

MONOGENIC CYCLIC TRINOMIALS OF THE FORM $x^4 + cx + d$

LENNY JONES

ABSTRACT. A monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree n that is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} is called *cyclic* if the Galois group over \mathbb{Q} of $f(x)$ is the cyclic group of order n , while $f(x)$ is called *monogenic* if $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\}$ is a basis for the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $f(\theta) = 0$. In this article, we show that there do not exist any monogenic cyclic trinomials of the form $f(x) = x^4 + cx + d$. This result, combined with previous work, proves that the only monogenic cyclic quartic trinomials are $x^4 - 4x^2 + 2$, $x^4 + 4x^2 + 2$ and $x^4 - 5x^2 + 5$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We say that a monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is *monogenic* if $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} and $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{\deg(f)-1}\}$ is a basis for the ring of integers \mathbb{Z}_K of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $f(\theta) = 0$. Hence, $f(x)$ is monogenic if and only if $\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. For the minimal polynomial $f(x)$ of an algebraic integer θ over \mathbb{Q} , it is well known [1] that

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta(f) = [\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]^2 \Delta(K),$$

where $\Delta(K)$ is the discriminant over \mathbb{Q} of the number field K . Thus, from (1.1), $f(x)$ is monogenic if and only if $\Delta(f) = \Delta(K)$.

In a private communication, Tristan Phillips asked the author if it is possible to determine all monogenic cyclic quartic trinomials; that is, monogenic quartic trinomials that have Galois group isomorphic to the cyclic group of order 4. Recently, a partial answer to the question of Phillips was given in two separate papers [5] and [3]. In [5], the author showed that the only monogenic cyclic trinomials of the form $x^4 + bx^2 + d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ are

$$(1.2) \quad x^4 - 4x^2 + 2, \quad x^4 + 4x^2 + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad x^4 - 5x^2 + 5,$$

while in [3], Joshua Harrington and the author proved that there do not exist any monogenic cyclic trinomials of the form $x^4 + ax^3 + d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. In this article, we show that the complete solution to Phillips' question is precisely the set of trinomials in (1.2) by establishing the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *There do not exist any monogenic cyclic quartic trinomials of the form $x^4 + cx + d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, we let

$$(2.1) \quad f(x) := x^4 + cx + d \quad \text{and} \quad r(x) := x^3 - 4dx - c^2,$$

Date: November 19, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R16, 11R32.

Key words and phrases. monogenic, quartic, trinomial, Galois.

where $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $cd \neq 0$. Straightforward calculations in Maple reveal that

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta(f) = \Delta(r) = 256d^3 - 27c^4.$$

Remark 2.1. The polynomial $r(x)$ in (2.1) is known as *the cubic resolvent of $f(x)$* .

The first theorem in this section follows from a result due to Jakhar, Khanduja and Sangwan [4, Theorem 1.1] for arbitrary irreducible trinomials when applied to our specific quartic trinomial $f(x)$. Note that, for a prime q , we use the notation $q^N \parallel M$ to mean that q^N is the exact power of q that divides the integer M .

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f(x)$ be irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $f(\theta) = 0$, and let \mathbb{Z}_K be the ring of integers of K . A prime factor q of $\Delta(f)$ does not divide $[\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]$ if and only if q satisfies one of the following conditions:*

(1) *when $q \mid c$ and $q \mid d$, then $q^2 \nmid d$;*

(2) *when $q \mid c$ and $q \nmid d$, then*

$$\text{either } q \mid c_2 \text{ and } q \nmid d_1 \quad \text{or} \quad q \nmid c_2 (dc_2^4 + d_1^4),$$

$$\text{where } c_2 = c/q, \text{ and } d_1 = \frac{d+(-d)^{q^j}}{q} \text{ with } q^j \parallel 4;$$

(3) *when $q \nmid c$ and $q \mid d$, then*

$$\text{either } q \mid c_1 \text{ and } q \nmid d_2 \quad \text{or} \quad q \nmid c_1 (cc_1^3 - d_2^3),$$

$$\text{where } c_1 = \frac{c+(-c)^{q^\ell}}{q} \text{ with } q^\ell \parallel 3, \text{ and } d_2 = d/q;$$

(4) *when $q \nmid cd$, then $q^2 \nmid (256d^3 - 27c^4)$.*

The next theorem follows from a result due to Kappe and Warren [6, Theorem 1] when applied to our specific quartic trinomial $f(x)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f(x)$ and $r(x)$ be as defined in (2.1). Suppose that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , and that $r(x)$ has exactly one root $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let L be the splitting field of $r(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} , and define*

$$(2.3) \quad g(x) := (x^2 - tx + d)(x^2 - t).$$

Then $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq$

(1) *C_4 if and only if $g(x)$ splits over L ,*

(2) *D_4 if and only if $g(x)$ does not split over L .*

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first prove two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that neither A nor B is a square. Then AB is a square if and only if $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B})$.*

Proof. Since A and B are not squares, we can write

$$A = u^2a \quad \text{and} \quad B = v^2b,$$

where a and b are squarefree integers with $a, b \neq 1$. Then AB is a square if and only if $a = b$ if and only if

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B}). \quad \square$$

The next lemma is a more user-friendly version of Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f(x)$ and $r(x)$ be as defined in (2.1). Suppose that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , and that $r(x)$ has exactly one root $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define*

$$\delta_1 := t(16d - 3t^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 := (t^2 - 4d)(16d - 3t^2).$$

Then $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq$

- (1) C_4 if and only if δ_1 and δ_2 are nonzero squares in \mathbb{Z} ,
- (2) D_4 if and only if neither δ_1 nor δ_2 is a nonzero square in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. From Theorem 2.3, we have that

$$(3.1) \quad r(x) = (x - t)(x^2 + Ax + B) = x^3 + (A - t)x^2 + (B - tA)x - tB,$$

for some $t, A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $x^2 + Ax + B$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Equating coefficients on $r(x)$ in (2.1) and (3.1) yields

$$(3.2) \quad r(x) = (x - t)(x^2 + tx + t^2 - 4d).$$

Then, calculating $\Delta(r)$ in (3.2), and recalling $\Delta(r)$ from (2.2), gives us

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta(f) = \Delta(r) = 256d^3 - 27c^4 = (16d - 3t^2)(3t^2 - 4d)^2.$$

We also see from (3.2) that $16d - 3t^2$ is not a square in \mathbb{Z} since $x^2 + tx + t^2 - 4d$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , so that

$$L := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{16d - 3t^2}) \text{ is the splitting field of } r(x) \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}$$

with $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. Furthermore, from (2.1), we have that

$$(3.4) \quad t(t^2 - 4d) = c^2$$

since $r(t) = 0$. Thus, we deduce from (3.4) that exactly one of the following sets of conditions holds:

$$(3.5) \quad t \text{ and } t^2 - 4d \text{ are both squares in } \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \text{neither } t \text{ nor } t^2 - 4d \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that $t(t^2 - 4d) \neq 0$ since $c \neq 0$. Suppose that (3.5) holds, and let $2^{2k} \parallel t$. Then, from (3.4), if $k = 0$, then $2 \nmid c$ and, since $\sqrt{t} \mid c$, we have that $t \pm c/\sqrt{t}$ are even integers. If $k \geq 1$, then $2^k \parallel \sqrt{t}$ and $2^{k+1} \parallel c$. Hence, again $t \pm c/\sqrt{t}$ are even integers. Thus, in any case, we deduce that $(t^2 \pm c\sqrt{t})/(2t) \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 + cx + d &= x^4 + cx + \frac{t^3 - c^2}{4t} \\ &= \left(x^2 + \sqrt{t}x + \frac{t^2 - c\sqrt{t}}{2t} \right) \left(x^2 + \sqrt{t}x + \frac{t^2 + c\sqrt{t}}{2t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, contradicting the fact that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Hence, (3.6) holds. Then, from (3.4), we see that

$$(3.7) \quad \delta_1 \delta_2 = t(16d - 3t^2)(t^2 - 4d)(16d - 3t^2) = c^2(16d - 3t^2)^2$$

is a nonzero square in \mathbb{Z} . Therefore, exactly one of the following sets of conditions holds:

$$(3.8) \quad \delta_1 \text{ and } \delta_2 \text{ are both squares in } \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \text{neither } \delta_1 \text{ nor } \delta_2 \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let K be the splitting field of $f(x)$. Then, it follows from the details of the proof of [6, Theorem 1] that the following quadratic fields are subfields of K :

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{16d - 3t^2}), & M_3 &= \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t(16d - 3t^2)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\delta_1}) \\ M_1 &= \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t}), & M_4 &= \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(t^2 - 4d)(16d - 3t^2)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\delta_2}), \\ M_2 &= \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 - 4d}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.4), (3.6) and Lemma 3.1, we deduce that $M_1 = M_2$.

Suppose that (3.9) holds. Then, since $16d - 3t^2$ is not a square, it follows that $M_3 = M_4$ and $M_1 \neq L$ by (3.6), (3.7) and Lemma 3.1. Hence, K contains more than a single quadratic subfield, which implies that $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq D_4$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq D_4$ and, by way of contradiction, assume that (3.8) holds. We let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ be the roots of $f(x)$ and follow the proof of [6, Theorem 1.]. Then, the quadratic subfield L of K contains:

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_4, \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4, \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 \quad (\text{the roots of } r(x) \text{ in (3.2)}), \\ &\alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_3\alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \quad (\text{the roots of } g(x) \text{ in (2.3)}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the polynomial

$$h(x) := x^2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)x + \alpha_1\alpha_2 \in L[x].$$

Observe that the roots of $h(x)$ are α_1 and α_2 . Since $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and

$$\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 = \frac{(\alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4) - (\alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3)}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \in L(\alpha_1),$$

it follows that $\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in L(\alpha_1)$ so that $K = L(\alpha_1)$, which yields the contradiction that $|\text{Gal}(f)| = 4$, and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By way of contradiction, suppose that $f(x)$ is a monogenic cyclic trinomial. Since $f(x)$ is cyclic, we must have $\Delta(f) > 0$, which implies that

$$(3.10) \quad d > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 16d - 3t^2 > 0,$$

from (3.3). Furthermore, we have from Lemma 3.2 that δ_1 and δ_2 are nonzero squares in \mathbb{Z} . Hence, if $t < 0$, then $\delta_1 = t(16d - 3t^2) < 0$, contradicting the fact that δ_1 is a square. Thus, $t > 0$ and $t^2 - 4d > 0$ by (3.4). Therefore, it follows that

$$(3t^2 - 4d) - (16d - 3t^2) = 6t^2 - 20d > 6t^2 - 24d = 6(t^2 - 4d) > 0,$$

which implies that

$$(3.11) \quad 3t^2 - 4d > 16d - 3t^2 > 0$$

from (3.10). We make the following additional observations:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \mid \Delta(f) &\iff 4 \mid (3t^2 - 4d) \iff 4 \mid (16d - 3t^2) \iff 2 \mid t \\ 3 \mid \Delta(f) &\iff 3 \mid (3t^2 - 4d) \iff 3 \mid (16d - 3t^2) \iff 3 \mid d. \end{aligned}$$

In our arguments, it will be useful to know the solutions to the equation

$$(3.13) \quad 3t^2 - 4d = 2^{k-1},$$

where k is an integer with $k \geq 1$. Solving (3.13) for $4d$, and using (3.4), yields the equation

$$(3.14) \quad c^2 = -2t^3 + 2^{k-1}t.$$

Then, multiplying both sides of (3.14) by 4 gives rise to the elliptic curve:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} E_k : Y^2 &= X^3 - 2^k X, \\ \text{where } X &= -2t \text{ and } Y = 2c. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the point $(0, 0)$ is on E_k . However, since $c \neq 0$, we must have $Y \neq 0$. Thus, it follows from [2, 7] that the set S of integral points (X, Y) on E_k with $Y > 0$ is

$$(3.16) \quad S = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \bar{k} \in \{0, 2\} \\ \{(-1, 1), (2, 2), (2 \cdot 13^2, 2 \cdot 13 \cdot 239)\} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \{(-2^{(k-1)/2}, 2^{3(k-1)/4}), (2^{(k+1)/2}, 2^{(3k+1)/4}), \\ (2^{(k+1)/2} \cdot 13^2, 2^{(3k+1)/4} \cdot 13 \cdot 239), & \text{if } k \geq 5 \text{ with } \bar{k} = 1 \\ (2^{(k-5)/2} \cdot 3^2, 2^{3(k-5)/4} \cdot 3 \cdot 7)\} & \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \bar{k} = 3, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{k} := k \bmod 4 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Therefore, every viable integer coefficient pair (c, d) for $f(x)$ arises from an integer solution (d, t, k) of (3.13), which in turn, arises from an integral point (X, Y) in (3.16). Note, however, that an integral point (X, Y) in (3.16) does not always yield an integer solution (d, t, k) of (3.13), or a viable integer coefficient pair (c, d) for $f(x)$. For example, if $k = 1$, then we deduce from (3.16) that any integer solutions (d, t) to (3.13) must arise from the integral points

$$(3.17) \quad (X, Y) \in \{(2, 2), (2 \cdot 13^2, 2 \cdot 13 \cdot 239)\}$$

on E_k . That is, the integer pairs (c, t) corresponding to the integral points (X, Y) in (3.17) are precisely

$$(c, t) \in \{(\pm 1, -1), (\pm 13 \cdot 239, -13^2)\},$$

which in turn, correspond precisely to the coefficient pairs

$$(3.18) \quad (c, d) \in \{(\pm 1, 1/2), (\pm 13 \cdot 239, 42841/2)\}.$$

Since $d \notin \mathbb{Z}$ in all pairs of (3.18), it follows that there are no monogenic cyclic quartic trinomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ when $k = 1$ in (3.13). Therefore, we can assume that $3t^2 - 4d > 1$. Moreover, we claim that

$$(3.19) \quad 3t^2 - 4d \neq 2^{k-1} \text{ for any integer } k \geq 1.$$

Since the case $k = 1$ has been addressed, in order to establish (3.19), we assume that $3t^2 - 4d = 2^{k-1}$ for some integer $k \geq 2$, and we proceed by way of contradiction. Observe then that $2 \mid t$ which implies that $8 \mid t(t^2 - 4d)$. It follows from (3.4) that $16 \mid t(t^2 - 4d)$ and $4 \mid c$. Consequently, we must address the following three cases:

- (1) $4 \mid t$ and $2 \mid d$,
- (2) $4 \mid t$ and $2 \nmid d$,
- (3) $2 \parallel t$ and $2 \nmid d$.

Note that if $2 \parallel t$ and $2 \mid d$, then $2^3 \parallel t(t^2 - 4d)$, which contradicts (3.4), and so this situation is not possible.

For case (1), if $4 \mid d$, then condition (1) of Theorem 2.2 fails for the prime $q = 2$. Hence, $2 \parallel d$ and $k = 4$. It then follows from (3.16) that there are no cyclic monogenic trinomials $f(x)$ in this case.

Suppose next that case (2) holds. It is then easy to see that $k = 3$, and again we conclude from (3.16) that there are no cyclic monogenic trinomials $f(x)$ in this case as well.

Finally, suppose that case (3) holds. Then $2^2 \parallel (-2t)$, and therefore, from (3.16), we get the following viable integral points on the elliptic curves in (3.15):

$$(X, Y) = \begin{cases} (-4, \pm 8) & \text{if } k = 5 \\ (36, \pm 168) & \text{if } k = 9, \end{cases}$$

which in turn, using (3.4), yield the corresponding integer triples

$$(3.20) \quad (t, c^2, d) = \begin{cases} (2, 16, -1) & \text{if } k = 5 \\ (-18, 7056, 179) & \text{if } k = 9. \end{cases}$$

Since we have shown that both t and d must be positive, we conclude that neither of the triples in (3.20) produces a cyclic monogenic trinomial $f(x)$, which establishes the claim in (3.19). Consequently, we can assume that $q \mid (3t^2 - 4d)$ for some prime $q \geq 3$.

Next, we claim that for any prime q ,

$$(3.21) \quad q \mid (3t^2 - 4d) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q \mid (16d - 3t^2).$$

Note by (3.12) that we only need to address primes $q \geq 5$.

Suppose first that $q \geq 5$ is a prime such that $q \mid (3t^2 - 4d)$ and $q \nmid (16d - 3t^2)$. Since $3t^2 \equiv 4d \pmod{q}$, we have that

$$16d - 3t^2 \equiv 12d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

which implies that $q \nmid d$. If $q \mid c$, then we see from (3.3) that $q \mid 256d^3$, since $q \mid \Delta(f)$. Thus, since $q \neq 2$, we deduce that $q \mid d$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $q \nmid c$. Therefore, we have that $q \nmid cd$. However, since $q \mid (3t^2 - 4d)$, we conclude from (3.3) that $q^2 \mid (256d^3 - 27c^4)$, which implies that condition (4) of Theorem 2.2 fails. Hence, $f(x)$ is not monogenic.

Suppose next that $q \geq 5$ is a prime such that $q \mid (16d - 3t^2)$ and $q \nmid (3t^2 - 4d)$. Since $3t^2 \equiv 16d \pmod{q}$, we have that

$$3t^2 - 4d \equiv 12d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

which implies that $q \nmid d$. If $q \mid t$, then

$$16d = (16d - 3t^2) + 3t^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

which yields the contradiction that $q \mid d$ since $q \geq 5$. Similarly, if $q \mid (t^2 - 4d)$, then

$$4d = (16d - 3t^2) + 3(t^2 - 4d) \equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

which again yields the contradiction that $q \mid d$. Thus, $q \nmid t$ and $q \nmid (t^2 - 4d)$ so that $q \nmid c$ by (3.4). Hence, $q^2 \nmid (16d - 3t^2)$ since otherwise, condition (4) of Theorem 2.2 fails. That is, $q \parallel (16d - 3t^2)$, which implies that $q \parallel t(16d - 3t^2)$ contradicting the fact that $t(16d - 3t^2)$ is a square. Therefore, the claim in (3.21) is established.

Suppose now that q is a prime divisor of $3t^2 - 4d$, and consequently of $16d - 3t^2$ by (3.21). We claim that

$$(3.22) \quad \text{if } q \geq 5 \text{ then } q \parallel (3t^2 - 4d) \text{ and } q \parallel (16d - 3t^2).$$

We only give details to show that $q \parallel (3t^2 - 4d)$ since the details are identical to show that $q \parallel (16d - 3t^2)$. By way of contradiction, suppose that $q^2 \mid (3t^2 - 4d)$. If $q \mid d$, then, since $q \neq 3$, we see that $q \mid t$, and so $q \mid c$ from (3.4). Thus, $q^2 \mid 4d$, so

that $q^2 \mid d$ since $q \neq 2$. Hence, it follows that $f(x)$ is not monogenic since condition (1) of Theorem 2.2 fails. Therefore, $q \nmid d$. Note then from (3.3), that $q \nmid c$ since $q \mid \Delta(f)$ and $q \neq 2$. That is, $q \nmid cd$. Consequently, since $q^2 \mid \Delta(f)$, it follows that $f(x)$ is not monogenic since condition (4) of Theorem 2.2 fails, and this final contradiction completes the proof of the claim in (3.22).

Thus, we can therefore assume that

$$(3.23) \quad 3t^2 - 4d = 2^a 3^b \prod_{i=1}^m q_i \quad \text{and} \quad 16d - 3t^2 = 2^u 3^v \prod_{i=1}^m q_i$$

for some nonnegative integers a, b, u, v, m , such that all of the following conditions hold:

- (i) $a + b > 0$ and $u + v > 0$,
(since otherwise $4d - 3t^2 = 16d - 3t^2$, which is impossible because $d > 0$),
- (ii) $a > 0$ if and only if $u > 0$,
- (iii) $b > 0$ if and only if $v > 0$,
- (iv) $2^a 3^b > 2^u 3^v$ (by (3.11)),
- (v) the q_i are primes with $q_i \geq 5$.

We claim that

$$(3.24) \quad b \neq v \text{ in (3.23).}$$

To establish (3.24), we assume, by way of contradiction, that $b = v$ in (3.23). Thus, we have from (3.23) that

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{3t^2 - 4d}{16d - 3t^2} = 2^{a-u} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

since $2^a > 2^u$ by (iv). Note then that $u \geq 2$ by (3.12). Since

$$\frac{3t^2 - 4d}{16d - 3t^2} = \frac{3t^2 - 16d + 12d}{16d - 3t^2} = -1 + \frac{12d}{16d - 3t^2},$$

we can rewrite the equation in (3.25) as

$$(2^{a-u} + 1)(16d - 3t^2) = 12d,$$

which implies that $u = \nu_2(d) + 2$, where $\nu_2(*)$ is the exact power of 2 dividing $*$. Since the equation in (3.25) can also be rewritten as

$$3t^2(2^{a-u} + 1) = 4d(2^{a-u+2} + 1),$$

it follows that $\nu_2(t^2) = \nu_2(d) + 2 = u$, so that $2 \mid u$. Therefore, we can write

$$t^2 = 2^u t_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad d = 2^{u-2} d_1,$$

where $2 \nmid t_1 d_1$. Thus,

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{3t^2 - 4d}{16d - 3t^2} = \frac{2^u 3t_1^2 - 2^u d_1}{2^{u+2} d_1 - 2^u 3t_1^2} = \frac{3t_1^2 - d_1}{4d_1 - 3t_1^2} = 2^{a-u}.$$

If $4 \mid (3t_1^2 - d_1)$, then $d \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$, so that $t_1^2 - d_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then,

$$t(t^2 - 4d) = 2^{u/2} t_1 (2^u t_1^2 - 2^u d_1) = 2^{(3u+2)/2} t_1 \left(\frac{t_1^2 - d_1}{2} \right) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and we deduce from (3.4) that $2 \mid (3u+2)/2$. Consequently, $u \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ so that $2 \nmid 3u/2$. However, we then arrive at the contradiction that

$$\delta_1 = t(16d - 3t^2) = 2^{u/2} t_1 (2^{u+2} d_1 - 2^u 3t_1^2) = 2^{3u/2} t_1 (4d_1 - 3t_1^2)$$

is not a square since $2^{3u/2} \parallel \delta_1$. Therefore, $4 \nmid (3t_1^2 - d_1)$, and we conclude from (3.26) that $a - u = 1$ in (3.25). Thus,

$$3t^2 - 4d - 2(16d - 3t^2) = 9(t^2 - 4d) = 0,$$

which, from (3.4), yields the contradiction that $c = 0$ and establishes the claim in (3.24).

Hence, since $b \neq v$, we may assume by (iii) that either $b > v > 0$ or $v > b > 0$, which implies that either $b \geq 2$ or $v \geq 2$. Thus, $3 \mid \Delta(f)$ and $3 \mid d$ by (3.12). We use Theorem 2.2 with $q = 3$ to show that $3 \mid [\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ and $f(\theta) = 0$. Since $3 \mid d$, we only have to examine conditions (1) and (3) of Theorem 2.2.

Suppose first that $3 \mid c$. Then $3 \mid t$ from (3.4) so that $9 \mid t^2$. Hence, if $b \geq 2$, then $9 \mid (3t^2 - 4d)$, which implies that $9 \mid d$. Similarly, if $v \geq 2$, then $9 \mid (16d - 3t^2)$, which implies that $9 \mid d$. In either case, condition (1) of Theorem 2.2 fails and $f(x)$ is not monogenic.

Suppose next that $3 \nmid c$. If $9 \mid d$ and $b \geq 2$, then

$$3t^2 - 4d \equiv 3t^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{9},$$

which implies that $3 \mid t$, yielding the contradiction that $3 \mid c$ by (3.4). The same contradiction is reached if $v \geq 2$. Thus, $9 \nmid d$. With these restrictions on c and d , we arrive at the set of pairs

$$P := \{(c \bmod 9, d \bmod 9)\} = \{(2, 6), (4, 3), (5, 3), (7, 6)\}$$

for which $t(t^2 - 4d) \equiv c^2 \pmod{9}$ (from (3.4)). With $c_1 = (c - c^3)/3$ and $d_2 = d/3$, it is easy to see that

$$cc_1^3 - d_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad c^4 - c^2 + d \equiv 0 \pmod{9}.$$

Straightforward calculations reveal that $9 \nmid 3c_1$ and $c^4 - c^2 + d \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ for each of the pairs in P . Hence, condition (3) of Theorem 2.2 fails, and $f(x)$ is not monogenic, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [2] K. A. Draziotis, *Integer points on the curve $Y^2 = X^3 \pm p^k X$* , Math. Comp. **75** (2006), no. 255, 1493–1505.
- [3] J. Harrington and L. Jones, *Monogenic trinomials of the form $x^4 + ax^3 + d$ and their Galois groups*, J. Algebra Appl. (to appear).
- [4] A. Jakhar, S. Khanduja and N. Sangwan, *Characterization of primes dividing the index of a trinomial*, Int. J. Number Theory **13** (2017), no. 10, 2505–2514.
- [5] L. Jones, *Monogenic even quartic trinomials*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [6] L.-C. Kappe and B. Warren, *An elementary test for the Galois group of a quartic polynomial*, Amer. Math. Monthly **96** (1989), no. 2, 133–137.
- [7] P. G. Walsh, *Integer solutions to the equation $y^2 = x(x^2 \pm p^k)$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **38** (2008), no. 4, 1285–1302.

PROFESSOR EMERITUS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY, SHIPPENSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17257, USA

Email address, Lenny Jones: doctorlennyjones@gmail.com