

# A note on the development of singularities on solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations under super critical forcing terms

Hugo Beirão da Veiga <sup>\*1</sup> and Jiaqi Yang<sup>†2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Pisa University, Pisa, Italy

Academia das Ciências de Lisboa (the Portuguese Academy of Science)

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710129, China

## Abstract

Recently Qi S. Zhang provides examples of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations which, under suitable hypothesis, blow up in finite time. He considers axially symmetric solutions in a cylinder  $D$  under appropriate boundary conditions and under the effect of super critical external forces  $f$ . The loss of boundedness for the velocity field, as  $t \rightarrow T$ , is the basic case of blow up. However a more general situation is considered below, as explained in the preamble.

In his main result Zhang exhibits, for each  $q < \infty$ , a blow up solution with an external force  $f \in L^q(0, T; L^1(D))$ . Following Zhang, we construct blow up solutions with forcing terms in  $L^q(0, T; L^p(D))$ , for suitable pairs  $(q, p)$ . In particular our results contain Zhang's result. A significant particular case is the existence of external forces  $f \in L^1(0, T; L^p(D))$ , for every  $p < 2$ , for which the velocity blows up in a finite time. The significant case  $p = 2$  remains open.

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2020:** 35Q30, 76D03, 35A01.

**Keywords:** Navier-Stokes equations, classical solutions, development of singularities, blow up under forcing terms.

---

\*Hugo Beirão da Veiga (hbeiraodaveiga@gmail.com) is partially supported by FCT (Portugal) under the project: UIDB/MAT/04561/2020.

†Jiaqi Yang (yjmath@nwpu.edu.cn, yjqmath@163.com) is supported by NSF of China under Grant: 12001429.

# 1 Preamble

The blow-up problem for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, even under suitable external forces, is certainly one of the most important open problems in the related theory. Curiously, as far as we know, the corresponding literature is very poor.

To prove the global regularity in time of solutions under the effect of any bounded external force or, conversely, deny this statement, that is, to exhibit bounded forces under which the solutions blows-up, seems to us a goal of truly exceptional scope, beyond our claims. Let's recall that the fundamental, very profound, known results of non-uniqueness of the solution must take into account non-regular external forces.

In the following, by blow up as  $t \rightarrow T$ , we mainly mean loss of boundedness for the velocity field. But blow up of higher order derivatives will be also considered, since their boundedness is necessary if one wants to ensure that solutions remain classical, as they are here before the blow up time. In fact, a particularly simple, and clarifying feature of our approaches is that external forces, and solutions, are smooth in  $[0, T)$ . Blow up occurs just as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

Let's show a very particular case. Given  $p < 2$ , we show the existence of bounded, smooth, external forces in the time interval  $[0, T[$  which belong to the space  $L^1(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ , and such that the corresponding velocities, obviously regular in  $[0, T[$ , blows-up at time  $T$ . Unfortunately, the case  $p = 2$  remains open.

It may appear negative to some readers that our solution also solves the linear Stokes equations under the same external force. We want here to partially refute this conclusion.

Let us assume that the same result was instead proved under a strong and fundamental appeal to the non-linear term. We ask ourselves how this result would then have been considered. By taking into account the lack of better results in the literature known to us, we are led to believe that the answer would have been favorable to the result. This belief leads us to show the proof of the present result, whose greatest merit is maybe its simplicity. We hope that future research with recourse to the nonlinear term will lead to the same conclusion but under the effect of "more integrable" external forces.

## 2 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v - v \cdot \nabla v - \nabla P - \partial_t v = f & \text{in } D \times (0, \infty), \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, \infty), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

under suitable boundary conditions, where  $v$  is the velocity,  $P$  is the pressure, and  $f$  is a given forcing term. The well known classical spaces  $L^q(0, T; L^p(D))$  are simply denoted by  $L_t^q L_x^p$ .

J. Leray [20] proved that for divergence-free  $v_0 \in L^2$  and  $f \in L_t^1 L_x^2$ , there exists a global weak solution to the above problem, now known as Leray-Hopf solutions (see [14] for the contribution of Hopf in bounded domains). Existence of global regular solutions and uniqueness remain the more important open problem in the theory of the Navier-Stokes equations, at least from the theoretical point of view. Below, by looking for blow up solutions, we are indirectly concerned with the above first problem (however we give some information on the second problem).

After Leray and Hopf's works many results on the above subjects appeared. An important progress is given by the so called Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin sufficient condition for regularity: If  $u \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$  with

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} = 1, \quad 3 < p \leq +\infty, \quad (2.2)$$

then  $u$  is regular. See [13], [3], and [12], where completely different and independent proofs are shown (concerning [3] we refer to the 7th. section in reference [5]). Assumption (2.2) was previously considered by other authors in studying the uniqueness problem. See Galdi's reference [11] for a very interesting treatment of the classical results on energy equality, uniqueness, and regularity. Further, the first author of this paper extend the above result to the case of assumptions on the vorticity  $\omega = \nabla \times u$ , see [4]. It is proved that if  $\omega \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$  with

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} = 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} \leq p \leq +\infty, \quad (2.3)$$

then  $u$  is regular.

The much harder case  $p = 3$  in equation (2.2) was reached by L. Escauriaza, G.A. Seregin, V. Šverák, see [10].

Concerning non-uniqueness of (2.1), not treated below, a fundamental contribution was given in O.A. Ladyzhenskaya reference [17], following a previous idea due to V. Scheffer. However the spatial domain depends on time (roughly, like a revolution cone with the time direction as rotation axis, and the vertex at time zero). Nevertheless the result is significant, since for regular data the solution is unique.

In [6] non-uniqueness was proven for some solutions in the space  $L_t^\infty L_x^2$ , for  $f = 0$ . On the other hand, D. Albritton, E. Brué and M. Colombo established, in reference [1], the non-uniqueness of (2.1) in the energy space for a forcing term in the super-critical space  $L_t^1 L_x^2$ . Recall that a forcing term in local  $L_t^q L_x^p$  spaces is super-critical, critical, or sub-critical if  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p}$  is larger, equal, or smaller than 2, respectively.

### 3 The blow up problem

#### 3.1 Leray's proposal

In a famous 1934 work (see [20], chapter III, paragraph 20, page 224) Leray proposed the construction of possible self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations developing a singularity at a certain time  $t = T$ . Leray looks for self-similar solutions of the following form:

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} U \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right), \quad P = \frac{1}{2(T-t)} \Pi \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $(U(y), \Pi(y))$  should satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta U + U + (y \cdot \nabla)U + (U \cdot \nabla)U + \nabla \Pi &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div} U &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

If  $U \neq 0$  then  $u$  develops a singularity at  $T$ . A main difficulty in Leray's approach is due to avoiding external forces. In fact, in the absence of external forces, even in the most general case, the non-existence of Leray's blow up self-similar solutions was proved in 1996, after 62 years of attempts, by J. Nečas, M. Růžička, and V. Šverák in the historical reference [22].

However, if we introduce a self-similar external force  $f$ , namely

$$f = \frac{1}{[2(T-t)]^{3/2}} F \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

the situation becomes much simpler. In this case,  $(U(y), \Pi(y))$  should satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta U + U + (y \cdot \nabla)U + (U \cdot \nabla)U + \nabla \Pi &= F, \\ \operatorname{div} U &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If  $U = 0$ , then  $\nabla \Pi = F$  which implies that  $\nabla \times F = 0$ . The following conclusion holds:  
*If  $\nabla \times F \neq 0$ , then  $U \neq 0$ .*

Hence, the external force will lead to a singularity.

### 3.2 Zhang's approach

One may find the very basic approach underlying Zhang's article in the above famous Leray's 1934 work. In comparison to Leray's proposal, Zhang's setting is extremely simplified. On the other hand restrictions made more difficult the real, effective, existence of blow up solutions. However, by admitting suitable external forces, the existence of significant blow up solutions holds.

It is worth noting that, as far as we know, there are no proof of blow up under bounded external forces (similarly to non-uniqueness).

We may merely quote the 1985 reference [25] where V. Scheffer considered weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with an external force that always acts against the direction of the flow, and proved that there exists a solution with an internal singularity. However, as the author remarks,  $f$  is very singular, and  $u$  is not a real solution. See [25] assertion 2.

Let's now give some useful insight into the proof of Zhang's main theorem, which establish the following result, see [26] Theorem 1.1-(2): For any  $q > 1$  there is a regular solution of (2.1) in  $[0, T[ \times D$ , with a forcing term in the super-critical space  $L_t^q L_x^1$ , which blows up at the final time  $T$ .

The author starts from the following axially symmetric ( $\partial_\theta v = 0$ ) Navier-Stokes equations under the Navier (total) slip boundary condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left( \Delta - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) v_r - (v_r \partial_r + v_3 \partial_{x_3}) v_r + \frac{v_\theta^2}{r} - \partial_r P - \partial_t v_r = f_r & \text{in } D \times (0, \infty), \\ \left( \Delta - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) v_\theta - (v_r \partial_r + v_3 \partial_{x_3}) v_\theta + \frac{v_\theta v_r}{r} - \partial_r P - \partial_t v_\theta = f_\theta & \text{in } D \times (0, \infty), \\ \Delta v_3 - (v_r \partial_r + v_3 \partial_{x_3}) v_3 - \partial_{x_3} P - \partial_t v_{x_3} = f_3 & \text{in } D \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{1}{r} \partial_r (r v_r) + \partial_{x_3} v_3 = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, \infty), \\ \partial_{x_3} v_r = \partial_{x_3} v_\theta = 0, v_3 = 0 & \text{on } \partial^H D, \\ \partial_{x_3} v_\theta = \frac{v_\theta}{r}, \partial_r v_{x_3} = 0, v_r = 0 & \text{on } \partial^V D, \end{array} \right. \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$v_r = v \cdot e_r, v_\theta = v \cdot e_\theta, v_3 = v \cdot e_3,$$

and

$$f_r = v \cdot f_r, f_\theta = f \cdot e_\theta, f_3 = f \cdot e_3.$$

$\partial^H D$  and  $\partial^V D$  denote respectively the horizontal and vertical parts of the boundary  $\partial D$ . Concerning results on the axially symmetric Navier-Stokes equations, classical references are, among others, [16] and [24]. It is known that if  $v_\theta = 0$  and  $f$  is regular, then blow up does not occur in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  for any time  $t > 0$ . Hence it looks suitable to start by the more stringent case, namely by assuming in (3.5) not merely that  $v_\theta \neq 0$ , but also that  $v_r = v_3 = 0, f_r = f_3 = 0, f_\theta = f_\theta(r, t)$ . The problem reduces to solve the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{v_\theta^2}{r} - \partial_r P = 0, \\ (\Delta - \frac{1}{r^2}) v_\theta - \partial_t v_\theta = f_\theta, \\ \partial_{x_3} P = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Let  $\phi$  be a solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r^2}) \phi - \partial_t \phi = h \quad \text{in } D \times [0, T], \\ \phi(0, t) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $v_\theta = \phi, h = f_\theta$ , and

$$P = \int_0^r \frac{v_\theta^2}{l} dl.$$

Then the couple  $v_\theta, P$  satisfy (3.6).

Next Zhang looks for self similar solution of (3.7) in the form of

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \phi_0 \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right), \quad h = \frac{1}{[2(T-t)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} k \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Then (3.7) reduces to the following ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \phi_0'' + \frac{1}{r} \phi_0' - \frac{1}{r^2} \phi_0 - \phi_0 - r \phi_0' = k(r), \\ \phi_0(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $k = k(r), r \in [0, \infty)$ , is a smooth function supported in the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ .

Zhang obtained an explicit solution of (3.9), namely

$$\phi_0 = -\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r s e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}l^2} k(l) dl ds.$$

Starting from this explicit solution, by setting  $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}}\phi_0\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}}\right)$ , Zhang constructed the desired blow-up solution by taking  $v = [\ln(\phi(r, t) + 1) - \ln(\phi(1, t) + 1)r]e_\theta$ , which is no more self-similar.

*Remark 3.1.* It is worth noting that the assumption  $v_r = v_3 = 0$  implies that  $v \cdot \nabla v = (v_r \partial_r + v_3 \partial_{x_3})v = 0$ . Hence the nonlinear term in the Navier-Stokes equation has essentially no effect. It follows that proofs and results also apply to the Stokes evolution problem. It follows that under bounded external forces blow-up is here not possible. However this fact is not significant by itself since, as far as we know, better results are not disposable, even under the help of the non linear term. Please, see the last part of the preamble.

A clarifying feature of our blow up result is that external forces and solutions are smooth in  $D \times [0, T)$ . Blow up occurs only as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

To end, note that the situation for the Stokes evolution problem is a generalization of that for real functions: Blow-up of  $u'(t)$  does not imply blow-up of  $u(t)$ .

Concerning related recent results, for the reader's convenience we repeat the references quoted in [26], namely [7, 8, 9, 15, 21, 23]. In [21], the authors constructed a finite time blow up example for a special cusp type domain.

## 4 Motivation, strategy, main results, and remarks

### 4.1 Motivation

Since in the classical literature weak solutions are generally studied under square-integrable forces with respect to spatial variables, a natural question is to ask whether the solution of (2.1) may blow up for a forcing term in  $L_t^1 L_x^2$ , usually considered in classical treatises like J. Leray's reference [20] and O.A. Ladyzhenskaya reference [18]. This motivation was the starting point of the present paper. Below we obtain external forces in  $L_t^1 L_x^p$ , for each  $p < 2$ . However, the classical case  $L_t^1 L_x^2$  remains open.

### 4.2 Strategy

We appeal to Zhang's basic ideas, by starting from his explicit solution  $\phi$ . But we obtain a supplementary degree of freedom  $\alpha$  essentially by multiplication of the previous solutions by  $r^\alpha$ . More precisely, we consider solutions of the form  $v = [r^\alpha \phi(r, t) - \phi(1, t)r]e_\theta$ . Compared with Zhang's paper [26], our advantage is that we may adjust  $\alpha$  to obtain a distinct, much larger, set of blow-up solutions. Clearly we loose the self-similar structure of the very

basic solutions. Note that our parameter  $\alpha$  is not related to the  $\alpha$  in Zhang's reference, introduced to satisfy the boundary condition on the lateral surface of the cylinder.

### 4.3 Main Results

In the sequel  $D$  denotes the cylinder  $B_2(0, 1) \times [0, 1]$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , where  $B_2(0, 1)$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centred at origin. Everywhere we assume that  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ . Hence  $0 \leq \alpha < 3$ . Occasional different situations would be explicitly remarked.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  and a couple of exponents  $q, p$  be given, such that  $k - 1 \leq \alpha < k$  for some integer  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ . and such that the constraint*

$$[(3 - \alpha)p - 2]q < 2 \quad (4.1)$$

*holds. Then there exists a force  $f \in L_t^q L_x^p$  and a corresponding, unique, solution  $v \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1$  of the Navier-Stokes equations*

$$\Delta v - v \cdot \nabla v - \nabla p - \partial_t v = f \quad (4.2)$$

*in  $D \times [0, T)$  which satisfies the non slip boundary condition  $v = 0$  on the vertical boundary of  $D$  and the Navier total slip boundary condition on the horizontal boundary of  $D$ . In addition,  $v$  satisfies the point wise blow up relation*

$$\|\nabla^{k-1} v(x, t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T. \quad (4.3)$$

*Moreover  $v \in L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{p}}$  for all pair  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}$  satisfying the inequality*

$$[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{p} - 2]\tilde{q} < 2. \quad (4.4)$$

*External forces  $f$  and solutions  $v$  are smooth in  $D \times [0, T)$ .*

*If  $k \geq 2$  then one also has  $v \in C^{k-2}(D \times [0, T])$ .*

*Remark 4.1.* Note that for  $k = 2$  equation (4.3) means blow up of the vorticity, and for  $k = 3$  means blow up of the solutions, as classical solutions. For  $k \geq 2$ , equation (4.4) loses interest since it is trivially verified for any pair of positive reals. This is a forewarning that the solution will be much smoother, as claimed in the theorem.

Let's now put in evidence the case case  $k = 1$ . Note that assumption (4.1) implies that  $q < \infty$ .



**Corollary 4.2.** *For any  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , and any couple  $q, p$  satisfying the constraint (4.1), there exists a force  $f \in L_t^q L_x^p$  such that the corresponding, unique, solution  $v$  of the Navier-Stokes equations 4.2 satisfying the no slip boundary condition  $v = 0$  on the vertical boundary of  $D$  and the Navier total slip boundary condition on the horizontal boundary of  $D$ , satisfies the blow up condition*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \|v(x, t)\|_{L_x^\infty} = +\infty. \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover  $v \in L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{p}}$  for all couple  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}$  such that  $[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{p} - 2]\tilde{q} < 2$ .

External forces  $f$  and solutions  $v$  are smooth in  $D \times [0, T)$ . Blow up occurs only at time  $T$ .

The uniqueness claim follows from the smoothness for  $t < T$ .

The particular case below appears clarifying. For simplicity we assume that the exponents  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  are a priori given.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let be given a pair of exponents  $(q, p)$  such that  $p < 1 + \frac{1}{q}$ , and a couple of arbitrary large exponents  $\tilde{q}$  and  $\tilde{p}$ . Then there is a force  $f \in L_t^q L_x^p$  such that the corresponding solution  $v$  satisfies the general properties described in Corollary 4.2, belongs to the space  $L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ , and verifies the unboundedness property (4.5).*

For convenience we prove here this last result. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $(1 + \epsilon)p = 1 + \frac{1}{q}$ , and  $\epsilon\tilde{p} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{q}$ . Next fix  $\alpha$  such that  $1 - 2\epsilon < \alpha < 1$ . It easily follows that (4.1) holds. Hence there is an external force  $f = f_\epsilon \in L_t^q L_x^p$  for which (4.5) holds. Furthermore  $[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{p} - 2]\tilde{q} < [2\epsilon\tilde{p} - 2]\tilde{q} < 2$ . Hence  $v \in L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ .

*Remark 4.2.* For any arbitrarily large  $q$ , by taking  $p = 1$ , we obtain [26, Theorem 1.1, item (2)].

*Remark 4.3.* By taking  $q = 1$  and  $p < 2$ , we show that there are external forces  $f \in L_t^1 L_x^p$  such that (4.5) holds for all  $p < 2$ . For  $p = 2$  the problem remains open.

*Remark 4.4.* In correspondence to any couple of fixed, but arbitrarily large, exponents  $\tilde{q}$  and  $\tilde{p}$ , there are blow up solutions satisfying  $v \in L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ . Note that solutions are not merely strong solutions up to time  $T$ , but even much more. In fact, the classical quantity  $2/\tilde{q} + 3/\tilde{p}$ , always positive here, can be chosen arbitrarily small. For instance, in correspondence to any fixed, arbitrarily large exponent  $\tilde{p}$ , there are blow up solutions  $v$  satisfying  $v \in L_t^\infty L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ . But the border line case  $\tilde{p} = \infty$  can not occur, due to our blow up result. So, the result looks significant.

For  $p = 2$ , there exist external forces  $f \in L_t^q L_x^2$ , for arbitrarily large  $q$ , for which

$$\|\nabla v(x, t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \rightarrow \infty, \quad t \rightarrow T. \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, there are external forces  $f \in L_t^1 L_x^p$ , for arbitrarily large  $p$  for which (4.6) holds.

It could be of some interest to consider the case  $q = p$ . The above corollary shows that blow up holds if  $f \in L_t^q L_x^p$ , with  $q = p < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

A last remark: It is well known that, roughly, if  $f \in L_t^q L_x^p$ , with  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} < 2$  then solutions of Stokes linear problem are bounded (actually, Hölder continuous). As the reader easily verifies, in the above three particular cases taken into consideration, namely, the two extreme cases  $q = 1$  and  $q = \infty$ , and the one with  $q = p$ , the quantity  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p}$  is larger than 2. Actually, one easily verifies that there is a positive constant  $c$  such that  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} > 2 + c$ , for all pairs  $(q, p)$  leading to the blow up results described in the corollary.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 4.1

First, by Zhang's paper (1.11), it follows that  $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \phi_0 \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right)$  solves the equation

$$\left( \Delta - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \phi - \partial_t \phi = h, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $r = |x|$ ,

$$\phi_0 = \phi_0(r) = -\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r s e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}l^2} k(l) dl ds, \quad (5.2)$$

$$h = \frac{1}{[2(T-t)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} k \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

and  $k = k(r)$  is a fixed smooth function, defined for all  $r > 0$ , but supported in the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ .

Set  $\tilde{\phi} = r^\alpha \phi$ . One has

$$\left( \Delta - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \tilde{\phi} - \partial_t \tilde{\phi} = r^\alpha h + \alpha^2 r^{\alpha-2} \phi + 2\alpha r^{\alpha-1} \partial_r \phi := h_1. \quad (5.4)$$

We remark that  $\tilde{\phi}$  and  $h_1$  will be, respectively, the solution  $v$  and the data  $f$  in equation (4.2).

It is easy to check that (see, Zhang's paper (1.16))

$$|\phi| \leq \frac{Cr}{r^2 + T - t}, \quad |\partial_r \phi| \leq \frac{C}{r^2 + T - t}, \quad (5.5)$$

so we have that

$$|\alpha^2 r^{\alpha-2} \phi + 2\alpha r^{\alpha-1} \partial_r \phi| \leq C \frac{r^{\alpha-1}}{r^2 + T - t}. \quad (5.6)$$

**Lemma 5.1.** For all  $1 + p\alpha - p > -1$ , that is  $\alpha > 1 - \frac{2}{p}$ , we have that

$$\int_D |h_1|^p dx \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{(T-t)^{\frac{3p-2-p\alpha}{2}}} & \text{if } \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} \neq 1, \\ C|\ln(T-t)| & \text{if } \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} = 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

*Proof.* First, we have that

$$\int_D |\alpha^2 r^{\alpha-2} \phi + 2\alpha r^{\alpha-1} \partial_r \phi|^p dx \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r^{1+p\alpha-p}}{(r^2 + T-t)^p} dr. \quad (5.8)$$

When  $1 - \frac{2}{p} < \alpha \leq 1$ , we split the interval  $[0, 1]$  into two parts:  $[0, \sqrt{T-t}]$  and  $[\sqrt{T-t}, 1]$ .

In  $[0, \sqrt{T-t}]$ , we have that

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{T-t}} \frac{r^{1+p\alpha-p}}{(r^2 + T-t)^p} dr \leq \frac{1}{(T-t)^p} \int_0^{\sqrt{T-t}} r^{1+p\alpha-p} dr \leq \frac{C}{(T-t)^{\frac{3p-2-p\alpha}{2}}}. \quad (5.9)$$

In  $[\sqrt{T-t}, 1]$ , since  $p - p\alpha \geq 0$ , we have that

$$\frac{(r^2 + T-t)^{\frac{p-p\alpha}{2}}}{r^{p-p\alpha}} = \left( \frac{r^2 + T-t}{r^2} \right)^{\frac{p-p\alpha}{2}} \leq C$$

for  $r \in [\sqrt{T-t}, 1]$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\sqrt{T-t}}^1 \frac{r^{1+p\alpha-p}}{(r^2 + T-t)^p} dr \\ &= \int_{\sqrt{T-t}}^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T-t)^{\frac{3p-p\alpha}{2}}} \frac{(r^2 + T-t)^{\frac{p-p\alpha}{2}}}{r^{p-p\alpha}} dr \\ &\leq C \int_{\sqrt{T-t}}^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T-t)^{\frac{3p-p\alpha}{2}}} dr \\ &= \frac{C}{2} \int_{\sqrt{T-t}}^1 \frac{1}{(r^2 + T-t)^{\frac{3p-p\alpha}{2}}} d(r^2 + T-t) \\ &= C \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3p-2-p\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{[2(T-t)]^{\frac{3p-2-p\alpha}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(1+T-t)^{\frac{3p-2-p\alpha}{2}}} \right], & \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} \neq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} [\ln(1+T-t) - \ln(T-t)], & \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} = 1, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{C}{(T-t)^{\frac{3p-2-p\alpha}{2}}}, & \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} \neq 1, \\ C|\ln(T-t)|, & \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

When  $\alpha > 1$ , since  $p\alpha - p > 0$ , it follows that

$$\frac{r^{p\alpha-p}}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\frac{p\alpha-p}{2}}} = \left( \frac{r^2}{r^2 + T - t} \right)^{\frac{p\alpha-p}{2}} \leq 1,$$

for  $r \in [0, 1]$ , which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |\alpha^2 r^{\alpha-2} \phi + 2\alpha r^{\alpha-1} \partial_r \phi|^p dx \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r^{1+p\alpha-p}}{(r^2 + T - t)^p} dr \\ & = C \int_0^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\frac{3p-p\alpha}{2}}} \frac{r^{p\alpha-p}}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\frac{p\alpha-p}{2}}} dr \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\frac{3p-p\alpha}{2}}} dr \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{(T-t)^{\frac{3p-p\alpha}{2}}}, & \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} \neq 1, \\ C |\ln(T-t)|, & \frac{3p-p\alpha}{2} = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

On the other hand, we have for all  $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |r^\alpha h|^p dx \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \frac{r^{p\alpha+1}}{[2(T-t)]^{\frac{3p-1}{2}}} k^p \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right) d \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{[2(T-t)]^{\frac{(3-\alpha)p-2}{2}}} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right)^{\frac{3p-1}{2}} k^p \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right) d \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

□

From (4.1) we obtain

$$p\alpha - p + 1 > 2p - \frac{2}{q} - 1 \geq -1. \quad (5.13)$$

Thus one shows that  $(3p - 2 - p\alpha)q < 2$  implies  $p\alpha - p + 1 > -1$ . Then, from Lemma 5.1, it follows that

$$h_1 \in L_t^q L_x^p \quad (5.14)$$

for all  $p, q$ , and  $\alpha$  such that

$$(3p - 2 - p\alpha)q < 2. \quad (5.15)$$

Next we study the solution  $v = \tilde{\phi}$ . One has

$$|\tilde{\phi}| \leq C \frac{r^{\alpha+1}}{r^2 + T - t}, \quad |\partial_r \tilde{\phi}| \leq C \frac{r^\alpha}{r^2 + T - t}. \quad (5.16)$$

Hence

$$\int_D |\tilde{\phi}|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r^{2\alpha+3}}{(r^2 + T - t)^2} dr \leq C, \quad (5.17)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_D |\partial_r \tilde{\phi}|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r^{2\alpha+1}}{(r^2 + T - t)^2} dr \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T - t)^{2-\alpha}} \frac{r^{2\alpha}}{(r^2 + T - t)^\alpha} dr \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T - t)^{2-\alpha}} dr \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{(T-t)^{1-\alpha}}, & \alpha < 1, \\ C |\ln(T-t)|, & \alpha = 1, \\ C(1+T-t)^{\alpha-1}, & \alpha > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

If  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\frac{C}{(T-t)^{1-\alpha}} \in L_t^1$ , so  $\tilde{\phi} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1$  for  $\alpha > 0$ .

Recall that

$$\tilde{\phi} = r^\alpha \phi = \frac{r^\alpha}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \phi_0 \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right). \quad (5.19)$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^k \tilde{\phi} &= \sum_{i=0}^k C_k^i (\partial^i r^\alpha) \partial^{k-i} \phi \\ &\sim \frac{r^\alpha}{(\sqrt{2(T-t)})^{k+1}} (\partial^k \phi_0) \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right) + \frac{C(\alpha, k) r^{\alpha-k}}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \phi_0 \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Note that  $\phi_0$  has support in  $[0, 1]$ , hence we may assume that

$$\frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \leq 1.$$

So if  $k-1 \leq \alpha < k$ , one has

$$\frac{r^\alpha}{(\sqrt{2(T-t)})^{(k-2)+1}} \leq \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right)^{k-1} r^{\alpha-(k-1)} \leq 1, \quad (5.21)$$

and

$$\frac{r^{\alpha-(k-2)}}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} r^{\alpha-(k-1)} \leq 1, \quad (5.22)$$

which gives that

$$\tilde{\phi} \in C^{k-2}(D \times [0, T]). \quad (5.23)$$

On the other hand, if  $k-1 \leq \alpha < k$ , one has

$$\frac{r^\alpha}{(\sqrt{2(T-t)})^{(k-1)+1}} = \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} \right)^{k-\alpha} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } t \rightarrow T, \quad (5.24)$$

which shows that

$$\partial_r^{k-1} \tilde{\phi}(x, t) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } t \rightarrow T. \quad (5.25)$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D |\tilde{\phi}|^{\tilde{p}} dx &\leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r^{\tilde{p}\alpha + \tilde{p} + 1}}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\tilde{p}}} dr \\ &= C \int_0^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\tilde{p} - \frac{\tilde{p}\alpha + \tilde{p}}{2}}} \frac{r^{\tilde{p}\alpha + \tilde{p}}}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\frac{\tilde{p}\alpha + \tilde{p}}{2}}} dr \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r}{(r^2 + T - t)^{\tilde{p} - \frac{\tilde{p}\alpha + \tilde{p}}{2}}} dr \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{(T-t)^{\frac{\tilde{p}-2-\tilde{p}\alpha}{2}}}, & \frac{\tilde{p}-\tilde{p}\alpha}{2} \neq 1, \\ C |\ln(T-t)|, & \frac{\tilde{p}-\tilde{p}\alpha}{2} = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Hence  $\tilde{\phi} \in L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{p}}$  provided that  $[(1-\alpha)\tilde{p}-2]\tilde{q} < 2$ .

Finally, to verify that the boundary conditions are satisfied, set  $v = (\tilde{\phi} + \beta r)e_\theta$ , where  $\beta = \int_0^1 s e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} \int_s^\infty e^{-\frac{l^2}{2}} k(l) dl ds$ . Actually, the Navier total slip boundary condition on the horizontal boundary of  $D$  is satisfied since  $v$  is independent of the vertical variable  $x_3$  and  $v_r = v_3 = 0$ . On the other hand, it follows from Zhang's equation (1.20) that

$$\tilde{\phi}(1, t) = - \int_0^1 s e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} \int_s^\infty e^{-\frac{l^2}{2}} k(l) dl ds = -\beta. \quad (5.27)$$

So the no slip boundary condition is satisfied on the vertical boundary. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is accomplished.

## References

- [1] Albritton, D., Brué, E., Colombo, M.: Non-uniqueness of Leray solutions of the forced Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 196 (2022), no. 1, 415–455.

- [2] Albritton, D., Brué, E., Colombo, M.: Gluing non-unique Navier-Stokes solutions. *Ann. PDE* 9 (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 17, 25 pp.
- [3] Beirão da Veiga, H.: Existence and asymptotic behaviour for strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **36** (1987), 149–166.
- [4] Beirão da Veiga, H.: A new regularity class for the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **16** (1995), 407–412.
- [5] Beirão da Veiga, H. and Yang, J.: On mixed pressure-velocity regularity criteria to the Navier-Stokes equations in Lorentz spaces, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **42**(1), (2021), 1–16.
- [6] Buckmaster T. and Vicol, V.: Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 189(1):101–144, 2019.
- [7] Chen C.C., Strain, R. M., Tsai, T.P., and Yau, H.T.: Lower bound on the blow-up rate of the axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations, *Int. Math Res. Notices* (2008), vol. 8, artical ID rnn016, 31 pp.
- [8] Chen C.C., Strain, R. M., Tsai, T.P., and Yau, H.T.: Lower bound on th blow-up rate of the axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations II, *Comm. P.D.E.*, 34(2009), no. 1-3, 203-23
- [9] Chen, H., Fang, D. and Zhang, T.: Regularity of 3D axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 37 (2017), no. 4, 1923-1939.
- [10] Escauriaza, L., Seregin, G.A., Šverák, V.:  $L_{3,\infty}$ -solutions of Navier–Stokes equations and backward uniqueness, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **58** no.2 (350), 3–44.
- [11] Galdi, G.P.: An Introduction to the Navier-Stokes Initial-Boundary Value Problem, in *Fundamental Directions in Mathematical Fluid-Mechanics*, Birkhauser, Basel, 2000, p.p. 1-70. MR 2002c:35207.
- [12] Galdi, G.P. and Maremonti, P.: Sulla regolarità delle soluzioni deboli al sistema di Navier-Stokes in domini arbitrari *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.)*, 34 (1988), 59–73.
- [13] Giga, Y.: Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in  $L^p$  and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system, *J. Diff. Eq.*, **61** (1986), 186–212

- [14] Hopf, E.: Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen. *Math. Nachr.*, 4:213–231, 1951.
- [15] Koch, G., Nadirashvili, N., Seregin, G., Gregory, A., Šverák, V.: Liouville theorems for the Navier-Stokes equations and applications. *Acta Math.* 203 (2009), no. 1, 83–105.
- [16] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.: Unique global solvability of the three-dimensional Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in the presence of axial symmetry. (Russian) *Zap. Nauch. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* 7 (1968), 155–177.
- [17] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.: Example of nonuniqueness in the Hopf class of weak solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Math. USSR-Izvestiya*, 3 (1969), 229–236.
- [18] O.A. Ladyshenskaya, The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow, Gordon and Breach, New-York, 1969.
- [19] Lei, Z. and Zhang, Q. S.: A Liouville Theorem for the Axially-symmetric Navier-Stokes Equations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 261 (2011), 2323-2345.
- [20] Leray, J.: Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.*, **63**(1):193– 248, 1934
- [21] Li, Z., Pan, X., Yang, X., Zeng, C., Zhang, Q. S., Zhao, N.: Finite speed axially symmetric Navier-Stokes flows passing a cone. *J. Funct. Anal.* 286 (2024), no. 10, Paper No. 110393, 116 pp.
- [22] Nečas, J., Růžička, M. and Šverák, V.: On Leray's self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Acta Math.* 176 (1996), no. 2, 283–294.
- [23] Pan, X.: Regularity of solutions to axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations with a slightly supercritical condition, *J. Differential Equations* 260 (2016), no. 12, 848–8529.
- [24] Ukhovskii, M.R. and Yudovich, V.Y.: Axially-symmetric flows of ideal and viscous fluids filling the whole space. *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, 32 (1968), 52-61.
- [25] Scheffer, V.: A solution to the Navier-Stokes inequality with an internal singularity. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 101 (1985), no. 1, 47-85.
- [26] Zhang, Q. S.: A blow up solution of the Navier-Stokes equations with a super critical forcing term, (2023), arXiv:2311.12306.