MIXED MULTIPLE ORTHOGONAL LAURENT POLYNOMIALS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

EDMUNDO J. HUERTAS¹[®] AND MANUEL MAÑAS²[®]

ABSTRACT. Mixed orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle of CMV type are constructed utilizing a matrix of moments and its Gauss–Borel factorization and employing a multiple extension of the CMV ordering. A systematic analysis of the associated multiple orthogonality and biorthogonality relations, and an examination of the degrees of the Laurent polynomials is given. Recurrence relations, expressed in terms of banded matrices, are found. These recurrence relations lay the groundwork for corresponding Christoffel–Darboux kernels and relations, as well as for elucidating the ABC theorem. The paper also develops the theory of diagonal Christoffel and Geronimus perturbations of the matrix of measures. Christoffel formulas are found for both perturbations.

CONTENTS

| 1. Introduction | 2 |
|---|----|
| 2. CMV mixed multiple Laurent orthogonality in the unit circle | 3 |
| 2.1. The moment matrix and its Gauss–Borel factorization | 3 |
| 2.2. Mixed multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle | 6 |
| 2.3. Orthogonality properties | 9 |
| 2.4. Recurrence relations | 11 |
| 2.5. Szegő recurrence matrices | 16 |
| 2.6. Szegő recurrence for the square matrix case | 21 |
| 2.7. On the scalar case: Szegő polynomials and recurrence relations | 23 |
| 2.8. Recurrences for the multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials | 24 |
| 2.9. Christoffel–Darboux kernels | 24 |
| 3. Christoffel perturbations | 28 |
| 3.1. Connector matrix and connection formulas | 31 |
| 3.2. Christoffel formula for $\hat{B}(z)$ | 34 |
| 3.3. Christoffel formula for $\hat{A}(z^{-1})$ | 35 |
| 4. Geronimus perturbation | 36 |
| 4.1. Connector matrix and connection formulas | 37 |
| 4.2. Geronimus perturbation with singular part | 40 |
| 4.3. Christoffel–Geronimus formula for $\check{A}(z)$ | 43 |
| 4.4. Cauchy transforms of the kernel | 44 |
| 4.5. Christoffel–Geronimus formula for $\check{B}(z^{-1})$ | 45 |
| Conclusions and Outlook | 47 |

¹Departamento de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad de Alcalá, Ctra. Madrid-Barcelona, Km. 33,600. 28805 -Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain

²DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA TEÓRICA, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, PLAZA CIENCIAS 1, 28040-MADRID, SPAIN *E-mail addresses*: ¹edmundo.huertas@uah.es, ²manuel.manas@ucm.es. *Date: November 19, 2024.*

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C45, 33C47, 42C05, 15A23.

Key words and phrases. Mixed multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials, unit circle, Christoffel–Darboux formulas, ABC theorem, recurrence relations, Christoffel perturbations, Geronimus perturbations.

Acknowledgments Declarations References

1. INTRODUCTION

Defined with respect to multiple weight functions or measures, multiple orthogonal polynomials form a distinctive class of polynomials that play an important role in fields such as numerical analysis, approximation theory, and mathematical physics. Unlike traditional orthogonal polynomials associated with a single weight function, these polynomials satisfy simultaneous orthogonality conditions, making them valuable for tackling complex analytical problems. For a comprehensive introduction to multiple orthogonal polynomials, see [23] and [30], while [1] explores their connections with integrable systems. Their significance also extends to the study of Markov processes and generalized random walks beyond the standard birth-and-death framework, as shown in [10, 12, 13, 14].

The concept of mixed multiple orthogonal polynomials was first introduced by Sorokin in 1994 [33] and later expanded in 1997 in collaboration with van Iseghem [34], as they explored matrix orthogonality of vector polynomials, see also [35, 36]. This framework re-emerged in 2004 [17] within the study of multiple non-intersecting Brownian motions, where the term "mixed multiple orthogonal" was formally coined. Further discussions can be found in [19], [1], and [20]. In these studies, a rectangular $q \times p$ matrix of weights, each of rank 1 at every point in the support, was constructed. However, the most relevant frameworks for the present discussion are found in [34] and [20], where the $q \times p$ rectangular matrix consists of functionals or measures.

Mixed multiple orthogonal polynomials play a significant role in the spectral theory of banded operators. For certain banded matrices that admit a positive bidiagonal factorization, it has been demonstrated that a Favard-type spectral theorem holds in this extended framework, generalizing the classical tridiagonal case of Jacobi matrices; see [9, 10, 11].

Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle have been the subject of extensive study; see, for example, [31]. A major development emerged with the introduction of the Cantero–Moral–Velázquez (CMV) ordering and the discussion of CMV orthogonal Laurent polynomials [15, 31, 2]. These ideas have since been extended to various settings, such as matrix orthogonality [3] and multivariate Laurent orthogonal polynomials on tori [5]. Additionally, the Christoffel and Geronimus perturbations have been examined in these extended cases [6, 7, 21], see also [5]. In the matrix case, the Riemann–Hilbert problem was discussed in connection with the matrix discrete Painlevé II equation [18].

However, to date, almost only two papers have explored possible constructions of multiple orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle: the seminal paper [29] and later [16]. Since then, there has been little further development. In January 2024, the first author announced at the Biennial Meeting of The Spanish Royal Mathematical Society the results presented in this paper; see this link. As we were completing the final revisions of this paper, two new papers on the subject appeared [25, 24].

This paper develops the framework of mixed multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials, beginning with an introduction to CMV mixed multiple Laurent orthogonality in Section 2. This section establishes foundational concepts, including the construction of the moment matrix and its Gauss–Borel factorization, which are essential to understanding the properties and structure of these polynomials.

Section 3 explores mixed multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials in depth, covering their orthogonality properties, recurrence relations, Szegő recurrence matrices, and various recurrence structures specific to both matrix and scalar cases. Additionally, this section introduces the Christoffel–Darboux kernels, which are central to the analysis within this framework, as well as second kind functions.

The study of perturbations begins in Section 4, which addresses diagonal Christoffel perturbations. This section examines the connector matrix, connection formulas, and the Christoffel formulas for key polynomial

Section 5 then explores Geronimus perturbations, presenting foundational tools such as the connector matrix and connection formulas in this context. This section also includes the study of diagonal Geronimus perturbations with singular parts, as well as Christoffel–Geronimus formulas for diagonal Geronimus transformations.

2. CMV MIXED MULTIPLE LAURENT ORTHOGONALITY IN THE UNIT CIRCLE

2.1. The moment matrix and its Gauss-Borel factorization. A measure μ in unit circle

functions, analyzing how these perturbations modify and extend the classical structure.

$$\mathbb{T} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

can be always split into its absolutely continuous part with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure $\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$ and its singular part μ_s ,

$$\mu(\theta) = w(\theta) \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{2\pi} + \mu_s(\theta).$$

Notice that on \mathbb{T} we have $dz = d e^{i \theta} = i e^{i \theta} d\theta$, so that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi\,\mathrm{i}\,z}.$$

Hence, we can write for $z \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\mu(z) = w(z)\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi\,\mathrm{i}\,z} + \mathrm{d}\mu_s.$$

We assume that the support of the measure μ is a infinite set in \mathbb{T} . Given two function f, g over \mathbb{T} two possible inner products can be considered

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{f(\theta)} g(\theta) d\mu(\theta), \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) \overline{g(\theta)} d\mu(\theta),$$

where the overline denotes the complex conjugate. In terms of integrals in the unit circle these integrals are written

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{f}(z^{-1})g(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z), \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z)\bar{g}(z^{-1}) \mathrm{d}\mu(\theta),$$

respectively.

Further, as we are going to discuss mixed multiple orthogonal systems in the unit circle we need to consider a $q \times p$ rectangular matrix, $q, p \in \mathbb{N}$, with q rows and p columns, of Borel complex measures, with support having infinite points, on the unit circle \mathbb{T}

(1)
$$\mu(z) \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1,1}(z) \cdots \mu_{1,p}(z) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{q,1}(z) \cdots \mu_{q,p}(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

with its splitting in terms of absolutely continuous and singular parts is

$$d\mu(z) = w(z)\frac{dz}{2\pi i z} + d\mu_s,$$

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1}(z) \cdots w_{1,p}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{q,1}(z) \cdots w_{q,p}(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu_s = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{s,1,1}(z) \cdots \mu_{s,1,p}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{s,q,1}(z) \cdots \mu_{s,q,p}(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding moments are

$$c_n = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} z^n \mathrm{d}\mu(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,n\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times p}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

are, in turn, $q \times p$ complex matrices with entries $c_{b,a,n} a \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ and $q \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$. The Fourier series of this rectangular matrix of measures is

$$F_{\mu}(u) \coloneqq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n u^n$$

for absolutely continuous measures, $d\mu(\theta) = w(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$ satisfies

$$F_{\mu}(\theta) = w(\theta).$$

Let $A(0; r, R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r < |z| < R\}$ denote the annulus around z = 0 with interior and exterior radii r and R. For $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$ and $b \in \{1, ..., q\}$, consider

$$R_{b,a,\pm} \coloneqq \left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|c_{b,a,\pm n}|}\right)^{\pm 1}$$

and

$$R_{+} = \min_{\substack{a \in \{1, \dots, p\}, \\ b \in \{1, \dots, q\}}} R_{b,a,+}, \quad R_{-} = \max_{\substack{a \in \{1, \dots, p\}, \\ b \in \{1, \dots, q\}}} R_{b,a,-}$$

According to the Cauchy–Hadamard theorem, the series $F_{\mu}(z)$ converges uniformly in any compact set K that belongs to the annulus $A(0; R_{-}, R_{+})$.

Let us introduce basic objects in our discussion.

Definition 2.1 (CMV monomial matrices). Given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and being I_r the identity matrix of size $r \times r$, we define the following semi-infinite monomial matrix

(2)
$$Z_{[r]}(z) \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} I_r \\ z^{-1}I_r \\ zI_r \\ z^{-2}I_r \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix},$$

and denote by $Z_{[r]}^{(j)}$, j = 1, ..., r the *j*-th semi-infinite column of $Z_{[r]}$, such that

(3)
$$Z_{[r]}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{[r]}^{(1)} & Z_{[r]}^{(2)} & \cdots & Z_{[r]}^{(r)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Provided that on the unit circle it holds $\overline{z} = z^{-1}$, one also has the following monomial matrix that will be useful in the sequel

(4)
$$(Z_{[r]}(z))^{\dagger} = \overline{Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z)} = \overline{Z}_{[r]}^{\top}(\overline{z}) = Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & zI_r & z^{-1}I_r & z^2I_r \cdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also denote by $\left(Z_{[r]}^{(i)}\right)^{\top}$, i = 1, ..., r the *i*-th semi-infinite row of $Z_{[r]}^{\top}$, such that

$$Z_{[r]}^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(Z_{[r]}^{(1)} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \left(Z_{[r]}^{(2)} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots \\ \left(Z_{[r]}^{(r)} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, the corresponding matrix of moments are given by:

Definition 2.2 (CMV moment matrices). Let us consider the following semi-infinite left CMV moment matrix:

$$M_{\mu} \coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) d\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Z_{[q]}(e^{i\theta}) d\mu(\theta) Z_{[p]}(e^{-i\theta})$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{-1} & c_{2} \cdots \\ c_{-1} & c_{0} & c_{-2} & c_{1} \cdots \\ c_{1} & c_{2} & c_{0} & c_{3} \cdots \\ c_{-2} & c_{-1} & c_{-3} & c_{0} \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix},$$

(5)

(6)

and semi-infinite right CMV moment matrix:

Some basic properties of these moments matrices are:

Proposition 2.3. The moment matrices fulfill

$$\overline{M_{\mu}} = \mathscr{M}_{\bar{\mu}}, \quad (M_{\mu})^{\top} = \mathscr{M}_{\mu^{\top}}, \quad (M_{\mu})^{\dagger} = M_{\mu^{\dagger}}, \quad (\mathscr{M}_{\mu})^{\dagger} = \mathscr{M}_{\mu^{\dagger}}$$

For the sake of simplicity, if not required we will drop the subindex indicating the measure μ . The Gauss factorization of the above matrices (5) and (6) will be instrumental

- (7) $M = L^{-1} \bar{U}^{-1},$
- $\mathcal{M} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}^{-1} \mathcal{U}^{-1},$

such that L and \mathcal{L} (U and \mathcal{U}), are respectively semi-infinite invertible lower (upper) triangular matrices. Notice that all the diagonal entries of L or U are assumed to be different from zero. The matrices L and U are not uniquely determined by M, indeed the transformation $L \to DL$, $U \to UD^{-1}$ will provide new possible factors whenever D is a diagonal matrix with nonzero diagonal entries. Similar comments hold for \mathscr{L} and \mathscr{U} . These factorizations exist if and only if the leading principal minors are nonzero.

Taking into account the symmetries described in Proposition 2.3 we find

Proposition 2.4. The upper an lower triangular matrices in the Gauss-Borel factorization can be chosen so that

$$L_{\mu} = \mathscr{L}_{\bar{\mu}}, \quad U_{\mu} = \mathscr{U}_{\bar{\mu}}, \qquad (U_{\mu})^{\top} = \mathscr{L}_{\mu^{\top}}, \quad (L_{\mu})^{\top} = \mathscr{U}_{\mu^{\top}}, \qquad (U_{\mu})^{\dagger} = L_{\mu^{\dagger}}, \quad (\mathscr{U}_{\mu})^{\dagger} = \mathscr{L}_{\mu^{\dagger}},$$

Hence, we find

Corollary 2.5. i) If the matrix of measures is real, i.e. $\bar{\mu} = \mu$, then

$$L = \mathcal{L}, \quad U = \mathcal{U}.$$

ii) For p = q, if the matrix of measures is symmetric, i.e. $\mu = \mu^{\top}$, then

$$U^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathscr{L}, \quad L^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathscr{U}.$$

iii) For p = q, if the matrix of measures is Hermitian, i.e. $\mu = \mu^{\dagger}$, then

$$U^{\dagger} = L, \quad \mathcal{U}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{L}.$$

iv) For p = q, real symmetric matrix of measures we find

$$U = L^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad \mathscr{L} = L, \quad \mathscr{U} = L^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

2.2. Mixed multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle. Building upon the Gauss factorization (7) of the CMV left moment matrix M, we introduce the following sets of matrices with Laurent polynomial entries:

Definition 2.6 (CMV left Laurent polynomials). Associated with the CMV left moment matrix M, let us introduce the following semi-infinite $\infty \times q$ matrix of Laurent polynomials

where I_q (identity matrix), $L_{i,j}$, and $B_m(z)$, are $q \times q$ square complex matrices. i = j, $L_{i,i}$ is a lower triangular complex matrix. When i < j, $L_{i,j} = 0_q$, which stands for the $q \times q$ zero matrix. When i > j, $L_{i,j}$ is, in general, a full matrix, and

(10)
$$B^{(b)}(z) \coloneqq LZ^{(b)}_{[q]}(z), \quad b \in \{1, \dots, q\},$$

is the b-th semi-infinite column vector of the above CMV matrix Laurent polynomial B(z). For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we also consider the "block polynomials," which are $q \times q$ square matrices with Laurent polynomial entries, as follows

(11)
$$B_m^{[q]}(z) \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} B_{mq}^{(1)}(z) \cdots \cdots B_{mq}^{(q)}(z) \\ \vdots \\ B_{(m+1)q-1}^{(1)}(z) \cdots B_{(m+1)q-1}^{(q)}(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

Analogously, we define

$$A(z) \coloneqq Z_{[p]}(z)U$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} I_p & z^{-1}I_p & zI_p & z^{-2}I_p & z^{2}I_r \cdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{0,0} & U_{0,1} & U_{0,2} & U_{0,3} \cdots \\ 0_p & U_{1,1} & U_{1,2} & U_{1,3} \cdots \\ 0_p & 0_p & U_{2,2} & U_{2,3} \cdots \\ 0_p & 0_p & 0_p & U_{3,3} \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A_0(z) & A_1(z) & A_2(z) \cdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{(1)}^{(1)}(z) \\ A_{(2)}^{(2)}(z) \\ \vdots \\ A_{(p)}(z) \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_p (identity matrix), and $U_{i,j}$, $A_m(z)$, are $p \times p$ square matrices. When i = j, $U_{i,i}$ is an upper triangular matrix. When i > j, $U_{i,j} = 0_p$, which stands for the $p \times p$ zero matrix. When i < j, $U_{i,j}$ is, in general, a full

matrix, and

(13)
$$A^{(a)}(z) \coloneqq \left(Z^{(a)}_{[p]}(z)\right)^{\top} U, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, p\},$$

is the a-th row of the above CMV matrix Laurent polynomial A(z). Thus, for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we also have the corresponding "block polynomials" $A_m(z)$, which are the following $p \times p$ square matrices with polynomial entries

(14)
$$A_m^{[p]}(z) \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} A_{mp}^{(1)}(z) \cdots A_{(m+1)p-1}^{(1)}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{mp}^{(p)}(z) \cdots A_{(m+1)p-1}^{(p)}(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that $B^{(b)}(z)$, $b \in \{1, ..., q\}$ and $A^{(a)}(z)$, $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$ are semi-infinite column (resp. row) vectors whose entries are polynomials, namely

$$B^{(b)}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} B_0^{(b)}(z) \\ B_1^{(b)}(z) \\ B_2^{(b)}(z) \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{(a)}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} A_0^{(a)}(z) & A_1^{(a)}(z) & A_2^{(a)}(z) \cdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Concerning the degrees of every entry of the above vector polynomials, we state the next result. First, in what follows, given a Laurent polynomial

$$L(z) = \frac{L_{-d_{-}}}{z^{d_{-}}} + \frac{L_{-d_{-}+1}}{z^{d_{-}-1}} + \dots + L_{d_{+}-1}z^{d_{+}-1} + L_{d_{+}}z^{d_{+}},$$

with $L_{\pm d_{\pm}} \neq 0$ and $d_{\pm} \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we say that its degrees are

$$\deg^{\pm} L \coloneqq d_{\pm}.$$

Lemma 2.7 (Degrees of the CMV Laurent polynomials). The Laurent polynomials at the n-th entry, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, of every semi-infinite column vector $B^{(b)}(z)$, $b \in \{1, ..., q\}$, of the CMV matrix Laurent polynomial B(z), satisfy

$$\deg^+ B_n^{(b)} \leq \left\lceil \frac{n+2-b}{2q} \right\rceil - 1, \quad \deg^- B_n^{(b)} \leq \left\lceil \frac{n+2-b-q}{2q} \right\rceil,$$

and the deg⁺ and deg⁻ bounds are attained for n = 2Nq + a - 1 and n = (2N + 1)q + a - 1, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, respectively. Similarly, the Laurent polynomials at the n-th entry (for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) of every semi-infinite row vector $A^{(a)}(z)$, $a \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ of the CMV matrix Laurent polynomial A(z), satisfy

$$\deg^+ A_n^{(a)} \le \left\lceil \frac{n+2-a}{2p} \right\rceil - 1, \quad \deg^- A_n^{(a)} \le \left\lceil \frac{n+2-a-p}{2p} \right\rceil,$$

and the deg⁺ and deg⁻ bounds are attained for n = 2Np + a - 1 and n = (2N + 1)p + a - 1, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, respectively.

Proof. Concerning the degrees of the Laurent polynomials $B^{(b)}(z)$, $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ we multiply the semiinfinite lower triangular matrix L by $Z_{[q]}(z)$ in order to obtain every block polynomial $B_m(z)$, and after this computation, it is a simple matter to obtain the the possible maximum (\deg^+) and minimum (\deg^-) degrees for the polynomials in the *b*-th column of B(z). Concerning the degrees of the Laurent polynomials $A_n^{(a)}(z^{-1})$, $a \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$, similar arguments apply. See Appendix.

Likewise, based on the Gauss-Borel factorization (8) of the CMV left moment matrix \mathcal{M} , we proceed with the following

Definition 2.8 (CMV right Laurent polynomials). Associated with the left moment matrix \mathcal{M} , let us define the matrix biorthogonal polynomials

$$\mathscr{A}(z) \coloneqq \mathscr{L}Z_{[q]}(z), \quad \mathscr{B}(z) \coloneqq Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z)\mathcal{U},$$

which have size $\infty \times q$ and $p \times \infty$ respectively. More specifically,

where $\mathcal{L}_{i,j}$, and $\mathcal{A}_m(z)$, are $q \times q$ square complex matrices. i = j, $\mathcal{L}_{i,i}$ is a lower triangular complex matrix. When i < j, $\mathcal{L}_{i,j} = 0_q$. When i > j, $\mathcal{L}_{i,j}$ is, in general, a full matrix, and

$$\mathscr{A}^{(b)}(z) \coloneqq LZ^{(b)}_{[q]}(z), \quad b \in \{1, \dots, q\}$$

is the b-th semi-infinite column vector of the above CMV matrix Laurent polynomial $\mathcal{A}(z)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we also consider the "block polynomials", which are $q \times q$ square matrices with polynomial entries, as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_m(z) \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{mq}^{(1)}(z) \cdots \mathcal{A}_{mq}^{(q)}(z) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_{(m+1)q-1}^{(1)}(z) \cdots \mathcal{A}_{(m+1)q-1}^{(q)}(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

We also have

where $\mathcal{U}_{i,j}$, $\mathcal{B}_m(z)$, are $p \times p$ square matrices. When i = j, $\mathcal{U}_{i,i}$ is an upper triangular matrix. When i > j, $\mathcal{U}_{i,j} = 0_p$. When i < j, $\mathcal{U}_{i,j}$ is, in general, a full matrix, and

$$\mathscr{B}^{(a)}(z) \coloneqq \left(Z_{[p]}^{(a)}(z) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathscr{U}, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, p\},$$

is the *a*-th row of the above CMV matrix Laurent polynomial $\mathscr{B}(z)$. Thus, for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we also have the corresponding "block polynomials" $\mathscr{B}_m(z)$, which are the following $p \times p$ square matrices with polynomial entries

$$\mathscr{B}_m(z) \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{B}_{mp}^{(1)}(z) \cdots \mathscr{B}_{(m+1)p-1}^{(1)}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathscr{B}_{mp}^{(p)}(z) \cdots \mathscr{B}_{(m+1)p-1}^{(p)}(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

As in Lemma 2.7 we have

Lemma 2.9 (Degrees of the CMV right Laurent polynomials). The Laurent polynomials at the n-th entry, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, of every semi-infinite column vector $B^{(b)}(z)$, $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, of the CMV matrix Laurent polynomial B(z), satisfy

$$\deg^{+} \mathscr{B}_{n}^{(b)} \leq \left\lceil \frac{n+2-b}{2q} \right\rceil - 1, \quad \deg^{-} \mathscr{B}_{n}^{(b)} \leq \left\lceil \frac{n+2-b-q}{2q} \right\rceil$$

and the deg⁺ and deg⁻ bounds are attained for n = 2Nq + a - 1 and n = (2N + 1)q + a - 1, respectively. Similarly, the Laurent polynomials at the n-th entry (for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) of every semi-infinite row vector $A^{(a)}(z)$, $a \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ of the CMV matrix Laurent polynomial A(z), satisfy

$$\deg^+ \mathscr{A}_n^{(a)} \le \left\lceil \frac{n+2-a}{2p} \right\rceil - 1, \quad \deg^- \mathscr{A}_n^{(a)} \le \left\lceil \frac{n+2-a-p}{2p} \right\rceil,$$

and the deg⁺ and deg⁻ bounds are attained for n = 2Np + a - 1 and n = (2N + 1)p + a - 1, respectively.

According to Proposition 2.4, we establish the following:

Proposition 2.10. The following relations between the matrix Laurent polynomials hold true:

$$\begin{split} B_{\mu}(z) &= \mathscr{A}_{\bar{\mu}}(z), \qquad A_{\mu}(z) = \mathscr{B}_{\bar{\mu}}(z), \\ B_{\mu}^{\top}(z) &= \mathscr{B}_{\mu^{\top}}(z), \qquad A_{\mu}^{\top}(z) = \mathscr{A}_{\mu^{\top}}(z), \\ (B_{\mu}(\bar{z}))^{\dagger} &= A_{\mu^{\dagger}}(z), \qquad (\mathscr{B}_{\mu}(\bar{z}))^{\dagger} = \mathscr{A}_{\mu^{\dagger}}(z). \end{split}$$

Note the subindex indicating the associated measure to the orthogonal polynomial sequence.

In instances where the matrix of measures exhibits symmetry, the corresponding sequences of orthogonal polynomials are aligned:

Proposition 2.11. i) If the matrix of measures is real, i.e., $\bar{\mu} = \mu$, then

$$\mathscr{A} = B, \quad \mathscr{B} = A.$$

ii) When p = q, and the matrix of measures is symmetric, i.e., $\mu^{\top} = \mu$, then

$$B^{\top} = \mathscr{B}, \quad A^{\top} = \mathscr{A}.$$

iii) When p = q, and the matrix of measures is Hermitian, i.e., $\mu^{\dagger} = \mu$, then

$$(A(\overline{z}))^{\dagger} = B(z), \quad (\mathscr{A}(\overline{z}))^{\dagger} = \mathscr{B}(z).$$

iv) When p = q, for real symmetric matrix of measures, i.e., $\bar{\mu} = \mu$ and $\mu^{\top} = \mu$, we have

$$A = B^{\top}, \quad \mathscr{A} = B, \quad \mathscr{B} = B^{\top}$$

2.3. **Orthogonality properties**. We now present the basic orthogonality properties of these Laurent polynomials due to their connection to the Gauss–Borel factorization.

Proposition 2.12 (Matrix biorthogonality). The biorthogonality between the matrix Laurent polynomials B(z) and $A(z^{-1})$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\oint_{\mathbb{T}} B(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \bar{A}(z^{-1}) = I, \quad \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{\mathscr{A}}(z^{-1}) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \mathscr{B}(z) = I,$$

where I is the semi-infinite identity matrix, i.e., $I_{m,n} = \delta_{n,m}$.

Expanding this relation entrywise using definitions (10) and (13), we obtain:

$$\sum_{b=1}^{q} \sum_{a=1}^{p} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} B_{n}^{(b)}(z) d\mu_{b,a}(z) \overline{A_{m}^{(a)}(z)} = \delta_{n,m}, \quad \sum_{b=1}^{q} \sum_{a=1}^{p} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\mathscr{A}_{n}^{(b)}(z)} d\mu_{b,a}(z) \mathscr{B}_{m}^{(a)}(z) = \delta_{n,m},$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. From (7) and (8), we have:

$$I = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} LZ_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z^{-1}) \overline{U}, \quad I = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{\mathscr{D}} Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z) \mathscr{U},$$

respectively. Now, taking into account Definitions 2.6 and 2.8, we obtain $\oint_{\mathbb{T}} B(z) d\mu(z) \bar{A}(z^{-1}) = I$ and $\oint_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{\mathscr{A}}(z^{-1}) d\mu(z) \mathscr{B}(z) = I$. Entrywise biorthogonality follows directly from these relations.

The matrix biorthogonality above has a direct extension to the block polynomials (11) and (14), from which B(z) and $A(z^{-1})$ are formed. Thus, we establish the following corollary. The proof is omitted as it follows straightforwardly from the previous proposition.

Definition 2.13 (Truncated matrix polynomials). For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $B_n^{[r]}(z)$ denote the $r \times q$ matrix of polynomial entries obtained from the $\infty \times q$ matrix B(z) by taking consecutive matrices of size $r \times q$. Similarly, let $A_n^{[r]}(z^{-1})$ denote the $p \times r$ matrix of polynomial entries obtained from the $p \times \infty$ matrix $A(z^{-1})$ by taking consecutive matrices of size $p \times r$.

Corollary 2.14 (Matrix biorthogonality of block polynomials). The following biorthogonality relation holds:

$$\oint_{\mathbb{T}} B_n^{[r]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \bar{A}_m^{[r]}(z^{-1}) = \delta_{n,m} I_r, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Moreover, when q = p, we have

$$\oint_T B_n(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \overline{A_m(z)} = \delta_{n,m} I_p, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

On the other hand, when $q \neq p$, we obtain

$$\oint_T B_n^{[p]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \overline{A_m(z)} = \delta_{n,m} I_p, \quad \oint_T B_n(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \overline{A_m^{[q]}(z)} = \delta_{n,m} I_q,$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Remark 2.15. Even in the case p = q, the orthogonality presented here differs from that studied in the work [5]. What we present here is a Gauss factorization in the step-line of the moment matrices (see (7) and (8)), whereas in [5], the authors performed a block decomposition of the corresponding moment matrices, and those blocks do not appear in the present analysis.

This leads to the following natural definition of mixed-type multiple orthogonality on the unit circle.

Corollary 2.16 (Mixed multiple orthogonality). The orthogonality relations for the CMV matrix Laurent polynomials can be written as follows:

$$\begin{split} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{b=1}^{q} B_{n}^{(b)}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu_{b,a}(z) \right) \overline{z^{k}} &= 0, \quad k \in \left\{ -\left[\frac{n+2-a}{2p} \right] + 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n+2-a-p}{2p} \right] \right\}, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, p\}, \\ \oint_{\mathbb{T}} z^{k} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{p} \overline{A_{n}^{(a)}(z)} \mathrm{d}\mu_{b,a}(z) \right) &= 0, \quad k \in \left\{ -\left[\frac{n+2-b-q}{2q} \right], \dots, \left[\frac{n+2-b}{2q} \right] - 1 \right\}, \quad b \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \\ \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{z^{k}} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{p} \mathscr{B}_{n}^{(a)}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu_{b,a}(z) \right) &= 0, \quad k \in \left\{ -\left[\frac{n+2-b}{2q} \right] + 1, \dots, \left[\frac{n+2-b-q}{2q} \right] \right\}, \quad b \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \\ \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{b=1}^{q} \overline{\mathscr{A}_{n}^{(b)}(z)} \mathrm{d}\mu_{b,a}(z) \right) z^{k} = 0, \quad k \in \left\{ -\left[\frac{n+2-a-p}{2p} \right], \dots, \left[\frac{n+2-a}{2p} \right] - 1 \right\}, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, p\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, with these orthogonality and biorthogonality properties in mind, we can aptly refer to these polynomials as mixed Multiple Orthogonal Laurent Polynomials on the Unit Circle (MOLPUC).

Along the article we will consider following Cauchy transforms of the CMV matrix Laurent polynomials, that is, the second kind functions

(15)
$$D(z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - u^{-1}} B(u) \mathrm{d}\mu(u),$$

(16)
$$C(z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z^{-1} - u} \mathrm{d}\mu(u) A(u^{-1}),$$

Observe that the size of D(z) is $\infty \times p$, and the size of C(z) is $q \times \infty$.

2.4. **Recurrence relations.** To establish recurrence relations, we introduce the following matrices, which are of significant interest in the theory of mixed MOLPUC.

Definition 2.17. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and denoting I_r and 0_r as the $r \times r$ identity matrix and the $r \times r$ zero matrix respectively, we define the following semi-infinite block matrices:

,

$$(17) \qquad \Upsilon_{[r]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{r} & 0_{r} & I_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & \cdots \\ I_{r} & 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r}$$

the intertwining matrix:

$$(18) \qquad \eta_{[r]} = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0_r & \cdots \\ 0_r & 0_r & I_r & 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & \cdots \\ 0_r & I_r & 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & \cdots \\ 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & 0_r & I_r & 0_r & 0_r & \cdots \\ 0_r & 0_r$$

and the untangling matrix:

$$(19) \qquad \qquad \nu_{[r]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{r} & I_{r} & 0_{r} & \cdots \\ I_{r} & 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & I_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & \cdots \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\ 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} & 0_{r} \\$$

EJ HUERTAS AND M MAÑAS

Notice that $\Upsilon_{[r]}$ is constructed as a sparse banded matrix, with its highest nonzero upper-diagonal and lowest nonzero lower-diagonal both positioned at (2r+1). This characteristic renders $\Upsilon_{[r]}$ a (4r+1)-banded matrix, or succinctly, a pentadiagonal block matrix. For instance, when r = 1, $\Upsilon_{[1]}$ forms a pentadiagonal semi-infinite matrix; while for r = 2, $\Upsilon_{[2]}$ manifests as a pentadiagonal 2×2 block matrix, akin to a ninediagonal semi-infinite matrix.

Regarding the successive powers of $\Upsilon_{[r]}$, it's straightforward to verify that $(\Upsilon_{[r]})^d$ maintains a sparse banded structure. Here, the highest nonzero upper-diagonal and lowest nonzero lower-diagonal occur at position (2dr + 1). This property extends $(\Upsilon_{[r]})^d$ to a (4dr + 1)-diagonal matrix, effectively forming a pentadiagonal $dr \times dr$ block matrix. The composition of $(\Upsilon_{[r]})^d$ holds significance for subsequent analyses.

Proposition 2.18. For any given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the following factorizations of the matrix $\Upsilon_{[r]}$ and its transpose hold:

(20)
$$\Upsilon_{[r]} = \nu_{[r]}\eta_{[r]}, \quad \Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top} = \eta_{[r]}\nu_{[r]} = \Upsilon_{[r]}^{-1}$$

As a direct consequence, the subsequent expressions are valid:

$$\Upsilon_{[r]} \nu_{[r]} = \nu_{[r]} \Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top}, \quad \Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top} \eta_{[r]} = \eta_{[r]} \Upsilon_{[r]},$$

and for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(\Upsilon_{[r]}\right)^{d} = \nu_{[r]} \left(\Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top}\right)^{d-1} \eta_{[r]}, \quad \left(\Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top}\right)^{d} = \eta_{[r]} \left(\Upsilon_{[r]}\right)^{d-1} \nu_{[r]},$$

Proof. The proof follows directly from the specific form of the semi-infinite matrices involved. Multiplying $v_{[r]}$ by $\eta_{[r]}$ in the given order yields $\Upsilon_{[r]}$. Notably, $v_{[r]}\eta_{[r]} = \Upsilon_{[r]}$ holds whether the multiplication is performed by $r \times r$ blocks $(r \ge 2)$ or in the standard manner. Similarly, multiplying $\eta_{[r]}$ by $v_{[r]}$ in sequence results in $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top}$. The remaining expressions are straightforward consequences of the initial factorizations. \Box

Next, we present a series of specific properties concerning the relationships between the matrices $\Upsilon_{[r]}$, $\eta_{[r]}$, and $\nu_{[r]}$:

Proposition 2.19. The matrix $\Upsilon_{[r]}$ is a banded matrix with 2r superdiagonals and 2r subdiagonals, while $\eta_{[r]}$ and $\nu_{[r]}$ are banded matrices with r superdiagonals and r subdiagonals. Additionally, the following matrix properties hold:

(21)
$$\Upsilon_{[r]}^{-1} = \Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top} = \Upsilon_{[r]}^{\dagger},$$

(22)
$$\eta_{[r]} = \eta_{[r]}^{-1} = \eta_{[r]}^{\top} = \eta_{[r]}^{\dagger},$$

(23)
$$\nu_{[r]} = \nu_{[r]}^{-1} = \nu_{[r]}^{\top} = \nu_{[r]}^{\dagger}.$$

Now, we delineate their actions on the monomials as outlined in Section 2.1.

Proposition 2.20. The matrix $\Upsilon_{[r]}$ complies with the following eigenvalue properties:

(24)
$$\Upsilon_{[r]} Z_{[r]}(z) = z Z_{[r]}(z),$$

(25)
$$\Upsilon_{[r]}^{-1} Z_{[r]}(z) = z^{-1} Z_{[r]}(z).$$

(26)
$$Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z)\Upsilon_{[r]}^{-1} = z Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z),$$

(27)
$$Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z)\Upsilon_{[r]} = z^{-1}Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z)$$

The intertwining matrix $\eta_{[r]}$ conforms to the following properties:

(28)
$$\eta_{[r]} Z_{[r]}(z) = Z_{[r]}(z^{-1}) = Z_{[r]}(\bar{z}) = \bar{Z}_{[r]}(z),$$

(29)
$$\eta_{[r]} Z_{[r]}(z^{-1}) = Z_{[r]}(z) = \overline{Z}_{[r]}(z^{-1})$$

(30)
$$Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z)\eta_{[r]} = Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) = Z_{[r]}^{\top}(\bar{z}) = (Z_{[r]}(z))^{\dagger},$$

(31)
$$Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\eta_{[r]} = Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z) = \left(Z_{[r]}(z^{-1})\right)^{\top}.$$

The untangling matrix $v_{[r]}$ adheres to the ensuing properties:

(32)
$$\nu_{[r]} Z_{[r]}(z) = z^{-1} Z_{[r]}(z^{-1}) = \overline{z} Z_{[r]}(\overline{z}),$$

(33)
$$\nu_{[r]} Z_{[r]}(z^{-1}) = z Z_{[r]}(z),$$

(34)
$$Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\nu_{[r]} = z Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z),$$

(35)
$$Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z)\nu_{[r]} = z^{-1}Z_{[r]}^{\top}(z^{-1}).$$

Proof. Property (24) is easily verified from (17), which provides the explicit matrix expression for $\Upsilon_{[r]}$. To establish (25), it suffices to left-multiply both sides of (24) by $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{-1}$. For (26), transposing both sides of (24) and considering $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top} = \Upsilon_{[r]}^{-1}$ suffices. Similarly, for (27), transposing both sides of (25) and considering $(\Upsilon_{[r]}^{\top})^{\top} = \Upsilon_{[r]}$ is adequate.

As for the intertwining matrix $\eta_{[r]}$, property (28) is straightforward to confirm from the matrix expression in (18). Notably, $\eta_{[r]}$ interchanges z with z^{-1} in the argument of the monomial matrix $Z_{[r]}(z)$, and given that we operate on the unit circle $z \in \mathbb{T}$, we have $\overline{z} = z^{-1}$. Property (29) follows the interchange of z with z^{-1} in (28). Upon transposing (28), property (30) emerges, and considering $\eta_{[r]} = \eta_{[r]}^{-1}$ completes the proof. Furthermore, combining (4) with property (30) yields (31) straightforwardly.

On the other hand, for the untangling matrix $\nu_{[r]}$, property (32) results from considering (20) in expression (24) and subsequently applying (28). Property (33) ensues from the interchange of z with z^{-1} in (32). Transposing (33) yields property (34), and considering (23) completes the proof. Finally, property (35) follows from the interchange of z with z^{-1} in (34).

Next, we analyze the action of the spectral matrices mentioned above on the moment matrices M and \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 2.21. The matrices $\Upsilon_{[q]}$, $\eta_{[q]}$, and $\nu_{[q]}$ satisfy the following properties:

(36)
$$\Upsilon_{[q]}M = M\Upsilon_{[p]}$$

(37)
$$\Upsilon_{[q]}^{\top} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top}$$

(38)
$$\eta_{[q]} M \eta_{[p]} = \nu_{[q]} M \nu_{[p]},$$

(39)
$$\eta_{[q]}\mathcal{M}\eta_{[p]} = \nu_{[q]}\mathcal{M}\nu_{[p]}$$

Proof. Left-multiplying $\Upsilon_{[q]}$ by the moment matrix M in (5) yields,

$$\Upsilon_{[q]}M = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \Upsilon_{[q]} Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \left(Z_{[p]}(z) \right)^{\dagger}.$$

From (24) we have

$$\Upsilon_{[q]}M = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} z Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \left(Z_{[p]}(z) \right)^{\dagger} = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \left(z^{-1} Z_{[p]}(z) \right)^{\dagger}$$

and next from (21) and (26), we state

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon_{[q]} M &= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \left(z^{-1} Z_{[p]}(z) \right)^{\dagger} \\ &= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \left(\Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top} Z_{[p]}(z) \right)^{\dagger} \\ &= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) \left(Z_{[p]}(z) \right)^{\dagger} \Upsilon_{[p]} = M \Upsilon_{[p]}. \end{split}$$

Proceeding in a similar fashion with the moment matrix \mathcal{M} given in (6), we find (37). Combining (20) with $\Upsilon_{[q]}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}\Upsilon_{[p]}$ leads to $\nu_{[q]}\eta_{[q]}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}\nu_{[p]}\eta_{[p]}$, and next multiplying both sides by $\nu_{[q]} = \nu_{[q]}^{-1}$ and $\eta_{[r]} = \eta_{[r]}^{-1}$ yields (38), and proceeding in a similar fashion for $\Upsilon_{[q]}^{\top}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}\Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top}$ we obtain (39).

Now, let's examine the effect of $\eta_{[q]}$ and $\nu_{[q]}$ on the moment matrices M and \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 2.22. The matrices $\eta_{[r]}$ and $v_{[r]}$ exhibit the following relationships with the moment matrices M and M:

(40)
$$\eta_{[q]}M = \mathcal{M}\eta_{[p]}$$

(41)
$$M\eta_{[p]} = \eta_{[q]}\mathcal{M}$$

(42)
$$v_{[q]}M = \mathcal{M}v_{[p]}$$

$$(43) Mv_{[p]} = v_{[q]}\mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. From (5) and (28), we immediately observe that

$$\eta_{[q]}M = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \eta_{[q]} Z_{[q]}(z) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})$$
$$= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}).$$

Considering (29), we conclude

$$\eta_{[q]}M = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z) \eta_{[p]} = \mathcal{M}\eta_{[p]}.$$

Proceeding similarly to compute $M\eta_{[p]}$ and considering (28) and (31), we obtain (41). Obtaining (42) is straightforward by considering (32) and (35). Thus, from (22) and (23) we have

$$M\eta_{[p]} = \eta_{[q]}\mathcal{M}, \quad \nu_{[q]}M = \mathcal{M}\nu_{[p]}$$

Finally, combining (41) with (20) and (38) gives (43).

In order to obtain recurrence relations for the mixed MOLPUC B(z) and $A(z^{-1})$, we first consider the following relations and definitions. From (36) and (7) we have

$$\Upsilon_{[q]}L^{-1}\bar{U}^{-1} = L^{-1}\bar{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}$$

We then right-multiply by \overline{U}^{-1} and left-multiply by L^{-1} the above expression, wich gives

$$L\Upsilon_{[q]}L^{-1} = \bar{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\bar{U}$$

We follow a similar approach from (37) and (8) to get

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}\Upsilon_{[q]}^{\top}\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}=\mathcal{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top}\mathcal{U}.$$

This leads to our next

Definition 2.23. Let us define the matrices

(44)
$$T \coloneqq L\Upsilon_{[q]}L^{-1} = \overline{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\overline{U},$$

(45)
$$\mathcal{T} \coloneqq \bar{\mathcal{L}} \Upsilon_{[q]}^{\top} \bar{\mathscr{L}}^{-1} = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top} \mathcal{U}$$

The matrices T and \mathcal{T} , have respectively the structures given in (2.24).

Proposition 2.24 (Banded structure of the recursion matrix). i) The matrix T is a banded matrix with
$$2(p+q)+1$$
 diagonals, $2q$ superdiagonals and $2p$ subdiagonals.

ii) The matrix \mathcal{T} is a banded matrix with 2(p+q)+1 diagonals, 2p superdiagonals and 2q subdiagonals.

Proof. (44) and the band structure of $\Upsilon_{[q]}$ we deduce that $T = L\Upsilon_{[q]}L^{-1}$ has all its superdiagonals above the 2q superdiagonal with zero entries. We also deduce that $T = \overline{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\overline{U}$ has all its subdiagonals below the 2q subdiagonal with zero entries. For \mathcal{T} 's band structure we have a similar argument starting from (45). \Box

Next, let's illustrate the band structure of *T*:



With the above definitions in place, we can establish the following recurrence relations for B(z) and $A(z^{-1})$:

Proposition 2.25 (Recurrence Relations). The mixed MOLPUC matrices B(z) and A(z) satisfy the following recurrence relation, taking into account the aforementioned matrix T:

(46) TB(z) = z B(z), $\bar{A}(z^{-1})T = z\bar{A}(z^{-1}).$

Concerning the right mixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials on the step line $\mathcal{B}(z)$ and $\mathcal{A}(z)$, and the matrix \mathcal{T} , we find the analogous relations:

$$\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}) = z \,\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}),$$
$$\mathcal{B}(z)\mathcal{T} = z \,\mathcal{B}(z).$$

Proof. From (44), we know that the action of T on the CMV left-matrix Laurent polynomials B(z) is as follows:

$$TB(z) = L\Upsilon_{[q]}L^{-1}LZ_{[q]}(z) = L\Upsilon_{[q]}Z_{[q]}(z)$$
$$= zLZ_{[q]}(z) = zB(z),$$

which provides a matrix form recurrence relation for the biorthogonal polynomials B(z). The action of T on the left-hand side of the biorthogonal polynomials $\overline{A}(z^{-1})$, taking into account (44), (21), and (25), is:

$$\bar{A}(z^{-1})T = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\bar{U}\bar{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\bar{U} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\Upsilon_{[p]}\bar{U}$$
$$= \left(\Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top}Z_{[p]}(z^{-1})\right)^{\top}\bar{U} = z Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\bar{U} = z\bar{A}(z^{-1}).$$

Concerning mixed MOLPUCs $\mathcal{A}(z^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{B}(z)$, we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}) = \bar{\mathcal{T}}\Upsilon_{[q]}^{\top}\bar{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{T}}Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) = \bar{\mathcal{T}}\Upsilon_{[q]}^{\top}Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) \\ = z\bar{\mathcal{T}}Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) = z\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(z)\mathcal{T} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z)\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top}\mathcal{U} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z)\Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top}\mathcal{U}$$
$$= \left(\Upsilon_{[p]}Z_{[p]}(z)\right)^{\top}\mathcal{U} = zZ_{[r]}^{\top}(z)\mathcal{U} = z\mathcal{B}(z).$$

2.5. Szegő recurrence matrices. Associated to the intertwining and untangling matrices, see (18) and (19), and the symmetries of the moment matrices as described in (38) and (39) we have:

Proposition 2.26. The following identities hold:

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathscr{L}}\eta_{[q]}L^{-1} = \mathscr{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U}, \quad L\eta_{[q]}\bar{\mathscr{L}}^{-1} = \bar{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\mathscr{U}, \\ L\nu_{[q]}\bar{\mathscr{L}}^{-1} = \bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\mathscr{U}, \quad \bar{\mathscr{L}}\nu_{[q]}L^{-1} = \mathscr{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\bar{U}. \end{split}$$

Proof. From equations (40) and (42), we deduce:

$$\eta_{[q]}L^{-1}\bar{U}^{-1} = \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}, \quad \nu_{[q]}L^{-1}U^{-1} = \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]},$$

which leads to:

$$\bar{\mathscr{L}}\eta_{[q]}L^{-1} = \mathscr{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U}, \quad \bar{\mathscr{L}}\nu_{[q]}L^{-1} = \mathscr{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\bar{U}.$$

Similarly, from equations (41) and (43), we derive:

$$L^{-1}\bar{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]} = \eta_{[q]}\bar{\mathscr{Z}}^{-1}\mathscr{U}^{-1}, \quad L^{-1}U^{-1}\nu_{[p]} = \nu_{[q]}\bar{\mathscr{Z}}^{-1}\mathscr{U}^{-1},$$

resulting in:

$$\bar{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\mathcal{U} = L\eta_{[q]}\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}, \quad \bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\mathcal{U} = L\nu_{[q]}\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}$$

Motivated by these results, we define the Szegő recurrence matrices as follows:

Definition 2.27 (The Szegő recurrence matrices). the Szegő recurrence matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} R &\coloneqq \bar{\mathscr{D}}\eta_{[q]}L^{-1} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U}, \\ S &\coloneqq L\nu_{[q]}\bar{\mathscr{D}}^{-1} = \bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\mathscr{U}, \\ S &\coloneqq L\nu_{[q]}\bar{\mathscr{D}}^{-1} = \bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\mathscr{U}, \\ \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.28 (Banded structure of the Szegő recurrence matrices). The Szegő matrices are banded matrices, each possessing p + q + 1 diagonals, with q superdiagonals and p subdiagonals.

Proof. From the definition of the matrices $v_{[r]}$ and $\eta_{[r]}$, it's evident that they are banded matrices, each featuring *r* superdiagonals and *r* subdiagonals. Then, by examining Definition 2.27 and employing a similar argument as for the banded structure of *T*, we arrive at the asserted conclusion.

Proposition 2.29. The banded matrices S and S are inverses:

$$SS = SS = I$$

and likewise, R and \mathcal{R} are inverses:

$$R\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}R = I$$

meaning $S = S^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{R} = R^{-1}$.

Proof. It follows from Definition 2.27 and the fact that $v_{[r]}^2 = I$ and $\eta_{[r]}^2 = I$.

With these new matrices in hand, demonstrating the following is straightforward:

Proposition 2.30 (Factorizations of the recurrence matrices). The following factorizations hold true:

$$T = SR, T^{-1} = \mathcal{RS} \mathcal{T}^{-1} = \mathcal{SR}, \mathcal{T} = RS.$$

Proof. Taking into account (21), and based on all the above definitions, we can readily derive the following expressions:

$$SR = \left(\bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\mathcal{U}\right)\left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U}\right) = \bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U} = \bar{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\bar{U} = T,$$

$$RS = \left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U}\right)\left(\bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\mathcal{U}\right) = \mathcal{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\nu_{[p]}\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}^{-1}\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{RS} = \left(\bar{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\mathcal{U}\right)\left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\bar{U}\right)$$

$$= \bar{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}^{\top}\bar{U} = \left(\bar{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\bar{U}\right)^{-1} = T^{-1},$$

$$SR = \left(\mathcal{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\bar{U}\right)\left(\bar{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\mathcal{U}\right) = \mathcal{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\eta_{[p]}\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T}^{-1}.$$

In the context of symmetries, Corollary 2.5 implies the following:

Proposition 2.31 (Symmetry properties of the Szegő recurrence matrices). The following holds:

i) If the matrix of measures is real, i.e., $\mu = \overline{\mu}$, then:

$$R\bar{R} = S\bar{S} = I$$
,

with $\mathcal{R} = \overline{R}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \overline{S}$. Specifically,

$$R = \bar{L}\eta_{[q]}L^{-1} = U^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U},$$

$$S = L\nu_{[q]}\bar{L}^{-1} = \bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}U.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{T} = \overline{T}^{-1}$ and $T = L\Upsilon_{[q]}L^{-1} = \overline{U}^{-1}\Upsilon_{[p]}\overline{U}$.

ii) For p = q, if the matrix of measures is symmetric, i.e., $\mu = \mu^{\top}$, then the matrices R, S, \mathcal{R} , and \mathcal{S} are symmetric complex matrices. Specifically,

$$R = \bar{U}^{\top} \eta L^{-1} = L^{-\top} \eta \bar{U},$$
$$S = L \nu \bar{U}^{-\top} = \bar{U}^{-1} \nu L^{\top}.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{T} = T^{\top}$, where $T = L\Upsilon L^{-1} = \overline{U}^{-1}\Upsilon \overline{U}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \overline{U}^{\top}\Upsilon^{\top}\overline{U}^{-\top} = L^{-\top}\Upsilon^{\top}L^{\top}$.

iii) For p = q, whenever the matrix of measures is Hermitian, i.e. $\mu = \mu^{\dagger}$, the matrices $R, S, T, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{T} are orthogonal complex matrices

$$RR^{\top} = R^{\top}R = SS^{\top} = S^{\top}S = TT^{\top} = T^{\top}T = I,$$

$$\mathscr{R}\mathscr{R}^{\top} = \mathscr{R}^{\top}\mathscr{R} = SS^{\top} = S^{\top}S = \mathscr{T}\mathscr{T}^{\top} = \mathscr{T}^{\top}\mathscr{T} = I.$$

Specifically,

$$R = \bar{\mathcal{L}} \eta L^{-1} = \mathcal{L}^{-\dagger} \eta L^{\top}, \quad S = L \nu \bar{\mathcal{L}}^{-1} = L^{-\top} \nu \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}.$$

iv) If p = q and the matrix of measures is both real and symmetric, i.e., $\mu = \overline{\mu}$ and $\mu = \mu^{\top}$, then the matrices $R = \overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and $S = \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfy:

$$R = R^{\top}, \quad S = S^{\top}, \quad R\bar{R} = S\bar{S} = I.$$

Specifically,

$$R = L\eta L^{-1} = L^{-\top}\eta L^{\top}, \quad S = L\nu L^{-1} = L^{-\top}\nu L^{\top}.$$

Notice that R, S, and T are unitary matrices:

$$RR^{\dagger} = R^{\dagger}R = SS^{\dagger} = S^{\dagger}S = TT^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger}T = I.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{T} = \overline{T}^{-1} = T^{\top}$ are also unitary matrices.

To recover information about the specific entries of the matrices R, \mathcal{R}, S and \mathcal{S}^{-1}

Definition 2.32. i) Let us consider $q \times q$ blocks to describe the lower triangular matrices $L, \bar{\mathscr{L}}$ and $p \times p$ blocks to describe the upper triangular matrices $U, \bar{\mathscr{U}}$:



Here, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, L_n , \mathcal{L}_n are nonsingular lower triangular $q \times q$ matrices and U_n , \mathcal{U}_n are nonsingular upper triangular $p \times p$ matrices. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we also have the blocks l_n , $\ell_n \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times q}$ and u_n , $u_n \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$. Finally, when p = q for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the notation

$$H_n \coloneqq L_n^{-1} \bar{U}_n^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{H}_n \coloneqq \bar{\mathscr{I}}_n^{-1} \mathscr{U}_n^{-1}$$

will be used.

ii) Let us introduce, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the nonsingular matrices $\mathbb{L}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{2q \times 2q}$ and $\mathbb{U}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{2p \times 2p}$ given by

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L}_{n} &\coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n}l_{n}L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n+1}(I_{q} - \bar{\ell}_{n}l_{n})L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n+1}\bar{\ell}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbb{L}_{n}^{-1} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} -L_{n}\bar{\ell}_{n}\bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n}^{-1} & L_{n}\bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n+1}^{-1} \\ L_{n+1}(I_{q} - l_{n}\bar{\ell}_{n})\bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n}^{-1} & L_{n+1}l_{n+1}, n\bar{\mathscr{L}}_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbb{U}_{n} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} -\mathscr{U}_{n}^{-1}u_{n}\bar{U}_{n} & \mathscr{U}_{n}^{-1}(I_{p} - u_{n}\bar{u}_{n})\bar{U}_{n+1} \\ \mathscr{U}_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{U}_{n} & \mathscr{U}_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{U}_{n+1}\bar{U}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbb{U}_{n}^{-1} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{U}_{n}^{-1}\bar{u}_{n}\mathscr{U}_{n} & \bar{U}_{n}^{-1}(I_{p} - \bar{u}_{n}u_{n})\mathscr{U}_{n+1} \\ \bar{U}_{n+1}^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{n} & \bar{U}_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{U}_{n+1}\bar{U}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

and the lower triangular matrices $\mathbb{L}_{-1} \coloneqq \bar{\mathscr{L}}_0 L_0^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times q}$ and the upper triangular matrices $\mathbb{U}_{-1} \coloneqq \mathscr{U}_0^{-1} \bar{U}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$.

Proposition 2.33. For real matrices of measures, i.e. $\mu = \overline{\mu}$ we have

$$\mathbb{L}_{n} = \overline{\mathbb{L}_{n}^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{L}_{n}l_{n}L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{L}_{n+1}(I_{q} - \bar{l}_{n}l_{n})L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n+1}\bar{l}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{U}_{n} = \overline{\mathbb{U}_{n}^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} -U_{n}^{-1}u_{n}\bar{U}_{n} & U_{n}^{-1}(I_{p} - u_{n}\bar{u}_{n})\bar{U}_{n+1} \\ U_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{U}_{n} & U_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{u}_{n}\bar{U}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\mathbb{L}_{-1} = \overline{\mathbb{L}_{-1}^{-1}} = \bar{L}_{0}L_{0}^{-1}, \quad \mathbb{U}_{-1} = \overline{\mathbb{U}_{-1}^{-1}} = U_{0}^{-1}\bar{U}_{0}.$

Then, we can prove that

Proposition 2.34. Matrices R, S, \mathcal{R} and S have the following banded structure



Proof. A block analysis of the relations within Definition 2.27, coupled with the banded structure described in Proposition 2.28, confirms the stated results. \Box

For example, for p = 3 and q = 2 the leading truncated matrices are depicted below

| | $\Gamma * * * 0$ | r000000000000000 | L. L. | * 0 0 0 0 0 | r00000000000 |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | * * * * | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 10 | 0 * 0 0 0 0 | 0000000000 |
| | * * * * | 000000000000 | 10 | 0 0 * * * (| 0000000000 |
| | * * * * | 000000000000 | [| 000*** | 000000000 |
| | 0 * * * | * * * 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 10 | 000*** | 000000000 |
| | 0 0 * * | * * * * 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 000 * * * | 000000000 |
| | 0000 | 00 * * 000000 | Į | 000 * * * | * * * 0 0 0 0 0 |
| $S, \mathcal{S} =$ | 0000 | 0 0 * * 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $R_{\mathcal{R}} = 0$ | 0000** | * * * * 0 0 0 0 |
| ~,~ | 0000 | 00*****000 | ,, | * 0 0 0 0 0 | * * * * 0 0 0 0 |
| | 0000 | 00 * * * * * * 00 | 10 | 0000000 | 0000 * 0000 |
| | 0000 | 000****00 | | 000000 | 0 0 0 * * * * 0 0 0 0 |
| | 0000 | 0 0 0 0 * * * * 0 0 | 10 | 000000 | 000*** |
| | 0000 | 0000000** | 10 | 000000 | 000***** |
| | 0000 | 0000000** | 10 | 000000 | 0000**** |
| | 10000 | 000000** | L | 000000 | 00000*** |

We now analyze the action of the four matrices defined above on the CMV biorthogonal Laurent polynomials, and we collect the results as the following

Proposition 2.35 (Szegő type recurrence relations). The left (correspondingly right) mixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials on the step line B(z) and $A(z^{-1})$ (correspondingly $\mathcal{B}(z)$ and $\mathcal{A}(z^{-1})$) satisfy as well the following recurrence-type relations

$$\begin{split} &RB(z)=\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}), \qquad \mathcal{B}(z)R=\bar{A}(z^{-1}), \qquad \mathcal{R}\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z)=B(z^{-1}), \qquad \bar{A}(z)\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{B}(z^{-1}), \\ &S\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1})=zB(z), \qquad \bar{A}(z^{-1})S=z\mathcal{B}(z), \qquad \mathcal{S}B(z^{-1})=z\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z), \qquad \mathcal{B}(z^{-1})\mathcal{S}=z\bar{A}(z). \end{split}$$

Proof. The action of *R* on the matrix biorthogonal polynomials B(z) is as follows

$$RB(z) = \bar{\mathscr{Z}}\eta_{[q]}L^{-1}LZ_{[q]}(z) = \bar{\mathscr{Z}}\eta_{[q]}Z_{[q]}(z) = \bar{\mathscr{Z}}Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) = \bar{\mathscr{A}}(z^{-1}).$$

The action of *R* on the matrix biorthogonal polynomials $\mathcal{B}(z)$ is

$$\mathcal{B}(z)R = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z)\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\eta_{[p]}\bar{U} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z)\eta_{[p]}\bar{U} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\bar{U} = \bar{A}(z^{-1}).$$

Correspondingly, the action of *S* on $\mathcal{B}(z)$ yields

$$S\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}) = Lv_{[q]}\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{Z}}Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) = Lv_{[q]}Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) = zLZ_{[q]}(z) = zB(z)$$

and finally

$$\bar{A}(z^{-1})S = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\bar{U}\bar{U}^{-1}\nu_{[p]}\mathcal{U} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\nu_{[p]}\mathcal{U} = zZ_{[p]}^{\top}(z)\mathcal{U} = z\mathcal{B}(z).$$

Proposition 2.36 (Reduced Szegő type recurrence relations). i) If the matrix of measures is real, i.e. $\bar{\mu} = \mu$, then there exits banded matrices, with q superdiagonals and p subdiagonals, S and S with $R\bar{R} = S\bar{S} = I$, such that

$$RB(z) = \overline{B}(z^{-1}), \quad A(z)R = \overline{A}(z^{-1}), \quad S\overline{B}(z^{-1}) = zB(z), \quad \overline{A}(z^{-1})S = zA(z).$$

ii) When p = q, if the matrix of measures is symmetric, i.e. $\mu^{\top} = \mu$, then

$$RB(z) = A^{\dagger}(z^{-1}), \quad B^{\top}(z)R = \bar{A}(z^{-1}), \quad SA^{\dagger}(z^{-1}) = zB(z), \quad \bar{A}(z^{-1})S = zB^{\top}(z).$$

iii) When p = q, if the matrix of measures is Hermitian, i.e. $\mu^{\dagger} = \mu$, then

$$RB(z) = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}), \quad \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}(z^{-1})R = B^{\top}(z), \quad S\bar{\mathcal{A}}(z^{-1}) = zB(z), \quad B^{\top}(z^{-1})S = \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}(z)$$

iv) When p = q, for real symmetric matrix of measures, i.e. $\bar{\mu} = \mu$ and $\mu^{\top} = \mu$, there exits unitary symmetric banded (with q superdiagonals) matrices, $R = R^{\top}$, $S = S^{\top}$, $RR^{\dagger} = SS^{\dagger} = I$, such that

$$RB(z) = \overline{B}(z^{-1}), \quad S\overline{B}(z^{-1}) = zB(z).$$

Proof. It follows from Propositions 2.11, 2.28 and 2.31.

Given a matrix polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$, deg P = n, its reverse (or reciprocal) polynomial is $P^* = z^n \overline{P}(z^{-1})$. Let us introduce

Definition 2.37. We define the polynomials matrices $Q_n, Q_n \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times q}[z]$ and $P_n, \mathcal{P}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}[z]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2n}(z) &\coloneqq z^{n} L_{2n}^{-1} B_{2n}^{[q]}(z), \qquad Q_{2n+1}^{*}(z) \coloneqq z^{n+1} \bar{\mathscr{L}}_{2n+1}^{-1} \mathscr{A}_{2n+1}^{[q]}(z), \\ P_{2n}(z) &\coloneqq z^{n} A_{2n}^{[p]}(z) \bar{U}_{2n}^{-1}, \qquad P_{2n+1}^{*}(z) \coloneqq z^{n+1} \mathscr{B}_{2n+1}^{[q]}(z) \mathscr{U}_{2n+1}^{-1}, \\ Q_{2n}(z) &\coloneqq z^{n} \mathscr{B}_{2n}^{[q]}(z) \mathscr{U}_{2n}^{-1}, \qquad Q_{2n+1}^{*}(z) \coloneqq z^{n+1} A_{2n+1}^{[q]}(z) \bar{U}_{2n+1}^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{2n}(z) &\coloneqq z^{n} \bar{\mathscr{L}}_{2n}^{-1} \mathscr{A}_{2n}^{[p]}(z), \qquad \mathcal{P}_{2n+1}^{*}(z) \coloneqq z^{n+1} L_{2n+1}^{-1} B_{2n+1}^{[q]}(z), \end{aligned}$$

are called the Szegő block polynomials.

The polynomials are monic, of the the degree bounded as indicated in the subindex.

2.6. Szegő recurrence for the square matrix case. For p = q, the band in Proposition 2.34 we are lead to

Proposition 2.38. For p = q, the following relations are satisfied

$$L_{n+1,n}H_n = \mathcal{H}_n u_n,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{-1}H_{n+1} = I - u_n \bar{u}_n,$$

$$I - \bar{\ell}_n l_n = \mathcal{H}_{n+1}H_n^{-1},$$

$$\bar{\ell}_n H_{n+1} = \mathcal{H}_{n+1}\bar{u}_n,$$

$$\bar{\ell}_n \mathcal{H}_n = H_n \bar{u}_n,$$

$$H_n^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{n+1} = I - \bar{u}_n u_n,$$

$$I - l_n \bar{\ell}_n = H_{n+1}\mathcal{H}_n^{-1},$$

$$L_{n+1,n}\mathcal{H}_{n+1} = H_{n+1}u_n.$$

Proposition 2.39. Let us assume p = q. Then,

i) If $\mu = \mu^{\top}$ we have:

$$\mathbb{L}_{n} = \mathbb{U}_{n}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{U}_{n}^{\top} l_{n} L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{U}_{n} L_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{U}_{n+1}^{\top} (I - \bar{u}_{n}^{\top} l_{n}) L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{U}_{n+1}^{\top} \bar{u}_{n}^{\top} L_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbb{L}_{n}^{-1} = \mathbb{U}_{n}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{n} \bar{u}_{n}^{\top} \bar{U}_{n}^{-\top} & L_{n} \bar{U}_{n+1}^{-\top} \\ L_{n+1} (I - l_{n} \bar{u}_{n}^{\top}) \bar{U}_{n}^{-\top} & L_{n+1} l_{n} \bar{U}_{n+1}^{-\top} \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\mathbb{L}_{-1} = \mathbb{U}_{-1}^{\top} = \overline{U}_0^{\top} L_0^{-1}$; and $H_n^{\top} = \mathcal{H}_n$. ii) If $\mu = \mu^{\dagger}$, we have:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L}_{n} &= \mathbb{U}_{n}^{-\top} = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n} l_{n} L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n} L_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n+1} (I - \bar{\ell}_{n} l_{n}) L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n+1} \bar{\ell}_{n} L_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbb{L}_{n}^{-1} &= \mathbb{U}_{n}^{-\top} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{n} \bar{\ell}_{n} \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n}^{-1} & L_{n} \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n+1}^{-1} \\ L_{n+1} (I - l_{n} \bar{\ell}_{n}) \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n}^{-1} & L_{n+1} l_{n} \bar{\mathscr{D}}_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

and $\mathbb{L}_{-1} = \mathbb{U}_{-1}^{-\top} = \bar{\mathscr{L}}_0 L_0^{-1}$; and $H_n = \bar{L}_n^{-1} L_n^{-\top}$ and $\mathcal{H}_n = \bar{\mathscr{L}}_n^{-1} L_n^{-\dagger}$.

iii) If $\mu = \overline{\mu}$ and $\mu = \mu^{\top}$, we have:

$$\mathbb{L}_{n} = \overline{\mathbb{L}_{n}^{-1}} = \mathbb{U}_{n}^{\top} = \overline{\mathbb{U}_{n}^{-\top}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{L}_{n}l_{n}L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n}^{\top}L_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{L}_{n+1}(I - \bar{l}_{n}l_{n})L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n+1}\bar{l}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and $H_n = H_n^{\dagger} = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n^{\dagger}$.

Proof. Just recall that for i) $\mathcal{U} = L^{\top}$ and $\mathcal{L} = U^{\top}$, for ii) $U = L^{\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}$ and finally for iii) that $U = L^{\top}$, $\mathcal{L} = L$ and $\mathcal{U} = L^{\top}$.

Proposition 2.40 (The square matrix case). For p = q,

i) For the real case, $\bar{\mu} = \mu$, we have $L = \mathscr{L}$ and $U = \mathscr{U}$ the following relations are satisfied

$$l_{n}H_{n} = H_{n}u_{n},$$

$$\bar{H}_{n}^{-1}H_{n+1} = I - u_{n}\bar{u}_{n},$$

$$I - \bar{l}_{n}l_{n} = \bar{H}_{n+1}H_{n}^{-1},$$

$$l_{n}\bar{H}_{n+1} = H_{n+1}u_{n}.$$

ii) For the symmetric case, $\mu = \mu^{\top}$, we have $\mathcal{U} = L^{\top}$ and $\mathcal{L} = U^{\top}$ the following relations are satisfied

$$\begin{split} l_{n}H_{n} &= H_{n}^{\top}l_{n+1}^{\top}, \\ H_{n}^{-\top}H_{n+1} &= I - l_{n}^{\top}\bar{u}_{n}, \\ \bar{u}_{n}^{\top}H_{n+1} &= H_{n+1}^{\top}\bar{u}_{n}, \\ \bar{u}_{n}^{\top}H_{n}^{\top} &= H_{n}\bar{u}_{n}, \\ H_{n}^{-1}H_{n+1}^{\top} &= I - \bar{u}_{n}l_{n}^{\top}, \\ l_{n}H_{n+1}^{\top} &= H_{n+1}l_{n}^{\top}. \end{split}$$

iii) For the Hermitian case $\mu = \mu^{\dagger}$, we have $U = L^{\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}$ the following relations are satisfied

$$l_n H_n = \mathcal{H}_n \ell_n^{\dagger},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{-1} H_{n+1} = I - \ell_n^{\dagger} l_n^{\top},$$

$$I - \bar{\ell}_n l_n = \mathcal{H}_{n+1} H_n^{-1},$$

$$\bar{\ell}_n H_{n+1} = \mathcal{H}_{n+1} l_n^{\top},$$

$$\bar{\ell}_n \mathcal{H}_n = H_n l_n^{\top},$$

$$H_n^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{n+1} = I - l_n^{\top} \ell_n^{\dagger},$$

$$I - l_n \bar{\ell}_n = H_{n+1} \mathcal{H}_n^{-1},$$

$$l_n \mathcal{H}_{n+1} = H_{n+1} \ell_n^{\dagger}.$$

iv) For the real symmetric case, $\bar{\mu} = \mu$ and $\mu = \mu^{\top}$, we have $U = L^{\top}$, $\mathcal{L} = L$ and $\mathcal{U} = L^{\top}$, and the following relations are satisfied

$$l_n H_n = \bar{H}_n l_n^{\top},$$

$$I - \bar{l}_n l_n = \bar{H}_{n+1} H_n^{-1},$$

$$l_n \bar{H}_{n+1} = H_{n+1} l_n^{\top}.$$

2.7. On the scalar case: Szegő polynomials and recurrence relations. For p = q = 1 and $\bar{\mu} = \mu$, the relations

$$RB(z) = \overline{B}(z^{-1}), \quad zB(z) = S\overline{B}(z^{-1}),$$

can be written in terms of

$$\mathbb{L}_{n} = \overline{\mathbb{L}_{n}^{-1}} = \mathbb{U}_{n}^{\top} = \overline{\mathbb{U}_{n}^{-\top}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{L}_{n}l_{n}L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{L}_{n+1}(1-|l_{n}|^{2})L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n+1}\bar{l}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

as

(47)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{B}_{2n-1}(z^{-1}) \\ \bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{L}_{2n-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_{2n-1}(z) \\ B_{2n}(z) \end{bmatrix},$$

(48)
$$z \begin{bmatrix} B_{2n}(z) \\ B_{2n+1}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \bar{\mathbb{L}}_{2n} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1}) \\ \bar{B}_{2n+1}(z^{-1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that from the first equation of the linear system (47)

(49)
$$\bar{B}_{2n-1}(z^{-1}) = -\bar{L}_{2n-1}l_{2n}L_{2n-1}^{-1}B_{2n-1}(z) + \bar{L}_{2n-1}L_{2n}^{-1}B_{2n}(z)$$

we get

$$B_{2n-1}(z) = -L_{2n-1}\bar{l}_{2n}\bar{L}_{2n-1}^{-1}\bar{B}_{2n-1}(z^{-1}) + L_{2n-1}\bar{L}_{2n}^{-1}\bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1})$$

that using (49) transforms into

$$B_{2n-1}(z) = -L_{2n-1}\bar{l}_{2n}\bar{L}_{2n-1}^{-1}\left(-\bar{L}_{2n-1}l_{2n}L_{2n-1}^{-1}B_{2n-1}(z) + \bar{L}_{2n-1}L_{2n}^{-1}B_{2n}(z)\right) + L_{2n-1}\bar{L}_{2n}^{-1}\bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1})$$
$$= |L_{2n}|^2B_{2n-1}(z) - L_{2n-1}\bar{l}_{2n}L_{2n}^{-1}B_{2n}(z) + L_{2n-1}\bar{L}_{2n}^{-1}\bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1})$$

that can be written as

$$\bar{L}_{2n}(1-|l_{2n}|^2)L_{2n-1}^{-1}B_{2n-1}(z) + \bar{L}_{2n}\bar{l}_{2n}L_{2n}^{-1}B_{2n}(z) = \bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1})$$

which is second equation of the linear system (47). Hence, (49) imply (47). For (48) we proceed similarly, the equation

(50)
$$zB_{2n}(z) = -L_{2n}\bar{l}_{2n+1}\bar{L}_{2n}^{-1}\bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1}) + L_{2n}\bar{L}_{2n+1}^{-1}\bar{B}_{2n+1}(z^{-1})$$

implies the second equation in (48). In terms of the polynomials

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{2n}(z) &\coloneqq z^n L_{2n}^{-1} B_{2n}(z), \\ \Phi_{2n+1}(z) &\coloneqq z^n \bar{L}_{2n+1}^{-1} \bar{B}_{2n+1}(z^{-1}), \\ \Phi_{2n}^*(z) &= z^n \bar{L}_{2n}^{-1} \bar{B}_{2n}(z^{-1}), \\ \Phi_{2n+1}^*(z) &= z^{n+1} L_{2n+1}^{-1} B_{2n+1}(z), \end{split}$$

the system (49) and (50) can be written

$$\Phi_n = z \Phi_{n-1} + \alpha_n \Phi_{n-1}^*, \Phi_n^* = z \bar{\alpha}_n \Phi_{n-1} + \Phi_{n-1}^*,$$

where we use the reciprocal or reverse polynomials $P^*(z) \coloneqq z^{\deg P} \overline{P}(z^{-1})$ and the Verblunsky coefficients given by $\alpha_{2n} = l_{2n}$ and $\alpha_{2n+1} = \overline{l}_{2n+1}$.

These equations are the Szegő recurrence relations for the for the Szegő orthogonal polynomials in the unit circle $\Phi_n(z)$. Hence we refer to R and S as the Szegő matrices and refer to the corresponding recurrence relations for the B's as Szegő recurrence relations. From the previous discussion is clear that the matrices l_n plays a role similar to the one played by the Verblunsky coefficients α_n in the standard Szegő case.

2.8. Recurrences for the multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials. Let us discuss the case with only two real measures, q = 1 and p = 2, $\mu_1 = \bar{\mu}_1$ and $\mu_2 = \bar{\mu}_2$, then

$$\mathbb{L}_{n} = \overline{\mathbb{L}_{n}^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{L}_{n}l_{n}L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{L}_{n+1}(I_{q} - |l_{n}|^{2})L_{n}^{-1} & \bar{L}_{n+1}\bar{l}_{n}L_{n+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2},$$
$$\mathbb{U}_{n} = \overline{\mathbb{U}_{n}^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} -U_{n}^{-1}u_{n}\bar{U}_{n} & U_{n}^{-1}(I_{p} - u_{n}\bar{u}_{n})\bar{U}_{n+1} \\ U_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{U}_{n} & U_{n+1}^{-1}\bar{u}_{n}\bar{U}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{4\times 4},$$

were $L_n, l_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U_n, u_n \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ with $L_n \neq 0$ and

$$U_n = \begin{bmatrix} U_{n,1,1} & U_{n,1,2} \\ 0 & U_{n,2,2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad U_{n,1,1}, U_{n,2,2} \neq 0.$$

For the matrix S providing the Szegő type recursion relations $S\overline{B}(z^{-1}) = zB(z)$ and $\overline{A}(z^{-1})S = zA(z)$ we have a diagonal block structure

$$S = \operatorname{diag}(\overline{\mathbb{U}}_0, \overline{\mathbb{U}}_2, \overline{\mathbb{U}}_4, \dots)$$

with

$$\bar{\mathbb{U}}_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbb{L}}_{2n} & 0_2 \\ U_{2n+1}^{-1} \bar{U}_{2n} & \bar{\mathbb{L}}_{2n+2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{split} I_2 &- U_{2n,2n+1} \bar{U}_{2n,2n+1} = 0_2 \\ &- U_{2n}^{-1} U_{2n,2n+1} \bar{U}_{2n} = \bar{\mathbb{L}}_{2n}, \\ &U_{2n+1}^{-1} \bar{U}_{2n,2n+1} \bar{U}_{2n+1} = \bar{\mathbb{L}}_{2n+2} \end{split}$$

Thus, we get

$$U_{2n,2n+1} = -U_{2n}\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{2n}\bar{U}_{2n}^{-1} = \bar{U}_{2n+1}\mathbb{L}_{2n+2}U_{2n+1}^{-1}.$$

If we write

$$\mathbb{B}_{2n} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} B_{2n} \\ B_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{2n} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} A_{2n}^{(1)} & A_{2n+1}^{(2)} \\ A_{2n}^{(2)} & A_{2n+1}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix},$$

we can write the following Szegő type recurrence

$$\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{4n}\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{4n}(z^{-1}) = z\mathbb{B}_{4n}(z),$$
$$-U_{2n+1}^{-1}\bar{U}_{2n}\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{4n}(z^{-1}) + \bar{\mathbb{L}}_{4n+2}\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{4n+2}(z^{-1}) = z\mathbb{B}_{4n+2}(z),$$
$$\bar{\mathbb{A}}_{4n}(z^{-1})\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{4n} + \bar{\mathbb{A}}_{4+2n}(z^{-1})U_{2n+1}^{-1}\bar{U}_{2n} = z\mathbb{A}_{4n}(z),$$
$$\bar{\mathbb{A}}_{4n+2}(z^{-1})\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{4n+2} = z\mathbb{A}_{4n+2}(z).$$

2.9. **Christoffel–Darboux kernels**. In this section, we aim to present and analyze the Christoffel–Darboux kernels (CD kernels for short) for the CMV biorthogonal Laurent polynomials. We will define them within the framework of mixed-type multiple orthogonality on the unit circle. We also present the fundamental projection and reproducing properties of these mathematical structures, and additionally we provide an Aitken–Berg–Collar (ABC) theorem in the same fashion as it appears in [32].

Here and subsequently, we will deal with truncated matrices instead of semi-infinite matrices. Thus, for any semi-infinite matrix M, the notation $M^{[n]}$ will denote that we get just the n first rows and columns of M. Concerning the CMV Laurent matrix polynomials, $B^{[n]}(z)$ means that we get n rows of B(z), so $B^{[n]}(z)$ will be a $n \times q$ matrix with Laurent polynomials at their entries. Respectively, $A^{[n]}(z^{-1})$ means that we get n columns of $A(z^{-1})$, so $A^{[n]}(z^{-1})$ will be a $p \times n$ matrix with Laurent polynomials at their entries.



Proposition 2.41 (Christoffel-Darboux formula). The following Christoffel-Darboux formula

(52)
$$K^{[n]}(x,y) \coloneqq A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y) = \frac{1}{x^{-1} - y} \left(A^{[p,n]}(x^{-1})T^{[n,-]}B^{[n]}(y) - A^{[n]}(x^{-1})T^{[n,+]}B^{[n,q]}(y) \right)$$

holds. The $p \times q$ matrix $K^{[n]}(x, y)$ is the truncated Christoffel-Darboux kernel. Entrywise, for $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$, and $b \in \{1, ..., q\}$, the above expression can be read as

(53)
$$K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) \coloneqq \left(A^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} \left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(a)}(x^{-1}) B_k^{(b)}(y)$$

Proof. Coming back to the matrix T defined in (44), let us consider the triangular matrices $T^{[n,+]}$ and $T^{[n,-]}$ showed in (51). Observe that $T^{[n,+]}$ is a lower triangular $(2q-1) \times (2q-1)$ matrix, and $T^{[n,-]}$ is an upper triangular $(2p-1) \times (2p-1)$ matrix. From (46) we know TB(z) = zB(z), respectively $A(z^{-1})T = z^{-1}A(z^{-1})$, so based in these expressions, we consider the truncations

$$(TB(z))^{[n]} = zB^{[n]}(z),$$

$$(A(z^{-1})T)^{[n]} = z^{-1}A^{[n]}(z^{-1})$$

Analyzing the action of $T^{[n]}$ in this scenario, we see

$$\begin{split} (TB(z))^{[n]} = & T^{[n]}B^{[n]}(z) + T^{[n,-]}B^{[n,q]}(z) = zB^{[n]}(z), \\ & \left(A(z^{-1})T\right)^{[n]} = & A^{[n]}(z^{-1})T^{[n]} + A^{[p,n]}(z^{-1})T^{[n,-]} = z^{-1}A^{[n]}(z^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Thus, considering the independent complex variables $y, x \in \mathbb{T}$, we rewrite the above equations as

$$T^{[n]}B^{[n]}(y) = yB^{[n]}(y) - T^{[n,+]}B^{[n,q]}(y),$$

$$A^{[n]}(x^{-1})T^{[n]} = x^{-1}A^{[n]}(x^{-1}) - A^{[p,n]}(x^{-1})T^{[n,-]},$$

and multiplying the first one by $A^{[n]}(x^{-1})$ on the l.h.s., and the second one by $B^{[n]}(y)$ on the r.h.s., we finally deduce

$$\left(x^{-1} - y\right) A^{[n]}(x^{-1}) B^{[n]}(y) = A^{[p,n]}(x^{-1}) T^{[n,-]} B^{[n]}(y) - A^{[n]}(x^{-1}) T^{[n,+]} B^{[n,q]}(y).$$

Hence, with the notation

(51)

(54)
$$K^{[n]}(x, y) \coloneqq A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y),$$

where $K^{[n]}(x, y)$ being a $p \times q$ matrix, the statement follows.

Our aim now is to stablish a significant expression concerning the reproducing property of the truncated Christoffel–Darboux kernel $K^{[n]}(x, y)$. In order to achieve this outcome, let us define the following semi-infinite operator as the semi-infinite matrix

$$\pi^{[n]} := \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0_n & 0_n \cdots \\ 0_n & 0_n & 0_n \cdots \\ 0_n & 0_n & 0_n \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

(55)
$$\left(\pi^{[n]}\right)^2 = \pi^{[n]}, \quad \pi^{[n]}I\pi^{[n]} = \pi^{[n]}$$

with I being the semi-infinite identity matrix. We use this block projection operator to prove our next

Theorem 2.42 (reproducing property). For the definition of the Christoffel–Darboux kernel (52), the following reproducing property holds

(56)
$$\oint_{\mathbb{T}} K^{[n]}(x, y) d\mu(y) K^{[n]}(y, z) = K^{[n]}(x, z),$$

where $d\mu(y)$ is a $q \times p$ matrix with Borel measures in their entries, and all the $K^{[n]}$'s involved are $p \times q$ matrices with Laurent polynomials in their entries.

In terms of the components, for $a, c \in \{1, ..., p\}$, and $b, d \in \{1, ..., q\}$, the above expression can be read as

(57)
$$\sum_{c=1}^{p} \sum_{b=1}^{q} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) \mu_{b,c}(y) K_{c,d}^{[n]}(y,z) dy = K_{a,d}^{[n]}(x,z)$$

Observe that, as they where defined in (13) and (10) are row (respectively column) vector with polynomial entries.

Proof. To prove (56) we simply observe that

$$K^{[n]}(x, y) = A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y) = A(x^{-1})\pi^{[n]}B(y).$$

Thus,

$$\oint_{\mathbb{T}} K^{[n]}(x, y) d\mu(y) K^{[n]}(y, z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} A(x^{-1}) \pi^{[n]} B(y) d\mu(y) A(y^{-1}) \pi^{[n]} B(z)$$
$$= A(x^{-1}) \pi^{[n]} \left(\oint_{\mathbb{T}} B(y) d\mu(y) A(y^{-1}) \right) \pi^{[n]} B(z).$$

By biorthogonality the term in parentheses is just the semi-infinite identity matrix I, and hence by (55) we get

$$\oint_{\mathbb{T}} K^{[n]}(x, y) d\mu(y) K^{[n]}(y, z) = A(x^{-1}) \pi^{[n]} B(z) = K^{[n]}(x, z),$$

which proves (56). We recall that $d\mu(y)$ is a $q \times p$ matrix whose entries are measures, so therefore $K^{[n]}$ are in turn $p \times q$ matrices.

For the entrywise version (57), we begin by considering (53). Thus, for $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$, and $b \in \{1, ..., q\}$, we have

$$K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) = \left(A^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} \left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(a)}(x^{-1}) B_k^{(b)}(y).$$

Notice that we also have

$$K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) = \left(A^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} \left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} = \left(\left(Z_{[p]}^{(a)}(z^{-1})\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\right)^{[n]} U^{[n]} L^{[n]} \left(Z_{[q]}^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]}$$

Taking into account (53), entrywise we have

$$\sum_{c=1}^{p} \sum_{b=1}^{q} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x, y) \, \mu_{b,c}(y) K_{c,d}^{[n]}(y, z) dy =$$

(58)

$$\sum_{c=1}^{p} \sum_{b=1}^{q} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} A_{k}^{(a)}(x^{-1}) B_{k}^{(b)}(y) \, \mu_{b,c}(y) A_{\ell}^{(c)}(y^{-1}) B_{\ell}^{(d)}(z) dy.$$

Next, by the biorthogonality property, it is a simple matter to see that

$$\sum_{c=1}^{p} \sum_{b=1}^{q} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} B_{k}^{(b)}(y) \, \mu_{b,c}(y) \, A_{\ell}^{(c)}(y^{-1}) dy = \delta_{k,\ell}$$

and therefore (58) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \delta_{k,\ell} A_k^{(a)}(x^{-1}) B_\ell^{(d)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(a)}(x^{-1}) B_k^{(d)}(z) = K_{a,d}^{[n]}(x,z).$$

Moving forward with the above property at hand, and in like manner as in the scalar case, we find that the Christoffel–Darboux kernel (52) can be interpreted as the integral kernel of a projection, and we next discuss this particular property and the linear spaces involved. Note that, due to the matrix nature of the situation under study, the CD kernel can carry out the projection in two different ways. To conduct a more comprehensive analysis, let us consider two different types of matrices, whose entries are integrable functions. Let us consider the $r \times q$ matrix $f_B^{r \times q} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times q}$, (respectively the $p \times r$ matrix $f_A^{p \times r} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{p \times r}$) whose entries are integrable functions. To keep things simple, and without loss of generality, we can set r = 1 in the analysis that follows. Thus let us consider the $1 \times q$ row vector $f_B : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^q$, such that $f_B(z) = \left[f_B^{(1)}(z) \cdots f_B^{(q)}(z)\right]^{\top}$), with integrable functions on the complex plane at their respective entries. Let $\mathcal{F}_q = \{f_B(z) \text{ such that } f_B : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^q\}$ (respectively $\mathcal{F}_p = \{f_A(z) \text{ such that } f_A : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^p\}$). Let us define now the linear space of $1 \times q$ row vectors, whose entries are Laurent polynomials of complex coefficients \mathcal{H}_B , which is in turn the linear span $\mathcal{H}_B = \text{span}\{B_k^{(D')}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ for $b, b' \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ (respectively the linear space of $p \times 1$ column vectors, whose entries are Laurent polynomials of complex coefficients \mathcal{H}_A , which is in turn the linear span $\mathcal{H}_B = \text{span}\{B_k^{(D')}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ for $b, b' \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ (respectively the linear space of $p \times 1$ column vectors, whose entries are Laurent polynomials of complex coefficients \mathcal{H}_A , which is in turn the linear span $\mathcal{H}_A = \text{span}\{A_k^{(a')}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ for $a, a' \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$) of the CMV matrix Laurent polynomial B(z) (respectively $A(z^{-1})$).

Hence, we need to consider two different kinds of projection operators $\pi_B^{[n]} : \mathcal{F}_q \to \mathcal{H}_B$ and $\pi_A^{[n]} : \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathcal{H}_A$ given by

$$f_B(x) \longmapsto \left(\pi_B^{[n]} f_B\right)(y) \coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} f_B(x) \mathrm{d}\mu, f_A(y) \quad \longmapsto \left(\pi_A^{[n]} f_A\right)(x) \coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} K^{[n]}(x, y) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) f_A(y)$$

Thus, the truncated kernel polynomial $K^{[n]}(x, y)$ is the integral kernel of the above projection operators. To finish this Section, we prove the following noteworthy finding

Theorem 2.43 (ABC Theorem). For the definition of the Christoffel–Darboux kernel (52), the following identity holds

$$K^{[n]}(x, y) = \left(Z_{[p]}^{\top}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} \left(M^{[n]}\right)^{-1} \left(Z_{[q]}(y)\right)^{[n]}$$

Componentwise, with $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$ and $b \in \{1, ..., q\}$, for the definition of the Christoffel–Darboux kernel (53), the following alternative identity holds

$$K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) = \left(\left(Z_{[p]}^{(a)}(x^{-1}) \right)^{[n]} \right)^{\top} \left(M^{[n]} \right)^{-1} \left(Z_{[q]}^{(b)}(y) \right)^{[n]}.$$

Proof. First, from (7) we know that a truncation of size *n* of the matrix of moments can be given by

$$M^{[n]} = \left(L^{[n]}\right)^{-1} \left(U^{[n]}\right)^{-1},$$

so therefore

(59)
$$\left(M^{[n]}\right)^{-1} = U^{[n]}L^{[n]}.$$

Next, replacing the definitions (9) and (12), namely

$$B(z) = LZ_{[q]}(z)$$
, and $A(z^{-1}) = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})U$.

Thus, from (54) we deduce

$$\begin{split} K^{[n]}(x,y) =& A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y) = \left(Z^{\top}_{[p]}(x^{-1})U\right)^{[n]} \left(LZ_{[q]}(y)\right)^{[n]} \\ &= \left(Z^{\top}_{[p]}(z^{-1})\right)^{[n]} U^{[n]}L^{[n]} \left(Z_{[q]}(y)\right)^{[n]}. \end{split}$$

Replacing (59) in the above expression, the statement follows.

Concerning the componentwise expression, combining formulas (10) and (13) with (53), and making the above computations in the same manner, the expression follows. \Box

3. Christoffel perturbations

In this section, we will examine the theory of Christoffel transformations of the $q \times p$ matrix of measures $d\mu(z)$ defined in (1). It means that we will discuss the effect of the multiplication of $d\mu(z)$ by certain appropriate $r \times r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ diagonal matrices $W_{[r]}(z)$ with Laurent polynomials at the entries of the main diagonal, which we will define in detail a little later. Since we are working with matrices, let us observe that these transformations can come from the left hand side (LHS for short in the sequel) multiplication of $d\mu(z)$, namely $W_{[q]}(z)d\mu(z)$, and also in a dual manner they can come from the right hand side (RHS for short in the sequel) multiplication of $d\mu(z)$, namely $d\mu(z)W_{[p]}(z)$. We will begin with a thorough development of the LHS Christoffel perturbations of $d\mu(z)$, and towards the last part of the section, as the results are expected to be similar, we will provide a less detailed presentation of the results for the RHS Christoffel perturbations of $d\mu(z)$.

First of all, we will start by introducing some structures that will be useful to us in the development of the main ideas. Thus, let us define the following matrices based on the identity matrix. For $a, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{1, \ldots, r\}, v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, the matrix $I_{[r]}^{(a)}$ will be a semi-infinite diagonal matrix with ones just at the positions (rv + a, rv + a) in the main diagonal, and zeros everywhere else. For a given r, these matrices satisfy

(60)
$$I_{[r]}^{(a)}I_{[r]}^{(b)} = \delta_{a,b}I_{[r]}^{(a)},$$

for $\delta_{a,b}$ being the Kronecker delta, and also we find

$$\sum_{a=1}^{r} I_{[r]}^{(a)} = I$$

for *I* being the semi-infinite identity matrix. For example, for r = 2 we have

$$I_{[2]}^{(1)} \coloneqq \operatorname{diag}(1,0|1,0|1,0,\ldots), \quad I_{[2]}^{(2)} \coloneqq \operatorname{diag}(0,1|0,1|0,1|\ldots).$$

From (60) we know that

(61)
$$I_{[r]}^{(a)}I_{[r]}^{(a)} = \left(I_{[r]}^{(a)}\right)^2 = I_{[r]}^{(a)},$$

and also observe that

(62)
$$I_{[r]}^{(a)} Z_{[r]}(z) = Z_{[r]}^{(a)}$$

In this framework, from (24), we can define the following r projector matrices $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)}$, $a \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, such that

(63)
$$\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)} = \Upsilon_{[r]} I_{[r]}^{(a)} = I_{[r]}^{(a)} \Upsilon_{[r]},$$

satisfying

$$\sum_{a=1}^{r} \Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)} = \Upsilon_{[r]} \sum_{a=1}^{r} I_{[r]}^{(a)} = \Upsilon_{[r]} I_{[r]} = \Upsilon_{[r]}.$$

The action of these projector matrices $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)}$ on the monomial matrices (2) is such that $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)}$ multiplying $Z_{[r]}(z)$ yields z by a monomial matrix $Z_{[r]}^{(a)}(z)$ of size $\infty \times r$, which are all zeros except the *a*-th colum, satisfying

$$\sum_{a=1}^{r} Z_{[r]}^{(a)}(z) = Z_{[r]}(z)$$

Moreover, the action of these matrices on a semi-infinite column vector of the monomial matrix $Z_{[r]}(z)$ can be seen as follows

(64)
$$\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)} Z_{[r]}^{(b)}(z) = z \delta_{a,b} Z_{[r]}^{(b)}(z).$$

Notice that these columns $Z_{[r]}^{(a)}$ are previously defined in (3), and note also the following subtle detail: with this notation, and taking (61) into account, we find that

$$\Upsilon_{[r]} Z_{[r]}^{(a)}(z) = \Upsilon_{[r]} I_{[r]}^{(a)} Z_{[r]}(z) = \Upsilon_{[r]} \left(I_{[r]}^{(a)} \right)^2 Z_{[r]}(z) = \Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)} Z_{[r]}^{(a)}(z).$$

Although these semi-infinite projection matrices $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)}$ have a countable infinity number of columns identically zero, it is a simple matter to check that if we restrict their action just to their own subspace, the transpose of $\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)}$ is also its inverse, that is, $\left(\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)}\right)^{\top} = \left(\Upsilon_{[r]}^{(a)}\right)^{-1}$. For reasons that will become clear a little further ahead, here we are going to analyze perturbations of a

For reasons that will become clear a little further ahead, here we are going to analyze perturbations of a very specific form. Similar perturbations were described for the first time in [6], and there they were called "*prepared Laurent polynomials*". To describe the particular kind of modifications in which we are interested here, we first define a *balanced Laurent polynomial* as follows

(65)
$$W(z) = c(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_{2d}) z^{-d} = \sum_{i=-d}^d \omega_i z^i,$$

for which $\deg^{\pm} W(z) = d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, with W(z) having exactly 2d different complex zeros. Let us define now a $r \times r$ diagonal matrix

(66)
$$W_{[r]}(z) \coloneqq \operatorname{diag} \left(W_1(z), \dots, W_r(z) \right),$$

with all the entries in its main diagonal being well-poised Laurent polynomials of the same degrees $\pm d$, and different zeros. By extension, we will refer to $W_{[r]}(z)$ as matrix balanced Laurent polynomial. Under this framework, $W_{[r]}(z)$ has a total of 2dr different and simple zeros, and given a matrix of measures (1), in what follows we will consider the new perturbed matrix of measures

$$d\hat{\mu}(z) \coloneqq W_{[q]}(z) d\mu(z)$$

where $W_{[q]}(z)$ is a matrix prepared Laurent polynomial. The corresponding Christoffel W-modified CMV left $(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$ (resp. right $(\tilde{\tilde{M}})$) moment matrices are

(67)
$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) d\hat{\mu}(z) Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z^{-1}) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) W_{[q]}(z) d\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z^{-1})$$

and

$$\tilde{\hat{M}} = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z^{-1}) W_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z)$$

Next, with the notation

(68)
$$\mathcal{W} = \sum_{b=1}^{q} W_b \left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(b)}\right).$$

we prove the following result concerning the connection between the Gram matrices M and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proposition 3.1. The Christoffel W perturbed CMV moment matrix $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ defined in (67), can be rewritten as

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) W_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z^{-1}) = \mathcal{W} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z^{-1}) = \mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}.$$

Proof. From, (21)-(25) we have

(69)
$$\left(\Upsilon_{[r]}\right)^{k} Z_{[r]}(z) = z^{k} Z_{[r]}(z) = Z_{[r]}(z) z^{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This in turn implies that, for any Laurent polynomial p(z) we have

 $p\left(\Upsilon_{[r]}\right) Z_{[r]}(z) = Z_{[r]}(z) p(z).$

In particular, for any given matrix prepared Laurent polynomial $W_{[q]}(z)$ we get

(70)
$$W_{[q]}(\Upsilon_{[q]}) Z_{[q]}(z) = Z_{[q]}(z) W_{[q]}(z) .$$

The right hand side above can be written as

$$Z_{[q]}(z)W_{[q]}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{[q]}^{(1)} & Z_{[q]}^{(2)} & \cdots & Z_{[q]}^{(q)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_1(z) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & W_q(z) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} Z_{[q]}^{(1)}W_1(z) & Z_{[q]}^{(2)}W_2(z) & \cdots & Z_{[q]}^{(q)}W_q(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Every entry in the above vector is, in fact, a semi-infinite column vector with Laurent polynomials in their entries, and taking (62) into account, they can be rewritten as

$$Z_{[q]}^{(a)}W_a(z) = I_{[q]}^{(a)}Z_{[q]}(z)W_a(z).$$

which yields

$$Z_{[q]}(z)W_{[q]}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{[q]}^{(1)}W_1(z) & I_{[q]}^{(2)}W_2(z) \cdots & I_{[q]}^{(q)}W_q(z) \end{bmatrix} Z_{[q]}(z).$$

On the other hand,

$$W_{[q]}(\Upsilon_{[q]}) Z_{[q]}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} W_1(\Upsilon_{[q]}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & W_q(\Upsilon_{[q]}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{[q]}^{(1)} & Z_{[q]}^{(2)} & \cdots & Z_{[q]}^{(q)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} W_1(\Upsilon_{[q]}) Z_{[q]}^{(1)} & W_2(\Upsilon_{[q]}) Z_{[q]}^{(2)} & \cdots & W_q(\Upsilon_{[q]}) Z_{[q]}^{(q)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, every entry in the above vector is, in fact, a semi-infinite column vector with Laurent polynomials in their entries, and taking (62) into account, they can be rewritten as

$$W_{a}(\Upsilon_{[q]})Z_{[q]}^{(a)} = W_{a}(\Upsilon_{[q]})I_{[q]}^{(a)}Z_{[q]}(z) = W_{a}(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(a)})Z_{[q]}(z),$$

which leads to

$$W_{[q]}(\Upsilon_{[q]}) Z_{[q]}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} W_1(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(1)}) & W_2(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(2)}) & \cdots & W_q(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(q)}) \end{bmatrix} Z_{[q]}(z).$$

Hence, from (70), (60), (64) and ((63) we finally obtain

$$Z_{[q]}(z)W_{[q]}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} W_1(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(1)})Z_{[q]}^{(1)} & W_2(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(2)})Z_{[q]}^{(2)} & \cdots & W_2(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(q)})Z_{[q]}^{(q)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} W_1\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(1)}\right) + W_2\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(2)}\right) + \cdots + W_q\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(q)}\right) \end{pmatrix} Z_{[q]}(z)$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{b=1}^q W_b\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(b)}\right) \end{pmatrix} Z_{[q]}(z).$$

| - | |
|---|--|

From now on, by analogy, we will denote by

$$\hat{B}(z) \coloneqq \hat{L}Z_{[q]}(z), \text{ and } \hat{A}(z^{-1}) \coloneqq Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\hat{U}$$

the CMV matrix Laurent polynomials, biorthogonal with respect to the Christoffel W-modified matrix of measures $d\hat{\mu}$.

3.1. Connector matrix and connection formulas. Next we consider in turn the Gauss factorization of the moment matrix $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$, which is

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \hat{L}^{-1}\hat{U}^{-1} = \mathcal{W}L^{-1}U^{-1}.$$

Multiplying the last equation by U on the r.h.s., and by \hat{L} on the l.h.s., we call the resulting semi-infinite upper triangular connection matrix

(71)
$$N_C \coloneqq \hat{U}^{-1}U = \hat{L}\mathcal{W}L^{-1}.$$

The matrix N_C is know as a connector, or connection matrix (see [26, Sec. 6.3]), because it links the original with the modified sequences of orthogonal polynomials. We next prove the following important result concerning the connection properties of N_C

Proposition 3.2 (Connection formulas). The following connection formulas, between CMV matrix Laurent polynomials biorthogonals with respect to $d\mu(z)$ and $d\hat{\mu}(z)$, hold:

(72)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}\,\hat{B}(z) &= \mathcal{N}\,B(z), & \hat{B}^{(b)}(z) &= \frac{1}{W_b(z)}\,\mathcal{N}\,B^{(b)}(z), \\
\hat{A}(z^{-1})\,N_C &= A(z^{-1}), & \hat{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1})\,N_C &= A^{(a)}(z^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (3) and (9) we can therefore study the action of the matrix N_C on B(z), namely

$$\mathcal{N}B(z) = \hat{L}\mathcal{W}L^{-1}LZ_{[q]}(z) = \hat{L}\mathcal{W}Z_{[q]}(z) = \mathcal{W}\hat{B}(z),$$

and therefore, on the columns of B(z), we have

(73)
$$\mathcal{N} B^{(b)} = \hat{L} \mathcal{W} Z^{(b)}_{[q]} = W_b(z) \hat{B}^{(b)}.$$

which provides the following connection formula for the polynomials $\hat{B}^{(b)}(z)$ and $B^{(b)}(z)$

(74)
$$\hat{B}^{(b)}(z) = \frac{1}{W_b(z)} \mathcal{N} B^{(b)}(z)$$

Proceeding in a similar fashion, for $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$ and taking into account (12) we find

$$A(z^{-1}) = Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z^{-1})U = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1)}(z^{-1}) \\ A^{(2)}(z^{-1}) \\ \vdots \\ A^{(p)}(z^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(Z_{[p]}^{(1)}(z^{-1}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \left(Z_{[p]}^{(2)}(z^{-1}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots \\ \left(Z_{[p]}^{(p)}(z^{-1}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} U$$

and therefore, the inverse of the semi-infinite upper triangular matrix N_C , acting on the r.h.s. $A(z^{-1})$, yields

$$A(z^{-1}) N_C^{-1} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) U U^{-1} \hat{U} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) \hat{U} = \hat{A}(z^{-1})$$

which provides the following connection formula for polynomials $\hat{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1})$ and $A^{(a)}(z^{-1})$

ł

$$\hat{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1})N_C = A^{(a)}(z^{-1}).$$

From (71), it is clear that the structure of the connection matrix N_C depends on the polynomials at the entries of the perturbation matrix $W_{[q]}(z)$. Indeed, we observe that evaluating (73) at the zeros of every prepared Laurent polynomial at the main diagonal of $W_b(z)$ (see (65), one gets

$$\mathcal{N} B^{(b)}(z_{b,\ell}) = 0$$
, for every $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 2d\}$.

Moreover, denoting by $N_{C,i,j}$ the elements of N_C , and taking into account that N_C is an upper triangular matrix (i.e., $N_{C,i,j} = 0$ if i > j), thus for i = 0, 1, 2, ... we can assert that

(75)
$$\sum_{j=i}^{i+2dq} N_{C,i,j} B_j^{(b)}(z_{b,\ell}) = 0, \quad b = 1, \dots, q, \text{ and } \ell \in \{1, \dots, 2d\}.$$

We are interested in solving the above linear system of equations for the entries $N_{C,i,j}$, $j \ge i$, and in order to do so, we restrict ourselves to the situation where all the zeros $z_{b,\ell}$ are simple, and different for every $b = 1, \ldots, q$.

Next we discuss the reason why we need perturbations as prepared Laurent polynomials of the same degree in $W_{[q]}(z)$, and this relies on the particular structure of the matrix $\Upsilon_{[q]}$ and its powers. From (68) and (71) we know

$$N_C = \hat{U}^{-1}U = \hat{L}\left(\sum_{b=1}^{q} W_b\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(b)}\right)\right)L^{-1}.$$

On one side $\hat{U}^{-1}U$ means that N_C must be an upper triangular matrix, and on the other side one encounters that $\hat{L}WL^{-1}$ must be a lower Hessenberg matrix depending on the particular structure of the matrix $\sum_{b=1}^{q} W_b\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(b)}\right)$, that in turn depends on the degrees $\pm d$, and the number q of the prepared Laurent polynomials at the diagonal of $W_{[q]}\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}\right)$. From (69), the matrix $W_{[q]}\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}\right)$ will be a (4dq + 1)-diagonal matrix, which in turn implies that its highest nonzero upper-diagonal will be at position (2dq + 1). Putting together the two bolded requirements mentioned above, it follows that N_C will be a semi-infinite upper (2dq + 1)-banded matrix, so in order to determine its entries we need 2dq different zeros for the prepared Laurent polynomials in $W_{[q]}\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}\right)$. It means that all the zeros in the diagonal entries of the perturbation $W_{[q]}$ must be a total of 2dq different zeros. The shape of N_C is shown in (76).



3.1.1. Connection formula between the CD kernels. Next, we are going to connect the above kernel (52) with the corresponding kernel of the modified matrix measure $d\hat{\mu}(z)$, that is, we find a connection formula between $K^{[n]}(x, y)$ and $\hat{K}^{[n]}(x, y)$.

From (72), we define the matrix $N_C^{[n,2dq]}$, showed in (78), where the truncation of the product $N_C B(z)$ is also shown graphically. The matrix $N_C^{[n,2dq]}$ satisfies

(77)
$$(N_C B(z))^{[n]} = N_C^{[n]} B^{[n]}(z) + \left(N_C^{[n,2dq]} B^{[n,2dq]}(z) \right)_{n \times q} = W(z) \, \hat{B}^{[n]}(z).$$



Observe that the above expression takes a different form for polynomials $A(z^{-1})$, because the matrix N_C is a banded upper triangular matrix, so we only have zeros under the main diagonal, and therefore we actually have

(79)
$$\hat{A}^{[n]}(z^{-1})N_C^{[n]} = A^{[n]}(z^{-1})$$

Taking into account column by column the above expressions, and the definition (53), the modified kernel is given by

$$\begin{split} W_{b}(y)\hat{K}_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) = &W_{b}(y)\left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}\left(\hat{B}^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} \\ &= \left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}\left(N_{C}^{[n]}\left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} + N_{C}^{[n,2dq]}\left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n,2dq]}\right) \\ &= \left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}N_{C}^{[n]}\left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} + \left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}N_{C}^{[n,2dq]}\left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n,2dq]} \\ &= \left(A^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}\left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} + \left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}N_{C}^{[n,2dq]}\left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n,2dq]} \end{split}$$

so, we thus conclude

(80)
$$W_b(y)\hat{K}_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) = K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) + \left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} N_C^{[n,2dq]} \left(B^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n,2dq]}$$

3.2. Christoffel formula for $\hat{B}(z)$. Next, we provide the corresponding Christoffel formula for the CMV Laurent polynomials $\hat{B}(z)$. With the same notation \tilde{N}_{Ci} as above, for (75) we have

$$\sum_{m=n}^{n+2dq-1} N_{C,n,m} B_m^{(b)}(z_{b,\ell}) = -\tilde{N}_{C,n} B_{n+2dq}^{(b)}(z_{b,\ell}), \qquad b \in \{1,\ldots,q\}, \quad \ell \in \{1,\ldots,2d\}.$$

In the sequel we use the following notation

$$\mathcal{B}_{n} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} B_{n}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{n}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{n}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) \\ B_{n+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{n+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{n+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{n+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) \end{bmatrix}$$

This squared $2dq \times 2dq$ matrix comes from the evaluation of the matrix $(B^{(b)}(z_{b,\ell}))^{[n,2dq]}$ at the 2dq different zeros $z_{b,\ell}$, $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, 2d\}$ of the perturbation matrix $W_{[q]}$ defined in (66). Then, in matrix form, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{C,n,n} & N_{C,n,n+1} \cdots N_{C,n,n+2dq-1} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}_n = -\tilde{N}_{C,n} \begin{bmatrix} B_{n+2dq}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) & B_{i+2dq}^{(2)}(z_{2,2}) \cdots B_{n+2dq}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution of this equation provides all the nonzero entries of the connection matrix N_C , which are our unknowns. Thus, replacing all these values in (74) leads to

$$W_b(z)\frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n}}\hat{B}_i^{(b)}(z) = B_{n+2dq}^{(b)}(z) - \left[B_{n+2dq}^{(1)}(z_{1,1})\cdots B_{n+2dq}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d})\right]\mathcal{B}_n^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}B_n^{(b)}(z)\\\vdots\\B_{n+2dq-1}^{(b)}(z)\end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, let us define the quasi-determinant Θ_* of a given block matrix as follows (see, for example [26, Sec. 3.1], [22])

(81)
$$\Theta_* \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & b \\ \hline c & d \end{array} \right] \coloneqq d - cA^{-1}b = \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c|c} A & b \\ \hline c & d \end{array} \right|}{|A|}.$$

From this, we found that the CMV mixed multiple Laurent OPUC on the step line $\hat{B}(z)$ associated with the Christoffel W-modified matrix of measures supported on the unit circle $d\hat{\mu}(z) = W_{[q]}d\mu(z)$, can be represented in terms of the polynomials B(z) as the following quasi-determinant

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{C,i}}\hat{B}_{n}^{(b)}(z) = \frac{1}{W_{b}(z)}\Theta_{*}\begin{bmatrix}B_{n}^{(1)}(z_{1,1})\cdots B_{n}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) & B_{n}^{(b)}(z)\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ B_{n+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1})\cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) & B_{n+2dq-1}^{(b)}(z)\\ B_{n+2dq}^{(1)}(z_{1,1})\cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) & B_{n+2dq}^{(b)}(z)\end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, taking again into account (81), we have proved the following

Proposition 3.3. The Christoffel formula for the perturbed CMV mixed multiple Laurent OPUC on the step-line $\hat{B}(z)$ can be written as follows

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n}}\hat{B}_{n}^{(b)}(z) = \frac{1}{W_{b}(z)} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} B_{n}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) & B_{n}^{(b)}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{n+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) & B_{n+2dq-1}^{(b)}(z) \\ B_{n+2dq}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) & B_{n+2dq}^{(b)}(z) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} B_{n}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{n+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{n+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) \end{vmatrix}}$$

Remark 3.4. It is important to note that the entries of the determinant at the denominator of the above expression depend on the 2dq different zeros of the matrix balanced Laurent polynomials $W_{[q]}$, so in the sequel we only consider those set of 2dq zeros such that the aforementioned determinant is not zero.

3.3. Christoffel formula for $\hat{A}(z^{-1})$. We next consider the Christoffel formula for the CMV Laurent polynomials $A(z^{-1})$, which come in terms of the CD kernels of the above section (2.9). Evaluating formula (80) for a fixed $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, at the zeros of the polynomials $W_b(y)$, for $y = z_{b,\ell}$, $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, 2d\}$, yields $W_b(z_{b,\ell}) = 0$. We will use the notation

$$\kappa_{a,b,\ell}^{[n]}(x) \coloneqq K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x, z_{b,\ell}), \qquad \kappa_a^{[n]}(x) \coloneqq \left[\kappa_{a,1,1}^{[n]}(x) \cdots \kappa_{a,1,2d}^{[n]}(x) \cdots \kappa_{a,q,1}^{[n]}(x) \cdots \kappa_{a,q,2d}^{[n]}(x)\right]$$

Remark 3.5. It is important to note that the entries in Π depend on the 2dq different zeros of the matrix balanced Laurent polynomials $W_{[q]}$, so in the sequel we only consider those set of 2dq zeros such that det $\Pi \neq 0$. That is, we only deal with those cases in which Π is nonsingular, and therefore it is an invertible matrix.

Thus, we get

$$\kappa_a^{[n]}(x) = -\left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} N_C^{[n,2dq]} \mathcal{B}_n,$$

Next, let $\boldsymbol{e}_{s}^{[r]}$, $s, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq s \leq r$ be the $r \times 1$ column vector (82) $\boldsymbol{e}_{s}^{[r]} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ & & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & \\ & & & & s \text{-th position} \\ & & & & r \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$

with just one at position s and zeros everywhere else. Also we use $e_s = e_s^{[s]}$.

According to remark 3.5, we only consider the cases in which the square matrix Π is invertible, so we can write

$$\kappa_a^{[n]}(x)\mathcal{B}_n^{-1}\boldsymbol{e}_{2dq} = -\left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} N_C^{[n,2dq]}$$

and taking into account (82), and the structure of the matrix $N_C^{[n,2dq]}$ we can finally state

$$\kappa_a^{[n]}(x)\mathcal{B}_n^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{2dq}^{[2dq]} = -\left(\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} \tilde{N}_{C,n-1}$$

where we denoted by $\tilde{N}_{C,n} = N_{C,n,n+2dq+1}$.

Thus, we have proved the following

Theorem 3.6. The mixed multiple Laurent OPUC on the step line $\hat{A}(z^{-1})$ associated with the Christoffel W-modified matrix of measures supported on the unit circle $d\hat{\mu}(z) = W_{[q]}d\mu(z)$, can be represented in terms of the polynomials $A(z^{-1})$ as follows

$$\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1}) = \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n-1}} \kappa_a^{[n]}(x) \mathcal{B}_n^{-1}.$$

Hence, with definition (81) at hand, we can rewrite $\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})$ in terms of quasi-determinants as follows

$$\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1}) = \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n-1}} \kappa_a^{[n]}(x) \, \mathcal{B}_n^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{2dq} = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n-1}} \Theta_* \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_n \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{2dq}}{\kappa_a^{[n]}(x) \quad 0} \right] = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n-1}} \frac{\left| \frac{\mathcal{B}_n \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{2dq}}{\kappa_a^{[n]}(x) \quad 0} \right|}{|\mathcal{B}_n|}$$

or, more explicitly, expanding the determinant of the numerator by the last column

$$\hat{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1}) = \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n-1}} \frac{B_{i}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) = 0}{\tilde{N}_{i+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d}) = 0}{\tilde{N}_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1})} = \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{C,n-1}} \frac{B_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1})}{B_{i+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d})} = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{i+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d})}{B_{i+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{i+1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d})} = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d})}}{B_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(1)}(z_{1,2d}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,1}) \cdots B_{i+2dq-1}^{(q)}(z_{q,2d})}}$$

4. GERONIMUS PERTURBATION

In this section we are going to study the so called Geronimus perturbations of the matrix measure, corresponding to divisions of $d\mu(z)$ by certain appropriated Laurent polynomials. As in the previous section, given the matrix nature of the problem we will find again two types of Geronimus modifications, namely the LHS and the RHS Geronimus perturbations of $d\mu(z)$. In a similar way, and since the theoretical development of the RHS case is the dual of the LHS case, we will perform in detail just the LHS Geronimus perturbations

of $d\mu(z)$, and for the dual case we will restrict ourselves to presenting the main results in the last part of this section.

In the sequel, we follow the ideas in [4], [6] and [8], and as in those papers, the so called second kind functions will play an important role in the subsequent discussion. Hence, let us define certain $q \times p$, with $q, p \in \mathbb{N}$ matrix of complex measures $d\check{\mu}(z)$, supported on the unit circle \mathbb{T} , and such that the following identity holds

(83)
$$d\mu(z) = W_{[q]}(z)d\check{\mu}(z) = \begin{vmatrix} W_1(z) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & W_q(z) \end{vmatrix} d\check{\mu}(z).$$

 $W_{[q]}(z)$ is a matrix prepared Laurent polynomial, as that defined in section 3. Concerning the related matrices of moments, M was define in (5), namely $M = L^{-1}U^{-1}$, and we define LHS Geronimus W-modified moment matrix \check{M} as follows

$$\check{\mathcal{M}} \coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})$$

Proposition 4.1. With the notation (68), the LHS Geronimus perturbed CMV moment matrix \check{M} , and the moment matrix M, can be related as follows

$$\mathcal{W}\dot{\mathcal{M}} = M$$

Proof. The proof is quite obvious from the definition of the matrices \check{M} and M. Thus

$$M = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) d\mu(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) W_{[q]}(z) d\check{\mu}(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})$$
$$= \left(\sum_{b=1}^{q} W_{b}\left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(b)}\right)\right) \oint_{\mathbb{T}} Z_{[q]}(z) d\check{\mu}(z) Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) = \mathcal{W}\check{\mathcal{M}}.$$

By analogy, in the sequel we will denote by

(84) $\check{B}(z) \coloneqq \check{L}Z_{[q]}(z), \text{ and } \check{A}(z^{-1}) \coloneqq Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1})\check{U}$

the CMV matrix Laurent polynomials, biorthogonal with respect to the Geronimus *W*-modified matrix of measures $d\check{\mu}$.

4.1. Connector matrix and connection formulas. Next we consider the Gauss factorization of the moment matrix $\check{\mathcal{M}} = \check{L}^{-1}\check{U}^{-1}$, and taking into account the last proposition, we have

$$L^{-1}U^{-1} = \mathcal{W}\check{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{W}\check{L}^{-1}\check{U}^{-1}.$$

Multiplying the last equation by \check{U} on the r.h.s., and by L on the l.h.s., we call the resulting semi-infinite upper triangular *connection matrix*

(85)
$$N_G \coloneqq U^{-1}\check{U} = L \sum_{b=1}^q W_b(\Upsilon^{(b)})\check{L}^{-1} = L \,\mathcal{W}\,\check{L}^{-1}.$$

In this case, the connector, or connection matrix is given by N_G , and it links the original with the Geronimus *W*-modified sequences of orthogonal polynomials. As in the Christoffel modifying case, we can state as follows the connection properties of N_G

Proposition 4.2 (Connection formulas). The following connection formulas, between CMV matrix Laurent polynomials biorthogonals with respect to $d\mu(z)$ and $d\check{\mu}(z)$, hold:

(86)

$$N_G \check{B}(z) = B(z)W_{[q]}(z), \qquad N_G \check{B}^{(b)}(z) = B^{(b)}(z)W_b(z),$$

$$A(z^{-1})N_G = \check{A}(z^{-1}), \qquad A^{(a)}(z^{-1})N^G = \check{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1}).$$

Proof. From (3) and (84) we can therefore study the action of the matrix N_G on $\check{B}(z)$, namely

$$N_G \check{B}(z) = L \mathcal{W} \check{L}^{-1} \check{L} Z_{[q]}(z) = L \mathcal{W} Z_{[q]}(z) = \mathcal{W} B(z),$$

and therefore, on the columns of B(z), we have

(87)
$$N_G \check{B}^{(b)} = L \mathcal{W} Z^{(b)}_{[q]} = W_b(z) B^{(b)}.$$

which provides the following connection formula for the polynomials $\check{B}^{(b)}(z)$ and $B^{(b)}(z)$

$$W_b(z)\check{B}^{(b)}(z) = N_G B^{(b)}(z).$$

Proceeding in a similar manner, for $a \in \{1, ..., p\}$ we find that

$$\check{A}(z^{-1}) = Z_{[p]}^{\mathsf{T}}(z^{-1})\check{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \check{A}^{(1)}(z^{-1}) \\ \check{A}^{(2)}(z^{-1}) \\ \vdots \\ \check{A}^{(p)}(z^{-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(Z_{[p]}^{(1)}(z^{-1}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \left(Z_{[p]}^{(2)}(z^{-1}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots \\ \left(Z_{[p]}^{(p)}(z^{-1}) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \check{U}$$

and therefore, the action of the upper triangular matrix N_G on the r.h.s. $A(z^{-1})$, yields

$$A(z^{-1}) N_G = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) U U^{-1} \check{U} = Z_{[p]}^{\top}(z^{-1}) \check{U} = \check{A}(z^{-1}),$$

which provides a connection formula between $A(z^{-1})$ and $\check{A}(z^{-1})$

$$A(z^{-1}) N_G = \check{A}(z^{-1})$$

Component-wise for $\check{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1})$ and $A^{(a)}(z^{-1})$, the above expression easily follows.

As in section 3, we briefly discuss the shape of the connection matrix reason why we need perturbations as prepared Laurent polynomials of the same degree in $W_{[q]}(z)$, and this relies on the particular structure of the matrix $\Upsilon_{[q]}$ and its powers. From (68) and (71) we know

$$N_G = U^{-1}\check{U} = L\left(\sum_{b=1}^{q} W_b(\Upsilon^{(b)})\right)\check{L}^{-1} = L\mathcal{W}\check{L}^{-1}.$$

On one side $U^{-1}\check{U}$ means that N_G must be an upper triangular matrix, and on the other side one encounters that $L \ \mathcal{W}\check{L}^{-1}$ must be a lower Hessenberg matrix depending on the particular structure of the matrix $\sum_{b=1}^{q} W_b \left(\Upsilon_{[q]}^{(b)}\right)$, that in turn depends on the degrees $\pm d$, and the number q of the prepared Laurent polynomials at the diagonal of $W_{[q]}(\Upsilon_{[q]})$. From (69), the matrix $W_{[q]}(\Upsilon_{[q]})$ will be a (4dq + 1)-diagonal matrix, which in turn implies that its highest nonzero upper-diagonal will be at position (2dq + 1). Putting together

the two requirements mentioned above, it follows that N_G will be, as in the Christoffel case, a semi-infinite upper (2dq + 1)-banded matrix. Thus, the shape of N_G is



(88)

We next prove the following result concerning the connection between C(z), D(z), $\check{C}(z)$ and $\check{D}(z)$: **Proposition 4.3.** For C(z), D(z), $\check{C}(z)$ and $\check{D}(z)$, the following connection formulas follow

(89)
$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}(z) - C(z)N_G = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x)\check{A}(x^{-1}),$$

(90) $N_G \check{D}(z) = D(z).$

Proof. Multipliving $\check{C}(z)$ in (16) by $W_{[q]}(z)$ on the left hand side, we have

(91)
$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}(z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} W_{[q]}(z) \frac{1}{z-x} d\check{\mu}(x)\check{A}(x^{-1}).$$

On the other hand, multiplying C(z) in (16) by N_G on the right hand side, and combining with (83) and (86), yields

(92)
$$C(z) N_G = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} d\mu(x) A(x^{-1}) N_G = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} W_{[q]}(x) d\check{\mu}(x) \check{A}(x^{-1}).$$

Next, subtracting (91) minus (92), implies

$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}(z) - C(z)N_G$$

$$= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} W_{[q]}(z) \frac{1}{z-x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x) \check{A}(x^{-1}) - \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z-x} W_{[q]}(x) \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x) \check{A}(x^{-1})$$

which proves (89). To prove (90) it is enough to multiply D(z) by N_G on the left hand side, and combining with (83) and (86), yields

$$N_G \check{D}(z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} N_G \frac{1}{z-x} \check{B}(x) \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z-x} \mathcal{W}B(x) \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z-x} B(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = D(z).$$

4.1.1. Connection formula between the CD kernels. In this framework of Geronimus W-modifications, note that from above formulas it is a simple matter to obtain the connection formula between the corresponding truncated kernels $K^{[n]}(x, y)$ and $\check{K}^{[n]}(x, y)$. Concerning the Christoffel–Darboux kernels, coming back to their definition (54) in section 2.9, we have

$$K^{[n]}(x, y) = A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y) = A(x^{-1})\pi^{[n]}B(y)$$

EJ HUERTAS AND M MAÑAS

Thus, considering the truncations of $(N_G \check{B}(y))^{[n]}$ and $(A(x) N_G)^{[n]}$ we have a very similar situation as in (78), but having the connection matrix N_G instead of N_C . This leads in a straightforward way to the following expressions

$$(N_G \check{B}(y))^{[n]} = N_G^{[n]} \check{B}^{[n]}(y) + N_G^{[n,2dq]} \check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y)$$
$$(A(x^{-1}) N_G)^{[n]} = A^{[n]}(x^{-1}) N_G^{[n]} = \check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1}),$$

respectively analog to (77) and (79). From the above expressions we have

(93)
$$(N_G \check{B}(y))^{[n]} = N_G^{[n]} \check{B}^{[n]}(y) + N_G^{[n,2dq]} \check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y) = B^{[n]}(y) W_{[q]}(z).$$

Taking into account column by column the above expressions, defining

$$\check{K}^{[n]}(x, y) = \check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1})\check{B}^{[n]}(y) = \check{A}(x^{-1})\,\pi^{[n]}\,\check{B}(y)$$

and the definition (53), the Geronimus W-modified kernel is given by (87)

$$\begin{split} W_{b}(y)K_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) &= \left(A^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} \left(N_{G}\,\check{B}^{(b)}(y)\right)^{[n]} \\ &= \left(\check{A}^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}\,(\check{B}^{(b)}(y))^{[n]} + \left(A^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}(\check{B}^{(b)}(y))^{[n,2dq]} \\ &= \check{K}_{a,b}^{[n]}(x,y) + \left(A^{(a)}(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]}N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}(\check{B}^{(b)}(y))^{[n,2dq]}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we conclude

$$\check{K}^{[n]}(x,y) - K^{[n]}(x,y)W(y) = \left(A(x^{-1})\right)^{[n]} \mathsf{N}^{[n,2dq]}\check{B}^{[n]}(y)$$

4.2. Geronimus perturbation with singular part. We next consider a singular part $d\mu_s(z)$ in the definition of the Geronimus *W*-mofication of the matrix of measures $d\mu(z)$. Thus, we will have

(94)
$$d\check{\mu}(z) \coloneqq \left(W_{[q]}(z)\right)^{-1} d\mu(z) + d\mu_s(z).$$

Taking into account that the entries in the diagonal matrix

$$W_{[q]}(z) = \text{diag} (W_1(z), \dots, W_r(z))$$

are well-poised Laurent polynomials of the same degrees $\pm d$ as we defined in section 3, $W_{[q]}(z)$ has a total of 2dq different and simple zeros. It is important to note that the singular part of the measure must fulfilled

$$W_{[q]}(z)\mathrm{d}\mu_s(z) = \mathbf{0}_{q \times p}$$

in order to verify

$$W_{[q]}(z)d\check{\mu}(z) = W_{[q]}(z)\left(W_{[q]}(z)\right)^{-1}d\mu(z) + W_{[q]}(z)d\mu_s(z) = d\mu(z)$$

and thus recover (83). Under these conditions, we choose $d\mu_s(z)$ to be a $q \times p$ matrix whose entries are 2dqDirac deltas located exactly at the 2dq simple zeros of the perturbation matrix $W_{[q]}(z)$. We denote these zeros as $z_{b,j}$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2d\}$, and $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$. Being $E_{b,a}$ a $q \times p$ matrix with one at position (b, a) and zeros everywhere else, the singular part of the $q \times p$ matrix measure $d\mu_s(z)$, can be written as

(95)
$$d\mu_{s}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^{p} \sum_{b=1}^{q} \sum_{j=1}^{2d} E_{b,a} m_{b,a,j} \,\delta(z-z_{b,j}) = \sum_{b=1}^{q} \boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]} \boldsymbol{v}_{b}^{[p]}(z)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{b}^{[p]}(z) \coloneqq \sum_{a=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{2d} (\boldsymbol{e}_{a}^{[p]})^{\top} \boldsymbol{m}_{b,a,j} \,\delta(z-z_{b,j}).$$

Remark 4.4. The b-th row, with $b \in \{1, ..., q\}$, of the singular part $d\mu_s(z)$ of the matrix measure $d\check{\mu}(z)$, is supported at the 2d different zeros of the Laurent polynomial $W_b(z)$ at the (b, b) diagonal entry of the matrix $W_{[q]}(z)$.

Next, replacing (94) in the expression for (16), and taking into account $A(z^{-1}) N_G = \check{A}(z^{-1})$ in (86), we know

$$\begin{split} \check{C}(z) &= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x) \check{A}(x^{-1}) \\ &= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} \left(\left(W_{[q]}(x) \right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) + \mathrm{d}\mu_{s}(x) \right) \check{A}(x^{-1}) \\ &= \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} \left(\left(W_{[q]}(x) \right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) + \mathrm{d}\mu_{s}(x) \right) A(x^{-1}) N_{G} \\ &= C(z) \, \mathrm{N}, \end{split}$$

where we denote

(96)
$$C(z) \coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z-x} \left(W_{[q]}(x) \right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) A(x^{-1}) + \left\langle \mu_s, \frac{1}{z-x} A(x^{-1}) \right\rangle,$$

being this C(z) a singular function with simple poles at the 2dq simple zeros of the perturbation matrix $W_{[q]}(z)$. Notice that we express the second term of the above expression (96) as a functional. This is because the set of discrete points where $d\mu_s$ is supported, namely the singular part of the measure $d\check{\mu}$, is not located on the unit circle \mathbb{T} . That is, $d\mu_s$ is not a matrix measure supported on the unit circle.

$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}(z) - C(z)N_G = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x)\check{A}(x^{-1})$$

Hence, in terms of C(z), formula (89) reads

(97)
$$\left(W_{[q]}(z)C(z) - C(z) \right) N_G = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x)\check{A}(x^{-1}).$$

Remark 4.5. We need to briefly analyze the quotient on the right hand side of the above equation. The matrix $W_{[q]}$ is defined in (66) as a diagonal matrix, so we define

(98)
$$\delta W_{[q]}(z,x) \coloneqq \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x}$$

and we have at the (b, b), $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ diagonal entry, the term

(99)
$$\delta W_b(z,x) = \frac{W_b(z) - W_b(x)}{z - x}.$$

If W_b is a Laurent polynomial described in (65), their degrees are exactly $\deg^- W_b = -d$ and $\deg^+ W_b = d$, so therefore (99) it is in turn a Laurent polynomial of precise degrees

(100)
$$\deg^{-}(\delta W_b(z,x)) = -d, \qquad \deg^{+}(\delta W_b(z,x)) = d-1.$$

This trivially follows from the fact that, when $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the term $(z^k - x^k)$ is always divisible by (z - x).

Next, our aim is to determine the entries of N_G . In order to do that, we first consider the next significant finding concerning the expression (97)

Proposition 4.6. For every $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, with the definition (96), the expression (97) becomes

$$\left(W_b(z)C^{(b)}(z) - C^{(b)}(z)\right) N_G = 0$$

if the degree n satisfies

0.01

$$n \ge 2dq - 2 + b$$

Proof. We first come back to the entrywise expression of (97), which is

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\ell+2aq} \left(W_b(z) C_n^{(b)}(z) - C_n^{(b)}(z) \right) N_{G,n,\ell} = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \delta W_b(z,x) \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}_{b,a}(x) \check{A}_n^{(a)}(x^{-1}),$$

where $\delta W_b(z,x)$ is the Laurent polynomial with degrees given in (100), to observe that its right hand side will not always be different from zero, and that this fact depends directly on the degrees of the Laurent polynomial $\delta W_b(z,x)$ (considered as a polynomials in the *x* variable). Next, from the orthogonality of the *A* polynomials we easily obtain the component-wise orthogonality for the Geronimus *W*-modified measure, namely

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \check{A}_{n}^{(a)}(x^{-1}) x^{k} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}_{b,a}(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } -\left[\frac{n+2-b-q}{2q}\right] \le k \le \left[\frac{n+2-b}{2q}\right] - 1, \quad b \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

Therefore, replacing the degrees (100) in the above expression, and solving the resulting inequalities, we can easily derive the statement of the proposition. \Box

On the other hand, taking (96) and (82) into account, we need to deeply analyze the product

$$(\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\mathsf{T}}W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}(z)$$

We are going to obtain its limit when $z \to z_{b,j}$, which are the aforementioned 2dq zeros of the perturbation matrix $W_{[q]}(z)$. Thus observe that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} W_{[q]}(z) \check{C}(z) &= (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} \left(\oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} W_{[q]}(z) \left(W_{[q]}(x) \right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) A(x^{-1}) \right. \\ &+ W_{[q]}(z) \left\langle \mathrm{d}\mu_{s}(x), \frac{1}{z - x} A(x^{-1}) \right\rangle \right) N_{G} \\ &= \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} W_{[q]}(z) \left\langle \mathrm{d}\mu_{s}(x), \frac{1}{z - x} A(x^{-1}) \right\rangle N_{G}. \end{split}$$

Next, from (95), the above expression becomes

$$\begin{split} \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} W_{[q]}(z) \left(\mathrm{d}\mu_{s}(x), \frac{1}{z - x} A(x^{-1}) \right) N_{G} &= \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} W_{[q]}(z) \left(\sum_{b'=1}^{q} \boldsymbol{e}_{b'}^{[q]} \boldsymbol{v}_{b'}^{[p]}(x), \frac{1}{z - x} A(x^{-1}) \right) N_{G} \\ &= \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} \sum_{b'=1}^{q} \sum_{a=1}^{p} \sum_{j'=1}^{2d} W_{b'}(z) \boldsymbol{e}_{b'}^{[q]}(\boldsymbol{e}_{a}^{[p]})^{\top} m_{b',a,j'} \\ &\times \left(\delta(z - z_{b',j'}), \frac{1}{z - x} A(x^{-1}) \right) N_{G} \end{split}$$

Next, the Kronecker delta $\delta_{b,b'} = (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} \boldsymbol{e}_{b'}^{[q]}$ removes the sum *b*'s, and knowing that

$$\left(\delta(z-z_{b',j'}),\frac{1}{z-x}A(x^{-1})\right) = \frac{1}{z-z_{b',j'}}A(z_{b',j'}^{-1}),$$

and

$$W_{b'}(z) = \prod_{s=1}^{2d} (z - z_{b',s}),$$

we get

$$\begin{split} \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} W_{[q]}(z) \left\langle \mathrm{d}\mu_{s}, \frac{1}{z - x} A(x^{-1}) \right\rangle N_{G} &= \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{p} \sum_{j'=1}^{2d} \prod_{s=1}^{2d} (z - z_{b,s}) (\boldsymbol{e}_{a}^{[p]})^{\top} m_{b,a,j'} \frac{1}{z - z_{b,j'}} A(z_{b,j'}^{-1}) \right) N_{G} \\ &= \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{p} \sum_{j'=1}^{2d} \prod_{s=1,s \neq j'}^{2d} (z - z_{b,s}) (\boldsymbol{e}_{a}^{[p]})^{\top} m_{b,a,j'} A(z_{b,j'}^{-1}) \right) N_{G}. \end{split}$$

Finally, having the limit $z \to z_{b,j}$ of the above expression, only survive the terms with j = j', so we conclude

(101)
$$\lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_b^{[q]})^\top W_{[q]}(z) \check{C}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^p (\boldsymbol{e}_a^{[p]})^\top \prod_{s=1, s \neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A(z_{b,j}^{-1}) N_G$$

4.3. **Christoffel–Geronimus formula for** Å(z). Next, we provide the corresponding Christoffel–Geronimus formula for the CMV Laurent polynomials Å(z). With analogous notation as in the Christoffel case, the highest nonzero band in the connection matrix N_G will be denoted as $N_{G,\ell}$ see (88).

From (85) we see that the structure of the connection matrix N_G depends on the polynomials at the entries of the perturbation matrix $W_{[q]}(z)$. Indeed, denoting by $N_{G,n,\ell}$ the elements of the upper triangular matrix N_G (i.e., $N_{G,\ell,m}$ if $n > \ell$), thus for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $b \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ we get

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\ell+2dq} \left(W_b(z) C_n^{(b)}(z) - C_n^{(b)}(z) \right) N_{G,n,\ell} = 0.$$

Taking (101) into account, at the 2dq zeros of the perturbation matrix $W_{[q]}(z)$ we reach

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\ell+2dq} \left(\left(\sum_{a=1}^{p} \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A_n^{(a)}(z_{b,j}^{-1}) \right) - C_n^{(b)}(z_{b,j}) \right) N_{G,n,\ell} = 0.$$

As in the Christoffel case, we are interested in solving the above linear system of equations for the entries $N_{G,n,\ell}$, $n \ge \ell$, and therefore we restrict ourselves to the situation where all the zeros $z_{b,j}$ are simple, and different for every $b \in 1, ..., q$. Thus,

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\ell+2dq-1} \left(\left(\sum_{a=1}^{p} \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A_n^{(a)}(z_{b,j}^{-1}) \right) - C_n^{(b)}(z_{b,j}) \right) N_{G,n,\ell} \\ = - \left(\left(\sum_{a=1}^{p} \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A_{\ell+2dq}^{(a)}(z_{b,j}^{-1}) \right) - C_{\ell+2dq}^{(b)}(z_{b,j}) \right) \tilde{N}_{G,\ell}$$

Next, let us define

$$F_{n,j}^{(b)} \coloneqq C_n^{(b)}(z_{b,j}) - \sum_{a=1}^p \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A_n^{(a)}(z_{b,j}^{-1}).$$

Taking into account the connection expression $\check{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) = A^{(a)}(z^{-1}) N_G$ we finally obtain

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{G,\ell}} \check{A}_{\ell}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) = A_{\ell+2dq}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) - \left[F_{\ell+2dq,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,1}^{(q)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(q)}\right] \times \begin{bmatrix} F_{\ell,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(q)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(q)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(q)} \\ F_{\ell+1,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+1,2d}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+1,2d}^{(q)} \cdots F_{\ell+1,1}^{(q)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq}^{(q)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{\ell+2dq-1,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq-1,2d}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq-1,1}^{(q)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq-1,2d}^{(q)} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\ell}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \\ A_{\ell+1}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \\ \vdots \\ A_{\ell+2dq-1}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

As a quasi-determinant in (81), we write

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{G,\ell}}\check{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) = \Theta_* \begin{bmatrix} F_{\ell,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell,2d}^{(q)} & A_{\ell}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{\ell+2dq-1,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq-1,2d}^{(q)} & A_{\ell+2dq-1}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \\ F_{\ell+2dq,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(q)} & A_{\ell+2dq}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, we have proved the following

Proposition 4.7. The Christoffel–Geronimus formula for the perturbed CMV mixed multiple Laurent OPUC on the step-line $\check{A}(z^{-1})$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{N}_{G,\ell}}\check{A}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} F_{\ell,1}^{(1)}\cdots\cdots F_{\ell,2d}^{(q)} & A_{\ell}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{\ell+2dq-1,1}^{(1)}\cdots F_{\ell+2dq-1,2d}^{(q)} & A_{\ell+2dq-1}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \\ F_{\ell+2dq,1}^{(1)}\cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(q)} & A_{\ell+2dq}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} F_{\ell+2dq,1}^{(1)}\cdots F_{\ell+2dq,2d}^{(q)} & A_{\ell+2dq}^{(a)}(z^{-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{\ell+2dq-1,1}^{(1)}\cdots F_{\ell+2dq-1,2d}^{(q)} \end{vmatrix}}$$

4.4. Cauchy transforms of the kernel. In order to obtain the Christoffel–Geronimus formula for $\check{B}(z^{-1})$, we first need to obtain the Cauchy transforms of the kernels. We can consider two Cauchy transforms of the kernel, one with respect to the variable x and one with respect to the variable y. From (52) we have the $p \times q$ matrix $K^{[n]}(x, y) = A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y)$. We know from (16) and (15)

$$C(z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} d\mu(x) A(x^{-1}), \qquad D(z) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{z - x} B(x) d\mu(x)$$

where C(z) is $q \times \infty$ and D(z) is has size $\infty \times p$. Thus, we define the Cauchy transforms of the kernel

$$\begin{split} K_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) &\coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \frac{K^{[n]}(x,y)}{z-x} = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \frac{A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y)}{z-x} = C^{[n]}(z)B^{[n]}(y),\\ K_{D}^{[n]}(x,z) &\coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{K^{[n]}(x,y)}{z-y} \mathrm{d}\mu(y) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{A^{[n]}(x^{-1})B^{[n]}(y)}{z-y} \mathrm{d}\mu(y) = A^{[n]}(x^{-1})D^{[n]}(z), \end{split}$$

where $K_C^{[n]}(z, y)$ is $q \times q$ and $K_D^{[n]}(x, z)$ is $p \times p$. Thus

$$\check{K}_{D}^{[n]}(x,z) \coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\check{K}^{[n]}(x,y)}{z-y} d\check{\mu}(y) = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1})\check{B}^{[n]}(y)}{z-y} d\check{\mu}(y) = \check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1})\check{D}^{[n]}(z).$$

Applying $A(z^{-1}) N_G = \check{A}(z^{-1})$ from (86), $N_G \check{D}(z) = D(z)$ from (89), and the shape of N_G , the above becomes

$$\begin{split} \check{K}_{D}^{[n]}(x,z) = \check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1})\check{D}^{[n]}(z) &= \left(A(z^{-1})N_{G}\right)^{[n]}\check{D}^{[n]}(z) \\ = &A^{[n]}(z^{-1})N_{G}^{[n]}\check{D}^{[n]}(z) = A^{[n]}(z^{-1})\mathsf{d}^{[n]}(z) - A^{[n]}(z^{-1})N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}\check{D}^{[n,2dq]}(z) \\ = &K_{D}^{[n]}(x,z) - A^{[n]}(z^{-1})N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}\check{D}^{[n,2dq]}(z). \end{split}$$

We thus conclude

$$\check{K}_D^{[n]}(x,z) = K_D^{[n]}(x,z) - A^{[n]}(z^{-1}) N_G^{[n,2dq]} \check{D}^{[n,2dq]}(z).$$

On the other hand, concerning the Cauchy transform of the other kernel, we have

$$\check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) \coloneqq \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x) \frac{\check{K}^{[n]}(x,y)}{z-x} = \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x) \frac{\check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1})\check{B}^{[n]}(y)}{z-x} = \check{C}^{[n]}(z)\check{B}^{[n]}(y).$$

Thus

(102)
$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) = \left(W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}^{[n]}(z)\right)\check{B}^{[n]}(y).$$

From (89), and the sape of N_G , the above becomes

$$\begin{split} W_{[q]}(z)\check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) &= \left(W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}^{[n]}(z)\right)\check{B}^{[n]}(y) \\ &= \left(\oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x)\check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1}) + C^{[n]}(z) N_{G}^{[n]}\right)\check{B}^{[n]}(y) \\ &= C^{[n]}(z) N_{G}^{[n]}\check{B}^{[n]}(y) + \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x)\check{A}^{[n]}(x^{-1})\check{B}^{[n]}(y) \\ &= C^{[n]}(z) N_{G}^{[n]}\check{B}^{[n]}(y) + \oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x} \mathrm{d}\check{\mu}(x)\check{K}^{[n]}(x,y). \end{split}$$

Having into account the projection properties of the kernels, and being n > 2d, we obtain (see (98))

$$\oint_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(x)}{z - x} d\check{\mu}(x)\check{K}^{[n]}(x, y) = \frac{W_{[q]}(z) - W_{[q]}(y)}{z - y} = \delta W_{[q]}(z, y).$$

Next, applying $N_G \check{B}(z) = B(z) W_{[q]}(z)$ from (86)

$$\begin{split} W_{[q]}(z)\check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) = & C^{[n]}(z) N_{G}^{[n]}\check{B}^{[n]}(y) + \delta W_{[q]}(z,y) \\ = & C^{[n]}(z)B^{[n]}(y)W_{[q]}(y) - C^{[n]}(z)N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}\check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y) + \delta W_{[q]}(z,y) \\ = & K_{C}^{[n]}(z,y)W_{[q]}(y) - C^{[n]}(z)N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}\check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y) + \delta W_{[q]}(z,y) \end{split}$$

We therefore conclude

(103)
$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) = K_{C}^{[n]}(z,y)W_{[q]}(y) - C^{[n]}(z)N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}\check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y) + \delta W_{[q]}(z,y).$$

4.5. **Christoffel–Geronimus formula for** $\check{B}(z^{-1})$. We next give the Christoffel–Geronimus formula for the CMV Laurent polynomials $\check{B}(z)$. We begin with (103) above

$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) = K_{C}^{[n]}(z,y)W_{[q]}(y) - C^{[n]}(z)N_{G}^{[n,2dq]}\check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y) + \delta W_{[q]}(z,y),$$

where $W_{[q]}(z)$, $\check{K}_C^{[n]}(z, y)$, $K_C^{[n]}(z, y)$, $W_{[q]}(y)$, and $\delta W_{[q]}(z, y)$ are $q \times q$ matrices, and $C^{[n]}(z)$ is a $q \times n$ matrix, $N_G^{[n,2dq]}$ is a $n \times 2dq$ matrix, and $\check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y)$ is a $2dq \times q$ matrix.

From (102) we recall that

$$W_{[q]}(z)\check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z,y) = \left(W_{[q]}(z)\check{C}^{[n]}(z)\right)\check{B}^{[n]}(y)$$

where $W_{[q]}(z)$ is $q \times q$, $\check{C}^{[n]}(z)$ is $q \times n$, and $\check{B}^{[n]}(y)$ is $n \times q$. Next, we multiply above by $(\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top}$ and compute the limit $z \to z_{b,j}$, reaching

$$\lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} W_{[q]}(z) \check{K}_{C}^{[n]}(z, y) = \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} \left(W_{[q]}(z) \check{C}^{[n]}(z) \right) \check{B}^{[n]}(y)$$
$$= \lim_{z \to z_{b,j}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} \left(W_{[q]}(z) C^{[n]}(z) \right) N_{G}^{[n]} \check{B}^{[n]}(y)$$

which, taking (93) and (101) into account, and replacing in (103) yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{a=1}^{p} (\boldsymbol{e}_{a}^{[p]})^{\top} \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A^{[n]}(z_{b,j}^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -N_{G}^{[n,2dq]} \check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y) + B^{[n]}(y) W_{[q]}(y) \end{pmatrix}$$

= $(\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} K_{C}^{[n]}(z_{b,j}, y) W_{[q]}(y) - (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} C^{[n]}(z_{b,j}) N_{G}^{[n,2dq]} \check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y) + (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\top} \delta W_{[q]}(z_{b,j}, y).$

From here we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\mathsf{T}} C^{[n]}(z_{b,j}) - \sum_{a=1}^{p} (\boldsymbol{e}_{a}^{[p]})^{\mathsf{T}} \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A^{[n]}(z_{b,j}^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} N_{G}^{[n,2dq]} \check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\mathsf{T}} C^{[n]}(z_{b,j}) - \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{a=1}^{p} (\boldsymbol{e}_{a}^{[p]})^{\mathsf{T}} \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A^{[n]}(z_{b,j}^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} B^{[n]}(y) W_{[q]}(y)$$

$$+ (\boldsymbol{e}_{b}^{[q]})^{\mathsf{T}} \delta W^{(b)}(z_{b,j}, y).$$

Next, let us define

$$F_{n,j}^{(b)} \coloneqq C_n^{(b)}(z_{b,j}) - \sum_{a=1}^p \prod_{s=1,s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} A_n^{(a)}(z_{b,j}^{-1}),$$

which are the entries of

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n,1}^{(1)} \cdots F_{n+2dq-1,1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{n,2dq}^{(q)} \cdots F_{n+2dq-1,2dq}^{(q)} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{b,b',j}^{[n]} = \left(K_C^{[n]}\right)_{b,b'}(z_{b,j}, y) - \sum_{a=1}^p \prod_{s=1, s\neq j}^{2d} (z_{b,j} - z_{b,s}) m_{b,a,j} K_{a,b'}^{[n]}(z_{b,j}, y) W_{[q]}^{(b')}(y) + \delta W^{(b)}(z_{b,j}, y) \delta_{b,b'},$$

which are the entries of the $2dq\times q$ matrix

$$\mathcal{K}^{[n]}(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{1,1,1}^{[n]}(y) \cdots \mathcal{K}_{1,q,1}^{[n]}(y) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{K}_{q,1,2d}^{[n]}(y) \cdots \mathcal{K}_{q,q,2d}^{[n]}(y) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that \mathcal{F} is $2dq \times 2dq$, $N_G^{[n,2dq]}\check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y)$ is $2dq \times q$, $(e_1^{[q]})^{\top}\delta W_{[q]}(z_{b,j}, y)$ and \mathcal{K} are row vectors with size $1 \times q$. In terms of quasi-determinants, for $b \in 1, \ldots, q$ we have

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n-2d+1}(z_{1,1}) \cdots F_n(z_{1,1}) \\ \vdots \\ F_{n-2d+1}(z_{q,2dq}) \cdots F_n(z_{q,2dq}) \end{bmatrix},$$

Observe that \mathcal{F} is $2dq \times 2dq$, $N_G^{[n,2dq]}\check{B}^{[n,2dq]}(y)$ is $2dq \times q$ matrix, $(e_1^{[q]})^{\top}\delta W_{[q]}(z_{b,j},y)$ and \mathcal{K} are row vectors with size $1 \times q$. In terms of quasi-determinants, for $b \in 1, \ldots, q$ we have

$$\begin{split} \check{B}^{(b)} &= \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{G,2dq}} \Theta_* \left[\frac{\mathcal{F}}{(\boldsymbol{e}_1^{[2dq]})^\top} \frac{\mathcal{K}_b^{[n]}}{0} \right] = \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{G,2dq}} (\boldsymbol{e}_1^{[2dq]})^\top \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_b^{[n]} = \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{G,2dq}} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}}{(\boldsymbol{e}_1^{[2dq]})^\top} \frac{\mathcal{K}_b^{[n]}}{0} \right|}{|\mathcal{F}|}, \\ \check{B}_n^{(b)} &= \frac{-1}{\tilde{N}_{G,2dq}} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}_{n+1,1}^{(1)} \cdots \cdots \mathcal{F}_{n+2dq-1,1}^{(1)} & \mathcal{K}_{1,b,1}^{[n]}(\boldsymbol{y}) \right|}{\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{F}_{n+1,2dq}^{(q)} \cdots \cdots \mathcal{F}_{n+2dq-1,1}^{(q)} & \mathcal{K}_{q,b,2d}^{[n]}(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{F}_{n,2dq}^{(q)} \cdots \cdots \mathcal{F}_{n+2dq-1,1}^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{F}_{n,2dq}^{(1)} \cdots \cdots \mathcal{F}_{n+2dq-1,1}^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{K}_{q,b,2d}^{(1)} \end{array} \right]. \end{split}$$

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

This paper develops the framework for mixed multiple orthogonal Laurent polynomials, beginning with CMV mixed multiple Laurent orthogonality and constructing the moment matrix along with its Gauss–Borel factorization. It then explores the orthogonality properties, recurrence relations, Szegő matrices, and Christoffel–Darboux kernels that define these polynomials.

The analysis includes both Christoffel and Geronimus perturbations, examining their connector matrices, connection formulas, and specific formulas that modify polynomial functions. Geronimus perturbations are further detailed with singular parts and Cauchy transforms of the kernel, enhancing the understanding of these polynomials and their structural behavior.

The orthogonality developed in this paper does not connect directly with that in [29]; however, it is related to a variant weighted version of it. In fact, there are alternative approaches to constructing the moment matrix, inspired by properties in the scalar case, which also lead to a consistent theory. Both approaches represent promising lines of research. Additionally, it would be valuable to identify sufficiently general perturbations beyond the diagonal case for which Christoffel perturbations can be established, following the developments in the real case (see [8, 27, 28]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

EJH acknowledges Dirección General de Investigación e Innovación, Consejería de Educación e Investigación of the Comunidad de Madrid (Spain) and Universidad de Alcalá, research project CM/JIN/2021-014, *Proyectos de I+D para Jóvenes Investigadores de la Universidad de Alcalá 2021*, and the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación-Agencia Estatal de Investigación MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and the European Union "NextGenerationEU"/PRTR, research project TED2021-129813A-I00. This research was conducted while EJH was visiting the ICMAT (Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas) from jan-2023 to jan-2024, under the Program Ayudas de Recualificación del Sistema Universitario Español para 2021-2023 (Convocatoria 2022) - R.D. 289/2021 de 20 de abril (BOE de 4 de junio de 2021). This author also wish to thank the ICMAT, Universidad de Alcalá, and the Plan de Recuperación, Transformación y Resiliencia (NextGenerationEU) of the Spanish Government for their support.

MM acknowledges Spanish "Agencia Estatal de Investigación" research project [PID2021-122154NB-I00], Ortogonalidad y Aproximación con Aplicaciones en Machine Learning y Teoría de la Probabilidad.

DECLARATIONS

- Conflict of interest/Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- Availability of data and materials: Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- C. Álvarez-Fernández, U. Fidalgo, and M. Mañas, Multiple orthogonal polynomials of mixed type: Gauss-Borel factorization and the multi-component 2D Toda hierarchy, Advances in Mathematics 227 (2011) 1451–1525.
- [2] C. Álvarez-Fernández and M Mañas, Orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle, extended CMV ordering and 2D Toda type integrable hierarchies, Advances in Mathematics 240 (2013), 132–193.
- [3] G. Ariznabarreta and M Mañas, Matrix orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle and Toda type integrable systems, Advances in Mathematics 264 (2014) 396–463.
- [4] G. Ariznabarreta, J. C. García-Ardila, M. Mañas, and F. Marcellán, Matrix biorthogonal polynomials on the real line: Geronimus transformations. Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences 9 (02) (2019), 1950007, 68p.
- [5] G. Ariznabarreta and M. Mañas, *Christoffel transformations for multivariate orthogonal polynomials* Journal of Approximation Theory **225** (2018) 242-283.
- [6] G. Ariznabarreta, M. Mañas, and A. Toledano, CMV biorthogonal Laurent polynomials: perturbations and Christoffel formulas Studies in Applied Mathematics 140 (2018) 333-400.
- [7] G. Ariznabarreta and M. Mañas, *Multivariate orthogonal Laurent polynomials and integrable systems*, Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University 58 (2022) 79–185.
- [8] A. Branquinho, A. Foulquié-Moreno, and M. Mañas, *Multiple orthogonal polynomials: Pearson equations and Christoffel formulas*, Analysis and Mathematical Physics **12** (2022) paper 129.
- [9] A. Branquinho, A. Foulquié-Moreno, and M. Mañas, Spectral theory for bounded banded matrices with positive bidiagonal factorization and mixed multiple orthogonal polynomials. Advances in Mathematics 434 (2023), 109313
- [10] A. Branquinho, A. Foulquié-Moreno, and M. Mañas, Oscillatory banded Hessenberg matrices, multiple orthogonal polynomials and random walks. Physica Scripta 98 (2023), 105223.
- [11] A. Branquinho, A. Foulquié-Moreno, and M. Mañas, Banded totally positive matrices and normality for mixed multiple orthogonal polynomials, 2024. arXiv:2404.13965.
- [12] A. Branquinho, J. E. F. Díaz, A. Foulquié-Moreno, and M. Mañas, *Markov Chains and Multiple Orthogonality*, to appear in "Orthogonal Polynomials, Special Functions and Applications – Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium, Montreal, Canada, In honor to Richard Askey" in the CRM Series in Mathematical Physics (2024), in press. arXiv:2304.04414.
- [13] A. Branquinho, J. E. F. Díaz, A. Foulquié-Moreno, and M. Mañas, *Finite Markov chains and multiple orthogonal polynomials*, to appear in Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, arXiv:2308.001823.
- [14] A. Branquinho, J. E. F. Díaz, A. Foulquié-Moreno, and M. Mañas, Hypergeometric multiple orthogonal polynomials and random walks, 2021. arXiv:2107.00770.
- [15] M. J. Cantero, L. Moral, L. Velázquez, Five-diagonal matrices and zeros of orthogonal polynomials in the unit circle, Linear Algebra and its Applications 362 (2003) 29-56.
- [16] R. Cruz-Barroso, C. Díaz-Mendoza, R. Orive, Multiple orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Normality and recurrence relations, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 284 (2015), 115–132.
- [17] E. Daems and A. B. J. Kuijlaars, Multiple orthogonal polynomials of mixed type and non-intersecting Brownian motions, Journal of Approximation Theory 146 (2007) 91-114.
- [18] G. Cassatella-Contra and M. Mañas, Riemann-Hilbert problem and matrix discrete Painlevé II systems, Studies in Applied Mathematics 143 (2019) 273–314.
- [19] U. Fidalgo Prieto, A. López García, G. López Lagomasino, V.N. Sorokin, CMixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials for two Nikishin systems, Constructive Approximation 32 (2010) 255–306.
- [20] U. Fidalgo Prieto, S. Medina Peralta, and J. Minguez, Mixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials: Perfectness and interlacing properties of zeros, Linear Algebra and its Applications 438 (2013) 1229–1239.

- [21] J. C. García-Ardila, M. Mañas, and F. Marcellán, Christoffel transformation for a matrix of bi-variate measures Complex Analysis and Operator Theory 13 (2019) 3979–4005.
- [22] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. L. Wilson, Quasideterminants, Advances in Mathematics 193 (1) (2005) 56-141.
- [23] M. E. Ismail, *Classical ands Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and ots Applications 98. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [24] R. Kozhan, Nikishin systems on the unit circle, 2024. arXiv:2410.20813.
- [25] R. Kozhan, and M. Vaktnäs, Laurent Multiple Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, 2024. arXiv:2410.12094.
- [26] M. Mañas, Revisiting biorthogonal polynomials: An LU factorization discussion. In: Marcellán F., Huertas E.J. (eds) Orthogonal Polynomials: Current Trends and Applications. SEMA SIMAI Springer Series, vol. 22, (2021), 273–307.
- [27] M. Mañas and M. Rojas, General Christoffel perturbations for mixed multiple orthogonal polynomials, 2024.arXiv:2405.11630.
- [28] M. Mañas and M. Rojas, General Geronimus perturbations for mixed multiple orthogonal polynomials, 2024. To appear.
- [29] J. Mínguez-Ceniceros, and W. Van Assche, Multiple orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, Constructive Approximation 28 (2008) 173–197.
- [30] E. M. Nikishin and V. N. Sorokin. *Rational Approximations and Orthogonality*. AMS Translations of Mathematical Monographs 92, Providence, 1991.
- [31] B. Simon, Orthogonal Polynomials on Unit Circle, two volumes. AMS Colloquium Publications 54. AMS, Providence, 2005.
- [32] B. Simon, The Christoffel-Darboux kernel, in: Perspectives in Partial Differential Equations, Harmonic Analysis and Applications: A Volume in Honor of Vladimir G. Maz'ya's 70th Birthday, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 79, (2008), 295–336.
- [33] V. N. Sorokin, Hermite-Padé approximants of polylogarithms, Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika 5 (1994) 49-59; Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ), 38:5 47-57 (1994), in Russian.
- [34] V. N. Sorokin and J. Van Iseghem, Algebraic Aspects of Matrix Orthogonality for Vector Polynomials, Journal of Approximation Theory **90** (1997) 97-116.
- [35] V. N. Sorokin and J. Van Iseghem, Matrix Continued Fractions, Journal of Approximation Theory 96 (1999), 237-257.
- [36] V. N. Sorokin and J. Van Iseghem, Matrix Hermite-Padé problem and dynamical systems, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 122 (2000) 275-295.