

DECOMPOSITIONS OF POWERS OF QUADRICS

COSIMO FLAVI

ABSTRACT. We analyze the problem of determining Waring decompositions of the powers of any quadratic form over the field of complex numbers. Our main goal is to provide information about their rank and also to obtain decompositions whose size is as close as possible to this value. This problem is classical and these forms assume importance especially because of their invariance under the action of the special orthogonal group. We give the detailed procedure to prove that the apolar ideal of the s -th power of a quadratic form is generated by the harmonic polynomials of degree $s + 1$. We also generalize and improve some of the results on real decompositions given by B. Reznick in his notes of 1992, focusing on possibly minimal decompositions and providing new ones, both real and complex. We investigate the rank of the second power of a non-degenerate quadratic form in n variables, which in most cases is equal to $(n^2 + n + 2)/2$, and also give some results on powers of ternary quadratic forms.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Preliminaries.....	6
3. Apolarity and powers of quadrics	22
4. Tight decompositions.....	30
5. Estimates of the rank	56
References	83

1. INTRODUCTION

A *Waring decomposition* of size r of a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d is an expression of f as a linear combination of the d -th powers of r linear forms. That is, f can be written as

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^r \ell_j^d,$$

where $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r \in \mathbb{C}^{n*}$. The minimum of such a natural number r is known as the *Waring rank* of f , which we denote by $\text{rk } f$. The problem of determining this value for a certain polynomial is in general not easy, and currently there is no efficient method to approach the problem. In this paper, we focus on the Waring rank of powers of quadratic forms. That is, for any quadratic form q_n of rank n , we analyze the problem of determining suitable decompositions of q_n^s , with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, focusing on the minimal ones. Our starting point is to extend some of the results given by B. Reznick in [Rez92], where he focused only on real decompositions. After generalizing to the field of complex numbers, we also provide new decompositions and some estimates of the rank. The main cases we consider are the forms in three variables and the square of quadratic forms in any number of variables. We begin with a brief overview of the theory of Waring decompositions and their applications. We then describe the contents of this paper in more detail.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14N07, 14N15, 15A69.

Key words and phrases. Additive decompositions, quadratic forms, symmetric tensor rank.

1.1. Sum of powers and Waring rank

The Waring rank of a polynomial takes its name from E. Waring, who in 1770, with the famous *Waring problem*, asked whether for every natural number k there exists a positive integer s such that every natural number is the sum of at most s natural numbers raised to the power k (see [War91]). This fascinating problem of number theory, after remaining open for over a century, was solved in 1909 by D. Hilbert, who provided a proof of the affirmative answer, also known as the Hilbert-Waring theorem (see [Hil09]). Determining the rank of polynomials is a rather classical problem and is nowadays approached with the languages of symmetric tensors. Indeed, once a basis is fixed, the symmetric algebra of a vector space of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponds to a polynomial ring in n variables (see Proposition 2.26). Especially in recent years, tensor decomposition has found many applications in various branches of science, both theoretical and applied. Indeed scientific data are often collected in multidimensional arrays, which are treated as tensors. Some overviews of various applications related to tensor decompositions are provided by J. M. Landsberg in [Lan12, chapter 1] and by B. W. Bader and T. G. Kolda in [KB09]. Among the more theoretical ones, we can highlight complexity of matrix multiplication (see e.g. [Str83]), the P versus NP complexity problem (see e.g. [Val01]), and the entanglement in quantum physics (see e.g. [BC12]). Instead, for applications to more applied sciences, we can consider independent component analysis and blind identification in signal processing (see [Com94] and [SGB00], respectively), the study of phylogenetic invariants (see e.g. [AR08]), bioinformatics and spectroscopy (see e.g. [CJ10]). However, applications of symmetric tensor decomposition are also of great interest. In [BGI11, section 1], A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano, and M. Idà briefly summarize some examples, such as telecommunications in electrical engineering (see [Che11] and [DC07]) or cumulant tensors in statistics (see [McC87]). For this reason, despite its classical origins, the determination of the Waring rank of a polynomial retains a special role even in more recent times.

The analog of the Waring problem for homogeneous polynomials, also known as the *Big Waring Problem*, concerns the determination of the minimum number r such that a generic form of degree d admits a decomposition of size r . By *generic*, we mean any form belonging to an open and dense subset in the Zariski topology. As one might expect, the question was not easy and remained unanswered until 1995, when the problem was solved by J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz in [AH95]. They established in the famous Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem that the rank of a generic polynomial in n variables of degree d is given by the formula

$$\text{rk } f = \left\lceil \frac{1}{n} \binom{d+n-1}{d} \right\rceil, \quad (1.1)$$

except for a few cases with some lower values of the degree and the number of variables (a simpler version of the proof was provided in [BO08]). So the forms having a rank different from the value of formula (1.1) are special and, in particular, it can be interesting in many cases to ask whether they have a subgeneric or a supergeneric rank.

Although the Big Waring problem is fully understood, determining the rank of a given polynomial remains in general a difficult problem and there is currently no general efficient method to solve it. Nevertheless, many partial results and methods have been produced among the years. For a more detailed survey, see [BCC⁺18, BGI11, CGO14, Lan12, LO13].

The problem of determining the Waring rank of binary forms, already analyzed by J. J. Sylvester in [Syl51a], was completely solved by G. Comas and M. Seiguer in [CS11]). Many algorithms lead to explicit decompositions, such as the *Sylvester algorithm*, introduced in [Syl86] and also presented in [CS11, BGI11, BCMT10]. It has been further improved with several other variants (see e.g. [BGI11, Algorithm 2]).

For a larger number of variables, other algorithms may provide decompositions, under very specific hypotheses (see, e.g., [BCMT10, Algorithm 7.1]), which do not solve the problem in general. However, for some classes of polynomials the Waring rank is completely known, such as for monomials (see [CCG12, Proposition 3.1]).

The theory of apolarity is a very useful classical topic in this context, mostly formalized in 1999 by A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev in [IK99], to which we refer to get further details. For any $f \in S^d \mathbb{C}^n$, the *catalecticant map* of f is defined by the derivative action of differential polynomial operators on f . That

is, for every monomial $\mathbf{y}^\alpha = y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the map obtained by extending by linearity the function defined on monomials by

$$\text{Cat}_f(\mathbf{y}^\alpha) = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^\alpha}.$$

The kernel of this map is known as the *apolar ideal*, or *annihilator*, of f , denoted by $\text{Ann}(f)$ (see [section 2.2](#)). The *apolarity lemma* ([Lemma 2.40](#), [[IK99](#), Lemma 1.15]) states that the ideal of the points associated to the linear forms appearing in each decomposition of f is contained in $\text{Ann}(f)$. Thanks to this correspondence, the constructions of such ideals leads to explicit decompositions. In particular, this fact allows to obtain some information about the decompositions through the analysis of algebraic invariants, such as the Hilbert function.

A related problem is the discussion of the uniqueness of decompositions. Probably the oldest result on this topic is due to J. J. Sylvester, who proved in 1851 (see [[Syl51a](#)]), that a generic binary form of odd degree $2n - 1$ can be uniquely written as a sum of n powers of linear forms, which is also called the *canonical form*. Another related result is the one historically known as the Sylvester's pentahedral theorem, which first appeared in [[Syl51b](#)] in 1851 (see also [[Dol12](#), Theorem 9.4.1] for a more recent reference). It states that every generic form $f \in S^3\mathbb{C}^4$ can be written uniquely, up to scalars, as a sum of the 3-rd powers of 5 non-proportional linear forms. Other examples have been given for ternary forms of degree 4 by D. Hilbert in [[Hil88](#)], and for ternary forms of degree 5 by F. Palatini in [[Pal03](#)] and by H. W. Richmond in [[Ric04](#)]. The same argument has been treated from a more modern point of view in several recent papers (see e.g. [[GM19](#), [Mel09](#), [RS00](#)]), with the theory of *identifiability*. In our context, we are interested in the uniqueness of decompositions up to suitable transformations, for polynomials which are invariant under the action of a linear group. One of the most illustrative examples in this sense (cf. [Theorem 4.32](#)) is given by the polynomial

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2.$$

This form, as proved by B. Reznick in [[Rez92](#), Theorem 9.13] for the real case, can be represented by a sum of 6 different 4-th powers of linear forms, which must be the vertices of a regular icosahedron.

When dealing with decompositions of polynomials, other notions of tensor rank have been introduced in the literature. One of these is the *border rank* of a polynomial, first introduced in [[BCRL79](#), [BLR80](#)], where the authors defined it as the minimum number of decomposable tensors required to approximate a tensor with an arbitrarily small error. Especially for applications, it can be useful in cases where tensors have a large gap between rank and border rank (see, for instance, [[Zui17](#)]). The analog for polynomials is defined in the same way. Other examples are the concepts of *cactus rank* and *smoothable rank* (see [[RS11](#)]). Thanks to the theory of secant varieties (see [[Har95](#), lecture 8]), a strong connection between the rank of polynomials and algebraic varieties has been developed. For more details, the reader can see, for instance, [[Bal10a](#), [Bal10b](#), [BCC⁺18](#), [BL13](#), [CGO14](#), [LO13](#)].

1.2. Powers of quadratic forms

Quadratic forms appear frequently in various branches of mathematics, including algebra, analysis, arithmetic, geometry, and number theory, both classical and modern. There are a large number of texts that the reader can consult for further details on the subject, such as [[Eic52](#), [Jon50](#), [Lam80](#), [O'M63](#), [Ser73](#), [Sie63](#), [Sie67](#), [Syl52](#), [Wat60](#)]. In particular, the foundations of the general theory of quadratic forms over the rationals and rational integers were introduced in the late 19th century by H. Minkowski (see [[Min84](#), [Min85](#), [Min90](#)]). For a more detailed historical overview, see [[Sch22](#)]. However, our interest in quadratic forms is due to their invariance through the action of the orthogonal groups.

Up to a linear change of variables, every quadratic form can be written as the homogeneous polynomial

$$q_n = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$$

for an appropriate $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [section 2.3](#), [[Ser73](#), chapter 4]). Then q_n^s is a $2s$ -degree form which is invariant under the action of the orthogonal group $O_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, this means that each of its decompositions has an n -dimensional orbit under the action of the orthogonal group. Determining the rank of the form q_n^s is the main problem we deal with. While in the case of binary

forms the problem is quite simple and completely solved, we cannot say the same for the general case in more variables, about which there is not much information in the literature. The most complete analysis on this subject so far is due to B. Reznick, who gives in [Rez92] a precise survey of both classical and more original results over the field \mathbb{R} . Following the greater relevance that real numbers used to have in applications, with respect to complex ones, B. Reznick focuses in his notes on real Waring decompositions, which he calls *representations*. In order to get a new vision of this problem, especially in view of recent applications to tensors, we extend the problem to complex Waring decompositions. With respect to the powers of quadratic forms, this represents a new approach, apart from the classical point of view.

Several uses of decompositions of powers of quadratic forms have been listed by B. Reznick in [Rez92, section 8], such as in number theory, to study the Waring problem, or even in functional analysis. The quadratic form q_n , in terms of differential operators, is exactly the well-known Laplace operator

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2},$$

which plays an important role also in analysis.

By an algebraic point of view, a formula of great significance is the decomposition

$$S^d \mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,d-2j},$$

where, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{H}_{n,d-2j}$ is the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree $d-2j$ in n variables. This elegant decomposition, which has been shown in detail by R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach in [GW98, Corollary 5.2.5], gains much importance by considering its invariance under the action of the orthogonal complex group $O_n(\mathbb{C})$. This decomposition is an important tool we will use to determine the apolar ideal of q_n^s (see section 3.2). B. Reznick has already noted that the catalecticant matrices of q_n^s are all of full rank. In particular, denoting by $T_{n,s}$ the size of the middle catalecticant matrix, equal to

$$T_{n,s} = \binom{s+n-1}{s},$$

we get the following lower bound (Corollary 3.34):

$$\text{rk}(q_n^s) \geq T_{n,s}.$$

We start in section 2 with some basic elements of representation theory. This is rather important in connection with the fact that the space of d -harmonic polynomials $\mathcal{H}_{n,d}$ is an irreducible $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module ([GW98, Theorem 5.2.4]). We then present some notions of both classical and recent theory of apolarity, such as the apolar ideal, catalecticant map, the apolarity lemma, and Waring decompositions. We conclude the section with some basic information about quadratic forms, showing the crucial fact that every quadratic form of rank n is equivalent to the form

$$q_n = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2.$$

In section 3, we focus our attention on the apolarity action on the polynomial q_n^s , by determining the structure of catalecticant matrices for every $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and we give our first main result (see Theorem 3.28), by proving that the apolar ideal of q_n^s is given by

$$\text{Ann}(q_n^s) = (\mathcal{H}_{n,s+1}).$$

This result is already shown in [Fla23a, Theorem 3.8] in a less detailed version. The main result we use is the fact that the space of harmonic polynomials is an irreducible representation of $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$. Once we know how the apolar ideal is formed, our problem turns into the analysis of ideals of points contained in it, thanks to the apolarity lemma (Lemma 2.40). This characterization is useful in determining explicit decompositions that have the same pattern, as we will see in section 5.

B. Reznick provides in [Rez92, chapters 8-9] both classical and new decompositions, and also gives proofs of minimality for some of them. In particular, using the language of spherical designs (see

[DGS77]), he analyzes the existence and the uniqueness of *tight representations*, namely, real decompositions with size equal to the rank of the middle catalecticant matrix. He summarizes this in the following theorem, which guarantees in particular that there is no tight representation for $s \geq 6$.

Theorem ([Rez92, Proposition 9.2]). *If q_n^s has a real tight decomposition, then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $s = 1$ or $n = 2$;
- (2) $s = 2$ and $n = 3$;
- (3) $s = 2$ and $n = m^2 - 2$ for some odd $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (4) $s = 3$ and $n = 3m^2 - 4$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (5) $s = 5$ and $n = 24$.

We partially generalize this theorem for complex numbers. Indeed, we focus in [section 4](#) on tight decompositions. We start with an overview of the already known facts for real decompositions. Then we analyze all the decompositions in two variables, proving the uniqueness also for the complex case, and the appropriate tight decompositions for the exponents $s = 2, 3$ for an arbitrary number of variables. In particular, in the case where $s = 2$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem. *If q_n^2 has a tight decomposition, then $n = 3$ or $n = m^2 - 2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In the case of $s = 3$ we get a weaker result than the one proposed by B. Reznick.

Theorem. *If q_n^3 has a tight decomposition, then $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.*

The proof of these results is made by following exactly the same strategy that B. Reznick uses in [Rez92, pp. 130-132], but the possibility of using it is not so immediate. Indeed, he uses the important fact that every tight representation must be *first caliber*, namely that every point of such a decomposition must have the same norm. That is, if

$$q_n^s = \sum_{j=1}^r (\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s}$$

is a tight real decomposition with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$|\mathbf{a}_j| = |\mathbf{a}_k|$$

for every $1 \leq j, k \leq r$. Denoting by $B_{n,s}$ the value of the norm of every point raised to $2s$, for such a tight decomposition, we have (see [Rez92, Corollary 8.18])

$$B_{n,s} = |\mathbf{a}_j|^{2s} = \frac{1}{T_{n,s}} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1} = \binom{s+n-1}{s}^{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1}.$$

In view of this, B. Reznick determines the kernel of the middle catalecticant of the polynomial

$$q_n^2 - B_{n,2}x_1^4,$$

which must be necessarily nonzero. In particular, this imposes consequent conditions on remaining point of the decompositions.

The new fact, which allows us to generalize the result of B. Reznick, extending the notion of first caliber to complex decompositions, is that every tight complex decomposition is also first caliber. In fact, we prove in [section 4.1](#) by [Lemma 4.12](#) that every tight decomposition cannot contain an isotropic point. As a consequence, we get the following theorem (cf. [Theorem 4.15](#)).

Theorem. *Every tight decomposition*

$$q_n^s = \sum_{k=1}^r (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s}$$

is first caliber. In particular,

$$(\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^s = \frac{1}{r} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1}.$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, r$.

In [section 5](#), we provide some general decompositions for different values of n . In particular, we first focus on the decomposition of q_n^2 , establishing its rank for most of the values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and then we provide some specific decompositions regarding ternary forms, which seem to follow a quite similar pattern. In the case of exponent $s = 2$, a quadrature formula presented by A. H. Stroud in [\[Str67a\]](#) is used by B. Reznick to prove that, for $n = 4, 5, 6$, the real rank of q_n^2 is equal to $T_{n,2} + 1$, while in the case of $n = 7$, it gives a tight decomposition of size $T_{7,2}$. In [Theorem 5.9](#) we extend this family of decompositions to an arbitrary number $n \geq 9$ of variables. This result, together with another family of decompositions for $n = 8$ (cf. [Theorem 5.15](#)), establishes its exact rank for most of the values assumed by n and solves for all cases for the Waring rank problem in the case where $s = 2$. We summarize this in [Theorem 5.18](#) and get the following result.

Theorem. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *if $n = 3, 7, 23$, then $\text{rk}(q_n^2) = T_{n,2}$;*
- (2) *if $n > 23$ and $n = m^2 - 2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T_{n,2} \leq \text{rk}(q_n^2) \leq T_{n,2} + 1$;*
- (3) *if $n = 8$, then $T_{n,2} + 1 \leq \text{rk}(q_n^2) \leq T_{n,2} + 9$;*
- (4) *otherwise, $\text{rk}(q_n^2) = T_{n,2} + 1$.*

Apart from these general properties, B. Reznick actually studies the real rank, also called *width*, for several specific cases, including that of two variables. For this case he concludes that all the points of such minimal decompositions must be the vertices of a regular polygon inscribed in a circle whose radius depends only on s . Again, thanks to apolarity, we are able to generalize this fact and also to determine the exact correspondence between polynomials in the apolar ideal and complex decompositions. The latter are found to be equivalent, up to complex orthogonal transformations, to the real ones already known.

Other decompositions analyzed by B. Reznick concern ternary forms. In particular, the power q_3^2 is quite special. Indeed, each of its minimal decompositions, which is of size 6 and thus tight, must be composed of points corresponding to the vertices of a regular icosahedron inscribed in a sphere of radius $5/6$. Again, by the first caliber property, we can extend the uniqueness to the complex field ([Theorem 4.32](#)). Besides this, denoting by $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} f$ the real rank of a homogeneous polynomial f , he also shows that

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}(q_3^3) = T_{3,3} + 1 = 11, \quad \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}(q_3^4) = T_{3,4} + 1 = 16.$$

The first case is easily obtained by previous results on the exponent $s = 3$, while the second requires a more complicated approach. We will see in [section 5.2](#) that the first caliber property imposes strong conditions on the angles between the different points, which can take only a finite set of values. In particular, through an analysis by the Gram matrices of 4 points in the space \mathbb{C}^3 , we are able to prove that

$$\text{rk}(q_3^4) = T_{3,4} + 1 = 16.$$

Finally, we give an upper bound on the rank. In [\[Fla23b\]](#) an estimate of the growth of $\text{rk}(q_n^s)$ for s fixed and $n \rightarrow +\infty$ is given. In particular, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \log_n(\text{rk}(q_n^s)) = s.$$

Based on this, it is not hard to prove that for a sufficiently large n the rank of q_n^s is subgeneric. However, we do not know if this holds for the other cases there is currently no evidence allowing to think that the rank is subgeneric in general. Moreover, we conjecture that, fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the rank is supergeneric for a sufficiently large value of s .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to analyze decompositions of powers of quadratic forms, we need some preliminary notions. In [section 2.1](#), basic concepts of representation theory are reviewed, emphasizing the importance of irreducible representations. All the results we provide are contained in [\[FH91\]](#), where more detailed information about the subject can be found, as well as in many other texts, such as [\[Pro07, Ser77\]](#). For a comprehensive understanding of Lie groups and Lie algebras, the reader can see, for instance,

[AT11, GQ20, GW98, Kir08, MT11]. In particular, a complete survey of linear algebraic groups is provided in [MT11].

In section 2.2 we discuss some elements of the theory of apolarity and Waring decompositions, and give a brief overview of the concept of Waring rank. While our primary reference for the theory of apolarity is [IK99], we also include more recent insights from [Dol12]. The reader can find information on various concepts of tensor rank in many standard texts. For a complete introduction, we recommend the textbook reference [Lan12]. In addition, many papers also summarize critical aspects of rank and border rank of symmetric tensors, including references such as [BCC⁺18, LO13, LT10], to which we refer for details.

Finally, in section 2.3 we give a short basic overview of quadratic forms. This is essential to see that quadratic forms of a given rank are equivalent. The main reference we use is [Ser73, chapter IV].

2.1. Representations and modules

Throughout this section, we will only consider finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . If not specified, U, V and W will denote such spaces and G will denote a group. We begin with the basic notion of group representation.

Definition 2.1. A group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is called a *representation* of G , and in this context V is called a *G -module*.

In cases where there is no confusion, the action of g on v for any G -module V is denoted as $g \cdot v$ for all $g \in G$ and $v \in V$, without explicitly stating the associated representation.

Definition 2.2. Let V be a G -module. A subset $U \subset V$ is said to be *G -invariant* if $g \cdot u \in U$ for every $g \in G$ and $u \in U$. If U is also a linear subspace of V , then U is said to be a *G -submodule* of V .

A G -submodule U of V is naturally a G -module, with the rule

$$g \cdot u = \rho(g)|_U(u),$$

for every $u \in U$ and $g \in G$.

Definition 2.3. Let V and W be two G -modules. A *G -equivariant map* (or a *G -modules homomorphism*) from V to W is a linear map $\alpha: V \rightarrow W$ that satisfies

$$\alpha(g \cdot v) = g \cdot \alpha(v),$$

for every $g \in G$ and $v \in V$. If α is an isomorphism, it is said to be a *G -modules isomorphism*, and the G -modules V and W are said to be *isomorphic*.

Furthermore, it is worth noting that many natural structures arise from G -modules. It is easy to verify that they are well defined.

Remark 2.4. Let V and W be two G -modules.

- (1) For any G -equivariant map $\alpha: V \rightarrow W$, the kernel $\text{Ker } \alpha$ and the image $\text{Im } \alpha$ are G -submodules of V and W , respectively.
- (2) The vector spaces $V \oplus W$ and $V \otimes W$ are G -modules. The actions are defined as

$$g \cdot (v + w) = (g \cdot v) + (g \cdot w), \quad g \cdot (v \otimes w) = (g \cdot v) \otimes (g \cdot w).$$

for every $g \in G, v \in V$, and $w \in W$.

- (3) As a direct consequence of point (2), for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the d -symmetric power $S^d V$ of V is a G -module with the action

$$g \cdot (v_1^{k_1} \cdots v_n^{k_n}) = (g \cdot v_1)^{k_1} \cdots (g \cdot v_n)^{k_n},$$

for every $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = d$. Furthermore, the symmetric algebra $S(V)$, as a direct sum of G -modules, extended by linearity, is also a G -module.

(4) If $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is a representation, then the dual vector space V^* has a natural G -module structure given by the dual representation $\rho^*: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V^*)$. It is defined as

$$\rho^*(g) = {}^t\rho(g)^{-1}$$

for every $g \in G$, preserving the dual pairing, i.e.,

$$(g \cdot \phi)(g \cdot v) = \phi(v)$$

for every $g \in G$, $\phi \in V^*$, and $v \in V$.

The class of G -modules not containing any nontrivial G -submodule is highly significant.

Definition 2.5. A G -module V is *irreducible* if it does not contain a nonzero proper G -submodule. That is, if W is a G -submodule of V , then W is either the zero submodule or $W = V$.

We can now present Schur's Lemma, an essential statement about irreducible modules. I. Schur first introduced this lemma in 1905 (see [Sch05]), and it can also be found, for instance, in [BtD95, Theorem 1.10], [Hal15, Theorem 4.26], or [Ser77, Proposition 4].

Lemma 2.6 (Schur's Lemma). *Let V and W be irreducible G -modules, and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow W$ be a G -equivariant map. The following holds:*

- (1) if V and W are not isomorphic, then $\alpha = 0$;
- (2) if $V = W$, then $\alpha = \lambda \cdot \text{id}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Point (1) follows directly from the fact that $\text{Ker } \alpha$ and $\text{Im } \alpha$ are G -submodules. Therefore, if $V = W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue of α we can set $\alpha' = \alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id}$, which implies $\text{Ker } \alpha' \neq 0$. By point (1), we conclude that $\alpha' = \alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id} = 0$, which gives us, $\alpha = \lambda \cdot \text{id}$. \square

Analogously to algebraic groups, we can extend the concept of representations to Lie algebras, associating a linear application with each element of a Lie algebra, without requiring it to be an isomorphism.

Definition 2.7. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and let V be a vector space. A map of Lie algebras

$$\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V) \cong \text{End}(V)$$

is called a *representation* of \mathfrak{g} .

Similarly to G -modules, we can define subrepresentations focusing on those that do not contain any proper subrepresentations.

Definition 2.8. Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a representation of \mathfrak{g} . If W is a vector subspace of V such that

$$\rho(A)(w) \in W,$$

for every $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $w \in W$, then it is said to be *\mathfrak{g} -invariant*. The representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is said to be *irreducible* if the vector space V does not contain a proper \mathfrak{g} -invariant subspace.

Of course, we can study the relations between representations of Lie groups and their Lie algebras, in particular the irreducibility of representations. The following proposition gives an insight into this relation and can also be found as an exercise in [FH91, Exercise 8.17].

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a connected Lie group and let V be a G -module with the associated representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$. Then, a subspace W of V is a G -submodule if and only if it is invariant under the action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , namely,*

$$d\rho_e(v)(W) \subseteq W$$

for every $v \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. If $f: G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism of Lie groups, then

$$\exp \circ df_e = f \circ \exp,$$

which guarantees the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{df_e} & \mathfrak{h} \\ \exp \downarrow & & \downarrow \exp \\ G & \xrightarrow{f} & H \end{array}$$

(see [AT11]). Consequently, if we set $H = \text{GL}(V)$, we find that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{d\rho_e} & \text{End}(V) \\ \exp \downarrow & & \downarrow \exp \\ G & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{GL}(V) \end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

commutes. If we consider a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $d\rho_e(A)(W) \subseteq W$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, then we can construct a closed Lie subgroup $\text{GL}(W)$ of $\text{GL}(V)$. Since

$$d\rho_e(A)|_W \in \text{End}(W),$$

we must have

$$\exp|_{\text{End}(W)}(d\rho_e(A)|_W) \in \text{GL}(W),$$

which implies

$$\exp(d\rho_e(A))|_W \in \text{GL}(W).$$

By the commutativity of diagram (2.10), we have

$$\rho(\exp(A))|_W \in \text{GL}(W).$$

Since G is connected, it follows from the properties of the exponential map (see e.g. [Kir08, Theorem 3.7]) that G is generated by $\exp(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, we have

$$\rho(g)|_W \in \text{GL}(W)$$

for every $g \in G$, which means that W is a G -submodule of V . Conversely, if $\rho(g)(w) \in W$ for every $g \in G$ and $w \in W$, then we can define a morphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$, considering the identification

$$\rho(g) = \rho(g)|_W.$$

In particular, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{d\rho_e} & \text{End}(W) \\ \exp \downarrow & & \downarrow \exp \\ G & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{GL}(W) \end{array}$$

is well defined and commutes. So we can also restrict the differential $d\rho_e$ and get

$$d\rho_e(A)|_W \in \text{End } W,$$

for every $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. This implies that W is invariant under the action of \mathfrak{g} . \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let G be a connected Lie group and let V be a G -module. Then V is irreducible over G if and only if it is irreducible over \mathfrak{g} .*

Now we focus on a particular example concerning irreducible representations of Lie algebras. We analyze the Lie algebra of the Lie group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, which is the space

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{ A \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{tr } A = 0 \}.$$

All of the following in this section is taken from [FH91, section 11.1] and [Kir08, section 4.8], to which we refer for further details. Let us consider the basis formed by the matrices

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy the equations

$$[H, E] = 2E, \quad [H, F] = -2F, \quad [E, F] = H. \quad (2.12)$$

Proposition 2.13. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ is a simple algebra.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ be an ideal of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$. Consider any nonzero linear combination

$$aH + bE + cF \in \mathfrak{a}$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. We observe that if $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, then by equations (2.12) we have

$$[H, E] = 2E \in \mathfrak{a}, \quad [H, F] = -2F \in \mathfrak{a}$$

and thus $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$. So, if $b = c = 0$, the statement is trivial. Otherwise, in the case of $b = 0$, since

$$[H, aH + bE + cF] = a[H, H] + b[H, E] + c[H, F] = 2bE - 2cF \in \mathfrak{a},$$

we easily get $F \in \mathfrak{a}$ and thus also

$$[E, F] = H \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Similarly, if $c = 0$, we also get $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ as well. Finally, if $b, c \neq 0$, we have

$$(aH + bE + cF) + (bE - cF) = aH + 2bE \in \mathfrak{a},$$

and hence

$$[H, aH + 2bE] = a[H, H] + 2b[H, E] = 4bE \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

This implies, in particular, that

$$[E, F] = H \in \mathfrak{a},$$

proving the statement. \square

The classical Jordan decomposition (see e.g. [Bor91, Proposition 4.2]) says that every endomorphism $f: V \rightarrow V$ of a complex vector space V can be written uniquely as

$$f = f_s + f_n,$$

where $f_s: V \rightarrow V$ and $f_n: V \rightarrow V$ are respectively a diagonalizable endomorphism and a nilpotent endomorphism commuting with each other, namely,

$$f_n f_s - f_s f_n = 0.$$

This concept can be extended to Lie algebras in the special case of semisimple Lie algebras. Indeed, each of them can be decomposed into a sum of two elements, preserving the diagonalizable and the nilpotent parts. The proof of this theorem, which can be found in [FH91, Theorem 9.20, Corollary C.18], is rather technical and we omit it. We suggest the reader to consult [FH91, Appendix C] for more specific details and a complete proof.

Theorem 2.14 (Preservation of Jordan decomposition). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra. For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exist two element $X_s, X_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that for any representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ we have*

$$\rho(X_s) = \rho(X)_s, \quad \rho(X_n) = \rho(X)_n.$$

In particular, if ρ is injective and \mathfrak{g} is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, then the diagonalizable and nilpotent parts of any element X of \mathfrak{g} are again in \mathfrak{g} and are independent of the particular representation ρ .

Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$. By Theorem 2.14, it is clear that the action of H on V is diagonalizable. Therefore, we can write

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} V_\lambda, \quad (2.15)$$

where I is a finite set of complex values such that

$$H(v) = \lambda v$$

for every $\lambda \in I$ and $v \in V_\lambda$. Now, given a vector $v \in V_\lambda$, we have

$$H(E(v)) = E(H(v)) + [H, E](v)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= E(\lambda v) + 2E(v) \\ &= (\lambda + 2)E(v). \end{aligned}$$

This means that E sends each eigenvector to a different eigenspace and, in particular, its restriction to V_λ gives a morphism $E: V_\lambda \rightarrow V_{\lambda+2}$ for every $\lambda \in I$. Analogously, we get a morphism $F: V_\lambda \rightarrow V_{\lambda-2}$ such that

$$H(F(v)) = (\lambda - 2)F(v).$$

The space

$$\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda_0+2k},$$

is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ and therefore, by the irreducibility of V , we must have

$$V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda_0+2k}.$$

This means that the eigenvalues appearing in decomposition (2.15) must be congruent modulo 2. Furthermore, the spectrum of H must consist of an unbroken string of complex numbers

$$\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + 2, \dots, \lambda_0 + 2m$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. More specifically, if we set $n = \lambda_0 + 2m$, then we can describe the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ on V by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \xrightleftharpoons[F]{E} & V_{n-2m} & \xrightleftharpoons[F]{E} & V_{n-2m+2} & \xrightleftharpoons[F]{E} & \cdots & \xrightleftharpoons[F]{E} & V_{n-2} & \xrightleftharpoons[F]{E} & V_n & \xrightleftharpoons[F]{E} & 0. \end{array} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & H & & H & & & & H & & H & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to determine the value of $n \in \mathbb{C}$ to determine all the eigenspaces.

We now present a series of results that allow us to determine the structure of the space V .

Lemma 2.17. *Let $v \in V_n$. Then the set $\{F^k(v) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates the space V .*

Proof. Let us consider the subspace

$$W = \langle F^k(v) \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq V.$$

Then it suffices to show by the irreducibility of V that W is irreducible under the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$. It is clear that F preserves the space W . Moreover, since $F^k(v) \in V_{n-2k}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that

$$H(F^k(v)) = (n - 2k)F^k(v) \quad (2.18)$$

and thus also H preserves the space W . Finally, to prove that

$$E(W) \subseteq W,$$

we can show by induction on the power $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$E(F^k(v)) \in W$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k = 0$, then, since $v \in V_n$, we have $E(v) = 0$, which clearly belongs to W . Now, let us suppose that $E(F^{k-1}(v)) \in W$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(F^k(v)) &= F(E(F^{k-1}(v))) + [E, F](F^{k-1}(v)) \\ &= F(E(F^{k-1}(v))) + H(F^{k-1}(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Since both F and H preserve W , we have that E also preserves W , which proves that $V = W$. \square

Considering formula (2.18), we have by Lemma 2.17 that

$$V = \langle F^k(v) \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

So we immediately get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.19. *If V_λ is an eigenspace of H , then $\dim V_\lambda = 1$.*

It is also possible to explicitly determine the image of each power $F^k(v)$ from the operator E .

Lemma 2.20. *The equality*

$$E(F^k(v)) = k(n - k + 1)F^{k-1}(v)$$

holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $v \in V_n$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . If $k = 1$, then

$$E(F(v)) = F(E(v)) + [E, F](v) = H(v) = nv,$$

where the second equality follows from $E(v) = 0$. Now, let us suppose that the statement is true for $k - 1$. Then by inductive hypothesis and formula (2.18) we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} E(F^k(v)) &= F(E(F^{k-1}(v))) + [E, F](F^{k-1}(v)) \\ &= F((k-1)(n-k+2)F^{k-2}(v)) + (n-2k+2)F^{k-1}(v) \\ &= ((k-1)(n-k+2) + (n-2k+2))F^{k-1}(v) \\ &= k(n-k+1)F^{k-1}(v). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Since V has finite dimension, it follows by decomposition (2.15) and formula (2.18) that there must exist a natural number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$F^m(v) = 0.$$

In particular, if we suppose that m is the smallest power of F that annihilates v , then we have by Lemma 2.20

$$0 = E(F^m(v)) = m(n-m+1)F^{m-1}(v).$$

Therefore, since $F^{m-1} \neq 0$, we must have $n = m - 1$. In particular, we conclude that n is a nonnegative integer and that the set of eigenvalues of H on V is given by a finite sequence of integers differing by 2 and it is symmetric with respect to 0 in \mathbb{Z} . In fact, we can rewrite the diagram (2.16) as

$$0 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{F} \end{array} V_{-n} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{F} \end{array} V_{-n+2} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{F} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{F} \end{array} V_{n-2} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{F} \end{array} V_n \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{E} \\ \xrightarrow{F} \end{array} 0. \quad (2.21)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & H & & H & & H & H \end{array}$$

We have thus proved that irreducible representations are unique and depend only on the dimension of V . From the latter we can determine the eigenvalues of the action of the operator H , which play a special role.

Definition 2.22. Given a representation $V^{(n)}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $n + 1$, the eigenvalues of the action of the operator H on $V^{(n)}$, that is, the elements of the set

$$\{-n, -n+2, \dots, n-2, n\},$$

are called the *weights* of $V^{(n)}$.

Considering the diagram (2.21) we conclude that any representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ with distinct eigenvalues of multiplicity 1 and having the same parity must be irreducible. In particular, we can see that irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ correspond to the components of the ring of polynomials in two variables and the weights correspond to monomials.

Theorem 2.23. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique irreducible representation $V^{(n)}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ such that $\dim V^{(n)} = n + 1$, given by the n -th symmetric power of \mathbb{C}^2 , that is*

$$V^{(n)} \cong S^n\mathbb{C}^2,$$

and for every $k = 0, \dots, n$, the weight associated to the integer number $n - 2k$ is given by the monomial $x^{n-k}y^k$, that is

$$H(x^{n-k}y^k) = (n - 2k)x^{n-k}y^k.$$

Proof. The standard representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ on \mathbb{C}^2 can be defined by the relations on the operator H

$$H(x) = x, \quad H(y) = -y.$$

These can be extended to the n -th symmetric power $S^n\mathbb{C}^2$ by considering its basis

$$\{x^n, x^{n-1}y, \dots, xy^{n-1}, y^n\}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$H(x^{n-k}y^k) = (n-k)H(x)x^{n-k-1}y^k + kH(y)x^{n-k}y^{k-1} = (n-2k)x^{n-k}y^k.$$

Thus, by the above considerations, we conclude that $S^n\mathbb{C}^2$ is the unique irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $n+1$. \square

2.2. Apolarity and sums of powers

The theory of apolarity is a classical topic, first introduced by T. Reye in 1870 in [Rey70], where the term *apolar* was used for the first time, and by J. Rosanes in [Ros73] (see also [Dol12, p. 75]). It was further developed by W. F. Meyer in [Mey83]. There are many papers in the literature that focus on the theory of apolarity. In fact, it is a widely used argument in algebraic geometry. As classical references, it is analyzed in detail in [DK93, IK99]. For a modern point of view, the reader may instead consult [Dol12]. In this section, V will always denote a finite-dimensional vector space of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ over \mathbb{C} . We write

$$\mathcal{R}_n = S(V), \quad \mathcal{D}_n = S(V^*),$$

to denote the symmetric algebra of V and its dual space, respectively. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \circ: S^d V^* \times S^d V &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\phi_1 \cdots \phi_d, v_1 \cdots v_d) &\mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \phi_1(v_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \phi_d(v_{\sigma(d)}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

is known as *contraction pairing* or *polar pairing*. Furthermore, for every $k \leq d$, the (k, d) -*partial polarization map* is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \circ: S^k V^* \times S^d V &\longrightarrow S^{d-k} V \\ (\phi_1 \cdots \phi_k, v_1 \cdots v_d) &\mapsto \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq d} (\phi_1 \cdots \phi_k) \circ (v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k}) \prod_{j \neq i_1, \dots, i_k} v_j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

It is possible to identify $S(V)$ and $S(V^*)$ with polynomial rings. This is a classical and well-known fact, which can be seen, for example, in [CGLM08, section 3.1]. We denote by $\mathbb{C}[V]$ the ring of polynomial functions on V , i.e., the commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by V^* .

Proposition 2.26. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a natural isomorphism*

$$S^d V^* \cong \mathbb{C}[V]_d.$$

Proof. Consider the \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: S^d V^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]_d \\ \phi &\longmapsto f_\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where the function f_ϕ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} f_\phi: V &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ v &\mapsto \phi(v, \dots, v). \end{aligned}$$

We should check that Φ is well defined, i.e., f_ϕ is actually a polynomial function. Given a basis $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ of V^* , we write ϕ as a linear combinations of products of the elements v_1^*, \dots, v_n^* , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w_1, \dots, w_d) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d=1}^n \phi(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_d}) v_{i_1}^*(w_1) \cdots v_{i_d}^*(w_d) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_d \leq n} \phi(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_d}) v_{i_1}^*(w_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots v_{i_d}^*(w_{\sigma(d)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

So we have

$$f_\phi(v) = \phi(v, \dots, v) = d! \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_d \leq n} \phi(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_d}) v_{i_1}^*(v) \cdots v_{i_d}^*(v) \quad (2.28)$$

for every $v \in V$ and hence f_ϕ is a polynomial function, namely, $f_\phi \in \mathbb{C}[V]$. It remains to verify that Φ is bijective. Consider an element $\phi \in S^d V^*$ and assume that $\Phi(\phi) \equiv 0$. This means that

$$\Phi(\phi)(v) = f_\phi(v) = 0$$

for every $v \in V$. Then, looking again at formula (2.28), we have

$$f_\phi = d! \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_d \leq n} \phi(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_d}) v_{i_1}^* \cdots v_{i_d}^* \equiv 0.$$

Moreover, since the set of the polynomial functions of the type

$$v_{i_1}^* \cdots v_{i_d}^* : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (2.29)$$

among the indices $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_d \leq n$ forms a set of linear independent elements, it follows that

$$\phi(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_d}) = 0.$$

Since the linear combination describing ϕ in formula (2.27) has the same coefficients, we conclude that $\phi \equiv 0$, that is, Φ is injective. To prove surjectivity, we consider a polynomial function $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]_d$, written as a linear combination of the functions in formula (2.29), obtained from the basis $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ of V^* . That is,

$$f = d! \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_d \leq n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} v_{i_1}^* \cdots v_{i_d}^*$$

for some suitable coefficients $a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \in \mathbb{C}$. If we consider the symmetric multilinear form $\psi \in S^d V^*$, defined as

$$\psi(w_1, \dots, w_d) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_d \leq n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_d} v_{i_1}^*(w_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots v_{i_d}^*(w_{\sigma(d)}),$$

then it is immediate to verify that $f = f_\psi = \Phi(\psi)$, i.e., Φ is surjective. \square

Consider a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V and its dual basis $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ in V^* . Then, given any

$$v = y_1 v_1 + \dots + y_n v_n \in V,$$

as each functional v_i^* associates its i -th coordinate y_i to v , we can directly identify $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ as the sets of coordinates $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. Therefore, by [Proposition 2.26](#), we have

$$\mathcal{R}_n \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad \mathcal{D}_n \simeq \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n],$$

namely, \mathcal{R}_n and \mathcal{D}_n are identified with two polynomial rings.

We can compute the image of each pair of monomials by the polarization map. That is, using the notation

$$\mathbf{x}^\delta = x_1^{\delta_1} \cdots x_n^{\delta_n}, \quad \mathbf{y}^\delta = y_1^{\delta_1} \cdots y_n^{\delta_n}, \quad \delta! = \delta_1! \cdots \delta_n!$$

for every multi-index $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have

$$\mathbf{y}^\alpha \circ \mathbf{x}^\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta!}{(\beta - \alpha)!} \mathbf{x}^{\beta - \alpha}, & \text{if } \beta - \alpha \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. This means that, denoting by $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n,d}$ the components of degree d of \mathcal{R}_n and \mathcal{D}_n , for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we can naturally identify the space $\mathcal{D}_{n,d}$ with the space of polynomial differential

operators. In particular, for any $k \leq d$ and any form $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, the *differential operator* associated to ϕ is the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} D_\phi: \mathcal{R}_{n,d} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,d-k} \\ h &\longmapsto \phi \circ h. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.30. The *apolarity action* of \mathcal{D}_n on \mathcal{R}_n is defined by extending the polarization maps defined on the components of \mathcal{D}_n and \mathcal{R}_n by linearity, and it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \circ: \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{R}_n &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_n \\ (\phi, f) &\longmapsto D_\phi(f). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.31. When considering the apolarity action, we can reverse the roles of the variables x_i and y_i , for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, establishing an identification between the elements of \mathcal{R}_n and \mathcal{D}_n . In particular, we can identify the contraction pairing of formula (2.24) as a symmetric bilinear form.

Now, we define two objects, which are well-known and essential for the use of the theory of apolarity.

Definition 2.32. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$. The *catalecticant map* of f is the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cat}_f: \mathcal{D}_n &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_n \\ \phi &\longmapsto \phi \circ f. \end{aligned}$$

For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the restriction of the catalecticant map to the component $\mathcal{D}_{n,j}$, given by the linear map,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cat}_{f,j}: \mathcal{D}_{n,j} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,d-j} \\ g &\longmapsto g \circ f, \end{aligned}$$

is called the *j-th catalecticant* of f . The kernel of the catalecticant map, which is the set

$$\text{Ann}(f) = \{ g \in \mathcal{D} \mid g \circ f = 0 \},$$

is called the *apolar ideal* of f .

Chosen any bases of $\mathcal{D}_{n,j}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n,d-j}$, the matrix associated to $\text{Cat}_{f,j}$ is known as the *j-th catalecticant matrix* of f .

Now, by the identification we saw in [Proposition 2.26](#), we can naturally define the action of the linear group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on both \mathcal{R}_n and \mathcal{D}_n , in the same way as in [Remark 2.4](#).

Definition 2.33. The action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{R}_n is defined by naturally extending the action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{R}_{n,1}$, given by

$$A \cdot x_j = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{kj} x_k,$$

for every $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and for every $j = 1, \dots, n$. The action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{D}_n is defined in the same way by the dual action on $\mathcal{D}_{n,1}$, given by

$$A \cdot y_j = \sum_{k=1}^n {}^t A_{kj}^{-1} y_k,$$

for every $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and for every $j = 1, \dots, n$.

When dealing with the apolarity action it can be useful to consider a specific notation for a basis of monomials.

Definition 2.34. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the *divided power monomials* of $\mathcal{R}_{n,k}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$ are the monomials defined as

$$\mathbf{x}^\delta = x_1^{[\delta_1]} \dots x_n^{[\delta_n]} = \frac{1}{\delta!} x_1^{\delta_1} \dots x_n^{\delta_n}, \quad \mathbf{y}^{[\delta]} = y_1^{[\delta_1]} \dots y_n^{[\delta_n]} = \frac{1}{\delta!} y_1^{\delta_1} \dots y_n^{\delta_n},$$

where

$$\delta! = \delta_1! \cdots \delta_n!$$

for any multi-index $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that

$$|\delta| = \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_n = k.$$

The use of divided power monomials can simplify the use of the apolarity action in dealing with the coefficients produced by derivations.

The next property follows easily from the definition of the apolarity action and the representations on dual spaces.

Proposition 2.35. *The apolarity action of \mathcal{D}_n on \mathcal{R}_n is a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant map.*

Proof. By the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{R}_n and \mathcal{D}_n given in [Definition 2.33](#) and [Remark 2.4](#), we can naturally define the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{R}_n$ as

$$A \cdot (\phi, f) = (A \cdot \phi, A \cdot f)$$

for every $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}_n$. First we analyze the restriction to the action of $\mathcal{D}_{n,1}$ on $\mathcal{R}_{n,1}$. So, let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cdot y_j) \circ (A \cdot x_k) &= \left(\sum_{s=1}^n {}^t A_{sj}^{-1} y_s \right) \circ \left(\sum_{t=1}^n A_{tk} x_t \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n {}^t A_{sj}^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n A_{tk} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^n A_{jt}^{-1} A_{tk} = \delta_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is,

$$(A \cdot y_j) \circ (A \cdot x_k) = y_j \circ x_k.$$

In particular, this means that the set $\{A \cdot y_1, \dots, A \cdot y_n\}$ corresponds to the dual basis of the basis $\{A \cdot x_1, \dots, A \cdot x_n\}$. Since the action of A is a linear transformation preserving the dual pairing, we have by definition that

$$(A \cdot \phi) \circ (A \cdot f) = A \cdot (\phi \circ f)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}_n$. □

Linear forms can be viewed in general as points in \mathbb{C}^{n*} . For every point $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we denote by $l_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{R}_{n,1}$ the linear form

$$l_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n$$

and we call it the *linear form associated to \mathbf{a}* . Sometimes, when there is no risk of confusion, we will simply refer to it as the term *point*.

Definition 2.36. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $l_{\mathbf{a}}$ be its associated linear form. Then for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the form $l_{\mathbf{a}}^{[d]} \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$, defined as

$$l_{\mathbf{a}}^{[d]} = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = d} a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n} x_1^{[\alpha_1]} \cdots x_n^{[\alpha_n]},$$

is called the *d -th divided power* of $l_{\mathbf{a}}$.

The main properties of divided powers of linear forms are enumerated in the following proposition. Since the proof is quite simple and consists only of some technical calculations, we will omit it and we refer to [\[IK99\]](#) for verification.

Proposition 2.37 ([IK99, Proposition A.9]). *Let be $\mathbf{l}_a = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1}$. Then for every $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$*

- (1) $l_a^d = d!l_a^{[d]}$;
- (2) $l_a^{[d]}(\phi) = \phi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$;
- (3) $A \cdot l_a^{[d]} = (A \cdot \mathbf{l}_a)^{[d]}$ for every $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$;
- (4) $d!k!l_a^{[d]}l_a^{[k]} = (d+k)!l_a^{[d+k]}$.

Another property to consider is the fact that the image elements through the catalecticant map of the powers of a point are still powers of the same point.

Lemma 2.38. *Let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \geq k$. Then, for every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$,*

$$\phi \circ l_a^{[d]} = \phi(\mathbf{a})l_a^{[d-k]}.$$

Proof. By linearity it is sufficient to prove the formula only for monomials in $\mathcal{D}_{n,k}$. So, given a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} \circ l_a^{[d]} &= y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} \circ \left(\sum_{|\beta|=d} a_1^{\beta_1} \dots a_n^{\beta_n} x_1^{[\beta_1]} \dots x_n^{[\beta_n]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{|\beta|=d} a_1^{\beta_1} \dots a_n^{\beta_n} x_1^{[\beta_1 - \alpha_1]} \dots x_n^{[\beta_n - \alpha_n]} \\ &= a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n} \left(\sum_{|\gamma|=d-k} a_1^{\gamma_1} \dots a_n^{\gamma_n} x_1^{[\gamma_1]} \dots x_n^{[\gamma_n]} \right) \\ &= a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n} l_a^{[d-k]}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.39. *For every $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$*

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathbf{a}}^{d,k}) \leq 1.$$

Finally, we can show a classical result, crucial for our purposes, which relates homogeneous polynomials to powers of linear forms.

Lemma 2.40 (Apolarity lemma [IK99, Lemma 1.15]). *Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $l_k = l_{\mathbf{a}_k}$ for every $k = 1, \dots, r$, let*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{[\mathbf{a}_1], \dots, [\mathbf{a}_r]\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

and let $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the homogeneous ideal in \mathcal{D}_n of polynomials vanishing on \mathcal{A} . Then:

- (1) *for every $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \geq k$, if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, then*

$$\phi \circ (l_1^{[d]} + \dots + l_r^{[d]}) = \phi(\mathbf{a}_1)l_1^{[d-k]} + \dots + \phi(\mathbf{a}_r)l_r^{[d-k]};$$

- (2) *given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$(I_{\mathcal{A}})_k^\perp = \langle l_1^{[k]}, \dots, l_r^{[k]} \rangle,$$

where $(I_{\mathcal{A}})_k^\perp$ is the space orthogonal to $(I_{\mathcal{A}})_k$ with respect to the contraction pairing

$$\circ: \mathcal{D}_{n,k} \times \mathcal{R}_{n,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Point (1) follows from **Lemma 2.38**. Now, given any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, we have still by **Lemma 2.38** that for every $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\phi \circ \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j l_j^{[k]} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \phi(\mathbf{a}_j).$$

This means that

$$\langle l_1^{[k]}, \dots, l_r^{[k]} \rangle^\perp = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k} \mid \phi(\mathbf{a}_j) = 0, \forall j = 1, \dots, r \} = (I_{\mathcal{A}})_k.$$

Thus, point (2) follows directly from the fact that the contraction pairing is non-degenerate. \square

The apolarity theory is useful in dealing with tensor decomposition.

Definition 2.41. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ be a homogeneous polynomial. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, any expression of the form

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^r l_{\mathbf{a}_j}^d,$$

where $l_{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, l_{\mathbf{a}_r} \in \mathcal{R}_{n,1}$, is said to be a *Waring decomposition*, or simply *decomposition*, of f of size r . The elements $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$ are called the *points* of the decomposition.

For instance (see also [BBT13, section 2]), a formula for a minimal Waring decomposition of the quadratic form $x_1x_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ is

$$x_1x_2 = \frac{1}{4}(x_1 + x_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(x_1 - x_2)^2.$$

Similarly, a minimal decomposition of the form $x_1x_2x_3 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is as follows:

$$x_1x_2x_3 = \frac{1}{24}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^3 - \frac{1}{24}(x_1 + x_2 - x_3)^3 - \frac{1}{24}(x_1 - x_2 + x_3)^3 + \frac{1}{24}(x_1 - x_2 - x_3)^3.$$

In dealing with this subject, the main problem consists of finding which is the minimum number $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, given a specific $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$, it is possible to represent a decomposition of f of size r .

Definition 2.42. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ be a homogeneous polynomial. The *Waring rank*, or *symmetric tensor rank*, or simply *rank*, of f is the natural number

$$\text{rk } f = \min \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} \mid f = \sum_{j=1}^r l_{\mathbf{a}_j}^d : \mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}.$$

The analogous of the Waring problem for homogeneous polynomials is known as the *Big Waring problem* and aimed to determine the minimum number r such that a generic form f of degree d admits a decomposition of size r . With the term *generic*, we mean any form belonging to a Zariski open subset in $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}$.

The statement of [Lemma 2.40](#) provides an efficient method to determine a representation of a polynomial as sum of powers of linear forms. This way of writing polynomials have a special role in both classical and recent mathematics.

Now, using [Lemma 2.38](#), we can easily provide a well-known lower bound. This inequality is classical for binary forms and it first appeared in [Syl51a]. It has been then generalized for an arbitrary number of variables in [IK99, page 11] (see also [Lan12, Proposition 3.5.1.1]).

Proposition 2.43. Let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d \geq k$. Then

$$\text{rk } f \geq \text{rk}(\text{Cat}_{f,k})$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$.

Proof. Let us consider the decomposition of size r

$$[f]_r = l_{\mathbf{a}_1}^d + \dots + l_{\mathbf{a}_r}^d,$$

with $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then, it follows by [Lemma 2.38](#) that for every $j = 1, \dots, d$, if $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cat}_{f,k}(\phi) &= \phi \circ f = D_\phi(l_{\mathbf{a}_1}^d + \dots + l_{\mathbf{a}_r}^d) \\ &= D_\phi(l_{\mathbf{a}_1}^d) + \dots + D_\phi(l_{\mathbf{a}_r}^d) \\ &= \frac{d!}{(d-k)!} (\phi(\mathbf{a}_1)l_1^{d-k} + \dots + \phi(\mathbf{a}_r)l_r^{d-k}), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\text{Im}(\text{Cat}_{f,k}) \subseteq \langle l_1^{d-k}, \dots, l_r^{d-k} \rangle$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Cat}_{f,k}) \leq r. \quad \square$$

We recall that, for a 0-dimensional subscheme $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, its degree is defined as the maximum value assumed by the Hilbert function of the corresponding ideal I_Z , given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HF}_I: \mathbb{N} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ k &\mapsto \dim(\mathcal{D}_{n,k}/(I_Z)_k). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition, appearing in [IK99], owns a special role in dealing with Waring decompositions.

Theorem 2.44 ([IK99, Theorem 1.69]). *Let Z be a zero-dimensional subscheme of \mathbb{P}^n with $\deg Z = r$, let I_Z its associated ideal, and let*

$$\tau(I_Z) = \min \{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathrm{HF}_{I_Z}(k) = r \}.$$

Then HF_{I_Z} is nondecreasing and stabilizes at the value $\mathrm{HF}_{I_Z}(k) = r$ for every $k \geq \tau(I_Z)$.

Considering, for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$\mathcal{S}_{n,r}^d = \{ g \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d} \mid \mathrm{rk} g \leq r \},$$

the *border rank* of a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ is the minimum number $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_{n,r}^d}$, where the overline represents the closure for the Zariski topology. In terms of closure, we recall that, essentially by a result provided by D. Mumford in [Mum95, Theorem 2.33], the closure of the set $\mathcal{S}_{n,r}^d$ in Zariski topology equals the closure of the same set in Euclidean topology. Thus, we can think to the border rank of a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ as the minimum number $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^r l_j^d(t),$$

where $\{l_j(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a family of linear forms for every $j = 1, \dots, r$.

2.3. Quadratic forms and powers of quadrics

The notion of quadratic form is classical and appears in almost all branches of mathematics. Now we give a short overview for completeness and to justify the choice of the polynomial $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$. All of the following in this section is taken from [Ser73, chapter IV].

Definition 2.45. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{C} . A function $q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *quadratic form* on V if:

- (1) $q(av) = a^2q(v)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in V$;
- (2) the function

$$\begin{aligned} Q: V \times V &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (v, w) &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}(q(v+w) - q(v) - q(w)) \end{aligned}$$

is bilinear.

The pair (V, q) is called a *quadratic module* and the bilinear symmetric form Q is called the *scalar product* associated to q .

Assuming that $\dim V = m$, if $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a basis of V , then we can write any vector $v \in V$ as

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i e_i$$

for some $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{C}$. So if we set $a_{ij} = Q(e_i, e_j)$, we get

$$q(v) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{ij} x_i x_j,$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the *matrix associated* to q with respect to the basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. In particular, if we replace v by the vector of coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, then we get the quadratic form view in terms of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, that is,

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = q(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

The concept of orthogonality is fundamental and well known in the context of quadratic forms. We recall the main notions in the following definition.

Definition 2.46. Two vectors v and w are said to be *orthogonal* if $Q(v, w) = 0$. In particular, if a vector v is orthogonal to itself, that is,

$$q(v) = Q(v, v) = 0,$$

then it is said to be *isotropic*. A basis of V is said to be *orthogonal* if its elements are pairwise orthogonal. For any subset $W \subset V$, the set

$$W^\perp = \{ v \in V \mid Q(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in W \}$$

is called the *orthogonal space* to W . If W is a vector subspace, then W^\perp is called the *orthogonal complement* of W . The orthogonal complement V^\perp of V is called the *radical*, or *kernel*, of q and $\text{codim } V^\perp$ is called the *rank* of q . In particular, if $V^\perp = \{0\}$, then q is said to be *nondegenerate*.

A well-known fact is the existence of an orthogonal basis, which is proved in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.47. *Every quadratic module (V, q) has an orthogonal basis.*

Proof. We use induction on $n = \dim V$. The case where $n = 0$ is clear. Now, if $V = V^\perp$, then all vectors of V are isotropic and every basis of V is orthogonal. Otherwise, we can choose an element $v_1 \in V$ such that $q(v_1) \neq 0$. In particular, if we take the hyperplane $H = v_1^\perp$, then we have $v_1 \notin H$ and hence

$$V = \langle v_1 \rangle \oplus H.$$

Then, by inductive hypothesis, there exists an orthogonal basis $\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of H . □

Now we introduce the concept of morphisms between quadratic modules.

Definition 2.48. For arbitrary quadratic modules (V, q) and (V', q') , a linear map $f: V \rightarrow V'$ is a *morphism of quadratic modules* of (V, q) into (V', q') if

$$q = q' \circ f.$$

In particular, the equality

$$Q(v, w) = Q'(f(v), f(w))$$

holds for every $v, w \in V$. If f is an isomorphism, then the quadratic modules (V, q) and (V', q') are said to be *isomorphic*.

By **Definition 2.48**, we can also introduce the concept of equivalence between quadratic modules.

Definition 2.49. Two quadratic forms $q, q': V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are said to be *equivalent* if the corresponding quadratic modules (V, q) and (V, q') are isomorphic.

If q and q' are equivalent, we write $q \sim q'$. In particular, two quadratic forms are equivalent if and only if the polynomial form is the same, up to a linear change of variables. It is not difficult to prove the following proposition about the radicals of two quadratic modules.

Proposition 2.50. *If (V, q) and (V', q') are two isomorphic quadratic modules, then $V^\perp \cong V'^\perp$.*

Proof. If $f: V \rightarrow V'$ is an isomorphism of quadratic modules, then for $v \in V^\perp$ we have

$$Q'(f(v), w') = Q(v, f^{-1}(w')) = 0$$

for every $w' \in V'$, i.e., $f(V) \in V'^\perp$. By reversing the roles of V and V' , and using f^{-1} instead of f , we prove the inverse inclusion, which gives the statement. \square

In particular, [Proposition 2.50](#) implies that the radicals of the quadratic modules in the same equivalence class are all isomorphic. That is, the radical of an equivalence class of quadratic modules is well defined. By [Proposition 2.47](#) we have the following result.

Theorem 2.51. *Let q be a quadratic form in m variables. Then*

$$q \sim a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_mx_m^2$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{C}$.

Furthermore, we can observe that the rank of a quadratic form is exactly the number of non-zero coefficients in the formula of [Theorem 2.51](#).

Proposition 2.52. *Let q any quadratic forms in m variables. Then*

$$q \sim x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$$

if and only if q has rank n .

Proof. Let (V, q) be a quadratic module, such that $\dim V = m$. We can write

$$V = V^\perp \oplus U,$$

where $\dim U = n$ and $\dim V^\perp = m - n$. Now, if we consider the quadratic module $(U, q|_U)$, then we have by [Proposition 2.50](#) and [Theorem 2.51](#) together that

$$q|_U \sim a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2,$$

where the second quadratic form is associated to an orthogonal basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, and $a_1, \dots, a_n \neq 0$, since U is non-degenerate. Up to the scaling of the elements u_1, \dots, u_n , we can then write

$$q|_U \sim x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2.$$

Finally, let us consider a basis $\{v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m\}$ of V^\perp , so that $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m\}$ is a basis of V . In particular, since $v_i \in V^\perp$ for every $i = n + 1, \dots, m$, we have that

$$q \sim x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2,$$

which proves the statement. \square

Now we prove that the definition of rank given for quadratic forms is consistent.

Corollary 2.53. *A quadratic form has rank n if and only if the Waring rank of q is n .*

Proof. If the rank of q is n , then by [Proposition 2.52](#) a change of variables implies that $\text{rk } q \leq n$. Now, if $\text{rk } q < n$, then we can write

$$q = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \ell_j^2,$$

and hence, through a change of variables,

$$q \sim a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}x_{n-1}^2,$$

which by [Proposition 2.52](#) leads to a contradiction. \square

In particular, [Corollary 2.53](#) implies that every quadratic form having Waring rank equal to n is equivalent to the form

$$q_n = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2.$$

Therefore, to analyze decompositions of powers of quadratic forms of rank n , it is sufficient to consider the forms q_n^s with $s \in \mathbb{N}$. This is also the form that has been analyzed many times in the literature. Several authors gave several decompositions for different exponents and numbers of variables (see e.g. [Dic19, Chapter XXV, pp. 717-724]). A more recent work is due to B. Reznick, who in [Rez92, chapters 8-9] analyzes many cases in detail, restricting himself anyway to the case of real decompositions, also called *representations* in this context. We will see many examples of these classical expressions in [sections 4](#) and [5](#).

3. APOLARITY AND POWERS OF QUADRICS

In this section, we start our analysis on the form q_n^s . As said above, our goal is to determine suitable decompositions and establish which are the minimal ones. We have seen in [section 2.2](#) how important the use of [Lemma 2.40](#) can be in determining decompositions. For this reason, our first result concerns the description of the apolar ideal of q_n^s for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$. This topic can also be found in [Fla23a, sections 2-3], in a shorter version. We provide here a more detailed explanation.

We start in [section 3.1](#) with an analysis of the space of harmonic polynomials, which is an irreducible representation of the special orthogonal group $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$. This last fact is a rather classical result (see [GW98, Theorem 5.2.4]). Several results related to quadratic forms concern harmonic polynomials. One of the most interesting is that any form can be uniquely decomposed as a sum of harmonic polynomials multiplied by powers of q_n (see [GW98, Corollary 5.2.5]).

Then we proceed in [section 3.2](#) with the analysis of the apolarity action on q_n^s . After a detailed study of the catalecticant matrices of q_n^s , which are of full rank (a fact already known from [Rez92]), we proceed to the analysis of the apolar ideal of q_n^s . Its determination is in fact an important result in terms of apolarity, since by [Lemma 2.40](#) it provides a way to attack the problem of decomposing the form q_n^s . The resulting structure is quite elegant: the apolar ideal $\text{Ann}(q_n^s)$ is exactly the ideal generated by all the harmonic polynomials of degree $s + 1$ (see [Theorem 3.28](#)).

3.1. Harmonic polynomials

In order to analyze the apolar ideal of the form q_n^s , we need to make some considerations about the spaces of harmonic polynomials. These objects appear many times in other branches of mathematics as well. Obviously, their presence can be found in analysis, especially in the theory of harmonic functions, for which we refer to [ABR01, Chapter 5] for further details from an analytic point of view. It may be useful to identify the space \mathcal{D}_n with the space of polynomial differential operators, as we have already seen in [section 2.2](#). First, we observe that the kernel of a differential operator associated to a homogeneous polynomial $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is related to the contraction pairing. In fact, it can be considered as the orthogonal complement of the space $\phi\mathcal{D}_{n,d-k}$. The proof of the following proposition is quite simple and we omit it. It is already present in [Fla23a, Proposition 2.1], to which we refer to see a way to prove it.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $k \leq d$ and let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$. Then*

$$\text{Ker}(D_\phi) = (\phi\mathcal{D}_{n,d-k})^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{n,d}.$$

[Proposition 3.1](#) allows us to introduce the space of harmonic polynomials by the contraction pairing. If there is no risk of confusion, we continue to exchange the roles of the variables x_i and y_i by passing from a space to its dual without specifying.

Definition 3.2. The vector space

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,d} = (q_n\mathcal{D}_{n,d-2})^\perp = \text{Ker}(D_{q_n}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$$

is the space of the *harmonic polynomials* of degree d . The differential operator D_{q_n} , also denoted by Δ , is the *Laplace operator* and it is defined by the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta: \mathcal{R}_{n,d} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2} \\ f &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Given any two forms $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{R}_n$, it is easy to verify, simply using Leibniz's rule of derivation, that

$$\Delta(g_1 g_2) = \Delta(g_1)g_2 + g_1\Delta(g_2) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_j}. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, we need to recall the Euler's formula for a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,k}$, that is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = k f. \quad (3.4)$$

Finally, as already observed in [Fla23a, formula (2.4)], we can easily see that

$$\Delta(q_n^s) = 2s \sum_{j=1}^n \left(q_n^{s-1} + x_j \frac{\partial q_n^{s-1}}{\partial x_j} \right) = 2snq_n^{s-1} + 4s(s-1) \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 q_n^{s-2} = 2s(n+2(s-1))q_n^{s-1} \quad (3.5)$$

for each $s \geq 2$. Therefore, if we iterate the process, we get

$$\Delta^k(q_n^s) = 2^k \frac{s!}{(s-k)!} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k (n+2(s-j)) \right) q_n^{s-k} \quad (3.6)$$

for every $k \leq s$. In particular, we can introduce a constant value depending on $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, given by

$$C_{n,s} = \Delta^s(q_n^s) = 2^s s! \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} (n+2j) \neq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Furthermore, using the notation

$$q_n^{[k]} = \frac{1}{2^k k!} q_n^k, \quad A_{n,s,k} = \prod_{j=1}^k (n+2(s-j)) \quad (3.8)$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, formula (3.6) takes a more concise form, which can be written as

$$q_n^k \circ q_n^{[s]} = A_{n,s,k} q_n^{[s-k]}. \quad (3.9)$$

In addition to the apolarity action between powers of the quadratic forms, we can observe another nice property. It concerns the apolarity action of powers of q_n on the products of harmonic polynomials by powers of the quadratic form, generalizing formula (3.9).

Lemma 3.10. *Given a harmonic polynomial $h_m \in \mathcal{H}_{n,m}$, the equality*

$$q_n^k \circ (q_n^{[d]} h_m) = A_{n,d+m,k} q_n^{[d-k]} h_m$$

holds.

Proof. We begin by the case $k = 1$. Using formulas (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(q_n^{[d]} h_m) &= \Delta(q_n^{[d]}) h_m + q_n^{[d]} \Delta(h_m) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial q_n^{[d]}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_j} \\ &= \Delta(q_n^{[d]}) h_m + 2q_n^{[d-1]} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_j} \\ &= (n+2(d-1))q_n^{[d-1]} h_m + 2mq_n^{[d-1]} h_m \end{aligned}$$

$$= (n + 2(d + m - 1))q_n^{[d-1]}h_m$$

$$= A_{n,d+m,1}q_n^{[d-1]}h_m.$$

Thus, by iterating the process, we get

$$\Delta^k(q_n^{[d]}h_m) = A_{n,d+m,k}q_n^{[d-k]}h_m,$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq k \leq d$. \square

The role of harmonic polynomials is very important in determining a decomposition of the whole space $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}$. Indeed, it is well known that the space $\mathcal{H}_{n,d}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [GW98, Theorem 5.2.4]). The next proposition shows a decomposition of $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ as a direct sum of irreducible representations. It is already presented in [GW98, Corollary 5.2.5] and also in [ABR01, Proposition 5.5], but here we provide another proof, using apolarity.

Proposition 3.11. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,d} = q_n \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n,d}$$

and, more precisely,

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,d} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,d-2j}. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Since by duality $q_n \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2} \simeq q_n \mathcal{D}_{n,d-2}$, the desired decomposition can be obtained simply by proving that

$$q_n \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{n,d} = \{0\}.$$

For every $g \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2} \setminus \{0\}$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the maximum natural number such that $q_n g = q_n^k g_0$ for some $g_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2k}$. Then we have that $q_n \nmid g_0$ and, using formulas (3.4) and (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(q_n^k g_0) &= \Delta(q_n^k)g_0 + q_n^k \Delta(g_0) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial q_n^k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x_j} \\ &= 2k(n + 2(k-1))q_n^{k-1}g_0 + q_n^k \Delta(g_0) + 4kq_n^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x_j} \\ &= 2k(n + 2(k-1))q_n^{k-1}g_0 + 4k(d-2k)q_n^{k-1}g_0 + q_n^k \Delta(g_0) \\ &= 2k(n + 2(d-k-1))q_n^{k-1}g_0 + q_n^k \Delta(g_0). \end{aligned}$$

So, if $\Delta(q_n^k g_0) = 0$, then we have

$$q_n^k \Delta(g_0) = -2k(n + 2(d-k-1))q_n^{k-1}g_0$$

and, since $g_0 \neq 0$, this implies that $q_n^k \mid q_n^{k-1}g_0$. So, we must have $q_n \mid g_0$, but this is absurd by the hypothesis on g_0 . We thus proved that

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,d} = q_n \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n,d}.$$

Proceeding by induction on d , we easily get the equality

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,d} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,d-2j}. \quad \square$$

By Proposition 3.11, we can determine the dimension of each component of the vector space of harmonic polynomials.

Corollary 3.13. For every $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d} = \dim \mathcal{R}_{n,d} - \dim \mathcal{R}_{n,d-2} = \binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - \binom{d+n-3}{n-1}.$$

We can also recursively determine the dimension of each component.

Lemma 3.14. For every $d, n \geq 1$,

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d} = \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d-1} + \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d} = \dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d-1} + \dim \mathcal{H}_{n-1,d}.$$

Proof. By [Corollary 3.13](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d} &= \binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - \binom{d+n-3}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{(d+n-1)! - d(d-1)(d+n-3)!}{d!(n-1)!} \\ &= ((d+n-1)(d+n-2) - d(d-1)) \frac{(d+n-3)!}{d!(n-1)!} \\ &= (2d(n-1) + (n-1)(n-2)) \frac{(d+n-3)!}{d!(n-1)!} \\ &= (2d+n-2) \frac{(d+n-3)!}{d!(n-2)!} \\ &= \binom{(d-1) + (n-2)}{n-2} + \binom{d+n-2}{n-2} = \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d-1} + \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by this last equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d} &= \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d-1} + \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d} \\ &= \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d-1} + \dim \mathcal{R}_{n-1,d-2} + \dim \mathcal{H}_{n-1,d} \\ &= \dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d-1} + \dim \mathcal{H}_{n-1,d}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

With respect to the contraction pairing defined in formula [\(2.24\)](#), we observe that formula [\(3.12\)](#) provides an orthogonal decomposition of the spaces of homogeneous polynomials in each degree. In the following lemma we see how the contraction pairing works between each component of decomposition [\(3.12\)](#).

Lemma 3.15. For every $j, k \leq d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j \neq k$,

$$q_n^j h_{d-2j} \circ q_n^k h_{d-2k} = 0$$

for every $h_{d-2j} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,d-2j}$ and $h_{d-2k} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,d-2k}$.

Proof. By [Remark 2.31](#), we can assume by symmetry that $j \geq k$. So we get immediately by [Lemma 3.10](#) that

$$q_n^j h_{d-2j} \circ q_n^k h_{d-2k} = 0. \quad \square$$

3.2. The apolar ideal of q_n^s

In order to show how the apolar ideal $\text{Ann}(q_n^s)$ is made, we analyze the apolarity action of an arbitrary polynomial on q_n^s . Again, we will move from a coordinate set to its dual without specifying it. We have already seen in [Definition 2.33](#) that \mathcal{R}_n and \mathcal{D}_n have a natural structure of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -modules. For every polynomial $g \in \mathcal{R}_n$, the stabilizer of g is the set

$$(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))_g = \{ A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid A \cdot g = g \}.$$

In particular, the stabilizer of q_n^s can be described explicitly (see also [\[Fla23a, Lemma 3.3\]](#)).

Lemma 3.16. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then the stabilizer of the action of G on $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ with respect to the form q_n^s is the group*

$$G_{q_n^s} = (\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. Given any $A \in G_{q_n^s}$, we have by [Definition 2.33](#) that

$$A \cdot q_n^s = A \cdot (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^s = ((A \cdot x_1)^2 + \cdots + (A \cdot x_n)^2)^s = (A \cdot q_n)^s$$

and thus

$$A \cdot q_n^s = (A \cdot q_n)^s = q_n^s,$$

that is,

$$((A \cdot x_1)^2 + \cdots + (A \cdot x_n)^2)^s = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^s.$$

This means, considering the s -th roots of unity, that

$$A \cdot q_n = e^{\frac{2(j-1)\pi i}{s}} q_n$$

for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq j \leq s$ and this implies that

$$e^{-\frac{2(j-1)\pi i}{s}} A \cdot q_n = q_n.$$

In particular, since the stabilizer of the form q_n corresponds to the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$A = e^{\frac{2(j-1)\pi i}{s}} B$$

for some $B \in \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{C})$, which proves the statement. \square

We need to note another basic fact in representation theory, which is that, in general, the catalecticant map of a homogeneous polynomial g is a map of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})_g$ -modules. For a proof of this basic fact we refer to [\[Fla23a, Proposition 3.1\]](#).

Proposition 3.17. *For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $g \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ and let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then the catalecticant map of g is a G_g -equivariant map. That is,*

$$\mathrm{Cat}_g(A \cdot f) = A \cdot \mathrm{Cat}_g(f)$$

for every $A \in G_g$.

Before proving that the set of $(s+1)$ -harmonic polynomials is a set of generators of the apolar ideal, we need to make some other considerations.

Lemma 3.18. *If $l_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1}$ is a linear form associated with an isotropic point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, namely such that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$, then the d -th power $l_{\mathbf{a}}^d$ is harmonic for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. If $d = 1$, the statement is clear. If $d \geq 2$, we get by [Lemma 2.38](#) that

$$\Delta l_{\mathbf{a}}^{[d]} = q_n \circ l_{\mathbf{a}}^{[d]} = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}) l_{\mathbf{a}}^{[d-2]} = 0. \quad \square$$

Let us define the polynomials $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_{n,1}$, as

$$u = y_1 + iy_2, \quad v = y_1 - iy_2. \quad (3.19)$$

As a direct consequence of [Lemma 3.18](#), we have that every power of the polynomials u and v is harmonic.

Lemma 3.20. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \geq s+1$, $u^d, v^d \in \mathrm{Ann}(q_n^s)$.*

Proof. We prove the statement only for the linear polynomial u , since the case of v is analogous. We observe that

$$(y_1 + iy_2) \circ (x_1 + ix_2) = 1 + i^2 = 0$$

and

$$u \circ q_n^s = (y_1 + iy_2) \circ (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^s = 2s(x_1 + ix_2)(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{s-1}.$$

Then, if $d \geq s+1$, we get by Leibniz's rule

$$u^d \circ q_n^s = (y_1 + iy_2)^d \circ (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^s = 2^s s! (y_1 + iy_2)^{d-s} \circ (x_1 + ix_2)^s = 0,$$

that is, $u^d \in \mathrm{Ann}(q_n^s)$. \square

Remark 3.21. It is clear that the product of two powers of the polynomials u and v cannot be harmonic. In fact, for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l, m \geq 1$, we have

$$u^l v^m = (y_1^2 + y_2^2)(y_1 + iy_2)^{l-1}(y_1 - iy_2)^{m-1},$$

namely $(y_1^2 + y_2^2) \mid u^l v^m$.

Now, we observe that

$$y_j \circ q_n^s = \frac{\partial q_n^s}{\partial x_j} = 2s q_n^{s-1} x_j$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, n$. By Leibniz's rule, we can iterate this and obtain, for any $f \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}$,

$$f \circ q_n^s = 2^k \frac{s!}{(s-k)!} q_n^{s-k} f + q_n^{s-k+1} g, \quad (3.22)$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{R}_{n,k-2}$, where $k \leq s$. Finally, we can analyze each component of the catalecticant map, in order to determine its kernel, which is the apolar ideal of q_n^s . We will see that the catalecticant matrices of q_n^s are full rank for every $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we can represent them in their diagonal form using of harmonic polynomials. Given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq 2s$, we consider the k -th catalecticant map

$$\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, k}: \mathcal{D}_{n,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,2s-k}.$$

Proposition 3.23. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k \leq 2s$ and $j \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. Then*

$$\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, k}(q_n^j h_{k-2j}) \in q_n^{s-k+j} \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}$$

for every $h_{k-2j} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}$. In particular, the restriction

$$\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, k}: q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j} \rightarrow q_n^{s-k+j} \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}$$

is a well-defined isomorphism of $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -modules.

Proof. We have already recalled that the space of harmonic polynomials $\mathcal{H}_{n,s}$ is an irreducible $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module ([GW98, Theorem 5.2.4]), and so is $q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}$. Now, let us consider the linear polynomials u and v defined in (3.19) and the restriction

$$\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, k} \big|_{q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}}: q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,2s-k}.$$

Then we have, by formula (3.6), that

$$\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, k}(q_n^j u_1^{k-2j}) = (q_n^j u_1^{k-2j}) \circ q_n^s = u_1^{k-2j} \circ \left(2^j \frac{s!}{(s-j)!} \left(\prod_{t=s-j}^{s-1} (n+2t) \right) q_n^{s-j} \right).$$

We also see that

$$u_1^{k-2j} \circ q_n^{s-j} = (y_1 + iy_2)^{k-2j} \circ q_n^{s-j} = (y_1 + iy_2)^{k-2j-1} \circ (2q_n^{s-j-1}(x_1 + ix_2))$$

and, since

$$(y_1 + iy_2) \circ (x_1 + ix_2) = 0,$$

we can iterate the process, obtaining

$$u_1^{k-2j} \circ q_n^{s-j} = 2^{k-2j} q_n^{s-k+j} (x_1 + ix_2)^{k-2j} \in q_n^{s-k+j} \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}.$$

In particular, since $q_n^{s-k+j} \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}$ is an irreducible $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module, we must have by Lemma 2.6 that

$$q_n^{s-k+j} \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j} \subseteq \text{Im}(\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, k}).$$

Therefore, for dimensional reasons, it immediately follows that

$$\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, k} \big|_{q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}}: q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j} \rightarrow q_n^{s-k+j} \mathcal{H}_{n,k-2j}$$

is an isomorphism of $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -modules. \square

In a certain sense, the catalecticant maps of q_n^s preserve the decomposition (3.12). Indeed, considering the standard coordinates, for every harmonic polynomial $h \in \mathcal{H}_{n,k}$, we know by formula (3.22) that

$$h \circ q_n^s = 2^k \frac{s!}{(s-k)!} q_n^{s-k} h + q_n^{s-k+1} g$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{R}_{n,k-2}$. However, since the harmonic decomposition is unique, we have by Proposition 3.23 that

$$h \circ q_n^s = 2^k \frac{s!}{(s-k)!} q_n^{s-k} h.$$

In particular, setting

$$q_n^{[s]} = \frac{1}{2^s s!} q_n^s$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$h \circ q_n^{[s]} = q_n^{[s-k]} h. \quad (3.24)$$

for every $h \in \mathcal{H}_{n,k}$. Thus, we can determine a particular basis, for which every catalecticant matrix has a diagonal form.

Proposition 3.25. *Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,d}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{n,d}$ for every $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A_{n,s,k}$ be the value given by*

$$A_{n,s,k} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (n + 2(s - k + j)) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (n + 2(s - 1 - j)) = \prod_{j=1}^k (n + 2(s - j)).$$

Let also

$$\mathcal{T}_d = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{1}{A_{n,s,k}} q_n^k h_{d-2k} \mid h_{d-2k} \in \mathcal{B}_{n,d-2k} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_d = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \left\{ q_n^{[k]} h_{d-2k} \mid h_{d-2k} \in \mathcal{B}_{n,d-2k}^* \right\}$$

be bases of $\mathcal{D}_{n,d}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}$, respectively, for every $d = 1, \dots, 2s$. Then, it is possible to order the elements of the bases \mathcal{T}_d and \mathcal{S}_{2s-d} so that the entries of the d -th catalecticant matrix of $q_n^{[s]}$ with respect to \mathcal{T}_d and \mathcal{S}_{2s-d} are

$$(\text{Cat}_{q_n^{[s]},d})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, the central catalecticant matrix corresponds to the identity matrix.

Proof. It suffices to prove that, for every $1 \leq d \leq 2s$, the d -th catalecticant map sends elements of \mathcal{T}_d into elements of \mathcal{S}_{2s-d} . For each $h_{d-2k} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,d-2k}$, we have by formulas (3.6) and (3.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A_{n,s,k}} q_n^k h_{d-2k} \circ q_n^{[s]} &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n + 2(s - k + j)} \right) q_n^k h_{d-2k} \circ q_n^{[s]} \\ &= h_{d-2k} \circ q_n^{[s-k]} = q_n^{[s-d+k]} h_{d-2k} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the statement is proved. \square

The new form of the catalecticant matrices of q_n^s allows us to determine more easily how the components of the apolar ideal are made. In particular, by Proposition 3.25, we have the following corollary, which is the same of [Fla23a, Propositions 3.2 and 3.6].

Corollary 3.26. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq k \leq s$,*

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, s-k}) = \text{Ann}(q_n^s)_{s-k} = \{0\}.$$

Moreover, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq k \leq s+1$,

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, s+k}) = \text{Ann}(q_n^s)_{s+k} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n, s+k-2j}. \quad (3.27)$$

The fact that the catalecticant matrices of q_n^s are all full-rank has already been proved by B. Reznick, using [Rez92, Theorem 8.15] and referring to [Rez92, Theorem 3.7] and [Rez92, Theorem 3.16]. Another kind of proof is given by F. Gesmundo and J. M. Landsberg in [GL19, Theorem 2.2]. Now we have all the elements to present our first result, that is the determination of the apolar ideal $\text{Ann}(q_n^s)$, which is generated by harmonic polynomials of degree $s + 1$. The proof is based on the determination of a set of generators for each component of the apolar ideal (see also [Fla23a, Theorem 3.8]).

Theorem 3.28. *The apolar ideal of the form q_n^s is*

$$\text{Ann}(q_n^s) = (\mathcal{H}_{n,s+1}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.26 we simply have to prove that

$$\text{Ann}(q_n^s)_d = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,d-s-1}$$

for every $d \geq s + 1$. So, setting $d = s + k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, this is the same as proving that

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k-2j} = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-1}, \quad (3.29)$$

in the case where $1 \leq k \leq s + 1$. In particular, by formula (3.27) we have

$$\text{Ann}(q_n^s)_{s+1} = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1}.$$

Therefore, we have the inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k-2j} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-1}. \quad (3.30)$$

To prove the reverse inclusion, we proceed by induction on k separately for odd and even values. If $k = 1$, the equality is clear. If $k = 2$, the inclusion to prove is

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,s+2} \oplus q_n \mathcal{H}_{n,s} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,1}. \quad (3.31)$$

Considering the polynomials in formula (3.19) and the power $u^{s+2} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+2}$, we have

$$u^{s+1}u \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,1}.$$

Thus, since $\mathcal{H}_{n,s+2}$ is an irreducible $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module, it follows by Lemma 2.6 that

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,s+2} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,1}. \quad (3.32)$$

Now, by Remark 3.21 and formula (3.30), we have that $u^{s+1}v \notin \mathcal{H}_{n,s+2}$ and

$$u^{s+1}v \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+2} \oplus q_n \mathcal{H}_{n,s}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.11, there are unique $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+2}$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s}$, with $h_2 \neq 0$, such that

$$u^{s+1}v = h_1 + q_n h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+2} \oplus q_n \mathcal{H}_{n,s}.$$

In particular,

$$q_n h_2 = u^{s+1}v - h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,1}.$$

Again by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$q_n \mathcal{H}_{n,s} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,1},$$

which, together with formula (3.32), gives the inclusion (3.31). Now, let us suppose $3 \leq k \leq s$ and that equality (3.29) hold for $k - 2$. We need to show that

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k-2j} = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k} \oplus q_n \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-2} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k-2-2j} \right) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-1}.$$

As above, we consider the polynomial $u^{s+k} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k}$, that is the product

$$u^{s+1}u^{k-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,s+k} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-1}.$$

Now, by inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-2} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k-2-2j} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-3}.$$

Therefore, as $q_n \in \mathcal{D}_{n,2}$, we have

$$q_n \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-2} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k-2-2j} \right) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-1}.$$

This gives the inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,s+k-2j} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,k-1},$$

proving equality (3.29). It remains to show that

$$\text{Ann}(q_n^s)_d = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,d-s-1},$$

for every $d \geq 2(s+1)$. In particular, since

$$\text{Ann}(q_n^s)_d = \mathcal{D}_{n,d}$$

for every $d \geq 2(s+1)$, we simply have to prove that

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,2s+m+1} = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,s+m} \tag{3.33}$$

for every $m \geq 1$. Now, by formulas (3.27) and (3.29), we have

$$\text{Ann}(q_n^s)_{2s+1} = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,s} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^s q_n^j \mathcal{H}_{n,2s-2j+1}.$$

This implies, by decomposition (3.12), that

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,s} = \mathcal{D}_{n,2s+1},$$

by which we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,2s+m+1} = \mathcal{D}_{n,2s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,m} = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,s} \mathcal{D}_{n,m} = \mathcal{H}_{n,s+1} \mathcal{D}_{n,s+m}$$

for every $m \geq 1$, which proves equality (3.33). \square

By [Theorem 3.28](#) it is quite easy to obtain a lower bound for the rank of the form q_n^s . In fact, as a direct consequence of [Proposition 2.43](#), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.34. *For every $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\text{rk}(q_n^s) \geq \binom{s+n-1}{n-1}.$$

4. TIGHT DECOMPOSITIONS

The lower bound provided in [Corollary 3.34](#) by the value

$$T_{n,s} = \binom{s+n-1}{n-1}$$

leads us to ask in which cases the equality is satisfied, that is, the rank of q_n^s is equal to the rank of the middle catalecticant matrix. B. Reznick already analyzed this problem in [\[Rez92\]](#) for real decompositions, calling the decompositions of size $T_{n,s}$ as *tight decompositions* and establishing a connection with the classical language of spherical designs. Although it is not easy to extend the whole theory to the complex case, in this chapter we give some generalizations of results of B. Reznick which are also valid for the field \mathbb{C} .

In [section 4.1](#) we give a summary of results for the real tight decompositions, which seem to preserve some geometric properties when extended to the complex case. In particular, as we know that in the real

case the tight decompositions are formed by points of the same norm, we extend this fact to complex decompositions, proving that the s -th power $(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})^s$ is the same for every point appearing in a tight decomposition of q_n^s , for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Thanks to this surprising fact, we are able to extend some results of B. Reznick. One of the most important is that many decompositions, which turn out to be unique up to real orthogonal transformations, retain their uniqueness even when extended to the complex field. First, in [section 4.2](#) we explicitly compute all the possible decompositions in two variables, which are unique up to complex orthogonal transformations ([Theorem 4.23](#)). Then, we focus in [section 4.3](#) on tight decompositions for lower powers and we also observe that, working on the complex field, there are only a few number of values of n that can be assumed to get tight decompositions. In many cases, the question remains open even for real ones.

4.1. Real decompositions and spherical designs

When dealing with minimal real decompositions of the form q_n^s , the number of different values of the norm of the points of the decompositions plays a relevant role. B. Reznick focuses on this in [[Rez92](#), Chapter 8], analyzing the decompositions determined by points of the same norm, also called *first caliber* decompositions, and their one-to-one correspondence with some specific combinatorial objects, known as spherical designs (see [[Rez92](#), Proposition 8.38]). Although we do not work with spherical designs, we consider these results and generalize some of them to the field of complex numbers, especially for what concerns first caliber decomposition.

Spherical designs can be defined in many different ways. B. Reznick in [[Rez92](#)] chose the one provided by P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel in [[DGS77](#), Definition 5.1]. They were the first to define this concept, considering a spherical t -design as a finite set of points A contained in the $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere S^{n-1} such that, for every homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}_n$ with $\deg f \leq t$,

$$\frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\xi) d\omega(\xi) = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} f(\mathbf{a}).$$

More details on spherical designs can be found in the literature, for which B. Reznick also lists several texts (see [[Rez92](#), p. 113]) that can be consulted for more information, such as [[Ban84](#), [CS99](#), [GS79](#), [GS81a](#), [GS81b](#), [Hog90](#), [Sei84](#), [Sei87](#)]. There is another equivalent definition, again given by P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel in [[DGS77](#), Theorem 5.2], and also used by E. Bannai and R. M. Damerell in [[BD79](#)] and [[BD80](#)]. It tells us that any finite set of points $A \subseteq S^{n-1}$ is a t -spherical design if and only if

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} h(\mathbf{a}) = 0$$

for every $h \in \mathcal{H}_{n,k}$ and $k = 1, \dots, t$. Although we can extend this last definition to complex points on a subset A of the complexified sphere

$$S_{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1} = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1 \},$$

this does not immediately give the same results. This is due to the several hypotheses on the points which are necessary to define another kind of objects, equally important, namely the *spherical codes* (see e.g. [[DGS77](#), section 4]).

We begin by introducing a name to denote the minimal decomposition of size equal to the rank of central catalecticant matrices. This is the same term used by B. Reznick and it was chosen in relation to the correspondence with tight spherical designs (see [[BD79](#)]).

Definition 4.1. A decomposition

$$q_n^s = \sum_{k=1}^m (a_{k,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{k,n}x_n)^{2s}$$

is said to be *tight* if $m = T_{n,s}$, where

$$T_{n,s} = \binom{s+n-1}{s}.$$

The work of B. Reznick on the form q_n^s involves several decompositions, some of which were known from the classical literature and then translated from the language of spherical designs. This can be explained by the fact that the real decompositions of size $m \in \mathbb{N}$ can be related to a finite set of m real n -tuples $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$, where

$$\mathbf{a}_k = (a_{k,1}, \dots, a_{k,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then, it is quite natural to identify these as points on multiple n -dimensional spheres centered on the origin. In particular, we have the following theorem, provided by B. Reznick.

Theorem 4.2 ([Rez92, Proposition 9.2]). *If q_n^s has a real tight decomposition, then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $s = 1$ or $n = 2$;
- (2) $s = 2$ and $n = 3$;
- (3) $s = 2$ and $n = m^2 - 2$ for some odd $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (4) $s = 3$ and $n = 3m^2 - 4$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (5) $s = 5$ and $n = 24$.

This result is very powerful in dealing with real numbers, since it shows that there is no tight decomposition for every power $s \geq 6$. Now, given a natural number $r \in \mathbb{N}$, B. Reznick defines a *r-th caliber representation* as a representation for which there are r distinct spheres containing points of such a representation, namely, a real decomposition for which there are r distinct values assumed by

$$|\mathbf{a}_k|^{2s} = (a_{k,1}^2 + \dots + a_{k,n}^2)^s$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$. We can extend in a natural way this definition to the complex field, including isotropic points.

Definition 4.3. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, a decomposition

$$q_n^s = \sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s} = \sum_{k=1}^m (a_{k,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{k,n}x_n)^{2s}$$

is said to be an *r-th caliber decomposition* if there exist exactly r values $c_1, \dots, c_r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\{(\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^s\}_{k=1, \dots, m} \in \{c_1, \dots, c_r\}.$$

That is, there are exactly r values assumed by

$$(\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^s = (a_{k,1}^2 + \dots + a_{k,n}^2)^s$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

The first caliber decompositions play a special role because of their notable symmetry. Most of the results given by B. Reznick in [Rez92] are based on the construction of an inner product on $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}$. Given a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ and a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = d$, we introduce the values $c_\alpha(p)$, which are coefficients in the polynomial p such that

$$p = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n,d}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} c_\alpha(p) \mathbf{x}^\alpha,$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,d} = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid |\alpha| = d \}.$$

In the case of real polynomials, for every $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, the inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: S^d \mathbb{R}^n \times S^d \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

considered by B. Reznick in [Rez92, pp. 1-2] associates to each pair of polynomials $f, g \in S^d \mathbb{R}^n$ the real value

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n,d}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} c_\alpha(f) c_\alpha(g).$$

This inner product is classically known as the *Bombieri inner product* and it is based on the norm in spaces of polynomials known as the *Bombieri norm* and introduced by B. Beauzamy, E. Bombieri,

P. Enflo, and H. L. Montgomery in [BBEM90]. For the real case, this concept has also been analyzed by E. Kostlan in [Kos93, Section 4], where he proves (see [Kos93, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2]) that it is invariant under the action of the space $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ of real orthogonal matrices. We can extend it to the complex case and obtain a complex symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{R}_{n,d} \times \mathcal{R}_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

defined in the same way.

A nice property about this product, considered by B. Reznick in [Rez92, formula (1.5)], considers the evaluation of a polynomial at a point associated with a linear form and is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *For every $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ and for every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$*

$$\langle f, (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d \rangle = f(\mathbf{a}). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Since every homogeneous polynomial can be written as a sum of d -powers of linear forms, it is sufficient to prove the statement for the case where f is a power of a linear form $(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d$ for some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then we have

$$\langle (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d, (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n,d}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!} \mathbf{b}^\alpha \mathbf{a}^\alpha = (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a})^d,$$

which proves the formula. \square

We can state, as for the previous one, that this inner product is invariant under the action of the complex orthogonal group.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $f, g \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$, the identity*

$$\langle A \cdot f, A \cdot g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$$

holds for every $A \in O_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. By linearity, we can easily prove the statement for powers of linear forms. So, considering the polynomials

$$f = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d, \quad g = (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d,$$

and an orthogonal matrix $A \in O_n(\mathbb{C})$, i.e. such that ${}^tAA = A{}^tA = I$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \cdot (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d, A \cdot (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d \rangle &= \langle (\mathbf{a} \cdot A\mathbf{x})^d, (\mathbf{b} \cdot A\mathbf{x})^d \rangle = \langle ({}^tA\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d, ({}^tA\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n,d}} \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!} ({}^tA\mathbf{a})^\alpha ({}^tA\mathbf{b})^\alpha = ({}^tA\mathbf{a} \cdot {}^tA\mathbf{b})^d \\ &= (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})^d = \langle (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d, (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x})^d \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

As a explicit example for the value of the Bombieri norm (see [Rez92, formula (8.2) and Corollary 8.18]) we have

$$\langle q_n^s, q_n^s \rangle = \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1}. \quad (4.7)$$

Furthermore, we observe that, given a decomposition

$$q_n^s = \sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s}, \quad (4.8)$$

we get by **Proposition 4.4** and formula (4.7) the equality

$$\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1} = \left\langle q_n^s, \sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s} \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m \langle q_n^s, (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m q_n^s(\mathbf{a}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^s.$$

Thus, we rewrite the following generalization to the complex field for first caliber decompositions.

Proposition 4.9. *Let*

$$q_n^s = \sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s}$$

be a first caliber decomposition of size $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^s = \frac{1}{m} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{(2j+1)}$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, m$.

P. D. Seymour and T. Zavlavsky prove in [SZ84] that a first caliber decomposition of q_n^s of size $r \in \mathbb{N}$ always exists for a sufficiently large value of r . Moreover, B. Reznick proves in [Rez92, Corollary 8.17] that every real tight decomposition is first caliber. This result can easily be extended, but in order to prove this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $d \geq 2$. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *for every point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the d -th power of its associated linear form $l_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ is harmonic if and only if \mathbf{a} is isotropic in \mathbb{C}^n , i.e., $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$;*
- (2) *the space $\mathcal{H}_{n,d}$ is generated by the d -th powers of linear forms associated with isotropic points; that is,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,d} = \langle \{ l_{\mathbf{a}}^d \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0 \} \rangle.$$

Proof. Point (1) follows directly from Lemma 2.38. In fact, for every non-zero linear form $l_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{R}_{n,1}$ we have

$$\Delta(l_{\mathbf{a}}^d) = d(d-1)(a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2)l_{\mathbf{a}}^{d-2} = d(d-1)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})l_{\mathbf{a}}^{d-2},$$

which is equal to zero if and only if $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$. To prove point (2), let us consider the space

$$W = \langle \{ l_{\mathbf{a}}^d \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0 \} \rangle.$$

We have from point (1) that

$$W \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{n,d}.$$

Now, for every $A \in \text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$A \cdot l_{\mathbf{a}}^d = (A \cdot l_{\mathbf{a}})^d = l_{A \cdot \mathbf{a}}^d$$

for every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Since A is an orthogonal transformation, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = (A \cdot \mathbf{a}) \cdot (A \cdot \mathbf{a}),$$

which means that

$$A \cdot h \in W$$

for every $h \in W$. Thus, W is a $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module and since $\mathcal{H}_{n,d}$ is an irreducible $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module, as we saw in section 3.1, we must have $W = \mathcal{H}_{n,d}$. \square

When dealing with linear forms of the kind $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the associated value $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ plays a relevant role. In particular, from the fact that the middle catalecticant $\text{Cat}_{q_n^s, s}$ is full rank, the following lemma immediately follows.

Lemma 4.11. *For every $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ be a linear form with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and let*

$$f = q_n^{[s]} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]}.$$

Then

$$T_{n,s} - 1 \leq \text{rk}(\text{Cat}_{f,s}) \leq T_{n,s}.$$

Proof. We can choose a basis $\{g_1, \dots, g_{T_{n,s}}\}$ of $\mathcal{R}_{n,s}$ with

$$g_1 = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[s]}.$$

Then we can also consider a basis $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{n,s}$ such that

$$h_j \circ q_n^{[s]} = g_j$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, T_{n,s}$. In particular, for any choice of the elements $c_1, \dots, c_{T_{n,s}} \in \mathbb{C}$, let us consider the polynomial

$$h = \sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} c_j h_j \in \mathcal{D}_{n,s}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} c_j h_j \right) \circ f &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} c_j h_j \right) \circ q_n^{[s]} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} c_j h_j \right) \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} c_j g_j - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} c_j h_j(\mathbf{a}) \right) (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[s]} \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{T_{n,s}} c_j g_j + \left(c_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} c_j h_j(\mathbf{a}) \right) g_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by linear independence, $h \in \text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f,s})$ if and only if

$$c_2 = \dots = c_{T_{n,s}} = 0$$

either $c_1 = 0$, or $h_1(\mathbf{a}) = 1$. In the first case we clearly have $h = 0$, while in the second one we get

$$\langle h_1 \rangle = \text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f,s})$$

and therefore

$$\text{rk}(\text{Cat}_{f,s}) = T_{n,s} - 1. \quad \square$$

A rather important fact is related to the middle catalecticant of the form q_n^s and isotropic points. We will use the next lemma to prove that any tight decomposition of q_n^s must be first caliber.

Lemma 4.12. *For every $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ be a linear form such that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is an isotropic point, and let*

$$f = q_n^{[s]} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]}.$$

Then the middle catalecticant $\text{Cat}_{f,s}$ of f is full rank.

Proof. Let us consider an element $g \in \text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f,s})$, which, by decomposition (3.12), can be written as

$$g = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} q_n^k h_{s-2k},$$

where h_{s-2k} is a harmonic polynomial for every $k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$. Then we have

$$q_n^k h_{s-2k} \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]} = q_n^{k-1} h_{s-2k} \circ (q_n \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]}) = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$. Since \mathbf{a} is isotropic, then it follows by Lemma 4.10 that $(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s}$ is harmonic, i.e.,

$$q_n \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s} = 0.$$

Formula (4.13) implies that the kernel of the catalecticant map can only contain harmonic polynomials. To see this, let us suppose that $g \circ f = 0$. That is, by formulas (3.9) and (3.24),

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} q_n^k h_{s-2k} \right) \circ (q_n^{[s]} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} A_{n,s,k} q_n^{[k]} h_{s-2k} \right) + h_s \circ (q_n^{[s]} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]}) = 0.$$

Then, since the polynomial

$$h_s \circ (q_n^{[s]} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]}) = h_s - h_s(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[s]}$$

is harmonic, by the uniqueness of decomposition (3.12) we must have $h_{s-2k} = 0$ for every $k = 1 \dots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$. That is,

$$g = h_s = h_s(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^{[s]},$$

which is harmonic and, in particular, evaluating g in \mathbf{a} , we have

$$g(\mathbf{a}) = h_s(\mathbf{a}) = h_s(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})^{[s]} = 0,$$

that is, $g \equiv 0$. □

The importance of Lemma 4.12 is that it allows to exclude isotropic points from tight decompositions. In particular, we can now prove that every tight decomposition must be first caliber, even considering complex decompositions.

Remark 4.14. Recall that the action of $\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^n$ has two orbits, formed by isotropic and non-isotropic points, respectively. We consider two points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where

$$\mathbf{a} = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n), \quad \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b} = b_1^2 + \dots + b_n^2 = 1$$

and a complement $\{\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ such that $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Then, given the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_n \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$. Now, any isotropic point $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ can be written as $\mathbf{d}' + i\mathbf{d}''$, where $\mathbf{d}' \cdot \mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}''$. Analogously, we can consider two isotropic points

$$\mathbf{c} = (1, i, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}' + i\mathbf{d}'',$$

such that $\mathbf{d}' \cdot \mathbf{d}' = 1$. Considering a complement $\{\mathbf{w}_3, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ of $\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$ to an orthonormal basis and the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & \cdots & | \\ \mathbf{d}' & \mathbf{d}'' & \mathbf{w}_3 & \cdots & \mathbf{w}_n \\ | & | & | & & | \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $B\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{d}$.

Theorem 4.15. *Every tight decomposition*

$$q_n^s = \sum_{k=1}^m (\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s},$$

where

$$m = T_{n,s} = \binom{s+n-1}{s},$$

is first caliber. In particular,

$$(\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^s = \frac{1}{T_{n,s}} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1}.$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, T_{n,s}$.

Proof. Suppose that a tight decomposition of $q_n^{[s]}$ contains a summand $(\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]}$, such that \mathbf{a}_1 is an isotropic point. In particular, by Lemma 4.10 we have

$$q_n \circ (\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s} = 0.$$

Then, considering the polynomial

$$f = q_n^{[s]} - (\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})^{[2s]},$$

Proposition 2.43 implies that $\mathrm{Cat}_{f,s}$ is not full rank, but by Lemma 4.12 we know that this is not possible. Thus, no tight decomposition can contain a power of a linear form associated with an isotropic point.

Now, it remains to prove that every point of a tight decomposition has the same norm, up to roots of unity. Let us consider the tight decomposition

$$q_n^s = \sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,s}} (\mathbf{b}_j \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s}$$

with $\mathbf{b}_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for every $j = 1, \dots, T_{n,s}$. Now, q_n^s is invariant under the action of $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$, which acts transitively on the set of non-isotropic points with fixed norm of \mathbb{C}^n . We can then assume that

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = C_0 \mathbf{e}_1 = C_0(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

for some $C_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. If we look at the polynomial

$$f_1 = q_n^s - (\mathbf{b}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s},$$

then we have that $\det(\text{Cat}_{f_1, s})$ is a polynomial in the variable C_0 of degree $2s$. Furthermore, since the form

$$(\mathbf{b}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s} = C_0^{2s} (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s}$$

would not change by multiplying C_0 by any $2s$ -th root of unity, then the roots of $\det(\text{Cat}_{f_1, s})$ are given by a unique value up to multiplication by a $2s$ -th root of unity. Thus, by the invariance of q_n^s under the action of $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we get that the complex number $(\mathbf{b}_k \cdot \mathbf{b}_k)^s$ is the same for all $k = 1, \dots, T_{n,s}$. In particular, we have by [Proposition 4.9](#) that this value is real, namely,

$$(\mathbf{b}_k \cdot \mathbf{b}_k)^s = \frac{1}{T_{n,s}} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1}. \quad \square$$

Given any tight decomposition

$$q_n^s = \sum_{k=1}^{T_{n,s}} (\mathbf{b}_k \cdot \mathbf{x})^{2s},$$

we denote by $B_{n,s}$ the value obtained in [Theorem 4.15](#), i.e.,

$$B_{n,s} = \frac{1}{T_{n,s}} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1}. \quad (4.16)$$

4.2. Tight decomposition in two variables

In the two-variable case, the rank of the powers of the quadric q_2 is fully known and has been proved by B. Reznick in [[Rez92](#), Theorem 9.5], where he gives all possible real decompositions, which turn out to be unique up to a real orthogonal transformation. In this section we deal with this fact from the point of view of apolarity and extend it to the complex field, proving that the unique real decomposition is still unique for the complex case. We know from [Theorem 3.28](#) that the apolar ideal of q_2^s is

$$\text{Ann}(q_2^s) = (\mathcal{H}_{2,s+1}).$$

So first we have to determine a basis of the space $\mathcal{H}_{2,s+1}$. In general, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have by [Corollary 3.13](#) that the dimension of the d -harmonic polynomials in n variables is

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d} = \binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - \binom{d+n-3}{n-1},$$

for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, if we restrict to the case of two variables, we get that $\dim \mathcal{H}_{2,d} = 2$. Now, let us consider in $\mathcal{D}_{n,1}$ the polynomials

$$u = \frac{y_1 + iy_2}{2}, \quad v = \frac{y_1 - iy_2}{2},$$

multiples of the polynomials (3.19). Then we get a new basis of $\mathcal{D}_{2,1}$ and thus, by a simple change of variables, we have $\mathcal{D}_2 = \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2] \simeq \mathbb{C}[u, v]$. So it follows from [Lemma 3.20](#) that

$$\text{Ann}(q_2^s) = (u^{s+1}, v^{s+1}).$$

When dealing with complex numbers, we denote by $\Im(z)$ the imaginary part of any number $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 4.17. *For every $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, let u_1 and u_2 be the complex values*

$$u_1 = a + ib, \quad u_2 = a - ib.$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$;

(2) $\bar{u}_1 = u_2$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial. Conversely, if $\bar{u}_1 = u_2$, then we have

$$a + ib = \bar{a} + i\bar{b}$$

and thus

$$2i\Im(a) = a - \bar{a} = i(\bar{b} - b) = -2i^2\Im(b) = 2\Im(b).$$

That is,

$$\Im(a) = \Im(b) = 0,$$

and hence $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Lemma 4.17 can be generalized to projective points. In particular, we can characterize the points in coordinates $\{u, v\}$ such that they correspond to real projective points in coordinates $\{y_1, y_2\}$.

Lemma 4.18. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, let $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ be the projective point associated to the pair $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and let $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be the values*

$$u_1 = a + ib, \quad u_2 = a - ib.$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., b can be written as a real multiple of a or $a = 0$;

(2) $[u_1 : u_2] = [u_0 : \bar{u}_0]$ for a suitable $u_0 \in \mathbb{C}$;

(3) $|u_1| = |u_2|$.

Proof. The implications of (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) are trivial. So, let u_1 and u_2 be such that $|u_1| = |u_2|$. If $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, then the statement (1) is clear. If instead $a, b \neq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1|^2 - |u_2|^2 &= (a + ib)(\bar{a} - i\bar{b}) - (a - ib)(\bar{a} + i\bar{b}) \\ &= |a|^2 + i\bar{a}b - ia\bar{b} + |b|^2 - |a|^2 - ia\bar{b} + i\bar{a}b - |b|^2 \\ &= 2i(\bar{a}b - a\bar{b}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$a^2 = \left(\frac{|a|}{|b|}\right)^2 b^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$a = \pm \frac{|a|}{|b|} b$$

and therefore a is a real multiple of b . □

As a consequence of **Lemma 4.18**, we get that, given the coordinate function

$$\begin{aligned} v: \mathbb{C}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (y_1, y_2) &\mapsto y_1 - iy_2 \end{aligned}$$

and the conjugate coordinate function

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}: \mathbb{C}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (y_1, y_2) &\mapsto \bar{y}_1 - i\bar{y}_2, \end{aligned}$$

a projective point $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ has a real representative pair if and only if $v(a, b) = \bar{u}(a, b)$. Without loss of generality, we will refer to real roots of a polynomial whenever this condition is satisfied. Now we can provide another proof for the determination of the rank q_2^s , in addition to the one proposed by

B. Reznick in [Rez92, Theorem 9.5], which is given in the following theorem. In this case we determine an appropriate decomposition by Lemma 2.40.

Theorem 4.19. For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{rk}(q_2^s) = s + 1.$$

Proof. According to point (2) of Lemma 2.40, Proposition 2.43, and Corollary 3.26, to prove the statement we only need to determine a polynomial of degree $s + 1$ belonging to the apolar ideal of q_2^s and having $s + 1$ distinct roots. So, let us consider the polynomial

$$f = u^{s+1} - v^{s+1}.$$

Then, for every $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we have that $f(u_0, v_0) = 0$ if and only if $u_0 = v_0 = 0$ or

$$\left(\frac{u_0}{v_0}\right)^{s+1} = 1,$$

that is

$$\frac{u_0}{v_0} = e^{i\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{s+1}}$$

for some $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$. Consequently, we have $s + 1$ distinct roots, corresponding to the projective points

$$[u_j : v_j] = \left[e^{i\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{s+1}} : 1 \right] = \left[e^{i\frac{(j-1)\pi}{s+1}} : e^{-i\frac{(j-1)\pi}{s+1}} \right]$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$. Now, by Lemma 4.18, we can write these roots using the coordinates $\{y_1, y_2\}$, as real points. In particular, considering the value

$$\tau_j = \frac{(j-1)\pi}{s+1}$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$, we can write the points as

$$[y_{1,j} : y_{2,j}] = [\cos \tau_j : \sin \tau_j]$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$. We conclude that f has $s + 1$ distinct roots and hence $\text{rk}(q_2^s) = s + 1$. \square




Figure 4.1. Examples of points of decompositions of the forms q_2^3 and q_2^4 . The blue octagon on the left gives the 4 projective points obtained as roots of the polynomial $u^4 - v^4$, while the red decagon on the right gives the 5 projective points obtained as roots of the polynomial $u^5 - v^5$ (points opposite to the origin represent the same point in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$).

The roots of the polynomial used in the proof of Theorem 4.19 are all real and hence provide a real decomposition of q_2^s , whose elements correspond to the projective classes of the $2(s + 1)$ -th roots of unity. Equivalently, these points correspond to the vertices of a regular $2(s + 1)$ -gon (see Figure 4.1), inscribed in a circle with radius equal to

$$B_{2,s}^{\frac{1}{2s}} = (s + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2s}} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \left(\frac{2j+2}{2j+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}} = 2(s + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2s}} \binom{2s}{s}^{-\frac{1}{2s}}.$$

This last fact is obtained from Theorem 4.15.

By analyzing all the polynomials of minimal degree $s + 1$ with distinct real roots, which are contained in $\text{Ann}(q_2^s)$, we can then determine all the real decompositions of q_2^s . The procedure simply consists of resolving a real system and so determining, by [Lemma 2.40](#), the coefficients of the powers of the linear forms associated to each root.

Proposition 4.20. *Let $f = au^{s+1} + bv^{s+1} \in \text{Ann}(q_2^s)$ be a non-zero polynomial of degree $s + 1$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then f has $s + 1$ real distinct roots if and only if $|a| = |b| \neq 0$. In particular, it can be written, up to scalars, as*

$$f = u^{s+1} - e^{i\theta} v^{s+1}$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and its roots correspond to the projective points

$$[y_{1,j} : y_{2,j}] = [\cos \tau_{\theta,j} : \sin \tau_{\theta,j}],$$

where

$$\tau_{\theta,j} = \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta}{2(s+1)}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$.

Proof. If the polynomial f has a real root $(y_{1,0}, y_{2,0}) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$u_0 = \bar{v}_0 \neq 0$$

and hence

$$au_0^{s+1} + b\bar{u}_0^{s+1} = 0.$$

This clearly means that $a, b \neq 0$ and $|a| = |b|$. Conversely, if a and b are complex numbers such that $|a| = |b| \neq 0$, then there exists a real number $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that f can be written, up to a scalar a , as

$$f = u^{s+1} - e^{i\theta} v^{s+1}$$

where

$$e^{i\theta} = -\frac{b}{a}.$$

Thus, for every pair $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we have $f(u_0, v_0) = 0$ if and only if $u_0 = v_0 = 0$ or

$$\left(\frac{u_0}{v_0}\right)^{s+1} = e^{i\theta}.$$

This means that there are $s + 1$ roots $[u_j : v_j] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ for which we have

$$u_j = e^{i\frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta}{s+1}} v_j$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$. That is, the $s + 1$ roots of f are

$$[u_j : v_j] = \left[e^{i\frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta}{s+1}} : 1 \right] = \left[e^{i\frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta}{2(s+1)}} : e^{-i\frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta}{2(s+1)}} \right],$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$. So, introducing the notation

$$\tau_{\theta,j} = \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta}{2(s+1)}$$

we can write

$$[u_j : v_j] = [e^{i\tau_{\theta,j}} : e^{-i\tau_{\theta,j}}],$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$. So by changing the variables, we get the $s + 1$ real distinct roots in standard coordinates, namely,

$$[y_{1,j} : y_{2,j}] = [\cos \tau_{\theta,j} : \sin \tau_{\theta,j}],$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s + 1$ □

Note that in the case of $\theta = 0$ we obtain the same polynomial that we used to prove [Theorem 4.19](#). In general, the roots we get correspond to the projective classes of the vertices of a regular $2(s + 1)$ -gon.

Now we have all the elements to obtain all the minimal real decompositions of the form q_2^s , exploiting all the roots of the polynomials considered in [Proposition 4.20](#).

Theorem 4.21. *The form q_2^s has a unique real decomposition, up to orthogonal real transformations, whose terms correspond to the vertices of a regular $2s$ -gon inscribed in a circle with radius equal to*

$$r = 2(s+1)^{-\frac{1}{2s}} \binom{2s}{s}^{-\frac{1}{2s}}.$$

Namely

$$q_2^s = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} (r \cos(\tau_j)x_1 + r \sin(\tau_j)x_2)^{2s},$$

where

$$\tau_j = \frac{(j-1)\pi}{s+1}$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, s+1$.

Proof. By [Theorem 4.15](#), we know that every decomposition of q_2^s is first caliber and every point has norm equal to

$$r = 2(s+1)^{-\frac{1}{2s}} \binom{2s}{s}^{-\frac{1}{2s}}.$$

Moreover, by [Proposition 4.20](#), the polynomials with real distinct roots in the apolar ideal of q_2^s are given by all the linear combinations of the type

$$u^{s+1} - e^{i\theta} v^{s+1}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Thus, introducing the variables

$$z_1 = x_1 - ix_2, \quad z_2 = x_1 + ix_2,$$

and considering the values

$$\tau_{j,\theta} = \frac{(j-1)\pi}{s+1} + \frac{\theta}{2(s+1)}$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, s+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2^s &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^s = z_1^s z_2^s = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2s} (e^{i\tau_{j,\theta}} z_1 + e^{-i\tau_{j,\theta}} z_2)^{2s} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2s} \left(2 \left(\frac{e^{i\tau_{j,\theta}} + e^{-i\tau_{j,\theta}}}{2} \right) x_1 + 2 \left(\frac{e^{i\tau_{j,\theta}} - e^{-i\tau_{j,\theta}}}{2i} \right) x_2 \right)^{2s} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} r^{2s} (\cos(\tau_{j,\theta})x_1 + \sin(\tau_{j,\theta})x_2)^{2s}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that all these decompositions are unique up to orthogonal transformation. Since the form q_2^s is invariant under the action of the orthogonal group $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ (where the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers must be considered for standard coordinates), we can consider the action of the matrix

$$A_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{\theta}{2(s+1)}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2(s+1)}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} q_2^s &= z_1^s z_2^s = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2s} (e^{i\tau_{j,\theta}} z_1 + e^{-i\tau_{j,\theta}} z_2)^{2s} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2s} (e^{i\tau_{j,0}} (A_\theta \cdot z_1) + e^{-i\tau_{j,0}} (A_\theta \cdot z_2))^{2s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2s} (e^{i\tau_j} z_1 + e^{-i\tau_j} z_2)^{2s} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} (r \cos(\tau_j)x_1 + r \sin(\tau_j)x_2)^{2s},
\end{aligned}$$

where we set $\tau_j = \tau_{j,0}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, s+1$. \square

It is not so difficult to generalize the previous results to the complex case. In particular, we have to find all the polynomials of minimal degree $s+1$ with distinct roots in the apolar ideal $\text{Ann}(q_2^s)$. Then, in the same way, we get all explicit decompositions of the form q_2^s .

Proposition 4.22. *Let $f = au^{s+1} + bv^{s+1} \in \text{Ann}(q_2^s)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that $a, b \neq 0$. Then f can be written, up to scalars, as*

$$f = u^{s+1} - e^{i(\theta+ik)} v^{s+1},$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Moreover, it has $s+1$ distinct roots corresponding to

$$[y_{1,j} : y_{2,j}] = [\cos(w_{k,\theta,j}) : \sin(w_{k,\theta,j})],$$

where

$$w_{k,\theta,j} = \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta + ik}{2(s+1)},$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s+1$.

Proof. Since $f \neq 0$, we can assume, up to multiplication by a scalar, that

$$f = u^{s+1} - c_0 v^{s+1},$$

where

$$c_0 = -\frac{b}{a} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, denoting by $k' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the norm of c_0 , we can write

$$c_0 = k' e^{i\theta} = e^{i(\theta - i \log k')} = e^{i(\theta+ik)}$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, with $k = -\log k' \in \mathbb{R}$. This gives us the desired form

$$f = u^{s+1} - e^{i(\theta+ik)} v^{s+1}.$$

Now, we proceed exactly as in the proof of [Proposition 4.20](#). We observe that $[u_0 : v_0] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is a root of f if and only if

$$\left(\frac{u_0}{v_0}\right)^{s+1} = e^{i(\theta+ik)}.$$

So we can determine $s+1$ distinct roots of f , corresponding to

$$[u_j : v_j] = \left[e^{i \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta + ik}{s+1}} : 1 \right] = \left[e^{i \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta + ik}{2(s+1)}} : e^{-i \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta + ik}{2(s+1)}} \right],$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s+1$, which can be written by introducing the term

$$w_{k,\theta,j} = \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta + ik}{2(s+1)}$$

as

$$[u_j : v_j] = [e^{iw_{k,\theta,j}} : e^{-iw_{k,\theta,j}}],$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, s+1$. So if we rewrite each pair in standard coordinates, we get

$$y_{1,j} = u_j + v_j = e^{iw_{k,\theta,j}} + e^{-iw_{k,\theta,j}} = 2 \cos(w_{k,\theta,j}),$$

$$y_{2,j} = -i(u_j - v_j) = -i(e^{iw_{k,\theta,j}} - e^{-iw_{k,\theta,j}}) = 2 \sin(w_{k,\theta,j}).$$

So the roots of the polynomial f are given by

$$[y_{1,j} : y_{2,j}] = [\cos(w_{k,\theta,j}) : \sin(w_{k,\theta,j})],$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s+1$. \square

It remains to determine the other decompositions of q_2^s . The procedure we use is exactly the same as in the real case.

Theorem 4.23. *The form q_2^s has a unique decomposition which, up to complex orthogonal transformations, corresponds to the real decomposition whose terms are given by the pairs of opposite vertices of a regular $2s$ -gon inscribed in a circle of radius equal to*

$$r = 2(s+1)^{-\frac{1}{2s}} \binom{2s}{s}^{-\frac{1}{2s}}.$$

Namely,

$$q_2^s = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} (r \cos(\tau_j)x_1 + r \sin(\tau_j)x_2)^{2s},$$

where

$$\tau_j = \frac{(j-1)\pi}{s+1},$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, s+1$.

Proof. By [Proposition 4.22](#), we can describe any minimal decomposition of q_2^s as a sum of powers of linear forms corresponding to the distinct roots of a polynomial

$$u^{s+1} - e^{i(\theta+ik)} v^{s+1},$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that, given the set of variables $\{z_1, z_2\}$, introduced in the proof of [Theorem 4.21](#), and the values

$$w_{k,\theta,j} = \frac{2(j-1)\pi + \theta + ik}{2(s+1)},$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, s+1$, we can write q_2^s as

$$\begin{aligned} q_2^s &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^s = z_1^s z_2^s = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2s} (e^{iw_{k,\theta,j}} z_1 + e^{-iw_{k,\theta,j}} z_2)^{2s} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2s} \left(2 \left(\frac{e^{iw_{k,\theta,j}} + e^{-iw_{k,\theta,j}}}{2} \right) x_1 + 2 \left(\frac{e^{iw_{k,\theta,j}} - e^{-iw_{k,\theta,j}}}{2i} \right) x_2 \right)^{2s} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} (r \cos(w_{k,\theta,j})x_1 + r \sin(w_{k,\theta,j})x_2)^{2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the invariance of q_2^s under the action of $O_2(\mathbb{C})$, we conclude by [Theorem 4.21](#) that every minimal representation is obtained by the action of a complex orthogonal transformation on the real decomposition

$$q_2^s = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} (r \cos(\tau_j)x_1 + r \sin(\tau_j)x_2)^{2s},$$

where

$$r = 2(s+1)^{-\frac{1}{2s}} \binom{2s}{s}^{-\frac{1}{2s}}.$$

That is, for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the equality

$$q_2^s = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} (r \cos(w_{k,\theta,j})x_1 + r \sin(w_{k,\theta,j})x_2)^{2s}. \quad \square$$

4.3. Tight decompositions in many variables

By analyzing the middle catalecticant matrices we are able to extend some of the results obtained for the real case, as we did in the previous section. In particular, for the second and third powers of q_n , we can exclude the existence of tight decompositions for several cases. The strategy consists in trying to determine suitable decompositions by finding the possible points, all with the same norm, contained in the kernel of the central catalecticant map of $q_n^s - B_{n,s}x_1^{2s}$, which must have dimension 1. This is exactly the same strategy used by B. Reznick in [Rez92], but thanks to [Theorem 4.15](#) we can approach the complex case.

Considering the second power of a quadratic form, our strategy is to subtract a summand from a hypothetical tight decomposition of the form q_n^s , so that the rank of the middle catalecticant matrix of q_n^s decreases by 1. By [Theorem 4.15](#), we know that the s -power of the value $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ is the same for every point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of a tight decomposition of q_n^s , namely

$$B_{n,s} = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})^s = \frac{1}{T_{n,s}} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1} = \frac{s!(n-1)!}{(s+n-1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{2j+n}{2j+1}.$$

Thus, assuming the existence of a tight decomposition, we can consider the form

$$\frac{1}{B_{n,s}} q_n^s,$$

so that every point of the decomposition has norm 1, up to roots of unity. In particular, by the transitivity of the orthogonal group action on the non-isotropic points on the complexified sphere $S_{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}$, we can assume that one of them is the point

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Now we will use the notation

$$q_n^{[s]} = \frac{1}{2^s s!} q_n^s$$

and consider the case where the exponent is $s = 2$. In particular, we have

$$B_{n,2} = \frac{2(n+2)}{3(n+1)}$$

and it follows by [Theorem 4.15](#) that if there is a tight decomposition of q_n^2 , the middle catalecticant matrix of the polynomial

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{B_{n,2}} q_n^2 - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4,$$

where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is such that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$, up to multiplying by a root of unity, must have rank equal to $T_{n,2} - 1$. Moreover, we can determine exactly how the kernel of $\text{Cat}_{f_1,2}$ is made.

Lemma 4.24. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let*

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{B_{n,2}} q_n^2 - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4,$$

for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f_1,2}) = \langle (n+2)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 - q_n \rangle.$$

Proof. Using [Lemma 2.38](#), formula (3.5) and the fact that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$, we simply observe that

$$\begin{aligned} ((n+2)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 - q_n) \circ f_1 &= \frac{n+2}{B_{n,2}} ((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 \circ q_n^2) - (n+2)((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{B_{n,2}} (q_n \circ q_n^2) + (q_n \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4) \\ &= \frac{n+2}{B_{n,2}} (4q_n + 8(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2) - 12(n+2)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{4(n+2)}{B_{n,2}} q_n + 12(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{8(n+2)}{B_{n,2}} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2 - 12(n+1) (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2 = 0,$$

which proves the statement. \square

Lemma 4.24 can be generalized to an arbitrary exponent s . We can prove that the element generating the kernel is given by a product of quadratic forms multiplied, whenever s is odd, by the variable y_1 .

Lemma 4.25. *Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let*

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{B_{n,2}} q_n^s - x_1^{2s}.$$

Then,

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f_1, s}) = \left\langle y_1^{\lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \left(y_1^2 - a_k \sum_{j=2}^n y_j^2 \right) \right\rangle,$$

for some $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$.

Proof. Let g be a generator of $\text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f_1, s})$. Then g must be invariant under the action of $\text{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ and, since it can be written as

$$g = \sum_{k=0}^s y_1^k g_{s-k}(y_2, \dots, y_n),$$

where $g_{s-k} \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1, s-k}$ for every $k = 0, \dots, n$, then also each polynomial g_{s-k} must be $\text{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant. Therefore, by the uniqueness of decomposition (3.12), each polynomial g_{s-k} must be a multiple of a power of q_{n-1} and, in particular, we must have $g_{s-k} = 0$ whenever $s-k$ is odd. That is,

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} b_j y_1^{s-2j} q_{n-1}^j,$$

for some $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, for every $j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$. \square

Lemma 4.24 guarantees that, assuming that the first point is $\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, the remaining points must be roots of the polynomial

$$g_1 = (n+2)y_1^2 - q_n.$$

In particular, this means that, given any other point of the decomposition $\mathbf{a}_2 = (a_{2,1}, \dots, a_{2,n})$, we must have

$$a_{2,1} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}.$$

Furthermore, for every $j, k = 1, \dots, T_{n,2}$ such that $j \neq k$, we have

$$\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_k = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}. \quad (4.26)$$

By equation (3.3), using the same strategy adopted by B. Reznick in [Rez92, pp. 130-132], we can obtain the result of **Theorem 4.2** for the case of exponent 2, which turns out to be true for complex decompositions as well.

Theorem 4.27. *Let $n \geq 3$ and let*

$$\frac{1}{B_{n,2}} q_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,2}} (\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{x})^4$$

be a tight decomposition of q_n^2 . Then $n = 3$ or $n = m^2 - 2$ for a suitable odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Suppose that a point of the decomposition is

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then, we can assume by **Lemma 4.24** that the second point of the decomposition is

$$\mathbf{a}_2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}, \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

So, given any other point \mathbf{a}_k of the decomposition, which can be written as

$$\mathbf{a}_k = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}, a_{k,2}, \dots, a_{k,n} \right),$$

for any $k = 3, \dots, T_{n,2}$, we have by formula (4.26) that

$$\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_k = \frac{1}{n+2} + a_{k,2} \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}}$$

and hence

$$a_{k,2} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{n+2}}{\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}}.$$

For these values, we introduce the notation

$$C_2^+ = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{n+2}}{\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}}, \quad C_2^- = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{n+2}}{\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}}.$$

We define the natural number $N_2^- \in \mathbb{N}$ as the number of elements of the decomposition with the second coordinate equal to C_2^- . Consequently, exactly $N_2^+ = T_{n,s} - N_2^- - 2$ elements must have the second coordinate equal to C_2^+ . Developing the form and solving an equation for the coefficients of the monomial x_2^4 , we get the equation

$$\frac{(n+1)^2}{(n+2)^2} + (T_{n,s} - N_2^- - 2) \frac{(-1 + \sqrt{n+2})^4}{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2} + N_2^- \frac{(-1 - \sqrt{n+2})^4}{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2} = \frac{3(n+1)}{2(n+2)},$$

which can be written as

$$2(n+1)^4 + 2(T_{n,s} - N_2^- - 2)(-1 + \sqrt{n+2})^4 + 2N_2^-(-1 - \sqrt{n+2})^4 = 3(n+1)^3(n+2),$$

by which we get

$$-(n+1)^3(n+4) + 2(T_{n,s} - N_2^- - 2)(-1 + \sqrt{n+2})^4 + 2N_2^-(-1 - \sqrt{n+2})^4 = 0.$$

Replacing the value

$$T_{n,2} = \binom{n+1}{2},$$

we get

$$-(n+1)^3(n+4) + (n(n+1) - 2N_2^- - 4)(-1 + \sqrt{n+2})^4 + 2N_2^-(-1 - \sqrt{n+2})^4 = 0,$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2^- &= \frac{-(n+1)^3(n+4) + (n^2 + n - 4)(-1 + \sqrt{n+2})^4}{2(-1 + \sqrt{n+2})^4 - 2(-1 - \sqrt{n+2})^4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^3(n+4) - (n^2 + n - 4)(n^2 + 10n + 17 - 4(n+3)\sqrt{n+2})}{16(n+3)\sqrt{n+2}} \\ &= \frac{-n^3 - 2n^2 + 9n + 18 + (n^2 + n - 4)(n+3)\sqrt{n+2}}{4(n+3)\sqrt{n+2}} \\ &= \frac{-(n+2)(n-3)(n+3) + (n^2 + n - 4)(n+3)\sqrt{n+2}}{4(n+3)\sqrt{n+2}} \\ &= \frac{n^2 + n - 4 - (n-3)\sqrt{n+2}}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since N_2^- is a natural number, if the equality

$$4N_2^- - n^2 - n + 4 = (3-n)\sqrt{n+2}$$

holds, then $n = 3$ or $\sqrt{n+2} \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $n = m^2 - 2$ for a suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So, assuming $n \geq 4$ and setting $m = \sqrt{n+2}$, we determine the value of N_2^- , obtaining

$$N_2^- = \frac{(m^2 - 2)^2 + m^2 - 6 - m(m^2 - 5)}{4} = \frac{m^4 - m^3 - 3m^2 + 5m - 2}{4} = \frac{(m-1)^3(m+2)}{4}.$$

By simply substituting modular values, we can check that $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. So, there are exactly N_2^- points $\mathbf{a}_3, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{N_2^-+2}$ such that

$$a_{k,2} = C_2^- = -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}$$

for every $k = 3, \dots, N_2^- + 2$. Consequently, the number of points having C_2^+ as second coordinate is

$$\begin{aligned} N_2^+ &= \binom{m^2 - 1}{2} - 2 - N_2^- = \frac{(m^2 - 1)(m^2 - 2)}{2} - 2 - \frac{(m-1)^3(m+2)}{4} \\ &= \frac{m^4 + m^3 - 3m^2 - 5m - 2}{4} = \frac{(m-2)(m+1)^3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the remaining N_2^+ points $\mathbf{a}_{N_2^-+3}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{N_2^-+N_2^+2}$ of the decomposition have as second coordinate the value

$$a_{k,2} = C_2^+ = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}},$$

for every $k = N_2^- + 3, \dots, N_2^- + N_2^+ + 2$. So, replacing the value $\sqrt{n+2}$ by m , the first two points are

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{m^2 - 1}, 0, \dots, 0 \right),$$

while the remaining $N_2^- + N_2^+$ ones can be written as

$$\mathbf{a}_k = \left(\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}, a_{k,3}, \dots, a_{k,n} \right)$$

for $k = 4, \dots, N_2^- + 2$, and

$$\mathbf{a}_k = \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}}, a_{k,3}, \dots, a_{k,n} \right)$$

$k = N_2^- + 3, \dots, N_2^- + N_2^+ + 2$. In particular, we can assume the third point of the decomposition to be

$$\mathbf{a}_3 = \left(\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}, a_{3,3}, 0, \dots, 0 \right),$$

which implies the equation

$$a_{3,3}^2 + \frac{1}{m^2} + \frac{m+1}{m^2(m-1)} = 1,$$

which means that

$$a_{3,3}^2 = \frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)},$$

and hence

$$a_{3,3} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)}}.$$

Without loss of generality, by the invariance of the form q_n^s under orthogonal transformations, we can assume

$$a_{3,3} = \sqrt{\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)}}$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{a}_3 = \left(\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}, \sqrt{\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

Now, we must have

$$\mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_k = \pm \frac{1}{m}$$

for every $k = 4, \dots, N_2^- + N_2^+ + 2$. In particular, if $k = 4, \dots, N_2^- + 2$, this gives the equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}, \sqrt{\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}, a_{k,3}, \dots, a_{k,n} \right) = \pm \frac{1}{m},$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{m^2} + \frac{m+1}{m^2(m-1)} + a_{k,3} \sqrt{\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)}} = \pm \frac{1}{m},$$

and hence

$$a_{k,3} = \frac{-2 \pm (m-1)}{\sqrt{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)}}.$$

If, instead, $k = N_2^- + 3, \dots, N_2^- + N_2^+ + 2$, we have the equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}, \sqrt{\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}}, a_{k,3}, \dots, a_{k,n} \right) = \pm \frac{1}{m},$$

which gives

$$a_{k,3} \sqrt{\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)}} = \pm \frac{1}{m},$$

and hence

$$a_{k,3} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)}}.$$

Therefore, analogously to the previous case, we consider the values

$$C_3^{--} = -\frac{m+1}{\sqrt{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)}}, \quad C_3^{-+} = \frac{m-3}{\sqrt{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)}}$$

$$C_3^{+-} = -\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)}}, \quad C_3^{++} = \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)}}$$

and we define the values N_3^{--} , N_3^{-+} , N_3^{+-} , and N_3^{++} as the numbers of points having the value C_3^{--} , C_3^{-+} , C_3^{+-} , and C_3^{++} , respectively, as third coordinate. In particular, we have

$$N_3^{--} + N_3^{-+} = N_2^- - 1 = \frac{(m-1)^3(m+2)}{4} - 1 = \frac{(m-2)(m^3 + m^2 - m + 3)}{4}$$

and

$$N_3^{+-} + N_3^{++} = N_2^+ = \frac{(m+1)^3(m-2)}{4}.$$

Considering the coefficients of the monomial $x_1 x_2 x_3^2$, we must have

$$-\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m^3(m-1)} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}} - \frac{N_3^{--}(C_3^{--})^2}{m^2} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}} - \frac{N_3^{-+}(C_3^{-+})^2}{m^2} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}} + \frac{N_2^+(C_3^{++})^2}{m^2} \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} = 0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{(m-2)(m+1)}{m(m-1)} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}} + N_3^{--} \frac{(m+1)^2}{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}$$

$$+ N_3^{-+} \frac{(m-3)^2}{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}} - N_2^+ \frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)} \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} = 0,$$

and hence

$$(m-2)^2(m+1)^3 + N_3^{--}(m+1)^3 + N_3^{-+}(m-3)^2(m+1) - N_2^+(m-1)^3 = 0.$$

Thus, substituting the value of N_2^+ , we have

$$(m-2)^2(m+1)^2 + N_3^{--}(m+1)^2 + N_3^{-+}(m-3)^2 - \frac{(m+1)^2(m-1)^3(m-2)}{4} = 0,$$

and, substituting the value of N_3^{-+} , we get

$$(m-2)^2(m+1)^2 + N_3^{--}((m+1)^2 - (m-3)^2) + \frac{(m-2)(m-3)^2(m^3 + m^2 - m + 3)}{4} - \frac{(m+1)^2(m-1)^3(m-2)}{4} = 0.$$

Then, we can solve the equation, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} N_3^{--} &= \frac{(m-2)((m+1)^2(m-1)^3 - 4(m-2)(m+1)^2 - (m-3)^2(m^3 + m^2 - m + 3))}{32(m-1)} \\ &= \frac{(m-2)(m-1)(m^2 - 5)}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we must have either m odd or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. Also, we get

$$N_3^{-+} = \frac{(m-2)(m^3 + m^2 - m + 3)}{4} - N_3^{--} = \frac{(m-2)(m+1)^3}{8} = \frac{N_2^+}{2}.$$

We repeat the same procedure for the coefficients of the monomial $x_1^2x_2x_3$, which give the equation

$$-\frac{m+1}{m^3(m-1)}\sqrt{\frac{m-2}{m}} - \frac{N_3^{--}C_3^{--} + N_3^{-+}C_3^{-+}}{m^3}\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}} + \frac{N_3^{+-}C_3^{+-} + N_3^{++}C_3^{++}}{m^3}\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} = 0,$$

that is,

$$(N_3^{+-}C_3^{+-} + N_3^{++}C_3^{++})\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} = \frac{m+1}{m-1}\sqrt{\frac{m-2}{m}} + (N_3^{--}C_3^{--} + N_3^{-+}C_3^{-+})\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}.$$

Then, substituting the values obtained so far, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(-N_3^{+-}\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)}} + N_3^{++}\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{m+1}{m-1}\sqrt{\frac{m-2}{m}} + \left(N_3^{-+}\frac{m-3}{\sqrt{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)}} - N_3^{--}\frac{m+1}{\sqrt{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(-N_3^{+-}\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)}} + \left(\frac{(m+1)^3(m-2)}{4} - N_3^{-+}\right)\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m(m-2)(m+1)}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m+1}} \\ &= \frac{m+1}{m-1}\sqrt{\frac{m-2}{m}} + \left(-\frac{(m-2)(m-1)(m+1)(m^2-5)}{8\sqrt{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)}} + \frac{(m-2)(m+1)^3(m-3)}{8\sqrt{(m+1)m(m-1)(m-2)}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} N_3^{+-} &= \frac{(m+1)^2(m-2)(2(m+1)(m-1)^2 - (m+1)^2(m-3) + (m-1)(m^2-5) - 8)}{16(m-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{(m+1)^3(m-2)}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$N_3^{-+} = N_3^{++} = \frac{N_2^+}{2}.$$

Now, again because of the same norm of the points of the decomposition, we can repeat the same argument and rewrite, after a suitable orthogonal transformation, the first two points as

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (c_1, c_2, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = (c_1, -c_2, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Therefore, since

$$|\mathbf{a}_1| = |\mathbf{a}_2| = \sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2} = 1$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = c_1^2 - c_2^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+2}} = \frac{1}{m},$$

we easily get

$$c_1^2 = \frac{m+1}{2m}, \quad c_2^2 = \frac{m-1}{2m}$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}}, \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{2m}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right), \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}}, -\sqrt{\frac{m-1}{2m}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

By applying [Lemma 4.24](#), we observe that the elements in the kernel of the catalecticant of the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{B_{n,2}} q_n^2 - (\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 - (\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x})^4$$

are given by the polynomials

$$(c_1 y_1 \pm c_2 y_2)^2 - \frac{1}{m^2 - 2} q_n + \frac{2}{m^2(m^2 - 2)} q_n,$$

that is

$$\frac{m+1}{2m} y_1^2 \pm \frac{\sqrt{m^2-1}}{m} y_1 y_2 + \frac{m-1}{2m} y_2^2 - \frac{1}{m^2} q_n.$$

It is clear that the remaining points must satisfy both the equations. Therefore, since the norm of every point must be equal to one, we obtain that the point

$$\mathbf{a}_k = (a_{k,1}, \dots, a_{k,n})$$

must satisfy the equations of the system

$$\begin{cases} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} = 0, \\ (m+1) a_{k,1}^2 + (m-1) a_{k,2}^2 = \frac{2}{m}, \end{cases}$$

for every $k = 3, \dots, T_{n,2}$, that is, we must have

$$a_{k,1} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m(m+1)}}, \quad a_{k,2} = 0, \tag{4.28}$$

or

$$a_{k,1} = 0, \quad a_{k,2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m(m-1)}}. \tag{4.29}$$

We refer to points satisfying equations (4.28) and (4.29), respectively, as points of the first and second type. So, considering the equation obtained by equalizing the coefficient of the monomial x_1^4 and denoting by D_1 and D_2 the number of addends respectively of the first and second type, we get

$$\frac{(m+1)^2}{2m^2} + \frac{4D_1}{m^2(m+1)^2} = \frac{3(m^2-1)}{2m^2}$$

and hence

$$(m+1)^4 + 8D_1 - 3(m^2-1)(m+1)^2 = 0,$$

that is

$$D_1 = \frac{(m+1)^3(m-2)}{4}.$$

Thus, we also get

$$D_2 = T_{n,2} - D_1 - 2 = \frac{(m^2 - 2)(m^2 - 1)}{2} - \frac{(m + 1)^3(m - 2)}{4} - 2 = \frac{(m - 1)^3(m + 2)}{4}$$

for the elements of the second type. Again up to orthogonal transformations, we can suppose the third point of the decomposition to be

$$\mathbf{a}_3 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{m(m+1)}}, 0, c_3, 0, \dots, 0 \right)$$

for some $c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ and we must have

$$\frac{2}{m(m+1)} + c_3^2 = 1,$$

that is,

$$c_3^2 = 1 - \frac{2}{m(m+1)} = \frac{m^2 + m - 2}{m(m+1)} = \frac{(m+2)(m-1)}{m(m+1)}.$$

For the successive points \mathbf{a}_j of the first type, we must have, instead,

$$\frac{2}{m(m+1)} + a_{j,3} \sqrt{\frac{(m+2)(m-1)}{m(m+1)}} = \pm \frac{1}{m},$$

that is

$$a_{j,3} \sqrt{\frac{(m+2)(m-1)}{m(m+1)}} = \frac{-2 \pm (m+1)}{m(m+1)}$$

and hence

$$a_{j,3} = \frac{-2 \pm (m+1)}{\sqrt{m(m+2)(m^2-1)}}.$$

Now, the summands contributing to the monomial $x_1^2 x_3^2$ are exactly D_1 . So, denoting by D_3 the number of elements with the value

$$\frac{-2 - (m+1)}{\sqrt{m(m+2)(m^2-1)}} = -\frac{m+3}{\sqrt{m(m+2)(m^2-1)}}$$

as third coordinate, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{12(m+2)(m-1)}{m^2(m+1)^2} + 6D_3 \frac{2(m+3)^2}{(m-1)m^2(m+1)^2(m+2)} \\ & + 6 \left(\frac{(m+1)^3(m-2)}{4} - D_3 - 1 \right) \frac{2(m-1)^2}{(m-1)m^2(m+1)^2(m+2)} = \frac{3(m^2-1)}{m^2}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\frac{(m+2)^2(m-1)}{(m+1)^2} + D_3 \frac{(m+3)^2 - (m-1)^2}{(m-1)(m+1)^2} - \frac{(m-1)}{(m+1)^2} = m^2 - 1,$$

and, just simplifying, we get the equation

$$8D_3(m+1) = (m-1)^2(m^3 + 2m^2 - m - 2).$$

Proceeding with the resolution, we obtain

$$D_3 = \frac{(m-1)^3(m+2)}{8}.$$

Since D_3 must be an integer value, the modular equation

$$(m-1)^3(m+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$$

must hold. We have already stated that m is odd or $m \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. However, in this last case, we would have

$$(m-1)^3(m+2) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that m cannot be even. \square

The problem of determining for which $n \in \mathbb{N}$ tight decompositions exist is not trivial. We can admire some nice decompositions obtained mainly by classical examples of spherical designs. Apart from the case in two variables, the simplest of these is given by the decomposition

$$q_3^2 = \frac{1}{6(\varphi + 1)} \sum_{j=1}^3 (x_j \pm \varphi x_{j-1})^4, \quad (4.30)$$

where φ is a root of the polynomial $x^2 - x - 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, namely

$$\varphi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Such a decomposition is made by linear forms which geometrically correspond to the vertices of a regular icosahedron, inscribed in a sphere of radius

$$(B_{3,2})^{\frac{1}{4}} = \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

whose coordinates are given by H. S. M. Coxeter in [Cox73]. In particular, we can highlight an essential criterion, classically attributed to J. Haantjes, to obtain the vertices of a regular icosahedron in the three-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^3 , which is an important fact in relation to what we will see later in [section 5.2](#).

Lemma 4.31 ([Haa48]). *If 12 real distinct points $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{12} \in S^2$ satisfy the conditions*

$$\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, 12$ with $i \neq j$, then they represent the vertices of a regular icosahedron.

This elegant decomposition of q_3^2 , shown in [Figure 4.2](#), can also be found in [Rez92, Theorem 9.13], where B. Reznick proves its uniqueness as a real decomposition. Considering the language of spherical designs, it was already known (see [DGS77, Example 5.16]) that the vertices of a regular icosahedron represent the unique tight 5-spherical design in \mathbb{R}^3 . It is not difficult to prove that decomposition (4.30) also represents the unique tight decomposition over the field of complex numbers, since the demonstration provided by B. Reznick can be easily extended.

Theorem 4.32. *Given 6 points $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6 \in \mathbb{C}^3$, there is a tight decomposition*

$$q_3^2 = \sum_{j=1}^6 (a_{j,1}x_1 + a_{j,2}x_2 + a_{j,3}x_3)^4 \quad (4.33)$$

if and only if $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6$ are the vertices, up to opposite signs, of a regular icosahedron inscribed in a sphere of radius

$$(B_{3,2})^{\frac{1}{4}} = \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

up to orthogonal complex transformations.

Proof. By [Theorem 4.15](#), we can assume that the points $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6$ have norm 1 and consider the corresponding decomposition of the rescaled form $\frac{6}{5}q_3^2$. Considering formula (4.26), we have that

$$\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, 6$ with $i \neq j$ and we can assume that $|\mathbf{a}_j| = 1$. We can fix, by the invariance of the orthogonal group $O_n(\mathbb{C})$, the first point of the decomposition (4.33) as

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, 0),$$

so that

$$a_{j,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$$

for each $j = 2, \dots, 6$. Similarly, we can set the second point as

$$\mathbf{a}_2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, 0 \right),$$

and, consequently, by [Lemma 4.24](#) we get that the other points must satisfy the equation

$$5 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} y_1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} y_2 \right)^2 - q_n = 0.$$

That is,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} + 2y_2 \right)^2 - 1 = 0$$

and hence

$$5y_2^2 + \sqrt{5}y_2 - 1 = 0,$$

which means that

$$a_{j,2} = \frac{-\sqrt{5} \pm 5}{10}$$

for every $j = 3, \dots, 6$. Finally, we know that decomposition [\(4.33\)](#) is first caliber and hence we must have

$$a_{j,1}^2 + a_{j,2}^2 + a_{j,3}^2 = 1.$$

So we get

$$a_{j,3}^2 = \frac{4}{5} - \left(\frac{-\sqrt{5} \pm 5}{10} \right)^2 = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{10},$$

i.e.,

$$a_{j,3} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{10}},$$

for $j = 3, 4, 5, 6$. Since these points are all real, then the condition of [Lemma 4.31](#) is satisfied, and hence they are the vertices of a regular icosahedron, up to orthogonal transformations. Conversely, if six points $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6$ are the vertices of a regular icosahedron, up to orthogonal complex transformations, then we can transform them to obtain decomposition [\(4.30\)](#). \square




Figure 4.2. Graphical representation of decomposition [\(4.30\)](#), whose elements correspond, up to central simmetry, to the vertices of a regular icosahedron.

There are also two other tight decompositions representing the successive cases allowed by [Theorem 4.27](#), for $n = 7$ and $n = 23$ respectively, both of which are mentioned as tight spherical designs in [\[DGS77, p. 371\]](#). The first is given by

$$q_7^2 = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{j=1}^7 (x_j \pm x_{j+1} \pm x_{j+3})^4 \quad (4.34)$$

and represents the maximal set of 28 lines in \mathbb{R}^7 with mutual angles equal to the values of θ such that

$$\cos^2 \theta = \frac{1}{9}.$$

The second corresponds instead to a set of 276 lines in \mathbb{R}^{23} with mutual angles equal to the values of an angle θ such that

$$\cos^2 \theta = \frac{1}{25}.$$

This structure is known as the Leech lattice, named after J. Leech, who introduced it in [\[Lee67\]](#). In particular, decomposition (4.34) has been analyzed, as 5-spherical design, also by H. Cuyper in [\[Cuy05\]](#), for which (real) uniqueness is proved.

Another result, always considering real tight spherical designs, is provided by E. Bannai, E. Munemasa, and B. Venkov in [\[BMV04, Theorem 3.1\]](#), where they state that by setting $n = (2m + 1)^2 - 2$ for a suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is no tight spherical design in \mathbb{R}^n in the case of $m = 3, 4$ or $m = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and both k and $2k + 1$ are square-free. This result was further improved by G. Nebe and B. Venkov in [\[NV12\]](#).

If we set $s = 3$, we can proceed exactly as in the previous case. Assuming the existence of a tight decomposition and considering the form

$$\frac{1}{B_{n,3}} q_n^3,$$

we can take any point of norm 1. So the starting point can again be

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

We have that

$$B_{n,3} = \frac{6n(n+2)(n+4)}{15n(n+1)(n+2)} = \frac{2(n+4)}{5(n+1)}$$

and, proceeding as in [Lemma 4.24](#), we have that the middle catalecticant matrix of the polynomial

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{B_{n,3}} q_n^3 - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^6,$$

for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$, must have rank equal to $T_{n,3} - 1$.

Lemma 4.35. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the kernel of the middle catalecticant of the polynomial*

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{B_{n,3}} q_n^3 - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^6$$

is

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f_1,3}) = \langle (n+4)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^3 - 3q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \rangle.$$

Proof. As in the proof of [Lemma 4.24](#), using [Lemma 2.38](#), formula (3.5) and the fact that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((n+4)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^3 - 3q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})) \circ f_1 &= \frac{n+4}{B_{n,3}} ((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^3 \circ q_n^3) - (n+4)((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^3 \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^6) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{B_{n,3}} (q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \circ q_n^3) + 3(q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^6) \\ &= \frac{n+4}{B_{n,3}} (72q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + 48(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^3) - 120(n+4)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^3 \\ &\quad - \frac{72(n+4)}{B_{n,3}} q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + 360(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{48(n+4)}{B_{n,3}} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^3 - 120(n+1)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^3 = 0,$$

which proves the statement. \square

Now we provide another calculation about the values that can be taken by n to obtain suitable tight decompositions.

Theorem 4.36. *Let*

$$\frac{1}{B_{n,3}} q_n^3 = \sum_{j=1}^{T_{n,3}} (\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{x})^6$$

be a tight decomposition of q_n^3 , with $n \neq 1$. Then $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Proof. Consider an hypothetical tight decomposition of q_n^3 and let us suppose that the point

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is a point of such a decomposition. Then, by [Lemma 4.35](#) it follows that, given another point \mathbf{a}_2 of this decomposition, we must have

$$a_{2,1} = 0$$

or

$$a_{2,1} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{n+4}}.$$

Supposing that C_1 of these points have the second value as their first coordinate, we can solve the equation

$$\frac{5(n+1)}{2(n+4)} - 1 = C_1 \left(\frac{3}{n+4} \right)^3,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{3n-3}{2(n+4)} = C_1 \left(\frac{3}{n+4} \right)^3,$$

by which we get

$$C_1 = \frac{(n-1)(n+4)^2}{18}. \tag{4.37}$$

Now, by equality [\(4.37\)](#), the elements with the first coordinate equal to 0 are

$$\begin{aligned} C'_1 &= T_{n,3} - \frac{(n-1)(n+4)^2}{18} - 1 = \frac{3n(n+1)(n+2) - (n-1)(n+4)^2 - 18}{18} \\ &= \frac{3(n^2+n)(n+2) - (n-1)(n^2+8n+16) - 18}{18} \\ &= \frac{3(n^3+3n^2+2n) - n^3 - 8n^2 - 16n + n^2 + 8n + 16 - 18}{18} \\ &= \frac{2n^3+2n^2-2n-2}{18} = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)^2}{9} \end{aligned}$$

and so we must have

$$n \equiv 1, 2, 5, 8 \pmod{9}.$$

Since the value C'_1 is nonzero for every $n \geq 2$, we can assume that

$$\mathbf{a}_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

and, by repeating the same procedure for this last point, we can easily see that the second coordinate of each of the other points must have the same possible values as the first coordinate. This means that we must have the same number of points whose second coordinate is equal to 0. Now we want to know how

many points have the first two coordinates other than zero. Let C_2 be the number of such points. The coefficients of the monomials $x_1^4 x_2^2$ and $x_1^2 x_2^4$ are

$$\frac{15(n+1)}{2(n+4)} = 15C_2 \left(\frac{3}{n+4} \right)^3,$$

that is,

$$C_2 = \frac{(n+1)(n+4)^2}{54}.$$

Then, we have

$$n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \quad \square$$

As in the case of the exponent 2, we can list some cases where a tight decomposition exists. In particular, for $s = 3$, it exists for $n = 8$ and $n = 23$, and they are presented in [BS81].

5. ESTIMATES OF THE RANK

As one might have seen in the previous sections, the structure of the polynomial q_n^s in terms of powers of linear forms, as n and s change, can be quite complicated, and it is not so easy to determine. However, it is possible to obtain explicit closed formulas, depending only on n for some fixed values of the exponent s . One of our main results concerns the forms q_n^2 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [section 5.1](#) we give a general survey of these last polynomials, depending on the number n of variables. In particular, after listing some classical and more recent decompositions, we analyze the possible minimal ones. For most of the values of n it is possible to determine the exact rank, which is equal to $T_{n,2} + 1$.

In [section 5.2](#) we focus, instead, on the case of three variables. First, we introduce a different set of coordinates with respect to the standard ones. Then, we analyze some already known decompositions, whose points represent several polygons placed at different heights in three-dimensional space, and we also give new examples with the same pattern.

Finally, we consider in [section 5.3](#) a strategy to exclude the existence of tight decompositions. It is based on the strong conditions imposed by these expressions, whose points must have the same norm. Indeed, by [Lemma 4.25](#) it is possible to establish which are the possible mutual angles between the points of the decomposition. We focus on the case where $s = 4$ and prove that $\text{rk}(q_3^4) = 16$.

5.1. On the rank of q_n^2

We have already provided an example of a tight decomposition for the exponent 2 in the case of three variables, given by the decomposition (4.30), whose points correspond to the vertices of a regular icosahedron. Although this elegant structure is rarely repeated for other values of n , we can see that, at least for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \neq 8$, the rank of q_n^s is at most equal to $T_{n,2} + 1$.

There are many examples of decompositions of q_n^2 in the classical literature. We can appreciate some simple examples. For instance, we can report two of them, due to E. Lucas. The first is given by the equality

$$q_3^2 = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j^4 + \frac{1}{12} \sum (x_1 \pm x_2 \pm x_3)^4 \quad (5.1)$$

and can be found as an exercise in [Höü77, Question 39, p. 129]. The second one appears in the same article as the previous one, exactly in [Höü77, Question 38, p. 129], but it also appeared earlier in [Luc76, p. 101]. It concerns the case of four variables and is given by the equality

$$q_4^2 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j_1 < j_2} (x_{j_1} \pm x_{j_2})^4, \quad (5.2)$$

consisting of a decomposition of size 12. Formally, this last one is exactly the same decomposition as determined by J. Liouville and revealed by V. A. Lebesgue in [Leb59]. This is, up to an orthogonal change of coordinates, the decomposition

$$q_4^2 = \frac{1}{24} \sum (x_1 \pm x_2 \pm x_3 \pm x_4)^4 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^4 x_j^4. \quad (5.3)$$

B. Reznick also provides a formula, which is given in [Rez92, formula (10.35)], which gives us a family of real decompositions for every $n \geq 3$. That is, considering $n \neq 4$, we get

$$q_n^2 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j_1 < j_2} (x_{j_1} \pm x_{j_2})^4 + \frac{4-n}{3} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^4, \quad (5.4)$$

which is a decomposition of size n^2 . If instead $n = 4$, since the last term of the decomposition becomes equal to zero, we get a decomposition of size $4^2 - 4 = 12$, which is exactly the decomposition (5.2).

As we can see from all of these decompositions, these examples represent some particularly symmetric arrangements of points within the space \mathbb{R}^n . The idea that emerges from these examples is that the dispositions of the points are in a certain sense "balanced" with respect to a center of symmetry. This aspect, as we will see later, is evident in many other decompositions. For example, besides the perfect symmetry assumed by the points of the icosahedron of decomposition (4.30), we can further transform this formula. In fact, simply by an orthogonal transformation, we can obtain a disposition of points which are invariant under the action of the permutation group S_3 and which are arranged symmetrically in the space with respect to the axis identified by the unit vector

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

We will see later that this particular arrangement of points can be generalized for all the other values of n .

The structure of decomposition (4.30) can be generalized to an arbitrary number of variables $n \geq 3$, distinguishing the cases where n is either an odd or an even number. For simplicity, in the following theorems we will use the symbol x_{n+j} to denote the variable x_j , for any $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 5.5. *For every odd number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 5$, the form q_n^2 can be decomposed as*

$$6q_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \\ k \text{ even}}} \left(\varphi_n^{\frac{1}{4}} x_j \pm \varphi_n^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{j+k} \right)^4 + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left(\varphi_n^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_j \pm \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{4}} x_{j+k} \right)^4, \quad (5.6)$$

which is a decomposition of size $n(n-1)$, where

$$\varphi_n = \frac{3 \pm i\sqrt{(n-4)(n+2)}}{n-1}.$$

In particular, it is a first caliber decomposition, such that each point \mathbf{a} appearing in the sum satisfies the relation

$$|\mathbf{a}|^4 = \frac{n+2}{3(n-1)}.$$

Proof. By symmetry, we only need to check the correctness of the coefficients of the monomials

$$x_j^4, \quad x_{j_1}^2 x_{j_2}^2,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq n$. In particular, we get that the coefficients of the monomial x_j^4 must be equal to 6, and hence summing such a coefficient for each linear form of the decomposition, we get the equation

$$(n-1)(\varphi_n^{-1} + \varphi_n) = 6.$$

In particular, this means that

$$\varphi_n^2 - \frac{6}{n-1} \varphi_n + 1 = 0$$

and therefore

$$\varphi_n = \frac{3 \pm i\sqrt{(n-4)(n+2)}}{n-1}.$$

For the coefficients of $x_{j_1}^2 x_{j_2}^2$, by summing all the powers of the linear forms, we get the value

$$12\varphi_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_n^{\frac{1}{2}} = 12,$$

which confirms decomposition (5.6). It is clear that such a decomposition is first caliber, and to get the exact value of the norm raised to 4, we simply have to compute

$$\frac{1}{6}(\varphi_n^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varphi_n^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 = \frac{\varphi_n + \varphi_n^{-1} + 2}{6} = \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{n+2}{3(n-1)}. \quad \square$$

We can find the analog of (5.6) for n even, but in this case the decomposition is not first caliber.

Theorem 5.7. *For every even number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 6$, the form q_n^2 can be decomposed as*

$$6q_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2} \\ k \text{ even}}} \left(\psi_n^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_j \pm \psi_n^{\frac{1}{4}} x_{j+k} \right)^4 + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2} \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left(\psi_n^{\frac{1}{4}} x_j \pm \psi_n^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{j+k} \right)^4 + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} (x_j \pm x_{j+\frac{n}{2}})^4, \quad (5.8)$$

which is a decomposition of size $n(n-1)$, where

$$\psi_n = \frac{2 \pm i\sqrt{n(n-4)}}{n-2}.$$

Proof. We proceed in the same way as we did in Theorem 5.5, first determining the coefficient of the monomials x_j^4 , obtained by solving the equation

$$(n-2)(\psi_n + \psi_n^{-1}) + 2 = 6,$$

that is,

$$\psi_n^2 - \frac{4}{n-2}\psi_n + 1 = 0,$$

obtaining

$$\psi_n = \frac{2 \pm i\sqrt{n(n-4)}}{n-2}.$$

For the coefficients of $x_{j_1}^2 x_{j_2}^2$ the equality in both sides of the equations is trivial. \square

Although decomposition (5.6) has a quite large size, it proves that, in general, first caliber decompositions can also have complex points as summands. In particular, this shows that results in [Rez92] about real tight decompositions may not be valid for the complex ones and therefore some caution is necessary. B. Reznick provides in [Rez92, formula (8.35)] a decomposition of q_n^2 for $3 \leq n \leq 7$, based on a family of integration quadrature formulas of precision 5 revealed by A. H. Stroud in [Str67a], which are essentially real. Besides proving the existence of these decompositions, we can also prove that the same formula is valid for $n \geq 9$, with the only exception, as we will see, of $n = 8$. Moreover, we determine also other decomposition, containing the fourth roots of unity.

In these last cases the decompositions are no longer real, but the size remains the same, giving summations of size $T_{n,2} + 1$, that are clearly not tight. In particular, these decompositions together with Theorem 4.27 provide the exact rank of q_n^2 for many values of n . The set of points forming the decomposition has the special property that it is invariant under the action of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n , and these points are symmetric with respect to the central axis, identified by the vector

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right).$$

Theorem 5.9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geq 3$ and $n \neq 8$ and let $g \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $g^4 = 8 - n$. Then, the form q_n^2 can be decomposed as*

$$3a_5^4 q_n^2 = a_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^4 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(a_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) + a_3 x_k \right)^4 + \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} \left(a_4 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) + a_5 (x_{j_1} + x_{j_2}) \right)^4, \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 8(g^4 - 1)(g^2 \pm 2\sqrt{2})^4, & a_2 &= 2g^2 \pm 2\sqrt{2}, & a_3 &= \mp 2\sqrt{2}g^4 - 8g^2, \\ a_4 &= 2g, & a_5 &= (\mp 2\sqrt{2}g^3 - 8g). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To verify the required formula, it is sufficient to equalize the coefficients of each type of monomial in both the sides of the equation, obtaining a system of 5 equations. Indeed, the set of points appearing in formula (5.10) is invariant under the action of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n on the set of variables $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. We first consider the equation

$$q_n^2 = a \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^4 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(b \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) + c x_k \right)^4 + \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} \left(d \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) + e (x_{j_1} + x_{j_2}) \right)^4. \quad (5.11)$$

explaining the form q_n^2 , we get

$$q_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^4 + 2 \sum_{j_1 < j_2} x_{j_1}^2 x_{j_2}^2$$

and hence we can expand the sums of the powers of the linear forms of the right side of the equation and determine a system in 5 variables. By symmetry, we only need to consider the monomials of distinct multi-degree. Therefore we can gather the monomials that are in the same permutation class, which are

$$x_j^4, \quad x_{j_1}^3 x_{j_2}, \quad x_{j_1}^2 x_{j_2}^2, \quad x_{j_1}^2 x_{j_2} x_{j_3}, \quad x_{j_1} x_{j_2} x_{j_3} x_{j_4}.$$

We obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} a + nb^4 + 4b^3c + 6b^2c^2 + 4bc^3 + c^4 + \binom{n}{2}d^4 + (n-1)(4d^3e + 6d^2e^2 + 4de^3 + e^4) = 1, \\ a + nb^4 + 4b^3c + 3b^2c^2 + bc^3 + \binom{n}{2}d^4 + 4(n-1)d^3e + 3nd^2e^2 + (n+2)de^3 + e^4 = 0, \\ a + nb^4 + 4b^3c + 2b^2c^2 + \binom{n}{2}d^4 + 4(n-1)d^3e + 2(n+1)d^2e^2 + 4de^3 + e^4 = \frac{1}{3}, \\ a + nb^4 + 4b^3c + b^2c^2 + \binom{n}{2}d^4 + 4(n-1)d^3e + (n+4)d^2e^2 + 2de^3 = 0, \\ a + nb^4 + 4b^3c + \binom{n}{2}d^4 + 4(n-1)d^3e + 6d^2e^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we notice that all the equations have a common summand in the first member, that is

$$a + nb^4 + 4b^3c + \binom{n}{2}d^4 + 4(n-1)d^3e.$$

Considering the last equation, associated to the monomials $x_{j_1} x_{j_2} x_{j_3} x_{j_4}$, we get

$$a + nb^4 + 4b^3c + \binom{n}{2}d^4 + 4(n-1)d^3e = -6d^2e^2.$$

Substituting it the other ones, we get the system

$$\begin{cases} 6b^2c^2 + 6(n-2)d^2e^2 + 4(n-1)de^3 + (n-1)e^4 + 4bc^3 + c^4 = 1, \\ 3b^2c^2 + 3(n-2)d^2e^2 + (n+2)de^3 + e^4 + bc^3 = 0, \\ 6b^2c^2 + 6(n-2)d^2e^2 + 12de^3 + 3e^4 = 1, \\ b^2c^2 + (n-2)d^2e^2 + 2de^3 = 0, \\ 2a + 2nb^4 + 8b^3c + n(n-1)d^4 + 8(n-1)d^3e + 12d^2e^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent, replacing the fourth equation in the previous ones, to

$$\begin{cases} 4(n-4)de^3 + (n-1)e^4 + 4bc^3 + c^4 = 1, \\ (n-4)de^3 + e^4 + bc^3 = 0, \\ 3e^4 = 1, \\ b^2c^2 + (n-2)d^2e^2 + 2de^3 = 0, \\ 2a + 2nb^4 + 8b^3c + n(n-1)d^4 + 8(n-1)d^3e + 12d^2e^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, replacing the second equation in the first and the third equation in the previous ones, we have

$$\begin{cases} 3c^4 = 8 - n, \\ 3e^4 = 1, \\ 3(n-4)de^3 + 3bc^3 + 1 = 0, \\ b^2c^2 + (n-2)d^2e^2 + 2de^3 = 0, \\ 2a + 2nb^4 + 8b^3c + n(n-1)d^4 + 8(n-1)d^3e + 12d^2e^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, as g is one of the fourth roots of $8 - n$, we can write

$$c = \frac{g}{\sqrt[4]{3}}, \quad e = \frac{\tau_4}{\sqrt[4]{3}}, \quad (5.12)$$

where τ_4 is a fourth root of unity, i.e., $\tau_4^4 = 1$. Now, using relations (5.12), we can write the system as

$$\begin{cases} \tau_4^4 \sqrt[4]{3} g^3 b + (4 - g^4) \sqrt[4]{3} d + \tau_4 = 0, \\ \sqrt[4]{3} g^2 b^2 + \tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (6 - g^4) d^2 + 2\tau_4^3 d = 0, \\ 2a + 2(8 - g^4) b^4 + 8b^3 c + (8 - g^4)(7 - g^4) d^4 + 8(7 - g^4) d^3 e + 12d^2 e^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, by the first equation we get

$$b = \frac{\tau_4^3 \sqrt[4]{3} (g^4 - 4) d - 1}{\sqrt[4]{3} g^3} \quad (5.13)$$

and replacing it in the second equation we get

$$\sqrt[4]{3} g^2 \frac{(\tau_4^3 \sqrt[4]{3} (g^4 - 4) d - 1)^2}{\sqrt[4]{3} g^6} + \tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (6 - g^4) d^2 + 2\tau_4^3 d = 0,$$

which gives

$$\tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (g^8 - 8g^4 + 16) d^2 + \tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (6g^4 - g^8) d^2 - 2\tau_4^3 \sqrt[4]{3} (g^4 - 4) d + 2\tau_4^3 g^4 \sqrt[4]{3} d + 1 = 0,$$

and hence

$$2\tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (8 - g^4) d^2 + 8\tau_4^3 \sqrt[4]{3} d + 1 = 0.$$

Therefore, by solving the equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{-4\tau_4^3 \sqrt[4]{3} \pm \sqrt{16\tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} - 2\tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (8 - g^4)}}{2\tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (8 - g^4)} = \frac{-4\tau_4^3 \pm \tau_4^3 \sqrt{2g^4}}{2\tau_4^2 \sqrt[4]{3} (8 - g^4)} = \frac{\tau_4 (-2\sqrt{2} \pm g^2)}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{3} (8 - g^4)} \\ &= \frac{\tau_4 (-2\sqrt{2} \pm g^2)}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{3} (-2\sqrt{2} + g^2) (-2\sqrt{2} - g^2)} = \frac{2\tau_4}{\sqrt[4]{3} (\mp 2\sqrt{2} g^2 - 8)} \end{aligned}$$

and replacing it in formula (5.13), we also get

$$b = \frac{2\sqrt[4]{3}(g^4 - 4) - \sqrt[4]{3}(-8 \mp 2\sqrt{2}g^2)}{\sqrt{3}g^3(\mp 2\sqrt{2}g^2 - 8)} = \frac{2g^4 \pm 2\sqrt{2}g^2}{\sqrt[4]{3}g^3(\mp 2\sqrt{2}g^2 - 8)}$$

So we have

$$b = \frac{2g^2 \pm 2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{3}g(\mp 2\sqrt{2}g^2 - 8)}, \quad d = \frac{2g}{\sqrt[4]{3}g(\mp 2\sqrt{2}g^2 - 8)}. \quad (5.14)$$

It remains to determine the possible values that a can take. For simplicity, we can scale the values of the coefficients by multiplying both sides of equation (5.11) by the constant term

$$M = 3(\mp 2\sqrt{2}g^3 - 8g)^4.$$

So, redefining all the parameters and using those appearing in equation (5.10), we obtain

$$a_2 = 2g^2 \pm 2\sqrt{2}, \quad a_3 = \mp 2\sqrt{2}g^4 - 8g^2, \quad a_4 = 2\tau_4g, \quad a_5 = \tau_4(\mp 2\sqrt{2}g^3 - 8g),$$

and, in particular, $M = 3a_5^4$. In this case, since a_4 and a_5 are multiplied by the same fourth root of unity and they are both in the same parenthesis of formula (5.10), we can suppose, without loss of generality, that $\tau_4 = 1$. Now, looking at the last equation of the initial system, we see that the value of a_1 is

$$a_1 = ((g^4 - 8)a_2 - 4a_3)a_2^3 - \left(\frac{(g^4 - 8)(g^4 - 7)}{2}a_4^2 - 4(g^4 - 7)a_4a_5 + 6a_5^2 \right)a_4^2.$$

So all that remains is to replace equations (5.12) and (5.14) in the equation. First we observe that

$$a_2^3 = 8g^6 \pm 24\sqrt{2}g^4 + 48g^2 \pm 16\sqrt{2}$$

and

$$(g^4 - 8)a_2 - 4a_3 = 2g^6 \pm 10\sqrt{2}g^4 + 16g^2 \mp 16\sqrt{2}.$$

Then, multiplying these two values, we get

$$((g^4 - 8)a_2 - 4a_3)a_2^3 = 16(g^{12} \pm 8\sqrt{2}g^{10} + 44g^8 \pm 48\sqrt{2}g^6 + 20g^4 \mp 32\sqrt{2}g^2 - 32).$$

Analogously, we observe that

$$a_4^2 = 4g^2, \quad a_4a_5 = \mp 4\sqrt{2}g^4 - 16g^2, \quad a_5^2 = 8g^6 \pm 32\sqrt{2}g^4 + 64g^2$$

and we get

$$\left(\frac{(g^4 - 8)(g^4 - 7)}{2}a_4^2 - 4(g^4 - 7)a_4a_5 + 6a_5^2 \right)a_4^2 = 8(g^{12} \pm 8\sqrt{2}g^{10} + 41g^8 \pm 40\sqrt{2}g^6 + 24g^4).$$

Finally, we just have to replace these elements to get the values of a_1 , that is

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 8(g^{12} \pm 8\sqrt{2}g^{10} + 47g^8 \pm 56\sqrt{2}g^6 + 16g^4 \mp 64\sqrt{2}g^2 - 64) \\ &= 8(g^4 - 1)(g^8 \pm 8\sqrt{2}g^6 + 48g^4 \pm 64\sqrt{2}g^2 + 64) \\ &= 8(g^4 - 1)(g^2 \pm 2\sqrt{2})^4, \end{aligned}$$

which confirms the required decomposition. \square

The family of decompositions of [Theorem 5.9](#) let us without any upper bound for the case of 8 variables. This happens because in the system of the equation appearing in the proof, the coefficient c must be 0, which gives a contradiction with the structure of the decomposition. However, if we add some points in the initial formula, we obtain a decomposition for q_8^2 of size $T_{8,2} + 9 = 45$.

Theorem 5.15. *The form q_8^2 can be decomposed as*

$$q_8^2 = -\frac{3}{512} \left(\sum_{j=1}^8 x_j \right)^4 - \frac{8}{9} \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j^4 + \frac{8}{9} \sum_{k=1}^8 \left(\frac{3}{16} \left(\sum_{j=1}^8 x_j \right) + x_k \right)^4 + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} \left(-\frac{3}{8} \left(\sum_{j=1}^8 x_j \right) + (x_{j_1} + x_{j_2}) \right)^4. \quad (5.16)$$

Proof. Considering an equation analog to decomposition (5.11), write

$$q_n^2 = a \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^4 - f \sum_{j=1}^8 x_j^4 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(b \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) + tx_k \right)^4 + \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} \left(d \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) + e(x_{j_1} + x_{j_2}) \right)^4, \quad (5.17)$$

with coefficients $a, b, d, e, f, t \in \mathbb{C}$. By proceeding in the same way as in the proof of [Theorem 5.9](#), we obtain a system

$$\begin{cases} 3t^4 - 3f = (8 - n), \\ (n - 4)de^3 + e^4 + bt^3 = 0, \\ 3e^4 = 1, \\ b^2t^2 + (n - 2)d^2e^2 + 2de^3 = 0, \\ 2a + 2nb^4 + 8b^3t + n(n - 1)d^4 + 8(n - 1)d^3e + 12d^2e^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if we take $n = 8$, then we have

$$e = \frac{\tau_4}{\sqrt[4]{3}}, \quad f = t^4,$$

and we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} 12\tau_4^3d + 3\sqrt[4]{27}bt^3 + \sqrt[4]{27} = 0, \\ \sqrt[4]{27}b^2t^2 + 6\sqrt[4]{3}\tau_4^2d^2 + 2\tau_4^3d = 0, \\ a + 8b^4 + 4b^3t + 28d^4 + 28d^3e + 6d^2e^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can write

$$d = \frac{\sqrt[4]{27}(-3bt^3 - 1)\tau_4}{12},$$

where $\tau_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary fourth root of unity, and hence, replacing it in the second equation, we have

$$3t^2(9t^4 + 8)b^2 + 6bt^3 - 1 = 0.$$

Now, we assign the value

$$t = i \frac{\sqrt[4]{8}}{\sqrt{3}} \tau_4,$$

so that $9t^4 + 8 = 0$, which implies

$$b = \frac{1}{6t^3} = \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{8\sqrt[4]{2}} \tau_4, \quad d = -\frac{\sqrt[4]{27}}{8} \tau_4$$

Finally, we have to substitute the values of b and d in the third equation of the system, which is

$$a + 8b^4 + 4b^3t + 28d^4 + 28d^3e + 6d^2e^2 = 0,$$

obtaining

$$a + 8 \left(\frac{i\sqrt{3}}{8\sqrt[4]{2}} \tau_4 \right)^4 + 4 \left(\frac{i\sqrt{3}}{8\sqrt[4]{2}} \tau_4 \right)^3 \left(i \frac{\sqrt[4]{8}}{\sqrt{3}} \tau_4 \right) + 28 \left(-\frac{\sqrt[4]{27}}{8} \tau_4 \right)^4 + 28 \left(-\frac{\sqrt[4]{27}}{8} \tau_4 \right)^3 \left(\frac{\tau_4}{\sqrt[4]{3}} \right) + 6 \left(-\frac{\sqrt[4]{27}}{8} \tau_4 \right)^2 \left(\frac{\tau_4}{\sqrt[4]{3}} \right)^2 = 0,$$

that is,

$$a = -\frac{3}{512}.$$

Substituting all the values in equation (5.17) and factorizing the coefficients in the summands, we obtain decomposition (5.16). \square

By [Theorem 4.27](#), [Theorem 5.9](#), [Theorem 5.15](#), and the tight decompositions for $n = 7, 23$ mentioned in [[DGS77](#), p. 371], we can summarize the results concerning the rank of q_n^2 .

Theorem 5.18. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) if $n = 3, 7, 23$, then $\text{rk}(q_n^2) = T_{n,2}$;
- (2) if $n = 8$, then $T_{n,2} + 1 \leq \text{rk}(q_n^2) \leq T_{n,2} + 9$;
- (3) if $n > 23$ and $n = m^2 - 2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T_{n,2} \leq \text{rk}(q_n^2) \leq T_{n,2} + 1$;

(4) otherwise, $\text{rk}(q_n^2) = T_{n,2} + 1$.

5.2. Decompositions in three variables

The decompositions presented in the previous section are not the only examples of closed formulas which are valid for multiple values of n . In particular, we can find several decompositions also for higher exponents. Again, we can find some simpler decompositions of the form q_n^3 in the classical literature. Probably one of the less recent among them is the one for the case of 4 variables and having size 24, presented by A. Kempner in [Kem12, section 5] and equal to

$$q_4^3 = \frac{8}{15} \sum_{j=1}^4 x_j^6 + \frac{1}{15} \sum_{j_1 < j_2} (x_{j_1} \pm x_{j_2})^6 + \frac{1}{120} \sum (x_1 \pm x_2 \pm x_3 \pm x_4)^6. \tag{5.19}$$

This last decomposition is a special case of the set of decompositions that can be obtained by the family of quadrature formulas provided by A. H. Stroud in [Str67b] and revealed by B. Reznick in [Rez92, formula (8.33)]. These are given, for every $n \geq 3$ such that $n \neq 8$, by the formula

$$q_n^3 = \frac{2(8-n)}{15} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^6 + \frac{1}{15} \sum_{j_1 < j_2} (x_{j_1} \pm x_{j_2})^6 + \frac{1}{15 \cdot 2^{n-1}} \sum (x_1 \pm \dots \pm x_n)^6. \tag{5.20}$$

Another formula producing decompositions as functions of n is instead given by J. Buczyński, K. Han, M. Mella, and Z. Teitler in [BHMT18, Section 4.5], where they provide a decomposition of q_n^3 of size

$$4 \binom{n}{3} + 2 \binom{n}{2} + n,$$

given by the equation

$$60q_n^3 = \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3} (x_{j_1} \pm x_{j_2} \pm x_{j_3})^6 + 2(5-n) \sum_{j_1 < j_2} (x_{j_1} \pm x_{j_2})^6 + 2(n^2 - 9n + 38) \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^6. \tag{5.21}$$

For the case where $n = 3$, B. Reznick also provides two minimal decompositions for the exponents 3 and 4. We can see in [Rez92, Theorem 9.28] that the form q_3^3 can be described by a decomposition of size 11 with real coefficients, given by

$$q_3^3 = \frac{14}{27} x_1^6 + \frac{7}{10} \sum_{j=2,3} x_j^6 + \frac{1}{540} \sum_{j=2,3} (2x_1 \pm \sqrt{3}x_j)^6 + \frac{1}{540} \sum (x_1 \pm \sqrt{3}x_2 \pm \sqrt{3}x_3)^6. \tag{5.22}$$

Using the same strategy of Theorem 4.36, B. Reznick proves that this is a minimal decomposition and, in particular, we will prove that it is not unique.

Besides the decomposition (5.22), B. Reznick also analyzes a minimal decomposition for the successive exponent. Indeed, he proves in [Rez92, formula (8.31)] that the form q_3^4 can be decomposed as

$$140q_3^4 = 3\varphi^{-4} \sum_{j=1}^3 (x_j \pm \varphi x_{j-1})^8 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\varphi x_j \pm \varphi^{-1} x_{j-1})^8 + \sum (x_1 \pm x_2 \pm x_3)^8, \tag{5.23}$$

where

$$\varphi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Decomposition (5.23) consists of a summation of 16 points, corresponding, up to symmetries, to the vertices of a regular icosahedron together with the vertices of a regular dodecahedron (see Figure 5.1)

To analyze the case of three variables, it may be appropriate to use another set of coordinates, by changing the basis of the space $\mathcal{D}_3 = \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3]$. Indeed, setting

$$u = \frac{y_1 + iy_2}{2}, \quad v = \frac{y_1 - iy_2}{2}, \quad z = y_3, \tag{5.24}$$

and thus considering the space $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[u, v, z]$, we can consider the inverse relations

$$y_1 = u + v, \quad y_2 = -i(u - v), \quad y_3 = z.$$




Figure 5.1. Graphical representation of decomposition (5.23), whose elements correspond, up to central simmetry, to the vertices of a regular icosahedron (in blue) together with the vertices of a regular dodecahedron (in orange).

Furthermore, we can determine the partial derivatives with respect to this new set of coordinates, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}.$$

Consequently, the Laplace operator can be rewritten as

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_3^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial v} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}.$$

We have already recalled that the space of harmonic polynomials $\mathcal{H}_{n,d}$ of degree d is an irreducible $\text{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ([GW98, Theorem 5.2.4]). The Lie algebra of the Lie group $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is the space

$$\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}) = \{ A \in \text{Mat}_3(\mathbb{C}) \mid A = -{}^t A \}$$

and, if we consider the three matrices associated with the morphisms $H, E, F: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, given by

$$\begin{cases} H(y_1) = 2iy_2, \\ H(y_2) = -2iy_1, \\ H(y_3) = 0, \end{cases} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2i & 0 \\ 2i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{cases} E(y_1) = y_3, \\ E(y_2) = iy_3, \\ E(y_3) = -(y_1 + iy_2), \end{cases} \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 1 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{cases} F(y_1) = -y_3, \\ F(y_2) = iy_3, \\ F(y_3) = y_1 - iy_2, \end{cases} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ -1 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we obtain the equations

$$[H, E] = HE - EH = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -2i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2i \\ 2 & 2i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2E,$$

$$[H, F] = HF - FH = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2i \\ 2 & -2i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2F,$$

$$[E, F] = EF - FE = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i & 0 \\ i & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & 0 \\ -i & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2i & 0 \\ 2i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = H.$$

Since these conditions correspond exactly to equations (2.12), we have, in particular, that $\mathfrak{so}_3\mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$, which justifies the use of the same notations.

Now, by rewriting the matrices H , E and F with respect to the new basis $\{u, v, z\}$, we clearly do not get skew-symmetric matrices, but new ones with a much simpler structure. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{cases} H(u) = 2u, \\ H(v) = -2v, \\ H(z) = 0, \end{cases} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{cases} E(u) = 0, \\ E(v) = z, \\ E(z) = -2u, \end{cases} \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{cases} F(u) = -z, \\ F(v) = 0, \\ F(z) = 2v, \end{cases} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, it follows from the uniqueness of irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the space $\mathcal{H}_{3,d}$ of harmonic polynomials of degree d in three variables is canonically isomorphic to the $2d$ -th symmetric power of the standard representation \mathbb{C}^2 , namely, $\mathcal{H}_{3,d} \cong S^{2d}\mathbb{C}^2$. We can see it in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.25. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the set*

$$\mathcal{B}_d = \{h_{d,k}\}_{k=-d,\dots,d}$$

composed of the harmonic polynomials

$$h_{d,k} = \binom{2d}{k+d}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-|k|}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\frac{|k|+k}{2}+j} u^{\lfloor \frac{|k|+k}{2}+j \rfloor} v^{\lfloor \frac{|k|-k}{2}+j \rfloor} z^{[d-|k|-2j]}, \quad (5.26)$$

for $k = -d, \dots, d$, is a basis of the space $\mathcal{H}_{3,d}$, which corresponds in a canonical way, up to scalars, to the standard basis of $S^{2d}\mathbb{C}^2$, that is the set of monomials $\{x^{2d}, x^{2d-1}y, \dots, xy^{2d-1}, y^{2d}\}$. In particular, for every $k = -d, \dots, d$

$$H(h_{d,k}) = 2k \cdot h_{d,k}$$

and for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k-d \leq s \leq d-k$,

$$E^s(h_{d,k}) \in \langle h_{d,k+s} \rangle, \quad F^s(h_{d,k}) \in \langle h_{d,k-s} \rangle.$$

Proof. We must first prove that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the polynomial $h_{d,k}$ is an eigenvector of the linear map represented by H with eigenvalue $2k$. So, we have

$$H(h_{d,k}) = \binom{2d}{k+d}^{-1} H \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-|k|}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\frac{|k|+k}{2}+j} u^{\lfloor \frac{|k|+k}{2}+j \rfloor} v^{\lfloor \frac{|k|-k}{2}+j \rfloor} z^{[d-|k|-2j]} \right)$$

and in the case where $k \geq 0$ we get

$$H(h_{d,k}) = \binom{2d}{k+d}^{-1} H \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{k+j} u^{[k+j]} v^{[j]} z^{[d-k-2j]} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \binom{2n}{k+d}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{k+j} \frac{H(u^{k+j})v^j z^{d-k-2j} + u^{k+j}H(v^j)z^{d-k-2j}}{j!(k+j)!(d-k-2j)!} \\
&= \binom{2d}{k+d}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{k+j} \frac{2(k+j)(u^{k+j}v^j z^{d-k-2j}) - 2j(u^{k+j}v^j z^{d-k-2j})}{j!(k+j)!(d-k-2j)!} \\
&= 2k \binom{2d}{k+d}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{k+j} u^{[k+j]} v^{[j]} z^{[d-k-2j]} = 2k \cdot h_{d,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The case where $k < 0$ is obtained in the same way by simply reversing the roles of u and v . Now, to get the statement, since for the space $S^{2d}\mathbb{C}^2$ we have

$$E(x^{2d}) = 0, \quad E(x^{d+k}y^{d-k}) = (d-k)x^{d+k+1}y^{d-k-1},$$

for every $k = -d, \dots, d-1$, and

$$F(y^{2d}) = 0, \quad F(x^{d+k}y^{d-k}) = (d+k)x^{d+k-1}y^{d-k+1},$$

for every $k = -d+1, \dots, d$, we only have to prove that

$$E(h_{d,d}) = 0, \quad E(h_{d,k}) = (d-k)h_{d,k+1},$$

for every $k = -d, \dots, d-1$, and

$$F(h_{d,-d}) = 0, \quad F(h_{d,k}) = (d+k)h_{d,k-1},$$

for every $k = -d+1, \dots, d$. Again, only considering the case where $k \geq 0$, we can write

$$E(h_{d,k}) = \binom{2d}{k+d}^{-1} E\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{k+j} u^{[k+j]} v^{[j]} z^{[d-k-2j]}\right)$$

and it is quite convenient to analyze separately the different cases where we have $d-k$ even or odd separately, due to the presence of an extra term. In the first case, if we set $d-k = 2t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E(h_{d,k}) &= \binom{2d}{2d-2t}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{d-2t+j} \frac{u^{d-2t+j} E(v^j) z^{2t-2j} + u^{d-2t+j} v^j E(z^{2t-2j})}{j!(d-2t+j)!(2t-2j)!} \\
&= \binom{2d}{2d-2t}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^t (-1)^{d-2t+j} \frac{u^{d-2t+j} v^{j-1} z^{2t-2j+1}}{(d-2t+j)!(j-1)!(2t-2j)!} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{d-2t+j} \frac{u^{d-2t+j+1} v^j z^{2t-2j-1}}{(d-2t+j)!j!(2t-2j-1)!} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

which, if we set in the first summation $j' = j-1$, gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
E(h_{d,k}) &= \binom{2d}{2d-2t}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j'=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{d-2t+1+j'} \frac{u^{d-2t+1+j'} v^{j'} z^{2t-2j'-1}}{(d-2t+1+j')!j'!(2t-2j'-2)!} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{d-2t+1+j} \frac{u^{d-2t+j+1} v^j z^{2t-2j-1}}{(d-2t+j)!j!(2t-2j-1)!} \right) \\
&= \frac{(2d-2t)!(2t)!}{(2d)!} (2d-2t+1) \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{d-2t+1+j} u^{[d-2t+j+1]} v^{[j]} z^{[2t-2j-1]}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2t \frac{(2d-2t+1)!(2t-1)!}{(2d)!} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{d-2t+1+j} u^{[d-2t+j+1]} v^{[j]} z^{[2t-2j-1]}.$$

Then we finally obtain, considering $k = d - 2t$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(h_{d,k}) &= (d-k) \binom{2d}{d+k+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{d-2t+1+j} u^{[k+1+j]} v^{[j]} z^{[d-k-1-2j]} \\ &= (d-k) h_{d,k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The case where $k = d$ is trivial, as we have

$$E(u^{[d]}) = u^{[d-1]} E(u) = 0.$$

If we instead set $d - k = 2t + 1$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we get something similar, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} E(h_{d,k}) &= \binom{2d}{2d-2t-1}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{d-2t+j-1} \frac{u^{d-2t+j-1} E(v^j) z^{2t-2j+1} + u^{d-2t+j-1} v^j E(z^{2t-2j+1})}{j!(d-2t+j-1)!(2t-2j+1)!} \\ &= \binom{2d}{2d-2t-1}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^t (-1)^{d-2t+j-1} \frac{u^{d-2t+j-1} v^{j-1} z^{2t-2j+2}}{(d-2t+j-1)!(j-1)!(2t-2j+1)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{d-2t+j-1} \frac{u^{d-2t+j} v^j z^{2t-2j}}{(d-2t+j-1)!j!(2t-2j)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, if we set $j' = j - 1$ in the first summation, as we did above for the previous case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(h_{d,k}) &= \binom{2d}{2d-2t-1}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j'=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{d-2t+j'} \frac{u^{d-2t+j'} v^{j'} z^{2t-2j'}}{(d-2t+j')!j'!(2t-2j'-1)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{d-2t+j} \frac{u^{d-2t+j} v^j z^{2t-2j}}{(d-2t+j-1)!j!(2t-2j)!} + 2(-1)^{d-t} \frac{u^{d-t} v^t}{(d-t-1)!t!} \right) \\ &= \frac{(2d-2t-1)!(2t+1)!}{(2d)!} (2d-2t) \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{d-2t+j} u^{[d-2t+j]} v^{[j]} z^{[2t-2j]} \\ &= (2t+1) \frac{(2d-2t)!(2t)!}{(2d)!} \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{d-2t+j} u^{[d-2t+j]} v^{[j]} z^{[2t-2j]}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if we set $k = d - 2t - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(h_{d,k}) &= (d-k) \binom{2d}{d+k+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{k+j+1} u^{[k+1+j]} v^{[j]} z^{[d-k-2j-1]} \\ &= (d-k) h_{d,k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The statement for the operator F is obtained by reversing the roles of u with $-v$. \square

The spaces generated by the basis of harmonic polynomials defined in formulas (5.26), corresponding to the weights of representations of $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$, can be visualized in Figure 5.2.

We have already seen that the minimal decomposition of q_3^2 given by formula (4.30) is represented by a configuration of points forming a regular icosahedron in the three-dimensional space. This particular arrangement represents a case that, at least for real points, cannot be repeated among the higher powers of q_n . However, we can determine some decompositions that seem to introduce a new pattern of points, suggesting a possible repetition of what happens in decomposition (4.30) also for the successive cases. This new way of analyzing the decomposition is based on considering the points, only for the usefulness,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \frac{1}{2}u^2 \right\rangle_{h_{2,2}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{4}uz \right\rangle_{h_{2,1}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{12}(z^2 - 2uv) \right\rangle_{h_{2,0}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{4}vz \right\rangle_{h_{2,-1}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{2}v^2 \right\rangle_{h_{2,-2}} \\
& \left\langle \frac{1}{6}u^3 \right\rangle_{h_{3,3}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{12}u^2z \right\rangle_{h_{3,2}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{30}u(z^2 - uv) \right\rangle_{h_{3,1}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{120}z(z^2 - 6uv) \right\rangle_{h_{3,0}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{30}v(z^2 - uv) \right\rangle_{h_{3,-1}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{12}v^2z \right\rangle_{h_{3,-2}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{6}v^3 \right\rangle_{h_{3,-3}} \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& \left\langle \frac{1}{d!}u^d \right\rangle_{h_{d,n}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{2d(d-1)!}u^{d-1}z \right\rangle_{h_{d,d-1}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \dots \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{2d(d-1)!}v^{d-1}z \right\rangle_{h_{d,-(d-1)}} \xleftrightarrow[E]{F} \left\langle \frac{1}{d!}v^d \right\rangle_{h_{d,-d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 5.2. Diagram representing the weights of the space of harmonic polynomials defined by formulas (5.26).

with the new set of coordinates given by relations (5.24) and with the new basis given by the elements of the equations (5.26). For the next cases, the use of the software system Macaulay2 ([GS]) has been essential in determining the decompositions and their associated ideal of points. The variables appearing the next decompositions are the coordinates corresponding to the dual basis of the coordinates (5.24), which we write as

$$u' = x_1 - ix_2, \quad v' = x_1 + ix_2, \quad z' = x_3.$$




Figure 5.3. Graphical representation of decomposition (5.27) in standard coordinates $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, whose elements correspond, up to central simmetry, to the vertices of two triangles, respectively in red and blue, placed at different heights.

We start by considering decomposition (4.30), which, as already said above, results to be made, after a suitable rotation, by points forming two different triangles at different heights in the space (see Figure 5.3). Introducing the notation τ_j to denote the j -th root of unity equal to

$$\tau_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{j}},$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can therefore rewrite decomposition (4.30) as

$$54q_3^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 (3 - \varphi)(\tau_6^{2(j-1)}u' + \tau_6^{-2(j-1)}v' + \varphi z')^4 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \varphi(\tau_6^{2j-1}u' + \tau_6^{-(2j-1)}v' + (3 - \varphi)z')^4, \quad (5.27)$$

where

$$\varphi = \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

In particular, the ideal of the points forming such decomposition presents a rather simple set of generators, consisting of four polynomials symmetric with respect to the variables u and v , that is,

$$\mathcal{I}_{(5.27)} = \left(h_{3,3} + 4\sqrt{5}h_{3,0}, \quad 2h_{3,-2} + \sqrt{5}h_{3,1}, \right. \\ \left. h_{3,-3} - 4\sqrt{5}h_{3,0}, \quad 2h_{3,2} - \sqrt{5}h_{3,-1} \right), \quad (5.28)$$

which effectively has a symmetric structure with respect to the basis of harmonic polynomials we determined.

If we now look at decomposition (5.22), we can observe that the disposition of the points presents some analogies with the disposition of the points of decomposition (5.27). In fact, we observe that the points related to decomposition (5.22) are distributed over three squares placed at different heights, symmetrically with respect to the central axis y_1 . Since one of the squares is placed at height 0, only two points of it need to be considered (see Figure 5.4 with y_1 interchanged with y_3). The decomposition




Figure 5.4. Graphical representation of decompositions (5.22) and (5.29) in standard coordinates $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, whose elements correspond, up to central simmetry, to the vertices of three squares, respectively in green, red and blue, placed at different heights, with adjunctive and an additional point in the axis y_3 , in yellow.

written in new coordinates, reversing the roles of y_1 and y_3 , again takes into account the roots of unity, which have size 8 and are given by

$$q_3^3 = \frac{14}{27}z'^6 + \frac{7}{640} \sum_{j=1}^2 (\tau_8^{2(j-1)}u' + \tau_8^{-2(j-1)}v')^6 + \frac{1}{1280} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\tau_8^{2(j-1)}u' + \tau_8^{-(2j-1)}v' + \sqrt{\frac{16}{3}}z' \right)^6 \\ + \frac{1}{160} \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\tau_8^{2j-1}u' + \tau_8^{-(2j-1)}v' + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}z' \right)^6. \quad (5.29)$$

Again, we observe that ideal associated to such a decomposition is structured in a similar way to the ideal (5.28). Indeed, it presents generators which are obtained by linear combination of elements placed at "distance" 4 in the diagram we have seen in Figure 5.2, that is,

$$\mathcal{I}_{(5.29)} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{4,4} - h_{4,-4}, & h_{4,2} - h_{4,-2}, \\ h_{4,3} + 3h_{4,-1}, & h_{4,-3} + 3h_{4,1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives a symmetric structure with respect to the variables u and v , due to the symmetric disposition of the points along the axis y_3 .

By Theorem 4.36, it follows that decomposition (5.29) is minimal. However, we can prove that, in general, minimal decompositions of q_n^s are not unique, not even up to orthogonal transformations. Indeed, by doing some computations, we can obtain another decomposition of q_3^3 , which is also minimal, but which is not in the orbit under the action of $O_n(\mathbb{C})$ of decomposition (5.29). Indeed, it is structured as two set of five points each, forming the vertices of two regular pentagons at different heights, with an additional point (see Figure 5.5). That is,

$$\begin{aligned} q_3^3 = & \frac{35}{54}z'^6 + \left(\frac{1}{500}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{750} \right) \sum_{j=1}^5 (\tau_{10}^{2(j-1)}u' + \tau_{10}^{-2(j-1)}v' + \alpha z')^6 \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{500}\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{750} \right) \sum_{j=1}^5 (\tau_{10}^{2j-1}u' + \tau_{10}^{-(2j-1)}v' + \beta z')^6, \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are constant values satisfying the equations

$$\alpha\beta = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \frac{11}{3},$$

namely

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{11 + \sqrt{105}}{6}}, \quad \beta = \sqrt{\frac{11 - \sqrt{105}}{6}}.$$




Figure 5.5. Graphical representation of decomposition (5.30) in standard coordinates $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, whose elements correspond, up to central simmetry, to the vertices of two pentagons, respectively in blue, and red, placed at different heights, with an additional point in the axis y_3 , in yellow.

Again, we get our ideal generated by linear combination of elements having "distance" 5 in the diagram of Figure 5.2, namely

$$\mathcal{I}_{(5.30)} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} h_{4,-4} + 3\sqrt{21}h_{4,1}, & 7h_{4,-2} + \sqrt{21}h_{4,3}, \\ h_{4,4} - 3\sqrt{21}h_{4,-1}, & 7h_{4,2} - \sqrt{21}h_{4,-3} \end{array} \right).$$

So we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 5.31. *Minimal decompositions of q_3^3 are not unique, even up to orthogonal transformations.*

Both decompositions (5.29) and (5.30) are real, but we determine another pattern of non-real points. This decomposition is quite complicated and the use of Macaulay2 (see [GS]) was essential to determine it. Nevertheless, the result is quite elegant and, again, the distribution of the points in the space appears balanced. Denoting by α_1, α_2 and α_3 the three roots of the polynomial

$$z^3 - 3z^2 - 3z + 2 \in \mathbb{C}[z],$$

which are

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= 1 + 2\left(\frac{3 + i\sqrt{23}}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \left(\frac{3 + i\sqrt{23}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \alpha_2 &= 1 - (1 - i\sqrt{3})\left(\frac{3 + i\sqrt{23}}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{3 + i\sqrt{23}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \alpha_3 &= 1 - (1 + i\sqrt{3})\left(\frac{3 + i\sqrt{23}}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1 - i\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{3 + i\sqrt{23}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

we get the relations

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 3, \quad \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 = -2,$$

by which is possible to determine the decomposition




Figure 5.6. Graphical representation of decompositions (5.23) and (5.34) in standard coordinates, whose elements correspond, up to central simmetry, to the vertices of three pentagons, respectively in blue, green, and red, placed at different heights, with adjunctive and an additional point in the axis y_3 , in yellow.

$$\begin{aligned}
q_3^3 &= -\frac{1}{20}u'^6 - \frac{1}{20}v'^6 + \frac{1}{6210}(19\alpha_1^{-1} - 11\alpha_1 + 36) \sum_{j=1}^3 (\tau_3^{j-1}u' + \tau_3^{-(j-1)}v' + \alpha_1z')^6 \\
&+ \frac{1}{6210}(19\alpha_2^{-1} - 11\alpha_2 + 36) \sum_{j=1}^3 (\tau_3^{j-1}u' + \tau_3^{-(j-1)}v' + \alpha_2z')^6 \\
&+ \frac{1}{6210}(19\alpha_3^{-1} - 11\alpha_3 + 36) \sum_{j=1}^3 (\tau_3^{j-1}u' + \tau_3^{-(j-1)}v' + \alpha_3z')^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

The associated ideal is instead given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{(5.32)} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 5h_{4,0} + h_{4,3}, & h_{4,1} + h_{4,-2}, \\ 5h_{4,0} - h_{4,-3}, & h_{4,-1} - h_{4,2} \end{array} \right).$$

Remark 5.33. As already observed, [Theorem 4.36](#) implies that decomposition (5.32) is minimal. An important fact about decomposition (5.32) concerns the *Waring locus* of homogeneous polynomials. In particular, the Waring locus of a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$ consists of the set of the linear forms, viewed as points in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$, which appear in a minimal decomposition of f . Its complement is known as the *forbidden locus* of f . Now, by the transitivity of the action of $\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (see [Remark 4.14](#)) on the two orbits of isotropic and non-isotropic points, decomposition (5.32) implies that any point of \mathbb{C}^n can appear in a minimal decomposition of the form q_3^3 , proving that its forbidden locus is empty. This provides a counterexample to a conjecture made by E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano, and A. Oneto in [[CCO17](#), section 5.1], where they conjecture that the forbidden locus is non-empty for any polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}$.

For completeness, we also include a transformation of decomposition (5.23), obtained by a rotation for which we set a point on the axis y_3 . We obtain points located on three different pentagons placed at different heights, symmetrically with respect to the central axis (see [Figure 5.6](#)). Thus, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
q_3^4 &= \frac{15}{28}z'^8 + \frac{\varphi^{-2}}{3500} \sum_{j=1}^5 (\tau_{10}^{2(j-1)}u' + \tau_{10}^{-2(j-1)}v' + \varphi z')^8 + \frac{3}{3500} \sum_{j=1}^5 (\tau_{10}^{2j-1}u' + \tau_{10}^{-(2j-1)}v' + z')^8 \\
&+ \frac{\varphi^2}{3500} \sum_{j=1}^5 (\tau_{10}^{2(j-1)}u' + \tau_{10}^{-2(j-1)}v' + \varphi^{-1}z')^8,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

where

$$\varphi = \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \varphi^{-1} = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

with the ideal of points equal to

$$\mathcal{I}_{(5.34)} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 7h_{5,1} + h_{5,-4}, & 4h_{5,2} - 3h_{5,-3}, & h_{5,5} + h_{5,-5}, \\ 7h_{5,-1} - h_{5,4}, & 4h_{5,-2} + 3h_{5,3} & \end{array} \right).$$

The case where $s = 5$ is the first power of unknown rank for the case of three variables.

5.3. Equiangular lines and rank lower bounds

In this section we focus on decompositions of the powers of ternary quadratic forms. In particular, we generalize the lower bound valid for the real decompositions in the cases where $s = 3, 4$. Indeed, we observe that the property of being first caliber decompositions imposes strong conditions between points. A specific analysis on this fact allows to prove that, also for complex numbers,

$$\mathrm{rk} q_3^4 = 16.$$

We consider the point of view of angles between points, which can be uniquely defined up to the sign. Indeed, there are always two supplementary angles between two lines in the space and the restriction of the first caliber decomposition effectively imposes a strong bound on the disposition of the points on the sphere. In the next definition we give an alternative version of the concept of angles between two

complex points in \mathbb{C}^n . Instead of the standard Hermitian product, we are considering the product defined by

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \quad (5.35)$$

for every $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We say that a point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is *unitary* if $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$. In particular, for any non-isotropic point $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we can find two associated unitary vectors

$$\pm(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{b}$$

and hence, any unitary vector identifies only one complex projective non-isotropic point.

The Gram matrix of a set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ in a vector space V endowed with a bilinear form is the matrix G of order n defined by the entries

$$G_{i,j} = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j$$

for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, the utility of the Gram determinant is due to the fact that, when computed on the $n(n+1)/2$ scalar products of $n+1$ unitary vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, with respect to the inner product of formula (5.35), it vanishes identically. Fixing $n = 3$ and considering four unitary points $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \in \mathbb{C}^3$, the Gram determinant is given by the polynomial in six variables equal to

$$g(c_{12}, \dots, c_{34}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_{12} & c_{13} & c_{14} \\ c_{12} & 1 & c_{23} & c_{24} \\ c_{13} & c_{23} & 1 & c_{34} \\ c_{14} & c_{24} & c_{34} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.36)$$

where

$$c_{jk} = \mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{C}$$

for every $j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ with $j \neq k$.

We can check that there is a subgroup of order 24 of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_6 that leaves g invariant. This means that by permuting the variables, the determinant can take at most $6!/24 = 30$ possible distinct values and one can find 30 permutations representing each lateral class to evaluate the determinant. In fact, the Gram matrix in this case factors as ${}^t A A$, where A is the matrix of order 4 with $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4$ as columns.

Theorem 5.37. *Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \in \mathbb{C}^3$ be four distinct unitary points such that there exists a value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$(\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^2 = a^2$$

for $1 \leq j < k \leq 4$. Then

$$a^2 \in \left\{ \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{5}, 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. We have $\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_k$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq 4$. To get the statement, we simply have to compute the Gram determinant given by formula (5.36) in the case of all the variables equal to $\pm a$. Now, in the case where $\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_k = a$ for every $j < k$, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & a & a \\ a & 1 & a & a \\ a & a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(a-1)^3(3a+1).$$

By the definition of Gram matrix, we simply have to compute matrices taking into account how many points have mutual product equal to a or $-a$. In other words, we can consider only representing matrices in equivalence classes obtained by changing sign or permuting rows and columns. Thus, if only two

points have a mutual product equal to $-a$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = -a$ and compute the determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a & a & a \\ -a & 1 & a & a \\ a & a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 - 1)(5a^2 - 1).$$

We can follow the same reasoning for the other cases. In the case of two pairs of points with the opposite angle, we have to distinguish the case of these pairs involving the same point and the case of disjoint pairs. That is, we can suppose that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, getting

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a & -a & a \\ -a & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 - 1)(5a^2 - 1),$$

or assume that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, obtaining

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a & a & a \\ -a & 1 & a & a \\ a & a & 1 & -a \\ a & a & -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(a+1)^3(3a-1).$$

Similarly, if we have three pairs of points with mutual product equal to $-a$, we have to analyze what is the value of the determinant if the three pairs involve the same point or not. We have only two possibilities. Assuming that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, we also must have $\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = a$, and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a & -a & -a \\ -a & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & a \\ -a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(a-1)^3(3a+1).$$

If we suppose, instead, $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, we must also have $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = a$, which is equivalent to the previous case until the roles of \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_4 are reversed. So the only case left is given by equations $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, obtaining

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a & a & a \\ -a & 1 & -a & a \\ a & -a & 1 & -a \\ a & a & -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 - 1)(5a^2 - 1).$$

So we proved that the Gram determinant can be 0 only if

$$a^2 \in \left\{1, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}\right\},$$

which gives the statement. □

We have seen in [Lemma 4.25](#) how the kernel of the middle catalecticant matrix of the polynomial

$$f_1 = q_n^s - \lambda x_1^{2s}$$

is made. In particular, we have already observed that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique value $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f_1, s})) = 1.$$

For the cases of $s = 2, 3$, we stated in the proofs of [Theorem 4.27](#) and [Theorem 4.36](#) that this value must be the norm assumed by each point of any tight decomposition. We proceed as in [Theorem 5.37](#), to highlight which values are taken by the products obtained by a set of four points, given two possible complex values.

Theorem 5.38. Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \in \mathbb{C}^3$ be four distinct unitary points such that there exist two values $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that, for $1 \leq j < k \leq 4$, $(\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^2 = a^2$ or $(\mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_k)^2 = b^2$. Then either $a^2 = 1$ or $b^2 = 1$ or one the pairs $(a, b), (b, a) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ must be a root of at least one of the following polynomials $g_1, \dots, g_{11} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= 4x_1^2 \pm x_1x_2 \pm x_1 \pm x_2 - 1, & g_2 &= 4x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1, \\ g_3 &= x_1^3 - x_1 \pm 4x_1^2x_2 \pm (3x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - 1), & g_4 &= 2x_1 \pm x_2 \pm 1, \\ g_5 &= 5x_1x_2^2 - x_1 \pm (2x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 - 1), & g_6 &= 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 \pm 2x_1x_2, \\ g_7 &= 3x_2^2 - 1 \pm 2x_1, & g_8 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 \pm x_1x_2 \pm x_1 \pm x_2, \\ g_9 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 \pm 3x_1x_2 \pm x_1 \pm x_2, & g_{10} &= x_1^3 - x_1 \pm 4x_1x_2^2 \pm (3x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - 1), \\ g_{11} &= x_1^4 + 3x_1^2x_2^2 + x_2^4 - 3x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 + 1 \pm (2x_1^3x_2 - 2x_1x_2^3). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the proof of [Theorem 5.37](#), we only have to consider the number of possible products between the different points and this allows us not to consider every permutation of the different values in each matrix. The square of the products can assume the two values $a^2, b^2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We first consider the case where b appears only once in the products between the four points, for which we have several possibilities. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = b$. The first polynomial, equal to the determinant of the Gram matrix in which all others product equals a , is given by

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ a & a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(a-1)(b-1)(4a^2 - ab - a - b - 1).$$

If instead we assume that one of the products is equal to $-a$, then we have to distinguish the case of this product involving \mathbf{a}_1 or \mathbf{a}_2 from the case where $\mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$. Assuming that one among $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4, \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4$ is equal to $-a$, we obtain, up to sign and permutation of the sets $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$ and $\{\mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4\}$, the determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 - 1)(4a^2 + b^2 - 1).$$

If instead $\mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, then we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ a & a & 1 & -a \\ a & a & -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(b-1)(4a^2 + ab + a - b - 1).$$

In the case of two of the products equal to $-a$, we have other different cases. If both of the products involve the same point \mathbf{a}_1 or \mathbf{a}_2 , we can assume that it is \mathbf{a}_1 . Then we have $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$ and the polynomial is given by

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & -a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & a \\ -a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(b+1)(4a^2 + ab - a + b - 1).$$

Otherwise, we could have $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, or, equivalently, $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, obtaining

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & a \\ b & 1 & a & -a \\ -a & a & 1 & a \\ a & -a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(a+1)(b+1)(4a^2 - ab + a + b - 1),$$

Also, we have the case where $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, or replacing \mathbf{a}_3 by \mathbf{a}_4 , which gives

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & a \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ -a & -a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(b-1)(4a^2 + ab + a - b - 1).$$

Finally, if one of the two products equal to $-a$ does not involve the points \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 , that is, $\mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, then we can assume $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, possibly permuting the sets $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$ and $\{\mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4\}$, we get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & -a \\ a & a & -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 - 1)(4a^2 + b^2 - 1).$$

Now we analyze the various cases with two values assumed by the square of products equal to b^2 and four values equal to a^2 . We start by the simplest case, assuming, up to permutations of the four points, that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = b$, and the other products to be equal to a . We get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ b & a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(a^3 - 4a^2b + 3a^2 + 2b^2 - a - 1).$$

In the case where a product is equal to $-a$, If this involves the point \mathbf{a}_1 , then we have $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, and we get the polynomial

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & -a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ b & a & 1 & a \\ -a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(a^3 + 4a^2b + 3a^2 + 2b^2 - a - 1).$$

For the cases of the product equal to $-a$ not involving \mathbf{a}_1 , we first analyze the case where $\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, which gives

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & a \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ b & -a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 + 4a^2b - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1).$$

Moreover, we have to consider the case where $\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$ or, equivalently, $\mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, obtaining

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & a \\ b & 1 & a & -a \\ b & a & 1 & a \\ a & -a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 + 4ab^2 - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1).$$

Using the same reasoning, we compute the determinant for the cases where two products are equal to $-a$. In the cases where \mathbf{a}_1 is involved, we have $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, which gives the polynomial

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & -a \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ b & -a & 1 & a \\ -a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 - 4a^2b - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1)$$

or $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, equivalent to the case where \mathbf{a}_2 is replaced by \mathbf{a}_3 , which gives

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & -a \\ b & 1 & a & -a \\ b & a & 1 & a \\ -a & -a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 + 4ab^2 - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1).$$

Finally, if the point \mathbf{a}_1 is not involved in the two products equal to $-a$, we have $\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, which is equivalent to the case where $\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, which gives

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & a \\ b & 1 & -a & -a \\ b & -a & 1 & a \\ a & -a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(a^3 + 4ab^2 + 3a^2 + 2b^2 - a - 1).$$

Now, we suppose that the two initial products are opposite, that is, $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = -\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = b$. We can repeat the same computations as in the previous cases, just replacing one element b by $-b$ in the matrices. Thus, in the case of four products equal to a , we obtain the polynomial

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -b & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -b & a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(a^3 + 4ab^2 + 3a^2 + 2b^2 - a - 1).$$

In the cases with one product equal to $-a$, instead, we get the three polynomials

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -b & -a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -b & a & 1 & a \\ -a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(a^3 + 4ab^2 + 3a^2 + 2b^2 - a - 1),$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -b & a \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ -b & -a & 1 & a \\ a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 + 4ab^2 - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1),$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -b & a \\ b & 1 & a & -a \\ -b & a & 1 & a \\ a & -a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 - 4a^2b - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1).$$

Finally, for the cases where two products are equal to $-a$, we get the polynomials

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -b & -a \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ -b & -a & 1 & a \\ -a & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 + 4ab^2 - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1),$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -b & -a \\ b & 1 & a & -a \\ -b & a & 1 & a \\ -a & -a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(a^3 + 4a^2b - 3a^2 - 2b^2 - a + 1),$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -b & a \\ b & 1 & -a & -a \\ -b & -a & 1 & a \\ a & -a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(a^3 + 4a^2b + 3a^2 + 2b^2 - a - 1).$$

Again, for the cases of two values of the square of the product equal to b^2 , we analyze the case where they involve two disjoint pairs of points. That is, we assume, up to permutation, that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = b$. If all of the other four products are equal to a , then we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ a & a & 1 & b \\ a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(b-1)^2(2a-b-1)(2a+b+1).$$

If only one of them is equal to $-a$, instead, we can suppose by symmetry that it is the case where $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$ and we get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & b \\ a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (2a^2 - 2ab + b^2 - 1)(2a^2 + 2ab + b^2 - 1).$$

In the case of two products equal to $-a$, we have two cases, up to permutations of the four points, which are $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = -a$, corresponding to

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & -a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & b \\ -a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (b-1)(b+1)(4a^2 + b^2 - 1),$$

and $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, which gives

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & a & -a \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ a & -a & 1 & b \\ -a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(b+1)^2(2a-b+1)(2a+b-1).$$

For the cases where $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = -\mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = b$, we have again to replace an element b in the matrices by $-b$. We obtain the polynomials

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ a & a & 1 & -b \\ a & a & -b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (b-1)(b+1)(4a^2 + b^2 - 1),$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & -b \\ a & a & -b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (2a^2 - 2ab + b^2 - 1)(2a^2 + 2ab + b^2 - 1),$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & -a \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ -a & a & 1 & -b \\ -a & a & -b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(b+1)^2(2a-b+1)(2a+b-1),$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & a & -a \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ a & -a & 1 & -b \\ -a & a & -b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (b^2 - 1)(4a^2 + b^2 - 1).$$

It remains to analyze the cases of three values of the square of the product equal to b^2 . We start by supposing that $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = b$. That is,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & b \\ b & 1 & a & a \\ b & a & 1 & a \\ b & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -(a-1)^2(3b^2 - 2a - 1).$$

If instead one of these last three products is opposite to the others, that is, for example, $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = -b$, we get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b & b & b \\ -b & 1 & a & a \\ b & a & 1 & a \\ b & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a-1)(5ab^2 + 2a^2 + 3b^2 - a - 1).$$

Doing the same with $\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, we get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b & b \\ b & 1 & -a & a \\ b & -a & 1 & a \\ b & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(5ab^2 - 2a^2 - 3b^2 - a + 1)$$

Also if we consider both conditions together, that is, $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = -b$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b & b & b \\ -b & 1 & -a & a \\ b & -a & 1 & a \\ b & a & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a+1)(5ab^2 - 2a^2 - 3b^2 - a + 1).$$

Finally, we have the case of three products equal to $\pm b$, but not all of them involving the same point, i.e., first assuming $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_4 = b$, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & a & a \\ b & 1 & b & a \\ a & b & 1 & b \\ a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 - 3ab + b^2 + a + b - 1)(a^2 + ab + b^2 - a - b - 1).$$

If instead one of the three products is equal to $-b$, that is, for instance, $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = -b$, we get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b & a & a \\ -b & 1 & b & a \\ a & b & 1 & b \\ a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^4 - 2a^3b + 3a^2b^2 + 2ab^3 + b^4 - 3a^2 - 3b^2 + 1).$$

The last two cases are obtained as above, first setting $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, and then $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = -b$ and $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 = -a$, giving respectively

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & -a & a \\ b & 1 & b & a \\ -a & b & 1 & b \\ a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^4 + 2a^3b + 3a^2b^2 - 2ab^3 + b^4 - 3a^2 - 3b^2 + 1)$$

and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b & -a & a \\ -b & 1 & b & a \\ -a & b & 1 & b \\ a & a & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (a^2 - ab + b^2 - a + b - 1)(a^2 + 3ab + b^2 + a - b - 1).$$

All of the other determinants are with three products equal to $\pm b$ are obtained by reversing a and b , or replacing a with $-a$ and b with $-b$. Therefore, we get the statement. \square

Thanks to [Theorem 4.36](#) and decomposition (5.22), we know that the rank of q_3^3 , which is 11, cannot be equal to its border rank, which by [[Fla23a](#), Theorem 4.5] is equal to 10. However, this result can still be obtained with [Theorem 5.38](#), just by doing some computations.

Corollary 5.39. $\text{rk}(q_3^3) > \text{brk}(q_3^3) = 10$.

Proof. By [Theorem 5.38](#) and [Lemma 4.35](#), it is sufficient to replace the values by

$$x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} \tag{5.40}$$

and

$$x_1 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, \quad x_2 = 0 \tag{5.41}$$

in polynomials $g_1, \dots, g_{11} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, defined in [Theorem 5.38](#). We first substitute values given in formula (5.40), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= -1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, & g_2\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= -\frac{4}{7}, \\
g_3\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= \pm\frac{1}{7}, & g_4\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= \pm\frac{3}{7} \pm 1, \\
g_5\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= \pm\frac{2}{7}, & g_6\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= -\frac{4}{7}, \\
g_7\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= \frac{2}{7}, & g_8\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= -\frac{4}{7} \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} \\
g_9\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= -\frac{4}{7} \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, & g_{10}\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= \pm\frac{1}{7}, \\
g_{11}\left(0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\right) &= -\frac{5}{49}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we proceed in the same way with values of formula (5.41), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= \frac{5}{7} \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, & g_2\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= \frac{5}{7}, \\
g_3\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= \pm\frac{2}{7} \pm \frac{4}{7}\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, & g_4\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= \pm 1 \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, \\
g_5\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= \pm\frac{1}{7} \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, & g_6\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= -\frac{1}{7}, \\
g_7\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= -1 \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, & g_8\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= -\frac{4}{7} \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} \\
g_9\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= -\frac{4}{7} \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, & g_{10}\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= \pm\frac{2}{7} \pm \frac{4}{7}\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, \\
g_{11}\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}, 0\right) &= -\frac{5}{49}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since all the values are nonzero, it follows that there cannot be a tight decomposition of q_3^3 . \square

To proceed in the same way for the form q_3^4 , we need to know which are the possible values of the angles between points of a possible tight decomposition. So we have to find the kernel of the catalecticant map of the polynomial

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{B_{n,4}} q_n^4 - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})^8,$$

where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is such that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$, recalling (see formula (4.16)) that

$$B_{n,4} = \frac{8(n+4)(n+6)}{35(n+1)(n+3)}.$$

Analogously to [Lemma 4.24](#) and [Lemma 4.35](#), we get the following lemma for the exponent $s = 4$.

Lemma 5.42. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let*

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{B_{n,4}} q_n^4 - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^8,$$

for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\text{Cat}_{f_1,4}) &= \left\langle (n+6)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^4 - 6q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 + \frac{3}{n+4}q_n^2 \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left((n+6)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 - \left(3 + \sqrt{\frac{6(n+3)}{n+4}} \right) q_n \right) \left((n+6)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 - \left(3 - \sqrt{\frac{6(n+3)}{n+4}} \right) q_n \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$g_1 = (n+6)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^4 - 6q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 + \frac{3}{n+4}q_n^2.$$

Using [Lemma 2.38](#), formula (3.6) and the fact that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$, we simply observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \circ f_1 &= \left((n+6)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^4 - 6q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 + \frac{3}{n+4}q_n^2 \right) \circ \left(\frac{1}{B_{n,4}}q_n^4 - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^8 \right) \\ &= \frac{n+6}{B_{n,4}}((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^4 \circ q_n^4) - (n+6)((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^4 \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^8) - \frac{6}{B_{n,4}}(q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 \circ q_n^4) \\ &\quad + 6(q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^8) + \frac{3}{B_{n,4}(n+4)}(q_n^2 \circ q_n^4) - \frac{3}{n+4}(q_n^2 \circ (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^8) \\ &= \frac{48(n+6)}{B_{n,4}}(8(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 + 24q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2 + 3q_n^2) - 1680(n+6)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{288(n+6)}{B_{n,4}}(4q_n(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2 + q_n^2) + 10080(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 + \frac{144(n+6)}{B_{n,4}}q_n^2 - \frac{5040}{n+4}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 \\ &= \frac{384(n+6)}{B_{n,4}}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 - 1680(n+6)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 + 10080(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 - \frac{5040}{n+4}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 \\ &= \frac{1680(n+1)(n+3) - 1680n(n+4) - 5040}{n+4}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})^4 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the statement. \square

So, we can now prove that the rank of q_3^4 is equal to 16. Potentially, this is a technique that can be extended even to higher cases. It would be interesting to establish a general rule regarding the angles between the different points of the decomposition in all the successive cases. To do this, it would be necessary to determine a general formula to obtain the values of all of the angles between the points of the decomposition.

Corollary 5.43. $\text{rk}(q_3^4) = 16$.

Proof. As in the proof of the previous corollary, by substituting $n = 3$ in the formula obtained in [Lemma 5.42](#), it is sufficient to substitute the values

$$x_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{7}+2}{3\sqrt{7}}} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \quad x_2 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{7}-2}{3\sqrt{7}}} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \quad (5.44)$$

and

$$x_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{7}-2}{3\sqrt{7}}} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \quad x_2 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{7}+2}{3\sqrt{7}}} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \quad (5.45)$$

to polynomial $g_1, \dots, g_{10} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, defined in [Theorem 5.38](#). For computations, it can be useful to observe that, in any case,

$$x_1 x_2 = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}}, \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Replacing the values in formulas (5.44) and (5.45), we get

$$g_1 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{7+8\sqrt{7} \pm \sqrt{21} \pm \sqrt{147+42\sqrt{7}} \pm \sqrt{147-42\sqrt{7}}}{21},$$

$$g_2 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{7}}{7},$$

$$g_3 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{2\sqrt{7+2\sqrt{7}}(\sqrt{147}-7\sqrt{21} \pm 42) \pm 42(7+\sqrt{7})}{441},$$

$$g_4 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \pm 1,$$

$$g_5 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \left(\frac{14-10\sqrt{7}}{21} \right) \pm \frac{14-2\sqrt{7}}{21},$$

$$g_6 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{2(\sqrt{7} \pm \sqrt{21})}{21},$$

$$g_7 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = -\frac{2\sqrt{7}}{7} \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}},$$

$$g_8 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{21} \pm \sqrt{147+42\sqrt{7}} \pm \sqrt{147-42\sqrt{7}}}{21},$$

$$g_9 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{-7 \pm 3\sqrt{21} \pm \sqrt{147+42\sqrt{7}} \pm \sqrt{147-42\sqrt{7}}}{21},$$

$$g_{10} \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{2}{63} \left(\pm 3(7+\sqrt{7}) \pm \left((4-2\sqrt{7}) \pm (1-\sqrt{7}) \right) \sqrt{3(7+2\sqrt{7})} \right)$$

$$g_{11} \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = -\frac{8(4 \pm \sqrt{3})}{63},$$

$$g_1 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{7-8\sqrt{7} \pm \sqrt{21} \pm \sqrt{147-42\sqrt{7}} \pm \sqrt{147+42\sqrt{7}}}{21},$$

$$g_2 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2\sqrt{7}}{7},$$

$$g_3 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \frac{2\sqrt{7-2\sqrt{7}}(-\sqrt{147}-7\sqrt{21} \pm 42) \pm 42(7-\sqrt{7})}{441},$$

$$g_4 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \pm 1,$$

$$g_5 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \left(\frac{14+10\sqrt{7}}{21} \right) \pm \frac{14+2\sqrt{7}}{21},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_6 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) &= \frac{2(-\sqrt{7} \pm \sqrt{21})}{21}, \\
 g_7 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) &= \frac{2\sqrt{7}}{7} \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \\
 g_8 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) &= \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{21} \pm \sqrt{147-42\sqrt{7}} \pm \sqrt{147+42\sqrt{7}}}{21}, \\
 g_9 \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) &= \frac{-7 \pm 3\sqrt{21} \pm \sqrt{147-42\sqrt{7}} \pm \sqrt{147+42\sqrt{7}}}{21}, \\
 g_{10} \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) &= \frac{2}{63} \left(\pm 3(7-\sqrt{7}) \pm \left((4+2\sqrt{7}) \pm (1+\sqrt{7}) \right) \sqrt{3(7-2\sqrt{7})} \right) \\
 g_{11} \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{7-2\sqrt{7}}{21}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{7+2\sqrt{7}}{21}} \right) &= -\frac{8(4 \pm \sqrt{3})}{63}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since all the values are non-zero, it follows that it cannot exist a tight decomposition of q_3^4 . \square

Despite these low exponent cases, determining the explicit rank of the form q_n^s for higher values of s remains a difficult problem. However, it is possible to analyze the asymptotic behavior of this value. In [Fla23b] it is proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \log_n(\text{rk}(q_n^s)) = s. \quad (5.46)$$

This means that the rank of q_n^s grows as n^s for $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Furthermore, it is proved in [Fla23b] that the rank is subgeneric for any $n > (2s-1)^2$, and we conjecture that, fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the rank is supergeneric for a sufficiently large value of s . In addition, assuming that the factors of the form appearing in Lemma 4.25 are all pairwise distinct, we believe that, by summing other $n-2$ suitable points to q_n^s , it is possible to obtain $n-1$ forms of degree s such that the ideal generated by these forms is a complete intersection ideal corresponding to a set of s^{n-1} points. In particular, we believe that, for every $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{rk}(q_n^s) \leq s^{n-1} + 2(n-1),$$

and hence,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \log_s(\text{rk}(q_n^s)) = n-1.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is based on the author's Ph.D. thesis, completed at *Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna* [University of Bologna] under the patient and valuable supervision of Alessandro Gimigliano and Giorgio Ottaviani, to whom the author would like to express his immense gratitude for their support. Sincere thanks are also due to Enrique Arrondo and Jarosław Buczyński for their great availability and help in the central and the final parts of this work, and also for numerous comparisons and suggestions in the drafting of the final version of the Ph.D. thesis. The author is also grateful to Fulvio Gesmundo, for countless scientific discussions related to this argument and other problems. The author is a member of the research group *Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche Geometriche e Affini* (GNSAGA) of *Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica* (INdAM) and is supported by the scientific project *Multilinear Algebraic Geometry* of the program *Progetti di ricerca di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale* (PRIN), granted by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR).

REFERENCES

- [ABR01] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, and W. Ramey, *Harmonic function theory*, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 137, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [AH95] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz, *Polynomial interpolation in several variables*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 2, 201–222.
- [AR08] E.S. Allman and J. A. Rhodes, *Phylogenetic ideals and varieties for the general Markov model*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **40** (2008), no. 2, 127–148.
- [AT11] M. Abate and F. Tovena, *Geometria differenziale*, Unitext, vol. 54, La Matematica per il 3+2, Springer, Milan, 2011 (Italian).
- [Bal10a] E. Ballico, *A conjecture on the rank of non-general points of secant varieties of Veronese embeddings of projective spaces*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **65** (2010), no. 2, 173–176.
- [Bal10b] ———, *Limits of linear spaces contained in the secant varieties of Veronese varieties: the first non-trivial case*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **65** (2010), no. 1, 111–116.
- [Ban84] E. Bannai, *Spherical designs and group representations*, in: *Combinatorics and algebra* (boulder, co, 1983), Contemp. Math., vol. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984, pp. 95–107.
- [BBEM90] B. Beauzamy, E. Bombieri, P. Enflo, and H. L. Montgomery, *Products of polynomials in many variables*, J. Number Theory **36** (1990), no. 2, 219–245.
- [BBT13] W. Buczyńska, J. Buczyński, and Z. Teitler, *Waring decompositions of monomials*, J. Algebra **378** (2013), 45–57.
- [BC12] A. Bernardi and I. Carusotto, *Algebraic geometry tools for the study of entanglement: an application to spin squeezed states*, J. Phys. A **45** (2012), no. 10, Paper no. 105304, 13 pp.
- [BCC⁺18] A. Bernardi, E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano, A. Gimigliano, and A. Oneto, *The hitchhiker guide to: secant varieties and tensor decomposition*, Mathematics **6** (2018), no. 12, Paper no. 314, 86 pp.
- [BCMT10] J. Brachat, P. Comon, B. Mourrain, and E. Tsigaridas, *Symmetric tensor decomposition*, Linear Algebra Appl. **433** (2010), no. 11–12, 1851–1872.
- [BCRL79] D. Bini, M. Capovani, F. Romani, and G. Lotti, $O(n^{2.7799})$ complexity for $n \times n$ approximate matrix multiplication, Inform. Process. Lett. **8** (1979), no. 5, 234–235.
- [BD79] E. Bannai and R. M. Damerell, *Tight spherical designs, I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), no. 1, 199–207.
- [BD80] ———, *Tight spherical designs, II*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **21** (1980), no. 1, 13–30.
- [BGI11] A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano, and M. Idà, *Computing symmetric rank for symmetric tensors*, J. Symbolic Comput. **46** (2011), no. 1, 34–53.
- [BHMT18] J. Buczyński, K. Han, M. Mella, and Z. Teitler, *On the locus of points of high rank*, Eur. J. Math. **4** (2018), no. 1, 113–136.
- [BL13] J. Buczyński and J. M. Landsberg, *Ranks of tensors and a generalization of secant varieties*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), no. 2, 668–689.
- [BLR80] D. Bini, G. Lotti, and F. Romani, *Approximate solutions for the bilinear form computational problem*, SIAM J. Comput. **9** (1980), no. 4, 692–697.
- [BMV04] E. Bannai, A. Munemasa, and B. Venkov, *The nonexistence of certain tight spherical designs*, Algebra i Analiz **16** (2004), no. 4, 1–23. With an appendix by Y.-F. S. Pétermann. Reprinted in St. Petersburg Math. J. **16** (2005), no. 4, 609–625.
- [BO08] M. C. Brambilla and G. Ottaviani, *On the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), no. 5, 1229–1251.
- [Bor91] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [BS81] E. Bannai and N. J. A. Sloane, *Uniqueness of certain spherical codes*, Canadian J. Math. **33** (1981), no. 2, 437–449.
- [BtD95] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 98, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. Translated from the German manuscript, corrected reprint of the 1985 translation. MR1410059
- [CCG12] E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano, and A. V. Geramita, *The solution to the Waring problem for monomials and the sum of coprime monomials*, J. Algebra **370** (2012), 5–14.
- [CCO17] E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano, and A. Oneto, *Waring loci and the Strassen conjecture*, Adv. Math. **314** (2017), 630–662.
- [CGLM08] P. Comon, G. Golub, L.-H. Lim, and B. Mourrain, *Symmetric tensors and symmetric tensor rank*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **30** (2008), no. 3, 1254–1279.
- [CGO14] E. Carlini, N. Grieve, and L. Oeding, *Four lectures on secant varieties*, in: *Connections between algebra, combinatorics, and geometry* (Regina, SK, 2012), Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 76, Springer, New York, 2014, pp. 101–146.
- [Che11] P. Chevalier, *Optimal separation of independent narrow-band sources – concept and performance*, Signal Process. **73**, special issue on blind separation and deconvolution (2011), 27–48.
- [CJ10] P. Comon and C. Jutten, *Handbook of blind source separation: Independent component analysis and applications*, Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, 2010.

- [Com94] P. Comon, *Independent component analysis, a new concept?*, Signal Process. **36** (1994), 287–314.
- [Cox73] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Introduction to lattices and order*, 3rd edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [CS11] G. Comas and M. Seiguer, *On the rank of a binary form*, Found. Comput. Math. **11** (2011), no. 1, 65–78.
- [CS99] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, 3rd edition, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. With additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen, and B. B. Venkov.
- [Cuy05] H. Cuypers, *A note on the tight spherical 7-design in \mathbb{R}^{23} and 5-design in \mathbb{R}^7* , Des. Codes Cryptogr. **34** (2005), no. 2-3, 333–337.
- [DC07] L. De Lathauwer and J. Castaing, *Tensor-based techniques for the blind separation of ds-cdma signals*, Signal Process. **87** (2007), 322–336.
- [DGS77] P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel, *Spherical codes and designs*, Geometriae Dedicata **6** (1977), no. 3, 363–388.
- [Dic19] L. E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers, Vol. II: Diophantine analysis*, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, 1919. Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1966.
- [DK93] I. Dolgachev and V. Kanev, *Polar covariants of plane cubics and quartics*, Adv. Math. **98** (1993), no. 2, 216–301.
- [Dol12] I. V. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry: A modern view*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [Eic52] M. Eichler, *Quadratische Formen und orthogonale Gruppen*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete, Band LXIII, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1952.
- [FH91] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Reading in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Fla23a] C. Flavi, *Border rank of powers of ternary quadratic forms*, J. Algebra **634** (2023), 599–625.
- [Fla23b] ———, *Upper bounds for the rank of powers of quadrics* (2023), preprint, arXiv:2305.06470 [math.AG].
- [GL19] F. Gesmundo and J. M. Landsberg, *Explicit polynomial sequences with maximal spaces of partial derivatives and a question of K. Mulmuley*, Theory Comput. **15** (2019), Paper no. 3, 24 pp.
- [GM19] F. Galuppi and M. Mella, *Identifiability of homogeneous polynomials and cremona transformations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **757** (2019), 279–308.
- [GQ20] J. Gallier and J. Quaintance, *Differential geometry and Lie groups: A second course*, Geometry and Computing, vol. 13, Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [GS79] J.-M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel, *Spherical designs*, in: *Relations between combinatorics and other parts of mathematics* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Ohio State Univ., Columbus, OH, 1978), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIV, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, pp. 255–272.
- [GS81a] ———, *Cubature formulae, polytopes, and spherical designs*, in: *The geometric vein*, Springer, New-York-Berlin, 1981, pp. 203–218. Edited by C. Davis, B. Grünbaum, and F. A. Sherk.
- [GS81b] ———, *The football*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **29** (1981), no. 1, 50–58.
- [GS] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*. Available at <http://www2.macaulay2.com>.
- [GW98] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 68, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Haa48] J. Haantjes, *Equilateral point-sets in elliptic two- and three-dimensional spaces*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde (2) **22** (1948), 355–362.
- [Hal15] B. Hall, *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations: An elementary introduction*, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 222, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [Har95] J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry: A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- [Hil09] D. Hilbert, *Beweis für die Darstellbarkeit der ganzen Zahlen durch eine feste Anzahl n^{ter} Potenzen (Waringsches Problem)*, Math. Ann. **67** (1909), no. 3, 281–300 (German).
- [Hil88] ———, *Ueber die Darstellung definiter Formen als Summe von Formenquadraten*, Math. Ann. **32** (1888), no. 3, 342–350.
- [Hog90] S. G. Hoggar, *t-designs in delsarte spaces*, in: *Coding theory and design theory, Part II*, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 21, Springer, New York, 1990, pp. 144–165.
- [Hoü77] M. J. Hoüel, *Mélanges du rôle de l'expérience dans les sciences exactes*, J. Math. Élém. **1** (1877), 118–128 (French).
- [IK99] A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev, *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Appendix C by A. Iarrobino and S. L. Kleiman.
- [Jon50] B. W. Jones, *The Arithmetic Theory of Quadratic Forms*, Carcus Monograph Series, vol. No. 10, Mathematical Association of America, Buffalo, NY, 1950.
- [KB09] T. G. Kolda and B. W. Bader, *Tensor decompositions and applications*, SIAM Rev. **51** (2009), no. 3, 455–500.
- [Kem12] A. Kempner, *Bemerkungen zum Waringschen Problem*, Math. Ann. **72** (1912), no. 3, 387–399 (German).
- [Kir08] A. Kirillov Jr., *An introduction to Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 113, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

- [Kos93] E. Kostlan, *On the distribution of roots of random polynomials*, in: *From topology to computation: Proceedings of the Smalefest* (Berkeley, CA, 1990), Springer, New York, 1993, pp. 419–431.
- [Lam80] T. Y. Lam, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1980. Revised second printing.
- [Lan12] J. M. Landsberg, *Tensors: geometry and applications*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 128, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [Leb59] V. A. Lebesgue, *Exercices d'analyse numérique*, Leiber et Faraguet, Éditeurs, Paris, 1859 (French).
- [Lee67] J. Leech, *Notes on sphere packings*, Canadian J. Math. **19** (1967), 251–267.
- [LO13] J. M. Landsberg and G. Ottaviani, *Equations for secant varieties of Veronese and other varieties*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **192** (2013), no. 4, 569–606.
- [LT10] J. M. Landsberg and Z. Teitler, *On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors*, Found. Comput. Math. **10** (2010), no. 3, 339–366.
- [Luc76] E. Lucas, *Sur la théorie des nombres*, Nouv. Corresp. Math. **2** (1876), 101–105 (French).
- [McC87] P. McCullagh, *Tensor methods in statistics*, Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability, Chapman & Hall, London, 1987.
- [Mel09] M. Mella, *Base loci of linear systems and the Waring problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 1, 91–98.
- [Mey83] W. F. Meyer, *Apolarität und rationale curven: Eine systematische voruntersuchung zu einer allgemeinen theorie der linearen räume*, Verlag und Druck von Franz Fues, Tübingen, 1883.
- [Min84] H. Minkowski, *Grundlagen für eine Theorie der quadratischen Formen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten*, in: *Mémoires présentés par divers savants à l'Académie des Sciences de l'institut national de France, Tome XXIX*, Paper no. 2, 1884.
- [Min85] ———, *Untersuchungen über quadratische Formen*, Acta Math. **7** (1885), no. 1, 201–258. I. Bestimmung der Anzahl verschiedener Formen, welche ein gegebenes Genus enthält.
- [Min90] ———, *Ueber die Bedingungen, unter welchen zwei quadratische Formen mit rationalen Coefficienten in einander rational transformirt werden können*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **106** (1890), 5–26.
- [MT11] G. Malle and D. Testerman, *Linear algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 133, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [Mum95] D. Mumford, *Algebraic geometry, I: Complex projective varieties*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1976 edition.
- [NV12] G. Nebe and B. Venkov, *On tight spherical designs*, Algebra i Analiz **24** (2012), no. 3, 163–171. Reprinted in: St. Petersburg Math. J. **24** (2013), no. 3, 485–491.
- [O'M63] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 117, Academic Press, Inc., Publishers, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.
- [Pal03] F. Palatini, *Sulla rappresentazione delle forme ternarie mediante la somma di potenze di forme lineari*, Rom. Acc. L. Rend. **12** (1903), no. 5, 378–384 (Italian).
- [Pro07] C. Procesi, *Lie groups: An approach through invariants and representations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [Rey70] T. Reye, *Trägheits- und höhere momente eines massensystemes in bezug auf ebenen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **72** (1870), 293–326 (German).
- [Rez92] B. Reznick, *Sums of even powers of real linear forms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1992), no. 463, viii+155.
- [Ric04] H. W. Richmond, *On canonical forms*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **33** (1904), 331–340.
- [Ros73] J. Rosanes, *Ueber ein princip der zuordnung algebraischer formen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **76** (1873), 312–331 (German).
- [RS00] K. Ranestad and F.-O. Schreyer, *Varieties of sums of powers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **525** (2000), 147–181.
- [RS11] ———, *On the rank of a symmetric form*, J. Algebra **346** (2011), 340–342.
- [Sch05] I. Schur, *Neue begründung der Theorie der Gruppencharaktere*, in: *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* (Berlin, 1905), Preußische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1905, pp. 406–432 (German).
- [Sch22] R. Scharlau, *Martin Kneser's work on quadratic forms and algebraic groups*, in: *Martin Kneser collected works*, Contemp. Mathematicians, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2022, pp. 31–50.
- [Sei84] J. J. Seidel, *Harmonics and combinatorics*, in: *Special functions: Group theoretical aspects and applications*, Math. Appl., Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984, pp. 287–303.
- [Sei87] ———, *Integration over spheres*, in: *Sixteenth Manitoba conference on numerical mathematics and computing* (Winnipeg, Man., 1986), Congr. Numer. **56** (1987), 53–61.
- [Ser73] J.-P. Serre, *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. No. 7, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. Translated from the French.
- [Ser77] ———, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 42, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by L. L. Scott.
- [SGB00] N. D. Sidiropoulos, G. B. Giannakis, and R. Bro, *Blind parafac receivers for ds-cdma systems*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **48** (2000), 810–823.
- [Sie63] C. L. Siegel, *Lectures on the analytical theory of quadratic forms*, 3rd ed., Buchhandlung Robert Peppmüller, Göttingen, 1963. Notes by Morgan Ward.

- [Sie67] ———, *Lectures on quadratic forms*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, vol. No. 7, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967. Notes by K. G. Ramanathan.
- [Str67a] A. H. Stroud, *Some fifth degree integration formulas for symmetric regions, II*, Numer. Math. **9** (1967), 460–468.
- [Str67b] ———, *Some seventh degree integration formulas for symmetric regions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **4** (1967), 37–44.
- [Str83] V. Strassen, *Rank and optimal computation of generic tensors*, Linear Algebra Appl. **52** (1983), 645–685.
- [Syl51a] J. J. Sylvester, *An essay on canonical forms, supplement to a sketch of a memoir on elimination, transformation and canonical forms*, George Bell & Sons, London, Fleet Street, 1851. Reprinted in: *The collected mathematical papers of James Joseph Sylvester*, Vol. 1, paper no. 34, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1973, pp. 203–216, edited by H. F. Baker, reprint of the original edition published by Cambridge University Press, London, Fetter Lane, E. C., 1904.
- [Syl51b] ———, *Sketch of a memoir on elimination, transformation and canonical forms*, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. **6** (1851), 186–200.
- [Syl52] ———, *A demonstration of the theorem that every homogeneous quadratic polynomial is reducible by real orthogonal substitutions to the form of a sum of positive and negative squares*, Philos. Mag. (4) **4** (1852), no. 23, 138–142.
- [Syl86] ———, *Sur une extension d'un théorème de Clebsch relatif aux courbes du quatrième degré*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **102** (1886), 1532–1534 (French).
- [SZ84] P. D. Seymour and T. Zaslavsky, *Averaging sets: a generalization of mean values and spherical designs*, Adv. in Math. **52** (1984), no. 3, 213–240.
- [Val01] L. G. Valiant, *Quantum computers that can be simulated classically in polynomial time*, in: *Proceedings of the Thirty-Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (Hersonissos, 2001), ACM, New York, Hersonissos, 2001, pp. 114–123.
- [War91] E. Waring, *Meditationes algebraicae*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991. Translated from the 1782 third Latin edition, edited and with a foreword by D. Weeks, with an appendix by F. X. Mayer, translated from the German by D. Weeks.
- [Wat60] G. L. Watson, *Integral quadratic forms*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, vol. No. 51, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1960.
- [Zui17] J. Zuiddam, *A note on the gap between rank and border rank*, Linear Algebra Appl. **525** (2017), 33–44.

(Cosimo Flavi), DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA "ULISSE DINI", UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, Viale Giovanni Battista Morgagni 67/a, 50134 Florence, Italy.

Email address: `cosimo.flavi@unifi.it`