

Natural resources balance sheets accounting: theoretical framework and practice in the Shaanxi province of China *

Wentao Wang^{†1,2}, Guoping Li², Andreas Kontoleon³, Yiming Ma², and Weishan Guo²

¹School of Equipment Management and Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Engineering, Air Force Engineering University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

²School of Economics and Finance, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

³Department of Land Economy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 9EP, United Kingdom

Abstract

To achieve sustainable development, there is widespread of the need to protect natural resource and improve government oversight in achieving China's economic security and ecological civilization. Compilation of natural resources balance sheet (NRBS) and enhancement of resources management are becoming an important topic in China. How to compile NRBS to affix the responsibility for government and officials for inadequate supervision is still not resolved satisfactorily. This paper proposes the NRBS to enable governments to identify the importance of natural resource restoration and to hold leading cadres accountable for a lack of adequate supervision. The NRBS consist of three accounts: natural resource assets, natural resource liabilities, and net worth. Important components of the NRBS for the liabilities account with a property rights regime are developed to measure and assign responsibility. The compilation of an NRBS is applied to the Chinese province of Shaanxi as an illustration to demonstrate that the accounting framework and the compilation steps are tractable using financial methods and available data. The accounting results of natural resource assets and liabilities unveil the threat to resource management and the policy implications to government and officials. Finally, the advantages and limitations of NRBS are discussed.

Keywords: Natural resources balance sheets, natural resource liability, property rights regimes, measurement and assignment of responsibility, government supervision

*This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 72203166), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Nos. 2019M663756), and the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities (Nos. 2020XJS23).

[†]Corresponding author:wtwang_cn@163.com

1 Introduction

Over the past quarter century, the global economy as measured by gross domestic product has approximately doubled in constant dollar terms. At the same time, this rapid economic growth has been accompanied by the massive consumption of natural resources (Wang and Gao, 2020). Environmental destruction (e.g., cities besieged by waste, the decline in the water table, and suspended-particle emissions) has raised widespread concern about human health and is a major contributor to premature mortality (Srinivasan et al., 2008; Tschofen et al., 2019). The loss and degradation of ecosystem assets and services has raised serious concern about the resilience and sustainability of ecosystems (Ouyang et al., 2020). Moreover, the international community has tried and failed to find a path to slow the worldwide decline in biodiversity (Rounsevell et al., 2020). However, all of the above anthropogenic damage to nature has not been fully captured or adequately quantified in economic systems, resulting in such issues often being given too little weight in decision making.

Recognizing that economic security and sustainable development are seriously threatened by the destruction of the natural environment, the Chinese government has abandoned its blind pursuit of GDP growth and realized the importance of natural resource restoration and management. Since the 18th National Congress of Communist Party of China, the national government proposed establishing a natural resource balance sheets (NRBS) and designing a pilot scheme in selected regions (Feng et al., 2014). As rightly pointed out by Zhu et al. (2021), how to investigate the principles and compilation of NRBS is essential for securing natural resource and constructing ecological civilization. Moreover, the compilation of NRBS has becoming an important tool to enhance the efficiency of government's oversight and resource management Song et al. (2019). Recently, the Natural Resources Balance Sheet Preparation System is promulgated by the National Bureau of Statistics in October 2020. This policy provides an vital support and evidence for the management of nature resources (Chen et al., 2022; Pan et al., 2022). The primary purpose of NRBS accounting is to enable the government and officials to identify the costs incurred by the entities responsible for preventing the degradation of nature and to hold leading cadres accountable for a lack of adequate supervision. The NRBSs are also used to assess the performance of the local governments in efficiency utilization of resources (Song et al., 2020). However, it is difficult to construct the key definitions and relationships between natural resources assets and liabilities in compiling a regional NRBS (Opaluch, 2020; Shi and Wang, 2020). Moreover, how to measure and assign responsibility of laking of adequate supervision by the government and officials is still not resolved satisfactorily (Song et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020). Therefore, this paper proposes an accounting framework, including key accounting concepts and steps, for the compilation of a regional NRBS and a case study. This framework is used to identify the latent risk factors in natural resource liabilities and to ensure security in resource utilization.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the recent developments in the System of National Accounts (SNA), natural resource accounting (NRA), the System of Environmental

Economic Accounting (SEEA), natural resource liabilities, and the NRBS. Section 3 describes the accounting framework, key accounting concepts and compilation steps for our approach. Section 4 presents a case study of Shaanxi Province, with detailed valuation methods and data sources for each item on the NRBS reported in the Supplemental Materials. Finally, Section 5 provides the policy implications for resource management.

2 Literature Review

2.1 SNA, NRA, and SEEA

As an international statistical standard, the SNA is used worldwide as a tool for assessing economic performance and measuring wellbeing and the progress made by economic units in formulating policy (Allin and Hand, 2017). Since its inception, the lack of a production boundary has been a limitation in its ability to describe the linkages between economic and environmental systems (Eigenraam and Obst, 2018). As pointed out by La Notte and Rhodesb (2020), the overexploitation of natural resources and emissions of pollutants are typically included within the family of negative ‘externalities’, which do not have a place within the SNA. Another problem with the concentration of analysis on accounting concepts is the lack of consideration given to ecological assets and services (La Notte and Rhodesb, 2020). Among the accounting indicators in the SNA, one of the most widely accepted measures of economic progress is GDP, which provides a snapshot of the GDP of a country. As pointed out by Hartwick (1990), however, the method by which GDP is calculated may neglect the depletion of natural resource stocks, which can be represented by easy-to-interpret economic depreciation measures. Moreover, Costanza et al. (2009) argued that the simplicity of GDP makes it prone to misuse in measuring welfare, and it is therefore necessary to go ‘beyond GDP’ and identify ‘what matters’ to humans. Furthermore, GDP fails to fully capture the contribution of nature to economic activity and human well-being (Ouyang et al., 2020).

In the pioneering work of Costanza et al. (1997), the global value contributed by ecosystem services to human welfare was explored by using both market and nonmarket valuation methods. Shortly thereafter, many studies established various natural resources accounting (NRA) frameworks, such as natural capital (MacDonald et al. (1999)), ecosystem services (Kosoy and Corbera (2010); Paulin et al. (2019); Polasky et al. (2011)), gross ecosystem product (Ouyang et al. (2020, 2013)), ecological assets (Obst et al. (2016); Vačkářa and Grammatikopoulou (2019)), environmental assets (Bartelmus (2014)) and services (Liu and Kontoleon (2018); Muradian et al. (2010)). In addition, Hambira (2007) developed an NRA framework to integrate water resource management in Botswana into measures of economic well-being. Gundimeda et al. (2007) established an NRA framework to reflect the value of forest resources in India. There have also been substantial efforts by the United Nations, such as the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (United Nations, 2005) and The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (United Nations, 2007). For recent survey on the development of natural resources accounting, see Zhong et al. (2016).




Figure 1: The relationship between SNA and SEEA.

As a complement to the SNA, the System of Environmental Economic Accounting (SEEA), released by the UN Statistics Division in 1993 (UN, 1993), is perhaps one of the most widely used economic accounting frameworks for systematically evaluating environmental resource stocks and flows (Holub et al., 1999). To elaborate on its conception and definition, the UN modified the physical and monetary natural resource accounts and the evaluation methods in the SEEA-1993 in 2003. As Figure 1 shows, the SEEA explicitly refers to the natural inputs (minerals, energy, water, forests, etc.) and residuals (air pollutant emissions, return flow of water, etc.) overlooked within the SNA, while the SNA refers only to products (goods, services, etc.). In 2012, the SEEA Central Framework and SEEA Experimental Ecosystem Accounting (SEEA EEA) were developed by the UN, UC and others to develop stock and flow accounts that incorporate natural resources into national accounts in a manner that complies with the SNA (UN et al. (2014)). The SEEA Central Framework includes physical flows of materials and energy, stocks of environmental assets, and environment-related transactions (La Notte and Rhodesb (2020)). Owing to the lack of a requirement that environmental assets provide economic benefits to an economic owner, the scope of environmental assets measured in the SEEA Central Framework is greater than that obtained by following the SNA definition of economic assets (UN et al. (2014), Paragraph 5.39). The SEEA Central Framework includes resource overexploitation, pollutant emissions, and ecological degradation; however, there is still no consensus on the accounting scope and methods (Ouyang and Jin, 2018). Even the SEEA Central Framework does not mention the concept of environmental liability (UN et al. (2014)). This lack of agreement has limited the potential to assign responsibility for environmental pollution and ecological destruction and, most importantly, has hindered the wider acceptance of liability thinking in reversing natural deterioration.

2.2 Ecological liability, environmental liability and natural resource liability

As Polasky et al. (2015) rightly pointed out, liability rules are a potentially powerful tool for providing incentives to protect the vital natural capital assets that are essential for maintaining the flow of valuable ecosystem services. The concept of ecological liability (or debt), developed by Western countries in the early 1990s, was introduced to increase awareness of the environmental responsibility embedded in the responsibility for past colonial subjugation. In 1992, Jernelöv calculated Sweden's liability to future generations and defined ecological liability as "the restoration costs for tech-economic environmental harms and the capital required to pay for recurring repair efforts" (Warlenius et al. (2015)). Another approach to ecological liability was developed by Wang and Zhang (2008) to measure the loss of biodiversity in populations of vertebrate species and the emissions of greenhouse gases and pollutants. Srinivasan et al. (2008) incorporated the concept of ecological debt and estimated the environmental costs of human activities; the estimations showed dramatic losses and degradation of ecosystem assets and flows. Xie et al. (2010) defined ecological liability as the disparity in the distribution of ecological service consumption between the ecological footprint and biocapacity. Recently, Ogilvy (2015) proposed an ecological balance sheet that provides a more complete representation of an agricultural entity's total equity and that records where changes to ecological asset accounts cause flows of expenditure. Afterwards, Ogilvy et al. (2018) introduced an integrated accounting method to calculate the liabilities due to ecosystem degradation and conducted a scenario analysis to model a pastoral livestock operation in leased rangelands in Australia. Currently, Ouyang and Jin (2018) have proposed a conceptual accounting framework to compile an ecological asset balance sheet for regional ecosystem assessment. A brief historical overview of ecological liability can be found in Warlenius et al. (2015).

Measuring liability for environmental harm plays important roles in both deterrence and corrective justice (Phelps et al., 2015). It increases the financial and nonfinancial burdens of rule-breaking in ways that can inhibit future environmental harm while also compensating victims and securing resources for environmental restoration. In 1998, the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (Adams et al. (1998)) defined environmental liability as an obligation relating to the environmental costs that are incurred by an enterprise and that meet the criteria for recognition as a liability. The guidelines for recognition, measurement, and disclosure of environmental liability provide a basis for the development of more robust monetary accounting. To account for environmental liability, Cardoso (2015) aimed to identify and assign values to the environmental liabilities due to coal mining at different stages of the coal life cycle in Cesar. Regarding the impact of disclosures, Chen et al. (2014) found that the overall level of environmental liabilities consistently decreased over the time frame examined, suggesting that earlier adoption would have made more sense. Friehe and Langlais (2017) explored incentives for accident prevention and cleanup when firms are subject to environmental liability reporting. Recently, Negash and Lemma (2020) investigated the role of institutional forces in shaping corporate accounting and reporting practices for environmental liabilities

within the context of South Africa. To a certain extent, the existing research has predominantly focused on the antecedents and economic consequences of corporate environmental performance and related disclosures (Schneider et al. (2017)).

To the best of our knowledge, the concept of natural resource liability, developed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the United States in 1996, was incorporated into the Oil Pollution Act to implement natural resource liability provisions. Jones and Pease (1997) investigated a conceptual framework to measure the damage to natural resources and to determine compensation for protecting natural resources from injury and depletion and illustrated the concept of natural resource liability ¹. Shortly afterwards, Jones (2000) advised resource managers to use a variety of economic methods to assess the trade-offs between gains from proposed actions and interim losses from injuries. Empirical evidence has indicated that natural resource liability actions represent a potent tool for federal and state resource managers to address injuries to public resources. To overcome the controversy surrounding valuation methods in regulations, Jones and DiPinto (2018) proposed a service-to-service approach called habitat equivalency analysis (HEA) to assess the injuries to ecological services and adapted its use to applications in which restoration projects make resource and ecosystem service substitutions. These studies have provided a powerful tool for policymakers and managers to protect public natural resources.

In 2013, the concept of a natural resource liability appeared in China and was accompanied by the creation of an NRBS. One of the most critical components in the conceptual framework of the NRBS is natural resource liability accounting. As defined by Xiang and Zheng (2016), natural resource liability is the current responsibility that economic entities have for the excessive consumption of public resources, which hinders production conditions and economic growth. Ouyang and Jin (2018) defined natural resource liability as the relevant economic value needed to compensate for the ecological value of natural resources. Moreover, most researchers have agreed with the definition of natural resource liability as the previous business activities, accidents, or anticipated events of the natural resource accounting entity that have resulted in the net loss of natural resources and a negative impact on the environment and ecology (Shi and Wang, 2020). The natural resource liability account consists of three components: the overexploitation of natural resources, environmental pollution and ecological degradation.

Therefore, the definition of natural resource liability used by the United States is totally different from that used by China. The former emphasizes the use of legal liability to enable trustees to recover damages for injuries to public resources. The latter attaches importance to the establishment of natural resources liability accounts, which can help halt and reverse anthropogenic damage to nature. These liability accounts enable the government to identify the cost of environmental pollution, ecological degradation and resource exhaustion, assign responsibility for the cost to the entities responsible, and ensure that the entities shift

¹Natural resource liability statutes embody a clear preference for trustees to ensure the restoration of injured resources to their baseline levels and for recoveries of interim losses incurred from the time of the incident until resource recovery to be spent on restoring, rehabilitating, replacing, or acquiring the equivalent of the injured natural resources.

to a growth pattern that enables coexistence with nature. To date, the incorporation of the value of nature resources into liability rules has resulted in a growing recognition of the need to resolve the challenge of the resilience and sustainability of nature.

2.3 Natural resources balance sheets

As mentioned above, the compilation of NRBS is still exploratory in China, though this fact is less known to international researchers (Song et al. (2019)). Existing literature on NRBSs has mostly focused on specific types of natural resources, including water resource balance sheets (Jia et al. (2017); Song et al. (2018); Tang et al. (2020); Tian et al. (2018)), forest resource balance sheets (Lin et al. (2021); Zhang and Li (2019)), mineral resource balance sheets (Geng et al. (2019); Ji and Liu (2016); Li and Zhang (2015)), and marine resource balance sheets (He et al. (2017); Li et al. (2018)). Recently, researchers have attempted to conduct a subnational NRBS pilot for regional resource restoration, such as that in Huzhou city (Yan et al., 2017) and Chengde city (Yang et al., 2017). The pilot programs have shown that the NRBS is comprehensive, including 72 tables in total. Therefore, the use of the NRBS is difficult and inconvenient for provincial-level natural resource management. Another practical difficulty is estimating the price of natural resources. Moreover, justifications for the existence of natural resource liability and for the related accounting methods are driving innovative work to generate liability information and assign responsibility to decision makers. As pointed out by Adams (2017), overall responsibility lies with national governments, and sustainable development goals cannot be achieved without a concerted effort from businesses and other organizations through properly integrated reporting models. Song et al. (2019) pointed out that the current research on environmental accounting mainly emphasizes micro-level enterprises, while the NRBS focuses on the macro-level government. A lack of adequate supervision of the government and officials tends to entrench authoritarian regimes, to increase certain types of corruption and to trigger violent conflict in low- and middle-income countries (Ross, 2015). However, existing studies on the NRBS have ignored the liabilities in government supervision, which are associated with property rights regimes for natural resources.

Our goal is to resolve the above challenges related to (i) estimating the physical quantity and monetary value of natural resource assets; (ii) investigating whether natural resource liability should be recognized; (iii) estimating the physical quantity and monetary value of natural resource liabilities; (iv) compiling a coherent and compliant balance sheet for natural resources; and (v) presenting a theoretical list of responsibility for liabilities based on natural resource property rights regimes. Finally, the measurement and division of natural resources liabilities are employed to assign responsibility to the government and officials.




Figure 2: Mind map of NRBS compilation.

3 Methodology

3.1 Accounting framework

The NRBS accounting framework and its main components are illustrated in Figure 2. Here, we focus on the elements of the NRBS, a measure that translates natural resources assets held by humans and the degradation of nature into monetary terms. Much of the strength of the balance sheet comes from its intuitive illustration of the financial situation, which can be readily understood by the decision maker. Analogous to standard balance sheets, NRBS use monetary methods and surrogates for monetary methods to calculate natural resource assets and natural resource liabilities and aggregate them into a balance sheet that indicates the relationship between nature and humans. The NRBS are strengthened by the implementation of the Departure Audit System for Leading Cadres (DASLC) and its administrative means for restoring natural conditions. The measurement and assignment of responsibility included in the DASLC enables the government to assign responsibility for liabilities, which indicates that activities to prevent natural resource liabilities can be enforced by public supervision.

3.2 Key accounting concepts

Natural resource assets are the main contributions of nature, such as land, energy, minerals, water and forests. Natural resource liabilities include resource overexploitation, environmental pollution and ecosystem

degradation. Table 1 presents a complete list of natural resource assets and liabilities.

Table 1: NRBS system

Account	Items	Contents
Asset		
	Land	Cultivated land, gardens, woodland, grassland, built-up and related areas (construction), traffic land, maintenance and restoration of environmental functions (maintenance) and others
	Energy	Oil, Natural gas, Coal
	Minerals	Antimony, gold, mercury, lead, molybdenum, zinc, iron, sodium salt (NaCl), cement limestone, glass quartzite
	Water	Surface water, groundwater, soil water
	Forests	Cultivated timber, natural timber
Liability		
	Resource overexploitation	Depletion of nonrenewable resources, biodiversity loss
	Environmental pollution	Air pollution, water pollution, solid waste pollution
	Ecosystem degradation	Climate change, stratospheric ozone-layer depletion, agricultural intensification and expansion, overfishing, flood prevention and soil erosion

Natural resource asset accounting: To become a credible index for decision making, the NRBS should preferably be readily calculable from available data. Many types of natural resource data exist from which to develop natural resource asset accounting. In the pioneering work of [UN et al. \(2014\)](#), resources such as land, energy, minerals, water and forests were considered in the SEEA Environmental Asset Accounts based on a variety of physical measures and monetary methods. However, the issue with using only physical measures is that multiple noncommensurate metrics that lack sufficient credibility for incorporation within resource restoration and management are involved. Focusing on the above valuation methods for natural resources, in this paper, the income capitalization approach is used for different kinds of land, and a credible price is obtained for a variety of energy sources and minerals, which have drastically changing market prices. Data on market prices for water and timber are used, as they are available and accessible. Last, we combine the values for the various natural resources into NRBS asset account and present in [Supplementary Materials](#).

Establishment of the existence of natural resource liability: There have been concerns and debates about the existence of and justification for natural resource liabilities. According to the stipulations of the SNA 2008 and the SEEA Central Framework, it is inappropriate to confirm the liability status of natural resources with unclear ownership and undefined debtor–creditor relationships. The SEEA Central Framework has established an equilibrium relation in which ‘the source of the asset=possession of the asset’ and has taken no position on the potential to account for nature resource liabilities ([Song et al., 2019](#)). Moreover, the SEEA Experimental Ecosystem Accounting has taken a relatively negative position on the potential to account for liabilities related to the degradation of ecosystems ([Ogilvy et al., 2018](#)). Therefore, the functional account setting advocated by the SEEA Central Framework is superior to the liability account included in the NRBS.

However, given the standard macroeconomic balance sheet described in the SNA, the accounting equation $\text{Asset} = \text{Liability} + \text{Owner equity}$ implies the potential existence of natural resource liabilities. Many researchers have argued that assets and liabilities are a pair of corresponding concepts, which is usually true and is apparent in the SNA (Collis et al., 2017). As mentioned in Section 2.2, natural resource liabilities in the NRBS have prominent Chinese characteristics, as the Chinese government aims to assess the losses from and liability for the exploitation of natural resources and the resultant environmental pollution and ecological destruction (Feng et al., 2014). Furthermore, officials are responsible for maintaining natural conditions, which indicates that the leading cadres in the government should take action to reverse the deterioration of nature, which is enforced by the rules of the DASLC. Therefore, reporting on only the supply and consumption of natural resources cannot indicate whether the use and consumption of natural resources are at reasonable levels (Song et al., 2019). Moreover, the environmental and ecological impact of the overexploitation of natural resources has also raised concern about the consequent threat to human well-being (Cardoso, 2015). Most importantly, because the destruction of nature by humans is not fully captured in commercial markets or adequately quantified in economic systems, it is often given too little weight in policy decision making. This neglect may ultimately compromise the sustainability of human civilizations on Earth. This reality has triggered a shift in our attention from moral and emotional obligations to active and effective actions. The existence of natural resource liabilities reveals the attitude of those who are liability towards the protection of nature and provides reliable and useful information for appraising a liable entity's economic development patterns and awareness of environmental and ecological restoration (Song et al., 2019). Hence, we completely agree that natural resource liability is not a perfect indicator for measuring the anthropogenic destruction of nature, but it is a good start for mimicking or approximating that liability.

Natural resource liability accounting: To become as credible as natural resource assets accounting, natural resource liability accounting must be easily calculable from accessible data and proper monetary methods. Du et al. (2018) decomposed natural resource liability accounting into three components: the overexploitation of natural resources, environmental pollution, and ecological degradation. There are some controversial methodological assumptions related to the assessment of overexploitation. Although the concept of natural resource overexploitation was proposed in (Yan et al., 2017), account items and related monetary methods for calculating this type of liability item are still lacking. As mentioned in Wang and Gao (2020), China's consumption of energy and of important mineral resources over the past 20 years has been 2-8 times that of the total over the 50 years from 1949 to 1999. Moreover, as pointed out in Lu et al. (2020), there is large spatial variation in the distribution of threatened species across China's provinces, with the biodiversity in several provinces being severely reduced. Therefore, the depletion of nonrenewable resources and biodiversity loss is used as the index to measure natural resource overexploitation. Following Long and Ji (2019), we use the replacement cost method to estimate the surrogate price of nonrenewable resources. The physical and monetary method of natural resources liabilities are provided in [Supplementary Materials](#).

As [Yang et al. \(2017\)](#) summarized, environmental pollution includes three types of pollution: air, water, and solid waste. Air pollution includes SO₂, NO_x and suspended particles. Water pollution is measured by the emission of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and ammonia nitrogen. Solid waste includes household and industrial waste. Following [Shen et al. \(2017\)](#), we use the imputed abatement cost method to estimate the price and cost of pollution. Regarding ecological degradation, there is now an important recognition of the need to restore ecosystems by addressing issues such as greenhouse gas emissions, stratospheric ozone depletion, agricultural intensification and expansion, deforestation, overfishing, and mangrove conversion ([Srinivasan et al., 2008](#)). Our work to develop an ecological degradation account builds on this prior work. In light of the severe problems with flooding and soil erosion in China ([Liu et al., 2020](#)), we further incorporate government expenditures on flooding and soil erosion prevention into ecological liability. Given the government expenditures, the replacement cost method is used to evaluate the potential liability of ecosystem devastation.

The principle behind NRBS compilation: As noted above, the relationship between assets and liabilities is given by the equation ‘Assets = Liabilities + Owner equity’. Nevertheless, replacing the concept of owner equity with net worth in the NRBS is reasonable. There are several explanations for this change. First, in China, public ownership dominates, which suggests that natural resources are owned by the state (i.e., owned collectively by all individuals). Second, as [Song et al. \(2019\)](#) pointed out, the amount of capital invested by the subject entity and the residual earnings cannot be directly calculated so that net worth can be measured only by the balance between natural resource assets and liabilities. Third, natural resources belong to the authorities and are managed by the Chinese Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) established in 2018. Because of the above concerns, the definition of ‘an owner’ is somewhat unclear and differs with different circumstances. Given the similarity of this situation to that in ([Ogilvy et al., 2018](#)), we compile the NRBS following the principle that ‘Net worth = Natural resources assets – Natural resources liabilities’.

Assign responsibility for the liability: The premise underlying the assignment of responsibility for each liability lies with the property rights regimes, as a liability cannot be confirmed without a basis in the laws and regulations issued by National People’s Congress of China. The Constitution stipulates that China’s natural resources are publicly and collectively owned. [Sikor et al. \(2017\)](#) responded to changes in natural resource governance, stating that modifications to three specific rights—use rights (use of direct benefits, use of indirect benefits), control rights (management, exclusion, transaction, monitoring) and authoritative rights (definition, allocation)—are needed to develop a framework that distinguishes among eight types of property rights. Before 2018, the difference between control rights and authoritative rights was ambiguous. The governments at all levels serve as acting managers and supervisors ([Song et al., 2019](#)). The collective ownership of natural resources has led to the phenomenon of absentee owners. The state is the virtual subject of all rights. Therefore, the main problems within the natural resources property rights system include unclear property ownership, a severe loss of property rights, deviations from market rule in the exchange

of assets and imperfect management systems (Ma and Liu, 2015). These issues hinder the efficiency of utilization in resource management due to a lack of motivation and of supervision. In 2018, the National People's Congress designated the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) as trustees of the resources on behalf of the public, which clarifies the ownership of the natural resources and allows the trustees to protect those resources and repair the damages to them. Meanwhile, the National People's Congress has appointed the Ministry of Ecology and Environment (MEE) to restore ecosystems and supervise pollutant emissions. The establishment of two management agencies enables us to assign legal responsibility for potential liabilities.

Definitions of natural resource liability consist of four elements: the debtors, the creditors, the repayment period, and expenditures. Since the subject of responsibility in the NRBS is macroscopic, the main creditor for the liability of natural resource overexploitation should be the MNR, while the main creditor for ecosystem degradation and environmental pollution should be the MEE. The debtors may be individuals, companies or organizations that create natural resource liabilities. Given the complexity and urgency of the actual situation, the repayment period remains an unsolved issue. Therefore, the compilation of the NRBS can enable the responsible parties (government and officials) to visualize the costs and act as a reminder the main leading cadres of the need to restore natural conditions. Moreover, it is the responsibility of the supervisors to ensure that activities to remedy natural conditions are enforced through the implementation of the DASLC.

3.3 Compilation steps

The compilation of the NRBS involves five steps as follows.

- Collect the physical quantity and quality data on the different natural resource asset types and natural resource liability types from within the regional area of interest (state, province, city, county).
- Assess the value of the different natural resource assets based on reliable monetary methods and measure the potential loss from different natural resource liabilities based on widely accepted evaluation methods.
- Understand the natural resource property rights regimes in the areas of interest, and establish a feasible strategy or mechanism for assigning responsibility for the liability based on the legal and institutional systems at the national and regional levels.
- Designate the period for which the NRBS is compiled through opening and closing dates and calculate the change in physical quantities and financial quantities over the period.
- Analyse the gains and losses due to natural resource management and official governance and further present suggestions for policy.




Figure 3: Digital elevation map and regional division of Shaanxi Province, China.

4 Case Study: The NRBS for Shaanxi Province

4.1 Study area

A typical representative of the natural environment in East Asia, Shaanxi Province is selected as the study area for several reasons. The primary reason is that Shaanxi Province comprises three distinct natural regions: the mountainous southern region (Southern Shaanxi), the Wei River Valley (Guanzhong), and the northern upland plateau (Northern Shaanxi). Shaanxi Province ($105^{\circ}29' - 111^{\circ}15'E$, $31^{\circ}42' - 39^{\circ}35'N$) is located in the hinterlands of China (Figure 3) with an area of $210,000 \text{ km}^2$ and a population of 39.5 million. The province is bounded by the Qinling Mountains, which display distinct diversity in their natural and geographical features. The pattern of precipitation also varies spatially and temporally across the province, decreasing from south to north and being low in the winter but substantial in the summer. In addition, the temperature, solar radiation and vegetation distributions across the province exhibit spatial heterogeneity. The area is interlaced with mountains, plateaus, basins, and deserts, which have temperate, warm temperate, and northern subtropical climates.

Another main reason for selecting this study area is that Shaanxi is an important source of energy fuels (coal, oil, natural gas, etc.) and minerals (iron, molybdenum, copper, etc.) for much of China. The land types include farmlands, grasslands, shrubs, sparse woodlands, and woodlands (Liu et al., 2019). Known as the ‘central water tower’ of China, the Jialingjiang River, Lou River, Wei River and Hanjiang River all originate in the Qinling Mountains. The Qinling Mountains are also rich in mineral resources and have

extensive forest coverage. Moreover, Shaanxi provides 22.0 billion m^3 of water annually to other parts of China. The forest area in Shaanxi covers nearly 8.9 million hectares with reserves of 479.0 million m^3 .

A final important reason for choosing Shaanxi Province is that ecological degradation and environmental pollution have led to severe concerns about living conditions and the resultant threat to economic development given the rapid growth in the population and in resource consumption in the province. As noted by [Fu et al. \(2000\)](#), the hilly area in Northern Shaanxi probably has the highest soil erosion rate in the world. The Qinling Mountains are threatened by illegal mining and overcutting. [Liu et al. \(2019\)](#) reported that in early 2000, soil and water loss, deforestation, and desertification had become key concerns for the Shaanxi government. [Hou et al. \(2015\)](#) criticized Shaanxi for having lost its natural protective and productive functions in China. To reverse the damage and restore its natural conditions, Shaanxi Province has implemented a number of ecological restoration programs (such as the Grain-for-Green Program and the Natural Forest Protection Program), which have improved the status of vegetation in the province, particularly in Northern Shaanxi. Because of these representative features of Shaanxi, compiling the NRBS for this province and exploring the physical and monetary changes in its natural resources are essential for the government and leading cadres in resource management to supervise the ecological environment.

4.2 NRBS Accounting in Shaanxi province

The opening and closing NRBSs are presented in [Tables 2 and 3](#) and cover the period from 2013 to 2018. In the opening NRBS from 2013, the natural resource assets in Shaanxi totalled 18541.99 billion yuan, and the liabilities totalled 233.6 billion yuan, indicating that the value of the natural resource assets was greater than the cost of the liabilities. Overall, the various land types contributed 61.2% of the total value of the asset account. As befits the saying that one-third of the potential value of China is its mineral resources, energy and mineral resources contributed 34% of the total value. The other main assets include water resources (4.7%) and forest resources (0.1%). For the liabilities account, the consumption of energy and minerals reached 212.82 billion yuan, suggesting that the mining industry accounted for 13.3% of the total value of the regional GDP (1604.52 billion Yuan) in Shaanxi. The second most-important liability component was ecological degradation (6.8%). Among the items under the heading of ecological degradation, CO₂ emissions are the top concern, contributing 12.47 billion yuan. The cost of environmental pollution accounted for 2% of the total liability. In conclusion, the net worth of Shaanxi was 18541.99 billion in 2013.

[Table 3](#) lists the closing NRBS for Shaanxi from 2018. As noted above, the assets account is designed to measure the total value of all resources and summarize that value in a single monetary metric. The liabilities account is developed to fully capture the costs of the harm done to nature by humans within a regional area. [Tables 2 and 3](#) demonstrate that both assets and liabilities increased from 2013 to 2018, suggesting that the NRBS reports on two aspects of change: the benefit humans derive from nature and the damage humans do to nature. According to the equation ‘Net worth = Natural resource assets – Natural resource liabilities’, the

Table 2: Opening natural resources balance sheets for Shaanxi province—December 31, 2013

Assets				Liabilities				
Category	Accounting item	Physical quantity	Monetary value	Category	Accounting item	Physical quantity	Monetary value	
Land	($\times 10^3$)	km ²	(billion yuan)	Resource overexploitation	($\times 10^7$)	t	(billion yuan)	
	Cultivated land	39.92	1054.70		Nonrenewable resource depletion	Coal	172.47	102.13
	Gardens	8.26	2892.94			Oil	11.76	59.55
	Woodland	112.03	5652.94			($\times 10^{10}$)	m ³	
	Grassland	28.74	1739.60		Natural gas	7.03	13.70	
	Construction	0.26	11.33		($\times 10^7$)	t		
	Traffic land	2.48	109.19		Iron	5.03	2.84	
	Irrigation land	3.10	29.59		Molybdenum	17.09	25.26	
Energy	($\times 10^{10}$)	t		Lead	0.04	0.59		
	Coal	163.97	1393.73	Zinc	0.71	8.75		
	Oil	0.34	1706.43	($\times 10^{10}$)	m ³			
	Natural gas	623.11	1215.08	Biodiversity loss	–	–		
Minerals	($\times 10^3$)	t		Environmental pollution	($\times 10^7$)	t		
	Antimony	27.55	1.82		Air pollution	SO ₂	0.81	1.02
	Gold	0.35	82.45			NO _x	0.76	0.96
	Mercury	1.50	0.94			SP	0.54	0.30
	($\times 10^7$)	t			Water pollution	COD	0.52	0.73
	Lead	1.59	22.03			Ammonia Nitrogen	0.06	0.10
	Molybdenum	1.02	185.62			Solid waste	Household waste	4.21
	Zinc	3.51	43.45		Solid industrial waste			
	($\times 10^{10}$)	t			Disposal		16.22	1.22
	Iron	0.78	441.68		Storage		11.31	0.17
	Sodium salt (NaCl)	885.53	1284.02		Hazardous industrial waste			
Cement limestone	7.68	7.68	Disposal	0.12	0.18			
Glass quartzite	0.19	0.22	Storage	0.09	0.03			
Water	($\times 10^{10}$)	m ³		Ecological degradation	($\times 10^7$)	t		
	Surface water	33.15	155.46		CO ₂	265.60	12.47	
	Groundwater	11.85	55.59		Stratospheric ozone layer depletion	–	–	
	Soil water	145.69	683.30		($\times 10^3$)	hm ²		
Forests	($\times 10^7$)	m ³		Agricultural intensification and expansion	4108.22	0.78		
	Cultivated timber	2.36	1.79	Flood prevention and soil erosion	667.00	0.93		
	Natural timber	5.32	4.01	Overfishing	–	1.78		
Total Assets:			18775.59	Total Liabilities:			233.60	
Net Worth:			18541.99					

net worth of Shaanxi was 18541.99 billion yuan in 2013 and 19003.59 billion yuan in 2018. This suggests an increase of 2.49% over the course of the five years, implying that the government and resource management officials have potentially made progress.

Table 3: Closing natural resources balance sheets for Shaanxi province—December 31, 2018

Assets				Liabilities					
Category	Accounting item	Physical quantity	Monetary value	Category	Accounting item	Physical quantity	Monetary value		
Land	($\times 10^3$)	km ²	(billion yuan)	Resources overexploitation	($\times 10^7$)	t	(billion yuan)		
	Cultivated land	39.77	1050.68		Nonrenewable resource depletion	Coal	193.96	127.04	
	Gardens	8.15	2854.25			Oil	7.78	25.97	
	Woodland	111.64	5633.29			($\times 10^{10}$)	m ³		
	Grassland	28.68	1735.67			Natural gas	10.55	20.58	
	Construction	0.16	10.27			($\times 10^7$)	t		
	Traffic land	2.64	164.82			Iron	22.02	11.66	
	Irrigation land	3.08	29.37			Molybdenum	16.43	28.66	
Energy	($\times 10^{10}$)	t		Lead	0.03	0.45			
	Coal	171.6	1458.98	Zinc	1.10	21.46			
	Oil	0.35	1163.97	Biodiversity loss	–	–			
	($\times 10^{10}$)	m ³		Environmental pollution	($\times 10^7$)	t			
Natural gas	959.48	1870.98	Air pollution						
Mineral	($\times 10^3$)	t		SO ₂	0.22	0.28			
	Antimony	41.70	1.53	NO _x	0.31	0.39			
	Gold	0.46	93.75	SP	0.20	0.11			
	Mercury	1.29	0.67	Water pollution					
	($\times 10^7$)	t		COD	0.18	0.25			
	Lead	2.65	23.62	Ammonia Nitrogen	0.02	0.04			
	Molybdenum	1.40	269.20	Solid waste					
	Zinc	4.71	68.42	Household waste	6.38	0.17			
	($\times 10^{10}$)	t		Solid industrial waste					
	Iron	1.10	580.12	Disposal	66.11	4.96			
	Sodium salt (NaCl)	928.60	1346.50	Storage	15.38	0.23			
Cement limestone	7.73	7.73	Hazardous industrial waste						
Glass quartzite	0.19	0.23	Disposal	0.61	0.91				
Water	($\times 10^{10}$)	m ³		Storage	0.21	0.06			
	Surface water	34.75	163.00	Ecological degradation	($\times 10^7$)	t			
	Groundwater	12.50	58.64		CO ₂	276.17	12.98		
	Soil water	144.53	677.86	Stratospheric ozone layer depletion	–	–			
Forest	($\times 10^7$)	m ³		($\times 10^3$)	hm ²				
	Cultivated timber	3.11	1.77	Agricultural intensification and expansion	4092.10	0.78			
	Natural timber	5.76	3.28	Flood prevention and soil erosion	228.80	5.05			
				Overfishing	–	2.98			
Total Assets:			19268.60	Total Liabilities:			265.01		
Net Worth:			19003.59						

4.3 Physical and monetary changes between 2013 and 2018

As presented in Table 4, the change in the value of the natural resource assets account from 2013 to 2018 can be attributed to changes in physical quantities (constant prices) and current prices (value per unit). First, the majority of the decrease in the value of land resulted from a decrease in the physical quantity of land. Despite the fact that the value per unit of construction land increased from 44.01×10^7 Yuan/km² to 62.56×10^7 Yuan/km², there was a sharp decrease of 36.10% in construction land between 2013 and

Table 4: Physical and monetary changes in the natural resource assets account from 2013 to 2018

Category of asset	Accounting items	Physical quantity 2013-2018		Constant 2013 price 2013-2018		Current price 2013-2018		Valuation Unit price	Billion yuan Valuation method
		Level change	Quantity	Level change	Percent change	Level change	Percent change		
Land									
	Cultivated land	-0.22 ↓	10 ³ km ²	-4.02 ↓	0.38%	-4.02 ↓	0.38%	26.42 × 10 ⁷ yuan/km ²	Income capitalization
	Gardens	-0.11 ↓	10 ³ km ²	-38.69 ↓	1.34%	-38.69 ↓	1.34%	350.38 × 10 ⁷ yuan/km ²	
	Woodland	-0.39 ↓	10 ³ km ²	-19.65 ↓	0.35%	-19.65 ↓	0.35%	50.46 × 10 ⁷ yuan/km ²	
	Grassland	-0.06 ↓	10 ³ km ²	-3.93 ↓	0.23%	-3.93 ↓	0.23%	60.53 × 10 ⁷ yuan/km ²	
	Construction	-0.10 ↓	10 ³ km ²	-4.09 ↓	36.10%	-1.06 ↓	9.36%	44.01 × 10 ⁷ yuan/km ²	Market prices
	Traffic land	0.16 ↑	10 ³ km ²	7.04 ↑	6.45%	55.63 ↑	50.95%	44.01 × 10 ⁷ yuan/km ²	
	Irrigation land	-0.02 ↓	10 ³ km ²	-0.22 ↓	0.74%	-0.22 ↓	0.74%	9.55 × 10 ⁷ yuan/km ²	
Energy									
	Coal	7.63 ↑	10 ¹⁰ t	65.25 ↑	4.68%	65.25 ↑	4.68%	8.50 yuan/t	Replacement costs
	Oil	11.76 ↑	10 ⁷ t	65.88 ↑	3.49%	-542.46 ↓	31.79%	5061.64 yuan/t	
	Natural gas	336.37 ↑	10 ¹⁰ m ³	655.90 ↑	53.98%	655.90 ↑	53.98%	1.95 yuan/m ³	
Minerals									
	Antimony	14.15 ↑	10 ³ t	0.93 ↑	51.36%	-0.29 ↓	15.95%	65.95 × 10 ³ yuan/t	Market prices
	Gold	0.11 ↑	10 ³ t	23.60 ↑	28.62%	11.30 ↑	13.71%	21.45 × 10 ⁷ yuan/t	
	Mercury	-0.21 ↓	10 ³ t	-0.13 ↓	14.07%	-0.27 ↓	28.72%	0.63 × 10 ⁷ yuan/t	
	Lead	1.06 ↑	10 ⁷ t	14.63 ↑	66.66%	1.59 ↑	7.21%	1.38 × 10 ⁴ yuan/t	
	Molybdenum	0.38 ↑	10 ⁷ t	56.09 ↑	37.25%	83.58 ↑	45.03%	14.76 × 10 ⁴ yuan/t	
	Zinc	1.20 ↑	10 ⁷ t	14.88 ↑	32.24%	24.97 ↑	57.47%	1.24 × 10 ⁴ yuan/t	
	Iron	0.32 ↑	10 ¹⁰ t	180.74 ↑	40.92%	138.44 ↑	31.34%	564.80 yuan/t	
	Sodium salt (NaCl)	43.07 ↑	10 ¹⁰ t	62.48 ↑	4.87%	62.48 ↑	4.87%	1.45 yuan/t	
	Cement limestone	0.05 ↑	10 ¹⁰ t	0.05 ↑	0.65%	0.05 ↑	0.65%	1.00 yuan/t	
	Glass quartzite	0.002 ↑	10 ⁹ t	0.0024 ↑	1.09%	0.0024 ↑	1.09%	1.20 yuan/t	
Water									
	Surface water	1.60 ↑	10 ¹⁰ m ³	7.54 ↑	4.85%	7.54 ↑	4.85%	4.85 yuan/m ³	Market prices
	Groundwater	0.65 ↑	10 ¹⁰ m ³	3.05 ↑	5.49%	3.05 ↑	5.49%	4.85 yuan/m ³	
	Soil water	-1.16 ↓	10 ¹⁰ m ³	-5.44 ↓	0.80%	-5.44 ↓	0.80%	4.85 yuan/m ³	
Forests									
	Cultivated timber	0.75 ↑	10 ⁷ m ³	0.57 ↑	31.78%	-0.02 ↓	1.11%	754.00 yuan/m ³	Market prices
	Natural timber	0.44 ↑	10 ⁷ m ³	0.33 ↑	8.27%	-0.73 ↓	18.20%	754.00 yuan/m ³	

2018. Except for traffic land, the physical quantity and valuation of all the other types of land decreased over the study period. Second, the valuation and quantity of coal and of natural gas increased by 53.98% and 4.68%, respectively. Nonetheless, we witnessed an increase in the production of oil, and the value of oil decreased by 31.79% due to the fall in its unit price. Third, as shown in Table 4, the unit market price severely affected the value of antimony. Except for that of mercury, the valuation of all mineral resources increased with fluctuations. For water resources, surface water and groundwater increased by 4.85% and 5.49%, respectively, while soil water decreased by 0.80%. Last, the amount of cultivated timber and natural timber produced in Shaanxi increased by 31.78% and 8.27%, respectively. However, the value of this timber decreased by 1.11% and 18.20% due to changes in the unit price.

Table 5 records the change in the value of the natural resource liabilities account for the accounting period from 2013 to 2018. In the overexploitation of natural resources category, replacement costs are used to assess the value of the depletion of nonrenewable resources. For the consumption of nonrenewable resources, the volume of coal, natural gas, iron and zinc consumed dramatically increased, while the volume of oil, lead and molybdenum consumed decreased between 2013 and 2018. Since there are insufficient data to

Table 5: Physical and monetary changes in the natural resource liabilities account from 2013 to 2018

Category of liability	Accounting items	Physical quantity 2013-2018		Constant 2013 price 2013-2018		Current price 2013-2018		Valuation Unit price	Billion yuan Valuation method
		Level change	Quantity	Level change	Percent change	Level change	Percent change		
Resource overexploitation	Nonrenewable resource depletion								
	Coal	21.49 ↑	10 ⁷ t	12.60 ↑	12.34%	24.91 ↑	24.39%	586.32 yuan/t	Replacement costs
	Oil	-3.98 ↓	10 ⁷ t	-20.15 ↓	33.84%	-32.58 ↓	54.71%	5061.64 yuan/t	
	Natural gas	3.52 ↑	10 ¹⁰ m ³	6.86 ↑	50.07%	6.88 ↑	50.22%	1.95 yuan/m ³	
	Iron	16.99 ↑	10 ⁷ t	9.60 ↑	337.78%	8.82 ↑	310.56%	564.80 yuan/t	
	Lead	-1.24 ↓	10 ⁴ t	0.17 ↓	28.81%	-0.14 ↓	23.72%	1.38 × 10 ⁴ yuan/t	
	Molybdenum	-0.66 ↓	10 ⁷ t	-0.97 ↓	3.84%	3.40 ↑	13.46%	14.76 × 10 ⁴ yuan/t	
	Zinc	0.39 ↑	10 ⁷ t	4.84 ↑	55.31%	12.71 ↑	145.26%	1.24 × 10 ⁴ yuan/t	
Biodiversity loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Environmental pollution	Air pollution								Imputed abatement cost method
	SO ₂	-0.59 ↓	10 ⁷ t	-0.74 ↓	72.55%	-0.74 ↓	72.55%	1264.00 yuan/t	
	NO _x	-0.45 ↓	10 ⁷ t	-0.57 ↓	59.38%	-0.57 ↓	59.38%	1264.00 yuan/t	
	SP	-0.34 ↓	10 ⁷ t	-0.19 ↓	63.33%	-0.19 ↓	63.33%	550.00Yuan/t	
	Water pollution								
	COD	-0.34 ↓	10 ⁷ t	-0.48 ↓	65.75%	-0.48 ↓	65.75%	1400.00 yuan/t	
	Ammonia Nitrogen	-0.04 ↓	10 ⁷ t	-0.06 ↓	60.00%	-0.06 ↓	60.00%	1750.00 yuan/t	
	Solid waste								
	Household waste	2.17 ↑	10 ⁷ t	0.06 ↑	54.55%	0.06 ↑	54.55%	27.00 yuan/t	
	Industrial solid waste								
	Disposal	49.89 ↑	10 ⁷ t	3.74 ↑	306.56%	3.74 ↑	306.56%	75.00 yuan/t	
Storage	4.07 ↑	10 ⁷ t	0.06 ↑	35.29%	0.06 ↑	35.29%	15.00 yuan/t		
Hazardous industrial waste									
Disposal	0.49 ↑	10 ⁷ t	0.73 ↑	465.56%	0.73 ↑	465.56%	1500.00 yuan/t		
Storage	0.12 ↑	10 ⁷ t	0.03 ↑	132.37%	0.03 ↑	132.37%	1.20 yuan/t		
Ecological degradation	CO ₂	10.57 ↑	10 ⁷ t	0.51 ↑	4.09%	0.51 ↑	4.09%	47.03 yuan/t	Imputed abatement costs
	Stratospheric ozone layer depletion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Agricultural intensification and expansion	-16.12 ↓	10 ³ hm ²	-0.003 ↓	0.38%	-0.003 ↓	0.38%	190.21 yuan/hm ²	
	Overfishing	-	-	1.20 ↑	167.48%	1.20 ↑	167.48%	-	
	Flood prevention and soil erosion	-438.20 ↓	10 ³ hm ²	4.12 ↑	443.01%	4.12 ↑	443.01%	-	

estimate the ecological degradation and environmental pollution caused by the exploitation of nonrenewable resources, which is a major concern in China, the cost of restoring those resources has most certainly been underestimated. Moreover, resource overexploitation dominated the natural resource liabilities account in Shaanxi from 2013 to 2018. Regarding environmental pollution, we know from Table 5 that the total tonnage of air pollution and water pollution in Shaanxi decreased by almost two-thirds between 2013 and 2018. Meanwhile, the cost of household waste increased dramatically by 54.55%. The cost of industrial solid waste disposal and waste storage increased by 306.56% and 35.29%, respectively. Industrial solid waste has been the most severe source of environmental pollution. Moreover, it should be noted that hazardous industrial waste disposal and waste storage also rapidly increased by 465.56% and 132.37%, warning us to place more emphasis on industrial solid and hazardous waste. Last, the climate change costs from greenhouse gas emissions in Shaanxi increased from $265.60 \times 10^7 t$ to $276.17 \times 10^7 t$. Greenhouse gas emissions have been the main concern related to ecological degradation. Since the quantity of land decreased, the cost of agricultural intensification and expansion also decreased. Overfishing directly affects the biodiversity of

rivers and lakes. To reverse the growth in overfishing, the River Chief System was fully implemented in 2017. Although flood prevention and soil erosion have been the most severe issues in the past, the government has increased its investments in different ecological projects to improve soil and water conservation.

Table 6: Changes in the NRBS and GDP in Shaanxi from 2013 to 2018

Content	Constant price		Level change (billion yuan)	Percent change (%)
	2013	2018		
NRBS				
Natural resource assets	18775.59	19268.60	493.01	2.63 ^o %
Natural resource liabilities	233.60	265.01	31.41	13.45 ^o %
Net worth	18541.99	19003.59	461.60	2.49 ^o %
GDP	1620.55	2394.19	773.64	47.74 ^o %

Table 6 shows the change in the NRBS and GDP for Shaanxi Province over the 2013–2018 period. By comparing the growth in natural resource assets with that in natural resource liabilities, we observe that although the depletion of nonrenewable resources in the resource overexploitation category is underestimated, the liabilities account still increased by approximately 13.45%, indicating that we should improve the efficiency of resource utilization rather than simply increase the production of resources. Considering the level change in GDP, it is noteworthy that the ratio of liabilities to GDP in Shaanxi Province has decreased from 14.42% in 2013 to 11.07%, indicating that the ratio of growth in the minerals and energy industries to economic growth decreased during the study period. Overall, the NRBS facilitates the analysis of contemporary changes in natural resource assets and liabilities for resource governance.

4.4 The analytical framework for responsibility for natural resource liabilities

In practice, property rights over natural resources are often dynamic. It is difficult to analyze natural resource liabilities when property ownership or debtor–creditor relationship is unclear, as they currently are in China (Song et al., 2019). Together with the implementation of the DASLC, the goal of measuring and assigning responsibility is to hold the leading cadres accountable for a lack of adequate supervision. To resolve this challenge, we use the direct and indirect beneficiaries of natural resource liabilities as creditors rather than defining a certain creditor. Motivated by the updated conceptual framework for natural resources in Sikor et al. (2017), the eight types of governmental property rights over natural resource liabilities are developed and arranged in Figure 4. The three categories of rights are distributed to government departments and ministries and to companies.

According to the first column in Figure 4, since mineral and energy resources are owned by the state, companies possess use rights over all benefits derived from the involved resources by purchasing exploitation rights from the government. In addition, the government auctions off exploitation rights to obtain fiscal revenue. However, once exploitation rights have sold at auction, the government and related officials pay less attention to the mining process and the resultant destruction of the natural environment. In 2017, the




Figure 4: Natural resource liabilities with property rights regimes.

Paid Use System for Natural Resources was reformed and re-implemented in China. The government and leading cadres are to guide companies to improve the efficiency of their resource utilization rather than simply increase resource production. Once severe ecological degradation and environmental pollution have occurred due to the exploitation of mineral and energy resources, the government and relevant officials should use their monitoring rights to manage the related companies and restore the natural environment.

The second column in Figure 4 presents the analysis of the power of property rights over environmental pollution. As for pollutant emissions, pilots for the Compensated Use and Trading System for Emission Rights were launched and the full program was implemented in 2014. Emissions quotas for air and water pollutants can be purchased from the government and traded among the various companies. With the efficient management of pollutant emissions, the volume of air and water pollutants decreased from 2013 to 2018, as demonstrated in Table 5, which indicates that emissions quotas are feasible and appropriate mechanisms for managing pollutants. However, it should be noted that emissions quota sales for solid waste are possibly lacking. This is important because, as demonstrated in Table 5 and indicated in Figure 4, ignoring emissions quotas for solid waste may result in rapid growth in the production of ordinary and hazardous industrial solid waste, and therefore cities may be besieged by waste in the future. Fortunately, the Municipal Solid Waste Classification Policy was implemented in China in 2020. According to Table 4, the most concerning issue is CO₂ emissions. Since the China Carbon Emission Trade Exchange was established on 16 July 2021, the government has used fees to decrease CO₂ emissions. Therefore, the government and relevant officials should further introduce a policy for emissions quotas for solid waste, CO₂ emissions, especially regular and hazardous industrial solid waste.

5 Conclusions

5.1 Main contributions

This paper provides policy makers with an important snapshot of the financial growing pains experienced by regional governments at specified times by detailing existing natural resource assets and liabilities. As a useful extension of the balance sheet concept, the NRBS enables us to understand the underlying contribution of nature to human well-being and the damage to nature caused by humans. Then, the changes in the physical quantities and monetary values of natural resource assets and liabilities from the beginning period to the closing period can be incorporated into government arrangements and official performance. In addition, we develop a theoretic list of responsibility for liabilities based on various property rights regimes to hold governments and officials responsible for inadequate supervision. The case study shows that the analytical framework for natural resource liabilities is feasible for analyzing and assigning responsibility.

5.2 Policy implications

Over the past quarter century, China's economy has expanded approximately 10-fold, yet China's GDP orientation cannot last forever due to the related growing pains. The NRBS established in our paper clarifies the danger of ignoring those growing pains, which are a serious detriment to sustainable development. Therefore, the government and related officials should be aware of the destruction of the natural environment, and they have obligations to restore its natural condition and enforce its care through public supervision.

A case study has shown the significance of threats to natural resource utilization and the restoration of nature. It is noteworthy that except for traffic land, the quantities of all types of land decreased from 2013 to 2018. Even though this might be only a signal, we should act immediately because the effect of our actions at the macroeconomic level is always lagged (Long and Ji, 2019). For natural resource liabilities, the depletion of nonrenewable resources is the herald of a threat, warning us to place more emphasis on the efficiency of resource utilization. Policy makers should adjust their mineral and energy resource management strategies to prevent resource consumption from soaring. In addition, the quantity of CO₂ emissions is still growing continuously. In particular, policies such as 'Reductions and Limitations in the Coal Industry' and 'Carbon Peak and Carbon Neutrality' should be strictly implemented to boost the shift in the economic growth pattern in Shaanxi. Last, the rapid growth in solid waste creates severe environmental pollution. The government and relevant officials should design proper regulations, including emissions quotas for solid waste and price increases for industrial solid waste. Specifically, the Corporate Environmental Protection Rectification Program could be employed to reduce industrial waste-intensive output and promote technological innovation.

5.3 Extensions

Since the research and practice of NRBS is merely at explanatory stage, we can draw on the experience around world. Based on "departmental shareholding" of property rights included in SNA and SEEA, researchers may use for reference the experiences of reporting natural resources elements characterized by public property rights in China (Song et al., 2019). Moreover, the natural resources of India (Gundimeda et al., 2007) and Australia (Ogilvy et al., 2018) accounting and reporting practices can also provide an important reference for preparation of NRBS.

We would like to discuss some possible topics for future study. First, separating the accounting value of natural resources from the contributions of human labour can be complicated. Second, the limitations in the data and methods lead to imprecise estimations of biodiversity loss and of the ecological cost of mineral and energy exploitation. Furthermore, it need to investigate the relationship between natural resource assets and liabilities under different property rights regimes for other countries. Property rights regimes often vary across countries according to their legislation and practices, designing a mechanism for assigning responsibility for natural resource liabilities deserves to be investigated in the context of different countries.

References

- Adams, C. A. (2017). *The Sustainable Development Goals, ingrained thinking and ingrained report*. IIRC and ICAS, London.
- Adams, R., Coulson, A., Mueller, K., Sturm, A., and Bartel, C. (1998). *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Environmental Costs and Liabilities*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva.
- Allin, P. and Hand, D. J. (2017). From a System of National Accounts to a process of national wellbeing accounting. *International Statistical Review*, 85(2):355–370.
- Bai, Z., Li, Z., Diao, S., and Yuan, D. (2014). Research on urban water price reform in Shaanxi Province. *China Price*, 2014(8):57–60.
- Bartelmus, P. (2014). Environmental–economic accounting: progress and digression in the SEEA revisions. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 60(4):887–904.
- Cardoso, A. (2015). Behind the life cycle of coal: Socio-environmental liabilities of coal mining in Cesar, Colombia. *Ecological Economics*, 120:71–82.
- Chen, J. C., Cho, C. H., and Patten, D. M. (2014). Initiating disclosure of environmental liability information: An empirical analysis of firm choice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 125(4):681–692.
- Chen, P., Xu, H., Chen, S., Shang, Y., Zhang, B., and Zhao, X. (2022). Developing a system framework for China’s natural resources balance sheet from the perspective of sustainable development. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 9:1–9.
- Collis, J., Holt, A., and Hussey, R. (2017). *The Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting*. International Accounting Standards Board, Cambridge.
- Costanza, R., d’Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O’neill, R. V., Paruelo, J., et al. (1997). The value of the world’s ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387(6630):253–260.
- Costanza, R., Hart, M., Posner, S., and J, T. (2009). *Beyond GDP: the need for new measures of progress*. Boston University, Boston.
- Du, W., Yan, H., and Yan, Y. (2018). A review of natural resource asset balance sheets. *Resources Science*, 40(5):875–887.
- Eigenraam, M. and Obst, C. (2018). Extending the production boundary of the System of National Accounts (SNA) to classify and account for ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 4(11):247–260.
- Feng, Z., Yang, Y., and Li, P. (2014). From natural resources accounting to balance-sheet of natural resources asset compilation. *Bulletin of Chinese Academy of Sciences*, 29(4):449–456.
- Friehe, T. and Langlais, E. (2017). Prevention and cleanup of dynamic harm under environmental liability. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 83:107–120.
- Fu, B., Chen, L., Ma, K., Zhou, H., and Wang, J. (2000). The relationships between land use and soil conditions in the hilly area of the loess plateau in northern Shaanxi, China. *Catena*, 39(1):69–78.
- Geng, J., Lyu, X., Shi, J., and Liu, S. (2019). Discussion on the compilation and application of energy and mineral resources balance sheet. *China Land Resources Economy*, 32(2):4–14.
- Gundimeda, H., Sukhdev, P., Sinha, R. K., and Sanyal, S. (2007). Natural resource accounting for indian states – illustrating the case of forest resources. *Ecological Economics*, 61(4):635–649.
- Hambira, W. L. (2007). Natural resources accounting: A tool for water resources management in Botswana. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 32(15-18):1310–1314.
- Hartwick, J. M. (1990). Natural resources, national accounting and economic depreciation. *Journal of Public Economics*, 43(3):291–304.
- He, Y., Yue, X., Yang, M., Hu, J., and Li, Y. (2017). The balance sheet of China’s national marine resource assets. *Marine Development and Management*, 34(10):72–76.

- Holub, H. W., Tappeiner, G., and Tappeiner, U. (1999). Some remarks on the 'System of Integrated Environmental and Economic Accounting' of the United Nations. *Ecological Economics*, 29(3):329–336.
- Hou, K., Li, X., and Zhang, J. (2015). GIS analysis of changes in ecological vulnerability using a SPCA model in the Loess plateau of Northern Shaanxi, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(4):4292–4305.
- Ji, X. and Liu, Y. (2016). Study on the framework of mineral resource balance sheet. *China Population, Resources and Environment*, 26(3):100–108.
- Jia, L., Gan, H., Wang, L., and Qin, C. (2017). Accounting methodology of the balance sheet for water resources. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineer*, 48(11):1324–1333.
- Jones, C. A. (2000). Economic valuation of resource injuries in natural resource liability suits. *Journal of Water Resources Planning & Management*, 126(6):358–365.
- Jones, C. A. and DiPinto, L. (2018). The role of ecosystem services in USA natural resource liability litigation. *Ecosystem Services*, 29:333–351.
- Jones, C. A. and Pease, K. A. (1997). Restoration-based compensation measures in natural resource liability statutes. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 15(4):111–122.
- Kosoy, N. and Corbera, E. (2010). Payments for ecosystem services as commodity fetishism. *Ecological Economics*, 69(6):1228–1236.
- La Notte, A. and Rhodesb, C. (2020). The theoretical frameworks behind integrated environmental, ecosystem, and economic accounting systems and their classifications. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 80(2020):1–10.
- Li, H. and Zhang, X. (2015). Balance sheet compilation of mineral resources based on SEEA framework. *Resources & Industries*, 17(5):60–65.
- Li, Y., Wei, X., Liu, D., Dai, J., and Chen, K. y. (2018). Study on the formulation framework of marine resources balance sheet for marine management. *Marine Science Bulletin*, 37(3):27–34.
- Liang, D., Liu, T., and Li, Y. (2015). Comparative study of BRICS' CO₂ emission cost and its influential factors based on LMDI model. *Resources Science*, 37(12):2319–2329.
- Lin, M., Jin, L., and Tian, G. (2021). The rationality of compiling a forest resource balance sheet. *Forest Products Journal*, 71(4):309–321.
- Liu, B., Xie, Y., Li, Z., Liang, Y., Zhang, W., Fu, S., Yin, S., Wei, X., Zhang, K., Wang, Z., et al. (2020). The assessment of soil loss by water erosion in China. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 8(4):430–439.
- Liu, L., Zhang, H., Gao, Y., Zhu, W., Liu, X., and Xu, Q. (2019). Hotspot identification and interaction analyses of the provisioning of multiple ecosystem services: Case study of Shaanxi Province, China. *Ecological Indicators*, 107(105566):1–13.
- Liu, Z. and Kontoleon, A. (2018). Meta-analysis of livelihood impacts of payments for environmental services programmes in developing countries. *Ecological Economics*, 149:48–61.
- Long, X. and Ji, X. (2019). Economic growth quality, environmental sustainability, and social welfare in China - provincial assessment based on Genuine Progress Indicator (GPI). *Ecological Economics*, 159:157–176.
- Lu, Y., Yang, Y., Sun, B., Yuan, J., Yu, M., Stenseth, N. C., Bullock, J. M., and Obersteiner, M. (2020). Spatial variation in biodiversity loss across China under multiple environmental stressors. *Science Advances*, 6(47):eabd0952.
- Ma, Y. and Liu, Q. (2015). The strategic consideration on natural resources property right system construction in China. *Bulletin of Chinese Academy of Sciences*, 30(4):503–508.
- MacDonald, D. V., Hanley, N., and Moffatt, I. (1999). Applying the concept of natural capital criticality to regional resource management. *Ecological Economics*, 29(1):73–87.
- Muradian, R., Corbera, E., Pascual, U., Kosoy, N., and May, P. H. (2010). Reconciling theory and practice: An alternative conceptual framework for understanding payments for environmental services. *Ecological Economics*, 69(6):1202–1208.
- Negash, M. and Lemma, T. T. (2020). Institutional pressures and the accounting and reporting of environmental liabilities. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 2020:1–20.

- Obst, C., Hein, L., and Edens, B. (2016). National accounting and the valuation of ecosystem assets and their services. *Environmental & Resource Economics*, 64(1):1–23.
- Ogilvy, S. (2015). Developing the ecological balance sheet for agricultural sustainability. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 6(2):110–137.
- Ogilvy, S., Burritt, R., Walsh, D., Obst, C., Meadows, P., Muradzikwa, P., and Eigenraam, M. (2018). Accounting for liabilities related to ecosystem degradation. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 4(11):261–276.
- Opaluch, J. J. (2020). Liability for natural resource damages from oil spills: a survey. *International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics*, 14(1):37–111.
- Ouyang, Z. and Jin, L. (2018). *Developing Gross Ecosystem Product and Ecological Asset Accounting for Eco-compensation*. Science Press, Beijing.
- Ouyang, Z., Song, C., Zheng, H., Polasky, S., Xiao, Y., Bateman, I. J., Liu, J., Ruckelshaus, M., Shi, F., Xiao, Y., et al. (2020). Using gross ecosystem product (GEP) to value nature in decision making. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(25):14593–14601.
- Ouyang, Z., Zhu, C., Yang, G., Xu, W., Zheng, H., Zhang, Y., and Xiao, Y. (2013). Gross ecosystem product concept accounting framework and case study. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 33(21):6747–6761.
- Pan, X., Song, M., Wang, Y., Shen, Z., Song, J., Xie, P., and Pan, X. (2022). Liability accounting of natural resource assets from the perspective of input slack—an analysis based on the energy resource in 282 prefecture-level cities in china. *Resources Policy*, 78:102867.
- Paulin, M., Remme, R., van der Hoek, D., de Knegt, B., Koopman, K., Breure, A., Rutgers, M., and de Nijs, T. (2019). Towards nationally harmonized mapping and quantification of ecosystem services. *Science of the Total Environment*, 703(2020):1–12.
- Phelps, J., Jones, C. A., Pendergrass, J., and Gómez-Baggethun, E. (2015). Environmental liability: A missing use for ecosystem services valuation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(39):5379–5379.
- Polasky, S., Guerry, A. D., Lubchenco, J., and Ruckelshaus, M. (2015). Reply to Phelps et al: Liability rules provide incentives to protect natural capital. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(39):5380–5380.
- Polasky, S., Nelson, E., Pennington, D., and Johnson, K. A. (2011). The impact of land-use change on ecosystem services, biodiversity and returns to landowners: a case study in the state of Minnesota. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 48(2):219–242.
- Ross, M. L. (2015). What have we learned about the resource curse? *Annual Review of Political Science*, 18(1):239–259.
- Rounsevell, M. D., Harfoot, M., Harrison, P. A., Newbold, T., Gregory, R. D., and Mace, G. M. (2020). A biodiversity target based on species extinctions. *Science*, 368(6496):1193–1195.
- Schneider, T., Michelon, G., and Maier, M. S. (2017). Environmental liabilities and diversity in practice under international financial reporting standards. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 30(2):378–403.
- Shan, Y., Huang, D., Zheng, H., Ou, J., Li, Y., Meng, J., Mi, Z., Liu, Z., and Zhang, Q. (2017). Data descriptor: China CO2 emission accounts 1997-2015. *Scientific Data*, 1(170201):1–14.
- Shan, Y., Huang, Q., Guan, D., and Hubacek, K. (2020). China CO2 emission accounts 2016–2017. *Scientific Data*, 7(1):1–9.
- Shan, Y., Liu, J., Liu, Z., Xu, X., Shao, S., Wang, P., and Guan, D. (2016). New provincial CO2 emission inventories in China based on apparent energy consumption data and updated emission factors. *Applied Energy*, 184:742–750.
- Shen, X., Wang, G., and Huang, X. (2017). Green GDP: Accounting and spatio-temporal pattern in China from 1997 to 2013. *Journal of Natural Resources*, 32(10):1639–1650.
- Shi, D. and Wang, J. (2020). Research status, literature review and improvement direction of the natural resource balance sheet. *China Population, Resources and Environment*, 30(1):1–11.
- Sikor, T., He, J., and Lestrelin, G. (2017). Property rights regimes and natural resources: a conceptual analysis revisited. *World Development*, 93:337–349.
- Song, M., Ma, X., Shang, Y., and Zhao, X. (2020). Influences of land resource assets on economic growth and fluctuation in china.

- Resources Policy*, 68:101779.
- Song, M., Shuai, Z., Jing, W., and Shuhong, W. (2019). China's natural resources balance sheet from the perspective of government oversight: Based on the analysis of governance and accounting attributes. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 248:1–16.
- Song, X., Chen, Y., Yan, H., Yang, Y., and Feng, Z. (2018). Initial research into an accounting framework for a water resource balance sheet. *Resources Science*, 40(5):899–907.
- Srinivasan, U. T., Carey, S. P., Hallstein, E., Higgins, P. A., Kerr, A. C., Koteen, L. E., Smith, A. B., Watson, R., Harte, J., and Norgaard, R. B. (2008). The debt of nations and the distribution of ecological impacts from human activities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(5):1768–1773.
- Tang, Y., Wang, Y., Yang, Q., Hwang, E., and He, Q. (2020). Theory and practice of water resources balance sheet compilation in the Yangtze River Basin. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 105(SI):71–75.
- Tegtmeier, E. M. and Duffy, M. D. (2004). External costs of agricultural production in the United States. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 2(1):1–20.
- Tian, J., Jiang, T., Shi, H., Zang, N., Li, X., Guo, Y., Mi, X., Zhang, H., and Chen, L. (2018). Water resource accounting: a case study of water resources stock and change table at the Beilun District, Ningbo City. *China Population, Resources and Environment*, 28(9):167–176.
- Tschofen, P., Azevedo, I. L., and Muller, N. Z. (2019). Fine particulate matter damages and value added in the US economy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(40):19857–19862.
- UN (1993). *System of Integrated Environmental and Economic Accounting*. United Nations, New York.
- UN, EC, FAO, IMF, OECD, and Bank, W. (2014). *System of Environmental-Economic Accounting 2012: Central Framework*. United Nations, New York.
- United Nations (2005). Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. <https://www.millenniumassessment.org/>.
- United Nations (2007). The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity. <http://teebweb.org/>.
- Vačkářová, D. and Grammatikopoulou, I. (2019). Toward development of ecosystem asset accounts at the national level. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 5(1):36–46.
- Wang, A. and Gao, X. (2020). China's energy and important mineral resources demand perspective. *Bulletin of Chinese Academy of Sciences*, 35(3):338–344.
- Wang, Q. H. and Zhang, Z. Q. (2008). Earth's ecological debt and humankind's sustainable development challenges: analysis of WWF's Living Planet Report 2006. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 28(5):2424–2429.
- Warlenius, R., Pierce, G., and Ramasar, V. (2015). Reversing the arrow of arrears: The concept of “ecological debt” and its value for environmental justice. *Global Environmental Change*, 30(2015):21–30.
- Xiang, S. and Zheng, R. (2016). Research on the liabilities of natural resources in the balance sheet of natural resources. *Statistical Research*, 33(12):74–83.
- Xie, G., Cao, S., Lu, C., Xiao, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2010). Human's consumption of ecosystem services and ecological debt in China. *Journal of Natural Resources*, 25(1):43–51.
- Yan, H., Feng, Z., Yang, Y., Pan, T., Jiang, D., Song, X., Ma, G., and Liu, W. (2017). First report of the national natural resources balance sheet for Huzhou City and Anji County. *Resources Science*, 39(9):1634–1645.
- Yan, Y., Chen, Y., Song, X., Yan, H., and Feng, Z. (2018). Compilation of a water resource balance sheet for Huzhou City. *Resources Science*, 40(5):908–918.
- Yang, S., Tan, Z., and Wang, S. (2020). On the method logic and system framework construction of natural resource asset and liability accounting in China. *Management World*, 36(11):132–142.
- Yang, Y., Feng, Z., Yan, H., Pan, T., Jiang, D., Song, X., Ma, G., and Liu, W. (2017). The pattern of compilation of the natural resources balance sheet for Chengde City. *Resources Science*, 39(9):1646–1657.
- Zhang, W. and Li, C. (2019). Study on the accounting system of forest resources balance sheet. *Journal of Natural Resources*, 34(6):1245–1258.

- Zhong, S., Geng, Y., Liu, W., Gao, C., and Chen, W. (2016). A bibliometric review on natural resource accounting during 1995–2014. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 139:122–132.
- Zhu, D. and Du, T. (2017). Accounting method and result analysis of cultivated land resource asset in China. *China Land Sciences*, 31(10):23–31.
- Zhu, D.-L., Duan, W.-J., Zhang, H., and Du, T. (2021). Natural resource balance sheet compilation: a land resource asset accounting case. *Journal of Chinese Governance*, 6(4):515–536.

6 Supplemental Materials

Data resources: We collect data on the physical quantities of the natural resource assets and liabilities in Shaanxi Province from 2013 to 2018. Most of the asset data are obtained from the Annual Shaanxi Provincial Land and Resources Bulletin, the Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook, and the Shaanxi Water Resources Bulletin on official websites. The liability data are acquired from the China Energy Statistical Yearbook, the Shaanxi Provincial Land and Resources Bulletin, the Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook, the China Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook, the Shaanxi Provincial Environmental Bulletin, the Carbon Emission Accounts and Datasets, and the China Statistical Yearbook on the Environment released by the government and scientific institutions. A detailed list of the physical quantities and unit prices of the natural resource assets and liabilities is reported in the Tables 7-10.

Monetary methods: We assessed the monetary value of the natural resource assets and liabilities in Shaanxi Province using a variety of methods. For regulated natural resources, the market price is used. For the value of land, due to the public and collective nature of ownership, we had access only to information about rents for cultivated land, gardens, woodland and grassland from the Chinese land transfer websites. The web crawler tool Bazhuayu (downloaded from www.bazhuayu.com/) was used to acquire the total rent data available on the land transfer websites. We deleted observations with missing data and cleaned the data to obtain the average rent for land in Shaanxi. The interest rate and the earning period are provided by Zhu and Du (2017) and the government, respectively. Then, the income capitalization approach is used to estimate the land prices. For the value of liabilities, the methods and related estimation processes in different disciplines are complex and complicated. Therefore, we apply a variety of market and nonmarket valuations published in the international literature to convert the provision of liabilities into monetary estimates of the anthropogenic damage to nature.

6.1 Indicators and Methodologies of NRBS

6.2 The data of natural resources assets and liabilities

The physical quantities and unit prices of the natural resource assets and liabilities from 2013 to 2018 for Shaanxi province is attached in six tables in supplemental materials. The support data of the NRBS involves six tables as follows. Supplementary data related to this article can be found online.

Table 7: Items description and monetary methodologies of natural resources assets

Accounting	Item	Monetary Method	Data Source
Land	Cultivated land	Income capitalization approach (ICA) is used for valuing the cultivated land at provincial scale. The calculation formula is $V = \frac{P}{R} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+R)^N}\right)$, where V is the present value of the land, P total rents of land, R is the rate of interest to land, N is the year of earning period. According to Zhu and Du (2017) , the price of unit hm^2 for Shaanxi Province is 158889.75 Yuan in 2016. The above ICA method is also used for valuing garden, woodland and grassland at provincial scale. The total rents of garden, woodland and grassland are obtained from the right websites. The average of interest rate is 5%. According to the Land Administration Law of the People's Republic of China, N is 30.	Physical Quantity Shaanxi Provincial Land and Resources Bulletin in 2013 Shaanxi Provincial Land and Resources Bulletin in 2018 Rents http://www.tuliu.com/ http://www.jutubao.com/ https://www.cnhnb.com/p/tudi/ Interest Rate Zhu and Du (2017) Earning Period: http://www.npc.gov.cn/
	Garden		
	Woodland		
	Grassland		
	Construction	Data on the land amount of construction, traffic and maintenance are obtained from Shaanxi Provincial Land and Resources Bulletin. The average price of unit hm^2 for land acquisition is $44.01 \times 10^7 \text{yuan}/\text{km}^2$ given by Department of Natural Resources of Shaanxi Province.	Land Acquisition Price http://zrzyt.shaanxi.gov.cn/info/1150/2780.htm http://zrzyt.shaanxi.gov.cn/info/1150/40632.htm
	Traffic land		
	Maintenance		
	Irrigation land	The price of irrigation land valued by Costanza et al. (1997) (\$ 14785/ha) is used. Data on the change of irrigation land are collected in China Forestry Statistical Yearbook.	
Energy	Oil	Replacement costs method is used for valuing energy resources such as oil, natural gas and coal. Since the historical price of energy resource in Shaanxi is unavailable. We refer to the historical price in the US. The US annual average price of the oil in 2013 and 2018 are \$100.95 and \$57.77/barrel. The replacement cost of gas between 2013 and 2018 in Shaanxi is $1.95 \text{ Yuan}/\text{m}^3$. The replacement costs of coal in 2013 and 2018 are \$84 and \$107/t.	Physical Quantity Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2013 Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2018 Price reference https://www.statista.com/ https://inflationdata.com/
	Natural gas		
	Coal		
Mineral	Sodimu Salt NaCl	The market value method is used for valuing mineral resources such as salt, gold, molybdenum and so on. The price of mineral resources in China is unavailable. We refer to the historical price in the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The annual price of salt, gold, molybdenum, lead, zinc, mercury, antimony, limestone, quartzite and iron are provided in the National Minerals Information Center of USGS. Data on the amount of mineral resources in Shaanxi province are obtained from Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2013 and 2018. Data on the other mineral resources are not recorded. The total monetary value of mineral is therefore underestimated.	Physical Quantity Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2013 Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2018 Price reference https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/commodity-statistics-and-information
	Rock Gold		
	Placer Gold		
	Associated God		
	Molybdenum		
	Lead		
	Zinc		
	Mercury		
	Antimony		
	Cement Limestone		
Glass Quartzite			
Iron			

Table 8: Items description and monetary methodologies of natural resources assets (continued)

Accounting	Item	Monetary Method	Data Source
Water	Surface water Groundwater Soil water	According to Yan et al. (2018) , water asset increases=rainfall+water inflows+socio-economic water return+others; water asset decreases=water utility+water outflows+others; closing stocks=opening stocks +water asset increases –water asset decreases. The unit price of water per m^3 estimated by Shaanxi Administration for Commodity Prices is applied for valuing water price in Shaanxi province. The average price between 2013 and 2018 is 4.69 Yuan/ m^3 .	Physical Quantity Shaanxi Water Resources Bulletin 2013 Shaanxi Water Resources Bulletin 2018 http://slt.shaanxi.gov.cn/ Price reference Bai et al. (2014)
Forest	Cultivated timber Natural timber	Market value method is used for valuing both natural and cultivated timber. According to China Forestry Statistical Yearbook, the unit price of timer in 2013 and 2018 are 754 Yuan/ m^3 and 569 Yuan/ m^3 . Data on non-timer forest are unavailable. The total forest asset is therefore underestimated.	Physical Quantity China Forestry Statistical Yearbook 2013 China Forestry Statistical Yearbook 2018

Table 9: Items description and monetary methodologies of natural resources liabilities

Accounting	Item	Monetary Method	Data Source
Resources Over-exploitation	Depletion of non-renewable Biodiversity loss	According to Long and Ji (2019) , the replacement cost method is employed. Since the price of energy and mineral resource is included, the detailed estimation is omitted here. Data on the consumption of energy resources are collected in China Energy Statistical Yearbook. Data on consumption of mineral resource are not reported in any official statistics. We convert the consumption of mineral resource into equivalent its production. Data on the production of mineral resource are obtained from Shaanxi Provincial Land and Resources Bulletin. Data on the biodiversity loss of Shaanxi are unavailable. We have to ignore the loss of biodiversity.	Physical Quantity China Energy Statistical Yearbook Shaanxi Provincial Land and Resources Bulletin
Environmental Pollution	Air pollution Water pollution Solid waste	The air pollution is rooted in the emission of SO_2 , NO_x and Suspended Particles (SP). The unit environmental cost of SO_2 , NO_x and SP estimated by Shen et al. (2017) is 1264 Yuan/t, 1264 Yuan/t and 550 Yuan/t, respectively. The water pollution includes the emission of COD and Ammonia Nitrogen. The unit cost of COD and Ammonia Nitrogen given by Shen et al. (2017) is 1400 Yuan/t and 1750 Yuan/t, respectively. Types of solid waste are household and industrial. The cost of the industrial solid waste includes general industrial solid waste disposal and storage as well as hazardous industrial solid waste disposal and storage. According to Long and Ji (2019) , the unit cost of general industrial solid waste disposal and storage is 75 Yuan/t and 15 Yuan/t. The unit cost of hazardous industrial solid waste disposal and storage is 1500 Yuan/t and 300 Yuan/t. The unit cost of household solid waste is 27 Yuan/t. All the data of environmental pollution are obtained from Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook except for the amount of household solid waste. The latter data are recorded in China Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook. Imputed Abatement Cost Method is used in the above items.	Physical Quantity Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2013 Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2018 China Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook 2013. China Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook 2018. Shaanxi Provincial Environmental Bulletin 2013 Shaanxi Provincial Environmental Bulletin 2018

Table 10: Items description and monetary methodologies of natural resources liabilities (continued)

Accounting	Item	Monetary Method	Data Source
Ecological Degradation	Climate change	The driver of climate change is the emission of greenhouse gas (GHG)(Srinivasan et al. (2008)). The average cost of GHG emissions between 1992 and 2012 estimated by Liang et al. (2015) is 47.03 Yuan/t. Data on GHG are obtained from the CEADs database provided by Shan et al. (2017, 2020, 2016).	Physical Quantity CEADs: Carbon Emission Accounts and Datasets https://www.ceads.net/
	Stratospheric ozone-layer depletion	The ozone depleting substances (ODS) is rooted in the emission of Chlorofluorocarbon. The related data are unavailable for Shaanxi Province during 2013-2018.	
	Agricultural intensification and expansion	Following Srinivasan et al. (2008), the agricultural intensification and expansion is measured by the external costs of crop production. The united external cost of crop estimated by Tegtmeier and Duffy (2004) is applied. The annual external costs of crop production for water,soil,air,biodiversity,human-health are 11.50,85.86, 10.85, 43.35, 38.64 Yuan/hm ² . Data on the area of crops are available in the Chapter of Total Sown Areas of Major Farm of Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook.	Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2018
	Overfishing	The value of overfishing is mainly about the gross output value of fishery. Data on the value of fishery are available in the Chapter of Gross Output Value of Fishery of Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook.	Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2018
	Flood prevention and soil erosion	Cost of flood prevention and soil erosion is estimated by ecological projects the investment of soil and water conservation. Since only economic costs are considered, this term is underestimated. The replacement cost method is employed in the above items.	China Statistical Yearbook on Environment 2018

Table 11: Data collection

Items	Files
Assets content in Chinese	Table 1 assets2013-2018 (Chinese).xlsx
Assets content in English	Table 2 assets2013-2018 (English).xlsx
Liabilities content in Chinese	Table 3 liability2013-2018(Chinese).xlsx
Liabilities content in English	Table 4 liability2013-2018(English).xlsx
Changes in Assets	Table 5 assets2013-2018 (English).xlsx
Changes in Liabilities	Table 6 liability2013-2018(English).xlsx