
BIOMETRICS IN EXTENDED REALITY: A REVIEW

A PREPRINT

Ayush Agarwal

Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Dharwad
Karnataka, India, Pin Code: 580011
201081001@iitdh.ac.in

Raghavendra Ramachandra

Department of Information Security and Communication Technology
Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU)
Gjøvik, Norway
raghavendra.ramachandra@ntnu.no

Sushma Venkatesh

AiBA AS
Norway
sushma@aiba.ai

S. R. Mahadeva Prasanna

Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Dharwad
Karnataka, India, Pin Code: 580011
prasanna@iitdh.ac.in

November 19, 2024

ABSTRACT

In the domain of Extended Reality (XR), particularly Virtual Reality (VR), extensive research has been devoted to harnessing this transformative technology in various real-world applications. However, a critical challenge that must be addressed before unleashing the full potential of XR in practical scenarios is to ensure robust security and safeguard user privacy. This paper presents a systematic survey of the utility of biometric characteristics applied in the XR environment. To this end, we present a comprehensive overview of the different types of biometric modalities used for authentication and representation of users in a virtual environment. We discuss different biometric vulnerability gateways in general XR systems for the first time in the literature along with taxonomy. A comprehensive discussion on generating and authenticating biometric-based photorealistic avatars in XR environments is presented with a stringent taxonomy. We also discuss the availability of different datasets that are widely employed in evaluating biometric authentication in XR environments together with performance evaluation metrics. Finally, we discuss the open challenges and potential future work that need to be addressed in the field of biometrics in XR.

Keywords Biometrics · Authentication · Extended reality · Attacks · Virtual Reality · Security · Avatars · Synthetic AI

1 Introduction

Extended Reality (XR) is an umbrella term that encompasses various immersive technologies, including Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR). XR combines real and virtual environments to create a blended experience that allows users to interact with digital content and the physical world. VR completely immerses users in a simulated environment, AR overlays digital content onto the real world, and MR blends digital and real-world elements to create interactive and dynamic experiences. The market value of XR has increased rapidly in recent years from USD 6.1 billion in 2016 to USD 42.83 billion in 2022. It is expected to grow further, reaching USD 345.09 billion by 2030¹. This growth is driven by the increasing adoption of XR in various sectors as well as advancements in XR technology and hardware.

The evolution of AI has the potential to significantly enhance the usability of extended reality (XR) by making interactions within virtual environments more seamless and accessible. Through AI-powered natural language processing, users can rely on improved voice commands and real-time translations, enabling intuitive communication across

¹<https://www.vantagemarketresearch.com/>




Figure 1: Applications of extended reality (XR) systems in different sectors.

global audiences. AI can also personalize XR experiences through adaptive learning systems, tailoring environments, and tutorials to meet individual needs, thereby reducing the learning curve. In addition, AI-driven dynamic content generation fosters more immersive and interactive virtual worlds, creating a richer experience for users. Collaboration within XR can be enhanced as AI facilitates the real-time tracking of gestures and facial expressions, enhancing smoother communication in shared virtual spaces.

Extended reality (XR) systems are widely utilized in several critical applications, including healthcare, education, and the military, in addition to societal applications such as healthcare, tourism, entertainment, sports, and e-commerce [Sharma et al. [2017]]. In healthcare, XR is used for medical training, surgery simulation, patient education, and therapy for conditions such as anxiety disorders and chronic pain. In education, XR is used to create immersive learning experiences and simulations that enhance student engagement and understanding of complex concepts. In tourism, XR is used to create virtual tours of famous landmarks and tourist destinations, providing users with a unique and immersive experience. In entertainment, XR is used to create interactive and immersive gaming experiences that push the boundaries of traditional gaming. The military and defense sectors also use XR for training and simulation. XR simulations are used to train soldiers in combat situations, simulate vehicle and aircraft operations, and create virtual battlefields. E-commerce also uses XR to create virtual shopping experiences, allowing customers to browse and purchase products in virtual stores. Sports is another sector where XR is used to enhance training and performance analysis. XR simulations are used to train athletes in various sports, including football, basketball, and golf, to improve their technique and decision-making skills. XR is also used for sports broadcasting, providing viewers with an immersive and interactive experience. The detailed overview of XR systems applications is presented in Figure 1.

The use of XR in critical applications has raised concerns regarding the security and trustworthiness of such systems. Evolving technology with XR has resulted in semi-autonomous avatars that have increased naturalness (or realism) in human-to-human interactions. At present, the interaction in XR goes beyond the mere exchange of words, where dynamic support for nonverbal cues and photorealistic avatars is possible. Therefore, a highly personalized representation of user behaviors with photorealistic rendering will increase the trustworthiness of individuals involved in the XR environment. Furthermore, the development of XR systems in various fields has provided a huge portal for the flow of user data for fraudulent activities by people with malicious intentions. Data piracy and theft in a virtual world can be used to unlock real-world security systems. Therefore, there is a need for a security and privacy system that protects users' information generated in the virtual world from affecting their information in the real world.

The wide application of XR in critical applications mandates appropriate access control when using XR tools, and also ensures the trustworthiness of the digital person representation in XR space, which features biometric characteristics. All existing XR devices utilize both behavioral and physiological biometric characteristics, including eye tracking




Figure 2: The number of year-wise works in the direction of security and privacy in VR applications indicates the increasing interest of researchers.

(e.g., Vive Pro Eye, Varjo, Neo 2 Eye, Meta), heart rate (e.g., Teslasuit), facial expressions (e.g., HTC Vive), and hand movements (e.g., Manus VR). Popular (e.g., Meta) and upcoming (e.g., Apple) VR headsets in the market use iris recognition to improve their trustworthiness and security of VR headsets. On the other hand, augmenting the capabilities of users to experience seamless and persistent physical-digital experiences in virtual environments based on biometric characteristics is extensively studied. The seamless integration of a user's personal appearance and behavioral style results in a photorealistic full-body avatar [Lattas et al. [2023]]. Therefore, the created avatar must be protected against identity theft and impersonation attacks.

Methodology: The rapid evolution of XR applications has resulted in the development of several technologies, and research has focused on addressing security and privacy issues. Figure 2 shows the number of research papers published on security and privacy in XR applications, indicating an upward trend in this topic.

We approach the research survey by formulating and addressing the following research questions (RQ):

- **RQ1:** What are the major vulnerability points and possible attacks in the biometric based XR system workflow?
- **RQ2:** What are the existing biometrics characteristics that are employed in XR and are they vulnerable for attacks?
- **RQ3:** How has avatars evolved over the years to give photo-realistic quality with biometric characteristics? What are the Deep learning techniques used for avatar generation?
- **RQ4:** What are the currently available datasets for the biometrics of XR and avatar generation and verification?

In the survey we collected 318 articles from Google scholar. The steps followed in filtering and selection of the articles are:

- *Searching the database:* The articles consists related to any form of biometrics used in the XR domain, attacks in the XR work flow, avatar generation and verification, deep learning models for avatar generation and the dataset used across the authentication in XR. The keywords used are (1) "Security" and "privacy" in "Virtual/Augmented Reality", (2) Security "Attacks" in "Virtual/Augmented Reality", (3) "Avatar generation" for "Virtual/Augmented Reality", (4) "Biometrics" in "VR/AR" (5) "Spoofing attacks" on "VR/AR".
- *First screening:* Read through the title, abstract, conclusion and methodology used in the searched papers to find the relevant papers in the scope of this survey.
- *Second screening:* In the second phase, we conducted a comprehensive review of the 62 shortlisted papers out of the initial 391 articles. These 62 articles represent a refined sample space, offering a clear understanding of the various components of XR. The review of these 62 papers is systematically presented across the different sections of this survey.
- *Analysis step:* We finally provide the insights from the review.

Table 1: Comparison of existing survey papers with this survey paper. The main emphasis of this survey is on biometric characteristics that can be used for both avatar generation and ensuring security in XR.

Year	Refs	Contribution
2016	Berntsen et al. [2016]	Systematic review on the commercial impact of VR and the applications it is used the most.
2017	Chow et al. [2017]	Overview of cybersickness related effect on VR. Discusses how changes the attitude and behavior of person.
2018	Kumari and Polke [2018]	Review the implementation issues in various VR applications. There is a special mention about the concern of security the VR systems.
2018	Bryant et al. [2020]	Reviews the papers discussing the use of VR in physical, psychological, and communication interventions. Also, discusses the need to consider the issues related to cybersecurity and cybersickness.
2020	Pirker et al. [2020]	Presents the challenges and advantages of fully immersive VR in the computer science education.
2021	Jones et al. [2021]	Reviews the VR authentications system papers till October 2020 and highlights the research gap.
2021	Mystakidis et al. [2021]	Impact of VR based distant learning on the privacy, security and emotions of the learners.
2021	Wen et al. [2021]	This paper reviews facial capture technologies for VR, addressing the occlusion challenges caused by headsets. It identifies methodologies and research gaps, and proposes solutions. The evaluation reveals trends such as marker-based tracking and performance capture. A Modular Codec Avatar is recommended for VR facial capture, and an open-design headset is proposed to lower costs.
2022	Gumbo [2022]	Analysis of privacy risks in VR and AR and potential solutions to tackle these risks.
2022	Giaretta [2022]	Discuss the various attacks that can affect the confidentiality, integrity, availability, and safety of the user in VR systems.
2022	Kürtünlüoğlu et al. [2022]	Discussion of security and privacy issues in VR. The shortcomings of information and biometric authentication systems have been highlighted, and multi-model-based authentication has been argued as a potential solution.
2022	Giaretta [2022]	Discussed the various attacks that can affect the confidentiality, integrity, and safety of the user in VR systems.
2022	Kulal et al. [2022]	Discusses security and privacy on an integral part of metaverses like IoT, AI, Blockchain, NFT, and Crypto.
2022	Odeleye et al. [2022]	Provides a taxonomical representation of various challenges in VR and further classifies these challenges into the single comparative matrix.
2022	Dipakkumar Patel and Trivedi [2022]	Discusses privacy, authorization, and data leaks in VR and AR environments.
2023	Huang et al. [2023a]	This paper explores these characteristics, surveys current progress and applications, examines security and privacy issues, and raises concerns about societal and human implications.
2023	Bozkir et al. [2023]	This paper explores the potential of eye tracking in virtual reality (VR), highlighting its benefits for interaction and understanding human cognition. It also addresses privacy concerns and proposes research directions to overcome these challenges in the context of eye-tracking in VR.
2023	Qamar et al. [2023]	This paper examines the security and privacy threats posed by immersive technologies such as extended reality (XR) and metaverse. It identifies technology weaknesses, cyber security challenges, and user safety concerns and proposes strategies for defense and safety measures.
2023	Heruatmadja et al. [2023]	This study explores the challenges of security and authentication in Virtual Reality (VR) and focuses on the use of biometric authentication methods, particularly in relation to head-mounted displays (HMD). A systematic literature review was conducted to investigate the commonly used biometric media, machine learning techniques, and accuracy of biometric authentication in VR.
2023	De Guzman et al. [2019]	This work gives the survey of various security and privacy aspects in Mixed reality. Risk related to investigation, implementation, and evaluation of data security are highlighted.
2024	Finnegan et al. [2024]	This scoping review summarizes the current state of behavioral biometric authentication and its potential application in screen time measurement, highlighting the need for more rigorous research, particularly in child populations.
2024	Kaur et al. [2024]	This paper studies the privacy and security of data transfer from user to the Virtual Environment particularly in encryption, differential privacy and distributed learning aspect.
2024	Sharma et al. [2024]	A novel Metaverse Security Architecture, designed with Zero-Trust principles, is proposed, prioritizing user control over data, identity, and experiences, with proactive measures ensuring a secure and immersive virtual environment.
2024	Amano et al. [2024]	The visual privacy issues in the metaverse are surveyed, and a method for controlling privacy is introduced, enabling users to manage protection levels or delegate to an automated system.
2024	This work	This paper presents a comprehensive overview of the fundamental structure of a biometric system within the domain of extended reality, while simultaneously addressing various potential security vulnerabilities and threats. Additionally, this paper delves into the concept of photorealistic avatars, which are a crucial component of XR, and explores the potential risks associated with incorporating biometric features into these virtual representations.

Furthermore, the popularity of security and privacy in XR has resulted in several survey papers focusing on different aspects of security and privacy. Table 1 presents the contributions of the existing survey papers that address security and privacy in XR applications. Existing survey papers discuss the general framework for privacy and security tailored to different applications, including blockchains, the IoT, and social networks. Biometrics has become an integrated part of XR systems to authenticate the user and generate avatars to represent the user in the virtual space. There is no exclusive survey that can provide a good overview of XR biometrics. Although the utility of biometrics is discussed in Kürtünlüoğlu et al. [2022], a detailed discussion of biometric utility for XR applications has not been presented. Therefore, in this paper, we present a comprehensive review of biometric solutions for XR applications. In particular, we discuss the vulnerability of the XR system and existing biometric solutions studied in the literature for XR applications. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to discuss the potential of biometrics in XR applications. The contributions of this study are as follows:

- Comprehensive overview of existing biometric solutions that can be used for authenticating user and also to generate the avatar representing the user in XR environments.
- Present and discuss different vulnerability points and possible types of attacks on general XR systems. This is the first study to present a systematic representation of the vulnerabilities of XR systems.
- Extensive discussion of both physiological and behavioral biometrics for XR system authentication.




Figure 3: Taxonomical representation on the structure of this paper

- A comprehensive overview of biometric-based photo-realistic avatar generation techniques that are widely used in XR applications.
- Extensive discussion of future work indicating the potential of biometrics in XR applications.

The organization of the paper follows the taxonomy mentioned in Figure 3. Section 2 describes the current workflow of the XR system, the location of vulnerability, and possible attacks at these vulnerability points. We also discuss various authentication schemes used for the authentication of the XR system. In Section 3 we discuss the various physiological and behavioral modes of biometric verification techniques for XR. Section 4 discusses the avatar generation and verification methodologies. In Sections 5 and 6 we highlight the existing databases and performance metrics, respectively. Section 7 discuss the research questions and Open challenges in current XR research are discussed in section 8. Finally, conclusion is discussed in the Section 9.

2 Security in XR systems

In this section, we discuss the security aspects of XR systems, focusing on the different vulnerability points that can allow attackers to perform various types of attacks on XR systems. First, we present an overview of the XR system with the main working blocks and discuss different types of authentication mechanisms. We then discuss different types of attacks that can be performed on the different vulnerable parts of XR systems.

2.1 XR System

Extended reality (XR) systems are composed of four key components that collaborate harmoniously to craft an immersive experience for the user, as depicted in Figure 4. These components encompass the input processor, simulation processor, rendering processor, and the XR environment. The input processor captures and processes the user’s movements and interactions within the XR environment, thereby enabling seamless interaction with the extended world in an intuitive manner. The simulation processor is responsible for fabricating an extended reality environment and dictating its responses to the user input. This entails simulating the orientation and locomotion of extended objects, along with




Figure 4: Illustrating the working principle of extended reality

other tangible phenomena, such as physics and illumination. This helps create a real and believable XR world that responds accurately to what users do. The simulation processor ensures that the XR environment behaves consistently and predictably. This provided users with a smooth and exciting experience.

The rendering processor creates sights and sounds that make up what a person experiences through their senses, such as seeing things in 3D, hearing sounds, and feeling touch-like sensations. This is important because it helps make an experience feel real, as if a person is actually inside a different world. The rendering processor works closely with another part called the simulation processor, which replicates the live experience. This makes the entire experience feel accurate and responsive to what a person is doing.

The XR environment is like a special place where all instructions (scripts) and things (entities) exist in the extended world. It also includes rules that control how these things operate. This special place defines how the extended world looks and how things act in it. It also demonstrates how different parts of the extended world work together. The XR environment is important because it helps to build a connected and believable extended world that makes sense and feels real to the person using it. In summary, the extended reality system has four main parts that work together smoothly to provide the user with a continuous and deeply engaging experience. It does this by taking into account what the user does, creating very realistic computer-made scenarios, showing things in both sight and sound, and setting up a consistent alternate world. This system is good at taking the user to another world where they can interact in a natural and easy manner.

2.2 Various modes of authentication in XR

Various modes of authentication have been developed over the years to protect XR users from attacks. These authentications are broadly classified as knowledge-based, gesture-based, gaze/task-based, or rhythm-based. Figure 5 shows the different types of user authentication that are widely employed in existing XR systems.

- **Knowledge-based authentication** refers to the traditional method of authentication where users have to enter a personal identification number (PIN) or password to unlock a device or access a system [George et al. [2017], Olade et al. [2020a]]. Researchers have explored various transformations and innovations to enhance the security of this type of authentication. One approach is the use of shuffled virtual keyboards, which randomize the layout of the keys to prevent shoulder surfing attacks and password guessing. Rajarajan et al. proposed a shoulder-surfing-resistant authentication system that employs a shuffled virtual keyboard, making it challenging for an attacker to observe the user's keystrokes [Rajarajan et al. [2014]]. Similarly, Holland and Morelli [2018] introduced a dynamic virtual keyboard that continuously changed its layout, further enhancing security against shoulder surfing attacks. Another interesting transformation is RubikAuth, proposed by Mathis et al. [2020a] which integrates the concept of a Rubik's cube into the authentication process. Users manipulate the virtual




Figure 5: Types of authentication widely used in XR scenario that includes both knowledge based and biometrics.

Rubik’s Cube to generate a password by adding an additional layer of complexity to the authentication process. RubikBiom, also proposed by Mathis et al., combines knowledge-based authentication with biometrics by using the orientation of the Rubik’s Cube as a biometric feature [Mathis et al. [2020b]]. The fusion of knowledge-based and biometric authentication aims to enhance both security and usability.

- **Gesture-based authentication** refers to a method of user authentication that utilizes specific hand or body movements to verify the identity of an individual. Instead of relying solely on traditional methods, such as passwords or PINs, gesture-based authentication leverages the unique patterns and characteristics of user gestures to grant access to systems or devices. However, such actions can be cumbersome and awkward, particularly when performed in public places. Future research on gesture-based biometric authentication should focus on developing techniques that capture distinctive biometric features in a non-intrusive and natural manner. This ensures that the authentication process is user-friendly and does not draw unnecessary attention, thereby promoting both usability and security in various contexts.
- **Task-based authentication** is a novel approach that leverages the innate consistencies in human performance of everyday tasks to establish a unique signature for individuals in extended reality (XR) environments. Humans develop a certain level of proficiency and consistency in performing common tasks owing to their real-world experience. Activities such as throwing a ball, lifting a chair, swinging a golf club, or driving a car involve specific patterns of movement that are unique to each individual. In XR environments, task-based movements can be captured and analyzed to create a personalized authentication system. By recording and analyzing the performance of these tasks, a unique signature was established based on individual movement patterns, timing, and coordination. However, challenges remain in the implementation of task-based authentication systems. This requires the accurate tracking of user movements using sensors or cameras within the XR environment. The system must also be able to distinguish between genuine users and imposters attempting to mimic the task movements.
- **Rhythm-based authentication** is a unique approach where users respond to a predefined rhythm or pattern during the enrollment process. This authentication method relies on the ability of the user to accurately reproduce rhythm to verify their identity. The response can be based on various actions such as tapping a finger, blinking an eye, or any other predefined gesture. During enrollment, users were prompted to synchronize their actions with a specific rhythm or sequence. The system captures and records the user response as a unique rhythm-based signature. When authentication is required, the user is asked to reproduce the same rhythm or pattern with which they initially enrolled. The system compares the user’s response to the enrolled rhythm and determines whether it matches, grants, or denies access accordingly.

- **Biometric:** Biometric characteristics are widely employed in the XR for authentication that includes:
 - *Iris:* The use of various functionalities of the iris as the biometric to detect the authentication of the user. The advantage of Iris-based biometrics is that they are not prone to shoulder surfing attacks. Zhu et al. [2020] proposed a two-factor authenticator called BlinKey. The first factor is blinking of the eye in a certain rhythm. The second factor is the variation in the pupil size at each blink. These blinks and size variations were captured by the eye tracker. BlinKey-based authentication relies on a blinking pattern, so an HMD-based solution that captures the iris and a partial periocular region is used for biometric authentication [Boutros et al. [2020a]]. Features for iris extraction for this purpose include ordinal measures (OM) [Sun and Tan [2008]] and Discrete Fourier Transforms (DFT) [Miyazawa et al. [2008]]. Ordinal Measures focus on the relative ordering of pixel intensities, making them robust to lighting variations, noise, and contrast changes in iris recognition. OM encodes these relationships into ordinal codes for feature extraction. DFT capture the frequency components of the iris texture, highlighting periodic patterns that aid in distinguishing irises despite variations in scale and orientation. In addition to this Convolution Neural Network (CNN) based deep learning feature extraction methods like DeepIrisNet [Gangwar and Joshi [2016]] and MobileNetV3 [Howard et al. [2019]] is utilized in [Boutros et al. [2020b]] for highly accurate iris recognition.
 - *Brain signal-based biometrics,* specifically the use of electroencephalogram (EEG) signals, has gained interest as a non-intrusive input modality, particularly in conjunction with wearable headsets like virtual reality (VR) devices Hertweck et al. [2019]. EEG signals were collected using electrodes placed on the scalp to measure the electrical activity of the brain. One challenge in utilizing EEG data for Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) algorithms is the poor generalization performance across users. EEG signals exhibit inter-user differences owing to variations in the brain structure and activity patterns. However, these differences can be leveraged for user authentication. By studying and understanding the inter-user differences in EEG signals, researchers aim to develop authentication systems based on these unique brain activity patterns. Each individual's brain signal can serve as a distinctive biometric [Li et al. [2019]]. This approach offers a non-intrusive and potentially more secure authentication method. In EEG signal analysis, feature extraction methods like the Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT) [Bong et al. [2017]] and Autoregressive (AR) models [Makhoul [1975], Agarwal et al. [2020]] are crucial. WPT offers detailed decomposition, capturing both time and frequency information, while AR models can predict future signal values, formulated either as a spectral estimation problem or a linear prediction in the time domain. Power Spectral Density (PSD) analysis, computed via the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and refined using Welch's method, characterizes signal power distribution over frequency. Additionally, Statistical Parameters of Signals (SPS) measure the distribution and complexity of EEG signals, extending concepts like cumulants and entropy is also used as feature of EEG signal. These statistical features, while useful for providing insights into its overall distribution, are generally not well-suited for capturing complex, time-varying components of signals like those found in EEG. EEG signals are inherently represented as time-series data, necessitating the extraction of nuanced temporal dependencies that characterize these signals. Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs) are particularly well-suited for this task, demonstrating the ability to capture and model the complex temporal relationships embedded within EEG data. By leveraging GRUs, the temporal dynamics of EEG signals can be effectively unraveled, allowing for a more comprehensive understanding of the underlying neural processes [Luo et al. [2024]].
- **Continuous authentication:** Continuous authentication in Extended Reality (XR) refers to the ongoing process of verifying a user's identity and intent while they interact within virtual, augmented, or mixed reality environments. Unlike traditional authentication methods that occur only at login, continuous authentication employs real-time biometric and behavioral data analysis. This includes factors such as head movement patterns, gaze direction, and face and voice characteristics. By assessing these factors, XR systems can detect anomalies that might indicate unauthorized access or compromised interactions. This dynamic approach enhances security by adapting to user behavior changes and prevents unauthorized users from gaining extended access to sensitive XR applications and data. Continuous authentication thus safeguards user experiences and privacy, maintaining a secure environment throughout the user's XR session.

2.3 Various attacks in an extended reality

Figure 6 illustrates the vulnerabilities and potential points of attack across different levels of an XR system. The three primary levels, where user authentication can be performed, are visually represented within a dashed rectangular box in Figure 6. The first level of authentication can be performed on the device before using the device for XR applications. Second-level authentication is based on continuous authentication using gestures and actions that can be performed during interactions in an XR world. Third-level authentication is performed in virtual space rendering, where the




Figure 6: Illustration of various vulnerability of XR system in which the biometric characteristics (physiological and behavioral) are involved. In total, three vulnerability points need to be addressed to achieve a secure and trustworthy XR system.

authentication of rendered avatars in the XR space is carried out. Therefore, depending on the various functional blocks of the XR system, we identified four different vulnerability points, as illustrated in Figure 6. In the following, we discuss different types of attacks that can be foreseen at different vulnerability points of XR systems.

2.3.1 Vulnerability Point 1

Vulnerability point 1 is mainly associated with early authentication, primarily performed to validate the XR devices to authenticate the legitimate user. Conventional authentication methods include PINs, cards, passwords, and biometrics. Possible sources of attacks include direct attacks on biometrics and shoulder-surfing attacks on passwords and PINs.

The *Direct attacks or presentation attacks* [Ramachandra and Busch [2017a]] on the XR devices include the presentation of the biometric artefacts of the legitimate user to gain malicious access. The attacker can generate biometric artifacts either by printing or by synthesizing and presenting them directly to the biometric sensors. Depending on the type of biometric characteristics, the attack artifacts and generation of Presentation Attack Instruments (PAI) for successful attacks also vary. For instance, print attacks involve using printed biometric data (such as a fingerprint or face image) to deceive the system. In 3D mask attacks, a three-dimensional mask resembling the legitimate user’s face is created and presented to the sensor. Replay image, speech or video attacks involve playing back a recorded image, speech or video of the legitimate user to fool the device. For details on presentation attacks on biometric systems, readers can refer to Ramachandra and Busch [2017a], Marcel et al. [2019]. Several defense mechanisms have been proposed for PAI attacks. Optical flow texture analysis, for instance, detects subtle motion inconsistencies in spoofed biometric data by tracking pixel-level movements, effectively distinguishing real subjects from static or fake presentation attacks [Li et al. [2022], Bhattacharjee et al. [2019], Damer et al. [2016], Raghavendra et al. [2015], Ramachandra and Busch [2017b]]. Attacks on speech involve generating deepfakes or replaying audio to deceive authentication systems. These attacks exploit weaknesses in speech features like pitch, formants, and phonemes [Kumar et al. [2022], Sadashiv TN et al.

[2023]]. Deep learning models, such as ResNet [Magazine et al. [2022]] and ASSIST are employed to analyze these features, detecting subtle inconsistencies in the generated audio that distinguish authentic speech from manipulated or replayed versions.

Shoulder surfing attacks in XR are similar to their counterparts in the physical world. They involve an attacker trying to obtain sensitive information by watching the actions and movements of the target user. In the XR context, an attacker may be able to see the user's virtual keyboard or other input devices and use that information to steal passwords or other sensitive data. In addition, the attacker may be able to observe the user's virtual interactions and use that information to gain unauthorized access to restricted areas or sensitive information. These attacks can be especially dangerous in virtual environments that are used for sensitive tasks such as military training or healthcare.

2.3.2 Vulnerability Point 2

Vulnerability point 2 is mainly targeted at the communication channel that connects the user interaction to the XR environment. User interaction is captured in terms of head movement, hand movement, full-body movement, and user behavior based on the stimuli. The possible sources of attacks include the injection of human behavior through a side-channel attack, as detailed below.

Side channel attacks could be used to extract sensitive information from the user, such as biometric data or other personal information. For example, an attacker could use a microphone placed near the user's HMD to capture the sounds of their hand movements and use that information to reconstruct their hand gestures and steal biometric data [Al Arafat et al. [2021]]. Another example is the use of sensors to capture a user's body movements and infer sensitive information about their physical health or other personal characteristics. Additionally, inject attacks [Pant et al. [2024]] could be performed by overriding the biometric capture device. In such scenarios, an attacker could inject a biometric sample corresponding to the victim directly into the device, bypassing the actual user interaction. This could allow the attacker to impersonate the victim within the XR environment, gaining unauthorized access to sensitive areas or information. These injected biometric samples could be sourced from previously captured data or generated using advanced techniques like deepfakes, making the attack difficult to detect.

To counter inject attacks in Extended Reality (XR) environments, effective defense strategies include implementing tamper-resistant biometric capture devices to prevent unauthorized access and utilizing robust encryption protocols for secure data transmission. Incorporating multi-factor authentication methods, such as PINs or behavioral biometrics, enhances security. Additionally, deep fake detection algorithms can monitor user behavior and identify unusual patterns indicative of potential attacks, strengthening overall system integrity [Qamar et al. [2023]].

2.3.3 Vulnerability Point 3

Vulnerability point 3 is the target on the rendered virtual space where the attacks can impersonate the virtually rendered avatar. Advanced XR systems continuously authenticate users in virtual space, especially in confidential meetings [Sivasamy et al. [2020]]. However, secure authentication is assured based on biometric characteristics, including avatar verifications, voice authentication [Desplanques et al. [2020], Agarwal et al. [2022]], and gaze movement [Yang et al. [2023], Turkmen et al. [2023]]. In the following section, we discuss the possible attacks at vulnerability point 4.

Man-In-The-Room attack is a type of attack where the attacker joins the target's VR environment without their knowledge and remains invisible while extracting information or manipulating the environment. This attack is particularly concerning in VR environments because users tend to have a high level of immersion and may not notice the presence of an attacker. An attacker can use this attack to spy on the user's interactions, collect sensitive information, or even manipulate the environment in a way that could be harmful to the user. For example, by leveraging morphing techniques, the attacker can subtly alter avatars or objects within the environment, making changes that go unnoticed by the user but that could influence their behavior or decisions. Additionally, the use of synthetically generated images or videos can further enhance the attack, allowing the intruder to introduce realistic yet fake content, such as deepfake avatars or manipulated scenes, which can deceive or mislead the user. These manipulations can be carried out by exploiting vulnerabilities in the VR system or by using social engineering techniques to trick the user into granting access to the VR environment. As VR has become more widespread and integrated into various industries, it is important to address the potential security threats posed by man-in-the-room attacks, including the sophisticated use of morphing and synthetic data generation, to ensure the safety and privacy of VR users.

Looking into the adverse affects that these fake avatars create, Bader and Amara [2014a] proposes a watermarking algorithm for securing avatar access in virtual worlds by embedding a 128-bit biometric fingerprint into the ordered facet-vertex rings of the avatar's face, ensuring imperceptibility and resistance to potential attacks during authentication [Bader and Amara [2016], Lin and Latoschik [2022]].

Camera Stream and Tracking Exfiltration attack is a type of attack where the attacker gains unauthorized access to the head-mounted display's (HMD) live stream and the HMD front-facing camera stream. By gaining access to these streams, attackers can potentially monitor the user's actions and surroundings, compromising their privacy and security. In addition, the attacker can track the user's head movements and use this information to gain a better understanding of their environment. This type of attack can be particularly concerning in situations where the user is engaging in sensitive activities such as financial transactions or national security discussions. Therefore, it is essential to implement robust security measures to prevent unauthorized access to HMD streams.

3 Biometric verification Techniques in XR

In this section, we discuss the existing biometric verification techniques that are widely adopted in XR applications. Biometric characteristics can be classified into two categories: physiological and behavioral. Physiological biometrics involves the analysis of physical measurements of the human body. Examples of physiological biometrics include iris-recognition and fingerveins. On the other hand, behavioral biometrics analyzes body movements such as voice, gait, and keystrokes.




Figure 7: The taxonomic representation of biometric verification techniques in XR illustrates the applicability of both physiological and behavioral biometrics.

3.1 Physiological biometric

Physiological biometrics has been widely developed to secure XR systems. Table 2 summarizes the use of different physiological biometric characteristics in XR systems. Fingerveins are located beneath the skin, which makes them more secure and adaptable [Tran et al. [2023]]. Because of their subcutaneous position, they are difficult to imitate and pose a lower risk of presentation/spoof attacks. Therefore, the adoption of fingerveins as a biometric measure in XR mitigates the limitations associated with traditional authentication methods, offering a promising solution for secure and reliable identity verification in the metaverse.

To enhance biometric authentication in Extended Reality (XR) systems, iris data-based biometrics have been integrated into the head-mounted display. However, this approach is not without its limitations. One challenge is the potential

Table 2: Summary of existing studies on physiological biometric characteristics employed in Extended Reality (XR) systems.

Author	Year	Biometric Modality	Device	Description
Tran et al. [2023]	2023	Fingerveins	HTC - Vive	Fingerprints and palms have the risk of presentation attacks. In this work, fingerveins are used as VR biometrics. Fingerveins are secure and difficult to imitate as they lie beneath the skin
Luo et al. [2020]	2020	Eye lid+Extraocular	Designed Smart Glass	The use of eye gaze has low stability and high error. In this work, the use of the eyelid, extraocular, and surrounding nerves instead of just the eyelid is proposed. It is shown that the multi-model approach is more reliable
Boutros et al. [2020a]	2020	Iris+Periocular	Head mounted display	Iris and periocular regions when used independently have lower authentication accuracy. In this work, the score-level fusion of the iris and periocular is done to improve accuracy.
Zhu et al. [2020]	2020	Iris	Microsoft Hololens 2	Two-factor authentication is proposed in which along with the user's iris data a secret password is entered in the Blink of an eye (BlinkKey). The rhythms in the BlinkKey are captured with an eye tracker in the headset.

distortion of the iris data, which can result in misleading responses. To address this issue, a data-augmentation technique has been proposed by Varkarakis et al. [2018]. By augmenting iris data with off-axis images, as well as images with blur, contrast, and shadow, the accuracy and robustness of iris authentication can be improved. Another concern with iris-based biometrics is their vulnerability to presentation attacks owing to the static nature of iris authentication. To mitigate this risk, a real-time iris detection method was introduced by Adnan et al. [2022]. This method aims to detect and prevent presentation attacks by continuously monitoring the iris in real-time during the authentication process. By dynamically analyzing iris features, the system can detect anomalies and suspicious behaviors, enhancing the security of iris-based biometric authentication.

In addition to iris-based biometrics, the periocular region has been recognized as a valuable source of unique user information [Boutros et al. [2020a]]. The periocular region refers to the area surrounding the eye, including the eyelid, extraocular cells, muscles, and the surrounding nerves. By fusing the iris and periocular regions at the score level, researchers have demonstrated enhanced performance in biometric authentication [Boutros et al. [2020a]]. This fusion approach leverages the complementary information provided by the iris and periocular regions, thereby improving the overall accuracy and reliability of the authentication system.

3.2 Behavioural biometric

As discussed previously, knowledge-based biometrics are susceptible to attacks, highlighting the need for alternative approaches. One promising avenue is to leverage user behavior in response to stimuli or forced changes, as this behavior often contains identifiable information. Table 3 summarizes the behavioral biometrics that are extensively employed in XR systems for user verification.

Finger-movement-based behavior biometrics was proposed by Liebers et al. [2021] for reliable user authentication while using the XR system. Finger movement data were collected to identify users based on their interaction with buttons and sliders [Liebers et al. [2022]]. However, this method is limited in that it restricts the user behavior to specific input devices. Therefore, there is a need for an approach that can track the natural unrestricted movement of the hand. Hand movements are susceptible to mimicry attacks because they are exposed to the physical world [Khan et al. [2018], Paramalli et al. [2008]].

Signatures have long been utilized as a traditional means of authentication, particularly in settings such as banks. However, signatures are vulnerable to forgery by trained individuals through practice. To address this limitation, a novel air handwriting-based verification system was proposed by Lu et al. [2018, 2019], Lu and Luo [2020], Lu [2021], Lu et al. [2021a]. In this system, users use controllers to sign into the VR environment, ensuring that fraudulent actors do not gain access to their signatures. In addition, the system incorporates the tracking of hand orientation and geometry, providing a multi-factor authentication (MFA) mechanism for enhanced security. By capturing air signatures and monitoring hand orientation and geometry, this approach offers a secure and robust authentication method for VR environments. The combination of air signatures and multifactor authentication provides a comprehensive approach for user verification, minimizing the risk of unauthorized access.

Recent research by Asish et al. [2022] has focused on identifying the minimal set of features necessary for user identification with the aim of creating a task-agnostic VR authentication system. Additionally, investigations have been conducted to determine the most effective machine-learning models for user identification using eye-gaze data. Notably, these studies predominantly relied on stored data for the analysis. In contrast, the study presented by Lohr et al. [2018] proposed a real-time processing approach for eye movements in VR authentication. Researchers collect eye gaze data by tracking the movement of a sphere in 2D space, enabling the processing and analysis of eye gaze information in real time. The Gaze-based biometrics has also been developed for the authentication of XR devices [Luo et al. [2020]]. OcuLock [Luo et al. [2020]] system is based on gaze-based biometrics, which are secure and accurate. OcuLock utilizes multiple biometric modalities, including iris, eye movement, eyelid, extraocular cells, muscles, and surrounding nerves,

Table 3: Summary of existing studies on behavioral biometrics employed in Extended Reality (XR) systems.

Author	Year	Biometric Modality	Device	Description
Winkler et al. [2022]	2022	Gait	Headset and Controller	This study introduces a reinforcement learning framework designed to produce lifelike full-body movements using limited input signals received from wearable devices. Even in situations where data regarding the lower body is missing, the framework successfully replicates leg movements that closely match the actual movements, and it can adjust to various walking styles, body dimensions, and settings. This adaptability makes it well-suited for applications in augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR).
Miller [2022]	2022	Eye Movement	-	A novel method involves utilizing eye movement patterns as behavioral biometrics for conducting robust user authentication across various systems within the virtual reality (VR) environment.
Lohr et al. [2022]	2022	Eye Movement	Vive Pro Eye	The potential exists for the motion behavior of users in virtual reality (VR) to serve as a unique biometric signature, allowing for continuous user identification and authentication. This method can be used without jeopardizing the security of the VR application, even if traditional passwords are obtained by malicious individuals.
Wierzbowski et al. [2022]	2022	Eye-Tracking and VR Headset Orientation	HTC Vive	This work centers on identifying users through the combination of eye-tracking and VR headset orientation with 360-degree VR videos that have not been previously seen. During the enrollment process, users are presented with a 360-degree video. In the authentication phase, they encounter an unfamiliar 360-degree video. The primary objective is to recognize and verify users based on their natural and unpressured behavioral responses.
Asish et al. [2022]	2022	Eye Gaze	HTC Vive Eye Pro	Compared to previous research that necessitated extensive data from a wide range of sensors, this study offers a minimal eye gaze feature for user identification while maintaining accuracy levels similar to those achieved in earlier works.
Liebers et al. [2022]	2022	Hand Tracking	Microsoft HoloLens 2	Using passwords carries inherent risks. Therefore, hand-tracking biometrics have been introduced to enhance security. This technology identifies users based on their unique finger movements, both uni- and bimanual, which are collected during their interactions with eight diverse universal interface elements, including buttons and sliders.
Sun et al. [2022]	2022	Heart Signals	-	The proposal suggests Electrocardiogram (ECG)-based Identity Recognition (EIR), claiming that it is less susceptible to vulnerabilities due to its reliance on internal biological features.
Liebers et al. [2021]	2021	Gaze Behaviour	Oculus Quest	A biometric identification system utilizes an individual's unique gaze behavior. More specifically, the system places emphasis on the user's gaze patterns and head orientation when tracking a moving stimulus.
Miller et al. [2022]	2021	Motion	Oculus Quest	User identification can encounter issues when a user employs one device for enrolling their motion trajectory and another for authentication. This study utilizes a siamese neural network to determine the disparity between the enrolled and tested trajectories from different devices. The closest distance found is considered the identified trajectory.
Olade et al. [2020b]	2020	Kinesiological	Oculus Quest	Each person possesses unique kinesiological traits, encompassing their distinctive behavioral and movement characteristics. These traits can be harnessed in security-sensitive virtual reality (VR) applications to offset users' incapacity to identify potential observers in the real world.
Pfeuffer et al. [2019]	2019	Body Motion	Oculus Quest	This work examines body movements as a form of behavioral biometrics for virtual reality. Specifically, they are exploring which behaviors can effectively be used to distinguish and identify a user.
Li et al. [2019]	2019	Brain Signals	BCI Headset	In this study, EEG data collected from users in both VR (individuals using VR headsets) and non-VR (those utilizing laptops) scenarios is examined and assessed. The separation between these datasets is determined to validate the user's identity. A variety of techniques, including autoregressive analysis, power spectral density, statistical histograms, and different combinations of these features, are employed for user identification.
Hertweck et al. [2019] Weber et al. [2021]	2019	Brain Signals	BCI Headset	The dataset collected from the EEG-enabled HMD is influenced by the intervention of low-frequency signals. Signal quality is assessed in this work, and a method for filtering out this noise is presented.
Lu et al. [2018] Lu et al. [2019] Lu and Luo [2020] Lu [2021] Lu et al. [2021a]	2018	Air Handwriting and Hand Geometry	Leap Motion Tracker	Determining whether a login request is challenging in terms of signal processing and matching, as it is based on a piece of hand movement, which results in limited performance in existing systems. A multifactor user authentication framework was proposed by the authors, which utilizes both the motion signal of a piece of in-air handwriting and the geometry of the hand skeleton captured by a depth camera.
Li et al. [2017, 2019]	2017	Speech	Blue Yeti Microphone	Anti-eavesdropping password schemes are being focused on for development using smart glasses, which are closely related to the VR headset device. Smart glasses come equipped with various features, including gTapper, gRotator, and gTalker.
Shang and Wu [2019]	2019	Speech	Blue Yeti Microphone	Owing to the positioning of the microphone in the VR/AR headset, it is difficult to protect the VR system from the usual voice-based spoof attacks. Here, the internal body voice and air-propagated voice were used for spoof detection. The spectrograms from both channels are generated, and then their cross-correlation is computed. When both the channel voices belong to the same user, the correlation is high.

to verify the identity of the user. Considering these various factors, OcuLock enhances the security and accuracy of biometric authentication in XR systems. In the context of VR authentication, eye movement- and gaze-based behavioral authentication methods are typically integrated into head-mounted displays. However, these approaches often require significant computational resources owing to the large number of eye-gaze features involved. Furthermore, these VR authentication systems are typically task dependent and identify users based on their performance in specific activities related to a particular task.

The use of full-body motion as a biometric for user verification has been explored by Prakash et al. [2018]. This approach can be considered as a multimodal approach for authentication, incorporating various behavioral biometrics. A related paper by Winkler et al. [2022] presented a reinforcement learning framework that enables the real-time tracking of human body motion using sparse signals obtained from wearable devices. The proposed framework can simulate physically valid full-body motions based on input signals, even in scenarios where observations of the lower body are unavailable. Through the use of a policy network, the framework learns to generate appropriate torques for activities such as balancing, walking, and jogging while closely aligning with the input signals. The framework exhibits robustness across different locomotion styles, body sizes, and environments, demonstrating its effectiveness in immersive experiences such as augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR). These movement-based behavioral biometrics, including full-body motion, have demonstrated promising results for user authentication. However, there remains a need for biometric approaches that are less susceptible to vulnerability and potential attacks. Further research and development is necessary to explore additional modalities and techniques that can enhance the security and reliability of user verification in immersive environments.

Behavioral biometrics based on brain and heart signals can be considered more reliable for biometric authentication in virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) systems (XR in general). Unlike other biometrics, brain signals such as electroencephalogram (EEG) signals are generated internally and collected through sensors on the head-mounted display (HMD) of the VR/AR device. In a particular study, EEG signals from two channels were collected: one while the user was in the virtual environment and the other while the user was looking at a laptop. The signals from both channels were compared using a Siamese network to compute distance. If the difference in distance was below a specific threshold, the user was considered authentic. However, the data-collection process using an HMD for brain-signal authentication can be tedious and inconvenient because of the large number of sensors involved. To address this inconvenience, another study by Hertweck et al. [2019], Weber et al. [2021] determined the minimum number of sensors required for an accurate user authentication using brain signals. By identifying the bare minimum sensor configuration, this study aims to alleviate the handling and discomfort associated with HMD-based brain signal authentication. Similarly, electrocardiogram (ECG) signals, which capture heart activity, have been explored as less vulnerable biometrics for user authentication [Sun et al. [2022]]. ECG-based authentication leverages the unique characteristics of an individual's heart signals for identification and verification.

Voice biometrics are widely used in XR environments to enhance the efficiency, accuracy, and robustness of user identification in XR environments. Voice-spoofing attacks pose a significant challenge in VR/AR systems, as fraudsters may attempt to gain unauthorized access to the device. While most voice spoofing work has focused on smartphone platforms, the special location of microphones in VR headsets adds complexity to spoof detection. In Shang and Wu [2019], an internal body voice and an air-propagated voice were utilized for spoof detection. Spectrograms were generated from both channels and cross-correlation was computed. A high correlation indicated that both channels belonged to the same user, thus enhancing the security of voice authentication in the VR headsets. These approaches highlight the potential of utilizing internal body signals such as brain signals, heart signals, and voice characteristics to enhance the reliability and security of biometric authentication in VR/AR systems. Further research and development are needed to refine these techniques and overcome associated challenges.

4 Digital avatars in XR

Digital avatars provide social interaction among users in an Extended Reality (XR) environment. Avatar has evolved over the years based on the advancements of avatar generation techniques and now can be used for verification of the individuals in the XR environment. The taxonomy of the current section is shown in figure 8. These avatars were generated in five different ways, as shown in Figure 9.

- *Early VR Systems:* In the early stages of VR, avatars are typically basic and lack detailed customization options. Users are often limited to selection from a small set of predefined avatars that resemble their real-world appearance [Liu and Steed [2021]].
- *Basic Customization:* As VR technology improves, users are given the ability to customize their avatars to some extent. They could choose from a range of pre-designed attributes such as gender, hairstyles, clothing, and basic facial features. Although this provided a degree of personalization, options were still relatively limited.
- *Advanced Customization:* With the advancement of VR systems and increasing demand for immersive experiences, more comprehensive customization options have been introduced. Users can modify the finer details of their avatars, including facial structure, skin tone, body shape, and accessories. This level of customization allows users to create avatars that closely resemble their real-world appearance or desired virtual identity [O'Brolcháin et al. [2016], Achenbach et al. [2017]].
- *Photorealistic Avatars:* The introduction of advanced capture technologies, such as 3D scanning and photogrammetry, revolutionized avatar generation. Users can now create highly realistic avatars by capturing their own facial features and bodies using specialized hardware or even standard cameras. These techniques enable the creation of avatars that closely mirror the user's physical appearance, thereby enhancing the sense of presence in VR environments.
- *Real-time Motion Capture:* Recent developments in motion capture technologies have enabled real-time tracking and mapping of user movements on avatars. This allows for a more accurate representation of body movements, facial expressions, and gestures in a virtual environment. Users can see their avatars replicate their real-world actions, thereby enhancing their immersion and sense of embodiment in VR [Luginbühl et al. [2011], Feng et al. [2017]]. Photo-realistic avatars serve as the identity of the user in the virtual world to uniquely identify the user.




Figure 8: Taxonomy representing of different techniques used for avatar generation and verification in XR scenario.




Figure 9: Evolution of avatars over time for the use of virtual reality environments. (a) and (b) shows the basic customization, (c) has the advanced customization of hair, eye-color, face tone, etc. 2D and 3D photo-realistic avatars is shown in figure (d) and (e) displays the real-time motion of the photo-realistic avatars.

Table 4: Existing techniques on Photo-realistic avatar generation that are widely used in XR scenario.

Author	Year	Data Type	Description
Kim et al. [2000]	2000	2D	The paper proposes a unified framework for real-time photo-realistic interactive virtual environments using multiview cameras and stereo images/videos.
Lattas et al. [2023]	2023	3D	FitMe is a facial reflectance model and rendering pipeline that generates high-fidelity avatars from single or multiple images. It achieves photorealistic shading, preserves identity, and outperforms existing methods. FitMe is efficient, producing relightable avatars in just one minute.
Dong et al. [2023]	2023	3D	Most of the current 3D avatar generation techniques rely on scarce 3D training data, making it expensive and limited. In this work 3D images are created using abundant 2D images. by adversarial generative model.
Szymanowicz et al. [2023]	2023	3D	PiCA is an efficient deep generative model for 3D human faces, using a convolutional architecture and rendering adaptation. It achieves improved reconstruction and real-time rendering on mobile VR headsets.
Szymanowicz et al. [2023]	2023	3D	A landmark detector estimates camera poses from 360-degree videos, enabling immersive 3D experiences and photo-realistic avatars using mobile phone cameras. Synthetic data validates its effectiveness.
Szymanowicz et al. [2023]	2022	3D	The paper presents PointAvatar, a method for creating realistic and animatable head avatars from casual video sequences. It uses a deformable point-based representation, separating color into albedo and normal-dependent shading. PointAvatar outperforms existing methods in challenging scenarios while being more training-efficient.
Szymanowicz et al. [2023]	2022	3D	The study introduces a mobile-friendly method for generating realistic avatars with minimal data, utilizing conditional representation and inverse rendering techniques. The resulting high-fidelity avatars show improved visual quality and animation capabilities compared to existing lightweight avatar creation methods.
Prokudin et al. [2021]	2021	3D	Deep generative models improve realism in synthetic human images, while a proposed network converts 3D mesh vertices into photorealistic images, achieving superior photorealism and efficiency.
Lombardi et al. [2018]	2018	3D	A deep appearance model that combines facial geometry and appearance for realistic rendering. It uses a data-driven approach with a deep variational autoencoder to model complex effects and correct imperfect geometry, making it suitable for real-time interactive applications like Virtual Reality (VR).
Tewari et al. [2017]	2017	3D	Introduces a model-based deep convolutional autoencoder that reconstructs 3D human faces from single color images, achieving superior quality and representation through its combination of a CNN-based encoder and a differentiable parametric decoder.
Feng et al. [2017]	2017	3D	real-time avatars are generated in under 20 minutes through scanning and combining body, face, and hand models. Photogrammetry, blend shape modeling, and rigging techniques are employed for realistic facial expressions, hand shapes, and body movements
Loper et al. [2015]	2015	3D	A network that combines geometry-based rendering with generative networks to generate photorealistic images of human models without traditional rasterization. The model shows superior photorealism and rendering efficiency compared to conventional renderers, addressing challenges in flexible control of the generative process while preserving subject identity.
Michael et al. [2017]	2017	Motion	The paper proposes an automatic method for creating full-body avatars with minimal user intervention and no requirement for a calibrated camera.
Sato and Cohen [2010]	2010	Motion	The initial work for avatar generation is shown in which the motion capture is done by mechanical, optical, and magnetic sensing.

4.1 Biometric-based photo-realistic avatars

In this section, we summarise the existing works on biometrics based of Photo-realistic avatar generation on verification to achieve reliable and secure XR environment. First, we discuss the different avatar generations, including both 2D and 3D, then we discuss the improvements in the quality of avatar with the evolution of generative deep learning architectures, and finally, a discussion on the avatar verification method is presented. Table 4 shows the existing avatar generation using biometric characteristics.

4.1.1 Avatar generation:

In the initial stages of Extended Reality (XR), avatars were relatively simplistic, offering users a limited choice. However, advancements in technology have led to the introduction of customizable avatars, allowing users to personalize their virtual representation by selecting features, such as hairstyle, eye color, and skin tone. Although these avatars offered a degree of customization, they were not realistic and were unsuitable for biometric purposes. Consequently, the demand for photorealistic avatars has increased in recent years. Researchers have conducted numerous studies on the generation of photorealistic avatars using both 2D and 3D techniques.

2D photo-realistic avatar: The 2D photorealistic avatar has been extensively studied for face biometrics. The generated avatar possesses biometric characteristics. A unified framework for generating a photorealistic interactive virtual environment (piVE) without relying on bluescreen techniques or specialized rendering hardware has been proposed by Kim et al. [2000]. The framework, as presented by Kim et al. [2000], utilizes heterogeneous multiview cameras and stereo images/videos to render piVE in real time. This approach eliminates the need for expensive high-end computers and renders graphics objects based on camera parameters and user interaction.

3D photo-realistic avatar: The 3D photo-realistic avatar generation has gained the attention of researchers owing to its high-quality generation that can reflect the biometric characteristics of the individual. Recent advancements in deep generative models have significantly improved the realism of synthetic human images. However, flexibly controlling the generative process, such as changing camera angles and poses while preserving biometric identity features, remains a challenge. On the other hand, deformable body models such as SMPL [Loper et al. [2015]] provide

pose and shape control, but rely on traditional rendering pipelines that have limitations. By Prokudin et al. [2021], a network was proposed to bridge the gap between the geometry-based rendering and generative networks. The network directly converts a sparse set of 3D mesh vertices into photorealistic images, thereby eliminating the need for traditional rasterization. The model was trained on a large dataset of human 3D models and real photos, demonstrating superior photorealism and rendering efficiency compared to conventional differentiable renderers.

By Feng et al. [2017], the generation of real-time avatars was shown in less than 20 min by scanning. The construction of a character involves the capture and creation of three distinct models: the body, face, and hands. A photogrammetric capture cage and software were used to create a 3D body model, which was then rigged to enable control over movement. To enable facial expressions and hand shapes, a blended shape model and hand rigging were integrated with the virtual avatar. A 3D face model was created by scanning facial expressions and constructing blended shapes. The face and body models were combined by replacing the face geometry and texture of the body model with the blend shape model, followed by color correction. Finally, a controllable hand and finger model were added to the 3D body model. In [Tewari et al. [2017]], a model-based deep convolutional autoencoder for reconstructing 3D human faces from single in-the-wild color images. The model combines a convolutional encoder network with a differentiable parametric decoder that encodes the detailed face pose, shape, expression, skin reflectance, and scene illumination. This allows the CNN-based encoder to extract meaningful parameters from a monocular image, thereby enabling end-to-end unsupervised training on large real-world datasets. Reconstructed faces demonstrated superior quality and representation.

The deep appearance model for a realistic rendering of the human face was presented by Lombardi et al. [2018] which utilizes a data-driven pipeline that learns a joint representation of facial geometry and appearance from multiview captures. A deep variational autoencoder is employed to model vertex positions and view-specific textures, enabling the capture of complex effects and correction for imperfect geometry. The system can be implemented in real-time interactive settings such as Virtual Reality (VR) using existing rendering engines. This offers a flexible and accurate approach without the need for precise geometric estimates.

In [Cao et al. [2022]] photorealistic avatars of individuals were generated using a simplified mobile phone capture. Unlike other existing methods, the architecture employed in [Cao et al. [2022]] focuses on producing avatars that closely resemble individuals, while requiring minimal data. The approach involves utilizing a conditional representation and a universal avatar prior trained on the facial performance of a wide range of subjects. By employing inverse rendering techniques, the model was fine-tuned to enhance realism and personalize the avatar's range of motion. The technique proposed by Cao et al. [2022] can create a high-fidelity 3D head avatar that accurately depicts the facial shape and appearance of subjects, along with providing control mechanisms for gaze direction. Experimental results demonstrate that the avatars generated using this approach exhibit superior visual quality compared to existing methods [Wang et al. [2023]] for lightweight avatar creation.

The generation of realistic head avatars from video sequences has been proposed in [Zheng et al. [2022]]. Existing methods rely on either fixed 3D morphable meshes (3DMM) or neural implicit representations, both of which have limitations in terms of topology, deformation, and rendering efficiency. In contrast, the approach in [Zheng et al. [2022]] referred to as PointAvatar, introduces a deformable point-based representation that separates the source color into intrinsic albedo and normal-dependent shading. PointAvatar [Zheng et al. [2022]] combines high-quality geometry and appearance with topological flexibility, ease of deformation, and rendering efficiency. Therefore, it can successfully generate animatable 3D avatars using monocular videos from various sources, surpassing other existing approaches in challenging scenarios, such as thin hair strands, while also being more training-efficient than competing methods.

To enable immersive 3D experiences and create photo-realistic avatars using commodity hardware, such as mobile phone cameras, a novel landmark detector was proposed by Szymanowicz et al. [2023]. It estimates the camera poses from 360-degree videos of a human head, allowing realistic rendering from any viewpoint. Synthetic data validation experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of the method, which was showcased with 360-degree avatars trained from mobile phone videos. In Lattas et al. [2023], FitMe, a facial reflectance model, and a rendering pipeline for generating high-fidelity human avatars from single or multiple images were presented. It utilizes a multimodal style-based generator and PCA-based shape model to capture facial appearance and shape. The differentiable rendering optimization process achieves photorealistic shading and accurately preserves identity. FitMe outperforms existing methods in reflectance acquisition and identity preservation by generating relightable avatars from single "in-the-wild" images or multiple unconstrained images of the same person. Notably, FitMe is efficient, requiring only one minute to produce avatars with a mesh and texture suitable for end-user applications.

A computationally efficient and adaptive deep generative model for 3D human faces, called Pixel Codec Avatars (PiCA), was introduced by Ma et al. [2021]. PiCA combines a fully convolutional architecture for spatially varying feature decoding with a rendering-adaptive perpixel decoder. The model was trained in a weakly supervised manner and achieved improved reconstruction performance across different expressions, views, genders, and skin tones. PiCA is

significantly smaller than existing models and enables the real-time rendering of multiple avatars in a single scene on a mobile VR headset.

The paper by Dong et al. [2023] discusses the challenges in creating 3D avatars from 2D images. Most of the current methods often rely on scarce 3D training data, making it expensive and limited. The proposed solution in Dong et al. [2023] aims to generate realistic 3D avatars from abundant 2D images by employing an adversarial generative model. This model captures body shape, clothing deformation, and articulation through a holistic 3D generator and flexible articulation module. To enhance realism, they use multiple discriminators and integrate 2D normal maps. Experimental results demonstrate that their approach surpasses previous methods in terms of geometry and appearance, validated through systematic ablation studies, highlighting the method’s effectiveness and component significance.

Real-time motion capture: The goal of real-time motion capture is to render a full-body avatar with photorealistic quality to capture full-body biometric features. The initial work that captured the motion to generate avatars was presented in Sato and Cohen [2010]. A full-body avatar requires capturing the motions using mechanical, optical, and electromagnetic waves. Mechanical motion capture utilizes rigid metal parts worn by an actor, with sensors that detect movements. It offers advantages such as no interference from magnetic or light fields, and certain movement detection. However, it lacks knowledge of body orientation unless supplemented with other sensors. Optical motion capture involves retroreflective markers tracked by infrared cameras. These benefits include freedom of movement, larger recording spaces, and detailed data. Drawbacks include occlusion, discomfort from wearing markers, and high costs. The initial work reported in Sato and Cohen [2010] utilized an optical system with 12 cameras for a comprehensive body capture. Electromagnetic motion capture relies on magnetic receivers worn by the actor and lacks blind spots and relative affordability. Disadvantages include distortion caused by metals and restricted movement due to cable connections.




Figure 10: The timeline of the development of avatars through generative deep learning models.

In Michael et al. [2017], an automatic method for creating full-body avatars with minimal user intervention and no requirement for a calibrated camera was presented. The technique involves training a 3D shape model using PCA on full-body 3D scans, followed by training a multiview Active Shape Model (ASM) using 2D silhouettes. Uncalibrated cameras capture orthogonal input images, and the silhouettes are refined and registered using the ASM. Facial features were detected, and a 3D avatar was generated by optimizing the shape parameters and mapping textures. Regressor models control the body parameters, enabling the creation of a random template population. Templates undergo skeletonization, rigging, and animation, resulting in animation-ready avatars for VR integration.

Recently, Facebook Reality Labs (FRL) have made significant progress in creating photo-realistic avatars with Codec Avatar technology. To further enhance realism and create full-body avatars, the FRL has established two high-quality capture studios: one for capturing facial details and another for full-body motion. These studios are equipped with numerous high-resolution cameras that capture data at an impressive rate of 1 GB per second. This extensive camera setup pushes the limits of their capture hardware but enables the collection of superior data to create highly realistic

Table 5: Existing techniques on Avatar verification techniques in XR scenario.

Author	Year	Biometric Modality	Description
Gavrilova and Yampolskiy [2011]	2011	Face	This paper discusses the advancements in virtual reality security, emphasizing avatar authentication methodologies and their connection to biometric research
Ajina et al. [2011]	2011	Face	Avatar faces are characterized using the wavelet features and a support vector machine (SVM) classifier is used for the classification task.
Yampolskiy et al. [2012a]	2012	Face + Behaviour	Proposed an algorithm to track the person in both the real and virtual world. The matching is done for the faces of human-vs-avatar, avatar-vs-avatar, avatars from inter VR world, and avatar vs sketch made by humans.
Yampolskiy et al. [2012b]	2012	Behaviour and attributes	Proposed an algorithm to track the person in the virtual world. The identification is done using the attributes, behavioral patterns, and appearance.
Thies et al. [2016]	2016	Face	This paper presents a face-motion capture of photorealistic avatars and compares it with the motion of a real face motion to verify the user.
Sethuraman et al. [2023]	2023	Face	This paper presents a method for safeguarding identity in the metaverse by combining PIN/password authentication with avatar recognition.

avatars. Additionally, one of the studios incorporates 1,700 microphones to reconstruct immersive 3D sound fields, crucial for an immersive virtual environment².

Summary of photorealistic avatar generation over the years: The timeline of avatar generation has seen remarkable progress, evolving alongside advancements in deep learning models. As depicted in Figure 10, the journey began with the introduction and utilization of generative deep learning architectures such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), and diffusion techniques. One notable milestone was reached in 2017 with successfully generated 2D avatars closely resembling human faces through Conditional GANs [Wolf et al. [2017]]. However, these avatars fell short in meeting the requirements for biometric tasks.

In 2018 with the introduction of paGAN, as outlined in Nagano et al. [2018]. This approach marked a significant improvement by emphasizing facial biometric characteristics and adopting an end-to-end deep learning strategy for synthesizing facial textures. Subsequent years, particularly in 2021, witnessed a leap towards photorealistic avatars. Architectures such as StyleGAN [Luo et al. [2021]], self-supervised GAN [Lu et al. [2021b]], and progressive GAN [Li et al. [2021]] have played pivotal roles in achieving this shift. Photo-realistic avatars not only excel in capturing facial biometric features but also showcase finer textures, contributing to enhanced realism.

While photo-realistic avatars have excelled in facial biometrics, the incorporation of gait movements for stronger and more secure authentication was done in 2022. Two key models, the Conditional VAE [Aliakbarian et al. [2022]] and stylized VAE [Sang et al. [2022]], were introduced to generate realistic gait movements. The focus on gait movements continued to gain momentum, and in 2023, better results were achieved with the use of the diffusion [Huang et al. [2023b]] and transformer [Aliakbarian et al. [2023]] models. These models seamlessly integrate facial information and gait movements, resulting in avatars that offer a more robust and secure authentication experience. The constant evolution in deep learning techniques has not only shaped the field of avatar generation, but also holds promising implications for the future of biometric authentication.

4.1.2 Avatar verification

This section discusses existing methods that are employed to verify photo-realistic avatars of users. Avatar verification plays an important role in ensuring that a legitimate user has gained access to the system in both physical and virtual worlds. Table 5 shows the existing works on Avatar verification.

To mitigate the risks associated with digital avatars, authentication must be implemented during the creation of photorealistic avatars and continuously tracked in the XR space. This stage is crucial because once the avatar is generated, it becomes challenging to identify the user based solely on the avatar's appearance. Early work on avatar verification was presented in Gavrilova and Yampolskiy [2011] where the static features from the avatar were verified based on landmark points. The use of more sophisticated features such as wavelets and an SVM classifier was proposed by Ajina et al. [2011] to achieve reliable avatar verification in enrolment conditions. The use of motion-based cues, such as eye gaze movement, was proposed in Thies et al. [2016] which can verify a photorealistic avatar in the XR space. The use of motion-based cues can enable the generalizable verification of avatars with different rendering qualities. To further enhance security, a new password-free system through a three-tier approach was proposed by Sethuraman et al. [2023]. It relies on verifying the legitimacy of the device being used, recognizing the user's face, and employing physical security tools such as security keys, all in compliance with Fast Identity Online (FIDO2) standards.

²<https://tech.facebook.com/reality-labs/2019/3/codec-avatars-facebook-reality-labs/>

Continuous evaluation methods such as user identification through speech, brain signals, or other biometric modalities can be utilized to ensure both verification and liveness detection. The real-time behavior of avatars in virtual environment [Yampolskiy et al. [2012b]] based on movement patterns, interaction preferences, body language, facial expressions, and gestures specific to an individual person is used in the behavior attributes to verify the avatar. These behavioral traits are captured and analyzed in real-time to authenticate the user or to identify them within the virtual environment. In Yampolskiy et al. [2012a], the fusion of face and behavior cues was presented to further enhance avatar verification, which can be extended to both static and continuous avatar verification. The advantage of using behavioral biometrics in virtual reality is that they are difficult to fake or replicate because they are inherent to a user's natural behavior. This makes them a potentially effective means of authentication or identification within a virtual environment.

By implementing authentication measures during avatar generation and incorporating continuous evaluation methods, the security and integrity of digital avatars can be enhanced, preventing unauthorized access and misuse of these representations.

5 Biometric databases in XR

In this section, we describe physiological and behavioral biometric datasets reflecting XR environments, which are widely used by researchers to develop biometric verification algorithms in XR environments. Furthermore, we discuss the face avatar datasets used for the biometric verification.

5.1 Physiological biometric datasets

Table 6 summarizes the physiological biometric datasets widely employed for verification in XR applications. The LPW dataset [Tonsen et al. [2016]] is a pupil detection dataset collected in the form of a video. There were 66 high-quality recording samples collected from 22 participants using an HMD. The dataset is diverse in the form of indoor-outdoor illumination and participants wearing spectacles, makeup, and contact lenses. For image processing, video samples must be converted into images that require extra processing. In Kim et al. [2019], the NVGaze dataset was released, which contained approximately 2 million synthetic and 2.5 million real images of 35 participants. The images cover a wide range of modalities, such as pupil, iris, sclera, skin tone, face shape, and gaze direction. OpenEDS is another large-scale image dataset that covers modalities such as the iris, sclera, and pupil. The advantage of the OpenEDS dataset is that it contains pixel-level annotations of 12,759 images. In addition, there were 252,690 unlabelled and 91200 video frames. In Kotwal et al. [2024], VRBiom dataset, a periocular dataset for biometrics in XR domain is released. 900 short videos of 10 seconds length from 25 individuals is captured using internal tracking cameras of Meta Quest Pro at 72 FPS. This dataset is the first of its kind to publicly feature realistic, non-frontal views of the periocular region, designed for various biometric applications.

The Brain signals were used for biometrics captured from the electrodes on the HMD. In Li et al. [2019], EEG signal data were collected from 32 volunteers of both sexes. Data were collected in VR and non-VR scenarios for 180 s. For the first 30 s, the participant was asked to keep their eyes closed, and in the second 30 s, eyes were opened. The video was played in the final 120 s. From the collected EEG signals, temporal and spectral features can be extracted, and various machine-learning classifiers can be used to verify the speaker. To further level up user involvement in data collection, task-based VR was used to collect data [Gregory et al. [2022]]. EEG data were collected from 47 participants. The participants were presented with a scenario in which a glass was present at a location in a VR environment that may be half or fully filled. The user must remember the location and status of the glasses. The participant had to tell the location and status of the glass with the help of a cue (avatar or stick). EEG data were collected at multiple instants during the task. EEG was recorded when (1) the cue appeared, (2) the glass appeared, (3) the user responded to the location, and (4) the user responded to the status. To verify that user features were extracted from the collected EEG data, classifiers were used.

5.2 Behavioral biometric datasets

Table 7 summarizes the behavioral biometrics datasets widely employed in XR applications. The behavioral datasets were constructed based on tracking the user's head, hand, eye, and entire body movements. In Friedman et al. [2017], a gait and eye movement dataset was proposed that contained images collected from 1000 participants. In Griffith et al. [2021], a monocular dataset was collected from 322 college participants, consist of 12334 samples. Every participant was recorded six times while performing seven eye-tracing tasks. (1) Forcing task in which the pupil movement is recorded, (2) tracking the horizontal movement of the black spot, (3) tracking of eye movement when the participant views the 3D video, (4) recording the eye movement when the user performs the reading task on the text displayed on the screen in the VR environment, (5) tracking the eye movement when the black sphere jumps from one position to

Table 6: Physiological biometrics datasets employed by researchers addressing biometric verification in XR applications

Author	Year	Biometric Modality	Type	#Samples	#Participants
Tonsen et al. [2016]	2016	Pupil detection	Video	66 video+ 130,856 video frames	22
Kim et al. [2019]	2019	Iris, pupil, skin-tone and face shape	Image	2 million synthetic+ 2.5 million real	35
Garbin et al. [2020, 2019]	2020	Iris+sclera+pupil	Image+Video	12,759 labelled+ 252,690 unlabeled+ 91200 frames from video	152
Kotwal et al. [2024]	2024	Periocular	Video	900 short videos	25
Li et al. [2019]	2019	Brain signals	EEG	256	32
Gregory et al. [2022]	2022	Brain signals	EEG signal	10387	47
Sun et al. [2022]	2022	Heart signal	ECG	-	-
Krishna et al. [2019]	2019	Brain signals+ Eye tracking	EEG+Image	-	-
Tran et al. [2023]	2022	Finger vein	Image	1476 Asaari et al. [2014] 3816 Yin et al. [2011] 1220 Yang et al. [2014]	123 Asaari et al. [2014] 106 Yin et al. [2011] 610 Yang et al. [2014]

another in the VR environment; (6) Fixation task in which the participant is said to fix eye movement on the target without blinking, and (7) the participant is said to play a game in VR in which there are red-blue balls and they have to remove a ball of a particular color by performing fixation on the ball they want to remove. In Lohr et al. [2023], the previous dataset is extended from a monocular to the binocular eye-tracking dataset is collected that comprises 5020 samples from 407 college participants. Every participant was recorded six times while performing five eye-tracking tasks. They were said to have performed the first five out of 7 from the monocular dataset. The eye-movement information is presented in the (x, y, z) coordinate format with a timestamp of nanosecond precision.

Table 7: Behavioral biometrics datasets employed by researchers addressing biometric verification in XR applications

Author	Year	Biometric Modality	Type	#Samples	#Participants
Sun et al. [2022]	2023	Hand Movement	Image	4,520,828	15
Lohr et al. [2023]	2023	GazeBaseVR	Video	5,020	407
Aziz et al. [2022]	2022	Eye movement	Video		
Griffith et al. [2021]	2021	Gaze Base	Video	12,334	322
Lohr et al. [2020]	2020	Eye movement	Image	-	468
Friedman et al. [2017]	2017	Gait+EyeMovemet	Image	-	1000
Sun et al. [2022]	2023	Hand Movement	Image	4,520,828	15

5.3 Facial Avatar datasets used in XR applications

Table 8 summarizes the facial avatar datasets widely employed in XR applications for biometric verification. Various datasets that have been used in the biometrics of avatars and to demonstrate presentation attacks and detection are available in the literature. With the use of deep learning techniques, such as variation autoencoders (VAE) and generative adversarial networks (GAN), it has become possible to generate photo-realistic avatars. In Oursler et al. [2009], ten images per avatar were created. The avatar images were obtained from various camera angles. In Tewari et al. [2017], the 3D human face is generated from a single image of the human face. In Lombardi et al. [2018], the VAE was used for the generation of avatars, in which various finer intricacies such as oral cavities and eyelashes were also addressed. This results in avatars that are heavy in size and number of pixels. Pixal codec avatars are lightweight and decode visible pixels for real-time creation of avatars [Ma et al. [2021]].

Table 8: Avatar recognition dataset in XR applications

Author	Year	Type	#Samples	#Avatars
Ma et al. [2021]	2021	Face	-	-
Bader and Amara [2014b]	2014	Entire body	350	50
Ajina et al. [2011]	2011	Face	1800	100
Oursler et al. [2009]	2009	Face	10/avatar	-

6 Performance evaluation metrics

In this section, we discuss the performance metrics used to benchmark biometric performance in XR applications. Performance metrics are critical for evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of biometric authentication systems for XR. These metrics provide a quantitative analysis of the accuracy and reliability of biometric authentication systems and help identify areas that require improvement. The following metrics are widely employed to benchmark biometric verification in XR.

- **False Acceptance Rate (FAR):** FAR measures the percentage of times the system incorrectly identifies an unauthorized user as an authorized user. This metric is important for determining the accuracy of biometric authentication methods, such as facial recognition and voice recognition. In virtual reality systems, FAR can help determine the system's accuracy in identifying users and preventing unauthorized access.
- **False Rejection Rate (FRR):** FRR measures the percentage of times the system incorrectly rejects an authorized user. This metric is crucial for determining the effectiveness of biometric authentication methods. In virtual reality systems, FRR can help determine the system's ability to accurately recognize and authenticate authorized users.
- **Equal error rate (EER):** EER [Cheng and Wang [2004]] is the point at which the False Acceptance Rate (FAR) and False Rejection Rate (FRR) are equal. This metric is used to measure the overall accuracy of the biometric authentication system. In virtual reality systems, EER can help determine the overall accuracy and effectiveness of the system in identifying and authenticating users.
- **Accuracy:** Accuracy measures the number of correct or authentic users when compared with the total number of users. In virtual reality systems, accuracy can help determine the overall effectiveness of a biometric authentication system in identifying and authenticating users.

7 Discussion in Research Questions

In Section 1, we introduce four key inquiries pertaining to the utilization of biometrics in XR systems. These inquiries served as the foundation for literature review. Through a comprehensive analysis of pertinent articles, we have now attained the ability to respond to the aforementioned questions, as delineated below and in figure 11.



Figure 11: Key answers to the proposed research questions

- **RQ1: What are the major vulnerability points and possible attacks in the biometric based XR system workflow?** During our literature review and examination of XR system workflows, we identified three primary points of vulnerability related to the use of biometrics in XR. The first vulnerability arises during the initial authentication, when a user gains access to the device for the first time. This vulnerability is exploited through presentation attacks and injecting deep fakes, which represent targets involving biometric characteristics. Second, attacks may occur through side-channel methods, where hand gestures and body movements can be intercepted by hidden cameras and microphones within the HMD. Finally, vulnerabilities may manifest when the user is immersed in an XR environment. In such scenarios, attackers infiltrate the XR world through social engineering techniques, accessing and exploiting body movement data utilized for authentication within the XR environment.

- **RQ2: What are the existing biometrics characteristics that are employed in XR and are they vulnerable for attacks?**

Physiological and behavioral biometrics were extensively investigated, as detailed in Section 3. Physiological biometrics related to fingerveins and iris have demonstrated promising security in the XR domain. Behavioral biometrics encompasses the collection of user data pertaining to external body motions, including those of the eyes, fingers, hands, head, and full body, as well as internal responses such as speech and brain signals. Fingerveins are situated beneath the skin, rendering them less susceptible to presentation attacks, whereas the iris is more vulnerable to such attacks. Similarly, for behavioral biometrics, a combination of information regarding hand and head orientation and movement is utilized to enhance security.

Existing VR and AR devices use biometrics as their primary authentication component. Apple's vision pro³ uses OpticID, which captures detailed iris data of the user. The privacy of OpticID is ensured by encrypting and protecting it using a secure enclave. Iris data never leaves the user's device. Other devices like Vive Pro Eye⁴, Varjo⁵, and Neo 2 Eye⁶ also utilize iris as biometrics.

- **RQ3: How has avatars evolved over the years to give photo-realistic quality with biometric characteristics? What are the Deep learning techniques used for avatar generation?**

Avatars have undergone significant evolution over the years. In the early stages of virtual reality (VR), avatars are characterized by predefined and customized features that often do not accurately represent biometric characteristics. However, with advancements in deep learning techniques, avatars have transitioned to photorealistic representations and real-time motion simulations. This transformation has been facilitated by Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)-based deep-learning architectures, enabling the generation of photorealistic 3D avatars. Furthermore, the utilization of a Variational Autoencoder (VAE), diffusion, and transformer-based models has enabled the creation of full-body avatars capable of capturing both physiological and behavioral characteristics with remarkable fidelity.

- **RQ4: What are the currently available datasets for the biometrics of XR and avatar generation and verification?** Section 5 describes existing datasets for both physiological and behavioral biometrics. For external biometrics, the modes of data collection are primarily image, video, and speech. For behavior data collection, such as the brain and heart, information is collected using EEG and ECG. The data were mainly collected from the age group of 20-30 years old data subjects.

Facial data were collected primarily to generate photorealistic avatars. There are also a few works that have now started generating a full-body avatar dedicated to biometrics. There are very few datasets dedicated to the biometric study of avatars, and with the advancements in deep learning architectures, there should be more collection of photorealistic and full-body motion avatars.

8 Open challenges

In this section, we discuss the open challenges in the area of biometric applications in XR that are essential to address to achieve reliable and trustworthy biometric verification while using XR. The following are the important challenges:

- **Devices that can collect multiple modalities of biometrics from the same XR device:** Biometric authentication has rapidly gained popularity as a reliable method for verifying the identities of individuals. It involves using unique physical or behavioral characteristics, such as iris, speech, brain signal, and gaze, to identify and authenticate an individual. These modalities contain complementary and unique information about the user,

³<https://www.apple.com/apple-vision-pro/>

⁴<https://www.vive.com/sea/product/vive-pro-eye/overview/>

⁵<https://varjo.com/products/xr-4/>

⁶<https://www.tobii.com/products/integration/xr-headsets/device-integrations/pico-neo-2-eye>

which can be leveraged to provide stronger authentication systems. The use of biometric authentication in XR is not new, but current XR devices are limited to capturing only one modality. This is where multi-model XR biometrics are developed, which combines multiple biometric modalities to create a robust authentication system. Multimodal biometrics in the XR technology have significant advantages over single-modal biometrics. By combining multiple biometric modalities, the authentication system becomes more secure and challenging for attackers. This is because it is more difficult to fake multiple biometric traits than a single trait. Additionally, multimodal biometric systems are more accurate, as they rely on more than one form of biometric data, reducing the chances of false positives or negatives. The development of multi-model XR biometrics is still in its early stages, and further research is required to optimize this technology. The complexity of integrating multiple biometric modalities presents a challenge, particularly with respect to real-time data processing.

- **Need to accommodate the users of various age groups in XR applications:** Extended Reality (XR) technology is designed to be used by all age groups, from young children to the elderly. With the increasing use of XR technology, there is a growing need for robust biometrics that can provide security. However, most XR-based datasets used for biometric authentication are collected from college students, which raises concerns about the validity and applicability of such datasets to other age groups. The biometric traits of different age groups are known to be different, which can have a significant impact on the accuracy and effectiveness of biometric authentication in XR. For instance, the iris recognition system may not be as reliable for young children whose irises are still developing or for the elderly whose iris texture may change due to age-related conditions such as cataracts. Therefore, it is essential to analyze diverse data in terms of age groups to ensure that biometric authentication systems are reliable for all age groups. This involves collecting and analyzing biometric data from a diverse range of age groups, and using these data to train and test the biometric authentication system.

Furthermore, it is crucial to address the issue of bias in biometric datasets, which may result in inaccurate authentication for certain age groups or ethnicity's. Bias can occur if the dataset used to train the biometric authentication system is not diverse enough or if there is a lack of representation of certain age groups or ethnicity's. Therefore, it is important to ensure that the datasets used for biometric authentication are diverse and inclusive and that the analysis is performed on data that are representative of the entire population.

- **The study of possible presentation attacks specific to the XR biometric systems:** As extended reality has become more integrated into our daily lives, the security of biometric authentication in extended reality systems has become increasingly crucial. Although extensive research has been conducted on presentation attacks in various biometric modalities, such as speech [Wu et al. [2015]], iris [Czajka and Bowyer [2018]], and face recognition [Ramachandra and Busch [2017b]], little attention has been paid to how these attacks can be executed on biometric authentication systems within the XR framework. For instance, in the case of speech biometrics, attackers can attempt to replicate a user's voice using text-to-speech (TTS) or voice conversion techniques to replay the recorded audio. Similarly, iris recognition systems can be fooled by presenting a fake iris image or a contact lens with the same iris pattern. Face-recognition systems can be tricked by presenting a 3D-printed mask or deep-fake video. The rapid advancement in deepfake technologies, especially in generating hyper-realistic images and videos, poses significant security challenges for Extended Reality (XR) systems. As these systems heavily rely on visual and sensory data to create immersive environments, they become increasingly vulnerable to manipulation through deepfakes. Malicious actors could inject false visuals, alter user interactions, or manipulate virtual environments, leading to misinformation, identity theft, and other cyber threats. Open research in this field focuses on developing robust detection algorithms, improving authentication techniques, and designing countermeasures that can safeguard XR systems from such deepfake attacks while maintaining user trust and experience.

However, it is unclear how these attacks operate at ground level within the context of an extended reality environment. Would these attacks be more or less effective in an extended reality system compared to a traditional system? What are the unique challenges and vulnerabilities of biometric authentication systems in extended reality and how can they be addressed? As extended reality becomes more prevalent in areas such as healthcare, education, and finance, it is crucial to address these questions and develop robust biometric authentication systems that are resistant to presentation attacks in an extended reality environment. This requires collaboration between researchers, VR developers, and security experts to identify potential threats and develop countermeasures to protect the security and privacy of VR users.

- **Selection of biometric characteristics based on the XR application:** The extended Reality (XR) technology is now extensively used in various fields and requires a suitable and efficient biometric authentication system. The selection of biometric characteristics should be based on the required level of security, cost of implementation, and user experience. High-cost biometric systems, such as iris, retina scans, voiceprints, and brain signals, are suitable for high-security tasks such as financial transactions or national security discussions. However, the same biometric system may not be feasible for activities such as gaming or virtual tourism, where the user

experience is the priority. Low-cost biometric systems, such as hand gesture recognition or eye tracking, and face biometrics may be suitable for such activities.

- **Performance metrics tailored to XR:** XR biometric authentication systems are a subset of biometric authentication systems used in a simulated environment that require different performance metrics compared to traditional biometric systems. Although EER and accuracy are commonly used metrics in traditional biometric systems, they may not be appropriate for XR biometric authentication systems. This is because XR biometric authentication systems are subject to unique challenges such as virtual environment distortion, hardware limitations, and user experience factors. For example, XR headsets can cause discomfort or may not fit properly, resulting in poor data collection and incorrect authentication. Additionally, XR biometric authentication systems require real-time response and accuracy, which can be difficult to achieve because of hardware limitations. Therefore, it is important to develop performance metrics specific to XR biometric authentication systems. For example, one such metric could be the "immersion score," which measures the level of immersion the user experiences in the virtual environment during the authentication process. Another metric could be the "latency score," which would measure the time taken to authenticate the user in a real-time environment. The development of specific performance metrics for XR biometric authentication systems, including immersion score, latency, and EER, would help evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of these systems more accurately. This would enable developers to identify weaknesses in the system and improve user experience. Ultimately, this will lead to a more reliable and secure XR biometric authentication system.
- **Continuous authentication of user with XR devices:** Biometric authentication is a popular method for verifying the identity of a user before granting access to a device or a system. However, once a user is authenticated and logged in, there is a risk that someone else can take over a session if the user leaves the system unattended or if the user's credentials are compromised. This is the point where the concept of continuous authentication occurs. In the context of extended reality, continuous authentication is particularly important owing to the immersive nature of the experience. Users can easily lose track of time and forget that they are in an extended reality environment, making them more vulnerable to attacks. For example, a user may unknowingly wander into an unauthorized area of a virtual world or they may leave their session unattended, giving an attacker the opportunity to take over. Continuous authentication can also be used to detect suspicious behaviors in real time. For example, if the system detects that a user is behaving erratically or is attempting to access unauthorized areas, it can alert appropriate authorities or take other measures to prevent a potential security breach.
- **Continuous verification of avatar in virtual space:** Avatars are digital representations of the users in virtual reality systems. They offer a unique opportunity to customize the appearance of the user and create a personalized experience. However, the potential for avatar misuse by cyber criminals cannot be ignored. Fraudsters can easily impersonate others by creating an avatar with someone else's identity and using it for malicious activities such as committing cyber crimes, harassment, or identity theft. This can cause serious harm to both the victim and reputation of the virtual reality system.

To address this challenge, it is necessary to have a robust avatar authentication system that can verify a user's identity and continuously monitor their behavior in a virtual environment. The authentication system can use various biometric modalities, such as facial, voice, and fingervein recognition, to verify the user's identity before creating an avatar. Additionally, it is important to track the user's behavior continuously to ensure that the avatar is used only by the authorized user. One approach to continuously monitor a user's behavior is to detect anomalous behavior and flag it for further investigation. For example, if an avatar is used in an unauthorized location or if the user's behavior suddenly changes, the system can trigger an alert to the system administrator or security personnel. The system can also use a combination of biometric and behavioral modalities to increase the accuracy of avatar authentication and reduce the risk of fraudulent activities.
- **Need for standardization forum:** There is a pressing need for a standardized forum that establishes guidelines and benchmarks for extended reality (XR) biometrics. Currently, various datasets are inadequate for conducting comprehensive commercial-level tests. However, these datasets may not accurately reflect real-world scenarios or encompass a diverse range of users and environments. Consequently, it is crucial to develop a standardized dataset that can serve as a benchmark for evaluating the biometric features of XR, ensuring their readiness for commercial purposes. A key challenge in XR biometrics is the vulnerability of certain biometric features to presentation attacks and other forms of exploitation. Presentation attacks involve the use of fake or altered biometric traits to deceive a biometric system, potentially granting unauthorized access.

The establishment of a standardized forum would involve collaboration between industry experts, researchers, and policymakers. This forum would work towards defining the criteria for a robust and reliable XR biometric system. The criteria would include aspects such as the quality of biometric data acquisition, diversity of the dataset, and resilience of the biometric features against presentation attacks. Establishing a standardized

benchmark would enable developers and manufacturers to effectively evaluate and enhance their XR biometric systems.

- **Usability of XR:** The usability of extended reality (XR) technologies, including virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), faces several challenges. One key challenge is the privacy and security concerns associated with the use of biometric data in XR applications. Although biometric authentication methods such as facial recognition can enhance security, usability, and convenience, there is a risk of unauthorized access and data breaches, raising significant privacy concerns. Ensuring the reliability of biometric systems is another challenge because inaccurate recognition algorithms can lead to authentication failures. Apple's Optic ID⁷ system for the Apple Vision Pro headset takes steps to address these challenges by focusing on eye recognition, which offers a high level of security and accuracy. It protects privacy by restricting app access to eye data, and employs 3D information to prevent spoofing. User data is encrypted and stored locally, accessible only to a secure enclave processor, enhancing privacy and security⁸. However, further challenges remain, such as ensuring the continued security of biometric data storage and addressing the potential vulnerabilities that could emerge as technology evolves. Additionally, ongoing efforts are needed to educate users about the benefits and risks of biometrics in XR, and to establish industry standards for responsible biometric data handling.

9 Conclusion

Biometric applications with extended reality systems are gaining popularity owing to their diverse applications in education, e-commerce, entertainment, and healthcare. This paper presents a comprehensive overview of biometric authentication methods that employ both physiological and behavioral biometrics. An exclusive discussion of the different vulnerability points in a biometric-based XR system is presented for the first time. Furthermore, this study explores security aspects by discussing physiological and behavioral biometric techniques for XR. It covers the generation and verification of photorealistic 2D and 3D avatars and touches upon databases containing physiological, behavioral biometric data, and avatar datasets. The study also introduces performance metrics for assessing XR authentication systems and concludes by addressing the current challenges and potential solutions to XR authentication methods.

10 Appendix

- XR - Extended Reality
- VR - Virtual Reality
- AR - Augmented Reality
- HMD - Head Mounted Display
- MFA - Multi Factor Authentication
- PAI - Presentation Attack Instruments
- EEG - Electroencephalogram
- ECG - Electro cardiogram
- DFT - Discrete Fourier Transform
- FFT - Fast Fourier Transform
- OM - Ordinal Measure
- PSD - Power Spectral Density
- WPT - Wavelet Packet Transform
- BCI - Brain-Computer Interface
- SVM - Support Vector Machine
- PCA - Principal Component Analysis
- GRU - Gated Recurrent Unit
- GAN - Generative Adversarial Network
- VAE - Variational Auto-encoder

⁷<https://www.apple.com/apple-vision-pro/>

⁸<https://www.pandasecurity.com/en/mediacenter/technology/apple-vision-pros-privacy-security/>

- CNN - Convolutional Neural Network
- PiCA - Pixel Codec Avatars.
- EER - Equal Error Rate

References

- Ayush Sharma, Piyush Bajpai, Sukhdev Singh, and Kiran Khatter. Virtual reality: blessings and risk assessment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.09540*, 2017.
- Alexandros Lattas, Stylianos Moschoglou, Stylianos Ploumpis, Baris Gecer, Jiankang Deng, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Fitme: Deep photorealistic 3d morphable model avatars. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8629–8640, 2023.
- Kristina Berntsen, Ricardo Colomo Palacios, and Eduardo Herranz. Virtual reality and its uses: a systematic literature review. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Technological Ecosystems for Enhancing Multiculturality*, pages 435–439, 2016.
- Yang-Wai Chow, Willy Susilo, James G Phillips, Joonsang Baek, and Elena Vlahu-Gjorgievska. Video games and virtual reality as persuasive technologies for health care: An overview. *J. Wirel. Mob. Networks Ubiquitous Comput. Dependable Appl.*, 8(3):18–35, 2017.
- Sangeeta Kumari and Nitish Polke. Implementation issues of augmented reality and virtual reality: A survey. In *International Conference on Intelligent Data Communication Technologies and Internet of Things*, pages 853–861. Springer, 2018.
- Lucy Bryant, Melissa Brunner, and Bronwyn Hemsley. A review of virtual reality technologies in the field of communication disability: implications for practice and research. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 15(4):365–372, 2020.
- Johanna Pirker, Andreas Dengel, Michael Holly, and Saeed Safikhani. Virtual reality in computer science education: A systematic review. In *26th ACM symposium on virtual reality software and technology*, pages 1–8, 2020.
- John M Jones, Reyhan Duezguen, Peter Mayer, Melanie Volkamer, and Sanchari Das. A literature review on virtual reality authentication. In *International Symposium on Human Aspects of Information Security and Assurance*, pages 189–198. Springer, 2021.
- Stylianos Mystakidis, Eleni Berki, and Juri-Petri Valtanen. Deep and meaningful e-learning with social virtual reality environments in higher education: A systematic literature review. *Applied Sciences*, 11(5):2412, 2021.
- Lihang Wen, Jianlong Zhou, Weidong Huang, and Fang Chen. A survey of facial capture for virtual reality. *IEEE Access*, 10:6042–6052, 2021.
- Yunus Gumbo. A literature review on privacy and security in virtual reality and augmented reality. 2022.
- Alberto Giaretta. Security and privacy in virtual reality—a literature survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.00208*, 2022.
- Pinar Kürtünlüoğlu, Beste Akdik, and Enis Karaarslan. Security of virtual reality authentication methods in metaverse: An overview. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.06447*, 2022.
- Shalaka Kulal, Zhigang Li, and Xin Tian. Security and privacy in virtual reality: A literature review. *Issues in Information Systems*, 23(2), 2022.
- Blessing Odeleye, George Loukas, Ryan Heartfield, Georgia Sakellari, Emmanouil Panaousis, and Fotios Spyridonis. Virtually secure: A taxonomic assessment of cybersecurity challenges in virtual reality environments. *Computers & Security*, page 102951, 2022.
- Parth Dipakkumar Patel and Prem Trivedi. A systematic literature review on virtual reality and augmented reality in terms of privacy, authorization and data-leaks. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2212, 2022.
- Yan Huang, Yi Joy Li, and Zhipeng Cai. Security and privacy in metaverse: A comprehensive survey. *Big Data Mining and Analytics*, 6(2):234–247, 2023a.
- Efe Bozkir, Süleyman Özdel, Mengdi Wang, Brendan David-John, Hong Gao, Kevin Butler, Eakta Jain, and Enkelejda Kasneci. Eye-tracked virtual reality: A comprehensive survey on methods and privacy challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14080*, 2023.
- Sara Qamar, Zahid Anwar, and Mehreen Afzal. A systematic threat analysis and defense strategies for the metaverse and extended reality systems. *Computers & Security*, page 103127, 2023.

- Chandra Hermawan Heruatmadja, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Harjanto Prabowo, et al. Biometric as secure authentication for virtual reality environment: A systematic literature review. In *2023 International Conference for Advancement in Technology (ICONAT)*, pages 1–7. IEEE, 2023.
- Jaybie A De Guzman, Kanchana Thilakarathna, and Aruna Seneviratne. Security and privacy approaches in mixed reality: A literature survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 52(6):1–37, 2019.
- OL Finnegan, JW White III, B Armstrong, EL Adams, S Burkart, MW Beets, S Nelakuditi, EA Willis, L von Klingraeff, H Parker, et al. The utility of behavioral biometrics in user authentication and demographic characteristic detection: a scoping review. *Systematic Reviews*, 13(1):61, 2024.
- Sukhpreet Kaur, Saumya Rajvanshi, and Gurleen Kaur. Privacy and security concerns with augmented reality/virtual reality: A systematic review. *Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality in Special Education*, pages 209–231, 2024.
- Saurabh Sharma, Jaiteg Singh, Ankur Gupta, Farman Ali, Faheem Khan, and Daehan Kwak. User safety and security in the metaverse: A critical review. *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, 2024.
- Tatsuya Amano, Teruhiro Mizumoto, Srikant Manas Kala, Hirozumi Yamaguchi, Tomokazu Matsui, and Keiichi Yasumoto. Visual privacy control for metaverse and the beyond. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 2024.
- Ceenu George, Mohamed Khamis, Emanuel von Zezschwitz, Marinus Burger, Henri Schmidt, Florian Alt, and Heinrich Hussmann. Seamless and secure vr: Adapting and evaluating established authentication systems for virtual reality. NDSS, 2017.
- Ilesanmi Olade, Hai-Ning Liang, Charles Fleming, and Christopher Champion. Exploring the vulnerabilities and advantages of swipe or pattern authentication in virtual reality (vr). In *Proceedings of the 2020 4th International Conference on Virtual and Augmented Reality Simulations*, pages 45–52, 2020a.
- Sundaramurthi Rajarajan, K Maheswari, R Hemapriya, and S Sriharilakshmi. Shoulder surfing resistant virtual keyboard for internet banking. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 31(7):1297–1304, 2014.
- Andrew Holland and Tony Morelli. Dynamic keypad–digit shuffling for secure pin entry in a virtual world. In *Virtual, Augmented and Mixed Reality: Interaction, Navigation, Visualization, Embodiment, and Simulation: 10th International Conference, VAMR 2018, Held as Part of HCI International 2018, Las Vegas, NV, USA, July 15–20, 2018, Proceedings, Part I 10*, pages 102–111. Springer, 2018.
- Florian Mathis, John Williamson, Kami Vaniea, and Mohamed Khamis. Rubikauth: Fast and secure authentication in virtual reality. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–9, 2020a.
- Florian Mathis, Hassan Ismail Fawaz, and Mohamed Khamis. Knowledge-driven biometric authentication in virtual reality. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–10, 2020b.
- Huadi Zhu, Wenqiang Jin, Mingyan Xiao, Srinivasan Murali, and Ming Li. Blinkey: A two-factor user authentication method for virtual reality devices. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*, 4(4):1–29, 2020.
- Fadi Boutros, Naser Damer, Kiran Raja, Raghavendra Ramachandra, Florian Kirchbuchner, and Arjan Kuijper. Iris and periocular biometrics for head mounted displays: Segmentation, recognition, and synthetic data generation. *Image and Vision Computing*, 104:104007, 2020a.
- Zhenan Sun and Tieniu Tan. Ordinal measures for iris recognition. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 31(12):2211–2226, 2008.
- Kazuyuki Miyazawa, Koichi Ito, Takafumi Aoki, Koji Kobayashi, and Hiroshi Nakajima. An effective approach for iris recognition using phase-based image matching. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 30(10):1741–1756, 2008.
- Abhishek Gangwar and Akanksha Joshi. Deepirisnet: Deep iris representation with applications in iris recognition and cross-sensor iris recognition. In *2016 IEEE international conference on image processing (ICIP)*, pages 2301–2305. IEEE, 2016.
- Andrew Howard, Mark Sandler, Grace Chu, Liang-Chieh Chen, Bo Chen, Mingxing Tan, Weijun Wang, Yukun Zhu, Ruoming Pang, Vijay Vasudevan, et al. Searching for mobilenetv3. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 1314–1324, 2019.
- Fadi Boutros, Naser Damer, Kiran Raja, Raghavendra Ramachandra, Florian Kirchbuchner, and Arjan Kuijper. Fusing iris and periocular region for user verification in head mounted displays. In *2020 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Information Fusion (FUSION)*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2020b.

- Stephan Hertweck, Desiée Weber, Hisham Alwanni, Fabian Unruh, Martin Fischbach, Marc Erich Latoschik, and Tonio Ball. Brain activity in virtual reality: Assessing signal quality of high-resolution eeg while using head-mounted displays. In *2019 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, pages 970–971. IEEE, 2019.
- Sukun Li, Sonal Savaliya, Leonard Marino, Avery M Leider, and Charles C Tappert. Brain signal authentication for human-computer interaction in virtual reality. In *2019 IEEE International Conference on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE) and IEEE International Conference on Embedded and Ubiquitous Computing (EUC)*, pages 115–120. IEEE, 2019.
- Siao Zheng Bong, Khairunizam Wan, M Murugappan, Norlinah Mohamed Ibrahim, Yuvaraj Rajamanickam, and Khairiyah Mohamad. Implementation of wavelet packet transform and non linear analysis for emotion classification in stroke patient using brain signals. *Biomedical signal processing and control*, 36:102–112, 2017.
- John Makhoul. Linear prediction: A tutorial review. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 63(4):561–580, 1975.
- Ayush Agarwal, Jagabandhu Mishra, and SR Mahadeva Prasanna. Vop detection in variable speech rate condition. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 3690–3694, 2020.
- Shuhang Luo, Peng Ren, Jiawei Wu, Xiang Wu, and Xiao Zhang. Feature extraction method of eeg based on wavelet packet reconstruction and deep learning model of vr motion sickness feature classification and prediction. *PloS one*, 19(7):e0305733, 2024.
- Raghavendra Ramachandra and Christoph Busch. Presentation attack detection methods for face recognition systems: A comprehensive survey. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 50(1), mar 2017a. ISSN 0360-0300. doi:10.1145/3038924. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3038924>.
- Sébastien Marcel, Mark S Nixon, Julian Fierrez, and Nicholas Evans. *Handbook of biometric anti-spoofing: Presentation attack detection*, volume 2. Springer, 2019.
- Lei Li, Zhaoqiang Xia, Jun Wu, Lei Yang, and Huijian Han. Face presentation attack detection based on optical flow and texture analysis. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, 34(4):1455–1467, 2022.
- Sushil Bhattacharjee, Amir Mohammadi, André Anjos, and Sébastien Marcel. Recent advances in face presentation attack detection. *Handbook of Biometric Anti-Spoofing: Presentation Attack Detection*, pages 207–228, 2019.
- Naser Damer, Kristijan Dimitrov, Richard C Wilson, Edwin R Hancock, and William AP Smith. Practical view on face presentation attack detection. In *BMVC*, 2016.
- Ramachandra Raghavendra, Kiran B Raja, and Christoph Busch. Presentation attack detection for face recognition using light field camera. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 24(3):1060–1075, 2015.
- Raghavendra Ramachandra and Christoph Busch. Presentation attack detection methods for face recognition systems: A comprehensive survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 50(1):1–37, 2017b.
- Devesh Kumar, Pavan Kumar V Patil, Ayush Agarwal, and SR Mahadeva Prasanna. Fake speech detection using opensmile features. In *International Conference on Speech and Computer*, pages 404–415. Springer, 2022.
- Rishith Sadashiv TN, Devesh Kumar, Ayush Agarwal, Moakala Tzudir, Jagabandhu Mishra, and SR Mahadeva Prasanna. Source and system-based modulation approach for fake speech detection. In *International Conference on Speech and Computer*, pages 142–155. Springer, 2023.
- Raghav Magazine, Ayush Agarwal, Anand Hedge, and SR Mahadeva Prasanna. Fake speech detection using modulation spectrogram. In *Speech and Computer: 24th International Conference, SPECOM 2022, Gurugram, India, November 14–16, 2022, Proceedings*, pages 451–463. Springer, 2022.
- Abdullah Al Arafat, Zhishan Guo, and Amro Awad. Vr-spy: A side-channel attack on virtual key-logging in vr headsets. In *2021 IEEE Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, pages 564–572. IEEE, 2021.
- Kartik A Pant, Li-Yu Lin, Jaehyeok Kim, Worawis Sribunma, James M Goppert, and Inseok Hwang. Mixed-sense: A mixed reality sensor emulation framework for test and evaluation of uavs against false data injection attacks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.09342*, 2024.
- Manimaran Sivasamy, VN Sastry, and NP Gopalan. Vrcauth: continuous authentication of users in virtual reality environment using head-movement. In *2020 5th International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES)*, pages 518–523. IEEE, 2020.
- Brecht Desplanques, Jenthe Thienpondt, and Kris Demuynck. Ecapa-tdnn: Emphasized channel attention, propagation and aggregation in tdnn based speaker verification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.07143*, 2020.
- Ayush Agarwal, Jagabandhu Mishra, and SR Mahadeva Prasanna. Significance of excitation source sequence information for speaker verification. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Signal Processing and Communications (SPCOM)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2022.

- Kedi Yang, Zhenyong Zhang, Youliang Tian, and Jianfeng Ma. A secure authentication framework to guarantee the traceability of avatars in metaverse. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 2023.
- Rumeysa Turkmen, Chukwuemeka Nwagu, Prashant Rawat, Poppy Riddle, Kissinger Sunday, and Mayra Barrera Machuca. Put your glasses on: A voxel-based 3d authentication system in vr using eye-gaze. In *2023 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW)*, pages 947–948. IEEE, 2023.
- Samira Bader and Najoua Essoukri Ben Amara. A securing access approach to virtual worlds based on 3d mesh watermarking of avatar’s face. In *2014 4th International Conference on Image Processing Theory, Tools and Applications (IPTA)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2014a.
- S Bader and N Essoukri Ben Amara. Identity management in virtual worlds based on biometrics watermarking. *International Journal of Computer and Systems Engineering*, 10(8):1478–1482, 2016.
- Jinghuai Lin and Marc Erich Latoschik. Digital body, identity and privacy in social virtual reality: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, 3:974652, 2022.
- Nghi C Tran, Jian-Hong Wang, Toan H Vu, Tzu-Chiang Tai, and Jia-Ching Wang. Anti-aliasing convolution neural network of finger vein recognition for virtual reality (vr) human–robot equipment of metaverse. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 79(3):2767–2782, 2023.
- Shiqing Luo, Anh Nguyen, Chen Song, Feng Lin, Wenyao Xu, and Zhisheng Yan. Oculock: Exploring human visual system for authentication in virtual reality head-mounted display. In *2020 Network and Distributed System Security Symposium (NDSS)*, 2020.
- Viktor Varkarakis, Shabab Bazrafkan, and Peter Corcoran. A deep learning approach to segmentation of distorted iris regions in head-mounted displays. In *2018 IEEE Games, Entertainment, Media Conference (GEM)*, pages 1–9. IEEE, 2018.
- Muhammad Adnan, Muhammad Sardaraz, Muhammad Tahir, Muhammad Najam Dar, Mona Alduailij, and Mai Alduailij. A robust framework for real-time iris landmarks detection using deep learning. *Applied Sciences*, 12(11): 5700, 2022.
- Alexander Winkler, Jungdam Won, and Yuting Ye. Questsim: Human motion tracking from sparse sensors with simulated avatars. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2022 Conference Papers*, pages 1–8, 2022.
- Robert Miller. *Behavior-Based Biometrics for Virtual Reality*. PhD thesis, Clarkson University, 2022.
- Dillon Lohr, Saide Johnson, Samantha Aziz, and Oleg Komogortsev. Demonstrating eye movement biometrics in virtual reality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.02325*, 2022.
- Mariusz Wierzbowski, Grzegorz Pochwatko, Paulina Borkiewicz, Daniel Cnotkowski, Michał Pabiś-Orzeszyna, and Paweł Kobyliński. Behavioural biometrics in virtual reality: To what extent can we identify a person based solely on how they watch 360-degree videos? In *2022 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality Adjunct (ISMAR-Adjunct)*, pages 417–422. IEEE, 2022.
- Sarker Monojit Asish, Arun K. Kulshreshth, and Christoph W. Borst. User identification utilizing minimal eye-gaze features in virtual reality applications. *Virtual Worlds*, 1(1):42–61, 2022. ISSN 2813-2084. doi:10.3390/virtualworlds1010004. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2813-2084/1/1/4>.
- Jonathan Liebers, Sascha Brockel, Uwe Gruenefeld, and Stefan Schneegass. Identifying users by their hand tracking data in augmented and virtual reality. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, pages 1–16, 2022.
- Le Sun, Zhaoyi Zhong, Zhiguo Qu, and Neal Xiong. Perae: an effective personalized autoencoder for ecg-based biometric in augmented reality system. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 26(6):2435–2446, 2022.
- Jonathan Liebers, Patrick Horn, Christian Burschik, Uwe Gruenefeld, and Stefan Schneegass. Using gaze behavior and head orientation for implicit identification in virtual reality. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology*, pages 1–9, 2021.
- Robert Miller, Natasha Kholgade Banerjee, and Sean Banerjee. Temporal effects in motion behavior for virtual reality (vr) biometrics. In *2022 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, pages 563–572. IEEE, 2022.
- Ilesanmi Olade, Charles Fleming, and Hai-Ning Liang. Biomove: Biometric user identification from human kinesiological movements for virtual reality systems. *Sensors*, 20(10):2944, 2020b.
- Ken Pfeuffer, Matthias J Geiger, Sarah Prange, Lukas Mecke, Daniel Buschek, and Florian Alt. Behavioural biometrics in vr: Identifying people from body motion and relations in virtual reality. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–12, 2019.

- Desirée Weber, Stephan Hertweck, Hisham Alwanni, Lukas DJ Fiederer, Xi Wang, Fabian Unruh, Martin Fischbach, Marc Erich Latoschik, and Tonio Ball. A structured approach to test the signal quality of electroencephalography measurements during use of head-mounted displays for virtual reality applications. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, page 1527, 2021.
- Duo Lu, Dijiang Huang, Yuli Deng, and Adel Alshamrani. Multifactor user authentication with in-air-handwriting and hand geometry. In *2018 International Conference on Biometrics (ICB)*, pages 255–262. IEEE, 2018.
- Duo Lu, Dijiang Huang, and Anshul Rai. Fmhash: Deep hashing of in-air-handwriting for user identification. In *ICC 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pages 1–7. IEEE, 2019.
- Duo Lu and Linzhen Luo. Fmkit: An in-air-handwriting analysis library and data repository. In *CVPR Workshop on Computer Vision for Augmented and Virtual Reality, 2020*, 2020.
- Duo Lu. *3D In-Air-Handwriting based User Login and Identity Input Method*. PhD thesis, Arizona State University, 2021.
- Duo Lu, Yuli Deng, and Dijiang Huang. Global feature analysis and comparative evaluation of freestyle in-air-handwriting passcode for user authentication. In *Annual Computer Security Applications Conference*, pages 468–481, 2021a.
- Yung-Hui Li, Po-Jen Huang, et al. An accurate and efficient user authentication mechanism on smart glasses based on iris recognition. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2017, 2017.
- Jiacheng Shang and Jie Wu. Enabling secure voice input on augmented reality headsets using internal body voice. In *2019 16th Annual IEEE International Conference on Sensing, Communication, and Networking (SECON)*, pages 1–9. IEEE, 2019.
- Hassan Khan, Urs Hengartner, and Daniel Vogel. Augmented reality-based mimicry attacks on behaviour-based smartphone authentication. In *Proceedings of the 16th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, pages 41–53, 2018.
- Chetan Parampalli, R Sekar, and Rob Johnson. A practical mimicry attack against powerful system-call monitors. In *Proceedings of the 2008 ACM symposium on Information, computer and communications security*, pages 156–167, 2008.
- Dillon Lohr, Samuel-Hunter Berndt, and Oleg Komogortsev. An implementation of eye movement-driven biometrics in virtual reality. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Symposium on Eye Tracking Research & Applications*, pages 1–3, 2018.
- Chandra Prakash, Rajesh Kumar, and Namita Mittal. Recent developments in human gait research: parameters, approaches, applications, machine learning techniques, datasets and challenges. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 49: 1–40, 2018.
- Qiaoxi Liu and Anthony Steed. Social virtual reality platform comparison and evaluation using a guided group walkthrough method. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, 2:668181, 2021.
- Fiachra O’Brolcháin, Tim Jacquemard, David Monaghan, Noel O’Connor, Peter Novitzky, and Bert Gordijn. The convergence of virtual reality and social networks: threats to privacy and autonomy. *Science and engineering ethics*, 22(1):1–29, 2016.
- Jascha Achenbach, Thomas Waltemate, Marc Erich Latoschik, and Mario Botsch. Fast generation of realistic virtual humans. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM symposium on virtual reality software and technology*, pages 1–10, 2017.
- Thibault Luginbühl, Laurent Delattre, and André Gagalowicz. Towards the automatic generation of 3d photo-realistic avatars using 3d scanned data. In *Computer Vision/Computer Graphics Collaboration Techniques: 5th International Conference, MIRAGE 2011, Rocquencourt, France, October 10-11, 2011. Proceedings 5*, pages 192–203. Springer, 2011.
- Andrew Feng, Evan Suma, and Ari Shapiro. Just-in-time, viable, 3d avatars from scans. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2017 Talks*, pages 1–2. 2017.
- Namgyu Kim, Woontack Woo, and Makoto Tadenuma. Photorealistic interactive virtual environment generation using multiview cameras. In *Visual Communications and Image Processing 2001*, volume 4310, pages 245–254. SPIE, 2000.
- Zijian Dong, Xu Chen, Jinlong Yang, Michael J Black, Otmar Hilliges, and Andreas Geiger. Ag3d: Learning to generate 3d avatars from 2d image collections. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02312*, 2023.
- Stanislaw Szymanowicz, Virginia Estellers, Tadas Baltrušaitis, and Matthew Johnson. Photo-realistic 360 head avatars in the wild. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022 Workshops: Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part III*, pages 660–667. Springer, 2023.

- Sergey Prokudin, Michael J Black, and Javier Romero. Smplpix: Neural avatars from 3d human models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 1810–1819, 2021.
- Stephen Lombardi, Jason Saragih, Tomas Simon, and Yaser Sheikh. Deep appearance models for face rendering. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG)*, 37(4):1–13, 2018.
- Ayush Tewari, Michael Zollhofer, Hyeonwoo Kim, Pablo Garrido, Florian Bernard, Patrick Perez, and Christian Theobalt. Mofa: Model-based deep convolutional face autoencoder for unsupervised monocular reconstruction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops*, pages 1274–1283, 2017.
- Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. Smpl: A skinned multi-person linear model. *ACM transactions on graphics (TOG)*, 34(6):1–16, 2015.
- Nicholas Michael, Maria Drakou, and Andreas Lanitis. Model-based generation of personalized full-body 3d avatars from uncalibrated multi-view photographs. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 76:14169–14195, 2017.
- Hiromitsu Sato and Michael Cohen. Using motion capture for real-time augmented reality scenes. In *Humans and Computers*, pages 58–62, 2010.
- Chen Cao, Tomas Simon, Jin Kyu Kim, Gabe Schwartz, Michael Zollhofer, Shun-Suke Saito, Stephen Lombardi, Shih-En Wei, Danielle Belko, Shoou-I Yu, et al. Authentic volumetric avatars from a phone scan. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):1–19, 2022.
- Shizun Wang, Weihong Zeng, Xu Wang, Hao Yang, Li Chen, Chuang Zhang, Ming Wu, Yi Yuan, Yunzhao Zeng, Min Zheng, et al. Swiftavatar: efficient auto-creation of parameterized stylized character on arbitrary avatar engines. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pages 6101–6109, 2023.
- Yufeng Zheng, Wang Yifan, Gordon Wetzstein, Michael J. Black, and Otmar Hilliges. Pointavatar: Deformable point-based head avatars from videos, 2022.
- Shugao Ma, Tomas Simon, Jason Saragih, Dawei Wang, Yuecheng Li, Fernando De La Torre, and Yaser Sheikh. Pixel codec avatars. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 64–73, 2021.
- Lior Wolf, Yaniv Taigman, and Adam Polyak. Unsupervised creation of parameterized avatars. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1530–1538, 2017.
- Koki Nagano, Jaewoo Seo, Jun Xing, Lingyu Wei, Zimo Li, Shunsuke Saito, Aviral Agarwal, Jens Fursund, Hao Li, Richard Roberts, et al. pagan: real-time avatars using dynamic textures. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 37(6):258–1, 2018.
- Huiwen Luo, Koki Nagano, Han-Wei Kung, Qingguo Xu, Zejian Wang, Lingyu Wei, Liwen Hu, and Hao Li. Normalized avatar synthesis using stylegan and perceptual refinement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11662–11672, 2021.
- Ting Lu, Zhengfu Peng, Xiaofen Xing, Xiangmin Xu, and Jianxin Pang. A general method of realistic avatar modeling and driving for head-mounted display users. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems*, 14(3): 916–925, 2021b.
- Zhong Li, Lele Chen, Celong Liu, Fuyao Zhang, Zekun Li, Yu Gao, Yuanzhou Ha, Chenliang Xu, Shuxue Quan, and Yi Xu. Animated 3d human avatars from a single image with gan-based texture inference. *Computers & Graphics*, 95:81–91, 2021.
- Sadegh Aliakbarian, Pashmina Cameron, Federica Bogo, Andrew Fitzgibbon, and Thomas J Cashman. Flag: Flow-based 3d avatar generation from sparse observations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13253–13262, 2022.
- Shen Sang, Tiancheng Zhi, Guoxian Song, Minghao Liu, Chunpong Lai, Jing Liu, Xiang Wen, James Davis, and Linjie Luo. Agileavatar: Stylized 3d avatar creation via cascaded domain bridging. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2022 Conference Papers*, pages 1–8, 2022.
- Xin Huang, Ruizhi Shao, Qi Zhang, Hongwen Zhang, Ying Feng, Yebin Liu, and Qing Wang. Humannorm: Learning normal diffusion model for high-quality and realistic 3d human generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01406*, 2023b.
- Sadegh Aliakbarian, Fatemeh Saleh, David Collier, Pashmina Cameron, and Darren Cosker. Hmd-nemo: Online 3d avatar motion generation from sparse observations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9622–9631, 2023.
- Marina L Gavrilova and Roman Yampolskiy. Applying biometric principles to avatar recognition. *Transactions on computational science XII: Special issue on cyberworlds*, pages 140–158, 2011.
- Sonia Ajina, Roman V Yampolskiy, and Najoua Essoukri Ben Amara. Evaluation of svm classification of avatar facial recognition. In *Advances in Neural Networks–ISNN 2011: 8th International Symposium on Neural Networks, ISNN 2011, Guilin, China, May 29–June 1, 2011, Proceedings, Part III* 8, pages 132–142. Springer, 2011.

- Roman V Yampolskiy, Brendan Klare, and Anil K Jain. Face recognition in the virtual world: recognizing avatar faces. In *2012 11th International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications*, volume 1, pages 40–45. IEEE, 2012a.
- Roman V Yampolskiy, Gyuchoon Cho, Richard Rosenthal, and Marina L Gavrilova. Experiments in arimetrics: avatar face recognition. In *Transactions on Computational Science XVI*, pages 77–94. Springer, 2012b.
- Justus Thies, Michael Zollhöfer, Marc Stamminger, Christian Theobalt, and Matthias Nießner. Facevr: Real-time facial reenactment and eye gaze control in virtual reality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.03151*, 2016.
- Sibi Chakkaravarthy Sethuraman, Aditya Mitra, Anisha Ghosh, Gautam Galada, and Anitha Subramanian. Metasecure: A passwordless authentication for the metaverse. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.01770*, 2023.
- Marc Tonsen, Xucong Zhang, Yusuke Sugano, and Andreas Bulling. Labelled pupils in the wild: a dataset for studying pupil detection in unconstrained environments. In *Proceedings of the ninth biennial ACM symposium on eye tracking research & applications*, pages 139–142, 2016.
- Joochwan Kim, Michael Stengel, Alexander Majercik, Shalini De Mello, David Dunn, Samuli Laine, Morgan McGuire, and David Luebke. Nvgaze: An anatomically-informed dataset for low-latency, near-eye gaze estimation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 1–12, 2019.
- Ketan Kotwal, Ibrahim Ulucan, Gokhan Ozbulak, Janani Selliah, and Sebastien Marcel. Vrbiom: A new periocular dataset for biometric applications of hmd. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02150*, 2024.
- Samantha EA Gregory, Hongfang Wang, and Klaus Kessler. A dataset of eeg recordings from 47 participants collected during a virtual reality working memory task where attention was cued by a social avatar and non-social stick cue. *Data in Brief*, 41:107827, 2022.
- Stephan Joachim Garbin, Oleg Komogortsev, Robert Cavin, Gregory Hughes, Yiru Shen, Immo Schuetz, and Sachin S Talathi. Dataset for eye tracking on a virtual reality platform. In *ACM Symposium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications*, pages 1–10, 2020.
- Stephan J Garbin, Yiru Shen, Immo Schuetz, Robert Cavin, Gregory Hughes, and Sachin S Talathi. Openeds: Open eye dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.03702*, 2019.
- Vrishab Krishna, Yi Ding, Aiwen Xu, and Tobias Höllerer. Multimodal biometric authentication for vr/ar using eeg and eye tracking. In *Adjunct of the 2019 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, pages 1–5, 2019.
- Mohd Shahrime Mohd Asaari, Shahrel A Suandi, and Bakhtiar Affendi Rosdi. Fusion of band limited phase only correlation and width centroid contour distance for finger based biometrics. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(7): 3367–3382, 2014.
- Yilong Yin, Lili Liu, and Xiwei Sun. Sdumla-hmt: a multimodal biometric database. In *Biometric Recognition: 6th Chinese Conference, CCB R 2011, Beijing, China, December 3-4, 2011. Proceedings 6*, pages 260–268. Springer, 2011.
- Wenming Yang, Chuan Qin, and Qingmin Liao. A database with roi extraction for studying fusion of finger vein and finger dorsal texture. In *Biometric Recognition: 9th Chinese Conference, CCB R 2014, Shenyang, China, November 7-9, 2014. Proceedings 9*, pages 266–270. Springer, 2014.
- Lee Friedman, Mark S Nixon, and Oleg V Komogortsev. Method to assess the temporal persistence of potential biometric features: Application to oculomotor, gait, face and brain structure databases. *PLoS one*, 12(6):e0178501, 2017.
- Henry Griffith, Dillon Lohr, Evgeny Abdulin, and Oleg Komogortsev. Gazebase, a large-scale, multi-stimulus, longitudinal eye movement dataset. *Scientific Data*, 8(1):184, 2021.
- Dillon Lohr, Samantha Aziz, Lee Friedman, and Oleg V Komogortsev. Gazebasevr, a large-scale, longitudinal, binocular eye-tracking dataset collected in virtual reality. *Scientific Data*, 10(1), 2023.
- Samantha Aziz, Dillon J Lohr, and Oleg Komogortsev. Synchroneyes: A novel, paired data set of eye movements recorded simultaneously with remote and wearable eye-tracking devices. In *2022 Symposium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications*, pages 1–6, 2022.
- Dillon J Lohr, Samantha Aziz, and Oleg Komogortsev. Eye movement biometrics using a new dataset collected in virtual reality. In *ACM Symposium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications*, pages 1–3, 2020.
- Justin N Oursler, Mathew Price, Roman V Yampolskiy, and J Hall. Parameterized generation of avatar face dataset. In *14th International Conference on Computer Games: AI, Animation, Mobile, Interactive Multimedia, Educational & Serious Games*, pages 17–22, 2009.
- Samira Bader and Najoua Essoukri Ben Amara. Sid-avatar database: A 3d avatar dataset for virtual world research. In *International Image Processing, Applications and Systems Conference*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2014b.

- Jyh-Min Cheng and Hsiao-Chuan Wang. A method of estimating the equal error rate for automatic speaker verification. In *2004 International Symposium on Chinese Spoken Language Processing*, pages 285–288. IEEE, 2004.
- Zhizheng Wu, Nicholas Evans, Tomi Kinnunen, Junichi Yamagishi, Federico Alegre, and Haizhou Li. Spoofing and countermeasures for speaker verification: A survey. *speech communication*, 66:130–153, 2015.
- Adam Czajka and Kevin W Bowyer. Presentation attack detection for iris recognition: An assessment of the state-of-the-art. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 51(4):1–35, 2018.