Some Results on the 1-Laplacian Elliptic Problems with Singularities and Robin Boundary Conditions

Mohamed El Hichami^a, Youssef El Hadfi^a

^aLaboratory LIPIM, National School of Applied Sciences Khouribga, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Khouribga, 25000, Morocco

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following model problem, involving singularities and inhomogeneous Robin boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_p = \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda |u_p|^{p-2} u_p + |u_p|^{s-1} u_p = \frac{g}{u_p^{\eta}} & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ represents an open bounded domain, with smooth boundary, $m \ge 2$, the symbol σ stands for the unit outward normal vector, $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the *p*-Laplacian operator $(1 \le p < m)$, consider $0 < \gamma \le 1$, $\eta > 0$ and $s \ge 1$. The function $f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative additionally λ and *g* are nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$.

Keywords: 1–Laplacian operator, Singular term, elliptic equations, Robin boundary conditions, Functions of bounded variations.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to examine the following issue

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_p = \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda |u_p|^{p-2} u_p + |u_p|^{s-1} u_p = \frac{g}{u_p^{\eta}} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $1 \le p < m, 0 < \gamma \le 1, \eta > 0, s \ge 1, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{m(m \ge 2)}$ represents an open bounded domain with smooth boundary, the symbol σ stands for the unit outward normal vector, $0 \le f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ and λ , g are nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$.

The 1-Laplacian operator, denoted as

$$\Delta_1 u := \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{|Du|}\right),$$

plays a crucial role in various mathematical theories and practical applications, especially in fields where preserving sharp edges and fine details is essential. It is prominently used in image processing for tasks like denoising and segmentation. The 1-Laplacian is the core operator in the celebrated Total Variation (TV) denoising model introduced by Rudin, Osher, and Fatemi [29], which is widely applied in medical imaging, such as MRI (see [6, 34]), to preserve edges while reducing noise. Unlike the p-Laplacian operator, which smooths fine details, the 1-Laplacian enables isotropic diffusion within level surfaces without crossing them, effectively preserving edges.

Beyond medical imaging, the 1-Laplacian operator has been applied in other domains, such as synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imaging, to address speckle noise [19]. Variational formulations involving the 1-Laplacian operator provide faithful solutions that retain key features of the original image, making it ideal for edge-preserving techniques.

Email addresses: elhichami.70gmail.com (Mohamed El Hichami), yelhadfi@gmail.com (Youssef El Hadfi)

It is also useful in solving inverse ill-posed problems, where the goal is to recover meaningful information from incomplete or noisy data.

The mathematical properties of the 1-Laplacian have also been studied in the context of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations, particularly as a limit case of the p-Laplacian for p = 1. Its ability to handle discontinuous solutions in the space of functions of bounded variation makes it suitable for advanced applications, such as object recognition, super-resolution, and image classification.

Physical applications manifest in addressing problems such as (1), with illustrative instances found in signal transmissions [26] and the theory of non-Newtonian pseudo-plastic fluids [24]. Furthermore, additional motivations emerge in fields like image restoration [8] and game theory [16]. Equation (1) can be regarded as a broader version of the classical p-Laplacian equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_p = fh_1(u_p) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x} + \lambda |u_p|^{p-2} u_p + k(u_p) = gh_2(u_p) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(2)

with h_1 , h_2 are continuous functions on $[0, +\infty[$ (possibly unbounded near zero) and $k(t) = |t|^{s-1}t$, $s \ge 1$.

The problem (2), specifically for the condition p > 1, has been extensively researched. In [7], when $1 , <math>h_1(t) = \frac{1}{t^{\gamma}}, 0 < \gamma < 1, f \in L^{\zeta}(\Omega), \zeta = \frac{mp}{m(p-1)+p+\gamma(m-p)}$ and the Dirichlet condition u = 0 replaces the second equation's Robin boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$, the authors showed that problem (2) has a weak solution (see also [9]). Moreover in [12] it is considered the problem (2) for $p > 1, h_1(t) = h_2(t) = 1, k(t) = 0, f$ belongs to $L^{m,\infty}(\Omega), \lambda$ is a non-negative function in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ that is not identically zero, and g is an element of $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$, it is proven that there is a weak solution. In the case $p = 2, h_1(t) = 1, h_2(t) = \frac{1}{t^{\eta}}, \eta > 0, k(t) = 0, 0 \le f \in L^{\frac{2m}{m+2}}(\Omega), 0 \le \lambda \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega), 0 \le g \in L^r(\partial\Omega)$ where $r = \max\left(\frac{2(m-1)}{m+\eta(m-2)}, 1\right)$, problem (2) has been analyzed in [13] with the authors show the existence of a weak solution. The *p*-Laplacian operator was studied by several authors see [7, 17, 28, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33] and references therein.

When p = 1, we denote by $\Delta_1 u := \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{|Du|} \right)$ the 1-Laplacian operator. The appropriate energy space for dealing with problems involving 1-Laplacian is *BV* the space of functions of bounded variation. The notion of a proper solution is introduced in [2, 3]. Let us cite some works discussing the problems as (2). If p = 1, $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $h_1(t) = \frac{1}{t^2}$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and u = 0 on $\partial\Omega$, the authors in [11] showed the existence of a bounded solution to problem (2). Furthermore in [18] it has been established that the existence, nonexistence and uniqueness of solutions to (2) when p = 1, $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, u = 0 in $\partial\Omega$ with $h_1 : [0; +\infty[\rightarrow]0; +\infty]$ is continuous, finite outside the origin, $h_1(0) \ne 0$,

$$\exists \zeta, \gamma, \delta > 0$$
 such that $h_1(t) \le \frac{\zeta}{t^{\gamma}}$ if $t \le \delta$,

and $\lim_{t \to \infty} h_1(t) := h_1(\infty) < \infty$. In the case of $p = 1, f \in L^{m,\infty}(\Omega), g \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega), \lambda \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$M(f,g,\lambda) = \sup_{u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} f u dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} g u d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}{\|u\|_{\lambda,1}} \le 1,$$

the authors in [12] established the existence of a solution to (2). We refer to [10, 4, 14, 15, 21, 22] for more information concerning 1–Laplacian operator.

When $1 , the major difficulties in this study stem from the simultaneous presence of two distinct singularities, <math>\frac{1}{u_p^2}$ and $\frac{1}{u_p^p}$, extending respectively over the domain Ω and the boundary $\partial\Omega$, particularly on the set $\{u_p = 0\}$. To address this issue, we employ an approximation to regularize (9) and leverage the J. Leray and J. L. Lions Theorem. Additionally, the challenges are accentuated by the complexities associated with the Robin boundary conditions, which introduce a further layer of intricacy to the problem. As we approach the limit as $n \to +\infty$ in equation (11), it is crucial to demonstrate the existence of a constant $\beta_p > 0$ such that $u_n > \beta_p$ on $\partial\Omega$. In the specific case of p = 1, a notable difficulty arises when taking $p \to 1^+$ in equation (69), as conventional methods cannot be applied to unbounded BV-functions. Therefore, we justify the use of truncated BV-functions.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some preliminaries and technical results. In Section 3, we prove the existence of solutions for the problem where the Leray-Lions operator is represented by the p-Laplacian operator in the case p > 1. In Section 4, for $0 < f \in L^m(\Omega)$ and p = 1, We investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions. Finally, in Section 4, under the same assumptions, with the only difference being that the function f is allowed to attain zero values, we show the existence of solutions.

2. Preliminaries and technical results

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m(m\geq 2)}$, with a smooth boundary. Given a function κ , we define $\kappa^+ := \max(\kappa, 0)$ and $\kappa^- := -\min(\kappa, 0)$. Let $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. We use $\chi_{\mathfrak{E}}$ to represent the characteristic function of \mathfrak{E} , and $|\mathfrak{E}|$ as its Lebesgue measure. Furthermore $L^{\xi}(\mathfrak{E})$ is the Lebesgue space of ξ -integrable functions on \mathfrak{E} . Use $\mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\mathfrak{E})$ to indicate the (m-1)dimensional Hausdorff measure. We will utilize the standard Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, which represents the space of Radon measures with finite total variation throughout Ω . Consider $BV(\Omega)$ as the space of functions possessing bounded variation in Ω . u^* signifies the precise representative of u. For every $\tau > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the auxiliary functions as usual

$$T_{\tau}(r) := \max(-\tau, \min(r, \tau)), \ \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}(r) := \begin{cases} 1 & r \le \tau, \\ \frac{2\tau - r}{\tau} & \tau < r < 2\tau, \\ 0 & 2\tau \le r, \end{cases} \text{ and } G_{\tau}(r) := 1 - \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}(r).$$

Given $\lambda \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$ with $\lambda \ge 0$ and $1 \le p \le m$, we employ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the norm that is described as

$$\|u\|_{\lambda,p}^{p} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u|^{p} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}, \quad u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$
(3)

In [25] proved that (3) is equivalent to the usual norm of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

By [25, Theorem 4.2] we underline that there exists constant $C_1 > 0$ where

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{(m-1)p}{m-p}}(\partial\Omega)} \le C_1 \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Moreover if $\varrho < \frac{(m-1)\rho}{m-\rho}$ the mapping $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega)$ is compact (see [25, Theorem 6.2]). We signify by $\mathcal{DM}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the set of vector fields z belongs to $L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and satisfy the condition that their div z is an element of $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$. $\mathcal{DM}^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$ stands for the vector fields $z \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ where div z is a member of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ for all $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$.

Let a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ that means $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and whose gradient Du is a vector Radon measure characterized by finite variation. The space $BV(\Omega)$ becomes a Banach space once it is equipped with one of the following two norms:

$$||u||_{BV(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} |Du| + \int_{\Omega} |u| dy, \text{ or } ||u||_{BV(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} |Du| + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u| d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$

We highlight that the functional defined as

$$u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \varphi |Du| \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \le \varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega), \text{ and } u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} |Du| + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u| d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}$$

are lower semicontinuity in $L^1(\Omega)$. For more detailed information concerning the BV function we refer to [1, 36].

Anzellotti's in [5] introduced the following distribution $(z, Du) : C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$<(z,Du),\varphi>:=-\int_{\Omega}u^{*}\varphi \operatorname{div} z-\int_{\Omega}uz\nabla\varphi dy,\quad\varphi\in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
(5)

with div $z \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $u \in BV(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ or $z \in \mathcal{DM}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $u \in BV(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$. Moreover the authors in [23] extended the definition of (5) concerning the case in which $z \in \mathcal{DM}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $u \in BV(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Finally the following Lemmas are shown in [11].

Lemma 1. If u is an element of $BV_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and z belongs to $\in \mathcal{DM}^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Hence the functional (z, Du) is a Radon measure in Ω satisfying

$$| < (z, Du), \Phi > | \le ||\Phi||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Upsilon)} \int_{\Upsilon} |Du|,$$

for every open set $\Upsilon \subset \subseteq \Omega$ and for all $\Phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2. Assume that z belongs to $\mathcal{DM}^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and u belongs to $BV_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, with $u^* \in L^1(\Omega, divz)$. Hence the following Green's Formula holds

$$\int_{\Omega} u^* \operatorname{div} z + \int_{\Omega} (z, Du) = \int_{\partial \Omega} [uz, \sigma] d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$

3. Weak solution for $0 \le f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ and p > 1

In this section, we investigate the existence of a weak solution for the problem presented in (1) involving the Leray-Lions operators.

Let Ω be an open, bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^m with $m \ge 2$ and a smooth boundary. Let $\aleph : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a Carathéodory function. For every $y \in \Omega$ and $\forall \hbar, \hbar \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\aleph(y,\hbar).\hbar \ge \alpha |\hbar|^p, \qquad \alpha > 0, \tag{6}$$

$$|\aleph(y,\hbar)| \le l(y) + \varpi |\hbar|^{p-1}, \quad \varpi > 0, \tag{7}$$

$$(\aleph(y,\hbar) - \aleph(y,\hbar)).(\hbar - \hbar) > 0, \qquad \hbar \neq \hbar,$$
(8)

where $1 and <math>l \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$, $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Let 1 , we consider the nonlinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\aleph(y,\nabla u_p)\right) = \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda |u_p|^{p-2} u_p + |u_p|^{s-1} u_p = \frac{g}{u_p^{\gamma}} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(9)

with $f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative function and λ , g are nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$.

We present the solution concept for this case.

Definition 1. A function $u_p \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to (9), if $\frac{g}{u_p^{\eta}} \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$, $|u_p|^{s-1}u_p \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$, $\frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \in L^1(\Omega)$ and it satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(x, \nabla u_p) \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_p|^{p-2} u_p \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_p|^{s-1} u_p \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g}{u_p^{\eta}} \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1},$$
(10)

for all $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

This allows us to provide our first result.

Theorem 1. Consider $0 \le f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$, let λ and g be nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$, given $s \ge 1, 0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\eta > 0$. Then there exists a weak solution to (9).

3.1. Approximation Scheme

In this section, to demonstrate Theorem 1, we will focus on the following approximating problem

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\aleph(y,\nabla u_n)\right) = \frac{f_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda |u_n|^{p-2} u_n + |u_n|^{s-1} u_n = \frac{g_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$
(11)

with $f_n = T_n(f)$ and $g_n = T_n(g)$. We define a weak solution $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of (11) satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^{s-1} u_n \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}, \ \forall \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$$

Let's note that, for all that follows, the C_i , where i = 1, ..., represent positive constants. Throughout the article, a "test function" will be abbreviated as t. f..

Lemma 3. Assume that $0 \le f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$, let λ and g be nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$, given $s \ge 1, 0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\eta > 0$. Then problem (11) admits a nonnegative solution u_n in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and suppose $\vartheta \in L^p(\partial \Omega)$. Now, let's consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\aleph(y,\nabla w)\right) = \frac{J_n}{(|w| + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} & \text{in } \Omega,\\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda |w|^{p-2} w + |w|^{s-1} w = \frac{g_n}{(|\theta| + \frac{1}{n})^\eta} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(12)

The existence of a solution $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to (12) is assured by [20].

Taking w^- as a t. f. in (12) we get

$$-\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla w) \nabla w dy - \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |w^{-}|^{p} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} - \int_{\partial \Omega} |w^{-}|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_{n} w^{-}}{(|w| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_{n} w^{-}}{(|\vartheta| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_{n} w^{-}}{(|\vartheta| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_{n} w^{-}}{(|w| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_{n} w^{-}}{(|\vartheta| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_{n} w^{-}}{(|\vartheta| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_{n} w^{-}}{(|w| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_{n} w^{-}}{(|\vartheta| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}$$

which gives $w^- = 0$, hence $w \ge 0 \mathcal{H}^{m-1}$ a. e. (almost everywhere) on $\partial \Omega$ and a. e. in Ω .

Now, we will demonstrate the existence of a fixed of the map $S : L^p(\partial\Omega) \to L^p(\partial\Omega)$ with $S(\vartheta) = w|_{\partial\Omega}$. Since the datum $\frac{g_n}{(|\vartheta| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}}$ is bounded, there exists $C_2 > 0$ independent of ϑ and w but possibly depending on n, such that $||w||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_2$. We choose w as a t. f. in (12) and by (6), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |w|^{p} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |w|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_{n} w}{(|w| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_{n} w}{(|\vartheta| + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &\leq n^{\gamma+1} \int_{\Omega} |w| dy + n^{\eta+1} \int_{\partial \Omega} |w| d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality we obtain

$$\|w\|_{\frac{d}{a},p}^{p} \le n^{\gamma+1} \varepsilon_{1}^{(p-1)p} \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p} dy + n^{\eta+1} \varepsilon_{2}^{(p-1)p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^{p} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + n^{\gamma+1} \varepsilon_{1}^{-p} |\Omega| + n^{\eta+1} \varepsilon_{2}^{-p} \mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\partial\Omega),$$
(13)

where ε_1 and ε_2 are any positive constants. From (4) and the fact that $\|.\|_{\frac{1}{\alpha},p}$ and $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ are equivalent norms, we conclude that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} w^p d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^{\frac{(m-1)p}{m-p}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}\right)^{\frac{m-p}{m-1}} \left(\mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\partial\Omega)\right)^{\frac{p-1}{m-1}} \le C_3 ||w||_{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha},p}^p.$$

Using previous inequality, then (13) becomes

$$\|w\|_{\frac{\lambda}{a},p}^{p} \le n^{\gamma+1} \varepsilon_{1}^{(p-1)p} \|w\|_{\frac{\lambda}{a},p}^{p} + n^{\eta+1} \varepsilon_{2}^{(p-1)p} C_{3} \|w\|_{\frac{\lambda}{a},p}^{p} + n^{\gamma+1} \varepsilon_{1}^{-p} |\Omega| + n^{\eta+1} \varepsilon_{2}^{-p} \mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\partial\Omega),$$

choosing ε_1 satisfying $n^{\gamma+1}\varepsilon_1^{(p-1)p} < \frac{1}{4}$ and ε_2 such that $n^{\eta+1}\varepsilon_2^{(p-1)p}C_3 < \frac{1}{4}$, we deduce that

 $||w||_{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha},p} \leq C_4.$

We know that $\|.\|_{\frac{1}{2},p}$ and $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ are equivalent norms, then

$$\|w\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C_5. \tag{14}$$

By (4) and (14) we have that

$$\|w\|_{L^{p}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_{1} \|w\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} (\mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\Omega))^{\frac{p-1}{p(m-1)}} \leq C_{6},$$

with C_6 independent of w. Therefore, the ball B in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ with radius C_6 is invariant for S. Moreover by the compactness of the trace embedding S(B) is relatively compact in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. We shall now prove that, on B, the function S is continuous. Assume that the sequence of functions ϑ_k in the ball B converges to ϑ in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ as k approaches $+\infty$, and we consider $S(\vartheta_k) = w_k|_{\partial\Omega}$ such that

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\aleph(y,\nabla w_{k})\right) = \frac{f_{n}}{(|w_{k}| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{k}}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda |w_{k}|^{p-2} w_{k} + |w_{k}|^{s-1} w_{k} = \frac{g_{n}}{(|\vartheta_{k}| + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$
(15)

We take w_k as a t. f. in (15) and using (6), we get

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_k|^p dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |w_k|^p d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |w_k|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n w_k}{(|w_k| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n w_k}{(|\vartheta_k| + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$

From Young's inequality and (4), we deduce that

$$\|w_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C_7,$$
 (16)

- $\|w_k\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \le C_8,\tag{17}$
- $\|w_k\|_{L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)} \le C_9,\tag{18}$

(19)

where C_7 , C_8 and C_9 are independent of k.

Let $\tau > 0$, we take $T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)$ as t. f. in (15), we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla w_k) \nabla (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} |w_k|^{p-1} (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |w_k|^{s-1} (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(|w_k| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(|\vartheta_k| + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}. \end{split}$$

By (16), (4) and Lebesgue's Theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} |w_k|^{p-1} (T_\tau(w_k) - T_\tau(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = 0,$$
(20)

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} |w_k|^{s-1} (T_\tau(w_k) - T_\tau(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = 0,$$
(21)

and

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(|\vartheta_k| + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = 0.$$
(22)

Using (16) and Lebesgue's Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(|w_k| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy = 0.$$
(23)

We see that

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla w_k) \cdot \nabla (T_\tau(w_k) - T_\tau(w)) dy = \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla T_\tau(w_k)) \cdot \nabla (T_\tau(w_k) - T_\tau(w)) dy - \int_{\{w_k > \tau\}} \aleph(y, \nabla w_k) \cdot \nabla T_\tau(w) dy.$$
(24)

We have that $\aleph(y, \nabla w_k)$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and that $\chi_{\{w_k > \tau\}} \nabla T_{\tau}(w) \to 0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Then

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\{w_k > \tau\}} \aleph(y, \nabla w_k) . \nabla T_{\tau}(w) dy = 0.$$
⁽²⁵⁾

We can formulate that

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w_k)) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy = \int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w_k)) - \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w))) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy + \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w)) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy.$$
(26)

Keeping in mind that $\aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w)) \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and that $T_{\tau}(w_k)$ converges to $T_{\tau}(w)$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we find

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w)) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy = 0.$$
⁽²⁷⁾

By (24), (25), (26) and (27), we see

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla w_k) \cdot \nabla (T_\tau(w_k) - T_\tau(w)) dy = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla T_\tau(w_k)) - \aleph(y, \nabla T_\tau(w))) \cdot \nabla (T_\tau(w_k) - T_\tau(w)) dy.$$

Utilizing (20), (21), (22), and (23), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w_k)) - \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(w))) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(w_k) - T_{\tau}(w)) dy = 0,$$

which permits us to use [9, Lemma 5], enabling us to conclude

$$T_{\tau}(w_k) \to T_{\tau}(w)$$
 strongly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

This is sufficient to conclude $w_k = S(\vartheta_k) \to w = S(\vartheta)$ in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Then S is continuous on B. Due to the Schauder fixed point Theorem, a solution $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ exists for (11).

Lemma 4. Suppose $f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative function, let λ and g be nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$, given $s \ge 1, 0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\eta > 0$, let u_n be a solution to (11). Hence there exists $\beta_p > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad u_n \ge \beta_p > 0 \text{ for } \mathcal{H}^{m-1} \text{ a. e. on } \partial \Omega.$$

Proof. Choosing $(u_n - u_{n+1})^+$ as a t. f. in the difference between the problems solved respectively by u_n and u_{n+1} , using the facts $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ and $g_n \leq g_{n+1}$, we find that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla u_n) - \aleph(y, \nabla u_{n+1})) \nabla (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ dy \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda (|u_n|^{p-1} - |u_{n+1}|^{p-1}) (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} (|u_n|^s - |u_{n+1}|^s) (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} - \frac{f_{n+1}}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\gamma}) (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} (\frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} - \frac{g_{n+1}}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\gamma}) (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_{n+1}((u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\gamma - (u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma)}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n+1})^\gamma (u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\gamma} (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_{n+1}((u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\gamma - (u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\eta)}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma (u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^\gamma} (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \leq 0. \end{split}$$

By (8), we have

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla u_n) - \aleph(y, \nabla u_{n+1})) \nabla (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ dy.$$

Moreover,

$$0 \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda(|u_n|^{p-1} - |u_{n+1}|^{p-1})(u_n - u_{n+1})^+ d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \text{ and } 0 \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (|u_n|^s - |u_{n+1}|^s)(u_n - u_{n+1})^+ d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}$$

Therefore, $(u_n - u_{n+1})^+ = 0$, leading to $u_n \le u_{n+1} \mathcal{H}^{m-1} a$. e. on $\partial \Omega$ and a. e. in Ω . We observe the existence of a nonnegative solution $\vartheta \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ to

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\aleph(y,\nabla\vartheta)\right) = \frac{f_1}{(\vartheta+1)^{\gamma}} & \text{in }\Omega,\\ \frac{\partial\vartheta}{\partial\sigma} + \lambda\vartheta^{p-1} + \vartheta^s = 0 & \text{on }\partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(28)

By [35, Theorem 2] we deduce that $\vartheta > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. Subtracting (11) from (28) we get

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\aleph(y,\nabla u_n) - \aleph(y,\nabla\vartheta)\right) = \frac{f_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} - \frac{f_1}{(\vartheta + 1)^{\gamma}} \quad in \ \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial(u_n - \vartheta)}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda(u_n^{p-1} - \vartheta^{p-1}) + u_n^s - \vartheta^s = \frac{g_n}{(|u_n| + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \quad on \ \partial\Omega.$$
(29)

We take $(\vartheta - u_n)^+$ as a t. f. in problem (29), we can prove that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad u_n \geq \vartheta \text{ for } \mathcal{H}^{m-1} a. e. \text{ on } \partial \Omega.$$

We know that ϑ is continuous with $\vartheta > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, then

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad u_n \geq \vartheta > \min_{\partial \Omega} \vartheta = \beta_p \text{ for } \mathcal{H}^{m-1} \text{ a. e. on } \partial \Omega.$$

Lemma 5. Supposing that $f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative function, let λ and g are nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$, given $s \ge 1, 0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\eta > 0$. Assume that u_n is a solution to problem (11). Then

$$\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C_{10},\tag{30}$$

and

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le C_{11},\tag{31}$$

with the constants C_{10} and C_{11} are independent of *n*. Moreover there exists a subsequence u_n and a function u_p such that

$$u_{n} \rightarrow u_{p} \text{ weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

$$u_{n} \rightarrow u_{p} \text{ strongly in } L^{q}(\Omega) \text{ for any } 1 \leq q < \frac{pm}{m-p},$$

$$u_{n} \rightarrow u_{p} \text{ strongly in } L^{t}(\partial\Omega) \text{ for any } 1 < t < \frac{p(m-1)}{m-p},$$
(32)

$$|u_n|^{s-1}u_n \rightharpoonup |u_p|^{s-1}u_p$$
 weakly in $L^{\frac{s-1}{s}}(\partial\Omega)$. (33)

Proof. We take u_n as a t. f. in (11) and applying (6), we obtain

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^p d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n u_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n u_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$
 (34)

For the first integral in (34) on the right-hand side in the case where $0 < \gamma < 1$, employing Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n u_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} dy \le \int_{\Omega} f_n u_n^{1-\gamma} dy \le \|f_n\|_{L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1+\gamma}{p}(\frac{m-p}{m})} \|u_n\|_{L^{\frac{mp}{p}}(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma} \le \|f\|_{L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1+\gamma}{p}(\frac{m-p}{m})} C_{m,p}^{1-\gamma} \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma},$$
(35)

with $C_{m,p}$ is the best constant in Sobolev's inequality. If $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n u_n}{u_n + \frac{1}{n}} dy \le \int_{\Omega} f dy.$$
(36)

For the second integral on the right hand side of (34) in the case where $\eta < 1$, utilizing Hölder's inequality and (4) we see

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{g_n u_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le \int_{\Omega} g_n u_n^{1-\eta} dy \le \|g_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{1-\eta} (\mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\partial\Omega))^{\frac{p(m-1)-(1-\eta)(m-p)}{p(m-1)}}.$$
(37)

If $\eta \geq 1$ we arrive at

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{g_n u_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le \frac{||g_n||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}}{\beta_p^{\eta-1}}.$$
(38)

By (34), (35) (respectively (36)) and (37) (respectively (38)) we can write

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{n}|^{p} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_{n}|^{p} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_{n}|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \leq ||f||_{L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1+\gamma}{p}(\frac{m-p}{p})} C_{m,p}^{1-\gamma} ||u_{n}||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{1-\gamma} + ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)} ||u_{n}||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{1-\eta} (\mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\partial \Omega))^{\frac{p(m-1)-(1-\eta)(m-p)}{p(m-1)}}.$$
 (39)

Having in mind that $\|.\|_{\frac{1}{a},p}$ and $\|.\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ are equivalent norms and using Young's inequality in the right hand side of (39) we have

 $||u_n||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C_{10}.$

Hence there exists a subsequence u_n and a function u_p such that

$$u_n \to u_p$$
 weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$,
 $u_n \to u_p$ strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for any $1 \le q < \frac{pm}{m-p}$,
 $u_n \to u_p$ strongly in $L^t(\partial \Omega)$ for any $1 < t < \frac{p(m-1)}{m-p}$.

Moreover we observe that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (|u_n|^s)^{\frac{s+1}{s}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le C_{11}.$$

Then

$$|u_n|^{s-1}u_n \rightharpoonup |u_p|^{s-1}u_p$$
 weakly in $L^{\frac{s+1}{s}}(\partial\Omega)$.

Lemma 6. Let $f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ is nonnegative function, let λ and g are nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$, supposing that $s \ge 1, 0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\eta > 0$, let u_p be a function found in Lemma 5. Then

$$\frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega).$$

Proof. In (9), taking $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as t. f., we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^{s-1} u_n \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$
(40)

Dropping nonnegative term on the right hand side of (40), by (7) and Young's inequality we find that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy &\leq \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^{p-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^s \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |l| |\nabla \varphi| dy + \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dy + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p dy + \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^p d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |\varphi|^p d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \frac{s}{s+1} \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\varphi|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &\leq C_{12}, \end{split}$$

where C_{12} is independent of n. Fatou's Lemma then implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy \le C_{12}.$$
(41)

This implies that

$$\frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega).$$

Lemma 7. Let $f \in L^{\frac{m}{p}}(\Omega)$ is nonnegative function, let λ and g are nonnegative functions in $L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$, supposing that $s \ge 1, 0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\eta > 0$, let u_n be a solution to (11). Then $T_{\tau}(u_n)$ converge strongly to $T_{\tau}(u_p)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Taking $(T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p))$ as a t. f. in (11), we find that

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}
+ \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^{s-1} u_n (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy
+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$
(42)

For the first term on the left hand side of (42), we can write

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy = \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_n)) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy - \int_{\{u_n > \tau\}} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p) dy.$$
(43)

Since $\aleph(y, \nabla u_n)$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and $\chi_{\{u_n > \tau\}} \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p) \to 0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$, then

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\{u_n > \tau\}} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) . \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p) dy = 0.$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (43) we can estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{K}(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_n)) . \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy = \int_{\Omega} (\mathfrak{K}(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_n)) - \mathfrak{K}(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p))) . \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy + \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{K}(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p)) . \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy.$$
(44)

Since $\aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p)) \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and $T_{\tau}(u_n)$ converges to $T_{\tau}(u_p)$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we find

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p)) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy = 0.$$
(45)

From (44) and (45), equation (43) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla (T_\tau(u_n) - T_\tau(u_p)) dy = \int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla T_\tau(u_n)) - \aleph(y, \nabla T_\tau(u_p))) \cdot \nabla (T_\tau(u_n) - T_\tau(u_p)) dy + \varepsilon_3(n),$$
(46)

with $\varepsilon_3(n)$ is real number such that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \varepsilon_3(n) = 0$. It follows from (32) we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (T_\tau(u_n) - T_\tau(u_p)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = 0,$$
(47)

and that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^s (T_\tau(u_n) - T_\tau(u_p)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = 0.$$
(48)

By (46), (47) and (48), equation (42) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_n)) - \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p))) \cdot \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy + \varepsilon_4(n)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1},$$
(49)

where $\varepsilon_4(n)$ is real number satisfying $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \varepsilon_4(n) = 0$. Regarding the initial term on the right hand side of (49) we reach that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy \le \int_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{u_n^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy + \frac{1}{\delta^{\gamma}} \int_{\{u_n > \delta\}} f_n (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy.$$
(50)

For the second term on the right hand of (50) we have that f_n converge strongly to f in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $(T_\tau(u_n) - T_\tau(u_p))$ converges *- weakly to 0 in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\delta^{\gamma}} \int_{\{u_n > \delta\}} f_n(T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy = 0.$$
(51)

For the first term on the right hand of (50) we see

$$\int_{\{u_n\leq\delta\}}\frac{f_n}{u_n^{\gamma}}(T_{\tau}(u_n)-T_{\tau}(u_p))dy\leq\int_{\{u_n\leq\delta\}}\delta^{1-\gamma}fdy.$$

Since

$$\chi_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \delta^{1-\gamma} f \le \delta^{1-\gamma} f.$$

By applying Lebesgue's Theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \delta^{1-\gamma} f dy = \int_{\{u_p \le \delta\}} \delta^{1-\gamma} f dy \le \int_{\{u_p \le \delta\}} f dy.$$

It follows from Lemma 6 that $\{u_p = 0\} \subset \{f = 0\}$ up to a set of zero Lebesgue measure, then

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \int_{\{u_p \le \delta\}} f dy = \int_{\{u_p = 0\}} f dy = 0.$$
(52)

From (50), (51) and (52) we deduce that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{u_n^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy \le 0.$$
(53)

On the other hand we have

$$\frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) \le 2\tau \frac{g}{\beta_p^{\eta}},$$

 \mathcal{H}^{m-1} a. e. on $\partial \Omega$. Using Lebesgue's Theorem so that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = 0.$$
(54)

By (8), (49), (53) and (54) we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (\aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_n)) - \aleph(y, \nabla T_{\tau}(u_p))) \nabla (T_{\tau}(u_n) - T_{\tau}(u_p)) dy = 0.$$

Then [9, Lemma 5] implies

$$T_{\tau}(u_n) \to T_{\tau}(u_p)$$
 strongly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. We use $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as a t. f. in (9) to get

$$\int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^{s-1} u_n \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$
(55)

Regarding the first term on the left-hand side of (55). From Lemma 7, we have, up to subsequences $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u_p$ a. e. in Ω . From this fact and (7), which implies

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dy = \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_p) \nabla \varphi dy.$$
(56)

Consider $\delta > 0 | \delta \notin \{t : | \{u_p = t\} | > 0\}$, we can write

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy = \int_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy + \int_{\{u_n > \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy.$$
(57)

Turning to the second integral on the right hand side of (57), we see that

$$\chi_{\{u_n > \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \le \frac{f}{\delta^{\gamma}}$$

By Lebesgue's Theorem we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\{u_n > \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy = \int_{\{u_p > \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy.$$

Moreover

$$\chi_{\{u_p>\delta\}}\frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}}\varphi\leq \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}}\varphi\in L^1(\Omega),$$

applying Lebesgue's Theorem we get

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\{u_p > \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy = \int_{\{u_p > 0\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy.$$
(58)

Taking $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_n)\varphi$ as a t. f. in (9) we obtain that

$$\int_{\{u_n \leq \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy \leq \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_n) \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_n) \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_n|^{p-1} \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_n) \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_n|^s \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_n) \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$

When $n \to +\infty$, using weak convergence and Lebesgue Theorem, we reach that

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy \le \int_{\Omega} \aleph(y, \nabla u_p) \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda |u_p|^{p-1} \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_p|^s \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1},$$

passing to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} & \int_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy \le \int_{\{u_p = 0\}} \aleph(y, \nabla u_p) \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \nabla \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &+ \int_{\{u_p = 0\}} \lambda |u_p|^{p-1} \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\{u_p = 0\}} |u_p|^s \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = 0, \end{split}$$

we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\{u_n \le \delta\}} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy = 0.$$
(59)

By (58) and (59) we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} \varphi dy = \int_{\{u_p > 0\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy.$$
(60)

Since

$$\frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} \le \frac{g}{\beta_p^{\eta}}$$

utilizing Lebesgue's Theorem we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\eta}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{g}{u_p^{\eta}} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$
 (61)

Letting n tends to $+\infty$ in (55), it follows from (33), (56), (60) and (61) we conclude that (10).

4. Existence and uniqueness of solution for p = 1 and $0 < f \in L^m(\Omega)$

This section is devoted to solve problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_1 u = \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{Du}{|Du|} \sigma + \frac{u}{|u|} + |u|^{s-1} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(62)

where *f* is positive function in $L^m(\Omega)$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $s \ge 1$.

4.1. Existence result

We give the following notion of weak solution to problem (62).

Definition 2. Let $0 < f \in L^m(\Omega)$. A function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to the problem (62), if there exist vector field $z \in \mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $v \in L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega), \tag{63}$$

$$||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \le 1,\tag{64}$$

$$-\operatorname{div} z = \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \tag{65}$$

$$(z, DT_{\tau}(u)) = |DT_{\tau}(u)| \text{ as measures in } \Omega \text{ for any } \tau > 0, \tag{66}$$

$$T_{\tau}(u) + T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1} + T_{\tau}(u)[z,\sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega \ for \ any \ \tau > 0, \tag{67}$$

$$\vartheta + v^s + [z, \sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega.$$
(68)

Now we can state our theorem:

Theorem 2. Assume that $0 < \gamma \le 1$, $s \ge 1$ and $0 < f \in L^m(\Omega)$. Then there exists a solution to (62).

In order to prove Theorem 2, we will use a sequence of Lemmas. Let 1 , we need the following approximation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_p = \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial \sigma} + |u_p|^{p-2} u_p + |u_p|^{s-1} u_p = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(69)

Thanks to Theorem 1, problem (69) has a solution $u_p \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Under the previous assumptions, we have a constant β_p that depends on p and may possibly vanish as p tends to 1. Moreover, we will lack pointwise convergence of u_p on $\partial\Omega$ as p approaches 1. For these reasons, we set g = 0 in (1).

In this section, we are interested in the behavior as *p* tends to 1 in (69).

Lemma 8. Let $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ be nonnegative, $s \ge 1$ and $0 < \gamma \le 1$, let u_p be a solution of (69). Then there exist functions $u \in BV(\Omega), v \in L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ such that, up to subsequences, satisfying

$$u_p \to u \text{ strongly in } L^b(\Omega) \quad 1 \le b < \frac{m}{m-1} \text{ as } p \to 1^+,$$
(70)

$$\nabla u_p \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} Du \text{ as measures as } p \to 1^+,$$
(71)

$$u \ge 0 \quad a. \ e. \ in \ \Omega, \tag{72}$$

$$u_p \rightarrow v \text{ weakly in } L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega) \text{ as } p \rightarrow 1^+,$$
(73)

$$v \ge 0 \quad a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega, \tag{74}$$

$$|u_p|^{p-1}u_p \to \vartheta \text{ weakly in } L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega), \ \forall \varrho > 1 \text{ as } p \to 1^+.$$
(75)

Moreover,

$$\|\vartheta\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} \le 1$$

Proof. Using lower semicontinuity of the norm concerning n in (30), we get

$$\|u_p\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C_{13},\tag{76}$$

with C_{13} is independent of p. With the assistance of Young's inequality, it seems that

$$\|u_p\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p| dy + \int_{\Omega} |u_p| dy \le \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^p dy + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u_p|^p dy + 2\frac{p-1}{p} |\Omega| \le C_{14}.$$
 (77)

where C_{14} does not depend of p. As a result, we are able to identify a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ satisfying, up to subsequence, (70), (71) and (72). From lower semicontinuity of the norm concerning n in (31) we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_p|^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le C_{15},$$

with C_{15} is independent of p. Therefore, there exists $v \in L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying, up to subsequence,

$$u_p \rightarrow v$$
 weakly in $L^{s+1}(\partial \Omega)$ as $p \rightarrow 1^+$ and $v \ge 0$ a. e. on $\partial \Omega$.

Let $\rho > 1$, we can consider 1 , by Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$\|u_p^{p-1}\|_{L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega)} \le \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_p|^p d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\partial\Omega)\right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho} - \frac{p-1}{p}} \le C_{16},\tag{78}$$

where C_{16} does not depend on p. Thus, up to subsequence there exists $\vartheta_{\varrho} \in L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$|u_p|^{p-2}u_p \rightharpoonup \vartheta_{\varrho} \text{ weakly in } L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega) \text{ as } p \to 1^+.$$

It follows from a diagonal argument that there exists ϑ satisfying

$$|u_p|^{p-2}u_p \rightarrow \vartheta$$
 weakly in $L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega)$ as $p \rightarrow 1^+$.

Using the lower semicontinuity of the ρ -norm in (78), we get

$$\|\vartheta\|_{L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega)} \le \left(\mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\partial\Omega)\right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}.$$
(79)

Taking ρ tends to $+\infty$ in (79), it yields

$$\|\vartheta\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} \le 1.$$
(80)

Lemma 9. Assume that f belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$ is positive, $s \ge 1$, and $0 < \gamma \le 1$. Let u be the function obtained in *Lemma* 8. *Then there exists a vector field* $z \in \mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *satisfying*

$$\begin{split} & \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \in L^{1}_{loc}(\Omega), \\ & \|z\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{m}} \leq 1, \\ & -\text{div } z = \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \\ & (z, DT_{\tau}(u)) = |DT_{\tau}(u)| \text{ as measures in } \Omega \text{ for any } \tau > 0. \end{split}$$

Proof. Step 1: $\frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \in L^{1}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Employing Fatou's Lemma in (41) leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \varphi dy \le \liminf_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy \le C_{17}$$

Hence

$$\frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega).$$

Consequently we conclude that, up to a set of zero Lebesgue measure

$$\{u = 0\} \subset \{f = 0\}$$

Step 2: Existence $z \in \mathcal{DM}^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$ with $||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \leq 1$ and $-\text{div } z = \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}}$ holds in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. We will demonstrate that the sequence $\{|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p\}_{p>1}$ is bounded in $L^{\xi}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\xi \geq 1$. To achieve this, fix $1 \le \xi < +\infty$ and take 1 . Utilizing Hölder's inequality and (76), our conclusion is that

$$|||\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p||_{L^{\xi}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)} \le \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{p'}} \le (C_{13})^{p-1} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{p'}}.$$
(81)

Hence, from (81) *we find* $z \in L^{\xi}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ *where*

$$|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p \text{ converges weakly to } z \text{ in } L^{\xi}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \text{ as } p \to 1^+ \text{ and } \xi \ge 1.$$
(82)

Moreover letting p as 1^+ in (81), using the lower semicontinuity it produces

$$||z||_{L^{\xi}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)} \le |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\xi}}, \quad \forall \xi < +\infty,$$

passing to the limit as $\xi \to +\infty$, we have $||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \leq 1$.

Using $0 \le \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as a t. f. in (69), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p \cdot \nabla \phi dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy.$$
(83)

Take $\delta > 0$ *with* $\delta \notin \{t : |\{u_p = t\}| > 0\}$ *, we decompose the term in equation* (83) *as follows*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy = \int_{\{u_p \le \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy + \int_{\{u_p > \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy.$$
(84)

Notice that

$$\chi_{\{u_p>\delta\}}\frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}}\leq \frac{f}{\delta^{\gamma}}.$$

Using Lebesgue's Theorem we have

$$\lim_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\{u_p > \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy = \int_{\{u > \delta\}} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \phi dy.$$

We remark that

$$\chi_{\{u>\delta\}}\frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \leq \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}}$$

Once more applying Lebesgue's Theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \int_{\{u > \delta\}} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \phi dy = \int_{\{u > 0\}} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \phi dy.$$
(85)

To handle the first term on the right-hand side of (84), taking $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p)\phi$ as a t. f. in (69) with $0 \le \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{u_p \le \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \phi dy = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \nabla \phi dy - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta} |\nabla u_p|^p \phi dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u_p) \nabla \phi dy. \end{split}$$

Thanks to (82) we arrive at

$$\limsup_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\{u_p \le \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy \le \int_{\Omega} z \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(u) \nabla \phi dy.$$

We take the limit in $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, so that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \limsup_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\{u_p \le \delta\}} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy \le \int_{\{u=0\}} z \nabla \phi dy = 0.$$
(86)

From (85) and (86) we have

$$\lim_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \phi dy = \int_{\{u>0\}} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \phi dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \phi dy.$$
(87)

Letting $p \rightarrow 1^+$ in (83), by (82) and (87) we reach at

$$\int_{\Omega} z \nabla \phi dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \phi dy.$$

As a result (65).

From (65) we conclude that $z \in \mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Step 3: $(z, DT_{\tau}(u)) = |DT_{\tau}(u)|$ as measures in Ω .

Choosing $(T_{\tau}(u) * \rho_{\epsilon})\varphi$ as a t. f. in (65) where ρ_{ϵ} is a standard mollifier and φ belongs to $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Thus

$$-\int_{\Omega} (T_{\tau}(u) * \rho_{\epsilon})\varphi \operatorname{div} z = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} (T_{\tau}(u) * \rho_{\epsilon})\varphi dy.$$
(88)

16

Since $T_{\tau}(u) * \rho_{\epsilon} \to T_{\tau}(u) \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a$. e. as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ and $T_{\tau}(u) * \rho_{\epsilon} \leq \tau$. We obtain by letting $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ in (88) that

$$-\int_{\Omega} T_{\tau}(u)^* \varphi \operatorname{div} z = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u)^* \varphi dy.$$

Hence

$$-T_{\tau}(u)^* \operatorname{div} z = \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u)^* \quad in \quad \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

Let $\tau > 0, 0 \le \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, choosing $T_{\tau}(u_p)\varphi$ as a t. f. in (69), Young's inequality imply that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_{\tau}(u_p)|\varphi dy + \int_{\Omega} T_{\tau}(u_p)|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p \nabla \varphi dy \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u_p)\varphi dy + \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \varphi dy.$$
(89)

If $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, we see that

$$\frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}}T_{\tau}(u_p) \le \tau^{1-\gamma}f.$$

Consequently, by Lebesgue's Theorem we have

$$\lim_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u_p) \varphi dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u) \varphi dy.$$
⁽⁹⁰⁾

Expressions (89) and (90) yield

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi |DT_{\tau}(u)| + \int_{\Omega} T_{\tau}(u) z \nabla \varphi dy \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u) \varphi dy = -\int_{\Omega} T_{\tau}(u)^* \varphi \operatorname{div} z.$$

Thanks to (5), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi |DT_{\tau}(u)| \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi(z, DT_{\tau}(u)).$$

Therefore

 $|DT_{\tau}(u)| \leq (z, DT_{\tau}(u))$ as measures in Ω .

Since $||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \leq 1$ the reverse inequality is valid, namely

 $(z, DT_{\tau}(u)) = |DT_{\tau}(u)|$ as measures in Ω for any $\tau > 0$.

Lemma 10. Let $0 < f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $s \ge 1$. Suppose u and v are the functions in Lemma 8 and z is mentioned in Lemma 9. Then

$$T_{\tau}(u) + T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1} + T_{\tau}(u)[z,\sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega \ for \ any \ \tau > 0,$$

$$\vartheta + v^{s} + [z,\sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega.$$

Proof. Let $\tau > 0$, using $T_{\tau}(u_p)$ as a t. f. in (69) and applying Young's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_{\tau}(u_p)| dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} T_{\tau}(u_p) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} T_{\tau}(u_p)^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u_p) dy + \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\Omega} dy + \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\partial \Omega} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}.$$
(91)

To pass to the limit in (91) as $p \rightarrow 1^+$, by utilizing the lower semicontinuity and (73) on the left-hand side, and applying Lebesgue's Theorem on the right-hand side, we arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega} |DT_{\tau}(u)| + \int_{\partial\Omega} T_{\tau}(u) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial\Omega} T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1} d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} T_{\tau}(u) dy.$$
17

By Lemma 2 and (66), we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (T_{\tau}(u) + T_{\tau}(u)[z,\sigma] + T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1}) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \leq 0.$$

Therefore

$$T_{\tau}(u) + T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1} + T_{\tau}(u)[z,\sigma] \le 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega \ for \ any \ \tau > 0.$$
(92)

Since $|[T_{\tau}(u)z, \sigma]| \leq T_{\tau}(u)$ we reach at

$$0 \le T_{\tau}(u) + T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1} + T_{\tau}(u)[z,\sigma] \quad on \ \partial\Omega.$$
(93)

From (92) and (93) we arrive at

$$T_{\tau}(u) + T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1} + T_{\tau}(u)[z,\sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega.$$

For $p \in]1, 2[$, let $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as a t. f. in (69) we possess

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} |u_p|^{p-2} u_p \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} u_p^s \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy.$$

When p tends to 1^+ , it leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} z \nabla \varphi dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \vartheta \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial \Omega} v^{s} \varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \varphi dy.$$
(94)

Moreover, (94) can be generalized for all $\varphi \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Utilizing (65) and Lemma 2 we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\varphi[z,\sigma]d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}+\int_{\partial\Omega}\vartheta\varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}+\int_{\partial\Omega}v^{s}\varphi d\mathcal{H}^{m-1}=0.$$

for every $\varphi \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, additionally it is valid for all $\varphi \in L^{1}(\partial\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Therefore

$$\vartheta + v^s + [z, \sigma] = 0 \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. e. on \partial\Omega.$$

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 2)

Let u_p be a solution of (69), by Lemma 8 there exist functions $u \in BV(\Omega)$, $v \in L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ such that up to subsequence u_p converges a. e. to u in Ω as $p \to 1^+$, u_p converges weakly to v in $L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$ as $p \to 1^+$ and $|u_p|^{p-1}u_p \to \vartheta$ in $L^{\varrho}(\partial\Omega)$, $\forall \varrho > 1$ as $p \to 1^+$. By Lemma 9, it can be observed that z is an element of $\mathcal{DM}^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$ where $||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \leq 1$, as a further point the following equalities hold (63), (65) and (66). Finally Lemma 10 gives (67) and (68).

4.2. Uniqueness of the Solution in Ω

Our main uniqueness result in this case is the following.

Theorem 3. Assume $0 < f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$, and $s \ge 1$. If u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of problem (62), then $u_1 = u_2 a$. *e.* in Ω .

Proof. Suppose that u_i (i=1,2) are two solutions of problem (62) such that $z_i \in \mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega), \|z_i\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \leq 1$ and

$$-\operatorname{div} z_i = \frac{f}{u_i^{\gamma}} \operatorname{in} \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \tag{95}$$

$$(z_i, DT_{\tau}(u_i)) = |DT_{\tau}(u_i)| \text{ as measures in } \Omega \text{ for any } \tau > 0, \tag{96}$$

$$T_{\tau}(u_i) + T_{\tau}(v_i)^{s+1} + T_{\tau}(u_i)[z_i, \sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega \ for \ any \ \tau > 0, \tag{97}$$

$$\vartheta_i + v_i^s + [z_i, \sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} - a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega.$$
(98)

(99)

Let $\tau > 0$, taking the t. f. $(T_{\tau}(u_1) - T_{\tau}(u_2))$ in the difference of the weak formulation (95) solved by u_1 and u_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} |DT_{\tau}(u_1)| - \int_{\Omega} (z_2, DT_{\tau}(u_1)) + \int_{\Omega} |DT_{\tau}(u_2)| - \int_{\Omega} (z_1, DT_{\tau}(u_2)) \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega} [T_{\tau}(u_1)z_1, \sigma] d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} [T_{\tau}(u_2)z_2, \sigma] d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} + \int_{\partial\Omega} [T_{\tau}(u_2)z_1, \sigma] d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} [T_{\tau}(u_1)z_2, \sigma] d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f}{u_1^{\gamma}} - \frac{f}{u_2^{\gamma}} \right) (T_{\tau}(u_1) - T_{\tau}(u_2)) dy. \end{split}$$

From (97), it follows that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} |DT_{\tau}(u_{1})| - \int_{\Omega} (z_{2}, DT_{\tau}(u_{1})) + \int_{\Omega} |DT_{\tau}(u_{2})| - \int_{\Omega} (z_{1}, DT_{\tau}(u_{2})) \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \Big(T_{\tau}(u_{1}) + T_{\tau}(v_{1})^{s+1} - T_{\tau}(u_{1})[z_{2}, \sigma] \Big) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \Big(T_{\tau}(u_{2}) + T_{\tau}(v_{2})^{s+1} - T_{\tau}(u_{2})[z_{1}, \sigma] \Big) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Big(\frac{f}{u_{1}^{\gamma}} - \frac{f}{u_{2}^{\gamma}} \Big) (T_{\tau}(u_{1}) - T_{\tau}(u_{2})) dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(u_{1}u_{2})^{\gamma}} f\Big(u_{2}^{\gamma} - u_{1}^{\gamma}\Big) \Big(T_{\tau}(u_{1}) - T_{\tau}(u_{2}) \Big) dy \le 0. \end{split}$$

Using that $|T_{\tau}(u_j)[z_i, \sigma]| \leq T_{\tau}(u_j)$ for i, j = 1, 2 we find that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(T_{\tau}(u_1) + T_{\tau}(v_1)^{s+1} - T_{\tau}(u_1)[z_2,\sigma] \right) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \ge 0,$$
(100)

and that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(T_{\tau}(u_2) + T_{\tau}(v_2)^{s+1} - T_{\tau}(u_2)[z_1,\sigma] \right) d\mathcal{H}^{m-1} \ge 0.$$
(101)

Since $\int_{\Omega} \left(|DT_{\tau}(u_1)| - (z_2, DT_{\tau}(u_1)) \right) \ge 0$, $\int_{\Omega} \left(|DT_{\tau}(u_2)| - (z_1, DT_{\tau}(u_2)) \right) \ge 0$, employing (100) and (101) we have $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(u_1 u_2)^{\gamma}} f\left(u_2^{\gamma} - u_1^{\gamma}\right) \left(T_{\tau}(u_1) - T_{\tau}(u_2)\right) dy = 0.$

Therefore $T_{\tau}(u_1) = T_{\tau}(u_2)$ *a. e. in* Ω *for every* $\tau > 0$ *. In particular*

$$u_1 = u_2$$
 a. e. in Ω .

5. Solutions for $0 \le f \in L^m(\Omega)$

In this section, let us consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_1 u = \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{Du}{|Du|} \cdot \sigma + \frac{u}{|u|} + |u|^{s-1} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(102)

where *f* being nonnegative function in $L^m(\Omega)$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $s \ge 1$.

Now, we introduce the definition of solution to (102).

Definition 3. Assume f is a nonnegative function in $L^m(\Omega)$. We define u an element of $BV(\Omega)$ as a weak solution to problem (12), if there exist $v \in L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$, $\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ and $z \in \mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \leq 1$ such that

$$\frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \in L^{1}_{loc}(\Omega), \tag{100}$$

$$\chi_{\{0 < u\}} \in BV_{loc}(\Omega), \tag{103}$$

$$-\chi^*_{\{0$$

$$(z, DT_{\tau}(u)) = |DT_{\tau}(u)| \text{ as measures in } \Omega \text{ for any } \tau > 0, \tag{105}$$

$$T_{\tau}(u) + T_{\tau}(v)^{s+1} + T_{\tau}(u)[z,\sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} \ a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega \ for \ any \ \tau > 0, \tag{106}$$

$$\vartheta + v^s + [z,\sigma] = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^{m-1} a. \ e. \ on \ \partial\Omega. \tag{107}$$

Our main existence result is stated as follows.

Theorem 4. Let $0 \le f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $s \ge 1$. Then there exists a solution u to problem (62).

Proof. Let u_p be a solution to (69), by Lemma 8 there exist functions u belongs to $BV(\Omega)$, $\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying, up to subsequences that u_p converges strongly to u in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $p \to 1^+$ and $u_p \to v$ weakly in $L^{s+1}(\partial\Omega)$. Additionally, reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 9 that there exists a vector field $z \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $||z||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^m} \leq 1$ such that (105) hold. On the other hand choosing $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as a t. f. in (69), it yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p \nabla \varphi dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} \varphi dy$$

From Fatou's Lemma we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \varphi dy \le \int_{\Omega} z \nabla \varphi dy = -\int_{\Omega} \varphi \text{div } z, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
(108)

Then $z \in \mathcal{DM}_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \in L^{1}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Moreover similarly to the proof of Lemma 10, it can be demonstrated that (106) and that (107) holds.

Now, we still have to prove (103) and (104), we use $G_{\delta}(u_p)\varphi$ with $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as a t. f. in (69), with the support of Young's inequality, it appears that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_{\delta}(u_p)|\varphi dy + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p G_{\delta}(u_p) \nabla \varphi dy \le \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\Omega} G_{\delta}'(u_p) \varphi dy + \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} G_{\delta}(u_p) \varphi dy.$$
(109)

We remark that $G_{\delta}(u_p)$ is bounded in BV(Ω) regarding p, we apply lower semicontinuity, it is evident that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi |DG_{\delta}(u)| \le \lim_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_{\delta}(u_p)| \varphi dy$$
(110)

Since $G_{\delta}(u_p) \xrightarrow{*} G_{\delta}(u)$ in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as $p \to 1^+$, together with (82), one observes that

$$\lim_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p G_{\delta}(u_p) \nabla \varphi dy = \int_{\Omega} z G_{\delta}(u) \nabla \varphi dy.$$
(111)

With the help of Lebesgue's Theorem, it appears that

$$\lim_{p \to 1^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u_p^{\gamma}} G_{\delta}(u_p) \varphi dy = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} G_{\delta}(u) \varphi dy$$
(112)

Passing to the limit as $p \rightarrow 1^+$ in (109), by (110), (111) and (112). Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi |DG_{\delta}(u)| + \int_{\Omega} zG_{\delta}(u)\nabla\varphi dy \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}}G_{\delta}(u)\varphi dy.$$
(113)

Since $\frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} \in L^{1}_{loc}(\Omega)$, $G_{\delta}(u) \leq 1$ and z is a member of $\mathcal{DM}^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$, we deduce that $G_{\delta}(u)$ is bounded in $BV_{loc}(\Omega)$ concerning δ . Taking the limit as $\delta \to 0^{+}$ in (113), by applying lower semicontinuity to the first term on the left-hand side and using Lebesgue's Theorem for the remaining terms, we find that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi |D\chi_{\{0(114)$$

Hence

$$\chi_{\{0 < u\}} \in BV_{loc}(\Omega)$$

Notice that

$$-\chi^*_{\{0
(115)$$

Putting together $\{u = 0\} \subset \{f = 0\}$ *and* (115), *then* (114) *becomes*

$$-\int_{\Omega} \chi^*_{\{0(116)$$

We take $(\chi_{\{0 < u\}} * \rho_{\epsilon})\varphi$ as a t. f. in (108) with $0 \le \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and ρ_{ϵ} is a mollifier we arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{u^{\gamma}} (\chi_{\{0 < u\}} * \rho_{\epsilon}) \varphi dy \leq - \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\{0 < u\}} * \rho_{\epsilon}) \varphi \mathrm{div} \, z.$$

Passing to the limit $\epsilon \to 0^+$ in previous inequality, on the left hand side we use Fatou's Lemma and apply Lebesgue Theorem on the right hand side. Then

$$\chi_{\{0(117)$$

In accordance with (116) and (117) we obtain

$$-\chi^*_{\{0$$

Acknowledgements

A heartfelt thank you to Professor Sergio Segura de León for his invaluable assistance in revising and improving our paper.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Conflict of Interest

The authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest, or non financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, knowledge or beliefs...).

References

- Ambrosio, L., Fusco, F. and Parrala, D.: Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems. In Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (2000).
- [2] Andreu, F., Ballester, C., Caselles, V., Mazón, J. M.: The Dirichlet problem for the total variation flow, J. Funct. Anal. 180, 347-403 (2001).
- [3] Andreu, F., Caselles, V., Mazón, J. M. : Parabolic quasilinear equations minimizing linear growth functionals. Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2004).
- [4] Andreu, F., Dall'Aglio, A., and Segura de León, S.: Bounded solutions to the 1-Laplacian equation with a critical gradient term, Asymptot. Anal. 80 (1-2), 21-43 (2012).
- [5] Anzellotti, G.: Pairings between measures and bounded functions and compensated compacteness, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl 135(4), 293-318(1983).

- [6] Basu, S., Fletcher, T., & Whitaker, R.: Rician noise removal in diffusion tensor MRI. In Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2006: 9th International Conference, Copenhagen, Denmark, October 1-6, 2006. Proceedings, Part I 9 (pp. 117-125). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [7] Canino, A., Sciunzi, B. and Trombetta, A.: Existence and uniqueness for p-Laplace equations involving singular nonlinearities. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. App. 23, 8-18 (2016).
- [8] Chen, Y., Levine, S. and Rao, M.: Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, SIAM J. Appl. Math, 66, 1383-1406 (2006).
- [9] De Cave, L. M.: Nonlinear elliptic equation with singular nonlinearities, Asymptotic Analysis 84, 181-195 (2013).
- [10] De Cicco, V., Giachetti, D., Oliva, F. and Petitta, F.: The Dirichlet problem for singular elliptic equations with general nonlinearities, Calc. Var. 58 (4), 129 (2019).
- [11] De Cicco, V., Giachetti, D. and Segura de León, S.: Elliptic problems involving the 1-Laplacian and a singular lower order term, J. London. Math. Soc. 99 (2), 349-376 (2019).
- [12] Della Pietra, F., Oliva, F., and Segura de León, S.: Behaviour of solution to p-Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions as p goes to 1, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics, in press, published online, doi:10.1017/prm.2022.92.
- [13] Della Pietra, F., Oliva, F., and Segura de León, S.: On a nonlinear Robin problem with an absorption term on the boundary and L¹ data, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis, https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2023-0118.
- [14] El Hadfi, Y., El Hichami, M.: On 1–Laplacian elliptic problems involving a singular term and an L^1 data, Journal of Elliptic and Parabolic Equation. 9, 501-533 (2023).
- [15] El Hichami, M., El Hadfi,Y.: Existence of bounded solutions for a class of singular elliptic problems involving the 1-Laplacian operator, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat. 117, 111 (2023).
- [16] Evans, L.: The 1–Laplacian, the ∞–Laplacian and differential games, Contemp. Math, 446, 245-254 (2007).
- [17] Kovařík, H. and Pankrashkin, K. : On the *p*-Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions and boundary trace theorems, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **2** (56) 1-29 (2017).
- [18] Latorre, M., Oliva, F., Petitta, F. and Segura de León, S.: The Dirichlet problem for the 1–Laplacian with a general singular term and L^1 -data, Nonlinearity. **34**, 3, 1791-1816 (2021).
- [19] Lee, J. S., Jurkevich, L., Dewaele, P., Wambacq, P., & Oosterlinck, A.: Speckle filtering of synthetic aperture radar images: A review. Remote sensing reviews, 8(4), 313-340, (1994).
- [20] Leray, J., Lions, J. L.: QuelQues résultats de Višik sur les problémes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, Bull. Soc. Math France 93, 97-107 (1965).
- [21] Mazón, J.M., Rossi, J.D and Segura de León, S.: The 1-Lalplacian elliptic equation with inhomogeneous Robin boundary conditions. Diff. Int. Eq. 28 (5-6), 409-430 (2015).
- [22] Mercaldo, A., Rossi, J.D., Segura de León, S and Trombetti, C.: Behaviour of *p*-Laplacian prolems with Neumann boundary conditions when *p* goes to 1, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis **12** (1), 253-267 (2013).
- [23] Mercaldo, A., Segura de León, S. and Trombetti, C.: On the solutions to 1–Laplacian equation with L^1 data. J. Funct. Anal. **256** (8), 2387-2416 (2009).
- [24] Nachman, A., Callegari, A.: A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids, SIAM J. App. Math. 38 (2) 275-281 (1980).
- [25] Nečas, J.: Direct methods in the theory of elliptic equations Transl. from the French, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Berlin: Springer (2012).
- [26] Nowosad, P., On the integral equation kf = 1/f arising in a problem in communication, J. Math. Appl. 14 484-492 (1966)
- [27] Petitta, F., Ponce, A.C., Porretta, A.: Diffuse measures and nonlinear parabolic equations, J. Evol. Equ. 11 (4) 861-905 (2011).
- [28] Porretta, A.: Nonlinear equations with natural growth terms and measure data, in: 2002-Fez Conference on Partial Differential Equations, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns. Conf. 09 (2002) 183-202.
- [29] Rudin, L. I., Osher, S., & Fatemi, E.: Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms. Physica D: nonlinear phenomena, 60(1-4), 259-268, (1992).
- [30] Sbai, A., El Hadfi, Y.: Degenerate elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 2023, vol. 68, no 5, p. 701-718.
- [31] Sbai, A., El Hadfi, Y.: Regularizing effect of absorption terms in singular and degenerate elliptic problems. Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. 42, 1-17 (2024)
- [32] Sbai, A., El Hadfi, Y., El Ouardy, M.: Singular elliptic problem involving a Hardy potential and lower order term. Asympt. Anal. vol. 134, no. 1-2, 213-225, (2023)
- [33] Sbai, A., El Hadfi, Y., Zeng, S.: Nonlinear singular elliptic equations of p-Laplace type with superlinear growth in the gradient. Mediterr. J. Math. 20, 32 (2023)
- [34] Tristán-Vega, A., & Aja-Fernández, S.: DWI filtering using joint information for DTI and HARDI. Medical image analysis, 14(2), 205-218, (2010).
- [35] Vázquez, J.L.: A strong maximum principale for some quaslinear elliptic equations, Appl. Math. Optim. 12 (3), 191-202 (1984).
- [36] Ziemer, W. P. : Weakly differentialle functions. Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 120 (Springer, New York, 1989).