

Level of Regions for Deformed Braid Arrangements

Yanru Chen¹, Houshan Fu^{2,*}, Suijie Wang³ and Jinxing Yang⁴

^{1,3,4}School of Mathematics
Hunan University
Changsha 410082, Hunan, P. R. China

²School of Mathematics and Information Science
Guangzhou University
Guangzhou 510006, Guangdong, P. R. China

*Correspondence to be sent to: fuhoushan@gzhu.edu.cn
Emails: ¹yanruchen@hnu.edu.cn, ³wangsuijie@hnu.edu.cn, ⁴jxyangmath@hnu.edu.cn

Abstract

This paper primarily investigates a specific type of deformation of the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_n in \mathbb{R}^n , denoted by \mathcal{B}_n^A and defined in (1.2). Let $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A)$ be the number of regions of level l in \mathcal{B}_n^A with the corresponding exponential generating function $R_l(A; x)$. Using the weighted digraph model introduced by Hetyei [11], we establish a bijection between regions of level l in \mathcal{B}_n^A and valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs on the vertex set $[n]$ with exactly l strong components. Based on this bijection, we obtain a property analogous to a polynomial sequence of binomial type, that is, $R_l(A; x)$ satisfies the relation

$$R_l(A; x) = (R_1(A; x))^l = R_k(A; x)R_{l-k}(A; x).$$

Furthermore, the values $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A)$ yield a combinatorial interpretation for the coefficients in the expansion of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t)$ in the basis elements $\binom{t}{l}$, that is,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^{n-l} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \binom{t}{l}.$$

If n , a and b are non-negative integers with $n \geq 2$ and $b - a \geq n - 1$, for the deformation $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}$ defined in (1.3), its characteristic polynomial has a single real root 0 of multiplicity one when n is odd, and has one more real root $\frac{n(a+b+1)}{2}$ of multiplicity one when n is even.

Keywords: hyperplane arrangement, deformation of braid arrangement, exponential sequence of arrangements, sequence of binomial type, characteristic polynomial

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C35, 05A10, 05A15, 11B83

1 Introduction

One of the most important hyperplane arrangements is the *braid arrangement* \mathcal{B}_n , which consists of the following hyperplanes in the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\mathcal{B}_n : \quad x_i - x_j = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

A *deformation* of \mathcal{B}_n is obtained by replacing each hyperplane $x_i - x_j = 0$ with hyperplanes:

$$x_i - x_j = a_{ij}^{(1)}, a_{ij}^{(2)}, \dots, a_{ij}^{(n_{ij})}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad (1.1)$$

where each $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ is a real number. Various special deformations of \mathcal{B}_n have been extensively studied in [4, 16]. In this paper, we mainly study a specific type of deformation \mathcal{B}_n^A of the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_n , which is defined as follows, for any finite set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ of real numbers,

$$\mathcal{B}_n^A : \quad x_i - x_j = a_1, \dots, a_m, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \quad (1.2)$$

Given integers a, b with $a \leq b$, let $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$. When b is a positive integer, we simply denote $[b] = [1, b]$ and $[-b] = [-b, -1]$. Then the deformation $\mathcal{B}_n^{[a,b]}$ of \mathcal{B}_n is

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{[a,b]} : \quad x_i - x_j = a, a + 1, \dots, b, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \quad (1.3)$$

Several especial cases are noteworthy: $\mathcal{B}_n^{[1]}$ is the *Linial arrangement* and $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+2,b]}$ is the *extended Linial arrangement* with $b \geq 1$; $\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,1]}$ is the *Shi arrangement* and $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+1,b]}$ is the *extended Shi arrangement* with $b \geq 1$; $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-1,1]}$ is the *Catalan arrangement* and $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b,b]}$ is the *extended Catalan arrangement* with $b \geq 1$; a more general case $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a+1,b-1]}$ is the *truncated affine arrangement* studied in [16] for non-negative integers $a + b \geq 2$.

Counting regions of a hyperplane arrangement is commonly done by computing its characteristic polynomial and applying it to Zaslavsky's formula [24]. This approach triggers many significant combinatorial labelings of regions, such as the Pak-Stanley labeling [7, 18, 19] for the regions of extended Shi arrangements and extended Catalan arrangements, the Athanasiadis-Linusson labeling [5] for the regions of the extended Shi arrangement, and the Hopkins-Perkinson labeling [13] for counting regions of bigraphical arrangements. For more related works, please refer to [9, 10, 12, 14, 22].

This paper focuses on enumerative problems concerning regions of level l in these deformations \mathcal{B}_n^A . A *region* of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{R}^n is a connected component of its complement $\mathbb{R}^n - \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$. Ehrenborg [8] introduced the *level* of a subset X in \mathbb{R}^n , which is the smallest non-negative integer l such that there exists a subspace W of dimension l and a positive real number r satisfying

$$X \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{\mathbf{w} \in W} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq r\},$$

denoted by $l(X)$. Particularly, when X is a subspace or cone in \mathbb{R}^n , obviously $l(X) = \dim(X)$. Ehrenborg [8] provided an explicit expression on the number of faces of level l and codimension k for extended Shi arrangements. Armstrong and Rhoades [1] independently defined the level of a convex set relative to its recession cone. For any convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, it determines a *recession cone* $\text{Rec}(C) := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x} + C \subseteq C\}$. They defined the dimension of $\text{Rec}(C)$ as the number of *degrees of freedom* of the convex set C , and revealed that these “degrees of freedom” are a fundamental aspect of the “combinatorial symmetry” between the Shi and Ish arrangements. It is clear that the level $l(C)$ equals the number of degrees of freedom of C . Zaslavsky [25] defined the ideal dimension $\dim_\infty(X)$ of a real affine set X as the dimension of the intersection of its projective topological closure with the infinite hyperplane. Furthermore, Zaslavsky demonstrated that for any subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the relation $l(X) \geq \dim_\infty(X) + 1$ holds, with equality when X is a polyhedron. Based on their work, Leven, Rhoades, and Wilson [14] constructed bijections that preserve levels between regions

of deleted Shi and Ish arrangements. Most recently, Chen, Wang, Yang and Zhao [6, Theorem 6.1, 6.2] addressed several enumerative problems concerning the number of regions of level l in Catalan-type and semiorder-type arrangements by constructing a labeled Dyck path model for their regions, and presented a formula for counting regions of level l in extended Catalan arrangements. Hetyei [11] developed a more general method for labeling regions of any deformation of the braid arrangement by weighted digraphs. In this work, Hetyei showed that regions of any deformation of the braid arrangement can be bijectively labeled by a set of valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs, where relatively bounded regions correspond precisely to strongly connected valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs.

The present work is motivated by Chen, Wang, Yang and Zhao's paper [6] and Hetyei's labeling [11]. Note that both Catalan-type and semiorder-type arrangements are symmetric deformations of braid arrangements. One purpose of this paper is to extend their results to nonsymmetric deformations \mathcal{B}_n^A of the braid arrangement, utilizing the weighted digraph model of regions introduced by Hetyei [11]. Another focus is the investigation of real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}$ for any non-negative integers $a \leq b$. In Theorem 2.7, we obtain that the level of any region in the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{B}_n^A equals the number of strong components of the associated valid m -acyclic weighted digraph. This enables us to refine Hetyei's work for \mathcal{B}_n^A . More specifically, we prove in Corollary 2.8 that the regions of level l in \mathcal{B}_n^A are in bijection with the valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs that have exactly l strong components. Furthermore, by applying the weighted digraph model for counting regions, we demonstrate in Theorem 1.1 that the sequence $(r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A))_{n \geq 0}$ possesses a property analogous to that of a polynomial sequence of binomial type, which generalizes the results [6, Theorem 1.2, 1.3, 1.4]. Polynomial sequences of binomial type arising from various fields, including graph theory and partially ordered sets, were systematically summarized by Rota [15, 17] as fundamental theory of combinatorics.

Denote by $r(\mathcal{A})$ the total number of regions of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{R}^n and $r_l(\mathcal{A})$ the number of regions of level l , with the convention that $r_0(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ when $n = 0$. Obviously, $r_l(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if $l > n$. Associated with $r_l(\mathcal{A})$, we define an exponential generating function as

$$R_l(A; x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq l} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!}. \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ consist of distinct real numbers. For any non-negative integers n and l , we have*

$$R_l(A; x) = (R_1(A; x))^l.$$

Equivalently, the relationship is given explicitly as follows:

$$r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_l = n, \\ n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1}} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_l} \prod_{i=1}^l r_1(\mathcal{B}_{n_i}^A).$$

Moreover, for any non-negative integer $k \leq l$, we have

$$R_l(A; x) = R_k(A; x) R_{l-k}(A; x),$$

that is,

$$r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} r_k(\mathcal{B}_i^A) r_{l-k}(\mathcal{B}_{n-i}^A).$$

In 1996, Stanley [18] observed a fundamental yet important phenomenon that for an exponential sequence $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots)$ of arrangements, the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{A}_n is actually determined by the number of regions, which is stated at the beginning of Section 3. Recently, the authors in [6] provided an explicit expression for the characteristic polynomial of the Catalan-type and semiorde-type arrangement in terms of the number of regions of each level l . Notably, the sequence $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathcal{B}_1^A, \mathcal{B}_2^A, \dots)$ is an exponential sequence of arrangements. Below we extend their work [6, Theorem 1.5] to a more general hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{B}_n^A .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ consist of distinct real numbers. For any non-negative integer n , the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t)$ can be expressed in the following form*

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^{n-l} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \binom{t}{l}$$

with the convention $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_0^A}(t) = 1$.

It is worth remarking that Postnikov and Stanley [16] investigated roots of the characteristic polynomials of truncated affine arrangements and demonstrated that these arrangements satisfy the ‘‘Riemann hypothesis’’. Motivated by their work, we further explore real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ under the conditions that the non-negative integers $n \geq 2$, a and b satisfy $b - a \geq n - 1$ in Section 5.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces basic results related to the weighted digraph model and proves Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we build on Theorem 1.1 to further verify Theorem 1.2. Section 4 provides a formula for counting regions of level l in $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}$. Finally, we discuss real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ in Section 5.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

2.1 Labeling regions of deformations of the braid arrangement

Let us first review some necessary definitions on digraphs. Let $D = (V(D), A(D))$ be a finite digraph with the vertex set $V(D)$ and directed edge set $A(D)$. In the digraph D , two vertices v and u are said to be *strongly connected* if there exists a directed (v, u) -walk from v to u and a directed (u, v) -walk from u to v . Alternatively, two vertices v and u is strongly connected in D if and only if there exists a directed cycle of D containing v and u . The digraph D is called *strongly connected* if any two vertices v and u are strongly connected. Every maximal strongly connected subdigraph of D is known as a *strong component* of D .

Most recently, Heteyi [11] constructed a weighted digraph associated with each region of any deformation of a graphical arrangement. Using this graph structure, Heteyi further demonstrated that the regions of such deformation can be bijectively labeled by a set of m -acyclic weighted digraphs, which contain only directed cycles with negative weights. Moreover, the relatively bounded regions correspond precisely to the strongly connected m -acyclic weighted digraphs. The weighted digraph model is a key approach for establishing our results.

Describing a region in a deformation of the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_n amounts to determining whether a system of linear inequalities of the form

$$m_{ij} < x_i - x_j < M_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \quad (2.1)$$

has a solution in \mathbb{R}^n . We assume that $m_{ij} < M_{ij}$ holds for any pair $i < j$, and allow $m_{ij} = -\infty$ and $M_{ij} = \infty$ respectively. Hetyei referred to the solution set of a system of linear inequalities of the form (2.1) as a *weighted digraphical polytope* (see also [11, Definition 2.1]). The following definition characterizes how to construct a weighted digraph from the system of linear inequalities as given in (2.1).

Definition 2.1 ([11], Definition 2.3). For every system of linear inequalities (2.1), the associated *weighted digraph* is constructed as follows: For each $i < j$, if $m_{ij} > -\infty$, we create a directed edge $i \rightarrow j$ with weight m_{ij} ; if $M_{ij} < \infty$, we create a directed edge $i \leftarrow j$ with weight $-M_{ij}$. An *m-ascending cycle* in the associated weighted digraph is a directed cycle, along which the sum of the weights is non-negative. The associated weighted digraph is called *m-acyclic* if it contains no *m-ascending cycle*.

Conversely, the associated weighted digraph uniquely encodes the system (2.1) as well. Without loss of generality, we may assume $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ in \mathbb{R} . Then every region of the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{B}_n^A is a weighted digraphical polytope and can be described by a system of linear inequalities (2.1), where for each pair $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, m_{ij} is either $-\infty$ or some member of the set A and M_{ij} is given by the following formula:

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } m_{ij} = -\infty; \\ a_{k+1}, & \text{if } m_{ij} = a_k \text{ for some } k < m; \\ \infty, & \text{if } m_{ij} = a_m. \end{cases}$$

We continue introducing the *valid weighted digraph* (see also [11, Definition 2.14]).

Definition 2.2. Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ be a set in \mathbb{R} . A weighted digraph on the vertex set $[n]$ is *valid* if for each pair $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ satisfying exactly one of the following holds:

- (1) there is no directed edge $i \rightarrow j$, and there is exactly one directed edge $i \leftarrow j$ of weight $-a_1$;
- (2) there is a directed edge $i \rightarrow j$ of weight a_k , and there is a directed edge $i \leftarrow j$ of weight $-a_{k+1}$ for some $1 \leq k < m$;
- (3) there is exactly one directed edge $i \rightarrow j$ of weight a_m , and there is no directed edge $i \leftarrow j$.

Notice that the regions of level 1 or the relatively bounded regions of any deformation \mathcal{A} of the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_n correspond precisely to the bounded regions of the corresponding essential arrangement $\text{ess}(\mathcal{A}) := \{H \cap V_{n-1} : H \in \mathcal{A}\}$, where V_{n-1} is the subspace in \mathbb{R}^n of all vectors (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) such that $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 0$. Therefore, [11, Corollary 2.15] actually establishes a bijection between the (relatively bounded) regions of any deformation of the braid arrangement and the (strongly connected) valid *m-acyclic* weighted digraphs. The next result is as a special case of this work.

Proposition 2.3 ([11], Corollary 2.15). *Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ be a set in \mathbb{R} . Then the regions of \mathcal{B}_n^A are in bijection with the valid *m-acyclic* weighted digraphs on the vertex set $[n]$ in such a way that the relatively bounded regions correspond to the strongly connected valid *m-acyclic* weighted digraphs.*

Importantly, the m -acyclic property can be verified independently for each strong component of the weighted digraph. Building on this property, Heteyi further provided a structural theorem in [11, Theorem 2.16], which illustrates that the associated weighted digraph of every region in any deformation of the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_n can be uniquely determined and constructed from strongly connected valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs. The restriction of [11, Theorem 2.16] to \mathcal{B}_n^A is the following statement.

Proposition 2.4 ([11], Theorem 2.16). *Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ be a set in \mathbb{R} . Then the associated weighted digraph of any region of \mathcal{B}_n^A may be uniquely constructed as follows:*

- (1) *For a fixed ordered set partition (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_l) of the set $[n]$, the parts of the ordered set partition correspond to the vertex sets of the strong components. For any pair (i, j) having the property that the part containing i precedes the part containing j , there exists a directed edge $i \rightarrow j$ with a corresponding weight, but no directed edge $i \leftarrow j$ is present. Specifically, the weight on $i \rightarrow j$ is a_m if $i < j$ and $-a_1$ if $i > j$.*
- (2) *For each strong component on the vertex set B_i , we independently select a strongly connected valid m -acyclic weighted digraph.*

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Before proving Theorem 1.1, the following lemma is also required.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X and Y be two sets in \mathbb{R}^n . If there exists $r > 0$ such that*

$$X \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \leq r\},$$

then $l(X) \leq l(Y)$.

Proof. Suppose W is the minimal dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n such that there exists $r' > 0$ satisfying

$$Y \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{\mathbf{w} \in W} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq r'\}. \quad (2.2)$$

The condition $X \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \leq r\}$ implies that for any $\mathbf{x} \in X$, there exists $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \leq r$. It follows from (2.2) that for such $\mathbf{y} \in Y$, there is $\mathbf{w} \in W$ for which $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq r'$. Then we have

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq r + r'.$$

This means

$$X \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{\mathbf{w} \in W} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq r + r'\}.$$

Therefore, $l(X) \leq \dim W = l(Y)$, which finishes the proof. \square

For convenience, we denote by $D(R)$ the associated m -acyclic weighted digraph of any region R of \mathcal{B}_n^A .

Lemma 2.6. *Let $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_m\}$ be a set in \mathbb{R} . Given a region R of \mathcal{B}_n^A and a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R$. If i and j belong to some strong component N of $D(R)$, then we have*

$$|x_i - x_j| \leq |V(N)|^2 a,$$

where $a = \max\{|a_1|, |a_m|\}$.

Proof. Since $D(R)$ is a valid m -acyclic weighted digraph, according to the definition of the valid m -acyclic weighted digraph in [Definition 2.2](#), for any directed edge $h \rightarrow g$ in $D(R)$, we have the following properties:

$$x_h - x_g > a_q \text{ if } h < g \quad \text{and} \quad x_h - x_g > -a_q \text{ if } h > g \text{ for some } q \in [m]. \quad (2.3)$$

As i and j belong to the same strong component N , there is a directed cycle $C = i_0 \rightarrow i_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i_{s-1} \rightarrow i_s \rightarrow i_{s+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i_t \rightarrow i_0$ in N containing the vertices i and j , where $i_0 = i$ and $i_s = j$. Now, we consider the directed edge $i_p \rightarrow i_{p+1}$ in the directed cycle C . When $i_p < i_{p+1}$, we have $a_q < x_{i_p} - x_{i_{p+1}}$ for some $q \in [m]$ via (2.3). If $q < m$, then $a_q < x_{i_p} - x_{i_{p+1}} < a_{q+1}$. If $q = m$, using (2.3) again, the directed path $i_{p+1} \rightarrow i_{p+2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i_p$ in C implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i_{p+1}} - x_{i_p} &= (x_{i_{p+1}} - x_{i_{p+2}}) + (x_{i_{p+2}} - x_{i_{p+3}}) + \cdots + (x_{i_{p-1}} - x_{i_p}) \\ &\geq b_{p+1} + b_{p+2} + \cdots + b_{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where each b_k represents a_w or $-a_w$ for some $w \in [m]$. Hence, we obtain

$$-a \leq a_m < x_{i_p} - x_{i_{p+1}} < -(b_{p+1} + b_{p+2} + \cdots + b_{p-1}) \leq (t+1)a$$

in this case. We conclude $-a < x_{i_p} - x_{i_{p+1}} < (t+1)a$ in the case that $i_p < i_{p+1}$. Likewise, we can prove $-a < x_{i_p} - x_{i_{p+1}} < (t+1)a$ when $i_p > i_{p+1}$ as well. Therefore, we deduce

$$-sa < x_i - x_j = x_{i_0} - x_{i_s} = (x_{i_0} - x_{i_1}) + (x_{i_1} - x_{i_2}) + \cdots + (x_{i_{s-1}} - x_{i_s}) < s(t+1)a.$$

So $|x_i - x_j| < s(t+1)a \leq |V(N)|^2 a$, which finishes the proof. \square

The following result states that the level of any region of \mathcal{B}_n^A is precisely the number of strong components of the associated m -acyclic weighted digraph.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ consist of distinct real numbers. For any region R of \mathcal{B}_n^A , the level of R equals the number of strong components of $D(R)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_m\}$ in \mathbb{R} . From [Proposition 2.4](#), we may assume that the vertex sets of strong components of $D(R)$ induce an ordered set partition (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_l) of the set $[n]$ satisfying the properties outlined in [Proposition 2.4](#). To prove $l(R) \leq l$, we construct a subspace $W(R)$ in \mathbb{R}^n as follows:

$$W(R) := \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = x_j \text{ if } i, j \in B_k \text{ for some } 1 \leq k \leq l \}.$$

Clearly the subspace $W(R)$ has dimension l and the level $l(W(R)) = l$. Therefore, from [Lemma 2.5](#), we only need to show the following relation

$$R \subseteq \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{\mathbf{w} \in W(R)} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| \leq r \}$$

for some positive real number r . Without loss of generality, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$, suppose $B_k = \{i_{k-1} + 1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $i_0 = 0$, $i_{k-1} + 1 \leq i_k \leq n$ and $i_l = n$. For any point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R$, we take $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in W(R)$ satisfying

$$w_{i_{k-1}+1} = w_{i_{k-1}+2} = \cdots = w_{i_k} = x_{i_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

By [Lemma 2.6](#), we have

$$|x_i - x_{i_k}| \leq (i_k - i_{k-1})^2 a \leq n^2 a$$

for any $i \in B_k$, where $a = \max\{|a_1|, |a_m|\}$. Then we deduce

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^l \sum_{i=i_{k-1}+1}^{i_k} (x_i - x_{i_k})^2} \leq n^2 \sqrt{na}.$$

So, we obtain $l(R) \leq l$.

On the other hand, to verify $l(R) \geq l$, we construct a cone $C(R)$ in \mathbb{R}^n as follows:

$$C(R) := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W(R) : x_i \geq x_j \text{ for } i \in B_k, j \in B_s \text{ with } 1 \leq k < s \leq n\}.$$

Obviously, the cone $C(R)$ has dimension l and the level $l(C(R)) = l$. Given a point $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in R$, we assert

$$\mathbf{z} + C(R) := \{\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x} = (z_1 + x_1, \dots, z_n + x_n) : \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C(R)\} \subseteq R.$$

Notably, for any point $\mathbf{x} \in C(R)$, we have that

- (a) if i and j belong to the same part, then $(z_i + x_i) - (z_j + x_j) = z_i - z_j$;
- (b) if the part containing i precedes the part containing j , then

$$(x_i + z_i) - (x_j + z_j) \geq z_i - z_j > a_m \quad \text{if } i < j$$

and

$$(x_i + z_i) - (x_j + z_j) \geq z_i - z_j > -a_1 \text{ if } i > j \text{ via the property (1) in Proposition 2.4.}$$

Hence, $\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x} \in R$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in C(R)$, that is, $\mathbf{z} + C(R) \subseteq R$. We further derive

$$l = l(C(R)) = l(\mathbf{z} + C(R)) \leq l(R).$$

So we obtain $l(R) = l$, which completes the proof. \square

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.7, we have the next result. In fact, Corollary 2.8 can be generalized to any deformation of the braid arrangement as the form (1.1). However, a similar but more complicated discussion will be omitted here and left for interested readers to explore.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ be a set in \mathbb{R} . For a fixed positive integer l , the regions of level l of \mathcal{B}_n^A are in bijection with the valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs on the vertex set $[n]$ that have exactly l strong components.*

Below we provide a small example to explain Corollary 2.8.

Example 2.9. Let $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]} = \{H_{ij}^k : x_i - x_j = k \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, k = 1, 2\}$ be a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{R}^3 , as illustrated in Figure 1. In Figure 1, we describe the one-to-one correspondence between the regions of $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}$ and the associated valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs on the vertex set $[3]$. Specifically, every valid m -acyclic weighted digraph is precisely placed within the corresponding region of $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}$ in Figure 1, where the blue, red and green valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs having 1, 2 and 3 strong components in turn, correspond to the regions of level 1, 2 and 3 respectively.




Figure 1: Regions of $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}$ labeled by their associated m -acyclic weighted digraphs

We are now ready to prove [Theorem 1.1](#).

Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). Without loss of generality, let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ in \mathbb{R} . When $l = 0$, the case is trivial. For $l \geq 1$, applying [Corollary 2.8](#), there is a one-to-one correspondence between the regions of level l of \mathcal{B}_n^A and the valid m -acyclic weighted digraphs on the vertex set $[n]$ that have exactly l strong components. From [Proposition 2.4](#), any such valid m -acyclic weighted digraph can be constructed in the following way: Let $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_l\}$ be a set partition of the set $[n]$. Given the size n_i of every B_i , the number of ways to select an ordered set partition is equal to $\binom{n}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_l}$. Next, we need to choose a strongly connected valid m -acyclic weighted digraph for each part B_i . By [Proposition 2.3](#), there are $r_1(\mathcal{B}_{n_i}^A)$ ways to perform this step on the part B_i . Therefore, we have

$$r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_l = n, \\ n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1}} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_l} \prod_{i=1}^l r_1(\mathcal{B}_{n_i}^A).$$

Together with (1.4), we further deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
R_l(A; x) &= \sum_{n \geq l} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq l} \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_l = n, \\ n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1}} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_l} \prod_{i=1}^l r_1(\mathcal{B}_{n_i}^A) \frac{x^n}{n!} \\
&= (R_1(A; x))^l.
\end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to the following relation

$$R_l(A; x) = R_k(A; x) R_{l-k}(A; x).$$

Comparing the coefficient in $\frac{x^n}{n!}$ of $R_l(A; x)$ and $R_k(A; x) R_{l-k}(A; x)$, we arrive at

$$r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} r_k(\mathcal{B}_i^A) r_{l-k}(\mathcal{B}_{n-i}^A).$$

We complete the proof. □

It is important to note that the total number of regions in \mathcal{B}_n^A is the sum of the numbers of regions of level l for all $l = 1, \dots, n$, that is,

$$r(\mathcal{B}_n^A) = \sum_{l=1}^n r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A).$$

Together with the second formula in [Theorem 1.1](#), we gain

$$r(\mathcal{B}_n^A) = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_l = n, \\ n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1}} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_l} \prod_{i=1}^l r_1(\mathcal{B}_{n_i}^A),$$

which can be found in [\[11, \(2.7\)\]](#). This implies

$$R(A; x) = \sum_{l \geq 0} (R_1(A; x))^l,$$

where $R(A; x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} r(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!}$. It follows from the relation $R_l(A; x) = (R_1(A; x))^l$ in [Theorem 1.1](#) that we further derive

$$R(A; x) = \sum_{l \geq 0} R_l(A; x).$$

At the end of this section, we examine two specific types of arrangements that generalize the classical Catalan arrangement and semiorder arrangement. Specifically, the *semiorder-type arrangement* in \mathbb{R}^n is defined as $\mathcal{C}_{n,A}^* := \mathcal{B}_n^{A \cup -A}$, and the *Catalan-type arrangement* in \mathbb{R}^n is given by $\mathcal{C}_{n,A} := \mathcal{C}_{n,A}^* \cup \mathcal{B}_n$, where $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m\}$ is a positive real number set. As special cases of [Theorem 1.1](#), we recover the results of [\[6, Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4\]](#).

Theorem 2.10 ([6], Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4). *Let \mathcal{A}_n be either $\mathcal{C}_{n,A}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{n,A}^*$. For any non-negative integers n, l and k with $k \leq l$, we have*

$$R_l(A; x) = (R_1(A; x))^l$$

and

$$r_l(\mathcal{A}_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} r_k(\mathcal{A}_i) r_{l-k}(\mathcal{A}_{n-i}).$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we focus on the proof of Theorem 1.2, which establishes a convolution formula connecting the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t)$ of \mathcal{B}_n^A to binomial coefficients, with the coefficients corresponding to the number of regions of each level l . The *characteristic polynomial* $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} is defined as

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(t) := \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{B}} H \neq \emptyset} (-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} t^{\dim(\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{B}} H)},$$

see [20]. Stanley [18] in 1996 studied the *exponential sequence of arrangements*, which is a sequence $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots)$ of hyperplane arrangements that satisfies the three conditions:

- (a) \mathcal{A}_n is in \mathbb{F}^n for some field \mathbb{F} (independent of n).
- (b) Every $H \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is parallel to some hyperplane H' in the braid arrangement \mathcal{B}_n (over \mathbb{F}).
- (c) Let S be a k -element subset of $[n]$, and define

$$\mathcal{A}_n^S = \{H \in \mathcal{A}_n : H \text{ is parallel to } x_i - x_j = 0 \text{ for some } i, j \in S\}.$$

Then $L(\mathcal{A}_n^S) \cong L(\mathcal{A}_k)$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{R}^n . By abusing the notation, we define

$$r(\mathcal{A}) := (-1)^n \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(-1).$$

Zaslavsky [24] demonstrated that this agrees with the definition of $r(\mathcal{A})$ as the number of regions of \mathcal{A} . [18, Theorem 1.2] provided a fundamental result related to the exponential sequence of arrangements using the exponential formula [21], Whitney's formula [23] and Zaslavsky's formula [24].

Theorem 3.1 ([18], Theorem 1.2). *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots)$ be an exponential sequence of arrangements. Then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_{\mathcal{A}_n}(t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n r(\mathcal{A}_n) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{-t}$$

with the convention $\chi_{\mathcal{A}_0}(t) = 1$.

Note that the sequence \mathfrak{B} of hyperplane arrangements $\mathcal{B}_1^A, \mathcal{B}_2^A, \dots$ is an exponential sequence. Below we give a proof of Theorem 1.2 by Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For the exponential sequence $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathcal{B}_1^A, \mathcal{B}_2^A, \dots)$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(1) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n r(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{-1} \quad (3.1)$$

by Theorem 3.1. The famous Zaslavsky's Formula [24, Theorem C] states that $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(1) = (-1)^{n-1} r_1(\mathcal{B}_n^A)$ for any positive integer n . Substituting this into (3.1) yields

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} r_1(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n r(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{-1}.$$

Combining $R_l(A; x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!}$ in (1.4) and Theorem 3.1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} r_1(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^t \\ &= (1 - R_1(A; -x))^t \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 0} (-1)^l \binom{t}{l} R_1(A; -x)^l. \end{aligned}$$

Together with Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sum_{l \geq 0} (-1)^l \binom{t}{l} R_l(A; -x).$$

Since $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) = 0$ whenever $l > n$, we further derive from (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq l} (-1)^l r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \binom{t}{l} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{0 \leq l \leq n} (-1)^{n-l} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \binom{t}{l} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\frac{x^n}{n!}$ on the both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^A}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^{n-l} r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^A) \binom{t}{l}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We revisit the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}$ from Example 2.9 to illustrate Theorem 1.2.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]} = \{H_{ij}^k : x_i - x_j = k \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, k = 1, 2\}$ be a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{R}^3 . The regions of $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}$ are labeled by their corresponding levels in Figure 2. From Figure 2, we observe that $r_l(\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}) = 6$ for $l = 1, 2, 3$ and $r_0(\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}) = 0$. Therefore, the characteristic polynomial

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}}(t) = t^3 - 6t^2 + 11t = 6 \binom{t}{3} - 6 \binom{t}{2} + 6 \binom{t}{1}.$$




Figure 2: Regions of $\mathcal{B}_3^{[1,2]}$ labeled by their corresponding levels

We end this section with an application of [Theorem 1.2](#) to the Catalan-type and semiorder-type arrangements, originally presented by Chen et al. [\[6\]](#).

Theorem 3.3 ([\[6\]](#), Theorems 1.5). *Let \mathcal{A}_n be either $\mathcal{C}_{n,A}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{n,A}^*$. Then*

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}_n}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^{n-l} r_l(\mathcal{A}_n) \binom{t}{l}.$$

4 Enumeration of $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]})$

Let a and b be any non-negative integers. Recall from [Section 1](#) that the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}$ in \mathbb{R}^n consists of the following hyperplanes:

$$x_i - x_j = -a, -a + 1, \dots, b - 1, b, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

This section concerns the counting formula of $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]})$. Notice that t is always a factor of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$. Hence, we can express it as

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t) = t \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$. Given a permutation $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. For $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, if $w_i > w_{i+1}$, we call i a *descent* of w . We denote by $d(w)$ the number of descents of w . Using the finite field method, Athanasiadis demonstrated in [\[3, \(6.6\)\]](#) that the polynomial $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ can be written in the following form

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} \binom{t - bd(w) - b - 1}{n - 1}. \tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore, Athanasiadis established a close relationship between characteristic polynomials $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b-a]}}(t)$ in [\[3, Theorem 7.1.1\]](#).

Theorem 4.1 ([\[3\]](#), Theorem 7.1.1). *Let $a \leq b$ be non-negative integers. Then*

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t) = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b-a]}}(t - an).$$

The *Eulerian number* $A(n, k)$ is the number of permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n with exactly $k - 1$ descents. By applying (4.2) to [Theorem 4.1](#), we can derive an explicit formula for the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}}(t)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $a \leq b$ be non-negative integers. Then*

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}}(t) = t \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A(n-1, k) \binom{t - a(n-k) - bk - 1}{n-1}.$$

In particular, when $a = 0$,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t) = t \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A(n-1, k) \binom{t - bk - 1}{n-1}.$$

For any positive integer n , let $(t)_n := t(t-1) \cdots (t-n+1)$. Then $(t)_n$ can be written in the following forms

$$(t)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n s(n, k) t^k = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} c(n, k) t^k, \quad (4.3)$$

where a *signless Stirling number of the first kind* $c(n, k)$ is the number of permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n with exactly k cycles, and the number

$$s(n, k) =: (-1)^{n-k} c(n, k)$$

is known as a *Stirling number of the first kind*. In addition, t^n can be expressed as the form

$$t^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k) (t)_k, \quad (4.4)$$

where $S(n, k)$ is the number of partitions of an n -set into k -blocks and called a *Stirling number of the second kind*.

By comparing the coefficient of $(t)_l$ in different expressions of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}}(t)$, we provide a formula for counting regions of level l in $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $a \leq b$ be non-negative integers. For any non-negative integers n and l , we have*

$$r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} (-1)^{l-1-j} \frac{l! \binom{i}{j} A(n-1, k) S(j+1, l) c(n-1, i) (a(n-k) + bk + 1)^{i-j}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Proof. The case that $l = 0$ or $l > n$ is trivial. We now focus on the case $1 \leq l \leq n$. By

Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}, t) &= t \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{A(n-1, k)}{(n-1)!} (t - a(n-k) - bk - 1)_{n-1} \\
&\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{A(n-1, k)}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(n-1, i) t (t - a(n-k) - bk - 1)^i \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{A(n-1, k)}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(n-1, i) \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} t^{j+1} (a(n-k) + bk + 1)^{i-j} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{A(n-1, k)}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^{j+1} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} s(n-1, i) (a(n-k) + bk + 1)^{i-j} \\
&\stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{A(n-1, k)}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{j+1} S(j+1, l) (t)_l \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} s(n-1, i) (a(n-k) + bk + 1)^{i-j} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} \frac{A(n-1, k) S(j+1, l) s(n-1, i) (a(n-k) + bk + 1)^{i-j}}{(n-1)!} \right] (t)_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

From Theorem 1.2, the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ can be written in the following form

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^n (-1)^{n-l} \frac{r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]})}{l!} (t)_l. \tag{4.6}$$

Comparing the coefficient of $(t)_l$ in (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain from the relation $s(n-1, i) = (-1)^{n-1-i} c(n-1, i)$ that

$$r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} (-1)^{l-1-j} \frac{l! \binom{i}{j} A(n-1, k) S(j+1, l) c(n-1, i) (a(n-k) + bk + 1)^{i-j}}{(n-1)!}.$$

We complete the proof. \square

We conclude the section with the formulas for counting regions of level l in several typical hyperplane arrangements including (extended) Shi arrangement, (extended) Catalan arrangement and (extended) Linial arrangement.

Proposition 4.4. *Let n, l and $b \geq 1$ be any non-negative integers. Then*

- (1) $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+2,b]}) (t) = \frac{l!}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{l-1-j} S(j+1, l) \binom{n}{i} ((b-1)n + i)^{n-j-1}$.
- (2) $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+1,b]}) = l \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (-1)^i \binom{l-1}{i} (bn - i - 1)^{n-1}$ (see [8, Theorem 1.3]).
- (3) $r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b,b]}) = \frac{n!bl}{(b+1)^{n-l}} \binom{(b+1)n-l}{bn}$ (see [6, Theorem 6.1, 6.2]).

Proof. The detailed proofs of (2) and (3) in Proposition 4.4 can be found in [8, Theorem 1.3] and [6, Theorem 6.1, 6.2], respectively. So, here we only give a proof of (1) in Proposition 4.4.

From [16, (9.11) in Example 9.10], we have that the characteristic polynomial of the extended Linnial arrangement $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+2, b]}$ is

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+2, b]}}(t) = \frac{t}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (t - (b-1)n - i)^{n-1}.$$

Similar to (4.5), $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+2, b]}}(t)$ can be written in the following form

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+2, b]}}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left[\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-j-1} S(j+1, l) \binom{n}{i} ((b-1)n + i)^{n-j-1} \right] (t)_l. \quad (4.7)$$

Likewise, comparing the coefficient of $(t)_l$ in (4.6) (taking $a = b - 2$) and (4.7), we obtain

$$r_l(\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b+2, b]}) = \frac{l!}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{l-1-j} S(j+1, l) \binom{n}{i} ((b-1)n + i)^{n-j-1}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 Real roots of $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}}(t)$

Postnikov and Stanley in [16, Theorem 9.12] showed that all roots of the polynomial $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}}(t)$ of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}$ with $a \neq b$ have the same real part equal to $\binom{n(a+b+1)}{2}$. Motivated by their work, here we focus on exploring the real roots of $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a, b]}}(t)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and b be integers with $b \geq n - 1$. Then the real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t)$ ($\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b, 0]}}(t)$, resp.) are determined by the following cases:*

- (1) *If n is odd, then $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t)$ ($\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b, 0]}}(t)$, resp.) has a single real root at 0 of multiplicity one.*
- (2) *If n is even, then $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t)$ ($\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b, 0]}}(t)$, resp.) has exactly two real roots at 0 and $\frac{n(b+1)}{2}$, each with multiplicity one.*

Proof. Since $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b, 0]}}(t)$, we only need to consider the real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t)$. From Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t) = \frac{t}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A(n-1, k)(t - bk - 1)_{n-1}.$$

Let $A_k(t) := A(n-1, k)(t - bk - 1)_{n-1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k(t)$.

Clearly, 0 is a real root of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t)$ through the relation $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t) = t\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t)$ in (4.1). We now examine the real roots of the polynomial $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t)$.

When n is odd, we derive from $A(n-1, k) = A(n-1, n-k)$ that

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(t) = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0, b]}}(n(b+1) - t).$$

This implies that the polynomial $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ is symmetric about the line $t = \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$. Moreover, the real numbers $bk + i$ and $b(n - k) + n - i$ are symmetric about $\frac{n(b+1)}{2}$ for $k, i = 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ as well. This means that for any $t < \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$, we have

$$|t - bk - i| < |t - b(n - k) - (n - i)| = b(n - k) + (n - i) - t, \quad k, i = 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

By $A(n-1, k) = A(n-1, n-k)$, this further leads to that for any $t \leq \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$,

$$A_k(t) + A_{n-k}(t) > 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

By symmetry, we deduce $A_k(t) + A_{n-k}(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$. Therefore, we have $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t) > 0$ for all real numbers. Then, by (4.1) again, we have shown that $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ has a unique real root at 0 in this case.

When n is even, taking $t = \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$ and applying $A(n-1, k) = A(n-1, n-k)$ again, we obtain

$$A_k\left(\frac{n(b+1)}{2}\right) + A_{n-k}\left(\frac{n(b+1)}{2}\right) = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{n(b+1)}{2}\right) = 0.$$

So, $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}\left(\frac{n(b+1)}{2}\right) = 0$. This means that $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ has a real root at $\frac{n(b+1)}{2}$ in the case. It is clear that the derivative of $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ is

$$\tilde{\chi}'_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{A_k(t)}{t - bk - i}.$$

By routine calculations, we can also obtain

$$\tilde{\chi}'_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t) = \tilde{\chi}'_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(n(b+1) - t).$$

Namely, the polynomial $\tilde{\chi}'_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ is symmetric about the line $t = \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$. Similar to the case that n is odd, we can verify $\tilde{\chi}'_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t) > 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ is a strictly increasing function on \mathbb{R} . Then we have $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t) < \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}\left(\frac{n(b+1)}{2}\right) = 0$ if $t < \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$, and $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t) > \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}\left(\frac{n(b+1)}{2}\right) = 0$ if $t > \frac{n(b+1)}{2}$. This implies that $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ has a unique real root at $\frac{n(b+1)}{2}$ in the case. It follows from (4.1) that $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ has exactly two simple real roots: 0 and $\frac{n(b+1)}{2}$ in the case. We finish the proof. \square

Notably, Athanasiadis showed in [3, Theorem 6.4.3] or [2, Theorem 4.3] that the characteristic polynomials $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b]}}(t)$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t)$ are related by

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t) = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[0,b+1]}}(t + n). \quad (5.1)$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 5.1 and (5.1), we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and b be positive integers with $b \geq n - 2$. Then the real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t)$ ($\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b]}}(t)$, resp.) are determined by the following cases:*

- (1) If n is odd, then $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t)$ ($\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b]}}(t)$, resp.) has a single real root at 0 of multiplicity one.
- (2) If n is even, then $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t)$ ($\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b]}}(t)$, resp.) has exactly two real roots at 0 and $\frac{nb}{2}$, each with multiplicity one.

Proof. As $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-b]}}(t)$, we only need to consider the real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t)$. It follows from [Theorem 5.1](#) and [\(5.1\)](#) that if n is odd, $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t)$ has a unique real root at 0 and if n is even, $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[b]}}(t)$ has two simple real roots : 0 and $\frac{nb}{2}$. We complete the proof. \square

More generally, we can directly get the real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ of $\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}$ via [Theorem 4.1](#) and [Theorem 5.1](#).

Corollary 5.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, a and b be non-negative integers with $b - a \geq n - 1$. Then the real roots of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ are determined by the following cases:*

- (1) If n is odd, then $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ has a single real root at 0 of multiplicity one.
- (2) If n is even, then $\chi_{\mathcal{B}_n^{[-a,b]}}(t)$ has exactly two real roots at 0 and $\frac{n(a+b+1)}{2}$, each with multiplicity one.

Acknowledgements

The second author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12301424.

References

- [1] D. Armstrong, B. Rhoades. The Shi arrangement and the Ish arrangement. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 1509–1528.
- [2] C. Athanasiadis. Characteristic polynomials of subspace arrangements and finite fields. *Adv. Math.* 122 (1996), 193–233.
- [3] C. Athanasiadis. Algebraic combinatorics of graph spectra, subspace arrangements and Tutte polynomials. Doctoral dissertation, MIT, 1996.
- [4] C. Athanasiadis. Deformations of Coxeter hyperplane arrangements and their characteristic polynomials. In *Arrangement–Tokyo 1998*, *Adv. Stud. Pure. Math* 27 (2000), 1–26.
- [5] C. Athanasiadis, S. Linusson. A simple bijection for the regions of the Shi arrangement of hyperplanes. *Discrete Math.* 204 (1999), 27–39.
- [6] Y. Chen, S. Wang, J. Yang, C. Zhao. Regions of level ℓ of Catalan/semiorder-type arrangements. [arXiv:2410.10198v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10198v2) (2024).
- [7] R. Duarte, A. Guedes de Oliveira. Pak-Stanley labeling of the m -Catalan hyperplane arrangement. *Adv. Math.* 387 (2021), Paper No. 107827, 17 pp.
- [8] R. Ehrenborg. Counting faces in the extended Shi arrangement. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 109 (2019), 55–64.

- [9] H. Fu, S. Wang, W. Zhu. Bijections on r -Shi and r -Catalan arrangements. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 129 (2021), Paper No. 102207, 22 pp.
- [10] I. M. Gessel, S. T. Griffin, V. Tewari. Labeled binary trees, subarrangements of the Catalan arrangements, and Schur positivity. *Adv. Math.* 356 (2019), Paper No. 106814, 67 pp.
- [11] G. Hetyei. Labeling regions in deformations of graphical arrangements. *arXiv: 2312.06523v3* (2024).
- [12] S. Hopkins, D. Perkinson. Orientations, semiorders, arrangements, and parking functions. *Electron. J. Combin.* 19 (2012), Paper 8, 31 pp.
- [13] S. Hopkins, D. Perkinson. Bigraphical arrangements. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368 (2016), 709–725.
- [14] E. Leven, B. Rhoades, A.T. Wilson. Bijections for the Shi and Ish arrangements. *European J. Combin.* 39 (2014), 1–23.
- [15] R. Mullin, G.-C. Rota. On the foundations of combinatorial theory. III. Theory of binomial enumeration. *Graph Theory and its Applications*, pp. 167–213 Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.
- [16] A. Postnikov, R. P. Stanley. Deformations of Coxeter hyperplane arrangements. In memory of Gian-Carlo Rota. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 91 (2000), 544–597.
- [17] G.-C. Rota, D. Kahaner, A. Odlyzko. On the foundations of combinatorial theory. VIII. Finite operator calculus. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 42 (1973), 684–760.
- [18] R. P. Stanley. Hyperplane arrangements, interval orders, and trees. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93 (1996), 2620–2625.
- [19] R. P. Stanley. Hyperplane arrangements, parking functions and tree inversions. *Mathematical essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota* (Cambridge, MA, 1996), 359–375, *Progr. Math.*, 161, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA 1998.
- [20] R. P. Stanley. An introduction to hyperplane arrangements. *Geometric combinatorics*, 389–496, *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.* 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [21] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics. Volume 2. Second edition.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 208. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2024.
- [22] V. Tewari. Gessel polynomials, rooks, and extended Linial arrangements. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 163 (2019), 98–117.
- [23] H. Whitney. A logical expansion in mathematics. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 38 (1932), 572–579.
- [24] T. Zaslavsky. Facing up to arrangements: face-count formulas for partitions of space by hyperplanes, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 1, no. 154 (1975).
- [25] T. Zaslavsky. Faces of a hyperplane arrangement enumerated by ideal dimension, with application to plane, plaids, and Shi. *Geom. Dedicata* 98 (2003), 63–80.