(c) &:= \inf_{g \in \Gamma_n(t,u) \in [0,1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)} \max I_{b_m}(g(t,u)) \\ &\leq \inf_{g \in \Gamma_n(t,u) \in [0,1] \times (S_r(c) \cap V_n)} \max I_1(g(t,u)) := \alpha_n < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{(u_n^{b_m}, \lambda_n^{b_m})\} \subset S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}^-$ is a sequence of couples of weak solutions to (1.1)-(1.2) with $b = b_m$ and

$$\lambda_n^{b_m} = \frac{1}{c^p} (a |\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^p + b_m |\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^{2p} - |u_n^{b_m}|_q^q). \quad (5.20)$$

By Lemma 2.3, we deduce that $P_{b_m}(u_n^{b_m}) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n^{b_m}(c) &= I_{b_m}(u_n^{b_m}) = I_{b_m}(u_n^{b_m}) - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} P_{b_m}(u_n^{b_m}) \\ &= a \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^p + b \left(\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{p}{3(q-p)} \right) |\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^{2p}, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude from $\gamma_n^{b_m}(c) < +\infty$ that $\{(u_n^{b_m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ is bounded in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Combining $P_{b_m}(u_n^{b_m}) = 0$ with (5.20), $\{\lambda_n^{b_m}\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists a subsequence of $\{b_m\}$, still denoted by $\{b_m\}$, and $\lambda_n^0 \leq 0$ such that as $m \rightarrow +\infty$, $\lambda_n^{b_m} \rightarrow \lambda_n^0$ and

$$\begin{cases} u_n^{b_m} \rightharpoonup u_n^0, & \text{in } W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_n^{b_m} \rightarrow u_n^0, & \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_n^{b_m}(x) \rightarrow u_n^0(x), & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (5.21)$$

Since $\{(u_n^{b_m}, \lambda_n^{b_m})\}$ is a sequence of couples of weak solutions to (1.1)-(1.2), by $b_m \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_n^{b_m} \rightarrow \lambda_n^0$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$-a \Delta_p u_n^{b_m} - |u_n^{b_m}|^{q-2} u_n^{b_m} - \lambda_n^0 |u_n^{b_m}|^{p-2} u_n^{b_m} = o_m(1). \quad (5.22)$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can get, as $m \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\nabla u_n^{b_m}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u_n^0(x), \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (5.23)$$

In view of the uniqueness of weak limit, using Proposition 5.4.7 of [23], by (5.21), (5.22) and (5.23), we have

$$-a\Delta_p u_n^0 - |u_n^0|^{q-2}u_n^0 - \lambda_n^0 |u_n^0|^{p-2}u_n^0 = 0. \quad (5.24)$$

By (5.22) and (5.24), we have

$$a|\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^p - |u_n^{b_m}|_q^q - \lambda_n^0 |u_n^{b_m}|_p^p = o_m(1),$$

and

$$a|\nabla u_n^0|_p^p - |u_n^0|_q^q - \lambda_n^0 |u_n^0|_p^p = 0.$$

Thus we obtain

$$a(|\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^p - |\nabla u_n^0|_p^p) - \lambda_n^0 (|u_n^{b_m}|_p^p - |u_n^0|_p^p) = |u_n^{b_m}|_q^q - |u_n^0|_q^q + o_m(1),$$

by 5.21, we have

$$a(|\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^p - |\nabla u_n^0|_p^p) - \lambda_n^0 (|u_n^{b_m}|_p^p - |u_n^0|_p^p) = o_m(1). \quad (5.25)$$

Since $\lambda_n^0 \leq 0$, by the weakly lower semicontinuity of norm, we have

$$|\nabla u_n^{b_m}|_p^p - |\nabla u_n^0|_p^p = o_m(1). \quad (5.26)$$

If $\lambda_n^0 = 0$, by (5.24), u_n^0 is a weak solution to $-a\Delta_p u_n^0 = |u_n^0|^{q-2}u_n^0$. By the Nehari and Pohozaev identities, we infer that $u_n^0 = 0$. Then, by (5.21), (5.26), as $m \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$0 < \beta_n \leq \gamma_n^{b_m}(c) = I_{b_m}(u_n^{b_m}) \rightarrow I_0(u_n^0) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\lambda_n^0 < 0$ and according to (5.25), we have $|u_n^{b_m}|_p^p - |u_n^0|_p^p = o_m(1)$ so that $u_n^0 \in S_r(c)$. Together with (5.21) and (5.26), we conclude that $u_n^{b_m} \rightarrow u_n^0$ in $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, by (5.24), $\{u_n^0, \lambda_n^0\} \subset S_r(c) \times \mathbb{R}^-$ is a sequence of couples of weak solutions to the following equation

$$-a\Delta_p u - \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = |u|^{q-2}u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The proof is completed. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8

Proof of Theorem 1.6. (1) Since $q < p + \frac{p^2}{3}$, we can easily check that $f_q(t)$ ($0, +\infty$) attains its minimum at a unique point, denoted by t_q . Therefore, similar to (3.1), by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$i(c) = I(u) \geq \inf_{t>0} f_q(t) = f_q(t_q), \quad (6.1)$$

by setting $t = |\nabla u|_p^p$. On the other hand, set

$$u_\mu(x) = \frac{c\mu^{\frac{3}{p}}}{|Q|_p} Q(\mu x),$$

where $\mu > 0$ will be determined later. Then, $u_\mu \in S(c)$ and

$$I(u_\mu) = \frac{a}{p}(c\mu)^p + \frac{b}{2p}(c\mu)^{2p} - \frac{c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p|Q|_p^{q-p}}(c\mu)^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p}} = f_q((c\mu)^p). \quad (6.2)$$

Choosing $\mu = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}$, i.e., $(c\mu)^p = t_q$, it follows from (6.2) that $i(c) \leq I(u_\mu) = f_q(t_q)$. Together with (6.1), we deduce that

$$i(c) = f_q(t_q) = \inf_{t>0} f_q(t), \quad (6.3)$$

and $u_c = u_\mu$ with $\mu = \frac{t_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}$ is a minimizer of $i(c)$.

Next, we show that, up to translations, u_c is the unique minimizer of $i(c)$. Indeed, if $u_0 \in S(c)$ is a minimizer, it then follows from (3.1) that $I(u_0) \geq f_q(t_0)$, with $t_0 = |\nabla u_0|_p^p$, where the equality holds if and only if u_0 is an optimizer of (2.1). This and (6.3) imply that $t_0 = t_p$ and $f_q(t_0) = I(u_0)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, up to translations, u_0 must be the form of $u_0 = \alpha Q(\beta x)$. Using $|u_0|_p^p = c^p$, $|\nabla u_0|_p^p = t_q$

and (3.3), we obtain that $\alpha = \frac{c}{|Q|_p} \left(\frac{t_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}\right)^{\frac{3}{p}}$ and $\beta = \frac{t_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}$. Hence, $u_0 = u_c$.

(2) When $q = p + \frac{p^2}{3}$,

$$f_q(t) = \frac{1}{p} \left(a - \frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{p|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}} \right) t + \frac{b}{2p} t^2. \quad (6.4)$$

If $c \leq a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, by Theorem 1.1, $i(c)$ has no minimizer. If $c > a^{\frac{3}{p^2}} |Q|_p$, from (6.4), we know that $f_q(t)$ ($t \in (0, +\infty)$) attains its minimum at the unique point $t_q = \frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}} - ap|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{bp|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}$. Similar to the arguments

of parts (1), we can prove that up to translations $u_c = \frac{c\mu^{\frac{3}{p}}}{|Q|_p} Q(\mu x)$ with $\mu = \frac{t_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}$ is the unique minimizer of $i(c)$. Moreover, we have $i(c) = -\frac{b}{2p} \left(\frac{c^{\frac{p^2}{3}} - ap|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}}{bp|Q|_p^{\frac{p^2}{3}}} \right)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Firstly, similar to Lemma 5.3, from Definition 1.7, we can prove that there exists $K(c) > 0$ which can be chosen small enough such that, $I(\cdot)$ admits mountain pass geometry on $S(c)$ if (1.12) holds. We assume that $K(c) < \bar{t}_q$.

For any $h(s) \in \Gamma(c)$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$I(h(s)) \geq f_q(|\nabla h(s)|_p^p), \quad (6.5)$$

where equality holds if and only if $h(s) \in S(c)$ is an optimizer of (2.1), i.e., up to translations,

$$(h(s))(x) = \frac{c\mu^{\frac{3}{p}}}{|Q|_p} Q(\mu x) \text{ for some } \mu > 0. \quad (6.6)$$

Since $h(0) \in A_{K(c)}$ with $K(c) < \bar{t}_q$, and note that $f_q(t) > 0$ for any $t \in (0, \bar{t}_q]$, we have

$$|\nabla h(0)|_p^p < \bar{t}_q < |\nabla h(1)|_p^p. \quad (6.7)$$

By the continuity of $|\nabla h(s)|_p^p$ respect to s , we deduce from (6.5) and (6.7) that

$$\max_{s \in [0,1]} I(h(s)) \geq f_q(\bar{t}_q) = \max_{t > 0} f_q(t). \quad (6.8)$$

Thus,

$$\gamma(c) = \inf_{h \in \Gamma(c)} \max_{s \in [0,1]} I(h(s)) \geq f_q(\bar{t}_q). \quad (6.9)$$

On the contrary, let $u_\mu(x) = \frac{c\mu^{\frac{3}{p}}}{|Q|_p} Q(\mu x)$ with $\mu = \bar{\mu}_q = \frac{\bar{t}_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c}$. Set $\bar{h}(s) := s^{\frac{3}{p^2}} u_\mu(s^{\frac{1}{p}} x)$, then we can check that $|\nabla \bar{h}(s)|_p^p = \bar{t}_q s$ and $I(\bar{h}(s)) = f_q(\bar{t}_q s)$. Choosing $0 < \tilde{t}_q < \bar{t}_q$ small enough such that $\bar{h}\left(\frac{\tilde{t}_q}{\bar{t}_q}\right) \in A_{K(c)}$, and $\hat{t}_q > \bar{t}_q$ such that $I\left(\bar{h}\left(\frac{\hat{t}_q}{\bar{t}_q}\right)\right) = f_q(\hat{t}_q) < 0$. Let $h(s) = \bar{h}\left((1-s)\frac{\tilde{t}_q}{\bar{t}_q} + s\frac{\hat{t}_q}{\bar{t}_q}\right)$. Then, $h(0) = \bar{h}\left(\frac{\tilde{t}_q}{\bar{t}_q}\right) \in A_{K(c)}$ and $I(h(1)) = I\left(\bar{h}\left(\frac{\hat{t}_q}{\bar{t}_q}\right)\right) = f_q(\hat{t}_q) < 0$. This indicates that $h \in \Gamma(c)$, and

$$\gamma(c) \leq \max_{s \in [0,1]} I(h(s)) = I(u_{\bar{\mu}_q}) = f_q(\bar{t}_q).$$

Combining with (6.9), we deduce that $\gamma(c) = f_q(\bar{t}_q)$ and $\bar{u}_c = u_\mu(x) = \frac{c}{|Q|_p} Q\left(\frac{\bar{t}_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c} x\right) \in S(c)$ is a solution of problem (1.11).

Next, we prove that \bar{u}_c satisfies (1.1)-(1.2) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^-$. In view of $f'_q(\bar{t}_q) = 0$ and $|\nabla \bar{u}_c|_p^p = \bar{t}_q$, we have

$$\frac{3(q-p)c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p^2|Q|_p^{q-p}} (\bar{t}_q)^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2}-1} = a + b\bar{t}_q = a + b|\nabla \bar{u}_c|_p^p. \quad (6.10)$$

Moreover, since $Q(x)$ is a solution of (2.2), by $\bar{u}_c = \frac{c}{|Q|_p} Q\left(\frac{\bar{t}_q^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c} x\right)$, it follows that \bar{u}_c satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{3(q-p)c^{q-\frac{3(q-p)}{p}}}{p^2|Q|_p^{q-p}} (\bar{t}_q)^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2}-1} \Delta_p \bar{u}_c - |\bar{u}_c|^{q-1} \bar{u}_c \\ & = -\left(1 + \frac{(p-3)(q-p)}{p^2}\right) \frac{c^{(q-p)(1-\frac{3}{p})}}{|Q|_p^{q-p}} (\bar{t}_q)^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2}} |\bar{u}_c|^{p-1} \bar{u}_c. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (6.10) indicates that \bar{u}_c is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) with

$$\lambda = -\left(1 + \frac{(p-3)(q-p)}{p^2}\right) \frac{c^{(q-p)(1-\frac{3}{p})}}{|Q|_p^{q-p}} (\bar{t}_q)^{\frac{3(q-p)}{p^2}}.$$

□

Acknowledgement

The second author is supported by The 16th Postgraduated Research Innovation Project (KC-24248285).

References

- [1] P. Pucci, M. Xiang, and B. Zhang, “Existence and multiplicity of entire solutions for fractional p-kirchhoff equations,” *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 27–55, 2016.
- [2] F. J. S. Corrêa and G. M. Figueiredo, “On a p-kirchhoff equation via krasnoselskii’s genus,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 819–822, 2009.
- [3] M. F. Furtado, L. D. de Oliveira, and J. P. P. da Silva, “Multiple solutions for a kirchhoff equation with critical growth,” *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 70, pp. 1–15, 2019.
- [4] G. Kirchhoff, *Vorlesungen über mathematische Physik*, vol. 1. 1883.
- [5] J.-L. Lions, “On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics,” in *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, vol. 30, pp. 284–346, Elsevier, 1978.
- [6] H. Ye, “The sharp existence of constrained minimizers for a class of nonlinear kirchhoff equations,” *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 38, no. 13, pp. 2663–2679, 2015.
- [7] X. Zeng and Y. Zhang, “Existence and uniqueness of normalized solutions for the kirchhoff equation,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 74, pp. 52–59, 2017.
- [8] Q. Lou and Z. Zhang, “Multiplicity and concentration of normalized solutions to p-laplacian equations,” *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 75, no. 3, p. 81, 2024.
- [9] Z.-m. Ren and Y.-y. Lan, “Normalized solution for p-kirchhoff equation with a 1 2-supercritical growth,” *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 414–429, 2024.
- [10] M. Agueh, “Sharp gagliardo–nirenberg inequalities via p-laplacian type equations,” *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA*, vol. 15, pp. 457–472, 2008.
- [11] M. I. Weinstein, “Nonlinear schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates,” 1982.
- [12] L. Baldelli and T. Yang, “Normalized solutions to a class of $(2, q)$ -laplacian equations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.14873*, 2022.
- [13] H. B.-P. Lions, “Nonlinear scalar field equations, ii. existence of infinitely many solutions,” *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 347–375, 1983.

- [14] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems*, vol. 24. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [15] Y. Du, J. Su, and C. Wang, “The quasilinear schrödinger–poisson system,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 64, no. 7, 2023.
- [16] X. Luo and Q. Wang, “Existence and asymptotic behavior of high energy normalized solutions for the kirchhoff type equations in \mathbb{R}^3 ,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 33, pp. 19–32, 2017.
- [17] K.-C. Chang, *Methods in nonlinear analysis*, vol. 10. Springer, 2005.
- [18] G. Li, X. Luo, and T. Yang, “Normalized solutions to a class of kirchhoff equations with sobolev critical exponent,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.08106*, 2021.
- [19] Z. Zhang and Z. Zhang, “Normalized solutions to p-laplacian equations with combined nonlinearities,” *Nonlinearity*, vol. 35, no. 11, p. 5621, 2022.
- [20] H. Triebel, “Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators,” *JA Barth*, 1995.
- [21] T. Bartsch and S. De Valeriola, “Normalized solutions of nonlinear schrödinger equations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1209.0950*, 2012.
- [22] L. Jeanjean, “Existence of solutions with prescribed norm for semilinear elliptic equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 1633–1659, 1997.
- [23] M. Willem, *Functional analysis: Fundamentals and applications*. Springer Nature, 2023.
- [24] J. Serrin and M. Tang, “Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic equations,” *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, pp. 897–923, 2000.