Maximum spread of K_r -minor free graphs^{*}

Wenyan Wang, Lele Liu, Yi Wang[†]

School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, P. R. China

Abstract

The spread of a graph is the difference between the largest and smallest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. In this paper, we investigate spread problems for graphs with excluded clique-minors. We show that for sufficiently large n, the *n*-vertex K_r -minor free graph with maximum spread is the join of a clique and an independent set, with r - 2 and n - r + 2 vertices, respectively.

1. Introduction

Consider an $n \times n$ complex matrix M with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$. The spread s(M) of M is defined as $s(M) = \max_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|$, which reflects the largest distance between any two eigenvalues of the matrix. The concept of spread was first introduced by Mirsky [25] in 1956, with further significant results appearing in [26]. Since then, the concept of spread has attracted significant attention from researchers; see, for example, [8, 16, 27, 31].

The spread of a matrix has also drawn interest in specific cases. Let G be a simple undirected graph of order n. The adjacency matrix of G, denoted by A(G), is an $n \times n$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the vertices of G. The (u, v)-entry of A(G) is 1 if u and v are adjacent, and 0 otherwise. Since A(G) is symmetric and real, its eigenvalues are real and can be ordered as $\lambda_1(G) \ge \lambda_2(G) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(G)$. In the context of the adjacency matrix A(G), the spread is simply the difference between the largest and smallest eigenvalues, denoted by

$$s(G) := \lambda_1(G) - \lambda_n(G).$$

Compared with the widely studied largest and smallest eigenvalues, the spread of graphs contains more information about the distribution of eigenvalues and reflects more of the global structure of graphs. The systematic study of the spread of graphs was initiated by Gregory, Hershkowitz, and Kirkland [13]. Since then, the spread of graphs has been widely investigated. A major focus in this area is to maximize or minimize the spread over a fixed family of graphs and characterize the corresponding extremal graphs that achieve these bounds. In 2001, Gregory, Hershkowitz, and Kirkland [13] made two significant conjectures. They first conjectured that

^{*}Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12171002, 12331012, 12471320), Excellent University Research and Innovation Team in Anhui Province (2024AH010002), and Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (2408085Y003).

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mathrm{Corresponding}$ author: wangy@ahu.edu.cn

the *n*-vertex graph with maximum spread is given by $K_{\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor} \vee \lceil n/3 \rceil K_1$, the join of the clique on $\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$ vertices and an independent set on $\lceil n/3 \rceil$ vertices. They also conjectured that if *G* maximizes spread over all *n*-vertex graphs with $m \leq \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ edges, then *G* must be bipartite. Subsequently, many scholars contributed to these two conjectures, such as unicyclic graphs [11, 18, 33], ∞ -bicyclic graphs (the cycles containing two edges that do not intersect) [34], bicyclic graphs [32], the family of all *n*-vertex graphs [2], graphs with a given matching number [18], girth [11, 32], or size [22], very recently for the family of planar and outerplanar graphs [12, 17, 20]. We would like to mention that Breen, Riasanovsky, Tait, and Urschel [2] confirmed these two conjectures.

A minor of a graph G is a graph obtained from G by means of a sequence of vertex deletions, edge deletions, and edge contractions. A graph is said to be H-minor free, if it does not contain H as a minor. Minors play a key role in graph theory, and extremal problems on forbidding minors have attracted appreciable amount of interest in the past decades, particularly in the case of K_r -minors. A natural way to construct a large K_r -minor free graph is by taking the join of K_{r-2} with n-r+2 independent vertices. This yields a K_r -minor free graph with $(r-2)n - {r-1 \choose 2}$ edges. Mader [23] proved that this construction achieves the maximum possible size for $r \leq 7$. However, this expression no longer holds for $r \geq 8$.

From spectral perspective, spectral extremal problems in graph theory, specifically for graphs excluding certain minors, have been extensively studied in recent decades. These investigations cover families such as outerplanar graphs [19, 29], planar graphs [1, 5, 9, 10, 29], and K_r -minor free graphs [28]. Notably, Tait [28] investigated the spectral radius of $K_{s,t}$ -minor free graphs and posed a conjecture regarding the structure of graphs with the maximum spectral radius within this class. This conjecture was later resolved by Zhai and Lin [36]. Recently, Zhai, Fang, and Lin [35] study some unified phenomena in graphs with maximum spectral radius and excluded general minors.

The main goal of this paper is to contribute to the study of the spread in graphs with excluded minors.

Problem 1.1. Let H be a graph. What is the maximum spread of an H-minor free graph of order n?

In recent years, there have been notable developments on this problem. Gotshall, O'Brien, and Tait [12] showed that for n sufficiently large, the n-vertex outerplanar graph with maximum spread is a vertex joined to a linear forest. They further conjectured that the extremal graph is $K_1 \vee P_{n-1}$. Recently, Li, Linz, Lu, and Wang [17] disproved this conjecture by showing that the extremal graph is instead $K_1 \vee (P_{\lceil (2n-1)/3 \rceil} \cup \lfloor (n-2)/3 \rfloor K_1)$. They also proved that the unique planar graph attaining the maximum spread is given by $(K_1 \cup K_1) \vee (P_{\lceil (2n-2)/3 \rceil} \cup \lfloor (n-4)/3 \rfloor K_1)$. Furthermore, Linz, Lu, and Wang [20] determined the maximum spread over all $K_{2,t}$ -minor free graphs on n vertices for $t \ge 2$ and n sufficiently large. Very recently, they extended this result to $K_{s,t}$ -minor free graphs for $t \ge s \ge 2$ [21].

In this paper, inspired by the results in [3, 12, 17, 20, 21], we determine the unique graph attaining the maximum spread among *n*-vertex K_r -minor free graphs. Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a K_r -minor free graph of order n. For $r \ge 3$ and n sufficiently large, we have

$$s(G) \le \sqrt{4(r-2)(n-r+2) + (r-3)^2},$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{r-2} \vee (n-r+2)K_1$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some necessary notations and lemmas are provided. In Section 3, we prove that the K_r -minor free graphs G with maximum spread must contain $K_{r-2,n-r+2}$ as a spanning subgraph for n sufficiently large and $r \ge 3$. In Section 4, using the novel Laurent series expansion method developed by Li, Linz, Lu, and Wang [17], we show that the maximum spread over all n-vertex K_r -minor free graphs is achieved by $K_{r-2} \lor (n-r+2)K_1$, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce definitions and notation that will be used throughout the paper, and prove some preliminary lemmas.

2.1. Notation

Consider a simple graph G of order n, the number of edges of G is called its size, and denoted by e(G). Given a subset X of the vertex set V(G) of G, the subgraph of G induced by X is denoted by G[X], and the graph obtained from G by deleting X is denoted by $G \setminus X$. As usual, for a vertex v of G we write $d_G(v)$ and $N_G(v)$ for the degree of v and the set of neighbors of v in G, respectively. If the underlying graph G is clear from the context, we use the notations d(v) and N(v). Let $N_X(v)$ denote the set of vertices in X that are adjacent to v, i.e., $N_X(v) = N_G(v) \cap X$. Let $G \cup H$ denote the disjoint union of G and H. The join $G \vee H$ of disjoint graphs of G and H is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by joining each vertex of G to each vertex of H.

Let I_n denote the identity matrix of order n, $\mathbf{1}_n$ denote the all-ones vector of length n, and $J_{p \times q}$ denote the all-ones matrix of dimensions $p \times q$. In the above notation, we will skip the subscripts when they are clear from context. For a positive integer n, let [n] denote the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

Let \boldsymbol{y} be an eigenvector of G corresponding to an eigenvalue λ of G. For each $v \in V(G)$, we have

$$\lambda y_v = \sum_{u \in N(v)} y_u$$

and refer it as the *eigen-equation* with respect to v and λ .

2.2. Useful results

This subsection collects some necessary results required for this paper. In 1967, Mader [24] showed a result on the number of edges in H-minor free graphs. **Theorem 2.1** ([24]). Let G be an n-vertex graph. For every graph H, if G is H-minor free, then there exists a constant C such that

$$e(G) \le Cn.$$

In 2007, Thomason [30] provided an upper bound on the size of $K_{s,t}$ -minor free bipartite graphs.

Theorem 2.2 ([30]). Let G be an n-vertex $K_{s,t}$ -minor free bipartite graph with two vertex partitions A and B, where $|A| \gg |B| > 0$. Then

$$e(G) \le (s-1) \cdot |A| + 4^{s+1} s! t \cdot |B|.$$

In 2004, Hong [14] determined the unique graph with the maximum spectral radius among K_5 -minor free graphs. More recently, Tait [28] extended Hong's result to K_r -minor free graphs by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 ([28]). Let G be the n-vertex K_r -minor free graphs with $r \ge 3$. If n is large enough, then

$$\lambda_1(G) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(r - 3 + \sqrt{4(r-2)(n-r+2) + (r-3)^2} \right),$$

with equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{r-2} \vee (n-r+2)K_1$.

We conclude this subsection with the following lemma, which can be proved by induction or double counting.

Lemma 2.1 ([6, Lemma 12]). Let A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k be k finite sets. Then

$$|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_k| \ge \sum_{i=1}^k |A_i| - (k-1) \Big| \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \Big|.$$

2.3. Preliminary results on K_r -minor free graphs

In light of Theorem 2.2, we have the following result on K_r -minor free bipartite graphs.

Lemma 2.2. Let G be an n-vertex K_r -minor free bipartite graph, with vertex partition A and B. If |A| = k and |B| = n - k, then there exists a constant C depending only on r such that

$$e(G) \le (r-2)n + Ck.$$

Proof. Noting that every $K_{r-1,r-1}$ -minor graph contains a K_r -minor by contracting some edges, we have that G is $K_{r-1,r-1}$ -minor free. Therefore, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2, as desired.

Throughout this paper, we set

$$\gamma_{n,r} := \sqrt{(r-2)(n-r+2)}.$$

Using Theorem 2.3, we can now prove the following result.

Lemma 2.3. Let G be an n-vertex K_r -minor free graph with maximum spread. Then

$$\gamma_{n,r} - \frac{r-3}{2} - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \le -\lambda_n(G) \le \lambda_1(G) \le \gamma_{n,r} + \frac{r-3}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(G) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(r - 3 + \sqrt{4\gamma_{n,r}^2 + (r - 3)^2} \right) = \gamma_{n,r} + \frac{r - 3}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$
(2.1)

Next, we establish the lower bound for $-\lambda_n(G)$. Observe that $K_{r-2,n-r+2}$ is K_r -minor free, which implies $s(G) \ge s(K_{r-2,n-r+2}) = 2\gamma_{n,r}$. Thus, we have

$$-\lambda_n(G) \ge 2\gamma_{n,r} - \lambda_1(G). \tag{2.2}$$

Substituting (2.1) into (2.2), we obtain

$$-\lambda_n(G) \ge \gamma_{n,r} - \frac{r-3}{2} - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

3. Structure of K_r -minor free graphs with maximum spread

In this section, we always assume that G is a graph attaining the maximum spread among all K_r -minor free graphs of order n. The aim of this section is to explore the general structure of G. We will show that G contains r-2 vertices, each with degree n-1, and that after removing these r-2 vertices, the resulant graph forms an independent set.

We now fix some notation. Let \boldsymbol{x} be a nonnegative eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1(G)$, and let \boldsymbol{z} be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_n(G)$. For convenience, we normalize both \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{z} so that their maximum entries in absolute value are 1. Without loss of generality, assume that there are two vertices u_0 and w_0 such that $x_{u_0} = 1$ and $|z_{w_0}| = 1$. Set $\lambda_1 := \lambda_1(G)$ and $\lambda_n := \lambda_n(G)$ for short. Let

$$V_+ = \{v : z_v > 0\}, V_- = \{v : z_v < 0\}, V_0 = \{v : z_v = 0\}.$$

Observing that z is a non-zero vector and the eigen-equations with respect to λ_n , we derive $V_+ \neq \emptyset$ and $V_- \neq \emptyset$. In this section, we always assume that $|V_+| \leq n/2$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we shall use $\operatorname{Vol}(S) = \sum_{v \in S} |z_v|$ to denote the volume of S.

Our sketchy strategy will be to firstly show that there are r-2 vertices of large degree (Lemma 3.4) and the remaining vertices have small eigenvector entry (Lemma 3.6). Then, we use these facts to show that the r-2 vertices of large degree must be adjacent to all other vertices (see Theorem 3.1).

Next, we will present a few simple lemmas that, while perhaps not optimal, are sufficient for our purposes. To begin with, we firstly give the following two facts for estimating the volume

of a subset S of V_+ , which will be used in following lemmas frequently. By considering the eigen-equation with repect to each $v \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{n}|^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(S) &= |\lambda_{n}|^{2} \sum_{v \in S} z_{v} = |\lambda_{n}| \sum_{v \in S} \left(-\sum_{u \in N(v)} z_{u} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_{-}} |\lambda_{n}| |z_{u}| \\ &= \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_{-}} \sum_{w \in N(u)} z_{w} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_{-}} \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap V_{+}} z_{w} \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_{+}} z_{w} \sum_{u \in N(w) \cap V_{-}} |N(u) \cap S| \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_{+}} z_{w} \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_{-}, S)|. \end{aligned}$$
(3.1)

In particular, if $S = \{s\} \subseteq V_+$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$|\lambda_n|^2 z_s \le \sum_{w \in V_+} z_w \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_-, S)| = \sum_{w \in V_+} z_w \cdot |N(w) \cap V_- \cap N(s)|.$$
(3.2)

Lemma 3.1. $Vol(V_+) = O(1)$.

Proof. Fix a sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$V_{+}^{(1)} = \{ v \in V_{+} : |N(v) \cap V_{-}| \ge \varepsilon n \}, \quad V_{+}^{(2)} = V_{+} \setminus V_{+}^{(1)}.$$

By the definition of $V_{+}^{(1)}$ and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$|V_{+}^{(1)}| \le \frac{E(V_{+}^{(1)}, V_{-})}{\varepsilon n} = O(1).$$
(3.3)

To finish the proof, we shall derive an relationship between $Vol(V_{+}^{(1)})$ and $Vol(V_{+}^{(2)})$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$|\lambda_n|^2 \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(2)}) \le \sum_{w \in V_+^{(1)}} z_w \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_-, V_+^{(2)})| + \sum_{w \in V_+^{(2)}} z_w \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_-, V_+^{(2)})|.$$
(3.4)

For each $w \in V_+^{(1)}$, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$|E(N(w) \cap V_{-}, V_{+}^{(2)})| \le |E(V_{-}, V_{+}^{(2)})| = O(n).$$
(3.5)

For each $w \in V_+^{(2)}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$|E(N(w) \cap V_{-}, V_{+}^{(2)})| \le (r-2)|V_{+}^{(2)}| + \varepsilon \cdot O(n) \le \frac{r-2}{2}n + \varepsilon \cdot O(n).$$
(3.6)

Hence, by (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we derive that

$$|\lambda_n|^2 \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(2)}) \le \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(1)}) \cdot O(n) + \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(2)}) \left(\frac{r-2}{2}n + \varepsilon \cdot O(n)\right),$$

which, together with Lemma 2.3, implies that

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}^{(2)}) \leq \frac{O(n)}{|\lambda_{n}|^{2} - (r-2)n/2 - \varepsilon \cdot O(n)} \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}^{(1)}) = O(1) \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}^{(1)}).$$

Noting that $\operatorname{Vol}(V_+) = \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(1)}) + \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(2)})$ and (3.3), we derive

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_+) \le O(1) \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(1)}) \le O(1) \cdot |V_+^{(1)}| = O(1).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. The following conclusions hold:

- (a) For each $v \in V_{-}$, $|z_v| = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$.
- (b) $w_0 \in V_+$.
- (c) $|N(w_0) \cap V_-| = \Omega(n)$.

Proof. (a) For any $v \in V_{-}$, by the eigen-equation with respect to v, we have

$$|\lambda_n||z_v| = \sum_{u \in N(v)} z_u \le \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_+} z_u \le \operatorname{Vol}(V_+).$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$|z_v| \le \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(V_+)}{|\lambda_n|} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

(b) Since $|z_{w_0}| = 1$ and $|z_v| = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ for each $v \in V_-$, it follows that $w_0 \in V_+$ and $z_{w_0} = 1$. (c) By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n|^2 &= |\lambda_n|^2 z_{w_0} \le \sum_{v \in V_+} z_v \cdot |N(v) \cap V_- \cap N(w_0)| \\ &\le |N(w_0) \cap V_-| \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(V_+). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $|N(w_0) \cap V_-| \ge \frac{|\lambda_n|^2}{\operatorname{Vol}(V_+)}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$|N(w_0) \cap V_-| = \Omega(n).$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. The following conclusions hold:

(a) $|V_{-}| \ge n - O(\sqrt{n}).$

(b) $|V_+| = O(\sqrt{n}).$

Proof. Choose a fixed constant C_1 , let

$$V_{+}^{(3)} = \{ v \in V_{+} : |N(v) \cap V_{-}| \ge C_{1}\sqrt{n} \}, \quad V_{+}^{(4)} = V_{+} \setminus V_{+}^{(3)}.$$
(3.7)

By the definition of V_+ and Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$|V_{+}^{(3)}| \le \frac{E(V_{+}^{(3)}, V_{-})}{C_{1}\sqrt{n}} \le O(\sqrt{n}).$$
(3.8)

By (3.1), we have

$$|\lambda_n|^2 \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(3)}) \le \sum_{w \in V_+^{(3)}} z_w \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_-, V_+^{(3)})| + \sum_{w \in V_+^{(4)}} z_w \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_-, V_+^{(3)})|.$$
(3.9)

For each $w \in V_+^{(3)}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$|E(N(w) \cap V_{-}, V_{+}^{(3)})| \le |E(V_{-}, V_{+}^{(3)})| \le (r-2)|V_{-}| + O(1) \cdot |V_{+}^{(3)}|.$$
(3.10)

For each $w \in V_+^{(4)}$, by Lemma 2.2 and the definition of $V_+^{(4)}$, we obtain

$$|E(N(w) \cap V_{-}, V_{+}^{(3)})| \le (r-2)|V_{+}^{(3)}| + O(\sqrt{n}).$$
(3.11)

Then, by (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we can deduce that

$$|\lambda_n|^2 \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(3)}) \le \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(3)}) \left[(r-2)|V_-| + O(1) \cdot |V_+^{(3)}| \right] + \operatorname{Vol}(V_+^{(4)}) \left[(r-2)|V_+^{(3)}| + O(\sqrt{n}) \right],$$

which implies that

$$|V_{-}| \ge \frac{|\lambda_{n}|^{2}}{r-2} - O(1) \cdot |V_{+}^{(3)}| - \left(|V_{+}^{(3)}| + O(\sqrt{n})\right) \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}^{(4)})}{\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}^{(3)})}.$$
(3.12)

Note that, by (3.7) and Corollary 3.1 (c), we have $w_0 \in V_+^{(3)}$, and so,

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}^{(3)}) \ge 1, \quad \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}^{(3)}) \le \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}) = O(1).$$
 (3.13)

Then, by (3.7), (3.12), (3.13), and Lemma 2.3, we have $|V_{-}| \ge n - O(\sqrt{n})$, and so, $|V_{+}| = O(\sqrt{n})$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

In what follows, let

$$V'_{+} = \{ v \in V_{+} : |N(v) \cap V_{-}| \ge n - C_2 \sqrt{n} \}, \quad V''_{+} = V_{+} \setminus V'_{+},$$
(3.14)

where C_2 is some large constant and chosen in next Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. We have

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V''_{+}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{r-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Vol}(V'_{+}),$$

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}) \leq \left(\frac{r}{r-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Vol}(V'_{+}).$$

Proof. It follows from (3.1) that

$$|\lambda_n|^2 \operatorname{Vol}(V_+) \le \sum_{w \in V'_+} z_w \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_-, V_+)| + \sum_{w \in V''_+} z_w \cdot |E(N(w) \cap V_-, V_+)|.$$
(3.15)

For each $w \in V'_+$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.2 that

$$|E(N(w) \cap V_{-}, V_{+})| \le |E(V_{-}, V_{+})| \le (r-2)|V_{-}| + O(1) \cdot |V_{+}| \le (r-2)n + O(\sqrt{n}).$$
(3.16)

For each $w \in V''_+$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|E(N(w) \cap V_{-}, V_{+})| \le (r-2)|N(w) \cap V_{-}| + O(1) \cdot |V_{+}| \le (r-2)(n - C_2\sqrt{n}) + O(\sqrt{n}).$$
(3.17)

Then, by (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n|^2 \operatorname{Vol}(V_+) &\leq \operatorname{Vol}(V'_+) \left[(r-2)n + O(\sqrt{n}) \right] + \operatorname{Vol}(V''_+) \left[(r-2)(n-C_2\sqrt{n}) + O(\sqrt{n}) \right] \\ &= \operatorname{Vol}(V_+) \left[(r-2)n + O(\sqrt{n}) \right] - \operatorname{Vol}(V''_+)(r-2)C_2\sqrt{n}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}'') \leq \frac{(r-2)n + O(\sqrt{n}) - |\lambda_{n}|^{2}}{(r-2)C_{2}\sqrt{n}} \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}).$$
(3.18)

By Lemma 2.3, we can choose some constant C_2 such that

$$\frac{(r-2)n + O(\sqrt{n}) - |\lambda_n|^2}{(r-2)C_2\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{1}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$
(3.19)

Then, it follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}'') \leq \left(\frac{1}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}).$$

Recall that $\operatorname{Vol}(V_+) = \operatorname{Vol}(V'_+) + \operatorname{Vol}(V''_+)$. From this, we can derive

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}'') \leq \frac{\frac{1}{r} + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})}{1 - \frac{1}{r} - O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})} \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}')$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{r-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Vol}(V_{+}').$$

We can also get that

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V_+) \le \left(\frac{r}{r-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Vol}(V'_+).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Now, we shall study the eigen-components of the eigenvector \boldsymbol{z} corresponding to λ_n .

Lemma 3.4. The following conclusions hold:

- (a) $|V'_{+}| = r 2$, and $w_0 \in V'_{+}$.
- (b) For each $v \in V(G) \setminus V'_+$, $d_{V_-}(v) = O(\sqrt{n})$.
- (c) For each $v \notin V'_+$, $|z_v| = O(n^{-1/2})$.
- (d) For each $v \in V'_+$, $z_v \ge 1 O(n^{-1/2})$.
- (e) For each $v \in V'_+$, $d(v) \ge n O(\sqrt{n})$.

Proof. (a) As the first step, we claim that $|V'_{+}| \leq r-2$. Assume to the contrary, suppose that $|V'_{+}| \geq r-1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r-1}\} \subseteq V'_{+}$. Then, for large enough n, by Lemma 2.1 and (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcap_{i=1}^{r-1} N_{V_{-}}(v_{i}) \right| &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} |N_{V_{-}}(v_{i})| - (r-2) \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} N_{V_{-}}(v_{i}) \right| \\ &\geq (r-1)(n - C_{2}\sqrt{n}) - (r-2)|V_{-}| \\ &\geq (r-1)(|V_{-}| - C_{2}\sqrt{n}) - (r-2)|V_{-}| \\ &= |V_{-}| - (r-1)C_{2}\sqrt{n} \\ &> r-1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{r-1}$ be r-1 vertices in $\bigcap_{i=1}^{r-1} N_{V_-}(v_i)$. By contracting the edges v_1u_1 , $v_2u_2, \ldots, v_{r-2}u_{r-2}$ and combining the vertices $\{v_{r-1}, u_{r-1}\}$, we obtain a K_r minor of G, a contradiction. Hence, we have

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V'_{+}) \le |V'_{+}| \le r - 2,$$

and by Lemma 3.3, it is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{Vol}(V''_{+}) \le \left(\frac{1}{r-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \cdot (r-2) < 1.$$

This implies that $w_0 \notin V''_+$ since $z_{w_0} = 1$.

Next, we shall show that $|V'_+| \ge r-2$. By contradiction, suppose that $|V'_+| \le r-3$. For $w_0 \in V'_+$, by (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n|^2 &= |\lambda_n|^2 z_{w_0} \le \sum_{w \in V_+} z_w \cdot |N(w) \cap V_- \cap N(w_0)| \\ &\le |V_+'| \cdot d_{V_-}(w_0) + \sum_{w \in V_+''} d_{V_-}(w_0) z_w \\ &\le |V_+'| \cdot n + \operatorname{Vol}(V_+'') \cdot n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 and $|V_{+}^{'}| \leq r - 3$ that

$$|\lambda_n|^2 \le |V'_+| \cdot n + \left(\frac{1}{r-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Vol}(V'_+) \cdot n$$

$$\leq (r-3)n + \left(\frac{1}{r-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \cdot (r-3) \cdot n$$
$$= \left(r-2 - \frac{2}{r-1}\right)n + O(\sqrt{n}),$$

contradicting the lower bound of $|\lambda_n|$. Hence, $|V'_+| = r - 2$.

(b) For each $v \notin V'_+$, we shall prove $d_{V_-}(v) \leq (r-1)C_2\sqrt{n}$. Assume to the contrary, suppose that $d_{V_-}(v) > (r-1)C_2\sqrt{n}$. Let $V'_+ = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r-2}\}$. Then for large enough n, by Lemma 2.1 and (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| N_{V_{-}}(v) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{r-2} N_{V_{-}}(v_{i}) \right) \right| &\geq d_{V_{-}}(v) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} |N_{V_{-}}(v_{i})| - (r-2) \left| N_{V_{-}}(v) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r-2} N_{V_{-}}(v_{i}) \right) \right| \\ &\geq d_{V_{-}}(v) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} |N_{V_{-}}(v_{i})| - (r-2)|V_{-}| \\ &> (r-1)C_{2}\sqrt{n} + (r-2)(n-C_{2}\sqrt{n}) - (r-2)|V_{-}| \\ &> (r-1)C_{2}\sqrt{n} - (r-2)C_{2}\sqrt{n} \\ &> r-1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{r-1}$ be r-1 vertices in the common neighbors. By contracting the edges $v_1u_1, v_2u_2, \ldots, v_{r-2}u_{r-2}$ and combining the vertices $\{v, u_{r-1}\}$, we obtain a K_r minor of G, which contradicts the fact that G is K_r -minor free.

(c) Recall Corollary 3.1 (a), we have $|z_v| = O(n^{-1/2})$ for each $v \in V_-$. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the case $v \in V''_+$. By (3.2), we have

$$\lambda_n |^2 z_v = \sum_{w \in V_+} z_w \cdot |N(w) \cap V_- \cap N(v)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{w \in V_+} z_w d_{V_-}(v)$$

$$= O(\sqrt{n}), \qquad (3.20)$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 (b). By Lemma 2.3 and (3.20), we have

$$z_v \le \frac{O(\sqrt{n})}{|\lambda_n|^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

(d) For each $v \in V'_+ \setminus \{w_0\}$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n|(1-z_v) &= -\sum_{u \in N(w_0)} z_u + \sum_{w \in N(v)} z_w \\ &= -\sum_{u \in N(w_0) \setminus N(v)} z_u + \sum_{w \in N(v) \setminus N(w_0)} z_w \\ &\leq \sum_{u \in (N(w_0) \setminus N(v)) \cap V_-} |z_u| + \sum_{w \in (N(v) \setminus N(w_0)) \cap V_+} z_w \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} |N_{V_-}(w_0) \setminus N_{V_-}(v)| \cdot O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) + \operatorname{Vol}(V_+) \end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{(2)}{\leq} (|V_{-}| - |N_{V_{-}}(v)|) \cdot O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) + O(1) \\
\overset{(3)}{\leq} (n - (n - C_{2}\sqrt{n})) \cdot O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) + O(1) \\
= O(1),$$
(3.21)

where (1) follows from Lemma 3.4 (c), (2) follows from Lemma 3.1, and (3) follows from (3.14). The result immediately follows from Lemma 2.3 and (3.21).

(e) For each vertex $v \in V'_+$, by (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n|^2 z_v &\leq \sum_{w \in V_+} z_w \cdot |N(w) \cap V_- \cap N(v)| z_w \\ &\leq \sum_{w \in V'_+} d_{V_-}(v) z_w + O(\sqrt{n}) \\ &\leq (r-2) d_{V_-}(v) + O(\sqrt{n}), \end{aligned}$$
(3.22)

and so, by Lemma 2.3, Lemma 3.4 (d), and (3.22), we obtain

$$d(v) \ge d_{V_{-}}(v) \ge \frac{|\lambda_n|^2}{r-2} \cdot z_v - O(\sqrt{n}) \ge n - O(\sqrt{n}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

The Lemma 3.4(a) establishes that there are exactly r-2 vertices in V'_+ and $w_0 \in V'_+$. Let us denote $V'_+ = \{v_1 = w_0, v_2, \dots, v_{r-2}\}$. For convenience, we set

$$L := V'_{+},$$

$$U := \{ v \in V(G) \setminus L : |N(v) \cap L| = r - 2 \},$$

$$V := V(G) \setminus (L \cup U).$$

(3.23)

In the following consequence, we shall give some rough characterizations for U and V.

Lemma 3.5. For sufficiently large n, the following statements hold.

- (a) $|U| \ge n O(\sqrt{n}).$
- (b) For each $v \in U$, $N(v) \cap U = \emptyset$.
- (c) For each $v \in V$, $|N(v) \cap U| \le 1$
- (d) For any vertices $p, q \in V$, if each of them have exactly one neighbor in U, set $N(p) \cap U =: \tilde{p}$, $N(q) \cap U =: \tilde{q}$. If $\tilde{p} \neq \tilde{q}$, then p and q lie in different components of G[V].

Proof. (a) Note that $|U| = \left| \bigcap_{i=1}^{r-2} N(v_i) \right|$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.4 (e), we have

$$|U| \ge \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} |N(v_i)| - (r-3) \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-2} N(v_i) \right|$$

$$\geq (r-2)\left(n - O(\sqrt{n})\right) - (r-3)n$$
$$= n - O(\sqrt{n}).$$

This proves statement (a).

(b) Assume to the contrary, suppose $|N(v) \cap U| \ge 1$ for some $v \in U$, then there is an edge $st \in E(G[U])$. Since $|U| \ge n - O(\sqrt{n})$, we can select r-3 vertices $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{r-3}\} \subseteq U$. Recall that $L = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r-2}\}$. By contracting the edges $v_1u_1, v_2u_2, \ldots, v_{r-3}u_{r-3}$ and combining the vertices $\{v_{r-2}, s, t\}$, we see that G has a K_r minor, a contradiction.

(c) Assume to the contrary, suppose that there is a vertex $v \in V$ such that $N(v) \cap U = \{s_1, s_2\}$. By contracting the path s_1vs_2 into the edge s_1s_2 , we create an edge within U. Using the same arguments as statement (b), we can identify a K_r -minor in G, leading to a contradiction.

(d) For two arbitrary vertices $p, q \in V$, suppose p and q have exactly one neighbor \tilde{p} and \tilde{q} in U, respectively, and $\tilde{p} \neq \tilde{q}$. Assume, for contradiction, that p and q are connected by a path P in G[V]. Then, we can contract the path $\tilde{p}pPq\tilde{q}$ into an edge $\tilde{p}\tilde{q}$. Using the same arguments as statement (b), we can identify a K_r -minor in G, thereby proving statement (d).

Recall that \boldsymbol{x} is the normalized eigenvector corresponding to the spectral radius of A(G), and u_0 is a vertex such that $x_{u_0} = 1$. Next, we shall investigate the components of the eigenvector \boldsymbol{x} .

Lemma 3.6. For sufficiently large n, we have

- (a) $u_0 \in L$.
- (b) $x_v \ge 1 O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ for $v \in L \setminus \{u_0\}$.
- (c) $x_v = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ for $v \notin L$.

Proof. We now simultaneously establish (a) and (c). For each $v \notin L$, we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1}^{2} x_{v} &= \lambda_{1} \sum_{u \in N(v)} x_{u} \\ &= \lambda_{1} \left(\sum_{u \in N(v) \cap U} x_{u} + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap L} x_{u} + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V} x_{u} \right) \\ \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} (r-2)\lambda_{1} + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V} \sum_{w \in N(u)} x_{w} \\ &= (r-2)\lambda_{1} + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V} \left(\sum_{w \in N(u) \cap U} x_{w} + \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap L} x_{w} + \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap V} x_{w} \right) \\ \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} (r-2)\lambda_{1} + |N(v) \cap V| + (r-3)|N(v) \cap V| + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V} \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap V} x_{w} \\ &\leq (r-2)\lambda_{1} + |r-2)|N(v) \cap V| + 2|E(G[V])| \\ \stackrel{(3)}{\equiv} (r-2)\lambda_{1} + O(|V|). \end{split}$$
(3.24)

Here, both (1) and (2) can be derived from Lemma 3.5 (b),(c) and (3.23), and (3) follows from Theorem 2.1. By Lemma 3.5 (a), we obtain

$$V| = n - |L| - |U| \le n - (r - 2) - (n - O(\sqrt{n})) = O(\sqrt{n}).$$
(3.25)

It follows from Lemma 2.3, (3.24) and (3.25) that

$$x_v \le \frac{r-2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{O(|V|)}{\lambda_1^2} = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}),$$

and so, $u_0 \in L$ since $x_{u_0} = 1$.

(b) We consider an arbitrary vertex $v \in L \setminus \{u_0\}$. By the eigen-equation with respect to v and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_{1}(1 - x_{v}) = \lambda_{1}(x_{u_{0}} - x_{v})$$

$$= \sum_{u \in N(u_{0})} x_{u} - \sum_{w \in N(v)} x_{w}$$

$$= \sum_{u \in N(u_{0}) \setminus N(v)} x_{u} - \sum_{w \in N(v) \setminus N(u_{0})} x_{w}$$

$$\leq \sum_{u \in V} x_{u} + (r - 3)x_{u_{0}}$$

$$\leq |V| \cdot O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) + r - 3 \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.6 (c))}$$

$$= O(1). \quad \text{(by (3.25))}$$
(3.26)

The result immediately follows from Lemma 2.3 and (3.26).

Now, we are ready to show the main theorem of this section which indicate that G contains r-2 vertices that are adjacent to each of the rest of the n-r+2 vertices for n is sufficiently large.

Theorem 3.1. Let $r \ge 3$, and G be an n-vertex K_r -minor free graph with maximum spread. If n is sufficiently large, we have $G = G[L] \lor (n - r + 2)K_1$, where $G[L] \subseteq K_{r-2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, it suffices to show that $V = \emptyset$. Suppose that $|V| = t \ge 1$. By Theorem 2.1, we know that the average degree of G[V] is less than 2C. Thus, there is a vertex $u_1 \in V$ such that $d_{G[V]}(u_1) \le 2C - 1$. By Lemma 3.5 (c), we can conclude that $d_{G[V\cup U]}(u_1) \le 2C$. Then deleting the vertex u_1 and the all edges in G[V] incident to u_1 . We can obtain the graph $G[V \setminus \{u_1\}]$. Clearly, $G[V \setminus \{u_1\}]$ is K_r -minor free and the average degree of $G[V \setminus \{u_1\}]$ is also less than 2C. Hence there is $u_2 \in V_2$, and $d_{G[V \setminus \{u_1\}]}(u_2) \le 2C - 1$. Now, repeat the above process until the last vertex u_t in V, then the vertices of V can be rearranged by u_1, \ldots, u_t .

Let G' be obtained from G by removing the 2C edges (possibly less than 2C edges) in $G[V \cup U]$ incident to $u_i \in V$ sequentially, and then connect u_i to those vertices in L that are not adjacent to u_i . It is easy to see G' is also K_r -minor free, and $G' \subseteq K_{r-2} \vee (n-r+2)K_1$.

Furthermore, we firstly claim that $\lambda_1(G') > \lambda_1(G)$. Since

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\lambda_{1}(G')\geq \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}}A(G')\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$\geq \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G) \boldsymbol{x} - 2 \sum_{u_i \in V} 2C \cdot O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \cdot x_{u_i} + 2 \sum_{u_i \in V} x_{u_i} \cdot \min\{x_{v_1}, \dots, x_{v_{r-2}}\}$$

$$\geq \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G) \boldsymbol{x} - 2 \sum_{u_i \in V} 2C \cdot O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \cdot x_{u_i} + 2 \sum_{u_i \in V} x_{u_i} \left(1 - O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})\right)$$

$$> \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G) \boldsymbol{x},$$

where the second inequality and the third inequality follow from Lemma 3.6 (c) and (b), respectively.

We also claim that $\lambda_n(G') < \lambda_n(G)$. In fact, let \tilde{z} be the vector such that $\tilde{z}_u = z_u$ if $u \in L \cup U$ and $\tilde{z}_u = -|z_{u_i}|$ if $u \in V$. Then, by Lemma 3.4 (c) and (d), we derive that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}} \cdot \lambda_{n}(G') &\leq \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G') \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}} \\ &\leq \boldsymbol{z}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G) \boldsymbol{z} + 2 \sum_{u_{i} \in V} \sum_{y \in N_{G[V \cup U]}(u_{i})} |z_{y} z_{u_{i}}| - 2 \sum_{u_{i} \in V} |z_{u_{i}}| \cdot \min\{z_{v_{1}}, \dots, z_{v_{r-2}}\} \\ &\leq \boldsymbol{z}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G) \boldsymbol{z} + 2 \sum_{u_{i} \in V} 2C \cdot O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \cdot |z_{u_{i}}| - 2 \sum_{u_{i} \in V} |z_{u_{i}}| \cdot \left(1 - O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})\right) \\ &< \boldsymbol{z}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G) \boldsymbol{z}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $s(G') = \lambda_1(G') - \lambda_n(G') > \lambda_1(G) - \lambda_n(G) = s(G)$, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

4. The extremal graph of K_r -minor free graphs with maximum spread

In Section 3, we proved that for sufficiently large n, an n-vertex K_r -minor free graph G with maximum spread admits a partition of $V(G) = L \cup U$ such that G[U] is an independent set of size n - r + 2. In this section, using the novel Laurent series expansion method developed by Li, Linz, Lu, and Wang [17], we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. Let $r \ge 3$, and G be the n-vertex K_r -minor free graph with maximum spread. If n is large enough, then the spread of G satisfies

$$s(G) = 2\gamma_{n,r} + \frac{1}{r-2} \left(-\frac{3}{4(r-2)} \ell_1^2 + \ell_2 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,r}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{n,r}^3}\right),$$

where

$$\ell_1 = \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G[L]) \mathbf{1}, \quad \ell_2 = \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A(G[L])^2 \mathbf{1}.$$
 (4.1)

Proof. Let λ be a non-zero eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of G, and let \boldsymbol{y} be a normalized eigenvector corresponding to λ such that $y_{v_{r-1}} = \cdots = y_{v_n} = 1$. Let A_L denote the adjacency matrix of the induced subgraph G[L], and let \boldsymbol{y}_L denote the restriction of \boldsymbol{y} to the vertices of L.

By Theorem 3.1, the adjacency matrix of G can be written as

$$A(G) = \begin{bmatrix} A_L & J \\ J^{\mathrm{T}} & O \end{bmatrix}, \quad J \in \mathbb{R}^{(r-2) \times (n-r+2)}.$$
(4.2)

Since λ is an eigenvalue of A(G), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_L & J \\ J^{\mathrm{T}} & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_L \\ \boldsymbol{1} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_L \\ \boldsymbol{1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As a consequence,

$$A_L \boldsymbol{y}_L + (n - r + 2) \cdot \boldsymbol{1} = \lambda \boldsymbol{y}_L.$$
(4.3)

If $|\lambda| > \lambda_1(A_L)$, in light of (4.3) we have

$$y_{L} = (n - r + 2) \cdot (\lambda I - A_{L})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

= $(n - r + 2) \cdot \lambda^{-1} (I - \lambda^{-1} A_{L})^{-1} \mathbf{1}$
= $(n - r + 2) \cdot \lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-1} A_{L})^{k} \mathbf{1}$
= $(n - r + 2) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} A_{L}^{k} \mathbf{1}.$ (4.4)

Here we use the assumption that $|\lambda| > \lambda_1(A_L)$ so that the infinite series converges. By the eigen-equation at vertex v_{r-1} and (4.4), we have

$$\lambda = \lambda y_{v_{r-1}} = \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{L} = \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot (n - r + 2) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} A_{L}^{k} \mathbf{1}$$
$$= (n - r + 2) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A_{L}^{k} \mathbf{1}$$
$$= \frac{\gamma_{n,r}^{2}}{\lambda} + (n - r + 2) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A_{L}^{k} \mathbf{1}.$$
(4.5)

Set $\ell_k := \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A_L^k \mathbf{1}$ and $a_k := (n - r + 2)\ell_k$ for $k \ge 0$. Notices that $\ell_1 = \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A_L \mathbf{1} = \sum_{v \in L} d_{G[L]}(v) = 2 |E(G[L])|$ and $\ell_2 = \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A_L^2 \mathbf{1} = \sum_{v \in L} d_{G[L]}(v)^2$. It follows from (4.5) that

$$\lambda^2 = \gamma_{n,r}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\lambda^k}.$$
(4.6)

According to Lemma 2.3, both $\lambda_1(G)$ and $\lambda_n(G)$ satisfy Equation (4.6). The next claim demonstrates that these are the only eigenvalues that do so.

Claim 4.1. $|\lambda_i(G)| \leq \lambda_1(A_L)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof. In view of (4.2), we see

$$A(G) = \begin{bmatrix} A_L & J \\ J^{\mathrm{T}} & O \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_L & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} O & J \\ J^{\mathrm{T}} & O \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, the eigenvalues of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} O \\ J^T \\ O \end{bmatrix}$ are $\gamma_{n,r}$, $-\gamma_{n,r}$, and 0 with multiplicity n-2. By Weyl's inequalities [15, Theorem 4.3.1], for $i = 2, \ldots, n-1$, we obtain

$$\lambda_i(A(G)) \le \lambda_1(A_L) + \lambda_i\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} O & J \\ J^{\mathrm{T}} & O \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right) = \lambda_1(A_L),$$

and

$$\lambda_i(A(G)) \ge \lambda_n(A_L) + \lambda_i\left(\begin{bmatrix} O & J\\ J^{\mathrm{T}} & O \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda_n(A_L) \ge -\lambda_1(A_L).$$

Hence $|\lambda_i(G)| \le |\lambda_1(A_L)|$ for $2 \le i \le n-1$.

By Lemma 27 in the appendix of [17], $\lambda_1(G)$ has the following series expansion:

$$\lambda_1(G) = \gamma_{n,r} + c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\gamma_{n,r}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{n,r}^2}\right),$$

while $\lambda_n(G)$ is given by the series expansion:

$$\lambda_n(G) = -\gamma_{n,r} + c_1 - \frac{c_2}{\gamma_{n,r}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{n,r}^2}\right).$$

Here, c_1 and c_2 are determined from (4.6) and [3, Lemma 11] as

$$c_{1} = \frac{a_{1}}{2\gamma_{n,r}^{2}} = \frac{\ell_{1}}{2(r-2)},$$

$$c_{2} = -\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{a_{1}}{\gamma_{n,r}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_{2}}{\gamma_{n,r}^{2}} = -\frac{3}{8(r-2)^{2}} \ell_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2(r-2)} \ell_{2}.$$

Therefore, the spread of G is

$$s(G) = 2\gamma_{n,r} + \frac{2c_2}{\gamma_{n,r}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{n,r}^3}\right)$$

= $2\gamma_{n,r} + \frac{1}{r-2}\left(-\frac{3}{4(r-2)}\ell_1^2 + \ell_2\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,r}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{n,r}^3}\right).$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

The following lemma is needed.

Lemma 4.2 ([4, 7]). Let H be a simple graph of order n with m edges. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(v_i)^2 \le m\left(\frac{2m}{n-1} + n - 2\right),\,$$

with equality holding if and only if $H \cong K_{1,n-1}$ or $H \cong K_n$ or $H \cong K_{n-1} \cup K_1$.

Now, we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a graph achieving the maximum spread among all K_r -minor free graphs of order n. It suffices to show that $G = K_{r-2} \vee (n-r+2)K_1$. The assertion follows directly from Theorem 3.1 for r = 3. From this point forward, we assume $r \ge 4$. Recall that $\ell_1 = \sum_{v \in V(L)} d_L(v)$ and $\ell_2 = \sum_{v \in V(L)} d_L(v)^2$. By (4.1), if $G[L] \cong K_{r-2}$, then

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2(r-2)} \cdot \left(\ell_2 - \frac{3}{4(r-2)}\ell_1^2\right) = \frac{(r-3)^2}{8}.$$
(4.7)

£		I	
L		I	
L	_	I	

We now proceed by contradiction to show that $G[L] \cong K_{r-2}$. Suppose this is not the case. Using (4.1) and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$c_{2} = \frac{1}{2(r-2)} \cdot \left(\ell_{2} - \frac{3}{4(r-2)}\ell_{1}^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2(r-2)} \cdot \left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{r-3} + r - 4\right) - \frac{3}{4(r-2)}\ell_{1}^{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(r-2)} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{2(r-3)} - \frac{3}{4(r-2)}\right)\ell_{1}^{2} + \frac{r-4}{2}\ell_{1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(r-2)} \cdot \left(\frac{-r+5}{4(r-2)(r-3)}\ell_{1}^{2} + \frac{r-4}{2}\ell_{1}\right)$$

$$< \frac{(r-3)^{2}}{8},$$

where the last inequality holds because G[L] is a proper subgraph of K_{r-2} , and

$$f(\ell_1) := \frac{-r+5}{4(r-2)(r-3)}\ell_1^2 + \frac{r-4}{2}\ell_1$$

is monotonically increasing as a function of ℓ_1 over the interval $[0, +\infty)$ when $r \in \{4, 5\}$, and over the interval [0, (r-2)(r-3)(r-4)/(r-5)] when $r \ge 6$. Hence, $s(G) < s(K_{r-2} \lor (n-r+2)K_1)$ by Lemma 4.1 and (4.7), a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Prof. Mingqing Zhai for his helpful discussions and comments.

References

- B.N. Boots, G.F. Royle, A conjecture on the maximum value of the principal eigenvalue of a planar graph, Geogr. Anal. 23 (1991) 276–282.
- [2] J. Breen, A.W.N. Riasanovsky, M. Tait, J. Urschel, Maximum spread of graphs and bipartite graphs, Comm. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (2022) 417–480. 2
- [3] G. Brooks, M. Gu, J. Hyatt, W. Linz, L.Y Lu, On the maximum second eigenvalue of outerplanar graphs, available at arXiv: 2309.08548, 2023. 2, 17
- [4] D. de Caen, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a graph, Discrete Math. 185 (1998) 245–248.
- [5] D.S. Cao, A. Vince, The spectral radius of a planar graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 187 (1993) 251–257. 2
- [6] S. Cioabă, L. Feng, M. Tait, X. Zhang, The maximum spectral radius of graphs without Friendship subgraphs, Electron. J. Combin. 27 (4) (2020) #P4.22. 4

- [7] K.C. Das, Sharp bounds for the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, Kragujevac J. Math. 25 (2003) 31–49. 17
- [8] E. Deutsch, On the spread of matrices and polynomials, Linear Algebra Appl. 22 (1978) 49–55. 1
- [9] Z. Dvořák, B. Mohar, Spectral radius of finite and infinite planar graphs and of graphs of bounded genus, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 100 (6) (2010) 729–739. 2
- [10] M.N. Ellingham, X.Y. Zha, The spectral radius of graphs on surfaces, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 78 (2000) 45–56. 2
- [11] Y.Z. Fan, Y. Wang, Y.B. Gao, Minimizing the least eigenvalues of unicyclic graphs with application to spectral spread, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2–3) (2008) 577–588. 2
- [12] D. Gotshall, M. O'Brien and M. Tait, On the spread of outerplanar graphs, Spec. Matrices 10 (1) (2022) 299–307. 2
- [13] D.A. Gregory, D. Hershkowitz, S.J. Kirkland, The spread of the spectrum of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 332/334 (2001) 23–35. 1
- [14] Y. Hong, Tree-width, clique-minors, and eigenvalues, Discrete Math. 274 (2004) 281–287. 4
- [15] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis (2nd ed.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. 16
- [16] C.R. Johnson, R. Kumar, H. Wolkowicz, Lower bounds for the spread of a matrix, Linear Algebra Appl. 71 (1985) 161–173. 1
- [17] Z.L. Li, W. Linz, L.Y. Lu, Z.Y. Wang, On the maximum spread of planar and outerplanar graphs, Electron. J. Combin. 31 (3) (2024) # P3.25. 2, 3, 15, 17
- [18] X.L. Li, J.B. Zhang, B. Zhou, The spread of unicyclic graphs with given size of maximum matchings, J. Math. Chem. 42 (4) (2007) 775–788. 2
- [19] H.Q. Lin, B. Ning, A complete solution to the Cvetković-Rowlinson conjecture, J. Graph Theory 97 (3) (2021) 441–450. 2
- [20] W. Linz, L.Y. Lu, Z.Y. Wang, Maximum spread of $K_{2,t}$ -minor-free graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 676 (2023) 352–373. 2
- [21] W. Linz, L.Y. Lu, Z.Y. Wang, Maximum spread of $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs, available at arXiv: 2404.18969, 2024. 2
- [22] B.L Liu, M.H Liu, On the spread of the spectrum of a graph, Discrete Math. 309 (9) (2009) 2727–2732. 2
- [23] W. Mader, Homomorphiesätze für Graphen, Math. Ann. 178 (1968) 154–168. 2

- [24] W. Mader, Homomorphieeigenschaften und mittlere Kantendichte von Graphen, Math. Ann. 174 (1967) 265–268. 3, 4
- [25] L. Mirsky, The spread of a matrix, Mathematika 3 (2) (1956) 127–130. 1
- [26] L. Mirsky, Inequalities for normal and Hermitian matrices, Duke Math. J. 24 (1957) 591–599.
 1
- [27] P. Nylen, T.Y. Tam, On the spread of a Hermitian matrix and a conjecture of Thompson, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 37 (1–3) (1994) 3–11. 1
- [28] M. Tait, The Colin de Verdière parameter, excluded minors, and the spectral radius, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 166 (2019) 42–58. 2, 4
- [29] M. Tait, J. Tobin, Three conjectures in extremal spectral graph theory, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 126 (2017) 137–161. 2
- [30] A. Thomason, Disjoint complete minors and bipartite minors, European J. Combin. 28 (2007) 1779–1783. 4
- [31] R.C. Thompson, The eigenvalue spreads of a Hermitian matrix and its principal submatrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra 32 (3–4) (1992) 327–333. 1
- [32] B. Wang, M.Q. Zhai, J.L. Shu, On the spectral spread of bicyclic graphs with given girth, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. 29 (3) (2013) 517–528. 2
- [33] Y.R. Wu, J.L. Shu, The spread of the unicyclic graphs, European J. Combin. 31 (1) (2010) 411–418. 2
- [34] M.Q. Zhai, Structure variables and eigenvalues of graphs, Ph.D. thesis, East China Normal University, 2010. 2
- [35] M.Q. Zhai, L.F. Fang, H.Q. Lin, Eigenvalues and graph minors, available at arXiv: 2404.13389, 2024. 2
- [36] M.Q. Zhai, H.Q. Lin, Spectral extrema of $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs–On a conjecture of M. Tait, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 157 (2022) 184–215. 2