

Probabilistic Prior Driven Attention Mechanism Based on Diffusion Model for Imaging Through Atmospheric Turbulence

Guodong Sun¹, Qixiang Ma², Liqiang Zhang¹, Hongwei Wang^{1,*}, Zixuan Gao¹, Haotian Zhang¹
¹Northwestern Polytechnical University ²Beihang University

{gavinsun0921, zhangliqiang2023, gaozixuan0909, 2022265247}@mail.nwpu.edu.cn
 sycamore_ma@buaa.edu.cn, wanghognwei@nwpu.edu.cn

Abstract

Atmospheric turbulence introduces severe spatial and geometric distortions, challenging traditional image restoration methods. We propose the Probabilistic Prior Turbulence Removal Network (PPTRN), which combines probabilistic diffusion-based prior modeling with Transformer-driven feature extraction to address this issue. PPTRN employs a two-stage approach: first, a latent encoder and Transformer are jointly trained on clear images to establish robust feature representations. Then, a Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) models prior distributions over latent vectors, guiding the Transformer in capturing diverse feature variations essential for restoration. A key innovation in PPTRN is the Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross Attention mechanism, which integrates the DDPM-generated prior with feature embeddings to reduce artifacts and enhance spatial coherence. Extensive experiments validate that PPTRN significantly improves restoration quality on turbulence-degraded images, setting a new benchmark in clarity and structural fidelity.

1. Introduction

Imaging through atmospheric turbulence presents significant challenges due to severe and unpredictable distortions, including spatial and geometric aberrations that degrade image quality [2, 6, 11, 13, 14, 18, 32, 39]. Common in applications like surveillance, astronomy, and remote sensing, these distortions obscure fine details, complicating reliable image restoration for high-fidelity analysis.

Traditional methods, including computational techniques and convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have been widely applied to mitigate turbulence-induced degradation [4, 12, 20, 34]. However, classical methods rely on simplified models unsuitable for dynamic conditions, while CNNs struggle to capture long-range dependencies

essential for handling turbulence. As a result, these approaches often produce oversmoothed outputs lacking detail and structural consistency.

Restoring turbulence-degraded images is challenging due to high uncertainty and multi-modal distortions. Atmospheric turbulence requires probabilistic modeling to account for multiple plausible reconstructions and avoid oversmoothing [8, 18, 21, 32]. Additionally, maintaining spatial coherence alongside fine details is critical, as traditional approaches often fail to balance these aspects, resulting in outputs that lack structural integrity or critical details [2, 14, 16].

To address these challenges, we propose the Probabilistic Prior Turbulence Removal Network (PPTRN), a model that combines Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM)-based probabilistic prior modeling with Transformer-driven feature extraction. The core of PPTRN is the Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross Attention mechanism, which fuses a DDPM-generated latent prior with feature embeddings to enhance detail preservation and spatial coherence. PPTRN employs a two-stage training strategy: initially, a latent encoder and Transformer are jointly trained on clear images; subsequently, the encoder's weights are frozen, and DDPM models the prior distribution over latent vectors, guiding the Transformer in robust image restoration.

Our contributions include:

1. A novel framework (PPTRN) that integrates probabilistic prior modeling with Transformer-based feature extraction for turbulence-distorted images.
2. A Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross Attention mechanism that improves detail preservation and spatial coherence.
3. A two-stage training strategy that balances structural consistency and detail preservation, capturing the multi-modal features of turbulence-affected images.

These innovations highlight PPTRN's effectiveness in restoring turbulence-degraded images, advancing uncertainty handling in visual restoration.

*Corresponding author




Figure 1. The network structure of the proposed Probabilistic Prior Turbulence Removal Network (PPTRN).

2. Related Works

2.1. Imaging Through Atmospheric Turbulence

Restoring images affected by atmospheric turbulence has long been a challenge, particularly in remote sensing, surveillance, and astronomy applications [1, 15, 22, 36]. Traditional methods, such as statistical modeling and optical flow, attempt to mitigate distortions by estimating spatial or temporal relationships between frames [3, 17, 19, 37], but these approaches are limited by assumptions that don't hold in dynamic turbulence conditions. Recently, deep learning methods, including CNNs and Transformers, have been explored for turbulence restoration [1, 16, 33]. While CNNs are effective for local features, they struggle with long-range dependencies critical for turbulence. Transformers, although capable of capturing these dependencies, face challenges in handling the high uncertainty and multi-modal nature of turbulence-distorted images, underscoring the need for a hybrid approach.

2.2. Diffusion Model for Image Restoration

Diffusion models, inspired by non-equilibrium thermodynamics [25], have emerged as powerful generative models for representing complex, multi-modal data distributions. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPMs) [7] use iterative denoising to generate high-fidelity images, making them suitable for tasks involving significant uncertainty and multiple plausible outcomes. Recent studies have

demonstrated diffusion models' potential in image restoration, especially under high noise and complex distortions [10, 23, 24, 26, 30, 31]. However, their application to atmospheric turbulence restoration remains limited. Our work leverages a DDPM-generated probabilistic prior to guide a Transformer-based architecture, enhancing the model's ability to manage the high variability and structural inconsistencies inherent in turbulence, resulting in improved fidelity and detail preservation.

3. Method

3.1. Overview

The Probabilistic Prior Turbulence Removal Network (PPTRN) is a novel image restoration model that mitigates atmospheric turbulence distortions by combining a Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) with Transformer-based feature extraction (see Fig. 1). In this framework, a probabilistic prior-driven cross-attention mechanism is employed, where DDPM generates a prior latent vector to capture clear image features, which the Transformer (Restormer) then utilizes to enhance spatial coherence and detail recovery. PPTRN is trained in two stages: first, the latent encoder and Transformer are jointly trained on clear images to establish stable feature representations; then, the encoder's weights are frozen, and DDPM is introduced to model prior distributions that guide the Transformer in recovering details under complex turbulence conditions. This




Figure 2. The structure of the proposed Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross-Attention (PPDA) mechanism.

approach allows PPTRN to achieve robust restoration with improved structural fidelity and detail preservation.

3.2. Model Components

In this section, we describe the primary components of PP-TRN: the latent encoder for initial feature representation, the Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) for prior modeling, and the Transformer for feature extraction. These modules work in tandem to provide a robust mechanism for restoring images degraded by atmospheric turbulence.

Latent Encoder: The Latent Encoder is a lightweight encoder designed to generate a concise representation of clear image features, which serves as the foundation for prior modeling. Given an input image X , the encoder maps it to a latent representation Z :

$$Z = f_{\text{encoder}}(X) \quad (1)$$

This encoded representation Z captures essential features while preserving key details, and it is used as a fixed prior for DDPM in the second training stage. This latent encoding enables the Transformer to use probabilistic guidance during the image restoration process.

Diffusion for Prior Modeling: The Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) models a probabilistic prior distribution over the latent space, capturing the multimodal characteristics of clear images. In the forward dif-

fusion process, noise is gradually added to the latent representation through a Markov chain, defined as:

$$q(Z_t|Z_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(Z_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t}Z_{t-1}, \beta_t I) \quad (2)$$

where β_t controls the noise level at each step. During the reverse diffusion process, DDPM progressively removes noise, yielding a prior latent vector \hat{Z} which guides the Transformer. This process is expressed as:

$$\hat{Z}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Z_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}} \epsilon \theta(Z_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \epsilon \quad (3)$$

where α_t and β_t are diffusion process parameters, and ϵ_θ denotes the learned noise estimator. The prior vector \hat{Z} is thus enriched with uncertainty-aware features, providing critical guidance for the Transformer in restoring turbulence-degraded images.

Transformer for Feature Extraction: The Restormer Transformer is responsible for extracting detailed feature representations from turbulence-degraded images, using the DDPM-generated prior latent vector \hat{Z} within a cross-attention module. This cross-attention mechanism, explained in detail in Sec. 3.3, enables the Transformer to selectively focus on relevant features by leveraging both local detail preservation and global coherence from the probabilistic prior. By integrating this prior information, the Transformer gains an enhanced contextual understanding, which helps mitigate spatial distortions and reconstruct fine-grained details effectively.

3.3. Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross-Attention

The Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross Attention (PPDA) mechanism is a core innovation in PPTRN, designed to fuse the probabilistic prior latent vector from DDPM with the feature embeddings extracted by the Transformer (Restormer). By integrating prior information, PPDA enhances the Transformer’s ability to focus on spatial regions that require improved coherence and fine detail, facilitating effective restoration of turbulence-degraded images.

The structure of this cross-attention module is illustrated in Fig. 2, showing the flow of information between the prior latent vector \mathbf{Z} and the feature embeddings \mathbf{X} extracted from the degraded input.

Prior and Feature Input: In the PPDA module, \mathbf{X} represents the feature embeddings derived from the degraded image, while \mathbf{Z} denotes the prior latent vector generated by DDPM. These inputs serve as guiding references to help the model reconstruct clear image structures. Before attention computation, both \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Z} undergo initial transformations to align their feature distributions.

Query, Key, and Value Generation: To generate the Query (\mathbf{Q}), Key (\mathbf{K}), and Value (\mathbf{V}) matrices, \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Z} undergo distinct transformations:

- For **Prior Attention** (using \mathbf{Z}), the \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{V} representations are generated through fully connected layers:

$$\mathbf{Q}_Z = W_Q^Z \mathbf{Z}, \quad \mathbf{K}_Z = W_K^Z \mathbf{Z}, \quad \mathbf{V}_Z = W_V^Z \mathbf{Z} \quad (4)$$

- For **Feature Attention** (using \mathbf{X}), the transformations are applied using a sequence of 1x1 convolutions and 3x3 deconvolutions to produce:

$$\mathbf{Q}_X = W_Q^X * \mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{K}_X = W_K^X * \mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{V}_X = W_V^X * \mathbf{X} \quad (5)$$

where W_Q^Z, W_K^Z, W_V^Z are fully connected layers for \mathbf{Z} , and W_Q^X, W_K^X, W_V^X are the convolutional weights for \mathbf{X} . This ensures compatibility between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Z} for effective interaction in the attention mechanism.

Attention Score Computation: PPDA computes two separate attention scores to capture both prior-guided and self-attention effects:

- **Prior Attention Score:** This score leverages the prior knowledge from \mathbf{Z} , calculated as:

$$A_{\text{prior}} = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}_Z \cdot \mathbf{K}_X^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \quad (6)$$

- **Feature Attention Score:** This score focuses on self-attention within \mathbf{X} , computed as:

$$A_{\text{feature}} = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}_X \cdot \mathbf{K}_Z^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \quad (7)$$

Fusion and Recalculation of Query, Key, and Value:

After calculating the Prior Attention Score A_{prior} and Feature Attention Score A_{feature} , these scores are used to fuse the initial Value representations \mathbf{V}_Z and \mathbf{V}_X . A new set of

Query, Key, and Value matrices for further refinement:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}' &= W_Q' A_{\text{prior}} \mathbf{V}_X \\ \mathbf{K}' &= W_K' A_{\text{prior}} \mathbf{V}_X \\ \mathbf{V}' &= W_V' A_{\text{feature}} \mathbf{V}_Z \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where W_Q', W_K' , and W_V' are learned weights for the recalculated Query, Key, and Value projections.

Transposed Attention Score Computation: The recalculated Query \mathbf{Q}' and Key \mathbf{K}' matrices are then used to compute the Transposed Attention Score $A_{\text{transposed}}$, which further refines the alignment of fused representations. This score is calculated as follows:

$$A_{\text{transposed}} = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}' \cdot \mathbf{K}'^\top}{\sqrt{d_c}} \right) \quad (9)$$

where d_c represents the dimensionality of the channel space. This transposed attention mechanism allows for an additional layer of refinement, enhancing the model’s ability to capture intricate dependencies and spatial coherence in the image representation.

Final Output Projection: The Transposed Attention Score $A_{\text{transposed}}$ is then applied to the recalculated Value \mathbf{V}' to produce the final refined output H_{output} , which incorporates both prior-guided and feature-based attention for improved detail preservation:

$$H_{\text{output}} = A_{\text{transposed}} \cdot \mathbf{V}' \quad (10)$$

To prepare this fused representation for the next stage in the image reconstruction pipeline, H_{output} is passed through a 1x1 convolution layer to project it back to the original spatial dimensions. This ensures compatibility with subsequent processing stages and enhances the model’s ability to mitigate turbulence-induced distortions effectively.

In summary, the PPDA module integrates probabilistic prior information with feature embeddings through a multi-layered attention mechanism, enabling the model to dynamically focus on critical regions and improve the fidelity and structural integrity of the restored image.

3.4. Two-Stage Training Strategy

The Two-Stage Training Strategy is essential for optimizing PPTRN’s performance by sequentially leveraging the latent encoder and diffusion-based prior modeling. This approach allows the model to first establish stable feature representations and then refine them through probabilistic modeling, enhancing its robustness in handling complex atmospheric distortions.

Stage 1: Joint Training of Latent Encoder and Transformer In the first stage, the latent encoder and Transformer are jointly trained on a dataset of clear images. The latent encoder generates a latent vector that captures essential

structural information, forming a foundational representation that guides feature extraction. During this phase, the Transformer learns to process the encoder’s latent vector alongside degraded image features, optimizing feature extraction and cross-attention based on the characteristics of clean images. This joint training enables the model to build a strong initial understanding of image structures and key details.

Stage 2: Prior Modeling with DDPM and Stripformer Fine-Tuning In the second stage, the weights of the latent encoder are frozen to retain the clear image characteristics learned in Stage 1. The Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) is then introduced to model a probabilistic prior over this fixed latent space, producing prior latent vectors that reflect the multi-modal nature of clear images. The DDPM-generated prior guides the Transformer in restoring turbulence-degraded images, helping it to adapt to diverse image attributes and reduce the risk of generating oversmoothed results.

This two-stage strategy balances stability and flexibility, allowing PPTRN to capture stable representations of clear images while benefiting from the diversity introduced by probabilistic modeling. Together, these stages enhance PPTRN’s ability to generate high-fidelity, detail-rich restorations under challenging conditions.

4. Experiments

4.1. Implementation

Traning Details The Probabilistic Prior Turbulence Removal Network (PPTRN) was trained on the Atmospheric Turbulence Distorted Video Sequence Dataset (ATDVSD) [9] using the AdamW optimizer with a learning rate of 1×10^{-4} and a batch size of 16. Training was conducted for 400,000 steps with early stopping based on validation loss to prevent overfitting. A cosine variance schedule was applied to the DDPM component for smooth noise control across diffusion steps. The Transformer architecture in PPTRN, adapted from Restormer, was modified to support the probabilistic prior-driven cross-attention mechanism.

Datasets

- **ATDVSD** [9]: This dataset includes video sequences of scenes distorted by atmospheric turbulence, converted into single-frame images for frame-based restoration. It combines physical (environment-controlled) and algorithmic (computationally simulated) turbulence, offering diverse examples for training.
- **Heat Chamber Dataset** [16]: Collected by introducing heat along the optical path to simulate atmospheric turbulence, this dataset contains realistic distortion patterns similar to outdoor turbulence. While not used in training, it served as an evaluation benchmark to test PPTRN’s generalization to physically simulated turbulence.

Method	Type	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓
TDRN [33]	Phys	32.0029	0.8894	0.1907
MTRNN [20]	Phys	31.7379	0.8947	0.1885
MPRNet [34]	Phys	32.6535	0.9059	0.1819
Uformer [29]	Phys	35.8975	0.9297	0.1512
Restormer [35]	Phys	36.5793	0.9519	<u>0.1319</u>
Stripformer [27]	Phys	36.3699	0.9499	0.1356
TurbNet [16]	Phys	<u>36.5826</u>	<u>0.9563</u>	0.1332
PPTRN	Phys	37.1898	0.9562	0.1261
TDRN [33]	Algo	30.1149	0.9089	0.2023
MTRNN [20]	Algo	28.8190	0.8906	0.1942
MPRNet [34]	Algo	31.6241	0.9099	0.1811
Uformer [29]	Algo	34.7358	0.9384	0.1478
Restormer [35]	Algo	36.4814	<u>0.9531</u>	<u>0.1277</u>
Stripformer [27]	Algo	35.6583	0.9459	0.1348
TurbNet [16]	Algo	<u>36.7162</u>	0.9473	0.1281
PPTRN	Algo	37.2929	0.9535	0.1273

Table 1. Quantitative results on the Atmospheric Turbulence Distorted Video Sequence Dataset. Type column abbreviations: Phys denotes methods tested on physically simulated data, and Algo denotes methods tested on algorithmically simulated data.

Implementation Environment Experiments were conducted on NVIDIA RTX 3090 GPUs using PyTorch (v2.4.1). Training and inference were parallelized with Hugging Face Accelerate [5] to speed up processing. Hyperparameter tuning and evaluations were automated to ensure reproducibility.

4.2. Quantitative Evaluation

To assess the effectiveness of the Probabilistic Prior Turbulence Removal Network (PPTRN) in restoring turbulence-degraded images, we conducted experiments on the Atmospheric Turbulence Distorted Video Sequence Dataset (ATDVSD) [9] and the Heat Chamber Dataset [16]. We evaluated performance using three metrics—PSNR (Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio), SSIM (Structural Similarity Index) [28], and LPIPS (Learned Perceptual Image Patch Similarity) [38]—to measure restoration fidelity, structural consistency, and perceptual quality.

ATDVSD [9] For ATDVSD, we tested PPTRN on both physically simulated and algorithmically simulated turbulence images, as shown in Table 1. These two types of simulations represent different characteristics of atmospheric turbulence, providing a comprehensive test for PPTRN’s adaptability.

As shown in Table 1, PPTRN achieves superior PSNR and SSIM scores across both turbulence types, indicating better fidelity and structural consistency compared to baseline methods. Additionally, PPTRN’s lower LPIPS scores highlight its ability to produce perceptually more realistic

Method	PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow
TDRN [33]	18.4267	0.6424	0.3713
MTRNN [20]	18.3734	0.6379	0.3981
MPRNet [34]	18.6871	0.6519	0.3774
Uformer [29]	19.0327	0.6638	0.3679
Restormer [35]	<u>19.3237</u>	0.6741	<u>0.3531</u>
Stripformer [27]	19.1982	0.6648	0.3628
TurbNet [16]	19.3186	0.6812	0.3533
PPTRN	19.4260	<u>0.6793</u>	0.3440

Table 2. Quantitative results on the Heat Chamber Dataset.

restorations with fewer artifacts.

Heat Chamber Dataset [16] To test the generalization capability of PPTRN, we evaluated it on the Heat Chamber Dataset, which simulates atmospheric turbulence by introducing heat along the optical path. This dataset was not used during training, providing an independent test for model robustness. Table 2 shows that PPTRN outperforms baseline models, achieving higher PSNR and SSIM values and lower LPIPS scores, confirming its adaptability to diverse turbulence conditions.

Table 2 demonstrates PPTRN’s ability to maintain high-quality restoration across varying types of turbulence, affirming its robustness and generalization potential.

4.3. Qualitative Comparisons

To further evaluate PPTRN’s effectiveness, we present qualitative comparisons with baseline models. Fig. 3 shows visual comparisons of image restoration results on a sample image across various models, including MTRNN, MPRNet, Uformer, Stripformer, Restormer, TurbNet, and our proposed PPTRN, along with the reference image. From left to right, the progression highlights PPTRN’s superior ability to restore fine details and maintain structural coherence, closely matching the reference with minimal artifacts. Unlike other methods, PPTRN produces clear, artifact-free images, enhancing perceptual fidelity even in regions with significant turbulence-induced distortions.

Fig. 4 provides error maps for different models, comparing each model’s restoration to the reference image. Lower error intensities in these maps represent better restoration quality. PPTRN achieves the lowest error levels, demonstrating its effectiveness in reducing distortion and accurately reconstructing image details. This highlights PPTRN’s robustness in preserving both local details and global structure, reducing artifacts more effectively than competing methods.

Together, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 underscore PPTRN’s advantage in qualitative performance, showing its ability to generate high-quality restorations that align closely with the reference both visually and structurally.




Figure 3. Visual comparison of image restoration results on a sample image with various models. From left to right: Input (degraded image), results from MTRNN, MPRNet, Uformer, Stripformer, Restormer, TurbNet, our proposed PPTRN, and the Reference image. PPTRN (Ours) shows superior restoration quality, closely matching the reference with minimal artifacts.




Figure 4. Error maps of different models compared to the reference image. Lower error intensities indicate better restoration quality. PPTRN (Ours) demonstrates the lowest error, highlighting its effectiveness in reducing distortion and achieving accurate image reconstruction.

4.4. Ablation Studies

To further analyze the contribution of each component in PPTRN, we conducted a series of ablation studies. These

Model	PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow
Without Cross Attention	36.4814	0.9531	0.1277
PPTRN (ours)	37.2929	0.9535	0.1273

Table 3. Ablation study results showing the impact of the Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross Attention on model performance. The inclusion of cross attention improves PSNR and SSIM, with a slight decrease in LPIPS, highlighting its effectiveness in enhancing image quality and structural consistency.

Model	PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow
PPTRN w/o joint	36.3712	0.9417	0.1433
PPTRN	37.2929	0.9535	0.1273

Table 4. Ablation study results on the influence of the Two-Stage Training Strategy. Joint training in the two-stage approach significantly improves PSNR and SSIM while reducing LPIPS, demonstrating its effectiveness in enhancing image quality and structural fidelity.

experiments evaluate the impact of the probabilistic prior-driven cross-attention mechanism and the two-stage training strategy on model performance.

Effect of Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross Attention In this experiment, we removed the probabilistic prior-driven cross-attention mechanism from PPTRN, using only the baseline Transformer architecture, comparable to Restormer. As shown in Table 3, removing the cross-attention module led to a drop in PSNR and SSIM, along with an increase in LPIPS. This result highlights the importance of integrating the DDPM-generated prior with Transformer features, as it significantly enhances detail preservation and spatial coherence in turbulence-distorted images.

Effect of Joint Module Training To assess the impact of joint module training within the two-stage training strategy, we conducted an experiment in which, during the second stage, only the DDPM was trained to model the prior information encoded by the latent encoder, without jointly training the Transformer. As seen in Table 4, the full two-stage strategy, where both the DDPM and Transformer are jointly optimized, results in superior performance. This demonstrates that the simultaneous training of both components allows the model to more effectively utilize prior information, leading to improved restoration quality.

These ablation studies confirm the critical role of each component in PPTRN. The probabilistic prior-driven cross-attention mechanism (Table 3) and the joint training strategy in the two-stage process (Table 4) collectively enhance PPTRN’s capability to restore high-quality images from turbulence-distorted inputs, validating the effectiveness of our architectural and training design choices.

5. Conclusion

We presented the Probabilistic Prior Turbulence Removal Network (PPTRN), a novel model that integrates diffusion-based prior modeling with a Transformer framework to address the multi-modal and complex distortions caused by atmospheric turbulence. By leveraging a two-stage training strategy and a Probabilistic Prior Driven Cross Attention mechanism, PPTRN effectively combines probabilistic prior information with feature embeddings, allowing for the restoration of fine details while preserving spatial coherence. Experimental results on the Atmospheric Turbulence Distorted Video Sequence Dataset and Heat Chamber Dataset demonstrate PPTRN’s superiority in image clarity and structural fidelity, setting a new benchmark in turbulence-degraded image restoration. Ablation studies further validate the contributions of each component, highlighting the effectiveness of integrating DDPM-based priors with a Transformer architecture. This work underscores the potential of probabilistic modeling in enhancing restoration performance in challenging atmospheric conditions and opens up new possibilities for applying such approaches to other complex image restoration tasks where uncertainty and structural integrity are critical.

References

- [1] Nantheera Anantrasirichai. Atmospheric turbulence removal with complex-valued convolutional neural network. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 171:69–75, 2023. 2
- [2] Nantheera Anantrasirichai, Alin Achim, Nick G Kingsbury, and David R Bull. Atmospheric turbulence mitigation using complex wavelet-based fusion. *IEEE TIP*, 22(6):2398–2408, 2013. 1
- [3] Tufan Caliskan and Nafiz Arica. Atmospheric turbulence mitigation using optical flow. In *ICPR*, pages 883–888, 2014. 2
- [4] Chao Dong, Chen Change Loy, Kaiming He, and Xiaoou Tang. Image super-resolution using deep convolutional networks. *IEEE TPAMI*, 38(2):295–307, 2015. 1
- [5] Sylvain Gugger, Lysandre Debut, Thomas Wolf, Philipp Schmid, Zachary Mueller, Sourab Mangrulkar, Marc Sun, and Benjamin Bossan. Accelerate: Training and inference at scale made simple, efficient and adaptable. <https://github.com/huggingface/accelerate>, 2022. 5
- [6] Michael Hirsch, Suvrit Sra, Bernhard Schölkopf, and Stefan Harmeling. Efficient filter flow for space-variant multiframe blind deconvolution. In *CVPR*, pages 607–614, 2010. 1
- [7] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *NeurIPS*, pages 6840–6851, 2020. 2
- [8] Ajay Jaiswal, Xingguang Zhang, Stanley H Chan, and Zhangyang Wang. Physics-driven turbulence image restoration with stochastic refinement. In *CVPR*, pages 12170–12181, 2023. 1
- [9] Darui Jin, Ying Chen, Yi Lu, Junzhang Chen, Peng Wang, Zichao Liu, Sheng Guo, and Xiangzhi Bai. Neutralizing the

- impact of atmospheric turbulence on complex scene imaging via deep learning. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 3(10):876–884, 2021. 5
- [10] Bahjat Kawar, Michael Elad, Stefano Ermon, and Jiaming Song. Denoising diffusion restoration models. In *NeurIPS*, pages 23593–23606, 2022. 2
- [11] Chun Pong Lau, Carlos D Castillo, and Rama Chellappa. At-facegan: Single face semantic aware image restoration and recognition from atmospheric turbulence. *IEEE TBIOM*, 3(2):240–251, 2021. 1
- [12] Christian Ledig, Lucas Theis, Ferenc Huszár, Jose Caballero, Andrew Cunningham, Alejandro Acosta, Andrew Aitken, Alykhan Tejani, Johannes Totz, Zehan Wang, et al. Photo-realistic single image super-resolution using a generative adversarial network. In *CVPR*, pages 4681–4690, 2017. 1
- [13] Nianyi Li, Simron Thapa, Cameron Whyte, Albert W Reed, Suren Jayasuriya, and Jinwei Ye. Unsupervised non-rigid image distortion removal via grid deformation. In *ICCV*, pages 2522–2532, 2021. 1
- [14] Zhiyuan Mao, Nicholas Chimitt, and Stanley H Chan. Image reconstruction of static and dynamic scenes through anisoplanatic turbulence. *IEEE TCI*, 6:1415–1428, 2020. 1
- [15] Zhiyuan Mao, Nicholas Chimitt, and Stanley H Chan. Accelerating atmospheric turbulence simulation via learned phase-to-space transform. In *ICCV*, pages 14759–14768, 2021. 2
- [16] Zhiyuan Mao, Ajay Jaiswal, Zhangyang Wang, and Stanley H Chan. Single frame atmospheric turbulence mitigation: A benchmark study and a new physics-inspired transformer model. In *ECCV*, pages 430–446, 2022. 1, 2, 5, 6
- [17] Peyman Milanfar. A tour of modern image filtering: New insights and methods, both practical and theoretical. *IEEE SPM*, 30(1):106–128, 2012. 2
- [18] Nithin Gopalakrishnan Nair and Vishal M Patel. Confidence guided network for atmospheric turbulence mitigation. In *ICIP*, pages 1359–1363, 2021. 1
- [19] Robert Nieuwenhuizen, Judith Dijk, and Klammer Schutte. Dynamic turbulence mitigation for long-range imaging in the presence of large moving objects. *EURASIP journal on image and video processing*, 2019(1):2, 2019. 2
- [20] Dongwon Park, Dong Un Kang, Jisoo Kim, and Se Young Chun. Multi-temporal recurrent neural networks for progressive non-uniform single image deblurring with incremental temporal training. In *ECCV*, pages 327–343, 2020. 1, 5, 6
- [21] Jianxiao Qiu, Runbo Jiang, Wenwen Meng, Dongfeng Shi, Bingzhang Hu, and Yingjian Wang. Dual-domain cooperative recovery of atmospheric turbulence degradation images. *Remote Sensing*, 16(16), 2024. 1
- [22] Arturo Rodriguez, VS Rao Gudimetla, Richard Adansi, Jose Terrazas, Vicente Corral, Christopher Harris, Vinod Kumar, Rafael Baez, and Brandon Paez. Atmospheric turbulence intermittency effects on remote sensing laser propagation. In *Fluids Engineering Division Summer Meeting*, page V001T03A008, 2022. 2
- [23] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Huiwen Chang, Chris Lee, Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, David Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. Palette: Image-to-image diffusion models. In *ACM SIGGRAPH*, pages 1–10, 2022. 2
- [24] Chitwan Saharia, Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Tim Salimans, David J Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. Image super-resolution via iterative refinement. *IEEE TPAMI*, 45(4): 4713–4726, 2022. 2
- [25] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *ICML*, pages 2256–2265, 2015. 2
- [26] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. In *NeurIPS*, 2019. 2
- [27] Fu-Jen Tsai, Yan-Tsung Peng, Yen-Yu Lin, Chung-Chi Tsai, and Chia-Wen Lin. Stripformer: Strip transformer for fast image deblurring. In *ECCV*, pages 146–162, 2022. 5, 6
- [28] Zhou Wang, Alan C Bovik, Hamid R Sheikh, and Eero P Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. *IEEE TIP*, 13(4):600–612, 2004. 5
- [29] Zhendong Wang, Xiaodong Cun, Jianmin Bao, Wengang Zhou, Jianzhuang Liu, and Houqiang Li. Uformer: A general u-shaped transformer for image restoration. In *CVPR*, pages 17683–17693, 2022. 5, 6
- [30] Jay Whang, Mauricio Delbracio, Hossein Talebi, Chitwan Saharia, Alexandros G Dimakis, and Peyman Milanfar. Deblurring via stochastic refinement. In *CVPR*, pages 16293–16303, 2022. 2
- [31] Bin Xia, Yulun Zhang, Shiyin Wang, Yitong Wang, Xinglong Wu, Yapeng Tian, Wenming Yang, and Luc Van Gool. Diffir: Efficient diffusion model for image restoration. In *ICCV*, pages 13095–13105, 2023. 2
- [32] Rajeep Yasarla and Vishal M Patel. Learning to restore images degraded by atmospheric turbulence using uncertainty. In *ICIP*, pages 1694–1698, 2021. 1
- [33] Rajeep Yasarla and Vishal M. Patel. Cnn-based restoration of a single face image degraded by atmospheric turbulence. *IEEE TBIOM*, 4(2):222–233, 2022. 2, 5, 6
- [34] Syed Waqas Zamir, Aditya Arora, Salman Khan, Munawar Hayat, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Ling Shao. Multi-stage progressive image restoration. In *CVPR*, pages 14821–14831, 2021. 1, 5, 6
- [35] Syed Waqas Zamir, Aditya Arora, Salman Khan, Munawar Hayat, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Restormer: Efficient transformer for high-resolution image restoration. In *CVPR*, pages 5728–5739, 2022. 5, 6
- [36] Chao Zhang, Bindang Xue, Fugen Zhou, and Wei Xiong. Removing atmospheric turbulence effects in unified complex steerable pyramid framework. *IEEE Access*, 6:75855–75867, 2018. 2
- [37] Kai Zhang, Wangmeng Zuo, Yunjin Chen, Deyu Meng, and Lei Zhang. Beyond a gaussian denoiser: Residual learning of deep cnn for image denoising. *IEEE TIP*, 26(7):3142–3155, 2017. 2
- [38] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *CVPR*, pages 586–595, 2018. 5
- [39] Xiang Zhu and Peyman Milanfar. Removing atmospheric turbulence via space-invariant deconvolution. *IEEE TPAMI*, 35(1):157–170, 2012. 1