# Benchmarking Accuracy in an Emulated Memory Experiment

## [Tim Chan](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6187-7402)

Department of Materials, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PH, United Kingdom

**This note proposes a simpler method to extract the logical error rate from an emulated surface code memory experiment.**

The accuracy of a surface code decoder is conventionally benchmarked on a classical computer by em-ulating a memory experiment [\[1\]](#page-1-0). The  $|\bar{0}\rangle$  memory experiment, for example: prepares a logical qubit in  $|0\rangle$ , runs *n* stabiliser cycles, then measures it in the Z basis, accounting for the corrections provided by the decoder [\[2,](#page-1-1) §II.A]. The experiment succeeds if the result matches the initial state  $|\bar{0}\rangle$  and fails if it is  $|\bar{1}\rangle$ .

Emulation of such an experiment is often done entirely on the decoding graph, constructed from the detector error model  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$ . A *logical bitflip* is a path of bitflipped edges between opposite boundaries of the decoding graph. Decoder accuracy is reported in terms of the *logical error rate*  $f(d)$ , which is the logical bitflip count per *d* measurement rounds. Our new method estimates  $f(d)$  for decoders that output a specific set of corrective edges to bitflip.

#### 1 Existing Method

This method was first proposed in [\[5,](#page-1-4) p 3] but a fuller explanation can be found in [\[2,](#page-1-1) §A.3–4]. It works by repeating many times the memory experiment of *n* measurement rounds, to estimate the experiment failure probability  $f_n$ . This is done for various  $n$  so that  $f_n$  can be plotted against  $n$ ; a curve is then fitted to extract  $f(d)$ .

The advantage of this method is that it sidesteps having to *count* logical bitflips; we instead need only determine the parity of said count *l* in an experiment. This is easily done by picking one of the two boundaries and counting the number *l* ′ of bitflipped edges it touches. In general  $l' \neq l$ , since paths of bitflipped edges between the same boundary contribute to  $l'$  either 0 or 2 but to *l* always 0. However, this means their *parities* are equal:  $l \mod 2 = l' \mod 2$ . Now, let *k* be the number of shots out of a total of *s* for which *l* is odd. The experiment failure probability is estimated as  $f_n = k/s$  with a suitable confidence interval like the *Wilson score interval* [\[6,](#page-1-5) §3.1.1].

The second part of this method extracts  $f(d)$  via a curve fit. Intuitively, *f<sup>n</sup>* should increase with *n*. To model this dependence, assume decoding each measurement round leaves behind a logical bitflip independently with fixed probability  $f(1)$ . Equivalently, Tim Chan: [timothy.chan@materials.ox.ac.uk](mailto:timothy.chan@materials.ox.ac.uk)

it erases (forgets and replaces with a random  $|\bar{0}\rangle$  or  $|\bar{1}\rangle$ ) the logical state with probability 2 $f(1)$ . The probability the state is *not* erased after  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ rounds equals the probability it is not erased after each intermediate round:  $1 - 2f(\tau) = [1 - 2f(1)]^{\tau}$ . So, the experiment failure probability  $f_n$  is given by  $1-2f_n = \alpha[1-2f(1)]^n$  where the constant  $\alpha$  accounts for errors from preparation and measurement of the data qubits. Plotting  $\lg(1-2f_n)$  against  $n/d$  should thus yield a straight line with gradient  $\lg[1-2f(d)]$ .

In practice, each memory experiment generates multiple Monte Carlo samples: one for each value of *n*. This is done by emulating the maximum number of measurement rounds, then imagining if we stopped that experiment at various shorter durations. This reduces overall computation but means samples are correlated. The experiment must still be repeated many times for each pair  $(d, p)$  specifying the code distance and noise level, respectively.

#### 2 New Method

A layer of the decoding graph is the periodic unit subgraph representing one measurement round. An anyon pair marks the endpoints of a path of bitflipped edges. This method sweeps through the decoding graph, layer by layer, and keeps track of a set of anyon pairs for all encountered bitflipped edges. [Figure 1](#page-0-0) illustrates an example.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Figure 1: Sweeping upward through the decoding graph. The green horizontal line is the sweep line. The two blue vertical lines are boundaries. The black (red) curves are paths of encountered (unencountered) bitflipped edges. Each pair of red dots joined by a grey curve is an anyon pair. (a) An anyon pair is created. (b) Another pair is created. (c) The first pair spans opposite boundaries, so is recorded as a logical bitflip and removed. The second pair will later span between the same boundary, so will not contribute a logical bitflip.

Each newly encountered bitflipped edge in the current layer either updates the location of one anyon, creates a new anyon pair, or destroys an anyon pair. When an anyon pair spans opposite boundaries we record it as a logical bitflip and remove that pair. When an anyon pair spans between the same boundary we only remove that pair. At the end of the memory experiment of *n* measurement rounds we should have zero anyon pairs left, and a logical bitflip count *l*. [Appendix A](#page-1-6) provides a concrete implementation for this. The logical error rate is estimated as  $f(d) = \frac{ld}{n}$ ; again the Wilson score interval is a suitable confidence interval.

This method simplifies the existing one as we need only run one memory experiment for each (*d, p*) pair. The only requirement is that the experiment must last long enough to make negligible any transient effects at the start and end of the experiment. [Figure 2](#page-1-7) suggests  $10<sup>2</sup>d$  measurement rounds is long enough.

<span id="page-1-7"></span>

Figure 2: Estimated logical error rate of the Union–Find decoder  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$  $[7, 8]$  adapted with the forward method  $[9, \text{SVI.B}]$  $[9, \text{SVI.B}]$ , as a function of memory experiment duration. Each datapoint is the mean of  $10^5$  lots of  $d$  measurement rounds; shading shows the 95% confidence region. (a) Noise level  $p = 8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ , which is above threshold; (b)  $p=4\cdot 10^{-3}$ , which is below threshold.

This method was introduced to benchmark all the decoders in [\[10\]](#page-1-11); the Python implementation used is on GitHub at [\[11\]](#page-1-12).

# Acknowledgments

I thank Simon Benjamin for useful discussions. I acknowledge the use of the University of Oxford Advanced Research Computing (ARC) facility [\[12\]](#page-1-13) in carrying out this work and specifically the facilities made available from the EPSRC QCS Hub grant (agreement No. EP/T001062/1). I also acknowledge support from an EPSRC DTP studentship and two EPSRC projects: RoaRQ (EP/W032635/1) and SEEQA (EP/Y004655/1).

# References

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>[1] Craig Gidney. "Stability experiments: The overlooked dual of memory experiments". [Quantum](https://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-08-24-786) **6**[, 786](https://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-08-24-786) (2022).
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>[2] Xinyu Tan, Fang Zhang, Rui Chao, Yaoyun Shi, and Jianxin Chen. "Scalable surface-code decoders with parallelization in time". [PRX Quan](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040344)tum **4**[, 040344](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040344) (2023).
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>[3] Craig Gidney. "Stim: a fast stabilizer circuit simulator". [Quantum](https://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2021-07-06-497) **5**, 497 (2021).
- <span id="page-1-3"></span>[4] Oscar Higgott and Craig Gidney. "Sparse Blossom: correcting a million errors per core second with minimum-weight matching" (2023). [arXiv:2303.15933.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.15933)
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>[5] Austin G. Fowler, Adam C. Whiteside, and Lloyd C. L. Hollenberg. "Towards practical classical processing for the surface code". [Physical Review](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.180501) Letters **108**[, 180501](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.180501) (2012).
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>[6] Lawrence D. Brown, T. Tony Cai, and Anirban DasGupta. "Interval estimation for a binomial proportion". [Statistical Science](https://dx.doi.org/10.1214/ss/1009213286) **16**, 101– [133](https://dx.doi.org/10.1214/ss/1009213286) (2001).
- <span id="page-1-8"></span>[7] Nicolas Delfosse and Gilles Zémor. "Linear-time" maximum likelihood decoding of surface codes over the quantum erasure channel". [Physical Re](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.033042)[view Research](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.033042) **2**, 033042 (2020).
- <span id="page-1-9"></span>[8] Nicolas Delfosse and Naomi H. Nickerson. "Almost-linear time decoding algorithm for topological codes". [Quantum](https://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2021-12-02-595) **5**, 595 (2021).
- <span id="page-1-10"></span>[9] Eric Dennis, Alexei Kitaev, Andrew Landahl, and John Preskill. "Topological quantum memory". [Journal of Mathematical Physics](https://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1499754) **43**, 4452– [4505](https://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1499754) (2002).
- <span id="page-1-11"></span>[10] Tim Chan. "Snowflake: A distributed streaming decoder" (2024). [arXiv:2406.01701.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2406.01701)
- <span id="page-1-12"></span>[11] Tim Chan (2023). code: [timchan0/localuf.](https://github.com/timchan0/localuf)
- <span id="page-1-13"></span>[12] Andrew Richards. ["University of Oxford Ad](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22558)[vanced Research Computing"](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22558). (2015).

# <span id="page-1-6"></span>A Implementation

To track the set of anyon pairs, we can use a bidirectional map which we call pairs e.g. if the set is  $\{uv, wx\}$ , then pairs[*u*] = *v* and pairs[*v*] = *u*, and similarly for *wx*. Any pair *uv* can be added to the set with  $pairs.add(uv)$ , and removed from it with either pairs.remove $(u)$  or pairs.remove $(v)$ .

[Algorithm 1](#page-2-0) summarises our new method. The procedure Load updates pairs with a new bitflipped edge, simply ensuring each anyon is still an endpoint of a path of bitflipped edges.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Algorithm 1** Count logical bitflips in a memory experiment of *n* measurement rounds.

```
\texttt{pairs} \gets \texttt{empty}bidirectional map
l \leftarrow 0 \triangleright Initialise logical bitflip count.
for k = 1, \ldots, n do
    for each bitflipped edge e in k
th layer do
   | LOAD(pairs, e)
   new pairs ← empty bidirectional map
   for all uv ∈ pairs do
       if uv spans opposite boundaries then
           l \leftarrow l + 1else if uv not on the same boundary then
      Load(new pairs, uv)
 \texttt{pairs} \leftarrow \texttt{new\_pairs}output l
procedure Load(pairs, uv)
   if u ∈ pairs then
       w \leftarrow \texttt{pairs}[u]pairs.remove(u)
       if v ∈ pairs then
           x \leftarrow \text{pairs}[v]pairs.remove(v)
           pairs.add(wx)
       else if v \neq w then
       pairs.add(vw)
   else if v ∈ pairs then
       x \leftarrow \text{pairs}[v]pairs.remove(v)
       pairs.add(ux)
   else
       pairs.add(uv)
```