

A Web App for Teaching Finite State Automata

Christopher William Schankula
Department of Computing & Software
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
schankuc@mcmaster.ca

Lucas Dutton
Department of Computing & Software
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
duttonl@mcmaster.ca

Abstract—We present the open-source tool `finsm.io`, a tool for creating, simulating and exporting deterministic and non-deterministic finite state automata (DFA/NFA). We first describe the conceptual background on which the tool is based, followed by a description of features and preliminary evaluation of the tool based on use spanning multiple years and hundreds of student users. Preliminary evaluation found that instructors and students overwhelmingly recommend the tool to others and agree that it has improved their learning and teaching. The authors invite interested educators to use the tool in their finite automata courses.

Index Terms—Automata, Educational technology, Visualization, DFA, NFA

I. INTRODUCTION

We present the open-source tool `finsm.io`¹ which has been successfully used to teach finite automata courses in software engineering and computer science courses spanning multiple years and 860+ students. The system is accessible as a free web application, and allows users to build, simulate (test) and export finite state automata.

In this tool paper, we describe the theory and background of the system, detail its implementation and features available, then present a preliminary evaluation based on written responses and surveys with instructors, teaching assistants, and students. Finally, we present prior research in this area and conclude with ideas for future research and improvements.

Students and instructors alike overwhelmingly agreed that they would recommend the system and that it benefitted learning and teaching. The authors are happy to offer the tool to any like-minded instructors and encourage anyone who is interested to contact us.

II. BACKGROUND

We follow the definitions given in Kozen (2012) for finite state machines.

Definition II.1. A *deterministic finite automaton* (DFA) is a 5-tuple

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$$

where

- Q is a finite set; its elements are called *states*.
- Σ is a finite set; called the *input alphabet*.
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the *transition function*.
- $s \in Q$ is the *start state*.

- $F \subseteq Q$; its elements are called *accept/final* states.

Definition II.2. A *non-deterministic finite automaton* (NFA) is a 5-tuple

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, S, F)$$

where Q, Σ and F are the same as in Definition II.1, and

- $S \subseteq Q$ is the set of *start states*.
- $\Delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the transition function returning the *power set* of Q .

Given that every DFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ is equivalent to an NFA $(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \{s\}, F)$, where $\Delta(p, a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta(p, a)\}$, the Elm implementation leverages this theoretical fact to store both machines using the NFA definition. Hence, Definition II.2 is represented as a record type with labeled fields as follows:

```
type alias Machine =
  { q : Set StateID
  , delta : Delta
  , start : Set StateID
  , final : Set StateID
  , stateNames : Dict StateID String
  ... -- more fields related to layout and↔
    names
  }

type alias Delta =
  Dict StateID (Dict TransitionID StateID)
type alias StateID = Int
type alias TransitionID = Int
```

The full definition is elided; only the fields relevant to the mathematical definition are shown. We treat the alphabet Σ as the set of all symbols that appear in the transition labels of the machine, and do not store it separately as a set. We make use of the Elm `core` library's implementation of `Set` and `Dict` their use loosely corresponds to the mathematical notion of sets and (partial) functions respectively.

A. Example

Throughout this paper, we will use the running examples in Figures 1 and 2. Both machines accept the string containing '0's and '1's, with the restriction that the string must terminate with "01". They also accept the empty string. Note that while the machines are not the same type, they are *equivalent* in that they accept the same *language* of strings.

¹<https://finsm.io>, source code: <https://github.com/CSchank/finsm/>




Fig. 1: An example DFA created from `finism.io`.

Using the mathematical definitions in Section II, Figure 1 can be represented as a DFA with $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$, $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, $s = q_0$, $F = \{q_0\}$, and the transition function:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(q_0, 0) &= \delta(q_1, 0) = \delta(q_2, 0) = q_1 \\ \delta(q_1, 1) &= q_0 \\ \delta(q_2, 1) &= q_2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, Figure 2 can be represented as a NFA with $Q = \{q_0', q_1', q_2', q_3'\}$, $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, $s = \{q_0'\}$, $F = \{q_3'\}$, and the transition function:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(q_0', \epsilon) &= \{q_1', q_3'\} \\ \Delta(q_1', 0) &= \{q_1', q_2'\} \\ \Delta(q_1', 1) &= \{q_1'\} \\ \Delta(q_2', 1) &= \{q_3'\} \\ \text{otherwise} &= \{\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the NFA example further, this is stored in `finism.io` as the following Elm expression:

```
nfaExample =
  { q = Set.fromList ["q_0'", "q_1'", "q_2'", "←
    q_3'"]
  , delta = Dict.fromList
    [ ("q_0'", Dict.fromList
      [ (Set.fromList ["\epsilon"], "q_1'")
      , (Set.fromList ["\epsilon"], "q_3'") ←
        ])
    , ("q_1'", Dict.fromList
      [ (Set.fromList ["0"], "q_2'")
      , (Set.fromList ["0", "1"], "q_1'") ])
    , ("q_2'", Dict.fromList
      [ (Set.fromList ["1"], "q_3'") ])
    , ("q_3'", Dict.fromList []) ]
  , start = Set.fromList ["q_0'"]
  , final = Set.fromList ["q_3'"]
  , stateNames =
    Dict.fromList [(1, "q_0'"), (2, "q_1'"),
      (3, "q_2'"), (4, "q_3'")]
  }
```

For clarity, we have substituted `StateIDs` and `TransitionIDs` with their actual values in the above code snippet. The actual Elm representation uses the integer IDs, and we can fetch their actual values from other dictionaries stored in the record when needed.




Fig. 2: An example NFA created from `finism.io`.




Fig. 3: A step-by-step illustration on creating transition arrows in Build mode.

III. FEATURES

`finism.io` has 3 main features: Building state machines, simulating them with provided inputs, and exporting to \LaTeX . We will illustrate and explain these features using Figure 4, which shows the application in different modes.

A. Build

Figure 4a lets users construct state machines and is also the first screen that the users interact with. It is designed to be minimalistic, only allowing pre-defined keyboard shortcuts and mouse clicks to create states and transitions.

The typical workflow in this mode involves three actions: creating new states, creating new transitions, and naming states and transitions. Creating new states is easily done by `Shift+left-clicking` on an empty spot. Creating new transitions is shown in Figure 3; (a) mouse hover over the state to start the arrow from, (b) when a visual indicator appears, drag the arrow to the state to connect to, (c) release the mouse button to complete the arrow. Naming is done by `Shift+left-clicking` a label, which brings up a text box of the label. `finism.io` supports \LaTeX and will render appropriately when users press `Enter` after editing the text.

B. Simulate


Once a user is ready to test their constructed machine, they can switch over to simulation mode, shown in Figure 4b. The main feature is the input tapes on the bottom-left of the screen. Users can click on a tape and press `Right Arrow` to advance the ticker on the tape. The ticker indicates the current read position on the tape, and when it is advanced by the user, the machine will react to the new input by highlighting states that it transitions into in bold.

When a user edits their tape, it brings up the keyboard menu in Figure 4c. `finism.io` automatically detects the alphabet of the language, and maps a key to each letter. For example, if the user presses `a` on their keyboard, it adds a `0` to the end of the input tape. Pressing `Del` and `Enter` will delete the rightmost character on the tape and accept changes made to the tape respectively.




(a) The build mode screen. 1. Allows users to switch between the 3 main modes of `finism.io`. 2. Users can name their machine here. 3. Users can log in with a Google account, allowing save and load. 4. Buttons for grid-locking and opening a wiki help page.

(b) The simulation mode screen. 1. The “tape” that users can create and run as test input. 2. The machine updates its state as users “scrub” through the tape. 3. A mathematical definition of the current machine. 4. Buttons to edit and delete the tape 5. A button to create a new tape.




(c) Quick keyboard for editing tapes in simulation mode.

(d) A simulation error when the wrong machine type is selected.



(e) The export mode screen.



(f) A popup containing code that can be copied into a \LaTeX document.

Fig. 4: A collection of screenshots displaying different features of the `finism.io` application in a web browser.

`finism.io` will detect errors in constructed machines, particularly for DFAs. If a user attempts to simulate the current example as a DFA, they will see the error in Figure 4d. Here, they are informed that ϵ -transitions exist at State 0, which are not allowed for DFAs. There are other errors as well, such as State 2 not having a transition for 0, but `finism.io` will only report one error at a time.

C. Export

`finism.io` supports exporting to \LaTeX with the export mode. It generates TikZ code that can be copied and pasted into any \LaTeX document that imports the TikZ package. Figure 4e displays the main screen, and Figure 4f shows a popup window containing the \LaTeX code. The states in the code are *hashed* and are uniquely generated whenever a

request to export takes place.

IV. EVALUATION

To evaluate the tool’s impact on learning and teaching, we asked the instructors, TAs and students for written and survey-based feedback. This section details some of those preliminary answers and other general statistics.

The tool has been used in several finite automata courses in the past five years. A total of **868 users** are registered for the website, and this does not include users from the first year when there was no login or saving system. These users have used the tool to create over **4,100 state machines** (again, not including unsaved machines from unregistered users).

A. Instructor Perspective

One of two instructors responded to a request for feedback.

1) *How did students submit assignments prior to using the tool?*

Students used general-purpose drawing tools or even drew machines by hand.

2) *What are your overall impressions of the tool?*

It is useful for the students and helps the Teaching Assistants (TAs) with marking since assignments are much cleaner.

3) *What effect did you observe on the students/TAs using the tool?*

Students spent less time drawing automata, and it made debugging and editing easier.

4) *Do you have suggestions to improve the tool?*

One instructor suggested the addition of Push-Down Automata (PDA), and some visualizations of common finite state automata algorithms.

B. Teaching Assistant Perspective

Next, we wanted to understand the TA perspective, since they are likely to be the ones most affected in terms of day-to-day instruction while using the tool. Two TAs responded to requests for comment and both enthusiastically recommend the tool. Notable was the comment that student requests for remarking were reduced because the \LaTeX diagrams were unambiguous, so easier to read, and the tool helped students avoid missing transitions. TAs also used the tool to create assignment questions, which saved a lot of time, and produced consistently readable results. One TA requested support for PDAs and both requested support for Turing Machines.

C. Student Perspective

Anecdotally, students enjoy using the tool and we have observed many users continuing to use the tool in future courses where it is not the suggested tool. To quantify this sentiment, users were sent an optional survey via email.

We received 34 responses. On average, `finism.io` received 4.59/5 in terms of recommendation (see Figure 5).

Figure 6 shows a Likert scale question asking them to rate their agreement with several statements. Our tool scored highly for being a better experience than drawing on paper, ease of




Fig. 5: Histogram of student responses to peer recommendation question. [n = 34]. The mean response was 4.59/5.

use, and many students agreed it helped them do better in the course they were taking. Results were more mixed on whether it made them more excited to learn about finite state machines.

Students were also asked to give written feedback. Most were positive, including one student who said the experience was better than other general-purpose drawing tools. Some constructive feedback included that some actions were not intuitive when starting, a lack of useful keybinds, and mobile support. Echoing the instructor and TAs, one student asked for support for PDA and Turing Machines, and expressed disappointment in having to draw those by hand when it came to that part of the course.

D. Threats to Validity

From the instructor and TA perspective, only one instructor and two TAs were able to be reached for comment. Their perspective may also be skewed by virtue of knowing the creators in an academic capacity.

On the student perspective, some students surveyed had not used the tool in a while, which could affect their judgment. This also means that the most motivated users were more likely to answer the survey, likely skewing the answers towards positivity. To combat this in the future, we can build quick survey questions into the tool itself.

V. RELATED WORK

The use of animations to teach concepts in computer science curriculums is a wide topic of interest. In an algorithms course, Lawrence et al. (1994) investigates the use of animations to teach algorithms in classrooms, which led to higher accuracy on a post-test examination of understanding. In class-based settings, Berque et al. (2001) describes a classroom equipped with pen-based computers, a touch-sensitive electronic whiteboard and locally written groupware to teach a Theory of Computation course. The survey results suggest that students prefer their method of course delivery over a traditional classroom setting. However, implementing this approach would require a specialized classroom environment or software that must be fully integrated and used consistently throughout the entire course.




Fig. 6: Likert scale questions asked of students [n = 34].

Pillay (2010) reports a study conducted to identify learning difficulties on formal languages and automata theory (FLAT). They report that the main difficulty students encounter is a lack of problem-solving skills rather than a lack of conceptualization or knowledge. In this regard, the simulation mode of `finsm.io` complements the problem-solving process by visualizing the states of the machine for test inputs and provides helpful errors for incorrectly constructed machines. Other similar tools exist, such as JFLAP by Rodger and Finley (2006); Gramond and Rodger (1999), jFAST by White and Way (2006), and ComVIS by Jovanović et al. (2021). These tools were developed using the Java programming language. Barwise et al. (1994) presents a program developed for the Macintosh. Mobile platforms have also been targeted, including by Singh et al. (2019) and Pereira and Terra (2018). Of these tools, JFLAP is the most widely used, with Google Analytics reporting a total of 714,535 new users from September 2012 to May 2022 on their website. It also supports the most features. Compared to JFLAP, `finsm.io` is better adapted to today's learning environment, as it is web-based, requiring no installation, its simple interface avoids overwhelming new users, and \LaTeX output supports assignment workflows. We believe that it is the combination of these three features that has led to its adoption in the finite automata courses at McMaster University, as previously a traditional classroom approach was preferred by the instructors, but they have since integrated `finsm.io` into their courses.

Another approach taken, as opposed to working with graphical representations of finite state machines, would be to represent them with a domain-specific language (DSL). Chakraborty (2007) presents a Turing Machine Description Language (TDML) for modeling Turing machines. Chakraborty et al. (2013) uses the Finite Automaton Description Language (FADL), with a toolkit designed around the language to model and simulate finite automata.

The Elm programming language was developed as a functional approach to web programming by Czaplicki (2012). It has been used at Brilliant.org, a STEM-education company, who used it to create Diagrammar² to produce interactive educational diagrams. It has been used for other academic diagramming tools, in the context of Model-Driven-Development (MDD): Schankula et al. (2020) created PAL Draw for specifying client-server applications; and Pasupathi et al. (2022) developed SD Draw for drawing state diagrams. Neither of these MDD tools supports simulation or \LaTeX output.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The tool presented shows promise for instructors teaching courses involving finite state automata, which students agree helps with learning and instructors agree helps facilitate teaching. It fits into the existing learning workflow by generating \LaTeX output. Built using the functional programming language Elm, it is reliable and accessible as a free web app.

Future work on the tool will include implementing Push-Down Automata (for which there is already an active pull request) and Turing Machines and adding more visualizations for common FSA algorithms. A controlled trial should seek to quantitatively show the effectiveness of the tool for teaching and learning. Finally, a submission system, automatic test-case marking system, and mark export to common learning management systems should be implemented. The authors welcome contact from any interested instructors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to the instructors, TAs and students for their feedback. Thank you to Drs. Christopher Anand and Spencer Smith for help ideating and revising this work.

²<https://www.thestrangeloop.com/2022/diagrammar-simply-make-interactive-diagrams.html>

REFERENCES

- D. C. Kozen, *Automata and Computability*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- A. Lawrence, A. Badre, and J. Stasko, "Empirically evaluating the use of animations to teach algorithms," in *Proceedings of 1994 IEEE Symposium on Visual Languages*, Oct. 1994, pp. 48–54.
- D. Berque, D. K. Johnson, and L. Jovanovic, "Teaching theory of computation using pen-based computers and an electronic whiteboard," *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 169–172, Sep. 2001.
- N. Pillay, "Learning difficulties experienced by students in a course on formal languages and automata theory," *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 48–52, Jan. 2010.
- S. H. Rodger and T. W. Finley, *JFLAP: An Interactive Formal Languages and Automata Package*. Jones & Bartlett Learning, 2006.
- E. Gramond and S. H. Rodger, "Using JFLAP to interact with theorems in automata theory," in *The Proceedings of the Thirtieth SIGCSE Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education*. New Orleans Louisiana USA: ACM, Mar. 1999, pp. 336–340.
- T. M. White and T. P. Way, "jFAST: A java finite automata simulator," in *Proceedings of the 37th SIGCSE Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education*. Houston Texas USA: ACM, Mar. 2006, pp. 384–388.
- N. Jovanović, D. Miljković, S. Stamenković, Z. Jovanović, and P. Chakraborty, "Teaching concepts related to finite automata using ComVis," *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 994–1006, 2021.
- J. Barwise, J. Etchemendy *et al.*, "Turing's World 3.0. An introduction to computability theory," 1994.
- T. Singh, S. Afreen, P. Chakraborty, R. Raj, S. Yadav, and D. Jain, "Automata Simulator: A mobile app to teach theory of computation," *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1064–1072, 2019.
- C. H. Pereira and R. Terra, "A mobile app for teaching formal languages and automata," *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1742–1752, 2018.
- P. Chakraborty, "A language for easy and efficient modeling of Turing machines," *Progress in Natural Science*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 867–871, 2007.
- P. Chakraborty, P. C. Saxena, and C. P. Katti, "A compiler-based toolkit to teach and learn finite automata," *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 467–474, 2013.
- E. Czaplicki, "Elm: Concurrent frp for functional guis," *Senior thesis, Harvard University*, vol. 30, 2012.
- C. Schankula, E. Ham, J. Schultz, Y. Irfan, N. Thai, L. Dutton, P. Pasupathi, C. Sheth, T. Khan, S. Tejani *et al.*, "Newyouthhack: Using design thinking to reimagine settlement services for new Canadians," in *Innovations for Community Services: 20th International Conference, I4CS 2020, Bhubaneswar, India, January 12–14, 2020, Proceedings 20*. Springer, 2020, pp. 41–62.
- P. Pasupathi, C. W. Schankula, N. DiVincenzo, S. Coker, and C. K. Anand, "Teaching interaction using state diagrams," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12701*, 2022.