Polynomial mixing for the white-forced Navier–Stokes system in an infinite pipe

Vahagn Nersesyan^{*} Meng Zhao[†]

Michig Zi

October 22, 2024

Abstract

We study the mixing properties of the white-forced Navier–Stokes system in an infinite periodic pipe $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$. Assuming that the noise is sufficiently non-degenerate, we prove the uniqueness of stationary measure and polynomial mixing in the dual-Lipschitz metric. The proof combines the coupling method with a Foiaş–Prodi type estimate and weighted growth estimates.

AMS subject classifications: 35Q30, 35R60, 37A25, 37L40, 60H15

Keywords: Stochastic Navier–Stokes system, polynomial mixing, infinite pipe, coupling method, Foiaș–Prodi estimate, weighted growth estimates

Contents

1	Introduction		2	
2	Con	struction of a mixing extension	6	
3	Gro	wth estimates for solutions	9	
	3.1	L^2 -estimate for the velocity field $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	9	
	3.2	L^2 -estimate for the vorticity	11	
	3.3	Weighted estimate for the velocity field	13	
	3.4	Weighted estimate for the vorticity	16	
	3.5	Estimate for the weighted parabolic energy	18	
4	Stał	ility of solutions	21	
	4.1	Foiaș–Prodi type estimate	21	
	4.2	Growth estimate for an auxiliary process	23	

^{*}NYU-ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences at NYU Shanghai, 3663 Zhongshan Road North, Shanghai, 200062, China, e-mail: Vahagn.Nersesyan@nyu.edu

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 200240 Shanghai, China; Université Paris Cité and Sorbonne Université, IMJ-PRG, F-75013 Paris, France, e-mail: mathematics_zm@sjtu.edu.cn

5	Proof of Theorem 2.2		
	5.1 Recurrence	28	
	5.2 Polynomial squeezing	30	
A	Moment estimates		
B	Proofs of auxiliary weighted estimates		
С	C Growth estimate for the truncated solution		

1 Introduction

Over the past two decades, significant progress has been made in understanding the ergodic properties of dissipative PDEs driven by white noise. Most prior work has focused on bounded domains, where certain compactness properties– such as compact Sobolev embeddings–and spectral properties, including the discreteness of the spectrum of the Laplace operator, can be exploited. For the first results, we refer to the papers [FM95, KS02, EMS01, HM06], while subsequent developments can be found in the book [KS12] and reviews [KS17, Deb13].

In contrast, in the case of unbounded domains, the situation is more complicated, as the mentionned compactness and spectral properties no longer hold. In this paper, we establish the first result on the ergodicity and mixing for the Navier–Stokes (NS) system in unbounded domains perturbed by additive whitein-time noise. More precisely, we study the dynamics of pipe flow governed by the following damped and white-noise-driven NS system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + au + \nabla p = h + \eta, & (x, y) \in D := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & (1.1) \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $a, \nu > 0$ are respectively the damping parameter and the kinematic viscosity, u is the velocity field, and p is the pressure. It is noteworthy that we do not impose any restrictions on the size of the parameters a and ν . We consider the system (1.1) in the space of divergence-free vector fields

$$H := \{ u \in L^2(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \mid \text{div} \, u = 0 \}$$
(1.2)

endowed with the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle$ and the norm $||u||^2 := \langle u, u \rangle$ inherited from $L^2 := L^2(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$. The external force consists of two components: h, a deterministic function in H, and η , a noise term defined by

$$\eta(t) := \partial_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \beta_j(t) e_j, \qquad (1.3)$$

where $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are independent standard Brownian motions defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual conditions (e.g., see Definition 2.25)

in [KS14]), $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are real numbers with

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in H. Under these assumptions, the equation (1.1) is globally well-posed in H and defines a Markov process.

For any m > 0, let $H^m := H^m(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H$ denote the usual Sobolev space of divergence-free vector fields. Our main result is stated as follows.

Main Theorem. Assume that

$$\varphi e_j \in H^1, \qquad e_j \in H^2, \qquad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_+$$
 (1.5)

with $\varphi(x) := \log(x^2 + 2)$, and

$$\mathcal{B}_{1} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j}^{2} \|e_{j}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} < \infty, \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\varphi} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j}^{2} \left(\|\varphi e_{j}\|^{2} + \|\varphi \operatorname{curl} e_{j}\|^{2} \right) < \infty.$$
(1.6)

Then, there is an integer $N \ge 1$ such that the stochastic NS system (1.1) admits a unique stationary measure μ , provided that

$$b_j \neq 0 \quad for \ 1 \le j \le N \tag{1.7}$$

and h belongs to the space spanned by the family $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N\}$. Moreover, for any q > 1, there is a constant $C_q > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}(f(u(t))) - \int_{H} f(u)\mu(\mathrm{d}u) \right| \le C_{q} \|f\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} \left(1+t\right)^{-q} \left(1+\|u_{0}\|^{2}\right), \quad t \ge 0$$

for any initial data $u_0 \in H$ and any bounded Lipschitz-continuous function $f: H \to \mathbb{R}$.

The assumption that h is a linear combination of e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N can be relaxed to the following regularity and summability conditions:

$$h \in H^1, \qquad h\varphi \in H, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle h, e_j \rangle| ||e_j||_{H^1} < \infty.$$
 (1.8)

However, in this case, the number N in the condition (1.7) will depend on the convergence rate q towards the stationary measure μ . We refer to Remark 5.2 below for further details.

There are only a few works considering the problem of the uniqueness of stationary measure and mixing for randomly forced PDEs in unbounded domains. Most of these focus on Burgers-type equations perturbed by space-time homogeneous noise, using specific features of the Burgers equation, such as the Hopf-Cole transform, L^1 -contraction, and the comparison principle; see [BCK14,

BL19, DGR21]. The methods in these papers are specific to the Burgers-type equations and do not extend to the NS system. For the NS system with non-homogeneous bounded noise, uniqueness and exponential mixing in unbounded domains were established in [Ner22] via a controllability approach combined with the asymptotic compactness of the dynamics. When the noise is white-in-time, uniqueness and mixing results have so far been obtained only for PDEs with local nonlinearities. In [NZ24], the stochastic complex Ginzburg–Landau equation is studied, where the main idea of the proof is to quantitatively describe the spatial decay rate of solutions by introducing a space-time weight function, and use the spatial decay to compensate for the loss of compactness. In the paper [Gao24], the ideas of [NZ24] have been extended to the case of the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation. As for the NS system in unbounded domains driven by white-in-time noise, the existence of a stationary measure has been proven in [BL06], but until now, no results on uniqueness have been available.

The proof of our Main Theorem relies on the coupling method, as described in Section 3.1.2 in [KS12] and [Shi08], combined with weighted estimates developed in [NZ24]. Extending this approach to the case of the NS system in the infinite periodic pipe $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ poses a significant challenge due to the interplay between the non-local nature of the equation and the asymptotic behavior of solutions at infinity. To overcome this difficulty, we employ the space-time weight function ψ introduced in [NZ24] and derive appropriate estimates for the quantities $\psi \nabla p$ and $\psi \Pi$, where p is the pressure term in the NS system and Π is the Leray projector. These weighted estimates for non-local terms not only enable us to establish a Foiaş–Prodi-type estimate, but also provide a crucial estimate for the growth of weighted parabolic energy, ensuring a quantitative spatial decay for the trajectories. Combined with an application of the Girsanov theorem, this enables us to verify the polynomial squeezing property, which plays a central role in the coupling argument.

In this paper, we focus on the case of the infinite periodic pipe $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ for the sake of simplicity. However, our method extends to the NS system in any Poincaré type domain (i.e., a domain bounded in one direction) supplemented with Lions boundary conditions. It should be noted, though, that the method does not apply directly to the case of no-slip boundary conditions, as it relies on a probabilistic linear growth estimate for the vorticity–a property that fails under no-slip boundary conditions (see [KV14]).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide a detailed construction of the coupling processes and show how the proof of polynomial mixing reduces to verifying recurrence and squeezing properties. Section 3 is dedicated to deriving a growth estimate for a weighted parabolic energy functional. In Section 4, we establish a Foiaş–Prodi type estimate along with growth estimates for an auxiliary process. The recurrence and polynomial squeezing properties are shown in Section 5. Finally, the Appendix contains the proofs of several technical lemmas and propositions used throughout the paper.

Acknowledgement

MZ's research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 12171317, 12331008, 12250710674, and 12161141004. This paper was completed during MZ's visit to Université Paris Cité. MZ would like to express sincere gratitude to the China Scholarship Council for its financial support, as well as to Professors Sergei Kuksin and Armen Shirikyan for their invitation to Paris, and for the valuable discussions and support throughout the visit.

Notation

Let H be the space of divergence-free vector fields defined by (1.2), equipped with the L^2 -inner product $\langle u, v \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $||u||^2 := \langle u, u \rangle$. For any m > 0, let $H^m := H^m(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H$ be the Sobolev space of divergencefree vector fields. We shall use the following notation.

 $C_b(H)$ -the space of bounded continuous functions $f: H \to \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$||f||_{\infty} := \sup_{u \in H} |f(u)|;$$

 $\operatorname{Lip}(H)$ -the space of bounded Lipschitz-continuous functions $f: H \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$||f||_{\operatorname{Lip}} := ||f||_{\infty} + \sup_{\substack{u,v \in H \\ u \neq v}} \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{||u - v||};$$

 $B_H(u, R)$ -the open ball in H of radius R > 0 centered at $u \in X$;

 $\overline{B}_H(u,R)$ -the closure of $B_H(u,R)$;

 \mathbb{I}_{Γ} -the indicator function of a set $\Gamma \subset H$.

$$\mathscr{B}(H)$$
-the Borel σ -algebra of H ;

 $\mathscr{P}(H)$ -the set of Borel probability measures on H. For given $f \in C_b(H)$ and $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}(H)$, we write

$$(f,\lambda) := \int_{H} f(u)\lambda(\mathrm{d}u).$$

For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathscr{P}(H)$, we set

$$\|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|_{\mathcal{L}}^* := \sup_{\substack{f \in \operatorname{Lip}(H) \\ \|f\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(H)} \le 1}} |(f, \lambda_1) - (f, \lambda_2)|,$$

$$\|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|_{\operatorname{var}} := \sup_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(H)} |\lambda_1(\Gamma) - \lambda_2(\Gamma)| = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\substack{f \in C_b(H) \\ \|f\|_{\infty} \le 1}} |(f, \lambda_1) - (f, \lambda_2)|.$$

The distribution of a random variable ξ is denoted by $\mathscr{D}(\xi)$. For real numbers a and b, we use $a \vee b$ to denote their maximum and $a \wedge b$ for their minimum. We denote by C, C_a, C_{ν} , etc. positive constants that are not essential

to the analysis, with subscripts indicating dependence on specific parameters. For simplicity, we will frequently use the notation \leq, \leq_a, \leq_{ν} , etc., to indicate inequalities that hold up to an unessential multiplicative constant, such as C, C_a, C_{ν} , and so on.

2 Construction of a mixing extension

Under the conditions mentioned in the previous section, the stochastic NS system (1.1) is well-posed and defines a Markov family (u_t, \mathbb{P}_u) parameterized by the initial condition $u \in H$. The following standard energy estimate is proved in the Appendix:

$$\mathbb{E}_{u} \|u(t)\|^{2} \leq e^{-at} \|u\|^{2} + C_{a,h,\mathcal{B}_{0}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$
(2.1)

with \mathbb{E}_u being the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P}_u . Let $S_t(u, \cdot)$ be the flow issued from $u \in H$, and define the associated Markov operators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B}_t f(u) &:= \int_H f(v) P_t(u, \mathrm{d} v), \qquad \mathscr{B}_t : C_b(H) \to C_b(H), \\ \mathscr{B}_t^* \lambda(\Gamma) &:= \int_H P_t(u, \Gamma) \lambda(\mathrm{d} u), \qquad \mathscr{B}_t^* : \mathscr{P}(H) \to \mathscr{P}(H), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_t(u,\Gamma) := \mathbb{P}\left\{S_t(u,\cdot) \in \Gamma\right\}$$

is the transition function. A measure $\mu \in \mathscr{P}(H)$ is called stationary for the family (u_t, \mathbb{P}_u) , if $\mathscr{B}_t^* \mu = \mu$ for any t > 0. We now restate the Main Theorem as follows.

Theorem 2.1. Under the assumptions of the Main Theorem, the family $(u(t), \mathbb{P}_u)$ has a unique stationary measure $\mu \in \mathscr{P}(H)$. Moreover, for any q > 1, there is a constant $C_q > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathscr{B}_t^*\lambda - \mu\|_{\mathcal{L}}^* \le C_q \left(1+t\right)^{-q} \left(1 + \int_H \|u\|^2 \lambda(\mathrm{d}u)\right)$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}(H)$.

Scheme of the proof. The proof relies on the coupling method, as described in Section 3.1.3 of [KS12] and [Shi08]. Let us briefly outline the main ideas. Consider a process $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$ in $H \times H$, and let π_1 and π_2 be the projections from $H \times H$ to the first and second component. The process $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$ is said to be an extension of (u_t, \mathbb{P}_u) , if for any $\boldsymbol{u} = (u, u') \in H \times H$, the laws under $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ of processes $\{\pi_1 \boldsymbol{u}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\pi_2 \boldsymbol{u}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ coincide with those of $\{u_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ under \mathbb{P}_u and $\mathbb{P}_{u'}$, respectively. The key idea of the coupling approach is to construct an extension $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$ that possesses recurrence and polynomial squeezing properties, as described in the following theorem. **Theorem 2.2.** Under the assumptions of the Main Theorem, for any q > 1, there are parameters d, T > 0, an extension $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$ of $(u_t, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$, and a stopping time σ such that $(\mathbf{u}_{kT}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{u}})$ is a Markov process and the following properties hold.

1. (Recurrence) There are constants $\delta, C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \exp\left(\delta \tau_d\right) \le C \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}'\|^2\right) \tag{2.2}$$

for any $u \in H \times H$, where

$$\tau_d := \inf\left\{k \ge 0 \,|\, \boldsymbol{u}_{kT} \in \overline{B}_H(0,d) \times \overline{B}_H(0,d)\right\}.$$
(2.3)

2. (Polynomial squeezing) There are constants $\delta_1, c > 0$, which are independent of q, such that

$$\|\tilde{u}(t) - \tilde{u}'(t)\|^2 \le C_q e^{-ct} \|u - u'\|^2 \qquad \text{for } 0 \le t \le \sigma, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\boldsymbol{\infty}\} \ge \delta_1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathbb{I}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^q) \le C \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma<\infty\}}\sigma^q\right) \le C_q,\tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma<\infty\}}\left(\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(\sigma)\|^{2q}+\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}'(\sigma)\|^{2q}\right)\right)\leq C_q\tag{2.7}$$

for any $\boldsymbol{u} \in \overline{B}_H(0,d) \times \overline{B}_H(0,d)$, where $\tilde{u}_t = \pi_1 \boldsymbol{u}_t$ and $\tilde{u}'_t = \pi_2 \boldsymbol{u}_t$.

An extension is said to be mixing if it satisfies the properties in the above theorem. According to Theorem 3.1.7 in [KS12], the existence of a mixing extension ensures the existence of a unique stationary measure, as well as mixing for the semigroup \mathscr{B}_{kT}^* . This, in turn, implies mixing for \mathscr{B}_t^* by virtue of (2.1). The criterion given in Theorem 3.1.7 in [KS12] guarantees the exponential mixing; the necessary adaptations to the polynomial mixing case are done in Theorem 1.2 in [Gao24].

Construction. Let us outline the construction of a mixing extension (u_t, \mathbb{P}_u) , following the strategies developed in [Mar14] and [NZ24]. For any $u, u' \in H$, let $\{u(t)\}_{t>0}$ and $\{u'(t)\}_{t>0}$ be the solutions of (1.1) with initial conditions u and u'. Let the process $\{v(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the solution of the following auxiliary problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \Pi(v \cdot \nabla)v - \nu \Delta v + av \\ + \mathcal{P}_N[\Pi(u \cdot \nabla)u - \Pi(v \cdot \nabla)v - \nu \Delta(u - v)] = h + \eta, \quad (2.8) \\ v|_{t=0} = u', \end{cases}$$

where P_N is the orthogonal projection in *H* onto the space spanned by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_N\}$, with $N \geq 1$ to be specified later, Π is the Leray projector, and we used the fact that $\Pi \Delta = \Delta$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$.

Let us fix a time step T > 0 to be specified later, and denote by $\lambda_T(u, u')$ and $\lambda'_T(u, u')$ the distributions of processes $\{v(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ and $\{u'(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$. By Theorem 1.2.28 in [KS12], there is a maximal coupling $(\mathcal{V}_T(u, u'), \mathcal{V}'_T(u, u'))$ for

the pair of measures $(\lambda_T(u, u'), \lambda'_T(u, u'))$ defined on some probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathscr{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$. That is, we have (see Definition 1.2.21 in [KS12])

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{V}_T(u,u')\neq\mathcal{V}_T'(u,u')\}=\|\lambda_T(u,u')-\lambda_T'(u,u')\|_{\mathrm{var}},$$

and conditioned on the event $\{\mathcal{V}_T(u, u') \neq \mathcal{V}'_T(u, u')\}$, the random variables $\mathcal{V}_T(u, u')$ and $\mathcal{V}'_T(u, u')$ are independent. Let $\{\tilde{v}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ and $\{\tilde{u}'(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ be the flows of this maximal coupling. Then, the process $\{\tilde{v}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{v} + \Pi(\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{v} - \nu \Delta \tilde{v} + a \tilde{v} + \mathcal{P}_N[\nu \Delta \tilde{v} - \Pi(\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{v}] = h + \Lambda, \\ \tilde{v}|_{t=0} = u', \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

where the distribution of the process $\left\{\int_0^t\Lambda(s)\mathrm{d}s\right\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is equal to that of

$$\left\{\int_0^t \left(\eta(s) - \mathbf{P}_N \Pi(u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu \mathbf{P}_N \Delta u\right) \mathrm{d}s\right\}_{t \in [0,T]}$$

and η is defined by (1.3). Let $\{\tilde{u}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u} + \Pi(\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u} - \nu \Delta \tilde{u} + a\tilde{u} + \mathcal{P}_N \left[\nu \Delta \tilde{u} - \Pi(\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u}\right] = h + \Lambda, \\ \tilde{u}|_{t=0} = u. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

Note that, by the uniqueness in law for the equation (2.10), we have

$$\mathscr{D}(\{\tilde{u}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}) = \mathscr{D}(\{u(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}).$$

For any $u, u' \in H$, $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, and $t \in [0, T]$, let us define

$$\mathcal{R}_t(u, u', \omega) := \tilde{u}_t, \quad \mathcal{R}'_t(u, u', \omega) := \tilde{u}'_t.$$

Consider a sequence of independent copies $\{(\Omega^k, \mathscr{F}^k, \mathbb{P}^k)\}_{k\geq 0}$ of the probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathscr{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, and let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ denote their direct product. For any $u, u' \in H$ and $\omega = (\omega^1, \omega^2, \ldots) \in \Omega$, let $\tilde{u}_0 = u$, $\tilde{u}'_0 = u'$, and

$$\tilde{u}_t(\omega) := \mathcal{R}_s(\tilde{u}_{kT}(\omega), \tilde{u}'_{kT}(\omega), \omega^k), \quad \tilde{u}'_t(\omega) := \mathcal{R}'_s(\tilde{u}_{kT}(\omega), \tilde{u}'_{kT}(\omega), \omega^k),$$

where t = s + kT, $s \in [0, T)$, and $k \ge 1$. Finally, we introduce the pair

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t := (\tilde{u}_t, \tilde{u}_t').$$

This construction ensures that $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$ is an extension for $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_u)$. For suitable choices of the parameters N and T, we will show in Section 5 that the process $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$ is a mixing extension for $(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \mathbb{P}_u)$. To prepare for this, in Sections 3 and 4, we establish the necessary groundwork by studying some growth estimates for energy functionals and stability properties of the stochastic NS system (1.1).

3 Growth estimates for solutions

The main result of this section is Proposition 3.6, which establishes a growth estimate for the weighted energy functional:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{u}_{\psi}(t) &:= \|u(t)\|^{2} + \|u(t)\|^{6} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|u(s)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|u(s)\|^{4} \|\nabla u(s)\|^{2} + \|u(s)\|^{6}\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \|\psi(t)u(t+1)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|\psi(s)\nabla u(s+1)\|^{2} + \|\psi(s)u(s+1)\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \|w(t+1)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|w(s+1)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \|\psi(t)w(t+1)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|\psi(s)\nabla w(s+1)\|^{2} + \|\psi(s)w(s+1)\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$
(3.1)

where u(t) is the solution of the stochastic NS system (1.1) issued from u_0 and $w := \operatorname{curl} u$ is the corresponding vorticity. The space-time weight function ψ is given by

$$\psi(t,x) := \varphi(x) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\varphi(x)}\right)\right)$$

with $\varphi(x) := \log(2 + x^2)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the horizontal variable. The following properties of ψ will be used throughout the paper:

- (i) $0 < \psi(t, x) < \varphi(x)$ and $\psi(0, x) = 0$ for any t > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) the partial derivatives of ψ of order ≥ 1 are bounded;
- (iii) as $t, |x| \to +\infty$, there holds $\psi(t, x) \to +\infty$.

The proof of these properties is straightforward, cf. Section 2.1 in [NZ24]. To estimate the energy $\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{u}(t)$, we break it down into several components, each of which is estimated in the following four subsections. In what follows, we always assume that the assumptions of the Main Theorem are satisfied.

3.1 L^2 -estimate for the velocity field

Let us begin by estimating the L^2 -energy of the velocity field raised to the power $p \ge 1$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{p}^{u}(t) := \|u(t)\|^{2p} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|u(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u(s)\|^{2} + \|u(s)\|^{2p}\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$
(3.2)

Proposition 3.1. There exist constants κ_p and C_p depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_0, p$ such that the following estimate holds:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}^{u}(t)-\kappa_{p}t-\mathcal{C}_{p}\|u_{0}\|^{2p}\right)\geq\rho\right\}\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{0},p,q}\frac{\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{(2p-1)q}+1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$.

 $\mathit{Proof.}\ \mathrm{By}$ the Itô formula and the cancellation property of the convection term, we have

$$d\|u\|^{2p} = p\|u\|^{2(p-1)} \left(2\langle u, \nu\Delta u - au + h \rangle dt + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \langle u, e_j \rangle d\beta_j + \mathcal{B}_0 dt \right)$$
$$+ 2p(p-1)\|u\|^{2(p-2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \langle u, e_j \rangle^2 dt.$$

Integrating by parts, we derive

$$\|u(t)\|^{2p} + \int_0^t \left(2p\nu \|\nabla u\|^2 \|u\|^{2(p-1)} + 2ap\|u\|^{2p}\right) \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \|u_0\|^{2p} + \int_0^t p\|u\|^{2(p-1)} \left(2\langle u,h\rangle + (2p-1)\mathcal{B}_0\right) \mathrm{d}s + M_p(t), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$M_p(t) := 2p \int_0^t \|u\|^{2(p-1)} \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \langle u, e_j \rangle \mathrm{d}\beta_j.$$

Then, by the Young inequality,

$$\mathcal{E}_{p}^{u}(t) - C_{a,\nu,p} \|u_{0}\|^{2p} \leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{0},p}t + C_{a,\nu,p}M_{p}(t),$$
(3.4)

which implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_{p}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{p}t - C_{a,\nu,p} \|u_{0}\|^{2p} \leq C_{a,\nu,p} \left(M_{p}(t) - t\right)$$

for some positive constant κ_p . Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_p^u(t) - \kappa_p t - C_{a,\nu,p} \|u_0\|^{2p} \right) \geq \rho \\ \\ = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sup_{t\in[n,n+1)} \left(M_p(t) - t \right) \geq \frac{\rho}{C_{a,\nu,p}} \right\} \\ \\ \\ \subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sup_{t< n+1} |M_p(t)| \geq \frac{\rho}{C_{a,\nu,p}} + n \right\}. \end{cases}$$

An application of the Chebyshev and Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities fur-

ther yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}^{u}(t)-\kappa_{p}t-C_{a,\nu,p}\|u_{0}\|^{2p}\right)\geq\rho\right\}$$

$$\leq\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t< n+1}|M_{p}(t)|\geq\frac{\rho}{C_{a,\nu,p}}+n\right\}$$

$$\leq C_{a,\nu,p,q}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}\sup_{t< n+1}|M_{p}(t)|^{q}}{(\rho+n)^{q}}$$

$$\leq C_{a,\nu,p,q}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\langle M_{p}\rangle(n+1)\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}}{(\rho+n)^{q}},$$
(3.5)

where

$$\langle M_p \rangle(t) := 4p^2 \int_0^t \|u\|^{4(p-1)} \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j^2 \langle u, e_j \rangle^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

is the quadratic variation of the martingale ${\cal M}_p.$ Applying the Hölder inequality, we find that

$$\langle M_p \rangle^{\frac{q}{2}}(t) \le (2p)^q \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{B}_0 \|u\|^{4p-2} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \le (2p)^q \mathcal{B}_0^{\frac{q}{2}} t^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \int_0^t \|u\|^{(2p-1)q} \mathrm{d}s.$$
 (3.6)

To bound the term on the right-hand side of this inequality, we take the expectation in (3.4) with the parameter p replaced by $(p - \frac{1}{2})q$, yielding

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} \|u\|^{(2p-1)q} \mathrm{d}s \le C_{a,\nu,p,q} \mathbb{E} \|u_{0}\|^{(2p-1)q} + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{0},p,q} t.$$
(3.7)

Combining the estimates (3.5)-(3.7), we arrive at

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{p}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{p}t - C_{a,\nu,p} \|u_{0}\|^{2p}\right) \geq \rho\right\}$$
$$\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{0},p,q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{(2p-1)q} + 1}{(\rho+n)^{\frac{q}{2}}}.$$

 \mathbf{As}

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\rho+n)^{\frac{q}{2}}} \le \int_{\rho-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{\frac{q}{2}}} \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{q-2} \frac{1}{(\rho-1)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}} \lesssim_q \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}$$

for q > 2, the proof is complete.

3.2 L^2 -estimate for the vorticity

Next, we turn to the L^2 -energy of the vorticity $w = \operatorname{curl} u$ to the power $p \ge 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{1,p}^{u}(t) &:= \|w(t+1)\|^{2p} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|w(s+1)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla w(s+1)\|^{2} + \|w(s+1)\|^{2p} \right) \mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. There exist constants $\kappa_{1,p}$ and $C_{1,p}$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, p$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{1,p}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1,p}t - \mathcal{C}_{1,p} \|w(1)\|^{2p}\right) \geq \rho\right\} \\
\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},p,q} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{4(pq+2)} + 1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.1. The equation for w is given by

$$\partial_t w + u \cdot \nabla w - \nu \Delta w + aw = \operatorname{curl} h + \operatorname{curl} \eta.$$
(3.8)

By the Itô formula and the cancellation property of the convection term,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{d} \|w\|^{2p} &= 2p \|w\|^{2(p-1)} \langle w, \nu \Delta w - aw + \operatorname{curl} h \rangle \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ p \|w\|^{2(p-1)} \left(2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \langle w, \operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle \mathrm{d}\beta_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \|\operatorname{curl} e_j\|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right) \\ &+ 2p(p-1) \|w\|^{2(p-2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \langle w, \operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle^2 \mathrm{d}t. \end{split}$$

Integrating this equality from 1 to t + 1, we derive

$$||w(t+1)||^{2p} - ||w(1)||^{2p} + \int_{1}^{t+1} \left(2p\nu ||w||^{2(p-1)} ||\nabla w||^{2} + 2ap ||w||^{2p}\right) \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \int_{1}^{t+1} p ||w||^{2(p-1)} \left(2\langle w, \mathrm{curl}\,h\rangle + (2p-1)\mathcal{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{d}s + M_{1,p}(t),$$

where

$$M_{1,p}(t) := 2p \int_{1}^{t+1} \|w\|^{2(p-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \langle w, \operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle \mathrm{d}\beta_j.$$

Applying the Young inequality, we find a positive constant $\kappa_{1,p}$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, p$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,p}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1,p}t - C_{a,\nu,p} \|w(1)\|^{2p} \le C_{a,\nu,p} \left(M_{1,p}(t) - t\right).$$
(3.9)

Repeating the arguments of the proof of (3.5), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1,p}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1,p}t - C_{a,\nu,p} \|w(1)\|^{2p}\right) \geq \rho\right\} \\
\leq C_{a,\nu,p,q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\langle M_{1,p}\rangle(n+1)\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}}{(\rho+n)^{q}}, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\langle M_{1,p} \rangle(t) := 4p^2 \int_1^{t+1} \|w\|^{4(p-1)} \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j^2 \langle w, \operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

is the quadratic variation of $M_{1,p}(t)$. The Hölder inequality implies that

$$\langle M_{1,p} \rangle^{\frac{q}{2}}(t) \leq (2p)^{q} \left(\int_{1}^{t+1} \mathcal{B}_{1} \|w\|^{4p-2} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}$$

$$\leq (2p)^{q} \mathcal{B}_{1}^{\frac{q}{2}} t^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \int_{1}^{t+1} \|w\|^{(2p-1)q} \mathrm{d}s.$$
 (3.11)

From the inequality (3.9) with p replaced by $(p - \frac{1}{2})q$ and Lemma A.1 it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{1}^{t} \|w\|^{(2p-1)q} \mathrm{d}s \leq C_{a,\nu,p,q} \mathbb{E}\|w(1)\|^{(2p-1)q} + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},p,q}t$$
$$\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},p,q} \left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{4(pq+2)} + t\right), \quad t \geq 1.$$
(3.12)

Combining the estimates (3.10)–(3.12), we derive

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{1,p}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1,p}t - C_{a,\nu,p} \|w(1)\|^{2p}\right) \geq \rho\right\}$$

$$\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},p,q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{4(pq+2)} + 1}{(\rho+n)^{\frac{q}{2}}}$$

$$\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},p,q} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{4(pq+2)} + 1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}},$$

which completes the proof.

.

Weighted estimate for the velocity field 3.3

Here we establish a growth estimate for the weighted energy of the velocity field:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{\psi}(t) := \|\psi(t)u(t+1)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|\psi(s)\nabla u(s+1)\|^{2} + \|\psi(s)u(s+1)\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$

The following weighted Poincaré inequality will play an important role; its proof is postponed to the Appendix.

Lemma 3.3. Let

$$B_{\pi} := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \, | \, x^2 + (y - \pi)^2 \le \pi^2 \},\$$

and let $g: B_{\pi} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$\int_{B_{\pi}} g(x, y) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = 0.$$
(3.13)

Then, there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|\partial_x \psi(t)g\| \le C \|\nabla g\| \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Proposition 3.4. There exist constants $\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{C}$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ such that for any $q, \rho > 2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{\psi}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right)\right) \geq \rho\right\} \\
\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right)\left(e^{-\tilde{\gamma}\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right).$$

Proof. To simplify the notation, let us write $\tilde{\psi}(t+1) := \psi(t)$. By the Itô formula,

$$d\|\tilde{\psi}u\|^{2} = 2\langle\partial_{t}\tilde{\psi}u,\tilde{\psi}u\rangle + 2\langle\tilde{\psi}u,\tilde{\psi}(-(u\cdot\nabla)u+\nu\Delta u - au + h - \nabla p)\rangle dt + dM_{\tilde{\psi}}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j}^{2}\|\tilde{\psi}e_{j}\|^{2}dt,$$
(3.14)

where

$$M_{\tilde{\psi}}(t) := 2 \int_{1}^{t+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \langle \tilde{\psi}u, \tilde{\psi}e_j \rangle \mathrm{d}\beta_j.$$

For the nonlinear convection term, we use the property (ii) of the weight function ψ and the Ladyzhenskaya inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|f\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla f\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.15}$$

to derive

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \tilde{\psi}u, \tilde{\psi}(u \cdot \nabla)u \rangle &= \langle \partial_x \tilde{\psi} \cdot u_1, \tilde{\psi}|u|^2 \rangle \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\psi}u\|_{L^4} \|u\|_{L^4} \|u\| \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\psi}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \|\tilde{\psi}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|^2 \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \delta \|\tilde{\psi}u\|^2 + \delta \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla u\|^2 + C \|\nabla u\|^2 + C \left(1 + \|u\|^6\right), \quad (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta>0$ is a small parameter to be determined. As for the pressure term, we first choose p such that

$$\int_{B_{\pi}} p(x, y) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = 0$$

and then use Lemma 3.3 to get

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}u, \tilde{\psi}\nabla p \rangle = -2\langle \tilde{\psi}u_1, \partial_x \tilde{\psi}p \rangle \le \|\tilde{\psi}u\| \|\partial_x \tilde{\psi}p\| \le C \|\tilde{\psi}u\| \|\nabla p\|.$$
(3.17)

Moreover, since p satisfies

$$-\Delta p = \operatorname{div}((u \cdot \nabla)u),$$

by the Ladyzhenskaya inequality (3.15), we have

$$\|\nabla p\| \lesssim \|(u \cdot \nabla)u\| \lesssim \|u\|_{L^4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla^2 u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining this with (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \langle \tilde{\psi}u, \tilde{\psi}\nabla p \rangle &\leq \|\tilde{\psi}u\| \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla^{2}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \delta \|\tilde{\psi}u\|^{2} + C \|u\|^{2} \|\nabla u\|^{2} + C \|\nabla u\|^{2} \|\nabla^{2}u\|^{2} \\ &\leq \delta \|\tilde{\psi}u\|^{2} + C \|u\|^{2} \|\nabla u\|^{2} + C \|w\|^{2} \|\nabla w\|^{2}, \end{split}$$
(3.18)

where we also used the Young inequality and the following relation between velocity and vorticity:

$$\|\nabla^{j}u\| \lesssim \|\nabla^{j-1}w\|, \qquad \forall j \ge 1.$$
(3.19)

Notice that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \|\tilde{\psi}(s)e_j\|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \|\varphi e_j\|^2 \le \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}, \qquad s \ge 1,$$

due to the property (i) of the weight function ψ . Then, choosing $\delta := (a \wedge \nu)/4$, plugging the estimates (3.16) and (3.18) into the equality (3.14), integrating the resulting inequality from 1 to t + 1, and using Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\psi(t)u(t+1)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left(\nu\|\psi(s)\nabla u(s+1)\|^2 + a\|\psi(s)u(s+1)\|^2\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C_{a,\nu} \int_1^{t+1} \left(\|u\|^2\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|w\|^2\|\nabla w\|^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u\|^6\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}}t + M_{\tilde{\psi}}(t). \end{split}$$

As the quadratic variation $\langle M_{\tilde{\psi}} \rangle$ satisfies

$$\langle M_{\tilde{\psi}} \rangle(t) = 4 \int_{1}^{t+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j}^{2} \langle \tilde{\psi}u, \tilde{\psi}e_{j} \rangle^{2} \mathrm{d}s \leq 4\mathcal{B}_{\varphi} \int_{1}^{t+1} \|\tilde{\psi}u\|^{2} \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= 4\mathcal{B}_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{t} \|\psi(s)u(s+1)\|^{2} \mathrm{d}s,$$

by setting $\tilde{\gamma}' := \frac{a}{4\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}}$, we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{\psi}(t) \leq C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1,2}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{u}_{1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{u}_{2}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{u}_{3}(t) \right) + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}} t + C_{a,\nu} \left(M_{\tilde{\psi}}(t) - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}'}{2} \langle M_{\tilde{\psi}} \rangle(t) \right).$$

For $p \geq 1$, let $(\kappa_p, \mathcal{C}_p)$ and $(\kappa_{1,p}, \mathcal{C}_{1,p})$ be the constants in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. Define

$$\tilde{\kappa} := C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}} + C_{a,\nu} \left(\kappa_{1,2} + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 \right),$$

and let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be such that

$$C_{a,\nu}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1,2}\|w(1)\|^4 + \mathcal{C}_1\|u_0\|^2 + \mathcal{C}_2\|u_0\|^4 + \mathcal{C}_3\|u_0\|^6\right) \le \tilde{\mathcal{C}}\left(1 + \|u_0\|^6 + \|w(1)\|^4\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{\psi}(t) &-\tilde{\kappa}t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4} \right) \\ &\leq C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1,2}(t) - \kappa_{1,2}t - \mathcal{C}_{1,2}\|w(1)\|^{4} \right) + C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1}(t) - \kappa_{1}t - \mathcal{C}_{1}\|u_{0}\|^{2} \right) \\ &+ C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{2}(t) - \kappa_{2}t - \mathcal{C}_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{4} \right) + C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{3}(t) - \kappa_{3}t - \mathcal{C}_{3}\|u_{0}\|^{6} \right) \\ &+ C_{a,\nu} \left(M_{\tilde{\psi}}(t) - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}'}{2} \langle M_{\tilde{\psi}} \rangle(t) \right). \end{split}$$

Applying the exponential supermartingale estimate (cf. (A.57) in [KS12]) and Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\psi}^{u}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right)\right) \geq \rho\right\} \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{1,2}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1,2}t - \mathcal{C}_{1,2}\|w(1)\|^{4}\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{5C_{a,\nu}}\right\} \\
+ \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1}t - \mathcal{C}_{1}\|u_{0}\|^{2}\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{5C_{a,\nu}}\right\} \\
+ \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{2}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{2}t - \mathcal{C}_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{4}\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{5C_{a,\nu}}\right\} \\
+ \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{3}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{3}t - \mathcal{C}_{3}\|u_{0}\|^{6}\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{5C_{a,\nu}}\right\} \\
+ \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(M_{\tilde{\psi}}(t) - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}'}{2}\langle M_{\tilde{\psi}}\rangle(t)\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{5C_{a,\nu}}\right\} \\
\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right)\left(e^{-\tilde{\gamma}\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right),$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} := \frac{\tilde{\gamma}'}{5C_{a,\nu}}$.

3.4 Weighted estimate for the vorticity

Our next goal is to derive a growth estimate for the weighted energy of the vorticity:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1,\psi}^{u}(t) := \|\psi(t)w(t+1)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|\psi(s)\nabla w(s+1)\|^{2} + \|\psi(s)w(s+1)\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Proposition 3.5. There exist constants $\tilde{\kappa}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{C}_1$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ such

that for any $q, \rho > 2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1,\psi}^{u}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}_{1}t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1}(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4})\right) \geq \rho\right\} \\
\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right)\left(e^{-\tilde{\gamma}_{1}\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right).$$

Proof. Again, we set $\tilde{\psi}(t+1) := \psi(t)$ and proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.4. By the Itô formula and the equation (3.8),

$$d\|\tilde{\psi}w\|^{2} = 2\langle\partial_{t}\tilde{\psi}w,\tilde{\psi}w\rangle + 2\langle\tilde{\psi}w,\tilde{\psi}(-u\cdot\nabla w + \nu\Delta w - aw + \operatorname{curl} h)\rangle dt + dM_{1,\tilde{\psi}}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j}^{2}\|\tilde{\psi}\operatorname{curl} e_{j}\|^{2}dt,$$
(3.20)

where

$$M_{1,\tilde{\psi}}(t) := 2 \int_{1}^{t+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \langle \tilde{\psi}w, \tilde{\psi}\operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle \mathrm{d}\beta_j.$$

For the nonlinear convection term, we use the property (ii) of the weight function ψ and the Ladyzhenskaya inequality (3.15) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \tilde{\psi}w, \tilde{\psi}u \cdot \nabla w \rangle &= \langle \partial_x \tilde{\psi}u_1, \tilde{\psi}w^2 \rangle \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\psi}w\|_{L^4} \|u\|_{L^4} \|w\| \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{\psi}w\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla(\tilde{\psi}w)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq \delta \|\tilde{\psi}w\|^2 + \delta \|\nabla(\tilde{\psi}w)\|^2 + C \|w\|^4 + C \|u\|^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 \\ &\leq \delta \|\tilde{\psi}w\|^2 + \delta \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla w\|^2 + C \left(1 + \|w\|^4 + \|u\|^2 \|\nabla u\|^2\right), \quad (3.21) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a small parameter to be determined. Moreover, by the property (i) of the weight function ψ , we have

$$\langle M_{1,\tilde{\psi}}\rangle(t) = 4 \int_{1}^{t+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \langle \tilde{\psi}w, \tilde{\psi}\operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle^2 \mathrm{d}s \le 4\mathcal{B}_{\varphi} \int_{1}^{t+1} \|\tilde{\psi}w\|^2 \mathrm{d}s, \quad (3.22)$$

where \mathcal{B}_{φ} is given in (1.6). Taking $\delta := (a \wedge \nu)/4$, plugging (3.21) and (3.22) into (3.20), integrating the resulting inequality from 1 to t + 1, and using the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we derive

$$\begin{split} \|\psi(t)w(t+1)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left(\|\psi(s)\nabla w(s+1)\|^2 + \|\psi(s)w(s+1)\|^2\right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C_{a,\nu} \int_1^{t+1} \left(\|w\|^4 + \|u\|^2 \|\nabla u\|^2\right) \mathrm{d}s + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}}t \\ &+ C_{a,\nu} \left(M_{1,\tilde{\psi}}(t) - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1'}{2} \langle M_{1,\tilde{\psi}} \rangle(t)\right), \end{split}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}'_1 := \frac{a}{4\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}}$. This implies

$$\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{1,\psi}^{u}(t) \leq C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}_{1,2}^{u}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{2}^{u}(t+1) \right) + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}} t \\
+ C_{a,\nu} \left(M_{1,\tilde{\psi}}(t) - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{1}'}{2} \langle M_{1,\tilde{\psi}} \rangle(t) \right).$$
(3.23)

Let

$$\tilde{\kappa}_1 := C_{a,\nu} \left(\kappa_{1,2} + \kappa_2 \right) + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}}$$

and choose $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1$ as such that

$$C_{a,\nu}\mathcal{C}_{1,2}\|w(1)\|^4 + C_{a,\nu}\mathcal{C}_2\|u_0\|^4 + C_{a,\nu}\kappa_2 \le \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1\left(1 + \|u_0\|^6 + \|w(1)\|^4\right).$$

Then, we derive from (3.23) that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1,\psi}^{u}(t) &- \tilde{\kappa}_{1} t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1} \left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4} \right) \\ &\leq C_{a,\nu} \left(M_{1,\tilde{\psi}}(t) - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{1}'}{2} \langle M_{1,\tilde{\psi}} \rangle(t) \right) + C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}_{1,2}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1,2} t - \mathcal{C}_{1,2} \|w(1)\|^{4} \right) \\ &+ C_{a,\nu} \left(\mathcal{E}_{2}^{u}(t+1) - \kappa_{2}(t+1) - \mathcal{C}_{2} |u_{0}\|^{4} \right), \end{split}$$

which, by the exponential supermartingale estimate, implies

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1,\psi}^{u}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}_{1}t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1}(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4})\right) \geq \rho\right\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{1,2}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1,2}t - \mathcal{C}_{1,2}\|w(1)\|^{4}\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{3C_{a,\nu}}\right\}$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{2}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{2}t - \mathcal{C}_{2}\|u_{0}\|^{4}\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{3C_{a,\nu}}\right\}$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(M_{1,\tilde{\psi}}(t) - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{1}'}{2}\langle M_{1,\tilde{\psi}}\rangle(t)\right) \geq \frac{\rho}{3C_{a,\nu}}\right\}$$

$$\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1,q}}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right)\left(e^{-\tilde{\gamma}_{1}\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right),$$
we $\tilde{\gamma}_{1} := \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{1}'}{2}$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_1 := \frac{\tilde{\gamma}'_1}{3C_{a,\nu}}$.

3.5 Estimate for the weighted parabolic energy

Finally, we combine the estimates established in Sections 3.1–3.4 to derive a growth estimate for the weighted parabolic energy $\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{u}(t)$ defined in (3.1).

Proposition 3.6. There exist constants κ, γ, C depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ such that for any $q, \rho > 2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{\psi}(t) - \kappa t - \mathcal{C}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right)\right) \geq \rho\right\} \\
\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right)\left(e^{-\gamma\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right).$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\mathcal{E}^u_\psi(t) = \mathcal{E}^u_1(t) + \mathcal{E}^u_3(t) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^u_\psi(t) + \mathcal{E}^u_{1,1}(t) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^u_{1,\psi}(t),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{u}_{\psi}(t) &- (\kappa_{1} + \kappa_{3} + \tilde{\kappa} + \kappa_{1,1} + \tilde{\kappa}_{1}) t \\ &= (\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1} - \kappa_{1}t) + (\mathcal{E}^{u}_{3} - \kappa_{3}t) + (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{\psi}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}t) + (\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1,1}(t) - \kappa_{1,1}t) + (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{1,\psi}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}_{1}t). \end{aligned}$$

There exists a constant $\mathcal C$ depending on $a,\nu,h,\mathcal B_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{u}_{\psi}(t) - (\kappa_{1} + \kappa_{3} + \tilde{\kappa} + \kappa_{1,1} + \tilde{\kappa}_{1})t - \mathcal{C}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1} - \kappa_{1}t - \mathcal{C}_{1}\|u_{0}\|^{2}\right) + \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{3} - \kappa_{3}t - \mathcal{C}_{3}\|u_{0}\|^{6}\right) \\ &+ \left(\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1,1} - \kappa_{1,1}t - \mathcal{C}_{1,1}\|w(1)\|^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{\psi}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right)\right) \\ &+ \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{u}_{1,\psi}(t) - \tilde{\kappa}_{1}t - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, setting

$$\kappa := \kappa_1 + \kappa_3 + \tilde{\kappa} + \kappa_{1,1} + \tilde{\kappa}_1, \qquad \gamma := \frac{\tilde{\gamma} \wedge \tilde{\gamma}_1}{5},$$

and applying Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, and 3.5, we conclude the proof.

As a corollary, we now establish an estimate for the distribution function of the stopping time

$$\tau_1^u := \inf\left\{t \ge 0 \,|\, \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^u(t) \ge (K+2L)t + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}\left(1 + \|u_0\|^6\right)\right\},\tag{3.24}$$

where K, \mathscr{C} , and L are some parameters to be determined later.

Corollary 3.7. Let $\kappa, \gamma, \mathcal{C}$ be given in Proposition 3.6. If $K \geq \kappa$ and $\mathscr{C} \geq \mathcal{C}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{l \le \tau_1^u < \infty\} \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_1,q} \left(\mathbb{E} \|u_0\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right) \left(e^{-\gamma(\rho+Ll)} + \frac{1}{(\rho+Ll)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right)$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$ and $L, l \ge 0$.

Proof. Note that

$$\{ l \le \tau_1^u < \infty \} \subset \left(\{ l \le \tau_1^u < \infty \} \bigcap \left\{ \| w(1) \| \le \mathcal{C}^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\rho + Ll)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\} \right) \\ \bigcup \left\{ \| w(1) \| > \mathcal{C}^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\rho + Ll)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\}.$$

By the definition of τ_1^u ,

$$\mathcal{E}^{u}_{\psi}(\tau_{1}^{u}) = (K+2L)\,\tau_{1}^{u} + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6}\right)$$

$$\geq \kappa\tau_{1}^{u} + \mathcal{C}\left(1 + \|u_{0}\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right) + \rho + Ll$$

on the event

$$\{l \le \tau_1^u < \infty\} \cap \left\{ \|w(1)\| \le \mathcal{C}^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\rho + Ll)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\{ l \le \tau_1^u < \infty \} \subset \left\{ \|w(1)\| > \mathcal{C}^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\rho + Ll)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\} \\ \bigcup \left\{ \sup_{t \ge 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^u(t) - \kappa t - \mathcal{C} \left(1 + \|u_0\|^6 + \|w(1)\|^4 \right) \right) \ge \rho + Ll \right\}.$$

Applying Proposition 3.6 and Lemma A.1, we obtain the desired result. \Box

We introduce another stopping time τ_2^u in order to control the growth of $\mathcal{E}_1^u(t)$:

$$\tau_2^u := \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 \, | \, \mathcal{E}_1^u(t) \ge (K+L)t + \rho + \mathscr{C} \|u_0\|^2 \right\}.$$
(3.25)

Corollary 3.8. Let κ_1, C_1 be the constants in Proposition 3.1. If $K \geq \kappa_1$ and $\mathscr{C} \geq C_1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{l \le \tau_2^u < \infty\right\} \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_0,q} \left(\mathbb{E} \|u_0\|^q + 1\right) \frac{1}{(\rho + Ll)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$ and $L, l \ge 0$.

Proof. Notice that

$$\mathcal{E}_{1}^{u}(\tau_{2}^{u}) = (K+L)\tau_{2}^{u} + \rho + \mathscr{C} \|u_{0}\|^{2} \ge \kappa_{1}\tau_{2}^{u} + \mathcal{C}_{1} \|u_{0}\|^{2} + \rho + Ll$$

on the event $\{l \leq \tau_2^u < \infty\}$, which implies

$$\{l \le \tau_2^u < \infty\} \subset \left\{ \sup_{t \ge 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_1^u(t) - \kappa_1 t - \mathcal{C}_1 \|u_0\|^2 \right) \ge \rho + Ll \right\}.$$

Hence, an application of Proposition 3.1 yields the result.

Combining Corollaries 3.7 and 3.8, we can simultaneously control the growth of both $\mathcal{E}^{u}_{\psi}(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{u}_{1}(t)$ via the stopping time

$$\tau^u := \tau_1^u \wedge \tau_2^u. \tag{3.26}$$

Note that

$$\left\{ l \leq \tau^u < \infty \right\} \subset \left\{ l \leq \tau^u_1 < \infty \right\} \bigcup \left\{ l \leq \tau^u_2 < \infty \right\},$$

which allows to derive the following estimate for the distribution of τ^{u} .

Corollary 3.9. Let κ, γ, C be given in Proposition 3.6 and κ_1, C_1 in Proposition 3.1. If $K \geq \kappa \lor \kappa_1$ and $\mathscr{C} \geq C \lor C_1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{l \le \tau^{u} < \infty\} \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q} \left(\mathbb{E} \|u_{0}\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right) \left(e^{-\gamma(\rho+Ll)} + \frac{1}{(\rho+Ll)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right)$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$ and $L, l \ge 0$.

4 Stability of solutions

We begin this section by establishing a Foiaş–Prodi type estimate for the NS system (1.1). Then, we provide a growth estimate for an auxiliary process appearing in this estimate.

4.1 Foiaș–Prodi type estimate

The Foiaş–Prodi type estimate is provided by Proposition 4.3. The following truncated Poincaré inequality is one of the main ingredients of its proof.

Lemma 4.1. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and A > 1, there is an integer $N \ge 1$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_N\chi_A f\| \le \epsilon \|f\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad for \ f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\chi_A : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is a smooth cut-off function satisfying

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (-A, A), \\ 0, & x \notin (-2A, 2A) \end{cases}$$

and $Q_N := I - P_N$ with P_N the same projection as in (2.8).

See Lemma 2.1 in [NZ24] for a proof of this lemma. We will also use the following weighted estimates, whose proof is deferred to the Appendix.

Lemma 4.2. There is a constant C > 0 such that

(i) for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}; \mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\|\psi(t)\Pi f\| \le C(\|\psi(t)f\| + \|f\|), \quad t > 0;$$

(ii) for any $u \in H^2$,

$$\|\psi(t)\nabla^2 u\| \le C\left(\|\nabla u\| + \|\psi(t)\nabla w\|\right), \quad t > 0,$$

where $w := \operatorname{curl} u$.

Proposition 4.3. Let g(t) := u(t) - v(t), where $\{u(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the solution of (1.1) issued from $u \in H$ and $\{v(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the solution of (2.8). Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a time $T \geq 1$ and an integer $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t+T)\|^{2} &\leq \left(\|g(s+T)\|^{2} + C\|\mathbf{P}_{N}g(s+T)\|_{H^{2}}^{2}\right)e^{-a(t-s)} \\ &\times \exp\left(C\epsilon \int_{s+T}^{t+T} \left(\|v(r)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|\psi(r-1)\nabla v(r)\|^{2} + \|\psi(r-1)v(r)\|^{2}\right)dr\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(C\epsilon \int_{s+T}^{t+T} \left(\|w(r)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|\psi(r-1)\nabla u(r)\|^{2} + \|\psi(r-1)\nabla w(r)\|^{2}\right)dr\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.1)$$

for any $t \ge s \ge 0$, where C > 0 is a constant depending on a, ν .

Proof. The equation for g is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g + ag + \mathcal{Q}_N[\Pi(u \cdot \nabla)u - \Pi(v \cdot \nabla)v - \nu \Delta g] = 0, \\ g|_{t=0} = u - u'. \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

Taking the inner product in H of this equation with g, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|g(t)\|^{2} + a\|g(t)\|^{2} = -\langle g, \mathcal{Q}_{N}[\Pi(g\cdot\nabla)u + \Pi(v\cdot\nabla)g - \nu\Delta g]\rangle$$

=: $-I_{1} - I_{2} - I_{3}.$ (4.3)

To estimate I_1 , we decompose

$$I_1 = \langle g, \mathcal{Q}_N \chi_A \Pi(g \cdot \nabla) u \rangle + \langle \mathcal{Q}_N g, (1 - \chi_A) \Pi(g \cdot \nabla) u \rangle =: I_{11} + I_{12}.$$

To bound I_{11} , we apply Lemma 4.1, the boundedness of the Leray projector in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the Kato–Ponce inequality (e.g., see Proposition 1.1 in Chapter 2 of [Tay00])

$$\||\nabla|^{\frac{1}{2}}(f_1f_2)\| \lesssim \||\nabla|^{\frac{1}{2}}f_1\|_{L^4}\|f_2\|_{L^4} + \||\nabla|^{\frac{1}{2}}f_2\|_{L^4}\|f_1\|_{L^4},$$

the embedding $H^{\frac{1}{2}} \hookrightarrow L^4$, and the estimate (3.19) to derive

$$I_{11} \leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|(g \cdot \nabla)u\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$\leq C\epsilon \|g\| \left(\|(g \cdot \nabla)u\| + \||\nabla|^{\frac{1}{2}}g\|_{L^{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{4}} + \||\nabla|^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla u\|_{L^{4}} \|g\|_{L^{4}} \right)$$

$$\leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|g\|_{H^{1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{1}} \leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|g\|_{H^{1}} \|w\|_{H^{1}}.$$

To bound I_{12} , we choose A and T sufficiently large such that

$$\frac{1}{|\psi(t-1,x)|} \le \epsilon$$

for any $t \ge T$ and $x \notin (-A, A)$ and use properties (i) and (ii) in Lemma 4.2:

$$I_{12} \leq \epsilon \|g\| \|\tilde{\psi}\Pi(g \cdot \nabla)u\| \leq C\epsilon \|g\| \left(\|\tilde{\psi}(g \cdot \nabla)u\| + \|(g \cdot \nabla)u\| \right)$$
$$\leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|g\|_{H^1} \left(\|\tilde{\psi}\nabla u\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla u\|_{H^1} \right)$$
$$\leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|g\|_{H^1} \left(\|w\|_{H^1} + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla u\| + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla w\| \right),$$

where we denote $\tilde{\psi}(t) := \psi(t-1)$. Therefore,

$$I_{1} \leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|g\|_{H^{1}} \left(\|w\|_{H^{1}} + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla u\| + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla w\| \right).$$
(4.4)

As for I_2 , we use the cancellation property of the convection term and then decompose as in the case of I_1 :

$$I_{2} = \langle g, \mathbf{Q}_{N} \Pi(v \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{P}_{N} g \rangle$$

= $\langle g, \mathbf{Q}_{N} \chi_{A} \Pi(v \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{P}_{N} g \rangle + \langle \mathbf{Q}_{N} g, (1 - \chi_{A}) \Pi(v \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{P}_{N} g \rangle =: I_{21} + I_{22}.$

The estimates for I_{21} and I_{22} are similar to those for I_{11} and I_{12} :

$$I_{21} \le C\epsilon \|g\| \| (v \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{P}_N g \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le C\epsilon \|g\| \| \nabla \mathbf{P}_N g \|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}$$

and

$$I_{22} \leq \epsilon \|g\| \|\tilde{\psi}\Pi(v \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{P}_N g\| \leq C\epsilon \|g\| \left(\|\tilde{\psi}(v \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{P}_N g\| + \|(v \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{P}_N g\| \right)$$
$$\leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|\nabla\mathbf{P}_N g\|_{H^1} \left(\|\tilde{\psi}v\| + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla v\| + \|v\|_{H^1} \right).$$

Hence, we get

$$I_{2} \leq C\epsilon \|g\| \|\mathbf{P}_{N}g\|_{H^{2}} \left(\|\tilde{\psi}v\| + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla v\| + \|v\|_{H^{1}} \right).$$
(4.5)

Finally, for I_3 , we have

$$-I_{3} = \nu \langle g, \mathbf{Q}_{N} \Delta g \rangle = -\nu \|\nabla g\|^{2} - \nu \langle g, \mathbf{P}_{N} \Delta g \rangle$$

$$= -\nu \|\nabla g\|^{2} - \nu \langle \Delta \mathbf{P}_{N} g, g \rangle \leq -\nu \|\nabla g\|^{2} + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{P}_{N} g\| \|g\|.$$
(4.6)

Plugging the estimates (4.4)-(4.6) into (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|g(t)\|^2 + a\|g\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla g\|^2 \lesssim_{a,\nu} \|\mathbf{P}_N g\|_{H^2}^2 \\ + \epsilon \|g\|^2 \left(\|w\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla u\|^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla w\|^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}v\|^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla v\|^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

An application of the Gronwall inequality, together with the fact that

$$\mathbf{P}_N g(t) = e^{-a(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_N g(s), \tag{4.7}$$

yields (4.1).

4.2 Growth estimate for an auxiliary process

To handle the integral terms appearing in the Foiaş–Prodi type inequality (4.1), we establish an estimate for the stopping time τ^v defined in (3.26), where $\{v(t)\}$ is the solution of (2.8). In what follows, we assume that the constants K and \mathscr{C} are sufficiently large so that Corollaries 3.9 and C.5 hold. The values of ρ and L will be specified later in Section 5.

Proposition 4.4. There are constants $\gamma, C > 0$ and an integer $N \ge 1$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}, L$ such that the following inequality holds

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau^{v} < \infty\} \leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q} \left(1 + d^{8(q+1)}\right) \left(e^{-\gamma\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp\left(Cd^{2}e^{C\left(\rho+d^{6}\right)}\right) - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.8}$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$, d > 0, and $u, u' \in B_H(0, d)$, provided that (1.7) holds. The constants γ, C and integer N do not depend on q, ρ, d, u, u' .

Proof. Step 1. We begin by reducing the proof to an estimate involving a truncated version of v. More precisely, we denote by $\{u(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{u'(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ the solutions of the problem (1.1) starting from u and u', and consider the truncated processes $\{\hat{u}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, $\{\hat{u}'(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, and $\{\hat{v}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ defined as follows: for $t \leq \tau$, where

$$\tau := \tau^v \wedge \tau^u \wedge \tau^{u'},\tag{4.9}$$

they coincide with $\{u(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, $\{u'(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, and $\{v(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, and for $t\geq \tau$, they solve the linear equation

$$\partial_t z + az = \nu \Delta z. \tag{4.10}$$

Then, we have

$$\{\tau^v < \infty\} \bigcap \{\tau^u = \infty\} \bigcap \{\tau^{u'} = \infty\} \subset \{\tau^{\hat{v}} < \infty\},\$$

 \mathbf{so}

$$\{\tau^{v} < \infty\} \subset \{\tau^{\hat{v}} < \infty\} \bigcup \{\tau^{u} < \infty\} \bigcup \{\tau^{u'} < \infty\}.$$

$$(4.11)$$

Let γ be as in Corollaries 3.9 and C.5. By Corollary 3.9,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau^{v} < \infty\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\tau^{v} < \infty\} + C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q} \left(\|u\|^{8(q+1)} + \|u'\|^{8(q+1)} + 1 \right) \left(e^{-\gamma\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}} \right)$$
(4.12)

for any $\rho > 2$. Thus, estimating $\mathbb{P}\{\tau^v < \infty\}$ requires bounding the probability $\mathbb{P}\{\tau^{\hat{v}} < \infty\}$.

Step 2. We now reduce the bound on $\mathbb{P}\{\tau^{\hat{v}} < \infty\}$ to an estimate involving a certain measurable transformation. Without loss of generality, we assume that the probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ has the following structure: $\Omega := C_0([0, \infty); H)$ is the space of continuous functions $f : [0, \infty) \to H$ vanishing at t = 0, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, \mathbb{P} is the distribution of the Wiener process

$$W(t) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \beta_j(t) e_j, \qquad (4.13)$$

and \mathscr{F} is the completion of the Borel σ -algebra of Ω . Now, let $N \geq 1$ be an integer (to be specified in Step 4) and define a transformation $\Phi^{u,u'} : \Omega \to \Omega$ as follows:

$$\omega_t \mapsto \omega_t - \int_0^t \mathbb{I}_{\{s \le \tau\}} \mathcal{P}_N[\Pi(\hat{u} \cdot \nabla)\hat{u} - \Pi(\hat{v} \cdot \nabla)\hat{v} - \nu\Delta(\hat{u} - \hat{v})] \mathrm{d}s.$$
(4.14)

The pathwise uniqueness for the system (1.1) implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\hat{u}'(t, \Phi^{u, u'}(\omega)) = \hat{v}(t, \omega) \text{ for any } t \ge 0\right\} = 1.$$
(4.15)

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau^{\hat{v}} < \infty\} = \Phi^{u,u'}_* \mathbb{P}\{\tau^{\hat{u}'} < \infty\} \le \mathbb{P}\{\tau^{\hat{u}'} < \infty\} + \|\mathbb{P} - \Phi^{u,u'}_*\mathbb{P}\|_{\text{var}}, \quad (4.16)$$

where $\Phi^{u,u'}_* \mathbb{P}$ is the push-forward of \mathbb{P} under $\Phi^{u,u'}$. The probability $\mathbb{P}\{\tau^{\hat{u}'} < \infty\}$ is estimated in Corollary C.5.

Step 3. To bound the term $\|\mathbb{P} - \Phi_*^{u,u'}\mathbb{P}\|_{\text{var}}$ in (4.16), we employ the strategy in Section 3.3.3 of [KS12] based on the Girsanov theorem. We write Ω as a direct sum:

$$\Omega = C([0,\infty); \mathbf{P}_N H) \oplus C([0,\infty); \mathbf{Q}_N H),$$

so that any $\omega \in \Omega$ can be expressed as $\omega = (\omega^{(1)}, \omega^{(2)})$. Accordingly, the transformation $\Phi^{u,u'}$ in (4.14) can be represented in the form

$$\Phi^{u,u'}(\omega^{(1)},\omega^{(2)}) = (\Psi^{u,u'}(\omega^{(1)},\omega^{(2)}),\omega^{(2)}),$$

with $\Psi^{u,u'}: \Omega \to C([0,\infty); \mathbb{P}_N H)$ given by

$$\Psi^{u,u'}(\omega^{(1)},\omega^{(2)})_t := \omega_t^{(1)} + \int_0^t \mathcal{A}(s;\omega^{(1)},\omega^{(2)}) \mathrm{d}s,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(t) := -\mathbb{I}_{\{t \le \tau\}} \mathcal{P}_N[\Pi(\hat{u} \cdot \nabla)\hat{u} - \Pi(\hat{v} \cdot \nabla)\hat{v} - \nu\Delta(\hat{u} - \hat{v})].$$
(4.17)

Let $\mathbb{P}_N := (\mathbb{P}_N)_* \mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{P}_N^{\perp} := (\mathbb{Q}_N)_* \mathbb{P}$, with \mathbb{P}_N and \mathbb{Q}_N being now the projections

$$P_N : \Omega :\to C_0([0,\infty); P_N H),$$

$$Q_N : \Omega :\to C_0([0,\infty); Q_N H).$$

Lemma 3.3.13 in [KS12] implies that

$$\|\mathbb{P} - \Phi_*^{u,u'}\mathbb{P}\|_{\mathrm{var}} \le \int_{C_0([0,\infty); Q_N H)} \|\Psi_*^{u,u'}(\mathbb{P}_N, \omega^{(2)}) - \mathbb{P}_N\|_{\mathrm{var}}\mathbb{P}_N^{\perp}(\mathrm{d}\omega^{(2)}).$$
(4.18)

By the Girsanov theorem, for each $\omega^{(2)}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\Psi_{*}^{u,u'}(\mathbb{P}_{N},\omega^{(2)}) - \mathbb{P}_{N}\|_{\mathrm{var}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{N} \exp\left(6 \sup_{1 \leq j \leq N} b_{j}^{-2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{A}(t;\cdot,\omega^{(2)})\|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

$$\tag{4.19}$$

provided that the Novikov condition

$$\mathbb{E}_N \exp\left(c \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{A}(t;\cdot,\omega^{(2)})\|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right) < \infty$$
(4.20)

is satisfied for any c > 0 and $\omega^{(2)}$, where \mathbb{E}_N is expectation with respect to \mathbb{P}_N .

Step 4. To verify that the Novikov condition holds, we need to establish a pathwise estimate for $||g(t)||^2$ up to the stopping time τ , where

$$g(t) := u(t) - v(t) = \hat{u}(t) - \hat{v}(t), \quad t \in [0, \tau).$$

Let C_1 be the constant in (4.1). By Proposition 4.3 applied for

$$\epsilon := \frac{a}{4C_1(K+2L)},\tag{4.21}$$

there are $T \ge 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that (4.1) holds. We consider two cases. Case 1: $\tau \le T$. Using the equality (4.3), the estimate (4.6), the nonlinear estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{N}g, \Pi(g \cdot \nabla)u \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{Q}_{N}g, (g \cdot \nabla)u \rangle \\ &\leq \|\nabla u\| \|g\|_{L^{4}} \|\mathbf{Q}_{N}g\|_{L^{4}} \\ &\leq \|\nabla u\| \|g\|_{L^{4}}^{2} + \|\nabla u\| \|g\|_{L^{4}} \|\mathbf{P}_{N}g\|_{L^{4}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\| \|g\| \|\nabla g\| + C \|\nabla u\| \|g\| \|\nabla \mathbf{P}_{N}g\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla g\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{8} \|\nabla g\|^{2} + C_{\nu} \|g\|^{2} \|\nabla u\|^{2} + C_{\nu} \|\nabla \mathbf{P}_{N}g\|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{Q}_N g, \Pi(v \cdot \nabla) g \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{Q}_N g, (v \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{P}_N g \rangle \\ &\leq C \|g\| \|v\|_{H^1} \|\mathbf{P}_N g\|_{H^2} \leq C \|g\|^2 \|v\|_{H^1}^2 + C \|\mathbf{P}_N g\|_{H^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

the Gronwall inequality, and (4.7), we get

$$||g(t)||^{2} \leq \left(||u - u'||^{2} + C_{a,\nu}||\mathbf{P}_{N}(u - u')||_{H^{2}}^{2}\right) \\ \times \exp\left(-at + C_{a,\nu}\int_{0}^{t} \left(||u||_{H^{1}}^{2} + ||v||_{H^{1}}^{2}\right) \,\mathrm{d}s\right).$$
(4.22)

By the definition of τ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{u}_{\psi}(t) &< (K+2L)t + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}(1+\|u\|^{6}) \leq (K+2L)t + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}(1+d^{6}), \ (4.23)\\ \mathcal{E}^{v}_{\psi}(t) &< (K+2L)t + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}(1+\|u'\|^{6}) \leq (K+2L)t + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}(1+d^{6}) \ (4.24) \end{aligned}$$

for $t < \tau$. Hence,

$$||g(t)||^{2} \leq \left(||u - u'||^{2} + C_{a,\nu}||\mathbf{P}_{N}(u - u')||_{H^{2}}^{2}\right) \\ \times \exp\left(-at + C_{a,\nu}[(K + 2L)T + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}(1 + d^{6})]\right).$$
(4.25)

 As

$$\|\mathbf{P}_N(u-u')\|_{H^2}^2 \lesssim_N \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle u-u', e_j \rangle|^2 \|e_j\|_{H^2}^2 \lesssim_N \|u-u'\|^2,$$

it follows from (4.25) that

$$||g(t)||^2 \le Cd^2 \exp(-at + C(\rho + d^6)), \tag{4.26}$$

where C is a constant depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}, L$.

Case 2: $\tau > T$. Inequality (4.26) holds true for $t \in [0, T]$. To estimate $||g(t)||^2$ over $[T, \tau)$, we apply Proposition 4.3 with same ϵ as in (4.21) and use (4.7), (4.23), and (4.24):

$$||g(t)||^{2} \leq (||g(T)||^{2} + C_{1}||\mathbf{P}_{N}g(T)||^{2}_{H^{2}}) \exp(-a(t-T) + C_{1}\epsilon(\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{u}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{v}(t)))$$

$$\leq (||g(T)||^{2} + C_{1}||\mathbf{P}_{N}g(T)||^{2}_{H^{2}}) \exp\left(-\frac{a}{2}t + aT + \frac{a(\rho + \mathscr{C}(1+d^{6}))}{(K+2L)}\right)$$

for $t \in [T, \tau)$. Therefore, we obtain the bound

$$\|g(t)\|^{2} \leq Cd^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{a}{2}t + C(\rho + d^{6})\right), \quad t \in [T, \tau).$$
(4.27)

Step 5. To verify the Novikov condition (4.20), we first bound the terms on the right-hand side of (4.17). First, integrating by parts, we get

$$\mathbb{I}_{\{t \le \tau\}} \| \mathbb{P}_N \Delta(\hat{u} - \hat{v}) \|^2 = \mathbb{I}_{\{t \le \tau\}} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \Delta g, e_j \rangle^2$$
$$= \mathbb{I}_{\{t \le \tau\}} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle g, \Delta e_j \rangle^2 \lesssim_N \mathbb{I}_{\{t \le \tau\}} \| g \|^2.$$
(4.28)

To estimate the term $\mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} \mathbb{P}_N[\Pi(\hat{u} \cdot \nabla)\hat{u} - \Pi(\hat{v} \cdot \nabla)\hat{v}]$, we use the identity

$$(u \cdot \nabla)u = \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u),$$

where \otimes denotes the tensor product of vector fields:

$$\mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} \| \mathbb{P}_{N}[\Pi(\hat{u} \cdot \nabla)\hat{u} - \Pi(\hat{v} \cdot \nabla)\hat{v}] \|^{2} \\
= \mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u - v \otimes v), e_{j} \rangle^{2} \\
\lesssim \mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\langle g \otimes u, \nabla e_{j} \rangle^{2} + \langle v \otimes g, \nabla e_{j} \rangle^{2} \right) \\
\lesssim \mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\|g\|^{2} \|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \|\nabla e_{j}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|g\|^{2} \|v\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \|\nabla e_{j}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \right) \\
\lesssim_{N} \mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq \tau\}} \|g\|^{2} \left(\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|v\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \right).$$
(4.29)

Combining (4.28) and (4.29), we derive

$$\|\mathcal{A}(t)\|^2 \lesssim_N \mathbb{I}_{\{t \le \tau\}} \|g\|^2 \left(1 + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2\right).$$
(4.30)

To further estimate the terms on the right-hand side of this inequality, we use (4.27):

$$\int_0^\tau \|g(t)\|^2 \mathrm{d}t \le C d^2 e^{C(\rho + d^6)}.$$
(4.31)

Furthermore, integrating by parts, yields

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} \|g(t)\|^{2} \left(\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|v\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right) dt
\leq Cd^{2}e^{C(\rho+d^{6})} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{at}{2}} d\int_{0}^{t} \left(\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|v\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right) ds
\leq Cd^{2}e^{C(\rho+d^{6})} \left(e^{-\frac{a\tau}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{u}(\tau) + \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{v}(\tau)\right) - \frac{a}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{at}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{u}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{v}(t)\right) dt\right)
\leq Cd^{2}e^{C(\rho+d^{6})}.$$
(4.32)

Finally, (4.31) and (4.32) imply the desired Novikov condition:

$$\mathbb{E}_N \exp\left(c \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{A}(t;\cdot,\omega^{(2)})\|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right) \le \exp\left(cCd^2 e^{C\left(\rho+d^6\right)}\right).$$
(4.33)

Besides, combining the estimates (4.18), (4.19), and (4.33), we get

$$\|\mathbb{P} - \Phi^{u,u'}_*\mathbb{P}\|_{\mathrm{var}} \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp\left(Cd^2 e^{C(\rho+d^6)}\right) - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This, together with (4.12), (4.16), and Corollary C.5, implies (4.8).

5 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Here we establish Theorem 2.2, which, as previously mentioned, implies Theorem 2.1 and, consequently, the Main Theorem.

5.1 Recurrence

Before proceeding with the verification of the recurrence property, let us show that the Markov family $(u(t), \mathbb{P}_u)$ corresponding to (1.1) is irreducible. Recall that $P_t(u, \Gamma) := \mathbb{P}\{S_t(u, \cdot) \in \Gamma\}$ is the transition function of $(u(t), \mathbb{P}_u)$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $N \ge 1$ be an arbitrary fixed integer. For any R, d > 0, there exist constants p, T > 0 depending on $R, d, a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1$ such that

$$P_T(u_0, B_H(0, d)) \ge p$$
 (5.1)

for all $u_0 \in B_H(0, R)$, provided that (1.7) holds and h belongs to the space spanned by the family $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N\}$.

Proof. Let us define

$$u^1(t) := u(t) - W_h(t),$$

where $W_h(t) := th + W(t)$ with W(t) being the Wiener process given in (4.13). Then, u^1 solves the equation

$$\partial_t u^1 + \Pi(u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + a(u^1 + W_h) = 0$$

Taking the inner product in H of this equation with u^1 , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|u^1\|^2 + a\|u^1\|^2 = \langle -\Pi(u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu \Delta u, u^1 \rangle - a \langle W_h, u^1 \rangle$$

=: $I_4 + I_5 + I_6.$ (5.2)

By the cancellation property of the convection term, it follows that

$$I_4 = -\langle \Pi(u \cdot \nabla)u, u^1 \rangle = \langle (u \cdot \nabla)u, W_h \rangle \le ||u||_{H^1}^2 ||W_h||_{H^1}$$
(5.3)

and

$$I_{5} = -\nu \langle \nabla u, \nabla u^{1} \rangle = -\nu \| \nabla u^{1} \|^{2} - \nu \langle \nabla W_{h}, \nabla u^{1} \rangle$$

$$\leq -\nu \| \nabla u^{1} \|^{2} + \nu \| W_{h} \|_{H^{1}} \| \nabla u^{1} \|$$

$$\leq -\frac{\nu}{2} \| \nabla u^{1} \|^{2} + C_{\nu} \| W_{h} \|_{H^{1}}^{2}$$
(5.4)

and

$$I_6 \le a \|W_h\| \|u^1\| \le \frac{a}{2} \|u^1\|^2 + C_a \|W_h\|^2.$$
(5.5)

Combining (5.2)–(5.5), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|u^{1}\|^{2} + a\|u^{1}\|^{2} + \nu\|\nabla u^{1}\|^{2}
\leq C_{a,\nu} \left(\|u^{1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\|W_{h}\|_{H^{1}} + \|W_{h}\|_{H^{1}}^{3} + \|W_{h}\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right).$$
(5.6)

Therefore,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|u^1\|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \|u^1\|^2 \le \epsilon \tag{5.7}$$

on the event

$$\Omega_{T,\epsilon} := \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|W_h\|_{H^1} \le \min\left\{ \frac{a \wedge \nu}{2C_{a,\nu}}, \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2C_{a,\nu}}}, \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2C_{a,\nu}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\} \right\}.$$

This implies that

$$\|u^{1}(T)\|^{2} \leq C_{a}\epsilon + e^{-\frac{aT}{2}}\|u_{0}\|^{2}.$$
(5.8)

Choosing T large and ϵ small enough, we get

$$u^{1}(T), W_{h}(T) \in B_{H}(0, d/2)$$

on $\Omega_{T,\epsilon}$, so $u(T) \in B_H(0,d)$ for any $u_0 \in B_H(0,R)$. Notice that $p := \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{T,\epsilon}) > 0$, provided that (1.7) holds and h belongs to the space spanned by the family $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N\}$. This leads to the desired estimate (5.1).

Remark 5.2. The assumption that h belongs to the space spanned by the family $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N\}$ is required to have the positivity of $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{T,\epsilon})$. It is worth noting that this positivity can also be achieved under the conditions in (1.8); see Lemma 3.1 in [NZ24] for a proof. However, in this case, the number N of nonvanishing modes will depend on the convergence rate q, as the integer N depends on the parameter d, which itself depends on q; see the proof of Lemma 5.5 for further details.

Combining Lemma 5.1 with Propositions 3.6 and 4.3 and using the coupling construction of the extension $(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$, one can deduce that this extension is also irreducible. As the argument mirrors the proof for the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation case (Proposition 4.2 in [NZ24]), we omit the details.

Lemma 5.3. There is an integer $N \ge 1$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ such that for any R, d > 0, there are constants p, T > 0 depending on $R, d, a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ and satisfying

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\boldsymbol{u}(T) \in B_H(0,d) \times B_H(0,d)\} \ge p \tag{5.9}$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in B_H(0, R) \times B_H(0, R)$, provided that (1.7) holds and h belongs to the space spanned by the family $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N\}$.

From the estimate (A.1), it follows that $F(u) := 1 + ||u||^2$ is a Lyapunov function for the family $(u(t), \mathbb{P}_u)$. Combining this and the irreducibility property in the previous proposition, we obtain the recurrence property (2.8), as explained in Section 3 in [Shi08]. To summarize, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.4. There exists an integer $N \ge 1$ depending on the parameters $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ such that for any d > 0, there are constants $\delta, T, C > 0$ depending on $d, a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ and satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \exp(\delta \tau_d) \le C \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}'\|^2 \right) \quad \text{for } \boldsymbol{u} \in H \times H, \tag{5.10}$$

provided that (1.7) holds and h belongs to the space spanned by the family $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N\}$. Here, the stopping time τ_d is defined in (2.3).

5.2 Polynomial squeezing

In this subsection, we show that the polynomial squeezing property is verified for the extension $(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}})$. We continue to denote by $u, u', v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}', \tilde{v}$ the processes constructed in Section 2 and by $\hat{u}, \hat{u}', \hat{v}$ the truncated processes appearing in the proof of Proposition 4.3. The constants K, γ, \mathscr{C} are such that Corollaries 3.9 and C.5 hold.

The stopping time σ in Theorem 2.2 is defined by $\sigma := \tilde{\tau} \wedge \sigma_1$, where $\tilde{\tau} := \tau^{\tilde{u}} \wedge \tau^{\tilde{u}'}$ and

$$\sigma_1 := \inf\{t \ge 0 \mid \tilde{u}'(t) \neq \tilde{v}(t)\}.$$

Let us take any T > 0 and consider the events

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}'_k &:= \{kT \leq \sigma < (k+1)T, \, \sigma_1 \geq \tilde{\tau} \}, \\ \mathcal{Q}''_k &:= \{kT \leq \sigma < (k+1)T, \, \sigma_1 < \tilde{\tau} \}, \quad k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Before verifying the polynomial squeezing property for σ , we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. There exists an integer $N \ge 1$ depending on the parameters $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ and a universal constant L > 0 such that for any q > 1, there are constants $d, T, \rho > 0$ depending on $q, a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ and satisfying

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}'_k) \vee \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}''_k) \leq \frac{q-1}{2q}(k+1)^{-4q}, \quad k \geq 0$$

for any $\mathbf{u} \in \overline{B}_H(0,d) \times \overline{B}_H(0,d)$, provided that (1.7) holds.

Proof. Step 1: estimate for $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}'_k)$. Applying Corollary 3.9 and taking $d \leq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}'_k) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{kT \leq \tilde{\tau} < \infty\} \lesssim_{q,a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_1,\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}} \frac{1}{(\rho + LkT)^{4q}},$$

where by choosing ρ, T sufficiently large and L = 1, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}'_k) \le \frac{q-1}{2q} (k+1)^{-4q}, \quad k \ge 0.$$
(5.11)

Step 2: estimate for $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}_0'')$. Using the fact that $\{\tilde{v}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ and $\{\tilde{u}'(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ are flows of the maximal coupling $(\mathcal{V}_T(u, u'), \mathcal{V}'_T(u, u'))$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_{0}^{''}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{0 \leq \sigma_{1} \leq T\} = \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\prime}(t) \neq \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}(t) \text{ for some } t \in [0,T]\}$$
$$= \|\lambda_{T}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}) - \lambda_{T}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime})\|_{\text{var}},$$
(5.12)

where $\lambda_T(u, u')$ and $\lambda'_T(u, u')$ are the distributions of $\{v(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ and $\{u'(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$. The term on the right-hand side of (5.12) is estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_{T}(u, u') - \lambda'_{T}(u, u')\|_{\text{var}} \\ &= \sup_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(C([0,T];H))} |\mathbb{P}\{v(\cdot) \in \Gamma\} - \mathbb{P}\{u'(\cdot) \in \Gamma\}| \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\{\tau < \infty\} + \sup_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(C([0,T];H))} |\mathbb{P}\{v(\cdot) \in \Gamma, \tau = \infty\} - \mathbb{P}\{u'(\cdot) \in \Gamma, \tau = \infty\}| \\ &=: \mathcal{P}_{1} + \mathcal{P}_{2}, \end{aligned}$$
(5.13)

where τ is defined by (4.9). The terms \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are estimated by

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \le \mathbb{P}\{\tau^u < \infty\} + \mathbb{P}\{\tau^{u'} < \infty\} + \mathbb{P}\{\tau^v < \infty\}$$
(5.14)

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{2} = \sup_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(C([0,T];H))} |\mathbb{P}\{\hat{v}(\cdot) \in \Gamma, \tau = \infty\} - \mathbb{P}\{\hat{u}'(\cdot) \in \Gamma, \tau = \infty\}|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(C([0,T];H))} |\mathbb{P}\{\hat{v}(\cdot) \in \Gamma\} - \mathbb{P}\{\hat{u}'(\cdot) \in \Gamma\}|$$

$$\leq ||\mathbb{P} - \Phi_{*}^{u,u'}\mathbb{P}||_{\operatorname{var}},$$
(5.15)

where we used the definitions of \hat{u}' and \hat{v} and the equality (4.15), and $\Phi^{u,u'}$ is the transformation in (4.14). Therefore, combining (5.12)–(5.15) with Proposition 4.4 and Corollary 3.9, we derive that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}_{0}^{''}) \leq \frac{q-1}{4q} + \left(\exp\left(Cd^{2}e^{C\left(\rho+d^{6}\right)}\right) - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(5.16)

where C is a constant depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$. Choosing $d \leq 1$ sufficiently small such that

$$\left(\exp\left(Cd^{2}e^{C\left(\rho+d^{6}\right)}\right)-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{q-1}{4q},$$

we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_0^{''}) \leq \frac{q-1}{2q}$$

as desired.

Step 3: estimate for $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}_k'')$, $k \geq 1$. In the case $k \geq 1$, the estimate is proved by using the Markov property and the arguments used in Step 2. We begin by applying the Markov property:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{''}) = \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{''}, \sigma \geq kT) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma \geq kT\}}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{''}} \mid \mathscr{F}_{kT}\right)\right)$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma \geq kT\}}\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}(kT)}\{0 \leq \sigma_{1} \leq T\}\right).$$

As in Step 2, for any $\boldsymbol{u} = (u, u') \in H \times H$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq T\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\tau^u < \infty\} + \mathbb{P}\{\tau^{u'} < \infty\} \\ + \mathbb{P}\{\tau^v < \infty\} + \|\mathbb{P} - \Phi^{u,u'}_*\mathbb{P}\|_{\mathrm{var}}$$

Combining this with (4.11) and (4.16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq T\} &\leq 2\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\tau^{\boldsymbol{u}} < \infty\} + 2\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\tau^{\boldsymbol{u}'} < \infty\} \\ &+ \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\tau^{\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}'} < \infty\} + 2\|\mathbb{P} - \Phi^{\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}'}_*\mathbb{P}\|_{\mathrm{var}}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{''}) \leq 2\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma \geq kT\}} \|\mathbb{P} - \Phi_{*}^{\tilde{u}(kT),\tilde{u}^{\prime}(kT)}\mathbb{P}\|_{\mathrm{var}}\right) \\
+ 2\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma \geq kT\}}\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}(kT)}\{\tau^{u} < \infty\}\right) \\
+ 2\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma \geq kT\}}\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}(kT)}\{\tau^{\hat{u}^{\prime}} < \infty\}\right) \\
+ \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma \geq kT\}}\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}(kT)}\{\tau^{\hat{u}^{\prime}} < \infty\}\right) \\
=: 2I^{1} + 2I^{2} + 2I^{3} + I^{4}.$$
(5.17)

To bound the term I^1 , we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 4.4. Note that $\Phi^{\tilde{u}(kT),\tilde{u}'(kT)}(\omega)_t$

$$=\omega_t - \int_0^t \mathbb{I}_{\{s \le \tau^k\}} \mathcal{P}_N[\Pi(u_k \cdot \nabla)u_k - \Pi(v_k \cdot \nabla)v_k - \nu\Delta(u_k - v_k)] \mathrm{d}s,$$

where u_k, u'_k, v_k are the solutions of (1.1) and (2.8) issued from $\tilde{u}(kT), \tilde{u}'(kT)$, and $\tilde{u}'(kT)$, and $\tau^k := \tau^{u_k} \wedge \tau^{u'_k} \wedge \tau^{v_k}$. In view of (4.18) and (4.19), we need to study the term $\int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{A}_k(t)\|^2 dt$, where

$$\mathcal{A}_k(t) := -\mathbb{I}_{\{t \le \tau^k\}} \mathbb{P}_N[\Pi(u_k \cdot \nabla)u_k - \Pi(v_k \cdot \nabla)v_k - \nu\Delta(u_k - v_k)].$$

As before, we need to get a pathwise estimate for $g_k(t) := u_k(t) - v_k(t)$ before τ^k . Applying Proposition 4.3 with

$$\epsilon := \frac{a}{4C_1(K+2L)} \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{4\mathscr{C}} \right), \tag{5.18}$$

where C_1 is the constant in Proposition 4.3, we find $T_0 \ge 1$ and $N \ge 1$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ such that (4.1) holds. If $\tau^k \le T_0$, then by proceeding similarly as in the derivation of (4.22),

$$||g_k(t)||^2 \le C ||g_k(0)||^2 \exp\left(-at + C_{a,\nu} \int_0^t (||u_k||^2_{H^1} + ||v_k||^2_{H^1}) \mathrm{d}s\right), \quad (5.19)$$

where C > 0 is a constant depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$. Notice that by the definition of τ^k ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{1}^{u_{k}}(t) < (K+L)t + \rho + \mathscr{C} \|u_{k}(0)\|^{2}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_{1}^{v_{k}}(t) < (K+L)t + \rho + \mathscr{C} \|v_{k}(0)\|^{2}$$
(5.20)

for any $t < \tau^k$. Then, from (5.19), (5.20), and the Young inequality, it follows

$$||g_{k}(t)||^{2} \leq C||g_{k}(0)||^{2} \exp\left(-at + C[(K+L)T_{0} + \rho + ||u_{k}(0)||^{2} + ||v_{k}(0)||^{2}]\right)$$

$$\leq C||g_{k}(0)||^{2} \exp\left(-at + \frac{a}{16(K+2L)}(||\tilde{u}(kT)||^{6} + ||\tilde{v}(kT)||^{6})\right),$$

(5.21)

where C depends on $q, a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$. If $\tau^k > T_0$, it is clear that (5.21) holds up to T_0 . Therefore, by Proposition 4.3 with ϵ given by (5.18), the definition of τ^k , and (5.21), we have

$$||g_{k}(t)||^{2} \leq C||g_{k}(T_{0})||^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{at}{2} + \frac{a}{16(K+2L)}[||u_{k}(0)||^{6} + ||v_{k}(0)||^{6})]\right)$$

$$\leq C||g_{k}(0)||^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{at}{2} + \frac{a}{8(K+2L)}[||\tilde{u}(kT)||^{6} + ||\tilde{v}(kT)||^{6})]\right).$$
(5.22)

On the other hand, due to the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\tilde{u}}$,

$$\|\tilde{u}(kT)\|^{6} \le \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\tilde{u}}(kT) \le (K+2L)kT + 2\rho + \mathcal{C}(1+d^{6}), \tag{5.23}$$

$$\|\tilde{v}(kT)\|^{6} \le \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\tilde{v}}(kT) \le (K+2L)kT + 2\rho + \mathscr{C}(1+d^{6}), \tag{5.24}$$

and

$$||g_k(0)||^2 = ||\tilde{u}(kT) - \tilde{v}(kT)||^2 \le Cd^2 \exp\left(-\frac{a}{2}kT + C(\rho + d^6)\right)$$
(5.25)

on $\{\sigma \geq kT\}$. Hence, by combining the estimates (5.21)–(5.25), we infer

$$||g_k(t)||^2 \le Cd^2 \exp\left(-\frac{a}{4}kT - \frac{a}{2}t + Cd^6\right)$$
(5.26)

for any $t \in [0, \tau^k)$ with the constant C > 0 depending on $q, a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$. Moreover, as in (4.30),

$$\|\mathcal{A}_{k}(t)\|^{2} \leq C \mathbb{I}_{\{t \leq \tau^{k}\}} \|g_{k}\|^{2} \left(1 + \|u_{k}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|v_{k}\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right),$$
(5.27)

where by (5.20), (5.23), (5.24), and the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\tilde{u}}$,

$$\int_{0}^{t} (\|u_{k}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|v_{k}\|_{H^{1}}^{2}) ds \leq \mathcal{E}_{1}^{u_{k}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{1}^{v_{k}}(t) \\
\leq 2(K+L)t + 2\rho + \mathcal{C}(\|\tilde{u}(kT)\|^{2} + \|\tilde{v}(kT)\|^{2}) \\
\leq 2(K+L)t + 2\rho + \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\tilde{u}}(kT) + \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\tilde{v}}(kT)) \\
\leq 2(K+L)t + 2\rho + \mathcal{C}[2(K+2L)kT + 4\rho + 2\mathcal{C}(1+d^{6})] \\
\leq C(t+kT+d^{6}) + C \\
\leq C(1+kT+d^{6})(1+t)$$
(5.28)

for $t < \tau^k$. Proceeding similarly as in the derivation of the estimates (4.31) and (4.32) combined with an application of (5.27) and (5.28),

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{A}_{k}(t)\|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \le C d^{2} e^{C d^{6}} e^{-\frac{akT}{8}}$$
(5.29)

on the event $\{\sigma \geq kT\}$. Hence,

$$I^{1} \leq \left(\exp\left(Cd^{2}e^{Cd^{6}}e^{-\frac{akT}{8}}\right) - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We choose d < 1 so small that

$$C_d := Cd^2 e^{Cd^6} \le 1.$$

Note that there is a constant c > 0 such that $e^x - 1 \le cx$ for any $x \in (0, 1)$. Then, by choosing $T \ge \frac{16}{a}$, we derive

$$I^1 \lesssim \sqrt{C_d} e^{-k} \lesssim_q \sqrt{C_d} (k+1)^{-4q},$$

which implies

$$I^{1} \le \frac{q-1}{16q} (k+1)^{-4q}, \tag{5.30}$$

provided that d is sufficiently small. Next, we bound the terms I^2 , I^3 , I^4 in (5.17). For I^2 , we apply the Markov property:

$$I^{2} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma \geq kT\}} \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}(kT)} \{ \tau^{\boldsymbol{u}} < \infty \} \right) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}(kT)} \{ \tau^{\boldsymbol{u}} < \infty \} \right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \left(\mathbb{I}_{\{kT \leq \tau^{\boldsymbol{u}} < \infty \}} \mid \mathscr{F}_{kT} \right) \right) = \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \{ kT \leq \tau^{\boldsymbol{u}} < \infty \}.$$
(5.31)

Utilizing Corollary 3.9 and similarly choosing the constants ρ , T as in the derivation of (5.11), we obtain

$$I^2 \le \frac{q-1}{16q} (k+1)^{-4q}.$$
(5.32)

The terms I^3, I^4 are estimated similarly, with the help of Corollaries 3.9 and C.5. This completes the proof.

We turn to the verification of properties (2.4)–(2.7). Let $N \ge 1$ be such that Lemma 5.5, along with Propositions 5.4 and 4.3, holds for ϵ as defined in (5.18). Let q > 1 be arbitrary, and let d, T, ρ, L be the constants in Lemma 5.5. The property (2.4) follows from the definition of σ . Applying Lemma 5.5, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\sigma=\infty\}\geq 1-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\sigma\in[kT,(k+1)T]\}\geq \frac{1}{2}>0,$$

which establishes (2.5). To prove (2.6), we derive from Lemma 5.5 that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma<\infty\}}\sigma^{q}\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma\in[kT,(k+1)T]\}}\sigma^{q}\right)$$
$$\lesssim_{q,T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{q} \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\{\sigma\in[kT,(k+1)T]\}$$
$$\lesssim_{q,T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{-3q} \leq C_{q}.$$

Lastly, to check (2.7), using the definition of σ , we note that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma<\infty\}}\left(\|\tilde{u}(\sigma)\|^{2q}+\|\tilde{u}'(\sigma)\|^{2q}\right)\right)\leq C_{q}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma<\infty\}}(1+\sigma^{q}))\leq C_{q}.$$

This completes the proof of polynomial squeezing, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 2.2.

A Moment estimates

The following lemma gathers standard a priori estimates for the stochastic NS system (1.1); see Chapter 2 in [KS12] for more general results.

Lemma A.1. Let u(t) be a solution of (1.1), and let w(t) be the corresponding vorticity. Then, for any $p \ge 1$, any integer $m \ge 1$, and time T > 0, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|u(T)\|^{2p} \le e^{-apT} \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|^{2p} + C_{a,p,h,\mathcal{B}_0},\tag{A.1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{E}_p^u(T))^m \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_0,p,m} \left(\mathbb{E} \| u_0 \|^{4p(m+2)} + T^m + 1 \right), \tag{A.2}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \|w(T)\|^{2p} \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_1,p,T} \left(\mathbb{E} \|u_0\|^{4(p+2)} + 1\right), \tag{A.3}$$

where \mathcal{E}_p^u is defined in (3.2).

Proof. First, let us establish the estimate (A.1). Taking the expectation in (3.3) and using the Young inequality, we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbb{E}\|u(t)\|^{2p} + 2p\nu\mathbb{E}\|\nabla u(t)\|^2\|u(t)\|^{2p-2} + ap\mathbb{E}\|u(t)\|^{2p} \le C_{a,p,h,\mathcal{B}_0}.$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we arrive at the required estimate.

Next, we turn to the estimate (A.2). Note that if X_1 and X_2 are non-negative random variables, then

$$\mathbb{E}X_{1}^{m} \leq 2^{m}\mathbb{E}((X_{1} - X_{2})^{m}\mathbb{I}_{\{X_{1} \geq X_{2}\}}) + 2^{m}\mathbb{E}X_{2}^{m}$$
$$\leq 2^{m}\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}\{X_{1} - X_{2} \geq \rho^{\frac{1}{m}}\}d\rho + 2^{m}\mathbb{E}X_{2}^{m}.$$
(A.4)

Applying this inequality with

$$X_1 := \mathcal{E}_p^u(T), \qquad X_2 := \kappa_p T + \mathcal{C}_p ||u_0||^{2p},$$

where κ_p, \mathcal{C}_p are given in Proposition 3.1, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{E}_p^u(T))^m \le 2^m \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\ge 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_p^u(t) - \kappa_p t - \mathcal{C}_p \|u_0\|^{2p}\right) \ge \rho^{\frac{1}{m}}\right\} \mathrm{d}\rho$$
$$+ 4^m \left(\mathcal{C}_p^m \mathbb{E} \|u_0\|^{2pm} + \kappa_p^m T^m\right).$$

By choosing q := 2(m+2) in Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{E}_{p}^{u}(T))^{m} \leq 2^{m}C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{0},p,m}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{(2p-1)(2m+4)}+1\right)\int_{2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^{1+\frac{1}{m}}}\mathrm{d}\rho$$
$$+2^{m+1}+4^{m}\left(\mathcal{C}_{p}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{2pm}+\kappa_{p}^{m}T^{m}\right)$$
$$\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{0},p,m}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_{0}\|^{4p(m+2)}+T^{m}+1\right)$$

as desired. Finally, to prove (A.3), we apply the Itô formula and use (3.8):

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{d}(t\|w(t)\|^2) &= 2t \langle w, \nu \Delta w - aw + \operatorname{curl} h \rangle \mathbf{d}t + \mathbf{d}\tilde{M}_1(t) \\ &+ t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \|\operatorname{curl} e_j\|^2 \mathbf{d}t + \|w\|^2 \mathbf{d}t, \end{split}$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_1(t) := 2 \int_0^t s \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \langle w, \operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle \mathrm{d}\beta_j(s).$$

Notice that for any $t \leq T$,

$$\langle \tilde{M}_1 \rangle(t) := 4 \int_0^t s^2 \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j^2 \langle w, \operatorname{curl} e_j \rangle^2 \mathrm{d}s \le 4T \mathcal{B}_1 \int_0^t s \|w(s)\|^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

By setting $\gamma^*:=\frac{a}{8\mathcal{TB}_1}$ and using the Young inequality, we obtain

$$t\|w(t)\|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} s\left(a\|w(s)\|^{2} + 2\nu\|\nabla w(s)\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq C_{a,h,\mathcal{B}_{1}}t^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|w(s)\|^{2} \mathrm{d}s + \tilde{M}_{1}(t) - \frac{\gamma^{*}}{2} \langle \tilde{M}_{1} \rangle(t),$$

which implies

$$t \|w(t)\|^2 \le C_{a,h,\mathcal{B}_1} T^2 + C\mathcal{E}_1^u(t) + \tilde{M}_1(t) - \frac{\gamma^*}{2} \langle \tilde{M}_1 \rangle(t)$$

for any $0 < t \le T$. Applying the inequality (A.4) with

$$X_1 := t \| w(t) \|^2, \qquad X_2 := C_{a,h,\mathcal{B}_1} T^2 + C \mathcal{E}_1^u(t),$$

the exponential supermartingale estimate, and (A.2), we derive

$$\mathbb{E}(t^p \| w(t) \|^{2p}) \leq 2^p \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\tilde{M}_1(t) - \frac{\gamma^*}{2} \langle \tilde{M}_1 \rangle(t)\right) \geq \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\} d\rho$$
$$+ C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_1,p,T} \left(1 + \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{E}_1^u(t)\right)^p\right)$$
$$\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_1,p,T} \left(1 + \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|^{4(p+2)}\right).$$

Setting t = T in this inequality, we get the desired estimate (A.3).

B Proofs of auxiliary weighted estimates

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Direct verification shows that

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} |\partial_x \psi| \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}.$$

This, together with the Hardy inequality, gives the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x \psi g\|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \frac{|g(x,y)|^2}{1+x^2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \frac{|g(x,y) - g(0,y)|^2}{x^2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y + \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \frac{|g(0,y)|^2}{1+x^2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_x g\|^2 + \|g(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2_y}^2. \end{aligned}$$

As g satisfies (3.13), the usual Poincaré inequality implies that

$$\|\partial_x \psi g\| \lesssim \|\nabla g\| + \|g\|_{H^1(B_\pi)} \lesssim \|\nabla g\| + \|\nabla g\|_{L^2(B_\pi)} \lesssim \|\nabla g\|.$$
(B.1)

This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. By the Helmholtz decomposition, there is $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$f = \Pi f + \nabla g.$$

Taking the divergence of this identity yields

$$\Delta g = \operatorname{div} f.$$

Then, taking the weighted inner product, we get

$$\langle \psi g, \psi \Delta g \rangle = \langle \psi g, \psi \operatorname{div} f \rangle,$$

where

$$-\langle \psi g, \psi \Delta g \rangle = \|\psi \nabla g\|^2 + 2\langle \partial_x \psi \partial_x g, \psi g \rangle$$

and

$$-\langle \psi g, \psi \operatorname{div} f \rangle = 2\langle f_1 \partial_x \psi, \psi g \rangle + \langle \psi f, \psi \nabla g \rangle.$$

This implies

$$\|\psi\nabla g\| \lesssim \|\partial_x \psi g\| + \|\psi f\|$$

An application of Lemma 3.3 further yields

$$\|\psi \Pi f\| \le \|\psi f\| + \|\psi \nabla g\| \lesssim \|\nabla g\| + \|\psi f\| \lesssim \|f\| + \|\psi f\|,$$

which proves the property (i).

To prove (ii), note that the assumption $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ implies that

$$-\Delta \partial_x u = \partial_x \nabla^\perp w.$$

Taking the weighted L^2 -inner product of this equation with $\partial_x u$, we get

$$-\langle \psi \partial_x u, \psi \Delta \partial_x u \rangle = \langle \psi \partial_x u, \psi \partial_x \nabla^\perp w \rangle,$$

where

$$-\langle \psi \partial_x u, \psi \Delta \partial_x u \rangle = \| \psi \nabla \partial_x u \|^2 + 2 \langle \partial_x \psi \partial_x u, \psi \nabla \partial_x u \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \psi \partial_x u, \psi \partial_x \nabla^{\perp} w \rangle = \langle \operatorname{curl}(\psi^2 \partial_x u), \partial_x w \rangle = \| \psi \partial_x w \|^2 + 2 \langle \partial_x \psi \partial_x u_2, \psi \partial_x w \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\psi\nabla\partial_x u\| \lesssim \|\nabla u\| + \|\psi\nabla w\|.$$

The weighted estimate for $\nabla \partial_y u$ is proved in the same way.

C Growth estimate for the truncated solution

Let $\{u(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the solutions of (1.1) issued from $u \in H$, and let $\{\hat{u}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be its truncated version as defined in Section 4.2. We denote by $\tau_1^{\hat{u}}, \tau_2^{\hat{u}}$, and $\tau^{\hat{u}}$ the stopping times defined by (3.24), (3.25), and (3.26) for the process \hat{u} with non-negative parameters K, L, ρ, \mathscr{C} to be specified later.

Proposition C.1. There are positive constants $\hat{\kappa}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ depending on $a, \nu, h, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ such that for any $q, \rho > 2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\hat{u}}(t) - \hat{\kappa}t - \hat{\mathcal{C}}\left(1 + \|u\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right)\right) \geq \rho\right\} \\
\leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{1},q}\left(\|u\|^{8(q+1)} + 1\right)\left(e^{-\hat{\gamma}\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}\right), \quad (C.1)$$

where $w := \operatorname{curl} u$.

Proof. By standard energy methods, we have the following estimates for the equation (4.10):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|z\|^2 + C_{a,\nu} \|z\|_{H^1}^2 \le 0, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|z\|^6 + C_{a,\nu} \|z\|^4 \|z\|_{H^1}^2 \le 0, \qquad (C.2)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\tilde{\psi}z\|^2 + C_{a,\nu} \left(\|\tilde{\psi}z\|^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla z\|^2 \right) \le C_{\nu} \|z\|^2, \tag{C.3}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|w_z\|^2 + C_{a,\nu} \left(\|w_z\|^2 + \|\nabla w_z\|^2 \right) \le 0, \tag{C.4}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\tilde{\psi}w_z\|^2 + C_{a,\nu}\left(\|\tilde{\psi}w_z\|^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}\nabla w_z\|^2\right) \le C_{\nu}\|\nabla z\|^2,\tag{C.5}$$

where we used the notation $\tilde{\psi}(t+1) := \psi(t)$ and $w_z := \operatorname{curl} z$. Integrating (C.2) from T to t + T, we get

$$\mathcal{E}_1^z(t+T) \le C_{a,\nu} \mathcal{E}_1^z(T), \qquad \mathcal{E}_3^z(t+T) \le C_{a,\nu} \mathcal{E}_3^z(T).$$
(C.6)

To estimate the weighted energy $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\psi}^{z}$, we integrate (C.3) from T + 1 to t + T + 1:

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{\psi}(t+T+1)z(t+T+1)\|^2 + C_{a,\nu} \int_{T+1}^{t+T+1} \left(\|\tilde{\psi}(s)\nabla z(s)\|^2 + \|\tilde{\psi}(s)z(s)\|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\psi}(T+1)z(T+1)\|^2 + C_{\nu} \int_{T+1}^{t+T+1} \|z(s)\|^2 \mathrm{d}s, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}_{\psi}(t+T) \lesssim_{a,\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}_{\psi}(T) + \|z(T)\|^{2} \lesssim_{a,\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}_{\psi}(T) + \mathcal{E}^{z}_{1}(T).$$
(C.7)

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{E}^{z}_{1,1}(t+T) \lesssim_{a,\nu} \mathcal{E}^{z}_{1,1}(T), \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}_{1,\psi}(t+T) \lesssim_{a,\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}_{1,\psi}(T) + \mathcal{E}^{z}_{1}(T).$$
(C.8)

Combining the estimates (C.6)-(C.8), we derive

$$\mathcal{E}^{z}_{\psi}(t+T) \le C_{a,\nu} \mathcal{E}^{z}_{\psi}(T). \tag{C.9}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $C_{a,\nu} > 1$. Let κ, γ, C be the constants in Proposition 3.6. Then, from the definition of the process \hat{u} and the estimate (C.9), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{\hat{u}}(t) - C_{a,\nu}\kappa t - C_{a,\nu}\mathcal{C}\left(1 + \|u\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right) \\ &\leq C_{a,\nu}\sup_{t\geq 0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{u}(t) - \kappa t - \mathcal{C}\left(1 + \|u\|^{6} + \|w(1)\|^{4}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\hat{\kappa} := C_{a,\nu}\kappa, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{C}} := C_{a,\nu}\mathcal{C}, \qquad \hat{\gamma} := \frac{\gamma}{C_{a,\nu}}$$

and applying Proposition 3.6, we complete the proof.

Now, literally repeating the proof of Corollary 3.7, we can derive the following estimate for the distribution function of $\tau_1^{\hat{u}}$.

Corollary C.2. Let $\hat{\kappa}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ be given in Proposition C.1. If $K \geq \hat{\kappa}$ and $\mathscr{C} \geq \hat{\mathcal{C}}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{l \le \tau_1^{\hat{u}} < \infty\} \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_1,q} \left(\|u\|^{8(q+1)} + 1 \right) \left(e^{-\hat{\gamma}(\rho+Ll)} + \frac{1}{(\rho+Ll)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}} \right)$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$ and $L, l \ge 0$.

To establish an estimate for the stopping time $\tau_2^{\hat{u}}$, we need the following result.

Proposition C.3. There exist constants $\hat{\kappa}_1, \hat{C}_1$ depending on a, ν, h, \mathcal{B}_0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{\hat{u}}(t) - \hat{\kappa}_{1}t - \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{1}\|u\|^{2}\right) \geq \rho\right\} \leq C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_{0},q}\frac{\|u\|^{q} + 1}{\rho^{\frac{q}{2} - 1}}$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$.

Proof. Applying the estimate (C.2), we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_{1}^{\hat{u}}(t) - C_{a,\nu}\kappa_{1}t - C_{a,\nu}\mathcal{C}_{1}\|u\|^{2} \leq C_{a,\nu}\sup_{t\geq 0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{u}(t) - \kappa_{1}t - \mathcal{C}_{1}\|u\|^{2}\right),$$

where κ_1, C_1 are given in Proposition 3.1. This yields the required estimate. \Box

We obtain the following result using this proposition and repeating the proof of Corollary 3.8.

Corollary C.4. Let $\hat{\kappa}_1, \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1$ be given in Proposition C.3. If $K \geq \hat{\kappa}_1$ and $\mathscr{C} \geq \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{l \le \tau_2^{\hat{u}} < \infty\} \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_0,q} \left(\|u\|^q + 1 \right) \frac{1}{(\rho + Ll)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$ and $L, l \ge 0$.

Finally, Corollaries C.2 and C.4 directly imply the following estimate for the distribution function of $\tau^{\hat{u}}$.

Corollary C.5. Let $\hat{\kappa}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ be given in Proposition C.1, and let $\hat{\kappa}_1, \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1$ be given in Proposition C.3. If $K \geq \hat{\kappa} \vee \hat{\kappa}_1$ and $\mathscr{C} \geq \hat{\mathcal{C}} \vee \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{l \le \tau^{\hat{u}} < \infty\} \le C_{a,\nu,h,\mathcal{B}_1,q} \left(\|u\|^{8(q+1)} + 1 \right) \left(e^{-\hat{\gamma}(\rho+Ll)} + \frac{1}{(\rho+Ll)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}} \right)$$

for any $q, \rho > 2$ and $L, l \ge 0$.

References

- [BCK14] Y. Bakhtin, E. Cator, and K. Khanin. Space-time stationary solutions for the Burgers equation. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 27(1):193–238, 2014.
- [BL06] Z. Brzeźniak and Y. Li. Asymptotic compactness and absorbing sets for 2D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations on some unbounded domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(12):5587–5629, 2006.
- [BL19] Y. Bakhtin and L. Li. Thermodynamic limit for directed polymers and stationary solutions of the Burgers equation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 72(3):536-619, 2019.
- [Deb13] A. Debussche. Ergodicity results for the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations: an introduction. In *Topics in mathematical fluid mechanics*, volume 2073 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 23–108. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [DGR21] A. Dunlap, C. Graham, and L. Ryzhik. Stationary solutions to the stochastic Burgers equation on the line. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 382(2):875–949, 2021.
- [EMS01] W. E, J. C. Mattingly, and Ya. Sinai. Gibbsian dynamics and ergodicity for the stochastically forced Navier–Stokes equation. Comm. Math. Phys., 224(1):83–106, 2001.
- [FM95] F. Flandoli and B. Maslowski. Ergodicity of the 2-D Navier– Stokes equation under random perturbations. Comm. Math. Phys., 172(1):119–141, 1995.
- [Gao24] P. Gao. Polynomial mixing for white-forced Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation on the whole line. *arXiv: 2408.00592*, 2024.
- [HM06] M. Hairer and J. C. Mattingly. Ergodicity of the 2D Navier–Stokes equations with degenerate stochastic forcing. Ann. of Math. (2), 164(3):993–1032, 2006.
- [KS02] S. Kuksin and A. Shirikyan. Coupling approach to white-forced nonlinear PDEs. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 81(6):567–602, 2002.

- [KS12] S. Kuksin and A. Shirikyan. Mathematics of two-dimensional turbulence, volume 194 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [KS14] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. Brownian motion and stochastic calculus. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 113. Springer-Verlag, New York, Edition 2, 2014.
- [KS17] S. Kuksin and A. Shirikyan. Rigorous results in space-periodic twodimensional turbulence. *Physics of Fluids*, 12(6):125106, 2017.
- [KV14] I. Kukavica and V. Vicol. Moments for strong solutions of the 2D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations in a bounded domain. Asymptot. Anal., 90(3-4):189–206, 2014.
- [Mar14] D. Martirosyan. Exponential mixing for the white-forced damped nonlinear wave equation. *Evol. Equ. Control Theory*, 3(4):645–670, 2014.
- [Ner22] V. Nersesyan. Ergodicity for the randomly forced Navier–Stokes system in a two-dimensional unbounded domain. Ann. Henri Poincaré, 23(6):2277–2294, 2022.
- [NZ24] V. Nersesyan and M. Zhao. Exponential mixing for the white-forced complex Ginzburg–Landau equation in the whole space. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 56(3):3646–3678, 2024.
- [Shi08] A. Shirikyan. Exponential mixing for randomly forced partial differential equations: method of coupling. In *Instability in models connected with fluid flows II*, volume 7 of *Int. Math. Ser.*, pages 155–188. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [Tay00] M. E. Taylor. Tools for PDE: Pseudodifferential operators, paradifferential operators, and layer potentials, volume 81 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. AMS, Providence, RI, 2000.