

# EXPLICIT RAYLEIGH'S PRINCIPLES FOR RESISTIVE ELECTRICAL NETWORK AND THE TOTAL NUMBER OF SPANNING TREES OF GRAPHS

ZUBEYIR CINKIR

**ABSTRACT.** We give identities for the voltage and resistance functions on a metrized graph to show how these functions behave under any edge deletion/contraction and the identification of any two vertices. This leads to explicit versions of Rayleigh's Principles on a resistive electrical network. We also establish Euler's Identities for the resistance and the voltage functions on an electrical network. One can use these results to study various invariants of metrized graphs and electrical networks. As a specific application, we obtain various identities for the total number of spanning trees of a graph. For example, we show how the total number of spanning trees changes under graph operations such as, contraction of an edge, deletion of an edge, deletion of a vertex, the join of arbitrary two or three vertices.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rayleigh's principles, also known as Short-Cut Principles, in electrical networks are given as follows:

**Rayleigh's Monotonicity Law**[14, pg. 65] If the resistances of a circuit are increased, then the effective resistance between any two points can only increase. If they are decreased, it can only decrease.

**Rayleigh's Shorting Law**[14, pg. 99] Shorting certain sets of nodes together can only decrease the effective resistance of the network between two given nodes.

**Rayleigh's Cutting Law**[14, pg. 99] Cutting certain branches can only increase the effective resistance between two given nodes.

We obtain explicit versions of these laws, which can be found in Theorem 2.3, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.10. We stated these results for a metrized graph but they are also valid for any weighted graph. For example, Theorem 1.1 below is the corresponding version of Theorem 2.3.

Let  $G$  be a connected weighted graph possibly having self-loops and multiple edges, and let  $G$  be given by the set of vertices  $V(G)$ , containing  $n$  vertices, and the set of edges  $E(G)$ , containing  $m$  edges. One can consider  $G$  as an electrical network by considering the vertices as the nodes of the network, and replacing the edges by resistances of magnitude with the corresponding edge length (which is the reciprocal of the weight).

For any two vertices  $p, q \in V(G)$ , let  $G_{pq}$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by identifying these vertices. For any edge  $e \in E(G)$ , if the deletion of the interior of  $e$  does not make  $G$  disconnected (i.e.,  $e$  is not a bridge), we consider the graph  $G - e$  obtained from  $G$  by deleting the interior of  $e$ .

We denote the effective resistance between the nodes  $p$  and  $q$  of  $G$  by  $r_G(p, q)$ , or simply by  $r(p, q)$  if it is clear from the context. Similarly, for any nodes  $p, q$  and  $s$  of  $G$  we denote

---

*Key words and phrases.* The resistance function, The voltage function, Euler's Identity, Rayleigh's Principles, Metrized Graph, The Number of Spanning Trees.

We thank Osman Topan for bringing the reference [14c] into our attention.

the voltage function  $j_p^G(q, s)$ , or simply  $j_p(q, s)$ . When the unit current enters at  $s$  and exit from  $p$  (with reference voltage 0 at  $p$ ), the voltage difference between  $q$  and  $p$  is given by  $j_p(q, s)$ .

One of the main results of this paper can be stated for graphs as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** (Explicit Rayleigh’s Shorting Law for graphs). *For any  $p, q, s, t \in V(G)$ , we have*

$$r(s, t) = r_{G_{pq}}(s, t) + \frac{1}{r(p, q)} [j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, t)]^2.$$

*In particular,  $r(s, t) \geq r_{G_{pq}}(s, t)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $j_p(q, s) = j_p(q, t)$ .*

This is a neat result that we wanted to have for more than two decades. One can consult to [13] for a different approach to this problem.

As a consequence of Explicit Rayleigh’s Principles, we are able to give refined versions of Euler’s identities for the resistance and the voltage functions. These can be found in Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.9.

Since the resistance values are closely related to  $t(G)$  the total number of spanning trees on a graph  $G$  (see Theorem 4.3), our findings on the resistance function enables us to derive various interesting identities for  $t(G)$ . These can be found in §4 and §5. In particular, we show how  $t(G)$  changes under the join of arbitrary two or three vertices (see Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.11 and Theorem 5.1). Corollary 5.2 explains how contracting an edge effects  $t(G)$ . Likewise, Theorem 5.3 show how  $t(G)$  changes under edge deletion. We show how  $t(G)$  changes if a vertex is deleted (see Theorem 4.10, Theorem 5.5, Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.8).

## 2. EXPLICIT RAYLEIGH’S SHORTING LAW

We first recall minimal information about metrized graphs. If needed, one can consult to [1], [2], [8], [10] and [11]. It is common to consider the resistance values between vertices of a combinatorial graph. However, the resistance and the voltage functions are continuous functions on a given resistive electrical network. We think that metrized graphs are better framework to study these functions. Metrized graphs combines both of the discrete and continuous aspects of these functions.

There is a 1 – 1 correspondence between the equivalence classes of finite connected weighted graphs, the metrized graphs, and the resistive electric circuits. Therefore, the results stated in this section are valid also for the corresponding weighted graphs.

A *metrized graph*  $\Gamma$  is a finite connected graph equipped with a distinguished parametrization of each of its edges. In particular,  $\Gamma$  is a one-dimensional manifold except at finitely many “branch points”. One can find other definitions of metrized graphs in [21], and in the articles [7], [2], and [1].

A metrized graph can have multiple edges and self-loops. For any given  $p \in \Gamma$ , the number of directions emanating from  $p$  will be called the *valence* of  $p$ , and will be denoted by  $v(p)$ . By definition, there can be only finitely many  $p \in \Gamma$  with  $v(p) \neq 2$ .

For a metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , we denote its set of vertices by  $V(\Gamma)$ . We require that  $V(\Gamma)$  be finite and non-empty and that  $p \in V(\Gamma)$  for each  $p \in \Gamma$  if  $v(p) \neq 2$ . For a given metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , it is possible to enlarge the vertex set  $V(\Gamma)$  by considering more additional points of valence 2 as vertices.

For a given metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , the set of edges of  $\Gamma$  is the set of closed line segments with end points in  $V(\Gamma)$ . We denote the set of edges of  $\Gamma$  by  $E(\Gamma)$ . We denote  $\#(V(\Gamma))$

and  $\#(E(\Gamma))$  by  $n$  and  $m$ , respectively if there is no danger of confusion. We denote the length of an edge  $e_i \in E(\Gamma)$  by  $L_i$ .

A metrized graph  $\Gamma$  is called  $r$ -regular if it has a vertex set  $V(\Gamma)$  such that  $v(p) = r$  for all vertices  $p \in V(\Gamma)$ .

For fixed  $z$  and  $y$  in  $\Gamma$ , we consider  $\Gamma$  as a resistive electric circuit with terminals at  $z$  and  $y$  with the resistance in each edge given by its length. When unit current enters at  $y$  and exits at  $z$  (with reference voltage 0 at  $z$ ),  $j_z(x, y)$  is the voltage difference between  $x$  and  $z$ , and  $r(x, y) = j_x(y, y)$  is the resistance between  $x$  and  $y$ .

Both of the resistance function  $r(x, y)$  and the voltage function  $j_z(x, y)$  are symmetric in  $x$  and  $y$ . Moreover, for each  $x, y \in \Gamma$  we have:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} r(x, y) &= r(y, x), & j_z(x, y) &= j_z(y, x) \\ r(x, x) &= 0, & j_x(y, x) &= 0 \\ j_x(y, y) &= r(x, y), & r(x, y) &= j_x(y, z) + j_y(x, z) \\ 0 &\leq j_z(x, y), & j_z(x, y) &\leq r(z, x) \quad \text{and} \quad j_z(x, y) \leq r(z, y). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that the resistance values can be expressed in terms of the entries of the pseudo inverse of the discrete Laplacian matrix.

**Lemma 2.1.** [3], [16, Theorem A] *Suppose  $G$  is a graph with the discrete Laplacian  $L$  and the resistance function  $r(x, y)$ . For the pseudo inverse  $L^+$  of  $L$ , we have*

$$r(p, q) = l_{pp}^+ - 2l_{pq}^+ + l_{qq}^+, \quad \text{for any two vertices } p \text{ and } q \text{ of } G.$$

Similarly, we have

**Lemma 2.2.** [9] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with the discrete Laplacian  $L$  and the voltage function  $j_x(y, z)$ . Then for any  $p, q, s$  in  $V(\Gamma)$ ,  $j_p(q, s) = l_{pp}^+ - l_{pq}^+ - l_{ps}^+ + l_{qs}^+$ .*

The following result is one of our main result, usage of which made many of the results in the remaining parts of this paper possible.

**Theorem 2.3** (Explicit Rayleigh's Shorting Law). *For any  $p, q, s, t \in \Gamma$ , we have*

$$r(s, t) = r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(s, t) + \frac{1}{r(p, q)} [j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, t)]^2.$$

*In particular,  $r(s, t) \geq r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(s, t)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $j_p(q, s) = j_p(q, t)$ .*

*Proof.* We choose a graph model of  $\Gamma$  so that its vertex set contains the points  $p, q, s$  and  $t$ . We can apply circuit reductions to  $\Gamma$  so that it becomes equivalent to a graph having only these four vertices  $p, q, s$  and  $t$ . Such a graph is illustrated on the left part of Figure 1. This equivalence is realized in the sense that any of the resistance values  $r(x, y)$  (and so the voltage values  $j_x(y, z)$ ) among these four vertices agree for two graphs.

Firstly, we consider the discrete Laplacian  $L$  of the reduced  $\Gamma$  and its Moore-Penrose inverse  $L^+$ , and then apply Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. Namely, with the ordering of the vertices  $V = \{t, s, p, q\}$ , discrete Laplacian matrix  $L$  of the graph (reduced  $\Gamma$ ) given in the left part of Figure 1 is as follows:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{f} & -\frac{1}{b} & -\frac{1}{a} & -\frac{1}{f} \\ -\frac{1}{b} & \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{c} & -\frac{1}{e} & -\frac{1}{c} \\ -\frac{1}{a} & -\frac{1}{e} & \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{d} & -\frac{1}{d} \\ -\frac{1}{f} & -\frac{1}{c} & -\frac{1}{d} & \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{e} \end{bmatrix}.$$




FIGURE 1. The graph  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{pq}$  after circuit reductions until four vertices.

Then we can compute the Moore-Penrose inverse  $L^+$  of  $L$  by using [17] with the following formula (see [15, ch 10]):

$$(2) \quad L^+ = \left(L - \frac{1}{4}J\right)^{-1} + \frac{1}{4}J.$$

where  $J$  is of size  $4 \times 4$  and has all entries 1. Next, we use  $L^+$  and Lemma 2.1 to obtain  $r(s, t)$ ,  $r(p, q)$ ,  $j_p(q, s)$ ,  $j_p(q, t)$ . In this way, we obtain

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} r(s, t) &= \frac{b(ac(d+e) + def + a(c+d+e)f + ce(d+f))}{K}, \\ r(p, q) &= \frac{ad(ce + b(c+e)) + d((a+b)c + (a+b+c)e)f}{K}, \\ j_p(q, s) &= \frac{de(bf + a(b+c+f))}{K}, \\ j_p(q, t) &= \frac{ad(b(c+e) + e(c+f))}{K}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = abc + abd + acd + bcd + abe + ace + bde + cde + acf + bcf + adf + bdf + aef + bef + cef + def$ .

Secondly, we identify the vertices  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\Gamma$  (and so in the reduced form of  $\Gamma$ ) to obtain  $\Gamma_{pq}$ . The reduced graph of  $\Gamma_{pq}$  is illustrated in the right part of Figure 1. In this graph, we have

$$r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(s, t) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{af}{a+f} + \frac{ce}{c+e}} + \frac{1}{b}}.$$

Alternatively, we can use  $r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(s, t) = \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} r(s, t)$  and (3) to obtain the same result for  $r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(s, t)$ .

Finally, using these values shows that the equality given in the theorem holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *For any  $p, q, s \in \Gamma$ , we have*

$$r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(p, s) = \frac{r(p, s)r(q, s) - j_s(p, q)^2}{r(p, q)} = j_s(p, q) + \frac{j_p(q, s)j_q(p, s)}{r(p, q)} = r(p, s) - \frac{j_p(q, s)^2}{r(p, q)}.$$

*Proof.* Setting  $t = p$  in Theorem 2.3 and using the fact that  $j_p(q, p) = 0$  gives  $r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(p, s) = r(p, s) - \frac{j_p(q, s)^2}{r(p, q)}$ . The other two equalities follow this one if we use the relations provided in (1).




FIGURE 2. The graph  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{pq}$  after circuit reductions until three vertices.

Alternatively, we can give a second proof the theorem by circuit reductions as we did in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

We choose a graph model of  $\Gamma$  so that its vertex set contains the points  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $s$ . We can apply circuit reductions to  $\Gamma$  so that it becomes equivalent to a Y-shaped graph having these three vertices  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $s$  as pendant vertices. Such a graph is illustrated on the left part of Figure 2.

Next, we identify the vertices  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\Gamma$  (and so in the reduced form of  $\Gamma$ ) to obtain  $\Gamma_{pq}$ . The reduced graph of  $\Gamma_{pq}$  is illustrated in the right part of Figure 2. In this graph, we have

$$r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(p, s) = j_s(p, q) + \frac{j_p(q, s)j_q(p, s)}{r(p, q)}.$$

Again, the other equalities in the theorem follows from this one and the relations given in (1).  $\square$

Note that the relation  $r(p, q) = j_p(q, s) + j_q(p, s)$  given in (1) can also be derived from the graph shown in the left part of Figure 2. We can also derive the following relation:

$$(4) \quad 2j_p(q, s) = r(p, q) + r(p, s) - r(q, s) \quad \text{for each } p, q \text{ and } s \text{ in } \Gamma$$

We can use (1) to derive the following equalities (the first one was given in [1, Thm 8] as ‘‘Magical Identity’’):

**Theorem 2.5** (Magical Identities). *For any  $p, q, s$  and  $t$  in  $\Gamma$ , we have*

$$j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, t) = j_t(q, s) - j_t(p, s) = j_s(p, t) - j_s(q, t) = j_q(p, t) - j_q(p, s).$$

**Remark 2.6.** *We can use Theorem 2.5 to state the equality in Theorem 2.3 in different forms.*

**Theorem 2.7.** *For any  $p, q, s, t, u \in \Gamma$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} j_u(t, s) &= j_u^{\Gamma_{pq}}(t, s) + \frac{(j_p(q, t) - j_p(q, u))^2 + (j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, u))^2 - (j_p(q, t) - j_p(q, s))^2}{2r(p, q)} \\ &= j_u^{\Gamma_{pq}}(t, s) + \frac{1}{r(p, q)}(j_p(q, u) - j_p(q, t))(j_p(q, u) - j_p(q, s)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 2.3 and (4).  $\square$

Taking  $u = p$  in Theorem 2.7 gives

$$(5) \quad j_p(t, s) = j_p^{\Gamma_{pq}}(t, s) + \frac{j_p(q, t)j_p(q, s)}{r(p, q)}.$$

Similarly, setting  $t = p$  in Theorem 2.7 gives

$$(6) \quad j_u(p, s) = j_u^{\Gamma_{pq}}(p, s) + \frac{j_p(q, u)^2 - j_p(q, u)j_p(q, s)}{r(p, q)}.$$

### 3. THE DELETION AND THE CONTRACTION IDENTITIES FOR THE RESISTANCE FUNCTION (REVISITED)

Let  $e_i$  be the  $i$ -th edge,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , of a given metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , and let  $L_i$  be its length. We denote its end points by  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . Let  $\bar{\Gamma}_i$  be the graph obtained from  $\Gamma$  by contracting  $e_i$  to its end points. These points become identical in  $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ , i.e.,  $p_i = q_i$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ . Likewise, let  $\Gamma - e_i$  be the graph obtained from  $\Gamma$  by deleting the *interior* of the edge  $e_i$ . We set  $R_i := r_{\Gamma - e_i}(p_i, q_i)$  when  $e_i$  is not a bridge.

In [12, Thm 4.1], we showed the relations between  $r(p, q)$  and  $r_{\Gamma - e_i}(p, q)$ , and between  $r(p, q)$  and  $r_{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(p, q)$  for any edge  $e_i$  and vertices  $p$  and  $q$  of  $\Gamma$ . In this section, we establish improved versions of those relations. These are given in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 below.

**Theorem 3.1** (Explicit Rayleigh's Cutting Law). *Let  $e_i$  be an edge with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . If  $e_i$  is not a bridge of the metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , for any  $s, t \in \Gamma - e_i$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} r(s, t) &= r_{\Gamma - e_i}(s, t) - \frac{1}{L_i + R_i} (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t))^2 \\ &= r_{\Gamma - e_i}(s, t) - \frac{L_i + R_i}{L_i^2} (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t))^2. \end{aligned}$$

*In particular,  $r_{\Gamma - e_i}(s, t) \geq r(s, t)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $j_{p_i}(q_i, s) = j_{p_i}(q_i, t)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be the metrized graph obtained from  $\Gamma$  by adding an edge, of length  $L$ , connecting the vertices  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . Then we have  $r_{\beta_{pq}}(s, t) = r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(s, t)$ ,  $r_\beta(p, q) = \frac{Lr(p, q)}{L+r(p, q)}$ ,  $j_p^\beta(q, s) = \frac{L}{L+r(p, q)}j_p(q, s)$  and  $j_p^\beta(q, t) = \frac{L}{L+r(p, q)}j_p(q, t)$ . Moreover, by applying Theorem 2.3 to  $\beta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad r_\beta(s, t) &= r_{\beta_{pq}}(s, t) + \frac{1}{r_\beta(p, q)} [j_p^\beta(q, s) - j_p^\beta(q, t)]^2 \\ &= r_{\Gamma_{pq}}(s, t) + \frac{L+r(p, q)}{L \cdot r(p, q)} \left[ \frac{L}{L+r(p, q)} (j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, t)) \right]^2 \\ &= r(s, t) - \frac{(j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, t))^2}{r(p, q)} + \frac{L}{r(p, q)(L+r(p, q))} (j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, t))^2 \\ &= r(s, t) - \frac{1}{L+r(p, q)} (j_p(q, s) - j_p(q, t))^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows by applying Theorem 2.3 to  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is obtained by adding the edge  $e_i$  to connect  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  in  $\Gamma - e_i$ , the equalities in the theorem follows from (7).  $\square$

We can extend Theorem 3.1 to voltage function:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $e_i$  be an edge with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . If  $e_i$  is not a bridge of the metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , for any  $s, t, u \in \Gamma - e_i$  we have,*

$$j_u(t, s) = j_u^{\Gamma - e_i}(t, s) - \frac{1}{L_i + R_i} (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, u) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t)) (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, u) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s)).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 and (4).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** (Explicit Rayleigh's Monotonicity Law I). *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $\Gamma$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . If  $e_i$  is not a bridge of  $\Gamma$ , for any  $s, t \in \Gamma - e_i$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} r(s, t) &= r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) + \frac{L_i + R_i}{L_i \cdot R_i} [j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t)]^2 \\ &= r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) + \frac{L_i}{R_i(L_i + R_i)} [j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

*In particular,  $r(s, t) \geq r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $j_{p_i}(q_i, s) = j_{p_i}(q_i, t)$ .*

*If  $e_i$  is a bridge of  $\Gamma$ ,  $r(s, t) = r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t)$  when  $s$  and  $t$  are on the same connected component of  $\Gamma - e_i$ . Otherwise,  $r(s, t) = r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) + L_i$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $e_i$  is not a bridge. We apply Theorem 2.3 with  $s, t, p_i, q_i \in \Gamma$  to obtain

$$r(s, t) = r_{\Gamma_{p_i q_i}}(s, t) + \frac{1}{r(p_i, q_i)} [j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t)]^2.$$

Then the first equality follows by using the facts that  $r_{\Gamma_{p_i q_i}}(s, t) = r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t)$  and  $r(p_i, q_i) = \frac{L_i R_i}{L_i + R_i}$ . The second equality is obtained from the first one by using the following equalities:

$$(8) \quad j_{p_i}(q_i, s) = \frac{L_i}{L_i + R_i} j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s), \quad \text{and} \quad j_{p_i}(q_i, t) = \frac{L_i}{L_i + R_i} j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t).$$

Suppose  $e_i$  is a bridge.

If  $s$  and  $t$  are on the same connected component of  $\Gamma - e_i$ ,  $r(s, t)$  is independent of  $L_i$  and so  $r(s, t) = r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t)$ . If  $s$  and  $t$  are on distinct connected components of  $\Gamma - e_i$ ,  $s$  is on the side of  $p_i$  or  $q_i$ . If it is on the side of  $p_i$ ,  $r(s, t) = r(s, p_i) + L_i + r(q_i, t) = r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) + L_i$ . If it is on the side of  $q_i$ ,  $r(s, t) = r(s, q_i) + L_i + r(p_i, t) = r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) + L_i$ .  $\square$

We can extend Theorem 3.3 to voltage functions:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $e_i$  be an edge with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . If  $e_i$  is not a bridge of the metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , for any  $s, t, u \in \Gamma - e_i$  we have,*

$$j_u(t, s) = j_u^{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(t, s) + \frac{L_i}{R_i(L_i + R_i)} (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, u) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t)) (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, u) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s)).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 and (4).  $\square$

Next, we obtain a new proof of [12, Corollary 4.2]:

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $\Gamma$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . If  $e_i$  is not a bridge of the metrized graph  $\Gamma$ , for any  $s, t \in \Gamma - e_i$  we have*

$$r(s, t) = \frac{L_i}{L_i + R_i} r_{\Gamma - e_i}(s, t) + \frac{R_i}{L_i + R_i} r_{\overline{\Gamma}_i}(s, t).$$

*Proof.* We multiply both sides of the first equality in Theorem 3.1 by  $\frac{L_i}{L_i + R_i}$ . Then, we multiply both sides of the second equality in Theorem 3.3 by  $\frac{R_i}{L_i + R_i}$ . Adding these equalities side by side gives the result.  $\square$

The following result is an improved version of [12, Prop 4.5]:

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $\Gamma$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ , and let  $L_i$  be the length of  $e_i$ . For any  $s, t \in \Gamma - e_i$ , if  $e_i$  is a bridge,  $\frac{\partial r(s,t)}{\partial L_i} = 0$  when  $s$  and  $t$  are on the same connected component of  $\Gamma - e_i$ , and  $\frac{\partial r(s,t)}{\partial L_i} = 1$  when  $s$  and  $t$  are on distinct connected components of  $\Gamma - e_i$ .*

*If  $e_i$  is not a bridge, for any  $s, t \in \Gamma - e_i$  we have*

$$\frac{\partial r(s,t)}{\partial L_i} = \frac{1}{(L_i + R_i)^2} (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t))^2.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $e_i$  is not a bridge.

We take derivatives of both sides of the first equality in Theorem 3.1 or the second equality in Theorem 3.3. Note that  $r_{\Gamma_{p_i q_i}}(s, t)$ ,  $r_{\Gamma - e_i}(s, t)$ ,  $R_i$ ,  $j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s)$  and  $j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t)$  are independent of  $L_i$ . This completes the proof.

Suppose  $e_i$  is a bridge. The result follows from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

Next, we give an improved version of [12, Thm 4.6], which is an interesting identity:

**Theorem 3.7** (Euler's Formula for Resistance Function I). *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $\Gamma$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ , and let  $L_i$  be the length of  $e_i$ . For any  $s, t \in V(\Gamma)$ , we set  $B = \{e_i \in E(\Gamma) | s \text{ and } t \text{ are disconnected in } \Gamma - e_i\}$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} r(s,t) &= \sum_{e_i \in B} L_i + \sum_{\substack{e_i \in E(\Gamma) \\ e_i \text{ is not a bridge}}} \frac{L_i}{(L_i + R_i)^2} (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t))^2 \\ &= \sum_{e_i \in B} L_i + \sum_{\substack{e_i \in E(\Gamma) \\ e_i \text{ is not a bridge}}} \frac{1}{L_i} (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t))^2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $r(s, t)$  is a continuously differentiable homogeneous function of degree one in the variables  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m$ . Then Euler's formula for such a function is as follows ([12]):

$$(9) \quad r(s,t) = \sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} L_i \frac{\partial r(s,t)}{\partial L_i}.$$

Then the result follows from Theorem 3.6 and (8).  $\square$

We give a second proof of Theorem 3.7 via harmonic analysis on metrized graphs:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x)\right)^2 dx &= \int_{\Gamma} j_s(t, x) \Delta_x j_s(t, x), \quad \text{by Green's identity [26]} \\ (10) \quad &= \int_{\Gamma} j_s(t, x) (\delta_t(x) - \delta_s(x)), \quad \text{as } \Delta_x j_s(t, x) = \delta_t(x) - \delta_s(x). \\ &= j_s(t, t) - j_s(t, s) \\ &= r(s, t), \quad \text{by (1)}. \end{aligned}$$

A generalized version of (10) can be found in [8, Thm 3.19].

On the other hand,  $\int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x)\right)^2 dx = \sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \int_0^{L_i} \left(\frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x)\right)^2 dx$ .

If  $e_i$  is not a bridge and  $x \in e_i$ , we use Theorem 3.4 to derive

$$j_s(t, x) = j_s^{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(t, x) + \frac{1}{r(p_i, q_i)} (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t)) (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, x)).$$






FIGURE 3. The graph  $\Gamma - e_i$  after circuit reductions until four vertices and  $e_i$ , and obtaining  $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ .




FIGURE 4. The graph  $\Gamma - e_i$  after circuit reductions until two vertices and  $e_i$ , and applying Delta-Y transformation.

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x) = \frac{d}{dx} j_s^{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(t, x) - \frac{1}{r(p_i, q_i)} (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t)) \frac{d}{dx} j_{p_i}(q_i, x).$$

We have  $\frac{d}{dx} j_s^{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(t, x) = 0$ , which can be realized if we first consider the circuit reduction of  $\Gamma - e_i$  while keeping  $s, t, p_i, q_i$  and  $e_i$ , and then identify  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . This is illustrated in Figure 3.

We also have  $j_{p_i}(q_i, x) = \frac{xR_i}{L_i+R_i}$  and so  $\frac{d}{dx} j_{p_i}(q_i, x) = \frac{R_i}{L_i+R_i}$ . This can be obtained if we first consider the circuit reduction of  $\Gamma - e_i$  while keeping  $p_i, q_i$  and  $e_i$ , and then applying Delta-Y transformation. This is illustrated in Figure 4.

Thus, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x) = \frac{-1}{L_i} (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t)).$$

This gives  $\int_0^{L_i} \left( \frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x) \right)^2 dx = \frac{1}{L_i} (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t))^2$ .

If  $e_i$  is a bridge, we have two cases. When  $s$  and  $t$  are on the same connected components of  $\Gamma - e_i$ ,  $j_s(t, x) = j_s(t, p_i)$  or  $j_s(t, x) = j_s(t, q_i)$ , and so  $\frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x) = 0$ . When  $s$  and  $t$  are on distinct connected components of  $\Gamma - e_i$ ,  $j_s(t, x) = r(p_i, s) + x$  and so  $\frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x) = 1$ .

Therefore,  $\int_0^{L_i} \left( \frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x) \right)^2 dx$  is  $L_i$  or 0.

Hence, we have

$$(11) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \left( \frac{d}{dx} j_s(t, x) \right)^2 dx = \sum_{e_i \in \mathcal{B}} L_i + \sum_{\substack{e_i \in E(\Gamma) \\ e_i \text{ is not a bridge}}} \frac{1}{L_i} (j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t))^2.$$

Thus the second proof of Theorem 3.7 follows from (10) and (11).

**Remark 3.8.** *We can use Theorem 2.5 to state the equality in Theorem 3.7 in different forms.*

We can alternatively state Theorem 3.7 in the following form:

**Theorem 3.9** (Euler's Formula for Resistance Function II). *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $\Gamma$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ , and let  $L_i$  be the length of  $e_i$ . For any  $s, t \in V(\Gamma)$ , we have*

$$r(s, t) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{e_i \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{L_i} \left[ r(p_i, s) - r(q_i, s) - r(p_i, t) + r(q_i, t) \right]^2.$$

*Proof.* We have  $j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t) = \frac{1}{2}(r(p_i, s) - r(q_i, s) - r(p_i, t) + r(q_i, t))$  by (4). On the other hand, if  $e_i$  is a bridge of length  $L_i$  such that  $s$  and  $t$  are disconnected in  $\Gamma - e_i$ , then  $(j_{p_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}(q_i, t))^2 = \frac{1}{4}(r(p_i, s) - r(q_i, s) - r(p_i, t) + r(q_i, t))^2 = L_i^2$ . Then the result follows from Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

Next, we show how resistance value change explicitly by modifying one of the edge length in  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.10** (Explicit Rayleigh's Monotonicity Law II). *Let  $\Gamma'$  be the metrized graph obtained from  $\Gamma$  by replacing an edge  $e_i \in E(\Gamma)$  by  $e'_i$  of length  $L'_i$ , and let  $L_i$  be the length of  $e_i$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . Then for any  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\Gamma - e_i$ , if  $e_i$  is not a bridge, we have*

$$r(s, t) = r_{\Gamma'}(s, t) + \frac{L_i - L'_i}{(L_i + R_i)(L'_i + R_i)} (j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t))^2.$$

*If  $e_i$  is a bridge,  $r(s, t) = r_{\Gamma'}(s, t)$  when  $s$  and  $t$  are on the same connected component of  $\Gamma - e_i$ . Otherwise,  $r(s, t) = r_{\Gamma'}(s, t) + L_i - L'_i$ .*

*In particular, if  $e_i$  is not a bridge and  $L_i > L'_i$ , then  $r(s, t) \geq r_{\Gamma'}(s, t)$ , and  $r(s, t) = r_{\Gamma'}(s, t)$  if and only if  $j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) = j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t)$ . If  $e_i$  is a bridge and  $L_i > L'_i$ , then  $r(s, t) \geq r_{\Gamma'}(s, t)$ , and  $r(s, t) = r_{\Gamma'}(s, t)$  if and only if  $s$  and  $t$  are on the same connected component of  $\Gamma - e_i$ .*

*Proof.* By applying Theorem 3.3 to both of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$ , we obtain the following equalities as  $\Gamma - e_i = \Gamma' - e'_i$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_i = \bar{\Gamma}'_i$ :

$$r(s, t) = r_{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) + \frac{L_i}{R_i(L_i + R_i)} [j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t)]^2.$$

$$r_{\Gamma'}(s, t) = r_{\bar{\Gamma}'_i}(s, t) + \frac{L'_i}{R_i(L'_i + R_i)} [j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, s) - j_{p_i}^{\Gamma - e_i}(q_i, t)]^2.$$

Then the result follows by subtracting the second equation from the first one.

If  $e_i$  is a bridge of  $\Gamma$ ,  $r(s, t) = r_{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) = r_{\Gamma'}(s, t)$  when  $s$  and  $t$  are on the same connected component of  $\Gamma - e_i$ . Otherwise,  $r(s, t) = r_{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(s, t) + L_i$  and  $r_{\Gamma'}(s, t) = r_{\bar{\Gamma}'_i}(s, t) + L'_i$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. SPANNING TREES AND TWO VERTEX IDENTIFICATION

In this section, we consider a connected graph  $G$  that have possibly multiple edges or loops. We assume that the edge length  $L_i$  is 1 for each edge  $e_i$  in the graph.  $G$  contains  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges so that  $g = m - n + 1$  is the genus (i.e., the cyclomatic number) of the graph.

Let  $t(G)$  denote the number of spanning trees of a graph  $G$ .




FIGURE 5. The graphs  $P_3$ ,  $P_6$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $B_2$  and  $B_3$ .

We recall the following deletion-contraction identity of  $t(G)$ :

**Theorem 4.1.** [5, Prop 4.9] *For any non-bridge edge  $e_i \in E(G)$ , we have*

$$t(G) = t(\overline{G}_i) + t(G - e_i).$$

We recall the following basic well-known cases:

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $P_s$  and  $C_s$  denote the path and cycle graphs on  $s \geq 2$  vertices, respectively; and let  $B_s$  denote the banana graph (or dipole graph) with  $s \geq 1$  edges (see Figure 5). Then we have*

$$t(P_s) = 1, \quad t(C_s) = s \quad \text{and} \quad t(B_s) = s.$$

It is well known that the resistance values can be computed via the number of spanning trees:

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph with at least 2 vertices, and let  $p, q \in V(G)$ . Then*

$$r(p, q) = \frac{t(G_{pq})}{t(G)}.$$

Theorem 4.3 was proved by C. Thomassen in 1990 [25, Proposition 2.3] for any adjacent vertices  $p$  and  $q$  in a connected graph  $G$ . In many papers [16a] and [3a, Section 17] are cited for the general case, but we think this is somewhat indirectly given. For the convenience of readers, we show that the proof of the general case can be obtained if Theorem 4.3 is assumed for adjacent vertices.

For any given two vertices  $p$  and  $q$  in a connected graph  $G$ , we consider the graph  $\tilde{G}$  that is obtained from  $G$  by adding an edge  $e$  between  $p$  and  $q$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  are adjacent in  $\tilde{G}$ , assuming Theorem 4.3 for adjacent vertices gives

$$r_{\tilde{G}}(p, q) = \frac{t(\tilde{G}_{pq})}{t(\tilde{G})}.$$

On the other hand, we have  $r_{\tilde{G}}(p, q) = \frac{r(p, q)}{1+r(p, q)}$ ,  $t(\tilde{G}_{pq}) = t(G_{pq})$ ,  $t(\tilde{G}) = t(\tilde{G}_{pq}) + t(\tilde{G} - e)$  and  $\tilde{G} - e = G$ . Thus, we have

$$\frac{r(p, q)}{1+r(p, q)} = \frac{t(G_{pq})}{t(G_{pq}) + t(G)}.$$

Taking the reciprocal of both sides of this equation gives Theorem 4.3 for any  $p$  and  $q$ .

Note that we set  $t(G_{pp}) := 0$  to make the equality in Theorem 4.3 hold when  $p = q$  as  $r(p, p) = 0$ . When  $G$  has only the vertices  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $G_{pq}$  has only one vertex  $pq$ , but then the equality in Theorem 4.3 holds if and only if  $t(G_{pq}) = 1$ . Therefore, we set  $t(G) := 1$  when  $G$  has only one vertex.

We can extend Theorem 4.3 to voltage values by combining (4) and Theorem 4.3:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph, and let  $p, q, s \in V(G)$ . Then*

$$j_p(q, s) = \frac{t(G_{pq}) + t(G_{ps}) - t(G_{qs})}{2t(G)}.$$

If  $e_i$  is an edge with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ , we have  $r(p_i, q_i) = \frac{L_i R_i}{L_i + R_i}$  with  $R_i = r_{G-e_i}(p_i, q_i)$  and  $t(G_{p_i q_i}) = t(\overline{G}_i)$ . Thus, if  $L_i = 1$ , then we derive the following equalities by using Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3:

$$(12) \quad R_i = \frac{t(\overline{G}_i)}{t(G - e_i)}, \quad \frac{R_i}{L_i + R_i} = \frac{t(\overline{G}_i)}{t(G)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{L_i}{L_i + R_i} = \frac{t(G - e_i)}{t(G)}.$$

Next, we give averaging results of  $t(G)$  for edge contractions and edge deletions:

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Then*

$$t(G) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} t(\overline{G}_i).$$

Moreover, if  $G$  has no bridges, we have

$$t(G) = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} t(G - e_i).$$

*Proof.* We provide two proofs.

Firstly, we have  $\sum_{e_i \in E(G)} \frac{R_i}{L_i + R_i} = n - 1$  [7, pg. 26], which is equivalent to the Foster's first identity (see [9] and references therein). Then

$$\begin{aligned} n - 1 &= \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} \frac{R_i}{L_i + R_i} = \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} r(p_i, q_i), \quad \text{as } L_i = 1 \text{ for each edge } e_i \\ &= \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} \frac{t(\overline{G}_i)}{t(G)}, \quad \text{by Theorem 4.3} \\ &= \frac{1}{t(G)} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} t(\overline{G}_i). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the proof of the first equality in the theorem. On the other hand, by summing up the identity in Theorem 4.1 over all edges gives

$$\sum_{e_i \in E(G)} t(G) = \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} t(\overline{G}_i) + \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} t(G - e_i)$$

which reduces to

$$m \cdot t(G) = (n - 1)t(G) + \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} t(G - e_i).$$

This proves the second identity in the theorem as  $g = m - n + 1$ .

The second proof is obtained by applying Theorem 4.3 and (12) into [12, Theorem 4.7]. For any non-adjacent vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , [12, Theorem 4.7] gives

$$r(s, t) = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} \frac{R_i}{L_i + R_i} r_{\overline{G}_i}(s, t).$$

Using Theorem 4.3 and (12) gives

$$\frac{t(G_{st})}{t(G)} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} \frac{t(\overline{G}_i) t((\overline{G}_i)_{st})}{t(G) t(\overline{G}_i)}.$$

We have  $(\overline{G}_i)_{st} = \overline{(G_{st})_i}$ . Since  $s$  and  $t$  are not adjacent,  $E(G) = E(G_{st})$ . Thus we can rewrite this equality as follows:

$$t(G_{st}) = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{e_i \in E(G_{st})} t(\overline{(G_{st})_i}),$$

where  $G_{st}$  has  $n-1$  vertices. Since  $s$ ,  $t$  and  $G$  were chosen arbitrary, the last equality is equivalent to the first equality in the theorem.

Let  $G$  has no bridges. Again, for any non-adjacent vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , [12, Theorem 4.7] gives

$$r(s, t) = \frac{1}{g+1} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} \frac{L_i}{L_i + R_i} r_{G-e_i}(s, t).$$

Using Theorem 4.3 and (12) gives

$$\frac{t(G_{st})}{t(G)} = \frac{1}{g+1} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} \frac{t(G-e_i) t((G-e_i)_{st})}{t(G) t(G-e_i)}.$$

We have  $(G-e_i)_{st} = G_{st} - e_i$ . Since  $s$  and  $t$  are not adjacent,  $E(G) = E(G_{st})$ . Thus we can rewrite this equality as follows:

$$t(G_{st}) = \frac{1}{g+1} \sum_{e_i \in E(G_{st})} t(G_{st} - e_i),$$

where  $G_{st}$  has genus  $g+1$  as it has  $n-1$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Since  $s$ ,  $t$  and  $G$  were chosen arbitrary, the last equality is equivalent to the second equality in the theorem.

This completes the second proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Example:** Let  $G = K_n$  be a complete graph on  $n$  vertices. Then it has  $m = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  edges, and so  $g = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$ . By the symmetry in  $K_n$ , we have  $t(G-e_i) = t(G-e_j)$  and  $t(\overline{G}_i) = t(\overline{G}_j)$  for any  $e_i$  and  $e_j$ . Since  $t(K_n) = n^{n-2}$  by Cayley's theorem [6], Theorem 4.5 gives  $t(\overline{G}_i) = 2n^{n-3}$  and  $t(G-e_i) = n^{n-3}(n-2)$  for any edge  $e_i$  of  $K_n$ .

Next, we recall the following well-known fact:

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{p\}$  for a vertex  $p$  of  $G$ . Then*

$$t(G) = t(G_1) \cdot t(G_2)$$

Moreover, if  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i$  and  $G_i \cap G_j = \{p\}$  for every distinct  $i$  and  $j$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then we have

$$t(G) = \prod_{i=1}^k t(G_i).$$

*Proof.* For every spanning tree of  $G_1$  we have  $t(G_2)$  choices in  $G_2$  to form a spanning tree of  $G$ . Thus, the first result follows by the multiplication property of counting. The second equality follows from the successive application of the first one.  $\square$

We can generalize Theorem 4.6 as follows:

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $T$  be a tree graph with  $n + 1$  vertices, and let  $G$  be the graph obtained from  $T$  by replacing each of its edge  $e_i$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  by a graph  $G_i$  in such a way that  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are identified by the two vertices  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  of  $G_i$ . Then*

$$t(G) = \prod_{i=1}^n t(G_i).$$

*Proof.* If  $e_n$  is the edge of  $T$  connected to a vertex of degree 1, then  $t(G) = t(G_n) \cdot t(H)$  by Theorem 4.6, where  $H$  is the graph obtained from  $T - e_n$  in the same way  $G$  is obtained from  $T$ . Thus the result follows by applying this idea successively.  $\square$

The formulas in Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 are applicable if  $G$  has a vertex whose removal (removing the vertex and the edges connected to it) disconnects  $G$ . In that case,  $G$  has vertex connectivity 1. Next, we consider the graphs with vertex connectivity 2 and obtain a formula for the number of spanning trees.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{p, q\}$  for any vertices  $p$  and  $q$  of  $G$ . Then*

$$t(G) = t(G_1) \cdot t(G_{2,pq}) + t(G_2) \cdot t(G_{1,pq}),$$

where  $G_{j,pq}$  is obtained from  $G_j$  by identifying the vertices  $p$  and  $q$  in  $G_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $r(p, q) = \frac{r_{G_1}(p,q)r_{G_2}(p,q)}{r_{G_1}(p,q)+r_{G_2}(p,q)}$  by parallel circuit reduction. We apply Theorem 4.3 to obtain

$$\frac{t(G_{pq})}{t(G)} = \frac{\frac{t(G_{1,pq})}{t(G_1)} \frac{t(G_{2,pq})}{t(G_2)}}{\frac{t(G_{1,pq})}{t(G_1)} + \frac{t(G_{2,pq})}{t(G_2)}}.$$

On the other hand,  $t(G_{pq}) = t(G_{1,pq})t(G_{2,pq})$  by Theorem 4.6 as the identified vertex  $pq$  is a cut vertex in  $G_{pq}$  (i.e., removal of  $pq$  disconnects  $G_{pq}$ ). Then the result follows from these equalities.  $\square$


**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $G = G_1 \cup P_{k+1}$  with  $G_1 \cap P_{k+1} = \{p, q\}$  for any vertices  $p$  and  $q$  of a graph  $G_1$ , and let  $p$  and  $q$  be the vertices having degree 1 in the path graph  $P_{k+1}$ . If  $k \geq 2$ , then*

$$t(G) = k \cdot t(G_1) + t(G_{1,pq}),$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

**Example:** Let  $G, G_1, G_2, p$  and  $q$  be as in the first group of graphs in Figure 6. If we consider  $G$  as the union of two graphs along the cut vertex  $s$  in  $G$ , we have  $t(G) = 6 \cdot 2$  by Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.2. On the other hand, if we consider  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{p, q\}$ . Then  $t(G) = 1 \cdot 6 + 2 \cdot 3 = 12$  by Theorem 4.8 as  $t(G_1) = 1$ ,  $t(G_{2,pq}) = 3 \cdot 2 = 6$ ,  $t(G_2) = 2$ ,  $t(G_{1,pq}) = 3$ .

**Example:** Let  $G, G_1, G_2, p$  and  $q$  be as in the second group of graphs in Figure 6. Then  $t(G) = 8 \cdot 21 + 13 \cdot 8 = 272$  by Theorem 4.8 as  $t(G_1) = 8$ ,  $t(G_{2,pq}) = 21$ ,  $t(G_2) = 13$ ,  $t(G_{1,pq}) = 8$ . Here, we computed each of  $t(G_1)$ ,  $t(G_{1,pq})$ ,  $t(G_2)$ ,  $t(G_{2,pq})$  by using Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.6, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

FIGURE 6. The graphs  $G$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $G_{1,pq}$  and  $G_{2,pq}$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $u$  be vertices of a graph  $G$ , and let  $u$  be adjacent to the vertices  $p$  and  $q$  via  $a$  and  $b$  number of edges, respectively. Then, we have*

$$t(G) = (a + b)t(H) + abt(H_{pq}),$$

where  $H$  is the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting the vertex  $u$ .

*Proof.* Note that the deletion of a vertex requires also the deletions of all edges that are adjacent to that vertex. We take  $G_1 = H$  and  $G_2$  as the graph with only the vertices  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $u$  such that there are  $a$  edges between  $p$  and  $u$ ,  $b$  edges between  $q$  and  $u$ . Then  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{p, q\}$ . Since  $t(G_2) = ab$ ,  $t(G_{2,pq}) = a + b$ , the result follows from Theorem 4.8.  $\square$

We can generalize Theorem 4.8 in several ways. For example:

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i$  with  $G_i \cap G_j = \{p, q\}$  for every distinct  $i$  and  $j$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Then we have*

$$t(G) = \prod_{j=1}^k t(G_{j,pq}) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t(G_i)}{t(G_{i,pq})},$$

where  $G_{j,pq}$  is obtained from  $G_j$  by identifying the vertices  $p$  and  $q$  in  $G_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

*In particular, if each  $G_i$  is a copy of the same graph  $G_1$ , then  $t(G) = k \cdot t(G_1) \cdot t(G_{1,pq})^{k-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\frac{1}{r(p, q)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{r_{G_i}(p, q)}$  by parallel circuit reduction. We apply Theorem 4.3 to obtain

$$\frac{t(G)}{t(G_{pq})} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t(G_i)}{t(G_{i,pq})}.$$

On the other hand,  $t(G_{pq}) = \prod_{j=1}^k t(G_{j,pq})$  by Theorem 4.6 as the identified vertex  $pq$  is a cut vertex in  $G_{pq}$ . Then the result follows from these equalities.  $\square$

Note that the graph  $G$  in Theorem 4.11 can be seen as the graph  $BG_k$  which is obtained from the banana graph  $B_k$  by replacing each of its edges by a graph  $G_i$  in such a way that the given two vertices of  $G_i$  are identified by the two vertex of  $B_k$ .

Another generalization of Theorem 4.8 can be given as follows:

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $CG_n$  be the graph obtained from the cycle graph  $C_n$  with vertex set  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  by replacing each edge  $e_i$  by a graph  $G_i$  in such a way that the vertices of  $e_i$  are identified with the given two vertices  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  of  $G_i$ . Then we have*

$$t(CG_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n t(G_i) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t(G_{i, s_i t_i})}{t(G_i)},$$

where  $G_{i, s_i t_i}$  is obtained from  $G_i$  by identifying the vertices  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  in  $G_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

In particular, if each  $G_i$  is a copy of the same graph  $G$  with fixed vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , then  $t(CG_n) = n \cdot t(G)^{n-1} \cdot t(G_{st})$ .

*Proof.* We provide two proofs. The first one is by induction on  $n$ .

When  $n = 2$ , the result follows from Theorem 4.8. Because, we can view  $CG_2$  as  $G_1 \cup G_2$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{p_1, p_2\}$  so that the vertices  $s_1$  and  $t_1$  of  $G_1$  are identified with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , respectively and that the vertices  $s_2$  and  $t_2$  of  $G_2$  are identified with  $p_2$  and  $p_1$ , respectively.

Assume that the given identity holds for some integer  $k \geq 2$ . We note that  $CG_{k+1} = G_{k+1} \cup H$  with  $G_{k+1} \cap H = \{p_{k+1}, p_1\}$ , where  $H_{p_{k+1}p_1}$  is nothing but  $CG_k$ . Moreover,  $t(H) = \prod_{i=1}^k t(G_i)$  by Theorem 4.6 as each vertex  $p_i$  is a cut vertex in  $H$  for all  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(CG_{k+1}) &= t(G_{k+1}) \cdot t(H_{pq}) + t(H) \cdot t(G_{k+1, s_{k+1} t_{k+1}}), \quad \text{by Theorem 4.8} \\ &= t(G_{k+1}) \cdot t(CG_k) + \prod_{i=1}^k t(G_i) \cdot t(G_{k+1, s_{k+1} t_{k+1}}), \quad \text{by the observations above} \\ &= t(G_{k+1}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k t(G_i) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t(G_{i, s_i t_i})}{t(G_i)} + \prod_{i=1}^k t(G_i) \cdot t(G_{k+1, s_{k+1} t_{k+1}}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} t(G_i) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t(G_{i, s_i t_i})}{t(G_i)} + \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} t(G_i) \cdot \frac{t(G_{k+1, s_{k+1} t_{k+1}})}{t(G_{k+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from the induction assumption. This proves the given identity for  $k + 1$ . Then the result follows from the principle of induction.

The second proof is based on the fact that  $CG_n$  can be seen as  $G_{p_1 p_{n+1}}$ , where  $G$  is the graph obtained from the path graph  $P_{n+1}$  by replacing each of its edge  $e_i$  by the graph  $G_i$  so that the vertices  $p_i$  and  $p_{i+1}$  of  $P_{n+1}$  are identified by the vertices  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  in  $G_i$ . In  $G$ , we have  $r_G(p_1, p_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{G_i}(s_i, t_i)$  by series connection. Then by Theorem 4.3 we have

$$\frac{t(G_{p_1 p_{n+1}})}{t(G)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t(G_i, s_i t_i)}{t(G_i)}.$$



On the other hand,  $t(G) = \prod_{i=1}^n t(G_i)$  by Theorem 4.6. Thus,

$$t(G_{p_1 p_{n+1}}) = \prod_{i=1}^n t(G_i) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t(G_i, s_i t_i)}{t(G_i)}.$$

Then the result follows by noting that  $G_{p_1 p_{n+1}}$  is nothing but  $CG_n$ .  $\square$

We can use Theorem 4.7, Theorem 4.11 and Theorem 4.12 in various combinations to find the number of spanning trees of more complicated graphs. One possible usage is given as follows:

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let  $G_i$  be the graph obtained from the path graph  $P_{n_i+1}$  by replacing each of its edge  $e_j$  by a graph  $G_{i,j}$  in such a way that the end points  $p_j$  and  $p_{j+1}$  of  $e_j$  are identified by the given two vertices  $s_j$  and  $t_j$  in  $G_{i,j}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ . For the graph  $BG_k$  obtained from  $B_k$  with vertices  $p$  and  $q$  by replacing each of its edges by the graph  $G_i$  so that  $p_1 \in G_i$  is identified by  $p$  and  $p_{n_i+1} \in G_i$  is identified by  $q$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then we have*

$$t(BG_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} t(G_{i,j}) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{t(G_{i,j, s_j t_j})}{t(G_{i,j})} \right] \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_i} t(G_{i,j})}{\prod_{j=1}^{n_i} t(G_{i,j}) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{t(G_{i,j, s_j t_j})}{t(G_{i,j})}}$$

In particular, if each  $G_{i,j}$  is the same graph  $H$  given with fixed vertices  $s$  and  $t$ , and that  $n_i = n$ , then we have  $t(BG_k) = k \cdot n^{k-1} t(H)^{(n-1)(k-1)+n} t(H_{st})^{k-1}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.11,

$$t(BG_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k t(G_{i,pq}) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t(G_i)}{t(G_{i,pq})},$$

and by Theorem 4.12 we have


$$t(G_{i,pq}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} t(G_{i,j}) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{t(G_{i,j, s_j t_j})}{t(G_{i,j})},$$

Moreover, by Theorem 4.7,  $t(G_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} t(G_{i,j})$ . Then the result follows from these equalities.  $\square$

Here is an example for the graph considered in Corollary 4.13 with  $k = 3$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = 2$ ,  $n_3 = 3$ .

**Example:** Let  $BG_3$  be as in the first graph in Figure 7. Then  $G_1, G_2, G_3, G_{2,1}, G_{2,2}, G_{3,1}, G_{3,2}$  and  $G_{3,3}$  are illustrated in the same figure. Note that  $t(G_1) = 8$ ,  $t(G_{1,pq}) = 8$ ,  $t(G_{2,1}) = 2$ ,  $t(G_{2,1, s_1 t_1}) = 1$ ,  $t(G_{2,2}) = 3$ ,  $t(G_{2,2, s_2 t_2}) = 2$ ,  $t(G_{3,1}) = 1$ ,  $t(G_{3,1, s_1 t_1}) = 4$ ,  $t(G_{3,2}) = 5$ ,  $t(G_{3,2, s_2 t_2}) = 6$ ,  $t(G_{3,3}) = 3$ ,  $t(G_{3,3, s_3 t_3}) = 1$ . Thus, by Corollary 4.13  $t(BG_3) = 9472$ .

The following result is the analogue of Theorem 3.7 for the number of spanning trees. That is why we have the name.

FIGURE 7. The graphs  $BG_3$  and the related subgraphs.

**Theorem 4.14** (Euler's formula for the number of spanning trees). *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $G$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . For any  $s, t \in V(G)$ , we have*

$$t(G_{st}) = k \cdot t(G) + \frac{1}{4t(G)} \sum_{\substack{e_i \in E(G) \\ e_i \text{ is not a bridge}}} [t(G_{p_i s}) - t(G_{p_i t}) - t(G_{q_i s}) + t(G_{q_i t})]^2,$$

where  $k$  is the number of bridges on any path connecting  $s$  and  $t$ . Equivalently, we have

$$t(G_{st}) = \frac{1}{4t(G)} \sum_{e_i \in E(G)} [t(G_{p_i s}) - t(G_{p_i t}) - t(G_{q_i s}) + t(G_{q_i t})]^2,$$

*Proof.* The first equality follows from Theorem 3.7, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4. The second equality follows from Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

## 5. SPANNING TREES AND MORE THAN TWO VERTEX IDENTIFICATION

$G_{pq, st}$  means  $s$  and  $t$  are identified with each other in  $G_{pq}$ .

$G_{pqs}$  means the vertices  $p, q$  and  $s$  are identified with each other in  $G$ .

In general,  $G_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_i, q_1 q_2 \dots q_j, \dots, s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  is used to denote a graph obtained from the graph  $G$  by identifying the set of vertices  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i\}$  into a vertex  $\bar{p}$ , the set of vertices  $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j\}$  into a vertex  $\bar{q}$  and so on.

The following identities are the main results of this section:

**Theorem 5.1.** *For any  $s, t, p, q \in V(G)$ , we have*

$$t(G)t(G_{pq, st}) = t(G_{st})t(G_{pq}) - \frac{1}{4}[t(G_{ps}) - t(G_{qs}) - t(G_{pt}) + t(G_{qt})]^2.$$

*In particular, for any  $s, p, q \in V(G)$ , we have*

$$t(G)t(G_{pqs}) = t(G_{ps})t(G_{pq}) - \frac{1}{4}[t(G_{ps}) - t(G_{qs}) + t(G_{pq})]^2.$$

*Proof.* The first equality follows from Theorem 2.3, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4. If we set  $t = p$  in the first equality and use our adoption that  $t(G_{pp}) = 0$ , we obtain the second equality since  $G_{pqs} = G_{pq, ps}$ .  $\square$

The results in Theorem 5.1 are analogues of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4. The second equality in Theorem 5.1 can also be expressed in the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} t(G) &= \frac{(a+b+c)^2 - (a+b-c)^2 - (a-b+c)^2 - (-a+b+c)^2}{8t(G_{pqs})} \\ &= \frac{2ab + 2bc + 2ca - a^2 - b^2 - c^2}{4t(G_{pqs})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a = t(G_{ps})$ ,  $b = t(G_{pq})$  and  $c = t(G_{qs})$ .

When we deal with the number of spanning trees, edge contraction can be seen as a special case of vertex identification because the self loops do not contribute to the number of spanning trees.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $G$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . For any  $s, t \in V(G)$ , we have*

$$t(G)t(\overline{G}_{i,st}) = t(G_{st})t(\overline{G}_i) - \frac{1}{4}[t(G_{p_i s}) - t(G_{q_i s}) - t(G_{p_i t}) + t(G_{q_i t})]^2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $G_{p_i q_i} = \overline{G}_i$  and  $G_{p_i q_i, st} = \overline{G}_{i, st}$ , the result follows from Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

Corollary 5.2 explains how contracting an edge effects the number of spanning trees. The following results is its analogue for edge deletions:

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $e_i$  be an edge of  $G$  with end points  $p_i$  and  $q_i$ . For any  $s, t \in V(G)$ , we have*

$$t(G)t((G - e_i)_{st}) = t(G_{st})t(G - e_i) + \frac{1}{4}[t(G_{p_i s}) - t(G_{q_i s}) - t(G_{p_i t}) + t(G_{q_i t})]^2.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.3.

Alternatively, since  $(G - e_i)_{st} = G_{st} - e_i$  and  $\overline{G}_{i, st} = \overline{(G_{st})}_i$ , the result follows by applying Theorem 4.1 to both  $G$  and  $G_{st}$ , and then using the result in Corollary 5.2.  $\square$

Next, we consider the graphs with vertex connectivity 3 and obtain a formula for the number of spanning trees.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{p, q, s\}$  for any vertices  $p, q$  and  $s$  of  $G$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} t(G) = & t(G_1) \cdot t(G_{2,pqs}) + t(G_2) \cdot t(G_{1,pqs}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left[ a_1(-a_2 + b_2 + c_2) + b_1(a_2 - b_2 + c_2) + c_1(a_2 + b_2 - c_2) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i = t(G_{i,ps})$ ,  $b_i = t(G_{i,pq})$  and  $c_i = t(G_{i,qs})$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

*In particular, if  $G_1 = G_2$  and the same three vertices  $p, q$  and  $s$  are used in both copy of  $G_1$ , then we have*

$$t(G) = 4t(G_1) \cdot t(G_{1,pqs}).$$

*Proof.* We have  $t(G_{pqs}) = t(G_{1,pqs}) \cdot t(G_{2,pqs})$  by Theorem 4.6 as the identified vertex  $pqs$  is a cut vertex in  $G_{pqs}$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 4.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(G_{ps}) &= t(G_{1,ps})t(G_{2,pqs}) + t(G_{2,ps})t(G_{1,pqs}), \\ t(G_{pq}) &= t(G_{1,pq})t(G_{2,pqs}) + t(G_{2,pq})t(G_{1,pqs}), \quad \text{and} \\ t(G_{qs}) &= t(G_{1,qs})t(G_{2,pqs}) + t(G_{2,qs})t(G_{1,pqs}). \end{aligned}$$



Then the first equality in the theorem follows from the second equality in Theorem 5.1.

The second equality in the theorem follows from the first one.  $\square$

**Example:** Let  $G$  be as in the first graph in Figure 8. Then  $G_1$  and  $G_{1,pqs}$  are as illustrated in the same figure. Note that  $t(G_1) = 27$ ,  $t(G_{1,pqs}) = 45$ . Thus, we have  $t(G) = 4t(G_1) \cdot t(G_{1,pqs}) = 4860$  by the second equality in Theorem 5.4.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $p, q, s$  and  $u$  be some vertices of a graph  $G$ , and let  $u$  be adjacent to the vertices  $p, q$  and  $s$  via by  $a, b$  and  $c$  number of edges, respectively. If  $u$  is not a cut vertex, we have*

$$t(G) = (a + b + c)t(H) + act(H_{ps}) + abt(H_{pq}) + bct(H_{qs}) + abct(H_{pqs}),$$

FIGURE 8.  $G$  and the related graphs.FIGURE 9. The graphs  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ ,  $G_1 = G - u$ ,  $G_{1,pqs}$  and  $G_2$ .

where  $H$  is the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting the vertex  $u$ .

*Proof.* Note that the deletion of a vertex requires also the deletions of all edges that are adjacent to that vertex. Since  $u$  is not a cut vertex,  $H$  is connected. We take  $G_1 = H$  and  $G_2$  as the graph with only the vertices  $p, q, s$  and  $u$  such that there are  $a$  edges between  $p$  and  $u$ ,  $b$  edges between  $q$  and  $u$ , and  $c$  edges connecting  $s$  and  $u$ . Then  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{p, q, s\}$ . Since  $t(G_2) = abc$ ,  $t(G_{2,ps}) = b(a+c)$ ,  $t(G_{2,pq}) = c(a+b)$ ,  $t(G_{2,qs}) = a(b+c)$ , and  $t(G_{2,pqs}) = a+b+c$ , the result follows from Theorem 5.4.  $\square$

**Example:** Let  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  be as in the first graph in Figure 9, and let  $G_1 = G - u$ ,  $G_{1,pqs}$  and  $G_2$  be as illustrated in the same figure. Note that  $t(G_1) = 4$ ,  $t(G_{1,pqs}) = 2$ ,  $t(G_{1,ps}) = 4$ ,  $t(G_{1,pq}) = 3$  and  $t(G_{1,qs}) = 3$ . Thus,  $t(G) = 4(a+b+c) + 4ac + 3ab + 3bc + 2abc$  by Theorem 5.5, and we have  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 3$  and  $c = 1$  in this case. Hence,  $t(G) = 71$ .

We notice that Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 5.5 show some pattern, and this pattern is indeed also valid for a vertex  $u$  that is not a cut vertex and adjacent to 4 vertices. We use the notation  $G - u$  to denote the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting the vertex  $u$  and so by deleting the edges adjacent to  $u$ . When  $u$  is not a cut vertex in  $G$ ,  $G - u$  is connected.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  and  $u$  be some vertices of a graph  $G$ , and let  $u$  be adjacent to the vertex  $p_i$  via by  $a_i$  number of edges for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . If  $u$  is not a cut vertex, then for  $G$  and  $H = G - u$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} t(G) = & (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)t(H) + a_1a_2t(H_{p_1p_2}) + a_1a_3t(H_{p_1p_3}) + a_1a_4t(H_{p_1p_4}) \\ & + a_2a_3t(H_{p_2p_3}) + a_2a_4t(H_{p_2p_4}) + a_3a_4t(H_{p_3p_4}) + a_1a_2a_3t(H_{p_1p_2p_3}) \\ & + a_1a_2a_4t(H_{p_1p_2p_4}) + a_1a_3a_4t(H_{p_1p_3p_4}) + a_2a_3a_4t(H_{p_2p_3p_4}) + a_1a_2a_3a_4t(H_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the second equality in Theorem 5.1 we have

$$(13) \quad t(G) = \frac{1}{G_{p_1p_2p_3}} \left[ t(G_{p_1p_2})t(G_{p_1p_3}) - \frac{1}{4} (t(G_{p_1p_2}) + t(G_{p_1p_3}) - t(G_{p_2p_3}))^2 \right].$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  denote the right hand sides of the equalities in (13) and Theorem 5.6, respectively. We prove the theorem by showing  $A - B = 0$ .

We set  $h_{ij} = t(H_{p_i p_j})$  and  $h_{ijk} = t(H_{p_i p_j p_k})$ , where  $i, j$  and  $k$  are elements from  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . We also set  $h = t(H)$ ,  $h_{12,34} = t(H_{p_1 p_2, p_3 p_4})$ ,  $h_{13,24} = t(H_{p_1 p_3, p_2 p_4})$ ,  $h_{14,23} = t(H_{p_1 p_4, p_2 p_3})$  and  $h_{1234} = t(H_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4})$ .

To show that  $A - B = 0$ , we express each term in  $A$  and  $B$  in terms of  $h$  and  $h_{ij}$ 's.

Since  $G_{p_1 p_2 p_3} - u = H_{p_1 p_2 p_3}$  and  $(G_{p_1 p_2 p_3} - u)_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} = H_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4}$ , we use Theorem 4.10 to derive

$$(14) \quad t(G_{p_1 p_2 p_3}) = (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)h_{123} + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)a_4 h_{1234}.$$

Since  $G_{p_1 p_2} - u = H_{p_1 p_2}$ ,  $(G_{p_1 p_2} - u)_{p_1 p_2 p_3} = H_{p_1 p_2 p_3}$ ,  $(G_{p_1 p_2} - u)_{p_1 p_2 p_4} = H_{p_1 p_2 p_4}$ ,  $(G_{p_1 p_2} - u)_{p_3 p_4} = H_{p_1 p_2, p_3 p_4}$  and  $(G_{p_1 p_2} - u)_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} = H_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4}$  we use Theorem 5.5 to derive

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} t(G_{p_1 p_2}) &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)h_{12} + a_3(a_1 + a_2)h_{123} + a_4(a_1 + a_2)h_{124} + a_1 a_4 h_{12,34} \\ &\quad + a_3 a_4 (a_1 + a_2)h_{1234}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} t(G_{p_1 p_3}) &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)h_{13} + a_2(a_1 + a_3)h_{123} + a_4(a_1 + a_3)h_{134} + a_2 a_4 h_{13,24} \\ &\quad + a_2 a_4 (a_1 + a_3)h_{1234}, \\ t(G_{p_2 p_3}) &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)h_{23} + a_1(a_2 + a_3)h_{123} + a_4(a_2 + a_3)h_{234} + a_1 a_4 h_{14,23} \\ &\quad + a_1 a_4 (a_2 + a_3)h_{1234}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the second equality in Theorem 5.1 to  $H$  and  $H_{p_1 p_2}$  gives

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{123} &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ h_{12} h_{13} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{12} + h_{13} - h_{23})^2 \right], \\ h_{124} &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ h_{12} h_{14} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{12} + h_{14} - h_{24})^2 \right], \\ h_{134} &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ h_{13} h_{14} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{13} + h_{14} - h_{34})^2 \right], \\ h_{234} &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ h_{23} h_{24} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{23} + h_{24} - h_{34})^2 \right], \\ h_{1234} &= \frac{1}{h_{12}} \left[ h_{123} h_{124} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{123} + h_{124} - h_{12,34})^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, applying the first equality in Theorem 5.1 to  $H$  gives

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{12,34} &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ h_{12} h_{34} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{13} - h_{23} - h_{14} + h_{24})^2 \right], \\ h_{13,24} &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ h_{13} h_{24} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{12} - h_{23} - h_{14} + h_{34})^2 \right], \\ h_{14,23} &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ h_{14} h_{23} - \frac{1}{4} (h_{12} - h_{13} - h_{24} + h_{34})^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, substituting the values in (14), (15), (16), (17) and (18) in  $A - B$  and doing the algebra by [17] gives 0. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The pattern observed in Theorem 4.10, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6 is in fact valid for any non-cut vertex in a graph. To prove this for a general case, we need the following result:

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $A = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset V(H)$  for a graph  $H$ , and let  $\bar{H}$  be a graph obtained from  $H$  by adding  $a_i \geq 1$  edges connecting the vertices  $p_n$  and  $p_i$  for each  $i \in$*

$\{1, \dots, n-1\}$  with  $n \geq 2$ . Then, we have

$$t(\bar{H}) = t(H) + \sum_{\substack{p_n \in SCA \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_{S-\{p_n\}}} a_i \right) t(H_S),$$

where  $I_{S-\{p_n\}}$  is the set of indexes of the vertices in  $S - \{p_n\}$ , and  $H_S$  is the graph obtained from  $H$  by identifying all vertices in  $S$ .

*Proof.* The proof is given by induction on  $k = |A|$ .

Let  $k = 2$ . We apply Theorem 4.1 successively to obtain

$$t(\bar{H}) = t(H) + a_1 t(H_{p_1 p_2}),$$

as  $H_{p_1 p_2} = \bar{H}_{p_1 p_2}$ . This is what we want in this case.

Let  $k = 3$ . We can apply Theorem 4.1 to each added edge connecting  $p_1$  and  $p_3$  successively so that we obtain  $t(\bar{H}) = t(J) + a_1 t(J_{p_1 p_3})$ , where  $J$  is the graph obtained from  $\bar{H}$  by deleting all  $a_1$  edges that are added to  $H$  to connect  $p_1$  and  $p_3$  as we obtain  $\bar{H}$ . We can apply the case  $n = 2$  to both  $J$  and  $J_{p_1 p_3}$  so that we obtain  $t(J) = t(H) + a_2 t(J_{p_2 p_3}) = t(H) + a_2 t(H_{p_2 p_3})$ , and  $t(J_{p_1 p_3}) = t(H_{p_1 p_3}) + a_2 t(H_{p_1 p_2 p_3})$  since identifying the vertices  $p_1 p_3$  and  $p_2$  in  $J_{p_1 p_3}$  gives the graph  $H_{p_1 p_2 p_3}$ . Therefore, combining these equations give

$$t(\bar{H}) = t(H) + a_2 t(H_{p_2 p_3}) + a_1 t(H_{p_1 p_3}) + a_1 a_2 t(H_{p_1 p_2 p_3}).$$

This proves the given identity for  $k = 3$ .

Let  $k$  be an integer with  $k \geq 2$ . Assume that the given identity holds for any graphs  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  so that  $\bar{F}$  contains the extra set of edges connecting the vertices in  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subset V(F)$  as described in the theorem.

Suppose the graphs  $H$  and  $\bar{H}$  are as in the theorem with  $|A| = k + 1$ , in which case we have  $A = \{p_1, \dots, p_k, p_{k+1}\} \subset V(H)$ . Suppose  $K$  is the graph obtained from  $\bar{H}$  by deleting all  $a_1$  edges that are added to  $H$  to connect  $p_1$  and  $p_{k+1}$  as we obtain  $\bar{H}$ . We can apply Theorem 4.1 to each added edge connecting  $p_1$  and  $p_{k+1}$  successively so that we obtain

$$t(\bar{H}) = t(K) + a_1 t(K_{p_1 p_{k+1}}).$$

On the other hand, the following identities for  $K$  and  $K_{p_1 p_{k+1}}$  follows from the induction assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} t(K) &= t(H) + \sum_{\substack{p_{k+1} \in SCA - \{p_1\} \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_{S-\{p_{k+1}\}}} a_i \right) t(H_S), \\ t(K_{p_1 p_{k+1}}) &= t(H_{p_1 p_{k+1}}) + \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_{k+1} \in SCA' \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_{S-\{p_1 p_{k+1}\}}} a_i \right) t((H_{p_1 p_{k+1}})_S), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A' = \{p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k, p_1 p_{k+1}\} \subset V(H_{p_1 p_{k+1}})$ . Combining these identities gives

$$\begin{aligned} t(\bar{H}) &= t(H) + \sum_{\substack{p_{k+1} \in SCA - \{p_1\} \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_{S-\{p_{k+1}\}}} a_i \right) t(H_S) + a_1 t(H_{p_1 p_{k+1}}) \\ &\quad + a_1 \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_{k+1} \in SCA' \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_{S-\{p_1 p_{k+1}\}}} a_i \right) t((H_{p_1 p_{k+1}})_S) \\ &= t(H) + \sum_{\substack{p_{k+1} \in SCA \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_{S-\{p_{k+1}\}}} a_i \right) t(H_S). \end{aligned}$$

This is what we wanted for the case  $k + 1$ . Hence the result follows by induction principle.  $\square$

The following result shows how the number of spanning trees changes if a vertex is deleted. This is one of the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $u \in V(G)$ ,  $N_G(u) = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset V(G)$  for a graph  $G$ , and let  $u$  be adjacent to the vertex  $p_i$  via by  $a_i \geq 1$  number of edges for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $n \geq 2$ . If  $u$  is not a cut vertex, then for  $G$  and  $H = G - u$  we have*

$$t(G) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) t(H) + \sum_{\substack{S \subset N_G(u) \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_S} a_i \right) t(H_S),$$

where  $I_S$  is the set of indexes of the vertices in  $S$ , and  $H_S$  is the graph obtained from  $H$  by identifying all vertices in  $S$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $k = |N_G(u)|$ . When  $k = 2, 3, 4$ , the results are given in Theorem 4.10, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6, respectively.

Assume that the given identity holds for any graph  $F$  with a vertex  $s \in V(F)$  having the set of adjacent vertices  $N_F(s) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ , where  $k$  is an integer with  $k \geq 4$ . Then we prove the given identity for a graph  $G$  with a vertex  $u \in V(G)$  having the set of adjacent vertices  $N_G(u) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k, p_{k+1}\}$ .

We have  $a_{k+1}$  edges  $e_{k+1,j}$  connecting  $u$  and  $p_{k+1}$  in  $G$ , where  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, a_{k+1}\}$ . We apply the deletion-contraction identity given in Theorem 4.1 for each of these edges. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} t(G) &= t(G - e_{k+1,1}) + t(\overline{G}_{k+1,1}), \quad \text{by applying Theorem 4.1 to } e_{k+1,1} \\ &= t(G - e_{k+1,1}) + t(G_{p_{k+1}u}), \quad \text{since } \overline{G}_{k+1,1} = G_{p_{k+1}u} \\ (19) \quad &= t(G - e_{k+1,1} - e_{k+1,2}) + 2t(G_{p_{k+1}u}), \quad \text{repeating the same process for } e_{k+1,2} \\ &\dots \\ &= t(G') + a_{k+1}t(G_{p_{k+1}u}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G' = G - e_{k+1,1} - e_{k+1,2} - \dots - e_{k+1,a_{k+1}}$  is the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting all edges connecting  $u$  and  $p_{k+1}$ . Thus by the induction assumption for  $G'$  and  $u$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(G') &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right) t(H) + \sum_{\substack{S \subset N_{G'}(u) \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_S} a_i \right) t(H_S), \quad \text{since } G' - u = G - u = H \\ (20) \quad &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right) t(H) + \sum_{\substack{S \subset N_G(u) - \{p_{k+1}\} \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_S} a_i \right) t(H_S). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $G_{p_{k+1}u}$  is nothing but  $\overline{H}$  which is obtained from  $H$  by adding edges between the specified set of vertices among  $N_G(u) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k, p_{k+1}\}$  as in Theorem 5.7. Thus, applying this theorem gives

$$(21) \quad t(G_{p_{k+1}u}) = t(H) + \sum_{\substack{p_{k+1} \in S \subset N_G(u) \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_S - \{p_{k+1}\}} a_i \right) t(H_S),$$

Then using (20) and (21) in (19) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
t(G) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i \right) t(H) + \sum_{\substack{S \subset N_G(u) - \{p_{k+1}\} \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_S} a_i \right) t(H_S) \\
&\quad + a_{k+1} \sum_{\substack{p_{k+1} \in S \subset N_G(u) \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_S - \{p_{k+1}\}} a_i \right) t(H_S) \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i \right) t(H) + \sum_{\substack{S \subset N_G(u) \\ |S| \geq 2}} \left( \prod_{i \in I_S} a_i \right) t(H_S).
\end{aligned}$$

This is what we wanted for  $k + 1$ . Thus, the proof of the theorem follows by induction principle.  $\square$

It is not difficult to see that Theorem 5.8 will have many interesting applications. We apply this theorem to determine the number of spanning trees of some families of graphs. First, we recall and make some definitions as a preparation.

Morgan-Voyce Polynomials  $B_n(x)$  are defined as follows ([18]):

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0(x) &= 1, \quad B_1(x) = 2 + x, \\
B_n(x) &= (x + 2)B_{n-1}(x) - B_{n-2}(x), \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.
\end{aligned}$$

We have (see [23])

$$(22) \quad B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k+1}{n-k} x^k.$$

It is known that  $xB_n(x^2) = F_{2n+2}(x)$  ([24]), where  $F_n(x)$  is the  $n$ -th Fibonacci polynomial.

We define the modified fan graph  $\overline{Fan}_n$  as follows: Given the path graph  $P_n$  with vertex set  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ , we join a vertex  $p$  to  $P_n$  by adding  $a \geq 1$  multiple edges between  $p$  and any vertex of  $P_n$ . For example,  $\overline{Fan}_2$  with  $a = 1$  is nothing but the cycle graph  $C_3$ , and  $\overline{Fan}_n$  with  $a = 1$  is known as the fan graph  $Fan_n$  with  $n + 1$  vertices. The number of spanning trees of  $Fan_n$  has studied in literature ([4], [14b]). Here, we give a new proof for it by using Theorem 5.8. Moreover, we generalize this result for  $\overline{Fan}_n$ :

**Theorem 5.9.** *For any integers  $a \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ , we have*

$$t(\overline{Fan}_n) = aB_{n-1}(a).$$

*In particular, when  $a = 1$ ,  $t(Fan_n) = F_{2n}$ , where  $F_n$  is the  $n$ -th Fibonacci number.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $\overline{Fan}_n$  by deleting the vertex  $p$ . Then we have  $H = P_n$ . Let  $H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}$  be the graph obtained from  $H$  by identifying the vertices  $p_{j_1}, p_{j_2}, \dots, p_{j_k}$  where the indexes satisfy the inequality  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$  for some integer  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . Then we have

$$t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) = (j_2 - j_1)(j_3 - j_2) \cdots (j_k - j_{k-1}).$$

Thus, for  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$(23) \quad \sum_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subset S} t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n} (j_2 - j_1)(j_3 - j_2) \cdots (j_k - j_{k-1}) = \binom{n-1+k}{2k-1}.$$



Alternatively, we have

$$\sum_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subset S} t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) = T(n, k),$$

where  $T(n, k)$  are the triangular numbers (see [19]) given by

$$\begin{aligned} T(0, 0) &= 1, \quad \text{and} \quad T(n, k) = 0, \quad \text{if } k > n \text{ or } k < 0 \\ T(n, k) &= T(n-1, k-1) + 2T(n-1, k) - T(n-2, k), \quad \text{if } n < k \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 5.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(\overline{Fan_n}) &= n \cdot a \cdot t(H) + \sum_{k=2}^n a^k \sum_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subset S} t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) \\ &= na + \sum_{k=2}^n a^k \binom{n-1+k}{2k-1}, \quad \text{by (23)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a^k \binom{n-1+k}{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k+1} \binom{n+k}{n-1-k} \\ &= aB_{n-1}(a), \quad \text{by (22)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Note that the proof of Theorem 5.9 gives more insight to the formula of  $t(\overline{Fan_n})$ . Namely, it also expresses  $t(\overline{Fan_n})$  as sum of the triangular numbers, which are the coefficients of the Morgan-Voyce Polynomial  $B_n(x)$  in this case. When  $a = 1$ , we have the following triangular numbers  $T(n, k)$ ,  $B_n(x)$  and  $t(\overline{Fan_n})$  for small values of  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 1, \quad B_0(x) &= 1, \quad t(\overline{Fan_1}) = 1 = F_2 \\ 2, \quad 1, \quad B_1(x) &= 2 + x, \quad t(\overline{Fan_2}) = 3 = F_4 \\ 3, \quad 4, \quad 1, \quad B_2(x) &= 3 + 4x + x^2, \quad t(\overline{Fan_3}) = 8 = F_6 \\ 4, \quad 10, \quad 6, \quad 1, \quad B_3(x) &= 4 + 10x + 6x^2 + x^3, \quad t(\overline{Fan_4}) = 21 = F_8 \\ 5, \quad 20, \quad 21, \quad 8, \quad 1, \quad B_4(x) &= 5 + 20x + 21x^2 + 8x^3 + x^4, \quad t(\overline{Fan_5}) = 55 = F_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

We give another application of Theorem 5.8. Again, we first recall and make some definitions.

We define the polynomials  $W_n(x)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(x) &= 1, \quad W_1(x) = x + 4, \\ W_n(x) &= (x+2)W_{n-1}(x) - W_{n-2}(x) + 2, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

One can use the strong induction on  $n$  and the recursive definitions of  $W_n(x)$  to prove

$$(24) \quad W_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{2n+2}{n+2+k} \binom{n+2+k}{n-k} x^k.$$

We note that  $x^2W_n(x^2) = L_{2n+2}(x) - 2$  (see [16b, pg. 16] for the summation formula for  $L_n(x)$ ), where  $L_n(x)$  is the  $n$ -th Lucas polynomial. Another closely related sequence of polynomials is  $C_n(x)$ , one of the companion Morgan-Voyce polynomials (see [14c, pg. 165]). Namely, we have  $xW_{n-1}(x) + 2 = C_n(x)$ .

We define the modified wheel graph  $\overline{W}_n$  as follows: Given the cycle graph  $C_n$  with vertex set  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ , we join a vertex  $p$  to  $C_n$  by adding  $a \geq 1$  multiple edges between  $p$  and any vertex of  $C_n$ . For example,  $\overline{W}_2$  with  $a = 2$  is the graph obtained from the cycle graph  $C_3$  by replacing each of its edges by double edges, and  $\overline{W}_n$  with  $a = 1$  is known as the wheel graph  $W_n$  with  $n + 1$  vertices. The number of spanning trees of  $W_n$  has studied in literature ([18b], [14b] and [22]). Here, we give a new proof for it by using Theorem 5.8. Moreover, we generalize this result for  $\overline{W}_n$ :

**Theorem 5.10.** *For any integers  $a \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ , we have*

$$t(\overline{W}_n) = aW_{n-1}(a).$$

*In particular, when  $a = 1$ ,  $t(W_n) = L_{2n} - 2$ , where  $L_n$  is the  $n$ -th Lucas number.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $\overline{W}_n$  by deleting the vertex  $p$ . Then we have  $H = C_n$ . Let  $H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}$  be the graph obtained from  $H$  by identifying the vertices  $p_{j_1}, p_{j_2}, \dots, p_{j_k}$  where the indexes satisfy the inequality  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$  for some integer  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . Then we have

$$t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) = (j_2 - j_1)(j_3 - j_2) \cdots (j_k - j_{k-1})(j_1 + n - j_k).$$

Thus, for  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have the following equality for each  $2 \leq k \leq n$ :

$$(25) \quad \sum_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subset S} t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n} (j_2 - j_1)(j_3 - j_2) \cdots (j_k - j_{k-1})(j_1 + n - j_k) \\ = \frac{2n}{n+k} \binom{n+k}{n-k}.$$

Alternatively, for each  $2 \leq k \leq n$  we have

$$\sum_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subset S} t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) = T(n, n-k),$$

where  $T(n, k)$  are the triangular numbers (see [20]) such that the row  $n$  has  $n + 1$  terms and  $n$ -th row is given by

$$T(n, 0) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad T(n, n) = 2, \quad \text{for } n > 0$$

$$T(n, k) = T(n-1, k-1) + \sum_{i=0}^k T(n-1-i, n-i), \quad \text{if } 0 < k < n$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 5.8, we have

$$t(\overline{W}_n) = n \cdot a \cdot t(H) + \sum_{k=2}^n a^k \sum_{\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subset S} t(H_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}) \\ = n^2 a + \sum_{k=2}^n a^k \frac{2n}{n+k} \binom{n+k}{n-k}, \quad \text{by (25)} \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n a^k \frac{2n}{n+k} \binom{n+k}{n-k} \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k+1} \frac{2n}{n+1+k} \binom{n+1+k}{n-1-k} \\ = aW_{n-1}(a), \quad \text{by (24)}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Again, the proof of Theorem 5.10 gives more insight to the formula of  $t(\overline{W}_n)$ . Namely, it also expresses  $t(\overline{W}_n)$  as sum of the triangular numbers, which are the coefficients of the polynomials  $W_n(x)$  in this case. When  $a = 1$ , we have the following triangular numbers  $T(n, k)$ ,  $W_n(x)$  and  $t(\overline{W}_n)$  for small values of  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 1, & \quad W_0(x) = 1, \quad t(\overline{W}_1) = 1 = L_2 - 2 \\ 4, 1, & \quad W_1(x) = 4 + x, \quad t(\overline{W}_2) = 5 = L_4 - 2 \\ 9, 6, 1, & \quad W_2(x) = 9 + 6x + x^2, \quad t(\overline{W}_3) = 16 = L_6 - 2 \\ 16, 20, 8, 1, & \quad W_3(x) = 16 + 20x + 8x^2 + x^3, \quad t(\overline{W}_4) = 45 = L_8 - 2 \\ 25, 50, 35, 10, 1, & \quad W_4(x) = 25 + 50x + 35x^2 + 10x^3 + x^4, \quad t(\overline{W}_5) = 121 = L_{10} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Some more applications of Theorem 5.8 will be given in a subsequent paper.

**Declaration of competing interest:** The author declares that he has no known competing financial interest or personal relationship that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Baker and X. Faber, Metrized graphs, Laplacian operators, and electrical networks, *Contemporary Mathematics 415*, Proceedings of the Joint Summer Research Conference on Quantum Graphs and Their Applications; Berkolaiko, G; Carlson, R; Fulling, S. A.; Kuchment, P. Snowbird, Utah, 2006, pp. 15-33.
- [2] M. Baker and R. Rumely, Harmonic analysis on metrized graphs, *Canadian J. Math.* 59, (2007) No. 2, 225–275.
- [3] R.B. Bapat, Resistance matrix of a weighted graph, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 50 (2004), 73–82.
- [3a] N. Biggs, Algebraic potential theory on graphs, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1997), no. 6, 641–682.
- [4] Z. R. Bogdanowicz, Formulas for the Number of Spanning Trees in a Fan, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 2, No. 16, (2008), 781–786.
- [5] A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag. London, (2008).
- [6] G. A. Cayley, A Theorem on Trees, *Quart. J. Math.*, 23, (1889), 276–378.
- [7] T. Chinburg and R. Rumely, The capacity pairing, *J. reine angew. Math.* 434 (1993), 1–44.
- [8] Z. Cinkir, *The Tau Constant of Metrized Graphs*, Ph.D. Thesis at University of Georgia, 2007.
- [9] Z. Cinkir, Generalized Foster's identities, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, vol. 111, no. 10, (2011), 2228–2233. DOI 10.1002/qua.22521.
- [10] Z. Cinkir, The tau constant of a metrized graph and its behavior under graph operations, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 18(1) (2011) P81.
- [11] Z. Cinkir, The tau constant and the edge connectivity of a metrized graph, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, Volume 19 (4) (2012) P46.
- [12] Z. Cinkir, Deletion and contraction identities for the resistance values and the Kirchhoff index, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, Vol 111, Issue:15, (2011), 4030–4041. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.22942>
- [13] A. Carmona, A. M. Encinas, S. Gago and M. Mitjana, Green operators of networks with a new vertex, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 491 (2016), 419–433.
- [14] P. G. Doyle, J. L. Snell *Random Walks and Electric Networks*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, Volume 22, The Mathematical Association of America, 1988.
- [14b] M. H. S. Haghghi, K. Bibak, Recursive Relations for the Number of Spanning Trees, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 3, no: 46, (2009), 2263–2269.
- [14c] A. F. Horadam, New Aspects of Morgan-Voyce Polynomials, *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers*, 7:161–76. Ed. G. E. Bergum, A. N. Philippou, & A. F. Horadam. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1998.
- [15] C. Rao and S. Mitra, *Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, 1971.
- [16] D. J. Klein and M. Randić, Resistance distance, *Journal Mathematical Chemistry*, 12, (1993), 81–95.

- [16a] G. Kirchhoff, Über die Auflösung der Gleichungen, auf welche man bei der Untersuchung der linearen Verteilung galvanischer Ströme geführt wird, *Ann. Phys. Chem.*, 72 (1847), 497—508.
- [16b] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications, Volume 2*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New Jersey, 2019.
- [17] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica*, Version 13.3, Champaign, IL (2023).
- [18] A. M. Morgan-Voyce, Ladder Network Analysis Using Fibonacci Numbers, *IRE. Trans. Circuit Theory*, Vol. CT-6, (1959), 321–322.
- [18b] B. R. Myers, Number of Spanning Trees in a Wheel, *IEEE Transactions on Circuit Theory*, CT-18 (1971), 280–282.
- [19] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2023), Entry A078812 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org/A078812>
- [20] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2023), Entry A084534 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org/A084534>
- [21] R. Rumely, *Capacity Theory on Algebraic Curves*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1378, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989.
- [22] J. Sedlacek, Lucas Numbers in Graph Theory, *In: Mathematics (Geometry and Graph Theory) (Chech.)*, Univ. Karlova, Prague, (1970), 111–115.
- [23] M. N. S. Swamy, Properties of the Polynomials Defined by Morgan-Voyce, *Fib. Quart.*, 4, (1966), 73–81.
- [24] M. N. S. Swamy, More Fibonacci Identities, *Fib. Quart.*, 4, (1966), 369–372.
- [25] C. Thomassen, Resistances and currents in infinite electrical networks, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, Volume 49, Issue 1, (1990), 87–102.
- [26] S. Zhang, Admissible Pairing on a curve, *Invent. Math.* 112 (1993), 171–193.

ZUBEYIR CINKIR, DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING, ABDULLAH GUL UNIVERSITY, 38100, KAYSERI, TURKEY,

*Email address:* [zubeyir.cinkir@agu.edu.tr](mailto:zubeyir.cinkir@agu.edu.tr)