

LOOP SPACES OF POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE POLYHEDRAL JOIN PRODUCT

BRIONY ELDRIDGE

ABSTRACT. We give a homotopy equivalence for the loop space of the moment-angle complex associated with a simplicial complex formed by the polyhedral join operation, and give necessary conditions for this loop space to be a finite type product of spheres and loops on spheres.

1. INTRODUCTION

Polyhedral products are topological spaces formed by attaching products of spaces together according to an underlying simplicial complex. The study of polyhedral products is of interest across many different disciplines of mathematics, including toric topology, combinatorics, geometric group theory, and complex geometry [BBC20]. Specifically, let K be a simplicial complex on m vertices. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let (X_i, A_i) be a pair of pointed CW -complexes, where A_i is a pointed CW subcomplex of X_i . Let $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \{(X_i, A_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ be the sequence of CW -pairs. We can identify each simplex $\sigma \in K$ with the sequence (i_1, \dots, i_k) where i_1, \dots, i_k are the vertices of σ and $i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Define $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$ as

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^m Y_i, \quad Y_i = \begin{cases} X_i & i \in \sigma \\ A_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *polyhedral product associated to K* is defined as

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^m X_i.$$

An important special case is the *moment-angle complex*, denoted \mathcal{Z}_K , which is the polyhedral product where each pair is (D^2, S^1) . There are two problems that provide motivation for this work: how the homotopy type of the polyhedral product changes under simplicial operations, and determining the homotopy type of loop spaces of polyhedral products.

It is well known that the polyhedral product on the join of two simplicial complexes is the Cartesian product of polyhedral products on each complex, and in [The22] a homotopy equivalence for the loop space of the polyhedral product on the connected sum of simplicial complexes was given. In this paper, we consider how the homotopy theory of the moment-angle complex changes under the *polyhedral join product* operation, which is defined similarly to the polyhedral product operation, but with pairs of spaces (X_i, A_i) and the Cartesian product being replaced with pairs of simplicial

complexes (K_i, L_i) and the join operation. To be exact, let M be a simplicial complex on $[m]$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, and let $(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) = \{(K_i, L_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ be a sequence of pairs of simplicial complexes, where L_i is a subcomplex on K_i , considered to be on the vertex set of K_i . We can identify each simplex $\sigma \in M$ with the sequence (i_1, \dots, i_k) where i_1, \dots, i_k are the vertices of σ and $i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Define $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma}$ as the following subcomplex of $K_1 * \dots * K_m$:

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} = \underset{i=1}{*}^m Y_i, \quad Y_i = \begin{cases} K_i & i \in \sigma \\ L_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *polyhedral join product* is defined as

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in M} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma}.$$

The polyhedral join product produces a new simplicial complex, but retains combinatorial qualities of the ingredient complexes. Just as the polyhedral product unifies various constructions of spaces across different fields, the polyhedral join product construction unifies various constructions of simplicial complexes. In [Ewa86], the concept of the simplicial wedge construction for toric varieties was introduced, and this construction was first considered in regards to the polyhedral product in [BBCG15]. This was then generalised in [Ayz13] to the composition complex (at the time, this was named the substitution complex, but has since changed). The composition complex has applications in topological data analysis [Kar20]. This construction is similar, but different to the substitution complex in [AP19], where it was shown that specific substitution complexes are the smallest simplicial complexes that realise iterated higher Whitehead products. Substitution complexes have also been considered in geometric group theory [DO12]. Recently, a specific example of the substitution complex was used to prove a stability theorem for bigraded persistent double homology [BLP⁺24]. Both the substitution complex and the construction complex are specific examples of polyhedral join products, which was first defined in [Ayz13]. Polyhedral products and polyhedral join products have a nice relation, as characterised in [Vid18] (Theorem 2.9). Recently, Steenrod operations with relation to polyhedral join products has been explored [AGI⁺24].

In this paper, we obtain a homotopy equivalence for $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}}$. To do this, we utilise facts about the underlying simplicial complex M . Recall that the *link* of a vertex is the subcomplex $\text{lk}_M(v) = \{\tau \in M \mid \{v\} \cap \tau = \emptyset, \{v\} \cup \tau \in K\}$ and the *restriction* of a vertex is the subcomplex $M \setminus v = \{\tau \in M \mid \{v\} \cap \tau = \emptyset\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ be a polyhedral join product. There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \times \prod_{i=k}^m \Omega(G_i * H_i)$$

where G_i is the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})} *_{1k_{M \setminus \{m, \dots, i+1\}}^{(i)}} \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})} *_{M \setminus \{m, \dots, i\}}^M$, H_i is the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$ and $k \in [m]$ is such that $M \setminus \{m, \dots, k\}$ is a simplex.

Such a homotopy equivalence allows us to explore the homotopy type of $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})} *^M$, which is the second problem motivating this work.

Let \mathcal{P} be the full subcategory of topological spaces that are homotopy equivalent to a finite type product of spheres and loops on spheres. We can then consider when $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ belongs to \mathcal{P} . This is part of a wider body of work investigating Anick's conjecture. Anick's conjecture states that if a space X is a finite, connected CW -complex, then localised at almost all primes p , ΩX decomposes as a finite type product of spheres, loops on spheres, and indecomposable space related to Moore spaces. Currently, there are two known types of simplicial complexes that give rise to polyhedral products such that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$; that is to say, they verify Anick's conjecture without the need to localise. One type is simplicial complexes such that the associated polyhedral product $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$ belongs to the collection of topological spaces which are homotopy equivalent to a finite type wedge of spheres, denoted \mathcal{W} . Much progress has been made in determining which families of simplicial complexes give rise to such polyhedral products. For example, when K is shifted [GT13], flag with chordal 1-skeleton [GPTW16], and most generally, a totally fillable complex [IK13], then $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{W}$. If $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{W}$, then the Hilton-Milnor theorem implies that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$. Many polyhedral products are not wedges of spheres, but their loop space belongs to \mathcal{P} , and recently much progress in this area has been made. [Sta24a] showed that when K is the k -skeleton of a flag complex, $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$ and in [Vyl24] an explicit loop space decomposition was given. Furthermore, in [Sta24b], it was shown that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if each full subcomplex K_I with a complete 1-skeleton is such that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_I} \in \mathcal{P}$. However, the polyhedral join product produces large simplicial complexes, and it is hard to classify all subcomplexes with a complete 1-skeleton, and so a different approach is required. For the polyhedral join product, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ vertices. Let $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ be a polyhedral join product and let K_i be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[k_i]$ for $i \in [m]$. Let $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$ be a sequence of pairs (CA_j, A_j) where $1 \leq j \leq k_1 + \dots + k_m$. Suppose $\Sigma A_j \in \mathcal{W}$ for all j . Let H_i be the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$ for $i \in [m]$. If $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$ for each $i \in [m]$, then $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})} *^M \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Theorem 1.2 gives the conditions under which polyhedral products associated with polyhedral join products verify Anick's conjecture; conditions that depend on the pairs $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})$ and the polyhedral product $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M$. We use Theorem 1.2 in section 7 to generate new families of simplicial complexes

such that $\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{P}$, but the simplicial complex $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex, or such that $\mathcal{Z}_{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{W}$. To do this, we analyze the substitution complex.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we cover some preliminary results, and in Section 3 we prove many combinatorial results concerning the polyhedral join product. In Section 4 we prove a homotopy equivalence concerning polyhedral products, and in Section 5 we apply this to the polyhedral join product. In Section 6 we consider when the polyhedral join product preserves the property of $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{P}$. Finally, in Section 7, we define new families of simplicial complexes for which $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{P}$. The author would like to thank Stephen Theriault for many valuable and constructive discussions during the preparation of this work. The author would also like to thank Lewis Stanton for reading a draft of this work and providing insightful comments.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2.1. Properties of \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{P} . Let \mathcal{W} denote the collection of topological spaces that are homotopy equivalent to a finite type wedge of simply connected spheres, and let \mathcal{P} be the collection of H -spaces which are homotopy equivalent to a finite type product of spheres and loops on simply connected spheres. Relations between spaces in \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{W} proved in this section will be used throughout the paper. We begin by stating several results, the first of which follows from the Hilton-Milnor Theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *If $X \in \mathcal{W}$, then $\Omega X \in \mathcal{P}$. \square*

The following two lemmas are well known results. For proofs, see [The24] and [Ame24] respectively.

Lemma 2.2. *If $X \in \mathcal{P}$ then $\Sigma X \in \mathcal{W}$. \square*

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $X \in \mathcal{W}$, and let A be a space which retracts off X . Then $A \in \mathcal{W}$. \square*

Lemma 2.4. [Sta24a] *Let $X \in \mathcal{P}$, and let A be a space which retracts off X . Then $A \in \mathcal{P}$. \square*

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a pointed CW-complex, and suppose $\Omega\Sigma X \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $\Sigma X \in \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. It is well known that if Y is a co- H space, then Y retracts off $\Sigma\Omega Y$. First note that as $\Omega\Sigma X \in \mathcal{P}$, we obtain $\Sigma\Omega\Sigma X \in \mathcal{W}$ by Lemma 2.2. Although X may not be a co- H space, ΣX is, and so ΣX retracts off $\Sigma\Omega\Sigma X$. As ΣX retracts off a space in \mathcal{W} , by Lemma 2.3 $\Sigma X \in \mathcal{W}$. \square

2.2. Polyhedral products. In this subsection, we cover some essential results concerning polyhedral products and loop space decompositions of polyhedral products. We begin by stating some fundamental properties of polyhedral products relating to the underlying simplicial complex. Recall that the *join* of two simplicial complexes K_1 and K_2 is the simplicial complex

$$K_1 * K_2 = \{\sigma \cup \tau \mid \sigma \in K_1 \text{ and } \tau \in K_2\}.$$

The following lemma follows from this definition and the definition of the polyhedral product.

Lemma 2.6. [BP15, Proposition 4.2.5] *Let $K = K_1 * K_2$, where K_1 is on the vertex set $\{1, \dots, l\}$ and K_2 is on the vertex set $\{l + 1, \dots, m\}$. Then*

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_1} \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_2}. \quad \square$$

Let L be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[l]$, where $l \leq m$. Let \bar{L} be the simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$ by considering the elements of $[m]$ that are not vertices of L as *ghost vertices*.

Proposition 2.7. [GT13] *Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$, and suppose $K = K_1 \cup_L K_2$, that is, K is a pushout of K_1 and K_2 over L . Then, by regarding $\bar{L}, \bar{K}_1, \bar{K}_2$ as simplicial complexes on the vertex set $[m]$, there is a pushout of polyhedral products*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\bar{L}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\bar{K}_1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\bar{K}_2} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K. \end{array}$$

□

Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$. For a vertex $v \in K$, the *star*, *restriction* and *link* of v are the subcomplexes

$$\text{st}_K(v) = \{\tau \in K \mid \{v\} \cup \tau \in K\},$$

$$K \setminus v = \{\tau \in K \mid \{v\} \cap \tau = \emptyset\},$$

$$\text{lk}_K(v) = \{\tau \in K \mid \{v\} \cap \tau = \emptyset, \{v\} \cup \tau \in K\} = \text{st}_K(v) \cap K \setminus v.$$

From the above definitions, for each vertex $i \in [m]$ there is a pushout of simplicial complexes

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{lk}_K(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{st}_K(i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K \setminus \{i\} & \longrightarrow & K. \end{array}$$

As $\text{st}_K(i) = \text{lk}_K(i) * i$, by Lemma 2.6, we can rewrite $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\text{st}_K(i)}$ as $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\text{lk}_K(i)} \times X_i$. Applying Proposition 2.7 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *For each vertex $i \in [m]$ there is a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\text{lk}_{\mathcal{K}}(i)} \times A_i & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\text{lk}_{\mathcal{K}}(i)} \times X_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K \setminus i} \times A_i & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \end{array}$$

where $\text{lk}_{\mathcal{K}}(i)$ is regarded as a simplicial complex on the vertex set of $K \setminus i$. □

We now give some results concerning a specific type of subcomplex. The subcomplex L is a *full subcomplex* of K if every face in K on the vertex set $[l]$ is also a face of L . We denote a full subcomplex on a set $I \subseteq [m]$ as K_I .

Lemma 2.9. [DS07] *Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$ and let L be a full subcomplex of K on the vertex set $[l]$. Then the induced map of polyhedral products $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ has a left inverse*

$$r : (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.10. *If $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$, then for any full subcomplex K_I of K , we have $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_I} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_I}$ is a retract of $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$, and so by Lemma 2.4 we have $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_I} \in \mathcal{P}$. □

Lemma 2.11. *Let L be a full subcomplex of K , and let $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$. If F is the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$, then $\Sigma F \in \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. As L is a full subcomplex of K , the map $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ has a left homotopy inverse by Lemma 2.9, and so the connecting map $\delta : \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \rightarrow F$ has a right homotopy inverse. Therefore the homotopy fibration sequence $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \rightarrow \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \xrightarrow{\delta} F$ splits to give a homotopy equivalence $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \simeq \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \times F$. As $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$, F must therefore be in \mathcal{P} by Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.2, ΣF must therefore be in \mathcal{W} . □

Lemma 2.12. [The17] *Let G_i be the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{\text{lk}_{\mathcal{K}}\{m, \dots, i+1\}(i)} \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K \setminus \{m, \dots, i\}}$, and let Y_i be the homotopy fibre of the map $A_i \rightarrow X_i$. Then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega X_i \times \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega(\Sigma G_i \wedge Y_i). \quad \square$$

We can apply this Lemma to determine when $\Sigma^k G_i \in \mathcal{W}$.

Lemma 2.13. *Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$. Let $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$, and let G_i denote the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\text{lk}_{\mathcal{K}}(i)} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K \setminus i}$. Suppose A_i is $(k-1)$ -connected. If $\Sigma A_i \in \mathcal{W}$, then $\Sigma^k G_m \in \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.12 we have that

$$\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \simeq \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K \setminus i} \times \Omega \Sigma(G_i \wedge A_i).$$

As $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$, by Lemma 2.4 we have $\Omega(\Sigma G_i \wedge A_i) \in \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 2.5, $\Sigma G_i \wedge A_i \in \mathcal{W}$. As $\Sigma A_i \in \mathcal{W}$ by hypothesis, ΣA_i is homotopy equivalent to $\bigvee_{j \in \alpha} S^j$ for some indexing set α . Therefore

$$\Sigma G_i \wedge A_i \simeq \bigvee_{j \in \alpha} G_i \wedge S^j \simeq \bigvee_{j \in \alpha} \Sigma^j G_i.$$

As each $\Sigma^j G_i$ is retracting off $\Sigma G_i \wedge A_i$, we have $\Sigma^j G_i \in \mathcal{W}$ by Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, as A_i is $(k-1)$ -connected, ΣA_i is k -connected. Therefore the smallest $j \in \alpha$ is k , and so $\Sigma^k G_i \in \mathcal{W}$. \square

Lemma 2.14. *Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$. If $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$ is a sequence of pairs of spaces where each A_i is at least $(k-1)$ -connected for some k , then $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$ is at least $(2k-2)$ -connected.*

Proof. After suspending, by [BBCG10] there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \simeq \Sigma \bigvee_{I \notin K} |K_I| * \hat{A}^I$$

where $|K_I|$ is the geometric realisation of the full subcomplex on the vertex set I , and \hat{A}^I is the iterated smash product $A_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{i_r}$. By assumption $\text{conn}(A_i) \geq k-1$ for all $i \in [m]$, and so the connectivity of \hat{A}^I is at least $k|I|-1$. Therefore the connectivity of $|K_I| * \hat{A}^I \simeq \Sigma |K_I| \wedge \hat{A}^I$ is at least $\text{conn}(|K_I|) + k|I| + 1$. This is minimised when $|I| = 2$, in which case $|K_I| \simeq S^0$. Therefore the lower bound for the connectivity of $|K_I| * \hat{A}^I$ is $2k-1$, and so $\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$ is at least $(2k-1)$ -connected. After desuspending, we obtain $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$ is at least $(2k-2)$ -connected. \square

Corollary 2.15. *Let \bar{L} be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$ with ghost vertices. If $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$ is a sequence of pairs of spaces where each A_i is at least $(k-1)$ -connected for some k , then $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\bar{L}}$ is at least $(k-1)$ -connected.*

Proof. Note that there is an equality

$$(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\bar{L}} = (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^L \times \prod_{i \notin L} A_i$$

that follows from the definition of the polyhedral product. The lower bound for connectivity immediately follows. \square

Lemma 2.16. *Let K be a simplicial complex with no ghost vertices, and let L be a subcomplex of K . Let H be the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\bar{L}} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$. Suppose that each A_i is at least $(k-1)$ -connected. Then H is at least $(k-1)$ -connected.*

where each $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma}$ is defined as

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} = \prod_{i=1}^m Y_i, \quad Y_i = \begin{cases} K_i & i \in \sigma \\ L_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now consider some examples of polyhedral join products on pairs of simplicial complexes.

Example 3.1. Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$, and let K_1, \dots, K_m be simplicial complexes on the vertex sets $[k_1], \dots, [k_m]$ respectively.

- (1) The *substitution complex* $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ as introduced in [AP19] is the polyhedral join product on the pairs (K_i, \emptyset) .
- (2) The *composition complex* $K\langle K_1, \dots, K_m \rangle$ as introduced in [Ayz13] is the polyhedral join product on the pairs $\{(\Delta^{m_i-1}, K_i)\}_{i=1}^m$.

We now prove some combinatorial properties of the polyhedral join product.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$, and let N be a subcomplex on the vertex set $[l]$ where $l \leq m$. Then $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$ is a subcomplex of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$. Furthermore, if N is a full subcomplex of M , $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$ is a full subcomplex of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$.*

Proof. Let τ_i denote a simplex in K_i and let ω_i denote a simplex in L_i . Every simplex $\sigma \in N$ will generate simplices of the form $(\cup_{i \in \sigma} \tau_i) \cup (\cup_{i \notin \sigma} \omega_i)$. As N is a subcomplex of M , $\sigma \in M$, and so $(\cup_{i \in \sigma} \tau_i) \cup (\cup_{i \notin \sigma} \omega_i) \in (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ for all $\sigma \in N$. Therefore $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$ is a subcomplex of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$. Now let N be a full subcomplex of M . Assume $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$ is not a full subcomplex of M , that is, there exists a simplex σ' on the vertex set of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$ such that $\sigma' \in (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$, but $\sigma' \notin (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$. By definition, $\sigma' = (\cup_{i \in \sigma} \tau_i) \cup (\cup_{i \notin \sigma} \omega_i)$ for some $\sigma \in M$. As N is a full subcomplex of M , $\sigma \in N$. By the definition of the polyhedral join product, $(\cup_{i \in \sigma} \tau_i) \cup (\cup_{i \notin \sigma} \omega_i)$ will form a simplex in $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$, contradicting the claim that $\sigma' \notin (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$. Therefore $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}$ is a full subcomplex of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \longrightarrow & M_B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_A & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

be a pushout of simplicial complexes. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{N}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_B}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_A}} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} \end{array}$$

is a pushout of simplicial complexes, where M is on the vertex set $[m]$, and \overline{N} , $\overline{M_A}$ and $\overline{M_B}$ refer to N, M_A, M_B regarded as simplicial complexes on the vertex set of M .

Proof. As M is finite, the faces in M can be sorted into three categories: (X) the faces in N , (Y) the faces in M_A that are not in N , and (Z) the faces in M_B that are not in N . Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} \sigma, \\ M_A &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in X} \sigma \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma' \in Y} \sigma' \right), \\ M_B &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in X} \sigma \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma'' \in Z} \sigma'' \right), \\ M &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in X} \sigma \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma' \in Y} \sigma' \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma'' \in Z} \sigma'' \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the polyhedral join product, we have that $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in M} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{N}} &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma}, \\ (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_A}} &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in X} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma' \in Y} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma'} \right), \\ (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_B}} &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in X} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma'' \in Z} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma''} \right), \\ (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in X} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma' \in Y} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma'} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\sigma'' \in Z} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma''} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_A}} \cup (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_B}} = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ and $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_A}} \cap (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{M_B}} = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{N}}$, the result holds. \square

Lemma 3.4. *There is an equality of simplicial complexes*

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*(M*N)} = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} * (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}.$$

Proof. Simplices in $M * N$ will be of the form $\sigma \cup \tau$, where $\sigma \in M$, $\tau \in N$. Consider $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma \cup \tau}$. By definition, this is $*_{i=1}^m Y_i$ where $Y_i = K_i$ if $i \in \sigma \cup \tau$ and L_i otherwise. As σ and τ are on disjoint vertex sets, $*_{i=1}^m Y_i$ can be written as $(*_{j=1}^l Y_j) * (*_{k=l+1}^m Y_k)$ where $Y_j = K_j$ if $j \in \sigma$ and L_j otherwise, and $Y_k = K_k$ if $k \in \tau$, and L_k otherwise. Therefore, $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma \cup \tau} = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} * (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\tau}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*(M*N)} &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in M, \tau \in N} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma \cup \tau} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in M, \tau \in N} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} * (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\tau} = \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in M} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} \right) * \left(\bigcup_{\tau \in N} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\tau} \right) = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} * (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 3.5. *Let N be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m-1]$, and let \overline{N} be the simplicial complex N considered as a simplicial complex on $[m]$. Then $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{N}} = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*N} * L_m$.*

Proof. Let σ denote a simplex in N , and let $\overline{\sigma}$ denote the same simplex viewed as a simplex in \overline{N} . Consider $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{\sigma}}$. By definition, this is $\prod_{i=1}^m Y_i = \left(\prod_{i \in \overline{\sigma}} * K_i \right) * \left(\prod_{i \notin \overline{\sigma}} * L_i \right)$. As m is a ghost vertex, $m \notin \overline{\sigma}$ for all $\overline{\sigma} \in \overline{N}$, and so

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\overline{\sigma}} = \left(\prod_{i \in \sigma} * K_i \right) * \left(\prod_{i \notin \sigma, i \neq m} * L_i \right) * L_m = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\sigma} * L_m$$

where σ is now considered a simplex of N . Taking the union over all faces $\sigma \in N$ gives the required result. \square

Corollary 3.6. *There is a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)} * L_i & \longrightarrow & (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)} * K_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i} * L_i & \longrightarrow & (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}. \end{array}$$

where $\text{lk}_M(i)$ is regarded as a simplicial complex on the vertex set of $M \setminus i$.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.3 and the pushout 1. \square

We can construct the polyhedral join product sequentially as follows. Define $M(i)$ as the polyhedral join product $(\underline{P}^i, \underline{Q}^i)^{*M}$ where the sequence $(\underline{P}^i, \underline{Q}^i)$ is defined as

$$(\underline{P}^i, \underline{Q}^i) = \begin{cases} (K_j, L_j) & j \leq i \\ (\{j\}, \emptyset) & j > i. \end{cases}$$

The vertex set of $M(i)$ shall be regarded as

$$\{\{j_1^1, \dots, j_{k_1}^1\}, \{j_1^2, \dots, j_{k_2}^2\}, \dots, \{j_1^i, \dots, j_{k_i}^i\}, i+1, \dots, m\} = \{[k_1], \dots, [k_i], i+1, \dots, m\}$$

This allows us to keep track of the original vertex set of M and the new vertices added by the polyhedral join operation.

Note that $M(m) = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$, $M(0) = M$, and each $M(i)$ is a subcomplex of $M(i+1)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})$ be a sequence of pairs of simplicial complexes, and let $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})$ be a sequence of simplicial complexes such that*

$$(\underline{P}_j, \underline{Q}_j) = \begin{cases} (K_j, L_j) & j \neq i \\ (\{i\}, \emptyset) & j = i. \end{cases}$$

Then there is a identity of simplicial complexes

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i} = ((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i.$$

Furthermore, there is a identity of simplicial complexes

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)} = \text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i)$$

where $\text{lk}_M(i)$ is considered on the vertex set of $M \setminus i$ and $\text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i)$ is considered on the vertex set of $((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i$.

Proof. Let τ_j denote a simplex in K_j and let ω_j denote a simplex in L_j . The simplices of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i}$ are all of the form $(\cup_{j \in \sigma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \sigma, j \neq i} \omega_j)$ for $\sigma \in M \setminus i$. As every simplex of $M \setminus i$ is a simplex of M , all such simplices will be contained in $((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M})$. As $i \notin \sigma$, these simplices will be unchanged under the deletion of i from $((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M})$. Therefore $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i} \subseteq ((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i$. The simplices of $((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i$ will be of two forms: those induced by $\gamma \in M$, such that $i \notin \gamma$, and those induced by $\kappa \in M$ such that $i \in \kappa$. The simplices of $((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i$ induced by γ are clearly in $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i}$. If $\kappa \in M$ contains i , then κ generates simplices of the form $((\cup_{j \in \kappa} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \kappa} \omega_j)) \setminus i = ((\cup_{j \in \kappa, j \neq i} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \kappa, j \neq i} \omega_j) \cup \{i\}) \setminus \{i\} = (\cup_{j \in \kappa, j \neq i} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \kappa} \omega_j)$. As $\kappa \in M$ and $i \in \kappa$, we have $\kappa \setminus i \in M \setminus i$. Therefore, $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i}$ contains all simplices of the form $(\cup_{j \in \kappa, j \neq i} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \kappa} \omega_j)$. As all simplices of $((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i$ are contained in $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i}$, we obtain $((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i \subseteq (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i}$. We therefore have $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i} = ((\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}) \setminus i$.

Now let us consider the second statement. Simplices of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)}$ are of the form $(\cup_{j \in \sigma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \sigma} \omega_j)$ where $\omega \cup i \in M$. By Lemma 3.2, $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)}$ is a subcomplex of $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus i}$, and so by the above equality, $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)}$ is a subcomplex of $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M \setminus i}$, and so $(\cup_{j \in \sigma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \sigma} \omega_j)$ is contained in $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M \setminus i}$. As $\sigma \in \text{lk}_M(i)$, $\sigma \cup i \in M$, and therefore $(\cup_{j \in \sigma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \sigma, j \neq i} \omega_j) \cup i$ is a simplex of $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}$. By the definition of the link of a vertex, the simplex $(\cup_{j \in \sigma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \sigma, j \neq i} \omega_j)$ is contained in $\text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i)$. Therefore $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)} \subseteq \text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i)$. Now consider a simplex in $\text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i)$. Such simplices have the form $(\cup_{j \in \gamma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \gamma, j \neq i} \omega_j)$ where $(\cup_{j \in \gamma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \gamma, j \neq i} \omega_j) \cup i \in (\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}$. As $(\cup_{j \in \gamma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \gamma, j \neq i} \omega_j) \cup \{i\}$ is a simplex of $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}$, this implies that $\gamma \cup \{i\}$ is a simplex of M . Therefore for all γ such that $(\cup_{j \in \gamma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \gamma, j \neq i} \omega_j) \in \text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i)$, the simplex $\gamma \in \text{lk}_M(i)$. Therefore $(\cup_{j \in \gamma} \tau_j) \cup (\cup_{j \notin \gamma, j \neq i} \omega_j) \in (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)}$, and so $\text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i) \subseteq (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)}$. We therefore have the equality $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(i)} = \text{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M}}(i)$. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})$ be a sequence of pairs of simplicial complexes, and let $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})$ be a sequence of simplicial complexes such that*

$$(P_j, Q_j) = \begin{cases} (K_j, L_j) & j \neq i \\ (\{i\}, \emptyset) & j = i. \end{cases}$$

Let $\overline{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}$ be the simplicial complex defined by the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}(i) * L_i & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}(i) * K_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M} \setminus i * L_i & \longrightarrow & \overline{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}. \end{array}$$

Then there is an identity of simplicial complexes

$$(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} = \overline{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.7 we can rewrite $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})^{*M} \setminus i$ and $\mathrm{lk}_{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}(i)$. Therefore the pushout defining $\overline{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}$ can be written as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\mathrm{lk}_M(i)} * L_i & \longrightarrow & (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\mathrm{lk}_M(i)} * K_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M} \setminus i * L_i & \longrightarrow & \overline{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M}. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.6, $\overline{(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})}^{*M} = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$. □

Recall that $M(i)$ is defined as polyhedral join product $(\underline{P}^i, \underline{Q}^i)^{*M}$ where the sequence $(\underline{P}^i, \underline{Q}^i)$ is defined as

$$(\underline{P}_j^i, \underline{Q}_j^i) = \begin{cases} (K_j, L_j) & j \leq i \\ (\{j\}, \emptyset) & j > i. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.8, with $(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) = ((\underline{P}^{i+1}, \underline{Q}^{i+1}))$ and $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q}) = (\underline{P}^i, \underline{Q}^i)$, we obtain $M(i+1)$ from $M(i)$ via the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{lk}_{M(i)}(i+1) * L_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{lk}_{M(i)}(i+1) * K_{i+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(i) \setminus \{i+1\} * L_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & M(i+1). \end{array}$$

We therefore obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.9. *The polyhedral join product can be constructed sequentially. That is, given a simplicial complex M on the vertex set $[m]$, we can obtain $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ via the following sequence*

$$M \subset M(1) \subset M^2 \subset \dots \subset M(m) = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$$

where at each point, $M(i+1)$ is obtained from $M(i)$ via the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{lk}_{M(i)}(i+1) * L_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{lk}_{M(i)}(i+1) * K_{i+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(i) \setminus \{i+1\} * L_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & M(i+1). \end{array}$$

□

Specialising to the substitution complex, we give specific results concerning full subcomplexes.

Lemma 3.10. *Let K be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ vertices, and let L be a full subcomplex of K on $[l]$ vertices where $l \leq m$. Then $L(K_1, \dots, K_l)$ is a full subcomplex of $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.2. □

Corollary 3.11. *Let $K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ be a substitution complex. Then each K_i is a full subcomplex on its original vertex set.*

Proof. As each vertex is a full subcomplex, by Lemma 3.10 $\{i\}(K_1, \dots, K_m) = K_i$ is a full subcomplex of $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$. □

Lemma 3.12. *Let $K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ be a substitution complex. Then $K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ contains a full subcomplex K' , such that there is a simplicial equivalence $K' = K$.*

Proof. Let v_i denote a vertex belonging to K_i . Consider the full subcomplex on the vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \in K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. We will show that this is isomorphic to a copy of K . Let $\sigma = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ be a simplex of K . By definition of the substitution operation, $\sigma_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \sigma_{j_k} \in K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ for all $\sigma_{j_i} \in K_{j_i}$. Letting each $\sigma_{j_i} = v_{j_i} \in K_{j_i}$, we have that $(v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_k}) \in K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. Therefore, for every simplex $\sigma \in K$, we have a copy $\sigma' = (v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_k}) \in K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$, and so a copy of K is contained in $K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. Denote this copy K' . We now show K' is a full subcomplex of $K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. Assume that K' is not a full subcomplex, so there is a face τ' on some subset of the vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ that is not a face of K' but is a face of $K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. Let $\tau' = (v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_k}) \in K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. By definition of substitution, $\tau = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ is a simplex in K . But then as there is a copy of every simplex in K replicated on the vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, we have that τ' must be a face on the vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, and so K' is a full subcomplex of $K(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. □

In general, the polyhedral join product does not contain a copy of the original simplex M as a full subcomplex. This property is unique to the substitution complex.

4. A GENERALISED HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCE FOR LOOP SPACES OF CERTAIN POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS

In this section, we generalise results from [The24]. Let M be a simplicial complex on $[m]$, and let N be a subcomplex of M . Let K be a simplicial complex on $[k]$, and let L be a subcomplex of K .

Consider N and L to be on the vertex sets of M and K respectively. Define Q to be the pushout

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N * L & \longrightarrow & N * K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M * L & \longrightarrow & Q. \end{array}$$

The simplicial complex Q is considered to be on the vertex set $[m + k]$. Applying Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 gives the following result

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q. \end{array}$$

□

There are inclusion maps from $M * L$ and $N * K$ into $M * K$, and the inclusions $M * K$ coincide on $N * L$. Therefore there is a pushout map $Q \rightarrow M * K$. This induces the following pushout map on topological spaces:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \searrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \ominus \quad} \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K. \end{array}$$

The maps $N * K \rightarrow M * K$ and $M * L \rightarrow M * K$ are both the join of an inclusion and the identity, and so on the level of polyhedral products, they induce the maps

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \xrightarrow{g \times 1} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$$

and

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \xrightarrow{1 \times h} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L.$$

If all four corners of the pushout (3) are included into $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$, we obtain homotopy fibrations

$$\begin{aligned} F &\rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \xrightarrow{\ominus} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \\ G &\rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \xrightarrow{g \times 1} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \\ H &\rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \xrightarrow{1 \times h} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \\ G \times H &\rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \xrightarrow{h \times i} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \end{aligned}$$

where F is the homotopy fibre of Θ , G is the homotopy fibre of the map g , and H is the homotopy fibre of h . As the homotopy fibres are the result of mapping each corner of the pushout into a common base, we obtain the homotopy commutative cube

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} G \times H & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \end{array}$$

in which all four sides are homotopy pullbacks. Since the bottom face is a homotopy pushout, by Lemma 2.17, the top face is a homotopy pushout.

Lemma 4.2. *The maps $G \times H \rightarrow G$ and $G \times H \rightarrow H$ in (2) can be chosen to be projections, implying that there is a homotopy equivalence $F \simeq G * H$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G \times H & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \xrightarrow{g \times h} & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \\ \downarrow * \times 1 & & \downarrow g \times 1 & & \parallel \\ * \times H & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L & \xrightarrow{1 \times h} & (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K. \end{array}$$

As the fibrations are taken over the common base $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$, the left square is the homotopy pullback appearing in the rear face of the cube. This diagram is the product of the fibration diagram for the factors on the left and the fibration diagram for the factors on the right. Observe $* \times 1$ is the projection of the righthand factor. Thus the map $G \times H \rightarrow G$ is homotopic to the projection. The argument for the map $G \times H \rightarrow H$ being a projection is similar. It is well known that the homotopy pushout of projections is a join, and so the homotopy pushout in the top face of the cube implies that $F \simeq G * H$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let Q be the pushout 2. There is a homotopy fibration*

$$G * H \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$$

where G is the homotopy fibre of $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M$ and H is the homotopy fibre of $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$. This fibration splits after looping, giving a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \simeq \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \times \Omega(G * H).$$

Proof. If F is the homotopy fibre of the map $\Theta : (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$, then by Lemma 4.2, $F \simeq G * H$. This establishes the asserted homotopy fibration. For the splitting, consider the

composite $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^L \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ which is $1 \times h$. Restricting to $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M$ gives inclusion into the left factor. Similarly, restricting the composite $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^N \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ to $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ gives inclusion into the right factor. Taking the wedge sum of these therefore gives the composite

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \vee (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$$

which is the inclusion of the wedge into the product. The inclusion of the wedge into the product has a right homotopy inverse after looping, so the map $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^Q \rightarrow \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^M \times \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ has a right homotopy inverse, implying that the fibration splits after looping. \square

5. LOOP SPACES OF POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS ASSOCIATED WITH POLYHEDRAL JOIN PRODUCTS

In this section, we apply Theorem 4.3 to the polyhedral join product. By Corollary 3.6 there exists a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(m)} * L_m & \longrightarrow & (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(m)} * K_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m} * L_m & \longrightarrow & (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}. \end{array}$$

This is a special case of the pushout in 2, where $N = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(m)}$, $L = L_m$, $M = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}$ and $K = K_m$. Applying Theorem 4.3, we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \simeq \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}} \times \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_m} \times \Omega(G_m * H_m),$$

where G_m is the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_M(m)}} \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}}$ and H_m is the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{L_m} \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_m}$. By iterating this homotopy equivalence with respect to the vertices of M , we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 4.3, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \simeq \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}} \times \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_m} \times \Omega(G_m * H_m).$$

Now consider $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}$. If $M \setminus m$ is a simplex, then by Example 3.1, $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m} = K_1 * \dots * K_{m-1}$, and so $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}} \simeq (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_1} \times \dots \times (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_{m-1}}$, and the result follows. If not, the simplicial complex $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}$ is a polyhedral join product, and therefore can be constructed as in 2, with $N = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*\text{lk}_{*M \setminus m}}$, $L = L_{m-1}$, $M = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus \{m, m-1\}}$ and $K = K_{m-1}$. We can apply Theorem 4.3 to $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}}$ to obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus m}} \simeq \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M \setminus \{m, m-1\}}} \times \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_{m-1}} \times \Omega(G_{m-1} * H_{m-1}).$$

Substituting this into our previous expression gives a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) * M} \simeq \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) * M \setminus \{m, m-1\}} \times \prod_{i=m-1}^m \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \times \prod_{j=m+1}^m \Omega(G_j * H_j).$$

We continue this process on $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) * M \setminus \{m, m-1\}}$ until we reach the vertex k such that $M \setminus \{m, \dots, k\}$ is a simplex. \square

6. SIMPLICIAL OPERATIONS PRESERVING THE PROPERTY OF BEING IN \mathcal{P}

Recall that \mathcal{P} is the full subcategory of topological spaces that are homotopy equivalent to a product of spheres and loops on spheres. Restricting to the case where $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$, let M be a simplicial complex such that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M \in \mathcal{P}$. The polyhedral join product $(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) * M$ can be considered as an operation on simplicial complexes, and as such, it is natural to ask when such an operation preserves the property of having a loop space on an associated polyhedral product in \mathcal{P} . In this section we give some general conditions that ensure $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) * M} \in \mathcal{P}$. We then determine that for the substitution complex and the composition complex, we can guarantee some of these conditions will always be met.

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$, and let K_i be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[k_i]$. Let $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$ be a sequence of pairs (CA_j, A_j) where $j \in [m-1+k_i]$. Suppose $\Sigma A_j \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $j \in [m-1+k_i]$. Suppose $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M \in \mathcal{P}$, and let Q be the simplicial complex defined by the following pushout*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{lk}_M(i) * L_i & \longrightarrow & \text{lk}_M(i) * K_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M \setminus i * L_i & \longrightarrow & Q. \end{array}$$

Let H_i be the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$. If $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$ then $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.12 to $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M$ gives $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M \simeq \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M \setminus i} \times \Omega(\Sigma G_i \wedge A_i)$. As $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M \in \mathcal{P}$, and both $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M \setminus i}$ and $\Omega(\Sigma G_i \wedge A_i)$ retract off $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M$ by Lemma 2.4 both $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M \setminus i}$ and $\Omega(\Sigma G_i \wedge A_i)$ are in \mathcal{P} . By Lemma 2.5, $\Sigma(G_i \wedge A_i) \in \mathcal{W}$. Now consider $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^Q$. Applying Theorem 4.3 gives

$$\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^Q \simeq \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M \setminus i} \times \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \times \Omega(\Sigma G_i \wedge H_i).$$

As $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$, and ΣH_i is at least as connected as ΣA_i by Lemma 2.16, $\Sigma G_i \wedge H_i \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore $\Omega(\Sigma(G_i \wedge H_i)) \in \mathcal{P}$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence each element on the right hand side of the homotopy equivalence is in \mathcal{P} , and therefore $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^Q \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

Recall that by Lemma 3.9, the polyhedral join product can be constructed sequentially via an iterated pushout construction. More specifically, there is a sequence of simplicial complexes

$$M \subset M(1) \subset M(2) \subset \cdots \subset M(m) = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$$

where at each point, $M(i+1)$ is obtained from $M(i)$ via the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{lk}_{M(i)}(i+1) * L_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{lk}_{M(i)}(i+1) * K_{i+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(i) \setminus \{i+1\} * L_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & M(i+1). \end{array}$$

This, combined with Theorem 6.1, allows us to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We proceed by induction on $M(i)$. The base case is $M(1)$. The simplicial complex $M(1)$ is defined as the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{lk}_M(1) * L_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{lk}_M(1) * K_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M \setminus 1 * L_1 & \longrightarrow & M(1). \end{array}$$

By assumption $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_1} \in \mathcal{P}$, and $\Sigma H_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ and so by Theorem 6.1, we obtain $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M(1)} \in \mathcal{P}$. Now suppose that for all $j < i$, $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M(j)} \in \mathcal{P}$. Consider $M(i)$. This simplicial complex is defined by the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{lk}_{M(i-1)}(i) * L_i & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{lk}_{M(i-1)}(i) * K_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(i-1) \setminus i * L_i & \longrightarrow & M(i). \end{array}$$

By induction, $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M(i-1)} \in \mathcal{P}$, and by assumption, $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore we can apply Theorem 6.1, with $M = M(i-1)$ and $i = m$ to obtain $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M(i)} \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{M(i)} \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $i \in [m]$ by induction. When $i = m$ by definition $M(m) = (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$, and so the result holds. \square

Theorem 1.2 tells us that given M such that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^M \in \mathcal{P}$, we can construct a new simplicial complex $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ such that $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{P}$, subject to conditions on K_i and the homotopy fibre H_i . Guaranteeing $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$ is not so simple. We can give conditions on the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$ to ensure $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let M be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ vertices. Let $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}$ be a polyhedral join product and let K_i be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[k_i]$ for $i \in [m]$. Let $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$ be a sequence of pairs (CA_j, A_j) where $1 \leq j \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_m$. Suppose the pairs $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})$ are such that the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$ is null homotopic. If $\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$ for all i , then $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. As the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$ is null homotopic, its homotopy fibre, denoted H_i , will be homotopy equivalent to $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \times \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$. Therefore

$$\Sigma H_i \simeq \Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \vee \Sigma\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \vee \Sigma((\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \wedge \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}).$$

As $\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{L_i} \in \mathcal{W}$ by assumption, and $\Sigma\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{W}$ by Lemma 2.11, all elements on the right hand side are in \mathcal{W} . Therefore we have $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$. By Theorem 1.2, then $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{(K,L)*M} \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

The conditions laid out in Lemma 6.2 are generally easy to fulfill. For example, the pairs $(\Delta_k^{n_i-1}, \Delta_{k-1}^{n_i-1})$ satisfy such a condition, as $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\Delta_k^{n-1}} \in \mathcal{W}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ([IK13]), and the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\Delta_k^{n-1}} \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{\Delta_{k+1}^{n-1}}$ is null homotopic ([Por66]). Recall that by [BBCG10] there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \simeq \Sigma\left(\bigvee_{I \neq K} |K_I| * \hat{A}^I\right).$$

Therefore, if for all $I \neq K$, $|K_I| \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\Sigma A_i \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $i \in [m]$, then $\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{W}$.

More generally, we can show that the substitution complex satisfies this condition. We first require the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. [GT13] *Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$, and assume K has no ghost vertices. Then the inclusion $\prod_{i=1}^m A_i \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$ is null homotopic, and the homotopy fibre of this map is $(\prod_{i=1}^m A_i) \times \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$.*

Lemma 6.4. *Let the sequence of pairs $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$ be as in Theorem 1.2. Let $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ be a substitution complex. If $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K, \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_1}, \dots, \Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_m} \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Recall that the substitution complex is a special case of the polyhedral join product on the simplicial pairs (K_i, \emptyset) . Therefore H_i is the homotopy fibre of the map $\prod_{j \in K_i} A_j \rightarrow (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$. By Lemma 6.3, this map is null homotopic. As by assumption, $\Sigma A_j \in \mathcal{W}$ for all j , the conditions of Lemma 6.2 are satisfied, and $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Let the sequence of pairs $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})$ be as in Theorem 1.2. Let $K\langle K_1, \dots, K_m \rangle$ be a composition complex. If $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $i \in [m]$, then $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K\langle K_1, \dots, K_m \rangle} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Recall that the composition complex is a special case of the polyhedral join product on the simplicial pairs $(\Delta^{[k_i-1]}, K_i)$. Therefore H_i is the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in K_i} CA_j$. As $\prod_{j \in K_i} CA_j$ is contractible, this map is null homotopic, so $H_i \simeq (\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$. By assumption $\Sigma(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{W}$, and so by Lemma 6.2, $\Omega(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K\langle K_1, \dots, K_m \rangle} \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

There are other pairs of simplicial complexes $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})$ for which we can determine if $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$.

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})$ are pairs such that for each $i \in [m]$, the simplicial complex L_i is a full subcomplex of K_i . Let $(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})$ be a sequence of pairs where $\Omega X_i \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Suppose $\Omega(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^M \in \mathcal{P}$, and suppose $(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Then $\Omega(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. To apply Theorem 1.2 we need to show ΣH_i , the homotopy fibre of the map $(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^{K_i}$ is an element of \mathcal{W} . First consider $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^M$. By [GT07] there is a homotopy fibration

$$(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^M \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{*})^M \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega X_i$$

that splits after looping

$$\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^M \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega X_i \times \Omega(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^M.$$

As every element on the right hand side of this homotopy equivalence is in \mathcal{P} , we obtain $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^M \in \mathcal{P}$. Via an identical argument, $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Let F_i denote the homotopy fibre of $(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{L_i} \rightarrow (\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{K_i}$. As L_i is a full subcomplex of K_i , by Lemma 2.9 this map has a left homotopy inverse, and so the connecting map $\delta : \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{K_i} \rightarrow F_i$ has a right homotopy inverse. We therefore have a homotopy equivalence $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{K_i} \simeq \Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{L_i} \times F_i$. If $\Omega(\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$, by Lemma 2.4 F_i is also in \mathcal{P} . By Lemma 2.2, ΣF_i must therefore be in \mathcal{W} . Now we can determine the homotopy type of ΣH_i . Consider the following homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_i & \longrightarrow & (\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^{L_i} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^{K_i} \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_i & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{L_i} & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}, \underline{*})^{K_i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} X_j & \xlongequal{\quad} & \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} X_j \end{array}$$

where the homotopy fibrations in the middle and right column are exactly as defined in [GT07]. From this diagram, there is a homotopy equivalence $F_i \simeq H_i$, and as $\Sigma F_i \in \mathcal{W}$ we obtain $\Sigma H_i \in \mathcal{W}$. As all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 have been met, it follows that $(\underline{C}\Omega\underline{X}, \underline{\Omega}\underline{X})^{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*M}} \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

7. THE SUBSTITUTION COMPLEX AND NEW EXAMPLES

In this section we show that the substitution operation can be used to generate new examples of simplicial complexes such that $\Omega(\underline{C}\underline{A}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$. Recall that there are two known families of simplicial complexes where $\Omega(\underline{C}\underline{A}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$: those K such that $(\underline{C}\underline{A}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{W}$, and those K that are k -skeletons of a flag complex. We wish to construct new examples of simplicial complexes where $\Omega(\underline{C}\underline{A}, \underline{A})^K \in \mathcal{P}$ but $(\underline{C}\underline{A}, \underline{A})^K \notin \mathcal{W}$ and K is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex. To do this, we

focus on the substitution complex, as we can determine some full subcomplexes in this case. We first give sufficient conditions to ensure that $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)}$ is not in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 7.1. *Let $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ be a substitution complex where at least one K_i is such that $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \notin \mathcal{W}$. Then $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \notin \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Assume that $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \in \mathcal{W}$. By Corollary 3.11, K_i is a full subcomplex of $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$. Therefore by Lemma 2.9, $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$ retracts off $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)}$. By Lemma 2.3 this implies that $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i}$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres, giving a contradiction. Therefore, $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)}$ cannot have the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ be a substitution complex and let K be such that $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \notin \mathcal{W}$. Then $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \notin \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Assume that $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \in \mathcal{W}$. By Corollary 3.11, there is a copy of K contained in $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ which is a full subcomplex. Therefore by Lemma 2.9, we have $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$ retracting off $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)}$. By Lemma 2.3 this implies that $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres, giving a contradiction. Therefore $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)}$ is not homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres, as $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^K \notin \mathcal{W}$. \square

We now wish to show that we can build substitution complexes that are not the k -skeletons of flag complexes. We first deal with the case where K is not flag. Recall that a simplicial complex K is *flag* if every set of vertices of K that are pairwise connected by edges form a face of K , and the k -skeleton of a simplicial complex K is the collection of simplices of dimension k or less. A minimal missing face of K is a subset $\omega \subseteq [m]$ such that $\omega \notin K$ but every proper subset of ω is a simplex in K . We denote the set of missing faces of K as $MF(K)$, and the set of minimal missing faces of K as $MMF(K)$. If K is a flag complex, its minimal missing faces are on no more than two vertices.

Lemma 7.3. [AP19] *The minimal missing faces of $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ are precisely the following*

$$MMF(K_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup MMF(K_m) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\Delta(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in MMF(K)} MMF_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(K(K_1, \dots, K_m))$$

where

$$MMF_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(K(K_1, \dots, K_m)) = \{\Delta(j_1, \dots, j_k) \mid j_l \in K_{i_l}, l = 1, \dots, k\}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.4. *Let K be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[m]$ that is not flag. Then the complex $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is not flag.*

Proof. Relabeling vertices as required, let $\{1, \dots, k\}$, $k \geq 2$ be a set of pairwise connected vertices that do not form a face of K . Let v_i denote a vertex in K_i , and consider the vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \in K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$. This does not form a simplex in $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ as $\{1, \dots, k\}$ is not a face of K . However, as each pair $\{i, j\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ is connected by an edge in K , the pairs $\{v_i, v_j\} \subseteq \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ are connected by an edge in $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$, and so the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is pairwise connected. Therefore, we have found a collection of pairwise connected vertices in $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ that does not form a face, and so $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ cannot be flag. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Let K be a simplicial complex that is not flag. For at least one vertex v in a minimal missing face of K , suppose that K_v , that is, the simplicial complex substituted into K at vertex v , contains a 1-simplex. Then $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is not the k -skeleton of any flag complex.*

Proof. As K is not flag, it will have a minimal missing face on at least 3 vertices. Reordering vertices if necessary, let $\{1, \dots, j\}$ be a minimal missing face of K , and suppose that K_j has at least one 1 simplex. By Lemma 7.3, $\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ is a minimal missing face in $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$, and as the boundary of $(1, \dots, j)$ is contained in K , the boundary of (v_1, \dots, v_j) is contained in $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$. As $\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ is a minimal missing face of $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$, if $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ was the k -skeleton of a flag complex, it could only be the $j - 1$ -skeleton of a flag complex. Therefore it could not contain any simplices of dimension greater than $j - 1$. Let v_j^1 and v_j^2 denote two vertices of K_j that are joined by an edge. The link of j in K will contain the simplex $(1, \dots, j - 2)$, and so by definition of the substitution operation, we have $(v_1, \dots, v_{j-2}, v_j^1, v_j^2) \in K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$, a contradiction. Therefore $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ cannot be the k -skeleton of any flag complex. \square

We now consider the case when K is flag.

Lemma 7.6. *Let K be a flag complex on $[m]$ vertices. If at least one K_i substituted into K is not flag, then $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is not flag.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, all missing faces of each K_i are missing faces of $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$. Therefore $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ will contain all the missing faces of K_i , and so cannot be flag. \square

Lemma 7.7. *If K is the k -skeleton of a flag complex, and $K \neq \Delta^k$, it must have a minimal missing face of dimension $k + 1$.*

Proof. As K is the k -skeleton of a flag complex, it must have at least one face of dimension k , and no higher faces. Let K^f denote the minimal flag complex on the same 1-skeleton as K , so K is the k -skeleton of K^f . Up to a reordering of vertices, let $(1, \dots, k + 2)$ be a $(k + 1)$ -simplex of K^f . In K , the set $\{1, \dots, k + 2\}$ does not form a simplex, as the dimension is greater than k , but the boundary

is contained in K , because each k simplex in the boundary is in the k -skeleton of K^f , which is K . Therefore $\{1, \dots, k+2\}$ is a minimal missing face of K . \square

Lemma 7.8. *Let K be a flag complex. If a) at least one K_i is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex or b) K_i is the k -skeleton of a flag complex and the link of i in K is non-empty, then $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex.*

Proof. If at least one K_i is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex, then by combining Lemma 7.3 and the argument from Lemma 7.6, $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ cannot be the k -skeleton of some flag complex. So now consider case b). As K_i is the k -skeleton of a flag complex, it will have a minimal missing face on at least $k+2$ vertices, by Lemma 7.7. Reordering vertices if necessary, let $\{1, \dots, k+2\}$ be a minimal missing face of K_i . Therefore, if $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is the skeleton of a flag complex, it must be a k -skeleton. By assumption, the link of i is non empty, and so there exists a σ such that $\sigma \cup \{i\} \in K$. By definition of substitution, for all $\tau \in K_i$ $\sigma \cup \tau \in K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$. More specifically, as the boundary of $(1, \dots, k+2)$ is contained in K_i , $(1, \dots, k+1) \cup \sigma$ is a simplex in $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$. But then we have a face of $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ of a dimension that is strictly larger than k , and so $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex. \square

We end this section by building some substitution complexes such that $\Omega(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \in \mathcal{P}$, but $(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \notin \mathcal{W}$ and $K(K_1, \dots, K_m)$ is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex. We do this by substituting into the boundary of a m -simplex.

Lemma 7.9. *There are simplicial equivalences $(\partial\Delta^{l-1} \setminus \{l\})(K_1, \dots, K_{l-1}, l)$ and $\Delta^{l-2}(K_1, \dots, K_{l-1})$ and $\text{lk}_{\partial\Delta^{l-1}(K_1, \dots, K_{l-1}, l)}(l)$ and $\partial\Delta^{l-2}(K_1, \dots, K_{l-1})$.*

Proof. These follows from Lemma 3.7, where $(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) = (\underline{K}, \emptyset)$. \square

We now have all the ingredients in place to produce new examples of spaces in \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 7.10. *Let $\partial\Delta(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ be a substitution complex such that for each K_i , we have $\Omega(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$. Furthermore, suppose least one K_i is such that $(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{K_i} \notin \mathcal{W}$. Then we have $(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{\partial\Delta(K_1, \dots, K_n)} \notin \mathcal{W}$, $\partial\Delta(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex for any k , and $\Omega(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{\partial\Delta(K_1, \dots, K_n)} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. As for all $i \in [n]$, we have $\Omega(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}$, we obtain $\Omega(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{\partial\Delta(K_1, \dots, K_n)} \in \mathcal{P}$ by Corollary 6.4. As there exists an i such that $(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{K_i} \notin \mathcal{W}$, by Lemma 7.1, we obtain that $(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{\partial\Delta(K_1, \dots, K_n)} \notin \mathcal{W}$. As $(\underline{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}})^{K_i} \notin \mathcal{W}$, we have that K_i must have at least one edge, as otherwise K_i would be the disjoint union of points, and [GT07] established that if K_i is of this

form, then $(\underline{CA}, \underline{A})^{K_i} \in \mathcal{W}$. As $\partial\Delta^{n-1}$ is not flag, Theorem 7.4 implies $\partial\Delta(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ is not the k -skeleton of a flag complex. \square

To conclude this section we give a concrete examples of a new complexes such that, the moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_{K(K_1, \dots, K_m)} \in \mathcal{P}$.

Example 7.11. Let $K = \partial\Delta^{n-1}$, and let each K_i be the boundary of an n_i -gon, denoted P_{n_i} , where $n_i \geq 4$. Observe that $\mathcal{Z}_{P_4} \simeq S^3 \times S^3$, and that for $m > 4$, by [McG79] we have $\mathcal{Z}_{P_n} \cong \#_{k=3}^{n-1}(S^k \times S^{n+2-k}) \#^{(k-2)} \binom{n-1}{k-1}$. Therefore, for $n_i \geq 4$ we have that $\mathcal{Z}_{P_{n_i}} \notin \mathcal{W}$. As $\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{P_n} \simeq \Omega S^3 \times \Omega S^{n-1} \times \Omega S(P_m)$ [BT14], where $S(P_n)$ is a wedge of simply connected spheres, we have $\Omega\mathcal{Z}_{P_n} \in \mathcal{P}$. By Theorem 7.10, $\mathcal{Z}_{\partial\Delta^{m-1}(P_{n_1}, \dots, P_{n_m})} \in \mathcal{P}$.

REFERENCES

- [AGI⁺24] S. Agarwal, J. Grbić, M. Interfont, M. Jovanović, E. Lagoda, and S. Whitehouse. Steenrod operations on polyhedral products, 2024. arXiv: 2401.07919.
- [Ame24] S. Amelotte. Connected Sums of Sphere Products and Minimally Non-Golod Complexes. In *Toric Topology and Polyhedral Products*, volume 89 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 1–12. Springer, Cham, 2024.
- [AP19] S. A. Abramyan and T. E. Panov. Higher Whitehead products for moment-angle complexes and substitutions of simplicial complexes. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 305:7–28, 2019.
- [Ayz13] A. A. Ayzenberg. Substitutions of polytopes and of simplicial complexes, and multigraded Betti numbers. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, pages 175–202, 2013.
- [BBC20] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, and F. R. Cohen. Polyhedral products and features of their homotopy theory. In *Handbook of homotopy theory*, CRC Press/Chapman Hall Handb. Math. Ser., pages 103–144. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, [2020] ©2020.
- [BBCG10] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, and S. Gitler. The polyhedral product functor: a method of decomposition for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 225(3):1634–1668, 2010.
- [BBCG15] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, and S. Gitler. Operations on polyhedral products and a new topological construction of infinite families of toric manifolds, 2015.
- [BLP⁺24] Anthony Bahri, Ivan Limonchenko, Taras Panov, Jongbaek Song, and Donald Stanley. A stability theorem for bigraded persistence barcodes, 2024. arXiv: 2303.14694.
- [BP15] V. Buchstaber and T. Panov. *Toric topology*, volume 204 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [BT14] P. Beben and S. Theriault. The loop space homotopy type of simply-connected four-manifolds and their generalizations. *Adv. Math.*, 262:213–238, 2014.
- [DO12] M. Davis and B. Okun. Cohomology computations for Artin groups, Bestvina-Brady groups, and graph products. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 6(3):485–531, 2012.
- [DS07] G. Denham and A. I. Suciu. Moment-angle complexes, monomial ideals and massey products. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 3(1):25–60, 2007.
- [Ewa86] G. Ewald. Spherical complexes and nonprojective toric varieties. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 1(2):115–122, Jun 1986.

- [GPTW16] J. Grbić, T. Panov, S. Theriault, and J. Wu. The homotopy types of moment-angle complexes for flag complexes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(9):6663–6682, 2016.
- [GT07] J. Grbić and S. Theriault. The homotopy type of the complement of a coordinate subspace arrangement. *Topology*, 46(4):357–396, 2007.
- [GT13] J. Grbić and S. Theriault. The homotopy type of the polyhedral product for shifted complexes. *Adv. Math.*, 245:690–715, 2013.
- [IK13] K. Iriye and D. Kishimoto. Decompositions of polyhedral products for shifted complexes. *Adv. Math.*, 245:716–736, 2013.
- [Kar20] Selvi Kara. On algebraic and combinatorial properties of weighted simplicial complexes, 2020. arXiv: 2005.11590.
- [Mat76] M. Mather. Pull-backs in homotopy theory. *Canadian J. Math.*, 28(2):225–263, 1976.
- [McG79] D. McGavran. Adjacent connected sums and torus actions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 251:235–254, 1979.
- [Por66] G. J. Porter. The homotopy groups of wedges of suspensions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 88:655–663, 1966.
- [Sta24a] L. Stanton. Loop space decompositions of moment-angle complexes associated to flag complexes. *Q. J. Math.*, 75(2):457–477, 2024.
- [Sta24b] L. Stanton. Loop space decompositions of moment-angle complexes associated to two dimensional simplicial complexes, 2024. arXiv: 2407.10781.
- [The17] S. Theriault. Toric homotopy theory. In *Combinatorial and Toric Homotopy : Introductory Lectures*, volume 35, pages 1–66. World Scientific, December 2017.
- [The22] S. Theriault. Polyhedral products for connected sums of simplicial complexes. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 317:168–178, 2022.
- [The24] S. Theriault. Polyhedral products for wheel graphs and their generalizations. In *Toric Topology and Polyhedral Products*, volume 89 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 295–311. Springer, Cham, March 2024.
- [Vid18] E. Vidaurre. On polyhedral product spaces over polyhedral joins. *Homology, Homotopy and Appl.*, 20(2):259–280, 2018.
- [Vyl24] F. Vylegzhanin. Loop homology of moment-angle complexes in the flag case, 2024. arXiv: 2403.18450.