EXPLICIT SPECTRAL GAP ESTIMATES FOR THE LINEARIZED BOLTZMANN OPERATOR MODELING REACTIVE GASEOUS MIXTURES

ANDREA BONDESAN AND BAO QUOC TANG

ABSTRACT. We consider hard-potential cutoff multi-species Boltzmann operators modeling microscopic binary elastic collisions and bimolecular reversible chemical reactions inside a gaseous mixture. We prove that the spectral gap estimate derived for the linearized elastic collision operator can be exploited to deduce an explicit negative upper bound for the Dirichlet form of the linearized chemical Boltzmann operator. Such estimate may be used to quantify explicitly the rate of convergence of close-to-equilibrium solutions to the reactive Boltzmann equation toward the global chemical equilibrium of the mixture.

Keywords: Multi-species reactive Boltzmann equation; Linearized operator; Explicit spectral gap.

AMS Subject Classification: 82B40; 76P05; 35Q20; 35P15.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The reactive kinetic model	4
3.	Main result	10
4.	Proof of Theorem 2	14
References		24

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the derivation of an explicit negative upper bound for the Dirichlet form associated with the linearized Boltzmann operator modeling chemical reactions inside a gaseous mixture. This result links directly to the study of the relaxation to equilibrium of solutions to the Boltzmann equation [22, 39, 38], whose initial investigations can be traced back to Boltzmann's work [11] containing the first formulation of the *H*-theorem for a single-species gas.

To provide a brief context here, from a mesoscopic point of view we may describe the evolution of a dilute gas, composed of a large number of identical monatomic particles undergoing binary collisions, with the use of a distribution function F = F(t, x, v) that satisfies, on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, the monospecies Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F),\tag{1}$$

where the Boltzmann integral operator Q, acting only on the velocity variable, models the microscopic collision processes. Now, from the entropy dissipation $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(F,F) \log F dv \leq 0$ associated with Q follows the decrease over time of the entropy functional $H(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F \log F dv$, until the distribution F relaxes to a local (in time and space) Maxwellian equilibrium state, solution of Q(F,F) = 0. When the influence of the transport operator is considered, the Htheorem then implies for large time asymptotics the convergence of solutions to (1) toward a

Date: October 22, 2024.

global equilibrium state having the form of a uniform (in time and space) Maxwellian distribution $M_{\infty}(v) = c_{\infty}(2\pi K_B T_{\infty})^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|v-u_{\infty}|^2}{2K_B T_{\infty}}\right)$, with $c_{\infty}, T_{\infty} > 0$ and $u_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Here, K_B is the Boltzmann gas constant and the quantities c_{∞} , u_{∞} and T_{∞} denote the global concentration, velocity and temperature of the gas, uniquely determined by the initial data.

Understanding whether the equilibrium is reached reasonably fast is a central question in kinetic theory of gases, as one expects that the approximation provided by the chaos molecular assumption is legitimate only for a time of order at most $\mathcal{O}(N_A)$, where $N_A = 10^{23}$ is the Avogadro's number. Indeed, by this time each particle will have collided with a nonnegligible fraction of the other atoms and thus the validity of the Boltzmann equation should break down (the reader may refer to the discussion presented in [39, Chapter 1, Section 2.5]). It is therefore crucial to obtain explicit quantitative estimates on the time scale of the convergence, in order to show that it is much smaller than the time scale of validity of the model.

From the point of view of the linearized theory, the problem reduces to investigating the behavior of a small perturbation f around the global Maxwellian distribution M_{∞} , and the solution to (1) is recovered as $F = M_{\infty} + f$. In fact, by injecting this expansion into the collision term Q, it is possible to prove an equivalent version of the H-theorem for the linearized Boltzmann operator $L_{M_{\infty}}(f) = Q(M_{\infty}, f) + Q(f, M_{\infty})$ stating that the associated entropy dissipation, or Dirichlet form, $D(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} L_{M_{\infty}}(f) f M_{\infty}^{-1} dv$ is nonpositive and satisfies the upper bound

$$D(f) \le -\lambda \|f - \pi(f)\|_{L^2_v(M^{-1/2}_{\infty})}^2,$$
(2)

for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, M_{\infty}^{-1/2}) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \|f\|_{L^2_v(M_{\infty}^{-1/2})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^2 M_{\infty}^{-1} dv < +\infty \right\}.$ Here, π is the orthogonal projection onto the space Ker $(L_{M_{\infty}})$ of the equilibrium states of the linearized operator, while $\lambda > 0$ denotes the spectral gap of $-L_{M_{\infty}}$. In a spatially homogeneous setting, this approach was initiated by Hilbert [30], who studied the properties of $L_{M_{\infty}}$ in the hard-potential case. Existence of λ was then proved by Carleman [21] and Grad [27] for Maxwellian, hard-potential and hard-sphere collision kernels with cutoff, while the whole non-homogeneous case was successfully tackled by Ukai [37], who derived the spectral gap estimate (2) for the linear operator $v \cdot \nabla_x - L_{M_{\infty}}$. However, none of these results provides any information on the magnitude of λ (nor on its dependence on the initial datum and on the physical quantities appearing in the problem) since they rely on the non-constructive argument that the essential spectrum of the coercive part of $L_{M_{\infty}}$ is preserved under the action of the remaining compact component, thanks to Weyl's theorem [31].

The construction of a quantitative theory of convergence to equilibrium for (1) translates in this linearized setting into the derivation of explicit estimates for the spectral gap λ and it is fundamental for determining the sought effective relaxation rates. The first outcomes in this sense were established in the case of Maxwell molecules via an explicit diagonalization of the linearized Boltzmann operator [40, 10]. In more recent years, systematic derivations of explicit hypocoercivity estimates for the linearized collision operator have been obtained for general cutoff and non-cutoff collision kernels [2, 32, 35], finally leading to the first quantitative results on the relaxation to equilibrium in the full nonhomogeneous setting [33, 34]. Notice that these derivations have also opened the way to the recovery of explicit convergence rates toward the hydrodynamic regimes of the Boltzmann equation, applied in combination with the energy method [29] or the hypocoercive approach [18].

We are interested here in examining this question in the framework of the kinetic theory of multicomponent reacting gases [17, 26, 36, 24]. Without going into too much details, we consider a mixture of 4 different species S_i , $1 \le i \le 4$, whose distribution function $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, \ldots, F_4)$ solves,

on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, the Boltzmann-like equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{F} + v \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}),\tag{3}$$

where the Boltzmann operator $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{CH}}$ splits into an inert part \mathbf{Q}^{EL} modeling microscopic elastic binary collisions between the particles and a reactive term \mathbf{Q}^{CH} describing reversible bimolecular chemical reactions of the form $S_1 + S_2 \rightleftharpoons S_3 + S_4$, with chemical binding energy E_{12}^{34} . Similarly to single-species gases, a multicomponent formulation of the *H*-theorem holds [24] for the operator \mathbf{Q} , so that in the large time asymptotics the distribution function \mathbf{F} should converge to a global chemical equilibrium $\mathbf{M}_{\infty} = (M_{1,\infty}, \dots, M_{4,\infty})$ whose Maxwellian shape

$$M_{i,\infty}(v) = c_{i,\infty} \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi K_B T_\infty}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-m_i \frac{|v - u_\infty|^2}{2K_B T_\infty}\right), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ 1 \le i \le 4,$$
(4)

is prescribed by the elastic part \mathbf{Q}^{EL} , while the chemical operator \mathbf{Q}^{CH} imposes the additional mass action law constraint

$$c_{3,\infty}c_{4,\infty} = c_{1,\infty}c_{2,\infty} \left(\frac{m_1 m_2}{m_3 m_4}\right)^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{12}^{34}}{K_B T_\infty}\right).$$
(5)

Here, the $(m_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ and $(c_{i,\infty})_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \in (\mathbb{R}^*_+)^4$ stand for the masses and the global concentrations of the different species, while $u_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $T_{\infty} > 0$ indicate the bulk velocity and temperature of the whole mixture.

Our aim is to provide quantitative information on the spectral gap of the multi-species operator linearized around global Maxwellians like (4). We will do so by considering a regime of small fluctuations $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_4)$ of the equilibrium \mathbf{M}_{∞} and by deriving an explicit upper bound similar to (2), for the Dirichlet form associated with the operator $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}_{\infty}}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{M}_{\infty}, \mathbf{f}) + \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{M}_{\infty})$. This contribution is still missing, despite being at the core of follow-up investigations on the speed of relaxation toward the equilibrium of reactive mixtures [24, 19], as well as on the rate of convergence to hydrodynamic models obtained from properly rescaled versions of (3), including reaction-diffusion systems [9] and reactive Maxwell–Stefan equations [1]. In the multi-species kinetic literature there exist in fact several recent papers revolving around this topic, but they are mostly exploiting a non-constructive approach based on Weyl's perturbation theorem. We mention for example the first compactness result for the linearized collision operator modeling inert monatomic mixtures [15] and similar strategies developed to analyse more elaborate linearized operators for collisions inside of polyatomic gases [20, 3, 4, 14, 5, 6], also involving chemical reactions in the form of dissociations and recombinations [7]. The interested reader can consult the reviews [16, 8] detailing the literature and techniques revolving around these recent outcomes. Results on quantitative coercivity estimates are instead less prevalent, pertain solely to the non-reactive setting (and to the case of Maxwellian, hard-potential and hard-sphere collision kernels with cutoff) and can be narrowed down to the works [23, 19], which first showed existence and explicit bounds of a spectral gap for the linearization of \mathbf{Q}^{EL} , and [12] which proved that this spectral gap is stable under small local non-equilibrium perturbations of the global Maxwellian \mathbf{M}_{∞} , in connection with the rigorous derivation of the inert Maxwell–Stefan model [13].

Following the ideas developed in [23, 19], we approach the problem by tackling separately the linearized counterparts of \mathbf{Q}^{EL} and \mathbf{Q}^{CH} . Starting from the explicit coercivity estimate that is known to hold for the linearized multi-species elastic operator, we show that its spectral gap is not perturbed too much by the presence of the reactive component \mathbf{Q}^{CH} . A careful treatment of the intricate cross-effects introduced by the chemical reactions is then needed in order to understand how they modify the distribution functions belonging to the set of equilibria of the inert part. Specifically, we construct a nonpositive functional over this nullspace, which cancels out at the local equilibria prescribed by (a linearized version of) the constraint (5) and provides a negative

upper bound for the Dirichlet form associated with the linearized chemical operator, allowing to control the cross-effects.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we begin by introducing the kinetic model and the assumptions that are made on the different collision kernels. In particular, we provide an explicit characterization of a large class of cutoff hard-potential chemical cross-sections satisfying the micro-reversibility property [28]. We proceed by presenting the linearization of the collision operators and continue, in Section 3, recalling known properties of the inert and reactive parts. Then, we will state our main theorem and provide a brief description of the strategy used to obtain it. At last, the final section is devoted to the proof of this result.

2. The reactive kinetic model

We consider a dilute 4-species gaseous mixture of particles interacting at the microscopic level via elastic binary collisions and reversible chemical reactions. The evolution of the different species, characterized by their respective molecular masses $(m_i)_{1 \le i \le 4}$, is described by a vector distribution function $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, \ldots, F_4)$ whose components solve, over time t > 0, space $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the system of reactive Boltzmann equations

$$\partial_t F_i + v \cdot \nabla_x F_i = Q_i(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}), \quad 1 \le i \le 4.$$
(6)

Notice that vectors and vector-valued functions in the species will always be denoted by bold letters, while the corresponding indexed letters will indicate their components. For example, **W** stands for the vector or vector-valued function (W_1, \ldots, W_4) .

The Boltzmann multi-species operator $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}) = (Q_1(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}), \dots, Q_4(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}))$ has a quadratic integral form and models the elastic and reactive interactions between the species. Therefore, it can be split into two separate parts as $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}) + \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})$, which only act on the velocity variable v and are thus local in (t, x).

The elastic component. The operator \mathbf{Q}^{EL} gives a balance of the binary elastic collisions between particles of the same or of different species and is defined component-wise, for any $1 \le i \le 4$, by

$$Q_i(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})(v) = \sum_{j=1}^4 Q_{ij}(F_i, F_j)(v) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_{ij}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) (F'_i F'_j - F_i F_j^*) dv_* d\sigma, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where we utilize the standard shorthand notations $F'_i = F_i(v')$, $F_i = F_i(v)$, $F'_j = F_j(v'_*)$ and $F^*_j = F_j(v_*)$. The post-collisional velocities v' and v'_* are given in terms of the pre-collisional velocities v and v_* by the elastic collision rules

$$v' = \frac{m_i v + m_j v_*}{m_i + m_j} + \frac{m_j}{m_i + m_j} |v - v_*|\sigma, \qquad v'_* = \frac{m_i v + m_j v_*}{m_i + m_j} - \frac{m_i}{m_i + m_j} |v - v_*|\sigma,$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is a parameter whose existence is ensured by the conservation of microscopic momentum and kinetic energy

$$m_i v + m_j v_* = m_i v' + m_j v'_*, \qquad \frac{1}{2} m_i |v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_j |v_*|^2 = \frac{1}{2} m_i |v'|^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_j |v'_*|^2.$$
(7)

The elastic collisional cross-sections B_{ij} are nonnegative functions of the modulus of the incoming relative velocity of the colliding particles $|v - v_*|$ and of the cosine of the deviation angle $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ between $v - v_*$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2$. They encode the information on how the mixture molecules interact microscopically and their choice is essential for studying the properties of the Boltzmann operator. We focus our attention here on cutoff Maxwellian, hard-potential and hard-sphere collision kernels.

Assumptions on the elastic cross-sections. The following assumptions on each elastic collision kernel B_{ij} , *i* and *j* being fixed, are standard in the field.

(EL1) It satisfies a symmetry property when interchanging the species indices i and j

$$B_{ij}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) = B_{ji}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta), \quad \forall v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \forall \theta \in [0, \pi]$$

(EL2) It writes as the product of a kinetic part $\Phi_{ij} \geq 0$ and an angular part $b_{ij} \geq 0$, namely

$$B_{ij}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) = \Phi_{ij}(|v - v_*|)b_{ij}(\cos \theta), \quad \forall v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \forall \theta \in [0, \pi].$$

(EL3) The kinetic part is of Maxwellian or hard-potential type, i.e. for any $\gamma \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Phi_{ij}(|v - v_*|) = C_{ij}|v - v_*|^{\gamma}, \quad C_{ij} > 0, \quad \forall v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(EL4) We suppose that b_{ij} is positive for a.e. $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and we consider a strong form of Grad's angular cutoff, by assuming that there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$b_{ij}(\cos\theta) \le C|\cos\theta||\sin\theta|, \quad \forall \theta \in [0,\pi].$$

Furthermore, we assume that for any $1 \le i \le 4$

$$\inf_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in\mathbb{S}^2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\min\{b_{ii}(\sigma_1\cdot\sigma_3),b_{ii}(\sigma_2\cdot\sigma_3)\}\mathrm{d}\sigma_3>0.$$

Assumption (EL1) translates a micro-reversibility property for collisions. Assumption (EL2) is satisfied by a large class of physical models and it could be dismissed at the price of technicalities, so we chose to use it here for a sake of clarity. Assumption (EL3) holds for collision kernels describing interaction potentials which behave like Maxwell molecules or power-laws. The two conditions on b_{ij} allow to ensure its integrability on the sphere and the positivity of such integral. Finally, the last assumption on b_{ii} in (EL4) is satisfied by most physical models and is needed to recover a spectral gap for the linearized operator associated with \mathbf{Q}^{EL} [32, 19].

The reactive component. To model the reactive part, we follow the framework introduced by Rossani and Spiga in [36]. The operator $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{CH}} = (Q_1^{\mathsf{CH}}, \ldots, Q_4^{\mathsf{CH}})$ describes the way particles of different species interact through the reversible bimolecular chemical reaction

$$\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2 \leftrightarrows \mathcal{S}_3 + \mathcal{S}_4,\tag{8}$$

where the total mass of the components involved, $m_1 + m_2 = m_3 + m_4$, is conserved in the process. We assume here that the forward reaction is endothermic with impinging energy $E_{12}^{34} = E_4 + E_3 - E_2 - E_1 \ge 0$, where E_i denotes the energy of the chemical link of the species S_i , $1 \le i \le 4$. It will be useful to also denote with $E_{34}^{12} = E_2 + E_1 - E_4 - E_3 \le 0$ the energy dissipated in the backward exothermic process. In general, we shall always use the notation W_{ij}^{hk} , where the quadruples (i, j, h, k) cover all the possible combinations of indices for the reaction (8), to indicate that the variable W is related to the specific interaction $S_i + S_j \to S_h + S_k$.

We define each Q_i^{CH} , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, separately. For the forward reaction $\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2 \to \mathcal{S}_3 + \mathcal{S}_4$, the post-collisional velocities $v' = v_{12}^{34}$ and $v'_* = v_{*12}^{34}$ depend on the velocities v and v_* of the incoming particles (belonging to the species \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2) through the relations

$$v' = \frac{m_1 v + m_2 v_*}{m_1 + m_2} + \frac{m_4}{m_3 + m_4} g_{12}^{34} \sigma, \qquad v'_* = \frac{m_1 v + m_2 v_*}{m_1 + m_2} - \frac{m_3}{m_3 + m_4} g_{12}^{34} \sigma, \tag{9}$$

where we have denoted with $g_{12}^{34} = |v' - v'_*|$ the modulus of the outgoing relative velocity

$$g_{12}^{34} = \left[\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\left(|v - v_*|^2 - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)\right]^{1/2},\tag{10}$$

and with $m_{ij} = \frac{m_i m_j}{m_i + m_j}$ the various reduced masses. In particular, the relation (10) can be deduced by the conservation of momentum and total (kinetic and chemical) energy

$$m_1 v + m_2 v_* = m_3 v' + m_4 v'_*,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} m_i |v|^2 + E_1 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 |v_*|^2 + E_2 = \frac{1}{2} m_3 |v'|^2 + E_3 + \frac{1}{2} m_4 |v'_*|^2 + E_4,$$
(11)

which also imply the existence of the parameter $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2$. It is then clear that for the endothermic reaction to happen, there must be enough impinging energy ensuring that $|v - v_*|^2 \ge 2E_{12}^{34}/m_{12}$. Bearing this last observation in mind, the net production of molecules of species S_1 due to the chemical reaction (8) is prescribed by the operator Q_1^{CH} which writes

$$Q_1^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} H\left(|v - v_*|^2 - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta)$$
$$\times \left[\left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^3 F_3(v')F_4(v'_*) - F_1(v)F_2(v_*)\right] \mathrm{d}v_*\mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

with the threshold on the impinging energy encoded by the Heaviside function $H(x) = \mathbb{1}_{x\geq 0}$. Inside the integral appears the cross-section B_{12}^{34} relative to the chemical process $S_1 + S_2 \to S_3 + S_4$. Like the elastic cross-sections, all the reactive kernels B_{ij}^{hk} are nonnegative functions that only depend on the quantities $|v - v_*|$ and $\cos \theta = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma$. By assuming the natural indistinguishability condition for the B_{ij}^{hk} , namely

$$B_{ij}^{hk}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) = B_{ji}^{kh}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta),$$

the net production of molecules of species S_2 is given by the operator Q_2^{CH} , obtained from Q_1^{CH} through a permutation of indices as

$$Q_2^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} H\left(|v - v_*|^2 - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_*|, \cos\theta) \\ \times \left[\left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^3 F_4(v')F_3(v'_*) - F_2(v)F_1(v_*)\right] \mathrm{d}v_*\mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

where now the velocities $v' = v_{21}^{43}$ and $v'_* = v_{*21}^{43}$ are obtained by simply exchanging the indices $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ in the expressions (9).

Since the process $S_3 + S_4 \rightarrow S_1 + S_2$ is exothermic, there is no appearance of the previous energy threshold (10) and thus the backward reaction can occur for any relative speed of the incoming velocities. If we now assume that the forward and backward reactive cross-sections are related by the so-called micro-reversibility condition

$$|v - v_*|B_{ij}^{hk}(|v - v_*|, \cos\theta) = \left(\frac{m_{hk}}{m_{ij}}\right)^2 |v_{ij}^{hk} - v_{*ij}^{hk}|B_{hk}^{ij}(|v_{ij}^{hk} - v_{*ij}^{hk}|, \cos\theta),$$
(12)

then, the operator Q_3^{CH} modeling the net production of molecules of species \mathcal{S}_3 has the form

$$Q_3^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_{34}^{12}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) \left[\left(\frac{m_{34}}{m_{12}} \right)^3 F_1(v') F_2(v'_*) - F_3(v) F_4(v_*) \right] \mathrm{d}v_* \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

with $v' = v_{34}^{12}$ and $v'_* = v_{*34}^{12}$. At last, the balance of molecules of species S_4 produced in the backward reaction is given by the operator Q_4^{CH} that reads

$$Q_4^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{F},\mathbf{F})(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_{34}^{12}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) \left[\left(\frac{m_{34}}{m_{12}} \right)^3 F_2(v') F_1(v'_*) - F_4(v) F_3(v_*) \right] \mathrm{d}v_* \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

by an obvious permutation of the indices in the corresponding post-collisional velocities to determine the expressions of $v' = v_{43}^{21}$ and $v'_* = v_{*43}^{21}$.

At this point we need to state the precise assumptions on the reactive kernels B_{ij}^{hk} , that are required for the following analysis. Motivated by the result obtained in [28] for hard-sphere interactions, we provide a first (up to our knowledge) explicit characterization of a wide class of cutoff hard-potential reactive kernels compatible with the micro-reversibility condition (12). Similar considerations appear in fact in many papers dealing with the study of polyatomic gases [25, 20, 3, 4, 14, 5, 6, 7], but it seems that a general framework able to include chemical reactions is still missing and we believe that this contribution, although very small, could be of independent interest for the researchers in the field.

Assumptions on the reactive cross-sections. For a sake of clarity in the presentation, let us introduce the following unified notation for the incoming and the outgoing relative velocities

$$g = |v - v_*|, \qquad g_{ij}^{hk} = \left[\frac{m_{ij}}{m_{hk}} \left(g^2 - \frac{2E_{ij}^{hk}}{m_{ij}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

corresponding to any of the interactions in (8). Notice in particular that for the reverse reactions no threshold energy is required since $-E_{34}^{12} = E_{12}^{34} \ge 0$. When choosing the cross-sections in the chemical framework (see [28] for an exhaustive discussion on this matter), we need to ensure that they satisfy the conditions (12) which rewrite, using this notation, as

$$gB_{ij}^{hk}(g,\cos\theta) = \left(\frac{m_{hk}}{m_{ij}}\right)^2 g_{ij}^{hk} B_{hk}^{ij}(g_{ij}^{hk},\cos\theta).$$

In order to identify a reasonable structure for the B_{ij}^{hk} to solve these relations, the key observation is that the reversibility of the reaction (8) translates into a symmetry property on the outgoing relative velocities

$$g_{hk}^{ij}\big|_{g_{ij}^{hk}} = \left[\frac{m_{hk}}{m_{ij}}\left(\left(g_{ij}^{hk}\right)^2 + \frac{2E_{ij}^{hk}}{m_{hk}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = g,$$

that is easily checked by computations.

Whenever all the reactions $S_1 + S_2 \rightleftharpoons S_3 + S_4$ are well-defined, i.e. when $g^2 \ge 2E_{12}^{34}/m_{12}$, each chemical cross-section $B_{ij}^{hk}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta)$, with (i, j, h, k) fixed, is defined by the following assumptions.

(CH1) It satisfies an indistinguishableness condition in the interchange of the indices $i \leftrightarrow j$ and $h \leftrightarrow k$, namely

$$B_{ij}^{hk}(g,\cos\theta) = B_{ji}^{kh}(g,\cos\theta), \quad \forall v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \forall \theta \in [0,\pi].$$

(CH2) It decomposes into the product of a kinetic part $\Phi_{ij}^{hk} \geq 0$ and an angular part $b_{ij}^{hk} \geq 0$, as

$$B_{ij}^{hk}(g,\cos\theta) = \Phi_{ij}^{hk}(g)b_{ij}^{hk}(\cos\theta), \quad \forall v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \forall \theta \in [0,\pi]$$

(CH3) The kinetic part has the form of reactive Maxwellian or hard-potential interactions, i.e. for any $\gamma \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Phi_{ij}^{hk}(g) = C_{ij}^{hk} \frac{\left(g_{ij}^{hk}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}}{g^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}, \quad C_{ij}^{hk} > 0, \quad C_{ij}^{hk} m_{ij}^2 = C_{hk}^{ij} m_{hk}^2, \quad \forall v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(CH4) The angular part is assumed to be positive for a.e. $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, to satisfy the condition $b_{ij}^{hk}(\cos \theta) = b_{hk}^{ij}(\cos \theta)$ and Grad's angular cutoff in strong form: there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$b_{ij}^{hk}(\cos\theta) \le C|\cos\theta||\sin\theta| \quad \forall \theta \in [0,\pi].$$

The first hypothesis (CH1) translates part of the micro-reversibility property for reactions and is very natural. The second one (CH2) provides a simple way to exhibit an explicit structure for the chemical kernels. Assumption (CH3) translates, in the reactive framework, the proper shape of Maxwell and inverse-power interactions. Moreover, the last condition relating the constants C_{ij}^{hk} and the reduced masses allows to recover the micro-reversibility (12), in combination with (CH4). At last, the cutoff conditions are assumed to have this form for consistency with the elastic setting.

Collisional invariants and global equilibria. The following are well-known conservation properties satisfied by the Boltzmann operators \mathbf{Q}^{EL} and \mathbf{Q}^{CH} [22, 36, 24, 23, 19]. Let us consider any vector-valued function $\boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_4) : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ for which the integrals in the equalities below are well-defined. Thanks to intrinsic symmetries of the elastic part, one can apply standard changes of variable $(v, v_*) \mapsto (v', v'_*)$ and $(v, v_*) \mapsto (v_*, v)$ to prove that the $Q_i^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})$ satisfy the weak formulation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{i}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})(v)\psi_{i}(v)\mathrm{d}v = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} B_{ij}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta) \left(F_{i}'F_{j}'^{*} - F_{i}F_{j}^{*}\right) \\ \times \left(\psi_{i}(v') + \psi_{j}(v'_{*}) - \psi_{i}(v) - \psi_{j}(v_{*})\right) \mathrm{d}v_{*}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\sigma.$$
(13)

The micro-reversibilities satisfied by the chemical component used in tandem with a combination of changes of variables of the form $(v, v_*) \mapsto (v_{ij}^{hk}, v_{*ij}^{hk})$ and $(v, v_*) \mapsto (v_*, v)$, depending on the combinations of indices (i, j, h, k), allows to argue in a similar way [28] that the operators $Q_i^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})$ satisfy the weak formulation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{i}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})(v)\psi_{i}(v)\mathrm{d}v = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6}\times\mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v-v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v-v_{*}|, \cos\theta)$$

$$\times \left[\left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^{3} F_{3}(v')F_{4}(v'_{*}) - F_{1}(v)F_{2}(v_{*})\right] \left(\psi_{3}(v') + \psi_{4}(v'_{*}) - \psi_{1}(v) - \psi_{2}(v_{*})\right) \mathrm{d}v_{*}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\sigma, \quad (14)$$

where $v' = v_{12}^{34}$ and $v'_* = v_{*12}^{-34}$ are the reference post-collisional velocities of the reaction $S_1 + S_2 \rightleftharpoons S_3 + S_4$, being the sole needed to characterize the conservation laws associated with \mathbf{Q}^{CH} .

Combining the weak formulations above, one deduces the conservation properties of the multispecies reactive Boltzmann operator \mathbf{Q} . More precisely, using the microscopic conservation of momentum and energy satisfied by the elastic collisions (7) and by the chemical reactions (11), the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_i(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})(v) \psi_i(v) \mathrm{d}v = 0$$
(15)

holds if and only if $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ is a collision invariant of the reactive mixture, namely

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\mathbf{e}_{13}, \mathbf{e}_{14}, \mathbf{e}_{24}, v_1\mathbf{m}, v_2\mathbf{m}, v_3\mathbf{m}, \frac{1}{2}|v|^2\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{E}\right\}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{13} = (1, 0, 1, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_{14} = (1, 0, 0, 1)$, $\mathbf{e}_{24} = (0, 1, 0, 1)$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_4)$ and $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_4)$. In particular, the Boltzmann equation (6), the invariance of mass $m_1 + m_2 = m_3 + m_4$ in the reaction (8) and the relations (15) imply that the quantities

$$c_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}} F_{i}(t, x, v) dv dx, \qquad \rho_{\infty} u_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}} m_{i} v F_{i}(t, x, v) dv dx$$

$$\frac{3}{2} K_{B} \rho_{\infty} T_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{T}^{3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} m_{i} |v - u_{\infty}|^{2} + E_{i}\right) F_{i}(t, x, v) dv dx,$$
(16)

are preserved for any time
$$t \geq 0$$
. Here, $c_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i,\infty}$ stands for the total concentration of
the mixture, with each $c_{i,\infty}$ denoting the concentration of the species S_i , while $\rho_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} m_i c_{i,\infty}$
defines the total density of the mixture, $\rho_{\infty} u_{\infty}$ its total momentum and $\frac{3}{2} K_B \rho_{\infty} T_{\infty}$ its total energy.
Let us also point out that the conservation laws (15) actually provide a bit more information, as
one can see that all the partial sums $c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}$, $c_{1,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}$ and $c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}$ are preserved over
time $t \geq 0$ by equation (6).

With these global quantities preserved by the kinetic equation, one can deduce an *H*-theorem [24, Proposition 1] for the combination of the operators \mathbf{Q}^{EL} and \mathbf{Q}^{CH} . While the inert component pushes the solution of the Boltzmann equation to relax toward a mechanical equilibrium having the shape of a local Maxwellian, the chemical component imposes a further condition binding together the corresponding local concentrations, local temperature, molecular masses and impinging energy of the reaction. Here we are interested in the relaxation toward a global thermodynamic steady state, where the locality in the space variable of the physical quantities associated with the Maxwellian is lost through the action of the transport operator over \mathbb{T}^3 . The *H*-theorem then implies that the only steady state solution of the equations $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}) = 0$ and $v \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{F} = 0$ is the distribution function $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, \ldots, F_4)$, whose components are global Maxwellians of the form

$$F_i(v) = c_{i,\infty} \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi K_B T_\infty}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-m_i \frac{|v - u_\infty|^2}{2K_B T_\infty}\right), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ 1 \le i \le 4$$

where the values of the global quantities $(c_{i,\infty})_{1 \le i \le 4}$, u_{∞} and T_{∞} are prescribed by the initial conditions of the Boltzmann equation (6) via the conservations (16), and are subject to the additional constraint $\frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{2,\infty}}{c_{3,\infty}c_{4,\infty}} = \left(\frac{m_3m_4}{m_1m_2}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{E_{12}^{34}}{K_BT_{\infty}}\right)$. In particular, one can perform a suitable translation and dilation of the coordinate system in order to reduce the analysis to the case $u_{\infty} = 0$ and $K_BT_{\infty} = 1$. In this way, we are lead to introduce the sole global equilibrium of the reactive mixture to be the global Maxwellian distribution $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_4)$ having, for any $1 \le i \le 4$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the following shape

$$\mu_i(v) = c_{i,\infty} \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-m_i \frac{|v|^2}{2}},\tag{17}$$

with the global concentrations $(c_{i,\infty})_{1 \le i \le 4}$ satisfying the mass action law

$$\frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{2,\infty}}{c_{3,\infty}c_{4,\infty}} = \left(\frac{m_3m_4}{m_1m_2}\right)^{3/2} e^{E_{12}^{34}}.$$
(18)

In what follows, we shall always work in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ weighted by the global equilibrium $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2} = (\mu_1^{-1/2}, \dots, \mu_4^{-1/2}).$

Linearized setting. Following the methods of the linearized theory to tackle the problem of convergence to equilibrium for the reactive Boltzmann equation, the natural question arising from these considerations is to study the properties of solutions to (6) in a regime close to the global distribution (17)–(18). To this aim, we write $\mathbf{F} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{f}$ and investigate the behavior of small perturbations \mathbf{f} around the equilibrium $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, solving the perturbed Boltzmann system

$$\partial_t \mathbf{f} + v \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}) + \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}), \tag{19}$$

where we have used the equality $\mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 0$, since by construction $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ensures the cancellation of both the inert and the chemical collision operators. In this perturbative setting, one expects the first term on the right-hand side to be the dominant one, driving the relaxation of solutions **f** toward the equilibrium $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. It is the so-called linearized reactive Boltzmann operator $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, \ldots, L_4)$, given component-wise by

$$L_i(\mathbf{f}) = L_i^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) + L_i^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}) = \left[Q_i^{\mathsf{EL}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{f}) + Q_i^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\mu})\right] + \left[Q_i^{\mathsf{CH}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{f}) + Q_i^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\mu})\right], \quad 1 \le i \le 4, \quad (20)$$

where the elastic part \mathbf{L}^{EL} , acting on $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, reads for any $1 \leq i \leq 4$

$$L_i^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})(v) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_{ij}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) (f_i' \mu_j'^* + f_j'^* \mu_i' - f_i \mu_j^* - f_j'^* \mu_i) \mathrm{d}v_* \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

and the linearized chemical operator \mathbf{L}^{CH} , also acting on $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, can be written in compact form, for any reaction $S_i + S_j \to S_h + S_k$, as

$$\begin{split} L_{i}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f})(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{ij}^{hk}}{m_{ij}}\right) B_{ij}^{hk}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos \theta) \\ &\times \left[\left(\frac{m_{ij}}{m_{hk}}\right)^{3} f_{h}(v') \mu_{k}(v'^{*}) + \left(\frac{m_{ij}}{m_{hk}}\right)^{3} f_{k}(v'^{*}) \mu_{h}(v') - f_{i}(v) \mu_{j}(v_{*}) - f_{j}(v_{*}) \mu_{i}(v) \right] \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma. \end{split}$$

The first step to obtain the convergence to equilibrium in such framework consists in analyzing the spectral properties of \mathbf{L} . In particular, one wishes to prove that the first nonzero eigenvalue of the linearized operator is negative and bounded away from zero, allowing to infer (hypo)coercivity estimates for \mathbf{L} which can be used to control the nonlinear operator \mathbf{Q} . Results about the kernel and spectrum of the linearized inert operator \mathbf{L}^{EL} have already been obtained in recent years [23, 19, 12]. Here, we provide a complementary study on the linearized chemical operator \mathbf{L}^{CH} by showing that it acts as a perturbation to the elastic component, in the sense that its associated Dirichlet form can be bounded above by a negative quantity that corrects the spectral gap of \mathbf{L}^{EL} . The estimates derived in this work are explicit and constitutes an essential result to develop a quantitative Cauchy theory of perturbative solutions to (19), as well as to treat the rigorous derivation of hydrodynamic limits starting from the reactive Boltzmann equation.

3. Main result

In this section we present the main result of this work, providing a quantitative estimate for the spectral gap of the linearized reactive operator **L**. In order to state our theorem, we shall first recall well-known structural properties for the kernel of \mathbf{L}^{EL} as well as a recent result [19] ensuring the existence of a spectral gap λ_{EL} for this elastic operator in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$. We proceed with a similar investigation on \mathbf{L}^{CH} , by determining its kernel and a corresponding basis. This preliminary analysis will provide us with the basic tools needed in the following section, where we will prove that the Dirichlet form associated with the operator $\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}$ in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ is upper-bounded by an explicit negative term depending solely on λ_{EL} and the parameters of the problem.

Notations. We begin by collecting here the notations used from now on. For any positive measurable vector-valued function $\mathbf{W} = (W_1, \ldots, W_4) : \mathbb{R}^3 \to (\mathbb{R}^*_+)^4$ in the variable v, we define the weighted Hilbert spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, W_i)$, $1 \le i \le 4$, by introducing the scalar products and norms

$$\langle f_i, g_i \rangle_{L^2_v(W_i)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_i g_i W_i^2 \mathrm{d}v, \quad \|f_i\|_{L^2_v(W_i)}^2 = \langle f_i, f_i \rangle_{L^2_v(W_i)}, \quad \forall f_i, g_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, W_i)$$

With this definition, we say that $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_4) : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbf{W})$ if $f_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, W_i)$ and we associate to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbf{W})$ the scalar product and norm

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{L^2_v(\mathbf{W})} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle f_i, g_i \rangle_{L^2_v(W_i)}, \quad \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2_v(\mathbf{W})} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \|f_i\|_{L^2_v(W_i)}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

We also recall that our following analysis is performed using the weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$, which is associated with the global Maxwellian weight $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2} = (\mu_1^{-1/2}, \dots, \mu_4^{-1/2})$. In particular, we will often employ the notation $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_4)$ to indicate the distribution \mathbf{f} whenever it is rescaled by the weight $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}$, meaning that $h_i := f_i \mu_i^{-1}$ for any $1 \le i \le 4$. At last, for the sake of simplicity, we introduce the standard shorthand notation

$$\langle v \rangle = \left(1 + |v|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Known properties of the elastic component \mathbf{L}^{EL} . The linearized Boltzmann multi-species operator \mathbf{L}^{EL} , relative to the mixture's global equilibrium $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ defined by (17)–(18), is a closed self-adjoint nonpositive operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$, whose Dirichlet form satisfies the relation

$$\left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} B_{ij}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos \theta) \mu_{i}(v) \mu_{j}(v_{*}) \\ \times \left[h_{i}(v') + h_{j}(v'_{*}) - h_{i}(v) - h_{j}(v_{*}) \right]^{2} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$
(21)

where we recall that $h_i = f_i \mu_i^{-1}$. The latter is determined by directly applying the weak formulation (13) of \mathbf{Q}^{EL} in linearized form, with $\psi_i = f_i$ for any $1 \le i \le 4$.

Moreover, the kernel Ker (\mathbf{L}^{EL}) of the elastic component is spanned by the orthonormal basis $(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}})_{1 \le k \le 8}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{k,\infty}}} \mu_{k} \mathbf{e}_{k}, & 1 \le k \le 4, \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{4+\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} = \frac{v_{\ell}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4} m_{j} c_{j,\infty}\right)^{1/2}} \left(m_{i} \mu_{i}\right)_{1 \le i \le 4}, & 1 \le \ell \le 3, \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{8}^{\mathsf{EL}} = \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{j,\infty}\right)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{m_{i} |v|^{2} - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \mu_{i}\right)_{1 \le i \le 4}. \end{cases}$$
(22)

where $(\mathbf{e}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ denotes the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^4 . In particular, for any $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$, we can define its orthogonal projection onto Ker $(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ with respect to this basis as

$$[\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})]_i(t,x,v) = \left(n_i(t,x) + m_i u(t,x) \cdot v + \frac{m_i}{2} e(t,x) |v|^2\right) \mu_i(v), \quad 1 \le i \le 4,$$
(23)

where $n_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $e \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the corresponding coordinates. Finally, the elastic operator \mathbf{L}^{EL} satisfies the following fundamental property, proved in [23, 19].

Theorem 1. Under the assumptions (EL1)–(EL4), the linearized elastic operator \mathbf{L}^{EL} has an explicit spectral gap, i.e. there exists an explicit constant $\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} > 0$ such that, for all $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$,

$$\left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \leq -\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}, \tag{24}$$

for any $\gamma \in [0,1]$. The constant λ_{EL} depends only on the different masses $(m_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ and the collision kernels $(B_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4}$.

Properties of the linearized chemical operator \mathbf{L}^{CH} . We may prove in a very similar way that \mathbf{L}^{CH} is a closed, self-adjoint and nonpositive operator in the same space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$. In particular, the self-adjointness follows by considering the linearized version of the weak formulation (14) of \mathbf{Q}^{CH} with the choice $\psi_i = g_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and $\mathbf{g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$. This, combined with the fact that $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the global chemical equilibrium of the mixture, thus it satisfies the mass action law (18) and the relation

$$\left(\frac{m_1m_2}{m_3m_4}\right)^3\mu_3(v')\mu_4(v'_*)=\mu_1(v)\mu_2(v_*),$$

allows to show that

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{g} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E}{m_{12}}\right) B^{34}_{12}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta) \mu_{1}\mu_{2}^{*} \\ & \times \left[\frac{f'_{3}}{\mu'_{3}} + \frac{f'_{4}^{*}}{\mu'_{4}^{*}} - \frac{f_{1}}{\mu_{1}} - \frac{f^{2}_{2}}{\mu^{2}_{2}}\right] \left[\frac{g'_{3}}{\mu'_{3}} + \frac{g'_{4}}{\mu'_{4}^{*}} - \frac{g_{1}}{\mu_{1}} - \frac{g^{*}_{2}}{\mu^{2}_{2}}\right] \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E}{m_{12}}\right) B^{34}_{12}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta) \left[\frac{f'_{3}}{\mu'_{3}} + \frac{f'_{4}^{*}}{\mu'_{4}^{*}} - \frac{f_{1}}{\mu_{1}} - \frac{f^{*}_{2}}{\mu^{2}_{2}}\right] \\ & \times \left[\left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^{3} g'_{3} \mu'_{4}^{*} + \left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^{3} g'_{4}^{*} \mu'_{3} - g_{1} \mu^{*}_{2} - g^{*}_{2} \mu_{1}\right] \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma, \end{split}$$

$$= \left\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{g}) \right\rangle_{L^2_v\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}$$

From the relation above, one also recovers the nonpositivity of \mathbf{L}^{CH} by taking $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f}$, so that

$$\left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}} \right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta)\mu_{1}(v)\mu_{2}(v_{*}) \\ \times \left[h_{3}(v') + h_{4}(v'_{*}) - h_{1}(v) - h_{2}(v_{*}) \right]^{2} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma, \quad (25)$$

where again $h_i = f_i \mu_i^{-1}$. Thanks to (21)–(25), we can finally characterize the kernel Ker ($\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}$) by finding all solutions $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ of the equation

$$\left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^2_v\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} + \left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^2_v\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} = 0$$

These are given by all functions satisfying the coupled relations

$$h_i(v) + h_j(v_*) = h_i(v') + h_j(v'_*),$$
(26)

$$h_1(v) + h_2(v_*) = h_3(v') + h_4(v'_*), \quad (v' = v_{12}^{34}, v'_* = v_{*12}^{34})$$
 (27)

where $1 \le i, j \le 4$ and the velocities are taken in the admissible set satisfying microscopic elastic and reactive conservation laws for momentum and energy. It is well-known [23] that the solutions to the first Cauchy equation (26) are all functions of the form

$$h_i(v) = n_i + m_i u \cdot v + m_i e \frac{|v|^2}{2},$$

with $n_i, e \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Now, injecting the latter into the second equation (27), from the reactive microscopic conservation laws we deduce a further condition relating the coefficients $(n_i)_{1 \le i \le 4}$ as

$$n_4 + n_3 - n_2 - n_1 = eE_{12}^{34}. (28)$$

Therefore, we can express the projection π of any $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ onto the kernel of $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}$ in the general form

$$[\pi(\mathbf{f})]_i(t,x,v) = \left(n_i(t,x) + m_i u(t,x) \cdot v + m_i e(t,x) \frac{|v|^2}{2}\right) \mu_i, \quad 1 \le i \le 4,$$

where in this case the coordinates $(n_i)_{1 \le i \le 4}$ and e satisfy the additional relation (28) and Ker (L) is a 7-dimensional space spanned by the (linearly independent, but non-orthogonal) vectors

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} \\ 0 \\ \mu_{3} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu_{2} \\ 0 \\ \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} \\ 0 \\ \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1}\mu_{1} \\ m_{2}\mu_{2} \\ m_{3}\mu_{3} \\ m_{4}\mu_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\ell = 1, 2, 3), \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}_{7} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(m_{1} \frac{|v|^{2}}{2} + E_{1} \right) \mu_{1} \\ \left(m_{2} \frac{|v|^{2}}{2} + E_{2} \right) \mu_{2} \\ \left(m_{3} \frac{|v|^{2}}{2} + E_{3} \right) \mu_{3} \\ \left(m_{4} \frac{|v|^{2}}{2} + E_{4} \right) \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(29)$$

Statement of the result and strategy of our proof. Given the close-to-equilibrium setting introduced in the previous section and encoded by equation (19), we provide a quantitative estimate in the natural space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mu^{-1/2})$ for the spectral gap of the linearized Boltzmann operator **L** modeling elastic and inelastic (chemical) collisions inside a multicomponent gas, thus extending Theorem 1 from the case of inert multi-species gases to that of reactive mixtures. In particular, we are able to prove the following result.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions (EL1)–(EL4) and (CH1)–(CH4), the linearized reactive operator $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}$ possesses an explicit spectral gap, i.e. there exists an explicitly computable constant $\lambda > 0$ such that, for all $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$,

$$\left\langle \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^2_v\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \le -\lambda \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^2_v\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^2, \tag{30}$$

for any $\gamma \in [0,1]$. In particular, the constant λ depends only on $\gamma \in [0,1]$, the total concentration c_{∞} of the mixture, the different masses $(m_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$, the impinging energy E_{12}^{34} , the elastic collision kernels $(B_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4}$ and the reactive collision kernels B_{12}^{34} and B_{34}^{12} .

A possible way to prove inequality (30) is to consider a standard splitting [22, 39] of linearized Boltzmann-like operators with cutoff collision kernels into the sum of two operators $\mathbf{K} - \boldsymbol{\nu}$, one socalled collision frequency $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ that acts as a multiplication on $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ and is coercive in this space, and a remainder $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L} + \boldsymbol{\nu}$ that can be proved to be compact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$. The main idea behind this strategy is thus to study the essential spectrum of $\boldsymbol{\nu}$, which is easy to determine, and then exploit Weyl's theorem on compact perturbations [31] to ensure that its spectral properties are inherited by the linearized Boltzmann operator, in particular its coercivity. Compactness of the operator \mathbf{K} has been investigated in a variety of settings, ranging from single-species gases [27, 37], to non-reactive mixtures composed of monatomic [15, 23] or polyatomic species [20, 3, 4, 14, 5, 6], and also multi-species gases involving chemical reactions of dissociation and recombination [7]. Such results are particularly relevant to identify the kernel-like representation [21] of the linearized operator. However, while they guarantee the existence of a spectral gap, they yield no information on its value, and quantifying λ in terms of the physical parameters of the problem is crucial to determine explicit rates of convergence to equilibrium [34, 33, 23, 19]. Our result provides this missing information.

We prove Theorem 2 by adapting the arguments from [23, 19] on the linearized Boltzmann operator for inert mixtures, where it is exploited the fact that \mathbf{L}^{EL} can be split into the sum $\mathbf{L}_{\mathsf{mono}}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}_{\mathsf{cross}}^{\mathsf{EL}}$ of two operators (respectively modeling elastic collisions between the same species or cross interactions between different species) such that $\mathbf{L}_{\mathsf{mono}}^{\mathsf{EL}}$ has an explicit spectral gap [2, 32] and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathsf{cross}}^{\mathsf{EL}}$ is nonpositive. The reactive linearized Boltzmann operator \mathbf{L} shares a similar structure: when computing its Dirichlet form, one has that $\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L_v^2}(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ satisfies inequality (24) with an explicit λ_{EL} and we have seen that $\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L_v^2}(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \leq 0$. This negativity can be quantified in terms of an energy functional $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{f})$, when looking at distributions that belong to $\mathsf{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})$. The latter are local equilibria for the linearized elastic operator that take the form (23) and are characterized by a different coordinate $n_i(t, x)$ for each species. Then, the functional $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{f})$ controls the relaxation of these mechanical equilibria toward distributions of $\mathsf{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}})$, which are chemical local equilibria whose $n_i(t, x)$ are now linked together and with e(t, x) by the additional relation (28) imposed by the mass action law (18). In particular, one can prove that the Dirichlet form of \mathbf{L}^{CH} splits into the sum of a positive (but small) term depending on $\|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L_v^2}^2(w^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ which can be controlled using λ_{EL} and a nonpositive remainder depending on $\|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L_v^2}^2(w^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ which can be controlled using λ_{EL} and a nonpositive remainder depending on $\|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L_v^2}^2(w^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ that can be bounded from above by $-\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))$. The latter is then estimated in terms of the orthogonal projection of

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Preliminaries on the chemical collision frequencies. Our first aim is to prove that the chemical collision frequency ν^{CH} , acting as a multiplicative operator on $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ and defined componentwise by

$$\nu_i^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} H\left(|v - v_*|^2 - \frac{2E_{ij}^{hk}}{m_{ij}} \right) B_{ij}^{hk}(|v - v_*|, \cos\theta) \mu_j(v_*) \mathrm{d}v_* \mathrm{d}\sigma, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$
(31)

for any reaction $S_i + S_j \to S_h + S_k$, can be bounded above and below by the function $\langle v \rangle^{\gamma}$. In fact, since the latter appears in the weighted L^2 norm from the spectral gap estimate (24) satisfied by the elastic operator, it is clear that in order to compare the Dirichlet form of \mathbf{L}^{CH} with that of \mathbf{L}^{EL} , one needs to determine suitable relative bounds between the weighted norms bounding these two operators (or rather, their respective collision frequencies). In particular, we obtain the following equivalence result between $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\mathsf{CH}}$ and $\langle v \rangle^{\gamma}$.

Lemma 1. Given the chemical collision frequency ν^{CH} defined by (31), for any $1 \leq i \leq 4$ there exist explicitly computable constants $\underline{\nu}_i$, $\overline{\nu}_i > 0$ such that

$$\underline{\nu}_i \langle v \rangle^{\gamma} \le \nu_i^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) \le \overline{\nu}_i \langle v \rangle^{\gamma}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$
(32)

In particular, the constants $\underline{\nu}_i$ and $\overline{\nu}_i$ depend solely on $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, the total concentration c_{∞} of the mixture, the different masses $(m_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$, the impinging energy E_{12}^{34} and the reactive collision kernels B_{12}^{34} and B_{34}^{12} .

Proof. It is well known [22, 39] that $\langle v \rangle^{\gamma}$ is equivalent to $1 + |v|^{\gamma}$. Therefore, it is enough to prove the following upper and lower bounds:

$$\underline{\nu}_i(1+|v|^{\gamma}) \le \nu_i^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) \le \overline{\nu}_i(1+|v|^{\gamma}), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Let us reduce our study to the first and third species, S_1 and S_3 , since the others can be treated in a similar way. In particular, we determine as example the explicit form of $\overline{\nu}_1$ and $\underline{\nu}_1$. For simplicity and convenience, across all estimates we shall also successively redefine the positive constants $\underline{\nu}_i$ and $\overline{\nu}_i$. Recall the elementary inequalities $(a - b)^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}a^2 - b^2$ and $(a + b)^{\alpha} \le a^{\alpha} + b^{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, which will be used with $\alpha = \gamma$ for the upper bound and $\alpha = \frac{1-\gamma}{2}$ for the lower bound. For S_1 , we can estimate ν_1^{CH} from above as

$$\begin{split} \nu_{1}^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{32}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos \theta) \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= C_{12}^{34} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} b_{12}^{34}(\cos \theta) \mathrm{d}\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) \\ &\times \left[\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}} \left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)\right]^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}} |v - v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\leq \overline{\nu}_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |v - v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} |v - v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\leq \overline{\nu}_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (|v|^{\gamma} + |v_{*}|^{\gamma}) \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\leq \overline{\nu}_{1} (1 + |v|^{\gamma}), \end{split}$$

by setting $\overline{\nu}_1$ to the value

$$\overline{\nu}_1 = c_{\infty} C_{12}^{34} \left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}} \max\left\{1, \ \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2}{m_2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \overline{\Gamma}(\gamma+3,0)\right\} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b_{12}^{34}(\cos\theta) \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

with $\overline{\Gamma}(s, y) = \int_{y}^{+\infty} r^{s-1} e^{-r} dr$ denoting the upper incomplete Gamma function, and the constant is positive and bounded thanks to our assumption (CH4) on the angular part of the reactive collision kernel B_{12}^{34} .

For the lower bound the estimates should be more careful. We distinguish two cases. For $|v|^2 \ge 2\left(1 + \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) =: \bar{C}$, we successively get

$$\begin{split} \nu_{1}^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H\left(|v-v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) \frac{\left(|v-v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}}}{|v-v_{*}|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H\left(\frac{1}{2}|v|^{2} - |v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}|v|^{2} - |v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}}}{|v|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} + |v_{*}|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \int_{|v_{*}|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}} H\left(\frac{1}{4\bar{C}}|v|^{2}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4\bar{C}}|v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}}}{2|v|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1}|v|^{\gamma} \geq \underline{\nu}_{1}(1+|v|^{\gamma}), \end{split}$$

where we find

$$\underline{\nu}_1 = \frac{c_{\infty}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} C_{12}^{34} \left(\frac{1}{4\bar{C}} \frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}} \underline{\Gamma}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{m_2}{4}\right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b_{12}^{34}(\cos\theta) \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

with $\underline{\Gamma}(s, y) = \int_0^y r^{s-1} e^{-r} dr$ denoting the lower incomplete Gamma function, and $\underline{\nu}_1$ is positive thanks again to hypothesis (CH4).

For $|v|^2 \leq 2\left(1 + \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)$, we instead obtain

$$\begin{split} \nu_{1}^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H\left(|v-v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) \frac{\left(|v-v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}}}{|v-v_{*}|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H\left(\frac{1}{2}|v_{*}|^{2} - |v|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}|v_{*}|^{2} - |v|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}}}{|v|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} + |v_{*}|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \int_{|v_{*}|^{2} \geq 3\bar{C}} H\left(\frac{1}{2}|v_{*}|^{2} - 2 - \frac{6E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}|v_{*}|^{2} - 2 - \frac{6E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}}}{2|v_{*}|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \geq \underline{\nu}_{1}(1+|v|^{\gamma}), \end{split}$$

where now we compute

$$\underline{\nu}_{1} = \frac{c_{\infty}}{\sqrt{\pi} \left(1 + \bar{C}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right)} C_{12}^{34} \left(\frac{m_{12}}{m_{34}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}} \left(\frac{2}{m_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{4}} \overline{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\gamma+5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}m_{2}\bar{C}\right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} b_{12}^{34}(\cos\theta) \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

To conclude the estimate, one can simply take $\underline{\nu}_1$ to be the minimum between this constant and the one obtained from the previous lower bound.

Turning next to S_3 , we start with the lower bound which is easier to recover in this case. We get

$$\begin{split} \nu_{3}^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} B_{34}^{12}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos \theta) \mu_{4}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= C_{34}^{12} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} b_{34}^{12}(\cos \theta) \mathrm{d}\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left[\frac{m_{34}}{m_{12}} \left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} + \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{34}} \right) \right]^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{4}} |v - v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \mu_{4}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\geq \underline{\nu}_{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |v - v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{2}} |v - v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \mu_{4}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \end{split}$$

 $\geq \underline{\nu}_3(1+|v|^\gamma).$

Al last, for its upper bound we successively compute

$$\begin{split} \nu_{3}^{\mathsf{CH}}(v) &\leq \overline{\nu}_{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\left(|v-v_{*}|^{2} + \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{34}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{4}}}{|v-v_{*}|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{4}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\leq \overline{\nu}_{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|v-v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} + 1}{|v-v_{*}|^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \mu_{4}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &\leq \overline{\nu}_{3} \left[(1+|v|^{\gamma}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |v-v_{*}|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{m_{4}}{2}|v_{*}|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d}v_{*} \right]. \end{split}$$

It only remains to prove that the second term can be bounded by the first one. We notice that the singular part $|v|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}$ can be decomposed into two parts as $|v|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}\chi_{\{|v|<1\}} + |v|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}\chi_{\{|v|\geq1\}}$ that belong respectively to $L_v^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $L_v^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, convolution with the Maxwellian ensures that the second term actually belongs to $L_v^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and can thus be bounded by $1 + |v|^{\gamma}$. \Box

Step 1 – Splitting into elastic and chemical components. We continue by adapting the strategy developed in [23, 19] to prove the estimate (24) for \mathbf{L}^{EL} , which was based on the essential fact that the multi-species elastic operator can be split into a single-species operator (i.e. acting on distributions from the same species) and a component involving all other cross interactions. Similarly, the idea here is to use the definition of \mathbf{L} as the sum $\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}$ and exploit that \mathbf{L}^{EL} has an explicit spectral gap. In particular, letting $\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})$ and recalling Theorem 1, we initially deduce that

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L_v^2\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} &= \left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L_v^2\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} + \left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L_v^2\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \\ &\leq -\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L_v^2\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^2 + \eta \left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L_v^2\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \end{split}$$

for any $\eta \in (0, 1]$, since from (25) follows that $(1 - \eta) \langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L^2_v(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 0$. We now wish to prove that part of the second term can actually be absorbed into the first one depending on λ_{EL} .

Step 2 – Control of the orthogonal component. To show this, we use the projection π^{EL} to split $\mathbf{f} = \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) + (\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))$ into a macroscopic and a kinetic part, this latter being given by the orthogonal component $\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})^{\perp}$. Recalling that $h_i = f_i \mu_i^{-1}$ and denoting

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\mathbf{h}) &:= h_3(v_{12}^{34}) + h_4(v_{s12}^{34}) - h_1(v) - h_2(v_*), \text{ we can use the straightforward inequality } \left[\mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\mathbf{h})\right]^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{h}))\right]^2 - \left[\mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\mathbf{h} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{h}))\right]^2 \text{ to deduce that} \\ \langle \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L_v^2} \left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq -\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} \left\|\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L_v^2}^2 \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &- \frac{\eta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{S}^2} H\left(|v - v_*|^2 - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) \mu_1(v) \mu_2(v_*) \left[\mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\mathbf{n} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))\right]^2 \mathrm{d}v dv_* \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &+ \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{S}^2} H\left(|v - v_*|^2 - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta) \mu_1(v) \mu_2(v_*) \left[\mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\mathbf{h} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{h}))\right]^2 \mathrm{d}v dv_* \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &\text{Now, the third term involving the orthogonal component can actually be estimated from above by $\|\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}})}^2$. Indeed, using the changes of variables $(v, v_*) \mapsto (v_*, v), (v_{12}^{34}, v_{*12}^{34}) \mapsto (v_*, v)$ and the invariance properties of the collision kernels, specifically their symmetries when interchanging species $(1, 2) \leftrightarrow (2, 1), (3, 4) \leftrightarrow (4, 3)$ and their micro-reversibility (12), we see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{S}^2} H\left(|v - v_*|^2 - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_*|, \cos \theta)\mu_1(v)\mu_2(v_*) \left[\mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\mathbf{h} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{h})\right]^2 \mathrm{d}v dv_* \mathrm{d}\sigma \end{aligned}$$$

$$\leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}}\right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta)\mu_{1}(v)\mu_{2}(v_{*}) \\ \times \left[(h_{3}' - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(h_{3}'))^{2} + ((h_{4}'^{*} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(h_{4}'))^{2} + (h_{1} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(h_{1}))^{2} + ((h_{2}^{*} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(h_{2})^{*})^{2} \right] \mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*}\mathrm{d}\sigma$$

$$\leq 4 \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta)(f_{1} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(f_{1}))^{2}\mu_{1}(v)^{-1}\mu_{2}(v_{*})\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*}\mathrm{d}\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta)(f_{2} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(f_{2}))^{2}\mu_{2}(v)^{-1}\mu_{1}(v_{*})\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*}\mathrm{d}\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} B_{34}^{12}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta)(f_{3} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(f_{3}))^{2}\mu_{3}(v)^{-1}\mu_{4}(v_{*})\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*}\mathrm{d}\sigma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} B_{34}^{12}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos\theta)(f_{4} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(f_{4}))^{2}\mu_{4}(v)^{-1}\mu_{3}(v_{*})\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*}\mathrm{d}\sigma \right\}$$

$$\leq 16C \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|^{2}$$

$$\leq 16C_{\nu} \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\nu}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}, \tag{33}$$

where we have set $C_{\nu} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \overline{\nu}_i$ and each $\overline{\nu}_i$ comes from Lemma 32. Thus, clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} &\leq -\left(\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} - 16C_{\nu}\eta\right) \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\left\langle v \right\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\eta}{2} \left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})), \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$
(34)

Step 3 – Connection with the manifold of chemical equilibria. The goal is now to prove that for every $\mathbf{f} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}}) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}})$ the following estimate holds

$$\left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^2_v\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-rac{1}{2}}
ight)} \leq -C_b \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{f}),$$

for some constant $C_b > 0$, where the functional $\mathcal{E} : \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}}) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{f}) = \left(n_4 + n_3 - n_2 - n_1 - eE_{12}^{34}\right)^2$$

with $(n_i)_{1 \le i \le 4}$ and *e* describing the coordinates of $\mathbf{f} \in \text{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})$ with respect to the orthonormal basis $(\boldsymbol{\phi}_k^{\mathsf{EL}})_{1 \le k \le 8}$ given by (22). Recalling that in this basis the orthogonal projection $\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})$ has the form (23), we deduce that the quantity $\mathcal{A}_{12}^{34}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))$ explicitly writes as

$$A_{12}^{34}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))^2 = \left(n_4 + n_3 - n_2 - n_1 - eE_{12}^{34}\right)^2,$$

and therefore

$$-\left\langle \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})), \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}}(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}} \right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos \theta) \mu_{1}(v) \mu_{2}(v_{*}) A_{12}^{34}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))^{2} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

$$= \left(n_{4} + n_{3} - n_{2} - n_{1} - eE_{12}^{34} \right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}} H\left(|v - v_{*}|^{2} - \frac{2E_{12}^{34}}{m_{12}} \right) B_{12}^{34}(|v - v_{*}|, \cos \theta) \mu_{1}(v) \mu_{2}(v_{*}) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

$$\geq \underline{\nu}_{1} \left(n_{4} + n_{3} - n_{2} - n_{1} - eE_{12}^{34} \right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (1 + |v|^{\gamma}) \mu_{1}(v) \mathrm{d}v = C_{b} \mathcal{E}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})),$$

$$(35)$$

where $C_b = \underline{\nu}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |v|^{\gamma}) \mu_1(v) dv$ is obviously positive and $\underline{\nu}_1 > 0$ has been explicitly computed inside the proof of Lemma 32.

Going back to our main estimate (34), we then recover

$$\left\langle \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \right\rangle_{L^2_v\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \leq -\left(\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} - 16C_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\eta\right) \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|^2_{L^2_v\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} - \frac{\eta C_b}{2} \mathcal{E}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})).$$
(36)

Step 4 – Estimate on the global concentrations. To conclude, we need to find a relation between the quantities $\mathcal{E}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))$, $\|\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\|$ and $\|\mathbf{f} - \pi(\mathbf{f})\|$. We begin by proving that for any arbitrary orthonormal basis $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}})_{1 \leq k \leq 8}$ of Ker $(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$ we obtain the relation

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \pi(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq 2 \left\|\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} + C_{\psi}\left(\left\|\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} - \left\|\pi(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}\right),$$
(37)

where $C_{\psi} = 16 \max_{1 \le k, \ell \le 8} \left| \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} \rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \right|$ and clearly each $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}}$ belongs to $L_{v}^{2}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ since the elements of Ker (\mathbf{L}^{EL}) take the form (23). Indeed, we have

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \pi(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq 2 \left\|\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} + 2 \left\|\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) - \pi(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}$$

and then for $\mathbf{g} = \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) - \pi(\mathbf{f}) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})$ we successively compute

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{8} \left\langle \mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}}, \sum_{\ell=1}^{8} \left\langle \mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{8} \left\langle \mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \left\langle \mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq 8} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \right| \left\| \mathbf{g} \right\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \cdot \\ &\leq 8 \max_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq 8} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\ell}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \right| \left\| \mathbf{g} \right\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \cdot \end{split}$$

At last, since obviously $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}) \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})$, we have that $\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\pi) = \pi$ and therefore

$$\begin{split} \left\| \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) - \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} &= \left\| \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} - 2\left\langle \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} + \left\| \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \\ &= \left\| \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} - 2\left\langle \mathbf{f}, \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} + \left\| \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \\ &= \left\| \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} - \left\| \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \cdot \end{split}$$

This gives us estimate (37). The final aim is to prove that this last term can actually be estimated using the functional $\mathcal{E}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}))$. To do this, we need an explicit expression for the L^2 norms of the projections π^{EL} and π , using their orthonormal representations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$. From the orthonormal basis (22), we first study the norm of $\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})$. Recall the moment identities

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu_i \mathrm{d}v = c_{i,\infty}, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 \mu_i \mathrm{d}v = \frac{3c_{i,\infty}}{m_i}, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^4 \mu_i \mathrm{d}v = \frac{15c_{i,\infty}}{m_i^2},$$

and let us denote for simplicity the total concentration with $c_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i,\infty}$ and the total density with $\rho_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \rho_{i,\infty}$, where $\rho_{i,\infty} = m_i c_{i,\infty}$. Then, from Parseval's identity and the explicit

expression (23) for the orthogonal projection onto ${\rm Ker}\,({\bf L}^{\sf EL}),$ we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{8} \left\langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{8} \left\langle \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{n_{i} + \frac{m_{i}}{2} e|v|^{2}}{\sqrt{c_{i,\infty}}} \mu_{i} \mathrm{d}v \right)^{2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{m_{i}^{2} u \cdot v}{\sqrt{\rho_{\infty}}} v_{\ell} \mu_{i} \mathrm{d}v \right)^{2} \\ &+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{n_{i} + \frac{m_{i}}{2} e|v|^{2}}{\sqrt{c_{\infty}}} \frac{m_{i}|v|^{2} - 3}{\sqrt{6}} \mu_{i} \mathrm{d}v \right)^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i,\infty} \left(n_{i} + \frac{3}{2} e \right)^{2} + \rho_{\infty} |u|^{2} + \frac{3}{2} c_{\infty} e^{2}. \end{split}$$

Next, to compute the norm of $\pi(\mathbf{f})$ we need to introduce an orthonormal basis for Ker ($\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}$), starting from the seven linearly independent vectors (29). We initially observe that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are orthogonal to one another and that the three vectors $\phi_{\ell+3}$ related to momentum conservation are already orthogonal to all the others. The easier strategy is then to apply Gram–Schmidt procedure in this given order. We initially compute the orthogonal vectors

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}^{\mathsf{CH}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} \\ 0 \\ \mu_{3} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2}^{\mathsf{CH}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu_{2} \\ 0 \\ \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\phi}_{3}^{\mathsf{CH}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \mu_{1} \\ -\frac{c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \mu_{2} \\ -\frac{c_{1,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \mu_{3} \\ \frac{c_{2,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell+3}^{\mathsf{CH}} = v_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} m_{1}\mu_{1} \\ m_{2}\mu_{2} \\ m_{3}\mu_{3} \\ m_{4}\mu_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\phi}_{7}^{\mathsf{CH}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_{1}|v|^{2} - 3}{2} \mu_{1} \\ \frac{m_{2}|v|^{2} - 3}{2} \mu_{2} \\ \frac{m_{3}|v|^{2} - 3}{2} \mu_{3} \\ \frac{m_{4}|v|^{2} - 3}{2} \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix} + E_{12}^{34} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty}} + \frac{c_{3,\infty}^{2}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} \mu_{1} \\ -\frac{c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty}} + c_{4,\infty} \frac{1}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} \mu_{2} \\ \frac{c_{1,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{12}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} \mu_{3} \\ \frac{c_{2,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \frac{1}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} \mu_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (38)$$

where $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and we have introduced the convenient notation $c_{12}^{34} = \frac{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}$. Orthonormalization follows from division by their respective norms, which write, for $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}^{\mathsf{CH}} \right\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} = c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}, \qquad \left\| \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2}^{\mathsf{CH}} \right\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} = c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty},$$

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}_{3}^{\mathsf{CH}}\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} = \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \left(1 + c_{12}^{34}\right) = \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \frac{1 + c_{12}^{34}}{c_{12}^{34}}, \qquad \left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\ell+3}^{\mathsf{CH}}\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} = \rho_{\infty},$$

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{\phi}_{7}^{\mathsf{CH}} \right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} = \frac{3}{2}c_{\infty} + \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{12}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} \left(E_{12}^{34}\right)^{2} = \frac{3}{2}c_{\infty} + \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \frac{1}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} \left(E_{12}^{34}\right)^{2}.$$

Using again Parseval's identity and noticing that $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}})^{\perp} \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}})^{\perp}$, we can one more time resort to (23) and compute the norm of $\pi(\mathbf{f})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{7} \frac{\left\langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{CH}} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{CH}}\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{7} \frac{\left\langle \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}), \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{CH}} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}^{\mathsf{CH}}\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}} = \\ &= \frac{\left[c_{1,\infty}\left(n_{1} + \frac{3}{2}e\right) + c_{3,\infty}\left(n_{3} + \frac{3}{2}e\right)\right]^{2}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} + \frac{\left[c_{2,\infty}\left(n_{2} + \frac{3}{2}e\right) + c_{4,\infty}\left(n_{4} + \frac{3}{2}e\right)\right]^{2}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}_{3}^{\mathsf{CH}}\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}} \left[\frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}}(n_{1} - n_{3}) + \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}}(n_{4} - n_{2})\right]^{2} + \rho_{\infty}|u|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\left\|\boldsymbol{\phi}_{7}^{\mathsf{CH}}\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2}}\left[\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}e-\frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty}+c_{3,\infty}}\frac{c_{12}^{34}}{1+c_{12}^{34}}(n_{1}-n_{3})E_{12}^{34}+\frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty}+c_{4,\infty}}\frac{1}{1+c_{12}^{34}}(n_{4}-n_{2})E_{12}^{34}\right]^{2}.$$

Recalling the definition of c_{12}^{34} , from the relation $\frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty}+c_{3,\infty}}c_{12}^{34} = \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty}+c_{4,\infty}}$ we deduce that the last numerator can then be recast as

$$\left[\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}e + \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{12}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}}(n_4 + n_3 - n_2 - n_1)E_{12}^{34}\right]^2.$$

We can now study the difference between the two orthogonal projections and successively compute

$$\begin{split} \left\| \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{*}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} - \left\| \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^{2}_{*}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} &= \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} (n_{3} - n_{1})^{2} + \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} (n_{4} - n_{2})^{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} \frac{c_{12}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} (n_{4} - n_{2})^{2} - \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{1}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} (n_{3} - n_{1})^{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\left\| \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}_{3}^{\mathsf{CH}} \right\|_{L^{2}_{*}\left(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{2,\infty}c_{4,\infty}}{c_{2,\infty} + c_{4,\infty}} (n_{3} - n_{1})(n_{4} - n_{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}e^{2} - \frac{\left[\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}e + \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} (n_{4} + n_{3} - n_{2} - n_{1})E_{12}^{34} \right]^{2}}{\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty} + \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} (E_{12}^{34})^{2}} \\ &= \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}}} (n_{4} + n_{3} - n_{2} - n_{1})^{2} + \frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}e^{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\left[\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}e + \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} (E_{12}^{34})^{2} \right]}{\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty} + \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} (E_{12}^{34})^{2}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}\frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}}} (E_{12}^{34})^{2} \\ &= \frac{\frac{3}{2}c_{\infty}\frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}} \mathcal{E}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathsf{f})) \\ &\leq \frac{c_{1,\infty}c_{3,\infty}}{c_{1,\infty} + c_{3,\infty}} \frac{c_{14}^{34}}{1 + c_{12}^{34}}} \mathcal{E}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathsf{f})) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of c_{12}^{34} to determine the last estimate. Going back to the previous relation (37), we finally infer that

$$-\left\|\mathbf{f} - \pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq -\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathbf{f} - \pi(\mathbf{f})\right\|_{L^{2}_{v}\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}^{2} + \frac{C_{\psi}c_{\infty}^{4}}{2}\mathcal{E}(\pi^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})).$$
(39)

Step 5 – Combining the estimates to conclude. At last, it is clear that injecting estimate (39) into (36) for $\delta \in (0, 1]$, we can infer that the linearized reactive operator $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{EL}} + \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{CH}}$ satisfies the upper bound

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L^2_v \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)} &\leq -\frac{\delta}{2} (\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} - 16C_\nu \eta) \left\| \mathbf{f} - \boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^2_v \left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)}^2 \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \left(\eta C_b - \delta (\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} - 16C_\nu \eta) C_\psi c_\infty^4 \right) \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathsf{EL}}(\mathbf{f})), \end{split}$$

where $\eta \in (0, 1]$ must verify $\eta < \lambda_{\mathsf{EL}}/(16C_{\nu})$. Thus, by choosing a sufficiently small $\delta \in (0, 1]$, we obtain the desired spectral gap estimate

$$\langle \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L^2_v\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \leq -\lambda \left\| \mathbf{f} - \pi(\mathbf{f}) \right\|^2_{L^2_v\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}$$

with $\lambda = \delta(\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} - 16C_{\nu}\eta)/2$. In order to optimize λ , we choose $\delta = \min\left\{1, \frac{\eta C_b}{(\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} - 16C_{\nu}\eta)C_{\psi}c_{\infty}^4}\right\}$, which in turn leads to $\lambda = \min\left\{\frac{\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} - 16C_{\nu}\eta}{2}, \frac{\eta C_b}{2C_{\psi}c_{\infty}^4}\right\}$. Therefore, if $\frac{\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}}C_{\psi}c_{\infty}^4}{C_b + 16C_{\nu}C_{\psi}c_{\infty}^4} \leq 1$, by taking $\eta = \frac{\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}}C_{\psi}c_{\infty}^4}{C_b + 16C_{\nu}C_{\psi}c_{\infty}^4}$ we obtain an explicit expression for the spectral gap, that is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_{\mathsf{EL}} C_b}{2(C_b + 16C_\nu C_\psi c_\infty^4)}$$

where the constants

$$C_{\nu} = \max_{1 \le i \le 4} \overline{\nu}_i, \qquad C_b = \underline{\nu}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |v|^{\gamma}) \mu_1(v) \mathrm{d}v, \qquad C_{\psi} = \max_{1 \le k, \ell \le 8} \left| \left\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_k^{\mathsf{EL}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\ell^{\mathsf{EL}} \right\rangle_{L^2_v\left(\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)} \right|,$$

come respectively from estimates (33), (35) and (39), and we recall that $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_k^{\mathsf{EL}})_{1 \leq k \leq 8}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis of Ker (\mathbf{L}^{EL}) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1/2})$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the support from Cost Action CA18232 (Mathematical models for interacting dynamics on networks). Andrea Bondesan also acknowledges the support from the Italian National Group of Mathematical Physics (GNFM–INdAM), from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the Lise Meitner project No. M-3007 (Asymptotic Derivation of Diffusion Models for Mixtures) and from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme, under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 101110920 (MesoCroMo - A Mesoscopic approach to Cross-diffusion Modelling in population dynamics). Bao Quoc Tang received funding from the FWF project number I-5213 (Quasi-steady-state approximation for PDE).

References

- B. Anwasia, P. Gonçalves, and A. J. Soares. From the simple reacting sphere kinetic model to the reactiondiffusion system of Maxwell-Stefan type. Comm. Math. Sci., 17(2):507–538, 2019.
- [2] C. Baranger and C. Mouhot. Explicit spectral gap estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators with hard potentials. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 21(3):819–841, 2005.
- [3] N. Bernhoff. Linearized Boltzmann collision operator: I. Polyatomic molecules modeled by a discrete internal energy variable and multicomponent mixtures. Acta Appl. Math., 183(3):1–45, 2023.
- [4] N. Bernhoff. Linearized Boltzmann collision operator: II. Polyatomic molecules modeled by a continuous internal energy variable. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 16(6):828–849, 2023.
- [5] N. Bernhoff. Compactness property of the linearized Boltzmann collision operator for a mixture of monatomic and polyatomic species. J. Stat. Phys., 191(3):Paper No. 32, 35, 2024.
- [6] N. Bernhoff. Compactness property of the linearized Boltzmann collision operator for a multicomponent polyatomic gas. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 537(1):Paper No. 128625, 31, 2024.
- [7] N. Bernhoff. Linearized boltzmann collision operator for a mixture of monatomic and polyatomic chemically reacting species. J. Math. Chem., 62(3):1935–1964, 2024.
- [8] N. Bernhoff, L. Boudin, M. Čolić, and B. Grec. Compactness of linearized Boltzmann operators for polyatomic gases. *Preprint arXiv 2407.11452*, 2024.
- M. Bisi and L. Desvillettes. From reactive Boltzmann equations to reaction-diffusion systems. J. Stat. Phys., 124(2):881–912, 2006.
- [10] A. V. Bobylev. The theory of the nonlinear spatially uniform Boltzmann equation for Maxwell molecules. In Mathematical physics reviews, Vol. 7, volume 7 of Soviet Sci. Rev. Sect. C Math. Phys. Rev., pages 111–233. Harwood Academic Publ., Chur, 1988.
- [11] L. Boltzmann. Weitere Studien über das Wärmegleichgewicht unter Gasmolekülen. Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften, 66:275–370, 1872.

- [12] A. Bondesan, L. Boudin, M. Briant, and B. Grec. Stability of the spectral gap for the Boltzmann multi-species operator linearized around non-equilibrium Maxwell distributions. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 19(5):2549–2573, 2020.
- [13] A. Bondesan and M. Briant. Stability of the Maxwell-Stefan system in the diffusion asymptotics of the Boltzmann multi-species equation. Comm. Math. Phys., 382(1):381–440, 2021.
- [14] T. Borsoni, L. Boudin, and F. Salvarani. Compactness property of the linearized Boltzmann operator for a polyatomic gas undergoing resonant collisions. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 517(1):Paper No. 126579, 30, 2023.
- [15] L. Boudin, B. Grec, M. Pavić, and F. Salvarani. Diffusion asymptotics of a kinetic model for gaseous mixtures. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 6(1):137–157, 2013.
- [16] L. Boudin and F. Salvarani. Compactness of linearized kinetic operators. In From particle systems to partial differential equations III, volume 162 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., pages 73–97. Springer, 2016.
- [17] J.-F. Bourgat, L. Desvillettes, P. Le Tallec, and B. Perthame. Microreversible collisions for polyatomic gases and Boltzmann's theorem. *European J. Mech. B Fluids*, 13(2):237–254, 1994.
- [18] M. Briant. From the Boltzmann equation to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on the torus: a quantitative error estimate. J. Differential Equations, 259(11):6072–6141, 2015.
- [19] M. Briant and E. S. Daus. The Boltzmann equation for a multi-species mixture close to global equilibrium. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 222(3):1367–1443, 2016.
- [20] S. Brull, M. Shahine, and P. Thieullen. Compactness property of the linearized Boltzmann operator for a diatomic single gas model. *Netw. Heterog. Media*, 17(6):847–861, 2022.
- [21] T. Carleman. Problèmes mathématiques dans la théorie cinétique des gaz. Publ. Sci. Inst. Mittag-Leffler. 2. Almqvist & Wiksells Boktryckeri Ab, Uppsala, 1957.
- [22] C. Cercignani, R. Illner, and M. Pulvirenti. The mathematical theory of dilute gases, volume 106 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [23] E. S. Daus, A. Jüngel, C. Mouhot, and N. Zamponi. Hypocoercivity for a linearized multispecies Boltzmann system. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 48(1):538–568, 2016.
- [24] L. Desvillettes, R. Monaco, and F. Salvarani. A kinetic model allowing to obtain the energy law of polytropic gases in the presence of chemical reactions. *Eur. J. Mech. B Fluids*, 24(2):219–236, 2005.
- [25] I. M. Gamba and M. Pavić-Čolić. On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equation modeling a polyatomic gas. J. Math. Phys., 64(1):013303, 2023.
- [26] V. Giovangigli. Multicomponent flow modeling. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [27] H. Grad. Principles of the kinetic theory of gases. In Handbuch der Physik (herausgegeben von S. Flügge), Bd. 12, Thermodynamik der Gase, pages 205–294. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958.
- [28] M. Groppi and J. Polewczak. On two kinetic models for chemical reactions: comparisons and existence results. J. Statist. Phys., 117(1-2):211-241, 2004.
- [29] Y. Guo. Boltzmann diffusive limit beyond the Navier-Stokes approximation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 59(5):626– 687, 2006.
- [30] D. Hilbert. Begründung der kinetischen Gastheorie. Math. Ann., 72:562–577, 1912.
- [31] T. Kato. Perturbation theory for linear operators. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [32] C. Mouhot. Explicit coercivity estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators. Comm. Partial Differ. Equ., 31(7-9):1321–1348, 2006.
- [33] C. Mouhot. Rate of convergence to equilibrium for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation with hard potentials. Comm. Math. Phys., 261(3):629–672, 2006.
- [34] C. Mouhot and L. Neumann. Quantitative perturbative study of convergence to equilibrium for collisional kinetic models in the torus. *Nonlinearity*, 19(4):969–998, 2006.
- [35] C. Mouhot and R. M. Strain. Spectral gap and coercivity estimates for linearized Boltzmann collision operators without angular cutoff. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 87(5):515–535, 2007.
- [36] A. Rossani and G. Spiga. A note on the kinetic theory of chemically reacting gases. Phys. A, 272(3-4):563–573, 1999.
- [37] S. Ukai. On the existence of global solutions of mixed problem for non-linear Boltzmann equation. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 50:179–184, 1974.
- [38] S. Ukai and T. Yang. Mathematical Theory of Boltzmann Equation. Lecture Notes Ser., vol. 8, Liu Bie Ju Center of Mathematical Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, 2006.
- [39] C. Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. I, pages 71–305. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [40] C. S. Wang Chang, G. E. Uhlenbeck, and J. de Boer. Studies in Statistical Mechanics, volume Vol. V. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

ANDREA BONDESAN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL, PHYSICAL AND COMPUTER SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF PARMA PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, 43124 PARMA, ITALY

Email address: andrea.bondesan@unipr.it, andrea.bondesan@gmail.com

BAO QUOC TANG DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

Email address: quoc.tang@uni-graz.at, baotangquoc@gmail.com